

# A Doubly-Dispersive MIMO Channel Model Parametrized with Stacked Intelligent Metasurfaces

Kuranage Roche Rayan Ranasinghe<sup>✉</sup>, *Graduate Student Member, IEEE*,

Iván Alexander Morales Sandoval<sup>✉</sup>, *Graduate Student Member, IEEE*, Hyeon Seok Rou<sup>✉</sup>, *Member, IEEE*,

Giuseppe Thadeu Freitas de Abreu<sup>✉</sup>, *Senior Member, IEEE*,

and George C. Alexandropoulos<sup>✉</sup>, *Senior Member, IEEE*

**Abstract**—Introduced with the advent of statistical wireless channel models for high mobility communications and having a profound role in communication-centric (CC) integrated sensing and communications (ISAC), the doubly-dispersive (DD) channel structure has long been heralded as a useful tool enabling the capture of the most important fading effects undergone by an arbitrary time-domain transmit signal propagating through some medium. However, the incorporation of this model into multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) system setups, relying on the recent paradigm-shifting transceiver architecture based on stacked intelligent metasurfaces (SIM), in an environment with reconfigurable intelligent surfaces (RISs) remains an open problem due to the many intricate details that have to be accounted for. In this paper, we fill this gap by introducing a novel DD MIMO channel model that incorporates an arbitrary number of RISs in the ambient, as well as SIMs equipping both the transmitter and receiver. We then discuss how the proposed metasurfaces-parametrized DD (MPDD) channel model can be seamlessly applied to waveforms that are known to perform well in DD environments, namely, orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM), orthogonal time frequency space (OTFS), and affine frequency division multiplexing (AFDM), with each having their own inherent advantages and disadvantages. An illustrative application of the programmable functionality of the proposed model is finally presented to showcase its potential for boosting the performance of the aforementioned waveforms. Our numerical results indicate that the design of waveforms suitable to mitigating the effects of DD channels is significantly impacted by the emerging SIM technology.

**Index Terms**—Doubly-dispersive channel model, MIMO, SIM, RIS, OFDM, OTFS, AFDM, ISAC.

## I. INTRODUCTION

**N**EXT generation wireless communications systems are expected to bring about a plethora of functionalities and support for applications that insofar have not been feasible, such as vehicle-to-everything (V2X) and aerial communications [1], internet of things (IoT) networks [2], and non-terrestrial networks (NTNs) such as low-earth orbit (LEO) satellite networks [3], all of which require robustness against high mobility scenarios [4].

K. R. R. Ranasinghe, I. A. M. Sandoval, H. S. Rou and G. T. F. de Abreu are with the School of Computer Science and Engineering, Constructor University (previously Jacobs University Bremen), Campus Ring 1, 28759 Bremen, Germany (emails: {kranasinghe, imorales, hrou, gabreu}@constructor.university).

G. C. Alexandropoulos is with the Department of Informatics and Telecommunications, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, 15784 Athens, Greece and with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, IL 60601, USA (e-mail: alexandg@di.uoa.gr).

Traditionally, high mobility communication scenarios are known to pose significant challenges [5] due to the time-frequency selectivity present in time-varying multipath conditions, giving rise to the necessity of using doubly-dispersive (DD) channel models [6]–[8], whose properties have been recently leveraged for various purposes [9]–[14].

A notable example of the latter is the exploitation of DD channel models for the intrinsic capturing of radar-like parameters, enabling radar parameter estimation (RPE) over communication waveforms, giving rise to communication-centric (CC) integrated sensing and communications (ISAC)<sup>1</sup> [19]–[24]. A particularly motivating aspect of CC-ISAC is that the combination of RPE methods with techniques commonly used in the processing of communication signals, such as the de-chirping of affine frequency division multiplexing (AFDM) signals [25], bilinear inference [26]–[28] and blind covariance-based detection [29], [30], enables implementation of ISAC in mono-, bi- and multi-static fashions [31].

However, DD channels require careful waveform design, with some main contenders being orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) [22], orthogonal time frequency space (OTFS) [20]–[23] and AFDM [32]–[34]. For example, the widely adopted OFDM waveform, despite having features that are useful for RPE [35], suffers from high inter-carrier interference that reduces robustness to high Doppler shifts present in DD environments, leading to severe performance degradation in high mobility scenarios [36]. In turn OTFS, which is a two-dimensional (2D) modulation scheme that directly embeds information on the delay-Doppler domain, offers an alternative to OFDM but requires a significantly higher implementation complexity than the latter, and was found not to achieve optimal diversity order in DD channels [9]. Finally, AFDM, which has been recently proposed [32]–[34] aiming to address the aforementioned weakness of OTFS, has been shown to achieve optimal diversity order in DD channels but may also face implementation challenges related to the generation of chirp signals at high frequencies [37].

Fortunately, it has been recently shown that, from a mathematical standpoint, the DD channel model for OFDM, OTFS and AFDM – as well as several other related waveforms including orthogonal chirp division multiplexing (OCDM) [38] and orthogonal delay-Doppler division multiplexing (ODDM) [39] – have a similar structure, which enables the design

<sup>1</sup>We distinguish CC ISAC from other coexistence approaches, which either try to communicate over radar waveforms or involve the joint design of both communication and radar subsystems [15]–[18].

of systems for these waveforms in a unified manner [8]. The unified model of [8] is, however, limited to the single-input single-output (SISO) case, while the prominent role of the multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) technology in the physical-layer of current and future wireless networks has been well established, including recent variations such as extremely large MIMO [40], [41] and technologies designed around reconfigurable metasurfaces [42], [43], which are considered for the upcoming sixth generation (6G) systems.

All the above motivates us to consider a DD-MIMO channel model incorporating multi-functional reconfigurable metasurfaces, including both reconfigurable intelligent surface (RIS) [42]–[44] and the recently proposed stacked intelligent metasurfaces (SIM) [45]–[47], to enable the design of advanced 6G systems capable of supporting high mobility. In particular, we introduce a novel metasurfaces-parametrized DD (MPDD) MIMO channel model incorporating SIMs at both the transmitter (TX) and receiver (RX) as well as multiple RISs in the environment.

Taking into account both the conventional hybrid analog and digital beamforming (BF) framework in [48] and the electromagnetic (EM)-compliant BF framework for RISs and SIMs [49], we derive the end-to-end input-output (I/O) relationship for arbitrary time-domain (TD) transmit signals passing through the proposed DD channel model. We also extend the TD relationship to encompass the end-to-end I/O relationships with the OFDM, OTFS, and AFDM waveforms and showcase the differences in their effective channels. Finally, we present an optimization example of the proposed programmable MPDD MIMO channel model. The contributions of this paper are summarized as follows:

- We introduce a novel point-to-point MPDD channel model including TX and RX SIMs as well as RISs, which is suitable for high-mobility scenarios and CC-ISAC.
- Capitalizing on the proposed channel model, novel expressions for the TD received signal as well as the effective channel matrices with OFDM, OTFS, and AFDM waveforms are presented, which facilitate the formulation of various ISAC objectives.
- As an application example, we describe and solve an optimization problem whereby SIMs located at the transmitter and receiver are programmed to enhance receive signal power and therefore boost the detection performance of OFDM, OTFS, and AFDM waveforms in a MPDD-MIMO channel. To that end, besides the closed-form expressions for the gradient of the objective function of such a problem, we also design a purpose-built detector based on the Gaussian Belief Propagation (GaBP) technique. Simulation results demonstrate significant gains due to the optimized SIMs over a conventional DD model without the parametrized metasurfaces, resulting in a robust reduction in the performance gap between the classic OFDM scheme compared to the more modern OTFS and AFDM waveforms.

*Notation:* All scalars are represented by upper or lowercase letters, while column vectors and matrices are denoted by bold lowercase and uppercase letters, respectively. The diagonal

matrix constructed from vector  $\mathbf{a}$  is denoted by  $\text{diag}(\mathbf{a})$ , while  $\mathbf{A}^\top$ ,  $\mathbf{A}^H$ ,  $\mathbf{A}^{1/2}$ , and  $[\mathbf{A}]_{i,j}$  denote the transpose, Hermitian, square root and the  $(i, j)$ -th element of a matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , respectively. The convolution and Kronecker product are respectively denoted by  $*$  and  $\otimes$ , while  $\mathbf{I}_N$  and  $\mathbf{F}_N$  represent the  $N \times N$  identity and the normalized  $N$ -point discrete Fourier transform (DFT) matrices, respectively. The sinc function is expressed as  $\text{sinc}(a) \triangleq \frac{\sin(\pi a)}{\pi a}$ , and  $j \triangleq \sqrt{-1}$  denotes the elementary complex number.

## II. PRELIMINARIES

### A. Antenna Array Response

Let  $\phi \in [0, \pi]$  denote the arbitrary angle-of-arrival (AoA) or angle-of-departure (AoD) of a channel propagation path to (or from) a uniform linear array (ULA) with  $A$  antenna elements. Then, the array response vector  $\mathbf{a}(\phi) \in \mathbb{C}^{A \times 1}$  is defined as

$$\mathbf{a}(\phi) \triangleq \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \left[ 1, e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} d \sin(\phi)}, \dots, e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} (A-1)d \sin(\phi)} \right]^\top, \quad (1)$$

where  $\lambda$  indicates the wavelength and  $d$  is the antenna spacing, which is usually set as  $d = \lambda/2$  [48].

Similarly, for a uniform planar array (UPA) with  $B \triangleq B_x B_z$  elements<sup>2</sup>, the response vector corresponding to a path impinging onto (or outgoing from) the array at the elevation and azimuth angles  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$  and  $\phi \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ , is given by [51]

$$\mathbf{b}(\phi, \theta) \triangleq \frac{1}{\sqrt{B_x B_z}} \mathbf{b}_x(\phi, \theta) \otimes \mathbf{b}_z(\theta) \in \mathbb{C}^{B \times 1}, \quad (2)$$

where the  $x$ - and  $z$ -axis steering vectors  $\mathbf{b}_x(\phi, \theta) \in \mathbb{C}^{B_x \times 1}$  and  $\mathbf{b}_z(\theta) \in \mathbb{C}^{B_z \times 1}$  are respectively defined as

$$\mathbf{b}_x(\phi, \theta) \triangleq \left[ 1, e^{-j\frac{2\pi d_x}{\lambda} \sin(\phi) \sin(\theta)}, \dots, e^{-j\frac{2\pi d_x}{\lambda} (B_x-1) \sin(\phi) \sin(\theta)} \right]^\top, \quad (3a)$$

and

$$\mathbf{b}_z(\theta) \triangleq \left[ 1, e^{-j\frac{2\pi d_z}{\lambda} \cos(\theta)}, \dots, e^{-j\frac{2\pi d_z}{\lambda} (B_z-1) \cos(\theta)} \right]^\top, \quad (3b)$$

with  $d_x$  and  $d_z$  being the element spacing in the UPA's  $x$ - and  $z$ -axis directions, respectively, which are usually set as  $d_x = d_z = \lambda/2$ .

### B. SIM Modeling

Consider a SIM with  $Q$  layers of transmissive metasurfaces placed in parallel at very close distances, where each metasurface consists of  $M \triangleq M_x M_z$  response-tunable meta-atoms<sup>3</sup> with  $M_x$  and  $M_z$  denoting the number of meta-atoms in the  $x$ - and  $z$ -axis on each layer, respectively. We define the following  $M \times M$  matrix including the effective tunable phase shifts of all  $M$  meta-atoms embedded in each  $q$ -th metasurface layer, with  $q \in \mathcal{Q} \triangleq \{1, \dots, Q\}$ , of the SIM as

$$\Psi_q \triangleq \text{diag} \left( \left[ e^{j\zeta_1^q}, \dots, e^{j\zeta_M^q} \right] \right), \quad (4)$$

<sup>2</sup>Without loss of generality, the UPA is aligned parallel to the  $y$  direction with elements occupying space in the  $x$  and  $z$  dimensions. The generalization to arbitrary axes is trivial; some other orientations are discussed in [50].

<sup>3</sup>For simplicity, we consider the homogeneous case. The extension to a heterogeneous variation, with metasurfaces containing different numbers of atoms, is trivial but notationally laborious with no fundamental insight gained.

where  $\zeta_m^q \in [0, 2\pi) \forall q \in \mathcal{Q}$  and  $\forall m \in \mathcal{M} \triangleq \{1, \dots, M\}$  represents the transmissive phase response of the  $m$ -th meta-atom lying on the  $q$ -th metasurface layer.

The transmission matrix between each  $(q-1)$ -th and the  $q$ -th layer of the SIM  $\forall q \in \mathcal{Q} \setminus \{1\}$  is denoted by  $\mathbf{\Gamma}_q \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M}$ . According to the Rayleigh-Sommerfeld diffraction theory, each  $(m, m')$ -th element (with  $m, m' \in \mathcal{M}$ ) of  $\mathbf{\Gamma}_q$  represents the diffraction coefficient between the  $m'$ -th meta-atom on the  $(q-1)$ -th metasurface and the  $m$ -th meta-atom on the  $q$ -th metasurface, and is given by [51]

$$\gamma_{m,m'}^q \triangleq \frac{\rho_t \cos(\epsilon_{m,m'}^q)}{d_{m,m'}^q} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi d_{m,m'}^q} - \frac{j}{\lambda} \right) e^{j2\pi \frac{d_{m,m'}^q}{\lambda}}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\rho_t$  denotes the square measure occupied by each meta-atom in the SIM,  $\epsilon_{m,m'}^q$  is the angle between the propagation and normal direction of the  $(q-1)$ -th metasurface layer, and  $d_{m,m'}^q$  corresponds to the propagation distance.

Assuming that the SIM is placed very close to an  $N_T$ -element TX ULA with adjacent inter-element spacing  $\lambda/2$ , we also define  $\mathbf{\Gamma}_1 \triangleq [\gamma_1^1, \dots, \gamma_{N_T}^1] \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N_T}$ , where  $\gamma_{n_T}^1 \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1}$  (with  $n_T = 1, \dots, N_T$ ) represents the transmission vector from the  $n_T$ -th transmit antenna to the innermost metasurface layer of the SIM, whose  $m$ -th element  $\gamma_{m,n_T}^1$  is obtained by substituting  $\epsilon_{m,m'}^q$  and  $d_{m,m'}^q$  in eq. (5) with  $\epsilon_{m,n_T}^1$  and  $d_{m,n_T}^1$ , respectively. Concatenating the above, the overall  $M \times N_T$  propagation matrix from the TX antenna elements to the meta-atoms of the  $Q$ -th SIM layer can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{\Upsilon}_T(\mathcal{Z}) \triangleq \prod_{q=1}^Q \mathbf{\Psi}_{Q-q+1} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{Q-q+1}, \quad (6)$$

where we have used the definition  $\mathcal{Z} \triangleq \{\mathbf{\Psi}_1, \dots, \mathbf{\Psi}_Q\}$ .

Similarly, a SIM of  $\tilde{Q}$  layers of transmissive metasurfaces, each comprising  $\tilde{M} \triangleq \tilde{M}_x \tilde{M}_z$  response-tunable meta-atoms with  $\tilde{M}_x$  and  $\tilde{M}_z$  being the number of meta-atoms in the  $x$ - and  $z$ -axis on each layer, respectively, placed very close to an  $N_R$ -element RX ULA with adjacent inter-element spacing  $\lambda/2$ , results in the overall  $N_R \times \tilde{M}$  propagation matrix from the SIM to the RX antenna array described by

$$\mathbf{\Upsilon}_R(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}) \triangleq \prod_{\tilde{q}=1}^{\tilde{Q}} \mathbf{\Xi}_{\tilde{q}} \mathbf{\Delta}_{\tilde{q}}, \quad (7)$$

where  $\mathbf{\Xi}_{\tilde{q}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{M} \times \tilde{M}} \forall \tilde{q} \in \{2, \dots, \tilde{Q}\}$  is the transmission matrix between the  $\tilde{q}$ -th and  $(\tilde{q}-1)$ -th layer of the SIM, whose elements are defined similar to eq. (5) as

$$\xi_{\tilde{m},\tilde{m}'}^{\tilde{q}} \triangleq \frac{\rho_r \cos(\tilde{\epsilon}_{\tilde{m},\tilde{m}'}^{\tilde{q}})}{\tilde{d}_{\tilde{m},\tilde{m}'}^{\tilde{q}}} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi \tilde{d}_{\tilde{m},\tilde{m}'}^{\tilde{q}}} - \frac{j}{\lambda} \right) e^{j2\pi \frac{\tilde{d}_{\tilde{m},\tilde{m}'}^{\tilde{q}}}{\lambda}}, \quad (8)$$

where  $\rho_r$  denotes the square measure occupied by each meta-atom in the RX-SIM,  $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\tilde{m},\tilde{m}'}^{\tilde{q}}$  is the angle between the propagation and normal direction of the  $(\tilde{q}-1)$ -th metasurface layer and  $\tilde{d}_{\tilde{m},\tilde{m}'}^{\tilde{q}}$  corresponds to the propagation distance.

Subsequently,  $\mathbf{\Xi}_1 \triangleq [\xi_1^1, \dots, \xi_{N_R}^1]^T \in \mathbb{C}^{N_R \times \tilde{M}}$  with  $\xi_{n_R}^1 \in \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{M} \times 1}$  ( $n_R = 1, \dots, N_R$ ) denoting the transmission vector from the  $n_R$ -th receive antenna to the innermost SIM layer, whose  $\tilde{m}$ -th element  $\xi_{\tilde{m},n_R}^1$  with  $\forall \tilde{m} \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \triangleq \{1, \dots, \tilde{M}\}$

is defined similarly to  $\gamma_{m,n_T}^1$ , but using eq. (8). Finally,  $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\tilde{q}}$ ,  $\forall \tilde{q} \in \tilde{\mathcal{Q}} \triangleq \{1, \dots, \tilde{Q}\}$  defined similar to eq. (4) including the effective tunable phase responses  $\tilde{\zeta}_{\tilde{m}}^{\tilde{q}} \in [0, 2\pi) \forall \tilde{q} \in \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}$  and  $\forall \tilde{m} \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  with  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}} \triangleq \{\mathbf{\Delta}_1, \dots, \mathbf{\Delta}_{\tilde{Q}}\}$  is given by

$$\mathbf{\Delta}_{\tilde{q}} \triangleq \text{diag} \left( \left[ e^{j\tilde{\zeta}_1^{\tilde{q}}}, \dots, e^{j\tilde{\zeta}_{\tilde{M}}^{\tilde{q}}} \right] \right). \quad (9)$$

### III. THE PROPOSED MPDD MIMO CHANNEL MODEL

We consider the point-to-point MIMO system illustrated in Fig. 1 between a transmitter equipped with an  $N_T$ -element ULA and a  $Q$ -layered SIM, and a receiver equipped with a  $\tilde{Q}$ -layered SIM and a ULA-based front-end with  $N_R$  antennas. The TX and RX SIMs are both very close to their respective antenna arrays, as described in Section II-B, and are respectively denoted TX-SIM and RX-SIM. It is depicted in the figure that the MIMO system operates within a smart wireless environment comprising  $K$  RISs each consisting of  $J \triangleq J_x J_z$  response-tunable reflective meta-atoms, where  $J_x$  and  $J_z$  denotes the number of meta-atoms in the  $x$ - and  $z$ -axis, respectively. By denoting the reflective phase response of each  $j$ -th ( $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$ ) meta-atom contained in the  $k$ -th ( $k \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ ) RIS with  $\phi_j^k \in [0, 2\pi)$ , the  $J \times J$  phase configuration matrix  $\mathbf{\Phi}_k$  of each  $k$ -th RIS can be expressed similarly to eqs. (4) and (9) as

$$\mathbf{\Phi}_k \triangleq \text{diag} \left( \left[ e^{j\phi_1^k}, \dots, e^{j\phi_J^k} \right] \right). \quad (10)$$

Then, the complex-valued  $N_R \times N_T$  end-to-end MPDD smart wireless MIMO channel, which is parametrized via the configurations of the TX and RX SIMs (i.e.,  $\mathcal{Z}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}$ , respectively) as well as the RISs (i.e.,  $\mathcal{F} \triangleq \{\mathbf{\Phi}_1, \dots, \mathbf{\Phi}_K\}$ ), can be expressed as<sup>4</sup>

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}, \mathcal{F}, t, \tau) \triangleq \mathbf{\Upsilon}_R(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}) \mathbf{R}_{\text{RX}}^{1/2} \tilde{\mathbf{H}}(\mathcal{F}, t, \tau) \mathbf{R}_{\text{TX}}^{1/2} \mathbf{\Upsilon}_T(\mathcal{Z}), \quad (11)$$

where  $\mathbf{R}_{\text{TX}} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M}$  and  $\mathbf{R}_{\text{RX}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{M} \times \tilde{M}}$  are, respectively, the spatial correlation matrices at the outermost – i.e., respectively the  $Q$ -th and  $\tilde{Q}$ -th – layer of the TX-SIM and RX-SIM, due to the sub-wavelength spacing of adjacent meta-atoms.

Following [51], each  $(m, m')$ -th and  $(\tilde{m}, \tilde{m}')$ -th element of  $\mathbf{R}_{\text{TX}}$  and  $\mathbf{R}_{\text{RX}}$  in equation (11) are respectively defined as  $[\mathbf{R}_{\text{TX}}]_{m,m'} \triangleq \text{sinc}(2d_{m,m'}/\lambda)$  and  $[\mathbf{R}_{\text{RX}}]_{\tilde{m},\tilde{m}'} \triangleq \text{sinc}(2\tilde{d}_{\tilde{m},\tilde{m}'}/\lambda)$ , while the complex-valued  $\tilde{M} \times M$  DD RISs-parametrized channel matrix  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}(\mathcal{F}, t, \tau)$  is given by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}(\mathcal{F}, t, \tau) \triangleq \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_d(t, \tau) + \sum_{k=1}^K \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{RX},k}(t, \tau) \mathbf{\Phi}_k \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{k,\text{TX}}(t, \tau), \quad (12)$$

where  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_d(t, \tau) \in \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{M} \times M}$  represents the direct  $P$ -path of the DD MIMO channel between the  $\tilde{M}$ -element  $\tilde{Q}$ -th layer of the RX-SIM and the  $M$ -element  $Q$ -th layer of the TX-SIM, which is defined as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_d(t, \tau) \triangleq \sqrt{\frac{M\tilde{M}}{P}} \sum_{p=1}^P h_p e^{j2\pi\nu_p t} \delta(\tau - \tau_p) \times \mathbf{b}_R(\phi_p^{\text{in}}, \theta_p^{\text{in}}) \mathbf{b}_T^H(\phi_p^{\text{out}}, \theta_p^{\text{out}}), \quad (13)$$

<sup>4</sup>The proposed MPDD MIMO channel model holds also for cases where any of the SIMs or RISs has a non-local structure [52], [53].




Fig. 1: The considered MPDD MIMO system for high-mobility scenarios, which includes two SIMs, one placed very close to the TX and the other very close to the RX, and  $K$  RISs within the wireless propagation environment of interest.

where  $\mathbf{b}_T(\cdot, \cdot) \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1}$  and  $\mathbf{b}_R(\cdot, \cdot) \in \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{M} \times 1}$  are respectively the UPA response vectors for the TX-SIM and RX-SIM defined in equation (2), with  $(\phi_p^{\text{in}}, \theta_p^{\text{in}})$  and  $(\phi_p^{\text{out}}, \theta_p^{\text{out}})$  being the pairs of azimuth and elevation AoAs and AoDs, respectively, for each  $p$ -th signal propagation path having the complex channel gain  $h_p$ , with  $p = \{1, \dots, P\}$ .

In the above,  $\tau_p \in [0, \tau_{\max}]$  and  $\nu_p \in [-\nu_{\max}, \nu_{\max}]$  denote each  $p$ -th path's delay in seconds and Doppler shift in Hz, respectively. Furthermore, it will prove convenient to define the UPA response matrix associated with a given  $p$ -th path

$$\mathbf{B}_p \triangleq \mathbf{b}_R(\phi_p^{\text{in}}, \theta_p^{\text{in}}) \mathbf{b}_T^H(\phi_p^{\text{out}}, \theta_p^{\text{out}}). \quad (14)$$

Finally, for the sake of clarity we emphasize that the matrices  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{k, \text{TX}}(t, \tau) \in \mathbb{C}^{J \times M}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{RX}, k}(t, \tau) \in \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{M} \times J}$  in equation (12) can be expressed similarly to equation (13) as  $\tilde{P}$ - and  $\tilde{P}$ -path DD MIMO channels, respectively, namely

$$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{k, \text{TX}}(t, \tau) \triangleq \sqrt{\frac{JM}{\tilde{P}}} \sum_{\tilde{p}=1}^{\tilde{P}} h_{\tilde{p}, k} e^{j2\pi\nu_{\tilde{p}, k} t} \delta(\tau - \tau_{\tilde{p}, k}) \mathbf{B}_{\tilde{p}, k}, \quad (15)$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{RX}, k}(t, \tau) \triangleq \sqrt{\frac{JM}{\tilde{P}}} \sum_{\tilde{p}=1}^{\tilde{P}} h_{\tilde{p}, k} e^{j2\pi\nu_{\tilde{p}, k} t} \delta(\tau - \tau_{\tilde{p}, k}) \mathbf{B}_{\tilde{p}, k}. \quad (16)$$

We will henceforth use the notation  $h_{\tilde{p}, k}$  as well as  $(\phi_{\tilde{p}, k}^{\text{in}}, \theta_{\tilde{p}, k}^{\text{in}})$  and  $(\phi_{\tilde{p}, k}^{\text{out}}, \theta_{\tilde{p}, k}^{\text{out}})$  for the complex channel gain and pairs of azimuth and elevation AoAs and AoDs, respectively, for each  $\tilde{p}$ -th signal propagation path between the TX-SIM and each  $k$ -th RIS, with  $\tilde{p} = \{1, \dots, \tilde{P}\}$ . Similarly,  $h_{\tilde{p}, k}$  as well as  $(\phi_{\tilde{p}, k}^{\text{in}}, \theta_{\tilde{p}, k}^{\text{in}})$  and  $(\phi_{\tilde{p}, k}^{\text{out}}, \theta_{\tilde{p}, k}^{\text{out}})$  indicate the complex channel gain and pairs of azimuth and elevation AoAs and AoDs, respectively, for each  $\tilde{p}$ -th path between each  $k$ -th RIS and

the RX-SIM, with  $\tilde{p} = \{1, \dots, \tilde{P}\}$ . The delays and Doppler shifts of the latter paths are denoted by  $\tau_{\tilde{p}, k}$ ,  $\nu_{\tilde{p}, k}$  and  $\tau_{\tilde{p}, k}$ ,  $\nu_{\tilde{p}, k}$ , respectively, having similar bounds to  $\tau_p$  and  $\nu_p$ .

**Remark 1 (Special Cases):** Removing the second term with the  $K$  summations in equation (12) simplifies the full model to the MIMO channel case including a TX and RX SIM with no RISs present. In addition, removing any of the factors  $\mathbf{Y}_R(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}) \mathbf{R}_{\text{RX}}^{1/2}$  or  $\mathbf{R}_{\text{TX}}^{1/2} \mathbf{Y}_T(\mathcal{Z})$  in equation (11), implies a MIMO system lacking a RX-SIM or a TX-SIM, respectively. In the second of the latter two cases,  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}(\mathcal{F}, t, \tau)$  appearing in equations (11) and (12) models signal propagation directly from the elements of the TX ULA (see the ULA response vector in eq. (1)) to the RX-SIM, via the  $K$  RISs. In this case, the size of  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}(\mathcal{F}, t, \tau)$  becomes  $\tilde{M} \times N_T$ . Alternatively, when only the RX-SIM is missing,  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}(\mathcal{F}, t, \tau)$  will be  $N_R \times M$ . Finally, when none of the SIMs are considered,  $\mathbf{H}(\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}, \mathcal{F}, t, \tau) \equiv \tilde{\mathbf{H}}(\mathcal{F}, t, \tau)$  representing the RISs-empowered  $N_R \times N_T$  DD MIMO channel. In the absence of RISs and for a single-antenna TX and RX (i.e.,  $N_T = N_R = 1$ ), the latter channel model reduces to the model described in [8].

#### IV. I/O RELATIONSHIPS FOR OFDM, OTFS, AND AFDM

In this section, we present various expressions for the TD received signal corresponding to waveforms (such as OFDM, OTFS, and AFDM) under the proposed MPDD MIMO channel model, effectively extending the work in [8].

##### A. Arbitrarily Modulated Signals

Suppose that the point-to-point MIMO system of Fig. 1 deploys fully digital beamformers at its  $N_T$ -element TX and  $N_R$ -element RX; the extension to hybrid analog and digital BF is straightforward and is left for future investigation.

$$\mathbf{r}[n] = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left[ \underbrace{\left( \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{\bar{p}=1}^{\bar{P}} \sum_{\hat{p}=1}^{\hat{P}} J \sqrt{\frac{M\bar{M}}{P\bar{P}}} h_{\bar{p},k} h_{\hat{p},k} \mathbf{U}^H \mathbf{Y}_R(\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}) \mathbf{R}_{RX}^{1/2} \mathbf{B}_{\bar{p},k} \mathbf{\Phi}_k \mathbf{B}_{\bar{p},k} \mathbf{R}_{TX}^{1/2} \mathbf{Y}_T(\mathbf{Z}) \mathbf{V} e^{j2\pi \frac{n}{N}} (f_{\bar{p},k} + f_{\hat{p},k}) \delta[\ell - (\ell_{\bar{p},k} + \ell_{\hat{p},k})]} \right)}_{\triangleq \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{k,\bar{p},\hat{p}}^{\text{RIS}}(\mathbf{Z}, \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}, \mathbf{\Phi}_k, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{U}) \in \mathbb{C}^{d_s \times d_s}} + \sum_{p=1}^P \underbrace{\left( \sqrt{\frac{M\bar{M}}{P}} h_p \mathbf{U}^H \mathbf{Y}_R(\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}) \mathbf{R}_{RX}^{1/2} \mathbf{B}_p \mathbf{R}_{TX}^{1/2} \mathbf{Y}_T(\mathbf{Z}) \mathbf{V} e^{j2\pi f_p \frac{n}{N}} \delta[\ell - \ell_p] \right)}_{\triangleq \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_p^d(\mathbf{Z}, \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{U}) \in \mathbb{C}^{d_s \times d_s}} \mathbf{s}[n - \ell] + \mathbf{w}[n] \quad (18)$$

$$\mathbf{r}_v = \sum_{u=1}^{d_s} \left( \underbrace{\sum_{p=1}^P \check{h}_{p,v,u}^d \mathbf{\Theta}_p \mathbf{\Omega}^{f_p} \mathbf{\Pi}^{\ell_p}}_{\triangleq \mathbf{G}_p \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}} + \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{\bar{p}=1}^{\bar{P}} \sum_{\hat{p}=1}^{\hat{P}} \underbrace{\check{h}_{k,\bar{p},\hat{p},v,u}^{\text{RIS}} \mathbf{\Theta}_{k,\bar{p},\hat{p}} \mathbf{\Omega}^{\hat{f}_{k,\bar{p},\hat{p}}} \mathbf{\Pi}^{\hat{\ell}_{k,\bar{p},\hat{p}}}}_{\triangleq \mathbf{G}_{k,\bar{p},\hat{p}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}} \right) \mathbf{s}_u + \mathbf{w}_v \quad (19)$$

$$= \sum_{u=1}^{d_s} \underbrace{\left( \mathbf{\check{H}}_{v,u}^d(\mathbf{Z}, \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{U}) + \mathbf{\check{H}}_{v,u}^{\text{RIS}}(\mathbf{Z}, \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{U}) \right)}_{\triangleq \mathbf{\check{H}}_{v,u}^{\text{tot}}(\mathbf{Z}, \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{U}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}} \mathbf{s}_u + \mathbf{w}_v$$

$$\mathbf{\Theta}_p \triangleq \text{diag} \left( \underbrace{\left[ e^{-j2\pi\phi_{\text{CP}}(\ell_p)}, e^{-j2\pi\phi_{\text{CP}}(\ell_p-1)}, \dots, e^{-j2\pi\phi_{\text{CP}}(2)}, e^{-j2\pi\phi_{\text{CP}}(1)} \right]}_{\ell_p \text{ terms}}, \underbrace{[1, 1, \dots, 1, 1]}_{N - \ell_p \text{ ones}} \right) \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N} \quad (20)$$

$$\mathbf{\Omega} \triangleq \text{diag} \left( [1, e^{-j2\pi/N}, \dots, e^{-j2\pi(N-2)/N}, e^{-j2\pi(N-1)/N}] \right) \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N} \quad (21)$$

Let  $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_T \times d_s}$  and  $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_R \times d_s}$  represent the transmit and receive digital beamformers, respectively, where  $d_s \triangleq \min(N_T, N_R)$  indicates the number of independent data streams to be communicated per coherent channel block. In what follows, the complex-valued  $d_s$ -element vector  $\mathbf{s}(t)$  represents the power-limited transmit signal of any modulation (e.g., OFDM, OTFS, and AFDM) in the TD.

Correspondingly, the  $d_s$ -element baseband received signal at a time instant  $t$  (after the digital combiner) through the MPDD MIMO channel can be mathematically expressed as

$$\mathbf{r}(t) \triangleq \mathbf{U}^H \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{Z}, \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}, \mathbf{F}, t, \tau) * \mathbf{V} \mathbf{s}(t) + \mathbf{w}(t)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{U}^H \mathbf{Y}_R(\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}) \mathbf{R}_{RX}^{1/2} \left[ \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_d(t, \tau) + \sum_{k=1}^K \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{RX,k}(t, \tau) \mathbf{\Phi}_k \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{k,TX}(t, \tau) \right]$$

$$\times \mathbf{R}_{TX}^{1/2} \mathbf{Y}_T(\mathbf{Z}) \mathbf{V} \mathbf{s}(t - \tau) d\tau + \mathbf{w}(t), \quad (17)$$

where  $\mathbf{w}(t) \triangleq \mathbf{U}^H \mathbf{n}(t) \in \mathbb{C}^{d_s \times 1}$  and  $\mathbf{n}(t) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_R \times 1}$  denotes the additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) vector at the RX side with spatially and temporally uncorrelated elements, each with zero mean and variance  $\sigma_n^2$ .

Let  $\mathbf{r}[n] \in \mathbb{C}^{d_s \times 1}$  and  $\mathbf{s}[n] \in \mathbb{C}^{d_s \times 1}$ , with  $n \in \{0, \dots, N - 1\}$ , be the finite sequences obtained after respectively sampling  $\mathbf{r}(t)$  and  $\mathbf{s}(t)$  at a sufficiently high sampling rate  $F_S \triangleq \frac{1}{T_S}$  in Hz within a total bandwidth  $B$ . The discrete-time equivalent of the received signal in equation (17) can be obtained as portrayed (at the top of the page) in equation (18), where  $\ell$  indicates the normalized discrete delay index, while  $f_p \triangleq \frac{N\nu_p}{F_s}$  and  $\ell_p \triangleq \frac{T_p}{T_s}$  are the normalized Doppler shift and the associated normalized discrete delay index of each  $p$ -th path propagation path between the TX-SIM and RX-SIM,

respectively, with the definitions of  $f_{\bar{p},k}$ ,  $f_{\hat{p},k}$ ,  $\ell_{\bar{p},k}$ , and  $\ell_{\hat{p},k}$  are similar for the respective channel paths.

By taking into account a cyclic prefix (CP) of length  $N_{\text{CP}}$  and utilizing the circular convolution, the  $N$ -element discrete-time received signal in equation (18) can be re-expressed [8] as in equation (19), where for notational simplicity we omit (also henceforth) the discrete-time index, which is implied. In the latter equation, the scalars  $\check{h}_{p,v,u}^d$  and  $\check{h}_{k,\bar{p},\hat{p},v,u}^{\text{RIS}}$ , with  $(v, u) = \{1, \dots, d_s\}$ , are respectively the  $(v, u)$ -th elements of the matrices  $\check{\mathbf{H}}_p^d(\mathbf{Z}, \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{U})$  and  $\check{\mathbf{H}}_{k,\bar{p},\hat{p}}^{\text{RIS}}(\mathbf{Z}, \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}, \mathbf{\Phi}_k, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{U})$ , both implicitly defined in equation (18). In addition,  $\mathbf{s}_u \triangleq [s_u[0], \dots, s_u[N - 1]] \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times 1}$  and  $\mathbf{w}_v \triangleq [w_v[0], \dots, w_v[N - 1]] \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times 1}$  are the transmit signal and AWGN vectors for the  $u$ -th and  $v$ -th stream, respectively.

In turn, each diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{\Theta}_p \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$  defined inside equation (20) captures the effect of the CP onto the  $p$ -th channel path, with  $\phi_{\text{CP}}(n)$  being a function of the sample index  $n \in \{0, \dots, N - 1\}$ , representing a phase that depends on the specific waveform used. In addition, the diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{\Omega} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$  defined in equation (21) contains  $N$  complex roots of the unity, while  $\mathbf{\Pi} \in \{0, 1\}^{N \times N}$  is the forward cyclic shift matrix with elements defined as<sup>5</sup>

$$\pi_{i,j} \triangleq \delta_{i,j+1} + \delta_{i,j-(N-1)} \quad \delta_{ij} \triangleq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}. \quad (22)$$

Leveraging the Kronecker product to concatenate all  $d_s$   $\mathbf{r}_v$  vectors in equation (19), the following  $Nd_s$ -element vector for the overall received signal in the TD, considering an arbitrary modulated transmit signal, is obtained as

$$\mathbf{r}_{\text{TD}} = \bar{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{Z}, \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{U}) \mathbf{s}_{\text{TD}} + \bar{\mathbf{w}}_{\text{TD}}, \quad (23)$$

where  $\bar{\mathbf{H}}(\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{U}) \in \mathbb{C}^{Nd_s \times Nd_s}$  explicitly highlights the dependence of the TD transfer function of the considered point-to-point MIMO system on the TX and RX SIMs, the  $K$  RISs of the programmable smart wireless propagation environment, and the digital TX and RX beamformers, and is mathematically defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{H}}(\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{U}) &\triangleq \sum_{p=1}^P (\check{\mathbf{H}}_p^d(\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{U}) \otimes \mathbf{G}_p) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{\bar{p}=1}^{\bar{P}} \sum_{\tilde{p}=1}^{\tilde{P}} (\check{\mathbf{H}}_{k,\bar{p},\tilde{p}}^{\text{RIS}}(\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}, \Phi_k, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{U}) \otimes \mathbf{G}_{k,\bar{p},\tilde{p}}), \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

with the  $Nd_s$ -element vectors  $\mathbf{s}_{\text{TD}}$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{w}}_{\text{TD}}$  resulting from the concatenation of  $\mathbf{s}_u$ 's and  $\bar{\mathbf{w}}_v$ 's in eq. (19), respectively.

For notational simplicity, the matrices  $\check{\mathbf{H}}_p^d$  and  $\check{\mathbf{H}}_{k,\bar{p},\tilde{p}}^{\text{RIS}}$  appearing in equation (24) will hereafter be expressed without explicitly indicating their dependence on the TX/RX BF and SIMs/RISs parameters.

### B. OFDM Signaling

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote an arbitrary complex constellation set of cardinality  $D$  and average energy  $E_S$ , which is associated with a given digital modulation scheme (e.g., quadrature amplitude modulation (QAM)). In OFDM, multiple information vectors  $\mathbf{x}_u \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times 1}$  with  $u = \{1, \dots, d_s\}$ , containing a total of  $Nd_s$  symbols, are modulated into the following transmit signal as

$$\mathbf{s}_u^{(\text{OFDM})} \triangleq \mathbf{F}_N^H \mathbf{x}_u \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times 1}, \quad (25)$$

where  $\mathbf{F}_N$  denotes the  $N$ -point normalized DFT matrix.

After undergoing circular convolution with the DD channel and using a formulation similar to equation (23), the corresponding  $Nd_s$ -element discrete-time received OFDM signal can be written as

$$\mathbf{r}_{\text{OFDM}} \triangleq \bar{\mathbf{H}}(\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{U}) \mathbf{s}_{\text{OFDM}} + \bar{\mathbf{w}}_{\text{TD}}, \quad (26)$$

where the  $Nd_s$ -element vectors are defined as

$$\mathbf{s}_{\text{OFDM}} \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{s}_1^{(\text{OFDM})} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{s}_{d_s}^{(\text{OFDM})} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{r}_{\text{OFDM}} \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_1^{(\text{OFDM})} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{r}_{d_s}^{(\text{OFDM})} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (27)$$

At the RX side, applying OFDM demodulation yields

$$\mathbf{y}_v^{(\text{OFDM})} \triangleq \mathbf{F}_N \mathbf{r}_v^{(\text{OFDM})} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times 1}, \quad (28)$$

yielding the corresponding  $Nd_s$ -element discrete-time signal

$$\mathbf{y}_{\text{OFDM}} = \bar{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{OFDM}}(\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{U}) \mathbf{x} + \bar{\mathbf{w}}_{\text{OFDM}}, \quad (29)$$

where  $\bar{\mathbf{w}}_{\text{OFDM}} \in \mathbb{C}^{Nd_s \times 1}$  is an equivalent AWGN with the same statistics as  $\bar{\mathbf{w}}_{\text{TD}}$ , and  $\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{OFDM}}(\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{U}) \in$

<sup>5</sup>The matrix  $\mathbf{\Pi}$  is defined such that  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Pi}^{\ell_p}$ , with  $\ell_p \in \mathbb{N}$ , is a cyclic left-shifted version of  $\mathbf{A}$ , i.e., the first  $\ell_p$  columns of  $\mathbf{A}$  are moved to the positions of the last  $\ell_p$  columns. It can be also seen that  $\mathbf{\Pi}^0$  is the  $N \times N$  identity matrix, yielding  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Pi}^0 = \mathbf{A}$ .

$\mathbb{C}^{Nd_s \times Nd_s}$  represents the effective OFDM channel defined similar to  $\bar{\mathbf{H}}(\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{U})$  in eq.(23) as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{OFDM}} &\triangleq \sum_{p=1}^P \check{\mathbf{H}}_p^d \otimes \overbrace{(\mathbf{F}_N \mathbf{G}_p \mathbf{F}_N^H)}^{\triangleq \mathbf{G}_p^{\text{OFDM}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}} \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{\bar{p}=1}^{\bar{P}} \sum_{\tilde{p}=1}^{\tilde{P}} \check{\mathbf{H}}_{k,\bar{p},\tilde{p}}^{\text{RIS}} \otimes \overbrace{(\mathbf{F}_N \mathbf{G}_{k,\bar{p},\tilde{p}} \mathbf{F}_N^H)}^{\triangleq \mathbf{G}_{k,\bar{p},\tilde{p}}^{\text{OFDM}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}} \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^P \check{\mathbf{H}}_p^d \otimes \mathbf{G}_p^{\text{OFDM}} + \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{\bar{p}=1}^{\bar{P}} \sum_{\tilde{p}=1}^{\tilde{P}} \check{\mathbf{H}}_{k,\bar{p},\tilde{p}}^{\text{RIS}} \otimes \mathbf{G}_{k,\bar{p},\tilde{p}}^{\text{OFDM}}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Notice that for the OFDM case, the CP phase matrices  $\Theta_p$ 's appearing in eq. (19) reduce to identity matrices [8], i.e.,  $\phi_{\text{CP}}(n) = 0$  in eq. (20), since there is no phase offset.

### C. OTFS Signaling

When OTFS is used, multiple matrices  $\mathbf{X}_u \in \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{K} \times \tilde{K}'}$  with  $u = \{1, \dots, d_s\}$ , containing a total of  $\tilde{K}\tilde{K}'d_s$  symbols taken from an arbitrary complex constellation  $\mathcal{C}$ , are modulated as<sup>6</sup>

$$\mathbf{s}_u^{(\text{OTFS})} \triangleq \text{vec}(\mathbf{S}_u) = (\mathbf{F}_{\tilde{K}'}^H \otimes \mathbf{I}_{\tilde{K}}) \text{vec}(\mathbf{X}_u) \in \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{K}\tilde{K}' \times 1}, \quad (30)$$

where  $\text{vec}(\cdot)$  denotes matrix vectorization via column stacking and  $\mathbf{S}_u$  is a TD symbols' matrix obtained from<sup>7</sup> the inverse discrete Zak transform (IDZT) of  $\mathbf{X}_u$  as [54]

$$\mathbf{S}_u = \mathbf{X}_u \mathbf{F}_{\tilde{K}'}^H \in \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{K} \times \tilde{K}'}. \quad (31)$$

We highlight that the notation in eq. (30) is in line with the strategy described in [55], whereby the OTFS signals are first vectorized and then appended with a CP of length  $N_{\text{CP}}$  in order to eliminate inter-frame interference, in similarity with OFDM. Taking advantage of this similarity, and in order to allow for direct comparisons between the two waveforms, we shall hereafter set  $\tilde{K} \times \tilde{K}' = N$ .

After transmission over the DD channel  $\bar{\mathbf{H}}(\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{U})$  as shown in eq. (23), the  $Nd_s$ -element discrete-time received OTFS signal can be modeled similar to eq. (26) as  $\mathbf{r}_{\text{OTFS}} \triangleq \bar{\mathbf{H}}(\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{U}) \mathbf{s}_{\text{OTFS}} + \bar{\mathbf{w}}_{\text{TD}}$ , where the  $Nd_s$ -element vectors  $\mathbf{s}_{\text{OTFS}}$  and  $\mathbf{r}_{\text{OTFS}}$  are defined for OTFS similar to eq. (27). However, unlike OFDM, the detection of the information symbols  $\mathbf{X}_u$ 's from the  $\mathbf{r}_v^{(\text{OTFS})}$  elements  $\forall v = 1, \dots, d_s$  of  $\mathbf{r}_{\text{OTFS}}$  requires reversing the vectorization and the IDZT operations employed in the construction of the  $d_s$  elements of  $\mathbf{s}_{\text{OTFS}}$ , resulting in a distinct effective channel. In particular, let  $\mathbf{R}_v \triangleq \text{vec}^{-1}(\mathbf{r}_v^{(\text{OTFS})}) \in \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{K} \times \tilde{K}'}$ , with  $\text{vec}^{-1}(\cdot)$  indicating the de-vectorization operation according to which a vector of size  $\tilde{K}\tilde{K}' \times 1$  is reshaped into a matrix of size  $\tilde{K} \times \tilde{K}'$ , and consider the following discrete Zak transform (DZT)<sup>8</sup>

$$\mathbf{Y}_v = \mathbf{R}_v \mathbf{F}_{\tilde{K}'} \in \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{K} \times \tilde{K}'}. \quad (32)$$

<sup>6</sup>For simplicity, we assume that all pulse-shaping operations utilize rectangular waveforms such that the corresponding sample matrices can be reduced to identity matrices.

<sup>7</sup>Equivalently,  $\mathbf{S}_u$  can be obtained as the Heisenberg transform of the inverse symplectic finite Fourier transform (ISFFT) of  $\mathbf{X}_u$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{S}_u = \mathbf{F}_{\tilde{K}'}^H \mathbf{X}_{\text{FT}}^u$  with  $\mathbf{X}_{\text{FT}}^u \triangleq \mathbf{F}_{\tilde{K}} \mathbf{X}_u \mathbf{F}_{\tilde{K}'}^H \in \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{K} \times \tilde{K}'}$ .

<sup>8</sup>Equivalently,  $\mathbf{Y}_v$  can be obtained as the SFFT of the Wigner transform of  $\mathbf{R}_v$ :  $\mathbf{Y}_{\text{FT}}^v \triangleq \mathbf{F}_{\tilde{K}'} \mathbf{R}_v$ , yielding  $\mathbf{Y}_v = \mathbf{F}_{\tilde{K}'}^H \mathbf{Y}_{\text{FT}}^v \mathbf{F}_{\tilde{K}'} \in \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{K} \times \tilde{K}'}$ .

$$\Theta_p \triangleq \text{diag}\left(\underbrace{\left[e^{-j2\pi c_1(N^2-2N\ell_p)}, e^{-j2\pi c_1(N^2-2N(\ell_p-1))}, \dots, e^{-j2\pi c_1(N^2-2N)}\right]}_{\ell_p \text{ terms}}, \underbrace{[1, 1, \dots, 1, 1]}_{N-\ell_p \text{ ones}}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N} \quad (38)$$

The demodulated OTFS signal at the RX then becomes

$$\mathbf{y}_v^{(\text{OTFS})} \triangleq \text{vec}(\mathbf{Y}_v) = (\mathbf{F}_{\tilde{K}'} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{\tilde{K}}) \mathbf{r}_v^{(\text{OTFS})} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times 1}, \quad (33)$$

which can be compactly written, similar to eq. (29), as the following  $Nd_s$ -element discrete-time received signal

$$\mathbf{y}_{\text{OTFS}} = \bar{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{OTFS}}(\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{U}) \mathbf{x} + \bar{\mathbf{w}}_{\text{OTFS}}, \quad (34)$$

where  $\bar{\mathbf{w}}_{\text{OTFS}} \in \mathbb{C}^{Nd_s \times 1}$  is an equivalent AWGN with the same statistics as  $\bar{\mathbf{w}}_{\text{TD}}$ , while  $\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{OTFS}}(\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{U}) \in \mathbb{C}^{Nd_s \times Nd_s}$  represents the effective OTFS channel and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{OTFS}} &\triangleq \sum_{p=1}^P \check{\mathbf{H}}_p^d \otimes \overbrace{\left( (\mathbf{F}_{\tilde{K}'} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{\tilde{K}}) \mathbf{G}_p (\mathbf{F}_{\tilde{K}'}^H \otimes \mathbf{I}_{\tilde{K}}) \right)}^{\triangleq \mathbf{G}_p^{\text{OTFS}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}} \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{\bar{p}=1}^{\bar{P}} \sum_{\tilde{p}=1}^{\tilde{P}} \check{\mathbf{H}}_{k,\bar{p},\tilde{p}}^{\text{RIS}} \otimes \overbrace{\left( (\mathbf{F}_{\tilde{K}'} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{\tilde{K}}) \mathbf{G}_{k,\bar{p},\tilde{p}} (\mathbf{F}_{\tilde{K}'}^H \otimes \mathbf{I}_{\tilde{K}}) \right)}^{\triangleq \mathbf{G}_{k,\bar{p},\tilde{p}}^{\text{OTFS}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}} \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^P \check{\mathbf{H}}_p^d \otimes \mathbf{G}_p^{\text{OTFS}} + \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{\bar{p}=1}^{\bar{P}} \sum_{\tilde{p}=1}^{\tilde{P}} \check{\mathbf{H}}_{k,\bar{p},\tilde{p}}^{\text{RIS}} \otimes \mathbf{G}_{k,\bar{p},\tilde{p}}^{\text{OTFS}}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Notice that similarly to the OFDM case, the CP phase matrices  $\Theta_p$ 's reduce to identity matrices [8]. Comparing the expressions in eq. (30) and eq. (35), one can appreciate how [8]'s channel modeling approach elucidates both the similarity in form as well as the distinction in effect between the OFDM and OTFS waveforms in DD channels.

#### D. AFDM Signaling

The signal for transmission per information vector  $\mathbf{x}_u$  when AFDM waveform is used for the considered DD MIMO channel is given by the inverse discrete affine Fourier transform (IDAFIT) as

$$\mathbf{s}_u^{(\text{AFDM})} \triangleq \mathbf{\Lambda}_1^H \mathbf{F}_N^H \mathbf{\Lambda}_2 \mathbf{x}_u \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times 1}, \quad (36)$$

where the  $N \times N$  matrices  $\mathbf{\Lambda}_i$  with  $i = 1, 2$  are defined as

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_i \triangleq \text{diag}\left([1, e^{-j2\pi c_i 2^2}, \dots, e^{-j2\pi c_i (N-1)^2}]\right), \quad (37)$$

where the first central chirp frequency  $c_1$  is an optimally designed parameter based on the maximum Doppler channel statistics [8], [33], while the second central chirp frequency  $c_2$  is relatively a free parameter that can be exploited for ISAC waveform shaping [56] or information encoding [34], [57].

It was shown in [8] that, after going through a DD channel, an AFDM modulated symbol vector  $\mathbf{s}_u^{(\text{AFDM})}$  with the inclusion of a *chirp-periodic* prefix (CPP) can be modeled similar to eq. (19) by replacing the CP matrix  $\Theta_p$  in eq. (20) with the CPP matrix  $\Theta_p$  given by eq. (38) (top of this page). This implies that function  $\phi_{\text{CP}}(n)$  in eq. (20) needs to be set as  $\phi_{\text{CP}}(n) = c_1(N^2 - 2Nn)$ . To this end, the  $Nd_s$ -element discrete-time received AFDM signal can be modeled similar to eq. (26) as  $\mathbf{r}_{\text{AFDM}} \triangleq \bar{\mathbf{H}}(\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{U}) \mathbf{s}_{\text{AFDM}} + \bar{\mathbf{w}}_{\text{TD}}$ , where the  $Nd_s$ -element vectors  $\mathbf{s}_{\text{AFDM}}$  and  $\mathbf{r}_{\text{AFDM}}$  are defined for

AFDM similar to eq. (27). The AFDM demodulation of each of the  $\mathbf{r}_v^{(\text{AFDM})}$  with  $v \in \{1, \dots, d_s\}$  elements of  $\mathbf{r}_{\text{AFDM}}$  is obtained as

$$\mathbf{y}_v^{(\text{AFDM})} = \mathbf{\Lambda}_2 \mathbf{F}_N \mathbf{\Lambda}_1 \mathbf{r}_v^{(\text{AFDM})} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times 1}, \quad (39)$$

yielding the following expression for the  $Nd_s$ -element discrete-time received signal (similar to eq. (29) and eq. (34))

$$\mathbf{y}_{\text{AFDM}} = \bar{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{AFDM}}(\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{U}) \mathbf{x} + \bar{\mathbf{w}}_{\text{AFDM}}, \quad (40)$$

where  $\bar{\mathbf{w}}_{\text{AFDM}} \in \mathbb{C}^{Nd_s \times 1}$  is an equivalent AWGN holding the same statistics with  $\bar{\mathbf{w}}_{\text{TD}}$ , and  $\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{AFDM}}(\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{U}) \in \mathbb{C}^{Nd_s \times Nd_s}$  indicates the effective AFDM channel given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{AFDM}} &\triangleq \sum_{p=1}^P \check{\mathbf{H}}_p^d \otimes \overbrace{\left( \mathbf{\Lambda}_2 \mathbf{F}_N \mathbf{\Lambda}_1 \mathbf{G}_p \mathbf{\Lambda}_1^H \mathbf{F}_N^H \mathbf{\Lambda}_2^H \right)}^{\mathbf{G}_p^{\text{AFDM}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}} \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{\bar{p}=1}^{\bar{P}} \sum_{\tilde{p}=1}^{\tilde{P}} \check{\mathbf{H}}_{k,\bar{p},\tilde{p}}^{\text{RIS}} \otimes \overbrace{\left( \mathbf{\Lambda}_2 \mathbf{F}_N \mathbf{\Lambda}_1 \mathbf{G}_{k,\bar{p},\tilde{p}} \mathbf{\Lambda}_1^H \mathbf{F}_N^H \mathbf{\Lambda}_2^H \right)}^{\mathbf{G}_{k,\bar{p},\tilde{p}}^{\text{AFDM}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}} \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^P \check{\mathbf{H}}_p^d \otimes \mathbf{G}_p^{\text{AFDM}} + \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{\bar{p}=1}^{\bar{P}} \sum_{\tilde{p}=1}^{\tilde{P}} \check{\mathbf{H}}_{k,\bar{p},\tilde{p}}^{\text{RIS}} \otimes \mathbf{G}_{k,\bar{p},\tilde{p}}^{\text{AFDM}}. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Clearly, eq. (41) has the same structure of both equations (30) and (35), with the same holding for the MIMO input-output relationships described by equations (29), (34) and (40). This implies that signal processing techniques such as channel estimation can be designed to under a unified framework, applying to OFDM, OTFS, AFDM, and similar waveforms.

Finally, for the sake of clarity, we emphasize that a ‘‘conventional’’ DD-MIMO model – *i.e.*, a MIMO extension of the model in [8] without the incorporation of RIS in the ambient and of TX and RX SIMs – can be trivially extracted from the above. For instance, for the OFDM, OTFS, and AFDM waveforms, equations (30), (35), and (41), would yield

$$\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{MIMO}} \triangleq \sqrt{\frac{N_T N_R}{P}} \sum_{p=1}^P (h_p \mathbf{a}_R(\phi_p^{\text{in}}) \mathbf{a}_T^H(\phi_p^{\text{out}})) \otimes \mathbf{G}_p^{\text{MIMO}}, \quad (42)$$

where the previous subscripts OFDM, OTFS, and AFDM, are respectively represented by the generic subscript MIMO.

#### E. Comparison of Effective Channels

As an example of how the aforementioned waveforms are affected by a MPDD MIMO channel, consider a point-to-point system with  $N_T = N_R = 4$  antennas, such that up to  $d_s = 4$  independent data streams can be utilized. Assume that the system operates with a carrier frequency of 28 GHz (*i.e.*, at wavelength  $\lambda = 10.7$  mm) with a bandwidth  $B = 20$  MHz in an environment with identical TX and RX SIMs, both with  $Q = \tilde{Q} = 5$  layers of metasurfaces and  $M = \tilde{M} = 100$  meta-atoms per layer, leading to  $M_x = M_z = \tilde{M}_x = \tilde{M}_z = 10$ . In line with the Rayleigh-Sommerfeld diffraction theory, we assume that the distance between any two adjacent metasurface layers at either the TX or RX is  $5\lambda$ , while the distance between






Fig. 2: Unoptimized  $4 \times 4$  MPDD-MIMO with identical TX and RX SIMs with  $Q = \tilde{Q} = 5$  layers and  $M = \tilde{M} = 100$  meta-atoms per layer, considering OFDM, OTFS, and AFDM with  $N = 256$  symbols per frame and  $P = 3$  channel paths with respective delays  $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3) = (0, 5, 14)$  and integer (figure a) and fractional (figure b) Doppler frequencies. The 3D inlays show the amplitude of the channel taps corresponding to the carriers outlined by the squares of the upper left corners.

two adjacent meta-atoms on any layer is  $\lambda/2$  both along the  $x$ - and  $z$ -axis, such that  $\rho_t = \rho_r = \lambda^2/4$ . We assume that the SIMs are unoptimized and that the environment lacks any RIS presence, thus, we set  $\mathbf{Z} = \tilde{\mathbf{Z}} = \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{I}_{M/\tilde{M}/J}, \forall q, \tilde{q}, k$ .

Since the ULAs are aligned with the meta-atoms on the SIMs, the angle between the propagation and the normal direction of the metasurface layers becomes in this case  $\epsilon_{m, m'}^q = \tilde{\epsilon}_{\tilde{m}, \tilde{m}'}^{\tilde{q}} = 0 \forall q, \tilde{q}, m, \tilde{m}, m', \tilde{m}'$ . Consequently,  $\Psi_q$ 's,  $\Gamma_q$ 's,  $\Delta_q$ 's, and  $\Xi_q$ 's can be generated from equations (4) and (5), leading to the generation of the transfer functions  $\Upsilon_T$  and  $\Upsilon_R$  for the TX and RX SIM, respectively, as given in equations (6) and (7), respectively.

The TX/RX digital beamformers are also unoptimized and set as  $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{I}_{d_s}$ . Finally, the sampling frequency is set to  $F_S = B$  and the number of symbols per frame to  $N = 256$ .

For the MPDD channel, we assume that the path delays  $\tau_p$ 's are uniformly distributed in  $[0, \tau_{\max}]$ , and that the Doppler shifts follow a Jakes spectrum, *i.e.*,  $\nu_p = \nu_{\max} \cos(\theta_p) \forall p$  with each  $\theta_p$  uniformly distributed in  $[-\pi, \pi]$ . In turn, we assume that the 2D and three-dimensional (3D) elevation AoDs/AoAs are uniformly distributed in  $[0, \pi]$ , while the 3D azimuth AoDs/AoAs are in  $[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ . Finally, we consider a case with  $P = 3$  paths, with respective delays  $[\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3] = [0, 5, 14]$ .

Figure 2 illustrates the resulting unoptimized MPDD-MIMO

channels with all considered waveforms. As observed from Fig. 2b, the fractional components of the Doppler shift “spread” the path-wise components, making the effective channel matrix design vital for estimation/detection tasks to avoid overlaps and mixing between paths. Comparing the results shown in Figure 2 with those in [8] it can be confirmed that, as expected, unoptimized SIMs have no effect onto the DD channels undergone by the compared OFDM, OTFS and AFDM waveforms. In what follows we will demonstrate, however, that when optimized, these structures can significantly impact on the detection performance of such systems.

## V. SIM OPTIMIZATION AND DATA DETECTION

A great potential advantage of MIMO systems incorporating SIMs, compared to conventional MIMO systems, is that signal processing functions previously carried out by circuitry, be it in analog or digital fashions, can instead be performed passively at the wave domain [51]. And while this new wave-domain processing capability can be exploited to replace classic digital/analog processing, as suggested *e.g.* in [49], it can also be utilized to augment it. Focusing on the latter case, and to elaborate further, consider for example the trade-off that exists between enhancing the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of received signals and improving communication rate



[58], which from the viewpoint of receiver design<sup>9</sup>, translates to either designing directivity-enhancing receive beamformers (*i.e.*, combiners) or, instead, exploiting the multiple streams of data as extrinsic information for detection [59].

Under the conventional paradigm, a choice (or trade-off) between these competing interests must be made. In contrast, in the case of MIMO-SIM systems, one can seek to reap the best of both worlds, by parameterizing the SIM for SNR gain, while leaving the degrees of freedom afforded by the multiple RX antennas to design robust detectors.

With the latter approach in mind, we offer in this section an illustrative application of the channel model detailed above. In particular, we first formulate an optimization problem that leverages the reconfigurability of the proposed MPDD-MIMO model to increase the intensity of the complex channel coefficients at the EM domain, and subsequently introduce a GaBP-based data detection algorithm that exploits the signals from all RX antennas simultaneously and extrinsically. As a bonus, the flexibility of the model is highlighted by offering both of the aforementioned contributions in a manner that they apply to OFDM, OTFS and AFDM alike.

#### A. SIM-based Signal Enhancement

Referring to equation (18), setting the BF matrices  $\mathbf{U}$  and  $\mathbf{V}$  to identities to put emphasis on the impact of SIMs as per the discussion above, and considering for simplicity a scenario without RIS, we seek to parametrize the matrices  $\mathcal{Z}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}$  to enhance the total receive signal power, which can be achieved by solving the optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}) &= \sum_{p=1}^P \left\| \overbrace{\tilde{h}_p \mathbf{Y}_R(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}) \mathbf{R}_{RX}^{1/2} \mathbf{B}_p \mathbf{R}_{TX}^{1/2} \mathbf{Y}_T(\mathcal{Z})}^{\triangleq \mathbf{o}_p} \right\|_F^2 \\ \text{s.t. } \mathbf{Y}_T(\mathcal{Z}) &\text{ as in eq. (6), } \mathbf{Y}_R(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}) \text{ as in eq. (7),} \\ \mathbf{\Psi}_q \text{ and } \mathbf{\Delta}_{\tilde{q}} &\text{ as in eqs. (4) and (9), respectively,} \\ |\zeta_m^q| \leq \pi \forall (q, m), &\text{ and } |\tilde{\zeta}_{\tilde{m}}^{\tilde{q}}| \leq \pi \forall (\tilde{q}, \tilde{m}). \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Notice that in the above we have used the array response matrix  $\mathbf{B}_p$  corresponding to a  $p$ -th path, defined previously in equation (14), as well as the scalar  $\tilde{h}_p$  defined as

$$\tilde{h}_p \triangleq h_p \sqrt{\frac{MM}{P}}. \quad (44)$$

In view of the non-convex unit modulus constraints in equation (43), we utilize a simple gradient ascent technique to tune the phase shift parameters of the SIMs. In particular, following [61], the gradient ascent algorithm is employed to adjust the phase shifts of the transmit and receive SIMs iteratively, maximizing the objective function in equation (43). The algorithm is divided into two main discrete steps, addressed in detailed below.

1) *Gradient Calculation*: The gradient of the objective function  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}})$  with respect to the phase shift vector of the  $q$ -th layer of the TX-SIM, denoted by  $\zeta_q = [\zeta_1^q, \zeta_2^q, \dots, \zeta_M^q]^T$ , is given by

$$\nabla_{\zeta_q} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}) = \sum_{p=1}^P \sum_{n_t=1}^{N_T} \nabla_{\zeta_q} \|\mathbf{o}_{p,n_t}\|^2, \forall q, \quad (45)$$

where  $\mathbf{o}_{p,n_t} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_R \times 1}$ , with  $n_t = \{1, 2, \dots, N_T\}$  and  $p = \{1, 2, \dots, P\}$ , represents the  $n_t$ -th column of the  $p$ -th  $\mathbf{O}_p$  matrix implicitly defined in the bracket of equation (43).

Similarly, the gradient with respect to the phase shift vector  $\tilde{\zeta}_{\tilde{q}} = [\tilde{\zeta}_1^{\tilde{q}}, \tilde{\zeta}_2^{\tilde{q}}, \dots, \tilde{\zeta}_M^{\tilde{q}}]^T$  of the  $\tilde{q}$ -th layer of the RX-SIM is given by

$$\nabla_{\tilde{\zeta}_{\tilde{q}}} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}) = \sum_{p=1}^P \sum_{n_t=1}^{N_T} \nabla_{\tilde{\zeta}_{\tilde{q}}} \|\mathbf{o}_{p,n_t}\|^2, \forall \tilde{q}. \quad (46)$$

Leveraging the chain rule, the per-shift partial derivatives of  $\|\mathbf{o}_{p,n_t}\|^2$  with respect to  $\zeta_m^q$  are given as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \|\mathbf{o}_{p,n_t}\|^2}{\partial \zeta_m^q} &= 2\Re \left\{ \frac{\partial \mathbf{o}_{p,n_t}^H}{\partial \zeta_m^q} \mathbf{o}_{p,n_t} \right\} \\ &= 2\Re \left\{ \frac{\partial (\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{t;q,p,n_t} \text{vec}(\mathbf{\Psi}_q))^H}{\partial \zeta_m^q} \mathbf{o}_{p,n_t} \right\} \\ &= 2\Re \left\{ -j e^{-j\zeta_m^q} \mathbf{i}_m^T \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{t;q,p,n_t}^H \mathbf{o}_{p,n_t} \right\} \\ &= 2\Im \left\{ e^{-j\zeta_m^q} \mathbf{i}_m^T \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{t;q,p,n_t}^H \mathbf{o}_{p,n_t} \right\}, \forall m, q, \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

where  $\mathbf{i}_m$  stands for the  $m$ -th column of  $\mathbf{I}_M$  and the second equality holds due to  $\mathbf{o}_{p,n_t} = \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{t;q,p,n_t} \text{vec}(\mathbf{\Psi}_q)$ , with  $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{t;q,p,n_t} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_R \times M}$  denoting the equivalent channel matrix of the  $p$ -th path associated to the  $q$ -th layer of the TX-SIM and the  $n_t$ -th transmit antenna, which is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{t;q,p,n_t} &\triangleq \tilde{h}_p \mathbf{Y}_R(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}) \mathbf{R}_{RX}^{1/2} \mathbf{B}_p \mathbf{R}_{TX}^{1/2} \prod_{q'=1}^{q+1} \mathbf{\Psi}_{Q-q'+1} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{Q-q'+1} \\ &\times \text{diag}(\mathbf{s}_{q,n_t}), \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

where  $\mathbf{s}_{q,n_t} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1}$  is the signal component activating the  $q$ -th layer of the TX-SIM associated to the  $n_t$ -th transmit antenna, which is defined as the  $n_t$ -th column of

$$\mathbf{S}_q = \mathbf{\Gamma}_q \prod_{q'=Q-q+2}^Q \mathbf{\Psi}_{Q-q'+1} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{Q-q'+1}. \quad (49)$$

Finally, the  $M$  partial derivatives of each  $p$ -th path can be gathered into a vector, yielding the following final expression for the gradient of the TX-SIM

$$\nabla_{\zeta_q} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}) = 2\Im \left\{ \sum_{p=1}^P \sum_{n_t=1}^{N_T} \mathbf{\Psi}_q^H \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{t;q,p,n_t}^H \mathbf{o}_{p,n_t} \right\}. \quad (50)$$

Similarly, considering the definition of the gradient for the RX-SIM in equation (46) and expressing the per-shift partial derivatives with respect to  $\tilde{\zeta}_{\tilde{m}}^{\tilde{q}}$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \|\mathbf{o}_{p,n_t}\|^2}{\partial \tilde{\zeta}_{\tilde{m}}^{\tilde{q}}} &= 2\Re \left\{ \frac{\partial \mathbf{o}_{p,n_t}^H}{\partial \tilde{\zeta}_{\tilde{m}}^{\tilde{q}}} \mathbf{o}_{p,n_t} \right\} \\ &= 2\Re \left\{ \frac{\partial (\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{r;\tilde{q},p,n_t} \text{vec}(\tilde{\mathbf{\Delta}}_{\tilde{q}}))^H}{\partial \tilde{\zeta}_{\tilde{m}}^{\tilde{q}}} \mathbf{o}_{p,n_t} \right\} \\ &= 2\Re \left\{ -j e^{-j\tilde{\zeta}_{\tilde{m}}^{\tilde{q}}} \mathbf{i}_{\tilde{m}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{r;\tilde{q},p,n_t}^H \mathbf{o}_{p,n_t} \right\} \\ &= 2\Im \left\{ e^{-j\tilde{\zeta}_{\tilde{m}}^{\tilde{q}}} \mathbf{i}_{\tilde{m}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{r;\tilde{q},p,n_t}^H \mathbf{o}_{p,n_t} \right\}, \forall \tilde{m}, \tilde{q}, \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

<sup>9</sup>It is well known that RX BF does not contribute to rate achievement in MIMO systems [60].

where  $\mathbf{i}_{\tilde{m}}$  stands for the  $\tilde{m}$ -th column of  $\mathbf{I}_{\tilde{M}}$  and the second equality holds due to  $\mathbf{o}_{p,n_t} = \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{r:\tilde{q},p,n_t} \text{vec}(\Delta_{\tilde{q}})$  with  $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{r:\tilde{q},p,n_t} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_R \times \tilde{M}}$  denoting the equivalent channel matrix of the  $p$ -th path associated to the  $\tilde{q}$ -th layer of the RX-SIM and the  $n_t$ -th transmit antenna, which is defined as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{r:\tilde{q},p,n_t} \triangleq \tilde{h}_p \Xi_1 \left( \prod_{\tilde{q}'=1}^{\tilde{q}-1} \Delta_{\tilde{q}'} \Xi_{\tilde{q}'+1} \right) \text{diag}(\tilde{s}_{\tilde{q},n_t}), \quad (52)$$

where  $\tilde{s}_{\tilde{q},n_t} \in \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{M} \times 1}$  is the signal component activating the  $\tilde{q}$ -th layer of the RX-SIM associated to the  $n_t$ -th transmit antenna, which is defined as the  $n_t$ -th column of the matrix

$$\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_{\tilde{q}} = \left( \prod_{\tilde{q}'=\tilde{q}+1}^{\tilde{Q}} \Xi_{\tilde{q}'} \Delta_{\tilde{q}'} \right) \mathbf{R}_{\text{RX}}^{1/2} \mathbf{B}_p \mathbf{R}_{\text{TX}}^{1/2} \mathbf{Y}_{\text{T}}(\mathcal{Z}). \quad (53)$$

Finally, the  $\tilde{M}$  partial derivatives of each  $p$ -th path can be gathered, giving the final calculation for the gradient of the TX-SIM as

$$\nabla_{\tilde{\zeta}_{\tilde{q}}} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}) = 2\Re \left\{ \sum_{p=1}^P \sum_{n_t=1}^{N_T} \Delta_{\tilde{q}}^H \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{r:\tilde{q},p,n_t}^H \mathbf{o}_{p,n_t} \right\}. \quad (54)$$

2) *Parameter Update*: With the aforementioned closed-form expressions for the gradient of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}})$  with respect to the TX- and RX-SIMs phase parameters respectively given by equations (50) and (54), the update required to iteratively adjust the phases  $\zeta_q$  and  $\tilde{\zeta}_{\tilde{q}}$  to optimize total receive power, as described in equation (43), can be efficiently computed by

$$\zeta_q^{(i+1)} = \zeta_q^{(i)} + \lambda^{(i)} \rho^{(i)} \nabla_{\zeta_q} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}), \quad (55a)$$

$$\tilde{\zeta}_{\tilde{q}}^{(i+1)} = \tilde{\zeta}_{\tilde{q}}^{(i)} + \lambda^{(i)} \tilde{\rho}^{(i)} \nabla_{\tilde{\zeta}_{\tilde{q}}} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}), \quad (55b)$$

where  $\lambda^{(i)} \in (0, 1)$  is the decaying learning rate parameter to ensure convergence and  $\rho^{(i)}, \tilde{\rho}^{(i)}$  are normalization parameters calculated at each step as

$$\rho^{(i)} = \pi / \max_{q \in Q, m \in M} \nabla_{\zeta_q} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}), \quad (56a)$$

$$\tilde{\rho}^{(i)} = \pi / \max_{\tilde{q} \in \tilde{Q}, \tilde{m} \in \tilde{M}} \nabla_{\tilde{\zeta}_{\tilde{q}}} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}). \quad (56b)$$

## B. GaBP-based Data Detection

In possession of the SIM optimization method detailed above, and given the input-output relationships given in Section IV for various exemplary ISAC-enabling waveforms, we finally seek to illustrate the impact of integrating SIMs onto the design of communication systems under the MPDD-MIMO channel model described in Section III, by comparing the corresponding performances of OFDM, OTFS and AFDM, with and without SIMs.

Before we proceed, we recall that it has been widely demonstrated [20], [24] that AFDM and OTFS can significantly outperform OFDM under DD conditions. It shall be demonstrated, however, that SIMs can significantly lower the performance gap between these waveforms which in turn, given the potential of the technology to reduce hardware complexity compared to traditional digital signal processing techniques, suggests that the design of waveforms to combat DD distortion is can be significantly impacted by the emergence of SIMs.

From a received design viewpoint, we aim to estimate the transmit signal  $\mathbf{x}$ , under the assumption that the effective channel matrix  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}$  is known, such that in order to derive a GaBP-based detector for arbitrary waveforms, we first consider the generic I/O relationship

$$\mathbf{y} = \tilde{\mathbf{H}}\mathbf{x} + \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \quad (57)$$

where, for conciseness, the waveform specific subscripts are dropped from the notation of the effective channel matrix  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}$ , which for OFDM, OTFS, and AFDM are respectively given by (30), (35) and (41).

Setting  $\tilde{N} = \tilde{M} \triangleq Nd_s \times Nd_s$  with  $\tilde{n} \triangleq \{1, \dots, \tilde{N}\}$  and  $\tilde{m} \triangleq \{1, \dots, \tilde{M}\}$ , the element-wise relationship corresponding to equation (57) is given by

$$y_{\tilde{n}} = \sum_{\tilde{m}=1}^{\tilde{M}} \tilde{h}_{\tilde{n},\tilde{m}} x_{\tilde{m}} + \tilde{w}_{\tilde{n}}, \quad (58)$$

such that the soft replica of the  $\tilde{m}$ -th communication symbol associated with the  $\tilde{n}$ -th receive signal  $y_{\tilde{n}}$ , computed at the  $i$ -th iteration of a message-passing algorithm can be denoted by  $\hat{x}_{\tilde{n},\tilde{m}}^{(i)}$ , with the corresponding mean-squared-error (MSE) of these estimates computed for the  $i$ -th iteration given by

$$\hat{\sigma}_{x:\tilde{n},\tilde{m}}^{2(i)} \triangleq \mathbb{E}_x [ |x - \hat{x}_{\tilde{n},\tilde{m}}^{(i-1)}|^2 ] = E_S - |\hat{x}_{\tilde{n},\tilde{m}}^{(i-1)}|^2, \forall (\tilde{n}, \tilde{m}), \quad (59)$$

where  $\mathbb{E}_x$  refers to expectation over all the possible symbols in the constellation  $\mathcal{C}$ .

The GaBP receiver for such a setup consists of three major stages described below.

1) *Soft Interference Cancellation*: The objective of the soft interference cancellation (soft IC) stage at a given  $i$ -th iteration of the algorithm is to utilize the soft replicas  $\hat{x}_{\tilde{n},\tilde{m}}^{(i-1)}$  from a previous iteration in order to calculate the data-centric soft IC signals  $\tilde{y}_{x:\tilde{n},\tilde{m}}^{(i)}$ . Exploiting equation (58), such the soft IC signals are given by

$$\tilde{y}_{x:\tilde{n},\tilde{m}}^{(i)} = y_{\tilde{n}} - \sum_{e \neq \tilde{m}} h_{\tilde{n},e} \hat{x}_{\tilde{n},e}^{(i)}, \quad (60)$$

$$= h_{\tilde{n},\tilde{m}} x_{\tilde{m}} + \underbrace{\sum_{e \neq \tilde{m}} h_{\tilde{n},e} (x_e - \hat{x}_{\tilde{n},e}^{(i)}) + \tilde{w}_{\tilde{n}}}_{\text{interference + noise term}}, \quad (61)$$

Leveraging the scalar Gaussian approximation (SGA), the interference and noise terms in the latter equation can be approximated as Gaussian noise, such that the conditional probability density functions (PDFs) of the soft IC signals become

$$p_{\tilde{y}_{x:\tilde{n},\tilde{m}}^{(i)} | x_{\tilde{m}}}(\tilde{y}_{x:\tilde{n},\tilde{m}}^{(i)} | x_{\tilde{m}}) \propto \exp \left[ - \frac{|\tilde{y}_{x:\tilde{n},\tilde{m}}^{(i)} - h_{\tilde{n},\tilde{m}} x_{\tilde{m}}|^2}{\hat{\sigma}_{x:\tilde{n},\tilde{m}}^{2(i)}} \right], \quad (62)$$

with their conditional variances expressed as

$$\hat{\sigma}_{x:\tilde{n},\tilde{m}}^{2(i)} = \sum_{e \neq \tilde{m}} |h_{\tilde{n},e}|^2 \hat{\sigma}_{x:\tilde{n},e}^{2(i)} + \sigma_w^2. \quad (63)$$

2) *Belief Generation*: In the belief generation stage of the algorithm the SGA is exploited under the assumptions that  $\tilde{N}$  is a sufficiently large number, and that the individual estimation errors in  $\hat{x}_{\tilde{n},\tilde{m}}^{(i-1)}$  are independent, in order to generate initial estimates (aka beliefs) for all the data symbols.

---

**Algorithm 1** SIM Optimization and Data Detection
 

---

**Input:** receive signal vector  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^{\bar{N} \times 1}$ , complex channel matrix  $\bar{\mathbf{H}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\bar{N} \times \bar{M}}$ , number of GaBP iterations  $i_{\max}$ , number of gradient descent iterations  $i_{\text{GD}}$ , data constellation power  $E_S$ , noise variance  $\sigma_w^2$  and damping factor  $\beta_x$ .

**Output:**  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$

---

**Initialization**

- Set iteration counter to  $i = 0$  and amplitudes  $c_x = \sqrt{E_S/2}$ .
  - Set initial data estimates to  $\hat{x}_{\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{(0)} = 0$  and corresponding variances to  $\hat{\sigma}_{x:\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{2(0)} = E_S, \forall \bar{n}, \bar{m}$ .
- 

**Steepest Ascent-based SIM Optimization**

**for**  $i = 1$  to  $i_{\text{GD}}$  **do:**  $\forall q, \tilde{q}, m, \tilde{m}$

- 1: Compute the gradients from equations (50) and (54).
- 2: Update normalization parameters from equation (56).
- 3: Update the phase parameters via equation (55).

**end for**

**GaBP-based Data Detection**

**for**  $i = 1$  to  $i_{\max}$  **do:**  $\forall \bar{n}, \bar{m}$

- 4: Compute soft IC data signal  $\tilde{y}_{x:\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{(i)}$  and its corresponding variance  $\tilde{\sigma}_{x:\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{2(i)}$  from equations (60) and (63).
- 5: Compute extrinsic data signal belief  $\tilde{x}_{\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{(i)}$  and its corresponding variance  $\tilde{\sigma}_{x:\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{2(i)}$  from equations (65) and (66).
- 6: Compute denoised and damped data signal estimate  $\hat{x}_{\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{(i)}$  from equations (67) and (68).
- 7: Compute denoised and damped data signal variance  $\hat{\sigma}_{x:\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{2(i)}$  from equations (59) and (69).

**end for**

- 8: Calculate  $\hat{x}_{\bar{m}}, \forall \bar{m}$  (equivalently  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ ) using equation (70).
- 

As a consequence of the SGA and with the conditional PDFs of equation (62), the following extrinsic PDFs

$$\prod_{e \neq \bar{n}} p_{\tilde{y}_{x:e,\bar{m}}^{(i)} | x_{\bar{m}}}(\tilde{y}_{x:e,\bar{m}}^{(i)} | x_{\bar{m}}) \propto \exp \left[ - \frac{(x_{\bar{m}} - \tilde{x}_{\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{(i)})^2}{\tilde{\sigma}_{x:\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{2(i)}} \right], \quad (64)$$

are obtained, where the corresponding extrinsic means and variances are respectively defined as

$$\tilde{x}_{\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{(i)} = \tilde{\sigma}_{x:\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{(i)} \sum_{e \neq \bar{n}} \frac{h_{e,\bar{m}}^* \tilde{y}_{x:e,\bar{m}}^{(i)}}{\tilde{\sigma}_{x:e,\bar{m}}^{2(i)}}, \quad (65)$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{x:\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{2(i)} = \left( \sum_{e \neq \bar{n}} \frac{|h_{e,\bar{m}}|^2}{\tilde{\sigma}_{x:e,\bar{m}}^{2(i)}} \right)^{-1}. \quad (66)$$

with  $h_{e,\bar{m}}^*$  denoting the complex conjugate of  $h_{e,\bar{m}}$ .

3) *Soft Replica Generation:* Finally, the soft replica generation stage consists of denoising the previously computed beliefs under a Bayes-optimal rule, in order to obtain the final estimates for the desired variables. For quadrature phase-shift keying (QPSK) modulation<sup>10</sup>, the Bayes-optimal denoiser is given by

$$\hat{x}_{\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{(i)} = c_x \left( \tanh \left[ 2c_d \frac{\Re\{\tilde{x}_{\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{(i)}\}}{\tilde{\sigma}_{x:\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{2(i)}} \right] + j \tanh \left[ 2c_d \frac{\Im\{\tilde{x}_{\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{(i)}\}}{\tilde{\sigma}_{x:\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{2(i)}} \right] \right), \quad (67)$$

<sup>10</sup>We consider QPSK for simplicity, but without loss of generality (wlg), since denoisers for other modulation schemes can also be designed [62].

where  $c_x \triangleq \sqrt{E_S/2}$  denotes the magnitude of the real and imaginary parts of the explicitly chosen QPSK symbols, with its corresponding variance updated as in equation (59).

After obtaining  $\hat{x}_{\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{(i)}$  as per equation (67), the final outputs are computed by damping the results to prevent convergence to local minima due to incorrect hard-decision replicas [63]. Letting the damping factor be  $0 < \beta_x < 1$  yields

$$\hat{x}_{\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{(i)} = \beta_x \hat{x}_{\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{(i)} + (1 - \beta_x) \hat{x}_{\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{(i-1)}, \quad (68)$$

Similarly, the variances  $\hat{\sigma}_{x:\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{2(i)}$  are first updated via equation (59) and then damped via

$$\hat{\sigma}_{x:\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{2(i)} = \beta_x \hat{\sigma}_{x:\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{2(i)} + (1 - \beta_x) \hat{\sigma}_{x:\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{2(i-1)}, \quad (69)$$

Finally, as a result of the conflicting dimensions, the consensus update of the estimates can be obtained as

$$\hat{x}_{\bar{m}} = \left( \sum_{\bar{n}=1}^{\bar{N}} \frac{|h_{\bar{n},\bar{m}}|^2}{\tilde{\sigma}_{x:\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{2(i_{\max})}} \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_{\bar{n}=1}^{\bar{N}} \frac{h_{\bar{n},\bar{m}}^* \tilde{y}_{x:\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{(i_{\max})}}{\tilde{\sigma}_{x:\bar{n},\bar{m}}^{2(i_{\max})}} \right). \quad (70)$$

The complete pseudocode for the SIM parameterization and detection procedure here proposed is summarized above in Algorithm 1.

### C. Performance Analysis

Finally, we utilize the aforementioned methods to compare via simulations the bit error rate (BER) performances of OFDM, OTFS and AFDM systems with QPSK modulation in a SIM-enabled DD channel. For the sake of simplicity, we consider uplink single-input multiple-output (SIMO) and SISO scenarios<sup>11</sup> where both the TX and RX are equipped with SIMs, and there are no RISs in the environment. All the other parameters are as in section IV-E with the exception that the number of paths here is set to a more realistic  $P = 5$  and that the delay and Doppler shifts are randomly generated.

In order to make sure that no power advantage other than the effect of the parametrized SIMs is provided, we normalize the complete channel such that  $\|\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{OFDM}}\|_F^2 = \|\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{OTFS}}\|_F^2 = \|\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{AFDM}}\|_F^2 = \|\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{MIMO}}\|_F^2$  for all the cases in the comparison.

The results are shown in Figure 3, from which it can be seen that the SIM-based systems significantly outperforms those without SIMs. It can be observed that other than the obvious reduction of BER resulting from the utilization of more receive antennas, the systems employing SIMs exhibit very similar behaviors, which indicates that the technology indeed has the potential to substantially lower the gap between more sophisticated (multi-antenna) and less sophisticated (single-antenna) systems. In fact, it can also be observed that having SIMs significantly boosts the performance of conventional OFDM compared to its typical performance in a DD channel, bringing it close to that of far more sophisticated schemes such as OTFS and AFDM.

<sup>11</sup>Notice that the generalization of to a full MIMO case would require the design of optimum TX-BF and a non-trivial belief propagation algorithm to deal with the resulting spatial correlation, which will be pursued in a follow-up work.



(a) SIMO:  $N_R = 4$ .(b) SISO:  $N_R = 1$ .

Fig. 3: BER Performance of OFDM, OTFS and AFDM waveforms with QPSK modulation in MPDD channels with high-mobility, with SIMs placed at very close distances to both the TX and the RX.

## VI. CONCLUSION

We introduced a novel metasurfaces-parametrized DD MIMO channel model that incorporates an arbitrary number of RISs in the ambient, as well as SIMs equipping both the transmitter and receiver, which can be applied to various ISAC-enabling waveforms. Offering explicit I/O relationships for OFDM, OTFS, and AFDM in particular, we then augmented this discussion by showing how the proposed MPDD channel model can be seamlessly applied to optimize SIMs in order to improve the performance of these waveforms in DD environments. The results indicate that SIMs positively and significantly impact the performances of such systems, having the potential to reduce the gap between recent and more

sophisticated waveform design approaches, such as OTFS and AFDM, and the classic OFDM. A further study on the impact of SIMs onto the sensing performance of ISAC systems, and a full data detection algorithm capable of handling the correlations in the effective MIMO channel will be carried out in a follow-up work.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Chen, J. Hu, Y. Shi, Y. Peng, J. Fang, R. Zhao, and L. Zhao, "Vehicle-to-Everything (V2X) Services Supported by LTE-Based Systems and 5G," *IEEE Commun. Stand. Mag.*, vol. 1, no. 2, 2017.
- [2] D. C. Nguyen, M. Ding, P. N. Pathirana, A. Seneviratne, J. Li, D. Niyato, O. Dobre, and H. V. Poor, "6G Internet of Things: A Comprehensive Survey," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 9, no. 1, 2022.
- [3] J. Shi, Z. Li, J. Hu, Z. Tie, S. Li, W. Liang, and Z. Ding, "OTFS Enabled LEO Satellite Communications: A Promising Solution to Severe Doppler Effects," *IEEE Network*, vol. 38, no. 1, 2024.
- [4] J. Li, Y. Niu, H. Wu, B. Ai, S. Chen, Z. Feng, Z. Zhong, and N. Wang, "Mobility Support for Millimeter Wave Communications: Opportunities and Challenges," *IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts.*, vol. 24, no. 3, 2022.
- [5] T. Wang, J. Proakis, E. Masry, and J. Zeidler, "Performance Degradation of OFDM Systems due to Doppler Spreading," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 5, no. 6, 2006.
- [6] K. Liu, T. Kadous, and A. Sayeed, "Orthogonal Time-Frequency Signaling over Doubly Dispersive Channels," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 50, no. 11, 2004.
- [7] D. W. Bliss and S. Govindasamy, *Dispersive and Doubly Dispersive Channels*, Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [8] H. S. Rou, G. T. F. de Abreu, J. Choi, D. González G., M. Kountouris, Y. L. Guan, and O. Gonsa, "From Orthogonal Time-Frequency Space to Affine Frequency-Division Multiplexing: A Comparative Study of Next-Generation Waveforms for Integrated Sensing and Communications in Doubly Dispersive Channels," *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, vol. 41, no. 5, 2024.
- [9] G. D. Surabhi, R. M. Augustine, and A. Chockalingam, "On the Diversity of Uncoded OTFS Modulation in Doubly-Dispersive Channels," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 18, no. 6, 2019.
- [10] R. Bomfin, M. Chafii, A. Nimr, and G. Fettweis, "A Robust Baseband Transceiver Design for Doubly-Dispersive Channels," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 20, no. 8, 2021.
- [11] A. Pfadler, T. Szollmann, P. Jung, and S. Stańczak, "Estimation of Doubly-Dispersive Channels in Linearly Precoded Multicarrier Systems using Smoothness Regularization," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 23, no. 2, 2024.
- [12] Y. Liang, P. Fan, Q. Wang, and X. He, "Two-Dimensional Delay-Doppler Pilots and Channel Estimation for Multi-Antenna OTFS in Doubly Dispersive Channels," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 23, no. 7, 2024.
- [13] H. Haif, S. E. Zegrar, and H. Arslan, "Novel OCDM Transceiver Design for Doubly-Dispersive Channels," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 73, no. 8, 2024.
- [14] H. Zhang, S. Chen, W. Meng, J. Yuan, and C. Li, "Multiuser Association and Localization over Doubly Dispersive Multipath Channels for Integrated Sensing and Communications," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 42, no. 10, 2024.
- [15] F. Liu, Y. Cui, C. Masouros, J. Xu, T. X. Han, Y. C. Eldar, and S. Buzzi, "Integrated Sensing and Communications: Toward Dual-Functional Wireless Networks for 6G and Beyond," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 40, no. 6, 2022.
- [16] S. P. Chepuri, N. Shlezinger, F. Liu, G. C. Alexandropoulos, S. Buzzi, and Y. C. Eldar, "Integrated Sensing and Communications with Reconfigurable Intelligent Surfaces: From Signal Modeling to Processing," *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, vol. 40, no. 6, Sep. 2023.
- [17] N. González-Prelcic, M. F. Keskin, O. Kaltiokallio, M. Valkama, D. Dardari, X. Shen, Y. Shen, M. Bayraktar, and H. Wymeersch, "The Integrated Sensing and Communication Revolution for 6G: Vision, Techniques, and Applications," *Proc. IEEE*, early access, 2024.
- [18] B. Smida, G. C. Alexandropoulos, T. Riihonen, and M. A. Islam, "In-Band Full-Duplex MIMO Systems for Simultaneous Communications and Sensing: Challenges, Methods, and Future Perspectives," *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, vol. 41, no. 5, Sep. 2024.
- [19] H. S. Rou, G. T. F. de Abreu, D. González G., and O. Gonsa, "Integrated Sensing and Communications for 3D Object Imaging via Bilinear Inference," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 23, no. 8, 2024.

- [20] L. Gaudio, M. Kobayashi, G. Caire, and G. Colavolpe, "On the Effectiveness of OTFS for Joint Radar Parameter Estimation and Communication," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 19, no. 9, 2020.
- [21] S. K. Mohammed, R. Hadani, A. Chockalingam, and R. Calderbank, "OTFS—A Mathematical Foundation for Communication and Radar Sensing in the Delay-Doppler Domain," *IEEE Inf. Theory Mag.*, vol. 2, no. 2, 2022.
- [22] A. Gupta, M. Jafri, S. Srivastava, A. K. Jagannatham, and L. Hanzo, "An Affine Precoded Superimposed Pilot based mmWave MIMO-OFDM ISAC System," *IEEE Open J. Commun. Soc.*, vol. 5, 2024.
- [23] K. R. R. Ranasinghe, H. S. Rou, and G. T. F. de Abreu, "Fast and Efficient Sequential Radar Parameter Estimation in MIMO-OTFS Systems," in *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, Seoul, South Korea, 2024.
- [24] K. R. R. Ranasinghe, H. S. Rou, G. T. F. De Abreu, T. Takahashi, and K. Ito, "Joint Channel, Data and Radar Parameter Estimation for AFDM Systems in Doubly-Dispersive Channels," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, early access, 2024.
- [25] A. Bemani, N. Ksairi, and M. Kountouris, "Integrated Sensing and Communications with Affine Frequency Division Multiplexing," *IEEE Wireless Commun. Lett.*, vol. 13, no. 5, May 2024, 2024.
- [26] J. T. Parker, P. Schniter, and V. Cevher, "Bilinear Generalized Approximate Message Passing—Part I: Derivation," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 62, no. 22, 2014.
- [27] H. Iimori, T. Takahashi, K. Ishibashi, G. T. F. de Abreu, and W. Yu, "Grant-Free Access via Bilinear Inference for Cell-Free MIMO with Low-Coherence Pilots," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 20, no. 11, 2021.
- [28] T. Takahashi, H. Iimori, K. Ando, K. Ishibashi, S. Ibi, and G. T. F. de Abreu, "Bayesian Receiver Design via Bilinear Inference for Cell-Free Massive MIMO with Low-Resolution ADCs," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 22, no. 7, 2023.
- [29] X. Yang, K. Lei, S. Peng, and X. Cao, "Blind Detection for Primary User based on the Sample Covariance Matrix in Cognitive Radio," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 15, no. 1, 2011.
- [30] J. Bao, J. Nie, C. Liu, B. Jiang, F. Zhu, and J. He, "Improved Blind Spectrum Sensing by Covariance Matrix Cholesky Decomposition and RBF-SVM Decision Classification at Low SNRs," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, 2019.
- [31] K. R. R. Ranasinghe, K. Ando, H. S. Rou, G. T. F. de Abreu, and A. Bathelt, "Blind Bistatic Radar Parameter Estimation in Doubly-Dispersive Channels," to appear in *Proc. IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC)*, Milan, Italy, 2024.
- [32] Y. Ni, Z. Wang, P. Yuan, and Q. Huang, "An AFDM-based Integrated Sensing and Communications," in *Proc. International Symposium on Wireless Communication Systems, Hangzhou, China, 2022*.
- [33] A. Bemani, N. Ksairi, and M. Kountouris, "Affine Frequency Division Multiplexing for Next Generation Wireless Communications," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 22, no. 11, 2023.
- [34] H. S. Rou, K. Yukiyoishi, T. Mikuriya, G. T. F. de Abreu, and N. Ishikawa, "AFDM Chirp-Permutation-Index Modulation with Quantum-Accelerated Codebook Design," to appear in *Proc. IEEE 57th Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems, and Computers (Asilomar CSSC)*, Pacific Grove, CA, USA, 2024.
- [35] S. D. Liyanaarachchi, T. Riihonen, C. B. Barneto, and M. Valkama, "Joint MIMO Communications and Sensing with Hybrid Beamforming Architecture and OFDM Waveform Optimization," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 23, no. 2, 2024.
- [36] L. Gaudio, G. Colavolpe, and G. Caire, "OTFS vs. OFDM in the Presence of Sparsity: A Fair Comparison," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 21, no. 6, 2022.
- [37] S. Srivastava and P. Hobden, "5GHz Chirp Signal Generator for Broadband FMCW Radar Applications," in *Proc. IEEE International Symposium on Smart Electronic Systems (iSES)*, 2018.
- [38] X. Ouyang and J. Zhao, "Orthogonal Chirp Division Multiplexing," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 64, no. 9, 2016.
- [39] J. Tong, J. Yuan, H. Lin, and J. Xi, "Orthogonal Delay-Doppler Division Multiplexing (ODDM) over General Physical Channels," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 72, no. 12, 2024.
- [40] Z. Wang *et al.*, "A Tutorial on Extremely Large-Scale MIMO for 6G: Fundamentals, Signal Processing, and Applications," *IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts.*, vol. 26, no. 3, 2024.
- [41] P. Gavrilidis and G. C. Alexandropoulos, "Near-Field Beam Tracking with Extremely Massive Dynamic Metasurface Antennas," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.01488*, 2024.
- [42] M. Jian, G. C. Alexandropoulos, E. Basar, C. Huang, R. Liu, Y. Liu, and C. Yuen, "Reconfigurable Intelligent Surfaces for Wireless Communications: Overview of Hardware Designs, Channel Models, and Estimation Techniques," *Intell. Converged Netw.*, vol. 3, no. 1, 2022.
- [43] E. Basar, G. C. Alexandropoulos, Y. Liu, Q. Wu, S. Jin, C. Yuen, O. A. Dobre, and R. Schober, "Reconfigurable Intelligent Surfaces for 6G: Emerging Hardware Architectures, Applications, and Open Challenges," *IEEE Veh. Technol. Mag.*, vol. 19, no. 3, 2024.
- [44] Y. Liu, X. Liu, X. Mu, T. Hou, J. Xu, M. Di Renzo, and N. Al-Dhahir, "Reconfigurable Intelligent Surfaces: Principles and Opportunities," *IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts.*, vol. 23, no. 3, 2021.
- [45] C. Pfeiffer and A. Grbic, "Cascaded Metasurfaces for Complete Phase and Polarization Control," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 102, no. 23, 2013.
- [46] Y. Zhou, I. I. Kravchenko, H. Wang, J. R. Nolen, G. Gu, and J. Valentine, "Multilayer Noninteracting Dielectric Metasurfaces for Multiwavelength Metaoptics," *Nano. Lett.*, vol. 18, no. 12, 2018.
- [47] Y. Hu, X. Luo, Y. Chen, Q. Liu, X. Li, Y. Wang, N. Liu, and H. Duan, "3D-Integrated Metasurfaces for Full-Colour Holography," *Light Sci. Appl.*, vol. 8, no. 1, 2019.
- [48] S. Srivastava, R. K. Singh, A. K. Jagannatham, A. Chockalingam, and L. Hanzo, "OTFS Transceiver Design and Sparse Doubly-Selective CSI Estimation in Analog and Hybrid Beamforming aided mmWave MIMO Systems," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 21, no. 12, 2022.
- [49] J. An, C. Yuen, C. Xu, H. Li, D. W. K. Ng, M. Di Renzo, M. Debbah, and L. Hanzo, "Stacked Intelligent Metasurface-aided MIMO Transceiver Design," *IEEE Wireless Commun.*, vol. 31, no. 4, 2024.
- [50] J. An, C. Yuen, Y. L. Guan, M. Di Renzo, M. Debbah, H. V. Poor, and L. Hanzo, "Two-Dimensional Direction-of-Arrival Estimation using Stacked Intelligent Metasurfaces," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 42, no. 10, 2024.
- [51] J. An, C. Xu, D. W. K. Ng, G. C. Alexandropoulos, C. Huang, C. Yuen, and L. Hanzo, "Stacked Intelligent Metasurfaces for Efficient Holographic MIMO Communications in 6G," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 41, no. 8, 2023.
- [52] M. Nerini, S. Shen, H. Li, and B. Clerckx, "Beyond Diagonal Reconfigurable Intelligent Surfaces utilizing Graph Theory: Modeling, Architecture Design, and Optimization," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 23, no. 8, 2024.
- [53] D. Wijekoon, A. Mezghani, G. C. Alexandropoulos, and E. Hosain, "Physically-Consistent Modeling and Optimization of non-local RIS-Assisted Multi-User MIMO Communication Systems," *arXiv preprint:2406.05617*, 2024.
- [54] R. Hadani, S. Rakib, M. Tsatsanis, A. Monk, A. J. Goldsmith, A. F. Molisch, and R. Calderbank, "Orthogonal Time Frequency Space Modulation," in *Proc. IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC)*, San Francisco, USA, 2017.
- [55] P. Raviteja, K. T. Phan, Y. Hong, and E. Viterbo, "Interference Cancellation and Iterative Detection for Orthogonal Time Frequency Space Modulation," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 17, no. 10, 2018.
- [56] J. Zhu, Y. Tang, X. Wei, H. Yin, J. Du, Z. Wang, and Y. Liu, "A Low-Complexity Radar System based on Affine Frequency Division Multiplexing Modulation," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.11125*, 2023.
- [57] G. Liu, T. Mao, R. Liu, and Z. Xiao, "Pre-Chirp-Domain Index Modulation for Affine Frequency Division Multiplexing," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.15185*, 2024.
- [58] D. Tse, P. Viswanath, and L. Zheng, "Diversity-Multiplexing Tradeoff in Multiple-Access Channels," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 50, no. 9, 2004.
- [59] A. F. Molisch, V. V. Ratnam, S. Han, Z. Li, S. L. H. Nguyen, L. Li, and K. Haneda, "Hybrid Beamforming for Massive MIMO: A Survey," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 55, no. 9, 2017.
- [60] I. A. M. Sandoval, K. Ando, O. Taghizadeh, and G. T. F. De Abreu, "Sum-Rate Maximization and Leakage Minimization for Multi-User Cell-Free Massive MIMO Systems," *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, 2023.
- [61] J. An, C. Yuen, Y. L. Guan, M. Di Renzo, M. Debbah, H. V. Poor, and L. Hanzo, "Stacked Intelligent Metasurface performs a 2D DFT in the Wave Domain for DOA Estimation," in *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*, Denver, CO, USA, 2024.
- [62] T. Takahashi, S. Ibi, and S. Sampei, "Design of Adaptively Scaled Belief in Multi-Dimensional Signal Detection for Higher-Order Modulation," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 67, no. 3, 2019.
- [63] Q. Su and Y.-C. Wu, "On Convergence Conditions of Gaussian Belief Propagation," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 63, no. 5, 2015.