

# Future collider sensitivities to $\nu$ SMEFT interactions

Lucía Duarte\*

*Instituto de Física, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de la República  
Iguá 4225, (11400) Montevideo, Uruguay.*

Daniel Chalençon Maisian

*Universitat de València, Burjassot, (46100) València, Spain.*

Tomás Urruzola

*Instituto de Física, Facultad Ingeniería, Universidad de la República  
Julio Herrera y Reissig 565, (11300) Montevideo, Uruguay, and  
Instituto de Física, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de la República  
Iguá 4225,(11400) Montevideo, Uruguay.*

## Abstract

The discovery of neutrino oscillations and masses provides strong motivation to extend the Standard Model by including right-handed neutrinos, which lead to heavy neutrino states that could exist at the electroweak scale. These states may also be influenced by new high-scale, weakly interacting physics. Incorporating right-handed neutrinos into an effective field theory framework -the  $\nu$ SMEFT- offers a systematic approach to study the phenomenology of heavy neutrinos in current and upcoming experiments. In this work, we present the first prospective 95% exclusion plots achievable at a future lepton collider operating at a center-of-mass energy of  $\sqrt{s} = 0.5$  TeV for what we term the agnostic  $\nu$ SMEFT scenario. This study focuses on the high-mass regime where the heavy neutrino  $N$  decays promptly into leptons and jets. Specifically, we analyze the processes  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu N \rightarrow \nu\mu^-\mu^+\nu$  and  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu N \rightarrow \nu\mu^-jj$ , deriving the exclusion regions in the  $\frac{\alpha}{\Lambda^2}$  vs.  $m_N$  parameter space. When compared to prospective limits for the LHeC, we find that the semi-leptonic process with final jets in a lepton collider offers the greatest sensitivity, even with a straightforward cut-based analysis. The expected bounds are as stringent as those considered in recent studies for the low-mass regime where the  $N$  may be long-lived and detectable via displaced decay searches, both at the LHC and future colliders.

---

\*Electronic address: lucia.duarte@fcien.edu.uy

## I. INTRODUCTION

The presence of light neutrino masses and the phenomenon of neutrino oscillations can be explained within a minimal extension of the Standard Model (SM) Lagrangian by introducing sterile right-handed neutrinos  $N_R$ , which enable a lepton-number-violating Majorana mass term, as in the Type-I seesaw mechanism [1–5]. The Majorana mass scale, a parameter independent of the electroweak symmetry breaking, is typically assumed to be large in the naive (high-scale) seesaw framework. This results in the suppression of the induced mass of the -predominantly active- light neutrinos, while generating very heavy massive states alongside the light ones. Alternatively, the small masses of the known neutrinos could arise from symmetry-based arguments, as proposed in the linear and inverse seesaw models [6, 7], which lower the mass scale of the heavy neutrinos and make their phenomenology testable at laboratory energies. Even if these heavy neutral leptons (HNLs) or heavy neutrinos  $N$  were experimentally accessible, their interactions with SM particles are suppressed due to their small mixing  $U_{\ell N}$  with active neutrinos  $\nu_{\ell L}$  which are strongly constrained by experimental limits [8]. As a result, these interactions would be exceedingly weak and likely undetectable.

Nonetheless, various forms of new physics could exist at energies significantly above the electroweak scale. Their effects on SM degrees of freedom are systematically analyzed using the Standard Model Effective Field Theory (SMEFT) framework. If heavy neutrinos are sufficiently light to be included in the low-energy spectrum, such new physics could influence their behaviour and likely dominate over interactions arising from their mixing with active neutrinos. Consequently, the interactions of HNLs with SM particles may reflect the remnants of ultraviolet (UV) physics, which can be described by an effective field theory where the HNLs themselves are included as fundamental components. This framework extends the SMEFT to incorporate right-handed neutrinos and is referred to as  $\nu$ SMEFT<sup>1</sup>[9–16]. This effective field theory has garnered increasing attention, as it provides an effective tool to parameterize UV physics effects and evaluate, through prospective studies, the potential to discover HNLs via their novel interactions. It also allows for constraining the Wilson coefficients of different operators consistent with SM symmetries at a given mass dimension  $d$  and energy scale  $\Lambda$ . Initially proposed by the authors of [12] as a dedicated EFT for studying

---

<sup>1</sup> It is also known in the literature as SMNEFT,  $N_R$ SMEFT and  $\nu_R$ SMEFT.

neutrino interactions, the  $\nu$ SMEFT framework has since seen significant advancements in both theoretical and phenomenological aspects [17–58].

The  $\nu$ SMEFT can parametrize the effect of a plethora of existing -or yet to be imagined- UV physics models in experiments performed at electroweak scale energies, where the heavy  $N$  can be treated as an accessible degree of freedom with a mass  $m_N$  in the hundred-GeV range. In this mass window, the heavy neutrino with effective interactions, while being accessible for direct production, can also decay promptly into on-shell top quarks, Higgs bosons, and the electroweak standard vector bosons too, leading to final states commonly studied for standard and BSM interactions at the LHC and future lepton and electron-proton colliders.

In this work we study the sensitivity projections for the heavy  $N$  in future  $e^+e^-$  colliders, focusing on processes where it is singly produced together with a light neutrino and decays into di-muon and semi-leptonic final states:  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu N \rightarrow \nu\mu^-\mu^+\nu$  and  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu N \rightarrow \nu\mu^-jj$ . We will consider a simplified agnostic benchmark scenario, that allows for the study of a two-dimensional parameter space, providing 95% CL exclusion limits in the mass-coupling  $(m_N, \frac{\alpha}{\Lambda^2})$  plane. We present the agnostic  $\nu$ SMEFT benchmark scenario in Section II, discuss the collider study in Section III, comparing the new results with sensitivities obtained previously for the LHeC [48], and present our conclusions in Section IV.

## II. THE AGNOSTIC $\nu$ SMEFT SCENARIO

Our starting point is to consider the SM Lagrangian to be extended with only *one* right-handed neutrino  $N_R$  with a Majorana mass term ( $\sim M_N$ ). While at least two right-handed  $N_R$  states are required to reproduce the measured masses and mixings with light neutrinos, this simplifying assumption retains the main phenomenology and corresponds to scenarios where the additional massive  $N$  are too heavy to impact in low-energy observables. The renormalizable  $d = 4$  Lagrangian extension then reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{d=4} = \overline{N_R} i \not{\partial} N_R - \left( \frac{M_N}{2} \overline{N_R^c} N_R + \sum_{\ell} Y_{\ell} \overline{L_{\ell}} \tilde{\phi} N_R + \text{h.c.} \right). \quad (1)$$

Once diagonalized, this Lagrangian leads to a massive state  $N$  as an observable degree of freedom, together with the three known light neutrino states  $\nu_i$  (with masses  $m_{\nu_i} \sim 0.1$  eV), which are all of Majorana nature. The active flavor  $\ell = e, \mu, \tau$  neutrino eigenstates  $\nu_{\ell L}$

Type	Operator	Interactions	Coupling	
$N$ mass $d = 5$	$\mathcal{O}_{N\phi}^{d=5}$ ( $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Higgs}}^{d=5}$ )	$(\bar{N}N^c)(\phi^\dagger\phi)$	$hNN$ and Majorana mass term	$\alpha_{N\phi}^{d=5}$
Dipole $d = 5$	$\mathcal{O}_{NB}^{(5)}$	$(\bar{N}_a\sigma_{\mu\nu}N_b^c)B^{\mu\nu}$ , $a \neq b$	Dipoles $d_\gamma, d_Z$	$\alpha_{NB}^{d=5}$
$h$ -dressed mixing	$\mathcal{O}_{LN\phi}^{(i)}$ ( $\mathcal{O}_{LNH}^\beta$ )	$(\phi^\dagger\phi)(\bar{L}_iN\tilde{\phi})$	Yukawa+doublet ( $U_{\ell N}$ . and $m_\nu$ )	$\alpha_{LN\phi}^{(i)}$
Bosonic	$\mathcal{O}_{NN\phi}$ ( $\mathcal{O}_{HN}$ )	$i(\phi^\dagger\overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu\phi)(\bar{N}\gamma^\mu N)$	Neutral current ( $NNZ$ )	$\alpha_{NN\phi} = \alpha_Z$
Currents	$\mathcal{O}_{Nl\phi}^{(i)}$ ( $\mathcal{O}_{HN\ell}^\beta$ )	$i(\phi^T\epsilon D_\mu\phi)(\bar{N}\gamma^\mu l_i)$	Charged current ( $NlW$ )	$\alpha_{Nl\phi}^{(i)} = \alpha_W^{(i)}$
Dipoles	$\mathcal{O}_{NB}^{(i)}$ ( $\mathcal{O}_{NB}$ )	$(\bar{L}_i\sigma^{\mu\nu}N)\tilde{\phi}B_{\mu\nu}$	One-loop level generated	$\alpha_{NB}^{(i)}/(16\pi^2)$
	$\mathcal{O}_{NW}^{(i)}$ ( $\mathcal{O}_{NW}^\beta$ )	$(\bar{L}_i\sigma^{\mu\nu}\tau^I N)\tilde{\phi}W_{\mu\nu}^I$	$d_\gamma, d_Z, d_W$	$\alpha_{NW}^{(i)}/(16\pi^2)$
4-fermion N	$\mathcal{O}_{QNN}^{(i)}$ ( $\mathcal{O}_{QN}$ )	$(\bar{Q}_i\gamma^\mu Q_i)(\bar{N}\gamma_\mu N)$	4-fermion	$\alpha_{QNN}^{(i)}$
	$\mathcal{O}_{LNN}^{(i)}$ ( $\mathcal{O}_{LN}^\beta$ )	$(\bar{L}_i\gamma^\mu L_i)(\bar{N}\gamma_\mu N)$	vector- mediated	$\alpha_{LNN}^{(i)}$
	$\mathcal{O}_{fNN}^{(i)}$ ( $\mathcal{O}_{\text{ff}}$ )	$(\bar{f}_i\gamma^\mu f_i)(\bar{N}\gamma_\mu N)$	$f = u, d, l$	$\alpha_{fNN}^{(i)}$
4-fermion CC	$\mathcal{O}_{duNl}^{(i,j)}$ ( $\mathcal{O}_{\text{duN}\ell}^\beta$ )	$(\bar{d}_j\gamma^\mu u_j)(\bar{N}\gamma_\mu l_i)$	4-fermion vector- mediated	$\alpha_{duNl}^{(i,j)} = \alpha_{V_0}^{(i,j)}$
4-fermion	$\mathcal{O}_{QuNL}^{(i,j)}$ ( $\mathcal{O}_{\text{QuNL}}^\alpha$ )	$(\bar{Q}_i u_i)(\bar{N} L_j)$	4-fermion	$\alpha_{QuNL}^{(i,j)} = \alpha_{S_1}^{(i,j)}$
	$\mathcal{O}_{LNQd}^{(i,j)}$ ( $\mathcal{O}_{\text{LNQd}}^\alpha$ )	$(\bar{L}_i N)\epsilon(\bar{Q}_j d_j)$	scalar-mediated	$\alpha_{LNQd}^{(i,j)} = \alpha_{S_2}^{(i,j)}$
CC/NC	$\mathcal{O}_{QNLd}^{(i,j)}$	$(\bar{Q}_i N)\epsilon(\bar{L}_j d_j)$		$\alpha_{QNLd}^{(i,j)} = \alpha_{S_3}^{(i,j)}$
	$\mathcal{O}_{LNLl}^{(i,j)}$ ( $\mathcal{O}_{\text{LNL}\ell}^{\delta\beta}$ )	$(\bar{L}_i N)\epsilon(\bar{L}_j l_j)$		$\alpha_{LNLl}^{(i,j)} = \alpha_{S_0}^{(i,j)}$

TABLE I: Basis of  $d = 5$  and  $d = 6$  operators with a right-handed neutrino  $N$  [12, 14].

Here  $l_i$ ,  $u_i$ ,  $d_i$  and  $L_i$ ,  $Q_i$  denote the right-handed singlets and the left-handed  $SU(2)$  doublets, respectively. The field  $\phi$  is the scalar doublet,  $B_{\mu\nu}$  and  $W_{\mu\nu}^I$  are the  $U(1)_Y$  and  $SU(2)_L$  field strengths. Also  $\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$  and  $\epsilon = i\sigma^2$  is the anti-symmetric symbol in two dimensions. We follow the notation in [12] and quote the names in [49].

contain some part of the heavy  $N$  due to the mixing  $U_{\ell N} = Y_\ell v/\sqrt{2}M_N$ :

$$\nu_{\ell L} = \sum_{i=1}^3 U_{\ell i} \nu_i + U_{\ell N} N. \quad (2)$$

In turn, the heavy state  $N$  is mostly composed of the right-handed state  $N \simeq N_R$  with negligible mixing with the active  $\ell$  flavor states  $\nu_{\ell L}$ , constrained by the naive seesaw relation  $U_{\ell N} \lesssim \sqrt{\frac{m_\nu}{M_N}}$ , and thus with negligible interaction through the SM electroweak currents when its mass is above the GeV scale.

In this simplified setup, we will consider the mixings  $U_{\ell N}$  in (2) to be negligibly small in comparison to the new physics effects on the heavy state  $N$ , possibly due to the presence of new mediators in the UV scale  $\Lambda$ . These are parametrized by a set of effective operators  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{J}}$  constructed with the SM and the  $N_R$  fields and satisfying the  $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$  gauge symmetry [12, 14, 59].

The total Lagrangian we consider is organized as follows:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \sum_{d=5}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^{d-4}} \sum_{\mathcal{J}} \alpha_{\mathcal{J}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{J}}^d \quad (3)$$

where  $d$  is the mass dimension of the operator  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{J}}^d$ ,  $\alpha_{\mathcal{J}}$  are the effective (Wilson) couplings and the sum in  $\mathcal{J}$  goes over all independent interactions at a given dimension  $d$ . The complete list of  $\nu$ SMEFT operators up to  $d = 6$  is given in Table I.

We will not consider the  $d = 5$  operators, because with only one right-handed neutrino state they make no contributions to the studied processes at lepton or electron-proton (eP) colliders when discarding the heavy-active neutrino mixings  $U_{\ell N}$ , neither to the  $N$  decay. Thus we will only consider the contributions of the  $d = 6$  operators, following the treatment presented in [12, 14]. Our implementation of the effective Lagrangian in `FeynRules 2.3` has been discussed in [44]. A detailed discussion of the role of each operator in the heavy  $N$  phenomenology, the existing constraints and the full expressions for each explicit Lagrangian term can be found in [48].

Many of the operators in Table I can contribute directly to the heavy  $N$  production in future lepton and eP colliders, as well as to its decay modes and total decay width value. While most part of the recent works studying the  $\nu$ SMEFT phenomenology focus on the impact of specific operators [39, 45, 57] or take them to act separately at a time [49], here we consider what we call an agnostic -or democratic- scenario, where we take into account the simultaneous effect of every dimension 6 operator in Table I.

Our approach consists in taking the numerical value of every Wilson coefficient  $\alpha_{\mathcal{J}}$  and high energy scale  $\Lambda$  to the same numerical value, simplifying the parameter space to only two variables: the heavy neutral lepton mass  $m_N$  and the effective interaction coefficients that weight the value of the physical observables  $\frac{\alpha}{\Lambda^2}$ . We follow this agnostic approach, since it leads to more realistic results, given that in most cases specific BSM UV models will generate not only one operator, but contribute to several interactions when the correct matching between scales for the model is calculated, due to operator mixing [30, 49, 50, 56].

This benchmark scenario implies that the total decay width of the heavy  $N$  is calculated at tree level taking into account the interference terms between the contribution of each operator to each channel, as we did originally in our full calculation in [18, 19] and updated in [48]. This decay width -and every branching ratio- value is fixed for any given benchmark point in the  $(m_N, \frac{\alpha}{\Lambda^2})$  plane (see Figure 3 in [48]). It is given as an input to the simulation software, what in turns allows us to impose constraints directly on a reduced two dimensional parameter space.

There are only two exceptions to this democratic numerical treatment:

- The most stringent limits that can be derived on the effective operators involve the first fermion family and come from the yet unobserved neutrinoless double beta decay ( $0\nu\beta\beta$  decay). We consider every operator contributing to this process to be upper bounded by an  $m_N$  dependent coefficient. We thus impose the value

$$\frac{\alpha_{0\nu\beta\beta}(m_N)}{\Lambda^2} = 3.2 \times 10^{-8} \left( \frac{m_N}{100 \text{ GeV}} \right)^{1/2}$$

on the coupling of every effective operator contributing to the vertex  $udNe$ . The details of the derivation can be followed from [17, 19] and [50]. The couplings of the operators contributing to this vertex are:  $\alpha_{Nl\phi}^{(1)}$  and  $\alpha_{NW}^{(1)}$  -which contribute through the interchange of a  $W$  boson- and the four-fermion  $\alpha_{duNl}^{(1,1)}$ ,  $\alpha_{QuNL}^{(1,1)}$ ,  $\alpha_{LNQd}^{(1,1)}$ ,  $\alpha_{QNLd}^{(1,1)}$ .

- We consider a loop-factor  $1/16\pi^2$  multiplying the couplings of the operators  $\mathcal{O}_{NB}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{NW}$ , which are generated at one loop-level in the UV theory [12, 59], as shown in Table I.

On the other hand, the decision to take this agnostic point of view also implies that we must be careful when considering existing derivations for the bounds of the  $\nu$ SMEFT interactions. When more than one operator acting at a time is considered, bounds can be obtained from a variety of processes involving combinations of couplings, typically with different production and decay channels for the  $N$ . Recent works on  $\nu$ SMEFT interactions including  $d = 6$  operators have derived bounds for the different Wilson couplings values  $\alpha_{\mathcal{J}}$  -or alternatively on the new physics scale  $\Lambda$ - given by existing experimental direct or indirect searches of BSM phenomena. Most of these constraints are applicable for  $m_N$  masses below the range we consider in this work ( $m_N \leq m_W$ ) and definitely do not apply for the agnostic

benchmark scenario considered here [45, 46, 49, 56, 57]. We remark that for masses  $m_N$  well below the electroweak symmetry breaking scale, it would be convenient to consider the low energy effective field theory  $\nu$ LEFT, with QED and QCD invariant operators with sterile neutrinos [30, 56, 60].

### III. FUTURE COLLIDER SENSITIVITIES

In this work we want to tackle the sensitivity reach to the agnostic  $\nu$ SMEFT scenario of future colliders, focusing on the regime where the heavy  $N$  decays promptly into leptonic or semi-leptonic final states, induced by 4-fermion vector and scalar  $d = 6$  interactions. Previous studies [44] pointed to the ability of lepton colliders to discover these interactions with the use of angular observables like forward-backward asymmetries, and now we present the first prospective exclusion plots based on the study of single  $N$  production with pure leptonic or semi-leptonic decays at an  $e^+e^-$  collider.

These signals can be studied in future lepton colliders like the linear ILC [61] or circular colliders like the FCC-ee [62] and the CEPC [63]. Here for simplicity we will consider an  $e^+e^-$  collider with center of mass energy  $\sqrt{s} = 500$  GeV and different integrated luminosities  $\mathcal{L}$  for estimating the numbers of events.

The irreducible SM backgrounds for both the pure leptonic  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\mu^-\mu^+\nu$  and the semi-leptonic  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\mu^-jj$  processes involve diagrams with intermediate standard bosons -photons,  $Z$ - and Higgs bosons in  $s$  channels, which subsequently decay into muon pairs, light neutrino pairs, or quark pairs, and  $W$  bosons decaying leptonically or hadronically. The dominant SM backgrounds for both processes are events that come from  $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^-W^+$ , with both  $W$  decaying leptonically in the first case [64, 65], and the  $W^+$  decaying hadronically in the second [66].

We generate events in `MadGraph5_aMC@NLO 3.4.1` [67, 68], producing LHE events at parton level, which are read by the embedded version of `PYTHIA 8` [69], and then are interfaced to `Delphes 3.5.0` [70] with the `DSiDi` card [71] for a fast detector simulation. The analysis of the generated events at the reconstructed level is made with the expert mode in `MadAnalysis5 1.8.58` [72].

We adopt the following basic acceptance cuts for both the pure-leptonic and the semi-leptonic process: we keep transverse momenta for jets  $p_T^j > 20$  GeV and leptons  $p_T^\ell > 10$  GeV,






FIG. 1: 95% CL Exclusion limits reachable at  $\sqrt{s} = 0.5$  TeV for the pure-leptonic (left) and semi-leptonic (right) channels, for different values of integrated luminosity  $\mathcal{L}$ .

pseudorapidities  $|\eta_j| < 5$ ,  $|\eta_\ell| < 2.5$ , and isolation between jets and leptons  $\Delta R_{jj,\ell\ell,lj} > 0.4$ .

*a. Pure-leptonic channel.* We consider the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu N \rightarrow \nu\mu^-\mu^+\nu$ , which gives as a signal two opposite-sign muons and missing energy. The production vertex receives contributions from both the  $\mathcal{O}_{Nl\phi}(\mathcal{O}_{HNl})$  charged current operator and the scalar-mediated four lepton operator  $\mathcal{O}_{LNLL}$ . The pure-leptonic  $N$  decay depends on explicit contributions from the same operators.

As the  $N$  is produced together with a light neutrino in a 2-2 process, its energy and boost are completely determined in the c.m. frame for each mass value  $m_N$ . Its production is reflected in the dependence of the various observables on the summed energy of the di-muon pair  $E_{\mu\mu} = E(\mu^- + \mu^+)$ . We produce events in a realistic dataset, generated with the SMNeff6 UFO [44] allowing for effective-SM interference (S+B) and separate them from the SM-only (B) events for the pure leptonic process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\mu^-\mu^+\nu$ . Following the previous work, we ask for a muon and an anti-muon in the final state, and apply a selection cut keeping events with a minimum value of missing transverse energy ( $\text{MET} > 25$  GeV) and keep events with  $E_{\mu\mu} < 240$  GeV to separate the signal from the dominant SM background, which peaks at  $E_{\mu\mu} = \sqrt{s}/2$ , in a symmetric configuration where the muon anti-muon pair shares half the energy with the unobservable light neutrinos.

*b. Semi-leptonic channel.* In the semi-leptonic process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu N \rightarrow \nu\mu^-jj$  the  $N$  decays into a muon and two jets. While its production mechanism remains unchanged, the decay of the  $N$  now involves the contributions of the vector four-fermion operator  $\mathcal{O}_{duNI}^{(2,i)}$  together with the vector  $\mathcal{O}_{NI\phi}^{(2)}$  and the scalars  $\mathcal{O}_{QuNL}^{(2,i)}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{LNQd}^{(2,i)}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{QNLd}^{(i,2)}$ , which give two quarks (jets  $j$ ) in the final state.

As before, we allow for interference of the signal and the SM contributions, and perform a cut-based analysis, asking for a muon in the final state and selecting events with missing transverse energy (MET) greater than 25 GeV to account for the final state neutrino. The transverse mass of the final muon-neutrino system, calculated in the reconstructed level data as the transverse mass (MT) of the missing transverse energy (MET)- muon system:  $\text{MT-MET}(\mu)$ <sup>2</sup> allows to separate the signal events from the SM background, which peaks at the  $m_W$  value, reflecting the fact that the muon-neutrino pair comes from a  $W^-$ . We find that a cut selecting events with  $\text{MT-MET}(\mu) > 85$  GeV keeps more than 70% of the events for the different  $m_N$  signal datasets, while keeping near 10% of the SM (B) events.

In order to obtain the exclusion plots for the agnostic  $\nu\text{SMEFT}$  parameter space, we generate events for different values of the couplings  $\frac{\alpha}{\Lambda^2}$  and masses  $m_N$  in a grid, also considering different total integrated luminosities  $\mathcal{L}$  to estimate the number of signal and background events.

The 95% CL exclusion limits in the  $(m_N, \frac{\alpha}{\Lambda^2})$  plane are calculated following the PDG review on Statistics [73] and Appendix B in [74]. For each signal point, we calculate the upper number of signal events  $s^{up}$  consistent at 95% CL with the observation of the expected number of background events, by supposing that the data collected in the experiment exactly matches the integer part of the number of events for the background prediction. The shaded areas correspond to the parameter space regions where the interpolated expected number of signal events exceeds the upper allowed value  $s^{up}$ , and thus the limits are imposed directly on the agnostic  $\nu\text{SMEFT}$  parameter space values.

Figure 1 shows the projected 95% CL exclusion limits in the  $(m_N, \frac{\alpha}{\Lambda^2})$  plane for the pure-leptonic (left) and semi-leptonic (right) processes at a future electron-positron collider for the agnostic  $\nu\text{SMEFT}$  benchmark scenario. We find that using both decay channels,

---

<sup>2</sup> The transverse mass variable of the muon-missing transverse energy system is defined as  $\text{MT-MET}(\mu) = \sqrt{2p_T^\mu p_T^{miss} [1 - \cos(\Delta\phi(p_T^\mu, p_T^{miss}))]}$ , we use the name given in `MadAnalysis5`.

even with an integrated luminosity of  $\mathcal{L} = 10 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  these experiments could start bounding the effective couplings for masses  $m_N$  below 200 GeV. With a luminosity of  $100 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ , the sensitivity reach of a lepton collider exploiting the semi-leptonic channel can test  $N$  masses as large as 500 GeV.

This sensitivity reach can be compared to the sensitivity found for lepton-trijet processes at an electron-proton collider like the future LHeC, already obtained for the  $\nu$ SMEFT agnostic benchmark scenario in [48]. Those limits were obtained for the LHeC [75–77], with a center-of mass energy close to 1.3 TeV, and an integrated luminosity  $\mathcal{L} = 100 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ , performing a BDT analysis with the `Root` `TMVA` package [78].

In Figure 2 we plot the expected exclusion limits for the lepton number conserving -but lepton flavor violating- muon-trijet signal  $pe^- \rightarrow j\mu^-jj$  in cyan, and the lepton number and flavor violating  $pe^- \rightarrow j\mu^+jj$  in orange, together with the lepton collider expected sensitivities already shown in Figure 1 in purple and blue, all for an estimated number of events calculated with the same integrated luminosity  $\mathcal{L} = 100 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ . We find that the four channels studied could exclude the lower mass region ( $m_N \lesssim 130 \text{ GeV}$ ) with couplings  $\frac{\alpha}{\Lambda^2} > 10^{-7} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ . The sensitivity reach falls for higher  $m_N$  values, due to the decrease of the branching ratio of  $N$  to fermions when it can start decaying to on-shell Higgs bosons [44, 48].

#### IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this work we show the first prospective 95% CL exclusion plots reachable at a future lepton collider with a c.m. energy of  $\sqrt{s} = 0.5 \text{ TeV}$  for what we call the agnostic  $\nu$ SMEFT scenario, in the high mass regime where the heavy  $N$  can decay promptly to leptons and jets that can easily be measured in detectors. We obtain the excluded regions in the  $\frac{\alpha}{\Lambda^2}$  vs.  $m_N$  plane. Figure 1 shows that the semi-leptonic channel  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu N \rightarrow \nu\mu^-jj$  can reach the highest sensitivity, due in principle to the bigger expected cross section [44] and an efficient cut-based analysis proposed to separate signal from SM backgrounds.

We also compare the obtained limits with those found for the reach of the LHeC eP collider in Figure 2. Both machines would be able to study the electroweak  $N$  mass regime with interaction couplings in the ballpark of  $\frac{\alpha}{\Lambda^2} \sim 10^{-7} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ . These effective couplings limits are as low as the ones that are considered in recent works for the lower mass regime where the  $N$  can be long-lived and be found in displaced decay searches, both at the LHC and future




FIG. 2: 95% CL exclusion limits for the agnostic  $\nu$ SMEFT at future colliders.

colliders. One can find sensitivity prospects for the near-future experiments concerning the dimension-6  $\nu$ SMEFT interactions in [39] for a long-lived  $N$  at the LHC exploiting possible displaced vertices searches, and in [45] for prompt and displaced  $N$  decays at future Higgs factories, in both cases for lighter  $N$  benchmark scenarios with  $m_N \lesssim 60$  GeV. Also, a variety of testable signals in planned experiments are discussed in [46], and constraints on the  $pp \rightarrow eN$  cross section interpreted from recent LHC searches are obtained in [57] for vectorial  $\nu$ SMEFT interactions. Our group is currently working on a detailed recast of LHC searches for heavy neutral leptons in terms of the agnostic  $\nu$ SMEFT benchmark scenario.

The discovery of heavy neutrinos would have profound implications on the current landscape of high energy physics and our understanding of Nature; however, constraining the potential new physics underlying neutrino mass generation could also provide a pathway to uncovering the origin of the observed neutrino masses -one of the most significant unresolved questions in particle physics-.

## Acknowledgments

We thank PEDECIBA (Uruguay) for the financial support.

- 
- [1] P. Minkowski,  $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$  at a rate of one out of 1-billion muon decays?, Phys.Lett. **B67**, 421 (1977).
  - [2] R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, *Neutrino Mass and Spontaneous Parity Violation*, Phys.Rev.Lett. **44**, 912 (1980).
  - [3] T. Yanagida, *Horizontal Symmetry and Masses of Neutrinos*, Prog.Theor.Phys. **64**, 1103 (1980).
  - [4] M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond and R. Slansky, *Complex Spinors and Unified Theories*, Conf.Proc. **C790927**, 315 (1979), arXiv:1306.4669 [hep-th].
  - [5] J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle, *Neutrino Masses in  $SU(2) \times U(1)$  Theories*, Phys. Rev. **D22**, 2227 (1980).
  - [6] M. Malinsky, J. Romao and J. Valle, *Novel supersymmetric  $SO(10)$  seesaw mechanism*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 161801 (2005), arXiv:hep-ph/0506296.
  - [7] R. Mohapatra and J. Valle, *Neutrino Mass and Baryon Number Nonconservation in Superstring Models*, Phys. Rev. D **34**, 1642 (1986).
  - [8] A. M. Abdullahi *et al.*, *The present and future status of heavy neutral leptons*, J. Phys. G **50**, 020501 (2023), arXiv:2203.08039 [hep-ph].
  - [9] A. Anisimov, in *6th International Workshop on the Identification of Dark Matter* (2006) pp. 439–449, arXiv:hep-ph/0612024
  - [10] M. L. Graesser, *Broadening the Higgs boson with right-handed neutrinos and a higher dimension operator at the electroweak scale*, Phys. Rev. **D76**, 075006 (2007), arXiv:0704.0438 [hep-ph].
  - [11] M. L. Graesser, *Experimental Constraints on Higgs Boson Decays to TeV-scale Right-Handed Neutrinos* (5 2007), arXiv:0705.2190 [hep-ph].
  - [12] F. del Aguila, S. Bar-Shalom, A. Soni and J. Wudka, *Heavy Majorana Neutrinos in the Effective Lagrangian Description: Application to Hadron Colliders*, Phys.Lett. **B670**, 399 (2009), arXiv:0806.0876 [hep-ph].
  - [13] A. Aparici, K. Kim, A. Santamaria and J. Wudka, *Right-handed neutrino magnetic moments*,

- Phys. Rev. **D80**, 013010 (2009), arXiv:0904.3244 [hep-ph].
- [14] Y. Liao and X.-D. Ma, *Operators up to Dimension Seven in Standard Model Effective Field Theory Extended with Sterile Neutrinos*, Phys. Rev. **D96**, 015012 (2017), arXiv:1612.04527 [hep-ph].
- [15] S. Bhattacharya and J. Wudka, *Dimension-seven operators in the standard model with right handed neutrinos*, Phys. Rev. **D94**, 055022 (2016), [Erratum: Phys. Rev.D95,no.3,039904(2017)], arXiv:1505.05264 [hep-ph].
- [16] H.-L. Li, Z. Ren, M.-L. Xiao, J.-H. Yu and Y.-H. Zheng, *Operator bases in effective field theories with sterile neutrinos:  $d \leq 9$* , JHEP **11**, 003 (2021), arXiv:2105.09329 [hep-ph].
- [17] L. Duarte, G. A. González-Sprinberg and O. A. Sampayo, *Majorana neutrinos production at LHeC in an effective approach*, Phys. Rev. **D91**, 053007 (2015), arXiv:1412.1433 [hep-ph].
- [18] L. Duarte, J. Peressutti and O. A. Sampayo, *Majorana neutrino decay in an Effective Approach*, Phys. Rev. **D92**, 093002 (2015), arXiv:1508.01588 [hep-ph].
- [19] L. Duarte, I. Romero, J. Peressutti and O. A. Sampayo, *Effective Majorana neutrino decay*, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, 453 (2016), arXiv:1603.08052 [hep-ph].
- [20] L. Duarte, I. Romero, G. Zapata and O. A. Sampayo, *Effects of Majorana physics on the UHE  $\nu_\tau$  flux traversing the Earth*, Eur. Phys. J. C **77**, 68 (2017), arXiv:1609.07661 [hep-ph].
- [21] A. Caputo, P. Hernandez, J. Lopez-Pavon and J. Salvado, *The seesaw portal in testable models of neutrino masses*, JHEP **06**, 112 (2017), arXiv:1704.08721 [hep-ph].
- [22] L. Duarte, G. Zapata and O. A. Sampayo, *Angular and polarization trails from effective interactions of Majorana neutrinos at the LHeC*, Eur. Phys. J. **C78**, 352 (2018), arXiv:1802.07620 [hep-ph].
- [23] C.-X. Yue and J.-P. Chu, *Sterile neutrino and leptonic decays of the pseudoscalar mesons*, Phys. Rev. **D98**, 055012 (2018), arXiv:1808.09139 [hep-ph].
- [24] L. Duarte, G. Zapata and O. A. Sampayo, *Final taus and initial state polarization signatures from effective interactions of Majorana neutrinos at future  $e^+e^-$  colliders*, Eur. Phys. J. **C79**, 240 (2019), arXiv:1812.01154 [hep-ph].
- [25] L. Duarte, J. Peressutti, I. Romero and O. A. Sampayo, *Majorana neutrinos with effective interactions in B decays*, Eur. Phys. J. **C79**, 593 (2019), arXiv:1904.07175 [hep-ph].
- [26] I. Bischer and W. Rodejohann, *General neutrino interactions from an effective field theory perspective*, Nucl. Phys. B **947**, 114746 (2019), arXiv:1905.08699 [hep-ph].

- [27] J. Alcaide, S. Banerjee, M. Chala and A. Titov, *Probes of the Standard Model effective field theory extended with a right-handed neutrino*, JHEP **08**, 031 (2019), arXiv:1905.11375 [hep-ph].
- [28] J. M. Butterworth, M. Chala, C. Englert, M. Spannowsky and A. Titov, *Higgs phenomenology as a probe of sterile neutrinos*, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 115019 (2019), arXiv:1909.04665 [hep-ph].
- [29] J. Jones-Pérez, J. Masias and J. D. Ruiz-Álvarez, *Search for Long-Lived Heavy Neutrinos at the LHC with a VBF Trigger*, Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, 642 (2020), arXiv:1912.08206 [hep-ph].
- [30] M. Chala and A. Titov, *One-loop matching in the SMEFT extended with a sterile neutrino*, JHEP **05**, 139 (2020), arXiv:2001.07732 [hep-ph].
- [31] W. Dekens, J. de Vries, K. Fuyuto, E. Mereghetti and G. Zhou, *Sterile neutrinos and neutrinoless double beta decay in effective field theory*, JHEP **06**, 097 (2020), arXiv:2002.07182 [hep-ph].
- [32] L. Duarte, G. Zapata and O. Sampayo, *Angular and polarization observables for Majorana-mediated B decays with effective interactions*, Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, 896 (2020), arXiv:2006.11216 [hep-ph].
- [33] A. Biekötter, M. Chala and M. Spannowsky, *The effective field theory of low scale see-saw at colliders*, Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, 743 (2020), arXiv:2007.00673 [hep-ph].
- [34] J. De Vries, H. K. Dreiner, J. Y. Günther, Z. S. Wang and G. Zhou, *Long-lived Sterile Neutrinos at the LHC in Effective Field Theory*, JHEP **03**, 148 (2021), arXiv:2010.07305 [hep-ph].
- [35] D. Barducci, E. Bertuzzo, A. Caputo, P. Hernandez and B. Mele, *The see-saw portal at future Higgs Factories*, JHEP **03**, 117 (2021), arXiv:2011.04725 [hep-ph].
- [36] W. Dekens, J. de Vries and T. Tong, *Sterile neutrinos with non-standard interactions in  $\beta$ - and  $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay experiments*, JHEP **08**, 128 (2021), arXiv:2104.00140 [hep-ph].
- [37] V. Cirigliano, W. Dekens, J. de Vries, K. Fuyuto, E. Mereghetti and R. Ruiz, *Leptonic anomalous magnetic moments in  $\nu$  SMEFT*, JHEP **08**, 103 (2021), arXiv:2105.11462 [hep-ph].
- [38] G. Cottin, J. C. Helo, M. Hirsch, A. Titov and Z. S. Wang, *Heavy neutral leptons in effective field theory and the high-luminosity LHC*, JHEP **09**, 039 (2021), arXiv:2105.13851 [hep-ph].
- [39] R. Beltrán, G. Cottin, J. C. Helo, M. Hirsch, A. Titov and Z. S. Wang, *Long-lived heavy neutral leptons at the LHC: four-fermion single- $N_R$  operators*, JHEP **01**, 044 (2022), arXiv:2110.15096 [hep-ph].
- [40] G. Zhou, J. Y. Günther, Z. S. Wang, J. de Vries and H. K. Dreiner, *Long-lived sterile neutrinos at Belle II in effective field theory*, JHEP **04**, 057 (2022), arXiv:2111.04403 [hep-ph].

- [41] R. Beltrán, G. Cottin, J. C. Helo, M. Hirsch, A. Titov and Z. S. Wang, *Long-lived heavy neutral leptons from mesons in effective field theory*, JHEP **01**, 015 (2023), arXiv:2210.02461 [hep-ph].
- [42] F. Delgado, L. Duarte, J. Jones-Perez, C. Manrique-Chavil and S. Peña, *Assessment of the dimension-5 seesaw portal and impact of exotic Higgs decays on non-pointing photon searches*, JHEP **09**, 079 (2022), arXiv:2205.13550 [hep-ph].
- [43] D. Barducci, E. Bertuzzo, M. Taoso and C. Toni, *Probing right-handed neutrinos dipole operators*, JHEP **03**, 239 (2023), arXiv:2209.13469 [hep-ph].
- [44] G. Zapata, T. Urruzola, O. A. Sampayo and L. Duarte, *Lepton collider probes for Majorana neutrino effective interactions*, Eur. Phys. J. C **82**, 544 (2022), arXiv:2201.02480 [hep-ph].
- [45] D. Barducci and E. Bertuzzo, *The see-saw portal at future Higgs factories: the role of dimension six operators*, JHEP **06**, 077 (2022), arXiv:2201.11754 [hep-ph].
- [46] M. Mitra, S. Mandal, R. Padhan, A. Sarkar and M. Spannowsky, *Reexamining right-handed neutrino EFTs up to dimension six*, Phys. Rev. D **106**, 113008 (2022), arXiv:2210.12404 [hep-ph].
- [47] R. Beltrán, G. Cottin, M. Hirsch, A. Titov and Z. S. Wang, *Reinterpretation of searches for long-lived particles from meson decays*, JHEP **05**, 031 (2023), arXiv:2302.03216 [hep-ph].
- [48] G. Zapata, T. Urruzola, O. A. Sampayo and L. Duarte, *Sensitivity prospects for lepton-trijet signals in the  $\nu$ SMEFT at the LHeC*, Eur. Phys. J. C **84**, 326 (2024), arXiv:2305.16991 [hep-ph].
- [49] E. Fernández-Martínez, M. González-López, J. Hernández-García, M. Hostert and J. López-Pavón, *Effective portals to heavy neutral leptons*, JHEP **09**, 001 (2023), arXiv:2304.06772 [hep-ph].
- [50] R. Beltrán, R. Cepedello and M. Hirsch, *Tree-level UV completions for  $N_R$ SMEFT  $d = 6$  and  $d = 7$  operators*, JHEP **08**, 166 (2023), arXiv:2306.12578 [hep-ph].
- [51] R. Beltrán, J. Günther, M. Hirsch, A. Titov and Z. S. Wang, *Heavy neutral leptons from kaons in effective field theory*, Phys. Rev. D **109**, 115014 (2024), arXiv:2309.11546 [hep-ph].
- [52] L. Duarte, J. Jones-Pérez and C. Manrique-Chavil, *Bounding the Dimension-5 Seesaw Portal with Non-Pointing Photon Searches* (11 2023), arXiv:2311.17989 [hep-ph].
- [53] R. Beltrán, P. D. Bolton, F. F. Deppisch, C. Hati and M. Hirsch, *Probing heavy neutrino magnetic moments at the LHC using long-lived particle searches*, JHEP **07**, 153 (2024), arXiv:2405.08877 [hep-ph].



- [54] D. Barducci and A. Dondarini, *Neutrino dipole portal at a high energy  $\mu$ -collider*, JHEP **10**, 165 (2024), arXiv:2404.09609 [hep-ph].
- [55] K. Fuyuto, J. Kumar, E. Mereghetti, S. Sandner and C. Sun, *Sterile neutrino dark matter within the  $\nu$ SMEFT*, JHEP **09**, 042 (2024), arXiv:2405.00119 [hep-ph].
- [56] M. Ardu and X. Marcano, *Completing the one-loop  $\nu$ SMEFT renormalization group evolution*, JHEP **10**, 212 (2024), arXiv:2407.16751 [hep-ph].
- [57] M. Mitra, S. Saha, M. Spannowsky and M. Takeuchi, *Probing right-handed neutrinos via tri-lepton signals at the HL-LHC* (8 2024), arXiv:2408.08565 [hep-ph].
- [58] A. Biswas, E. J. Chun, S. Mandal and D. Nanda, *Phenomenology of Dirac neutrino EFTs up to dimension six* (11 2024), arXiv:2411.17414 [hep-ph].
- [59] J. Wudka, *A Short course in effective Lagrangians*, AIP Conf.Proc. **531**, 81 (2000), arXiv:hep-ph/0002180 [hep-ph].
- [60] A. Datta, J. Kumar, H. Liu and D. Marfatia, *Anomalous dimensions from gauge couplings in SMEFT with right-handed neutrinos*, JHEP **02**, 015 (2021), arXiv:2010.12109 [hep-ph].
- [61] P. Bambade *et al.*, *The International Linear Collider: A Global Project* (3 2019), arXiv:1903.01629 [hep-ex].
- [62] A. Abada *et al.* (FCC), *FCC Physics Opportunities: Future Circular Collider Conceptual Design Report Volume 1*, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, 474 (2019).
- [63] M. Dong *et al.* (CEPC Study Group), *CEPC Conceptual Design Report: Volume 2 - Physics  $\mathcal{E}$  Detector* (11 2018), arXiv:1811.10545 [hep-ex].
- [64] P. Hernández, J. Jones-Pérez and O. Suarez-Navarro, *Majorana vs Pseudo-Dirac Neutrinos at the ILC*, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, 220 (2019), arXiv:1810.07210 [hep-ph].
- [65] S. Banerjee, P. S. B. Dev, A. Ibarra, T. Mandal and M. Mitra, *Prospects of Heavy Neutrino Searches at Future Lepton Colliders*, Phys. Rev. **D92**, 075002 (2015), arXiv:1503.05491 [hep-ph].
- [66] W. Liao and X.-H. Wu, *Signature of heavy sterile neutrinos at CEPC*, Phys. Rev. **D97**, 055005 (2018), arXiv:1710.09266 [hep-ph].
- [67] J. Alwall, R. Frederix, S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, H. S. Shao, T. Stelzer, P. Torrielli and M. Zaro, *The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations*, JHEP **07**, 079 (2014), arXiv:1405.0301 [hep-ph].

- [68] J. Alwall, M. Herquet, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer and T. Stelzer, *MadGraph 5 : Going Beyond*, JHEP **06**, 128 (2011), arXiv:1106.0522 [hep-ph].
- [69] T. Sjöstrand, S. Ask, J. R. Christiansen, R. Corke, N. Desai, P. Ilten, S. Mrenna, S. Prestel, C. O. Rasmussen and P. Z. Skands, *An Introduction to PYTHIA 8.2*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **191**, 159 (2015), arXiv:1410.3012 [hep-ph].
- [70] J. de Favereau, C. Delaere, P. Demin, A. Giammanco, V. Lemaître, A. Mertens and M. Selvaggi (DELPHES 3), *DELPHES 3, A modular framework for fast simulation of a generic collider experiment*, JHEP **02**, 057 (2014), arXiv:1307.6346 [hep-ex].
- [71] C. T. Potter, in *International Workshop on Future Linear Colliders* (2016) arXiv:1602.07748 [hep-ph]
- [72] E. Conte, B. Fuks and G. Serret, *MadAnalysis 5, A User-Friendly Framework for Collider Phenomenology*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **184**, 222 (2013), arXiv:1206.1599 [hep-ph].
- [73] P. A. Zyla *et al.* (Particle Data Group), *Review of Particle Physics*, PTEP **2020**, 083C01 (2020).
- [74] G. Magill, R. Plestid, M. Pospelov and Y.-D. Tsai, *Dipole Portal to Heavy Neutral Leptons*, Phys. Rev. D **98**, 115015 (2018), arXiv:1803.03262 [hep-ph].
- [75] P. Agostini *et al.* (LHeC, FCC-he Study Group), *The Large Hadron–Electron Collider at the HL-LHC*, J. Phys. G **48**, 110501 (2021), arXiv:2007.14491 [hep-ex].
- [76] J. Abelleira Fernandez *et al.* (LHeC Study Group), *A Large Hadron Electron Collider at CERN: Report on the Physics and Design Concepts for Machine and Detector*, J.Phys. **G39**, 075001 (2012), arXiv:1206.2913 [physics.acc-ph].
- [77] O. Bruening and M. Klein, *The Large Hadron Electron Collider*, Mod.Phys.Lett. **A28**, 1330011 (2013), arXiv:1305.2090 [physics.acc-ph].
- [78] A. Hocker *et al.*, *TMVA - Toolkit for Multivariate Data Analysis* (3 2007), arXiv:physics/0703039.