

Relativistic Correction to the Magnetic Moment of the Charged Lepton

Abdelhamid Albaid *

*Department of Physics, College of Science, University of Ha'il, Ha'il 81451, Saudi Arabia; abdelhamid.albaid@okstate.edu
February 18, 2025

Abstract

The general Hamiltonian expression, up to the first-order relativistic correction, was derived by breaking the four-component Dirac equation into the two-component Dirac-Pauli equation. This Hamiltonian incorporates the first-order relativistic correction to the electromagnetic interaction with the spin and orbital magnetic moments of the charged lepton, distinguishing it from other generic Hamiltonians. The first-order relativistic adjustment to the Landé g-factor, or equivalently to the magnetic moment, was calculated using this generalized Hamiltonian for hydrogen-like atoms in the presence of an external magnetic field. It turns out that when we impose specific states, the formulations found in the literature match this relativistic correction. Furthermore, we have shown that the relativistic effect-induced energy shift of order α^2 depends on the square of the azimuthal quantum number m_j^2 , as well as on both the principal and total quantum numbers, denoted respectively by n and j . This is in contrast to the case in the absence of an external magnetic field, where the energy shift depends only on n .

1 Introduction

The decomposition of the four-component Dirac equation into two two-component Dirac-Pauli equations is discussed in several texts on relativistic quantum mechanics and quantum field theory [1, 2, 3]. This analysis is used to obtain an explicit term that describes the energy interaction of spin magnetic moment with the magnetic field \vec{B} as:

$$E = -\vec{\mu}_s \cdot \vec{B}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\vec{\mu}_s$ is the spin-magnetic moment defined in terms of the gyromagnetic ratio g_s or the so-called Landé g-factor as:

$$\vec{\mu}_s = g_s \mu_B \vec{S} / \hbar, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\mu_B = e\hbar/2mc$ is the Bohr magneton and $\vec{S} = \hbar\vec{\sigma}/2$ is the spin operator of the charged lepton. Thus, this decomposition implies the magnetic moment of the charged lepton is twice the Bohr magneton which corresponds to the tree-level value of gyromagnetic ratio $g_s = 2$, as predicted by Dirac theory. Higher-order contributions, beyond the tree level, can be absorbed into the multiplicative factor of g_s , as defined in equation 1.2.

The decomposition mentioned earlier has been thoroughly analyzed by normalizing the large two-component wavefunction[1]. This approach proves valuable in uncovering the underlying physics within the seemingly simple Dirac equation. In this paper, we adopt a similar approach, with the novelty lying in deriving a general Hamiltonian expression by considering the first-order relativistic correction to the interactions of the magnetic moment of both spin and orbital angular momenta with the magnetic field. This approach introduces a new analysis not previously considered in the literature, to the best of our knowledge. The detailed expression of the Hamiltonian is obtained by normalizing the large two-component spinor and addressing the mathematical complexities, as shown in the Appendices. Throughout this paper, the first-order relativistic correction refers to the order of v^3/c^3 for the interaction of the magnetic field with the magnetic moment associated with the spin and the orbital angular momenta of a charged lepton, and to the order v^2/c^2 for other interaction terms.

The general expression of the Hamiltonian allows us to apply it to both scattering or bound state systems. In this paper, we examined the applicability of the Hamiltonian to the bound-state system, such as hydrogen-like atoms, where we calculated the first-order relativistic correction to the Landé g -factor, reflecting the correction to the magnetic moment, associated with both orbital angular momentum and the spin of the electron.

There is an observed deviation from $g_s = 2$ for both the electron and the muon. This deviation is called an anomalous magnetic moment. The first successful explanation of this deviation was provided by J. Schwinger [4], who calculated the deviation from 2 to be of order $\alpha/2\pi$ by taking into account that the physical electron can emit or absorb a virtual photon. Here α is the fine structure constant given by

$$\alpha = \frac{e}{4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c} \approx 1/137. \quad (1.3)$$

Quantum electrodynamics (QED) contributions of order α to g_s come with a positive sign while those of order α^2 come with a negative sign [5, 6]. In fact, the standard model (SM) sums up the contributions from QED, weak, and strong interactions to predict the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon [7], which is in discrepancy of around 4.2 σ deviation from the updated experimental observations [8].

In this paper, we computed the first-order relativistic correction to the g_J -factor by employing our general Hamiltonian, given in equations 3.20 – 3.23, for hydrogen-like atoms. Here, the g_J -factor includes the spin and orbital g -factors denoted, respectively, by g_s and g_l . In our computation, the correction of order α^2 comes with the same negative sign as the correction calculated in [5, 6]. In quantum field theory, the first-order correction is of order α , but since we do not include quantum field treatment in this paper, we obtain the α^2 term as the first-order approximation. In addition, the first-order relativistic correction to the g_J -value, given in 4.24 and 4.25, provides a general expression for arbitrary values of the quantum numbers n , j and m_j . Equation 4.24 yields the exact result of the two equations obtained by [9] when these equations are expanded to the order of α^2 . This can be achieved by imposing specific excited states, such as $n = l_{\max} + 1$ and $m_j = \pm j$, or by considering the ground state, where $j = 1/2$.

Equations 3.20 through 3.23 describe the four components of the Hamiltonian. For arbitrary electromagnetic fields, the first-order relativistic correction to the spin and orbital magnetic moments are explained by equations 3.22 and 3.21, respectively. We found that the electromagnetic interactions with both moments are connected to the expectation value of kinetic energy when the static magnetic field is applied to the hydrogen-like atom. The relativistic correction to the Landé g -factor, g_J , can be inferred by adding these interactions. The first-order relativistic correction for hydrogen-like atoms, in the absence of an external magnetic field, generates a negative energy shift of order α^2 , which depends solely on the principal quantum number n . However, in the study of the first-order relativistic correction to the Zeeman effect, we found that the negative energy shift of order α^2 does not depend only on n but also on the quantum numbers of total angular momentum j and the square of the azimuthal quantum number m_j^2 , as shown in equations 4.24 and 4.25. It is worth mentioning that the ground state exhibits the largest negative shift of order $\alpha^2/3$.

The structure of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 gives an introduction to the Pauli equation, sets our definition and notations used in this paper, and obtains the tree-level value of $g_s = 2$, as predicted by Dirac. In section 3, we decompose the Dirac equation into the Pauli-Dirac equation while keeping the first-order relativistic effects in the interaction of magnetic moment and magnetic field. Furthermore, the general expression of Hamiltonian, which can be applied to both scattering and bound quantum systems, is derived. Section 4 employs the Hamiltonian for hydrogen-like atoms under the influence of an external uniform magnetic field. The first-order relativistic correction to Landé g -factor of the electron, equivalently its magnetic moment, was computed. Certain conditions on the familiar quantum numbers of hydrogen-like atoms are imposed to retrieve the results of [9]. The conclusion is drawn in Section 5. Appendices A and B show the calculations of two complex expressions resulting from the steps of the Hamiltonian derivation to its final form.

2 Pauli Equation for the Spin-Magnetic Interaction

The Schrödinger equation does not include the electron's spin and its interaction with a magnetic field. We can account for both effects of spin and magnetic interaction by using an extension for the Schrödinger equation called the Pauli equation. In the absence of magnetic interaction or any other interactions, the free Hamiltonian of the Pauli spinor is given by

$$\frac{p^2}{2m}\chi = E\chi. \quad (2.1)$$

Here χ is the two-component spinor of the electron. In this case, the kinetic energy operator is multiplied by a 2×2 identity matrix. Using Pauli's identity

$$(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{a})(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{b}) = \vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} + i\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{a} \times \vec{b}), \quad (2.2)$$

one might rewrite the equation 2.1 as:

$$\frac{(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p})(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p})}{2m}\chi = E\chi, \quad (2.3)$$

where σ^i are the Pauli matrices given by

$$\sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.4)$$

In quantum mechanics, when an electron is coupled to an electromagnetic field, the linear momentum changes to canonical momentum $\vec{\pi} = \vec{p} - \frac{e}{c}\vec{A}$. By making this replacement, we obtain the following.

$$\frac{(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})}{2m}\chi = E\chi. \quad (2.5)$$

The canonical momentum $\vec{\pi}$ contains two operators: the linear momentum and the vector potential. The latter depends on the position operator. It is well known in quantum mechanics that position and momentum operators do not commute, as a consequence of the uncertainty principle. So, applying Pauli's identity to the left-hand side of equation 2.5, we get:

$$(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})^2 = \pi^2 - \frac{e\hbar}{c}\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}, \quad (2.6)$$

where the momentum operator is given as $\vec{p} = -i\hbar\vec{\nabla}$, and we have used $\vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}$. Throughout this paper, we use the notation \vec{p} for the momentum operator when it acts only on the wavefunction, and $\vec{\nabla}$ when it acts on anything else. By plugging equation 2.6 into equation 2.5, we obtain the Pauli equation, which provides the tree-level description of the spin magnetic moment interaction with the magnetic field

$$\left(\frac{\pi^2}{2m} - \frac{e\hbar\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}}{2m} \right) \chi = E\chi. \quad (2.7)$$

The first term on the left-hand side of equation 2.7 handles all the effects of the magnetic field on the electron motion, while the second term represents the tree-level description of the spin magnetic moment interaction with the magnetic field. Of course, one may add the scalar potential to these terms. Isolate the second term of the equation 2.7 as

$$U_{Pauli} = -\frac{e\hbar\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}}{2m} = -\vec{\mu}_s \cdot \vec{B}, \quad (2.8)$$

where $\vec{\mu}_s$ is defined in equation 1.2 with $g_s = 2$, as predicted by Dirac theory.

3 Relativistic Correction to the Dirac-Pauli Equation

In this section, we will derive the Pauli equation from the Dirac equation in the presence of a magnetic field, retaining the tiny remnant of relativistic corrections of the order v^2/c^2 and v^3/c^3 . Several terms of the Hamiltonian, with physically meaningful interpretations, will be analyzed with the primary focus on the relativistic correction to the magnetic moment. In the presence of an electromagnetic field, the four-momentum operator is modified by adding the four-vector potential A_μ to it. The relativistic quantum mechanical interaction of a charged lepton with an electromagnetic field can be expressed by the Dirac equation. The Dirac equation with the covariant derivative is given by:

$$(i\gamma^\mu \hbar D_\mu(x) - mc) \Psi(x) = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$D_\mu(x) = \partial_\mu + \frac{ie}{\hbar c} A_\mu(x), \quad (3.2)$$

is called the covariant derivative, which differs from the ordinary derivative ∂_μ by an additional term involving the four-vector potential $A_\mu(x)$. This vector potential is introduced as a consequence of imposing the invariance of the Dirac Lagrangian under $U(1)$ local gauge symmetry, instead of $U(1)$ global gauge symmetry. The invariant mass m is defined as:

$$mc = \sqrt{p^\mu p_\mu} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{E}{c}\right)^2 - |\vec{P}|^2 c^2}, \quad (3.3)$$

and γ^μ are the Dirac gamma matrices, given in the chiral (or Weyl) representation by:

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.4)$$

where σ^i are the Pauli matrices, and $\Psi(x)$ is the Dirac bispinor, written as:

$$\Psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L(x) \\ \psi_R(x) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.5)$$

We have assumed that $A_\mu(x)$ is time-independent, and that the time dependence of the wavefunction $\Psi(x)$ is as follows:

$$\Psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} u_L \\ u_R \end{pmatrix} e^{-iEt/\hbar}. \quad (3.6)$$

It is known $i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi(x) = E\Psi(x)$. Now, we can decompose the four-component Dirac equation into two coupled two-component equations:

$$\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi} u_R = \left(p_0 - \frac{eA_0}{c} - m_0c \right) u_L, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi} u_L = \left(p_0 - \frac{eA_0}{c} + m_0c \right) u_R. \quad (3.8)$$

We can eliminate u_R from equation 3.8 by using equation 3.7 to obtain:

$$(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi}) \frac{c^2}{E - A_0 + mc^2} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi}) u_L = (E - eA_0 - m_0c^2) u_L \quad (3.9)$$

where we have used $p_0 = \frac{E}{c}$. The energy can be expanded above mc^2 as:

$$E = mc^2 + E_R. \quad (3.10)$$

Equation 3.9 then becomes in terms of E_R :

$$(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi}) \frac{c^2}{E_R - A_0 + 2m_0c^2} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi}) u_L = (E_R - eA_0) u_L. \quad (3.11)$$

The non-relativistic limit is obtained when $E_R \approx 0$, under the assumption that $2m_0c^2 \gg eA_0$. In this limit, the Dirac equation decomposes into a Pauli-like equation (2.7) with the zeroth-order value of $g_s = 2$ [2, 3]. On the other hand, when these assumptions are relaxed, and we expand the energy above the rest mass energy, we observe that the magnetic moment of the charged lepton is modified compared to the non-relativistic scenario. This modification can be reflected as a deviation from $g_e = 2$. The lower component u_R is suppressed by an order of $\frac{v^2}{c^2}$ compared to u_L , as shown by equation 3.8. In this section, we will go beyond the zeroth order and include the first relativistic-order correction. Up to this order, u_L is not well normalized, and we encounter a probabilistic difficulty, as required by the normalization condition of Dirac spinors:

$$\int \left(u_L^\dagger u_L + u_R^\dagger u_R \right) d^3r = 1. \quad (3.12)$$

Writing u_R in terms of u_L , we obtain

$$\int \left(u_L^\dagger u_L + u_L^\dagger \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi} \frac{c^2}{(E_R - eA_0 + 2m_0c^2)^2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi} u_L \right) d^3r = 1. \quad (3.13)$$

The normalized wavefunction is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} U_L &= \Omega u_L \\ &\approx \left(1 + \frac{1}{8m^2c^2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi} (1+y)^{-2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi} \right) u_L, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where $y = \frac{E_R - eA_0}{2m_0c^2}$. Writing equation 3.11 in terms of the redefined wavefunction U_L by multiplying equation 3.11 from left by Ω^{-1} yields

$$HU_L = E_R U_L, \quad (3.15)$$

where the Hamiltonian H is defined as:

$$H \approx \frac{(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})^2}{2m} + eA_0 - \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi} (E_R - eA_0) \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi}}{4m^2c^2} - \frac{(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})^4}{8m^3c^2} + \frac{\{(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})^2, (E_R - eA_0)\}}{8m^2c^2}. \quad (3.16)$$

We have dropped terms of order higher than $\frac{v^2}{c^2}$. Applying the following anti-commutation relation for any two operators:

$$\{A^2, B\} - 2ABA = [A, [A, B]], \quad (3.17)$$

to the last term of equation 3.16, cancels the third term in equation 3.16, and the Hamiltonian simplifies to

$$H \approx \frac{(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})^2}{2m} + eA_0 - \frac{(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})^4}{8m^3c^2} + \frac{[\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi}, [\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi}, (E_R - eA_0)]]}{8m^2c^2}. \quad (3.18)$$

The detailed calculations of the third and fourth terms in equation 3.18 are presented in Appendix A and B, respectively. Substituting equations A-3, A-5 and B-4 into equation 3.18, we obtain:

$$H = H_0 + H_l + H_s + H_{sl}, \quad (3.19)$$

where

$$H_0 \approx \frac{p^2}{2m} + eA_0 + \frac{e^2}{2mc^2} A^2 - \frac{p^4}{8m^3c^2} - \frac{e\hbar^2}{8m^2c^2} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}, \quad (3.20)$$

$$H_l \approx -\frac{e}{mc} \left(1 + \frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2c^2} \nabla_A^2 + \frac{i\hbar}{2m^2c^2} (\nabla_A \cdot \vec{p}) - \frac{1}{2m^2c^2} p^2 \right) (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{p}), \quad (3.21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_s \approx & -\frac{e\hbar}{2mc} \left(1 - \frac{2}{4m^2c^2} p^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2c^2} \nabla_B^2 + \frac{2i\hbar}{4m^2c^2} (\nabla_B \cdot \vec{p}) \right) (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}), \\ & - \frac{2e^2\hbar}{8m^2c^3} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{A} \times \vec{E}) - \frac{2e^2\hbar}{8m^2c^3} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \left(\vec{A} \times \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

$$H_{sl} \approx -\frac{2e\hbar}{8m^2c^2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{E} \times \vec{p}) - \frac{2e\hbar}{8m^2c^2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \times \vec{p} \right). \quad (3.23)$$

Based on the types of interactions, the Hamiltonian is divided into four parts, which are as follows: the kinetic and potential energy, along with their first-order relativistic correction, are described by H_0 ; the interaction of the charged lepton's orbital motion and spin with an external electromagnetic field, as well as their first-order relativistic corrections, are described by H_l and H_s , respectively; and the spin-orbital interaction of the charged lepton is described by H_{ls} .

Starting with a brief explanation of the terms in equation 3.20. The first and second terms correspond to the kinetic and potential energies, respectively. The third term, known as the diamagnetic term, is neglected in the case of the hydrogen atom. The fourth term is the first relativistic correction to the kinetic energy, as shown by:

$$E = mc^2 \sqrt{1 + \frac{p^2}{m^2 c^2}} = mc^2 + \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{p^4}{8m^3 c^2} + \dots \quad (3.24)$$

The last term is called Darwin's term, which is related to the charge density since $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = \epsilon_0 \rho$. This term is considered an energy shift that affects only the S-state of the hydrogen atom. The terms inside the parentheses in equation 3.21 are the leading and the first-order relativistic corrections to the parametric term $\vec{A} \cdot \vec{p}$, which describes the interaction of the magnetic field with angular momentum, or equivalently, with the magnetic moment of the charged particle due to its orbital motion.

The physical meaning of each term of equation 3.22 is as follows: The first term describes the interaction of the magnetic moment due to the spin with the magnetic field, while the other terms, suppressed by c^3 , are considered the first-order relativistic correction to the spin-magnetic moment. These corrections will be computed in the next section for hydrogen-like atoms. The physical meanings of the first and second terms in the second line of equation 3.22 are, respectively, the interaction of the spin of the charged particle with an apparent magnetic field expressed by $\vec{E} \times \frac{\vec{p}}{mc}$ and $\frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \times \frac{\vec{p}}{mc}$. These apparent fields can arise either from the motion of the charged particle through the electric field (as in the case of the first term) or from its motion through the time-varying vector potential (as in the case of the second term).

We turn to the Hamiltonian of equation 3.23, which is related to the interaction of the spin of the charged lepton with its own orbital angular momentum $\vec{L} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p}$. It is interesting to emphasize that the first term of equation 3.23 gives exactly the sum of both the Larmor and Thomas interaction energies. The first is simply the interaction of the spin magnetic moment of the charged lepton with the apparent magnetic field, and the latter is due to the energy associated with the precession of the charged lepton's spin.

The importance of the structure of the Hamiltonian in equations 3.19-3.23 lies in its generality, which applies to both scattering systems and bound-state systems. An example of the latter is hydrogen-like atoms under the influence of a constant magnetic field, as analyzed in the next section.

4 Hydrogen-like Atom and Relativistic Corrections to the Magnetic Moment

In this section, we employ equations 3.19-3.23 for the hydrogen-like atom, and then focus on the calculation of the first-order relativistic corrections to the magnetic moment of the electron due to its spin and orbital angular momenta. We verified that our Hamiltonian yields the exact relativistic corrections to the magnetic moment of the electron, up to order α^2 , in agreement with the results obtained by [9], for both the excited and ground states. The advantage of discussing the hydrogen-like atom, which is considered an example of the spherically symmetric central potential, is to give a transparent physical meaning to each term of the Hamiltonian. Certainly, there are energy splittings due to the interaction of the magnetic moment with an applied external static magnetic field, \vec{B} , known as the Zeeman effect. We assume the applied magnetic field is aligned along the z-axis, and the vector potential \vec{A} is given by

$$\vec{A} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{B} \times \vec{r}). \quad (4.1)$$

The action of the operators in the second and third terms inside the parentheses of equation 3.21 on the vector field \vec{A} is zero. Therefore, the parametric term, along with its first-order relativistic correction, reduces to

$$H_l \approx -\frac{e}{2mc} \left(1 - \frac{K}{mc^2}\right) \vec{B} \cdot \vec{L}, \quad (4.2)$$

where we have used $(\vec{B} \times \vec{r}) \cdot \vec{p} = \vec{B} \cdot (\vec{r} \times \vec{p})$, the angular momentum operator \vec{L} is defined as $\vec{L} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p}$, and $K = \frac{p^2}{2m}$ is the kinetic energy operator. It is known that the magnetic moment of an electron due to its orbital motion is given by

$$\vec{\mu}_l = \frac{e\vec{L}}{2mc}. \quad (4.3)$$

Thus, the Hamiltonian H_l describes the interaction of the magnetic field \vec{B} with the magnetic moment, $\vec{\mu}_l$, of the electron due to its orbital motion. Turning to the Hamiltonian H_s of equation 3.22, which includes the electron's spin, and assuming that the vector potential and magnetic field are static, and that the magnetic field is space-independent. Accordingly, equation 3.22 simplifies to

$$H_s \approx -\frac{e\hbar}{2mc} \left((\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}) - \frac{2}{4m^2c^2} p^2 (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}) + \frac{2e}{4mc^2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{A} \times \vec{E}) \right). \quad (4.4)$$

The spin-orbit interaction in the first term of equation 3.23 can be clearly seen by substituting the electric field of the nucleus with atomic number Z , given by

$$\vec{E} = -\frac{Ze}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r^2} \hat{r}, \quad (4.5)$$

and recalling that the orbital angular momentum is given by $\vec{L} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p}$. By substituting 4.5, the third term of equation 4.4 can be written as

$$e\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{A} \times \vec{E}) = \frac{e}{2} (\vec{B} \times \vec{r}) \times \vec{E} = -\frac{U}{2} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}) + \frac{U \cos \theta}{2} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{r}), \quad (4.6)$$

where we have defined the potential energy as $U = -\frac{Ze^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r}$ and θ is the azimuthal angle in spherical coordinates. Adding the Hamiltonians of equations 4.2 and 4.4 in terms of the potential and the kinetic energy operators gives the following:

$$H_{\sigma l} \approx H_{\sigma l}^0 + H_{\sigma l}^r, \quad (4.7)$$

where $H_{\sigma l}^0$ and $H_{\sigma l}^r$ are, respectively, the leading and the first-order relativistic corrections, given by

$$H_{\sigma l}^0 \approx -\frac{e}{2mc} \vec{B} \cdot (2\vec{S} + \vec{L}), \quad (4.8)$$

$$H_{\sigma l}^r \approx -\frac{e}{2m^2c^3} (\vec{F} \cdot \vec{S} - K(\vec{B} \cdot \vec{L})), \quad (4.9)$$

and

$$\vec{F} = -2K\vec{B} - \frac{U}{2}\vec{B} + \frac{U \cos \theta}{2} B\hat{r}. \quad (4.10)$$

The interaction of the spin and orbital magnetic moment with the magnetic field can be written as

$$g_J \vec{J} \cdot \vec{B} = (g_s \vec{S} + g_l \vec{L}) \cdot \vec{B}, \quad (4.11)$$

where g_J is the total Landé g-factor, g_s is the spin g-factor, and g_l is the orbital g-factor. The expectation value of $\langle H^0 \rangle$ is considered the non-relativistic limit of the magnetic moment interactions with a magnetic field. Suppose that the basis of the unperturbed wavefunction of a hydrogen-like atom in the absence of an external magnetic field is represented by $|n, j, m_j\rangle$, where n , j and m_j are, respectively, the principal quantum number, the total angular momentum quantum number, and the magnetic quantum number. By employing the following projection operator for an arbitrary vector \vec{A} :

$$\langle j', m_{j'} | \vec{A} | j, m_j \rangle = \frac{\langle j, m_j | \vec{A} \cdot \vec{J} | j, m_j \rangle}{j(j+1)\hbar^2} \langle j', m_{j'} | \vec{J} | j, m_j \rangle, \quad (4.12)$$

which can be proved using the Wigner-Eckart theorem. We obtain the well-known expression for the leading value of Landé g-factor

$$g_J^0 = 1 + \zeta(j, l, s) \quad (4.13)$$

where

$$\zeta(j, l, s) = \frac{j(j+1) - l(l+1) + s(s+1)}{2j(j+1)}. \quad (4.14)$$

Here, we have substituted the leading values $g_s = 2$ and $g_l = 1$ and used the relations below:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{S} \cdot \vec{J} &= \frac{1}{2}(J^2 + S^2 - L^2), \\ \vec{L} \cdot \vec{J} &= \frac{1}{2}(J^2 - S^2 + L^2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Here, $\vec{J} = \vec{L} + \vec{S}$ is the total angular momentum operator and the eigenvalue equation for three momenta, generally labeled as $q = J, L, S$, satisfies $q^2|j, l, s\rangle = q(q+1)\hbar^2|j, l, s\rangle$. In order to compute the first-order relativistic correction to $\langle H_{\text{rel}}^r \rangle$, we need to compute $\langle \vec{F} \cdot \vec{S} \rangle$ in equation 4.9 by employing the projection operator from equation 4.12, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle j', m'_j | F_i | j'', m_{j''} \rangle \langle j'', m_{j''} | S_i | j, m_j \rangle &= \langle j', m_{j'} | F_i | j'', m_{j''} \rangle \frac{\langle j, m_j | \vec{S} \cdot \vec{J} | j, m_j \rangle}{j(j+1)\hbar^2} \langle j'', m_{j''} | J_i | j, m_j \rangle, \\ &= \langle j', m_{j'} | F_i | j'', m_{j''} \rangle \langle j'', m_{j''} | J_i | j, m_j \rangle \frac{\langle j, m_j | \vec{S} \cdot \vec{J} | j, m_j \rangle}{j(j+1)\hbar^2}, \\ &= \langle j', m_{j'} | \vec{F} \cdot \vec{J} | j, m_j \rangle \frac{\langle j, m_j | \vec{S} \cdot \vec{J} | j, m_j \rangle}{j(j+1)\hbar^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

where we have inserted the completeness relation $|j'', m_{j''}\rangle \langle j'', m_{j''}| = 1$, the summation over j'' and $m_{j''}$ is understood. If we impose $\langle j', m_{j'} | = \langle j, m_j |$, the only component along the z -axis of $\vec{F} \cdot \vec{J}$ would survive. Thus, $\langle j, m_j | \vec{F} \cdot \vec{J} | j, m_j \rangle = m_j \langle j, m_j | F_z | j, m_j \rangle$ holds, and we have:

$$\langle j, m_j | F_z | j, m_j \rangle = -\langle K \rangle B(1 + \langle \cos^2 \theta \rangle), \quad (4.17)$$

where we have used $\langle U \cos^2 \theta \rangle = \langle U \rangle \langle \cos^2 \theta \rangle$ since the potential energy is spherically symmetric, and employed the virial theorem: $\langle U \rangle = -2\langle K \rangle$. The expectation value of the kinetic energy and the potential energy can be found in two ways. One method is using the two-component wave function solution of the Dirac equation for the hydrogen atom. The other method is to refer to the relativistic energy bound state solution given by [10].

$$\begin{aligned} E_n &= mc^2 \left[1 + \left(\frac{Z\alpha}{n - (j + \frac{1}{2}) + \sqrt{(j + \frac{1}{2})^2 - Z^2\alpha^2}} \right)^2 \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \\ &\approx mc^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(Z\alpha)^2}{n^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(Z\alpha)^4}{n^3} \left(\frac{1}{j + 1/2} - \frac{3}{4n} \right) - \dots \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

where $j = l \pm \frac{1}{2}$. The second term of order α^2 is the sum of the lowest order of potential and kinetic energies, $\langle U \rangle + \langle K \rangle$, while the third term of order α^4 arises due to three contributions: Darwin's term, spin-orbit term, and the first-order relativistic correction to the kinetic energy term. In this analysis, we only keep terms up to the order α^2 . So, $E_n \approx mc^2 + \langle U \rangle + \langle K \rangle \approx mc^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(Z\alpha)^2}{n^2} mc^2$. Employing the virial theorem once again, we obtain:

$$\langle K \rangle = \frac{(Z\alpha)^2}{2n^2} mc^2. \quad (4.19)$$

Next, we proceed to calculate $\langle \cos^2 \theta \rangle$ as follows:

$$\langle \cos^2(\theta) \rangle = \int y_{l_j}^{\pm, m_j}(r, \theta, \phi) \cos^2(\theta) y_{l_j}^{\pm, m_j}(r, \theta, \phi) \sin(\theta) d\theta d\phi, \quad (4.20)$$

where $y_l^{\pm, m_j}(r, \theta, \phi)$ for $j = l \pm 1/2$ is given by [10]

$$y_l^{\pm, m_j}(r, \theta, \phi) = \pm \sqrt{\frac{l \pm m_j + 1/2}{2l + 1}} Y_l^{m_j - 1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \sqrt{\frac{l \mp m_j + 1/2}{2l + 1}} Y_l^{m_j + 1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.21)$$

So, it turns out that for two possible values of j , $j = l \pm 1/2$, we get the following expression:

$$\langle \cos^2(\theta) \rangle = \frac{j^2 + j - m_j^2}{2j(j + 1)}. \quad (4.22)$$

To determine the first-order relativistic correction to the Landé g -factor, we begin by considering the interaction energy of the electron's magnetic moment with an external magnetic field, expressed in terms of the Landé g -factor as:

$$H_{\sigma l} = -\frac{e\hbar}{2mc} g_J m_j B. \quad (4.23)$$

Next, we compute the expectation value of $\langle H_{\sigma l} \rangle$ by evaluating the expectation values of the two operators: $\vec{S} \cdot \vec{J}$, which appears in equation 4.16, and $\vec{L} \cdot \vec{J}$, which arises from applying the projection theorem to the second term of equation 4.9. By applying the relations from equation 4.15 and matching $\langle H_{\sigma l} \rangle$ with the expression in equation 4.23, we derive the general expression for the Landé g -factor for an arbitrary $j = l \pm \frac{1}{2}$ and m_j , as follows:

$$g_{J+} = g_{J+}^0 \left(1 - \frac{Z^2 \alpha^2}{2n^2} \left(\frac{j(4j + 1)(j + 1) - m_j^2}{2j(j + 1)(2j + 1)} \right) \right) \quad \text{for } j = l + 1/2, \quad (4.24)$$

$$g_{J-} = g_{J-}^0 \left(1 - \frac{Z^2 \alpha^2}{2n^2} \left(\frac{j(4j + 3)(j + 1) + m_j^2}{2j(j + 1)(2j + 1)} \right) \right) \quad \text{for } j = l - 1/2, \quad (4.25)$$

where g_{j+}^0 and g_{j-}^0 represent the Landé- g factors in the non-relativistic limit, defined as follows:

$$g_{J+}^0 = \frac{2j + 1}{2j} \quad \text{for } j = l + 1/2 \quad (4.26)$$

$$g_{J-}^0 = \frac{2j + 1}{2(j + 1)} \quad \text{for } j = l - 1/2 \quad (4.27)$$

The energy levels of a hydrogen-like atom in the presence of an external magnetic field split according to the possible values of m_j , which range from $\pm j$ to $\pm 1/2$, as described by the Zeeman effect. However, these energy levels are shifted due to the relativistic corrections of order α^2 to the Landé- g factor, as shown from the equations of 4.24 and 4.25. The range of values for m_j determines the magnitude of the energy shift. When $j = l + 1/2$, $m_j = \pm j$ corresponds to the minimal shift in the energy splittings, whereas $m_j = \pm 1/2$ corresponds to the maximum shift. On the other hand, for $j = l - 1/2$, the opposite holds. In the case of $m_j = \pm j$, the two equations of 4.24 and 4.25 simplify to:

$$g_{J+} = g_{J+}^0 \left(1 - \frac{Z^2 \alpha^2}{4n^2} \frac{2j + 1}{(j + 1)} \right), \quad \text{for } j = l + 1/2 \quad (4.28)$$

$$g_{J-} = g_{J-}^0 \left(1 - \frac{Z^2 \alpha^2}{4n^2} \frac{2j + 3}{(j + 1)} \right), \quad \text{for } j = l - 1/2 \text{ and } l \neq 0 \quad (4.29)$$

The magnitude of the energy shift due to relativistic effects in the case of $n = l_{\max} + 1$ and $j = l_{\max} \pm 1/2$ for $m_j = \pm j$ is given by

$$\frac{E^+ - E_0^+}{E_0^+} \approx \pm \frac{Z^2 \alpha^2}{(l_{\max} + 1)(2l_{\max} + 3)}, \quad \text{for } j = l_{\max} + 1/2 \quad (4.30)$$

$$\frac{E^- - E_0^-}{E_0^-} \approx \pm \frac{Z^2 \alpha^2}{(l_{\max} + 1)(2l_{\max} + 1)}, \quad \text{for } j = l_{\max} - 1/2 \text{ and } l_{\max} \neq 0 \quad (4.31)$$

where E_0^\pm is the energy level in the non-relativistic limit when $j = l_{\max} \pm 1$. The relativistic effects decrease with higher energy levels, as shown in equations 4.30 and 4.31. This is consistent with the fact that the electron's speed decreases as the energy levels increase, making relativistic effects less significant at higher levels. Therefore, the energy shift of order $Z^2\alpha^2/3$ is greatest in the ground state and decreases with increasing values of n . It should be noted that for the excited state scenario, where $n = l_{\max} + 1$, and the ground state scenario, where $j = 1/2$, equation 4.28 precisely matches the expansion of the two expressions derived by [9] to the order of α^2 . The magnetic moment of the electron is obtained from the Landé g-factor by using

$$\vec{\mu}_e = \frac{egJ}{2mc}\vec{J}. \quad (4.32)$$

This magnetic moment expresses the sum of magnetic moments due to the spin and orbital angular momenta. The spin magnetic moment contributes to both the ground and excited states, while the orbital magnetic moment contributes to the states with $l \neq 0$.

5 Conclusion

The interaction between the spin magnetic moment and the magnetic field is explicitly revealed by decomposing the four-component Dirac equation into the Pauli-Dirac equation. The non-relativistic limit of this decomposition yields the tree-level value $g_s = 2$, as predicted by Dirac theory. By including the first-order relativistic correction, a deviation from $g_s = 2$ becomes apparent. We derive a general Hamiltonian up to the first-order relativistic correction, which lays the groundwork for further investigations into various physical quantum systems, such as bound and scattering states. In this paper, we apply this general Hamiltonian to hydrogen-like atoms under the influence of a uniform magnetic field. The first-order relativistic correction to the Landé g-factor of the electron, related to its magnetic moment by equation 4.32, is derived. A negative energy shift of order α^2 arises as a consequence of the first relativistic correction. This energy shift depends on the quantum numbers n , j , and the square of m_j . On the other hand, the negative energy shift for hydrogen-like atoms in the absence of an external magnetic field depends only on n , as shown by equation 4.18. By selecting specific orbits, such as $n = l_{\max} + 1$, $m_j = \pm j$, and $j = l_{\max} + 1/2$, the result in equation 4.24 matches the published results of [9] for both excited and ground states. The maximum negative energy shift of order $\alpha^2/3$ is obtained for the ground state.

Appendix A: Calculation of $\frac{(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})^4}{8m^3c^2}$

Start with

$$(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})^2 = \left(\vec{p} - \frac{e\vec{A}}{c} \right)^2 - \frac{e\hbar}{c} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}. \quad (A-1)$$

Here, we assume the momentum operator \vec{p} acts on an invisible wave function that exists in front of all operators and the operator $\vec{\nabla}$ with a subindex acts exclusively on that function, as indicated by the subindex. For clarification, let us do the following

$$\left(\vec{p} - \frac{e\vec{A}}{c} \right)^2 = \left(\vec{p} - \frac{e\vec{A}}{c} \right) \left(\vec{p} - \frac{e\vec{A}}{c} \right) = p^2 + i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_A \cdot \vec{A} - \frac{2e}{c} \vec{A} \cdot \vec{p} + \frac{e^2}{c^2} A^2. \quad (A-2)$$

The second term on the right-hand side is zero by employing the Coulomb gauge $\vec{\nabla}_A \cdot \vec{A} = 0$, so equation A-1 becomes the following:

$$(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})^2 = p^2 - \frac{2e}{c} \vec{A} \cdot \vec{p} + \frac{e^2}{c^2} A^2 - \frac{e\hbar}{c} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}. \quad (A-3)$$

Now, operate $(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})$ on both sides of equation A-3, and keep only the terms of order $1/c$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})^3 &= \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p} p^2 + \frac{2ie\hbar}{c} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_A) (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{p}) - \frac{2e}{c} (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{p}) (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}) \\
&\quad - \frac{e\hbar}{c} (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{B} + \hbar \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{\nabla}_B \times \vec{B}) + i \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{p} \times \vec{B})) - \frac{e}{c} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A} p^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{A-4}$$

where we have used $\vec{\nabla}_B \cdot \vec{B} = 0$, and

$$\sigma_i \sigma_j = \delta_{ij} + i \epsilon_{ijk} \sigma_k, \tag{A-5}$$

where ϵ_{ijk} is the Levi-Civita tensor, which is antisymmetric under interchanging indices. Since the term $\frac{(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})^4}{8m^3 c^2}$ is suppressed by c^2 , we have dropped terms suppressed by at least c^2 in equation A-4. Operate once again $(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})$ on both sides of equation A-4 and follow the same procedure. We obtain, after going through careful simplification,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})^4}{8m^3 c^2} &= \frac{p^4}{8m^3 c^2} - \frac{4e}{8m^3 c^3} \left(p^2 - i\hbar (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_A) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \nabla_A^2 \right) (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{p}) \\
&\quad - \frac{2e\hbar}{8m^3 c^3} \left(p^2 - i\hbar (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_B) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \nabla_B^2 \right) (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B})
\end{aligned} \tag{A-6}$$

where we have again used equation A-5 and the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{p} \times (\vec{B} \times \vec{p}) &= p^2 \vec{B} - (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{B}) \vec{p}, \\
\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{f} \times \vec{g}) &= (\vec{g} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{f} - \vec{g} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{f}) - (\vec{f} \cdot \nabla) \vec{g} + \vec{f} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{g}), \\
\vec{\nabla}_B \times (\vec{B} \times \vec{p}) &= (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_B) \vec{B}, \\
\vec{\nabla}_B \times (\vec{\nabla}_B \times \vec{B}) &= -\nabla_B^2 \vec{B}, \\
\vec{\nabla}_B \cdot (\vec{B} \times \vec{p}) &= \vec{p} \cdot (\vec{\nabla}_B \times \vec{B}), \\
\vec{p} \times (\vec{\nabla}_B \times \vec{B}) &= \vec{\nabla}_B (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{B}) - (\vec{p} \cdot \nabla_B) \vec{B}.
\end{aligned}$$

Appendix B: Calculating $\frac{[\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi}, [\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi}, (E_R - eA_0)]]}{8m^2 c^2}$

The fourth term of the equation 3.18 can be evaluated by substituting $E_R = \frac{(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})^2}{2m}$. The inside commutation relation is easy to compute

$$[\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi}, \frac{(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})^2}{2m} - eA_0] = -[\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}, eA_0] = ie\hbar \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{A_0} A_0. \tag{B-1}$$

The detailed outside commutation relation is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{p} - \frac{e\vec{A}}{c}), ie\hbar \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{A_0} A_0] &= ie\hbar (\delta_{ij} + i\epsilon_{ijk}) ((-i\hbar \nabla_{A_0 i} - \frac{eA_i}{c}) \nabla_{A_0 j} A_0 + p_i \nabla_{A_0 j} A_0) \\
&\quad - ie\hbar (\delta_{ji} + i\epsilon_{jik}) (\nabla_{A_0 j} A_0 (p_i - \frac{eA_i}{c})) \\
&= e\hbar^2 \nabla_{A_0}^2 A_0 + ie\hbar^2 \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{\nabla}_{A_0} \times \vec{\nabla}_{A_0} A_0) + \frac{2e^2 \hbar}{c} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{A} \times \vec{\nabla}_{A_0}) A_0 \\
&\quad - 2ie\hbar \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{p} \times \vec{\nabla}_{A_0} A_0),
\end{aligned} \tag{B-2}$$

where we have used A-5. Using $\vec{\nabla}_{A_0} A_0 = -(\vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t})$, Maxwell's equation: $\nabla \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t}$ and $\nabla \times \vec{A} = \vec{B}$, and Coulomb gauge $\nabla \cdot \vec{A} = 0$, where \vec{E} is the electric field. One can now obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
[\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{p} - \frac{e\vec{A}}{c}), ie\hbar \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{A_0} A_0] &= -e\hbar^2 \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} - \frac{2e^2 \hbar}{c} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{A} \times \vec{E}) - \frac{2e^2 \hbar}{c} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{A} \times \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}) \\
&\quad + 2e\hbar \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{p} \times \vec{E}) + 2e\hbar \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{p} \times \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}).
\end{aligned} \tag{B-3}$$

Finally, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{[\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi}, [\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi}, (E_R - eA_0)]]}{8m^2c^2} &= -\frac{e\hbar^2}{8m^2c^2} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} - \frac{2e^2\hbar}{8m^2c^3} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{A} \times \vec{E}) - \frac{2e^2\hbar}{8m^2c^3} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{A} \times \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}) \\ &+ \frac{2e\hbar}{8m^2c^2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{p} \times \vec{E}) + \frac{2e\hbar}{8m^2c^2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{p} \times \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B-4})$$

References

- [1] J. J. Sakurai. Advanced Quantum Mechanics. Addison-Wesley, 1968.
- [2] M. Kaku. Quantum Field Theory: A Modern Introduction. Oxford University Press, 1993.
- [3] L. Ryder. Quantum Field Theory. Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [4] J. Schwinger. On quantum-electrodynamics and the magnetic moment of the electron. Phys. Rev., 73:416–417, 2 1948. doi: 10.1103/PhysRev.73.416. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.73.416>.
- [5] C. M. Sommerfield. Magnetic dipole moment of the electron. Phys. Rev., 107:328–329, 7 1957. doi: 10.1103/PhysRev.107.328. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.107.328>.
- [6] A. Petermann. Fourth-order magnetic moment of the electron. Helv. Phys. Acta, 30:407–408, 1957. doi: 10.5169/seals-112823.
- [7] T. Aoyama et al. The anomalous magnetic moment of the muon in the standard model. Physics Reports, 887:1–166, 2020. ISSN 0370-1573. doi: 10.1016/j.physrep.2020.07.006. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370157320302556>.
- [8] B. Abi et al. Measurement of the positive muon anomalous magnetic moment to 0.46 ppm. Phys. Rev. Lett., 126:141801, 4 2021. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.141801. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.141801>.
- [9] G. Breit. The magnetic moment of the electron. Nature, 122, 1928.
- [10] J. D. Bjorken and S. D. Drell. Relativistic Quantum Mechanics. McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1964.