

# relativistic correction to the magnetic moment of the charged lepton

Abdelhamid Albaid \*

\*Department of Physics, College of Science, University of Ha'il, Ha'il 81451, Saudi Arabia; abdelhamid.albaid@okstate.edu

January 15, 2025

## Abstract

The general Hamiltonian expression up to the first-order relativistic correction was derived by breaking the four-component Dirac equation into the two-component Dirac-Pauli equation. This Hamiltonian incorporates the first-order relativistic correction to the electromagnetic interaction with the spin and orbital magnetic moments of the charged lepton, which distinguishes it differently from other generic Hamiltonians. The first-order relativistic adjustment to the Landé  $g$  factor, or equivalently to the magnetic moment, was calculated using this generalized Hamiltonian for hydrogen-like atoms in the presence of an external magnetic field. It turns out that when we impose specific states, the formulations produced in the literature match this relativistic correction. Furthermore, we have shown that the relativistic effect-induced energy shift of order  $\alpha^2$  is dependent on the square of azimuthal quantum number  $m_j^2$ , as well as on both principal and total quantum numbers denoted, respectively, by  $n$  and  $j$ , which is different from the case of absence of an external magnetic field where the energy shift depends only on  $n$ .

## 1 Introduction

The decomposition of the four-component Dirac equation into two two-component Dirac-Pauli equations is discussed in several texts on relativistic quantum mechanics and quantum field theory [1, 2, 3]. This analysis is used to obtain an explicit term that describes the energy interaction of spin magnetic moment with the magnetic field  $\vec{B}$  as

$$E = -\vec{\mu}_s \cdot \vec{B}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\vec{\mu}_s$  is the spin-magnetic moment defined in terms of the gyromagnetic ratio  $g_s$  or the so-called Landé  $g$ -factor as

$$\vec{\mu}_s = g_s \mu_B \vec{S} / \hbar, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\mu_B = e\hbar/2mc$  is the Bohr magneton and  $\vec{S} = \hbar\vec{\sigma}/2$  is the spin operator of the charged lepton. Thus, this decomposition implies the magnetic moment of the charged lepton is twice the Bohr magneton which corresponds to the tree level value of gyromagnetic ratio  $g_s = 2$  as predicted by Dirac theory. Including the higher order contributions besides the tree level to the magnetic moment can be absorbed collectively into the multiplicative factor of  $g_s$  appears in the definition of the spin-magnetic moment given in the equation 1.2.

The decomposition mentioned earlier has been further thoroughly analyzed by normalizing the large two-components wavefunction[1]. This approach is useful in revealing the physics hidden in the simple-looking Dirac equation. In this paper, we follow a similar approach. However, the novelty of this work is deriving the general expression of a Hamiltonian by considering the first relativistic correction to the interactions of the magnetic moment of both spin and orbital angular momenta with the magnetic field, which has not been done before in the literature to the best of our knowledge. The detailed expression of the Hamiltonian is obtained after going through the steps of normalizing the large two-component spinor and examining the mathematical complexities involved in our calculations as shown in the Appendices. Throughout this paper, the first-order relativistic correction refers to the order of  $v^3/c^3$  in the case of interaction of the magnetic field with the magnetic moment associated

with the spin and the orbital angular momenta of a charged lepton, and to the order  $v^2/c^2$  for other interaction terms.

The general expression of the Hamiltonian allows us to examine it to either scattering or bound state systems. In this paper, we examined the applicability of the Hamiltonian to the bound state system such as the Hydrogen-like atoms where we have calculated the relativistic correction to the Landé g-factor which reflects the correction to the magnetic moment associated to both orbital angular momentum and the spin of the electron.

There is an observed deviation of the spin g-factor  $g_s$  value from 2 for both electron and muon, this kind of deviation is called anomalous magnetic moment. The first successful explanation of this deviation was shown by J. Schwinger [4] who calculated the deviation from 2 to be of order  $\alpha/2\pi$  by taking into account that the physical electron can emit or absorb a virtual photon. Here  $\alpha$  is the fine structure constant given by

$$\alpha = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c} \approx 1/137. \quad (1.3)$$

The quantum electromagnetic (QED) contribution of order  $\alpha$  to the  $g$ -value comes with positive sign while the  $\alpha^2$  contribution of QED comes with negative sign [5, 6]. In fact, the standard model (SM) sums up the contributions from QED, weak and strong interactions to predict the anomalous magnetic moment of muon [7] which is in discrepancy of around 4.2  $\sigma$  deviation from the updated experimental observations [8]. In this paper, we computed the first order relativistic correction to the  $g_J$ -value, which includes the spin and orbital  $g$ -values denoted respectively by  $g_s$  and  $g_l$ , by employing our general Hamiltonian to the case of Hydrogen-like atoms given in the equations 4.24 and 4.25. The order of  $\alpha^2$  comes with the same negative sign as the correction computed result of [5, 6]. The quantum field treatment is not included in this paper, that is why we get an order of  $\alpha^2$  as the first order approximation. Besides, the relativistic correction to the  $g_J$ -value given in 4.24 is a general expression for arbitrary values of the quantum numbers  $n$ ,  $j$ , and  $m_j$ . The equation of 4.24 will yield the exact result of two equations obtained by [9] when they are expanded to the order of  $\alpha^2$ . This can be achieved by imposing specific excited states, such as  $n = l_{max} + 1$  and  $m_j = \pm j$ , or by going to the ground state, where  $j = 1/2$ .

Equations 3.20 through 3.23 describe the four components of the Hamiltonian. For arbitrary electromagnetic fields, the first-order relativistic corrections to the spin and orbital magnetic moments are explained by equations 3.22 and 3.21, respectively. We found that the electromagnetic interactions with both moments are connected to the kinetic energy expectation value when static magnetic field is applied to hydrogen-like atom. The relativistic correction to the Landé g-factor,  $g_J$ , can be inferred by adding these interactions. The first relativistic correction for hydrogen-like atoms in the absence of a magnetic field generates a negative energy shift of order  $\alpha^2$ , which is solely dependent on the principal quantum number  $n$ . However, in the studying of first order relativistic effect of the Zeeman effect, we found that the negative energy shift of order  $\alpha^2$  does not depend only on  $n$  but also on the quantum numbers of total angular momentum  $j$  and the square of azimuthal quantum number  $m_j^2$  as shown in equations 4.24 and 4.25. It is worth to mention that the ground state had the largest negative shift of order  $\alpha^2/3$ .

The structure of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 gives an introduction to the Pauli equation, sets our definition and notations used in this paper and obtains the tree level value of  $g_s = 2$  as predicted by Dirac. In section 3, we decompose the Dirac equation into the Pauli-Dirac equation keeping the first order relativistic effects to the interaction of magnetic moment and magnetic field. The general detailed Hamiltonian expression is obtained that can be applied to both scattering and bound quantum systems. Section 4 employs the derived detailed Hamiltonian to the Hydrogen-like atoms under the influence of the external uniform magnetic field. The first order relativistic correction to Landé g-factor of the electron, equivalently its magnetic moment, was computed. Certain conditions on the familiar quantum numbers of Hydrogen-like atoms are imposed to retrieve the results of [9]. The conclusion is drawn in Section 5. Appendices A and B show the calculations of two complex expressions resulting from the steps of the Hamiltonian derivation to its final form.

## 2 Pauli Equation for the spin-magnetic Interaction

The Schrodinger equation does not include the spin of the electron and its interaction with magnetic field. We can deal with both effects of spin and magnetic interaction by using an extension to the Schrodinger equation called the Pauli equation. In the absence of magnetic interaction or any other interactions, the free Hamiltonian of the Pauli spinor is given by

$$\frac{p^2}{2m}\chi = E\chi. \quad (2.1)$$

Here  $\chi$  is the two-components spinor of the electron. In this case, the kinetic energy operator is multiplied by a  $2 \times 2$ -unit matrix. Using Pauli's identity

$$(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{a})(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{b}) = \vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} + i\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{a} \times \vec{b}), \quad (2.2)$$

one might rewrite the equation 2.1 as

$$\frac{(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p})(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p})}{2m}\chi = E\chi, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\sigma$  are the Pauli matrices, given by

$$\sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.4)$$

In quantum mechanics, when an electron couples to electromagnetic field, the linear momentum changes to canonical momentum  $\vec{\pi} = \vec{p} - \frac{e}{c}\vec{A}$ . By doing this replacement, we obtain

$$\frac{(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})}{2m}\chi = E\chi. \quad (2.5)$$

The canonical momentum  $\vec{\pi}$  contains two operators: the linear momentum and the vector potential operator. The latter depends on the position operator. It is known from quantum mechanics that the position and momentum operators do not commute, as a consequence of the uncertainty principle. So, employing Pauli's identity to the left-hand side of equation 2.5, we get:

$$(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})^2 = \pi^2 - \frac{e\hbar}{c}\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}, \quad (2.6)$$

where the momentum operator is given as  $\vec{p} = -i\hbar\vec{\nabla}$  and we have used  $\vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}$ . Through out this paper, we use the notation for the momentum operator as  $\vec{p}$  when it acts only on the wavefunction and  $\vec{\nabla}$  when it acts on anything else. So, plugging the equation 2.6 into the equation 2.5. We obtain the Pauli equation that has the tree level description of the spin magnetic moment interaction with magnetic field

$$\left( \frac{\pi^2}{2m} - \frac{e\hbar\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}}{2m} \right) \chi = E\chi \quad (2.7)$$

The first term of the left-hand side of the equation 2.7 handles all the effects of magnetic field on the electron motion while the second term is the tree level description of the spin magnetic moment interaction with magnetic field. Off course one can add the scalar potential to these terms. Isolate the second term of the equation 2.7 as

$$U_{Pauli} = -\frac{e\hbar\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}}{2m} = -\vec{\mu}_e \cdot \vec{B} \quad (2.8)$$

where  $\vec{\mu}_e$  is defined in the equation 1.2 with  $g_e = 2$  as predicted by Dirac theory.

### 3 The relativistic correction of the Dirac-Pauli equation

In this section, we will derive the Pauli equation from the Dirac equation in the presence of a magnetic field and keep the tiny remanence of relativistic correction of the order  $v^2/c^2$  and  $v^3/c^3$ . Several terms of the Hamiltonian that have physical meaningful concepts will be analyzed with the main interest on the relativistic correction to the magnetic moment. In the presence of an electromagnetic field, the four-momentum operator gets modified by adding the four-vector potential  $A_\mu$  to it. The relativistic quantum mechanical interaction of a charged lepton with an electromagnetic field can be expressed by the Dirac equation. The Dirac equation with the covariant derivative is given by:

$$(i\gamma^\mu \hbar D_\mu(x) - mc) \Psi(x) = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$D_\mu(x) = \partial_\mu + \frac{ie}{\hbar c} A_\mu(x), \quad (3.2)$$

is called the covariant derivative, which differs from the ordinary derivative  $\partial_\mu$  by an extra piece involving the four-vector potential  $A_\mu(x)$ . This vector potential is introduced as a consequence of imposing the invariance of the Dirac Lagrangian under  $U(1)$  local gauge symmetry, instead of  $U(1)$  global gauge symmetry. The invariant Lorentz mass  $m$  is defined as:

$$mc = \sqrt{p^\mu p_\mu} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{E}{c}\right)^2 - |\vec{P}|^2 c^2}, \quad (3.3)$$

and  $\gamma^\mu$  are the Dirac gamma matrices, given in the chiral or Weyl representation by:

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.4)$$

where  $\sigma^i$  are the Pauli matrices and  $\Psi(x)$  is the Dirac bispinor, written as:

$$\Psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L(x) \\ \psi_R(x) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.5)$$

We have assumed that  $A_\mu(x)$  is time-independent and that the time dependence of the wavefunction  $\Psi(x)$  is as follows:

$$\Psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} u_L \\ u_R \end{pmatrix} e^{-iEt/\hbar}. \quad (3.6)$$

It is known  $i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi(x) = E\Psi(x)$ . Now, we can decompose the four-components Dirac equation into two coupled two-components equations:

$$\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi} u_R = \left( p_0 - \frac{eA_0}{c} - m_0c \right) u_L, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi} u_L = \left( p_0 - \frac{eA_0}{c} + m_0c \right) u_R. \quad (3.8)$$

We can eliminate  $u_R$  from the equation 3.8 by using the equation 3.7. The equation is given by:

$$(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi}) \frac{c^2}{E - A_0 + mc^2} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi}) u_L = (E - eA_0 - m_0c^2) u_L \quad (3.9)$$

where we have used  $p_0 = \frac{E}{c}$ . The energy can be expanded above  $mc^2$  as:

$$E = mc^2 + E_R. \quad (3.10)$$

Equation 3.9 becomes in terms of  $E_R$ :

$$(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi}) \frac{c^2}{E_R - A_0 + 2m_0c^2} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi}) u_L = (E_R - eA_0) u_L. \quad (3.11)$$

The non-relativistic limit occurs when  $E_R = 0$ , and assuming  $2m_0c^2 \gg eA_0$ , the Dirac equation decomposes into a Pauli-like equation (2.7) with the zeroth-order value of  $g_s = 2$  [2, 3]. On the other hand, when these constraints are relaxed and we expand the energy above the rest mass energy, we notice that the magnetic moment of the charged lepton is modified compared to the non-relativistic scenario. This modification can be reflected as a deviation from  $g_e = 2$ . The lower component  $u_R$  is smaller than  $u_L$  by an order of  $\frac{v^2}{c^2}$ , as can be seen clearly from equation 3.8. In this section, we will go beyond the zeroth order and include up to the first relativistic-order correction. Up to this order,  $u_L$  is not well normalized, and we run into the probabilistic difficulty, as required by Dirac theory:

$$\int \left( u_L^\dagger u_L + u_R^\dagger u_R \right) d^3r = 1. \quad (3.12)$$

Writing  $u_R$  in terms of  $u_L$  we obtain

$$\int \left( u_L^\dagger u_L + u_L^\dagger \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi} \frac{c^2}{(E_R - eA_0 + 2m_0c^2)^2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi} u_L \right) d^3r = 1. \quad (3.13)$$

The normalized wavefunction is given by

$$\begin{aligned} U_L &= \Omega u_L \\ &\approx \left( 1 + \frac{1}{8m^2c^2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi} (1+y)^{-2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi} \right) u_L, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where  $y = \frac{E_R - eA_0}{2m_0c^2}$ . Writing the equation 3.8 in terms of the redefined wavefunction  $U_L$  by multiplying the equation 3.11 from left by  $\Omega^{-1}$  yields

$$HU_L = E_R U_L. \quad (3.15)$$

Where the Hamiltonian  $H$  is defined as :

$$H \approx \frac{(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})^2}{2m} + eA_0 - \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi} (E_R - eA_0) \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi}}{4m^2c^2} - \frac{(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})^4}{8m^3c^2} + \frac{\{(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})^2, (E_R - eA_0)\}}{8m^2c^2} \quad (3.16)$$

We have dropped higher-order terms above the order  $\frac{v^2}{c^2}$ . Applying the following anti-commutation relation for any two operators:

$$\{A^2, B\} - 2ABA = [A, [A, B]], \quad (3.17)$$

to the last term of the equation 3.16 cancels the third term of the equation and the Hamiltonian simplifies to

$$H \approx \frac{(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})^2}{2m} + eA_0 - \frac{(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})^4}{8m^3c^2} + \frac{[\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi}, [\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi}, (E_R - eA_0)]]}{8m^2c^2}. \quad (3.18)$$

The detailed calculations of third and fourth terms of the equation 3.18 are presented in Appendix A and B respectively. Plugging the equations A-3, A-5 and B-4 into the equation 3.18, We obtain

$$H = H_0 + H_l + H_s + H_{sl}, \quad (3.19)$$

where

$$H_0 \approx \frac{p^2}{2m} + eA_0 + \frac{e^2}{2mc^2} A^2 - \frac{p^4}{8m^3c^2} - \frac{e\hbar^2}{8m^2c^2} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}, \quad (3.20)$$

$$H_l \approx -\frac{e}{mc} \left( 1 + \frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2c^2} \nabla_A^2 + \frac{i\hbar}{2m^2c^2} (\nabla_A \cdot \vec{p}) - \frac{1}{2m^2c^2} p^2 \right) (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{p}), \quad (3.21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_s \approx & -\frac{e\hbar}{2mc} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{4m^2c^2} p^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2c^2} \nabla_B^2 + \frac{2i\hbar}{4m^2c^2} (\nabla_B \cdot \vec{p}) \right) (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}), \\ & - \frac{2e^2\hbar}{8m^2c^3} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{A} \times \vec{E}) - \frac{2e^2\hbar}{8m^2c^3} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \left( \vec{A} \times \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

$$H_{sl} \approx -\frac{2e\hbar}{8m^2c^2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{E} \times \vec{p}) - \frac{2e\hbar}{8m^2c^2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \left( \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \times \vec{p} \right). \quad (3.23)$$

Based on the kind of interactions, the Hamiltonian has been split into four parts, which are as follows: The kinetic and potential energy and their first-order relativistic correction are described by  $H_0$ ; the interaction of the charged lepton's orbital motion and spin with the external electromagnetic field, as well as their first relativistic corrections, are described by  $H_l$  and  $H_s$ , respectively; and the spin-orbital interaction of the charged lepton is described by  $H_{ls}$ .

Starting briefly explaining the terms of the equation 3.20. The first and second terms are simply the kinetic and potential energy respectively while the third term is called the diamagnetic term which is ignored in the case of Hydrogen atom. The fourth term is the first relativistic correction to the kinetic energy as shown:

$$E = mc^2 \sqrt{1 + \frac{p^2}{m^2 c^4}} = mc^2 + \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{p^4}{8m^3 c^2} + \dots \quad (3.24)$$

The last term is called the Darwin's term and related to the charge density since  $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = \epsilon_0 \rho$ . This term is considered as energy shift that affects only the S-state in the case of Hydrogen atom. The terms inside the parenthesis of the equation 3.21 is basically the leading and first order relativistic corrections to the parametric term  $\vec{A} \cdot \vec{p}$  which is related to the interaction of magnetic field with angular momentum or magnetic moment of the charged particle due to its orbital motion.

The physical meaning of each term of equation 3.22 goes as follows: The first term is simply the interaction of the magnetic moment due to the spin with the magnetic field, and other terms suppressed by  $c^3$  are considered as the first-order relativistic corrections to the spin-magnetic moment which are going to be calculated in the next section for the Hydrogen-like atoms. The physical meaning of the first and second terms in the second line of the equation 3.22 are, respectively, the interaction of the spin of the charged particle with an apparent magnetic field expressed by  $\vec{E} \times \frac{\vec{p}}{mc}$  and  $\frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \times \frac{\vec{p}}{mc}$ . These apparent fields can arise either from the motion of the charged particle through the electric field, as in the case of the first term, or from its motion through the varying vector potential with time, as in the case of the second term.

Turning to the Hamiltonian of the equation 3.23 which is related to the interaction of the spin of the charged lepton with its own orbital angular momentum  $\vec{L} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p}$ . It is interesting to point out that the first term of the equation 3.23 gives exactly the sum of both Larmor and Thomas interaction energies. The first is simply the interaction of spin-magnetic moment of the charged lepton with the apparent magnetic field and the later is due to the energy associated with the precession of the charged lepton spin.

The importance of the structure of the Hamiltonian of the equations 3.19-3.23 lies in its generality, which can be applied to both scattering systems and bound-state systems. An example of the latter is the Hydrogen-like atoms under the influence of a constant magnetic field, as analyzed in the next section.

## 4 The Hydrogen-like atom and the relativistic corrections to the magnetic moment

In this section, we employ the equations 3.19-3.23 to the system of the Hydrogen-like atom and then focus on the calculation of the first order relativistic correction to the magnetic moment of the electron due its spin and orbital angular momenta. We verified that our Hamiltonian produces the exact result obtained by [9] for the relativistic correction of the magnetic moment of the electron in the excited and ground states to the order of  $\alpha^2$ . The advantage of discussing the Hydrogen-like atom, which is considered as an example of spherical symmetric central potential, is giving a transparent physical meaningful of each term of the Hamiltonian. Certainly, there are energy splittings due to the interaction of the magnetic moment with an applied external static magnetic field,  $\vec{B}$  known as Zeeman effect. We assumed the applied magnetic field is along the z-axis and the vector potential  $\vec{A}$  is given by

$$\vec{A} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{B} \times \vec{r}). \quad (4.1)$$

The action of the operators in the second and third terms inside the parentheses of equation 3.21 on the vector field  $\vec{A}$  are zero. So the parametric term, with its first-order relativistic correction, reduces to

$$H_l \approx -\frac{e}{2mc} \left(1 - \frac{K}{mc^2}\right) \vec{B} \cdot \vec{L}, \quad (4.2)$$

where we have used  $(\vec{B} \times \vec{r}) \cdot \vec{p} = \vec{B} \cdot (\vec{r} \times \vec{p})$ , the angular momentum operator  $\vec{L}$  is defined as  $\vec{L} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p}$  and  $K = \frac{p^2}{2m}$  is the kinetic energy operator. It is known that the magnetic moment of an electron due to its orbital motion is given by

$$\vec{\mu}_l = \frac{e\vec{L}}{2mc}. \quad (4.3)$$

So, the Hamiltonian  $H_{\text{orbital}}$  is basically the interaction of the magnetic field  $\vec{B}$  with the magnetic moment  $\vec{\mu}_l$  of the electron due to its orbital motion. Turning to the Hamiltonian  $H_s$  of the equation 3.22 that includes the spin of the electron, and assuming that the vector potential and magnetic field are static and the magnetic field is space-independent. Accordingly, the equation 3.22 simplifies to

$$H_s \approx -\frac{e\hbar}{2mc} \left( (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}) - \frac{2}{4m^2c^2} p^2 (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}) + \frac{2e}{4mc^2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{A} \times \vec{E}) \right). \quad (4.4)$$

The spin-orbit interaction of the first term of the equation 3.23 can be seen clearly by plugging the electric field of the nucleus with atomic number  $Z$ , given by

$$\vec{E} = -\frac{Ze}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r^2} \hat{r}, \quad (4.5)$$

and recalling that the orbital angular momentum is given by  $\vec{L} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p}$ . By plugging 4.5, the third term of the equation 4.4 can be written as

$$e\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{A} \times \vec{E}) = \frac{e}{2} (\vec{B} \times \vec{r}) \times \vec{E} = -\frac{U}{2} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}) + \frac{U \cos \theta}{2} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{r}). \quad (4.6)$$

where we have defined the potential energy as  $U = -\frac{Ze^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r}$  and  $\theta$  is the azimuthal angle of the spherical coordinate. Adding the Hamiltonian of equations 4.2 and 4.4 in terms of the potential and the kinetic energy operators gives the following.

$$H_{\sigma l} \approx H_{\sigma l}^0 + H_{\sigma l}^r, \quad (4.7)$$

where  $H_{\sigma l}^0$  and  $H_{\sigma l}^r$  are respectively the leading and the first relativistic corrections

$$H_{\sigma l}^0 \approx -\frac{e}{2mc} \vec{B} \cdot (2\vec{S} + \vec{L}), \quad (4.8)$$

$$H_{\sigma l}^r \approx -\frac{e}{2m^2c^3} (\vec{F} \cdot \vec{S} - K(\vec{B} \cdot \vec{L})). \quad (4.9)$$

and

$$\vec{F} = -2K\vec{B} - \frac{U}{2}\vec{B} + \frac{U \cos \theta}{2} B\hat{r} \quad (4.10)$$

The interaction of the spin and orbital magnetic moment with the magnetic field can be written as

$$g_J \vec{J} \cdot \vec{B} = (g_s \vec{S} + g_l \vec{L}) \cdot \vec{B} \quad (4.11)$$

The expectation value of  $\langle H^0 \rangle$  is considered as the non-relativist limit of the magnetic moment interactions with magnetic field. Suppose that the basis of the unperturbed wavefunction of Hydrogen-like atom in the absence of external magnetic field is represented by  $|n, j, m_j\rangle$ , where  $n, j$  and  $m_j$  are respectively the principal, total angular momentum and the azimuthal quantum numbers. By employing the following projection operator for an arbitrary vector  $\vec{A}$ :

$$\langle j', m'_j | \vec{A} | j, m_j \rangle = \frac{\langle j, m_j | \vec{A} \cdot \vec{J} | j, m_j \rangle}{j(j+1)\hbar^2} \langle j', m'_j | \vec{J} | j, m_j \rangle, \quad (4.12)$$

which can be proved by using the Wigner-Eckart theorem. We obtain the well-known expression for the leading value of Landé g-factor

$$g_J^0 = 1 + \zeta(j, l, s) \quad (4.13)$$

where

$$\zeta(j, l, s) = \frac{j(j+1) - l(l+1) + s(s+1)}{2j(j+1)}, \quad (4.14)$$

and we have substituted the leading values  $g_s = 2$  and  $g_l = 1$  and used the below relations

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{S} \cdot \vec{J} &= \frac{1}{2}(J^2 + S^2 - L^2) \\ \vec{L} \cdot \vec{J} &= \frac{1}{2}(J^2 - S^2 + L^2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Here,  $\vec{J} = \vec{L} + \vec{S}$  is the total angular momentum operator and the eigenvalue equation for three momenta labeled generally by  $q = J, L, S$  satisfies  $q^2|j, l, s\rangle = q(q+1)|j, l, s\rangle$ . Turning to the expectation value of the first relativistic correction  $\langle H_{\sigma l}^r \rangle$  by employing the projection operator of the equation 4.12 once again. The first term of equation 4.9 requires attention as following

$$\begin{aligned} \langle j', m'_j | F_i | j'', m_{j''} \rangle \langle j'', m_{j''} | S_i | j, m_j \rangle &= \langle j', m'_j | F_i | j'', m_{j''} \rangle \frac{\langle j, m_j | \vec{S} \cdot \vec{J} | j, m_j \rangle}{j(j+1)\hbar^2} \langle j'', m_{j''} | J_i | j, m_j \rangle, \\ &= \langle j', m'_j | F_i | j'', m_{j''} \rangle \langle j'', m_{j''} | J_i | j, m_j \rangle \frac{\langle j, m_j | \vec{S} \cdot \vec{J} | j, m_j \rangle}{j(j+1)\hbar^2}, \\ &= \langle j', m'_j | \vec{F} \cdot \vec{J} | j, m_j \rangle \frac{\langle j, m_j | \vec{S} \cdot \vec{J} | j, m_j \rangle}{j(j+1)\hbar^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

where we have inserted the completeness relation  $|j'', m_{j''}\rangle \langle j'', m_{j''}| = 1$ , the summation over  $j''$  and  $m_{j''}$  are understood. If we impose  $\langle j', m'_j | = \langle j, m_j |$ , the component along  $z$ -axis of  $\vec{F} \cdot \vec{J}$  will only survive. Thus,  $\langle j, m_j | \vec{F} \cdot \vec{J} | j, m_j \rangle = m_j \langle j, m_j | F_z | j, m_j \rangle$  is valid and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle j, m_j | F_z | j, m_j \rangle &= -2\langle K \rangle B - \frac{\langle U \rangle}{2} B + \frac{\langle U \cos^2 \theta \rangle}{2} B \\ \langle j, m_j | F_z | j, m_j \rangle &= -2\langle K \rangle B - \frac{-2\langle K \rangle}{2} B + \frac{-2\langle K \rangle \langle \cos^2 \theta \rangle}{2} B \\ \langle j, m_j | F_z | j, m_j \rangle &= -\langle K \rangle B (1 + \langle \cos^2 \theta \rangle) \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

where we have used  $\langle U \cos^2 \theta \rangle = \langle U \rangle \langle \cos^2 \theta \rangle$  since the potential energy is spherically symmetric and employed the virial theorem :  $\langle U \rangle = -2\langle K \rangle$ . The expectation value of the kinetic energy and the potential energy can be found by either using the explicit expression of the two-component version of the wave function solution of the Dirac equation for the Hydrogen atom or recalling the relativistic energy bound state solution given by [10]:

$$\begin{aligned} E_n &= mc^2 \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{Z\alpha}{n - (j + \frac{1}{2}) + \sqrt{(j + \frac{1}{2})^2 - Z^2\alpha^2}} \right)^2 \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \\ &\approx mc^2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(Z\alpha)^2}{n^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(Z\alpha)^4}{n^3} \left( \frac{1}{j + 1/2} - \frac{3}{4n} \right) - \dots \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

where  $j = l \pm \frac{1}{2}$ . The second term of order  $\alpha^2$  is basically the sum of lowest order of the sum of potential and kinetic energies,  $\langle U \rangle + \langle K \rangle$  while the third term of order  $\alpha^4$  includes the first order perturbative correction to the unperturbed non-relativistic wave function of the Darwin's term, spin-orbit term and the first relativistic correction to the kinetic energy term. In this analysis, we only keep terms up to the order of  $\alpha^2$  and ignored higher order corrections. So,  $E_n \approx mc^2 + \langle U \rangle + \langle K \rangle \approx mc^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(Z\alpha)^2}{n^2} mc^2$ . Employing the virial theorem once again, we obtain

$$\langle K \rangle = \frac{(Z\alpha)^2}{2n^2} mc^2. \quad (4.19)$$



Our next mission is to find  $\langle \cos^2 \theta \rangle$  as following

$$\langle \cos^2(\theta) \rangle = \int y_{lj}^{\pm, m_j}(r, \theta, \phi) \cos^2(\theta) y_{lj}^{\pm, m_j}(r, \theta, \phi) \sin(\theta) d\theta d\phi, \quad (4.20)$$

where  $y_{lj}^{\pm, m_j}(r, \theta, \phi)$  for  $j = l \pm 1/2$  is given by [10]

$$y_{lj}^{\pm, m_j}(r, \theta, \phi) = \pm \sqrt{\frac{l \pm m_j + 1/2}{2l + 1}} Y_l^{m_j - 1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \sqrt{\frac{l \mp m_j + 1/2}{2l + 1}} Y_l^{m_j + 1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.21)$$

So, it turns out that for two possible values of  $j$ ,  $j = l \pm 1/2$ , we get the same following expression

$$\langle \cos^2(\theta) \rangle = \frac{j^2 + j - m_j^2}{2j(j + 1)}. \quad (4.22)$$

The expectation values of the operators  $\vec{S} \cdot \vec{J}$  that appear in equation 4.16 and  $\vec{L} \cdot \vec{J}$  that arise as a consequence of the application of the projection theorem to the second term of equation 4.9 might be obtained using the equations in 4.15. The interaction energy of magnetic moment of the electron and external magnetic field can be written in terms of the Lande  $g_J$  factor as

$$H_{\sigma l} = -\frac{e\hbar}{2mc} g_J m_j B \quad (4.23)$$

The general expression of the landue g-factor for an arbitrary  $j = l \pm 1/2$  and  $m_j$  up to the first order relativistic correction is given by

$$g_{J+} = g_{J+}^0 \left( 1 - \frac{Z^2 \alpha^2}{2n^2} \left( \frac{j(4j+1)(j+1) - m_j^2}{2j(j+1)(2j+1)} \right) \right) \quad \text{for } j = l + 1/2, \quad (4.24)$$

$$g_{J-} = g_{J-}^0 \left( 1 - \frac{Z^2 \alpha^2}{2n^2} \left( \frac{j(4j+3)(j+1) + m_j^2}{2j(j+1)(2j+1)} \right) \right) \quad \text{for } j = l - 1/2, \quad (4.25)$$

where  $g_{j+}^0$  and  $g_{j-}^0$  are the Landue factors in the non-relativistic limit and given, respectively, by

$$g_{J+}^0 = \frac{2j+1}{2j} \quad \text{for } j = l + 1/2 \quad (4.26)$$

$$g_{J-}^0 = \frac{2j+1}{2(j+1)} \quad \text{for } j = l - 1/2 \quad (4.27)$$

The energy levels of the hydrogen-like atom in the presence of an external magnetic field split according to the possible values of  $m_j$  that span from  $\pm j$  to  $\pm 1/2$  as known by the Zeeman effect. However, these energy levels are shifted due to the relativistic correction of order  $\alpha^2$  to the Landue g factor, as noted from the equations of 4.24 and 4.25. The range of values for  $m_j$  determines the energy shift's magnitude.  $m_j = \pm j$  represents the minimal shift of the energy splittings in the case of  $j = l + 1/2$ , whereas  $m_j = \pm 1/2$  represents the maximum shift. In the case of  $j = l - 1/2$ , the opposite will hold. For  $m_j = \pm j$ , the two equations of 4.24 and 4.25 simplify to

$$g_{J+} = g_{J+}^0 \left( 1 - \frac{Z^2 \alpha^2}{4n^2} \frac{2j+1}{j+1} \right), \quad \text{for } j = l + 1/2 \quad (4.28)$$

$$g_{J-} = g_{J-}^0 \left( 1 - \frac{Z^2 \alpha^2}{4n^2} \frac{2j+3}{j+1} \right), \quad \text{for } j = l - 1/2 \text{ and } l \neq 0 \quad (4.29)$$

The magnitude of energy shift due to relativistic effect in the case of  $n = l_{max} + 1$  and  $j = l_{max} + 1/2$  for  $m_j = \pm j$  is given by

$$\frac{E^+ - E_0^+}{E_0^+} \approx \pm \frac{Z^2 \alpha^2}{(l_{max} + 1)(2l_{max} + 3)}, \quad \text{for } j = l_{max} + 1/2 \quad (4.30)$$

$$\frac{E^- - E_0^-}{E_0^-} \approx \pm \frac{Z^2 \alpha^2}{(l_{max} + 1)(2l_{max} + 1)}, \quad \text{for } j = l_{max} - 1/2 \text{ and } l_{max} \neq 0 \quad (4.31)$$

where  $E_0^\pm$  is the energy level in the non-relativistic limit when  $j = l_{max} \pm 1$ . The relativistic effect decreases in the higher energy levels, as obviously shown in the equations 4.30 and 4.31. This is consistent with the fact that the speed of the electron decreases with the increasing of energy levels. Therefore, the energy shift of order  $Z^2 \alpha^2 / 3$  will be greatest in the ground state and decreases with the increasing values of  $n$ . It should be mentioned that for the excited state scenario where  $n = l_{max} + 1$  and the ground state scenario where  $j = 1/2$ , equation 4.28 would precisely match the expansion of the two expressions derived by [9] to the order of  $\alpha^2$ . The magnetic moment of the electron is simply obtained from the Landé g-factor by using

$$\vec{\mu}_e = \frac{egJ}{2mc} \vec{J}. \quad (4.32)$$

This magnetic moment expresses the sum of magnetic moments due to the spin and orbital momenta. It is clear that the spin magnetic moment plays role on both ground and excited states while the orbital magnetic moment appears on the states with  $l \neq 0$ .

## 5 Conclusion

The interaction of the spin-magnetic moment with the magnetic field can be seen clearly by the decomposition of the four-component Dirac equation into the Pauli-Dirac equations. The tree-level value of  $g_s = 2$  is obtained as predicted by Dirac theory. The deviation from  $g_s = 2$  can also be seen by keeping the first-order relativistic correction in this kind of decomposition. We obtained a general detailed Hamiltonian up to the first-order relativistic correction, which opens the door to further investigation of different physical quantum systems such as the bound and scattering systems. In this paper, we employed the general Hamiltonian for the hydrogen-like atoms under the influence of a uniform magnetic field. The first-order relativistic correction to the Landé g-factor of the electron, which is related to its magnetic moment by equation 4.32, was obtained. There is a negative energy shift of order  $\alpha^2$  as the consequence of the first relativistic correction. This negative energy shift depends on the quantum numbers  $n$ ,  $j$ , and the square of azimuthal quantum number  $m_j^2$ , while the negative shift at the first-order correction of hydrogen-like atoms in the absence of an external magnetic field depends only on  $n$ , as clearly seen by equation 4.18. By selecting specific orbits, such as  $n = l_{max} + 1$ ,  $m_j = \pm j$ , and  $j = l_{max} + 1/2$ , the result of the equation 4.24 is consistent with the published results of [9] for the excited states and the ground state. The maximum negative energy shift of energy level of order  $\alpha^2 / 3$  is obtained for the ground state.

## Appendix A: Calculation of $\frac{(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})^4}{8m^3 c^2}$

Start with

$$(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})^2 = \left( \vec{p} - \frac{e\vec{A}}{c} \right)^2 - \frac{e\hbar}{c} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B} \quad (A-1)$$

Here we assume the momentum operator  $\vec{p}$  acts on an invisible wave function that exists in front of all operators and the operator  $\vec{\nabla}$  with subindex acts exclusively on that function indicated by subindex. For clarification, let us do

$$\left( \vec{p} - \frac{e\vec{A}}{c} \right)^2 = \left( \vec{p} - \frac{e\vec{A}}{c} \right) \left( \vec{p} - \frac{e\vec{A}}{c} \right) = p^2 + i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_A \cdot \vec{A} - \frac{2e}{c} \vec{A} \cdot \vec{p} + \frac{e^2}{c^2} A^2 \quad (A-2)$$

The second term on the right-hand side is zero by employing the Coulomb gauge  $\vec{\nabla}_A \cdot \vec{A} = 0$ , so A-1 becomes

$$(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})^2 = p^2 - \frac{2e}{c} \vec{A} \cdot \vec{p} + \frac{e^2}{c^2} A^2 - \frac{e\hbar}{c} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B} \quad (\text{A-3})$$

Operate  $(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})$  on both sides of equation A-3 and keep only the terms of the order of  $1/c$

$$\begin{aligned} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})^3 &= \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p} p^2 + \frac{2ie\hbar}{c} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_A) (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{p}) - \frac{2e}{c} (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{p}) (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}) \\ &\quad - \frac{e\hbar}{c} (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{B} + \hbar \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{\nabla}_B \times \vec{B}) + i\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{p} \times \vec{B})) - \frac{e}{c} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A} p^2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A-4})$$

where we have used  $\vec{\nabla}_B \cdot \vec{B} = 0$  and

$$\sigma_i \sigma_j = \delta_{ij} + i\epsilon_{ijk} \sigma_k, \quad (\text{A-5})$$

and  $\epsilon_{ijk}$  is the Levi-Civita tensor, which is antisymmetric under interchanging indices. Since the term  $\frac{(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})^4}{8m^3 c^2}$  is suppressed by  $c^2$ , we have dropped terms suppressed by at least  $c^2$  in A-4. Operate once again  $(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})$  on both sides of A-4 and follow the same procedure. We obtain after going through careful simplification

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})^4}{8m^3 c^2} &= \frac{p^4}{8m^3 c^2} - \frac{4e}{8m^3 c^3} \left( p^2 - i\hbar (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_A) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \nabla_A^2 \right) (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{p}) \\ &\quad - \frac{2e\hbar}{8m^3 c^3} \left( p^2 - i\hbar (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_B) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \nabla_B^2 \right) (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A-5})$$

where we have again used A-5 and the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{p} \times (\vec{B} \times \vec{p}) &= p^2 \vec{B} - (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{B}) \vec{p}, \\ \vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{f} \times \vec{g}) &= (\vec{g} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{f} - \vec{g} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{f}) - (\vec{f} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{g} + \vec{f} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{g}), \\ \vec{\nabla}_B \times (\vec{B} \times \vec{p}) &= (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_B) \vec{B}, \\ \vec{\nabla}_B \times (\vec{\nabla}_B \times \vec{B}) &= -\nabla_B^2 \vec{B}, \\ \vec{\nabla}_B \cdot (\vec{B} \times \vec{p}) &= \vec{p} \cdot (\vec{\nabla}_B \times \vec{B}), \\ \vec{p} \times (\vec{\nabla}_B \times \vec{B}) &= \vec{\nabla}_B (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{B}) - (\vec{p} \cdot \nabla_B) \vec{B}. \end{aligned}$$

## Appendix B: Calculating $\frac{[\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi}, [\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi}, (E_R - eA_0)]]}{8m^2 c^2}$

The fourth term of the equation 3.18 can be done by substituting  $E_R = \frac{(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})^2}{2m}$ . The inside commutation relation is easy to do

$$[\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi}, \frac{(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi})^2}{2m} - eA_0] = -[\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}, eA_0] = ie\hbar \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{A_0} A_0. \quad (\text{B-1})$$

The detailed outside commutation relation is

$$\begin{aligned} [\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{p} - \frac{e\vec{A}}{c}), ie\hbar \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{A_0} A_0] &= ie\hbar (\delta_{ij} + i\epsilon_{ijk}) ((-i\hbar \nabla_{A_0 i} - \frac{eA_i}{c}) \nabla_{A_0 j} A_0 + p_i \nabla_{A_0 j} A_0) \\ &\quad - ie\hbar (\delta_{ji} + i\epsilon_{jik}) (\nabla_{A_0 j} A_0 (p_i - \frac{eA_i}{c})) \\ &= e\hbar^2 \nabla_{A_0}^2 A_0 + ie\hbar^2 \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{\nabla}_{A_0} \times \vec{\nabla}_{A_0} A_0) + \frac{2e^2 \hbar}{c} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{A} \times \vec{\nabla}_{A_0}) A_0 \\ &\quad - 2ie\hbar \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{p} \times \vec{\nabla}_{A_0} A_0), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B-2})$$

where we have used A-5. Using  $\vec{\nabla}_{A_0} A_0 = -(\vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t})$ , Maxwell's equation:  $\nabla \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t}$  and  $\nabla \times \vec{A} = \vec{B}$ , and adopting Coulomb gauge  $\nabla \cdot \vec{A} = 0$ , where  $\vec{E}$  is the electric field. One can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{p} - \frac{e\vec{A}}{c}), ie\hbar\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{A_0} A_0] &= -e\hbar^2 \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} - \frac{2e^2\hbar}{c} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{A} \times \vec{E}) - \frac{2e^2\hbar}{c} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{A} \times \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}) \\ &+ 2e\hbar\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{p} \times \vec{E}) + 2e\hbar\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{p} \times \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B-3})$$

Finally, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{[\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi}, [\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi}, (E_R - eA_0)]]}{8m^2c^2} &= -\frac{e\hbar^2}{8m^2c^2} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} - \frac{2e^2\hbar}{8m^2c^3} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{A} \times \vec{E}) - \frac{2e^2\hbar}{8m^2c^3} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{A} \times \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}) \\ &+ \frac{2e\hbar}{8m^2c^2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{p} \times \vec{E}) + \frac{2e\hbar}{8m^2c^2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{p} \times \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B-4})$$

## References

- [1] Sakurai. *Advanced Quantum Mechanics*. Addison Wesley, 1968.
- [2] M Kaku. *Quantum Field Theory, a modern introduction*. Oxford University Press, 1993.
- [3] L Ryder. *Quantum Field Theory*. Cambridge, 1996.
- [4] Julian Schwinger. On quantum-electrodynamics and the magnetic moment of the electron. *Phys. Rev.*, 73:416–417, 2 1948. doi: 10.1103/PhysRev.73.416. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.73.416>.
- [5] Charles M. Sommerfield. Magnetic dipole moment of the electron. *Phys. Rev.*, 107:328–329, 7 1957. doi: 10.1103/PhysRev.107.328. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.107.328>.
- [6] A. Petermann. Fourth order magnetic moment of the electron. *Helv. Phys. Acta*, 30:407–408, 1957. doi: 10.5169/seals-112823.
- [7] T. Aoyama et al. The anomalous magnetic moment of the muon in the standard model. *Physics Reports*, 887:1–166, 2020. ISSN 0370-1573. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2020.07.006>. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370157320302556>. The anomalous magnetic moment of the muon in the Standard Model.
- [8] B. Abi et al. Measurement of the positive muon anomalous magnetic moment to 0.46 ppm. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 126:141801, 4 2021. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.141801. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.141801>.
- [9] G BREIT. The magnetic moment of the electron. *Nature*, 122, 1928.
- [10] J. D. Bjorken and S. D. Drell. *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics*. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., 1964.