

An Operadic Generalization of the Gerstenhaber-Shack Theorem

Andy Yu

Abstract

A simplicial cochain complex can be derived from a locally small poset by taking the nerve of the poset viewed as a category. We show that the simplicial cochain complex and a relative Hochschild cochain complex of the incidence algebra of the poset are isomorphic as operads with multiplications. This result implies that the hG-algebras derived from those operads are isomorphic, which is a generalization of the Gerstenhaber-Shack theorem. The isomorphism also induces a differential graded Lie algebra isomorphism, which we use to compute the moduli space of formal deformations of the incidence algebra.

Introduction

Let P be a locally finite poset, which means for any $x_1, x_2 \in P$, the set $\{x \in P \mid x_1 \leq x \leq x_2\}$ is finite. We may regard P as a category. Given k a field of characteristic 0, we can construct an incidence algebra kP [Web07, section 2]. Let $S \subset RP$ be the subalgebra generated by all the identity morphisms in P . Gerstenhaber and Shack showed that $C^\bullet(P; k)$ is isomorphic to the relative Hochschild cochain complex $C^\bullet(RP, S; kP)$ [GS83].

For an operad \mathcal{O} of a non-negatively graded k -vector space, we can choose an element $m \in \mathcal{O}(2)$ that is associative. A pair (\mathcal{O}, m) is called an operad with multiplication. It has been shown that an operad with multiplication can be made into a hG-algebra, which contains a differential graded associative algebra (DGA) structure [GV95], [VG95].

We introduce the operad structures on the Hochschild and simplicial cochain complexes, in section 1, such that the DGA structures within coincide with the Hochschild and the simplicial cochain complexes. In section 2, we show that the isomorphism map described in [GS88, page 137, 138] is in fact an isomorphism of two operads with multiplications, it is consequently a DGA isomorphism, which implies the Gerstenhaber-Shack theorem. In section 3, we compute the moduli space of deformations of the incidence algebra kP using the property that Maurer-Cartan space functor preserves quasi-isomorphism of differential graded Lie algebras up to homotopy equivalence [Get09].

Conventions We use k to denote a field of characteristic 0. While some operads in this paper are operads of k -vector spaces, we suppress the phrase and simply say operads instead. In this paper, \mathbb{Z}^+ denotes the positive integers, and \mathbb{N} contains 0.

Let $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M^n$ be a graded k -vector space, $f \in \text{Hom}(M^{\otimes n}, M)$.

- For $x \in M^n$, let $|x| := n$.
- Let $M[n]$ be the shifted graded k -vector space defined by $(M[n])^m := M^{m+n}$.
- For $x \in M^n$, let the same element be denoted by $sx \in (M[1])^{n-1}$. Then $|sx| = n - 1$.
- The degree of a map $f : M \rightarrow M$ is defined by $\deg f := |f(v_1, \dots, v_n)| - (|v_1| + \dots + |v_n|)$.
- Let $\sigma \in S^n$ be a permutation of n elements. Let κ be the *Koszul sign* defined by

$$x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = \kappa_{\sigma(1)\sigma(2)\cdots\sigma(n)},$$

for $x_i \in M$, $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$ in the free graded-commutative algebra $S^\bullet(M)$.

Acknowledgments I am grateful to my advisor Alexander A. Voronov from the University of Minnesota, who introduced me to rational homotopy theory, operads, and deformation theory, for his continuous support, guidance, and belief in my capability. I am also thankful to Pranjali Dangwal from the University of Minnesota, who had fruitful discussions with me on deformation theory and rational homotopy theory, and partially accompanied me on this project.

1 Operads and Homotopy G-Algebras

1.1 Preliminaries on Operads

Definition 1.1. A *non- Σ operad* is a collection of k -vector spaces $\mathcal{O}(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, along with operations $\gamma : \mathcal{O}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}(n_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{O}(n_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n_1 + \dots + n_k)$, called compositions, and a distinguished element $\text{id} \in \mathcal{O}(1)$, called the identity, that satisfy the identity and associativity of composition axioms, see more detail in [MSS02]. A *sub-operad* $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ is an operad with respect to the restriction of the operations $\gamma|_{\mathcal{P}}$. A morphism of operads is a map that preserves the identity and compositions.

For $x \in \mathcal{O}(0)$, we require $\gamma(x; \) := x$. Since we will only concern with non- Σ operads, we refer to a non- Σ operad simply as an operad.

Definition 1.2. For $f \in \mathcal{O}(m)$, $m \geq 1$, and $g \in \mathcal{O}(n)$, $n \geq 0$, let $\circ_j : \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \mathcal{O}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(m+n-1)$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, be a collection of maps be defined by $f \circ_j g := \gamma(f; \text{id}, \dots, \text{id}, g, \text{id}, \dots, \text{id})$, where g is placed in the j th input (excluding f) of γ .

The maps \circ_j come in handy. We can recover γ by repeatedly composing the \circ_j products.

$$\gamma(f; f_1, \dots, f_k) = (\cdots ((f \circ_k f_k) \circ_{k-1} f_{k-1}) \cdots) \circ_1 f_1.$$

In addition, a map $F : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ is a morphism of operads if and only if for $f, g \in \mathcal{O}$, F satisfies $F(f \circ_j g) = F(f) \circ_j F(g)$, and $F(\text{id}_{\mathcal{O}}) = \text{id}_{\mathcal{P}}$.

Now we exhibit relevant examples of operads.

Relative Hochschild Cochains As An Operad

Let A be an associative unital algebra over k . The endomorphism operad $\mathcal{E}nd_A$ is defined by $\mathcal{E}nd_A(n) = \text{Hom}_R(A^n, A)$, $n \geq 0$. The operations γ on $\mathcal{E}nd_A$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(f; f_1, \dots, f_k)(a_1, \dots, a_{n_1+\dots+n_k}) \\ = f(f_1(a_1, \dots, a_{n_1}), \dots, f_k(a_{n_1+\dots+n_{k-1}}, \dots, a_{n_1+\dots+n_k})). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Since $\text{Hom}_R(A^n, A) = C^n(A; A)$, the Hochschild cochain complex $C^\bullet(A; A)$ is the same as $\mathcal{E}nd_A$, provided the Hochschild differential is ignored. Let S be a subalgebra of A . Recall the definition of an S -relative Hochschild cochain complex [GS88, page 32]. The following proposition shows that $C^\bullet(A, S; A)$ is also an operad.

Proposition 1.3. *The relative Hochschild cochain complex $C^\bullet(A, S; A)$ with γ defined in (1) is a sub-operad of $\mathcal{E}nd_A$.*

Proof. If γ is closed in $C^\bullet(A, S; A)$, then its identity and associativity properties are inherited, so it suffices to show the closure of \circ_j maps within $C^\bullet(A, S; A)$. Let $b \in S$, $f \in C^p(A, S; A)$, $g \in C^q(A, S; A)$. We need to verify the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} (f \circ_j g)(ba_1, \dots, a_{p+q-1}) &= b(f \circ_j g)(a_1, \dots, a_{p+q-1}) \\ (f \circ_j g)(\dots, a_i b, a_{i+1}, \dots) &= (f \circ_j g)(\dots, a_i, ba_{i+1}, \dots) \\ (f \circ_j g)(a_1, \dots, a_{p+q-1} b) &= (f \circ_j g)(a_1, \dots, a_{p+q-1}) b. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

We compute through definition and get

$$\begin{aligned} (f \circ_j g)(ba_1, \dots, a_{p+q-1}) \\ = f(ba_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, g(a_j, \dots, a_{j+q-1}), a_{j+q}, \dots, a_{p+q-1}) \\ = bf(a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, g(a_j, \dots, a_{j+q-1}), a_{j+q}, \dots, a_{p+q-1}) \\ = b(f \circ_j g)(a_1, \dots, a_{p+q-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The computation can be symmetrically carried out for the third line of (2). For

the second line, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (f \circ_j g)(a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}b, a_j, \dots, a_{p+q-1}) \\
&= f(a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}b, g(a_j, \dots, a_{j+q-1}), a_{j+q}, \dots, a_{p+q-1}) \\
&= f(a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, bg(a_j, \dots, a_{j+q-1}), a_{j+q}, \dots, a_{p+q-1}) \\
&= f(a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, g(ba_j, \dots, a_{j+q-1}), a_{j+q}, \dots, a_{p+q-1}) \\
&= (f \circ_j g)(a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, ba_j, \dots, a_{p+q-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the same holds for $i = j + q - 1$. We omit computations for other i 's as they are inherited from $C^\bullet(A, S; A)$. Thus $C^\bullet(A, S; A)$ is a sub-operad. \square

We will use the cochain complex notation $C^\bullet(A, S; A)$, but regard it as an operad. Explicitly, for $n > 0$, $C^n(A, S; A)$ consists of $f \in \text{Hom}_k(A^n, M)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(ba_1, \dots, a_n) = bf(a_1, \dots, a_n) \\
& f(a_1, \dots, a_i b, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) = f(a_1, \dots, a_i, ba_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) \\
& f(a_1, \dots, a_n b) = f(a_1, \dots, a_n)b
\end{aligned}$$

for $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ and $b \in S$, and $C^0(A, S; A) = \{a \in A \mid ab = ba \text{ for all } b \in S\}$.

Simplicial Cochains As An Operad

Let $C^\bullet(X; k)$ be the simplicial cochain complex of a simplicial set X . Let $\varphi, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k \in C^\bullet(X; k)$ with degrees k, n_1, \dots, n_k . We define the γ operations by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \gamma(\varphi; \varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_k)(v_1, \dots, v_{n_1+\dots+n_k}) = \varphi(v_0, v_{n_1}, v_{n_1+n_2}, \dots, v_{n_1+\dots+n_k}) \\
& \quad \varphi_1(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n_1})\varphi_2(v_{n_1}, \dots, v_{n_1+n_2}) \cdots \varphi_k(v_{n_1+\dots+n_{k-1}}, \dots, v_{n_1+\dots+n_k}).
\end{aligned}$$

Then γ determines an operad structure on the graded vector space $C^\bullet(X; k)$.

1.2 The Homotopy G-Algebra of an Operad

Definition 1.4. A *brace algebra* is a graded k -vector space $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M^n$ over k , together with a collection of multilinear braces $x\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of degree 0, such that

$$x\{ \} = x,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& x\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \\
&= \sum (-1)^\varepsilon x\{y_1, \dots, y_{i_1}, x_1\{y_{i_1+1}, \dots, y_{j_1}\}, \\
& \quad y_{j_1+1}, \dots, y_{i_m}, x_m\{y_{i_m+1}, \dots, y_n\}\},
\end{aligned}$$

where the sum takes over $0 \leq i_1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq i_m \leq j_m \leq n$, and $\varepsilon := \sum_{p=1}^m (|x_p| - 1) \sum_{q=1}^{i_p} (|y_q| - 1)$.

We use E_k to denote the brace with k inputs in the curly bracket:

$$E_k(x; x_1, \dots, x_k) := x\{x_1, \dots, x_k\},$$

and $\{E_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ to denote the collection of braces. We also use the notation

$$f \circ g := f\{g\}$$

and call it the *circle product*.

Given an operad $\{\mathcal{O}(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, consider the underlying graded vector space $\mathcal{O} := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{O}(n)$. We can construct the brace algebra structure on the *desuspension* $\mathcal{O}[1]$ of the graded vector space \mathcal{O} by defining the braces as follows, see [GV95, Proposition 1]:

$$sx\{sx_1, \dots, sx_n\} := \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}_{n, |x|-n}} \kappa s \gamma(sx; \sigma(sx_1, \dots, sx_n, s \text{id}, \dots, s \text{id})), \quad (3)$$

where $sx, sx_1, \dots, sx_n \in \mathcal{O}[1]$ and κ is the Koszul sign for the composition of the permutations

$$\begin{aligned} (sx_n, \dots, sx_1, s, \dots, s) &\mapsto (sx_1, \dots, sx_n, s, \dots, s) \\ &\mapsto \sigma(sx_1, \dots, sx_n, s, \dots, s). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.5. A *homotopy G-Algebra* (hG-algebra) is a tuple $(M, \{E_k\}, \cdot, d)$, where

1. M is a graded k -vector space and d is a differential on V of degree $+1$.
2. The triple (M, \cdot, d) forms a DGA.
3. $(M[1], \{E_k\})$ is a brace algebra.
4. Let $x\{sy_1, \dots, sy_n\}$ replace $s^{-1}(sx\{sy_1, \dots, sy_n\})$. Then we have

$$(s(x_1 \cdot x_2))\{sy_1, \dots, sy_n\} = \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_i s(x_1\{sy_1, \dots, sy_i\} \cdot x_2\{sy_{i+1}, \dots, sy_n\}),$$

where χ_i is the Koszul sign for the permutation

$$(x_1, x_2, sy_1, \dots, sy_n) \mapsto (x_1, sy_1, \dots, sy_i, x_2, sy_{i+1}, \dots, sy_n).$$

5. The braces satisfy the identity

$$\begin{aligned} &d(sx\{sx_1, \dots, sx_n\}) - d(sx)\{sx_1, \dots, sx_n\} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{|sx| + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |sx_j|} sx\{\dots, dsx_i, \dots\} \\ &= -(-1)^{|sx||x|} s(x_1 \cdot x\{sx_2, \dots, sx_{n+1}\}) \\ &\quad - (-1)^{|x|} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |sx_j|} sx\{sx_1, \dots, s(x_i \cdot x_{i+1}), \dots, sx_{n+1}\} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{|x| + \sum_{j=1}^n |sx_j|} s(x\{sx_1, \dots, sx_n\} \cdot x_{n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where the differential on $M[1]$ is defined by $d(sx) := -sdx$.

Definition 1.6. A *multiplication on an operad* \mathcal{O} is an element $m \in \mathcal{O}(2)[1]$ such that $m \circ m = 0$. An *operad with multiplication* is an operad \mathcal{O} with a specific choice of multiplication.

Given an operad with multiplication (\mathcal{O}, m) , we can construct an hG-algebra structure on \mathcal{O} by the following, see [GV95, Theorem 3]:

- Define the braces on $\mathcal{O}[1]$ by (3);
- Define the dot product on \mathcal{O} by for $x, y \in \mathcal{O}$, $x \cdot y := (-1)^{|x|} s^{-1}(m\{sx, sy\})$;
- Define the differential d on $\mathcal{O}[1]$ by $d(sx) := m \circ sx - (-1)^{|sx|} sx \circ m$. The differential d on \mathcal{O} is determined by $d(sx) = -sdx$.

The construction of the hG-algebra from an operad \mathcal{O} may be viewed as a functor from the category of operads with multiplications to the category of hG-algebras. The same statement can be made about the DGA in 1.5.2. We describe them as “ \mathcal{O} viewed as a hG-algebra” or “ \mathcal{O} viewed as a DGA” when we are interested in these structures of the operad \mathcal{O} .

Relative Hochschild and Simplicial Cochain Complexes Regarded As Operads With Multiplications

Let $m_H \in \mathcal{E}nd_A(2)[1]$ be defined by $m_H(a_1, a_2) := a_1 a_2$ for $a_1, a_2 \in A$. Since A is an associative algebra, m_H is a multiplication on the operad $\mathcal{E}nd_A$. In addition, we have $m_H \in C^2(A, S; A)$. So the pair $(C^\bullet(A, S; A), m_H)$ can also be regarded as an operad with multiplication.

Let $m_S \in C^2(P; R)$ be the constant cochain defined by $m_S(v_0, v_1, v_2) := 1_R$ for any 2-chain (v_0, v_1, v_2) . It is clear that $m_S \circ m_S = 0$. Then the pair $(C^\bullet(X; k), m_S)$ is an operad with multiplication. For the simplicity of notation, we will suppress the multiplication symbols.

Remark 1. The circle product $f \circ g$ on a Hochschild cochain complex $C^\bullet(A; A)$ is in fact the Gerstenhaber composition product [GS88, page 85]. The circle product on a simplicial cochain complex $C^\bullet(X; k)$ is the \smile_1 product defined by Steenrod [Ste47], up to the sign $(-1)^{|f|(|g|+1)}$.

Remark 2 (On Signs and Conventions of Cochain Complexes). The dot product defined on the simplicial cochain complex $C^\bullet(X; k)$ by the homotopy G-algebra structure coincides with the standard cup product up to a sign: $x \cdot y = (-1)^{|x||y|} x \smile y$. Similarly, the differentials differ by the sign $(-1)^{|x|}$. Either way, we get isomorphic DGA structures on $C^\bullet(X; k)$. The same statement can be made about $C^\bullet(A, S; A)$, where the classical DGA structure on $C^\bullet(A, S; A)$ is defined in [GS88] and [Hoc46].

Remark 3. One may observe that braces in a hG-algebra distinguish the first input of the braces from the rest, and ask if there is an extension of the concept that distinguishes the first n inputs of operations of similar kinds, i.e. an algebra A with a collection of maps $E_{p,q} : A^{\otimes p} \otimes A^{\otimes q} \rightarrow A$ that satisfies similar identities. Such extensions exist and are called *Hirsch Algebras* or *extended homotopy algebras*. Discussions of such algebras may be found at [San16] and [Kad03].

2 An Operadic Generalization of the Gerstenhaber-Shack Theorem

Definition 2.1 (Category Algebra). Let \mathcal{C} be a locally small category, R be an unital commutative ring. The elements of the category algebra RC are formal sums $\sum_{f \in \text{hom}(\mathcal{C})} r_f f$. The product on RC is defined on the generators by

$$r_1 f \cdot r_2 g := \begin{cases} (r_1 r_2)(fg) & \text{if } f \text{ and } g \text{ are composable} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let a locally finite poset P be viewed as a category: the objects are elements, and the \leq relations are morphisms. The axioms of category are satisfied by the transitivity and reflexivity of the relation \leq . Let $C^\bullet(P; k)$ be the simplicial cochain complex associated to the nerve of P . Let kP denote the category algebra of the category P over k , also known as the *incidence algebra* of P as a poset. Let $S \subseteq kP$ be the subalgebra generated by the identity morphisms in P . For $i, j \in P$, if $i \leq j$, we denote the unique morphism from i to j by E^{ij} .

Let $\Phi : C^\bullet(P; k) \rightarrow C^\bullet(kP, S; kP)$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi f) &:= \sum_{i \in S} f(i) E^{ii} && \text{for } f \in C^\bullet(P; k)(0), \\ (\Phi f)(E^{i_0 i_1}, \dots, E^{i_{n-1} i_n}) &:= f(i_0, \dots, i_n) E^{i_0 i_n} && \text{for } f \in C^\bullet(P; k)(n), n > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.2 (Main Theorem). *The map Φ is an isomorphism of operads with multiplication.*

Proof. We first show that Φ is an isomorphism of operads. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the map Φ is clearly an isomorphism of bases of $C^n(P; k)$ and $C^n(kP, S; kP)$ for each n . Let $f \in C^p(P; k)$, $g \in C^q(P; k)$. It suffices to show that

$$\Phi(f \circ_j g) = \Phi f \circ_j \Phi g.$$

For $(E^{i_0 i_1}, \dots, E^{i_{p+q-2} i_{p+q-1}}) \in C^{p+q-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(f \circ_j g)(E^{i_0 i_1}, \dots, E^{i_{p+q-2} i_{p+q-1}}) &= f \circ_j g(i_0, \dots, i_{p+q-1}) E^{i_0 i_{p+q-1}} \\ &= f(i_0, \dots, i_{j-1}, i_{j+q-1}, \dots, i_{p+q-1}) \cdot g(i_{j-1}, \dots, i_{j+q-1}) E^{i_0 i_{p+q-1}} \\ &= \Phi f(E^{i_0 i_1}, \dots, E^{i_{j-2} i_{j-1}}, E^{i_{j-1}, i_{j+q-1}}, E^{i_{j+q-1} i_{j+q}}, \dots, E^{i_{p+q-2} i_{p+q-1}}) \\ &\quad \cdot g(i_{j-1}, \dots, i_{j+q-1}) \\ &= \Phi f(E^{i_0 i_1}, \dots, E^{i_{j-2} i_{j-1}}, g(i_{j-1}, \dots, i_{j+q-1}) E^{i_{j-1}, i_{j+q-1}}, \\ &\quad E^{i_{j+q-1} i_{j+q}}, \dots, E^{i_{p+q-2} i_{p+q-1}}) \\ &= \Phi f(E^{i_0 i_1}, \dots, E^{i_{j-2} i_{j-1}}, \Phi g(E^{i_{j-1}, i_j}, \dots, E^{i_{j+q-2}, i_{j+q-1}}), \\ &\quad E^{i_{j+q-1} i_{j+q}}, \dots, E^{i_{p+q-2} i_{p+q-1}}) \\ &= \Phi f \circ_j \Phi g(E^{i_0 i_1}, \dots, E^{i_{p+q-2} i_{p+q-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

we show that $\Phi(m_S) = m_H$. For any $(E^{i_0 i_1}, E^{i_1 i_2}) \in RP^{k^2}$, we have

$$\Phi(m_S)(E^{i_0 i_1}, E^{i_1 i_2}) = m_S(i_0, i_1, i_2)E^{i_0 i_2} = E^{i_0 i_2} = m_H(E^{i_0 i_1}, E^{i_1 i_2}).$$

Since $\Phi(m_S)$ and m_H agree on the basis of kP^2 , we conclude $\Phi(m_S) = m_H$. \square

The next two corollaries follow immediately from Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 2.3. *The map $\Phi : C^\bullet(P; k) \rightarrow C^\bullet(kP, S; kP)$ is an isomorphism of hG-algebras.* \square

Corollary 2.4 (Gerstenhaber-Shack Theorem). *The map $\Phi : C^\bullet(P; k) \rightarrow C^\bullet(kP, S; kP)$ is an isomorphism of DGA's.* \square

The brace of a hG-algebra G is pre-Lie with respect to the degree on $G[1]$. That is, for $f, g \in G[1]$, $[f, g] := f \circ g - (-1)^{|f||g|}g \circ f$ is a Lie bracket. Since the bracket is completely constructed from the determined brace operation, the differential graded Lie algebras (DGLA) derived from isomorphic operads with multiplications are isomorphic, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5. $\Phi : (C^\bullet(P; R)[1], ; k-, d) \rightarrow (C^\bullet(RP, S; RPk[1], [k, -], d)$ is a DGLA isomorphism. \square

Moduli Space of Deformations of Incidence Algebra

The Maurer-Cartan space $MC_\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$, also known as the nerve of a DGLA \mathfrak{g} , is invariant under quasi-isomorphisms up to homotopy equivalence [Cos09], [Get09]. We introduce the Maurer-Cartan space and use it to compute the formal deformations of the incidence algebra kP using the big Witt vectors W . We show the moduli space of formal deformations of kP , $\pi_0(MC_\bullet(\lambda C^\bullet(kP; kP)[[\lambda]]))$, is isomorphic to $H^2(P; W)$.

Definition 2.6. Let Ω_\bullet be the simplicial differential graded commutative algebra (DGCA) defined by

$$\Omega_n := \frac{R[t_0, \dots, t_n, dt_0, \dots, dt_n]}{(\sum t_i = 1, \sum dt_i = 0)}, \quad \deg(t_i) = 0, \deg(dt_i) = 1.$$

Let \mathfrak{g} be a DGLA over k . Let the *Maurer-Cartan set* of \mathfrak{g} be defined by

$$MC(\mathfrak{g}) := \{a \in \mathfrak{g}^1 \mid da + \frac{1}{2}[a, a] = 0\}.$$

The equation $da + \frac{1}{2}[a, a] = 0$ is called the *Maurer-Cartan equation*. Let us assume that \mathfrak{g}^0 is a pro-nilpotent Lie algebra over k . We associate with it the group $\exp(\mathfrak{g}^0)$ of formal symbols $\exp(x)$, $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, with multiplication given by the Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff formula [KS02, Section 1.4]. The group $\exp(\mathfrak{g}^0)$ is called the *gauge transformation group* and has a natural action on $MC(\mathfrak{g})$. See more discussions at [Man22, Chapter 6] and [GM88, Section 2].

The *derived (Maurer-Cartan) moduli space*, or *nerve*, of \mathfrak{g} is defined by

$$MC_\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) := MC(\mathfrak{g} \otimes_R \Omega_\bullet).$$

Let

$$\mathcal{MC}(\mathfrak{g}) := \pi_0(\mathrm{MC}_\bullet(\mathfrak{g}))$$

be the (*Maurer-Cartan*) *moduli space*, which can be identified with the orbit space $\mathrm{MC}(\mathfrak{g})/\exp(\mathfrak{g}^0)$ [Get09]. This is viewed here as a pointed set with base point 0.

Definition 2.7. A *formal deformation* on an associative algebra A is an associative $k[[\lambda]]$ -linear map $F: A[[\lambda]] \otimes_{k[[\lambda]]} A[[\lambda]] \rightarrow A[[\lambda]]$ of the form

$$F = m_A + \lambda F_1 + \lambda^2 F_2 + \dots,$$

where $m_A: A \otimes_R A \rightarrow A$ is the original product on A and, for each $n \geq 1$, $F_n: A \otimes_R A \rightarrow A$ is a k -linear map, extended by λ -linearity, such that and $F(1, a) = F(a, 1) = a$ for all $a \in A$. Two deformations F, F' are *equivalent* if there is an $k[[\lambda]]$ -algebra isomorphism $f: (A[[\lambda]], F') \rightarrow (A[[\lambda]], F)$ of the form $f = \mathrm{id}_A + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} f_n \lambda^n$.

Any formal deformation of the incidence algebra $A = kP$ may be written as

$$F = m_{kP} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} F_n \lambda^n \in C^2(kP; kP)[[\lambda]]. \quad (4)$$

with $F_n \in C^2(kP; kP)$. Then the associativity condition on F may be written as

$$F(F(a, b), c) = F(a, F(b, c)) \quad \text{for all } a, b, c \in kP.$$

This is equivalent to the condition that $F \in \mathcal{E}nd_{kP}(2)[[\lambda]]$ is an operad multiplication:

$$F \circ F = 0 \quad \text{or, equivalently,} \quad [F, F] = 0, \quad (5)$$

where the circle product and bracket are extended from $C^2(kP; kP)$ to $C^2(kP; kP)[[\lambda]]$ by λ -linearity. Since the Hochschild differential may be expressed through the Gerstenhaber bracket: $d = [m_A, -]$, the formal deformation F corresponds to a formal solution $\tilde{F} := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} F_n \lambda^n$ of the Maurer-Cartan equation

$$d\tilde{F} + \frac{1}{2}[\tilde{F}, \tilde{F}] = 0.$$

The following correspondence has been known [Mar12, ch. 4].

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Equivalence classes of} \\ \text{formal deformations of } kP \end{array} \right\} \cong \mathcal{MC}(\lambda C^\bullet(kP; kP)[[\lambda]]). \quad (6)$$

The next lemma shows that a formal deformation F of kP implies the existence of an equivalent deformation F' such that $F'_n \in C^2(kP, S; kP)$ for $n > 0$.

Lemma 2.8. *There is a homotopy equivalence of derived Maurer-Cartan spaces $\mathrm{MC}_\bullet(\lambda C^\bullet(kP; kP)[[\lambda]]) \simeq \mathrm{MC}_\bullet(\lambda C^\bullet(kP, S; kP)[[\lambda]])$.*

Proof. The inclusion $i : C^\bullet(kP, S; kP) \hookrightarrow C^\bullet(kP; kP)$ is a DGLA quasi-isomorphism [GS88, page 137]. Then $i \otimes \text{id}_{\lambda R[[\lambda]]} : \lambda C^\bullet(kP, S; kP)[[\lambda]] \hookrightarrow \lambda C^\bullet(kP; kP)[[\lambda]]$ is also a DGLA quasi-isomorphism. The ring $\lambda k[[\lambda]] = \varprojlim_n \lambda R[\lambda]/\lambda^n$ is pro-nilpotent, so the DGLAs $\lambda C^\bullet(kP, S; kP)[[\lambda]]$ and $\lambda C^\bullet(kP; kP)[[\lambda]]$ are also pro-nilpotent. Then the standard results of the homotopy equivalence of the nerves for quasi-isomorphic pro-nilpotent DGLAs, explicitly [Cos09, Lemma 5.3.1], see also [Get09, Proposition 4.9], implies the statement of the lemma. \square

Corollary 2.5 and Lemma 2.8 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 2.9. *There is a homotopy equivalence of derived Maurer-Cartan spaces $\text{MC}_\bullet(\lambda C^\bullet(kP; kP)[[\lambda]]) \simeq \text{MC}_\bullet(\lambda C^\bullet(P; k)[[\lambda]])$.* \square

We would like to conclude with the identification of the Maurer-Cartan moduli space $\mathcal{MC}(\lambda C^\bullet(P; k)[[\lambda]])$.

Corollary 2.9 implies any solution of the Maurer-Cartan equation in the DGLA $\lambda C^\bullet(P; k)[[\lambda]]$ can be identified with an element

$$\omega = m_S + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \omega_n \lambda^n \in C^2(P; k)[[\lambda]] = C^2(P; k[[\lambda]]) \quad (7)$$

that satisfies 5. That is, $\tilde{\omega} := \omega - m_S$ satisfies the Maurer-Cartan equation

$$d\tilde{\omega} + \frac{1}{2}[\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\omega}] = 0. \quad (8)$$

By λ -linearity, we have for ω and $\rho \in C^\bullet(P; k)[[\lambda]]$,

$$[\omega, \rho] = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \sum_{p+q=n} [\omega_p, \rho_q] \lambda^n \quad \text{and} \quad d\omega := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} (d\omega_n) \lambda^n.$$

Collecting the coefficients in equation (8) by each power of λ , we get the collection of equations

$$d\omega_n + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p+q=n} [\omega_p, \omega_q] = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \quad (9)$$

Since all the ω_n 's are 2-cochains, $[\omega_p, \omega_q] = \omega_p \circ \omega_q - (-1)^{|\omega_p||\omega_q|} \omega_q \circ \omega_p = \omega_p \circ \omega_q + \omega_q \circ \omega_p$. We rearrange the terms in the sum and obtain

$$d\omega_n + \sum_{p+q=n} \omega_p \circ \omega_q = 0. \quad (10)$$

Let W be the abelian multiplicative group of the big Witt ring $1_R + \lambda k[[\lambda]]$. Then every $\omega \in C^2(P; W)$ is of the same form (7). Then $d\omega = 1_W$ if and only if $(d_0\omega)(d_2\omega) = (d_1\omega)(d_3\omega)$. Term by term, this equation is equivalent to the collection of equations

$$d_0\omega_n + d_2\omega_n + \sum_{p+q=n} (d_0\omega_p)(d_2\omega_q) = d_1\omega_n + d_3\omega_n + \sum_{p+q=n} (d_1\omega_p)(d_3\omega_q). \quad (11)$$

The terms involving ω_n form the differential $d\omega_n$ in $C^\bullet(P; R)$. Moving everything to the left-hand side, we obtain

$$d\omega_n + \sum_{p+q=n} (d_0\omega_p)(d_2\omega_q) - (d_1\omega_p)(d_3\omega_q) = d\omega_n + \sum_{p+q=n} \omega_p \circ \omega_q = 0, \quad (12)$$

which is the same as (10). Thus, we see that the associativity equation (5) for ω as in (7) is equivalent to $d\omega = 1_W$ for the same ω considered as a cochain in $C^2(P; W)$. So we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.10. *An element $\omega \in \lambda C^2(P; k)[[\lambda]]$ is an MC element if and only if $\omega \in Z^2(P; W)$.* \square

Lemma 2.11. *Two Maurer-Cartan elements ω and $\omega' \in \lambda C^2(P; k)[[\lambda]]$ are equivalent if and only if they are cohomologous in $C^2(P; W)$.*

Proof. Suppose ω and ω' are equivalent. Then there is $\varphi \in C^1(P; W)$ such that $\varphi(\omega') = \omega(\varphi \otimes \varphi)$. Rewriting this equation with the operad notation we obtain $(d_1\varphi)\omega_q = (d_0\varphi)(d_1\varphi)\omega'$, where d_i 's are face maps. Rearranging the terms, we get $\omega = (d\varphi)\omega'$, which means ω and ω' are cohomologous. Mirroring the process yields the proof for the reverse direction. \square

Theorem 2.12. *The Maurer-Cartan moduli space $\mathcal{MC}(\lambda C^\bullet(P; k)[[\lambda]])$ is isomorphic to the cohomology group $H^2(P; W)$ of the poset P with coefficients in the group of big Witt vectors:*

$$\mathcal{MC}(\lambda C^\bullet(P; k)[[\lambda]]) \cong H^2(P; W).$$

Proof. Lemmas 2.10 and 2.11 imply the statement. \square

Remark 4. The argument we used to prove the above theorem is essentially contained in Gerstenhaber and Schack's paper [GS86], except that they were not aware of the DGLA structure on $C^\bullet(P; k)$ and thereby could not discuss its Maurer-Cartan moduli space. They were working directly with formal deformations of the incidence algebra kP and used the fact that the DGA isomorphism (here: Corollary 2.4) is compatible with the \smile_1 and circle products. The goal of their computation was the following result.

Corollary 2.13 (Gerstenhaber-Shack [GS86]). *The set of equivalence classes of formal deformations of the incidence algebra kP may be naturally identified with the cohomology group $H^2(P; W)$ of the poset P .*

Proof. In view of the identification (6), we need to present a natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{MC}(\lambda C^\bullet(kP; kP)[[\lambda]]) \cong H^2(P; W),$$

which follows from Theorem 2.12 and Corollary 2.9. \square

References

- [Cos09] K. Costello, *The partition function of a topological field theory*, J. Topol. **2** (2009), no. 4, 779–822.
- [Get09] E. Getzler, *Lie theory for nilpotent L_∞ -algebras*, Ann. Math. (2) **170** (2009), no. 1, 271–301.
- [GM88] W. M. Goldman and J. J. Millson, *The deformation theory of representations of fundamental groups of compact Kähler manifolds*, Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci. **67** (1988), 43–96.
- [GS83] M. Gerstenhaber and S. D. Schack, *Simplicial cohomology is Hochschild cohomology*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **30** (1983), 143–156.
- [GS86] ———, *Relative Hochschild cohomology, rigid algebras, and the Bockstein*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **43** (1986), 53–74.
- [GS88] ———, *Algebraic cohomology and deformation theory*, Deformation theory of algebras and structures and applications, Nato Adv. Study Inst., Castelvecchio-Pascoli/Italy 1986, Nato ASI Ser., Ser. C 247, 11–264 (1988)., 1988.
- [GV95] M. Gerstenhaber and A. A. Voronov, *Homotopy G -algebras and moduli space operad*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **1995** (1995), no. 3, 141–153.
- [Hoc46] G. Hochschild, *On the cohomology theory for associative algebras*, Ann. Math. (2) **47** (1946), 568–579.
- [Kad03] T. Kadeishvili, *Cochain operations defining Steenrod- \smile_i -products in the bar construction*, Georgian Math. J. **10** (2003), no. 1, 115–125.
- [KS02] M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman, *Deformation theory*, vol. 1, Unpublished book, 2002, <https://www.math.ksu.edu/~soibel/Book-vol1.ps>.
- [Man22] M. Manetti, *Lie methods in deformation theory*, Springer Monogr. Math., Singapore: Springer, 2022.
- [Mar12] M. Markl, *Deformation theory of algebras and their diagrams.*, CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math., vol. 116, Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS); Washington, DC: Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences (CBMS), 2012.
- [MSS02] M. Markl, S. Shnider, and J. Stasheff, *Operads in algebra, topology and physics*, Math. Surv. Monogr., vol. 96, Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2002.
- [San16] S. Saneblidze, *Filtered Hirsch algebras*, Trans. A. Razmadze Math. Inst. **170** (2016), no. 1, 114–136.

- [Ste47] N. E. Steenrod, *Products of cocycles and extensions of mappings*, Ann. Math. (2) **48** (1947), 290–320.
- [VG95] A. A. Voronov and M. Gerstenhaber, *Higher operations on the Hochschild complex*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **29** (1995), no. 1, 1–5.
- [Web07] P. Webb, *An introduction to the representations and cohomology of categories.*, Group representation theory. Based on the research semester “Group representation theory”, Lausanne, Switzerland, January – June, 2005., Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; Lausanne: EPFL Press, 2007, pp. 149–173.