

Chemical potential and variable number of particles control the quantum state: Quantum oscillators as a showcase

Benedikt M. Reible,^{*} Ana Djurdjevac,[†] and Luigi Delle Site[‡]

Institute of Mathematics, Freie Universität Berlin, Arnimallee 6, 14195 Berlin, Germany

Despite their simplicity, quantum harmonic oscillators are ubiquitous in the modeling of physical systems. They are able to capture universal properties that serve as reference for the more complex systems found in nature. In this spirit, we apply a model of a Hamiltonian for open quantum systems in equilibrium with a particle reservoir to ensembles of quantum oscillators. By treating (i) a dilute gas of vibrating particles and (ii) a chain of coupled oscillators as showcases, we demonstrate that the property of varying number of particles leads to a mandatory condition on the energy of the system. In particular, the chemical potential plays the role of a parameter of control that can externally manipulate the spectrum of a system and the corresponding accessible quantum states.

Keywords: open system; ideal quantum gas; quantum harmonic oscillators

I. INTRODUCTION

Ensembles of quantum harmonic oscillators and the ideal quantum gases are paradigmatic cases on which one can test the physical consistency and the utility of specific models for many-body systems. In this work, we will concretely investigate the model of an open quantum system which exchanges particles with a reservoir, that is, a system with a variable number of particles. This model has recently been discussed by some of us in Ref. 1, where an effective Hamiltonian for such systems was formally derived from the von Neumann equation governing the evolution of a density matrix.

The interest in quantum harmonic oscillators has its roots not only in the paradigmatic nature of the model, but also stems from the wide range of possible applications to various physical systems, which allow for the investigation of fundamental properties through manageable calculations. An ensemble of harmonic oscillators is essentially a universal and simple many-body system. For example, it is employed to detect general properties associated with heat flow, thus serving as a starting point for the construction of more complex models. Furthermore, in multiscale approaches, it is a precious tool for building hybrid continuum-particle models,²⁻⁴ and it is also a very effective model for low-dimensional materials⁵ and modern quantum technological devices.⁶ At the same time, at a conceptual level, further progress is needed in the treatment of open quantum many-body systems with varying numbers of particles, as a deeper theoretical understanding of these systems is becoming increasingly important for modern research and technological development; see, e.g., Ref. 7 and references therein. (Especially relevant is the use of such systems in addressing the challenge of controlling quantum coherence at the level of individual particles.⁸) As will be outlined below, in this

paper we explore the consequences of a varying number of particles on systems of quantum harmonic oscillators, and we show that using the model of an open system leads to non-trivial physical consequences. In particular, we find that the accessible quantum states, and thus the effective energy spectrum, depend on the chemical potential. In light of these findings and the technological perspective mentioned before, one may imagine to manipulate the chemical potential and the number of particles externally with the aim of obtaining a spectrum on demand, and/or to manipulate the environment externally to drive the system into targeted quantum states.⁸ Indeed, in modern quantum technology, ideas based on the control of the chemical potential are becoming increasingly popular,^{9,10} and thus our contribution provides a basic formal rationale to otherwise intuitive technical procedures.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section II, we will outline the basic ingredients of the model of an open quantum system that exchanges particles with a reservoir. Following this, we will review some aspects of the mathematical formalism necessary to treat ensembles of harmonic oscillators in Section III. Our results are contained in Section IV, where we apply the model of II to various systems of harmonic oscillators: first, we will consider a generic ensemble of independent oscillators, thus treating only vibrational properties, and we will look at the effect of the varying number of oscillators in the ensemble by deriving a necessary condition on the energy spectrum. Next, we will extend the treatment to a gas of independent quantum particles moving in space which are also characterized by internal vibrations (for example, a dilute gas of diatomic molecules); in essence, we treat the ensemble of oscillators of the first example and allow its center of mass to have translational degrees of freedom. We will again demonstrate the effect of a variable number of particles on the energy of the system and state a corresponding necessary condition; this condition extends the one of the open ideal Bose gas which is well-known from textbooks of statistical mechanics (see, e.g., Refs. 11 and 12, and the remarks in Appendix A). Finally, we will consider a one-dimensional chain of cou-

^{*} benedikt.reible@fu-berlin.de

[†] adjurdjevac@zedat.fu-berlin.de

[‡] luigi.dellesite@fu-berlin.de

pled harmonic oscillators, note its equivalence to the first example of independent oscillators, and thus extend our model also to this case by deriving once again a necessary condition on the energy spectrum of the system.

II. EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIAN FOR AN OPEN QUANTUM SYSTEM

In a previous paper of some of us,¹ an effective Hamiltonian for an open quantum system in contact with a particle reservoir was derived from first principles and found to be

$$H_n^{\text{eff}} = H_n - \mu \mathcal{N} , \quad (1)$$

with H_n the Hamiltonian of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ instantaneous particles (that is, for a snapshot of the open system in which the particle number happens to be n). Furthermore, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ denotes the chemical potential, and \mathcal{N} is the number operator which counts the number of particles of the system (see Section III). Eq. (1) was derived by tracing out the degrees of freedom of the environment in the von Neumann equation of a large system. The derivation is done for the situation of equilibrium or near-equilibrium, and the obtained Hamiltonian naturally leads to the expected solution of the von Neumann equation, namely the grand canonical density matrix of a system in equilibrium with its reservoir. In Ref. 1, the full consistency of the effective Hamiltonian H_n^{eff} with models used in molecular simulation, and in (non-relativistic) quantum field theory and the theory of superconductivity was also discussed.

Most important for the considerations in the present paper, it must be noted that an effective Hamiltonian such as (1) leads to an effective energy which characterizes the system in light of its property of having a variable number of particles.^{13,14}

III. QUANTUM HARMONIC OSCILLATORS

In the following, we will introduce the mathematical formalism which will be used in Section IV below. First, we shall quickly review the algebraic diagonalization procedure for a single, three-dimensional harmonic oscillator. Then, we will discuss the mathematical setup for a system of $N \in \mathbb{N}$ independent, identical oscillators. Finally, we will introduce the formalism of second quantization for such systems.

A. Single harmonic oscillator

Consider a single harmonic oscillator of mass $m > 0$ and frequency $\omega > 0$ with dynamical variable $\mathbf{x} = (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)}) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. (For the physics of the quantum harmonic oscillator see, e.g., Ref. 15; for mathematically

detailed treatments, consult Refs. 16, 17, 18, and 19.) The Hilbert space of this system is $\mathcal{H}^{\text{osc}} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and the Hamiltonian takes the form²⁰

$$H^{\text{osc}} = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} m\omega^2 |\mathbf{x}|^2 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \frac{1}{2} m\omega^2 |\mathbf{x}|^2 . \quad (2)$$

Note that the three components of the dynamical variable \mathbf{x} are independent, hence one may factorize the Hilbert space as $\mathcal{H}^{\text{osc}} = L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and the Hamiltonian (2) according to

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\text{osc}} &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial (x^{(\alpha)})^2} + \frac{1}{2} m\omega^2 (x^{(\alpha)})^2 \right] \\ &\equiv \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 H_{\alpha}^{\text{osc}} . \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We emphasize that $\sum_{\alpha=1}^3 H_{\alpha}^{\text{osc}}$ is a short-hand notation for the more precise

$$\begin{aligned} &H_1^{\text{osc}} \otimes \text{Id}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \otimes \text{Id}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &+ \text{Id}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \otimes H_2^{\text{osc}} \otimes \text{Id}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &+ \text{Id}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \otimes \text{Id}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \otimes H_3^{\text{osc}} , \end{aligned}$$

and that this operator is self-adjoint, given that the one-dimensional operators H_{α}^{osc} are self-adjoint (see footnote 20).^{21,22}

As is well-known, the one-dimensional Hamiltonian H_{α}^{osc} , $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, can be diagonalized by introducing creation and annihilation operators:¹⁵⁻¹⁷ define²³

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} &:= \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}} \left(x^{(\alpha)} - \frac{\hbar}{m\omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{(\alpha)}} \right) , \\ a_{\alpha} &:= \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}} \left(x^{(\alpha)} + \frac{\hbar}{m\omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{(\alpha)}} \right) . \end{aligned}$$

Then it holds that $[a_{\alpha}, a_{\beta}^{\dagger}] = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \text{Id}$, and furthermore, there exists an orthonormal basis $(\psi_{q_{\alpha}})_{q_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, namely the classical Hermite polynomials, such that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\alpha} \psi_0 &= 0 , \\ a_{\alpha} \psi_{q_{\alpha}} &= \sqrt{q_{\alpha}} \psi_{q_{\alpha}-1} \quad (q_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}) , \\ a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \psi_{q_{\alpha}} &= \sqrt{q_{\alpha} + 1} \psi_{q_{\alpha}+1} \quad (q_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}_0) . \end{aligned}$$

Defining also the number operator $\mathbf{n}_{\alpha} := a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\alpha}$, it follows that $(\psi_{q_{\alpha}})_{q_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ are eigenvectors of \mathbf{n}_{α} with corresponding eigenvalues q_{α} , that is,

$$\mathbf{n}_{\alpha} \psi_{q_{\alpha}} = q_{\alpha} \psi_{q_{\alpha}} \quad (q_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}_0) .$$

With the aid of the operator \mathbf{n}_{α} , the one-dimensional Hamiltonian H_{α}^{osc} can be rewritten as

$$H_{\alpha}^{\text{osc}} = \hbar\omega \left(\mathbf{n}_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Id} \right) , \quad (4)$$

and hence it is evident that $(\psi_{q_{\alpha}})_{q_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ are eigenvectors of H_{α}^{osc} , corresponding to the eigenvalues

$\{\hbar\omega(q_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}) : q_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$. From the decomposition (3) and Eq. (4), it follows that

$$H^{\text{osc}} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \hbar\omega \left(\mathbf{n}_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \text{Id} \right)$$

has the eigenvectors $\psi_{\mathbf{q}} = \bigotimes_{\alpha=1}^3 \psi_{q_\alpha}$, where $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, q_2, q_3) \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$, and spectrum $\sigma(H^{\text{osc}}) = \{\sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \hbar\omega(q_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}) : q_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$.^{16,18}

B. Extension to many oscillators

Next, consider a system of $N \in \mathbb{N}$ independent, identical quantum harmonic oscillators described by dynamical variables $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $1 \leq i \leq N$. According to the usual postulates of non-relativistic quantum mechanics,¹⁷ the Hilbert space of this system is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_N^{\text{osc}} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^N \mathcal{H}^{\text{osc}} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^N L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^N L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Since the oscillators are assumed to be uncoupled, it follows that the total Hamiltonian of the system takes the form

$$H_N^{\text{osc}} = \sum_{i=1}^N H_i^{\text{osc}}, \quad (5)$$

where each H_i^{osc} is given by (2), and the sum of operators is understood in an analogous way as the one in Eq. (3), i.e., $H_N^{\text{osc}} = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 H_{i,\alpha}^{\text{osc}}$. Introducing creation and annihilation operators for each $H_{i,\alpha}^{\text{osc}}$ separately, that is, using the representation (4), it follows that H_N^{osc} can be written as a sum of $3N$ one-dimensional operators (which shall be enumerated by a single index $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, 3N\}$ for better readability):

$$H_N^{\text{osc}} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3N} \hbar\omega \left(\mathbf{n}_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \text{Id} \right). \quad (6)$$

In analogy to the remarks made at the end of Section III A, it follows that the eigenvectors $\Psi_N \in \mathcal{H}_N^{\text{osc}}$ of H_N^{osc} are given by tensor products of the functions ψ_{q_α} , $\Psi_N = \bigotimes_{\alpha=1}^{3N} \psi_{q_\alpha}$, and thence they are specified by

$3N$ quantum numbers $\{q_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0 : 1 \leq \alpha \leq 3N\}$. Similarly, the spectrum of (6) is found to be $\sigma(H_N^{\text{osc}}) = \{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3N} \hbar\omega(q_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}) : q_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$.^{16,18}

C. Second quantization formalism

Using the occupation number representation of the second quantization formalism (see, e.g., Refs. 19, 24, 25, 26), one may represent the states Ψ_N from above in a different form. Let $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be the quantum number of the one-oscillator state ψ_q (as introduced in Section III A), and let $n_q \in \{0, \dots, N\}$ denote the number of times the state ψ_q appears in the N -oscillator state $\Psi_N = \bigotimes_{\alpha=1}^{3N} \psi_{q_\alpha}$ from above. Since there are N particles in total, we demand the following normalization condition:

$$\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} n_q = N. \quad (7)$$

(Physically, this identity entails that adding together the number of oscillators in every possible quantum state $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$, one arrives at the total number of oscillators, N .)

Now, instead of describing the state Ψ_N of the N -body system by specifying the $3N$ quantum numbers $\{q_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0 : 1 \leq \alpha \leq 3N\}$ of the individual oscillators, as was done in Section III B, one may also prescribe it²⁷ in terms of the (infinitely many) occupation numbers $\{n_q : q \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$; the corresponding representation of the wave function will be denoted by $\Phi_N \equiv \Phi_N(n_0, n_1, \dots, n_q, \dots)$. A suitable Hilbert space in which to consider the vectors Φ_N , which also allows for the treatment of a variable number of oscillators, is the (symmetric / bosonic) Fock space:²²

$$\mathcal{F} := \bigoplus_{N=0}^{\infty} \text{Sym}(\mathcal{H}_N^{\text{osc}}) = \bigoplus_{N=0}^{\infty} \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{3N} L^2(\mathbb{R}) \right).$$

Elements of \mathcal{F} are sequences $(\Phi_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, where each $\Phi_N \in \mathcal{H}_N^{\text{osc}}$ is a symmetric L^2 -function of $3N$ variables which is determined by a family $\{n_q : q \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ of occupation numbers satisfying $\sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0} n_q = N$, such that $\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \|\Phi_N\|_N^2 < +\infty$, $\|\cdot\|_N$ being the usual norm on the tensor product space $\mathcal{H}_N^{\text{osc}}$.¹⁹

In analogy to the single harmonic oscillator (Section III A), one may introduce for every $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ creation and annihilation operators A_q^\dagger, A_q on the Fock space²⁸ by specifying their action on each tensor power $\mathcal{H}_N^{\text{osc}}$ as follows:²⁷

$$\begin{aligned} A_q^\dagger \Phi_N(n_0, n_1, \dots, n_q, \dots) &= \sqrt{n_q + 1} \Phi_{N+1}(n_0, n_1, \dots, n_q + 1, \dots), \\ A_q \Phi_N(n_0, n_1, \dots, n_q, \dots) &= \sqrt{n_q} \Phi_{N-1}(n_0, n_1, \dots, n_q - 1, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

In words: these operators act on the total system and create (respectively, annihilate) particles in a fixed single-oscillator mode q . One can also introduce a number operator²⁹ $\mathcal{N}_q := A_q^\dagger A_q$ which acts on the states Φ_N according to

$$\mathcal{N}_q \Phi_N(\dots, n_q, \dots) = n_q \Phi_N(\dots, n_q, \dots). \quad (8)$$

Using these operators, the Hamiltonian (5) can be represented in the occupation number basis as follows:^{15,16}

$$H_N^{\text{osc}} = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \hbar\omega \left(q + \frac{1}{2} \right) \mathcal{N}_q. \quad (9)$$

IV. ENSEMBLES OF QUANTUM HARMONIC OSCILLATORS IN A PARTICLE RESERVOIR

Consider an open system of quantum harmonic oscillators in contact with an infinite particle reservoir. The total particle number n of the system is a finite but variable quantity described by the operator $\mathcal{N} = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}_q$. (We follow the convention of the physics literature to denote a variable particle number by a lowercase n and a fixed number of particles by an uppercase N .) The Fock space \mathcal{F} introduced above is the adequate Hilbert space for this system since in this representation, the total number operator \mathcal{N} exists as a densely defined linear operator.²⁷ Using Eq. (9), it follows that the effective Hamiltonian H_n^{eff} from Eq. (1) takes the form

$$H_n^{\text{eff}} = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \hbar\omega \left(q + \frac{1}{2} \right) \mathcal{N}_q - \mu \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}_q, \quad (10)$$

where the condition (7) has to be imposed on the operators \mathcal{N}_q in order to fix the instantaneous number of particles (“closure condition”). In the following, we discuss physical conditions on the allowed energy spectrum of (10) for different situations.

A. Condition on the purely vibrational energy spectrum

First, we discuss the spectrum of (10) directly to fix ideas and demonstrate the physical consequences; more realistic examples follow below. According to the discussion in Section III C, see Eq. (8) in particular, the energy eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian (10) in the occupation number states Φ_n are given by

$$E_n^{\text{eff}} = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \hbar\omega \left(q + \frac{1}{2} \right) n_q - \mu \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} n_q, \quad (11)$$

with the single-oscillator energy level $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $n_q \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ its occupation number. (Note that the occupation numbers follow a distribution; see Eq. (B2) in Appendix B. In particular, the range $\{0, \dots, n\}$ of n_q

mentioned before is just the integer part of the distribution for a specific q -value.) We emphasize that (11) is the consequence of two rigorous mathematical results: (i) the derivation of the effective open-system Hamiltonian from first principles in Ref. 1, and (ii) the well-known formalism of second quantization.

According to Lemma B.3 proved in Appendix B, it follows that the effective energy can also be written as (see also Corollary B.4)

$$E_n^{\text{eff}} = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \left[\hbar\omega \left(q + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \mu \right] n_q.$$

With this expression available, we can now formulate our two central physical assumptions. Since we are dealing with non-interacting harmonic oscillators, each of which has positive energy that does not influence the energy of the others, we expect (i) that E_n^{eff} , considered as an *effective* energy of the system, is positive:

$$E_n^{\text{eff}} = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{2} \hbar\omega + q\hbar\omega - \mu \right] n_q > 0. \quad (12)$$

In fact, if the energy E_n^{eff} were negative, one could conclude the existence of bound states for this system, which is physically absurd.³⁰ (ii) One can also interpret the presence of the term $-\mu\mathcal{N}$ in the effective Hamiltonian (10) as a shift of the vibrational frequency ω , as was done, for example, by N. N. Bogoliubov in his model for fermionic superconductors.¹³ The rationale is the following: the term $-\mu\mathcal{N}$ can be considered as a perturbation (mediating a particle flux) of the Hamiltonian H_n^{osc} that results in a change of the oscillation frequency of the system of harmonic oscillators. Looking at the expression (12) suggests to interpret the term in the square brackets as an effective oscillation frequency:

$$\hbar\omega_{\text{eff}}(q) := \frac{1}{2} \hbar\omega + q\hbar\omega - \mu \quad (q \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

Then the effective energy is given by $E_n^{\text{eff}} = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \hbar\omega_{\text{eff}}(q) n_q$, which is a reasonable expression on physical grounds.²⁶ Now, since the effective oscillation frequency must be a positive quantity, we demand that $\hbar\omega_{\text{eff}}(q) > 0$, i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{2} \hbar\omega + q\hbar\omega - \mu > 0.$$

From this condition, it follows that there is also a lower cutoff on the vibrational quantum number q , namely

$$q > q_{\min}(\mu) := \frac{\mu}{\hbar\omega} - \frac{1}{2}.$$

This identity entails that there are quantum states which are not accessible by the system, depending on the chemical potential μ . Furthermore, it follows that the effective energy, and hence the energy spectrum of the system, is modified according to

$$E_n^{\text{eff}} = \sum_{q > q_{\min}(\mu)} \left[\frac{1}{2} \hbar\omega + q\hbar\omega - \mu \right] n_q.$$

B. Ideal quantum gas of vibrating particles

Next, we consider a quantum gas of identical molecules contained in a finite cube $\Omega := [0, L]^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ of side length $L > 0$, in which each molecule possesses, in addition to its vibrational degrees of freedom described by (2), also translational degrees of freedom (e.g., a dilute gas of diatomic molecules).

Consider first a single molecule of mass m whose translational motion is described by the dynamical variable $\mathbf{X} = (X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}, X^{(3)}) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. The corresponding dynamics is determined by the free Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\text{tra}} &= \frac{P^2}{2m} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta_{\mathbf{X}} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial (X^{(\alpha)})^2} \\ &\equiv \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 H_{\alpha}^{\text{tra}} \end{aligned}$$

in the Hilbert space $L^2(\Omega)$. Similarly to the Hamiltonian H^{osc} in Section III A, the operator H^{tra} factorizes into three one-dimensional operators, corresponding to a factorization of the Hilbert space as $L^2(\Omega) = \bigotimes^3 L^2([0, L])$. We impose periodic boundary conditions by choosing as domain for each one-dimensional operator H_{α}^{tra} the subspace

$$\{\psi \in H^2([0, L]) : \psi(0) = \psi(L) \text{ and } \psi'(0) = \psi'(L)\},$$

where $H^2([0, L])$ is the Sobolev space of second order.²¹ It holds that H_{α}^{tra} is self-adjoint on this domain,²¹ and its spectrum is given by the eigenvalues^{15,18,31}

$$\varepsilon_{k_{\alpha}} = \frac{4\pi^2 \hbar^2}{2mL^2} k_{\alpha}^2, \quad k_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (13)$$

The corresponding eigenfunctions of H_{α}^{tra} (plane waves), which form an orthonormal basis of $L^2([0, L])$, shall be denoted by $(\varphi_{k_{\alpha}})_{k_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

Assume that the single molecule also possesses vibrational degrees of freedom, as discussed in Section III A. The total Hamiltonian for the translational and vibrational motion acts in the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H}^{\text{tot}} = L^2(\Omega) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cong \bigotimes^3 (L^2([0, L]) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})),$$

and it is formally given by

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\text{tot}} &= H^{\text{tra}} \otimes \text{Id}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \text{Id}_{L^2(\Omega)} \otimes H^{\text{osc}} \\ &\equiv \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 (H_{\alpha}^{\text{tra}} + H_{\alpha}^{\text{osc}}). \end{aligned}$$

It holds that the operators $H_{\alpha}^{\text{tra}} \equiv H_{\alpha}^{\text{tra}} \otimes \text{Id}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ and $H_{\alpha}^{\text{osc}} \equiv \text{Id}_{L^2([0, L])} \otimes H_{\alpha}^{\text{osc}}$ strongly commute on the space $L^2([0, L]) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})$,²¹ thence we have

$$[H_{\alpha}^{\text{tra}}, H_{\alpha}^{\text{osc}}] = 0$$

on a suitable domain. From this, it follows that the spectrum of $H_{\alpha}^{\text{tra}} + H_{\alpha}^{\text{osc}}$ is given by the sum of the individual spectra, $\sigma(H_{\alpha}^{\text{tra}} + H_{\alpha}^{\text{osc}}) = \sigma(H_{\alpha}^{\text{tra}}) + \sigma(H_{\alpha}^{\text{osc}})$,²¹ and its eigenvectors are $\varphi_{k_{\alpha}} \otimes \psi_{q_{\alpha}}$, $k_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

The previous observations are readily generalized to a system of $N \in \mathbb{N}$ molecules with translational and vibrational degrees of freedom (see also the discussion in Section III B): the total Hilbert space is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_N^{\text{tot}} = \bigotimes^{3N} (L^2([0, L]) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})),$$

and the total Hamiltonian can be written as

$$H_N^{\text{tot}} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3N} (H_{\alpha}^{\text{tra}} + H_{\alpha}^{\text{osc}}).$$

The states of this system are specified by the $6N$ quantum numbers (k_{α}, q_{α}) , $k_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $1 \leq \alpha \leq 3N$. Following the procedure outlined in Section III C, instead of specifying an N -body state by these $6N$ numbers, we may introduce for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ number operators $\mathcal{N}_{k,q} = A_{k,q}^{\dagger} A_{k,q}$ whose eigenvalues $n_{k,q} \in \{0, \dots, N\}$ count the number of molecules in each one-particle state (k, q) :

$$\mathcal{N}_{k,q} \Phi_N(\dots, n_{k,q}, \dots) = n_{k,q} \Phi_N(\dots, n_{k,q}, \dots).$$

As before in Eq. (7), we have to demand that $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0} n_{k,q} = N$. With this and ε_k from Eq. (13), the total Hamiltonian H_N^{tot} can be represented as follows:

$$H_N^{\text{tot}} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left[\varepsilon_k + \hbar\omega \left(q + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \mathcal{N}_{k,q}. \quad (14)$$

Similarly to Eq. (10), the effective Hamiltonian (1) for an open system of molecules as characterized above, in which the particle number n is now again a variable, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_n^{\text{eff}} &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left[\varepsilon_k + \hbar\omega \left(q + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \mathcal{N}_{k,q} \\ &\quad - \mu \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{N}_{k,q}. \end{aligned}$$

In the occupation number state $\Phi_n(\dots, n_{k,q}, \dots)$, its eigenvalue is

$$\begin{aligned} E_n^{\text{eff}} &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left[\varepsilon_k + \hbar\omega \left(q + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] n_{k,q} \\ &\quad - \mu \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0} n_{k,q}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

From Lemma B.2 and Corollary B.4 proved in Appendix B, it follows that this expression can also be written as

$$E_n^{\text{eff}} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left[\varepsilon_k + \frac{1}{2} \hbar\omega + \hbar\omega q - \mu \right] n_{k,q}.$$

With the same physical arguments as in the previous section regarding the expected positivity of the effective energy of a system of non-interacting particles, the mandatory condition for the energy spectrum is:

$$E_n^{\text{eff}} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left[\varepsilon_k + \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega + \hbar \omega q - \mu \right] n_{k,q} > 0. \quad (16)$$

As before, if one considers the presence of μ as a shift of the translational-vibrational frequency, thus interpreting the term in the square brackets as an effective frequency, then one obtains the stricter condition:

$$q > q_{\min}(\mu, k) := \frac{\mu}{\hbar \omega} - \frac{\varepsilon_k}{\hbar \omega} - \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (17)$$

Eqs. (16) and (17) extend the well-known condition for the existence of an ideal quantum gas of point-like bosonic particles obtained in the grand-canonical ensemble, namely $\varepsilon_k > \mu$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, see Eq. (A1) in Appendix A and Remark B.1 in Appendix B.

C. Linear chain of coupled harmonic oscillators

Finally, we want to illustrate the use of the effective Hamiltonian (1) in the case of a linear chain of coupled, identical harmonic oscillators. (As reference, we use the formalism of Refs. 26 and 32.) The Hamiltonian of $N \in \mathbb{N}$ coupled oscillators is given by³²

$$H_N^{\text{spr}} = \sum_{j=1}^N \left[\frac{p_j^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 x_j^2 + \frac{c}{2} m \omega^2 (x_j - x_{j+1})^2 \right],$$

where p_j is the momentum operator and x_j the position operator of the j -th oscillator, and $c > 0$ is a coupling constant. Assuming periodic boundary conditions ($x_{j+N} = x_j$ and $p_{j+N} = p_j$), and performing a Fourier transformation of the coordinates and momenta,

$$x_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{s=1}^N e^{2\pi i s j / N} \tilde{X}_s, \\ p_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{s=1}^N e^{2\pi i s j / N} \tilde{P}_s,$$

it follows that the Hamiltonian can be written as

$$H_N^{\text{spr}} = \sum_{s=1}^N \left[\frac{1}{2m} \tilde{P}_s \tilde{P}_{-s} + \frac{1}{2} m \omega_s^2 \tilde{X}_s \tilde{X}_{-s} \right],$$

where $\omega_s^2 = \omega^2 [1 + 4c \sin^2(\frac{\pi s}{N})]$. Introducing again (in analogy to Section III A) creation and annihilation operators,

$$a_s^\dagger := \sqrt{\frac{m \omega_s}{2\hbar}} \left(\tilde{X}_{-s} - \frac{i}{m \omega_s} \tilde{P}_{-s} \right), \\ a_s := \sqrt{\frac{m \omega_s}{2\hbar}} \left(\tilde{X}_s + \frac{i}{m \omega_s} \tilde{P}_s \right),$$

and corresponding number operators $\mathbf{n}_s := a_s^\dagger a_s$, whose eigenvalues $q_s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ correspond to the energy level of the s -th oscillator, it follows that H^{spr} can be written in the familiar form

$$H_N^{\text{spr}} = \sum_{s=1}^N \hbar \omega_s \left(a_s^\dagger a_s + \frac{1}{2} \text{Id} \right) \\ = \sum_{s=1}^N \hbar \omega_s \left(\mathbf{n}_s + \frac{1}{2} \text{Id} \right).$$

Evidently, H^{spr} has the same form as the Hamiltonian (6) for an ensemble of independent harmonic oscillators treated in the previous sections, except that the frequency ω_s in the present case is different for every oscillator. One realizes, however, that one can still write it in a second quantized form, analogously to (9), as follows: let $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be arbitrary and define \mathcal{N}_q to be the number operator counting the number of times the one-particle state q is occupied in the N -particle system, cf. Eq. (8). Furthermore, let $S_q := \{s : 1 \leq s \leq N, q_s = q\}$ be the set of s -values (that is, particle indices) for which the one-particle quantum number q_s equals q . Then H^{spr} can be written equivalently as

$$H_N^{\text{spr}} = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{s \in S_q} \hbar \omega_s \left(q + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \mathcal{N}_q.$$

With this expression, we obtain as an effective Hamiltonian for an open system with variable particle number n , in analogy to Eq. (10):

$$H_n^{\text{eff}} = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{s \in S_q} \hbar \omega_s \left(q + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \mathcal{N}_q - \mu \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}_q.$$

The eigenvalues of this operator in the occupation number states are given by

$$E_n^{\text{eff}} = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{s \in S_q} \hbar \omega_s \left(q + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] n_q - \mu \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} n_q.$$

Therefore, as in the previous cases, the condition for the energy spectrum of an open linear chain of harmonic oscillators reads

$$E_n^{\text{eff}} = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{s \in S_q} \hbar \omega_s \left(q + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \mu \right] n_q > 0.$$

Furthermore, if one interprets as before the presence of μ as a shift of the vibrational frequency of a single oscillator, thus treating the term inside the square brackets as an effective frequency, then one obtains the stricter condition

$$q > q_{\min}(\mu, n) := \frac{\mu}{\sum_{s \in S_q} \hbar \omega_s} - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Observe that the frequency ω_s , and hence q_{\min} , depends on the particle number n present in the open system. This feature implies the possibility of controlling n by manipulating the external environment, and thus it introduces a further parameter of control to determine the accessible quantum states, in addition to the frequency shifting provided by μ .

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

We have applied the idea of an effective Hamiltonian for open quantum systems with varying number of particles to a prototype system of physics occurring ubiquitously in the modeling of many physical systems, the harmonic oscillator. We have shown that for an ideal gas of harmonic oscillators, there is a mandatory condition on the accessible quantum states, and hence on the energy spectrum. This condition is directly linked to the chemical potential of the system, which in this sense can act as an external parameter of control of the spectrum, and of the occupation number of particles in the available vibrational and translational states. The present result extends the well-known mandatory condition for an ideal gas of point-like bosonic particles; in the latter case, the energy spectrum is characterized only by the wave number associated with translation.

Furthermore, we have discussed that the open ensemble of independent harmonic oscillators can be extended to a chain of coupled oscillators with nearest-neighbor interactions, building on the formal reduction of the coupled Hamiltonian to the Hamiltonian of uncoupled oscillators which is well-established in literature. The physics of such a system in the presence of a particle reservoir introduces additional features: by deriving an expression for the energy spectrum using the effective Hamiltonian of an open system and establishing, similarly to the case of an ensemble of non-interacting harmonic oscillators, a mandatory condition on the spectrum of the chain, we have found that the accessible spectrum becomes explicitly n -dependent.

The utility of the current results for future applications lies in the fact that models of open systems with variable number of particles can cover a rather broad class of physical situations, certainly more than the model at fixed number of particles. An interesting example, mentioned in the introduction, concerns the idea of externally manipulating the chemical potential in order to control the spectral properties of systems used in modern quantum technology; in this context, our results provide an explicit, basic formal ground for such experimental protocols. In a larger perspective, one may imagine that these results can be useful for several other problems: for example, the open ensemble of harmonic oscillators with variable number of particles may be used as a basic approach to the popular problem of treating entanglement in a quantum (Fermi) gas in an harmonic trap.³³ Moreover, the applications of this approach can be ex-

tended to the modeling and simulation of heat and mass transport in molecular systems,^{34,35} in low-dimensional materials,⁵ and in computational modeling for hybrid particle-continuum models.³

From a conceptual point of view, the harmonic oscillator is a simple yet paradigmatic model for the formal derivation of explicit formulas that can describe universal generic properties. In this context, we mention as a concrete example the recent proposal³⁶ for a possible definition of a “non-equilibrium” free energy, which was established in the framework of coupled harmonic oscillators, and which gives rise to estimates of universal trends in heat transport in particle systems. In future perspective, the results of the present paper can be combined with the results of Ref. 36, and one can consider a broader class of physical systems and situations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the DFG Collaborative Research Center 1114 “Scaling Cascades in Complex Systems”, project no. 235221301, projects C01 (L.D.S. and B.M.R.) “Adaptive Coupling of Scales in Molecular Dynamics and Beyond to Fluid Dynamics” and C10 “Numerical Analysis for Nonlinear SPDE Models of Particle Systems” (A.Dj.). Further support by the DFG, project No. DFG-DE 1140/15, “Mathematical Model and Computational Implementation of Open Quantum Systems for Molecular Simulations” (L.D.S.) is acknowledged.

Appendix A: The ideal Bose gas

The grand canonical partition function for an ideal gas of bosons is given by^{11,12}

$$Z(\mu, V, T) = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\beta(\varepsilon_k - \mu)}}.$$

Here, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ is the chemical potential, $V > 0$ the volume, and $T > 0$ the temperature; furthermore, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ labels the different one-particle states, and $\varepsilon_k = \hbar^2 k^2 / (2m)$ denotes the corresponding energy. The average occupation number $\langle n_k \rangle$ of the state k takes the form

$$\langle n_k \rangle = \frac{1}{e^{\beta(\varepsilon_k - \mu)} - 1}.$$

From this expression, one obtains a mandatory condition on the spectrum of the ideal Bose gas. Indeed, since based on physical grounds it must hold true that $\langle n_k \rangle \geq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, it follows that

$$\varepsilon_k - \mu > 0 \tag{A1}$$

for every state k . (The case of equality is excluded in order for $Z(\mu, T, V)$ and $\langle n_k \rangle$ to be well-defined.) In particular, this means that μ must be less than or equal to the ground state energy of the system.

Appendix B: Proof of convergence of the effective energy

In the following, it will be shown that the series appearing in the effective energies of the vibrational model (11) and the translational-vibrational model (15) converge. Recall from Eq. (14) that the energy of a system of n molecules with translational and vibrational degrees of freedom in the occupation number state $\Phi_n(\dots, n_{k,q}, \dots)$ is given by

$$E_n = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left[\varepsilon_k + \hbar\omega \left(q + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] n_{k,q}, \quad (\text{B1})$$

where $\varepsilon_k = 4\pi^2 \hbar^2 k^2 / (2mL^2)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and it is assumed that $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0} n_{k,q} = n$. Since we are investigating open systems in contact with an infinite particle reservoir, in thermal equilibrium the occupation numbers $n_{k,q}$ can be obtained from the grand canonical ensemble, and they are given by the Bose-Einstein (“−”), respectively, Fermi-Dirac (“+”) distribution:^{11,12}

$$\begin{aligned} n_{k,q} &\equiv \langle n_{k,q} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\exp\left\{ \beta \left[\varepsilon_k + \hbar\omega \left(q + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \mu \right] \right\} \mp 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B2})$$

Remark B.1. It is noteworthy to observe at this point that for bosons, one can actually see that the strict condition on the quantum number q , derived with the argument of positivity of the effective frequency in Eq. (17), is recovered by requiring the occupation number $n_{k,q}$ to be non-negative, which means that the exponent in the above expression must be non-negative, see also Appendix A.

Assuming either Bose-Einstein or Fermi-Dirac statistics and using the above expression for $n_{k,q}$, one can show convergence of (B1).

Lemma B.2. *The double series $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left[\varepsilon_k + \hbar\omega \left(q + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] n_{k,q}$ converges.*

Proof. For simplicity, we set the multiplicative constants $4\pi^2 \hbar^2 / (2mL^2) \equiv 1$ and $\hbar\omega \equiv 1$ to unity, and we assume that $\beta = 1$ for simplicity (that is, we use $k_B T$ as energy units). Note that the constant term appearing in (B1) evaluates to $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0} \frac{1}{2} n_{k,q} = \frac{1}{2} n$ by construction of the number operator and its eigenvalues, hence we may ignore it in the remainder of the proof as it does not have any influence on the convergence of the entire expression. Thus, we have to investigate the series

$$S := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0} \frac{k^2 + q}{e^{k^2 + q} e^{1/2 - \mu} \mp 1}.$$

For a fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, introduce the new variable $r := q + k^2$ which takes the values $\{k^2, k^2 + 1, k^2 + 2, \dots\} \subset \mathbb{N}_0$.

With this, S can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{r=k^2}^{\infty} \frac{r}{e^r e^{1/2 - \mu} \mp 1} \\ &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{r=k^2}^{\infty} \frac{r}{e^r e^{1/2 - \mu} \mp 1} + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{r}{e^r e^{1/2 - \mu} \mp 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B3})$$

Recall that from the series representation $e^\xi = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \xi^n / n!$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, of the exponential function, it follows that $e^\xi \geq \xi^{m+1} / (m+1)!$ for all $\xi \geq 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, hence we may use $e^r \geq r^5 / 5!$ to estimate (B3). Setting $C := e^{1/2 - \mu}$, it immediately follows that in the fermionic (“+”) case,

$$C e^r + 1 \geq \frac{C}{5!} r^5.$$

For the bosonic (“−”) case, observe that the chemical potential is always strictly smaller than the ground state energy, see Eq. (A1); in our choice of units, this means that $\mu < \frac{1}{2}$, and hence $C > 1$. Therefore,

$$C e^r - 1 = C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r^n}{n!} + (C - 1) \geq \frac{C}{5!} r^5.$$

Thus, in total, we have the following estimate:

$$C e^r \mp 1 \geq \frac{C}{5!} r^5.$$

With this, it follows that

$$S \leq \frac{240}{C} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{r=k^2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^4} + \frac{120}{C} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^4}.$$

The second series is well-known to converge: $\frac{5!}{C} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^4} = \frac{5!}{C} \zeta(4) = \frac{5!}{C} \frac{\pi^4}{90} = \frac{4\pi^4}{3C}$. The first term can be rewritten as follows:

$$\tilde{S} := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{r=k^2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^4} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3!} \psi^{(3)}(k^2),$$

where we have introduced the polygamma function $\psi^{(3)}$.³⁷ This function satisfies the following inequality:³⁸

$$\psi^{(3)}(k^2) \leq \frac{2!}{(k^2)^3} + \frac{3!}{(k^2)^4} = \frac{2}{k^6} + \frac{6}{k^8}.$$

Therefore, \tilde{S} is bounded as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S} &\leq \frac{1}{6} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{2}{k^6} + \frac{6}{k^8} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \left(2 \zeta(6) + 6 \zeta(8) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \frac{\pi^6}{945} + \frac{\pi^8}{9450}. \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion, it follows that the series S is bounded above by converging series, hence it is finite and converges by the series comparison test:

$$\begin{aligned} S &\leq \frac{240}{C} \tilde{S} + \frac{4\pi^4}{3C} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{C} \left(\frac{4\pi^4}{3} + \frac{16\pi^6}{189} + \frac{8\pi^8}{315} \right) < +\infty. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma B.3. *The series $\sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0} \hbar\omega \left(q + \frac{1}{2} \right) n_q$, describing the eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian (9) in the occupation number state $\Phi_n(\dots, n_q, \dots)$ with n_q given by (B2) for $\varepsilon_k \equiv 0$, converges.*

Proof. The argument is essentially that provided in the previous proof for the convergence of the second series appearing in Eq. (B3). \square

Corollary B.4. *The series appearing in the effective energies (11) and (15) converge, hence they may be com-*

bined to a single series, that is, we may write

$$E_n^{\text{eff}} = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \left[\hbar\omega \left(q + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \mu \right] n_q,$$

respectively,

$$E_n^{\text{eff}} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left[\varepsilon_k + \hbar\omega \left(q + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \mu \right] n_{k,q}.$$

Proof. Use Lemma B.3, respectively, Lemma B.2, together with the fact that the series $\sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0} n_q$, respectively, $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0} n_{k,q}$, is finite (and evaluates to the particular number n), hence the two converging series may be added together. \square

-
- [1] L. Delle Site and A. Djurdjevic, An effective hamiltonian for the simulation of open quantum molecular systems, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **57**, 255002 (2024).
- [2] F. Zhang, D. J. Isbister, and D. J. Evans, Nonequilibrium molecular dynamics simulations of heat flow in one-dimensional lattices, *Phys. Rev. E* **61**, 3541 (2000).
- [3] J. Liu, S. Chen, X. Nie, and M. O. Robbins, A continuum-atomistic simulation of heat transfer in micro- and nano-flows, *J. Comp. Phys* **227**, 279 (2007).
- [4] M. Ditte, M. Barborini, L. Medrano Sandonas, and A. Tkatchenko, Molecules in environments: toward systematic quantum embedding of electrons and drude oscillators, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 228001 (2023).
- [5] Z. Xu, Heat transport in low-dimensional materials: A review and perspective, *Th. Appl. Mech. Lett.* **6**, 113 (2016).
- [6] P. T. Alonso, P. Abiuso, and L. Perarnau-Llobet, M. Arrachea, Geometric optimization of nonequilibrium adiabatic thermal machines and implementation in a qubit system, *Phys. Rev. X Quant.* **3**, 010326 (2022).
- [7] L. Delle Site, Simulation of many-electron systems that exchange matter with the environment, *Adv. Th. Sim.* **1**, 1800056 (2018).
- [8] A. Daley, Quantum trajectories and open many-body quantum systems, *Adv. Phys.* **63**, 77 (2014).
- [9] C. Du, T. Van der Sar, T. X. Zhou, P. Upadhyaya, F. Casola, H. Zhang, M. C. Onbasli, C. A. Ross, R. L. Walsworth, Y. Tserkovnyak, and A. Yacobi, Control and local measurement of the spin chemical potential in a magnetic insulator, *Science* **357**, 195 (2017).
- [10] L. Zhu, A. Fiorino, D. Thompson, R. Mittapally, E. Mehofer, and P. Reddy, Near-field photonic cooling through control of the chemical potential of photons, *Nature* **566**, 239 (2019).
- [11] K. Huang, *Statistical Mechanics*, 2nd ed. (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1987).
- [12] G. F. Mazenko, *Equilibrium Statistical Mechanics* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2000).
- [13] N. N. Bogoliubov, On a new method in the theory of superconductivity, *Il Nuovo Cimento* **7**, 794 (1958).
- [14] H. Bruus and K. Flensberg, *Many-body Quantum Theory in Condensed Matter Physics* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004).
- [15] J. J. Sakuari and J. Napolitano, *Modern Quantum Mechanics*, 3rd ed. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2020).
- [16] S. J. Gustafson and I. M. Sigal, *Mathematical Concepts of Quantum Mechanics*, 3rd ed., Universitext (Springer, Cham, 2020).
- [17] V. Moretti, *Spectral Theory and Quantum Mechanics*, 2nd ed., Unitext No. 110 (Springer, Cham, 2018).
- [18] G. Teschl, *Mathematical Methods in Quantum Mechanics*, 2nd ed., Graduate Studies in Mathematics No. 157 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2014).
- [19] E. Zeidler, *Applied Functional Analysis. Applications to Mathematical Physics*, Applied Mathematical Sciences No. 108 (Springer, New York, 1995).
- [20] A suitable choice of domain for H^{osc} is $\text{dom}(H^{\text{osc}}) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the space of Schwartz functions, whereby H^{osc} becomes essentially self-adjoint.^{17–19} Taking the closure of this operator yields the self-adjoint extension $\overline{H^{\text{osc}}}$. The interested reader can find an explicit mathematical description of this operator in Refs. 17 and 19..
- [21] K. Schmüdgen, *Unbounded Self-adjoint Operators on Hilbert Space*, Graduate Text in Mathematics No. 265 (Springer, Dordrecht, 2012).
- [22] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics Volume 1: Functional Analysis*, revised and enlarged ed. (Academic Press, San Diego, London, 1980).
- [23] A suitable domain for the creation and annihilation operators is again the space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ of Schwartz functions.
- [24] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics Volume 2: Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness* (Academic Press, San Diego, London, 1975).
- [25] O. Bratteli and D. W. Robinson, *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics II. Equilibrium States*,

- Models in Quantum Statistical Mechanics*, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1981).
- [26] P. Coleman, *Introduction to Many-Body Physics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015).
- [27] F. Strocchi, *An Introduction to Non-Perturbative Foundations of Quantum Field Theory*, International Series of Monographs on Physics No. 158 (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2013).
- [28] A suitable domain for these operators is the set of all $(\Phi_M)_{M \in \mathbb{N}_0} \in \mathcal{F}$ which satisfy $\sum_{M=0}^{\infty} M \|\Phi_M\|_M^2 < +\infty$, see Ref. 25.
- [29] The domain of this operator is given by $\{(\Phi_M)_{M \in \mathbb{N}_0} \in \mathcal{F} : \sum_{M=0}^{\infty} M^2 \|\Phi_M\|_M^2 < +\infty\}$, see Refs. 19 and 25.
- [30] From a mathematical standpoint, it is clear that the Hamiltonian (9) of the oscillators is positive. The term $-\mu\mathcal{N}$ in the effective Hamiltonian models a flux of particles between the system and the reservoir. Therefore, from a physical standpoint, one can expect that the energy remains positive because adding or removing particles cannot create bound states.
- [31] C. R. de Oliveira, *Intermediate Spectral Theory and Quantum Dynamics*, Progress in Mathematical Physics No. 54 (Birkhäuser, Basel, 2009).
- [32] M. B. Plenio, J. Hartley, and J. Eisert, Dynamics and manipulation of entanglement in coupled harmonic systems with many degrees of freedom, *New J. Phys.* **6**, 36 (2004).
- [33] R. Bonsignori and V. Eisler, Entanglement hamiltonian for inhomogeneous free fermions, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **57**, 275001 (2024).
- [34] R. Ebrahimi Viand, F. Höfling, R. Klein, and L. Delle Site, Theory and simulation of open systems out of equilibrium, *J. Chem. Phys.* **153**, 101102 (2020).
- [35] R. Klein, R. Ebrahimi Viand, F. Höfling, and L. Delle Site, Nonequilibrium induced by reservoirs: Physico-mathematical models and numerical tests, *Advanced Theory and Simulations* **4**, 210071 (2021).
- [36] L. Delle Site and C. Hartmann, Computationally feasible bounds for the free energy of nonequilibrium steady states, applied to simple models of heat conduction, *Mol. Phys.* 10.1080/00268976.2024.2391484 (2024).
- [37] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Dover Publications, New York, 1964).
- [38] F. Qi, S. Guo, and B.-N. Guo, Complete monotonicity of some functions involving polygamma functions, *J. Comp. Appl. Math.* **233**, 2149 (2010).