

# SynthSoM: A synthetic intelligent multi-modal sensing-communication dataset for Synesthesia of Machines (SoM)

Xiang Cheng<sup>†1,\*</sup>, Ziwei Huang<sup>†1</sup>, Yong Yu<sup>†1</sup>, Lu Bai<sup>2,3\*</sup>, Mingran Sun<sup>1</sup>, Zengrui Han<sup>1</sup>, Ruide Zhang<sup>1</sup>, and Sijiang Li<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Advanced Optical Communication Systems and Networks, School of Electronics, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China

<sup>2</sup>Joint SDU-NTU Centre for Artificial Intelligence Research (C-FAIR), Shandong University, Jinan, 250100, China

<sup>3</sup>National Mobile Communications Research Laboratory, Southeast University, Nanjing, 214135, China

\*corresponding authors: Xiang Cheng (xiangcheng@pku.edu.cn); Lu Bai (lubai@sdu.edu.cn)

†these authors contributed equally to this work

## ABSTRACT

Given the importance of datasets for sensing-communication integration research, a novel simulation platform for constructing communication and multi-modal sensory dataset is developed. The developed platform integrates three high-precision software, i.e., AirSim, WaveFarer, and Wireless InSite, and further achieves in-depth integration and precise alignment of them. Based on the developed platform, a new synthetic intelligent multi-modal sensing-communication dataset for Synesthesia of Machines (SoM), named SynthSoM, is proposed. The SynthSoM dataset contains various air-ground multi-link cooperative scenarios with comprehensive conditions, including multiple weather conditions, times of the day, intelligent agent densities, frequency bands, and antenna types. The SynthSoM dataset encompasses multiple data modalities, including radio-frequency (RF) channel large-scale and small-scale fading data, RF millimeter wave (mmWave) radar sensory data, and non-RF sensory data, e.g., RGB images, depth maps, and light detection and ranging (LiDAR) point clouds. The quality of SynthSoM dataset is validated via statistics-based qualitative inspection and evaluation metrics through machine learning (ML) via real-world measurements. The SynthSoM dataset is open-sourced and provides consistent data for cross-comparing SoM-related algorithms.

## Background & Summary

In sixth-generation (6G) enabled artificial intelligence of things (AIoT), intelligent agents will be equipped with multi-modal sensors and communication devices<sup>1-3</sup>. By processing the collected multi-modal sensory and communication data, intelligent agents can complete multi-modal sensing-communication tasks<sup>4,5</sup>. However, since integrated sensing and communications (ISAC) focuses on the integration of radio-frequency (RF) communications and sensing<sup>6-8</sup>, it cannot support multi-modal sensing-communication tasks. To fill this gap, a novel concept, named Synesthesia of Machines (SoM), is proposed<sup>1</sup>. Unlike ISAC, SoM refers to intelligent multi-modal sensing-communication integration, containing communications, RF sensing, i.e., millimeter wave (mmWave) radar, and non-RF sensing, i.e., light detection and ranging (LiDAR) and RGB-D cameras. To achieve mutual enhancement among communications and multi-modal sensing, artificial neural network (ANN) serves as a fundamental tool owing to its advantage in exploring nonlinear relationships<sup>9-11</sup>. As the cornerstone of SoM research via ANN, a comprehensive dataset is important. Currently, extensive datasets have been constructed<sup>12-20</sup>. Several typical datasets are described below.

**KITTI:** The KITTI dataset is a multi-modal measurement dataset<sup>17</sup>, while the communication and mmWave radar sensory information were ignored.

**DeepSense 6G:** A multi-modal measurement dataset, named DeepSense 6G, was constructed<sup>18</sup>. However, depth maps and a weather condition, i.e., snowy day, were ignored. Although the measurement dataset<sup>18</sup> facilitates method validation, it is difficult to customize multi-modal sensing-communication scenarios owing to cost concerns.

**SDCD:** By using efficient software, a multi-modal synthetic dataset, named Synthetic Digital City Dataset (SDCD), was constructed<sup>19</sup>. Nevertheless, the SDCD lacked communication, mmWave radar, and LiDAR information.

**ViWi:** The Vision-Wireless (ViWi) dataset is a synthetic multi-modal sensing-communication dataset, including channel information, RGB images, depth maps, and LiDAR point clouds<sup>20</sup>. However, UAV scenarios, mmWave radar information, times of the day, and weather conditions were ignored.

Dataset	Comm.	Sensing				Air-Ground Multi-Link Cooperative Scenario	Weather			Time	Type
		Radar	RGB	Depth Map	LiDAR		Sunny	Rainy	Snowy		
KITTI <sup>17</sup>	×	×	✓	✓	✓	×	✓	×	×	×	Meas.
DeepSense 6G <sup>18</sup>	Limited	✓	✓	×	✓	×	✓	✓	×	✓	Meas.
SDCD <sup>19</sup>	×	×	✓	✓	×	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	Synth.
ViWi <sup>20</sup>	Limited	×	✓	✓	✓	×	✓	×	×	×	Synth.
M <sup>3</sup> SC <sup>21</sup>	Limited	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	Synth.
SynthSoM	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Synth.

**Table 1.** Comparison of the SynthSoM dataset and the existing datasets.

Although the existing dataset plays an essential role in SoM research, it has some limitations. The KITTI, DeepSense 6G, SDCD, and ViWi datasets lacked comprehensive communication and multi-modal sensory data. Furthermore, for multi-modal sensing-communication datasets, i.e., DeepSense 6G and ViWi, the former requires expensive measurement devices with limited flexibility, while the latter has simple simulation scenarios with low rendering effect.


Our previous work<sup>21</sup> attempted to fill the aforementioned gap and constructed a synthetic multi-modal sensing-communication dataset, named M<sup>3</sup>SC<sup>21</sup>. However, the M<sup>3</sup>SC dataset<sup>21</sup> lacked channel large-scale fading data. Furthermore, the M<sup>3</sup>SC dataset focused on a typical scenario, i.e., vehicular urban crossroad, and a certain intelligent agent destiny. The M<sup>3</sup>SC dataset also lacked technical validation based on real-world measurements and the code was not open-sourced. Therefore, an open-sourced dataset, which encompasses channel large/small-scale fading information and multi-modal sensory data, incorporates the interaction of various air-ground multi-link cooperative scenarios and conditions, and is validated by real-world measurements, is still lacking.

A new open-sourced synthetic intelligent multi-modal sensing-communication dataset for SoM, named SynthSoM, is constructed, as shown in Fig. 1. The comparison between the SynthSoM dataset and the aforementioned datasets<sup>17-21</sup> is given in Table 1. We summarize the main contributions and novelties of this work:

- To construct the SynthSoM dataset for intelligent multi-modal sensing-communication integration, a novel simulation platform is developed. The developed platform integrates three software, i.e., AirSim, WaveFarer, and Wireless InSite, and further achieves in-depth integration and precise alignment of them.
- The SynthSoM dataset covers diverse air-ground multi-link cooperative scenarios, e.g., vehicles and unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) under urban, suburban, and rural areas. Also, the SynthSoM dataset contains comprehensive conditions, e.g., multiple weather conditions, times of the day, intelligent agent densities, frequency bands, and antenna types. The simultaneous incorporation and interaction of various scenarios and conditions enhance the comprehensiveness of the SynthSoM dataset.
- The SynthSoM dataset encompasses multiple data modalities, including RF communications, i.e., 373K sets of channel matrices and 104K sets of path loss, RF sensing, i.e., 230K sets of mmWave radar waveforms with 61K radar point clouds, and non-RF sensing, i.e., 455K RGB images, 891K depth maps, and 241K sets of LiDAR point clouds.
- The quality of the SynthSoM dataset is validated in two ways, i.e., statistics-based qualitative inspection and ML-based evaluation metrics via real-world measurements. Our open-sourced SynthSoM dataset and codebase can provide the useful insight for SoM-related algorithm cross comparison, model calibration, and baseline implementation.

## Methods

To generate and collect communication and multi-modal sensory data, the developed simulation platform utilizes AirSim, WaveFarer, and Wireless InSite, and further achieves in-depth integration and precise alignment of them. To achieve in-depth integration, different scenario and condition files are properly imported into AirSim, WaveFarer, and Wireless InSite. Furthermore, three-dimensional (3D) coordinates of intelligent agents are set frame by frame to imitate the continuous motion of multi-vehicles/UAVs in different software. To achieve precise alignment, scenarios and conditions in AirSim, WaveFarer, and Wireless InSite are the same. In addition, the size and trajectory of each object are set to be the same in each software. Overall, there are four steps involved in developing the simulation platform, as shown in Fig. 1. The first step, i.e., high-fidelity scenario construction, constructs the aligned sensing and communication scenarios in AirSim, WaveFarer, and Wireless InSite at the initial snapshot. The second step, i.e., comprehensive scenario condition simulation, sets various conditions, such as weather conditions, times of the day, intelligent agent densities, frequency bands, and antenna types. The third step, i.e., dynamic scenario generation, generates the sensing and communication scenarios with the aligned trajectories of dynamic intelligent agents in AirSim, WaveFarer, and Wireless InSite at other snapshots. The fourth step, i.e., data collection and export, aims to collect and export communication and multi-modal sensory data automatically.



**Figure 1.** Framework of constructing the developed simulation platform for the generation of the SynthSoM dataset.

### High-Fidelity Scenario Construction

Procedures of high-fidelity scenario construction in the developed simulation platform with the help of AirSim, WaveFarer, and Wireless InSite are presented as follows.

To generate and collect the non-RF sensory data, i.e., RGB images, depth maps, and LiDAR point clouds, we utilize an open-sourced software developed by Microsoft, i.e., AirSim<sup>22</sup>. AirSim provides a virtual 3D environment to simulate diverse scenarios and conditions. First, by exploiting a city modeling software, i.e., CityEngine<sup>23</sup>, we utilize satellite maps in the real world to generate building models, which are exported to Unreal Engine 4 (UE4)<sup>24</sup>. A powerful software, i.e., RoadRunner<sup>25</sup>, is further utilized to conduct detailed processing on road layout, terrain features, and plants, which are also exported to UE4. Furthermore, we place intelligent agents, such as UAVs and vehicles (buses and cars), within the scenario. Finally, we refine the scenario details in UE4 and perform in-depth rendering. In the constructed scenario, the intelligent agent and roadside facility (RSF) are equipped with multi-modal sensors, including RGB cameras, depth cameras, and LiDAR devices. According to the requirement of different tasks, key parameters of multi-modal sensors, such as field-of-view (FoV), resolution, LiDAR range, and the number of LiDAR channels, can be adjusted.

To generate and collect the RF sensory data, i.e., mmWave radar information, we utilize efficient commercial software



**Figure 2.** Air-ground multi-link cooperative scenarios in the SynthSoM dataset.

developed by REMCOM, i.e., WaveFarer<sup>26</sup>. WaveFarer exploits the advanced computational approach, such as full-wave electromagnetic simulation, to characterize wave propagation. First, we import 3D scenario models from the project of AirSim into WaveFarer to achieve the in-depth integration between AirSim and WaveFarer. Second, we set materials for 3D scenario models and configure the electrical conductivity and magnetic permeability of materials. Third, we configure the diffuse scattering parameter to ensure that the mmWave radar can detect objects. Finally, we import intelligent agent models into WaveFarer and set their electromagnetic materials. In the constructed scenario, intelligent agents and RSF are equipped with mmWave radars, which leverage the linear frequency modulated continuous wave (FMCW) operating in the frequency range of 77 GHz to 81 GHz. Key parameters of mmWave radars, e.g., detection range, angular resolution, and sweep frequency range of FMCW, can be adjusted.

To generate and collect communication information, we utilize efficient commercial software developed by REMCOM, i.e., Wireless InSite<sup>27</sup>. Based on the powerful ray-tracing and diffraction algorithm, Wireless InSite can imitate realistic wireless propagation effects. First, we import models in AirSim into Wireless InSite, thus achieving the in-depth integration between AirSim and Wireless InSite. Then, we set materials of different types of models. Moreover, we conduct the double size operation on imported models to enhance the completeness of the triangle mesh. Finally, we place the intelligent agent within the scenario and set the frequency band, antenna type, and waveform type. In the constructed scenario, intelligent agents and RSF are equipped with communication equipment. Key parameters of communication equipment, such as carrier frequency, bandwidth, waveform type, and antenna type, can also be adjusted.

To achieve the precise alignment, the high-fidelity scenarios in AirSim, WaveFarer, and Wireless InSite are accurately aligned. Specifically, the size/coordinate of each object model in each software at the initial snapshot is the same. Currently, the SynthSoM dataset covers air-ground multi-link cooperative scenarios with five typical areas, i.e., urban crossroad, urban wide lane, urban overpass, suburban fork, and mountain road. For clarity, taking AirSim as an example, Figure 2 shows the existing air-ground multi-link cooperative scenarios in the SynthSoM dataset.

### Comprehensive Scenario Condition Simulation

The comprehensive simulation of scenario conditions, such as multiple weather conditions, times of the day, intelligent agent densities, frequency bands, and antenna types, in the developed simulation platform is given below.

In AirSim, Python is utilized to control the amount of rain and snow for the weather simulation. The amount of rain, degree of water accumulation, amount of snow, and degree of snow accumulation are represented by Rain, Roadwetness, Snow, and RoadSnow, respectively. By setting Rain, Roadwetness, Snow, and RoadSnow, sunny, rainy, and snowy days can be mimicked. Nonetheless, because of the inaccurate characterization of object properties in AirSim, e.g., material reflectivity, weather conditions solely affect RGB and depth cameras and not LiDAR devices. This limitation is also present in other software, e.g., CARLA<sup>28</sup> and LGSVL<sup>29</sup>. To overcome this drawback, we exploit a LiDAR point weather augmentation method, i.e., LISA<sup>30</sup>. The LISA method develops a physics-based hybrid Monte Carlo based LiDAR scatterer simulator for complex weather conditions, e.g., rainy and snowy days. The rain rate and the snow rate in the LISA method are set to 50 mm/hr and 10 mm/hr, respectively<sup>30</sup>. In addition to different weather conditions, different times of the day are also taken into account. By adjusting the brightness of the sky lighting and the position of the sun, we mimic different times of the day, such as morning and night. Finally, by placing different numbers of intelligent agents, we simulate scenarios with high, medium, and low intelligent agent densities.

In WaveFarer, for the weather simulation, we utilize a single raindrop/snowflake model and import it into WaveFarer to check if its sizes match the current scenario. By further leveraging an open-sourced 3D computer graphics software, i.e., Blender<sup>31</sup>, we scale the individual raindrop/snowflake model to an appropriate size and combine it to create a rain/snow model. Next, we import the processed rain and snow models into WaveFarer to simulate rainy and snowy days, respectively. Then, the temperature and humidity are set. For the rainy day, the temperature is set to 22.2 degrees Celsius and the humidity is set to 100%. For the snowy day, the temperature is set to -10 degrees Celsius and the humidity is set to 20%. To mimic high, medium, and low intelligent agent densities, we place different numbers of intelligent agents. For the setting of the antenna



**Figure 3.** Comprehensive conditions in the SynthSoM dataset taking the urban wide lane scenario as an example.

type, we design an antenna type and set the 3 dB attenuation and cutoff beamwidth in the vertical and horizontal directions. Transceivers are configured based on the desired array type and the transmit power of mmWave radar is set. In the FMCW setting, parameters such as the start and stop frequencies of the scan, the duration of a single scan, and the number of scans per snapshot are selected.

In Wireless InSite, we import the rain and snow models that are constructed in WaveFarer into Wireless InSite to mimic rainy and snowy days, respectively. The temperature and humidity for rainy and snowy days in Wireless InSite are the same as those for rainy and snowy days in WaveFarer. Since the intelligent agent density has a significant impact on the channel data<sup>32,33</sup>, we also consider high, medium, and low intelligent agent densities by placing different numbers of intelligent agents. Furthermore, in the Waveforms module of Wireless InSite, we can select the different waveform types and set different frequency bands, such as sub-6 GHz and mmWave. In the Antennas module of Wireless InSite, we can configure different antenna types, including single-input single-output (SISO), multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO), and massive MIMO.


To achieve the precise alignment, the weather conditions and intelligent agent densities in AirSim, WaveFarer, and Wireless InSite are aligned by properly setting the weather parameter and the number of intelligent agents, respectively. As a result, the multi-modal sensory data and communication data are aligned under different scenario conditions. Currently, the SynthSoM dataset covers three weather conditions, i.e., sunny, rainy, and snowy days, two times of the day, i.e., morning and night, three types of intelligent agent densities, i.e., high, medium, and low, two frequency bands, i.e., sub-6 GHz and mmWave, and three antenna types, i.e., SISO, MIMO, and massive MIMO. For clarity, taking the urban wide lane scenario as an example, Figure 3 illustrates comprehensive scenario conditions in the SynthSoM dataset. Note that the simultaneous incorporation and interaction of various scenario conditions enhance the comprehensiveness of the SynthSoM dataset.

### Dynamic Scenario Generation

In the developed simulation platform, we set the aligned and complex trajectory of the dynamic intelligent agent in AirSim, WaveFarer, and Wireless InSite.

To generate the realistic trajectory of the dynamic intelligent agent, we exploit an open-sourced microscopic traffic software, i.e., Simulation of Urban MObility (SUMO)<sup>34</sup>. First, we export the road network from RoadRunner and import it into SUMO to obtain the initial geometric structure of the road network, which is further revised according to road conditions. Second, we select several positions as anchor points in the road network of RoadRunner and SUMO and measure the coordinates of these anchor points. By calculating the average of the affine transformation matrices and performing translation, rotation, and scaling, we align the coordinate systems of RoadRunner and SUMO. Third, we set traffic rules for each lane, such as straight, left turn, and right turn. Meanwhile, we install traffic lights at each intersection and differentiate lanes with solid and dashed lines. Fourth, according to scenario requirements, we calculate simulation parameters, generate random traffic flows, and correct abnormal traffic flows. As a result, the trajectory of the dynamic intelligent agent is generated in SUMO. Fifth, we import the trajectory generated in SUMO into UE4. Similar to the coordinate alignment operation between RoadRunner and SUMO, we also achieve coordinate alignment between UE4 and SUMO. Finally, trajectories generated in UE4 are imported into AirSim, Wireless InSite, and WaveFarer to ensure that the three software have consistent trajectories.

After determining the trajectory of the dynamic intelligent agent, we generate dynamic scenarios in batches. In AirSim,



**Figure 4.** Dynamic scenarios at Snapshot 700, Snapshot 900, and Snapshot 1100 taking the urban wide lane scenario as an example. (a) AirSim, Snapshot 700. (b) AirSim, Snapshot 900. (c) AirSim, Snapshot 1100. (d) Wireless InSite, Snapshot 700. (e) Wireless InSite, Snapshot 900. (f) Wireless InSite, Snapshot 1100. (g) WaveFarer, Snapshot 700. (h) WaveFarer, Snapshot 900. (i) WaveFarer, Snapshot 1100.

we revise positions of dynamic intelligent agents and sensors via Python, and further set their 3D coordinates snapshot by snapshot. In WaveFarer, positions of dynamic intelligent agents and mmWave radars are controlled via QtScript. With the help of QtScript, we import positions and orientations of dynamic intelligent agents from external sources. By using coordinate parameters, we further fix the relative position of the mmWave radar to the corresponding dynamic intelligent agent. In Wireless InSite, based on the determined trajectory, we revise positions and orientations of both dynamic intelligent agents and antennas. Specifically, for dynamic intelligent agents, we calculate and revise coordinates based on their rotation angles using rotation matrices. As for antennas, we can directly revise their coordinates and corresponding rotation angles.

The SynthSoM dataset contains air-ground multi-link cooperative scenarios with complex trajectories of UAVs and vehicles. For clarity, taking the urban wide lane scenario as an example, Figure 4 depicts dynamic scenarios at different snapshots in the SynthSoM dataset, where the trajectories of two typical UAVs and two typical vehicles are given.

### Data Collection and Export

Finally, the developed simulation platform collects and exports communication data and multi-modal sensory data automatically.

In AirSim and WaveFarer, as previously mentioned, trajectories of dynamic intelligent agents are set. Through the predefined trajectory, multi-modal sensors mounted on dynamic intelligent agents collect and automatically export multi-modal sensory data, including RGB images, depth maps, LiDAR point clouds, and mmWave radar point clouds. In Wireless InSite, the generated communication scenarios are queued for simulation by a script. For the SISO condition, channel data, such as path

Scenario	Download Link
Air-Ground Multi-Link Cooperative Urban Crossroad	<a href="https://1drv.ms/f/c/2ecb56630cb1e9b9/Equ557lsp3JGkec3RReQmfgBLXnro3salsWjtZcP3-HbPA?e=rxHe4K">https://1drv.ms/f/c/2ecb56630cb1e9b9/Equ557lsp3JGkec3RReQmfgBLXnro3salsWjtZcP3-HbPA?e=rxHe4K</a>
Air-Ground Multi-Link Cooperative Urban Wide Lane	<a href="https://1drv.ms/f/c/0dac85eca919bb25/EruKFMFBgkhDiKWK_8BLByQBVOoshS0ngrgzXwBTVnxt_Q?e=Dlyuga">https://1drv.ms/f/c/0dac85eca919bb25/EruKFMFBgkhDiKWK_8BLByQBVOoshS0ngrgzXwBTVnxt_Q?e=Dlyuga</a>
Air-Ground Multi-Link Cooperative Suburban Fork	<a href="https://1drv.ms/f/c/e437ff297b90b20a/EmHAX7MtufNlgCnfI5sBA_0BNxZaH8A1aLqf2y4rIYpfsQ?e=k83QrC">https://1drv.ms/f/c/e437ff297b90b20a/EmHAX7MtufNlgCnfI5sBA_0BNxZaH8A1aLqf2y4rIYpfsQ?e=k83QrC</a>
Air-Ground Multi-Link Cooperative Urban Overpass	<a href="https://1drv.ms/f/c/49c410559939c091/Ek34R3zFUDpGImeUyyKupXcBSFdcU0DpNEypzHSDNCdpAg?e=efCB2R">https://1drv.ms/f/c/49c410559939c091/Ek34R3zFUDpGImeUyyKupXcBSFdcU0DpNEypzHSDNCdpAg?e=efCB2R</a>
Air-Ground Multi-Link Cooperative Mountain Road	<a href="https://1drv.ms/f/c/99f7731061a2474a/ElYoKcTXyZnM5O6z9J91m0BWkuXNKngm6-5KC2fvLix6A?e=JsFInZ">https://1drv.ms/f/c/99f7731061a2474a/ElYoKcTXyZnM5O6z9J91m0BWkuXNKngm6-5KC2fvLix6A?e=JsFInZ</a>

**Table 2.** SynthSoM dataset in OneDrive.

loss and channel matrix, can be directly collected and exported. However, for the MIMO or the massive MIMO condition, Wireless InSite cannot directly export the collected channel data and instead requires manual exportation. To overcome this limitation, we utilize Python to automatically control the mouse to export the collected channel data without manual exportation. The SynthSoM dataset encompasses multiple data modalities, including RF channel large-scale and small-scale fading data, RF mmWave radar sensory data, and non-RF sensory data, e.g., RGB images, depth maps, and LiDAR point clouds.

In summary, the developed platform integrates three high-precision software, i.e., AirSim, WaveFarer, and Wireless InSite, and further achieves in-depth integration and precise alignment of them. There are four steps involved in developing the simulation platform, including high-fidelity scenario construction, comprehensive scenario condition simulation, dynamic scenario generation, and data collection and export. Based on the developed platform, the SynthSoM dataset is constructed, which encompasses multiple data modalities under various air-ground multi-link cooperative scenarios with comprehensive conditions. For clarity, more detailed information on the SynthSoM dataset, such as the installation of software, the description of each scenario, the trajectory of intelligent agent, etc., is available on GitHub (<https://github.com/ZiweiHuang96/SynthSoM>).

## Data Records

To utilize the SynthSoM dataset for communication and multi-modal sensing tasks, we divide the SynthSoM dataset into five folders according to different scenarios. The scenario folder contains different conditions, and further contains different data modalities, i.e., RF sensing, non-RF sensing, and RF communications. The data directory hierarchy and content of the SynthSoM dataset are shown in Fig. 5. Due to the significantly massive data amount of the SynthSoM dataset, i.e., approximately 3 TB, we select a specific scenario condition, i.e., data collected by vehicles in the urban wide lane scenario with low intelligent agent density on a sunny morning, to upload to Figshare in zip compression format. The remaining scenario conditions in the SynthSoM dataset are fully open-sourced and uploaded to OneDrive in zip compression format, as listed in Table 2.

Multi-modal sensory data contains RF sensory data, i.e., mmWave radar waveforms and point clouds, and non-RF sensory data, i.e., RGB images, depth maps, and LiDAR point clouds. For RF sensory data, the mmWave radar waveform is stored in csv file format and the mmWave radar point cloud is stored in mat file format. For non-RF sensory data, the RGB image is stored in png file format and the LiDAR point cloud is stored in txt file format. Meanwhile, the depth map includes the DepthPerspective file and the DepthPlanar file, which are stored in pfm and png formats, respectively. To simultaneously consider channel large-scale and small-scale fading characteristics, communication data contains the path loss and channel matrix. The path loss is stored in txt file format and the channel matrix is stored in mat file format.

Overall, the SynthSoM dataset contains 373K sets of channel matrices, 104K sets of path loss, 230K sets of mmWave radar waveforms with 61K radar point clouds, 455K RGB images, 891K depth maps, and 241K sets of LiDAR point clouds. The open-sourced SynthSoM dataset can provide consistent data for SoM-related algorithm cross comparison, model calibration, and baseline implementation.

## Technical Validation

We validate the accuracy of the SynthSoM dataset in two ways. In the first subsection, from the statistics-based qualitative inspection perspective, we compare the visualization and statistical distribution of the synthetic data in the SynthSoM dataset to the measurement data in the real world. In the second subsection, from the ML-based evaluation metric perspective, we conduct the ML experiment to investigate whether the performance on the synthetic data in the SynthSoM dataset can be transferred to the real world.




Figure 5. Data directory hierarchy and content of the SynthSoM dataset.





Figure 6. Visualization and statistical properties of RF channels under different conditions. (a) Path loss heatmap in urban crossroad scenario with sub-6 GHz frequency band. (b) Path loss heatmap in urban crossroad scenario with mmWave frequency band. (c) Propagation paths in mountain road scenario under SISO. (d) Propagation paths in mountain road scenario under MIMO. (e) Propagation paths in mountain road scenario under massive MIMO. (f) SCCFs in mountain road scenario with sub-6 GHz and mmWave frequency bands under MIMO and massive MIMO conditions.

### Statistics-Based Qualitative Inspection

For the communication data in the SynthSoM dataset, the visualization and statistical properties of channels under different conditions are obtained. For the multi-modal sensory data in the SynthSoM dataset, mmWave radar data, RGB images, depth maps, and LiDAR point clouds are visualized. The obtained and visualized result and phenomenon from the SynthSoM dataset are consistent with the real world. Therefore, the SynthSoM dataset can be validated via the statistics-based qualitative inspection.

Figure 6 depicts the visualization and statistical properties of RF channels under different conditions. Figures 6(a) and (b) give path loss heatmaps in the urban crossroad scenario with sub-6 GHz and mmWave frequency bands, respectively. Since






**Figure 7.** Visualization of RF sensory data under different intelligent agent densities. (a) mmWave radar point cloud under high intelligent agent density. (b) mmWave radar point cloud under medium intelligent agent density. (c) mmWave radar point cloud under low intelligent agent density. (d) Range-power map under high intelligent agent density. (e) Range-power map under medium intelligent agent density. (f) Range-power map under low intelligent agent density.


path loss is proportional to frequency, the path loss under mmWave frequency band, ranging from 82.1 dBm to 157.7 dBm, is higher than that with sub-6 GHz frequency band, ranging from 67.0 dBm to 138.8 dBm. This phenomenon is consistent with the measurement result<sup>35</sup>. Figures 6(c)–(e) show propagation paths in the mountain road scenario under SISO, MIMO, and massive MIMO, respectively. With an increase in the number of antennas, the number of propagation paths increases, leading to a larger spatial diversity gain. This phenomenon is in agreement with the measurement result<sup>36</sup>. Figure 6(f) compares space cross-correlation functions (SCCFs) in the mountain road scenario with sub-6 GHz and mmWave frequency bands under MIMO and massive MIMO conditions. The SCCF with sub-6 GHz frequency band is lower than that with mmWave frequency band, which is consistent with the measurement result<sup>37</sup>. This is because that, compared to mmWave frequency band, there are more propagation paths in sub-6 GHz frequency band, resulting in larger channel spatial diversity and lower spatial correlation. Similarly, the SCCF under massive MIMO condition with more propagation paths is lower than that under MIMO condition.

Figure 7 gives the visualization of RF sensory data under different intelligent agent densities. For clarity, the suburban fork scenario is taken as an example for analysis. Figures 7(a)–(c) show mmWave radar point clouds under high, medium, and low intelligent agent densities at an intersection, respectively. Compared to the low and medium intelligent agent densities, the intelligent agent number within the FoV of mmWave radar is greater in the high intelligent agent density, leading to a higher number of detected mmWave radar points. Figures 7(d)–(f) correspond to the range-power maps of Figs. 7(a)–(c), respectively. As the intelligent agent number detected by the mmWave radar increases, the number of power spectrum density peaks increases, which is consistent with the measurement result<sup>38</sup>. Furthermore, the power spectrum density peak corresponding to the low-rise building approximately 32 m away on the opposite side of the road decreases with the increase in the intelligent agent density. This is due to the increased blockage caused by the intelligent agent in the middle of the road.

Figure 8 illustrates the visualization of non-RF sensory data under different conditions. Figures 8(a) and (b) show the RGB images in the urban overpass scenario on sunny morning and night, respectively. Certainly, the visibility of RGB image in the morning is higher than that in the night. Figures 8(c)–(h) present RGB images, depth maps, and LiDAR point clouds in the urban wide lane scenario on rainy and snowy morning, respectively. In rainy and snowy days, there are noise data in the RGB image and the depth map, which is consistent with the measurement result<sup>39</sup>. Furthermore, the rainy and snowy weather conditions also have a significant impact on LiDAR point clouds. Specifically, the laser attenuation resulted from random scattering medium leads to the increase in range uncertainty and loss of points far away from the LiDAR device. The huge



**Figure 8.** Visualization of non-RF sensory data under different conditions. (a) RGB image in urban overpass scenario on a sunny morning. (b) RGB image in urban overpass scenario on a sunny night. (c) RGB image in urban wide lane scenario on a rainy morning. (d) Depth map in urban wide lane scenario on a rainy morning. (e) LiDAR point cloud in urban wide lane scenario on a rainy morning. (f) RGB image in urban wide lane scenario on a snowy morning. (g) Depth map in urban wide lane scenario on a snowy morning. (h) LiDAR point cloud in urban wide lane scenario on a snowy morning.



**Figure 9.** Measurement campaign at the campus of Peking University. (a) Location of the measurement campaign. (b) Propagation environment of the measurement.

backscattered power from random droplets further leads to the presence of random scattered points near the LiDAR device. The aforementioned phenomenon related to the LiDAR point cloud is also in agreement with the measurement result<sup>30</sup>.

### ML-Based Evaluation Metrics: Performance Transferability

Aiming at investigating if the performance on the SynthSoM dataset can be transferred to the real world, we carry out the ML-based evaluation from the perspective of performance transferability, i.e., train on synthetic, test on real (TSTR), and train on real, test on real (TRTR)<sup>40</sup>. The motivation is straightforward, which is to train the ML model on the synthetic data in the SynthSoM dataset and evaluate its performance on the measurement data in the real world. To provide a baseline, we also train and test the ML model exclusively on the measurement data in the real world.

Collecting communication and multi-modal sensory data in the real world requires various expensive measurement devices. These measurement devices further require spatial-temporal calibration under dynamic scenarios, which is of huge difficulty. As a result, it is expensive and difficult to collect communication and multi-modal sensory data under dynamic scenarios in the

Hyperparameter	Setting
Optimizer	Adam
Batch Size	8
Number of Hidden Layers	4
Activation Function	ReLU
Epoch	100
Learning Rate	0.001
Loss Function	MESLoss

**Table 3.** Hyperparameters of MLPs for both TSTR and TRTR.

real world. To overcome this challenge, we conduct a measurement campaign at the campus of Peking University to collect communication and multi-modal sensory data under dynamic scenarios, as shown in Fig. 9(a). Although the measurement scenario is not specific to the vehicular scenario, the transceiver is mobile with dynamic pedestrians and static trees/buildings in the vicinity, as shown in Fig. 9(b). Therefore, we try our best to approximate the measurement scenario to a dynamic vehicular scenario<sup>1</sup>. In the measurement campaign, we simultaneously utilize the sensor, communication equipment, and control device. The sensor includes an RGB-D camera, which collects RGB images with 1280×720 resolution and depth maps with 848×480 resolution. The communication equipment, which functions as the main RF transceiver, contains a pair of universal software radio peripheral (USRP) X410 devices and a pair of frequency converters connected to the USRP, a phased-array antenna connected to the frequency converter in transmitter (Tx) and a horn antenna connected to the frequency converters in receiver (Rx). As a result, the path loss between Tx and Rx can be collected, where the carrier frequency is 28 GHz with 200 MHz communication bandwidth. The control device consists of a pair of x86 industrial personal computers (IPCs) for controlling the transmission/reception of signals and storage of communication data, i.e., path loss, along with an ARM IPC for controlling the collection and storage of sensory data, i.e., RGB images and depth maps. By utilizing the sensor, communication equipment, and control device, we collect 882 snapshots of aligned RGB images, depth maps, and path loss in the real world.

To correspondingly generate and collect communication and multi-modal sensory synthetic data, we utilize the developed simulation platform. The scenario in the simulation platform is consistent with the measurement campaign, i.e., the campus of Peking University. Specifically, we import terrain information from Google Maps and construct aligned campus scenario in the simulation platform. Consistent with the measurement campaign, we incorporate various objects between the transceiver, including static trees/buildings and dynamic pedestrians. For a fair comparison, we set the key parameters of the simulation testing, such as the distance between the transceiver, the snapshot of collected data, the number of pedestrians, carrier frequency, communication bandwidth, etc., to be the same as those of the measurement campaign.

Based on the collected RGB image, depth map, and path loss in the real world and the developed simulation platform, we conduct the ML experiment for performance transferability testing, i.e., TSTR and TRTR, as shown in Fig. 10. For TSTR, based on an object detection network, i.e., You Only Look Once (YOLO)-v5, we utilize simulated RGB images and depth maps to extract the environmental feature and path loss, which are utilized for training and validation via multilayer perceptron (MLP). Furthermore, we evaluate the path loss prediction result of the MLP trained on the synthetic data using the testing set from the measurement data. For TRTR, we exploit the measurement data for training, validation, and testing to obtain the path loss prediction result via YOLO-v5 and MLP. For a fair comparison, the hyperparameters of MLPs for both TSTR and TRTR are consistent, as shown in Table 3. Meanwhile, the dataset is divided into the training set, validation set, and testing set in the proportion of 3 : 1 : 1 for both TSTR and TRTR. The accuracy of path loss prediction can be computed by  $A = \frac{|PL_{pr} - PL_{gt}|}{P_{gt}}$ , where  $PL_{pr}$  is the prediction result and  $PL_{gt}$  is the ground truth. The accuracies of path loss prediction via TSTR and TRTR are 87.35% and 90.06%, respectively. Further comparing TSTR with TRTR in Fig. 11(a) and Fig. 11(b), path loss prediction accuracies are highly similar between synthetic data in the SynthSoM dataset and measurement data. Also, the TSTR performs worse than the TRTR, which is consistent with the result<sup>41</sup>. To be more specific, we observe a gap of less than 10% in accuracy between synthetic and measurement datasets, which is acceptable for a synthetic dataset, e.g., Table 6<sup>42</sup> and Tables 4, 5<sup>43</sup>. As a consequence, the comparison indicates that the performance on the synthetic data in the SynthSoM dataset can be transferred to the real world.

<sup>1</sup>Strictly speaking, the velocity of the transceiver in the vehicular scenario is higher than that in the conducted measurement campaign. Nonetheless, we are not principally interested in predicting the path loss outcome. Conversely, the ML experiment aims to demonstrate that the performance on the synthetic data can be transferred to the real world, which is similar to the performance transferability<sup>41</sup>.




Figure 10. ML experiments of TSTR and TRTR.




Figure 11. Path loss prediction results of TSTR and TRTR. (a) Prediction accuracy at some specific snapshots. (b) Probability of prediction relative error.

## Usage Notes

With the help of in-depth integration and precise alignment of AirSim, WaveFarer, and Wireless InSite, the SynthSoM dataset is accurately constructed. The technical validation further indicates that the SynthSoM dataset is consistent with measurement data via statistics-based qualitative inspection and the performance on the SynthSoM dataset can be transferred to the real

world via ML-based evaluation metrics. As a result, the SynthSoM dataset can be utilized to investigate a number of open problems for SoM research in AIoT applications. In the SynthSoM dataset, all data are published in the txt/mat/png/pfm/csv file format. For convenience, researchers can access the SynthSoM dataset entirely without any further permission. Since the SynthSoM dataset is significantly large, we divide it into five folders according to different scenarios. In this case, researchers can utilize the SynthSoM dataset based on their scenarios of interest. Therefore, by providing communication and multi-modal sensory data, the SynthSoM dataset can facilitate the SoM-related algorithm cross comparison, model calibration, and baseline implementation.

## Code availability

A git repository is publicly available on GitHub (<https://github.com/ZiweiHuang96/SynthSoM/tree/main/code>). In this repository, based on the SynthSoM dataset, several Python and MATLAB scripts for benchmarking, visualization, and data pre-processing are available.

## References

1. Cheng, X. *et al.* Intelligent multi-modal sensing-communication integration: Synesthesia of Machines. *IEEE Commun. Surv. & Tutorials* **26**, 258–301, <https://doi.org/10.1109/COMST.2023.3336917> (2024).
2. Chen, J. *et al.* Computer vision aided codebook design for MIMO communications systems. *IEEE Transactions on Wirel. Commun.* **22**, 3341–3354, <https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2022.3217664> (2023).
3. Mundlamuri, R., Gangula, R., Thomas, C.K., Kaltenberger, F. & Saad, W. Sensing aided channel estimation in wideband millimeter-wave MIMO systems. In *2023 IEEE International Conference on Communications Workshops (ICC Workshops)*, 1404–1409, <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCWorkshops57953.2023.10283636> (IEEE,2023).
4. Steyer, S., Lenk, C., Kellner, D., Tanzmeister, G. & Wollherr, D. Grid-based object tracking with nonlinear dynamic state and shape estimation. *IEEE Transactions on Intell. Transp. Syst.* **21**, 2874–2893, <https://doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2019.2921248> (2020).
5. Charan, G., Alrabeiah, M. & Alkhateeb, A. Vision-aided 6G wireless communications: Blockage prediction and proactive handoff. *IEEE Transactions on Veh. Technol.* **70**, 10193–10208, <https://doi.org/10.1109/TVT.2021.3104219> (2021).
6. He, H. *et al.* Integrated sensing and communication in an optical fibre. *Light. Sci. & Appl.* **12**, 25, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41377-022-1067-1> (2023).
7. Liu, F. *et al.* Integrated sensing and communications: Toward dual-functional wireless networks for 6G and beyond. *IEEE J. on Sel. Areas Commun.* **40**, 1728–1767, <https://doi.org/10.1109/JSAC.2022.3156632> (2022).
8. Jornet, J. M., Knightly, E. W. & Mittleman, D. M. Wireless communications sensing and security above 100 GHz. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 841, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-36621-x> (2023).
9. Chen, X. *et al.* Follownet: A comprehensive benchmark for car-following behavior modeling. *Sci. data* **10**, 828, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-023-02718-7> (2023).
10. Jeon, K. *et al.* Purely self-rectifying memristor-based passive crossbar array for artificial neural network accelerators. *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 129, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-44620-1> (2024).
11. Aguirre, F. *et al.* Hardware implementation of memristor-based artificial neural networks. *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 1974, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-45670-9> (2024).
12. Li, X. *et al.* A high-resolution climate simulation dataset for the past 540 million years. *Sci. Data* **9**, 371, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-022-01490-4> (2022).
13. Sun, T. *et al.* Shift: A synthetic driving dataset for continuous multi-task domain adaptation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 21371–21382, <https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR52688.2022.02068> (IEEE, 2022).
14. Xu, R. *et al.* OPV2V: An open benchmark dataset and fusion pipeline for perception with vehicle-to-vehicle communication. In *2022 International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, 2583–2589, <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICRA46639.2022.9812038> (IEEE, 2022).
15. Qi, B. *et al.* An open time-series simulated dataset covering various accidents for nuclear power plants. *Sci. data* **9**, 766, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-022-01879-1> (2022).

16. Manivasagam, S. *et al.* LiDARsim: Realistic lidar simulation by leveraging the real world. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 11167–11176, <https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR42600.2020.01118> (IEEE, 2020).
17. Geiger, A., Lenz, P., Stiller, C. & Urtasun, R. Vision meets robotics: The KITTI dataset. *The Int. J. Robotics Res.* **32**, 1231–1237, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0278364913491297> (2013).
18. Alkhateeb, A. *et al.* Deepsense 6G: A large-scale real-world multi-modal sensing and communication dataset. *IEEE Commun. Mag.* **61**, 122–128, <https://doi.org/10.1109/MCOM.006.2200730> (2023).
19. Li, J. *et al.* A synthetic digital city dataset for robustness and generalisation of depth estimation models. *Sci. Data* **11**, 301, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-024-03025-5> (2024).
20. Alrabeiah, M., Hredzak, A., Liu, Z. & Alkhateeb, A. ViWi: A deep learning dataset framework for vision-aided wireless communications. In *2020 IEEE 91st Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC2020-Spring)*, 1–5, <https://doi.org/10.1109/VTC2020-Spring48590.2020.9128579> (IEEE, 2020).
21. Cheng, X. *et al.* M<sup>3</sup>SC: A generic dataset for mixed multi-modal (MMM) sensing and communication integration. *China Commun.* **20**, 13–29, <https://doi.org/10.23919/JCC.fa.2023-0268.202311> (2023).
22. Shah, S., Dey, D., Lovett, C. & Kapoor, A. Airsim: High-fidelity visual and physical simulation for autonomous vehicles. In *Field and Service Robotics: Results of the 11th International Conference*, 621–635, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-67361-5\\_40](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-67361-5_40) (Springer, 2018).
23. Esri. Cityengine. <https://www.esri.com/en-us/arcgis/products/arcgis-cityengine/resources>.
24. UE4. Unreal engine 4. <https://www.unrealengine.com>.
25. MathWorks. Roadrunner. <https://ww2.mathworks.cn/products/roadrunner.html>.
26. Remcom. Wavefarer. <https://www.remcom.com/wavefarerautomotive-radar-software>.
27. Remcom. Wireless insite. <https://www.remcom.com/wireless-insite-em-propagation-software>
28. Dosovitskiy, A., Ros, G., Codevilla, F., Lopez, A. & Koltun, V. CARLA: An open urban driving simulator. Preprint at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.03938> (2017).
29. Rong, G. *et al.* LGSVL simulator: A high fidelity simulator for autonomous driving. In *2020 IEEE 23rd International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC)*, 1–6, <https://doi.org/10.1109/ITSC45102.2020.9294422> (IEEE, 2020).
30. Kilic, V. *et al.* Lidar light scattering augmentation (LISA): Physics-based simulation of adverse weather conditions for 3D object detection. Preprint at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.07004> (2021).
31. Studio, B. Blender. <https://www.blender.org/>.
32. Huang, Z. *et al.* A mixed-bouncing based non-stationarity and consistency 6G V2V channel model with continuously arbitrary trajectory. *IEEE Transactions on Wirel. Commun.* <https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2023.3293024> (2023).
33. Yang, M. *et al.* Non-stationary vehicular channel characterization in complicated scenarios. *IEEE Transactions on Veh. Technol.* **70**, 8387–8400, <https://doi.org/10.1109/TVT.2021.3096973> (2021).
34. Lopez, P. A. *et al.* Microscopic traffic simulation using SUMO. In *2018 21st International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC)*, 2575–2582, <https://doi.org/10.1109/ITSC.2018.8569938> (IEEE, 2018).
35. De Beelde, B., Tanghe, E., Yusuf, M., Plets, D. & Joseph, W. Radio channel modeling in a ship hull: Path loss at 868 MHz and 2.4, 5.25, and 60 GHz. *IEEE Antennas Wirel. Propag. Lett.* **20**, 597–601, <https://doi.org/10.1109/LAWP.2021.3058439> (2021).
36. Yan, Y. *et al.* Measurement-based analysis of channel capacity in aircraft cabin distributed MIMO system. In *2015 IEEE 4th Asia-Pacific Conference on Antennas and Propagation (APCAP)*, 18–22, <https://doi.org/10.1109/APCAP.2015.7374255> (IEEE, 2015).
37. Miao, H. *et al.* Sub-6 GHz to mmWave for 5G-advanced and beyond: Channel measurements, characteristics and impact on system performance. *IEEE J. on Sel. Areas Commun.* <https://doi.org/10.1109/JSAC.2023.3274175> (2023).
38. Gao, X., Xing, G., Roy, S. & Liu, H. Experiments with mmWave automotive radar test-bed. In *2019 53rd Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems, and Computers*, 1–6, <https://doi.org/10.1109/IEEECONF44664.2019.9048939> (IEEE, 2019).

39. Zhang, Y., Carballo, A., Yang, H. & Takeda, K. Perception and sensing for autonomous vehicles under adverse weather conditions: A survey. *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote. Sens.* **196**, 146–177, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2022.12.021> (2023).
40. Hartmann, K. G., Schirrmeister, R. T. & Ball, T. Eeg-gan: Generative adversarial networks for electroencephalographic (EEG) brain signals. Preprint at <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1806.01875> (2018).
41. Jensen, R. I. T. *et al.* A synthetic data set to benchmark anti-money laundering methods. *Sci. data* **10**, 661, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-023-02569-2> (2023).
42. Lee, J. & Lee, O. CTGAN and TGAN? which one is more suitable for generating synthetic eeg data. *J. Theor. Appl. Inf. Technol* **99**, 2359–2372 (2021).
43. Yuan, R. *et al.* A synthetic dataset of danish residential electricity prosumers. *Sci. Data* **10**, 371, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-023-02271-3> (2023).

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 62125101, Grant 62341101, and Grant 62371273; in part by the Taishan Scholars Program under Grant tsqn202312307; in part by the Young Elite Scientists Sponsorship Program by CAST under Grant YESS20230372; in part by the Shandong Natural Science Foundation under Grant ZR2023YQ058; in part by the New Cornerstone Science Foundation through the XPLOER PRIZE; in part by the Xiaomi Young Talents Program; in part by the open research fund of National Mobile Communications Research Laboratory, Southeast University (No. 2025D04); and in part by the China National Postdoctoral Program for Innovative Talents under Grant BX20240007. The authors would like to thank Mengyuan Lu, Xujing Jia, and Ye Chen for their help in the collection of communication data via Wireless InSite and Weibo Wen, Xuanyu Liu, Junliang Lu, and Beinan Su for their help in the collection of sensory data via AirSim and WaveFarer.

## Author contributions

X.C., Z.H., and L.B. conceived the experiments, Y.Y., M.S., Z.H., R.Z., and S.L. conducted the experiments, X.C., Z.H., and L.B. analysed the results. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

## Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

## Additional information

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to X.C. or L.B.