

On the inverse stability of $z^n + c$. *

Yang Gao and Qingzhong Ji †

School of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, P.R.China

Abstract. Let K be a field and $\phi(z) \in K[z]$ be a polynomial. Define $\Phi(z) := \frac{1}{\phi(z)} \in K(z)$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let the n -th iterate of $\Phi(z)$ be defined as $\Phi^{(n)}(z) = \underbrace{\Phi \circ \Phi \circ \cdots \circ \Phi}_{n \text{ times}}(z)$.

We express the $\Phi^{(n)}(z)$ in its reduced form as $\Phi^{(n)}(z) = \frac{f_{n,\phi}(z)}{g_{n,\phi}(z)}$, where $f_{n,\phi}(z)$ and $g_{n,\phi}(z)$ are coprime polynomials in $K[z]$. A polynomial $\phi(z) \in K[z]$ is called inversely stable over K if every $g_{n,\phi}(z)$ in the sequence $\{g_{n,\phi}(z)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is irreducible in $K[z]$. This paper investigates the inverse stability of the binomials $\phi(z) = z^d + c$ over K .

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020. 12E05, 37P05

Keywords. Stability, Polynomial irreducibility, Iterations, Arithmetic dynamics.

1. Introduction and main result

Let K be a field, and let $\phi(z) \in K(z)$ be a non-constant rational function. Let the n -th iterate of $\phi(z)$ be defined as $\phi^{(n)}(z) = \underbrace{\phi \circ \phi \circ \cdots \circ \phi}_{n \text{ times}}(z)$. Let $\mathbb{P}^1(K)$ denote the one-dimensional projective space. We write $[1 : 0] \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ as ∞ . For a given $\alpha \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ and each $n \geq 1$, write $\phi^{(n)}(z) = \frac{f_n(z)}{g_n(z)}$, where $f_n(z)$ and $g_n(z) \in K[z]$ are coprime polynomials. In 2017, R. Jones and L. Alon [8] introduced the following notation. Let $\alpha \in K$. The pair (ϕ, α) is said to be eventually stable over K if the number of irreducible factors, counting multiplicity, of $f_n(z) - \alpha g_n(z)$ in $K[z]$ is bounded independently of n . Similarly, (ϕ, ∞) is called eventually stable over K if the number of irreducible factors, counting multiplicity, of $g_n(z)$ in $K[z]$ remains bounded as n increases. Furthermore, we say ϕ is eventually stable if $(\phi, 0)$ is eventually stable. The stability of polynomials has attracted the attention of many researchers (see for example [1], [3],[5],[6],[12],[13],[14]).

R. Jones and L. Alon [8] proposed the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1 (Everywhere Eventual Stability Conjecture). *Let $\phi \in K(z)$ be of degree $d \geq 2$, and suppose that $\alpha \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ is not periodic under ϕ .*

(i) *If K is a number field, then (ϕ, α) is eventually stable over K .*

*Supported by NSFC (Nos. 12071209, 12231009).

†Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: 864157905@qq.com (Y. Gao), qingzhji@nju.edu.cn (Q. Ji)

(ii) If K is a function field and (ϕ, α) is not isotrivial, then (ϕ, α) is eventually stable over K .

R. Jones and L. Alon [8] further demonstrated that this conjecture follows from the Dynamical Lehmer Conjecture, which concerns height functions in arithmetic dynamics.

In 2024, K. Cheng [2] introduced a related concept. Let K be a field, and let $\phi(z) \in K[z]$ be a non-constant polynomial. Define $\Phi(z) := \frac{1}{\phi(z)} \in K(z)$. For each $n \geq 1$, write $\Phi^{(n)}(z) = \frac{f_{n,\phi}(z)}{g_{n,\phi}(z)}$, where $f_{n,\phi}(z)$ and $g_{n,\phi}(z) \in K[z]$ are coprime polynomials. $\phi(z) \in K[z]$ is said to be inversely stable over K if all polynomials in the sequence $\{g_{n,\phi}(z)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are irreducible over K .

K. Cheng [2] demonstrated that $\phi(z) = z^p + az + b \in \mathbb{F}_p[z]$ is inversely stable over \mathbb{F}_p if and only if $a = -1$ and $b \neq 0$.

Moreover, it is easy to see that if $\phi(z) \in K[z]$ is inversely stable over K , then $\left(\frac{1}{\phi(z)}, \infty\right)$ is eventually stable over K .

In this paper, we present several conditions for the polynomial $\phi(z) = z^d + c$ to be inversely stable over the rational number field, function fields, and finite fields, respectively.

Theorem 1.1. *Let R be a unique factorization domain, and let $U(R)$ denote the unit group of R . Let $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ with $d \geq 2$, and suppose $c \notin uR^p$ for all primes $p \mid d$ and $u \in U(R)$. Let K be the fraction field of R . If the polynomial $\phi(z) = z^d + c \in R[z]$ is irreducible over K , then $\phi(z)$ is inversely stable over K .*

Corollary 1.2. *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ with $d \geq 2$. Let $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\phi(z) = z^d + c$ be irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . Then $\phi(z)$ is inversely stable over \mathbb{Q} if*

- (i) d is odd, or
- (ii) d is even and c is not a square.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $K = F(t)$ be the rational function field in one variable over a field F of characteristic 0. Let $d \geq 3$, and let $c \in R = F[t]$ with $c \notin F$. Suppose $\phi(z) = z^d + c$ is irreducible over K . Then $\phi(z)$ is inversely stable over K .*

Let K be a field. One says that $f(z) \in K[z]$ is stable if all iterates of f are irreducible over K .

Theorem 1.4. ([9], Proposition 2.3.) *Let K be a finite field of characteristic not equal to two. A quadratic polynomial $f(z) = az^2 + bz + c \in K[z]$ is stable if and*

only if the set

$$\{-f(\gamma)\} \cup \{f^i(\gamma) : i = 2, 3, \dots\}$$

contains no squares, where $\gamma = -\frac{b}{2a}$.

In this paper, we establish the following similar result.

Definition 1.5. ([7], Definition 5.1) For $m \mid q - 1$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ is m -free if the equality $\alpha = \beta^d$ with $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$, for any divisor d of m , implies $d = 1$.

Theorem 1.6. Let $K = \mathbb{F}_q$. Let $\phi(z) = z^d + c \in K[z]$, where $d \geq 2$. Suppose that $\phi(z)$ is irreducible over K .

Define the sequence:

$$x_1 = c, \quad x_2 = (-1)^d(c^{d+1} + 1), \quad x_{n+2} = (-1)^d c x_{n+1}^d + x_n^{d^2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Then ϕ is inversely stable over K if and only if $\frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n}$ is $\text{rad}(d)$ -free for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, where $\text{rad}(d) = \prod_{p \mid d, p \text{ is prime}} p$.

Corollary 1.7. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $d = 2^n$ and suppose $p = 2^{n+1} + 1$ is a prime. Define

$$\phi(z) = z^d + c \in \mathbb{F}_p[z].$$

Then there are at least $\frac{1}{8}(\sqrt{p} - 1)^2$ distinct values of $c \in \mathbb{F}_p$ such that $\phi(z)$ is inversely stable over \mathbb{F}_p .

This paper is organized as follows: In §2, we shall give the proof of Theorem 1.1. In §3, we shall give the proof of Theorem 1.3. In §4, we shall give the proof of Theorem 1.6 and Corollary 1.7.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let K be a field. A rational function $\varphi(z) = \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} \in K(z)$ is a quotient of polynomials $f(z), g(z) \in K[z]$ with no common factors. The degree of φ is $\deg \varphi = \max\{\deg f, \deg g\}$. The rational function φ of degree d induces a rational map (morphism) of the projective space $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{K})$,

$$\varphi : \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{K}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{K}), \quad \varphi([X : Y]) = [Y^d f(X/Y) : Y^d g(X/Y)].$$

A point $P \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{K})$ is said to be periodic under φ if $\varphi^{(n)}(P) = P$ for some $n \geq 1$.

We write $[1 : 0] \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ as ∞ .

Lemma 2.1. *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and let K be a field such that $\text{char}(K) = 0$ or $\text{char}(K) > 0$ with $\text{char}(K)$ prime to d . Consider the polynomial $\phi(z) = z^d + c \in K[z]$, $c \neq 0$ and define the rational function $\Phi(z) := \frac{1}{\phi(z)} \in K(z)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, denote the n -th iterate of Φ by $\Phi^{(n)}(z) = \frac{f_{n,\phi}(z)}{g_{n,\phi}(z)}$, where $f_{n,\phi}(z)$ and $g_{n,\phi}(z) \in K[z]$ are coprime polynomials. If ∞ is not periodic under $\Phi(z)$, then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, the degree of $g_{n,\phi}(z)$ is d^n .*

Proof. Note that the map $\Phi^{(n)} : \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{K})$ is given by

$$\Phi^{(n)}([X : Y]) = \left[Y^e f_{n,\phi} \left(\frac{X}{Y} \right) : Y^e g_{n,\phi} \left(\frac{X}{Y} \right) \right],$$

where $e = \deg \Phi^{(n)}(z)$.

It follows that $\Phi^{(n)}([\alpha : 1]) = [f_{n,\phi}(\alpha) : g_{n,\phi}(\alpha)]$, and hence

$$\Phi^{(n)}([\alpha : 1]) = \infty \quad \text{if and only if} \quad g_{n,\phi}(\alpha) = 0.$$

By assumption we have $\infty \notin (\Phi^{(n)})^{-1}(\infty)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Thus, for $n \geq 1$,

$$(\Phi^{(n)})^{-1}(\infty) = \{[\alpha : 1] \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{K}) \mid \Phi^{(n)}([\alpha : 1]) = \infty\}.$$

Thus,

$$(\Phi^{(n)})^{-1}(\infty) = \{[\alpha : 1] \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{K}) \mid g_{n,\phi}(\alpha) = 0\}.$$

Next, we prove that $\#(\Phi^{(n)})^{-1}(\infty) = d^n$.

Since $\Phi([X : Y]) = [Y^d : X^d + cY^d]$, we have $\Phi(\infty) = [0 : 1]$ and $\Phi([0 : 1]) = [1 : c]$. Since $\infty \notin (\Phi^{(n)})^{-1}(\infty)$ for all n , neither $[0 : 1]$ nor $[1 : c]$ belong to $(\Phi^{(n)})^{-1}(\infty)$.

For any $P = [1 : t] \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{K})$, we have

$$\Phi([X : Y]) = P \quad \text{if and only if} \quad [Y^d : X^d + cY^d] = [1 : t],$$

which simplifies to $(\frac{X}{Y})^d + c - t = 0$. Therefore, if $t \neq c$, it follows that $\#\Phi^{-1}(P) = d$.

Hence,

$$\left| (\Phi^{(1)})^{-1}(\infty) \right| = d \quad \text{and} \quad \left| (\Phi^{(i+1)})^{-1}(\infty) \right| = d \left| (\Phi^{(i)})^{-1}(\infty) \right|$$

for any $i \in \mathbb{N}^*$. It follows that $\left| (\Phi^{(n)})^{-1}(\infty) \right| = d^n$. Thus, $g_{n,\phi}$ has d^n distinct roots in \overline{K} . Combining this result with $\deg(g_{n,\phi}) \leq d^n$, we conclude that $\deg(g_{n,\phi}) = d^n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let F be a field, and let $f(z) = z^d + m \in F[z]$ be an irreducible polynomial. Denote by \overline{F} the algebraic closure of F , and let $\gamma \in \overline{F}$ be a root of $f(z)$. Let $a, b, e, t \in F$ with $ae \neq 0$. We denote by $N_{F(\gamma)/F}$ the norm map associated with the field extension $F(\gamma)/F$. Then*

$$N_{F(\gamma)/F} \left(\frac{a\gamma + b}{e\gamma + t} \right) = \frac{b^d + (-1)^d m a^d}{t^d + (-1)^d m e^d}.$$

Proof. The conjugates of $\frac{a\gamma+b}{e\gamma+t}$ are $\frac{a\gamma_i+b}{e\gamma_i+t}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$, where $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_d \in \overline{F}$ are the roots of $f(z) = z^d + m$. The norm is

$$N_{F(\gamma)/F} \left(\frac{a\gamma + b}{e\gamma + t} \right) = \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{a\gamma_i + b}{e\gamma_i + t}.$$

Using the fact that $f(z) = \prod_{i=1}^d (z - \gamma_i)$, we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^d (a\gamma_i + b) = a^d (-1)^d m + b^d, \quad \prod_{i=1}^d (e\gamma_i + t) = e^d (-1)^d m + t^d.$$

Therefore,

$$N_{F(\gamma)/F} \left(\frac{a\gamma + b}{e\gamma + t} \right) = \frac{b^d + (-1)^d m a^d}{t^d + (-1)^d m e^d}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ with $d \geq 2$, and let $c \in R \setminus \{0\}$, where R is a unique factorization domain, and c is a non-unit element of R . Define a sequence of matrices $\{A_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ in $M_{2 \times 2}(R)$ by the following relations:*

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & y_1 \\ z_1 & w_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and for $j \geq 1$,

$$A_{j+1} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{j+1} & y_{j+1} \\ z_{j+1} & w_{j+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (-1)^d c x_j^d + y_j^d & (-1)^{d+1} x_j^d \\ (-1)^d c z_j^d + w_j^d & (-1)^{d+1} z_j^d \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the following statements hold:

(i) For all $n \geq 1$,

$$x_{n+2} = (-1)^d c x_{n+1}^d + x_n^{d^2}, \quad \gcd(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 1, \quad z_{n+1} = (-1)^d x_n.$$

(ii) For all $n \geq 1$,

$$c \mid x_{2n-1}, \quad c \mid x_{2n} - (-1)^d, \quad \gcd\left(\frac{x_{2n-1}}{c}, c\right) = 1.$$

(iii) If $c \notin uR^p$ for all primes $p \mid d$ and units $u \in R$, then for all $n \geq 1$,

$$x_{2n-1} \notin uR^p \quad \text{for all } p \mid d \text{ and units } u \in R.$$

- (iv) ∞ is not periodic under $\Phi(z) = \frac{1}{z^d+c}$ if and only if $x_n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 1$.
(v) Assume that ∞ is not periodic under the map $\Phi(z) = \frac{1}{z^d+c}$. If $c \notin uR^p$ for all primes $p \mid d$ and units $u \in R$, then for all $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} \notin \pm K^p \quad \text{for all primes } p \mid d.$$

Proof. (i) and (ii) are trivial from definition.

(iii). Assume that $x_{2n-1} = u_1 r_1^{p_1}$ for some unit $u_1 \in R$, where $r_1 \in R$ and prime $p_1 \mid d$. Then, we have

$$c \cdot \frac{x_{2n-1}}{cu_1} = r_1^{p_1}.$$

By (ii), we know that c and $\frac{x_{2n-1}}{cu_1}$ are coprime elements in R . It follows that c can be written as $c = u_2 r_2^{p_1}$, where $u_2 \in R$ is a unit and $r_2 \in R$. This contradicts the assumption.

(iv). Define the sequences $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ in R as follows:

$$a_1 = 0, \quad b_1 = 1, \quad a_{n+1} = b_n^d, \quad b_{n+1} = a_n^d + cb_n^d.$$

Then, $\Phi^{(n)}(\infty) = [a_n : b_n]$.

Now, observe that

$$b_{n+2} = cb_{n+1}^d + b_n^{d^2}, \quad b_1 = 1, \quad b_2 = c.$$

It is obvious that $x_{2n-1} = b_{2n}$, $x_{2n} = (-1)^d b_{2n+1}$. Hence, ∞ is not periodic under Φ if and only if $x_n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 1$.

(v). Assume $\frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} \in \pm K^p$ for some prime $p \mid d$. Since $\gcd(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 1$, it follows that there exist units $u_3, u_4 \in R$ such that

$$x_{n+1} \in u_3 R^p \quad \text{and} \quad x_n \in u_4 R^p.$$

By (iii), this situation is impossible. □

Lemma 2.4. ([10], Theorem 8.1.6.) Let K be a field, $d \geq 2$ an integer, and $a \in K$. The polynomial $X^d + a$ is irreducible over K if and only if $a \notin -K^p$ for all primes p dividing d , and $a \notin 4K^4$ whenever $4 \mid d$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1

Firstly, we claim that the irreducibility of the polynomial $\phi(z) = z^d + c$ over K implies that ∞ is not periodic under Φ .

If $-c \in K^d$, then $z^d + c = z^d - (-c)$ is reducible over K . Therefore, $-c \notin K^d$.

We verify the initial terms of the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, $x_1 = c \neq 0$ and $x_2 = (-1)^d(c^{d+1} + 1) \neq 0$; otherwise, we would have $-c = (\frac{1}{c})^d \in K^d$.

Now, we proceed by induction on n to prove that $x_n \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Assume $x_n \neq 0$ and $x_{n+1} \neq 0$. If $x_{n+2} = 0$, then $-c = \left(-\frac{x_n^d}{x_{n+1}}\right)^d \in K^d$. This contradicts the assumption $-c \notin K^d$. Thus, $x_n \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

By Lemma 2.3 (iv), ∞ is not periodic under Φ . We complete the proof of the claim.

Let $\{Q_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{K})$ such that $\Phi(Q_1) = \infty$ and $\Phi(Q_{i+1}) = Q_i$ for all $i \geq 1$. Since ∞ is not periodic under Φ , and $\Phi(\infty) = [0 : 1]$, we can express each Q_i as $Q_i = [\beta_i : 1]$, where $\beta_i \in \overline{K}$ and $\beta_i \neq 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Thus, we have

$$\phi(\beta_1) = 0, \quad \phi(\beta_{i+1}) = \frac{1}{\beta_i}.$$

It is clear that β_n is a root of the polynomial $g_{n,\phi}(z)$. Next, we claim that $z^d + c - \frac{1}{\beta_n}$ is irreducible over $K(\beta_n)$ for every $n \geq 1$. We shall prove this claim by induction on n .

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$N_{K(\beta_1)/K} \left(\frac{c\beta_1 - 1}{\beta_1} \right) = \frac{c^{d+1} + 1}{c} = (-1)^d \frac{x_2}{x_1},$$

where x_1 and x_2 are as defined in Lemma 2.3.

Using Lemma 2.3 (v), we deduce that $(-1)^d \frac{x_2}{x_1} \notin \pm K^p$ for all primes $p \mid d$, and hence

$$\frac{c\beta_1 - 1}{\beta_1} \notin -K(\beta_1)^p \quad \text{for all primes } p \mid d.$$

Obviously, if $4 \mid d$ and $\frac{c\beta_1 - 1}{\beta_1} \in 4K(\beta_1)^4$, then $\frac{c\beta_1 - 1}{\beta_1} \in K(\beta_1)^2$ and $(-1)^d \frac{x_2}{x_1} \in K^2$, which also contradicts Lemma 2.3 (v). So $\frac{c\beta_1 - 1}{\beta_1} \notin 4K(\beta_1)^4$, when $4 \mid d$. By Lemma 2.4, the claim holds for $n = 1$.

Therefore, $[K(\beta_2) : K(\beta_1)] = d$.

Assume that $[K(\beta_i) : K(\beta_{i-1})] = d$ for each $2 \leq i \leq n$. We will prove that $[K(\beta_{n+1}) : K(\beta_n)] = d$. This means that we will prove that $z^d + c - \frac{1}{\beta_n}$ is irreducible over the field $K(\beta_n)$. Since the polynomial $z^d + c - \frac{1}{\beta_j}$ is irreducible over the field $K(\beta_j)$ for each j satisfying $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$, and since $K(\beta_{j+1}) = K(\beta_j)(\beta_{j+1})$, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$N_{K(\beta_{j+1})/K(\beta_j)} \left(\frac{x_l \beta_{j+1} + y_l}{z_l \beta_{j+1} + w_l} \right) = \frac{x_{l+1} \beta_j + y_{l+1}}{z_{l+1} \beta_j + w_{l+1}}$$

for any $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$, where x_l, y_l, z_l , and w_l are the terms of index l in the sequence defined in Lemma 2.3. (Note that we have previously proved that $x_n = (-1)^d z_{n+1} \neq 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$.)

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} N_{K(\beta_n)/K(\beta_{n-1})} \left(\frac{x_1\beta_n + y_1}{z_1\beta_n + w_1} \right) &= \frac{x_2\beta_{n-1} + y_2}{z_2\beta_{n-1} + w_2} \\ N_{K(\beta_{n-1})/K(\beta_{n-2})} \left(\frac{x_2\beta_{n-1} + y_2}{z_2\beta_{n-1} + w_2} \right) &= \frac{x_3\beta_{n-2} + y_3}{z_3\beta_{n-2} + w_3} \\ &\vdots \\ N_{K(\beta_2)/K(\beta_1)} \left(\frac{x_{n-1}\beta_2 + y_{n-1}}{z_{n-1}\beta_2 + w_{n-1}} \right) &= \frac{x_n\beta_1 + y_n}{z_n\beta_1 + w_n} \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.2 and the fact $\phi(z) = z^d + c$ is irreducible over K , we obtain

$$N_{K(\beta_1)/K} \left(\frac{x_n\beta_1 + y_n}{z_n\beta_1 + w_n} \right) = \frac{x_{n+1}}{z_{n+1}} = (-1)^d \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n}.$$

So,

$$N_{K(\beta_n)/K} \left(\frac{x_1\beta_n + y_1}{z_1\beta_n + w_1} \right) = (-1)^d \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n}.$$

Finally, by Lemma 2.3 (v), we conclude that

$$c - \frac{1}{\beta_n} = \frac{x_1\beta_n + y_1}{z_1\beta_n + w_1} \notin -K(\beta_n)^p \quad \text{for all primes } p \mid d,$$

and

$$c - \frac{1}{\beta_n} \notin 4K(\beta_n)^4 \quad \text{whenever } 4 \mid d.$$

From Lemma 2.4, we deduce that $z^d + c - \frac{1}{\beta_n}$ is irreducible over the field $K(\beta_n)$. Therefore, $[K(\beta_n) : K] = d^n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Since β_n is a root of $g_{n,\phi}$ and, by Lemma 2.1, we have $\deg(g_{n,\phi}) = d^n$, it follows that $g_{n,\phi}$ is irreducible over K for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. □

Corollary 2.5. *Let $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let $\phi(z) = z^d + c$ be irreducible over \mathbb{Z} . Then, $\phi(z)$ is inversely stable over \mathbb{Q} if:*

- (i) d is odd, or
- (ii) d is even and c is not a perfect square.

Proof. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\phi(z) = z^d + c \in R[z]$ is irreducible, it follows that $c \notin -R^p$ for any prime p dividing d . When p is odd, it is clear that $-R^p = R^p$. Note that $U(R) = \{\pm 1\}$. Applying Theorem 1.1, the proof is complete. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Lemma 3.1. ([11], Theorem 7.1) Let K be a field with characteristic 0, and let \overline{K} be its algebraic closure. For a polynomial $f(t) \in K[t]$, define $n_0(f)$ to be the number of distinct roots of f in \overline{K} . Let $a(t), b(t), c(t) \in K[t]$ be polynomials that are relatively prime, such that $a(t) + b(t) = c(t)$ and not all of them have vanishing derivative. Then, we have the inequality

$$\max\{\deg(a), \deg(b), \deg(c)\} \leq n_0(a(t)b(t)c(t)) - 1.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3

Define the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ by:

$$x_1 = c, \quad x_2 = (-1)^d(c^{d+1} + 1), \quad x_{n+2} = (-1)^d c x_{n+1}^d + x_n^{d^2}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. This sequence is consistent with the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ described in Lemma 2.3.

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have the following degree formula:

$$\deg(x_n) = \frac{d^n - 1}{d - 1} \deg(c),$$

where $\deg(c)$ denotes the degree of $c(t)$ viewed as a polynomial in t .

We claim that $x_{2n} \notin uR^p$ for any unit $u \in R$ and any prime p dividing d , for all $n \geq 1$. To prove this by contradiction, suppose that there exist $u \in R^*$, $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $z_k \in R$, and a prime $p \mid d$ such that

$$x_{2k+2} = uz_k^p.$$

We then define

$$g_k = \frac{1}{u}(-1)^d c x_{2k+1}^d \quad \text{and} \quad h_k = \frac{1}{u} x_{2k}^{d^2}.$$

Note that $\deg(g_k) \geq 1$, $\deg(h_k) \geq 1$, and $\deg(z_k) \geq 1$, and we have the equation

$$g_k + h_k = z_k^p.$$

By Lemma 2.3(i) and (ii), it follows that

$$\gcd(x_{2k}, c) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \gcd(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) = 1.$$

Hence, g_k , h_k , and z_k are pairwise coprime. Applying Lemma 3.1, we obtain the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(g_k) + \deg(h_k) + \deg(z_k^p) &\leq 3(n_0(g_k h_k z_k^p) - 1) \\ &= 3(n_0(g_k) + n_0(h_k) + n_0(z_k) - 1). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3(ii), we have $\gcd\left(c, \frac{x_{2k+1}}{c}\right) = 1$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} n_0(g_k) &= n_0(c^{d+1}) + n_0\left(\left(\frac{x_{2k+1}}{c}\right)^d\right) \\ &= n_0(c) + n_0\left(\frac{x_{2k+1}}{c}\right) \leq \deg(c) + \deg\left(\frac{x_{2k+1}}{c}\right) \\ &= \deg(x_{2k+1}), \end{aligned}$$

Additionally, we have

$$n_0(h_k) = n_0(x_{2k}) = \deg(x_{2k}), \text{ and } n_0(z_k) \leq \deg(z_k).$$

From above, we obtain the inequality:

$$(d-3)\deg(x_{2k+1}) + (d^2-3)\deg(x_{2k}) + (p-3)\deg(z_k) + 4 \leq 0. \quad (1)$$

If d is odd, this inequality leads to a contradiction, as $p \mid d$. Therefore, we need to consider the case where d is even and $p = 2$.

Applying Lemma 3.1 again yields the inequality:

$$p\deg(z_k) \leq \deg(x_{2k+1}) + \deg(x_{2k}) + \deg(z_k) - 1. \quad (2)$$

Combining inequalities (1) and (2) with $p = 2$, we obtain:

$$(d-4)\deg(x_{2k+1}) + (d^2-4)\deg(x_{2k}) + 5 \leq 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, the claim is proved. From the proof of Theorem 1.1, it is evident that $\phi(z)$ is inversely stable over K . \square

4. Proof of Theorem 1.6 and Corollary 1.7

Lemma 4.1. ([4]) Let $t \geq 2$ be an integer and $b \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Then the binomial $x^t - b$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\text{rad}(t) \mid q - 1$;
- (ii) b is $\text{rad}(t)$ -free;
- (iii) $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ if $t \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

Lemma 4.2. ([4]) Let t be an integer such that $\text{rad}(t) \mid q - 1$. Then an element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ is $\text{rad}(t)$ -free if and only if $N_{q^n/q}(\alpha)$ is $\text{rad}(t)$ -free in \mathbb{F}_q .

Proof of Theorem 1.6

Obviously, $g_{n,\phi}$ be irreducible if and only if $[K(\beta_n) : K] = d^n$, where $\{\beta_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ described in the proof of Theorem 1.1. It is easy observe that $[K(\beta_i) : K(\beta_{i-1})] \leq d$ for all $i \geq 2$, and $[K(\beta_1) : K] \leq d$. Therefore, $g_{n,\phi}$ is irreducible if and only if

$[K(\beta_i) : K(\beta_{i-1})] = d$ for all $2 \leq i \leq n$, and $[K(\beta_1) : K] = d$. This condition is equivalent to $z^d + c - \frac{1}{\beta_i}$ being irreducible over $K(\beta_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and $z^d + c$ being irreducible over K .

By Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, $g_{n,\phi}$ is irreducible if and only if the following conditions hold:

- (i) $\text{rad}(d) \mid q-1$;
- (ii) Both $-c$ and $\frac{x_{i+1}}{x_i}$ are $\text{rad}(d)$ -free elements for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$;
- (iii) If $4 \mid d$, then $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Certain details are omitted here for brevity; however, these details are fully addressed in the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

Lemma 4.3. (Hasse) Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field with q odd. Let

$$f(x) = ax^3 + bx^2 + cx + d \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$$

be a cubic polynomial with distinct roots in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$, and let

$$\chi : \mathbb{F}_q^* \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$$

be the unique nontrivial character of order 2, i.e., $\chi(t) = 1$ if and only if t is a square in \mathbb{F}_q^* . Extend χ to \mathbb{F}_q by setting $\chi(0) = 0$. Then

$$\left| \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(f(x)) \right| \leq 2\sqrt{q}$$

Lemma 4.4. Assume that \mathbb{F}_q is a finite field of odd characteristic, and assume that χ is the quadratic character on \mathbb{F}_q . Then, if $f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$ is a quadratic polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$, the following identity holds :

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(ax^2 + bx + c) = \begin{cases} -\chi(a) & \text{if } b^2 - 4ac \neq 0, \\ \chi(a)(q-1) & \text{if } b^2 - 4ac = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof of Corollary 1.7

Firstly, we claim that if $\left(\frac{c-1}{p}\right) = 1$ and $\left(\frac{c}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{c+1}{p}\right) = -1$, where $\left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right)$ denotes the Legendre symbol, then $\phi(z)$ is inversely stable over \mathbb{F}_p . On the one hand, by Lemma 4.1 and the fact that

$$\left(\frac{-c}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \left(\frac{c}{p}\right) = -1, \quad \text{i.e., } c \text{ is 2-free,}$$

we obtain that $z^d + c$ is irreducible over $K = \mathbb{F}_p$. This implies that $-c \notin K^d$. From the recurrence relation of x_n , it is straightforward to see that $x_n \neq 0$ for any $n \geq 0$. (This point has been thoroughly demonstrated in the proof of Theorem 1.1.)

On the other hand, Note that $p - 1 \mid d^2$. Hence, by Fermat's Little Theorem, for any integer a with $p \nmid a$, we have $a^{d^2} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Thus, from $x_n \neq 0$, we conclude that $x_n^{d^2} = 1$. From the recurrence relation, we obtain

$$x_1 = c, \quad x_2 = (-1)^d(c^{d+1} + 1), \quad x_{n+2} = (-1)^d c x_{n+1}^d + 1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

From Euler's Criterion, for any integer a and an odd prime p , we have

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \equiv a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \pmod{p}.$$

Hence,

$$(c-1)^d = 1, \quad c^d = -1, \quad (c+1)^d = -1.$$

So we have $x_2 = 1 - c$, $x_3 = c + 1$, $x_4 = 1 - c$, and $x_5 = c + 1$. Thus, the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ follows the pattern:

$$\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1} : c, 1 - c, c + 1, 1 - c, c + 1, \dots$$

The sequence $\left\{\frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is given by:

$$\left\{\frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n}\right\}_{n \geq 1} : \frac{1-c}{c}, \frac{1+c}{1-c}, \frac{1-c}{1+c}, \frac{1+c}{1-c}, \frac{1-c}{1+c}, \dots$$

From $\left(\frac{c-1}{p}\right) = 1$ and $\left(\frac{c}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{c+1}{p}\right) = -1$, we know that both $\frac{1-c}{c}$, $\frac{1+c}{1-c}$, and $\frac{1-c}{1+c}$ are 2-free, and that $-c$ is also 2-free.

By Theorem 1.6 and Lemma 4.1, we conclude that $\phi(z)$ is inversely stable over \mathbb{F}_p . Thus, the proof of the claim is complete.

Next, we will prove that there are at least $\frac{1}{8}(\sqrt{p}-1)^2$ distinct values of $c \in \mathbb{F}_p$ such that $\left(\frac{c-1}{p}\right) = 1$ and $\left(\frac{c}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{c+1}{p}\right) = -1$. We write $\chi(x)$ for the Legendre symbol modulo p . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} t(x) &= \frac{(\chi(x-1)+1)}{2} \cdot \frac{(1-\chi(x))}{2} \cdot \frac{(1-\chi(x+1))}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{8} [1 + \chi(x-1) - \chi(x) - \chi(x+1) \\ &\quad - \chi(x^2-x) - \chi(x^2-1) + \chi(x^2+x) \\ &\quad + \chi(x^3-x)] \end{aligned}$$

Then $t(x) = 1$ if and only if $\chi(x - 1) = 1, \chi(x) = -1, \chi(x + 1) = -1$. Combining the fact that $\sum_{x=1}^p \chi(x) = 0$, and applying Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4, we obtain that $S = \sum_{x=1}^p t(x) \geq \frac{1}{8} (\sqrt{p} - 1)^2$. We complete the proof of Corollary 1.7. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Ahmadi, F. Luca, A. Ostafe and I.E. Shparlinski, On stable quadratic polynomials, *Glasg. Math. J.* 54 (2012), 359-369.
- [2] K. Cheng, A new direction on constructing irreducible polynomials over finite fields, *Finite Fields Appl.* 95 (2024), 1-9.
- [3] L. Danielson and B. Fein, On the irreducibility of the iterates of $x^n - b$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 130 (2002), 1589-1596 (electronic).
- [4] A. Fernandes, D. Panario, L. Reis, Stable binomials over finite fields, *Finite Fields Appl.* 101 (2025) 1–11.
- [5] D. Gómez and A.P. Nicolás, An estimate on the number of stable quadratic polynomials, *Finite Fields Appl.* 16 (2010), 401-405.
- [6] D. Gómez, A.P. Nicolás, A. Ostafe and D. Sadornil, Stable polynomials over finite fields, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* 30 (2014), 523-535.
- [7] S. Huczynska, G.L. Mullen, D. Panario, D. Thomson, Existence and properties of k -normal elements over finite fields, *Finite Fields Appl.* 24 (2013) 170–183.
- [8] R. Jones and L. Alon, Eventually stable rational functions, *Int. J. Number Theory* 13 (2017), 2299–2318.
- [9] R. Jones, N. Boston, Settled polynomials over finite fields, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 140 (6) (2011) 1849-1863.
- [10] G. Karpilovsky, *Topics in Field Theory*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies Book, vol. 155 (Elsevier, 1989).
- [11] S. Lang, *Algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 211 (Springer-Verlag, 2002).
- [12] L. Mrai and A. Ostafe, Dynamical irreducibility of polynomials modulo primes, *Math. Z.* 298 (2021), 1187-1199.
- [13] R. Odoni, The Galois theory of iterates and composites of polynomials, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 51 (1985), 385–414.
- [14] L. Shanta, S. Ritumoni and S. Himanshu, Stability of certain higher degree polynomials, *Int. J. Number Theory* 20 (2024), 229–240.