

# All Order Classical Electromagnetic Soft Theorems

Debanjan Karan<sup>1</sup>, Babli Khatun<sup>1</sup>, Biswajit Sahoo<sup>2</sup> and Ashoke Sen<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*International Centre for Theoretical Sciences - TIFR  
Bengaluru - 560089, India*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Mathematics, King's College London  
Strand, London WC2R 2LS, United Kingdom*

E-mail: debanjan.karan,babli.khatun,ashoke.sen@icts.res.in, biswajit.sahoo@kcl.ac.uk

## Abstract

If a set of charged objects collide in space and the fragments disperse, then this process will emit electromagnetic waves. Classical soft photon theorem determines the constant term and the leading power law fall-off of the wave-form at late and early times in terms of only the momenta and charges of the incoming and outgoing objects. In this paper we determine an infinite set of subleading terms in the late and early time expansion of the wave-form, which also depend only on the momenta and charges of the incoming and outgoing particles. For two-particle scattering, we derive a resummed low-frequency electromagnetic wave-form, as well as the resummed wave-form at early and late times. In this analysis we ignore the effect of long range gravitational interaction, but our result is unaffected by any other short range interactions among the objects.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction and summary</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Particle trajectories</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Electromagnetic wave-form</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	<b>19</b>

## 1 Introduction and summary

Consider a scattering event where a set of charged particles come together, interact via electromagnetic and other short range forces and disperse, possibly exchanging mass, charge and other quantum numbers, fusing or splitting into fragments. During this process there will be emission of classical electromagnetic waves. The wave-form depends on the detailed acceleration of the particles during the scattering and hence on the various long and short range forces that operated during this period. However at late and early times, when the particles are almost free, the situation simplifies since the only forces that act on the particles are long range electromagnetic and gravitational forces. If we ignore the effect of gravitational forces, the acceleration of the particles and the associated electromagnetic radiation will depend on the various electromagnetic properties of the particles *e.g.* charges and various multipole moments, as well as the parameters that characterize the asymptotic trajectories, *e.g.* the momenta and relative positions of the trajectories.

In [1] and [2] (see also [3–6]) it was shown that if  $u$  is the retarded time measured by a detector placed at  $\mathcal{I}^+$ , with  $u = 0$  representing the time when the peak of the signal reaches the detector, then the coefficients of the constant,  $1/u$  and  $u^{-2} \ln |u|$  terms in the wave-form for large positive  $u$  and large negative  $u$  are determined solely in terms of the momenta and charges of the incoming and the outgoing particles. In this paper we show that the same is true for the coefficients of the  $u^{-n-1}(\ln |u|)^n$  terms for all  $n \geq 0$  and derive the explicit expressions for the coefficients of these terms. In this analysis we ignore the presence of the long range gravitational interaction between the particles, but our results are insensitive to any other short range forces that act between the particles during scattering, including possible fusion and splitting of the particles. It is expected that the inclusion of gravitational interaction

will not spoil the universality of these coefficients but will change their actual expression (see *e.g.* [1]).

There are several motivations behind this analysis. First of all, similar universal results exist for the coefficients of the constant,  $u^{-1}$  and  $u^{-2} \ln |u|$  terms in the gravitational wave-form produced by the scattering of particles. This has been verified by explicit computation in many examples [7–18]. In a recent paper [17] by Alessio, Di Vecchia and Heissenberg, a generalization of these formulae for the  $u^{-n-1}(\ln |u|)^n$  terms for all  $n$  was conjectured and a proof of this conjecture has been provided in [18] under the probe approximation.<sup>1</sup> It is in principle possible to directly test this conjecture by generalizing the analysis described in this paper to the gravitational wave-form, but the analysis of the electromagnetic wave-form offers a simpler setting. Our result shows that for scattering that involves at most two initial particles and at most two final particles, the result for the electromagnetic wave-form follows a pattern analogous to the one conjectured in [17] for the gravitational wave-form, but for three or more initial and / or final particles the result takes a much more complicated form. This suggests that even for the gravitational wave-form the conjecture of [17] is likely to be true for the scatterings involving two or less particles in the initial and final states, but is likely to be modified for scattering involving three or more initial and / or final particles.

A second motivation comes from the interrelation between the quantum version of soft theorems relating S-matrices, and the classical version discussed here. For example, the late-time electromagnetic wave-form at orders  $u^{-1}$  and  $u^{-2} \ln |u|$  is related to the quantum soft photon theorem at orders  $\ln \omega$  and  $\omega(\ln \omega)^2$  respectively for soft photon energy  $\omega$ , as derived in [2, 5, 21] by analyzing one- and two-loop QED S-matrices. Hence, it is anticipated that an analogous study of  $(n + 1)$ -loop QED S-matrices should enable one to derive the soft photon theorem at order  $\omega^n(\ln \omega)^{n+1}$  which, in the proper classical limit, should be related to the electromagnetic wave-form at order  $u^{-n-1}(\ln u)^n$  derived in this paper.

A third motivation comes from the relation between the classical electromagnetic wave-form and asymptotic symmetries. In particular it was shown recently [22, 23] (see [24–29] for earlier work on this subject) that the coefficient of the  $1/u$  term in the electromagnetic and gravitational wave-forms at late and early times can be explained in terms of asymptotic symmetries and their associated conserved charges. This naturally leads to the question as to whether the coefficients of the  $u^{-n-1}(\ln u)^n$  terms can also be explained using some asymptotic

---

<sup>1</sup>Earlier in [2], motivated by the infinite order tree-level soft theorems [19, 20], a similar conjecture for the electromagnetic and gravitational wave-forms at order  $u^{-n-1}(\ln |u|)^n$  was proposed up to an undetermined gauge-invariant contribution.

symmetries and the associated conserved charges.

We now summarize the main results of this paper. We take the origin of space-time to be somewhere inside the region where the scattering takes place. This only defines the location of the origin up to shifts of order unity, but this will be sufficient to state our result. Let  $\vec{x}$  be the spatial coordinate of the detector in this coordinate system. We define

$$R \equiv |\vec{x}|, \quad \hat{n} \equiv \frac{\vec{x}}{R}, \quad \mathbf{n} \equiv (1, \hat{n}), \quad u \equiv x^0 - R. \quad (1.1)$$

$u$  is the retarded time at the detector. Then the peak of the signal reaches the detector at  $u \sim 1$  and our focus will be on the order  $R^{-1}$  term in the electromagnetic field  $A_\mu$  at the detector at large  $|u|$ . Let us first consider the wave-form at large positive  $u$ , which is controlled by the outgoing particle trajectories at late time. The relevant part of the trajectory of the  $a$ -th outgoing particle can be labelled as

$$X_a^\mu(\tau_a) = \frac{p_a^\mu}{m_a} \tau_a + \left( C_1^{(a)\mu} \ln \tau_a + \mathcal{O}(1) \right) - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left( C_{r+1}^{(a)\mu} \frac{(\ln \tau_a)^r}{\tau_a^r} + \mathcal{O}(\tau_a^{-r} (\ln \tau_a)^{r-1}) \right) + \dots, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\tau_a$  is the proper time of the  $a$ 'th particle,  $p_a^\mu$  is its momentum,  $m_a$  is its mass,  $q_a$  is its charge and  $C_r^{(a)\mu}$ 's are constants. Note that the terms that we ignore are not necessarily smaller than the terms that we keep, *e.g.* for large  $\tau_a$ , the  $\tau_a^{-r+1} (\ln \tau_a)^{r-2}$  term that we ignore is larger than the  $\tau_a^{-r} (\ln \tau_a)^r$  term that we keep. Nevertheless these are the terms that will be relevant for determining the required terms in the wave-form. We find that the equations of motion determine the coefficients  $C_r^{(a)\mu}$ 's to be,

$$C_1^{(a)\mu} = \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{q_a q_b}{4\pi} \frac{p_b^2}{[(p_a \cdot p_b)^2 - p_a^2 p_b^2]^{\frac{3}{2}}} (p_a^2 p_b^\mu - p_a \cdot p_b p_a^\mu), \quad (1.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & C_{n+1}^{(a)\mu} \\ &= \frac{m_a^n}{2^n n(n+1)} \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{q_a q_b}{4\pi} \frac{p_b^2}{[(p_a \cdot p_b)^2 - p_a^2 p_b^2]^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left[ (p_a^2 p_b^\mu - p_a \cdot p_b p_a^\mu) \right. \\ & \times \sum_{\substack{r=\frac{n}{2} \text{ for } n \text{ even} \\ r=\frac{n+1}{2} \text{ for } n \text{ odd}}}^n \frac{(-1)^r (2r+1)!}{r!(2r-n)!(n-r)!} \frac{1}{((p_a \cdot p_b)^2 - p_a^2 p_b^2)^r} \\ & \times \left( p_b^2 p_a \cdot C_1^{(b)} + p_a \cdot p_b p_b \cdot C_1^{(a)} \right)^{2r-n} \left( (p_b \cdot C_1^{(a)})^2 - p_b^2 (C_1^{(b)} - C_1^{(a)})^2 \right)^{n-r} \\ & \left. + 2 \left( p_a \cdot p_b (C_1^{(b)\mu} - C_1^{(a)\mu}) - p_a \cdot C_1^{(b)} p_b^\mu \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \sum_{\substack{r=\frac{n}{2} \text{ for } n \text{ even} \\ r=\frac{n-1}{2} \text{ for } n \text{ odd}}}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^r (2r+1)!}{r!(2r+1-n)!(n-r-1)!} \\
& \times \frac{1}{((p_a \cdot p_b)^2 - p_a^2 p_b^2)^r} \left( p_b^2 p_a \cdot C_1^{(b)} + p_a \cdot p_b p_b \cdot C_1^{(a)} \right)^{2r+1-n} \\
& \times \left[ \left( p_b \cdot C_1^{(a)} \right)^2 - p_b^2 \left( C_1^{(b)} - C_1^{(a)} \right)^2 \right]^{n-r-1}, \quad \text{for } n \geq 1. \tag{1.4}
\end{aligned}$$

This ignores the effect of any other long range force among the particles (e.g. gravitational or dilaton mediated forces), but any short range force that falls off faster than the inverse square law will not affect the result.

In terms of the above trajectory coefficients, the electromagnetic field  $A_\mu$  at the detector at late retarded time  $u$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& A^\mu(u, R\hat{n}) \\
& \simeq -\frac{1}{4\pi R} \sum_a \frac{q_a p_a^\mu}{\mathbf{n} \cdot p_a} + \frac{1}{4\pi R} \sum_a \frac{q'_a p_a'^\mu}{\mathbf{n} \cdot p'_a} \\
& + \frac{1}{4\pi R} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(\ln u)^{n-1}}{u^n} \sum_a \frac{q_a}{p_a \cdot \mathbf{n}} \left[ \left( \mathbf{n} \cdot C_1^{(a)} \right)^{n-1} \left( \mathbf{n} \cdot C_1^{(a)} p_a^\mu - \mathbf{n} \cdot p_a C_1^{(a)\mu} \right) \right. \\
& \left. + \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \frac{(n-1)!}{(r-1)!(n-r-1)!} \left( \mathbf{n} \cdot C_{r+1}^{(a)} p_a^\mu - \mathbf{n} \cdot p_a C_{r+1}^{(a)\mu} \right) \left( \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot p_a}{m_a} \right)^r \left( \mathbf{n} \cdot C_1^{(a)} \right)^{n-r-1} \right], \tag{1.5}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\{p'_a\}$ ,  $\{q'_a\}$  and  $\{m'_a\}$  denote the momenta, charges and masses of the incoming particles. Note that the first line of the electromagnetic wave-form above is  $u$  independent and is known as electromagnetic memory. This is expressed in an observer's frame where the electromagnetic wave-form vanishes at infinitely early times. In the above expression, under the  $\simeq$  sign, we have retained the time-dependent part of the wave-form, which behaves like  $u^{-n}(\ln u)^{n-1}$  for  $n \geq 1$ . This represents the leading-log late-time contribution at order  $u^{-n}$  in the large  $u$  expansion and ignores the contributions at orders  $u^{-n}(\ln u)^m$  for  $m \leq n-2$ .

The result for large negative  $u$  can be obtained from (1.5) after substituting (1.3) and (1.4) as follows. First of all the sum over  $a$  will now denote the sum over incoming particles and  $p_a^\mu$ ,  $q_a$  and  $m_a$  will have to be replaced by the momentum  $p_a'^\mu$ , charge  $q'_a$  and mass  $m'_a$  of the incoming particles. Also all factors of  $\ln u$  and  $u$  in (1.5) will now be replaced by  $\ln |u|$  and  $|u|$  respectively. Additionally, in our observer's frame, we need to remove the constant term in the first line on the right-hand side. The late and early time wave-forms following from (1.5) at order  $u^{-1}$  and  $u^{-2} \ln u$  agree with the results in [1] and [2] respectively.

It is also instructive to present the result in terms of the Fourier transform

$$\tilde{A}^\mu(\omega, \vec{x}) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du e^{i\omega u} A_\mu(t, \vec{x}). \quad (1.6)$$

If we express the large  $|u|$  behaviour of  $A_\mu$  as

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu &\simeq A_{(0)\mu} - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} A_{(r)\mu} u^{-r} (\ln u)^{r-1} && \text{for large } u, \\ &\simeq A'_{(0)\mu} - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} A'_{(r)\mu} u^{-r} (\ln |u|)^{r-1} && \text{for large } -u, \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

then this translates to the following small  $\omega$  behaviour:<sup>2</sup>

$$\tilde{A}_\mu(\omega, \vec{x}) \simeq \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-i)^{r-1} \frac{1}{r!} [A_{(r)\mu} (\omega + i\epsilon)^{r-1} \{\ln(\omega + i\epsilon)\}^r - A'_{(r)\mu} (\omega - i\epsilon)^{r-1} \{\ln(\omega - i\epsilon)\}^r]. \quad (1.8)$$

Note that the terms that we have kept in the expression for the wave-form are often smaller than the terms we have not kept, *e.g.* in (1.8) the  $\omega^{r-1}(\ln \omega)^r$  term is small compared to the  $\omega^{r-2}(\ln \omega)^{r-2}$  term for small  $\omega$ . However, as discussed in [30], by applying appropriate differential operator on the wave-form we can ensure that the dominant contribution comes from the term proportional to  $\omega^{r-1}(\ln \omega)^r$  in  $\tilde{A}_\mu$ . This terms will be referred to leading-log contributions to  $\tilde{A}_\mu$  in small  $\omega$  expansion, as at order  $\omega^{r-1}$  this is the leading singular term. Conversely we can regard this as the leading term for small  $\omega$  that carries  $r$  powers of  $\ln \omega$ .

When the number of final state particles is two, then (1.4) simplifies to:

$$C_{r+1}^{(a)\mu} = \frac{m_a^r}{r} \left( \frac{q_a q_b}{4\pi} \frac{p_b^2}{[(p_a \cdot p_b)^2 - p_a^2 p_b^2]^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right)^{r+1} [p_a \cdot (p_a + p_b)]^r (p_a^2 p_b^\mu - p_a \cdot p_b p_a^\mu), \quad b \neq a. \quad (1.9)$$

Substituting (1.3) and (1.9) in the trajectory ansatz (1.2), we find the following resummed trajectory

$$\begin{aligned} X_a^\mu(\tau_a) &= \frac{p_a^\mu}{m_a} \tau_a + \left( \frac{q_a q_b}{4\pi} \frac{p_b^2}{[(p_a \cdot p_b)^2 - p_a^2 p_b^2]^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) (p_a^2 p_b^\mu - p_a \cdot p_b p_a^\mu) \\ &\times \ln \left( \tau_a - m_a p_a \cdot (p_a + p_b) \frac{q_a q_b}{4\pi} \frac{p_b^2}{[(p_a \cdot p_b)^2 - p_a^2 p_b^2]^{\frac{3}{2}}} \ln \tau_a + \mathcal{O}(\tau_a^0) \right) \\ &+ \dots, \quad b \neq a. \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>The  $i\epsilon$  prescription is irrelevant in the  $(\omega \pm i\epsilon)^{r-1}$  factor except for  $r = 0$ .

Consequently the expression for the late retarded time wave-form given in (1.5) also simplifies to:

$$\begin{aligned}
& A^\mu(u, R\hat{n}) \\
\cong & -\frac{1}{4\pi R} \sum_{a=1,2} \frac{q_a p_a^\mu}{\mathbf{n} \cdot p_a} + \frac{1}{4\pi R} \sum_{a=1,2} \frac{q'_a p'^\mu_a}{\mathbf{n} \cdot p'_a} \\
& + \frac{1}{4\pi R} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(\ln u)^{n-1}}{u^n} \sum_{a,b \neq a} (\sigma_{ab}^{out})^n \frac{q_a}{p_a \cdot \mathbf{n}} (\mathbf{n} \cdot p_b p_a^\mu - \mathbf{n} \cdot p_a p_b^\mu) ((p_a + p_b) \cdot \mathbf{n})^{n-1} \quad (1.11)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sigma_{ab}^{out} \equiv \frac{q_a q_b}{4\pi} \frac{p_a^2 p_b^2}{[(p_a \cdot p_b)^2 - p_a^2 p_b^2]^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad \sigma_{ab}^{in} \equiv \frac{q'_a q'_b}{4\pi} \frac{p'^2_a p'^2_b}{[(p'_a \cdot p'_b)^2 - p'^2_a p'^2_b]^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \quad (1.12)$$

After performing the sum over  $n$ , the electromagnetic wave-form at late retarded time provided in (1.11) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
A^\mu(u, R\hat{n}) \cong & -\frac{1}{4\pi R} \sum_{a=1,2} \frac{q_a p_a^\mu}{\mathbf{n} \cdot p_a} + \frac{1}{4\pi R} \sum_{a=1,2} \frac{q'_a p'^\mu_a}{\mathbf{n} \cdot p'_a} \\
& - \frac{1}{4\pi R} \sigma_{12}^{out} \frac{1}{u} \left( 1 + \sigma_{12}^{out} (p_1 + p_2) \cdot \mathbf{n} \frac{\ln u}{u} \right)^{-1} D_{out}^\mu, \quad (1.13)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_{out}^\mu \equiv \left( \frac{q_1 p_1^\mu}{p_1 \cdot \mathbf{n}} p_2 \cdot \mathbf{n} + \frac{q_2 p_2^\mu}{p_2 \cdot \mathbf{n}} p_1 \cdot \mathbf{n} - q_1 p_2^\mu - q_2 p_1^\mu \right). \quad (1.14)$$

Similar simplification occurs for early retarded time wave-form when the number of incoming particles is two. The resummed electromagnetic wave-form at early retarded time in the observer's frame reads

$$A^\mu(u, R\hat{n}) \cong \frac{1}{4\pi R} \sigma_{12}^{in} \frac{1}{u} \left( 1 - \sigma_{12}^{in} (p'_1 + p'_2) \cdot \mathbf{n} \frac{\ln |u|}{u} \right)^{-1} D_{in}^\mu, \quad (1.15)$$

where

$$D_{in}^\mu \equiv \left( \frac{q'_1 p_1^\mu}{p'_1 \cdot \mathbf{n}} p'_2 \cdot \mathbf{n} + \frac{q'_2 p_2^\mu}{p'_2 \cdot \mathbf{n}} p'_1 \cdot \mathbf{n} - q'_1 p'_2^\mu - q'_2 p'_1^\mu \right). \quad (1.16)$$

When both, the number of incoming particles and the number of outgoing particles are two, then in the observer's frame the Fourier transformed wave-form given in (1.8) also takes

a compact form:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{A}^\mu(\omega, \vec{x}) \\
\approx & -\frac{i}{4\pi R} \frac{1}{\omega + i\epsilon} \sum_{a=1,2} \left( \frac{q_a p_a^\mu}{p_a \cdot \mathbf{n}} - \frac{q'_a p'_a{}^\mu}{p'_a \cdot \mathbf{n}} \right) \\
& -\frac{i}{4\pi R} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \omega^{n-1} \{\ln(\omega + i\epsilon)\}^n \sum_{\substack{a,b \\ b \neq a}} (i\sigma_{ab}^{out})^n \frac{q_a}{p_a \cdot \mathbf{n}} (\mathbf{n} \cdot p_b p_a^\mu - \mathbf{n} \cdot p_a p_b^\mu) ((p_a + p_b) \cdot \mathbf{n})^{n-1} \\
& +\frac{i}{4\pi R} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \omega^{n-1} \{\ln(\omega - i\epsilon)\}^n \sum_{\substack{a,b \\ b \neq a}} (-i\sigma_{ab}^{in})^n \frac{q'_a}{p'_a \cdot \mathbf{n}} (\mathbf{n} \cdot p'_b p'_a{}^\mu - \mathbf{n} \cdot p'_a p'_b{}^\mu) ((p'_a + p'_b) \cdot \mathbf{n})^{n-1} .
\end{aligned} \tag{1.17}$$

After performing the sum over  $n$ , the resummed electromagnetic wave-form for two-particle scattering becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{A}^\mu(\omega, \vec{x}) \\
\approx & -\frac{i}{4\pi R} \frac{1}{\omega + i\epsilon} \left[ \left( \frac{q_1 p_1^\mu}{p_1 \cdot \mathbf{n}} + \frac{q_2 p_2^\mu}{p_2 \cdot \mathbf{n}} - \frac{q'_1 p'_1{}^\mu}{p'_1 \cdot \mathbf{n}} - \frac{q'_2 p'_2{}^\mu}{p'_2 \cdot \mathbf{n}} \right) \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{(\omega + i\epsilon)^{i\omega\sigma_{12}^{out}(p_1+p_2) \cdot \mathbf{n}} - 1}{(p_1 + p_2) \cdot \mathbf{n}} D_{out}^\mu - \frac{(\omega - i\epsilon)^{-i\omega\sigma_{12}^{in}(p'_1+p'_2) \cdot \mathbf{n}} - 1}{(p'_1 + p'_2) \cdot \mathbf{n}} D_{in}^\mu \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{1.18}$$

Using the charge and momentum conservation relations, the above resummed expression can be further simplified as follows. Substituting  $q'_2 = q_1 + q_2 - q'_1$  and  $p'_2 = p_1 + p_2 - p'_1$ , the terms inside the parentheses on the first line of the RHS cancel out with the two terms multiplying  $(-1)$  in the numerators of the second line of the RHS after substituting (1.14) and (1.16). Finally, the simplified expression becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{A}^\mu(\omega, \vec{x}) \\
\approx & -\frac{i}{4\pi R} \frac{1}{\omega + i\epsilon} \left[ \frac{(\omega + i\epsilon)^{i\omega\sigma_{12}^{out}(p_1+p_2) \cdot \mathbf{n}}}{(p_1 + p_2) \cdot \mathbf{n}} D_{out}^\mu - \frac{(\omega - i\epsilon)^{-i\omega\sigma_{12}^{in}(p'_1+p'_2) \cdot \mathbf{n}}}{(p'_1 + p'_2) \cdot \mathbf{n}} D_{in}^\mu \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{1.19}$$

## 2 Particle trajectories

In this section we shall determine the outgoing particle trajectories at late time. Since the electromagnetic field at late time is determined by the form of the outgoing particle trajectories at late time, and the latter in turn is determined by the electromagnetic field produced by the



other particles, we need to iteratively solve the equations of motion for the outgoing particle trajectories and the electromagnetic field at late time. In the harmonic gauge these take the form:<sup>3</sup>

$$m_a \frac{d^2 X_a^\mu(\tau_a)}{d\tau_a^2} = q_a \frac{dX_{a\nu}(\tau_a)}{d\tau_a} \sum_{b \neq a} F_{(b)}^{\mu\nu}(X_a(\tau_a)), \quad (2.1)$$

$$\partial^\rho \partial_\rho A_{(b)\mu}(x) = -J_{(b)\mu}(x), \quad (2.2)$$

where  $A_{(b)\mu}(x)$  and  $F_{(b)}^{\mu\nu}(x)$  denote the electromagnetic field and field strength produced at  $x$  by the particle  $b$  and the current density associated with particle  $b$  is given by

$$J_{(b)}^\mu(x) = q_b \int_0^\infty d\tau_b \delta^{(4)}(x - X_b(\tau_b)) \frac{dX_b^\mu(\tau_b)}{d\tau_b}. \quad (2.3)$$

The indices  $a, b, c$  run over all the outgoing particles. The solution for  $A_{(b)\mu}(x)$  from (2.2) using retarded propagator becomes

$$A_{(b)}^\mu(x) = \frac{q_b}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\tau_b \delta_+(-(x - X_b(\tau_b))^2) \frac{dX_b^\mu(\tau_b)}{d\tau_b}, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\delta_+$  denotes the usual Dirac delta function multiplying a Heaviside theta function  $H(x^0 - X_b^0(\tau_b))$ , such that we have to choose the zero of the argument for which  $x^0 > X_b^0(\tau_b)$ .

Now using (2.4), the field strength  $F_{(b)}^{\mu\nu}$  produced by particle  $b$  reads

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(b)}^{\mu\nu}(x) &= \partial^\mu A_{(b)}^\nu(x) - \partial^\nu A_{(b)}^\mu(x) \\ &= -\frac{q_b}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\tau_b \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau_b} \delta_+(-(x - X_b(\tau_b))^2) \right] \\ &\quad \times \frac{(x^\mu - X_b^\mu(\tau_b)) \frac{dX_b^\nu(\tau_b)}{d\tau_b} - (x^\nu - X_b^\nu(\tau_b)) \frac{dX_b^\mu(\tau_b)}{d\tau_b}}{(x_\alpha - X_{b\alpha}(\tau_b)) \frac{dX_b^\alpha(\tau_b)}{d\tau_b}} \\ &= \frac{q_b}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\tau_b \delta_+(-(x - X_b(\tau_b))^2) \left[ \frac{(x^\mu - X_b^\mu(\tau_b)) \frac{d^2 X_b^\nu(\tau_b)}{d\tau_b^2} - (x^\nu - X_b^\nu(\tau_b)) \frac{d^2 X_b^\mu(\tau_b)}{d\tau_b^2}}{(x_\alpha - X_{b\alpha}(\tau_b)) \frac{dX_b^\alpha(\tau_b)}{d\tau_b}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{(x^\mu - X_b^\mu(\tau_b)) \frac{dX_b^\nu(\tau_b)}{d\tau_b} - (x^\nu - X_b^\nu(\tau_b)) \frac{dX_b^\mu(\tau_b)}{d\tau_b}}{\left( (x_\alpha - X_{b\alpha}(\tau_b)) \frac{dX_b^\alpha(\tau_b)}{d\tau_b} \right)^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left( (x_\alpha - X_{b\alpha}(\tau_b)) \frac{d^2 X_b^\alpha(\tau_b)}{d\tau_b^2} - \frac{dX_b^\alpha(\tau_b)}{d\tau_b} \frac{dX_{b\alpha}(\tau_b)}{d\tau_b} \right) \right], \quad (2.5) \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>3</sup>We shall argue below (2.14) that the self-force effects are not important to the order that we shall study the system.

where in the second step we have performed an integration by parts, and in the first step used the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \delta_+ \left( - (x - X_b(\tau_b))^2 \right) &= -2 (x_\nu - X_{b\nu}(\tau_b)) \delta'_+ \left( - (x - X_b(\tau_b))^2 \right) \\ &= - \frac{(x_\nu - X_{b\nu}(\tau_b))}{(x_\alpha - X_{b\alpha}(\tau_b)) \frac{dX_b^\alpha(\tau_b)}{d\tau_b}} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_b} \delta_+ \left( - (x - X_b(\tau_b))^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

We have to use (2.5) to compute the field strength at the location of the particle  $a$  so that we can compute the right hand side of (2.1). For this we use the ansatz (1.2) for the particle trajectories and determine  $\tau_b$  in terms of  $\tau_a$  by solving the constraint  $(X_a(\tau_a) - X_b(\tau_b))^2 = 0$  imposed by the delta function. At this stage, we need to decide which terms in the expression for  $X_b^\mu$  and  $X_a^\mu$  we need to keep and which terms we can ignore for this analysis. Since our goal is to keep all terms of order  $u^{-r-1}(\ln u)^r$  in the wave-form, we formally introduce a large parameter  $\lambda$  and pretend that  $u$  and  $\ln u$  scale as  $\lambda$ . We shall see later that for calculating the wave-form at the detector at retarded time  $u$ , the proper time  $\tau_b$  and  $\tau_a$  at which we need the trajectories of the  $b$ -th and the  $a$ -th particle are of order  $u$ . Hence  $\tau_b, \tau_a$  scale as  $\lambda$  and  $\ln \tau_b \simeq \ln u, \ln \tau_a \simeq \ln u$  also scale as  $\lambda$ . Looking back at (1.2) we see that the terms proportional to  $\tau_a$  and  $\ln \tau_a$  are of order  $\lambda$  while the coefficients of  $C_r^{(a)\mu}$  for  $r \geq 2$  are of order  $\lambda^0$ . The terms ignored in (1.2) scale as  $\lambda^{-p}$  for  $p \geq 1$ . Hence while solving the equation  $(X_a(\tau_a) - X_b(\tau_b))^2 = 0$  to determine  $\tau_b$  for a given  $\tau_a$ , we shall keep only the first two terms in the expression for  $X_b(\tau_b)$  and  $X_a(\tau_a)$ :

$$X_c^\mu(\tau_c) = \frac{p_c^\mu}{m_c} \tau_c + C_1^{(c)\mu} \ln \tau_c + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^0), \quad \text{for } c = a, b. \quad (2.7)$$

Using this the  $(X_b(\tau_b) - X_a(\tau_a))^2 = 0$  equation reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_a^2 + \tau_b^2 + 2 \frac{p_a \cdot p_b}{m_a m_b} \tau_a \tau_b + 2 \frac{p_a \cdot (C_1^{(b)} - C_1^{(a)})}{m_a} \tau_a \ln \tau_a - 2 \frac{p_b \cdot (C_1^{(b)} - C_1^{(a)})}{m_b} \tau_b \ln \tau_a \\ - (C_1^{(b)} - C_1^{(a)})^2 (\ln \tau_a)^2 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Note that in the LHS of the above expression, we only kept terms from  $-(X_a(\tau_a) - X_b(\tau_b))^2$  that scale like order  $\lambda^2$  for large value of  $\tau_a$ . This allowed us to replace  $\ln \tau_b$  with  $\ln \tau_a$  for the trajectory ansatz of  $b$ 'th particle, since for  $\tau_b \sim \tau_a, \ln \tau_b = \ln \tau_a + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^0)$ . The solution reads

$$\tau_b = \tau_{ba}^* + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^0),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_{ba}^* \equiv & -\frac{p_a \cdot p_b}{m_a m_b} \tau_a + \frac{p_b \cdot (C_1^{(b)} - C_1^{(a)})}{m_b} \ln \tau_a - \left[ -\tau_a^2 - \frac{2p_a \cdot (C_1^{(b)} - C_1^{(a)})}{m_a} \tau_a \ln \tau_a \right. \\
& \left. + (C_1^{(b)} - C_1^{(a)})^2 (\ln \tau_a)^2 + \left( \frac{p_a \cdot p_b}{m_a m_b} \tau_a - \frac{p_b \cdot (C_1^{(b)} - C_1^{(a)})}{m_b} \ln \tau_a \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2.9)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have chosen the branch with lower value of  $\tau_b$  due to the Heaviside theta function  $H(X_a^0(\tau_a) - X_b^0(\tau_b))$  associated with the retarded Green's function. As an internal consistency check on our approximation scheme, we see that as long as  $\tau_a$  is of order  $\lambda$ ,  $\tau_b$  is also of order  $\lambda$ , in agreement with our earlier assumption. Using (2.9) we get

$$\delta_+ \left( -(X_a(\tau_a) - X_b(\tau_b))^2 \right) = \frac{1}{2 \left| (X_a(\tau_a) - X_b(\tau_b)) \cdot \frac{dX_b(\tau_b)}{d\tau_b} \right|_{\tau_b=\tau_{ba}^*}} \delta(\tau_b - \tau_{ba}^*). \quad (2.10)$$

After substituting this into (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{(b)}^{\mu\nu}(X_a(\tau_a)) &= \frac{q_b}{4\pi} \frac{1}{\left| (X_a(\tau_a) - X_b(\tau_b)) \cdot \frac{dX_b(\tau_b)}{d\tau_b} \right|_{\tau_b=\tau_{ba}^*}} \\
&\times \left[ \frac{(X_a^\mu(\tau_a) - X_b^\mu(\tau_b)) \frac{d^2 X_b^\nu(\tau_b)}{d\tau_b^2} - (X_a^\nu(\tau_a) - X_b^\nu(\tau_b)) \frac{d^2 X_b^\mu(\tau_b)}{d\tau_b^2}}{(X_a(\tau_a) - X_b(\tau_b)) \cdot \frac{dX_b(\tau_b)}{d\tau_b}} \right. \\
&\quad - \frac{(X_a^\mu(\tau_a) - X_b^\mu(\tau_b)) \frac{dX_b^\nu(\tau_b)}{d\tau_b} - (X_a^\nu(\tau_a) - X_b^\nu(\tau_b)) \frac{dX_b^\mu(\tau_b)}{d\tau_b}}{\left( (X_a(\tau_a) - X_b(\tau_b)) \cdot \frac{dX_b(\tau_b)}{d\tau_b} \right)^2} \\
&\quad \left. \times \left( (X_a(\tau_a) - X_b(\tau_b)) \cdot \frac{d^2 X_b(\tau_b)}{d\tau_b^2} - \frac{dX_b(\tau_b)}{d\tau_b} \cdot \frac{dX_b(\tau_b)}{d\tau_b} \right) \right]_{\tau_b=\tau_{ba}^*}. \quad (2.11)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (2.7) this can be simplified to

$$\begin{aligned}
&F_{(b)}^{\mu\nu}(X_a(\tau_a)) \\
&= -\frac{q_b}{4\pi} \frac{1}{\tau_a^2} \frac{m_a^3 m_b^3}{((p_a \cdot p_b)^2 - m_a^2 m_b^2)^{3/2}} \\
&\quad \left[ 1 + 2m_a \frac{p_b^2 p_a \cdot (C_1^{(b)} - C_1^{(a)}) - p_a \cdot p_b p_b \cdot (C_1^{(b)} - C_1^{(a)})}{(p_a \cdot p_b)^2 - p_a^2 p_b^2} \xi_a \right. \\
&\quad \left. + m_a^2 \frac{\left( p_b \cdot (C_1^{(b)} - C_1^{(a)}) \right)^2 - p_b^2 \left( C_1^{(b)} - C_1^{(a)} \right)^2}{(p_a \cdot p_b)^2 - p_a^2 p_b^2} \xi_a^2 \right]^{-\frac{3}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\left[ \frac{p_a^\mu p_b^\nu - p_a^\nu p_b^\mu}{m_a m_b} + \left( C_1^{(a)\mu} - C_1^{(b)\mu} \right) \frac{p_b^\nu}{m_b} \xi_a - \left( C_1^{(a)\nu} - C_1^{(b)\nu} \right) \frac{p_b^\mu}{m_b} \xi_a \right] + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-3}), \quad (2.12)$$

where  $\xi_a$  is defined as  $\xi_a \equiv \frac{\ln \tau_a}{\tau_a}$ .

We shall now substitute this into (2.1) and compare the two sides. For this we need to compute the first and second derivative of  $X_a^\mu$  using the ansatz (1.2). We get,

$$\frac{dX_a^\mu(\tau_a)}{d\tau_a} = \frac{p_a^\mu}{m_a} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1}), \quad (2.13)$$

$$\frac{d^2 X_a^\mu(\tau_a)}{d\tau_a^2} = -C_1^{(a)\mu} \frac{1}{\tau_a^2} - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r(r+1) C_{r+1}^{(a)\mu} \frac{(\ln \tau_a)^r}{\tau_a^{r+2}} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-3}). \quad (2.14)$$

We can now provide a justification of why self-force effects are not important in (2.14). For this note that each term on the right hand side of this equation scales as  $\lambda^{-2}$ . In contrast, the self-force gives terms proportional to the time derivative of the acceleration which scales as  $\lambda^{-3}$  or square of the acceleration which scales as  $\lambda^{-4}$ . Thus they can be ignored. A similar justification can be provided for ignoring the effects of multipole moments of the outgoing particles. The multipole moments have two effects on our analysis. First of all for a given background electromagnetic field, these produce additional terms to the right hand side of (2.14) that involve derivatives of the field strength. These are suppressed by additional powers of  $\lambda^{-1}$ . The second effect is that the presence of the multipole moments can produce additional contribution to the expression (2.11) for the electromagnetic field that falls off faster than the inverse square law at large distances. These are also suppressed by additional powers of  $\lambda^{-1}$ . Thus we can ignore their effects.

Substituting (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14) into (2.1), we get,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( -C_1^{(a)\mu} - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r(r+1) C_{r+1}^{(a)\mu} \xi_a^r \right) \\ \simeq & - \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{q_a q_b}{4\pi} \frac{p_b^2}{[(p_a \cdot p_b)^2 - p_a^2 p_b^2]^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ & \times \left[ (p_a^2 p_b^\mu - p_a \cdot p_b p_a^\mu) + m_a p_a \cdot p_b \left( C_1^{(b)\mu} - C_1^{(a)\mu} \right) \xi_a - m_a \left( C_1^{(b)} - C_1^{(a)} \right) \cdot p_a p_b^\mu \xi_a \right] \\ & \times \left[ 1 + 2m_a \frac{p_b^2 p_a \cdot \left( C_1^{(b)} - C_1^{(a)} \right) - p_a \cdot p_b p_b \cdot \left( C_1^{(b)} - C_1^{(a)} \right)}{(p_a \cdot p_b)^2 - p_a^2 p_b^2} \xi_a \right. \\ & \left. + m_a^2 \frac{\left( p_b \cdot \left( C_1^{(b)} - C_1^{(a)} \right) \right)^2 - p_b^2 \left( C_1^{(b)} - C_1^{(a)} \right)^2}{(p_a \cdot p_b)^2 - p_a^2 p_b^2} \xi_a^2 \right]^{-\frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

These equations can be solved iteratively to give:<sup>4</sup>

$$C_1^{(a)\mu} = \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{q_a q_b}{4\pi} \frac{p_b^2}{[(p_a \cdot p_b)^2 - p_a^2 p_b^2]^{\frac{3}{2}}} (p_a^2 p_b^\mu - p_a \cdot p_b p_a^\mu), \quad (2.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & C_{n+1}^{(a)\mu} \\ &= \frac{m_a^n}{2^n n(n+1)} \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{q_a q_b}{4\pi} \frac{p_b^2}{[(p_a \cdot p_b)^2 - p_a^2 p_b^2]^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left[ (p_a^2 p_b^\mu - p_a \cdot p_b p_a^\mu) \right. \\ &\times \sum_{\substack{r=\frac{n}{2} \text{ for } n \text{ even} \\ r=\frac{n+1}{2} \text{ for } n \text{ odd}}} \frac{(-1)^r (2r+1)!}{r!(2r-n)!(n-r)!} \frac{1}{((p_a \cdot p_b)^2 - p_a^2 p_b^2)^r} \\ &\times \left( p_b^2 p_a \cdot C_1^{(b)} + p_a \cdot p_b p_b \cdot C_1^{(a)} \right)^{2r-n} \left( (p_b \cdot C_1^{(a)})^2 - p_b^2 (C_1^{(b)} - C_1^{(a)})^2 \right)^{n-r} \\ &+ 2 \left( p_a \cdot p_b (C_1^{(b)\mu} - C_1^{(a)\mu}) - p_a \cdot C_1^{(b)} p_b^\mu \right) \\ &\times \sum_{\substack{r=\frac{n}{2} \text{ for } n \text{ even} \\ r=\frac{n-1}{2} \text{ for } n \text{ odd}}} \frac{(-1)^r (2r+1)!}{r!(2r+1-n)!(n-r-1)!} \\ &\times \frac{1}{((p_a \cdot p_b)^2 - p_a^2 p_b^2)^r} \left( p_b^2 p_a \cdot C_1^{(b)} + p_a \cdot p_b p_b \cdot C_1^{(a)} \right)^{2r+1-n} \\ &\times \left. \left( (p_b \cdot C_1^{(a)})^2 - p_b^2 (C_1^{(b)} - C_1^{(a)})^2 \right)^{n-r-1} \right], \quad \text{for } n \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

In arriving at (2.18) we have used the relation  $p_a \cdot C_1^{(a)} = 0$  that follows from (2.17). These reproduce (1.3), (1.4). The analysis of the trajectory for early time is similar. One difference is that the factors of  $\ln \tau_a$  are replaced by  $\ln |\tau_a|$ . The other difference is that due to the absolute value sign in the denominator of (2.11), one of the factors of  $\tau_a$  in (2.12) is replaced by  $|\tau_a| = -\tau_a$ , leading to a change in sign of the right hand sides of (2.15). This can be removed by a redefinition  $C_r^{(a)\mu} \rightarrow (-1)^r C_r^{(a)\mu}$  and  $\xi_a \rightarrow -\xi_a$ . The net effect is that in the final expressions (2.17) and (2.18) of  $C_r^{(a)\mu}$  we have an extra factor of  $(-1)^r$ , besides replacement of the outgoing momenta and charges by incoming momenta  $p_a'^\mu$  and charges  $q_a'$ .

<sup>4</sup>In the RHS of (2.15), we used the following series expansion identity

$$(1 + A\xi_a + B\xi_a^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^k (-1)^k \frac{(2k+1)!}{2^{2k} k! s! (k-s)!} A^s B^{k-s} \xi_a^{2k-s}. \quad (2.16)$$

**Trajectory for two-body scattering:** When there are only two particles in the final state, the analysis simplifies, since it follows from (2.17) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& 1 + 2m_a \frac{p_b^2 p_a \cdot (C_1^{(b)} - C_1^{(a)}) - p_a \cdot p_b p_b \cdot (C_1^{(b)} - C_1^{(a)})}{(p_a \cdot p_b)^2 - p_a^2 p_b^2} \xi_a \\
& \quad + m_a^2 \frac{\left(p_b \cdot (C_1^{(b)} - C_1^{(a)})\right)^2 - p_b^2 (C_1^{(b)} - C_1^{(a)})^2}{(p_a \cdot p_b)^2 - p_a^2 p_b^2} \xi_a^2 \\
& = \left[ 1 + m_a \frac{p_b^2 p_a \cdot (C_1^{(b)} - C_1^{(a)}) - p_a \cdot p_b p_b \cdot (C_1^{(b)} - C_1^{(a)})}{(p_a \cdot p_b)^2 - p_a^2 p_b^2} \xi_a \right]^2 \\
& = \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_a}{m_a} \frac{q_a q_b}{4\pi} \frac{p_a^2 p_b^2 p_a \cdot (p_a + p_b)}{[(p_a \cdot p_b)^2 - p_a^2 p_b^2]^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right)^2. \tag{2.19}
\end{aligned}$$

This simplifies the expansion of the right hand side of (2.15) in powers of  $\xi_a$  and we get,

$$C_{r+1}^{(a)\mu} = \frac{m_a^r}{r} \left( \frac{q_a q_b}{4\pi} \frac{p_b^2}{[(p_a \cdot p_b)^2 - p_a^2 p_b^2]^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right)^{r+1} [p_a \cdot (p_a + p_b)]^r (p_a^2 p_b^\mu - p_a \cdot p_b p_a^\mu), \quad b \neq a. \tag{2.20}$$

This is the result quoted in (1.9) and a resummed trajectory expression follows from this is quoted in (1.10).

**Trajectory in probe approximation:** Here, we analyze the spatial component of the late-time multi-particle waveform expression (1.5) in the probe limit. We consider a scattering event in which  $(N - 1)$  probe particles, characterized by charges  $\{q_a\}$ , masses  $\{m_a\}$ , and outgoing momenta  $\{p_a\}$  for  $a = 2, 3, \dots, N$ , scatter in the presence of a heavy scatterer with mass  $M$  and charge  $Q$  at rest. The probe limit is defined as  $M \gg m_a$ ,  $Q \gg q_a$ , and  $\frac{Q}{M} \ll \frac{q_a}{m_a}$  for  $a = 2, 3, \dots, N$ . The extra condition  $\frac{Q}{M} \ll \frac{q_a}{m_a}$  is needed to ensure that the heavy scatterer does not move under the electromagnetic force exerted by light probes and that the electromagnetic radiation from it can be ignored. The momenta of the heavy scatterer and probe objects are parametrized as follows:

$$P = (M, \vec{0}), \quad p_a = \frac{m_a}{\sqrt{1 - \vec{\beta}_a^2}} (1, \vec{\beta}_a), \quad \forall a = 2, 3, \dots, N. \tag{2.21}$$

In this probe limit, the trajectory coefficients in (1.2) for the probe particles will receive contributions solely from the long-range electromagnetic force exerted by the heavy scatterer.

The leading trajectory correction  $C_1^{(a)}$  given in (2.17) simplifies to

$$C_1^{(a)} \simeq -\frac{q_a Q \sqrt{1 - \beta_a^2}}{4\pi m_a \beta_a} \left( 1, \frac{\vec{\beta}_a}{\beta_a^2} \right), \quad \forall a = 2, 3, \dots, N. \quad (2.22)$$

After substituting the above expression in (2.15), in the probe limit it also simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( -C_1^{(a)} - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r(r+1) C_{r+1}^{(a)} \xi_a^r \right) \\ & \simeq \frac{q_a Q \sqrt{1 - \beta_a^2}}{4\pi m_a \beta_a} \left( 1, \frac{\vec{\beta}_a}{\beta_a^2} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{q_a Q}{4\pi} \frac{1 - \beta_a^2}{\beta_a^3 m_a} \xi_a \right)^{-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

Performing a series expansion in  $\xi_a$  and comparing the coefficient of  $\xi_a^r$  from both sides, we get

$$C_{r+1}^{(a)} = -\frac{1}{r} \frac{q_a Q \sqrt{1 - \beta_a^2}}{4\pi m_a \beta_a} \left( \frac{q_a Q}{4\pi} \frac{1 - \beta_a^2}{m_a \beta_a^3} \right)^r \times \left( 1, \frac{\vec{\beta}_a}{\beta_a^2} \right). \quad (2.24)$$

The above trajectory coefficient matches (2.20) after simplifying (2.20) by considering particle- $b$  as the heavy scatterer. Hence, an analogous resummed trajectory can be provided in the probe limit, as quoted in (1.10) for two-particle scattering.

### 3 Electromagnetic wave-form

Once the trajectories of the outgoing particles have been determined, we can use (2.4) to find the electromagnetic field at the detector after summing over  $b$ . The analysis follows the same procedure that led to the expression (2.12) for the field strength, except that the approximation scheme that we shall use will have to be somewhat different. If the detector is placed at a distance  $R$  from the region where the scattering takes place, then we first expand  $A_\mu$  in powers of  $1/R$  and keep only terms of order  $1/R$ , and then expand the coefficient of this term in inverse powers of the retarded time  $u$ .

Let us parametrize the location  $x$  of the detector as  $x^\mu = (u + R, R\hat{n}) = (u, \vec{0}) + R\mathbf{n}$ . For large  $R$  and fixed  $u$ , the delta function constraint in (2.4) implies the following relation:

$$(x - X_a(\tau_a))^2 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad -2uR - 2R \mathbf{n} \cdot X_a(\tau_a) + \mathcal{O}(R^0) = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Using (1.2), the leading  $R$  coefficient of the above equation gives

$$-u = \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot p_a}{m_a} \tau_a + \mathbf{n} \cdot C_1^{(a)} \ln \tau_a - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{n} \cdot C_{r+1}^{(a)} \left( \frac{\ln \tau_a}{\tau_a} \right)^r + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1}). \quad (3.2)$$

Note that on the right hand side the first two terms are of order  $\lambda$  and the other terms are of order  $\lambda^0$ . Hence we keep only the first two terms, and invert the equation to find the solution

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_a &= \tau_a^{\text{sol}}, & \tau_a^{\text{sol}} &\simeq -\frac{u m_a}{\mathbf{n}\cdot p_a} \left(1 + \mathbf{n}\cdot C_1^{(a)} w\right), & w &\equiv \frac{\ln u}{u}, \\ \Rightarrow \quad \xi_a &\equiv \frac{\ln \tau_a^{\text{sol}}}{\tau_a^{\text{sol}}} & \simeq & -\frac{\mathbf{n}\cdot p_a}{m_a} w (1 + \mathbf{n}\cdot C_1^{(a)} w)^{-1}.\end{aligned}\quad (3.3)$$

Hence for large value of  $R$  and late retarded time  $u$  the relevant part of the delta function constraint in (2.4) becomes

$$\delta_+ \left(- (x - X_a(\tau_a))^2\right) \simeq \frac{1}{2R} \frac{\delta(\tau_a - \tau_a^{\text{sol}})}{\left|\mathbf{n}\cdot \frac{dX_a(\tau_a)}{d\tau_a}\right|_{\tau_a=\tau_a^{\text{sol}}}}. \quad (3.4)$$

We also have

$$\frac{dX_a^\mu}{d\tau_a} \simeq \frac{p_a^\mu}{m_a} + C_1^{(a)\mu} \frac{1}{\tau_a} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r C_{r+1}^{(a)\mu} \frac{(\ln \tau_a)^r}{\tau_a^{r+1}}. \quad (3.5)$$

Note that the first term on the right hand side is of order  $\lambda^0$  while the other terms are of order  $\lambda^{-1}$ . Thus we might be tempted to keep only the first term. However we shall be computing terms in  $A_\mu$  to first subleading order in the expansion in  $\lambda^{-1}$ , and for this reason we have to keep all the terms. Using the above results in (2.4), the late time radiative mode of electromagnetic wave-form becomes

$$A^\mu(u, R\hat{n}) \simeq -\frac{1}{4\pi R} \sum_a \frac{m_a q_a}{\mathbf{n}\cdot p_a} \int_0^\infty d\tau_a \delta(\tau_a - \tau_a^{\text{sol}}) \frac{\left(\frac{p_a^\mu}{m_a} + C_1^{(a)\mu} \frac{1}{\tau_a} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r C_{r+1}^{(a)\mu} \frac{(\ln \tau_a)^r}{\tau_a^{r+1}}\right)}{1 + \frac{m_a}{\mathbf{n}\cdot p_a} \frac{\mathbf{n}\cdot C_1^{(a)}}{\tau_a} + \frac{m_a}{\mathbf{n}\cdot p_a} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r \mathbf{n}\cdot C_{r+1}^{(a)} \frac{(\ln \tau_a)^r}{\tau_a^{r+1}}}, \quad (3.6)$$

where we used the fact that  $\mathbf{n}\cdot p_a$  is negative. Performing the integral over  $\tau_a$ , and keeping terms up to order  $\lambda^{-1}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}A^\mu(u, R\hat{n}) &\simeq -\frac{1}{4\pi R} \sum_a \frac{q_a p_a^\mu}{\mathbf{n}\cdot p_a} \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{4\pi R} \sum_a \frac{1}{\tau_a^{\text{sol}}} \frac{m_a q_a}{\mathbf{n}\cdot p_a} \left( C_1^{(a)\mu} - \frac{p_a^\mu}{\mathbf{n}\cdot p_a} \mathbf{n}\cdot C_1^{(a)} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r C_{r+1}^{(a)\mu} \xi_a^r - \frac{p_a^\mu}{\mathbf{n}\cdot p_a} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r \mathbf{n}\cdot C_{r+1}^{(a)} \xi_a^r \right).\end{aligned}\quad (3.7)$$

An expansion in  $w$  of the above expression after using (3.3) generates the  $u^{-n}(\ln u)^{n-1}$  coefficients to the electromagnetic wave-form:

$$A^\mu(u, R\hat{n})$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&\simeq -\frac{1}{4\pi R} \sum_a \frac{q_a p_a^\mu}{\mathbf{n} \cdot p_a} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4\pi R} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(\ln u)^{n-1}}{u^n} \sum_a \frac{q_a}{p_a \cdot \mathbf{n}} \left[ \left( \mathbf{n} \cdot C_1^{(a)} \right)^{n-1} \left( \mathbf{n} \cdot C_1^{(a)} p_a^\mu - \mathbf{n} \cdot p_a C_1^{(a)\mu} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \frac{(n-1)!}{(r-1)!(n-r-1)!} \left( \mathbf{n} \cdot C_{r+1}^{(a)} p_a^\mu - \mathbf{n} \cdot p_a C_{r+1}^{(a)\mu} \right) \left( \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot p_a}{m_a} \right)^r \left( \mathbf{n} \cdot C_1^{(a)} \right)^{n-r-1} \right]. \quad (3.8)
\end{aligned}$$

The result for large negative  $u$  is obtained from (3.8) by replacing  $q_a, p_a^\mu$  by the incoming charges and momenta  $q'_a, p_a'^\mu$ ,  $\ln u$  by  $\ln |u|$  and including an extra factor of  $(-1)^r$  in the expression for  $C_r^{(a)\mu}$  due to the observations made below (2.20).

Note that the first term on the right hand side of (3.8) is of order  $\lambda^0$  while the rest of the terms are of order  $\lambda^{-1}$ . This explains the reason for keeping the first subleading terms in the expression for  $dX_a^\mu/d\tau_a$  in (3.5). However, we kept only the leading term in the expression for  $X_a^\mu$  in (3.2) while finding the solution for  $\tau_a$  in (3.3). This can be justified by noting that the leading term in the integrand of (3.6) is  $\tau_a$  independent. Thus a subleading correction to  $\tau_a^{\text{sol}}$  in (3.3) will not give any subleading correction to (3.8).

In this convention  $A_\mu$  in (3.8) does not vanish as  $u \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . It is more convenient to express  $A_\mu$  by adding a constant to it so that it vanishes as  $u \rightarrow -\infty$ , which we refer to observer's frame. In that case the same constant will be added to  $A_\mu$  in the large positive  $u$  limit and the constant term (first line of RHS) in (3.8) will be replaced by<sup>5</sup>

$$-\frac{1}{4\pi R} \sum_a \frac{q_a p_a^\mu}{\mathbf{n} \cdot p_a} + \frac{1}{4\pi R} \sum_a \frac{q'_a p_a'^\mu}{\mathbf{n} \cdot p'_a}. \quad (3.9)$$

This is known as the electromagnetic memory term. This is the procedure followed when writing the constant term in (1.5).

**Wave-form for two-body scattering:** For  $2 \rightarrow 2$  scattering, we can use (2.20), (3.8) and (3.9) to get, for large positive  $u$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&A^\mu(u, R \hat{n}) \\
&\simeq -\frac{1}{4\pi R} \sum_a \frac{q_a p_a^\mu}{\mathbf{n} \cdot p_a} + \frac{1}{4\pi R} \sum_a \frac{q'_a p_a'^\mu}{\mathbf{n} \cdot p'_a}
\end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>5</sup>Due to the dependence on  $R$  and  $\mathbf{n}$ , the term in the first line of (3.8) depends on the spatial coordinates and hence cannot be removed altogether by a gauge transformation. However these spatial derivatives are of order  $R^{-2}$  for large  $R$ , and hence as long as we ignore terms of order  $R^{-2}$ , we can remove these terms in the local neighborhood of the observer by a gauge transformation.

$$+ \frac{1}{4\pi R} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(\ln u)^{n-1}}{u^n} \sum_{a,b \neq a} (\sigma_{ab}^{out})^n \frac{q_a}{p_a \cdot \mathbf{n}} (\mathbf{n} \cdot p_b p_a^\mu - \mathbf{n} \cdot p_a p_b^\mu) ((p_a + p_b) \cdot \mathbf{n})^{n-1} \quad (3.10)$$

where  $\sigma_{ab}^{out}$  has been defined in (1.12). This is quoted in (1.11), and after performing the sum over  $n$  it reproduces (1.13). The results for large negative  $u$  are obtained by dropping the constant term and replacing  $u^n$  by  $|u|^n$ ,  $\ln u$  by  $\ln |u|$  and the outgoing charges and momenta by the incoming charges and momenta  $\{q'_a\}$  and  $\{p'_a\}$ . Following this prescription a resummed early retarded time wave-form is presented in (1.15).

We now use the inverse Fourier transform of the following results derived in [2]:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega u} \omega^{n-1} \left\{ \ln(\omega + i\epsilon) \right\}^n \simeq \begin{cases} -n! i^{n-1} \frac{(\ln |u|)^{n-1}}{u^n} & \text{for } u \rightarrow +\infty \\ 0 & \text{for } u \rightarrow -\infty \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega u} \omega^{n-1} \left\{ \ln(\omega - i\epsilon) \right\}^n \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } u \rightarrow +\infty \\ +n! i^{n-1} \frac{(\ln |u|)^{n-1}}{u^n} & \text{for } u \rightarrow -\infty \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

to write the frequency space wave-form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{A}^\mu(\omega, \vec{x}) \\ \simeq & -\frac{i}{4\pi R} \frac{1}{\omega + i\epsilon} \sum_{a=1,2} \left( \frac{q_a p_a^\mu}{p_a \cdot \mathbf{n}} - \frac{q'_a p_a'^\mu}{p'_a \cdot \mathbf{n}} \right) \\ & - \frac{i}{4\pi R} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \omega^{n-1} \left\{ \ln(\omega + i\epsilon) \right\}^n \sum_{\substack{a,b \\ b \neq a}} (i\sigma_{ab}^{out})^n \frac{q_a}{p_a \cdot \mathbf{n}} (\mathbf{n} \cdot p_b p_a^\mu - \mathbf{n} \cdot p_a p_b^\mu) ((p_a + p_b) \cdot \mathbf{n})^{n-1} \\ & + \frac{i}{4\pi R} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \omega^{n-1} \left\{ \ln(\omega - i\epsilon) \right\}^n \sum_{\substack{a,b \\ b \neq a}} (-i\sigma_{ab}^{in})^n \frac{q'_a}{p'_a \cdot \mathbf{n}} (\mathbf{n} \cdot p'_b p_a'^\mu - \mathbf{n} \cdot p'_a p_b'^\mu) ((p'_a + p'_b) \cdot \mathbf{n})^{n-1} . \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

This is quoted in (1.17), and after performing the sum over  $n$  it reproduces (1.18).

**Wave-form in probe approximation:** Here, we analyze the spatial component of the late-time multi-particle waveform expression (1.5) in the probe limit. After substituting the trajectory coefficients (2.22) and (2.24) in (1.5), we get

$$A^i(u, R \hat{n})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\simeq \frac{1}{4\pi R} \sum_{a=2}^N q_a \left( \frac{\beta_a^i}{1 - \hat{n} \cdot \vec{\beta}_a} - \frac{\beta_a^i}{1 - \hat{n} \cdot \vec{\beta}'_a} \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4\pi R} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\ln u)^{n-1}}{u^n} \sum_{a=2}^N \left( \frac{Q q_a (1 - \beta_a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{4\pi m_a \beta_a^3} \right)^n \times \frac{q_a \beta_a^i}{1 - \hat{n} \cdot \vec{\beta}_a}, \tag{3.14}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\{\vec{\beta}'_a\}$  denote the velocities of ingoing probe particles.  $A^0(u, R\hat{n})$  can be found from this using the relation  $\mathbf{n} \cdot A(u, R\hat{n}) = 0$ . The  $1/u$  coefficient of the above expression agrees with the result of [3]. Here, we note that the above waveform expression is essentially the sum over  $a = 2, 3, \dots, N$  of the late-time waveform for  $2 \rightarrow 2$  scattering, as given in (3.10), once we express it by treating particle  $a$  as the probe and particle  $b$  as the heavy scatterer. This feature resembles the result for the low-frequency gravitational waveform in the probe approximation presented in [18].

## 4 Discussion

Our results (3.13) and (1.19) closely resemble the conjectured result of [17] for low frequency gravitational wave emitted during a  $2 \rightarrow 2$  scattering process. However we have also seen that when the number of incoming or outgoing particles exceeds two, the formula is more complicated due to the more complicated expressions for  $C_{r+1}^{(a)\mu}$ 's as given in (2.18). This suggests that the conjecture of [17] is likely to be true for scatterings when neither the number of incoming particles nor the number of outgoing particles exceeds two, but is likely to be modified for more external particles. It will clearly be of interest to generalize the analysis of this paper to gravitational wave emission during a scattering process, and we hope to return to this problem in an upcoming paper [31].

The simplicity of the resummed leading-log wave-form result in (1.19) suggests that, in the analysis of the all-loop QED S-matrix for  $2 \rightarrow 2$  scattering, one should be able to derive an analogous leading-log resummed soft photon theorem. Following [5, 32], we conjecture that the quantum soft factor for a single soft photon emission, which appears as the ratio of the QED S-matrix with one external soft photon to the S-matrix without the soft photon, can be expressed as:

$$\mathbb{S}_{em} = i(4\pi R) \varepsilon_\mu(k) \tilde{A}_Q^\mu(\omega, R\hat{n}), \quad k \equiv \omega(1, \hat{n}), \tag{4.1}$$

where  $\tilde{A}_Q^\mu$  is related to the frequency-space wave-form  $\tilde{A}^\mu$  given in (1.8) as follows. First, since  $\omega$  represents the external photon energy, we do not use any  $i\epsilon$  prescription for  $\omega$  and  $\ln(\omega \pm i\epsilon)$

is replaced by  $\ln \omega$ . Also, the ‘trajectory coefficients’  $C_r^{(a)\mu}$  need to be evaluated using the Feynman propagator instead of the retarded propagator used here. Due to the use of Feynman propagator  $C_r^{(a)\mu}$  receives contribution from both ingoing and outgoing particles. By extending the one-loop and two-loop analysis of [2, 5, 21] to arbitrary loop orders, it should be possible to explicitly derive the leading-log soft photon theorem and test the above proposal.

One could also explore various generalizations of the results of this paper. For example, the order  $u^{-2}$  gravitational waveform in the leading post-Minkowskian expansion under purely gravitational interaction was derived in [33], and it depends on the momenta, spins, and impact parameters of the scattering process. This result for two particle scattering has been tested in [11, 34]. The analog of this result for the electromagnetic scattering will be a formula for the subleading (in  $\lambda$ ) terms in the wave-form that depends on the impact parameters and the multipole moments of the external particles. Recently, for two-particle scattering with impact parameter  $b$ , an order  $(\omega b)^n \ln(\omega b)$  contribution to the gravitational waveform for arbitrary  $n$  was derived in [35] in the limit  $\omega \rightarrow 0$ ,  $b \rightarrow \infty$  with  $\omega b$  fixed. This is a different limit, and it may be interesting to explore the electromagnetic analog of this.

**Acknowledgement:** We would like to thank Samim Akhtar, Carlo Heissenberg, R. Loganayagam, Ana-Maria Raclariu, Suvrat Raju, Omkar Shetye and Kaustubh Singhi for useful discussions. We also thank Carlo Heissenberg for many useful comments and suggestions on the earlier version of the draft. D.K. and B.K. were supported by the Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India, under project no. RTI4001. B.S. was supported by STFC grant number ST/X000753/1. A.S. was supported by the ICTS-Infosys Madhava Chair Professorship and the Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India, under project no. RTI4001.

## References

- [1] A. P. Saha, B. Sahoo and A. Sen, “Proof of the classical soft graviton theorem in  $D = 4$ ,” JHEP **06** (2020), 153 doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2020)153 [arXiv:1912.06413 [hep-th]].
- [2] B. Sahoo, “Classical Sub-subleading Soft Photon and Soft Graviton Theorems in Four Spacetime Dimensions,” JHEP **12** (2020), 070 doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2020)070 [arXiv:2008.04376 [hep-th]].
- [3] A. Laddha and A. Sen, “Logarithmic Terms in the Soft Expansion in Four Dimensions,” JHEP **10** (2018), 056 doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2018)056 [arXiv:1804.09193 [hep-th]].

- [4] A. Laddha and A. Sen, “Observational Signature of the Logarithmic Terms in the Soft Graviton Theorem,” *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019) no.2, 024009 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.024009 [arXiv:1806.01872 [hep-th]].
- [5] B. Sahoo and A. Sen, “Classical and Quantum Results on Logarithmic Terms in the Soft Theorem in Four Dimensions,” *JHEP* **02** (2019), 086 doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2019)086 [arXiv:1808.03288 [hep-th]].
- [6] S. Atul Bhatkar, “New asymptotic conservation laws forelectromagnetism,” *JHEP* **02**, 082 (2021) doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2021)082 [arXiv:2007.03627 [hep-th]].
- [7] A. Addazi, M. Bianchi and G. Veneziano, “Soft gravitational radiation from ultra-relativistic collisions at sub- and sub-sub-leading order,” *JHEP* **05** (2019), 050 doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2019)050 [arXiv:1901.10986 [hep-th]].
- [8] A. Manu, D. Ghosh, A. Laddha and P. V. Athira, “Soft radiation from scattering amplitudes revisited,” *JHEP* **05** (2021), 056 doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2021)056 [arXiv:2007.02077 [hep-th]].
- [9] A. Hait, S. Mohanty and S. Prakash, “Frequency space derivation of linear and nonlinear memory gravitational wave signals from eccentric binary orbits,” *Phys. Rev. D* **109** (2024) no.8, 084037 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.109.084037 [arXiv:2211.13120 [gr-qc]].
- [10] P. Di Vecchia, C. Heissenberg, R. Russo and G. Veneziano, “The gravitational eikonal: From particle, string and brane collisions to black-hole encounters,” *Phys. Rept.* **1083**, 1-169 (2024) doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2024.06.002 [arXiv:2306.16488 [hep-th]].
- [11] D. Bini, T. Damour and A. Geralico, “Comparing one-loop gravitational bremsstrahlung amplitudes to the multipolar-post-Minkowskian waveform,” *Phys. Rev. D* **108** (2023) no.12, 124052 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.108.124052 [arXiv:2309.14925 [gr-qc]].
- [12] A. Georgoudis, C. Heissenberg and R. Russo, “An eikonal-inspired approach to the gravitational scattering waveform,” *JHEP* **03** (2024), 089 doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2024)089 [arXiv:2312.07452 [hep-th]].
- [13] A. Georgoudis, C. Heissenberg and R. Russo, “Post-Newtonian multipoles from the next-to-leading post-Minkowskian gravitational waveform,” *Phys. Rev. D* **109** (2024) no.10, 106020 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.109.106020 [arXiv:2402.06361 [hep-th]].

- [14] F. Alessio and P. Di Vecchia, “2PM waveform from loop corrected soft theorems,” [arXiv:2402.06533 [hep-th]].
- [15] D. Bini, T. Damour, S. De Angelis, A. Geralico, A. Herderschee, R. Roiban and F. Teng, “Gravitational waveforms: A tale of two formalisms,” Phys. Rev. D **109** (2024) no.12, 125008 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.109.125008 [arXiv:2402.06604 [hep-th]].
- [16] D. Bini, T. Damour and A. Geralico, “Gravitational Bremsstrahlung Waveform at the fourth Post-Minkowskian order and the second Post-Newtonian level,” [arXiv:2407.02076 [gr-qc]].
- [17] F. Alessio, P. Di Vecchia and C. Heissenberg, “Logarithmic soft theorems and soft spectra,” JHEP **11**, 124 (2024) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2024)124 [arXiv:2407.04128 [hep-th]].
- [18] F. Fucito, J. F. Morales and R. Russo, “Gravitational wave forms for extreme mass ratio collisions from supersymmetric gauge theories,” [arXiv:2408.07329 [hep-th]].
- [19] Y. Hamada and G. Shiu, “Infinite Set of Soft Theorems in Gauge-Gravity Theories as Ward-Takahashi Identities,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **120** (2018) no.20, 201601 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.201601 [arXiv:1801.05528 [hep-th]].
- [20] Z. Z. Li, H. H. Lin and S. Q. Zhang, “Infinite Soft Theorems from Gauge Symmetry,” Phys. Rev. D **98** (2018) no.4, 045004 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.98.045004 [arXiv:1802.03148 [hep-th]].
- [21] H. Krishna and B. Sahoo, “Universality of loop corrected soft theorems in 4d,” JHEP **11** (2023), 233 doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2023)233 [arXiv:2308.16807 [hep-th]].
- [22] S. Choi, A. Laddha and A. Puhm, “The Classical Super-Phaserotation Infrared Triangle,” [arXiv:2412.16149 [hep-th]].
- [23] S. Choi, A. Laddha and A. Puhm, “The Classical Super-Rotation Infrared Triangle,” [arXiv:2412.16142 [hep-th]].
- [24] M. Campiglia and A. Laddha, “Loop Corrected Soft Photon Theorem as a Ward Identity,” JHEP **10** (2019), 287 doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2019)287 [arXiv:1903.09133 [hep-th]].

- [25] S. Atul Bhatkar, “Ward identity for loop level soft photon theorem for massless QED coupled to gravity,” JHEP **10** (2020), 110 doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2020)110 [arXiv:1912.10229 [hep-th]].
- [26] L. Donnay, K. Nguyen and R. Ruzziconi, “Loop-corrected subleading soft theorem and the celestial stress tensor,” JHEP **09** (2022), 063 doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2022)063 [arXiv:2205.11477 [hep-th]].
- [27] S. Agrawal, L. Donnay, K. Nguyen and R. Ruzziconi, “Logarithmic soft graviton theorems from superrotation Ward identities,” JHEP **02** (2024), 120 doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2024)120 [arXiv:2309.11220 [hep-th]].
- [28] S. Choi, A. Laddha and A. Puhm, “Asymptotic Symmetries for Logarithmic Soft Theorems in Gauge Theory and Gravity,” [arXiv:2403.13053 [hep-th]].
- [29] M. Geiller, A. Laddha and C. Zwickel, “Symmetries of the gravitational scattering in the absence of peeling,” [arXiv:2407.07978 [gr-qc]].
- [30] A. Sen, “Gravitational Wave Tails from Soft Theorem: A Short Review,” [arXiv:2408.08851 [hep-th]].
- [31] D. Karan, B. Khatun, B. Sahoo and A. Sen, “All Order Classical Gravitational Soft Theorems”, work in progress.
- [32] A. Laddha and A. Sen, “Gravity Waves from Soft Theorem in General Dimensions,” JHEP **09** (2018), 105 doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2018)105 [arXiv:1801.07719 [hep-th]].
- [33] D. Ghosh and B. Sahoo, “Spin-dependent gravitational tail memory in  $D = 4$ ,” Phys. Rev. D **105** (2022) no.2, 025024 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.025024 [arXiv:2106.10741 [hep-th]].
- [34] R. Aoude, K. Haddad, C. Heissenberg and A. Helset, “Leading-order gravitational radiation to all spin orders,” Phys. Rev. D **109**, no.3, 036007 (2024) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.109.036007 [arXiv:2310.05832 [hep-th]].
- [35] S. Akhtar, “On the classical limit of the (sub)<sup>n</sup>-leading soft graviton theorems in  $D = 4$  without deflection,” [arXiv:2409.12898 [hep-th]].