

Bond Disordered Antiferromagnetic Quantum Spin Chains with Long Range Interactions

S. Kettemann^{1,*}

¹*Department of Physics and Earth Sciences and Department of Computer Science,
Constructor University Bremen, Bremen 28759, Germany*

We introduce and implement a reformulation of the strong disorder renormalization group (SDRG) in real space, which is well suited to study bond disordered power law long range coupled, antiferromagnetic quantum spin chains. We derive the distribution function of couplings J with corrections to the previously derived strong disorder fixed point distribution, which depend on power exponent α and coupling anisotropy γ . As a consequence, the low temperature magnetic susceptibility is found to diverge with an anomalous power law. The distribution of singlet lengths is found to decay more slowly than obtained previously, with severe consequences for physical properties. The entanglement entropy of a subsystem of length n is thereby found to increase with a power law, rather than logarithmically, corresponding to a subvolume law for sufficiently large α . We argue that volume law entanglement entropy can appear due to the emergence of rainbow states. A formula for α_c below which such rainbow states emerge is given.

Disordered quantum spin systems with long range interactions govern the properties of a wide range of materials, including doped semiconductors with randomly placed magnetic dopants [1–4], metals with magnetic impurities[5] and glasses whose low temperature properties are dominated by the dynamics of tunnelling systems, coupled by dipolar and elastic interactions[6–8]. However, it remains a challenge to derive thermodynamic and dynamic properties of disordered quantum spin systems with long range interactions. The long range interactions demand the study of large system sizes and the disorder necessitates the study of a large number of ensembles of disorder realizations. This limits the potential of numerical calculations to tackle such problems.

The strong disorder renormalization group (SDRG) method has been developed and successfully applied to study disordered quantum spin chain models, allowing the derivation of their thermodynamic and dynamic properties[9–14]. This has led to the discovery of the infinite randomness fixed point (IRFP) of short range coupled disordered spin chain models[9–14]. At the IRFP the ground state is composed of randomly placed pairs of spins in singlet states, the *random singlet phase*. The SDRG method procedure has been originally applied to short range, bond disordered antiferromagnetic spin $S = 1/2$ - chains[9–12] with an initial distribution of couplings J , $P(J, \Omega_0)$, where Ω_0 is the largest energy scale in the spin chain. One begins with identifying the pair of spins, which are coupled by the largest coupling J . Denoting that pair by (i, j) , that coupling $J_{ij} = \Omega$ defines the renormalization group (RG) scale Ω . For antiferromagnetic coupling that pair of spins is bound into a singlet state. This induces a coupling between its adjacent spins J_{kl} , which is a function of the removed couplings J_{li} and J_{jk} , and defines the RG rule. As a new coupling is generated, the distribution of couplings is modified to $P(J, \Omega)$.

Repeating this procedure until all spins are paired in singlets, one arrives at a product state of singlets with a coupling distribution $P^{RS}(J, \Omega \rightarrow 0)$, which is found to be given by

$$P^{RS}(J, \Omega) = \frac{1}{\Omega \Gamma_\Omega} \left(\frac{\Omega}{J} \right)^{1-1/\Gamma_\Omega}, \quad (1)$$

whose width $\Gamma_\Omega = \ln \Omega_0 / \Omega$ becomes infinite as $\Omega \rightarrow 0$. This IRFP distribution holds for a range of short range random quantum spin chains. It allows one to derive their thermodynamic properties[13] and dynamical properties like entanglement dynamics[15–17].

Recently, the SDRG method was extended to disordered spin $S = 1/2$ - chains with antiferromagnetic long range interaction with power α . To this end, the SDRG rules for the renormalization of the couplings between all pairs of spins have been derived. By implementing and solving these SDRG rules numerically, it could be shown that these systems are attracted to a new fixed point distribution, the strong disorder fixed point (SDFP)[18–21], where the ground state is still composed of a product state of random singlets, with a fixed point distribution still given by Eq. (1) but of finite width[18–21], $W = (\langle \ln^2(\Omega/J) \rangle - (\langle \ln(\Omega/J) \rangle)^2)^{1/2} = \Gamma(\Omega) \rightarrow 2\alpha$. This was confirmed by numerical exact diagonalization (ED) and extensions of the DMRG method[20]. The SDRG method was also applied to derive properties of excited states [21] and to study entanglement dynamics after global quantum quenches [22]. A similar fixed point distribution was found for long range coupled quantum transverse Ising chains [23, 24]. It remains to apply this method to derive properties of long range bond disordered antiferromagnetic quantum Heisenberg spin chains. To this end, we introduce a novel representation of the SDRG method in real space, which allows to derive the distribution function of couplings for any anisotropy.

Model.— We study disordered long range AFM coupled interacting spin chains with bond disorder, as de-

* s.kettemann@jacobs-university.de




FIG. 1. Strong disorder RG step for bond disordered long range coupled spin chains: Decimation of strongest coupled spin pair (i, j) , highlighted by the shaded area, whose coupling defines the RG scale Ω . It is followed by renormalization of the positions of spins, $\mathbf{r}_l \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_l$ and a reduction of the RG scale to $\Omega - d\Omega$.

finied by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{i < j} [J_{xij} (S_i^x S_j^x + S_i^y S_j^y) + J_{zij} S_i^z S_j^z], \quad (2)$$

of N interacting $S = 1/2$ - spins, randomly placed at positions \mathbf{r}_i on a line of length L with density $n_0 = N/L$. The couplings between all pairs of sites i, j are taken to be antiferromagnetic and long-ranged, decaying with a power law with power α ,

$$J_{ij}^\kappa = J_0^\kappa |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|^{-\alpha}, \quad (3)$$

with $\kappa \in \{x, z\}$. The anisotropy in the couplings is parametrized by $\gamma = J^z/J^x$. We consider open boundary conditions.

Applying the SDRG procedure to the anisotropic spin chain Eq. (2), we identify the strongest coupling $J_{ij} = \Omega$, highlighted in the example of randomly placed spins in Fig. 1. Thereby, the spin pair (i, j) is forced into a singlet state, and the couplings between all remaining pairs of spins (l, m) is renormalized to[18]

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}_{lm}^x &= J_{lm}^x - \frac{(J_{li}^x - J_{lj}^x)(J_{im}^x - J_{jm}^x)}{J_{ij}^x + J_{ij}^z}, \\ \tilde{J}_{lm}^z &= J_{lm}^z - \frac{(J_{li}^z - J_{lj}^z)(J_{im}^z - J_{jm}^z)}{2J_{ij}^x}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Thus, the renormalized coupling between any remaining pairs of spins (l, m) depends on the initial coupling between spins (l, m) , the couplings between the removed spins (i, j) , and the four couplings between spins (l, m) , and the removed spins (i, j) .

Since all these couplings depend on their distances, it is convenient to represent the renormalized couplings \tilde{J} in terms of renormalized distances \tilde{r} , as $\tilde{J}_{lm}^x = J_0^x/\tilde{r}_{lm}^\alpha$ and $\tilde{J}_{lm}^z = \tilde{J}_0^z/\tilde{r}_{lm}^\alpha$, where the anisotropy is accounted for by a renormalization of the z-component \tilde{J}_0^z . Thereby, the RG rules can be recast in terms of a renormalization of distances $r_{lm} = r \rightarrow \tilde{r}$, as shown schematically in Fig. 1, yielding at the RG length scale $\rho = (\Omega/J_0)^{-1/\alpha}$,

$$\tilde{r}_{lm} = r_{lm} \times \left(1 + \frac{1}{1 + \gamma} \left(\frac{r\rho}{r_{lj}r_{jm}}\right)^\alpha \left(\left(\frac{r_{lj}}{r_{li}}\right)^\alpha - 1\right) \left(1 - \left(\frac{r_{jm}}{r_{im}}\right)^\alpha\right)\right)^{-1/\alpha} \quad (5)$$




FIG. 2. Renormalized anisotropy parameter $\tilde{\gamma}$ as function of anisotropy γ .

For a given distance ρ between the removed spins there are constraints on the other five distances in the RG rule Eq. (5), leaving only two independently variable distances, $r = r_{lm}$, and $R = r_{lj}$. It turns out that one needs to distinguish two qualitatively different cases:

a) when the sites l, m are on *different sides* of the pair i, j , as shown in the example in Fig. 1. Then, the condition $r > R > \rho$ holds, which yields for the renormalized distance \tilde{r} the inequality

$$\tilde{r} > r \exp\left(-\frac{\rho}{\alpha(1 + \gamma)r}\right). \quad (6)$$

b) when the sites l, m are located on the same side of the pair i, j . Then, $R \gg r > \rho$ holds and one arrives at the inequality for the renormalized distance $\tilde{r} > r(1 + (\rho/R)^{2\alpha+2})^{-1/\alpha} \approx r$.

Thus, this results in a renormalization of all positions of spins \mathbf{r}_l , as sketched in Fig. 1, where the removal of the pair (i, j) leads to a shortening of all distances of sites l, m on different sides of (i, j) by $\tilde{r} \approx r - \rho/\alpha/(1 + \gamma)$, leaving all other distances hardly changed.

The anisotropy parameter is found to be renormalized to $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma^2(1 + \gamma)/2$, which is the same renormalization rule as for the anisotropic short ranged model[13]. $\gamma = 1$ is a fixed point, but for any $0 < \gamma < 1$ the anisotropy flows to the XX-spin fixed point, as shown in Fig. 2.

Enforcing the renormalization $\tilde{r} = r - \rho/\alpha/(1 + \gamma)$, yields the Master equation for the distribution function of distances \tilde{r} at RG scale Ω , $P(\tilde{r}, \Omega)$.

$$\frac{d}{d\Omega} P(\tilde{r}, \Omega) = -P(\Omega, \Omega) \int dr' P(r', \Omega) \delta(\tilde{r} - r + \rho/\alpha/(1 + \gamma)). \quad (7)$$

Noting that, by definition, ρ is constrained to $\rho < r/3$ we expand $P(\tilde{r} + \rho/\alpha/(1 + \gamma))$ in $\rho/\alpha/(1 + \gamma)$, for sufficiently large α . To first order in $\rho/\alpha/(1 + \gamma)$ we thereby get the solution $P(r, \Omega)$. Transforming it back to $P(J, \Omega)$, we find that the fixed point distribution function is given by

$$P(J, \Omega) = \frac{1}{\Omega^\Gamma} \left(\frac{\Omega}{J}\right)^{1-1/\Gamma} \times c(\alpha, \gamma) \exp\left(-\frac{3}{2\Gamma(1 + \gamma)} \left(\left(\frac{J}{\Omega}\right)^{1/\alpha} - 1\right)\right), \quad (8)$$

with $\Gamma = 2\alpha$. The function $c(\alpha, \gamma)$ is fixed by normaliza-




FIG. 3. Typical random singlet state. The blue lines connect pairs of spins which formed a singlet state.

tion and found to be given by

$$c(\alpha, \gamma) = \sqrt{\frac{d(\alpha, \gamma)}{\pi} \frac{\exp(-d(\alpha, \gamma)/4)}{\text{Erf}(\sqrt{d(\alpha, \gamma)}/2)}}, \quad (9)$$

with $d(\alpha, \gamma) = 3/(\alpha(1+\gamma))$. Since $c(\alpha, \gamma) \rightarrow 1$ for $\alpha \gg 1$ we recover there the previously derived SDRG fixed point solution Eq. (1) with finite $\Gamma = 2\alpha$. We note that the standard deviation of $\ln \Omega/J$ is $\sigma_{\ln \Omega/J} = k(\alpha, \gamma)\Gamma$ where $k(\alpha)$ depends only very weakly on α ($k(\alpha = 1, \gamma = 0) = 1.09$ and $k(\alpha \gg 1, \gamma) = 1$). Having established that the ground state is a random singlet state, like the example sketched in Fig. 3, and having derived the fixed point distribution function of couplings Eq.(8), allows us now to derive thermodynamic and dynamic properties of bond disordered spin chains, Eq. (2).

The magnetic susceptibility is given by $\chi(T) = n_{\text{FM}}(T)/T$, where the density of free moments at temperature T , $n_{\text{FM}}(T)$ is the number of spins which are not bound to singlets at the RG scale $\Omega = T$, as governed by the differential equation

$$\frac{dn_{\text{FM}}(\Omega)}{d\Omega} = 2P(J = \Omega, \Omega)n_{\text{FM}}(\Omega). \quad (10)$$

Insertion of Eq. (8) into Eq. (10), and performing the integration from Ω to Ω_0 , yields $n_{\text{FM}}(\Omega) = n_0(\Omega/\Omega_0)^{c(\alpha, \gamma)/\alpha}$ and thereby the magnetic susceptibility at temperature T ,

$$\chi(T) = n_0 \left(\frac{T}{\Omega_0}\right)^{\frac{c(\alpha, \gamma)}{\alpha}} \frac{1}{T}. \quad (11)$$

Thus, the low temperature magnetic susceptibility diverges with the anomalous power $\alpha_m = 1 - c(\alpha, \gamma)/\alpha > 0$, for $\alpha > \alpha^* = c(\alpha, \gamma)$, where $c(\alpha, \gamma)$ is given by Eq. (9), while it vanishes at $T = 0K$ for $\alpha < \alpha^*$, indicating the presence of a pseudogap in the density of states for $\alpha < \alpha^*$.

Distribution of Singlet Lengths.— The distribution of the distance l between spins which are bound into singlets in the random singlet state, as in the example sketched in Fig. 3, $P_s(l)$, is needed to derive physical properties such as the entanglement entropy. It is determined by the equation

$$P_s(l) = k \frac{n_{\text{FM}}(\Omega)}{n_0} P(\Omega, \Omega) |_{\Omega=\Omega_0 l^{-\alpha}} \left| \frac{d}{dl} \Omega_0 l^{-\alpha} \right|, \quad (12)$$

where k is a normalization constant. Inserting Eqs. (8,10) we find

$$P_s(l) = c(\alpha, \gamma) n_0 (ln_0)^{-1-c(\alpha, \gamma)}. \quad (13)$$

For $\alpha \gg 1$, we find $c(\alpha, \gamma) \rightarrow 1$, and thereby recover $P_s(l) \sim l^{-2}$ as obtained previously[20]. For smaller α , however, we have $c(\alpha, \gamma) < 1$ and thereby $P_s(l)$ decays more slowly, with severe consequences for physical properties as outlined below.

Entanglement Entropy.— The entanglement entropy of a subsystem of length n with the rest of the chain is for a specific random singlet state, for example the one shown in Fig. 3, given by $S_n = M \ln 2$, where M is the number of singlets crossing the partition of the subsystem. The average entanglement entropy is thereby given by $\langle S_n \rangle = \langle M \rangle \ln 2$. The average number of singlets crossing the partition of the subsystem has previously been derived from the distribution of singlet lengths $P_s(l)$, with the leading term given by[20, 25, 26] $S_n \sim \frac{1}{2} \ln 2 \int_{l_0}^n dl l P_s(l)$. which yields with $P_s(l) \sim l^{-2}$ the logarithmic growth of entanglement entropy with subsystem length n , $S_n \sim 1/6 \ln 2 \ln n$. This has the same functional form as the entanglement entropy of a critical quantum spin chain with central charge c [27]. Thus, it is suggestive to define an effective average central charge $\bar{c} = \ln 2$ of the disordered spin chain[25]. As we found the modified distribution function Eq. (8) and $P_s(l)$ Eq. (12), decaying with l rather with a power $1 + c(\alpha, \gamma) < 2$ we find that the entanglement entropy of the bond disordered long range AFM coupled quantum spin chain is to leading order given by

$$S_n \sim \ln 2 \frac{c(\alpha, \gamma)}{\beta(\alpha, \gamma)} (n/l_0)^{\beta(\alpha, \gamma)}, \quad (14)$$

with $\beta(\alpha, \gamma) = 1 - c(\alpha, \gamma)$, where $c(\alpha, \gamma)$ is given by Eq. (9). Thus, the entanglement entropy increases with the partition length n according to an anomalous power law, with power exponent $0 < \beta(\alpha, \gamma) \leq 1$, corresponding to a subvolume law.

We recall that the results presented above are based on the expansion of the Master equation Eq. (7) to first order in $\rho/\alpha/(1+\gamma)$, where, by definition $\rho < 1/3$, so that the distribution function Eq. (8) and the results derived from it are expected to be valid for $\alpha > 1/(3(1+\gamma))$.

In Fig. 4 we plot the exponent $\beta(\alpha, \gamma) = 1 - c(\alpha, \gamma)$ for $\alpha > 1/3$, within the range of validity of Eq. (9). We see that $\beta(\alpha, \gamma)$, grows as α is lowered, but remains subvolume law $\beta(\alpha, \gamma) \leq 0.8$, within this range of validity. Moreover, we see that the entanglement entropy is largest for the XX-spin chain ($\gamma = 0$) and decreases monotonously towards the isotropic Heisenberg limit $\gamma = 1$ for given α .

Entanglement Entropy Growth After a Quantum Quench.— The entanglement dynamics after a global quench, which prepares the system in an unentangled state such as the Néel state $|\psi_0\rangle = |\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\dots\rangle$, can be monitored by the time dependence of the entanglement entropy of a subsystem, taken in the following to be a half side of the system, with the rest of the system $S(t)$. Assuming that the only entanglement generating mechanism is the creation of pairs of spins which form a singlet




FIG. 4. The exponent $\beta(\alpha, \gamma) = 1 - c(\alpha, \gamma)$, of the power law growth of entanglement entropy with subsystem length n , Eq. (14), where the function $c(\alpha, \gamma)$ is given by Eq. (9).




FIG. 5. Typical rainbow state.

or an entangled triplet state across the partition, the entanglement entropy at time t after the global quench can be related to the number of such pairs which are formed at RG-scale $\Omega \sim 1/t$ [15].

Neglecting the history of previously formed pairs, the number of newly formed pairs at RG scale Ω , n_Ω can be estimated by, $dn_\Omega = P(J = \Omega, \Omega)d\Omega$ [25]. Substituting the distribution, Eq. (8), we find then $n_\Omega = c(\alpha, \gamma)/(2\alpha) \ln(\Omega)$. Inserting $\Omega \sim 1/t$ the entanglement entropy is thereby found to increase with time as $S(t) = S_p \frac{c(\alpha, \gamma)}{2\alpha} \ln(t)$, where S_p is the time-averaged entanglement contribution of pairs of spins, which is found to be $S_p = 2 \ln 2 - 1$ when the initial state is the Néel state[15], where only the singlet and the entangled triplet states are populated within the RSRG-t flow and contribute to the entanglement entropy equally. Thus, this EE growth is faster than the one obtained for the nearest neighbor XX spin chain with random bonds after a global quench, $S(t) \sim \ln(\ln(t))$ [15]. The amplitude coincides for large α with the one found in Ref. [22], as $c(\alpha \gg 1, \gamma) \rightarrow 1$. However, as stated, this derivation neglects the history of previously formed pairs. For a more accurate derivation, we also need to take into account that both singlet and excited entangled triplet states are populated during the RSRG-t flow and that the triplet states are renormalized differently than the singlet states, as was found in Ref. [21]. While the real space representation of the SDRG presented here allows also to include excited states and to implement their RG rules, this goes beyond the scope of this article and we have to leave that for a future publication.

Rainbow States and Volume Law Entanglement. It was observed in Refs. [21, 22] that at small $\alpha < 1$, the nature of the Eigenstates changes qualitatively, with the appearance of so called *rainbow states*, in which pair states overarch previously formed pair states as shown in Fig. 5. A perfect rainbow state has a volume law entanglement entropy $S_n \sim n$, since the number M of




FIG. 6. Quantum phase diagram, interaction power α versus anisotropy γ .

entangled states crossing the partition increases linearly with the subsystem length n . Accordingly, the entanglement entropy increases linearly with time, $S_t \sim t$, after a global quench. With the real space representation of the SDRG we can derive the condition for the formation of such rainbow states: When the renormalized distance \tilde{r} is as small as the distance between the removed spin pair ρ the next pair of spins is enforced to overarch the removed pair. Thereby we arrive at the condition $\rho/r = \exp(-1/(\alpha(1+\gamma)))\rho/r$. Since ρ is the shortest distance at RG scale Ω , it requires $\rho/r < 1/3$. Therefore, the power α_c at which $\tilde{r} = \rho$ can first occur, is given by

$$\alpha_c = \frac{1}{3 \ln 3(1+\gamma)}, \quad (15)$$

which is plotted in Fig. 6 as the blue dashed line as function of anisotropy parameter γ . We find that α_c decays with anisotropy parameter γ .

Even though the regime $\alpha < \alpha_c$ is beyond the regime of validity of our derivation, we can infer that, since the ratio ρ/r is distributed in the interval $\rho/r \in [0, 1/3]$, and the onset of rainbow formation requires smaller α the smaller ρ/r , the probability to form a perfect rainbow state increases as α is lowered below α_c . Below some $\bar{\alpha}_c$, indicated by the grey dashed line in Fig. 6, the rainbow formation becomes certain, and the entanglement entropy is therefore expected to increase according to the volume law $S_n \sim n$. The exact value of $\bar{\alpha}_c$, depends on the distribution of ρ/r , which we do not know for $\alpha < 1/3$, since it is beyond the range of validity of our derivations.

Conclusions.— By implementing a real space representation of the strong disorder renormalization group (SDRG) to study disordered long range coupled quantum spin chains, we find a new fixed point distribution Eq. (8) with corrections to the previously derived strong disorder fixed point distribution Eq. (1), which depend on power exponent α and anisotropy γ . As a consequence, the low temperature magnetic susceptibility is found to diverge with an anomalous power $\alpha_m = 1 - c(\alpha, \gamma)/\alpha > 0$, for $\alpha > \alpha^* = c(\alpha, \gamma)$, where $c(\alpha, \gamma)$ is given by Eq. (9). The distribution of singlet lengths is given by $P_s(l) \sim l^{-1+c(\alpha, \gamma)}$,

decaying more slowly than obtained previously, with severe consequences for physical properties. The entanglement entropy of a subsystem of length n is thereby found to increase with a power law, $S_n \sim \ln 2 n^{\beta(\alpha,\gamma)}$, with $0 < \beta(\alpha,\gamma) = 1 - c(\alpha,\gamma) \leq 1$, corresponding to a subvolume law for sufficiently large α . We argue that there is a volume law below a critical value due to the emergence of perfect rainbow states, and we give a formula for α_c below which such rainbow states can emerge. Chains of trapped ions with power-law interactions, de-

caying as $1/r^\alpha$, with tuneable $0 < \alpha < 1.5$ have been realized recently[29–31], allowing to study such systems under controlled conditions. This real space representation of the strong disorder renormalization group introduced here, may also pave a new route to the study of long range coupled disordered spin systems with random sign coupling and to higher dimensional systems.

Acknowledgements.- The author acknowledges stimulating discussions with A. Ustyuzhanin.

-
- [1] P. W. Anderson, Absence of diffusion in certain random lattices, *Phys. Rev.* **109**, 1492 (1958).
- [2] H. v. Löhneysen, Disorder, electron-electron interactions and the metal-insulator transition in heavily doped Si:P, *Adv. in Solid State Phys.* **40**, 143 (2000).
- [3] R.N. Bhatt and S. Kettemann, Special Issue Localisation 2020: Editorial Summary, *Annals of Physics* **435**, 168664 (2021).
- [4] S. Kettemann, Towards a Comprehensive Theory of Metal-Insulator Transitions in Doped Semiconductors, Special Issue in memory of K. B. Efetov, *Annals of Physics* **456**, 169306 (2023).
- [5] S. Kettemann, Lecture Notes of the Autumn School on Correlated Electrons 2024, Correlations and Phase Transitions, Band/Volume 14 edited by Eva Pavarini and Erik Koch, Verlag des Forschungszentrums Jülich (2024).
- [6] D. Salvino, S. Rogge, B. Tigner, D. Osheroff, *Low Temperature ac Dielectric Response of Glasses to High dc Electric Fields*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73**, 286 (1994).
- [7] C. C. Yu and A. J. Leggett, *Low temperature properties of amorphous materials: Through a glass darkly*, *Commun. Condens. Mat. Phys.* **14**, 231 (1988).
- [8] A. Bilmes, et al, A. Megrant, P. Klimov, G. Weiss, J. M. Martinis, A. V. Ustinov, J. Lisenfeld, *Resolving the positions of defects in superconducting quantum bits*, *Scientific reports* **10**, 1-6 (2020).
- [9] R. N. Bhatt and P. A. Lee, *A scaling method for low temperature behavior of random antiferromagnetic systems*, *Journal of Applied Physics* **52**, 1703-1707 (1981).
- [10] R. N. Bhatt and P. A. Lee, *Scaling studies of highly disordered spin-1/2 antiferromagnetic systems*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48**, 344(1982).
- [11] D. S. Fisher, *Random antiferromagnetic quantum spin chains*, *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 3799 (1994).
- [12] D. S. Fisher, *Critical behavior of random transverse-field Ising spin chains*, *Phys. Rev. B* **51**, 6411 (1995).
- [13] F. Igloi and C. Monthus, *Strong disorder RG approach of random systems*, *Phys. Rep.* **412**, 277 (2005).
- [14] F. Igloi and C. Monthus, *Strong disorder RG approach - a short review of recent developments*, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **91**, 290 (2018).
- [15] R. Vosk and E. Altman, *Many-Body Localization in One Dimension as a Dynamical Renormalization Group Fixed Point*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 067204 (2013).
- [16] R. Vosk and E. Altman, *Dynamical quantum phase transitions in random spin chains*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 217204 (2014).
- [17] F. Igloi, Z. Szatmári, and Y-C. Lin, *Entanglement entropy dynamics of disordered quantum spin chains*, *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 094417 (2012).
- [18] N. Moure, S. Haas, S. Kettemann, *Many Body Localization Transition in Random Quantum Spin Chains with Long Range Interactions*, *Europhys. Lett.* **111**, 27003 (2015).
- [19] N. Moure, Hyun-Yong Lee, S. Haas, R. N. Bhatt, S. Kettemann, *Disordered Quantum SCs with Long-Range Antiferromagnetic Interactions*, *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 014206 (2018).
- [20] Y. Mohdeb, J. Vahedi, N. Moure, A. Roshani, H.Y. Lee, R. Bhatt, S. Haas, S. Kettemann, *Entanglement Properties of Disordered Quantum SCs with Long-Range Antiferromagnetic Interactions*, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 214201 (2020).
- [21] Y. Mohdeb, J. Vahedi, S. Kettemann, *Excited-Eigenstate Properties of XX Spin Chains with Random Long-Range Interactions*, *Phys. Rev. B* **106**, 104201 (2022).
- [22] Y. Mohdeb, J. Vahedi, S. Haas, R. N. Bhatt, S. Kettemann, *Global Quench Dynamics and the Growth of Entanglement Entropy in Disordered Spin Chains with Tunable Range Interactions*, *Phys. Rev. B* **108**, L140203 (2023).
- [23] R. Juhász, I. A. Kovács, F. Iglói, *Random transverse-field Ising chain with long-range interactions*, *Europhys. Lett.*, **107**, 47008 (2014).
- [24] I. A. Kovács, R. Juhász, F. Iglói, *Long-range random transverse-field Ising model in three dimensions*, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 184203 (2016).
- [25] G. Refael and J. E. Moore, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 260602 (2004).
- [26] J. A. Hoyos, A. P. Vieira, N. Laflorencie, and E. Miranda, *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 174425 (2007).
- [27] P. Calabrese and J. Cardy, *J. Stat. Mech.: Theory Exp.* P06002 (2004).
- [28] R. Singh, R. Moessner, and D. Roy, *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 094205 (2017).
- [29] R. Islam, C. Senko, W. C. Campbell, S. Korenblit, J. Smith, A. Lee, E. E. Edwards, C.-C. J. Wang, J. K. Freericks, and C. Monroe, *Science* **340**, 583 (2013).
- [30] P. Richerme, Z.-X. Gong, A. Lee, C. Senko, J. Smith, M. Foss-Feig, S. Michalakakis, A. V. Gorshkov, and C. Monroe, *Nature (London)* **511**, 198 (2014).
- [31] P. Jurcevic, B. P. Lanyon, P. Hauke, C. Hempel, P. Zoller, R. Blatt, and C. F. Roos, *Nature (London)* **511**, 202 (2014).