

A holographic understanding of correlation and information

Ashis Saha,^{1,*} Anirban Roy Chowdhury,^{1,†} and Sunandan Gangopadhyay^{1,‡}

¹*Department of Astrophysics and High Energy Physics,
S.N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences,
JD Block, Sector-III, Salt Lake, Kolkata 700106, India*

The status of the two-point connected correlator is holographically probed by using the well-known prescription of holographic mutual information. In particular, we calculate the two-point connected correlator for a specific bulk spacetime geometry which was not done explicitly earlier. We then carefully study the inequality between the mutual information and the two-point connected correlator, namely, $I(A : B) \geq \frac{\langle \mathcal{O}_A \mathcal{O}_B \rangle - \langle \mathcal{O}_A \rangle \langle \mathcal{O}_B \rangle}{2\langle \mathcal{O}_A^2 \rangle \langle \mathcal{O}_B^2 \rangle}$. We observe that by considering the standard set up of two strip-like subsystems which are separated by a distance, one can show that there exists two critical separation distances, namely, $sT|_c$ and $sT|_I$ depicting the vanishing of quantum dependencies and classical dependencies between the subsystems respectively. We also make a proposal in this context.

Mutual information (MI) is a measure in information theory that quantifies the amount of information shared between two random variables. It tells us how much knowing one variable reduces uncertainty about the other. It can be expressed in terms of entropy as

$$I(X : Y) = H(X) + H(Y) - H(X, Y) \quad (1)$$

where $H(X)$, $H(Y)$ are the Shannon (differential) entropies of random variable X , Y and $H(X, Y)$ is the joint Shannon entropy. It can also be expressed in terms of the conditional entropy [1]. It is to be noted that MI is always a non-negative quantity for any random variable. On the other hand, two-point correlation function measures the statistical overlapping between X and Y , that is, it probes the relation between two random variables X and Y over a set of possible outcomes. The classical two-point correlation function is defined as

$$\sigma(X, Y) = \langle XY \rangle - \langle X \rangle \langle Y \rangle \quad (2)$$

where $\langle X \rangle$, $\langle Y \rangle$ are the statistical averages of X and Y over the probability distribution of the system and $\langle XY \rangle$ is the average of their product. The above form often referred to as the connected or true part of the two-point correlation function as it removes the trivial contributions which arises from their statistical averages. Eq.(2) can lead to two possible scenarios. If $\sigma(X, Y) = 0$, one can say that X and Y are completely independent random variables, on the other hand, for $\sigma(X, Y) \neq 0$, X and Y can be said to be statistically dependent. In the framework of information theory, $I(X : Y)$ captures both linear and non-linear relations, however $\sigma(X, Y)$ is only able to capture the linear relations [2, 3]. This observation gets manifested with the help of the following bound

$$I(X : Y) \geq \frac{\sigma(X, Y)^2}{2\|X\|^2 \|Y\|^2} \quad (3)$$

where, $\|\mathcal{O}\| = \sqrt{\langle \mathcal{O}^2 \rangle}$ denotes the operator norm. The above mentioned inequality can be derived explicitly which we shall now discuss.

In order to do this, first we will consider the classical scenario. Once again, let us consider a classical random variable X , with the probability distribution function (PDF) $f(x)$. In this set up, the Shannon (differential) entropy of the classical random variable X is defined as

$$H(X) = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \ln(f(x)) dx . \quad (4)$$

In this case we will consider the PDF to be a Gaussian distribution, that is

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x - \mu_X)^2}{2\text{Var}_X^2}\right) \quad (5)$$

where μ_X is the mean and Var_X is the variance of the random variable X with respect to the Gaussian distribution. With this Gaussian distribution we can compute the differential entropy of the random variable X by using the eq.(4). The Shannon entropy of the random variable X with the Gaussian distribution (given in eq.(5)) is obtained to be

$$H(X) = \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi e \text{Var}_X^2) . \quad (6)$$

Similarly, for another random variable Y , with the Gaussian distribution $f(y)$, the differential entropy is given by

$$H(Y) = \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi e \text{Var}_Y^2) . \quad (7)$$

Now, as we have mentioned earlier, the mutual information between two random variables X and Y is given by eq.(1). The Shannon entropy corresponding to the joint distribution is given by

$$H(XY) = \frac{1}{2} \ln((2\pi e)^2 \det(\Sigma)) \quad (8)$$

where Σ is the covariance matrix, given by

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Var}_X^2 & \sigma(X, Y) \\ \sigma(X, Y) & \text{Var}_Y^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (9)$$

* ashis.saha@bose.res.in

† iamanirban@bose.res.in

‡ sunandan.gangopadhyay@bose.res.in

where $\sigma(X, Y)$ is the connected part of the correlation which has the form given in eq.(2). Thus, the determinant of the covariance matrix is given by

$$\det(\Sigma) = \text{Var}_X^2 \text{Var}_Y^2 - \sigma(X, Y)^2. \quad (10)$$

Now, By substituting the above result in the definition of MI we obtain the following

$$\begin{aligned} I(X : Y) &= \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{\text{Var}_X^2 \text{Var}_Y^2}{\text{Var}_X^2 \text{Var}_Y^2 - \sigma(X, Y)^2} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\sigma(X, Y)^2}{\|X\|^2 \|Y\|^2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

In the last line we have used the following inequality

$$\log(1 + \lambda) \geq \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda}. \quad (12)$$

This completes the proof of the classical version of the inequality given in eq.(3).

The quantum mechanical version of the above inequality has the following form [4]

$$I(A : B) \geq \frac{(\langle \mathcal{O}_A \mathcal{O}_B \rangle - \langle \mathcal{O}_A \rangle \langle \mathcal{O}_B \rangle)^2}{2 \|\mathcal{O}_A\|^2 \|\mathcal{O}_B\|^2}. \quad (13)$$

Let us consider a pure bipartite system $A \cup B$, with Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$. So the system can be described by the density matrix ρ_{AB} given by

$$\rho_{AB} = |\psi_{AB}\rangle \otimes \langle \psi_{AB}| ; |\psi_{AB}\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B. \quad (14)$$

Therefore, one can define the entanglement entropy of the subsystem A as

$$S_{vN}(A) = -\text{tr}(\rho_A \log \rho_A) ; \rho_A = \text{tr}_B(\rho_{AB}) \quad (15)$$

where ρ_A is the reduced density matrix of the subsystem A , which can be obtained by taking the trace of the total density matrix with respect to the subsystem B . The quantum mutual information between two subsystems

$$I(A : B) = S_{vN}(A) + S_{vN}(B) - S_{vN}(AB) \quad (16)$$

where $S_{vN}(B) = -\text{tr}(\rho_B \log \rho_B)$ and $S_{vN}(AB) = -\text{tr}(\rho_{AB} \log \rho_{AB})$ is the von-Neumann entropy of the subsystem B and the composite system $AB \equiv A \cup B$. The connected correlation between two observables $\mathcal{O}_A \in \mathcal{H}_A$ and $\mathcal{O}_B \in \mathcal{H}_B$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathcal{O}_B) &= \langle \mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{O}_B \rangle - \langle \mathcal{O}_A \rangle \langle \mathcal{O}_B \rangle \\ &= \text{tr}(\rho_{AB} \mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{O}_B) - \text{tr}(\rho_A \mathcal{O}_A) \text{tr}(\rho_B \mathcal{O}_B). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The quantum mutual information and connected correlation function can be related by expressing the mutual information in terms of the relative entropy $S(\rho_{AB} | \rho_A \otimes \rho_B) = I(A : B)$. We now make use of the following two inequalities [5]

$$\begin{aligned} S(\rho | \sigma) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|\rho - \sigma\|_1^2 \\ \|X\|_1 &\geq \frac{\text{Tr}[XY]}{\|Y\|} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where $\|X\|_1$ is the trace norm of the operator X which has the definition $\text{Tr}(\sqrt{X^\dagger X})$, $\|Y\|$ is the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of some operator Y with the definition $\sqrt{\text{Tr}(Y^\dagger Y)}$ and $\text{Tr}(XY)$ is the Frobenius inner product between X and Y . By using the above inequalities, it is easy to show that the following form of the inequality emerges

$$I(A : B) \geq \frac{(\langle \mathcal{O}_A \mathcal{O}_B \rangle - \langle \mathcal{O}_A \rangle \langle \mathcal{O}_B \rangle)^2}{2 \|\mathcal{O}_A\|^2 \|\mathcal{O}_B\|^2}. \quad (19)$$

We would like to mention that the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of the operators $\mathcal{O}_A, \mathcal{O}_B$ can be obtained in the following way.

Let us define the inner product on the joint Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_{AB} as

$$(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathcal{O}_B) \equiv \text{Tr}(\rho_{AB} \mathcal{O}_A^\dagger \mathcal{O}_B). \quad (20)$$

Now we can define the norm of an operator as

$$\|\mathcal{O}_A\| \equiv \sqrt{(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathcal{O}_A)} = \sqrt{\text{Tr}(\rho_A \mathcal{O}_A^\dagger \mathcal{O}_A)} = \sqrt{\langle \mathcal{O}_A^2 \rangle} \quad (21)$$

where it has been assumed that the operator \mathcal{O}_A is a self-adjoint operator. Keeping the above discussion in mind, we can also rewrite the inequality (given in eq.(19)) as

$$I(A : B) \geq \frac{(\langle \mathcal{O}_A \mathcal{O}_B \rangle - \langle \mathcal{O}_A \rangle \langle \mathcal{O}_B \rangle)^2}{2 \langle \mathcal{O}_A^2 \rangle \langle \mathcal{O}_B^2 \rangle} \quad (22)$$

where the quantity on the right hand side can be interpreted as the normalized connected two-point correlator. The expressions given in eq.(s)(3),(22) suggests that the mentioned inequality along with the associated quantities, that is, mutual information and correlation are well-defined in both classical and quantum realm. Now things get very interesting once we consider the quantum many body scenario where the famous area law of von Neumann entropy appears [6, 7]. This in turn means that MI, which is expressed as a combination of the von Neumann entropies, also scales as area [4]. In recent times, the gauge/gravity framework [8–11] has led us to a very effective approach to study information theoretic quantities for large- N field theories (QFTs). In [12], the von Neumann entropy corresponding to a subsystem of a 2d conformally invariant QFT was computed. The key idea behind this so-called holographic computation lies in the fact that the von Neumann entropy of a region “ A ” is to be computed by evaluating the area of a minimal surface γ_A where $\partial\gamma_A = \partial A$. On the other hand, in [13–15], it was proposed that for a CFT, the two-point correlator $\langle \mathcal{O}_A(t, x) \mathcal{O}_B(t, y) \rangle$ can be obtained from the following path integral in the bulk

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(t, x) \mathcal{O}(t, y) \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}\mathcal{P} e^{-\Delta L(\mathcal{P})} \quad (23)$$

where $L(\mathcal{P})$ is the path in the bulk geometry which connects the boundary operators and Δ is the conformal

dimension of the operators. In the context of AdS/CFT duality, Δ can be expressed in the following way [9, 10]

$$\Delta = \frac{d}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{d^2}{4} + m^2 R^2} \quad (24)$$

where m denotes the mass of the field in AdS_{d+1} and d is the spatial dimension. For large mass $\Delta \sim mR$, one can make the saddle-point approximation of the formula (given in eq.(23)) and simplify it to the following form

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(t, x) \mathcal{O}(t, y) \rangle \sim e^{-\Delta L(x, y)} \quad (25)$$

where $L(x, y)$ denotes the renormalized length of the geodesic in the bulk. Some recent works in this direction can be found in [16–18]. Furthermore, recently in [19], it has also been proposed that the thermal one-point function of the CFT at the boundary can also be computed from geodesic approximation. This reads

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle \sim e^{-\Delta(l_h + i\tau_s)} \quad (26)$$

In the above expression, l_h denotes the regularized proper length from boundary to the horizon and τ_s represents the proper time taken to reach the singularity from the horizon. Some recent interesting studies in this direction can be found in [20, 21]. In this work, we intend to compute the bound given in eq.(3) in the gauge/gravity set up, making use of holographic techniques to compute the required quantities. Furthermore, we are also interested to see what new observations can be made by investigating this bound on information (or in correlation) as it has been never been computed explicitly in the holographic set up.

In order to do this, we first consider the Lifshitz black brane geometry in $d+1$ -spacetime dimensions as the bulk theory which is characterized by the following metric [22]

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{z^2} \left[-\frac{f(z)}{z^{2(\xi-1)}} dt^2 + \frac{dz^2}{f(z)} + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} dx_i^2 \right] \quad (27)$$

where $f(z) = 1 - \left(\frac{z}{z_+}\right)^{d-1+\xi}$, z_+ is the length scale corresponding to the horizon. The Hawking temperature for this black brane reads

$$T = \left(\frac{d-1+\xi}{4\pi} \right) \frac{1}{z_+^\xi} \quad (28)$$

It is a well-known fact that the above geometry corresponds to a finite temperature field theory (in the large- N limit) associated to the following scaling symmetry

$$t \rightarrow \lambda^\xi t, \quad x_i \rightarrow \lambda x_i, \quad z \rightarrow \lambda^{-1} z \quad (29)$$

where ξ is the dynamical scaling exponent [23–26]. Furthermore, the Lorentz symmetry in the dual field theory is also absent (except for $\xi = 1$), depicting the non-relativistic nature of the theory. This type of theories (theories with Lifshitz scaling symmetry) plays a crucial role in quantum many body physics as it provides a

framework to study non-relativistic scale invariance near critical points [27, 28].

We now proceed to compute the equal-time two-point correlation function (separated spatially) for some gauge-invariant scalar operators $\mathcal{O}(t, x)$ and $\mathcal{O}(t, y)$. We do this by incorporating the method shown mathematically in eq.(25). Some previous studies in this direction can be found in [29, 30]. The main component to be computed is the renormalized length of the geodesic $L(x, y)$ which in this case is obtained from eq.(25) to be

$$L(z_t) = 2 \log(2z_t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma[n + \frac{1}{2}]}{\Gamma[n + 1]} \frac{\Gamma[\frac{n(d+\xi-1)}{2}]}{\Gamma[\frac{n(d+\xi-1)}{2} + \frac{1}{2}]} \times \left(\frac{z_t}{z_+} \right)^{n(d+\xi-1)} \quad (30)$$

where z_t is the turning point inside the bulk. The turning point z_t can be related to the spatial separation of the operators $|x - y|$ in the following way

$$|x - y| = 2z_t + z_t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma[n + \frac{1}{2}]}{\Gamma[n + 1]} \frac{\Gamma[\frac{n(d+\xi-1)}{2} + 1]}{\Gamma[\frac{n(d+\xi-1)}{2} + \frac{3}{2}]} \times \left(\frac{z_t}{z_+} \right)^{n(d+\xi-1)} \quad (31)$$

In the low temperature limit ($|x - y|T^{1/\xi} \ll 1$), we only consider terms upto $\sim \left(\frac{z_t}{z_+}\right)$. Under this approximation, the relation given in eq.(31) can be inverted to obtain the turning point in terms of the spatial separation $|x - y|$ which can further be used in eq.(30) to obtain the renormalized geodesic length $L(x, y)$. Finally, the desired result is obtained to be

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(t, x) \mathcal{O}(t, y) \rangle = \frac{1}{|x - y|^{2\Delta}} \times [1 - \Delta a_d \{|x - y|T^{1/\xi}\}^{d+\xi-1}] \quad (32)$$

On the other hand, in the high temperature limit ($|x - y|T^{1/\xi} \gg 1$), incorporating the method shown in [31], we obtain the following result

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(t, x) \mathcal{O}(t, y) \rangle = b_d T^{\frac{2\Delta}{\xi}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|}{\chi_c}} \quad (33)$$

where $\chi_c = \left[\frac{d+\xi-1}{4\pi}\right]^{1/\xi} \frac{1}{\Delta T^{1/\xi}}$ denotes the thermal correlation length. The expressions corresponding to the constants a_d and b_d are given in the Appendix.

We now move on to follow the geodesic approximation approach shown in [19] to compute the thermal one-point function (given in eq.(26)). The regularized proper length l_h reads

$$l_h = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^{z_+} \frac{dz}{z \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{z}{z_+}\right)^{d+\xi-1}}} = \log z_+ + \left(\frac{1}{d-1+\xi} \right) \log 4 \quad (34)$$

On the other hand, proper time to singularity reads

$$\tau_s = \int_{z_+}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{z \sqrt{\left(\frac{z}{z_+}\right)^{d-1+\xi} - 1}} = \frac{\pi}{d-1+\xi}. \quad (35)$$

Now by substituting l_h and τ_s in eq.(26), we obtain the following result

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = 2^{2\Delta\left(\frac{1}{\xi} - \frac{1}{d+\xi-1}\right)} \left[\frac{\pi T}{d+\xi-1} \right]^{\frac{\Delta}{\xi}} \times e^{-i\frac{\pi\Delta}{d-1+\xi}}. \quad (36)$$

In [19, 21], it was shown that the bilinear, composite operator $\langle \mathcal{O}^2 \rangle$ can be written down (under the geodesic approximation associated to a heavy gauge invariant operator) as

$$\langle \mathcal{O}^2 \rangle \sim e^{-2\Delta(l_h + i\tau_s)}. \quad (37)$$

The above form suggests that one can write down the following

$$\langle \mathcal{O}^2 \rangle = 2^{4\Delta\left(\frac{1}{\xi} - \frac{1}{d+\xi-1}\right)} \left[\frac{\pi T}{d+\xi-1} \right]^{\frac{2\Delta}{\xi}} \quad (38)$$

where we have ignored the overall phase factor. We now have all quantities required to compute the right hand side of the bound given in eq.(3), that is, we can now

compute the following quantity

$$C = \frac{[\langle \mathcal{O}(t, x)\mathcal{O}(t, y) \rangle - \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle^2]^2}{2\langle \mathcal{O}^2 \rangle \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle^2} \quad (39)$$

where we have introduced the letter ‘ C ’ to denote the normalized connected correlator. This we do for the sake of simplicity. Our next task is to compute the mutual information by incorporating the techniques of holography. Firstly, we consider two subsystems namely, A and B where both of these subsystems have length l and widths on the other directions are fixed as L [32]. This is a standard set up for holographic computation of information theoretic quantities. Furthermore, we assume that A and B are spatially separated by a distance s . Keeping in mind this set up, we proceed to compute the following familiar form of MI

$$\begin{aligned} I(A : B) &= S_{vN}(A) + S_{vN}(B) - S_{vN}(A \cup B) \\ &= 2S_{vN}(l) - S_{vN}(2l + s) - S_{vN}(s). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

In the above expression, $S_{vN}(\cdot)$ denotes the von Neumann entropy which is to be computed by using the Ryu-Takayanagi formula [12, 33]. Similar to the computation of two-point correlator, we holographically compute the expression of MI in both low and high temperature limits. Some previous studies related to the holographic computation of MI for Lifshitz type of geometries can be found in [34, 35].

In the low temperature limit ($sT^{1/\xi} \ll lT^{1/\xi} \ll 1$), we obtain the following result

$$I(A : B) = I(A : B)|_{T=0} + \frac{c_2}{4} \left(lT^{1/\xi} \right)^{(d-2)} \left[2 - \alpha^{1+\xi} - (2 + \alpha)^{1+\xi} \right] \left(lT^{1/\xi} \right)^{1+\xi}; \quad \alpha \equiv \frac{s}{l} \quad (41)$$

where $I(A : B)|_{T=0}$ is the temperature independent piece which has the following expression

$$I(A : B)|_{T=0} = \left(\frac{c_1}{4} \right) \left(\frac{lT^{1/\xi}}{lT^{1/\xi}} \right)^{d-2} \times \left[2 - \frac{1}{\alpha^{d-2}} - \frac{1}{(2 + \alpha)^{d-2}} \right]. \quad (42)$$

We have provided the detailed expressions corresponding to the constants c_1 and c_2 in the Appendix. On the other hand, in the high temperature limit ($sT^{1/\xi} \ll 1 \ll lT^{1/\xi}$), the result for MI is obtained to be

$$I(A : B) = \frac{(lT^{1/\xi})^{d-2}}{4} \left[c_3 - \frac{c_1}{(sT^{1/\xi})^{d-2}} - \left(\frac{4\pi}{d-1+\xi} \right)^{\frac{d-1}{\xi}} (sT^{1/\xi}) - c_2 (sT^{1/\xi})^{1+\xi} \right]. \quad (43)$$

The expression associated to the constant c_3 is given in the Appendix. We now have all the necessary expressions required for our next analysis where would be a graphical approach. We first choose a particular scenario (low temperature or high temperature) and plot the two independent quantities C and see what happens. First, we choose the low temperature scenario where the necessary

expression for the two-point correlation function is given in eq.(32) and MI is given in eq.(41), whereas the expressions for $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle$ and $\langle \mathcal{O}^2 \rangle$ (given in eq.(s)(36),(38)) are valid for both low and high temperature scenarios. As we are interested in comparison between the two mentioned quantities we set $|x - y| = 2l(1 + \alpha)$ in eq.(32). This leads to the following form of the two-point correlator

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(t, 0)\mathcal{O}(t, 2l + s) \rangle = \frac{1}{l^{2\Delta} [2 + \alpha]^{2\Delta}} \times [1 - \Delta a_d (lT^{1/\xi})^{d+\xi-1} (2 + \alpha)^{d+\xi-1}]. \quad (44)$$

We now make use of this expression along with the ones given in eq.(s)(36),(38) to obtain the following

$$C = \frac{[\langle \mathcal{O}(t,0)\mathcal{O}(t,2l+s) \rangle - \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle^2]^2}{2\langle \mathcal{O}^2 \rangle^2} = \frac{\left[1 - \Delta a_d (lT^{\frac{1}{\xi}})^{d+\xi-1} (2+\alpha)^{d+\xi-1} - 2^{4\Delta(\frac{1}{\xi} - \frac{1}{d+\xi-1})} \left[\frac{\pi}{d+\xi-1} \right]^{\frac{2\Delta}{\xi}} (lT^{\frac{1}{\xi}})^{2\Delta} (2+\alpha)^{2\Delta} \right]^2}{2^{1+8\Delta(\frac{1}{\xi} - \frac{1}{d+\xi-1})} (2+\alpha)^{4\Delta} \left[\frac{\pi}{d+\xi-1} \right]^{\frac{4\Delta}{\xi}} (lT^{\frac{1}{\xi}})^{4\Delta}}. \quad (45)$$

Next, we consider the high temperature scenario and make use of the expressions obtained in eq.(33) to write down the following

$$C = \frac{[\langle \mathcal{O}(t,0)\mathcal{O}(t,2l+s) \rangle - \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle^2]^2}{2\langle \mathcal{O}^2 \rangle^2} = \frac{\left[b_d \exp\left\{ -\left(\frac{4\Delta\pi}{d+\xi-1} \right) (2l+s)T^{1/\xi} \right\} - 2^{4\Delta(\frac{1}{\xi} - \frac{1}{d+\xi-1})} \left[\frac{\pi}{d+\xi-1} \right]^{\frac{2\Delta}{\xi}} \right]^2}{2^{1+8\Delta(\frac{1}{\xi} - \frac{1}{d+\xi-1})} \left[\frac{\pi}{d+\xi-1} \right]^{\frac{4\Delta}{\xi}}}. \quad (46)$$




FIG. 1. Plot of holographic mutual information $I(A : B)$ and two-point connected correlator C . In the left panel, we plot $I(A : B)$ and C with respect to $\alpha = \frac{sT}{lT}$, for $lT = 0.4$. In the right panel, we plot $I(A : B)$ and C with respect to sT , for $lT = 10$. In these plots, we set $d = 3$ and $\Delta = 10$.

We now proceed to plot the obtained expressions for the normalized connected correlator C along with the ones obtained for MI (given in eq.(41) and eq.(43)) for a particular value of $lT^{\frac{1}{\xi}}$ in $d = 3$ dimensions. Before we proceed to the plots, we set $\xi = 1$ which fixes the AdS-Schwarzschild $_{d+1}$ black brane as the bulk geometry and the dual field theory is a conformal field theory at a finite temperature. In the left panel of Fig.(1), we give the plots corresponding to the low temperature scenario whereas the plots for the high temperature scenario is given in the right panel. In these plots, we observe that both $I(A : B)$ and C decreases with the increase in the value of the separation distance sT (in dimensionless form). Furthermore, we observe that initially $I(A : B)$ is much

greater than C and at a particular value of the separation distance sT , the quantum mutual information $I(A : B)$ is equal to the normalized connected two-point correlator C . We denote this specific value of the separation distance as $sT|_c$. However, it is to be mentioned that beyond $sT|_c$, $I(A : B)$ gets smaller than C and the bound gets violated. In order to avoid this situation, we make a proposal now.

All of the quantum mechanical dependencies (correlations) between the subsystems A and B vanishes once the bound (given in eq.(22)) gets saturated and only the classical dependencies survive which is represented by a non-zero mutual information $I(A : B) \neq 0$.

We shall now justify the reasoning behind the above pro-

posal. It is a well-known fact that the mutual information quantifies not only quantum mechanical dependencies (correlations) between the subsystems but also the classical ones too. In short, none of the existing dependencies are overlooked. However, the normalized connected two-point correlator is only able to quantify the quantum mechanical dependencies between the concerned operators which belong to the associated subsystems, not the classical ones. Due to this reason it is not possible to have a correlated system with vanishing mutual information. We propose that at $sT = sT|_c$ the bound $I(A : B) \geq C$ gets saturated and all of the existing quantum mechanical dependencies between the operators $\mathcal{O}_A \in \mathcal{H}_A$ and $\mathcal{O}_B \in \mathcal{H}_B$ vanishes. This is manifested by the fact that at $sT = sT|_c$, $\frac{[\langle \mathcal{O}(t,0)\mathcal{O}(t,2l+s) \rangle - \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle^2]^2}{2\langle \mathcal{O}^2 \rangle^2} = 0$. However, $I(A : B) \neq 0$, which in turn means that only the classical dependencies between the subsystems survive. Furthermore, these classical dependencies also vanish for another value of the separation distance, namely, $sT|_I$ at which the mutual information between the subsystems vanishes, that is, $I(A : B) = 0$ and the subsystems become completely independent of each other. It is to be noted that $sT|_I > sT|_c$. As we have mentioned earlier, in Fig.(1) we have graphically represented our observation for a AdS₃-Schwarzschild black brane. In the low temperature scenario we get $sT|_c \approx 0.053$ and $sT|_I \approx 0.0608$, whereas in the high temperature scenario,

we have $sT|_c \approx 0.139$ and $sT|_I \approx 0.16$. In order to have a general observation, we now proceed to repeat the above observation for various values of the dynamical exponent ξ , that is, we consider $\xi = 2, 3, \dots$. We give the obtained values of $sT|_c$ and $sT|_I$ in a Table.

ξ	low T		high T	
	$sT _c$	$sT _I$	$sT _c$	$sT _I$
2	0.136	0.160	0.265	0.372
3	0.188	0.201	0.309	0.475

The above study suggests that in context of holographic computation of mutual information and two-point correlator, it is possible to point out two different critical separation distances which denote the vanishing of quantum mechanical dependencies and classical dependencies. It is evident that for both low and high temperature regimes, $sT|_I > sT|_c$.

APPENDIX: EXPLICIT EXPRESSIONS OF VARIOUS CONSTANTS

In this Appendix, we provide the explicit expressions corresponding to various constants that we have introduced in our analysis for the sake of simplicity.

$$\begin{aligned}
a_d &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2^{d+\xi}} \left(\frac{4\pi}{d-1+\xi} \right)^{1+\frac{d-1}{\xi}} \frac{\Gamma[\frac{d+\xi-1}{2}]}{\Gamma[\frac{d-1+\xi}{2} + \frac{1}{2}]} \left[\frac{1}{d+\xi} \right] \\
b_d &= \frac{e^{2\Delta}}{2^{2\Delta}} \left[\frac{4\pi}{d-1+\xi} \right]^{\frac{2\Delta}{\xi}} \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} - \left[\frac{\Delta}{n(d-1+\xi)} \right] \frac{\Gamma[n + \frac{1}{2}]}{\Gamma[n+1]} \frac{\Gamma[1 + \frac{n(d-1+\xi)}{2}]}{\Gamma[\frac{3}{2} + \frac{n(d-1+\xi)}{2}]} \right) \\
c_1 &= - \frac{(2\sqrt{\pi})^{d-1} \Gamma[\frac{2-d}{2(d-1)}]}{(2d-3) \Gamma[\frac{3-2d}{2(d-1)}]} \left(\frac{\Gamma[\frac{d}{2(d-1)}]}{\Gamma[\frac{1}{2(d-1)}]} \right)^{d-2} \\
c_2 &= \left[\frac{\Gamma[\frac{1}{2(d-1)}]}{\Gamma[\frac{d}{2(d-1)}]} \right]^{1+\xi} \left[\frac{4\pi}{d-1+\xi} \right]^{1+\frac{d-1}{\xi}} \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \right)^{\xi} \left(\frac{1}{4(d-1)} \right) \times \left[\frac{\Gamma[\frac{1+\xi}{2(d-1)}]}{\Gamma[\frac{d+\xi}{2(d-1)}]} + \frac{(d-2) \Gamma[\frac{2-d}{2(d-1)}]}{(2d-3) \Gamma[\frac{3-2d}{2(d-1)}]} \frac{\Gamma[\frac{2d-1+\xi}{2(d-1)}]}{\Gamma[\frac{3(d-1)+\xi}{2(d-1)}]} \right] \\
c_3 &= \left(\frac{4\pi}{d-1+\xi} \right)^{\frac{d-2}{\xi}} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{[1+n\xi+n(d-1)]} \frac{\Gamma[n + \frac{1}{2}]}{\Gamma[n+1]} \frac{\Gamma[\frac{1+n\xi+(n-1)(d-1)}{2(d-1)}]}{\Gamma[\frac{1+n\xi+n(d-1)}{2(d-1)}]} - \sqrt{\pi} \left(\frac{d}{d-2} \right) \frac{\Gamma[\frac{d}{2(d-1)}]}{\Gamma[\frac{1}{2(d-1)}]} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

AS would like to thank S.N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences for the financial support through its Advanced Postdoctoral Research Programme.

[1] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, Quantum Computation and Quantum Information, Cambridge University Press

- [2] R. König and S. Warzel, “Quantum entropy and its use”, 2017.
- [3] W. Li, “Mutual information functions versus correlation functions”, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **60**[5-6] (1990) 823.
- [4] M. M. Wolf, F. Verstraete, M. B. Hastings and J. I. Cirac, “Area Laws in Quantum Systems: Mutual Information and Correlations”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100** (2008) 070502.
- [5] M. Ohya and D. Petz, *Quantum Entropy and Its Use*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer-Verlag 1993.
- [6] L. Bombelli, R. K. Koul, J. Lee and R. D. Sorkin, “Quantum source of entropy for black holes”, *Phys. Rev. D* **34** (1986) 373.
- [7] M. Srednicki, “Entropy and area”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** (1993) 666, [arXiv:hep-th/9303048](#).
- [8] J. M. Maldacena, “The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity”, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 231, [arXiv:hep-th/9711200](#).
- [9] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, “Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory”, *Phys. Lett. B* **428** (1998) 105, [arXiv:hep-th/9802109](#).
- [10] E. Witten, “Anti-de Sitter space and holography”, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 253, [arXiv:hep-th/9802150](#).
- [11] O. Aharony, S. S. Gubser, J. M. Maldacena, H. Ooguri and Y. Oz, “Large N field theories, string theory and gravity”, *Phys. Rept.* **323** (2000) 183, [arXiv:hep-th/9905111](#).
- [12] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, “Holographic derivation of entanglement entropy from AdS/CFT”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** (2006) 181602, [arXiv:hep-th/0603001](#).
- [13] V. Balasubramanian and S. F. Ross, “Holographic particle detection”, *Phys. Rev. D* **61** (2000) 044007, [arXiv:hep-th/9906226](#).
- [14] T. Banks, M. R. Douglas, G. T. Horowitz and E. J. Martinec, “AdS dynamics from conformal field theory”, [arXiv:hep-th/9808016](#).
- [15] J. Louko, D. Marolf and S. F. Ross, “Geodesic propagators and black hole holography”, *Phys. Rev. D* **62** (2000) 044041.
- [16] D. Rodriguez-Gomez and J. G. Russo, “Correlation functions in finite temperature CFT and black hole singularities”, *JHEP* **06** (2021) 048, [arXiv:2102.11891 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [17] D. Rodriguez-Gomez and J. G. Russo, “Thermal correlation functions in CFT and factorization”, *JHEP* **11** (2021) 049, [arXiv:2105.13909 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [18] H. Krishna and D. Rodriguez-Gomez, “Holographic thermal correlators revisited”, *JHEP* **11** (2021) 139, [arXiv:2108.00277 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [19] M. Grinberg and J. Maldacena, “Proper time to the black hole singularity from thermal one-point functions”, *JHEP* **03** (2021) 131, [arXiv:2011.01004 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [20] D. Berenstein and R. Mancilla, “Aspects of thermal one-point functions and response functions in AdS black holes”, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**[12] (2023) 126010, [arXiv:2211.05144 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [21] J. R. David and S. Kumar, “Thermal one point functions, large d and interior geometry of black holes”, *JHEP* **03** (2023) 256, [arXiv:2212.07758 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [22] K. Balasubramanian and J. McGreevy, “Gravity duals for non-relativistic CFTs”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** (2008) 061601, [arXiv:0804.4053 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [23] S. Kachru, X. Liu and M. Mulligan, “Gravity duals of Lifshitz-like fixed points”, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 106005, [arXiv:0808.1725 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [24] M. Taylor, “Non-relativistic holography”, [arXiv:0812.0530 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [25] P. Kovtun and D. Nickel, “Black holes and non-relativistic quantum systems”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** (2009) 011602, [arXiv:0809.2020 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [26] B. Chen and Q.-G. Huang, “Field Theory at a Lifshitz Point”, *Phys. Lett. B* **683** (2010) 108, [arXiv:0904.4565 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [27] P. Ghaemi, A. Vishwanath and T. Senthil, “Finite-temperature properties of quantum Lifshitz transitions between valence-bond solid phases: An example of local quantum criticality”, *Phys. Rev. B* **72** (2005) 024420.
- [28] S. Sachdev and B. Keimer, “Quantum Criticality”, *Phys. Today* **64N2** (2011) 29, [arXiv:1102.4628 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](#).
- [29] V. Keranen, W. Sybesma, P. Szepletowski and L. Thorlacius, “Correlation functions in theories with Lifshitz scaling”, *JHEP* **05** (2017) 033, [arXiv:1611.09371 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [30] C. Park, “Holographic two-point functions in a disorder system”, *Phys. Lett. B* **842** (2023) 137978, [arXiv:2209.07721 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [31] W. Fischler and S. Kundu, “Strongly Coupled Gauge Theories: High and Low Temperature Behavior of Non-local Observables”, *JHEP* **05** (2013) 098, [arXiv:1212.2643 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [32] W. Fischler, A. Kundu and S. Kundu, “Holographic mutual information at finite temperature”, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013) 126012.
- [33] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, “Aspects of Holographic Entanglement Entropy”, *JHEP* **08** (2006) 045, [arXiv:hep-th/0605073](#).
- [34] K. Babaei Velni, M. R. Mohammadi Mozaffar and M. H. Vahidinia, “Some Aspects of Entanglement Wedge Cross-Section”, *JHEP* **05** (2019) 200, [arXiv:1903.08490 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [35] A. Saha and S. Gangopadhyay, “Holographic study of entanglement and complexity for mixed states”, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**[8] (2021) 086002, [arXiv:2101.00887 \[hep-th\]](#).