

# Longitudinal leading-twist distribution amplitude of $^1P_1$ -state $b_1(1235)$ -meson and its implications on $B \rightarrow b_1(1235)\ell^+\nu_\ell$ decays

Long Zeng,<sup>1,\*</sup> Xing-Gang Wu,<sup>1,†</sup> Dan-Dan Hu,<sup>1,‡</sup> Hai-Bing Fu,<sup>2,3,§</sup> and Tao Zhong<sup>2,3,¶</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics, Chongqing Key Laboratory for Strongly Coupled Physics, Chongqing University, Chongqing 401331, P.R. China*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics, Guizhou Minzu University, Guiyang 550025, P.R.China*

<sup>3</sup>*Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, P.R.China*

(Dated: January 14, 2025)

In the paper, we derive the  $\xi$ -moments  $\langle \xi_{2;b_1}^{n;\parallel} \rangle$  of the longitudinal leading-twist distribution amplitude  $\phi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel}$  for  $^1P_1$ -state  $b_1(1235)$ -meson by using the QCD sum rules under the background field theory. Considering the contributions from the vacuum condensates up to dimension-six, its first two non-zero  $\xi$ -moments at the scale 1 GeV are  $\langle \xi_{2;b_1}^{1;\parallel} \rangle = -0.647_{-0.113}^{+0.118}$  and  $\langle \xi_{2;b_1}^{3;\parallel} \rangle = -0.328_{-0.052}^{+0.055}$ , respectively. Using those moments, we then fix the Gegenbauer expansion series of  $\phi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel}$  and apply it to calculate  $B \rightarrow b_1(1235)$  transition form factors (TFFs) that are derived by using the QCD light-cone sum rules. Those TFFs are then extrapolated to the physically allowable  $q^2$ -range via the simplified series expansion. As for the branching fractions, we obtain  $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow b_1^+(1235)e^-\bar{\nu}_e) = 2.179_{-0.422}^{+0.553} \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow b_1^-(1235)\mu^+\nu_\mu) = 2.166_{-0.415}^{+0.544} \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow b_1^0(1235)e^+\nu_e) = 2.353_{-0.456}^{+0.597} \times 10^{-4}$ , and  $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow b_1^0(1235)\mu^+\nu_\mu) = 2.339_{-0.448}^{+0.587} \times 10^{-4}$ , respectively.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Semileptonic decays of the  $B$ -meson into light axial-vector mesons constitute a crucial aspect for understanding nonperturbative effects in weak interactions, which have garnered extensive investigation from both theoretical and experimental groups. In the quark model, light axial-vector mesons are categorized into two types. The first type includes the  $^1P_1$  states, specifically  $b_1(1235)$ ,  $h_1(1170)$ ,  $h_1(1380)$ , and  $K_{1B}$ , which collectively form the  $J^{PC} = 1^{+-}$  nonets. The second type comprises the  $^3P_1$  states, denoted as  $a_1(1260)$ ,  $f_1(1285)$ ,  $f_1(1420)$ , and  $K_{1A}$ , and they form the  $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$  nonets. Among these axial-vector mesons, only the isospin triplet state mesons  $a_1(1260)$  and  $b_1(1235)$  exhibit no mixing phenomena, and their internal structures are relatively clear.

Experimentally, the  $a_1(1260)$  meson is well-established by the BABAR and the Belle Collaborations, and its quark content has been suggested to be a light-quark pair  $q\bar{q}$  with  $q = (u, d)$  [1–7]. The  $b_1(1235)$  meson, classified as a  $^1P_1$  state within the framework of the usual quark model, was first observed by the HBC Collaboration in 1963 [8]. Prior to 1994, it was studied extensively in  $p\bar{p}$  [9, 10],  $\gamma p$  [11], and  $p\pi$  [12–20] interactions, with a particular emphasis on measuring its mass and width. Ref.[21] suggests that the  $D$ -meson semileptonic decay process  $D \rightarrow b_1(1235)\ell\nu_\ell$  may present a unique opportunity to study the nature of  $b_1(1235)$ . In 2020 and 2024,

the BESIII Collaboration conducted a series of measurements on  $b_1(1235)$  via such kind of decays, e.g.  $D^{0(+)} \rightarrow b_1(1235)^{-(+)}e^+\nu_e$  and  $D_s^+ \rightarrow b_1(1235)^0e^+\nu_e$  [22–24]. As for the  $B$ -meson decays into  $b_1(1235)$ , which can be treated via a similar way as the case of  $D$ -meson but are characterized by a significantly larger transition momentum  $q^2$ , they may yield even more intriguing insights into the dynamics of heavy meson decays and the properties of  $b_1(1235)$ . Even though there are still no data on it, many theoretical studies have been done in the literature.

Theoretically, in studying the semileptonic decay  $B \rightarrow b_1(1235)\ell\nu_\ell$ , the  $B \rightarrow b_1(1235)$  transition form factors (TFFs) are crucial components for calculating the related observables. At present, the  $B \rightarrow b_1(1235)$  TFFs have been investigated under various approaches, such as the perturbative QCD (pQCD) [25], the QCD light-cone sum rules (LCSR) [26, 27], and the covariant light-front quark model (CLFQM) [28, 29]. Using those TFFs, the branching ratio of  $B \rightarrow b_1(1235)\ell\nu_\ell$  is at the order of  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$ , which could be precisely measured at the high luminosity  $B$  factories. Among those approaches, the LCSR offers an effective framework for determining the non-perturbative parameters of hadronic states and it is applicable in both small and intermediate  $q^2$ -region, where  $q^2$  is the transfer momentum between  $B$  and  $b_1(1235)$ , and then a more accurate LCSR prediction is helpful for a better understanding of the TFFs.

Under the LCSR approach, by using the operator product expansion (OPE) near the light-cone  $x^2 \approx 0$ , the non-perturbative hadronic matrix elements can be parameterized as the light-cone distribution amplitudes (LCDAs) of  $b_1(1235)$  with different twist structures. At present, there is little research on the LCDAs of axial-vector meson. In this paper, we employ the LCSR approach to deal with the  $B \rightarrow b_1(1235)$  TFFs and will highlight the longi-

\*Electronic address: zlong@cqu.edu.cn

†Electronic address: wuxg@cqu.edu.cn

‡Electronic address: hudd@stu.cqu.edu.cn

§Electronic address: fuhb@gzmu.edu.cn

¶Electronic address: zhongtao1219@sina.com

tudinal leading twist LCDA of  $b_1(1235)$  by constructing a new correlator with a left-handed chiral current.

Within the LCSR approach, the LCDAs reflect important internal structures of a hadron. Similar to the case of usual light mesons, the longitudinal leading-twist LCDA  $\phi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel}(x)$  of  $b_1(1235)$ -meson can be expanded as a Gegenbauer series,

$$\phi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel}(x) = 6x\bar{x} \sum_n a_{2;b_1}^{n;\parallel} C_n^{3/2}(\xi), \quad (1)$$

where  $\bar{x} = (1-x)$ ,  $\xi = (2x-1)$ , and  $a_{2;b_1}^{n;\parallel}$  are Gegenbauer moments. The LCDA and its Gegenbauer moments are scale dependent, whose magnitude at any scale can be derived by using proper evolution equations from their given magnitudes at some initial scale. Throughout the manuscript, if not specially stated, we will omit the scale-dependence in those parameters for convenience. The first Gegenbauer moment has been given in Ref.[31] at the scale  $\mu_0 = 1$  GeV, e.g.  $a_{2;b_1}^{1;\parallel}(\mu_0) = -0.02(2)$ . In this work, we will adopt the QCD sum rules under background field theory (BFTSR) [32–36] to calculate the  $\xi$ -moments  $\langle \xi_{2;b_1}^{n;\parallel} \rangle$ , and then fix the Gegenbauer moments of the LCDA. Within the framework of BFTSR, the quark and gluon fields are composed by the QCD background fields and their surrounding quantum fluctuations, and the usual vacuum condensates are described by the classical background fields. And to take the background field theory as the foundation for the QCD sum rules (QCDSR), it not only presents distinct physical picture, but also greatly simplifies the calculation due to its capability of adopting different gauges for quantum fluctuations and background fields.

The remaining parts of the paper are organized as follows. In Sec. II, we present the calculation procedures for the  $\xi$ -moments of  $b_1(1235)$ -meson longitudinal leading-twist LCDA, the  $B \rightarrow b_1(1235)$  TFFs and the branching ratios. Numerical results and discussions are presented in Sec. III. Section IV is reserved for a summary.

## II. CALCULATION TECHNOLOGY

### A. The $\xi$ -moments of $b_1(1235)$ -meson longitudinal leading-twist LCDA using the BSTSR

The basic definition of the longitudinal leading-twist LCDA of the axial-vector meson  $b_1(1235)$  is [30, 31]

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle b_1(q, \lambda) | \bar{q}_1(z) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 q_2(-z) | 0 \rangle \\ &= im_{b_1} f_{b_1}^{\parallel} \int_0^1 dx e^{i(xz \cdot q - \bar{x}z \cdot q)} q_\mu \frac{e^{*(\lambda)} \cdot z}{q \cdot z} \phi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Here, two light quarks  $q_1 = q_2$ , which are  $(u, d)$ -quarks for  $b_1(1235)$ -meson, respectively.  $f_{b_1}^{\parallel}$  is the  $b_1(1235)$ -meson longitudinal decay constant, which can be derived from the transverse one  $f_{b_1}^{\perp}$  via the relations suggested

in Ref.[31].  $q$  and  $e^{*(\lambda)}$  are momentum and polarization vector of  $b_1(1235)$ -meson, respectively. The polarization vector satisfies the relationship  $(e^{*(\lambda)} \cdot z)/(q \cdot z) \rightarrow 1/m_{b_1}$  [37]. By performing the series expansion on both sides of Eq.(2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle 0 | q_2(0) \not{z} \gamma_5 (iz \cdot \overleftrightarrow{D})^n \bar{q}_1(0) | b_1(q, \lambda) \rangle \\ &= i(z \cdot q)^{n+1} f_{b_1}^{\parallel} \langle \xi_{2;b_1}^{n;\parallel} \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where the  $\xi$ -moments are defined as

$$\langle \xi_{2;b_1}^{n;\parallel} \rangle = \int_0^1 dx (2x-1)^n \phi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel}(x). \quad (4)$$

The covariant derivative satisfies the relation  $(iz \cdot \overleftrightarrow{D})^n = (iz \cdot \overrightarrow{D} - iz \cdot \overleftarrow{D})^n$ . Due to the conservation of  $G$ -parity, the  $^1P_1$ -state axial vector meson's chiral-even longitudinal leading-twist LCDA  $\phi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel}(x)$  is antisymmetric under the replacement  $x \rightarrow 1-x$  in the SU(3) limit, which follows the normalization,  $\int_0^1 dx \phi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel}(x) = 0$ . Meanwhile, the twist-3 LCDA  $\psi_{3;b_1}^{\parallel}(x)$  is symmetric for the  $^1P_1$ -state axial vector meson, e.g.

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle b_1(q, \lambda) | \bar{q}_1(z) \gamma q_2(-z) | 0 \rangle \\ &= m_{b_1}^2 f_{b_1}^{\perp} (\epsilon^{*(\lambda)} \cdot z) \int_0^1 dx e^{i(xz \cdot q - \bar{x}z \cdot q)} \frac{\psi_{3;b_1}^{\parallel}(x)}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

which satisfies the normalization,  $\int_0^1 dx \psi_{3;b_1}^{\parallel}(x) = 1$  [31].

We construct the following correlation function (correlator) to derive the QCD sum rules for  $\xi$ -moments,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel; (n,0)}(z, q) &= i \int d^4x e^{iq \cdot x} \langle 0 | T \{ J_{2;b_1}^{\parallel; n}(x), J_{3;b_1}^{\parallel; 0\dagger}(0) \} | 0 \rangle \\ &= (z \cdot q)^{n+2} I_{2;b_1}^{\parallel; (n,0)}(q^2), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

with  $z^2 \rightsquigarrow 0$ ,  $J_{2;b_1}^{\parallel; n}(x) = q_2(-x) \not{z} \gamma_5 (iz \cdot \overleftrightarrow{D})^n \bar{q}_1(x)$  and  $J_{3;b_1}^{\parallel; 0\dagger}(0) = q_1(0) \gamma_5 \bar{q}_2(0)$ . The  $^1P_1$ -state LCDA  $\phi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel}(x)$  is defined by the antisymmetric nonlocal axial-vector current, indicating that only odd moments, *i.e.*  $n = (1, 3, 5, \dots)$ , are non-zero.

On the one hand, in deep Euclidean region  $q^2 \ll 0$ , one can apply the OPE for the correlator (6) using the BFTSR approach. Subsequently, the correlator can be calculated with the help of three key components, e.g. the two quark propagators  $S_F^d(0, x)$  and  $S_F^u(x, 0)$ , along with the vertex operator  $(iz \cdot \overleftrightarrow{D})^n$ , whose expressions up to dimension-6 condensates can be found in Ref.[38].

On the other hand, when addressing the Lorentz-invariant scalar function  $\Pi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel; (n,0)}(q^2)$  in the physical region, one can insert a complete set of intermediate hadronic states, including the ground state of  $b_1(1235)$ -meson into the correlator, *i.e.*

$$\text{Im} I_{2;b_1, \text{Had}}^{\parallel; (n,0)}(q^2) = \pi \delta(q^2 - m_{b_1}^2) f_{b_1}^{\parallel} f_{b_1}^{\perp} \langle \xi_{2;b_1}^{n;\parallel} \rangle \langle \xi_{3;b_1}^{0;\parallel} \rangle$$

$$+ \frac{3}{4\pi(n+1)(n+3)}\theta(q^2 - s_0), \quad (7)$$

where  $s_0$  is the continuum threshold. The first (second) terms on the right-hand-side of Eq.(7) are contribution of the  $b_1(1235)$ -meson ground state (continuum states), respectively.  $\langle \xi_{3;b_1}^{0;\parallel} \rangle$  represents the 0<sub>th</sub>  $\xi$ -moment of two-particle twist-3 LCDA  $\psi_{3;b_1}^{\parallel}(x)$ . Since not all the contributions from the higher-order QCD corrections and higher-dimensional operators have been included, the fixed-order prediction of  $\langle \xi_{3;b_1}^{0;\parallel} \rangle$  will be close to but not exactly equal to 1. So, we will reserve the term  $\langle \xi_{3;b_1}^{0;\parallel} \rangle$  in the hadronic expression (7) and its magnitude will be calculated from its own sum rule.

The correlator is analytic in whole  $q^2$  region, one can bridge the invariant function and the OPE side by using the dispersion relation. Furthermore, the Borel transfor-

mation are used to suppress the contributions from the unknown continuum states and high-dimension condensates, e.g.

$$\frac{1}{\pi M^2} \int ds e^{-s/M^2} \text{Im} I_{b_1, \text{Had}}^{(n,0)}(s) = \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{M^2} I_{2;b_1, \text{QCD}}^{(n,0)}(q^2), \quad (8)$$

where  $M^2$  is the Borel parameter, which comes from the Borel transformation

$$\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{M^2} = \lim_{-q^2, n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(-q^2/n)^n} \left[ -\frac{d}{d(-q^2)} \right]^n. \quad (9)$$

Using the dispersion relation and performing the Borel transformation, we obtain the following QCD sum rules for  $\langle \xi_{2;b_1}^{n;\parallel} \rangle \langle \xi_{3;b_1}^{0;\parallel} \rangle$  up to dimension-six condensates,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\langle \xi_{2;b_1}^{n;\parallel} \rangle \langle \xi_{3;b_1}^{0;\parallel} \rangle m_{b_1} f_{b_1}^{\parallel} f_{b_1}^{\perp}}{e^{m_{b_1}^2/M^2}} &= -\frac{6m_q}{8\pi^2(n+2)} \left(1 - e^{-s_0/M^2}\right) + 4\langle \bar{q}q \rangle + \frac{\langle g_s \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{81M^4} 4m_q(n+3) - \frac{\langle g_s^2 \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{972\pi^2 M^4} m_q(2 + \kappa^2) \\ &\times \left\{ \delta^{0n} \left[ -24 \left( -\ln \frac{M^2}{\mu^2} \right) - 148 \right] + \delta^{1n} \left[ 128 \left( -\ln \frac{M^2}{\mu^2} \right) - 692 \right] + \theta(n-1) \left[ 8(6n^2 + 34n) \left( -\ln \frac{M^2}{\mu^2} \right) \right. \right. \\ &+ 4n\tilde{\psi}(n) - 2(6n^2 + 96n + 212) \left. \right] + \theta(n-2) \left[ 8(33n^2 - 17n) \left( -\ln \frac{M^2}{\mu^2} \right) - 2(6n^2 + 71n)\tilde{\psi}(n) - \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \right. \\ &\times (231n^4 + 520n^3 - 1101n^2 + 230n) \left. \right] + \theta(n-3) \left[ (74n - 144n^2)\tilde{\psi}(n) - \frac{1}{n-1} (169n^3 - 348n^2 + 245n \right. \\ &+ 60) \left. \right] + 4(n+5) \left. \right\} - \frac{\langle \alpha_s G^2 \rangle}{12\pi M^2} m_q \left\{ 12n \left( -\ln \frac{M^2}{\mu^2} \right) - 6(n+2) + \theta(n-1) \left[ 4n \left( -\ln \frac{M^2}{\mu^2} \right) + 3\tilde{\psi}(n) - \frac{6}{n} \right] \right. \\ &+ \theta(n-2) \left[ - (8n+3)\tilde{\psi}(n) - 2(2n+1) + \frac{6}{n} \right] \left. \right\} - \frac{\langle g_s^3 f G^3 \rangle}{96\pi^2 M^4} m_q \left\{ \delta^{1n} \left[ -24 \left( -\ln \frac{M^2}{\mu^2} \right) + 84 \right] + \theta(n-1) \right. \\ &\times \left[ -4n(3n-5) \left( -\ln \frac{M^2}{\mu^2} \right) + 2(2n^2 + 5n - 13) \right] + \theta(n-2) \left[ -24n^2 \left( -\ln \frac{M^2}{\mu^2} \right) + 2n(n-4)\tilde{\psi}(n) \right. \\ &+ 17n^2 + 55n + 12 \left. \right] + \theta(n-3) \left[ 2n(n-4)\tilde{\psi}(n) + \frac{1}{n-1} (19n^3 - 32n^2 + 7n + 6) \right] \left. \right\} - \frac{\langle g_s \bar{q}\sigma T G q \rangle}{3M^2} 8n, \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu$  is the renormalization scale,  $\kappa = \langle \bar{s}s \rangle / \langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ ,  $\tilde{\psi}(n) = \psi\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + (-1)^n \ln 4$ . The sum rules for the  $b_1(1235)$ -meson twist-3 LCDA's zeroth moment  $\langle \xi_{3;b_1}^{0;\parallel} \rangle$  can be derived from the following correlator,

$$\Pi_{3;b_1}^{\parallel;(0,0)}(z, q) = i \int d^4x e^{iq \cdot x} \langle 0 | T \{ J_{3;b_1}^{\parallel;0}(x), J_{3;b_1}^{\parallel;0\dagger}(0) \} | 0 \rangle.$$

Following similar QCDSR procedures, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\langle \xi_{3;b_1}^{0;\parallel} \rangle^2 m_{b_1}^2 (f_{b_1}^{\perp})^2}{M^2 e^{m_{b_1}^2/M^2}} &= \frac{3}{2\pi^2(n+1)} \left[ M^2 - (M^2 + s_0) e^{-\frac{s_0}{M^2}} \right] \\ &+ \frac{\langle \alpha_s G^2 \rangle}{2\pi M^2} + \frac{\langle g_s^2 \bar{q}q \rangle^2 (2 + \kappa^2)}{243\pi^2 M^4} \left[ 70 - 32 \left( -\ln \frac{M^2}{\mu^2} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$- \frac{32 \langle g_s \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{27M^4} + \frac{4 \langle g_s \bar{q}\sigma T G q \rangle}{M^4} + 12m_q \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{M^2}, \quad (11)$$

where  $q = (u, d)$ . Using Eqs.(10) and (11), we then obtain

$$\langle \xi_{2;b_1}^{n;\parallel} \rangle = \frac{(\langle \xi_{2;b_1}^{n;\parallel} \rangle \langle \xi_{3;b_1}^{0;\parallel} \rangle) |_{\text{From Eq. (10)}}}{\sqrt{(\langle \xi_{3;b_1}^{0;\parallel} \rangle)^2 |_{\text{From Eq. (11)}}}}. \quad (12)$$

It is found that by using Eq.(12), one can eliminate some systematic errors from input parameters and achieve more precise prediction on the  $\xi$ -moments [39, 40].

### B. The $B \rightarrow b_1(1235)$ TFFs using the LCSR

We adopt the following correlator to derive the LCSRs for the  $B \rightarrow b_1(1235)$  TFFs,

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_\mu(p, q) &= i \int d^4x e^{iq \cdot x} \langle b_1(p, \lambda) | T \{ j_\mu(x), j_B^\dagger(0) \} | 0 \rangle \\ &= -\Pi_1 e_\mu^{*(\lambda)} + \Pi_2 (e^{*(\lambda)} \cdot q) (2p + q)_\mu \\ &\quad + \Pi_3 (e^{*(\lambda)} \cdot q) q_\mu + i \Pi_V \epsilon_\mu^{\alpha\beta\gamma} e_\alpha^{*(\lambda)} q_\beta p_\gamma, \quad (13)\end{aligned}$$

where  $p$  is  $b_1(1235)$ -meson momentum,  $q = p_B - p_{b_1}$  is the momentum transfer, and  $e^{*(\lambda)}$  is  $b_1(1235)$ -meson polarization vector with  $\lambda = (\perp, \parallel)$  being its transverse or longitudinal component, respectively.  $j_\mu(x) = \bar{q}_2(x) \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b(x)$  and  $j_B^\dagger(x) = i \bar{b}(x) (1 - \gamma_5) q_1(x)$ .

In time-like  $q^2$ -region, the long distance quark-gluon interactions are dominant. To deal with the correlator in the time-like region, one can insert a complete set of the  $B$ -meson states, which have the same  $J^P$  quantum numbers to obtain the hadronic expression. After separating the  $B$ -meson pole term, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_\mu^H(p, q) &= \frac{\langle b_1 | \bar{q}_2 \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b | B \rangle \langle B | \bar{b} i \gamma_5 q_1 | 0 \rangle}{m_B^2 - (p + q)^2} \\ &\quad + \sum_H \frac{\langle b_1 | \bar{q}_2 \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b | B^H \rangle \langle B^H | \bar{b} i (1 - \gamma_5) q_1 | 0 \rangle}{m_{B^H}^2 - (p + q)^2}, \quad (14)\end{aligned}$$

where  $\langle B | \bar{b} i \gamma_5 q | 0 \rangle = m_B^2 f_B / m_b$ . The  $B \rightarrow b_1(1235)$  transition matrix elements have the expressions [25],

$$\langle b_1(p, \lambda) | \bar{q}_2 \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 b | B(p + q) \rangle = -\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} e_\nu^{*(\lambda)} q_\alpha p_\beta \frac{2iA(q^2)}{m_B - m_{b_1}}, \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle b_1(p, \lambda) | \bar{q}_2 \gamma_\mu b | B(p + q) \rangle &= -e_\mu^{*(\lambda)} (m_B - m_{b_1}) V_1(q^2) \\ &\quad + (2p + q)_\mu \frac{e^{*(\lambda)} \cdot q}{m_B - m_{b_1}} V_2(q^2) \\ &\quad + q_\mu (e^{*(\lambda)} \cdot q) \frac{2m_{b_1}}{q^2} [V_3(q^2) - V_0(q^2)]. \quad (16)\end{aligned}$$

There is one linear relationship among the TFFs [41, 42]:

$$V_3(q^2) = \frac{m_B - m_{b_1}}{2m_{b_1}} V_1(q^2) - \frac{m_B + m_{b_1}}{2m_{b_1}} V_2(q^2), \quad (17)$$

Following standard procedures of QCD sum rules, one can represent the contributions of higher resonances and continuum states by dispersion integrations so as to derive the expressions for the hadronic invariant amplitudes  $\Pi_i^H[q^2, (p + q)^2]$  with  $i = (1, 2, 3, V)$  defined in Eq.(13). The continuum threshold parameter  $s_0$  is usually set as the value close to the squared mass of the first excited state of  $B$ -meson. Meanwhile, the conventional quark-hadron duality ansatz,  $\rho_i^{\text{Had}} = \rho_i^{\text{QCD}} \theta(s - s_0)$ , can be used to calculate the hadron spectrum density  $\rho_i^{\text{Had}}$ .

On the other hand, in space-like region, one can calculate the correlator via the QCD theory. In this region, the correlator can be treated by the OPE with the coefficients being pQCD calculable. The  $b$ -quark propagator which shall be used in the calculation can be found in Ref. [38]. After applying the OPE and using the expressions for the transition matrix elements, one can arrange the resultant expressions by twist-2, 3, 4 LCDAs [31, 43]. After matching the correlator with the dispersion relation, and applying the Borel transformation to suppress the less known continuum contributions, the resultant TFFs under the LCSR approach are

$$\begin{aligned}V_1(q^2) &= \frac{2m_b^2 m_{b_1} f_{b_1}^\parallel}{m_B^2 f_B (m_B - m_{b_1})} \int_0^1 \frac{du}{u} e^{(m_B^2 - s(u))/\mathcal{M}^2} \left[ \Theta(c(u, s_0)) \phi_{3;b_1}^\perp(u) - \tilde{\Theta}(c(u, s_0)) \frac{m_{b_1}^2}{u \mathcal{M}^2} \Psi_{4;b_1}^\parallel(u) \right] + \frac{2m_b^2 m_{b_1}^2}{m_B^2 f_B} \\ &\quad \times \frac{(f_{3;b_1}^V - f_{3;b_1}^A)}{(m_B - m_{b_1})} \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i \int_0^1 dv e^{(m_B^2 - s(X))/\mathcal{M}^2} \frac{1}{X^2 \mathcal{M}^2} \Theta(c(X, s_0)) \left[ \tilde{\Phi}_{3;b_1}^\parallel(\alpha_i) - \Phi_{3;b_1}^\parallel(\alpha_i) \right], \quad (18)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}V_2(q^2) &= \frac{2m_b^2 m_{b_1} f_{b_1}^\parallel (m_B - m_{b_1})}{m_B^2 f_B} \int_0^1 \frac{du}{u} e^{(m_B^2 - s(u))/\mathcal{M}^2} \left[ \frac{1}{u \mathcal{M}^2} \tilde{\Theta}(c(u, s_0)) \Phi_{2;b_1}^\parallel(u) + \frac{m_{b_1}^2}{u \mathcal{M}^4} \tilde{\tilde{\Theta}}(c(u, s_0)) \Psi_{4;b_1}^\parallel(u) \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{m_b^2 m_{b_1}^2 (f_{3;b_1}^V - f_{3;b_1}^A) (m_B - m_{b_1})}{m_B^2 f_B} \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i \int_0^1 dv e^{(m_B^2 - s(X))/\mathcal{M}^2} \frac{1}{X^3 \mathcal{M}^4} \Theta(c(X, s_0)) \left[ \tilde{\Phi}_{3;b_1}^\parallel(\alpha_i) - \Phi_{3;b_1}^\parallel(\alpha_i) \right], \quad (19)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}V_0(q^2) &= V_3(q^2) - \left\{ -\frac{q^2 m_b^2 f_{b_1}^\parallel}{m_B^2 f_B} \int_0^1 \frac{du}{u} e^{(m_B^2 - s(u))/\mathcal{M}^2} \left[ \frac{1}{u \mathcal{M}^2} \tilde{\Theta}(c(u, s_0)) \Phi_{2;b_1}^\parallel(u) - \frac{m_{b_1}^2 (2 - u)}{u^2 \mathcal{M}^4} \tilde{\tilde{\Theta}}(c(u, s_0)) \Psi_{4;b_1}^\parallel(u) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{q^2 m_b^2 m_{b_1} (f_{3;b_1}^V - f_{3;b_1}^A)}{m_B^2 f_B} \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i \int_0^1 dv e^{(m_B^2 - s(X))/\mathcal{M}^2} \frac{1}{X^3 \mathcal{M}^4} \Theta(c(X, s_0)) \left[ \tilde{\Phi}_{3;b_1}^\parallel(\alpha_i) - \Phi_{3;b_1}^\parallel(\alpha_i) \right] \right\}, \quad (20)\end{aligned}$$

$$A(q^2) = \frac{m_b^2 m_{b_1} f_{b_1}^\parallel (m_B - m_{b_1})}{2m_B^2 f_B} \int_0^1 du e^{(m_B^2 - s(u))/\mathcal{M}^2} \frac{1}{u^2 \mathcal{M}^2} \tilde{\Theta}(c(u, s_0)) \psi_{3;b_1}^\perp(u), \quad (21)$$

where the  $q^2$ -dependent factors  $s(u)$  and  $s(X)$  are defined as  $s(\zeta) = [m_b^2 - (1 - \zeta)(q^2 - \zeta m_{b_1}^2)]/\zeta$  with  $\zeta = (u, X)$ , where  $X = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + v\alpha_3$ ,  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_3$  are momentum fractions carried by  $\bar{q}_1, q_2$  quarks and gluon in  $b_1(1235)$ -meson [31], respectively.  $\Theta(c(u, s_0))$  is the usual step function,  $\tilde{\Theta}(c(u, s_0))$  and  $\tilde{\tilde{\Theta}}(c(u, s_0))$  are defined as

$$\int_0^1 \frac{du}{u^2 \mathcal{M}^2} e^{-s(u)/\mathcal{M}^2} \tilde{\Theta}(c(u, s_0)) f(u) = \int_{u_0}^1 \frac{du}{u^2 \mathcal{M}^2} e^{-s(u)/\mathcal{M}^2} f(u) + \delta(c(u_0, s_0)), \quad (22)$$

$$\int_0^1 \frac{du}{2u^3 \mathcal{M}^4} e^{-s(u)/\mathcal{M}^2} \tilde{\tilde{\Theta}}(c(u, s_0)) f(u) = \int_{u_0}^1 \frac{du}{2u^3 \mathcal{M}^4} e^{-s(u)/\mathcal{M}^2} f(u) + \Delta(c(u_0, s_0)), \quad (23)$$

where  $c(u, s_0) = us_0 - m_b^2 + \bar{u}q^2 - u\bar{u}m_{b_1}^2$  and

$$\delta(c(u, s_0)) = e^{-s_0/\mathcal{M}^2} \frac{f(u_0)}{m_b^2 + u_0^2 m_{b_1}^2 - q^2}, \quad (24)$$

$$\Delta(c(u, s_0)) = e^{-s_0/\mathcal{M}^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2u_0 \mathcal{M}^2} \frac{f(u_0)}{m_b^2 + u_0^2 m_{b_1}^2 - q^2} - \frac{u_0^2}{2(m_b^2 + u_0^2 m_{b_1}^2 - q^2)} \frac{d}{du} \left( \frac{f(u)}{u(m_b^2 + u^2 m_{b_1}^2 - q^2)} \right) \Big|_{u=u_0} \right]. \quad (25)$$

Here  $u_0$  is the solution of  $c(u_0, s_0) = 0$  with  $0 \leq u_0 \leq 1$ . The simplified LCDAs are defined as

$$\Phi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel}(u) = \int_0^u dv \phi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel}(v), \quad (26)$$

$$\Phi_{3;b_1}^{\perp}(u) = \int_0^u dv \phi_{3;b_1}^{\perp}(v), \quad (27)$$

$$\Psi_{4;b_1}^{\parallel}(u) = \int_0^u dv \int_0^v dw \psi_{4;b_1}^{\parallel}(w). \quad (28)$$

The coupling constants  $f_{3;b_1}^V$  and  $f_{3;b_1}^A$  for  $b_1(1235)$ -meson are defined via the following matrix elements,

$$\langle b_1(q, \lambda) | J_{3,\mu}^{3,A}(0) | 0 \rangle = -f_{3;b_1}^A (z \cdot q)^3 e_{\perp,\mu}^{(\lambda)} + \mathcal{O}(z_\mu),$$

$$\langle b_1(q, \lambda) | J_{3,\mu}^{1,V}(0) | 0 \rangle = -i f_{3;b_1}^V (z \cdot q)^2 e_{\perp,\mu}^{(\lambda)} + \mathcal{O}(z_\mu), \quad (29)$$

in which the two interpolating currents,  $J_{3,\mu}^{3,A}(0) = z^\alpha z^\beta \bar{q}_2(0) \gamma_\alpha \gamma_5 [G_{\beta\mu}(0) i(z \cdot \vec{D}) - i(z \cdot \overleftarrow{D}) G_{\beta\mu}(0)] q_1(0)$  and  $J_{3,\mu}^{1,V}(0) = z^\alpha z^\beta \bar{q}_2(0) \gamma_\alpha g_s \tilde{G}_{\beta\mu}(0) q_1(0)$ .  $\mathcal{O}(z_\mu)$  represents the twist-4 and higher twist corrections [31].

In the standard model, the effective Hamiltonian for semileptonic decays  $B \rightarrow b_1 \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell$  can be written as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{ub} \bar{u} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b \bar{\ell} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \nu_\ell, \quad (30)$$

where  $V_{ub}$  is the CKM matrix element and  $G_F$  is the Fermi constant.

Then, the longitudinal and transverse differential decay widths for semileptonic decay  $B \rightarrow b_1(1235) \ell^+ \nu_\ell$  can be expressed as

$$\frac{d\Gamma_L(B \rightarrow b_1(1235) \ell^+ \nu_\ell)}{dq^2} = \left( \frac{q^2 - m_\ell^2}{q^2} \right)^2 \frac{\sqrt{\lambda} G_F^2 |V_{ub}|^2}{384 \pi^3 m_B^3} \times \frac{1}{q^2} \left[ 3 m_\ell^2 \lambda V_0^2(q^2) + \frac{m_\ell^2 + 2q^2}{2m_{b_1}} \left| (m_B^2 - m_{b_1}^2 - q^2) \times (m_B - m_{b_1}) V_1(q^2) - \frac{\lambda}{m_B - m_{b_1}} V_2(q^2) \right|^2 \right], \quad (31)$$

$$\frac{d\Gamma_\pm(B \rightarrow b_1(1235) \ell^+ \nu_\ell)}{dq^2} = \left( \frac{q^2 - m_\ell^2}{q^2} \right)^2 \frac{\lambda^{3/2} G_F^2 |V_{ub}|^2}{384 \pi^3 m_B^3} \times (m_\ell^2 + 2q^2) \left| \frac{A(q^2)}{m_B - m_{b_1}} \mp \frac{(m_B - m_{b_1}) V_1(q^2)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right|^2, \quad (32)$$

where  $\lambda = (m_B^4 + m_{b_1}^4 + q^4 - 2m_{b_1}^2 m_B^2 - 2q^2 m_B^2 - 2q^2 m_{b_1}^2)$ ,  $q^2$  is the squared momentum of the lepton pair, and  $m_\ell$  represents the mass of charged lepton. The total differential decay width is  $d\Gamma_L + d\Gamma_T$ , in which  $d\Gamma_L$  and  $d\Gamma_T = d\Gamma_+ + d\Gamma_-$  correspond to longitudinal and transverse parts, respectively.

### III. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

To do the numerical calculation, the input parameters are taken as follows. According to the Particle Data Group (PDG) [44], we take the  $b$ -quark mass  $\bar{m}_b(\bar{m}_b) = 4.18(2)$  GeV, and the masses of the light quarks  $\bar{m}_u(2 \text{ GeV}) = 2.16_{-0.26}^{+0.49}$  MeV and  $\bar{m}_d(2 \text{ GeV}) = 4.67_{-0.17}^{+0.48}$  MeV. The  $B$ -meson and  $b_1(1235)$ -meson masses are  $m_{B^0} = 5.27972$  GeV,  $m_{B^+} = 5.27942$  GeV and  $m_{b_1} \approx 1.2295$  GeV, accordingly. The  $B$ -meson and  $b_1(1235)$ -meson decay constants  $f_{B^0} = f_{B^+} = 0.214(12)$  GeV,  $f_{b_1}^{\parallel} = 0.258(25)$  GeV [45]. The typical scale of  $B \rightarrow b_1(1235)$  decay processes is  $\mu_k = (m_B^2 - m_{b_1}^2)^{1/2}$ . Furthermore, the non-perturbative vacuum condensates are the significant parameters to the sum rule, and we take [39, 46, 47]

$$\langle \bar{q}q \rangle_{2 \text{ GeV}} = (-289.14_{-4.47}^{+9.34})^3 \text{ MeV}^3,$$

$$\langle g_s \bar{q} \sigma T G q \rangle_{2 \text{ GeV}} = (-1.934_{-0.103}^{+0.188}) \times 10^{-2} \text{ GeV}^5,$$

$$\langle g_s \bar{q} q \rangle_{2 \text{ GeV}}^2 = (2.082_{-0.697}^{+0.734}) \times 10^{-3} \text{ GeV}^6,$$

$$\langle g_s^2 \bar{q} q \rangle_{1 \text{ GeV}}^2 = (7.420_{-2.483}^{+2.614}) \times 10^{-3} \text{ GeV}^6,$$

$$\langle \alpha_s G^2 \rangle_{1 \text{ GeV}} = 0.038(11) \text{ GeV}^4,$$

$$\langle g_s^3 f G^3 \rangle_{1 \text{ GeV}} \approx 0.045 \text{ GeV}^6,$$

$$\kappa = 0.74(3). \quad (33)$$

The values assigned to gluon condensates are predominantly utilized within the framework of QCD sum rules. The double-gluon condensate  $\langle \alpha_s G^2 \rangle$  is deduced from the sum rules pertaining to charmonium, whereas the triple-gluon condensate  $\langle g_s^3 f G^3 \rangle$ , finds its basis in the instanton model. A comprehensive discussion on this point

can be found in Refs.[46, 48, 49]. The double-quark condensate  $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$  and the quark-gluon mixed condensate  $\langle g_s \bar{q} \sigma T G q \rangle$  have been updated in Ref.[39] based on the Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner relation, and the relationship  $\langle g_s \bar{q} \sigma T G q \rangle = m_0^2 \langle q\bar{q} \rangle$  with  $m_0^2 = 0.80(2) \text{ GeV}^2$  [50]. Utilizing the relation  $\rho \alpha_s \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2 = (5.8 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-4} \text{ GeV}^6$  with  $\rho \sim (3, 4)$  [50], one obtains the four-quark condensate  $\langle g_s \bar{q}q \rangle^2$ . Similarly, the value of  $\langle g_s^2 \bar{q}q \rangle^2$  can be determined by amalgamating this with the updated value of  $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ . All those scale-dependent parameters, encompassing quark masses and vacuum condensates, should be evolved from an initial scale to the required scale such as  $\mu_k$  by employing the renormalization group equations (RGE) given in Refs.[51–54].

TABLE I: The first two non-zero longitudinal leading-twist LCDA moments of  $b_1(1235)$ -meson at the scale  $\mu = \sqrt{M^2}$  within the allowable Borel windows.

$n$	$M^2$	$\langle \xi_{2;b_1}^{n;\parallel} \rangle$
1	[2.017, 3.017]	[-0.562, -0.434]
3	[2.272, 3.272]	[-0.228, -0.259]

Two pivotal parameters for the QCD BFTSR of  $\xi$ -moments are continuum threshold  $s_0$  and the Borel parameter  $M^2$ . To ascertain their optimal values and bolster the credibility of sum rules predictions, it is imperative to ensure that the contributions from both the continuum states and the dimension-six condensates remain sufficiently small. The continuum threshold can be established as  $s_0 = 6.06 \text{ GeV}^2$  by confirming the existence of an appropriate Borel window for normalizing  $\langle \xi_{3;b_1}^{n;\parallel} \rangle$  in Eq.(11). We present the determined Borel windows together with the corresponding  $\xi$ -moments  $\langle \xi_{2;b_1}^{n;\parallel} \rangle$  at the scale  $\mu = \sqrt{M^2}$  in Table I. Here to fix the Borel windows, we have set the continuum contributions to be no more than (30%, 35%) for  $n = (1, 3)$ , respectively, which give the upper limits of Borel windows.

If the QCD sum rules (10) of  $\xi$ -moments encompass the contributions from all the dimensional condensates, they will be surely independent of any choices of the Borel parameter  $M^2$ , indicating the flatness of the  $\xi$ -moments versus  $M^2$ . However for a fixed-order OPE expansion, these  $\xi$ -moments will vary with  $M^2$  within its permissible choices. The extent of this variation heavily depends upon the convergence of the OPE expansion with respect to various powers of  $1/M^2$ . For the present derived LC-SRs, which take the condensates up to dimension-six into consideration, we conservatively stipulate that the fluctuations of  $\langle \xi_{2;b_1}^{n;\parallel} \rangle$  within the Borel window to be less than 10% so as to get the lower limit of the Borel window. A quite small contribution from the dimension-six condensates within this Borel window also ensures the reasonableness of this choice, due to the fact that the coefficient of higher dimensional condensate is generally suppressed by proper power of  $1/M^2$ .

TABLE II: The first two non-zero leading-twist LCDA Gegenbauer moments of  $b_1(1235)$ -meson at the scale 1 GeV.

	$a_{2;b_1}^{1;\parallel}$	$a_{2;b_1}^{3;\parallel}$
This Work	$-1.078_{-0.188}^{+0.197}$	$-0.264_{-0.018}^{+0.024}$
QCDSR [31]	$-1.95 \pm 0.35$	-

By taking into account all the mentioned error sources and adopting the RGE, our predictions for the first two  $\xi$ -moments  $\langle \xi_{2;b_1}^{1;\parallel} \rangle$  and  $\langle \xi_{2;b_1}^{3;\parallel} \rangle$  at 1 GeV are

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi_{2;b_1}^{1;\parallel} \rangle|_{1\text{GeV}} &= -0.647_{-0.113}^{+0.118}, \\ \langle \xi_{2;b_1}^{3;\parallel} \rangle|_{1\text{GeV}} &= -0.328_{-0.052}^{+0.055}, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where the errors are squared averages of the errors from all the mentioned input parameters. Using the relationship between the  $\xi^n$ -moments and the Gegenbauer moments, one can get the first two non-zero Gegenbauer moments  $a_{2;b_1}^{1;\parallel}$  and  $a_{2;b_1}^{3;\parallel}$  at the same scale, which are listed in Table II.




FIG. 1: The longitudinal leading-twist LCDA  $b_1(1235)$ -meson  $\phi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel}(x)$  at the scale  $\mu = 1 \text{ GeV}$ . The dotted line is the previous QCDSR prediction [31], and the dash-dotted line is asymptotic behavior for  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ .

The  $B \rightarrow b_1(1235)$  TFFs are key elements for investigating the  $B$ -meson semileptonic decay. To derive the TFFs (18)-(21), we need to know explicit forms of the required LCDAs. Regarding the twist-2 LCDA  $\phi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel}$ , we adopt its truncated Gegenbauer expansion  $\phi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel}(x) = 6x(1-x)(a_1 C_1^{3/2}(2x-1) + a_3 C_3^{3/2}(2x-1))$ , which is presented in Fig.1. As a comparison, we also present the QCDSR prediction [31] and the asymmetry behavior  $\phi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel}(x)|_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} = 6x\bar{x}$  in Fig.1. Our prediction prefers an antisymmetric behavior, which is the same as previous QCDSR prediction. Fig.2 shows the scale-running behavior of  $\phi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel}(x)$  at 1 GeV and 3 GeV, showing how its shape varies with the increment of scale. Fig.3 shows how the LCDA  $\phi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel}(x)$  at 1 GeV changes when more Gegenbauer terms are included, e.g. the Gegenbauer moments




FIG. 2: The scale-running behavior of the longitudinal leading-twist LCDA  $b_1(1235)$ -meson  $\phi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel}(x)$  at 1 GeV, 3 GeV. The asymptotic curve for infinity scale ( $\infty$ ) is also presented.




FIG. 3: The longitudinal leading-twist LCDA  $b_1(1235)$ -meson  $\phi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel}(x)$  at 1 GeV, whose Gegenbauer moments are kept up to  $n = 1$  and  $n = 3$ , respectively.

are kept up to  $n = 1$  and  $n = 3$ , respectively. As for the twist-3 LCDAs  $\phi_{3;b_1}^{\perp}(x)$  and  $\psi_{3;b_1}^{\perp}(x)$ , they can be decomposed into several parts [55]:

$$\phi_{3;b_1}^{\perp}(x) = \phi_{3;b_1}^{\perp WW}(x) + \phi_{3;b_1}^{\perp g}(x) + \phi_{3;b_1}^{\perp m}(x), \quad (35)$$

$$\psi_{3;b_1}^{\perp}(x) = \psi_{3;b_1}^{\perp WW}(x) + \psi_{3;b_1}^{\perp g}(x) + \psi_{3;b_1}^{\perp m}(x). \quad (36)$$

Here  $\phi_{3;b_1}^{\perp WW}(x)$  and  $\psi_{3;b_1}^{\perp WW}(x)$  denote the contributions from the leading-twist longitudinal LCDA under the Wandzura-Wilczek approximation, e.g.

$$\phi_{3;b_1}^{\perp WW}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_0^x \frac{dv}{v} \phi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel}(v) + \int_x^1 \frac{dv}{v} \phi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel}(v) \right], \quad (37)$$

$$\psi_{3;b_1}^{\perp WW}(x) = 2\bar{x} \int_0^x \frac{dv}{v} \phi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel}(v) + 2x \int_x^1 \frac{dv}{v} \phi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel}(v). \quad (38)$$

$\phi_{3;b_1}^{\perp g}(x)$  and  $\psi_{3;b_1}^{\perp g}(x)$  are contributions from the three-particle distribution amplitudes, which can be safely neglected due to their small contributions.  $\phi_{3;b_1}^{\perp m}(x)$  and  $\psi_{3;b_1}^{\perp m}(x)$  are related to the coefficient  $\tilde{\delta}_{\pm}$ , which tend to

be zero in the  $q\bar{q}$  with  $q = (u, d)$  meson's components<sup>1</sup>.

Moreover,  $\Phi_{3;b_1}^{\parallel}(\alpha_i)$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}_{3;b_1}^{\parallel}(\alpha_i)$  represents twist-3 LCDAs of the three-particle part [56, 57]. To do the numerical analysis, we adopt [56]

$$\Phi_{3;b_1}^{\parallel}(\alpha_i) = 360\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3^2 \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2}(7\alpha_3 - 3)\omega_{b_1}^A \right], \quad (39)$$

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{3;b_1}^{\parallel}(\alpha_i) = 5040(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3^2, \quad (40)$$

$$\psi_{4;b_1}^{\parallel}(x) = 6x\bar{x} + (1 - 3\xi^2) \left[ \frac{1}{7}a_{1;b_1}^{2;\parallel} - \frac{20}{3} \frac{f_{3;b_1}^A}{f_{b_1}^{\parallel} m_{b_1}} \right]. \quad (41)$$

where  $a_{1;b_1}^{2;\parallel} = -0.646$  at the scale  $\mu=3$  GeV is the result obtained by using the above BFTSR, the coupling constants  $f_{3;b_1}^A = -0.0036$  GeV<sup>2</sup> and  $f_{3;b_1}^V = 0.0030$  GeV<sup>2</sup> [26], the coefficient  $\omega_{b_1}^A = -1.5$  [26].

In order to evolve the hadronic parameters of  $b_1(1235)$ -meson twist-2, 3, 4 LCDAs from the initial scale such as  $\mu_0$  to the wanted scale  $\mu_k$ , one can use the RGE with the form  $c_i(\mu_k) = L^{\gamma_{c_i}/\beta_0} c_i(\mu_0)$ , where  $L = \alpha_s(\mu_k)/\alpha_s(\mu_0)$ ,  $\beta_0 = 11 - 2/3n_f$ . The one-loop anomalous dimensions  $\gamma_{c_i}$  satisfy the following equation [58].

$$\gamma_{c_i} = C_F \left( 1 - \frac{2}{(n+1)(n+2)} - \sum_{m=2}^{n+1} \frac{1}{m} \right). \quad (42)$$

We are ready to derive the TFFs (18)-(21), and for the purpose, we need to fix the continuum threshold  $s_0$  and Borel parameter  $\mathcal{M}^2$ . Normally, the continuum threshold  $s_0$  should be taken near the squared mass of the  $B$ -meson's first excited state with the same quantum number  $J^P$ . We take  $s_0^A = 33.0(1)$  GeV<sup>2</sup>,  $s_0^{V_1} = 33.0(1)$  GeV<sup>2</sup>,  $s_0^{V_2} = 33.0(1)$  GeV<sup>2</sup> and  $s_0^{V_{30}} = 33(1)$  GeV<sup>2</sup>. The selection of the Borel window aims to ensure that the continuum states contribute less than 30% and the contribution from high-twist states should be as small as possible. The determined Borel parameters are  $\mathcal{M}_A^2 = 4.0(5)$  GeV<sup>2</sup>,  $\mathcal{M}_{V_1}^2 = 4.0(5)$  GeV<sup>2</sup>,  $\mathcal{M}_{V_2}^2 = 16.0(5)$  GeV<sup>2</sup> and  $\mathcal{M}_{V_{30}}^2 = 16.0(5)$  GeV<sup>2</sup>, respectively. We present the  $B \rightarrow b_1(1235)$  TFFs together with their errors at the large recoil point, *i.e.*  $q^2 \rightarrow 0$  GeV<sup>2</sup>, in Table III. To make a comparison, the predictions from various approaches are also presented, *i.e.* the LCSR-I [26], the LCSR-II [27], the pQCD [25] and the CLFQM [29], respectively. Table IV shows how different twist LCDAs affect the  $B \rightarrow b_1(1235)$  TFFs at the large recoil point  $q^2 = 0$ .

Theoretically, the LCSR approach for  $B \rightarrow b_1(1235)$  TFFs are reliable in low and intermediate  $q^2$ -regions, which can be extrapolated to all physically allowable region  $m_{\ell}^2 \leq q^2 \leq (m_B - m_{b_1})^2 \approx 16.40$  GeV<sup>2</sup> via a proper way. Here we adopt the simplified series expansion (SSE)

<sup>1</sup> The detailed analysis can be found in Section 4 of Ref.[55]

TABLE III: The  $B \rightarrow b_1(1235)$  TFFs at the large recoil point, *i.e.*  $A(0), V_1(0), V_2(0)$  and  $V_0(0)$ . Predictions under various approaches are listed as a comparison.

References	$A(0)$	$V_1(0)$	$V_2(0)$	$V_0(0)$
This work	$-0.189^{+0.0549}_{-0.068}$	$-0.096^{+0.014}_{-0.017}$	$+0.166^{+0.024}_{-0.023}$	$-0.597^{+0.065}_{-0.070}$
LCSR-I [26]	$-0.25^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	$-0.20^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$	$-0.09^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	$-0.39^{+0.07}_{-0.07}$
LCSR-II [27]	$-0.17^{+0.06+0.04}_{-0.06-0.04}$	$-0.29 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.06$	$-0.17^{+0.06+0.04}_{-0.06-0.04}$	$-0.05^{+0.05+0.05}_{-0.05-0.05}$
pQCD [25]	$+0.19^{+0.04+0.01+0.03}_{-0.04-0.01-0.03}$	$+0.33^{+0.07+0.01+0.05}_{-0.06-0.02-0.05}$	$+0.03^{+0.01+0.00+0.02}_{-0.01-0.00-0.02}$	$+0.45^{+0.10+0.01+0.04}_{-0.09-0.01-0.04}$
CLFQM [29]	+0.10	+0.18	-0.03	+0.39

TABLE IV: The  $B \rightarrow b_1(1235)$  TFFs at the large recoil region  $q^2 = 0$ , in which the twsit-2, 3, 4 LCDAs' contributions are presented separately.

	$A(0)$	$V_1(0)$	$V_2(0)$	$V_0(0)$
$\Phi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel}(u)$	/	/	+0.063	-0.167
$\phi_{3;b_1}^{\perp}(u)$	/	-0.198	+0.112	-0.622
$\psi_{3;b_1}^{\perp}(u)$	-0.189	/	/	/
$\Psi_{4;b_1}^{\parallel}(u)$	/	+0.102	-0.009	+0.192
Total	-0.189	-0.096	+0.166	-0.597

TABLE V: The masses of low-lying  $B$  resonances, coefficients  $\alpha_{1,2}$  and  $\Delta$  for the TFFs  $A(q^2), V_1(q^2), V_2(q^2), V_0(q^2)$ , in which all the input parameters are set to be their central values.

	$A(q^2)$	$V_1(q^2)$	$V_2(q^2)$	$V_0(q^2)$
$m_{R,i}$	5.324	5.726	5.726	5.279
$\alpha_1$	+0.672	-0.529	+0.019	-4.493
$\alpha_2$	+1.684	+1.185	+5.513	-11.685
$\Delta$	-0.037%	-0.012%	-0.049%	-0.009%

approach [59, 60] to do the extrapolation, which takes the




FIG. 4: The  $B \rightarrow b_1(1235)$  TFFs  $A(q^2), V_1(q^2), V_2(q^2)$  and  $V_0(q^2)$  using the central values of all the input parameters.

following form

$$F_i(q^2) = \frac{1}{P_i(q^2)} \sum_k \alpha_k [z(q^2) - z(0)]^k. \quad (43)$$

Here the function  $z(t)$  is defined as

$$z(t) = \frac{\sqrt{t_+ - t} - \sqrt{t_+ - t_0}}{\sqrt{t_+ - t} + \sqrt{t_+ - t_0}}, \quad (44)$$

where  $t_{\pm} = (m_B \pm m_{b_1})^2, t_0 = t_+(1 - \sqrt{1 - t_-/t_+})$ .  $F_i(q^2)$  are the TFFs  $A(q^2)$  and  $V_{0,1,2}(q^2)$ , respectively. In this approach, the simple pole  $P_i(q^2) = (1 - q^2/m_{R,i}^2)$  which account for the low-lying resonance is adopted. Here, the masses of low-lying  $B$  resonances are mainly determined by the  $J^P$  states. Followed by the Ref. [61] and PDG values [44], we listed the  $m_{R,i}$  in Table V. The input parameters are fitted by requiring  $\Delta < 1\%$ , where the parameter  $\Delta$  is usually introduced to measure the quality of fit, which is defined as

$$\Delta = \frac{\sum_t |F_i(t) - F_i^{\text{fit}}(t)|}{\sum_t |F_i(t)|} \times 100, \quad (45)$$

where  $t \in [0, 1/40, \dots, 40/40] \times 8.20 \text{ GeV}^2$ . The fitting parameters  $\alpha_i$  for every TFFs and the quality of fit  $\Delta$  are also listed in Table V. It shows that the  $\Delta$  of  $B \rightarrow b_1(1235)$  TFFs are less than 0.050%, indicating high quality of fit. We present the extrapolated  $B \rightarrow b_1(1235)$  TFFs  $A(q^2), V_1(q^2), V_2(q^2)$  and  $V_0(q^2)$  in Fig. 4, in which the TFFs  $A(q^2), V_1(q^2)$  and  $V_0(q^2)$  are added with a minus sign for convenience.

To remove the uncertainty from the CKM matrix element  $|V_{ub}|$ , according to Eqs.(31) and (32), the longitudinal and transverse differential decay widths  $d\Gamma_{L,T}$  and total width  $d\Gamma_{\text{total}} = d\Gamma_L + d\Gamma_T$  of  $B \rightarrow b_1(1235)\ell^+\nu_\ell$  need to be divided by  $|V_{ub}|^2$ . We present their behavior as a function of  $q^2$  in Fig.5. Fig.5(a), Fig.5(b), and Fig.5(c) show that the differential widths for the  $e^+\nu_{e^+}, \mu^+\nu_{\mu^+}$ , and  $\tau^+\nu_{\tau^+}$  channels, respectively. In all those channels, the transverse contributions,  $d\Gamma_T/dq^2$ , are relatively small compared to the longitudinal contributions,  $d\Gamma_L/dq^2$ , in the low and intermediate  $q^2$ -regions. Thus total differential widths mainly come from the longitudinal components.

Finally, by using the lifetimes of  $B^0, B^+$ -mesons  $\tau_{B^0} = (1.517 \pm 0.004) \text{ ps}$  and  $\tau_{B^+} = (1.638 \pm 0.004) \text{ ps}$  is






FIG. 5: The  $B \rightarrow b_1(1235)\ell^+\nu_\ell$  decay width versus  $q^2$  by using the TFFs derived from the LCSR approach, where  $\ell = (e, \mu, \tau)$ , respectively.

TABLE VI: The branching fractions of  $B \rightarrow b_1(1235)\ell^+\nu_\ell$  with errors (in unit:  $10^{-4}$ ).

	$\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow b_1^+(1235)e^-\bar{\nu}_e)$	$\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow b_1^0(1235)e^+\nu_e)$
This work	$2.179_{-0.422}^{+0.553}$	$2.353_{-0.456}^{+0.597}$
LCSR [26]	$1.93_{-0.68}^{+0.84}$	$2.07_{-0.73}^{+0.90}$
pQCD [25]	$2.88_{-1.22}^{+1.51}$	-
CLFQM [28]	-	$0.77 \pm 0.17$
	$\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow b_1^-(1235)\mu^+\nu_\mu)$	$\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow b_1^0(1235)\mu^+\nu_\mu)$
This work	$2.166_{-0.415}^{+0.544}$	$2.339_{-0.448}^{+0.587}$
pQCD [25]	$1.26_{-0.54}^{+0.66}$	-

sued by the PDG [44], we predict the branching fractions for the two different semileptonic decay channels  $B^0 \rightarrow b_1^-(1235)\ell^+\nu_\ell$  and  $B^+ \rightarrow b_1^0(1235)\ell^+\nu_\ell$ , which are listed in Table VI. Meanwhile, other theoretical predictions such as pQCD [25], LCSR [26], and CLFQM [29] are also given as a comparison. Our results align well with the previous LCSR predictions [26] within reasonable error ranges.

#### IV. SUMMARY

In the present paper, we have calculated the  $b_1(1235)$ -meson  $\xi$ -moments of LCDA  $\langle \xi_{2;b_1}^{n;\parallel} \rangle$  by using the BFTSR approach up to dimension-six condensates. The first two non-zero moments of LCDA have been given in Eq.(34). Fig.1 shows that  $\phi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel}$  tends to be an antisymmetric form. Fig.2 shows the scale-running behavior of  $\phi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel}(x)$ . Fig.3 shows how the LCDA  $\phi_{2;b_1}^{\parallel}$  at 1 GeV changes when more Gegenbauer terms are included, e.g. the Gegenbauer moments are kept up to  $n = 1$  and  $n = 3$ , re-

spectively. Moreover, by using the derived leading-twist LCDA, we have calculated the  $B \rightarrow b_1(1235)$  TFFs  $A(q^2)$  and  $V_{0,1,2}(q^2)$  by using the LCSR approach up to twist-4 accuracy. At largest recoil point, we obtain  $A(0) = -0.133^{+0.026}_{-0.033}$ ,  $V_1(0) = -0.101^{+0.013}_{-0.016}$  and  $V_2(0) = +0.344^{+0.054}_{-0.049}$ . Furthermore, by extrapolating the TFFs to its physical allowable  $q^2$ -range, we present the  $|V_{ub}|$ -free differential decay widths of the semileptonic decays  $B \rightarrow b_1(1235)\ell^+\nu_\ell$  with  $\ell = (e, \mu, \tau)$  in Fig.5. The branching fractions for  $B^{0(+)} \rightarrow b_1(1235)^{-(0)}\ell^+\nu_\ell$  have been given in Table VI. It is hoped that the decays  $B \rightarrow b_1(1235)\ell^+\nu_\ell$  can be observed in near future, which inversely could provide a (potential) helpful test for QCD sum rules approach.

**Acknowledgments:** Hai-Bing Fu and Tao Zhong would like to thank the Institute of High Energy Physics of Chinese Academy of Sciences for their warm and kind hospitality. This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No.12175025, No.12265010, No.12265009 and No.12347101, the Project of Guizhou Provincial Department of Science and Technology under Grant No.ZK[2023]024, the Graduate Research and Innovation Foundation of Chongqing, China under Grant No.CYB23011 and No.ydstd1912, and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities under Grant No.2024IAIS-ZD009.

- 
- [1] B. Aubert *et al.* [BABAR Collaboration], *Observation of  $B^0$  meson decays to  $a_1^+(1260)\pi^-$* , [hep-ex/0408021].
- [2] B. Aubert *et al.* [BABAR Collaboration], *Observation of  $B^0$  meson decay to  $a_1^\pm(1260)\pi^\mp$* , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97** (2006) 051802.
- [3] B. Aubert *et al.* [BABAR Collaboration], *Measurements of CP-violating asymmetries in  $B^0 \rightarrow a_1^\pm(1260)\pi^\mp$  decays*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98** (2007) 181803.
- [4] K. Abe *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], *Measurement of the branching fraction for  $B^0 \rightarrow a_1^\pm(1260)\pi^\mp$  with 535 million  $B\bar{B}$  pairs*, arXiv:0706.3279.
- [5] A. Dhar and S. R. Wadia, *The Nambu-Jona-Lasinio Model: An Effective Lagrangian for Quantum Chromodynamics at Intermediate Length Scales*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **52** (1984) 959.
- [6] M. Wingate, T. A. DeGrand, S. Collins and U. M. Heller, *Properties of the  $a_1$  meson from lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74** (1995) 4596.
- [7] M. Wakayama, Y. Murakami, S. Muroya, A. Nakamura, C. Nonaka, M. Sekiguchi and H. Wada, *Mass of  $a_1$  Meson from Lattice QCD with the Truncated Overlap Fermions*, *JPS Conf. Proc.* **26** (2019) 031007.
- [8] M. A. Abolins, R. L. Lander, W. A. W. Mehlhop, N. huu Xuong and P. M. Yager, *Production of Multimeson Resonances by  $\pi^+p$  Interaction and Evidence for a piomega Resonance*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **11** (1963) 381.
- [9] R. Bizzarri, M. Foster, P. Gavillet, G. Labrosse, L. Montanet, R. Salmeron, P. Villemoes, C. Ghesquiere and E. Lillestol, *Experimental results on the omega pi and pi pi systems as observed in the anti-p p annihilations at rest - anti-p p  $\rightarrow$  omega0 pi+ pi-*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **14** (1969) 169.
- [10] C. Baltay, J. C. Severiens, N. Yeh and D. Zanello, *Observation of the B Meson in the Reaction  $\bar{p} + p \rightarrow \omega^0 + \pi^+ + \pi^-$* , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **18** (1967) 93.
- [11] M. Atkinson *et al.* [Omega Photon], *Inclusive Photoproduction of Delta(980) and B(1235) at High X(f)*, *Phys. Lett. B* **138** (1984) 459.
- [12] U. Karshon, G. Mikenberg, Y. Eisenberg, S. Pitluck, E. E. Ronat, A. Shapira and G. Yekutieli, *Production and Decay Mechanism of the B Meson and the omega pi System in  $\pi^+ p$  Interactions at 5-GeV/c*, *Phys. Rev. D* **10** (1974) 3608.
- [13] V. Chaloupka, A. Ferrando, M. J. Losty and L. Montanet, *Production and Decay Properties of the B Meson*, *Phys. Lett. B* **51** (1974) 407.
- [14] R. Gessaroli, A. Quareni-Vignudelli, S. Berti, A. M. Cartacci, C. Caso, F. Fontanelli, S. Squarcia, U. Trevisan, A. Boldetti and G. Costa, *et al. Analysis of the omega pi- System Produced in the Reaction  $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^0 p$  at 11.2-GeV/c*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **126** (1977) 382.
- [15] C. Evangelista, B. Ghidini, A. Palano, V. Picciarelli, G. Zito, J. Broring, K. Muller, E. Paul, W. Renneberg and W. Ruhmer, *et al. Results From a  $\pi^- p$  Slow Proton Trigger Experiment at 12 GeV/c*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **178** (1981) 197.
- [16] M. Atkinson *et al.* [Omega Photon], *A Spin Parity Analysis of the  $\omega\pi^0$  Enhancement Photoproduced in the Energy Range 20-GeV to 70-GeV*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **243** (1984) 1.
- [17] B. Collick, S. Heppelmann, T. Joyce, Y. Makdisi, M. L. Marshak, E. A. Peterson, K. Ruddick, D. Berg, C. Chandlee and S. Cihangir, *et al. PRIMAKOFF PRODUCTION OF THE  $B^+$  (1235) MESON*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **53** (1984) 2374.
- [18] S. Fukui, N. Horikawa, S. Inaba, T. Inagaki, Y. Inagaki, Y. Ishizaki, T. Iwata, T. Kinashi, M. Kurashina and I. Maeda, *et al. Study of omega pi0 system in the pi-p charge exchange reaction at 8.95-GeV/c*, *Phys. Lett. B* **257** (1991) 241.
- [19] A. Alde *et al.* [IHEP-IISN-LANL-LAPP-KEK], *Study of the omega pi0 system*, *Z. Phys. C* **54** (1992) 553.
- [20] P. Weidenauer *et al.* [ASTERIX], *N anti-N annihilation at rest into five pions*, *Z. Phys. C* **59** (1993) 387.
- [21] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], *Future Physics Programme of BESIII*, *Chin. Phys. C* **44** (2020) 040001.
- [22] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], *Search for the semileptonic decay  $D^{0(+)} \rightarrow b_1(1235)^{-(0)}e^+\nu_e$* , *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020) 112005.
- [23] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], *Search for the semileptonic decays  $D_s^+ \rightarrow K_1(1270)^0e^+\nu_e$  and  $D_s^+ \rightarrow b_1(1235)^0e^+\nu_e$* , *Phys. Rev. D* **108** (2023) 112002.
- [24] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], *Observation of  $D^0 \rightarrow b_1(1235)^-e^+\nu_e$  and evidence for  $D^+ \rightarrow b_1(1235)^0e^+\nu_e$* , [arXiv:2407.20551 [hep-ex]]
- [25] R. H. Li, C. D. Lu and W. Wang, *Transition form factors of B decays into p-wave axial-vector mesons in the perturbative QCD approach*, *Phys. Rev. D* **79** (2009) 034014.
- [26] K. C. Yang, *Form-Factors of  $B(u,d,s)$  Decays into P-*

- Wave Axial-Vector Mesons in the Light-Cone Sum Rule Approach*, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 034018.
- [27] Y. J. Sun, Z. G. Wang and T. Huang,  *$B \rightarrow A$  Transitions in the Light-Cone QCD Sum Rules with the Chiral current*, *Chin. Phys. C* **36** (2012) 1046.
- [28] X. W. Kang, T. Luo, Y. Zhang, L. Y. Dai and C. Wang, *Semileptonic  $B$  and  $B_s$  decays involving scalar and axial-vector mesons*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018) 909.
- [29] H. Y. Cheng, C. K. Chua and C. W. Hwang, *Covariant light front approach for  $s$  wave and  $p$  wave mesons: Its application to decay constants and form-factors*, *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 074025.
- [30] K. C. Yang, *Light-cone distribution amplitudes for the light  $p$  wave siglet state - 1 mesons*, *JHEP* **10**, 108 (2005).
- [31] K. C. Yang, *Light-cone distribution amplitudes of axial-vector mesons*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **776** (2007) 187.
- [32] V. A. Novikov, M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein and V. I. Zakharov, *Calculations in External Fields in Quantum Chromodynamics. Technical Review*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **32** (1984) 585.
- [33] W. Hubschmid and S. Mallik, *Operator Expansion At Short Distance In QCD*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **207** (1982) 29.
- [34] J. Govaerts, F. de Viron, D. Gusbin and J. Weyers, *Exotic Mesons From QCD Sum Rules*, *Phys. Lett. B* **128** (1983) 262.
- [35] T. Huang, X. N. Wang, X. D. Xiang and S. J. Brodsky, *The Quark Mass and Spin Effects in the Mesonic Structure*, *Phys. Rev. D* **35** (1987) 1013.
- [36] T. Huang and Z. Huang, *Quantum chromodynamics in background fields*, *Phys. Rev. D* **39** (1989) 1213.
- [37] P. Ball and R. Zwicky,  *$B_{d,s} \rightarrow \rho, \omega, K^*, \phi$  decay form-factors from light-cone sum rules revisited*, *Phys. Rev. D* **71** (2005) 014029.
- [38] D. D. Hu, H. B. Fu, T. Zhong, L. Zeng, W. Cheng and X. G. Wu,  *$\eta^{(\prime)}$ -meson twist-2 distribution amplitude within QCD sum rule approach and its application to the semi-leptonic decay  $D_s^+ \rightarrow \eta^{(\prime)} \ell^+ \nu_\ell$* , *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82** (2022) 12.
- [39] T. Zhong, Z. H. Zhu, H. B. Fu, X. G. Wu and T. Huang, *Improved light-cone harmonic oscillator model for the pion leading-twist distribution amplitude*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) 016021.
- [40] T. Zhong, H. B. Fu and X. G. Wu, *Investigating the ratio of CKM matrix elements  $|V_{ub}|/|V_{cb}|$  from semileptonic decay  $B_s^0 \rightarrow K^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu$  and kaon twist-2 distribution amplitude*, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 116020.
- [41] Z. G. Wang, *Analysis of the  $B \rightarrow a_1(1260)$  form-factors with light-cone QCD sum rules*, *Phys. Lett. B* **666** (2008) 477.
- [42] R. C. Verma, *Decay constants and form factors of  $s$ -wave and  $p$ -wave mesons in the covariant light-front quark model*, *J. Phys. G* **39** (2012) 025005.
- [43] S. Momeni, R. Khosravi and F. Falahati, *Flavor changing neutral current transition of  $B$  to  $a_1$  with light-cone sum rules*, *Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017) 016009.
- [44] P. A. Zyla *et al.* [Particle Data Group], *Review of particle physics*, *PTEP* **2020** (2020) 083C01.
- [45] H. Mutuk, *Mass spectra and decay constants of heavy-light mesons: A case study of QCD sum rules and quark model*, *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2018** (2018) 8095653.
- [46] P. Colangelo and A. Khodjamirian, *QCD sum rules, a modern perspective*, [hep-ph/0010175](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0010175).
- [47] S. Narison, *Mini-review on QCD spectral sum rules*, *Nucl. Part. Phys. Proc.* **258** (2015) 189.
- [48] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein and V. I. Zakharov, *QCD and Resonance Physics. Theoretical Foundations*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **147** (1979) 385.
- [49] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein and V. I. Zakharov, *QCD and Resonance Physics: Applications* *Nucl. Phys. B* **147** (1979) 448.
- [50] S. Narison, *Improved  $f_{D_{(s)}^*}, f_{B_{(s)}^*}$  and  $f_{B_c}$  from QCD Laplace sum rules*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **30** (2015) 1550116.
- [51] K. C. Yang, W. Y. P. Hwang, E. M. Henley and L. S. Kisslinger, *QCD sum rules and neutron proton mass difference*, *Phys. Rev. D* **47** (1993) 3001.
- [52] W. Y. P. Hwang and K. C. Yang, *QCD sum rules:  $\Delta - N$  and  $\Sigma^0 - \Lambda$  mass splittings*, *Phys. Rev. D* **49** (1994) 460.
- [53] Y. Zhang, T. Zhong, H. B. Fu, W. Cheng and X. G. Wu,  *$D_s$ -meson leading-twist distribution amplitude within the QCD sum rules and its application to the  $B_s \rightarrow D_s$  transition form factor*, *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021) 114024.
- [54] C. D. Lü, Y. M. Wang and H. Zou, *Twist-3 distribution amplitudes of scalar mesons from QCD sum rules*, *Phys. Rev. D* **75** (2007) 056001.
- [55] P. Ball, V. M. Braun, Y. Koike and K. Tanaka, *Higher twist distribution amplitudes of vector mesons in QCD: Formalism and twist-3 distributions*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **529** (1998) 323.
- [56] P. Ball and V. M. Braun, *Handbook of higher twist distribution amplitudes of vector mesons in QCD* [arXiv:hep-ph/9808229](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9808229) [hep-ph].
- [57] H. Y. Cheng and K. C. Yang, *Hadronic charmless  $B$  decays  $B \rightarrow AP$* , *Phys. Rev. D* **76** (2007) 114020.
- [58] H. B. Fu, W. Cheng, R. Y. Zhou and L. Zeng,  *$D \rightarrow P(\pi, K)$  helicity form factors within light-cone sum rule approach*, *Chin. Phys. C* **44** (2020) 113103.
- [59] C. Bourrely, I. Caprini and L. Lellouch, "Model-independent description of  $B \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu$  decays and a determination of  $|V_{ub}|$ ", *Phys. Rev. D* **79** (2009) 013008.
- [60] A. Bharucha, T. Feldmann and M. Wick, "Theoretical and Phenomenological Constraints on Form Factors for Radiative and Semi-Leptonic  $B$ -Meson Decays", *JHEP* **09** (2010) 090.
- [61] S. Momeni, *Helicity form factors for  $D_{(s)} \rightarrow A \ell \nu$  process in the light-cone QCD sum rules approach*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020) 553.