Precise measurement of CP violating τ EDM through $e^+e^- \to \gamma^*, \psi(2s) \to \tau^+\tau^-$

Xiao-Gang He, 1, 2, * Chia-Wei Liu, 1, [†](#page-0-1) Jian-Ping Ma, 3, 4, 5, [‡](#page-0-2) Chang Yang, 1, 2, [§](#page-0-3) and Zi-Yue Zou 1, 2, [¶](#page-0-4)

 1 Tsung-Dao Lee Institute, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

 $2Key$ Laboratory for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology (MOE)

& Shanghai Key Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology,

Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

³School of Physics, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453007,Henan, China

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

⁵ School of Physics and Center for High-Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

(Dated: January 14, 2025)

A nonzero electric dipole moment of a tauon, d_{τ} , signals CP violation and provides an important probe for new physics. We study methods to measure d_{τ} at low energy e^+e^- colliders through the processes $e^+e^- \to \gamma^*, \psi(2S) \to \tau^+\tau^-$ with τ^{\pm} decays into a charged hadron and a tau neutrino. We point out that, with measuring energies of the charged hadron, $\text{Im}(d_{\tau})$ can be measured. On the other hand, selecting events of τ decays after traveling more than the detector resolution distance, $Re(d_{\tau})$ can also be determined. We find that the precision at Super Tau-Charm Facility (STCF) running at the center energy of $m_{\psi(2S)}$ for 10 year data accumulation, the precision of Im(d_{τ}) and Re(d_{τ}) are found to be 3.5 and 11 in unit of 10⁻¹⁸ e cm, respectively. The sensitivity for d_{τ} measurement precision at the STCF can be reached its optimum at a central energy of 6.3 GeV, achieving a precision of 1.3 for Im(d_{τ}) and 2.9 for Re(d_{τ}) in unit of 10⁻¹⁸ e cm.

INTRODUCTION

The electric dipole moment (EDM) of a fundamental fermion violates CP symmetry. In the Standard Model (SM), EDMs are generated only through higherorder loop processes and therefore are predicted to be extremely small [\[1](#page-3-0)[–3\]](#page-3-1). Experimental searches for EDMs have so far yielded null results [\[4](#page-3-2)[–7\]](#page-4-0), with some particles having poorly constrained limits. In particular, for the tauon EDM, d_{τ} , the most stringent constraint obtained at Belle is found to be [\[8\]](#page-4-1)

$$
Re(d_{\tau}) = (-6.2 \pm 6.3) \times 10^{-18} e \text{cm}, \nIm(d_{\tau}) = (-4.0 \pm 3.2) \times 10^{-18} e \text{cm}.
$$
\n(1)

New physics beyond the SM could potentially generate a significantly larger d_{τ} that might be detectable in the near future [\[10\]](#page-4-2). Due to the short lifetime of tauons, measuring their EDM in the light-like region is challenging [\[11,](#page-4-3) [12\]](#page-4-4). In this study, we explore the sensitivity reach for d_{τ} through the process $e^+e^- \to \gamma^*, \psi(2S) \to \tau^+\tau^$ at the Super Tau Charm Facility (STCF) with the intermediate states γ^* and $\psi(2S)$, aiming to better constrain physics beyond the SM. At STCF, the energy of the τ pair produced is not high, and the distance traveled may be short, making the reconstruction of the τ momentum challenging and limiting the information needed for d_{τ} extraction. We propose strategies to overcome these difficulties. Our findings indicate that the sensitivity for d_{τ}

at the STCF could achieve tighter limits than the current best experimental constraints.

The cross-sectoion of $e^+e^- \to \gamma^* \to \tau^+\tau^-$, at the leading order, is given by

$$
\sigma = \frac{4\pi\alpha_{\text{em}}^2}{3s} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_{\tau}^2}{s}} \left(1 + \frac{2m_{\tau}^2}{s} \right) ,\qquad (2)
$$

Here, \sqrt{s} is the center-of-mass energy of the system, and $\alpha_{\text{em}} = e^2/(4\pi)$ is the electromagnetic fine structure con- $\alpha_{em} = e/(4\pi)$ is the electromagnetic line structure constant. At $\sqrt{s} = m_{\psi(2S)}$, the photon propagator receives an enhancement from $\gamma^* \to \psi(2S) \to \gamma^*$:

$$
\frac{1}{s} \to \frac{1}{s} + \frac{Q_c^2 \alpha_{\text{em}} g_{\psi(2S)}^2}{i\sqrt{s}^5 \Gamma_{\psi}},
$$
\n(3)

where $Q_c = 2/3$ and Γ_{ψ} is the width of $\psi(2S)$. The decay constant $g_{\psi(2S)}$ is given by $\langle \psi(2S)|\overline{c}\gamma_{\mu}c|0\rangle = g_{\psi(2S)}\epsilon_{\mu}$ with ϵ_{μ} being the polarization vector of $\psi(2S)$, it can be determined from $\psi(2S) \to \tau^+\tau^-$ branching ratio of be determined from $\psi(25) \to \tau^+\tau^-$ branching ratio or $(3.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$. Numerically, at $\sqrt{s} = m_{\psi(2S)}$, the cross section σ is enhanced from 2.5 nb to 4.5 nb. At STCF, the luminosity is expected to reach 1 ab^{-1} per year. Over ten years of data collection, the total number of $\psi(2S)$ events is anticipated to be about 2×10^{10} .

Information about the τ EDM d_{τ} originates from the interaction Lagrangian of

$$
L_{\rm int} = -i\frac{1}{2}d_{\tau}(q^2)\bar{\tau}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma_5\tau F^{\mu\nu},\qquad(4)
$$

where $F^{\mu\nu}$ is the photon field strength and q is the momentum of γ^* . At $q^2 = 0$, $d_{\tau}(0)$ represents the usual tau EDM and must be real. For the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma^* \rightarrow$ $\tau^+\tau^-$, d_{τ} is evaluated at the energy scale $q^2 = s$, where d_{τ} also develops an imaginary part, Im (d_{τ}) .

⁴ Institute of Theoretical Physics, P.O. Box 2735,

[∗] hexg@sjtu.edu.cn

[†] chiaweiliu@sjtu.edu.cn

majp@itp.ac.cn

[§] 15201868391@sjtu.edu.cn

[¶] ziy_zou@sjtu.edu.cn

To measure the EDM and test CP symmetry in the relevant process, one needs to extract information about the τ^{\mp} spins [\[9\]](#page-4-5). The spin effects are reflected in the hadrons during the sequential decays:

$$
\frac{d\Gamma(\tau^- \to h^- \nu_\tau)}{d\cos\theta_-} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha_h \cos\theta_-),
$$

\n
$$
\frac{d\Gamma(\tau^+ \to h^+ \overline{\nu}_\tau)}{d\cos\theta_+} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \overline{\alpha}_h \cos\theta_+).
$$
 (5)

In this work we consider the cases of $h^{\pm} = \pi^{\pm}$ or ρ^{\pm} . Taking CP to be conserved in the cascade decays would lead to $\overline{\alpha}_h = \alpha_h$. Here, $\theta_-(\theta_+)$ represents the angles between the $\tau^-(\tau^+)$ spins and the 3-momenta $l_-(l_+)$ of the outgoing secondary hadrons $h^-(h^+)$ in the rest frame of $\tau^-(\tau^+)$. In the SM, neutrinos are left-handed, and the helicities in $\tau^- \to h^- \nu_\tau$ are fixed by the $V - A$ structure. The helicity-related parameters are determined as $(\alpha_{\pi}, \alpha_{\rho}) = (1, 0.45)$ [\[10\]](#page-4-2).

MEASUREMENTS OF $IM(d_{\tau})$ AND $RE(d_{\tau})$

By measuring \boldsymbol{l}_{\pm} and the three-momentum \boldsymbol{k} of τ^{-} , the τ -EDM can be extracted. The imaginary and real parts of d_{τ} can be separately determined using the combinations of observable quantities discussed below [\[13\]](#page-4-6).

For the the imaginary part, we have

$$
\operatorname{Im}(d_{\tau}) = \frac{-e(3s + 6m_{\tau}^2)}{4s\sqrt{s - 4m_{\tau}^2}} \left(\frac{\langle \hat{\boldsymbol{L}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{k}} \rangle}{\alpha_h} + \frac{\langle \hat{\boldsymbol{l}} + \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{k}} \rangle}{\overline{\alpha}_{h'}} \right), \quad (6)
$$

where \hat{l}_{\pm} and \hat{k} are unit vectors for the directions of the momenta l_{\pm} and k , respectively. There are two different methods to extract the real part of the EDM from the distributions:

$$
\operatorname{Re}(d_{\tau})^{a} = e \frac{9}{4} \frac{s + 2m_{\tau}^{2}}{\alpha_{h} \overline{\alpha}_{h'} m_{\tau} \sqrt{s^{2} - 4sm_{\tau}^{2}}} \langle (\hat{\mathbf{i}}_{-} \times \hat{\mathbf{i}}_{+}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \rangle, (7)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Re}(d_{\tau})^{b} = -e \frac{45}{4} \frac{(s + 2m_{\tau}^{2}) \langle (\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}})(\hat{\mathbf{l}}_{-} \times \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{+}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} \rangle}{\alpha_{h} \overline{\alpha}_{h'} m_{\tau} (\sqrt{s} - 2m_{\tau}) \sqrt{s - 4m_{\tau}^{2}}}.
$$
 (8)

The superscripts a and b denote the first a) and second b) methods, respectively. \hat{p} is the unit vector for the moving direction of the initial e^- . The brackets $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle$ denote the average value of $\mathcal O$ over the entire angular distribution.

In the above, τ^- decays to the hadron h^- , and τ^+ decays to the hadron h'^+ . It is noted that h^- and h'^+ are not necessarily the same type of hadrons. To achieve the best precision, we have to consider different permutations of hadrons from τ^+ and τ^- decays and take the average of the measurements.

Measurement of $\text{Im}(d_{\tau})$

For $\text{Im}(d_{\tau})$ measurement, one needs to know $\langle \hat{\bm{l}}_{\mp} \cdot \hat{\bm{k}} \rangle$. The inner products are related to h^- and h'^+ energies E_{\pm} , respectively, in the lab frame as

$$
\hat{\mathbf{l}}_{\mp} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{E_{\mp}^2 - m_h^2}} \left(\frac{4E_{\mp} m_\tau^2 / \sqrt{s} - m_\tau^2 - m_h^2}{2m_\tau \sqrt{1 - 4m_\tau^2 / s}} \right) . (9)
$$

It is interesting to note that the measurements of $\text{Im}(d_{\tau})$ require only the detection of energies of hadrons but not full three-momenta of τ 's and hadrons. Once the $e^+e^$ run three-momenta or τ s and nadrons. Once the e^+e^-
center of mass frame energy \sqrt{s} is known, one only needs to measure E^{\pm} to obtain $\langle \hat{\bm{l}}_{\pm} \cdot \hat{\bm{k}} \rangle$.

The sensitivity δ_{Im} for the measurement of $\text{Im}(d_{\tau})$ can be estimated as the following. From an observ- $\sqrt{\langle \langle \mathcal{O}^2 \rangle - \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle^2 \rangle/N}$ with N the number of events. We able \mathcal{O} , in general the standard deviation $\delta_{\mathcal{O}}$ is given by have the standard deviation of $\text{Im}(d_{\tau})$ as

$$
\delta_{\text{Im}} = \frac{e(s + 2m_{\tau}^2)}{4s\sqrt{s - 4m_{\tau}^2}} \sqrt{\frac{3}{\sum_{h}^{m,\rho} \alpha_h^2 N_{\text{Im}}^h} + \frac{3}{\sum_{h}^{m,\rho} \overline{\alpha}_h^2 \overline{N}_{\text{Im}}^h}} \,. \tag{10}
$$

The event number is given by

$$
N_{\text{Im}}^h = \epsilon L \sigma \mathcal{B}(\tau^- \to h^- \nu_\tau),
$$

\n
$$
\overline{N}_{\text{Im}}^h = \epsilon L \sigma \mathcal{B}(\tau^+ \to h^+ \nu_{\overline{\tau}}),
$$
\n(11)

where ϵ is the detection efficiency and L the luminosity of e^-e^+ collisions. The factor $\sqrt{s-4m_{\tau}^2}$ in the denominator is crucial. It indicates that $\text{Im}(d_{\tau})$ is difficult mator is crucial. It indicates that $\lim_{\tau \to 0} (a_{\tau})$ is difficult
to measure at $\sqrt{s} \sim 2m_{\tau}$ and degrades the precision to measure at $\sqrt{s} \approx 2m_{\tau}$ and degrades the precision
at $\sqrt{s} = m_{\psi(2S)}$. In practice, α_h^2 can be interpreted as the efficiency of reconstructing spins from momentum. Although $\mathcal{B}(\tau^- \to \rho^- \nu_\tau) = (10.82 \pm 0.05)\%$ is smaller than $\mathcal{B}(\tau^- \to \pi^- \nu_\tau) \approx 25\%$ [\[7\]](#page-4-0), the channel with $h = \pi$ contributes twice as much statistically significant data due to $\alpha_{\rho}^2/\alpha_{\pi}^2 \approx 0.2$ as evidenced in Eq. [\(10\)](#page-1-0). In the following, we neglect CP violation in $\tau^- \to h^- \nu_\tau$ and take $\alpha_h = \overline{\alpha}_h$.

Measurement of $\text{Re}(d_{\tau})$

The measurement of $\text{Re}(d_{\tau})$ needs however, in both ways a) and b) mentioned earlier, the full reconstruction of \hat{k} and \hat{l}_{\pm} . The momentum directions of the secondary hadrons can be measured in the experiment, but the measurement of \hat{k} is much more involved.

With measured \hat{l}_{\pm} one can partly reconstruct \hat{k} . This leads to the formula [\[4,](#page-3-2) [8\]](#page-4-1):

$$
\hat{\boldsymbol{k}} = u\hat{\boldsymbol{l}}_{+} + v\hat{\boldsymbol{l}}_{-} + \text{sgn}\left((\hat{\boldsymbol{l}}_{-} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{l}}_{+}) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{k}} \right) w\hat{\boldsymbol{l}}_{-} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{l}}_{+}. (12)
$$

In the above, u and v are functions of $\hat{l}_{+} \cdot \hat{l}_{-}$ and can be obtained by matching to Eq. (9) , while w is determined by $|\hat{\bm{k}}|=1$ and positive, only the sign of $(\hat{\bm{l}}_-\!\times\!\hat{\bm{l}}_+)\!\cdot\!\hat{\bm{k}})$ in the last term can not be fixed. This is the two-fold ambiguity in reconstruction of \hat{k} from \hat{l}_{\pm} due to the undetected

neutrinos. In some literature, the sign is treated as a random number taking either ± 1 [\[8,](#page-4-1) [14\]](#page-4-7). This approach may suffice for measuring $\text{Im}(d_{\tau})$, as $(\hat{\ell}_{-} \times \hat{\ell}_{+}) \cdot \hat{\ell}_{\mp} = 0$. Treating the sign as a random number of $+1$ or -1 leads to $\langle (\hat{\bm{l}}_{-} \times \hat{\bm{l}}_{+}) \cdot \hat{\bm{k}} \rangle = 0$, because the expectation value of the random number vanishes. Hence, it is impossible to perform a measurement of $\text{Re}(d_{\tau})^a$ as long as \hat{k} itself is not measured $¹$ $¹$ $¹$. Also the ambiguity in the sign function also</sup> modifies $\text{Re}(d_{\tau})^b$. Therefore, for $\text{Re}(d_{\tau})$, measurements of \hat{l}_{\pm} alone are not sufficient. To address this shortcoming, we propose fully reconstructing \hat{k} in future CP tests by selecting τ decay events traveling more than the detector spacial resolution length. This procedure will sacrifice the statistic, but as will be seen later, at the STCF, good sensitivities can still be achieved.

In symmetric colliders, such as the BESIII and STCF, the momenta of charged particles can be measured if their flight distance surpasses the resolution length D. We note that it suffices for measurements to determine sgn($(\hat{\mathbf{l}}_-\times\hat{\mathbf{l}}_+) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}$) for reconstructing $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$. The proportion of \hat{k} being measured is then given by

$$
P_{\tau} = 1 - \left(\int_0^{D/D_0} \exp(-x) \, dx \right)^2, \tag{13}
$$

where $D_0 = \tau_\tau \sqrt{s/(4m_\tau^2) - 1}$, representing the mean decay length of τ , and τ_{τ} the lifetime of τ . The integral represents the probability of τ^- decaying before its flight distance reaches D in the lab frame, and the square arises because it is sufficient to probe the momentum of either τ^- or τ^+ .

Note that for identifying the τ momentum direction, a smaller D/D_0 is preferable, as it leads to a larger reconstruction rate of P_{τ} . Two approaches to achieve this are: (1.) increasing the energy of the τ in the laboratory frame, i.e., increasing the value of s ; and $(2.)$ enhancing the detector's spatial resolution to be finer than D_0 .

The standard deviations of $\text{Re}(d_{\tau})^{a,b}$ in order are

$$
\delta_{\text{Re}}(D)^a = \frac{3e}{4} \frac{s + 2m_\tau^2}{m_\tau \sqrt{s^2 - 4sm_\tau^2}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{N_{\text{Re}}^{\text{eff}}}},\tag{14}
$$

and

$$
\delta_{\text{Re}}(D)^b = \frac{3e}{4} \frac{\sqrt{s^2 + 3sm_\tau^2 + 2m_\tau^4}}{m_\tau(\sqrt{s} - 2m_\tau)\sqrt{s - 4m_\tau^2}} \sqrt{\frac{20}{N_{\text{Re}}^{\text{eff}}}}, \tag{15}
$$

Fig. 1. The expected precision of d_{τ} with $L\epsilon = 0.63 \text{ ab}^{-1}$. The values of D for $\delta_{\rm Re}$ are indicated in bracket.

where the effective number of events is given by

$$
N_{\text{Re}}^{\text{eff}} = P_{\tau} \epsilon L \sigma \left(\sum_{h}^{\pi, \rho} \alpha_h^2 \mathcal{B}(\tau^- \to h^- \nu_\tau) \right)^2. \tag{16}
$$

We have written out explicitly that δ_{Re} depends on the spatial resolution D. Comparing $\delta_{\text{Re}}(D)^a$ and $\delta_{\text{Re}}(D)^b$, it is evident that the first method, which requires the full reconstruction of \hat{k} , achieves significantly better precision. In the following, we consider both methods for measuring $\text{Re}(d_{\tau})^{a,b}$ to reduce uncertainties. This results in a combined weighted error given by

$$
\frac{1}{\delta_{\text{Re}}(D)^2} = \frac{1}{(\delta_{\text{Re}}(D)^a)^2} + \frac{1}{(\delta_{\text{Re}}(D)^b)^2}.
$$
 (17)

NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

For Im(d_{τ}), it is sufficient to measure the secondary hadron energies from τ decays to perform the measurement. At BESIII, the number of events for the process $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \tau^-\tau^+$ is approximately 9×10^6 [\[15\]](#page-4-8), and we take $\epsilon = 6.3\%$ for efficiencies^{[2](#page-2-1)}. It results in $\delta_{\text{Im}} = 1.9 \times 10^{-16} e$ cm at BESIII. The sensitivity is not compatible with the current best value [\[8\]](#page-4-1).

STCF is planned to operate with an energy range of 2.0–7.0 GeV, delivering an annual integrated luminosity of 1 ab⁻¹ [\[16\]](#page-4-9). We assume a data sample collected for 10 years and use $\epsilon = 6.3\%$ [\[14\]](#page-4-7) for our numerical estimates. years and use $\epsilon = 0.3\%$ [14] for our numerical estimates.
The dependencies of δ_{Re} and δ_{Im} on \sqrt{s} are depicted in Fig. [1.](#page-2-2) For the measurement of $\text{Re}(d_{\tau})$, a spatial resolution of $D = 130 \,\mu\text{m}$ has already been achieved at BESIII and is expected to be achieved at the STCF. If a silicon pixel detector is implemented, the spatial resolution D can be improved to $30 \mu m$, which is also used in our estimates. A bump is observed around 3.7 GeV due to the

¹ Similar work on τ EDM measurement at the STCF has been carried out recently in Ref. [\[14\]](#page-4-7). There, instead of identifying observables to isolate the d_{τ} effects, they fit the full angular distribution to extract d_{τ} . For the measurement of Im(d_{τ}), we obtain similar results, but their determination of $\text{Re}(d_{\tau})$ suffers from the ambiguity problem mentioned here. In addition, the case of $h = \pi$, with higher statistical significance, was not considered in Ref. [\[14\]](#page-4-7).

² $\epsilon = 6.3\%$ is the reported signal efficiency for $h = \rho$ quoted in Ref. [\[14\]](#page-4-7). For $h = \pi$, it should be higher due to fewer reconstructed final states, but we conservatively assume $\epsilon = 6.3\%$.

 $\psi(2S)$ resonance. We see that the best place to probe $\psi(25)$ resonance. We see that the best place to probe $\text{Im}(d_{\tau})$ is around $\sqrt{s} = 6.3$ GeV, where the precision can reach 1.3×10^{-18} ecm, twice better than the current value.

Fig. 2. The precision of $\text{Re}(d_{\tau})$ may be achieved with $L\epsilon =$ $0.63 ab^{-1}$. The color indicates the values of δ_{Re} , as shown in the color bar on the right.

Table I. The precision of d_{τ} that may be achieved with $L\epsilon =$ $0.63 ab^{-1}$ is given in units of $10^{-18}e$ cm. The absolute value is defined as $\delta_{|d_{\tau}|}^2(D) = \delta_{\text{Re}}(D)^2 + \delta_{\text{Im}}(D)^2$, where D is in units of μ m. The case $D = 0$ corresponds to situations where the τ lepton momentum can be reconstructed with 100% accuracy which is shown only as a reference number.

\sqrt{s}	$m_{\psi(2S)}$	4.2 GeV			4.9 GeV 5.6 GeV 6.3 GeV 7 GeV	
$\delta_{\rm Im}$	3.5	1.8	1.4	$1.3\,$	1.3	1.4
$\delta_{\rm Re}(180)$	234	14.7	6.6	4.9	4.3	4.1
$\delta_{\rm Re}(130)$	82	9.4	5.0	4.0	3.7	3.6
$\delta_{\rm Re}(80)$	29	6.2	3.9	$3.3\,$	$3.2\,$	3.2
$\delta_{\rm Re}(30)$	11	4.4	3.2	2.9	2.9	3.0
$\delta_{\rm Re}(0)$	7.7	4.0	3.0	2.8	2.8	2.9
$\delta_{ d_{\tau} }(130)$	83	9.6	$5.2\,$	4.2	3.9	3.8
$\delta_{ d_{\tau} }(30)$	12	4.7	$3.5\,$	3.2	3.2	3.2

A color map of the precision that $\text{Re}(d_{\tau})$ can be reached is plotted in Fig. [2.](#page-3-3) Some selected values are collected in Table [I.](#page-3-4) From the table, it is clear that the precision of $\text{Re}(d_{\tau})$ is greatly improved at low energy when the spatial resolution D becomes smaller. However, at high energy, the improvement is less significant, where τ^{\pm} carry sufficient energy and can already fly far enough to be detected.

To study the precision of $|d_{\tau}|$, we define $\delta_{|d_{\tau}|}(D)^2 =$ $\delta_{\text{Im}}^2 + \delta_{\text{Re}}(D)^2$. In the last two rows of the table, we present the numerical values for the sensitivities for $|d_\tau|$ for $D = 130 \mu m$ and $30 \mu m$. Its precision can reach 3.9×10^{-18} ecm and 3.2×10^{-18} ecm, respectively, which is twice as good as the current experimental value reported in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-5). We note that after the upgrade of Belle II, the luminosity is expected to increase by two orders of magnitude [\[17\]](#page-4-10), and therefore the precision could be improved compared to the previous study [\[8\]](#page-4-1). However, it is impor-tant to highlight that in Ref. [\[8\]](#page-4-1), sgn $((\hat{\boldsymbol{l}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{l}}_+) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{k}})$ was treated as a random number, and thus the results cannot be considered reliable. The same selection method for τ described here can also be applied at Belle to resolve this issue.

CONCLUSION

Measuring d_{τ} at future colliders offers a powerful probe of CP violation and potential new physics. We emphasize that reconstructing the full momentum of the $\tau^$ for $\text{Re}(d_{\tau})$ is critical—an important aspect that has been previously overlooked. At the STCF, the precision for both $\text{Re}(d_{\tau})$ and $\text{Im}(d_{\tau})$ reaches its peak at a center-ofmass energy of 6.3 GeV, with attainable sensitivities of 1.3×10^{-18} e cm and 2.9×10^{-18} e cm, respectively, improving the current precision by approximately a factor of two. Near the $\psi(2S)$ resonance, the achievable sensitivities are $3.5 \times 10^{-18} e \text{ cm}$ and $11 \times 10^{-18} e \text{ cm}$ for $\text{Im}(d_{\tau})$ and $\text{Re}(d_{\tau})$, respectively, indicating a moderate reduction in sensitivity at this energy. On the other hand, BESIII has the capability to measure $\text{Im}(d_{\tau})$ with a precision that may reach $1.9 \times 10^{-16} e$ cm. These findings underscore the importance of careful momentum reconstruction and optimal energy selection for future τ -EDM measurements.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank Hai-Bo Li and Xiaorong Zhou for discussions. This work was partially supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, by NSFC grant numbers 12075299, 12090064, 12205063, 12375088 and W2441004, by National Key R&D Program of China No. 2024YFE0109800.

- [1] X. G. He, B. H. J. McKellar and S. Pakvasa, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 4, 5011 (1989), erratum: Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 6, 1063-1066 (1991).
- [2] W. Bernreuther and M. Suzuki, Rev. Mod. Phys. 63, 313- 340 (1991), erratum: Rev. Mod. Phys. 64, 633 (1992).
- [3] T. Chupp, P. Fierlinger, M. Ramsey-Musolf and J. Singh, Rev. Mod. Phys. 91, no.1, 015001 (2019), [arXiv:1710.02504](http://arxiv.org/abs/1710.02504) [physics.atom-ph].
- [4] K. Ackerstaff et al., OPAL Collaboration, Z. Phys. C 74, 403-412 (1997).
- [5] G. W. Bennett et al., Muon (g-2) Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 80, 052008 (2009), [arXiv:0811.1207](http://arxiv.org/abs/0811.1207) [hep-ex].
- [6] V. Andreev et al., ACME Collaboration, Nature 562, no.7727, 355-360 (2018).
- [7] S. Navas et al., Particle Data Group, Phys. Rev. D 110, no.3, 030001 (2024).
- [8] K. Inami et al., Belle Collaboration, JHEP 04, 110 (2022), [arXiv:2108.11543](http://arxiv.org/abs/2108.11543) [hep-ex].
- [9] W. Bernreuther, U. Low, J.P. Ma and O. Nachtmann, Z.Phys.C 43 (1989) 117.
- [10] W. Bernreuther, L. Chen and O. Nachtmann, Phys. Rev. D 103, no.9, 096011 (2021), [arXiv:2101.08071](http://arxiv.org/abs/2101.08071) [hep-ph].
- [11] T. Huang, W. Lu and Z. j. Tao, Phys. Rev. D 55, 1643- 1652 (1997), [arXiv:hep-ph/9609220](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9609220) [hep-ph].
- [12] W. Bernreuther, A. Brandenburg and P. Overmann, Phys. Lett. B 391, 413-419 (1997), erratum: Phys. Lett.

B 412, 425-425 (1997), [arXiv:hep-ph/9608364](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9608364) [hep-ph].

- [13] X. G. He and J. P. Ma, Phys. Lett. B 839, 137834 (2023), [arXiv:2212.08243](http://arxiv.org/abs/2212.08243) [hep-ph]; Y. Du, X. G. He, J. P. Ma and X. Y. Du, Phys. Rev. D 110, no.7, 076019 (2024), [arXiv:2405.09625](http://arxiv.org/abs/2405.09625) [hep-ph].
- [14] X. Sun, X. Zhou and Y. Wu, [arXiv:2411.19469](http://arxiv.org/abs/2411.19469) [hep-ex].
- [15] M. Ablikim et al., BESIII Collaboration, Chin. Phys. C 48, no.9, 093001 (2024), [arXiv:2403.06766](http://arxiv.org/abs/2403.06766) [hep-ex].
- [16] M. Achasov, X. C. Ai, R. Aliberti, L. P. An, Q. An, X. Z. Bai, Y. Bai, O. Bakina, A. Barnyakov and V. Blinov, et al., Front. Phys. (Beijing) 19, no.1, 14701 (2024), [arXiv:2303.15790](http://arxiv.org/abs/2303.15790) [hep-ex].
- [17] T. Abe et al. BelleII Collaboration, [arXiv:1011.0352](http://arxiv.org/abs/1011.0352) [physics.ins-det].