

Very Special Relativity in Accelerated Frames: Non-relativistic Effects in Gravitational Spectroscopy of Ultracold Neutrons

Alessandro Santoni,^{1,2,*} Enrique Muñoz,^{2,†} Hartmut Abele,^{3,‡} and Benjamin Koch^{1,2,§}

¹*Institut für Theoretische Physik and Atominstitut, Technische Universität Wien,
Wiedner Hauptstrasse 8–10, A-1040 Vienna, Austria*

²*Facultad de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Vicuña Mackenna 4860, Santiago, Chile*

³*Atominstitut TU Wien, Stadionallee 2, 1020 Vienna, Austria*

(Dated: January 14, 2025)

In this paper, we investigate the phenomenology of fermionic systems in uniform gravitational fields within the framework of Very Special Relativity (VSR). We especially focus on the case of gravitational spectroscopy with ultracold neutrons, explored in experiments like *qBOUNCE*. Calculating the leading (c^0) and next-to-leading (c^{-1}) order corrections to the non-relativistic Hamiltonian in an accelerated frame, we derive the fermionic perturbed energy spectrum. At leading order, we do not find new non-trivial modifications, apart from a mass shift, confirming both the equivalence between inertial and gravitational mass and particle-antiparticle sectors. The next-to-leading order, instead, introduces time-dependent anisotropic contributions depending on the preferred spatial direction from VSR, which can then be used to probe novel Lorentz-violating signatures. Using *qBOUNCE* sensitivity as a benchmark, we suggest a first rough constraint for these effects. Finally, we propose alternative spin-flipping setups to better probe VSR signatures and foresee potential future research directions.

I. INTRODUCTION

Lorentz symmetry certainly represents one of the fundamental pillars underpinning modern theoretical physics. In recent decades, however, the quest to explain some of the most puzzling enigmas in our understanding of nature has led to the development of entire research lines focusing on Lorentz-violating (LV) models. These models were often embedded within more fundamental theories, such as String Theory and Quantum Gravity [1–5], from which the violation of Lorentz symmetry at low energies emerged as an effective feature.

It is in this context that, back in 2006, Cohen and Glashow presented a new mechanism to produce neutrino masses through Lorentz violation, which they named “Very Special Relativity” (VSR) [6, 7]. Since then, the implications of the LV landscape of VSR have been extensively studied in different areas: Starting from the more theoretical consequences, such as the massiveness of photons [8] and gravitons [9, 10], to phenomenological ones, like corrections to scattering processes and anomalies [11–13], to the gyromagnetic factor of fermions [14] or finite-temperature effects [15]. Within these physical scenarios, calculations have been performed in the framework of inertial systems, thus automatically excluding the possibility of accelerating observers or the effects coming from external gravitational backgrounds. Instead, such results have been extensively explored in other LV approaches, especially within Standard Model

Extensions (SME) [16–18]. In fact, constraints on several SME parameters have already been obtained from tabletop experiments involving gravity, such as neutron interferometry observations and spectroscopy [19–22]. However, the nature of the SME is fundamentally different from that of VSR in the sense that it only accounts for local corrections in the field Lagrangians. On the other hand, VSR contributions are inherently non-local and, for that reason, they transcend the boundaries of SME formulations.

Therefore, the main objective of this paper is to partially bridge the phenomenological gap present between VSR and SME in the area of gravitational experiments with fermions. In particular, we want to focus on spectroscopy experiments with ultracold neutrons (UCNs) [23] which have a high sensitivity due to long observation times [24]. This is used within experiments like *qBOUNCE* [24–27], such neutrons are used to investigate the quantized energy levels induced by the Earth’s gravitational field when trapping UCNs with a reflecting floor. The theoretical framework used to derive predictions consistent with the observations is based on the Schrödinger equation combined with a Newtonian linear potential

$$i\hbar\partial_t\varphi = H_{qB}\varphi, \quad (1)$$

where the Hamiltonian is

$$H_{qB} = mc^2 - m\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \frac{\partial^2}{2m}. \quad (2)$$

The gravitational field \mathbf{g} is typically assumed to be constant, homogeneous, and directed along the negative \hat{z} -direction $\mathbf{g} = -g\hat{z}$. The presence of the bottom mirror reflecting falling neutrons is then simulated by setting the appropriate boundary conditions at the origin $z = 0$

* asantoni@uc.cl

† ejmunozt@uc.cl

‡ hartmut.abele@tuwien.ac.at

§ benjamin.koch@tuwien.ac.at

of the laboratory coordinate system

$$\varphi(z=0) = 0. \quad (3)$$

The solution of the time-independent Schrödinger equation featuring the above Hamiltonian

$$H_{qB} \varphi = E \varphi, \quad (4)$$

is well known and is given by Airy functions

$$\varphi_N(z) = C_N Ai\left(\frac{z - z_N}{z_0}\right), \quad (5)$$

where we defined the quantities [28]

$$C_N = \frac{z_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{Ai'\left(-\frac{z_N}{z_0}\right)}, \quad z_0 = \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^2g}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad z_N = \frac{E_N}{mg}. \quad (6)$$

Here, the $Ai'(\zeta)$ represents the derivative of $Ai(\zeta)$ with respect to its argument $\zeta \equiv \frac{z - z_N}{z_0}$. The E_N -values are determined by the quantization condition derived from the boundary condition (3) evaluated for the eigenfunctions (5)

$$Ai\left(-\frac{E_N}{mgz_0}\right) = 0, \quad (7)$$

which allow us to find the leading order energy eigenvalues of the neutron by computing the zeros of the Airy function.

Therefore, our objective is to derive VSR corrections to the above spectrum and Hamiltonian (2) starting from first principles, specifically, the VSR equations of motion for a fermion in a non-inertial framework.

The outlook of the paper is as follows: After an introduction to the basic concepts of VSR and its Hamiltonian formulation, in Chapter II we present the convention adopted for treating fermionic fields in curvilinear coordinates and describe the geometrical landscape in which we will work. Chapter III is dedicated to the manipulation of the VSR equation in curvilinear coordinates, in order to derive its Hamiltonian description. Its non-relativistic (NR) limit is, instead, investigated and explicitly obtained in Chapter IV, where the machinery of the Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation is applied. Finally, in Chapter V we connect our results to realistic experimental setups, and in Chapter VI we draw the respective conclusions and foresee potential future research directions. Some of the relevant calculations and details are provided in Appendices at the end of the work and cited when needed.

A. Dirac Equation in VSR for inertial frames

Before we move on to the technicalities of treating spinors in curvilinear coordinates, let us introduce some basic concepts of VSR in inertial frames. First, we will

refer to its $SIM(2)$ formulation [6], implying no invariant tensors other than the usual Minkowski metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$. Still, we shall assume the existence of a preferred null space-time direction, labeled by n^μ , which remains unaltered under $SIM(2)$ transformations

$$n^\mu \xrightarrow[SIM(2)]{\Omega} \Omega n^\mu, \quad \text{with } \Omega \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (8)$$

This feature of VSR implies that the quotients of scalar products involving the vector n^μ in both the numerator and denominator are invariant under $SIM(2)$, since the rescaling parameter cancels out in the ratio. This allows us to write new non-local terms in the particle Lagrangians which break Lorentz symmetry down to the $SIM(2)$ subgroup.

Given this background, the modified Dirac equation in VSR can be written as

$$\left(i\cancel{\partial} - m + i\lambda \frac{\cancel{p}}{n \cdot \partial}\right) \psi = 0, \quad (9)$$

where we use the “slashed”-notation to represent contractions with gamma matrices. Note that $(n \cdot \partial)^{-1}$ should be regarded as an inverse operator, which can be expressed, for example, in the following integral representation

$$\frac{1}{n \cdot \partial} = \int_0^\infty d\alpha e^{-\alpha n \cdot \partial}. \quad (10)$$

Although the VSR term may seem daunting at first sight, in the absence of interactions its only effect is to shift the physical mass of the fermion to the new value

$$m_f = \sqrt{m^2 + 2\lambda}. \quad (11)$$

Clearly, here and thereafter we assume that, due to its LV origin, the parameter λ is much smaller than m^2

$$\lambda \ll m^2, \quad (12)$$

so that we never encounter runaway modes.

B. Hamiltonian Formulation of Inertial VSR

The time non-localities introduced by the new VSR operator make it difficult to directly identify a Hamiltonian for the fermion, as is usually done for Dirac systems. To overcome these complications, we have developed an ad hoc approach which generally involves three steps:

1. Multiply the equations of motion (EOM) for ψ by $-in \cdot \partial$ from the left.
2. Use the “squared” version of the EOM to replace the second order time derivatives of ψ .
3. Collect all remaining terms linear in the time derivative on one side and write the EOM as a standard time evolution under an appropriate Hamiltonian operator, i.e. $i\partial_0\psi = \mathcal{H}\psi$.

Following the procedure stated above and applying it to equation (9), the first step leads us to the expression

$$(n \cdot \partial \not{\partial} + im n \cdot \partial + \lambda \not{n}) \psi = 0, \quad (13)$$

where the term on the left clearly contains second order time derivatives. Moving onto the second step, the ‘‘squaring’’ procedure in the non-interacting (inertial) case returns a Klein-Gordon (KG) equation for ψ with an effective mass m_f

$$(\partial^2 + m_f^2)\psi = 0 \rightarrow \partial_0^2 \psi = -(\partial_i \partial^i + m_f^2)\psi. \quad (14)$$

We now replace (14) into the second order time derivatives in (13). Factorizing and collecting all terms proportional to first order time derivatives on the left side, we end up with

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 - \frac{i}{m}(\gamma^0 n^i + \gamma^i) \partial_i\right) i \partial_0 \psi = \\ & = \left(\frac{1}{m} \gamma^0 (\partial_i \partial^i + m_f^2) - \frac{1}{m} \gamma^j n^i \partial_i \partial_j - i n^i \partial_i - \frac{\lambda}{m} \not{n}\right) \psi. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The operator on the left-hand side, which we define as

$$\mathcal{G}_\partial^{-1} \equiv 1 - \frac{i}{m}(\gamma^0 n^i + \gamma^i) \partial_i, \quad (16)$$

can be proven to satisfy the relation

$$\begin{aligned} m\gamma^0 - i\gamma^0 \gamma^i \partial_i &= \\ &= \mathcal{G}_\partial \left(m\gamma^0 + \frac{1}{m} \gamma^0 \partial_i \partial^i - \frac{1}{m} \gamma^j n^i \partial_i \partial_j - i n^i \partial_i \right). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Thus, inverting \mathcal{G}_∂ on the right side of equation (15), we arrive to a time-evolution equation from which we identify the following Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = m\gamma^0 - i\gamma^0 \gamma^i \partial_i + \frac{\lambda}{m} \mathcal{G}_\partial (\gamma^0 - \gamma^i n_i). \quad (18)$$

Clearly, the first two terms are just the Dirac Hamiltonian, while the third term represents the VSR contribution. Therefore, we clearly recover the Dirac equation in the limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

Dividing and multiplying the operator \mathcal{G}_∂ on the right by $(1 + \frac{i}{m}(\gamma^0 n^i + \gamma^i) \partial_i)$, we can re-express it as

$$\mathcal{G}_\partial = \frac{1 + \frac{i}{m} \gamma^0 n^i \partial_i + \frac{i}{m} \gamma^i \partial_i}{1 + \frac{1}{m^2} (n^i n^j - \delta^{ij}) \partial_i \partial_j}. \quad (19)$$

From there, defining the new non-local operator

$$\mathcal{R}_\partial^{-1} \equiv 1 + \frac{1}{m^2} (n^i n^j - \delta^{ij}) \partial_i \partial_j, \quad (20)$$

and using (19) we derive the final form of the VSR Hamiltonian in inertial frames

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \left(m + \frac{\lambda \mathcal{R}_\partial}{m}\right) \gamma^0 - i \left(1 + \frac{\lambda \mathcal{R}_\partial}{m^2}\right) \gamma^0 \gamma^i \partial_i \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda \mathcal{R}_\partial}{m} (\gamma^i n_i + \frac{i}{m} n^i \partial_i \gamma^j n_j + \frac{i}{m} \sigma^{ij} \partial_i n_j). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

The spectrum of this Hamiltonian can be computed to be identical to the one of a free particle of mass m_f in Special Relativity

$$E = \sqrt{m_f^2 + \mathbf{p}^2}, \quad (22)$$

in agreement with the spectrum anticipated by the KG-like dispersion relation derived from (14). Note that, even though the Hamiltonian may not seem to satisfy the usual hermiticity criteria, the spectrum is still strictly real, suggesting that the Hamiltonian formulation in VSR is well-posed. Indeed, there exists an extensive literature on the possibility of meaningfully working with non-Hermitian Hamiltonians in both Quantum Mechanics (QM) and QFT [29–31]. Moreover, the lack of unitarity, another feature usually ensured by hermiticity, is actually necessary in quantum mechanics to describe the behavior of certain open systems, such as decays. This also points to the possibility that, in general, VSR corrections may effectively emerge in the presence of complex interactions with backgrounds and the environment [32].

II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK FOR CURVILINEAR COORDINATES

When dealing with fermions in curvilinear coordinates, there are many conventions that need to be specified and clarified. First, we introduce a set of local inertial observers (representing the laboratory frame) by introducing a local orthonormal basis $\{\theta_{(a)}\}$, which is connected to the coordinate basis $\{\partial_\mu\}$ by the inverse tetrad E_a^μ as follows

$$\theta_{(a)} = E_a^\mu(x) \partial_\mu. \quad (23)$$

The matrix E_a^μ is the inverse of the tetrad matrix e_μ^a , which is in turn defined by its relation to the spacetime metric $g_{\mu\nu}$

$$g_{\mu\nu} = e_\mu^a e_\nu^b \eta_{ab}, \quad (24)$$

with η_{ab} indicating the metric tensor for ‘‘locally flat’’ Minkowski spacetime. In the rest of the paper, we will denote spacetime indices with Greek letters and tangent space indices with Latin ones, as usual. Starting from the ‘‘flat’’ Dirac gamma matrices γ^a and using the tetrads, we define their ‘‘curved’’ version

$$\underline{\gamma}^\mu \equiv E_a^\mu \gamma^a, \quad (25)$$

which satisfy the consistent spacetime Clifford algebra

$$\{\underline{\gamma}^\mu, \underline{\gamma}^\nu\} = 2g^{\mu\nu}. \quad (26)$$

Moreover, we introduce the antisymmetrized product of two flat gamma matrices and the block-diagonal four-by-four matrices Σ as

$$\sigma^{ab} = \frac{1}{2}[\gamma^a, \gamma^b], \quad \Sigma^i = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^i & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^i \end{pmatrix}, \quad (27)$$

with σ^i being the usual two-dimensional Pauli matrices. When separately taking into account spatial and time components for both spacetime and tangent space indices, we prevent any ambiguity with the tetrad indices (which mix both typologies) by always placing Latin indices first when reading from left to right. For other objects, any possible confusion is avoided by considering their original geometric nature. Dirac indices, instead, will often be omitted.

Since the inverse power of c is a natural parameter to perform formal expansions in the gravitational context, we will retain the explicit c -units in our equations when needed.

A. Spinor Connection in Curvilinear Coordinates

To write down the Dirac equation in curvilinear coordinates [33, 34], it is crucial to define the action of the spacetime covariant derivative \mathcal{D}_μ on a Dirac spinor ψ

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu \psi = \partial_\mu \psi + \Gamma_\mu \psi. \quad (28)$$

Here, the spinor connection Γ_μ is defined by the expression [35]

$$\Gamma_\mu \equiv \frac{1}{4} \sigma^{ab} \omega_{\mu ab} = \frac{1}{4} \sigma^{ab} g_{\nu\rho} E_a^\nu (\partial_\mu E_b^\rho + \{\mu_\alpha^\rho\} E_b^\alpha), \quad (29)$$

where $\omega_{\mu ab}$ is the Spin connection and $\{\mu_\alpha^\rho\}$ are the Christoffel symbols defined in General Relativity (GR)

$$\{\mu_\alpha^\rho\} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\rho\beta} (\partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} + \partial_\alpha g_{\mu\beta} - \partial_\beta g_{\mu\alpha}). \quad (30)$$

We emphasize that both the gamma matrices in curved spacetime and the tetrad are designed to be automatically covariantly constant [36–38]

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu \underline{\gamma}^\nu = \partial_\mu \underline{\gamma}^\nu + \{\mu_\rho^\nu\} \underline{\gamma}^\rho - [\Gamma_\mu, \underline{\gamma}^\nu] = 0, \quad (31)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu e_\nu^a = \partial_\mu e_\nu^a - \{\mu_\rho^\nu\} e_\nu^a + \omega_\mu^a{}_b e_\nu^b = 0. \quad (32)$$

B. Spacetime for Accelerated Frames

According to the GR perspective, laboratories on Earth's surface are constantly accelerating outward with a certain acceleration, which we denote \mathbf{a} . That is because otherwise they would naturally follow geodesics and not remain at a fixed distance from the center of the Earth. Clearly, from the laboratory's point of view, this phenomenon leads to an "apparent" acceleration $\mathbf{g} = -\mathbf{a}$ for objects not resting on surfaces, which is understood to be gravitational in nature, as in the Newtonian picture. As long as we want to describe sufficiently small patches of spacetime, meaning small spatial volumes for small time periods [39], and therefore deal with (almost) uniform gravitational fields, the laboratory acceleration \mathbf{a} can be safely considered constant and homogeneous

in the context of spectroscopic experiments with UCNs [19]. Thus, a natural way to geometrically describe this accelerated setting is through the following spacetime line element ds^2 and metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$, respectively

$$ds^2 = \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x}}{c^2}\right)^2 d(ct)^2 - d\mathbf{x}^2, \quad (33)$$

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag} \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x}}{c^2}\right)^2, -1, -1, -1 \right\},$$

where we used $x^0 = ct$, $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x} = a^i x^i$ and momentarily restored c -units. The ds^2 in (33) coincides with the one obtained by charting flat spacetime with Kottler-Møller or Rindler coordinates [40, 41], which are the natural set of coordinates used by (non-inertial) observers undergoing uniformly accelerated motion. Therefore, we should stress that the associated Riemann tensor is zero

$$R_{\mu\nu}{}^\alpha{}_\beta = \partial_\mu \{\mu_\beta^\alpha\} - \partial_\nu \{\mu_\beta^\alpha\} + \{\mu_\rho^\alpha\} \{\nu_\beta^\rho\} - \{\nu_\rho^\alpha\} \{\mu_\beta^\rho\} = 0, \quad (34)$$

meaning that tidal effects $\propto |x|^2$ are not depicted in this scheme. However, for the level of precision required in the present work, they would not be relevant anyway in the final result. Therefore, neglecting $|x|^2$ contributions, the above geometric structure is also approximately equivalent to the spacetime element measured by a static observer resting at some fixed distance R from the center of a Schwarzschild background. In fact, the latter would represent a non-rotating and accelerating observer whose proper reference frame could be described through Fermi-Walker coordinates [42, 43].

The tetrad which naturally describes an observer stationary relative to the accelerated coordinate chart (33) is

$$e_\mu^a = \text{diag}\{V, 1, 1, 1\} \text{ with } V \equiv 1 + \frac{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x}}{c^2},$$

$$E_a^\mu = \text{diag}\left\{\frac{1}{V}, 1, 1, 1\right\}, \quad (35)$$

leading to the following curved gamma matrices

$$\underline{\gamma}^0 = E_a^0 \gamma^a = E_0^0 \gamma^0 = \frac{1}{V} \gamma^0, \quad (36)$$

$$\underline{\gamma}^i = E_a^i \gamma^a = E_i^i \gamma^i = \gamma^i.$$

In Appendix A, we include all other relevant geometric quantities for our calculations, such as the components of the spinor connection Γ_μ and the Christoffel symbols.

III. VSR DIRAC EQUATION IN CURVILINEAR COORDINATES

The generalization of equation (9) to the context of curvilinear coordinates is obtained, following the usual prescription of General Relativity, through the replacement of the partial derivative ∂_μ with the covariant derivative \mathcal{D}_μ

$$(i\mathcal{D} - m + i\lambda \frac{\not{\mathbf{p}}}{n \cdot \mathcal{D}}) \psi = 0, \quad (37)$$

where the slashed notation is now used to indicate a contraction with the “curved” gamma matrices $\underline{\gamma}^\mu$. The constancy condition of n^μ in inertial frames should also be adapted to the curvilinear coordinates. The simplest way to do it is to assume its covariant derivative to vanish

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu n^\nu = 0. \quad (38)$$

In the following, we will use (38) as a working hypothesis, although it might not be the only way to generalize the concept of a constant n^μ to curvilinear coordinates. In fact, because of the zero curvature (34), we do not encounter no-go constraints that would forbid the existence of such a n^μ [19, 44], and we can always find solutions to the above equation. Nevertheless, this also means that n^μ is now generally position-dependent, while still being lightlike. An alternative assumption we could make for n^μ is to take $n \cdot \mathcal{D}n^\mu = 0$, the solution of which would be a set of null geodesics. Both possibilities allow us to define the VSR equation (37) without any ambiguity, since they eliminate ordering problems with the numerator and denominator of the non-local term. The preference for one over the other depends on which fundamental origin we suppose for the preferred spacetime direction in VSR.

A. “Curved” Hamiltonian in VSR

The procedure to obtain the equivalent Hamiltonian formulation from (37) is completely analogous to the one followed in Section IB for the inertial case. In fact, due to the vanishing of the curvature tensor, the Ricci scalar R and the commutator of covariant derivatives applied to ψ are still zero

$$[\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu]\psi = -\frac{1}{4}R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\underline{\gamma}^\rho\underline{\gamma}^\sigma\psi = 0. \quad (39)$$

From these observations, it is straightforward to show that the “squared” EOM will have once again the KG structure, but now in curvilinear coordinates. It reads

$$(g^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{D}_\mu\mathcal{D}_\nu + m_f^2)\psi = 0, \quad (40)$$

thus implying the relation

$$\mathcal{D}_0^2\psi = -(g^{00})^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_i\mathcal{D}^i + m_f^2)\psi = -V^2(\mathcal{D}_i\mathcal{D}^i + m_f^2)\psi. \quad (41)$$

Now, to follow steps 1 and 2 described in Section IB, we need to first expand the contractions appearing in the curvilinear coordinate equivalent of equation (13), i.e.,

$$(n \cdot \mathcal{D}\mathcal{D} + imn \cdot \mathcal{D} + \lambda\mathcal{H})\psi = 0. \quad (42)$$

To work with this form of the equation, it is convenient to use the following two identities

$$\begin{aligned} n \cdot \mathcal{D}\mathcal{D} &= n^0\underline{\gamma}^0\mathcal{D}_0^2 + (\underline{\gamma}^0n^i + n^0\underline{\gamma}^i)\mathcal{D}_i\mathcal{D}_0 + \underline{\gamma}^jn^i\mathcal{D}_i\mathcal{D}_j \\ &= \frac{n^0}{V}\gamma^0\mathcal{D}_0^2 + \left(\frac{n^i}{V}\gamma^0 + n^0\gamma^i\right)\mathcal{D}_i\mathcal{D}_0 + \gamma^jn^i\mathcal{D}_i\mathcal{D}_j, \\ imn \cdot \mathcal{D} &= imn^0\mathcal{D}_0 + imn^i\mathcal{D}_i. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Replacing these expressions in (42) together with (41) and isolating the time component of the covariant derivative of ψ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} i\mathcal{D}_0\psi &= \mathcal{G}_\mathcal{D} \left(mV\gamma^0 + \frac{V}{m}\mathcal{D}_i\mathcal{D}^i - i\frac{n^i}{n^0}\mathcal{D}_i - \frac{1}{m}\frac{n^i}{n^0}\gamma^j\mathcal{D}_i\mathcal{D}_j \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\lambda}{m}V\gamma^0 - \frac{\lambda}{m}\frac{n^i}{n^0}\gamma^i \right) \psi, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where we defined the operator

$$\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{D}^{-1} \equiv 1 - \frac{i}{m}(\gamma^0\frac{n^i}{Vn^0} + \gamma^i)(\partial_i - \frac{1}{V}\partial_i V), \quad (45)$$

and we used the fact that spatial covariant derivatives, in this case, reduce to the ordinary partial ones

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_i\psi &= \partial_i\psi + \Gamma_i\psi = \partial_i\psi, \\ \mathcal{D}_j\mathcal{D}_i\psi &= \partial_j\mathcal{D}_i\psi + \Gamma_j\mathcal{D}_i\psi - \{\gamma^{\mu}_j\}\mathcal{D}_\mu\psi = \partial_j\mathcal{D}_i\psi. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

The above operator $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{D}$ satisfies a relation analogous to (17) so that we recover the correct “curved” Dirac equation in the limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} mV\gamma^0 - iV\gamma^0\gamma^i\partial_i &= \\ = \mathcal{G}_\mathcal{D} \left(mV\gamma^0 + \frac{V}{m}\partial_i\partial^i - i\frac{n^i}{n^0}\partial_i - \frac{1}{m}\frac{n^i}{n^0}\gamma^j\partial_i\partial_j \right). \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Bearing that in mind, while introducing the vector \tilde{n}^i as

$$\tilde{n}^i \equiv \frac{n^i}{Vn^0}, \quad (48)$$

which is independent of the space-position \mathbf{x} , we can identify from (44) and (47) a simplified expression of the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = mV\gamma^0 - i\gamma^0\gamma^i(V\partial_i + \frac{1}{2}\partial_i V) + \frac{\lambda}{m}\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{D}V(\gamma^0 - \tilde{n}_i\gamma^i). \quad (49)$$

At this point, similarly to what we have done before in Section IB, we can eliminate the gamma structure in the denominator of $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{D}$ by observing that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_\mathcal{D}V(\gamma^0 - \tilde{n}_i\gamma^i) &= \\ = \mathcal{R}_\mathcal{D}V \left(\gamma^0 - \tilde{n}_i\gamma^i - \frac{i}{m}(\gamma^0\gamma^i + \gamma^0\gamma^j\tilde{n}^i\tilde{n}_j + \sigma^{ij}\tilde{n}_j)\partial_i \right), \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

with the new operator $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{D}$ defined to be

$$\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{D}^{-1} \equiv 1 + \frac{n^in^j + g^{ij}}{m^2} \left(\partial_i\partial_j - \frac{2}{V}\partial_i V\partial_j + \frac{2}{V^2}\partial_i V\partial_j V \right). \quad (51)$$

Thus, we can express the final form of the Hamiltonian involving the homogenous gravitational field as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{VSR}^g &= \left(1 + \frac{\lambda\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{D}}{m^2}\right)V(m\gamma^0 - i\gamma^0\gamma^i\partial_i) - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^0\gamma^i\partial_i V \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda}{m}\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{D}V \left(\tilde{n}_i\gamma^i + \frac{i}{m}(\gamma^0\gamma^j\tilde{n}^i\tilde{n}_j + \sigma^{ij}\tilde{n}_j)\partial_i \right). \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Note that, since the spatial components of the metric are not curved here, the scalar products in spinor space remain the same as those in “flat” space, because the determinant of the spatial metric has an absolute value of one [37, 38, 45].

B. Properties of the Vector \tilde{n}^i

Let us stop for a moment to ponder over the properties of the vector \tilde{n}^i . As already mentioned, its first feature is \mathbf{x} -independence. In fact, by first imposing the condition Eq. (38) over the spatial components n^i of the VSR four-vector, we find

$$0 = \mathcal{D}_i n^j = \partial_i n^j + \{i^j{}_\mu\} n^\mu = \partial_i n^j, \quad (53)$$

where we applied the results summarized in Appendix A. The condition Eq. (38) applied to the time component is instead

$$0 = \mathcal{D}_i n^0 = \partial_i n^0 + \{i^0{}_\mu\} n^\mu = \partial_i n^0 + \frac{1}{V} \partial_i V n^0. \quad (54)$$

Multiplying the last equation by V , we get

$$V \partial_i n^0 + \partial_i V n^0 = \partial_i (V n^0) = 0. \quad (55)$$

Thus, the combination of the n^μ -components as defined into \tilde{n}^i is such that the latter does not depend on spatial coordinates

$$\partial_i \tilde{n}^j = \partial_i \left(\frac{n^j}{n^0 V} \right) = 0. \quad (56)$$

Furthermore, since n^μ is light-like

$$g_{00} (n^0)^2 = V^2 (n^0)^2 = -n_i n^i = n^i n_i = |\mathbf{n}|^2, \quad (57)$$

the new \tilde{n}^i turns out to be a unit vector

$$|\tilde{\mathbf{n}}|^2 = \frac{|\mathbf{n}|^2}{(n^0 V)^2} = 1. \quad (58)$$

We can therefore identify \tilde{n}^i as a time-dependent unit vector, which plays a similar role as n^i in inertial frames, where the VSR vector is usually rescaled to the form $(1, \hat{n})$. In addition, by considering the remaining two conditions arising from Eq. (38)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathcal{D}_0 n^i = \partial_t n^i + V \partial_i V n^0, \\ 0 &= \mathcal{D}_0 n^0 = \partial_t n^0 + \frac{1}{V} \partial_i V n^i, \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

we obtain the time-evolution equation for $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \tilde{n}^i &= \frac{1}{V} \partial_t \left(\frac{n^i}{n^0} \right) = \frac{1}{V n^0} \partial_t n^i - \frac{n^i}{V (n^0)^2} \partial_t n^0 \\ &= \tilde{n}^i \tilde{n}^k \partial_k V - \partial_i V \propto 1/c, \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

where we highlighted its c -scaling at the end. This behavior will be helpful for some considerations in the next

sections. Clearly, solving equation (60) allows us to find the temporal behavior of the components \tilde{n}^i and see how this special direction changes over time (as described in the laboratory frame).

After some calculations, included in the Appendix B, we find that $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}$ does not undergo any motion in the equatorial plane. However, its orientation does change in the azimuthal direction and tends to anti-align with the local acceleration. Nevertheless, the time scale t_a over which this evolution takes place is so large (as seen in (B12)) that, over the duration of each measurement $\sim 10^{-2}$ s, the vector $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}$ can effectively be considered constant.

IV. NON-RELATIVISTIC LIMIT

In the following sections, we develop a systematic formalism to obtain the NR limit of the Hamiltonian (52). Our analysis is a natural adaptation of the Foldy-Wouthuysen (FW) transformation, which is commonly applied to obtain the NR limit of the Dirac equation in the form of a Schrödinger equation, adjoined with systematic corrections in the inverse powers of the particle Dirac mass $1/m$. [46–48] However, as we shall see, the choice for the expansion parameter in this particular case is more subtle.

A. Foldy-Wouthuysen Transformation

The standard way to proceed with the FW transformation is the following: The first step is to divide the Hamiltonian into the so-called “even” and “odd” contributions labeled, respectively, by \mathcal{E} and Θ .

$$\mathcal{H} = m\gamma^0 + \mathcal{E} + \Theta. \quad (61)$$

Those are identified depending on the number of spatial gamma matrices they involve. These operators satisfy the following commutation relations

$$[\mathcal{E}, \gamma^0] = 0, \quad \{\Theta, \gamma^0\} = 0. \quad (62)$$

Then, the FW transformations $U \equiv e^{iS}$ consist of a change in the Hamiltonian and the fermionic field of the type

$$\mathcal{H}' = U \mathcal{H} U^{-1} - iU \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (U^{-1}), \quad \psi' = U \psi, \quad (63)$$

maintaining the original Schrödinger-like dynamics. In this context, the exponential operator S is defined as

$$S \equiv -\frac{i}{2mc^2} \gamma^0 \Theta. \quad (64)$$

Working in the NR regime, where the rest energy $m_f c^2 \sim mc^2$ represents by far the largest energy scale of the sys-

tem, we can perform a formal expansion of the transformed Hamiltonian (63) up to any order

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}' &= e^{iS} \mathcal{H} e^{-iS} - i e^{iS} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (e^{-iS}) \\ &= \mathcal{H} + i[S, \mathcal{H}] + \frac{i^2}{2!} [S, [S, \mathcal{H}]] + \frac{i^3}{3!} [S, [S, [S, \mathcal{H}]]] \\ &\quad + \frac{i^4}{4!} [S, [S, [S, [S, \mathcal{H}]]]] \\ &\quad - \dot{S} - \frac{i}{2} [S, \dot{S}] + \frac{1}{6} [S, [S, \dot{S}]] + \mathcal{O}(m^{-3}). \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

In this way, the particle-antiparticle sectors of a Dirac system can be decoupled up to the desired precision by iteratively applying the FW transformation. The operator (64) is, in fact, specially designed to cancel out the leading order odd contributions in each FW iteration. Note that, due to the hermiticity breakdown highlighted in the previous section, the transformation U applied to our Hamiltonian system (52) is not unitary, as is usual in the more standard cases. However, the FW representation still allows for a meaningful and correct interpretation of the decoupling limit, as also shown in [49].

B. Parameters controlling the perturbative expansion and their order

Although the FW procedure is generally carried out using an expansion in $1/m$ [47], formal $1/c$ -expansions are better suited for non-inertial/gravitational contributions, because c plays a role in the calculation of the weak-field (or low-acceleration) limit. Indeed, that is the standard strategy for post-Newtonian approximations employed also in other gravitational contexts [50, 51]. The usual terminology used to classify different group of terms in the expansions is shown in Table I.

$1/c$ -order	PN-equivalent
1	0PN
c^{-1}	0.5PN
c^{-2}	1PN

TABLE I. Correspondence table between the $1/c$ -expansion and the post-Newtonian one (also look at Fig.1 in [52]).

However, the presence of VSR corrections, which often involve terms proportional to c^2 , undermines this approach. Thus, sticking to the parameter m allows us to develop the following argument: In the end, our goal is to obtain the non-relativistic VSR Hamiltonian, retaining at first only terms up to order c^0 or 0PN, as in the Newtonian picture. If we imagine dividing the Hamiltonian by mc^2 and recall that

$$v \equiv V - 1 = \frac{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x}}{c^2} \propto 1/c^2, \quad (66)$$

there are only three dimensionless arrangements of parameters we could expect from the FW procedure

$$\left\{ \frac{\lambda}{m^2}, \frac{p}{mc}, v \right\}, \quad (67)$$

with p representing momentum operators.

1. Leading Order (LO)

Starting from (67), the combinations we can construct up to order $1/c^2$ and first order in λ are

$$1/c^2 - \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{m^2}, \left(\frac{p}{mc} \right)^2, \frac{\lambda}{m^2} \left(\frac{p}{mc} \right)^2, v, \frac{\lambda}{m^2} v \right\}, \quad (68)$$

where, in constructing the above terms, we used the fact that non-VSR terms (i.e., terms not proportional to λ) cannot have odd powers of p , because there is no way to form scalars with an odd number of vectors. Furthermore, we note that new non-trivial VSR structures (featuring \tilde{n}^i) should appear with v , ensuring that they vanish in the zero-acceleration limit, thus recovering the correct inertial scenario in VSR.

As we can see from (68), all $1/c^2$ -corrections to \mathcal{H}/mc^2 are at most of order $1/m^4$. Then, it will be sufficient to perform the FW transformation up to order $1/m^3$, to obtain all 0PN Hamiltonian contributions. Clearly, during this process, 0.5PN and 1PN corrections, such as terms $\propto v^2$, may also be present, but they are easy to detect and safely discard. In fact, a term A that becomes negligible at the n th FW step cannot produce non-negligible effects anymore. That is because all its contributions to the $(n+1)$ -iteration are in some way derived from products of the type

$$A \frac{\mathcal{E}^{(n)}}{mc^2}, \quad A \frac{\Theta^{(n)}}{mc^2} \text{ or higher order}, \quad (69)$$

which cannot produce lower PN-contributions, since the even and odd operators are at most $\propto c^2$ even in the first iteration, as we shall see later. An equivalent reasoning is valid for terms that are already higher order in $1/m$.

2. Next-to-Leading Order (NLO)

While going further into the $1/c$ -expansion, new structures are expected to appear. 1PN corrections are already extremely tiny in the standard context of the curved Dirac equation [53], so we only focus on 0.5PN here. In the non-rotating LI case, indeed, there are no 0.5PN contributions, implying that one could safely expect all of them to be VSR related. Repeating the same analysis as before for $1/c^3$ -combinations, we obtain just one viable option

$$1/c^3 - \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{m^2} \frac{p}{mc} v \right\}. \quad (70)$$

Interestingly, the latter is $\propto 1/m^3$ in the reduced Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}/mc^2 . Thus, in order to find all contributions with this form and PN-order, it is sufficient to perform the FW expansion up to $1/m^2$. Then, the complete 0.5PN Hamiltonian will be obtained by adjoining the previous LO result with these new perturbations.

C. Non-Relativistic Hamiltonian at 0PN

As outlined, we start with the LO analysis at 0PN. Expanding the Hamiltonian expression (52) in powers of $1/m$, we obtain its version up to $1/m^3$ -corrections

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{VSR}^g &\simeq (m + \frac{\lambda}{m})V\gamma^0 - i(1 + \frac{\lambda}{m^2})\gamma^0\gamma^i\partial_i - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^0\gamma^i\partial_i V \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda}{m}V\gamma^i\tilde{n}_i - i\frac{\lambda}{m^2}V\gamma^0\gamma^i\tilde{n}^j\tilde{n}_i\partial_j - i\frac{\lambda}{m^2}V\sigma^{ij}\tilde{n}_j\partial_i \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda}{m^3}V(\gamma^0 - \gamma^k\tilde{n}_k)(\partial_i\partial^i + (\tilde{n}^i\partial_i)^2), \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

We should stress that up to now we have only neglected terms based on their $1/m$ -order. In the following, we will instead discard terms also based on their λ - and PN-order according to the previous discussion.

To proceed with the NR limit, we must identify the even and odd operators from (71)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^g &= mv\gamma^0 + \frac{\lambda}{m}(1+v)\gamma^0 - i\frac{\lambda}{m^2}\sigma^{ij}\tilde{n}_j\partial_i \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda}{m^3}\gamma^0(\partial_i\partial^i + (\tilde{n}^i\partial_i)^2), \\ \Theta^g &= -i(1 + \frac{\lambda}{m^2})\gamma^0\gamma^i\partial_i - \frac{\lambda}{m}(1+v)\gamma^i\tilde{n}_i \\ &\quad - i\frac{\lambda}{m^2}\gamma^0\gamma^i\tilde{n}^j\tilde{n}_i\partial_j. \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

The above expressions, are already approximated up to 0PN, according to the discussion in Section IV B. Moreover, we excluded $1/m^3$ -terms from Θ^g because, when included in the calculations of the relevant structures below (75), they produce either

- Even terms at most $1/m^4$.
- Odd terms at most $1/m^3$, but higher-order in other parameters, such as λ and $1/c$.

Therefore, repeating this argument until necessary, all contributions coming from those initial odd $1/m^3$ -terms would eventually become irrelevant.

The form of the Hamiltonian after the first transformation can be compactly written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}' &\simeq \beta mc^2 + \mathcal{E}^g + \frac{1}{2m^2c^2}\beta[\Theta^g, \mathcal{E}^g] + \frac{1}{2m^2c^2}\beta(\Theta^g)^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8m^2c^4}[\Theta^g, [\Theta^g, \mathcal{E}^g]] + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

In principle, we should also have included terms involving time derivatives of the operator S , as seen in (65).

However, being

$$\dot{S} = -\frac{1}{mc^2}\gamma^0\dot{\Theta} \sim \frac{\lambda c^2}{m^2c^2}\partial_t\tilde{n} \propto 1/c, \quad (74)$$

those contributions would already be higher PN-order and thus are safely negligible. Calculating each term in (73) up to the corresponding accuracy level, we find [54]

$$\begin{aligned} [\Theta^g, \mathcal{E}^g] &= i\frac{2\lambda}{m}\gamma^i\partial_i + 2\lambda v\gamma^0\gamma^i\tilde{n}_i - \frac{2\lambda}{m^2}\gamma^0\gamma^j\tilde{n}_j\partial_i\partial^i \\ &\quad - \frac{2\lambda}{m^2}\gamma^0\gamma^i\tilde{n}^j\partial_i\partial_j, \\ (\Theta^g)^2 &= (1 + \frac{2\lambda}{m^2})\partial_i\partial^i + \frac{2\lambda}{m^2}(\tilde{n}^i\partial_i)^2 \\ &\quad + i\frac{2\lambda}{m}\gamma^0\sigma^{ij}\tilde{n}_j\partial_i, \\ [\Theta^g, [\Theta^g, \mathcal{E}^g]] &= \frac{4\lambda}{m}\gamma^0\partial_i\partial^i, \quad (\Theta^g)^3 = 0, \\ 0 &= (\Theta^g)^4 = \mathcal{E}^g(\Theta^g)^3. \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

Replacing these expressions into (73), after a few cancellations, we end up with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}' &= (m + \frac{\lambda}{m})(1+v)\gamma^0 + \frac{\gamma^0}{2m}(1 - \frac{\lambda}{m^2})\partial_i\partial^i \\ &\quad - i\frac{\lambda}{m^2}\gamma^0\gamma^i\partial_i + \frac{\lambda}{m}v\gamma^0\gamma^i\tilde{n}_i. \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

Performing a second FW transformation has the only effect of removing the remaining odd terms. Therefore, it is straightforward to write down the final 0PN non-relativistic VSR Hamiltonian H^g for UCNs in an accelerated frame

$$H_{VSR}^{g(0PN)} = \gamma^0 \left((m + \frac{\lambda}{m})(1 + \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x}) + \frac{\partial_i\partial^i}{2m}(1 - \frac{\lambda}{m^2}) \right). \quad (77)$$

Given that at this order in λ , the effective mass from Eq. (11) is $m_f \sim m + \lambda/m$, we can re-express this Hamiltonian to better expose its analogy with (2), leading to

$$H_{VSR}^{g(0PN)} = \gamma^0 \left(m_f + m_f \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2m_f}\partial_i\partial^i \right). \quad (78)$$

Thus, at LO, there are no VSR corrections in accelerated frames apart from the mass shift already seen. Even though we probably could have expected the lack of non-trivial VSR terms at 0PN from dimensional arguments, this outcome is still noteworthy as it reveals at least three fundamental aspects: The absence of differences among particle-antiparticle descriptions, the validity of the equivalence between inertial and gravitational mass (now in terms of the effective m_f), and lastly the fact that, once again, in the NR world ($c \rightarrow \infty$) the preferred direction seem to play no role. Those features were not at all evident a priori from the relativistic EOM (37).

Nevertheless, this outcome does not allow us to eventually place constraints on the VSR parameters by using observations from gravitational spectroscopy experiments, such as *qBOUNCE*. That is because, at the 0PN

order, λ enters the non-relativistic expressions just to correct the particle mass from its Dirac value m to the effective VSR one m_f . Then, being the neutron mass m_f an input parameter obtained from other experiments, we have no way, at this order, to disentangle the Dirac and VSR contributions to it. From that comes the necessity of an higher-order expansion.

D. Next-to-Leading Order - c^{-1}

We now want to go further in the NR expansion and compute the NLO contributions at 0.5PN. Taking into account the discussion in Section IV B 2, the relevant even and odd operators for this case are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}^g &= mv\gamma^0 + \frac{\lambda}{m}(1+v)\gamma^0 - i(1+v)\frac{\lambda}{m^2}\sigma^{ij}\tilde{n}_j\partial_i, \quad (79) \\ \Theta^g &= -i(1+v)\gamma^0\gamma^i\partial_i - \frac{\lambda}{m}(1+v)\gamma^i\tilde{n}_i - \frac{i}{2}\gamma^0\gamma^i\partial_iv,\end{aligned}$$

where we excluded all $1/m^3$ -terms from (71), together with the odd $1/m^2$ -ones, since they would not contribute in this context.

Note that expressions proportional to \dot{S} are once again irrelevant for our expansion. Indeed, they contain at most odd $1/m^2$ -s or even $1/m^3$ -contributions, which are both negligible here. Therefore, the appropriate formula for the transformed Hamiltonian is still (73). Repeating the calculations of the needed structures, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}' &= (m + \frac{\lambda}{m})(1+v)\gamma^0 + \frac{\gamma^0}{2m}\partial_i\partial^i \\ &+ i\frac{\lambda}{4m^2}\tilde{n}^i\partial_iv - i\frac{\lambda}{2m^2}\sigma^{ij}\tilde{n}_i\partial_jv \\ &+ iv\gamma^0\gamma^i\partial_i + i\gamma^0\gamma^i\partial_iv + \frac{\lambda}{m}v\gamma^i\tilde{n}_i.\end{aligned}\quad (80)$$

Exactly as in the 0PN-case, the effect of performing a second FW transformation is just to eliminate the odd part of (80). Thus, we can directly read off the expressions of the new 0.5PN-operators

$$\delta H_{VSR}^{g(0.5PN)} = i\frac{\lambda}{4m^2}\tilde{n}^i\partial_iv - i\frac{\lambda}{2m^2}\sigma^{ij}\tilde{n}_i\partial_jv. \quad (81)$$

Naturally, the even part of (80) is incomplete since, by truncating the expansion at $1/m^2$, we are missing out some 0PN-contributions. Therefore, the correct way to obtain the final outcome up to 0.5PN-order is to merge the result in (77) with the above corrections, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned}H_{VSR}^{g(0.5PN)} &= H_{VSR}^{g(0PN)} + \delta H_{VSR}^{g(0.5PN)} \quad (82) \\ &= (m + \frac{\lambda}{m})(1+v)\gamma^0 + \frac{\gamma^0}{2m}(1 - \frac{\lambda}{m^2})\partial_i\partial^i \\ &+ i\frac{\lambda}{4m^2}\tilde{n}^i\partial_iv - i\frac{\lambda}{2m^2}\sigma^{ij}\tilde{n}_i\partial_jv,\end{aligned}$$

or, when writing it in terms of the shifted mass m_f and expliciting the content of v

$$\begin{aligned}H_{VSR}^{g(0.5PN)} &= \gamma^0 \left(m_f + m_f \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2m_f} \partial_i \partial^i \right) \\ &+ i \frac{\lambda}{4m_f^2} \tilde{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{a} + i \frac{\lambda}{2m_f^2} \sigma^{ij} \tilde{n}^i a^j. \quad (83)\end{aligned}$$

Contrary to what happened in the expansion up to 0PN, we are now able to observe the existence of non-trivial VSR corrections that involve the special direction $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}$. The latter could then be used to experimentally test the VSR hypotheses with neutrons.

V. COMPARISON WITH EXPERIMENTS

At this point, we would like to connect our previous results with *q*BOUNCE-like experiments and configurations. To do that, we start by recognizing in (83) an unperturbed Hamiltonian H_0 (equivalent to the one in (2)) and a perturbation Λ produced by VSR

$$H_0 = m_f + m_f \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2m_f} \partial_i \partial^i, \quad (84)$$

$$\Lambda = i \frac{\lambda}{4m_f^2} \tilde{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{a} + \frac{\lambda}{2m_f^2} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\tilde{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{a}), \quad (85)$$

where we clearly specialized to matter particles, taking into consideration that

$$\sigma^{ij} \tilde{n}^i a^j = -i \epsilon^{ijk} \Sigma^k \tilde{n}^i a^j \xrightarrow{\text{matter}} -i \epsilon^{ijk} \sigma^k \tilde{n}^i a^j. \quad (86)$$

We should stress that the second term in Λ is analogous to the spin-orbit coupling in the electromagnetic case, where the spin operator is represented by $\mathbf{S} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$.

Now, being the time scale of the $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}$ -evolution much larger than the one of each observation, we can exploit the time-independent and degenerate quantum perturbation theory to calculate the 0.5PN-corrections to the unperturbed energy levels defined by H_0 . To do that, we first calculate the matrix elements $\Lambda_{\alpha\beta}^N$ of the operator Λ in each degenerate eigenspace

$$\Lambda_{s_1 s_2}^n = \langle N, s_1 | \Lambda | N, s_2 \rangle, \quad (87)$$

where N is the quantum number labeling the unperturbed spectrum and $s_1, s_2 = \uparrow, \downarrow$ represents the spin projection over the quantization direction, which in this case is the one of the acceleration, i.e. \hat{z} . Since the first term in (85) just produces a trivial shift to all energy levels, we focus on the second one. Exploiting the relation

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\tilde{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{a}) = a \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{n}^2 + i\tilde{n}^1 \\ \tilde{n}^2 - i\tilde{n}^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (88)$$

and the information on the unperturbed orthonormal energy levels $|N, s\rangle$ of the quantum bouncing ball [53], we

find

$$\Lambda_{s_1 s_2}^N = \frac{a\lambda}{2m_f^2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{n}^2 + i\tilde{n}^1 \\ \tilde{n}^2 - i\tilde{n}^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (89)$$

Finally, putting to zero the determinant of $\Lambda - \varepsilon \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2}$, we obtain the value of the energy corrections

$$\varepsilon^N = \pm \frac{a\lambda}{2m_f^2} \sin \theta, \quad (90)$$

which, notably, is independent of N , meaning that all levels are split in the same way.

Let us now present a rough estimate for the simplest case $\theta = \pi/2$: Imagining an experiment with the same sensitivity reached by *qBOUNCE*, which is at the moment around 10^{-16} eV, deviations from the standard picture could be detected for values of $\sqrt{\lambda} \gtrsim 1$ TeV. However, the latter is clearly a range still outside our assumption $\lambda \ll m^2$. Thus, some other clever idea should be implemented to further increase the sensitivity to this particular effect.

VI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we made the first step towards the analysis of gravitational phenomenology for fermionic systems in VSR, by calculating the LO and NLO corrections to the NR Hamiltonian in an accelerated frame. The latter is equivalent to the settings of a uniform and homogeneous gravitational field, which can be considered as the first approximation of real gravitational backgrounds. The absence of non-trivial corrections observed in the 0PN-expression further demonstrate the elusive nature of VSR realizations of Lorentz-violation. However, the new corrections found at 0.5PN depend on the preferred direction introduced in the VSR algebra and can then be used to probe novel Lorentz-violating signatures. That would allow us eventually to place new constraints on the VSR parameter in the neutron sector. Nevertheless, at the moment gravitational spectroscopy experiments such as *qBOUNCE* do not measure transitions among states with different spin. Thus, new experimental configurations are needed to investigate these perturbations. Another interesting aspect that emerged from our analysis is the peculiar time-dependent behavior of the preferred spatial direction $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}$ in accelerated frames. In fact, if on the one hand the presence of acceleration is what allows for non-trivial VSR terms, on the other side we have also shown that the greater the acceleration, the more rapidly $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}$ tends to anti-align with \mathbf{a} , making the new VSR correction in (90) vanish, and weakening the relevance of initial conditions. Moreover, this evolution would also depend on the more fundamental nature that we assume for VSR, indeed:

- If n^μ emerges from some new internal degree of freedom, its evolution might only occur while the neutron is free-falling.

- In contrast, if it arises from global spacetime features, as usually considered, its evolution would start together with the appearance of acceleration, thus implying that in most cases $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}$ had enough time to anti-align with \mathbf{a} .

An additional factor that we neglected is the presence of Earth's rotation, which could alter $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}$ -evolution since the interplay among the tendency to align with \mathbf{a} and the ongoing rotational motion could lead to some sort of precession pattern. Furthermore, when describing laboratories on Earth's surface, rotational effects are certainly worth considering also because they may naturally lead to additional 0.5PN corrections. For these reasons, we wish to overcome this limitation of our analysis in the near future.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A.S. acknowledges financial support from ANID Fellowship CONICYT-PFCHA/DoctoradoNacional/2020-21201387. E.M. acknowledges financial support from Fondecyt Grant No 1230440.

Appendix A: Geometric Quantities for Accelerated Observers

Here, we include the expressions of several geometric quantities related to the metric in (33), recalling that we define $V \equiv 1 + \frac{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x}}{c^2}$ with \mathbf{a} constant and homogeneous. First, we list the non-zero components of the Christoffel symbols

$$\begin{aligned} \{0^0_i\} &= \frac{1}{V} \partial_i V, \\ \{0^i_0\} &= V \partial_i V. \end{aligned} \quad (A1)$$

As already mentioned in the main text, the components of the Riemann tensor are, instead, all zero. Then we move on to the calculation of the components of the spinor connection (29), which is straightforward. Starting from the temporal one, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_0 &= \frac{1}{4} \sigma^{ab} g_{\alpha\beta} e_a^\alpha (\partial_t e_b^\beta + \{0^\rho_\alpha\} e_b^\alpha) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sigma^{ab} g_{\alpha\beta} \{0^\beta_\alpha\} e_a^\alpha e_b^\beta. \end{aligned} \quad (A2)$$

Using the expressions (A1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_0 &= \frac{1}{4} \sigma^{0i} g_{00} \{0^0_i\} e_0^0 e_i^i + \frac{1}{4} \sigma^{i0} g_{ii} \{0^i_0\} e_i^i e_0^0 \quad (A3) \\ &= \frac{1}{4V^2} \sigma^{0i} V^2 \partial_i V - \frac{1}{4V} \sigma^{i0} V \partial_i V \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{0i} \partial_i V, \end{aligned}$$

where we also exploited the formulas (35) for the vierbein and the form of the metric (33). Finally, using the properties of flat gamma matrices, we end up with

$$\Gamma_0 = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{0i}\partial_i V = \frac{1}{2}\gamma^0\gamma^i\partial_i V. \quad (\text{A4})$$

Repeating the same steps for the spatial components of Γ_μ we arrive, instead, at

$$\Gamma_i = 0, \quad (\text{A5})$$

meaning that only the time component of the spinor covariant derivative $\nabla_0\psi$ gets a modification from the non-inertial geometry.

Appendix B: Evolution of \tilde{n}^i

Consider the differential equation (60) that determines the time evolution of the unit vector $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}$

$$\partial_t \tilde{n}^i = \tilde{n}^i (\tilde{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{a}) - a^i, \quad (\text{B1})$$

where we recall that $a^i = \partial_i V$ is the laboratory constant acceleration vector, which is equal and opposite to the local gravitational acceleration \mathbf{g} . To mimic the situation on Earth's surface, we choose the reference frame such that the acceleration points along the \hat{z} -axis, i.e.

$$\mathbf{a} = (0, 0, a), \quad \text{with } a > 0. \quad (\text{B2})$$

Moreover, since $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}$ is a unit vector, it is convenient to parameterize it in spherical coordinates

$$\tilde{\mathbf{n}} = (\sin \theta \cos \varphi, \sin \theta \sin \varphi, \cos \theta). \quad (\text{B3})$$

Hence, we have

$$\tilde{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{a} = a \cos \theta. \quad (\text{B4})$$

Using these choice of frame and parameterization, the differential equation system (B1) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\sin \theta \cos \varphi) &= a \sin \theta \cos \theta \cos \varphi, \\ \partial_t (\sin \theta \sin \varphi) &= a \sin \theta \cos \theta \sin \varphi, \\ \partial_t (\cos \theta) &= a \cos^2 \theta - a. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B5})$$

We start by explicitly solving the third differential equation in this system, by defining the auxiliary variable $y(t) \equiv \cos \theta(t)$, assuming the initial condition $y(0) = \cos \theta_0$. Thus, we get

$$y(t) = \cos \theta(t) = -\frac{1 - \beta e^{-2at}}{1 + \beta e^{-2at}}, \quad (\text{B6})$$

where we defined the parameter

$$\beta \equiv \frac{1 + y(0)}{1 - y(0)} = \frac{1 + \cos \theta_0}{1 - \cos \theta_0}. \quad (\text{B7})$$

Let us now turn to the solution to the first differential equation in the system (B1). Introducing the auxiliary variable $u(t) \equiv \sin \theta(t) \cos \varphi(t)$, we obtain

$$\frac{du}{dt} = au(t)y(t), \quad (\text{B8})$$

subject to the initial condition $u(0) = \sin \theta_0 \cos \varphi_0 = u_0$. Eq. (B8) can be solved by separation of variables, using the knowledge of the explicit solution for $y(t) = \cos \theta(t)$ in Eq. (B6). The final result is

$$u(t) = u_0(1 + \beta) \frac{e^{at}}{1 + \beta e^{2at}}. \quad (\text{B9})$$

To find the explicit behavior on the equatorial plane we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \sin \theta(t) &= \sqrt{\frac{\partial_t y}{a}} = \frac{2\sqrt{\beta}e^{at}}{1 + \beta e^{2at}}, \\ \sin \theta_0 &= \frac{2\sqrt{\beta}}{1 + \beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B10})$$

Then, replacing it back in (B9), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \phi(t) &= \cos \phi_0(1 + \beta) \frac{\sin \theta_0}{\sin \theta(t)} \frac{e^{at}}{1 + \beta e^{2at}} \\ &= \cos \phi_0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B11})$$

implying that the ϕ -angle remains constant over time. Defining the acceleration time scale t_a as

$$t_a = \frac{c}{2a} \sim 10^7 s \sim 115 \text{ days}, \quad (\text{B12})$$

the time-dependency of the azimuthal angle can instead be described by

$$\theta(t) = \cos^{-1} \left(-\frac{\frac{1}{\beta} - e^{-t/t_a}}{\frac{1}{\beta} + e^{-t/t_a}} \right), \quad (\text{B13})$$

from which it becomes clear that $\theta = \pi$ is a fixed point. Thus, during the evolution of the system, the space direction labelled by $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}$ tends to anti-align with the local acceleration vector \mathbf{a} . The only exception to that is for $\theta_0 = 0$ which looks like a point of unstable equilibrium, in the sense that it is stable over time evolution, but any small perturbation would lead to a flip of the $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}$ -orientation.

1. Kottler-Møller Coordinates and Constant Vectors

One of the possible mappings of a flat spacetime is given by the use of Kottler-Møller coordinates, which naturally adapts to accelerating observers. Starting from an inertial charting $X^\mu = \{T, X, Y, Z\}$ and taking the acceleration $\mathbf{a} // \hat{z}$, we can define the new coordinate system

$x^\mu = \{t, x, y, z\}$ through the following definitions

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \frac{1}{a} \operatorname{arctanh} \left(\frac{T}{Z + \frac{1}{a}} \right), \\ x &= X, \\ y &= Y, \\ z &= \sqrt{\left(Z + \frac{1}{a} \right)^2 - T^2} - \frac{1}{a}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B14})$$

which translates to a spacetime element ds^2 analogous to the one seen in (33)

$$ds^2 = dT^2 - d\mathbf{X}^2 = (1 + az)^2 dt^2 - d\mathbf{x}^2. \quad (\text{B15})$$

The Jacobian transformation matrix which connects the two coordinate charts is given by

$$\Lambda_\nu^\mu(x) = \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial X^\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\cosh at}{1+az} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\sinh at}{1+az} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sinh at & 0 & 0 & \cosh at \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{B16})$$

At this point, the peculiar time dependence of \tilde{n}^i can also be directly explained using the Kottler-Møller transformation (B14): Let us start from a lightlike vector $v^\mu = (v^0, \mathbf{v})$, constant in the old inertial coordinates $\partial_{X^\mu} v^\nu = 0$. Transforming its components to Kottler-Møller coordinates using (B16) we get

$$\begin{aligned} v^{\mu'} &= \Lambda_{\mu'}^{\mu} v^\mu, \\ v^t &= \frac{v^T \cosh at - v^Z \sinh at}{1 + az}, \\ v^{x^i} &= (v^X, v^Y, v^Z \cosh at - v^T \sinh at). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B17})$$

Now, defining for v^μ a quantity analogous to $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}$ in the main text, we obtain

$$\tilde{v}^{x^i} \equiv \frac{v^{x^i}}{v^t(1 + az)}, \quad (\text{B18})$$

the components of which are given by

$$\tilde{v}^{x^i} = \frac{1}{v^T \cosh at - v^Z \sinh at} \times (v^X, v^Y, v^Z \cosh at - v^T \sinh at). \quad (\text{B19})$$

Finally, considering (B19) for very long times t we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{v}^{x^i} = (0, 0, -1), \quad (\text{B20})$$

meaning that \tilde{v}^{x^i} tends to anti-align with the acceleration in the \hat{z} -direction, exactly as it happened for \tilde{n}^i . Once again, the only exception to that is for \mathbf{v}/\mathbf{a} , since it implies $v^Z = v^T$ and then \tilde{v}^{x^i} is just constantly equal to

$$\tilde{v}^{x^i} = (0, 0, 1). \quad (\text{B21})$$

Thus, this is another way to see and think about the origin of the time-dependent behavior of \tilde{n}^i .

-
- [1] J. Collins, A. Perez, D. Sudarsky, L. Urrutia, and H. Vucetich, Lorentz invariance and quantum gravity: an additional fine-tuning problem?, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 191301 (2004), arXiv:gr-qc/0403053.
- [2] V. A. Kostelecký and S. Samuel, Spontaneous breaking of lorentz symmetry in string theory, Phys. Rev. D **39**, 683 (1989).
- [3] V. A. Kostelecký, Gravity, lorentz violation, and the standard model, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 105009 (2004).
- [4] N. E. Mavromatos, Lorentz Invariance Violation from String Theory, PoS **QG-PH**, 027 (2007), arXiv:0708.2250 [hep-th].
- [5] S. M. Carroll, J. A. Harvey, V. A. Kostelecky, C. D. Lane, and T. Okamoto, Noncommutative field theory and Lorentz violation, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 141601 (2001), arXiv:hep-th/0105082.
- [6] A. G. Cohen and S. L. Glashow, Very special relativity, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 021601 (2006), arXiv:hep-ph/0601236.
- [7] A. G. Cohen and S. L. Glashow, A Lorentz-Violating Origin of Neutrino Mass?, (2006), arXiv:hep-ph/0605036.
- [8] J. Alfaro and A. Soto, Photon mass in very special relativity, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 055029 (2019).
- [9] J. Alfaro and A. Santoni, Very special linear gravity: A gauge-invariant graviton mass, Phys. Lett. B **829**, 137080 (2022), arXiv:2204.05485 [gr-qc].
- [10] A. Santoni, J. Alfaro, and A. Soto, Graviton mass bounds in very special relativity from binary pulsar's gravitational waves, Phys. Rev. D **108**, 044072 (2023), arXiv:2306.02464 [gr-qc].
- [11] R. Bufalo and T. Cardoso e Bufalo, Tree-level processes in very special relativity, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 125017 (2019), arXiv:1911.08386 [hep-th].
- [12] J. Alfaro, Axial anomaly in very special relativity, Phys. Rev. D **103**, 075011 (2021).
- [13] R. Bufalo, M. Ghasemkhani, and A. Soto, Adler-Bell-Jackiw anomaly in VSR electrodynamics, (2020), arXiv:2011.10649 [hep-th].

- [14] B. Koch, E. Muñoz, and A. Santoni, Corrections to the gyromagnetic factor in very special relativity, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 096009 (2022), arXiv:2208.09824 [hep-ph].
- [15] R. Bufalo and M. Ghasemkhani, Thermal effects of very special relativity quantum electrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 065024 (2019).
- [16] D. Colladay and V. A. Kostelecký, Lorentz violating extension of the standard model, *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 116002 (1998), arXiv:hep-ph/9809521.
- [17] V. A. Kostelecký and R. Lehnert, Stability, causality, and lorentz and CPT violation, *Phys. Rev. D* **63**, 065008 (2001).
- [18] R. Lehnert, Dirac theory within the standard model extension, *J. Math. Phys.* **45**, 3399 (2004), arXiv:hep-ph/0401084.
- [19] V. A. Kostelecký and Z. Li, Searches for beyond-Riemann gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 044054 (2021), arXiv:2106.11293 [gr-qc].
- [20] V. A. Kostelecký and N. Russell, Data Tables for Lorentz and CPT Violation, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83**, 11 (2011), arXiv:0801.0287 [hep-ph].
- [21] A. N. Ivanov, M. Wellenzohn, and H. Abele, Probing of violation of Lorentz invariance by ultracold neutrons in the Standard Model Extension, *Phys. Lett. B* **797**, 134819 (2019), arXiv:1908.01498 [hep-ph].
- [22] A. N. Ivanov, M. Wellenzohn, and H. Abele, Quantum gravitational states of ultracold neutrons as a tool for probing of beyond-Riemann gravity, *Phys. Lett. B* **822**, 136640 (2021), arXiv:2109.09982 [gr-qc].
- [23] V. K. Ignatovich and G. B. Pontecorvo, *The Physics of Ultracold Neutrons* (Oxford University Press, 1990).
- [24] H. Abele, The neutron. Its properties and basic interactions, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **60**, 1 (2008).
- [25] H. Abele, G. Cronenberg, P. Geltenbort, T. Jenke, T. Lins, and H. Saul, qbounce, the quantum bouncing ball experiment, *Physics Procedia* **17**, 4 (2011), 2nd International Workshop on the Physics of fundamental Symmetries and Interactions - PSI2010.
- [26] T. Jenke, D. Stadler, H. Abele, and P. Geltenbort, Q-bounce—experiments with quantum bouncing ultracold neutrons, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **491**, 103 (2002).
- [27] H. Abele and H. Leeb, Gravitation and quantum interference experiments with neutrons, *New J. Phys.* **14**, 055010 (2012), arXiv:1207.2953 [hep-ph].
- [28] M. Pitschmann and H. Abele, Schrödinger Equation for a Non-Relativistic Particle in a Gravitational Field confined by Two Vibrating Mirrors, (2019), arXiv:1912.12236 [quant-ph].
- [29] C. M. Bender, Making sense of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians, *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **70**, 947 (2007), arXiv:hep-th/0703096.
- [30] C. M. Bender and D. W. Hook, PT-symmetric quantum mechanics, (2023), arXiv:2312.17386 [quant-ph].
- [31] C. M. Bender, $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}$ -symmetric quantum field theory, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **1586**, 012004 (2020).
- [32] A. Ilderton, Very Special Relativity as a background field theory, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 045019 (2016), arXiv:1605.04967 [hep-th].
- [33] C. De Oliveira and J. Tiomno, Representations of dirac equation in general relativity, *Il Nuovo Cimento (1955-1965)* **24**, 672 (1962).
- [34] M. D. Pollock, On the Dirac equation in curved space-time, *Acta Phys. Polon. B* **41**, 1827 (2010).
- [35] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology: Principles and Applications* (John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1972).
- [36] X.-B. Huang, Exact solutions of the Dirac equation in Robertson-Walker space-time, (2005), arXiv:gr-qc/0501077.
- [37] L. Parker, One-electron atom as a probe of space-time curvature, *Phys. Rev. D* **22**, 1922 (1980).
- [38] X. Huang and L. Parker, Hermiticity of the Dirac Hamiltonian in Curved Spacetime, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 024020 (2009), arXiv:0811.2296 [hep-th].
- [39] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1973).
- [40] W. Rindler, *Essential Relativity* (Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York, 1969).
- [41] C. Møller, *On homogeneous gravitational fields in the general theory* (Munksgaard, 1943).
- [42] J. W. Maluf and F. F. Faria, On the construction of Fermi-Walker transported frames, *Annalen Phys.* **17**, 326 (2008), arXiv:0804.2502 [gr-qc].
- [43] D. Klein and P. Collas, General Transformation Formulas for Fermi-Walker Coordinates, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **25**, 145019 (2008), arXiv:0712.3838 [gr-qc].
- [44] R. Bluhm, Explicit versus spontaneous diffeomorphism breaking in gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 065034 (2015).
- [45] M. Arminjon, Post-Newtonian equation for the energy levels of a Dirac particle in a static metric, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 065017 (2006), arXiv:gr-qc/0606036.
- [46] L. L. Foldy and S. A. Wouthuysen, On the dirac theory of spin 1/2 particles and its non-relativistic limit, *Phys. Rev.* **78**, 29 (1950).
- [47] J. D. Bjorken and S. D. Drell, *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics*, International Series In Pure and Applied Physics (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965).
- [48] V. B. Berestetskii, E. M. Lifshitz, and L. P. Pitaevskii, *Quantum Electrodynamics*, Course of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 4 (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1982).
- [49] A. J. A. Coleman, Spectrometers, Detectors, and Associated Equipment transformation for non-hermitian hamiltonians, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **48**, 185403 (2015).
- [50] S. Chandrasekhar, The post-newtonian equations of hydrodynamics in general relativity, *Astrophysical Journal* **142**, 1488 (1965).
- [51] R. A. Nelson, Post-newtonian approximation for an accelerated, rotating frame of reference, *General relativity and gravitation* **22**, 431 (1990).
- [52] Z. Bern, C. Cheung, R. Roiban, C.-H. Shen, M. P. Solon, and M. Zeng, Black Hole Binary Dynamics from the Double Copy and Effective Theory, *JHEP* **10**, 206, arXiv:1908.01493 [hep-th].
- [53] B. Koch, E. Muñoz, and A. Santoni, Ultracold neutrons in the low curvature limit: Remarks on the post-newtonian effects, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 064085 (2024).
- [54] A. Santoni, *Delving into the phenomenology of very special relativity: From subatomic particles to binary stars*, Ph.D. thesis, Vienna, Tech. U. (2024),

