

Probing Signals of Self-Interacting Dark Matter Core Collapse in HI-Rich Galaxies

Demao Kong*, Hai-Bo Yu

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Riverside, 92521, California, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Galaxies
Dark matter
Galaxy dark matter halos

ABSTRACT

We analyze rotation curves of five HI-rich galaxies recently discovered with MeerKAT. These galaxies exhibit sharply rising rotation curves, while their baryonic components are not dynamically dominant, suggesting that their dark matter halos have high inner densities. When fitting the standard Navarro-Frenk-White (NFW) halo model, four galaxies require extremely high halo concentrations, exceeding the cosmological median by 5σ . In contrast, self-interacting dark matter (SIDM) halos in the core-collapse phase naturally account for the high densities in these galaxies. For halos with masses around $10^{11} M_{\odot}$, those in cosmic filaments exhibit concentrations consistent with the cosmological average, while halos in cosmic nodes show relatively higher concentrations that align with the SIDM fits but remain insufficient for the NFW fits. Our analysis indicates that these HI-rich galaxies may have formed in cosmic nodes of dark matter with significant self-interactions.

1. Introduction

The rotation curves of spiral galaxies provide essential tests for our understanding of galaxy formation, evolution, and the nature of dark matter. In the standard cold dark matter (CDM) model, the halos follow a universal Navarro-Frenk-White (NFW) density profile (Navarro et al., 1997), which has a cusp towards the central regions $\rho \propto r^{-1}$. However, measurements of the galactic rotation curves suggest that distributions of dark matter in spiral galaxies are more diverse than expected from the NFW profile (e.g., Oman et al., 2015; Ren et al., 2019). Many dark matter-dominated dwarf galaxies favor a cored halo with $\rho \propto r^0$ (e.g., Flores and Primack, 1994; Moore, 1994; de Blok et al., 2001a,b; Salucci et al., 2007; de Blok et al., 2008; Oh et al., 2015). Within the CDM model, baryonic feedback processes associated with galaxy formation and evolution could lead to the formation of a shallow density core rather than a cusp (e.g., Governato et al., 2010; Pontzen and Governato, 2012; Read et al., 2016; Chan et al., 2015; Fitts et al., 2017). These feedback effects may result in dark matter distributions in galaxies that are more diverse than those expected from the NFW profile (e.g., Di Cintio et al., 2014; Tollet et al., 2016; Santos-Santos et al., 2018; Lazar et al., 2020), though more work is needed to explore if the CDM model with feedback can account for the full range of the diversity of galactic rotation curves (e.g., Santos-Santos et al., 2020; Kaplinghat et al., 2020).

Alternatively, self-interacting dark matter (SIDM) has been proposed to address the diversity problem; see Tulin and Yu (2018) for a review. Collisional thermalization among dark matter particles in the halo can modify its structure, with details depending on the stage of gravothermal evolution. In the core-expansion phase, the halo will

develop a shallow density core (e.g., Spergel and Steinhardt, 2000; Rocha et al., 2013; Vogelsberger et al., 2012; Zavala et al., 2013; Nadler et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2024a). When the halo enters the core-collapse phase, its inner density will increase and can become even higher than its CDM counterpart (e.g., Balberg et al., 2002; Koda and Shapiro, 2011; Essig et al., 2019; Nishikawa et al., 2020; Sameie et al., 2020; Turner et al., 2021; Zeng et al., 2022; Correa et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2023; Nadler et al., 2023; Fischer et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2024b). Additionally, the presence of baryons in galaxies will speed up the gravothermal evolution of the halo (Feng et al., 2021; Zhong et al., 2023). The core size shrinks and density increases when the baryons become dynamically important (Kaplinghat et al., 2014; Robertson et al., 2018; Despali et al., 2019; Sameie et al., 2021; Jiang et al., 2023; Kong et al., 2024; Ragagnin et al., 2024; Correa et al., 2024). Taking these effects together, SIDM generally predicts more diverse dark matter distributions in galaxies than CDM, in accord with the observations (Kamada et al., 2017; Creasey et al., 2017; Ren et al., 2019; Zentner et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2024c; Roberts et al., 2024).

Since the gravothermal collapse of dark matter halos is a distinctive prediction of SIDM, a key test is to investigate dark matter-dominated galaxies with sharply rising rotation curves. Recently, Glowacki et al. (2024) reported a serendipitous discovery of 49 HI-rich galaxies in a 2.3-hour open time observation with MeerKAT. Among these galaxies, six have sufficient spatial resolution to obtain their rotation curves and generate mass models (Glowacki et al., 2024). Five of the six galaxies have sharp rising rotation curves, while the baryons, including stars and gas, are not dynamically important. There is no evidence that the five galaxies interact with other galaxies, causing disturbance. Therefore, they may provide intriguing tests for the core-collapse scenario of SIDM.

In this work, we consider the five galaxies ID10, ID18, ID19, ID24, and ID48 (Glowacki et al., 2024) and analyze their rotation curves within CDM and SIDM halo models

*Corresponding author

✉ dkong012@ucr.edu (D. Kong); haiboyu@ucr.edu (H. Yu)

ORCID(s): 0000-0003-1723-8691 (D. Kong); 0000-0002-8421-8597 (H.

Yu)

using the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method. We will show for the NFW halo model, its required halo concentration needs to be extremely high to fit the data, $\sim 5\sigma$ above the cosmological median (except ID10), while the contraction effects on the halo profile induced by the baryons are negligible. The SIDM fits require a core-collapse solution, and the favored halo concentration is $\sim 3\sigma$ above the median, and it can be lower mildly after taking into the impact of the baryons on SIDM halo evolution, as we will demonstrate using controlled N-body simulations. Additionally, we will show that the SIDM fits align with relatively higher concentrations of dark matter halos formed in cosmic nodes, which remain insufficient for the NFW fits.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we discuss CDM and SIDM halo models used in our study. In Sec. 3, we present the method of modeling rotation curves and detailed fits. In Sec. 4, we show the dark matter halo parameters inferred from the fits and the gravothermal phase of the SIDM halos. In Sec. 5, we present controlled N-body simulations for the galaxy ID19. In Sec. 6, we discuss environmental effects on halo concentration. Finally, we conclude in Sec. 7.

2. Dark Matter Profiles

To fit the rotation curves, we consider both CDM and SIDM halo models for comparison. For the former, we take NFW profile (Navarro et al., 1997):

$$\rho_{\text{NFW}}(r) = \frac{\rho_s r_s^3}{r (r + r_s)^2}, \quad (1)$$

where ρ_s is the scale density and r_s is the scale radius. The mass profile is given by

$$M_{\text{NFW}}(r) = 4\pi \rho_s r_s^3 \left[\ln \left(1 + \frac{r}{r_s} \right) - \frac{r}{r + r_s} \right]. \quad (2)$$

Additionally, we will use the following relations:

$$\rho_s = \frac{c_{200}^3}{3g(c_{200})} 200\rho_{\text{crit}}(z), \quad r_s = \frac{r_{200}}{c_{200}}, \quad (3)$$

where $\rho_{\text{crit}}(z)$ is the critical density of the universe at redshift z , r_{200} is the radius where the enclosed mean mass density reaches $200\rho_{\text{crit}}(z)$, c_{200} is the halo concentration parameter, and $g(c_{200}) = \ln(1 + c_{200}) - c_{200}/(1 + c_{200})$. We calculate the total mass of the halo M_{200} as $M_{200} = M_{\text{NFW}}(r_{200})$. Our MCMC analysis takes M_{200} and c_{200} as input parameters to specify an NFW halo. For each galaxy, we take its redshift information from Table 2 of Glowacki et al. (2024), ranging from $z \sim 0.02$ – 0.05 , when applying the relations in Eq. 3 for the MCMC fits.

For SIDM, we use the parametric SIDM halo model (Yang et al., 2024b,a). It assumes that the density profile of an evolving SIDM halo at a given moment can be expressed in terms of a universal function form. In this work, we take

the version based on the Read profile (Read et al., 2016)

$$\rho_{\text{SIDM}}(r) = f^n \rho_{\text{NFW}} + \frac{n f^{n-1} (1 - f^2)}{4\pi r^2 r_c} M_{\text{NFW}}, \quad (4)$$

where n is fixed to $n = 1$, r_c is the core radius, and $f(r) = \tanh(r/r_c)$. The time evolution of the model parameters r_c , ρ_s , and r_s are characterized by the following relations.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho_s}{\rho_{s,0}} &= 1.335 + 0.7746\tau + 8.042\tau^5 - 13.89\tau^7 \\ &\quad + 10.18\tau^9 + (1 - 1.335)(\ln 0.001)^{-1} \ln(\tau + 0.001), \\ \frac{r_s}{r_{s,0}} &= 0.8771 - 0.2372\tau + 0.2216\tau^2 \\ &\quad - 0.3868\tau^3 + (1 - 0.8771)(\ln 0.001)^{-1} \ln(\tau + 0.001), \\ \frac{r_c}{r_{s,0}} &= 3.324\sqrt{\tau} - 4.897\tau + 3.367\tau^2 \\ &\quad - 2.512\tau^3 + 0.8699\tau^4, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where the subscript “0” denotes the corresponding value of the initial NFW profile, τ is the normalized timescale characterizing the phase of gravothermal evolution of the halo. It is calculated as $\tau = t/t_c$, where t is the SIDM evolution duration of a galactic halo, and we will fix it to $t = 10$ Gyr for all the galaxies; t_c is the core-collapse timescale. For a halo with an initial NFW profile, t_c is given by (Balberg et al., 2002; Pollack et al., 2015; Essig et al., 2019)

$$t_c = \frac{150}{C} \frac{1}{(\sigma_{\text{eff}}/m) \rho_{s,0} r_{s,0}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi G \rho_{s,0}}}, \quad (6)$$

where $C = 0.75$ is a numerical factor calibrated from N-body simulations and σ_{eff}/m is the effective self-scattering cross section per unit mass for a given halo (Yang and Yu, 2022).

In our MCMC fits, we will fix $\sigma_{\text{eff}}/m = 10 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ (SIDM10) with the following considerations. The galaxies we consider have a maximum circular velocity of $V_{\text{max}} \sim 100 \text{ km/s}$, which corresponds to a halo mass of $\sim 10^{11} \text{ M}_\odot$. For such a halo to collapse within 10 Gyr, a cross section of $\sigma_{\text{eff}}/m \gtrsim 10 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ is typically needed, unless the concentration is significantly higher than the cosmological median (e.g., Essig et al., 2019; Sameie et al., 2020; Nadler et al., 2023), as we will discuss later. For the galaxies we consider, dark matter dominates the dynamics, and a cross section larger than $10 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ would also give rise to an equally good fit because of the degeneracy between σ_{eff}/m and c_{200} (Kamada et al., 2017; Ren et al., 2019; Roberts et al., 2024). However, for galaxies that are dominated by baryons, $\sigma_{\text{eff}}/m \gg 10 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ could make the central density too high to be consistent with observations because the core-collapse timescale can be significantly shortened by the baryons (e.g., Correa et al., 2024). Additionally, $\sigma_{\text{eff}}/m \sim 10 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ for $V_{\text{max}} \sim 100 \text{ km/s}$ is also motivated by velocity-dependent

SIDM models that are proposed to diverse dark matter distributions of other galactic systems (e.g., Correa, 2021; Turner et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2023; Nadler et al., 2023; Slone et al., 2023).

We will also use controlled N-body simulations to test the robustness of our fits. Although the baryons are not dynamically significant for the galaxies we consider and the adiabatic contraction effect (Blumenthal et al., 1986; Gnedin et al., 2004) is negligible for the CDM halo, they do have a mild impact on the SIDM halo, as we will show in Sec. 5.

3. Modeling the Rotation Curves

We take the rotation curves for the galaxies ID10, ID18, ID19, ID24, and ID48 from Glowacki et al. (2024) and perform a Bayesian analysis by utilizing MCMC method with the public code emcee (Foreman-Mackey et al., 2013). Note that we do not include galaxy ID8 in our analysis. This galaxy exhibits a low overall rotation velocity but a high baryon contribution, indicating that its dark matter fraction is, at face value, below the cosmological relative abundance of 85%, see Table 4 in Glowacki et al. (2024); we also confirmed it in our preliminary analysis.

We decompose the total circular velocity as $V_{\text{rot}}^2 = V_{\text{star}}^2 + V_{\text{gas}}^2 + V_{\text{dm}}^2$. For the stellar (V_{star}) and gas (V_{gas}) contributions, we adopt their corresponding median values as reported in Glowacki et al. (2024) as their uncertainties are relatively small and these galaxies are dark matter-dominated. For each galaxy, we sample the model parameters M_{200} and c_{200} with flat priors in the ranges $9 \leq \log_{10}(M_{200}/M_{\odot}) \leq 13$ and $1 \leq c_{200} \leq 100$, respectively. Cosmological simulations of structure formation show that c_{200} and M_{200} are correlated as the concentration-mass relation (Dutton and Macciò, 2014), but we do not impose it in our sampling.

In Fig. 1, we present our MCMC fits to the rotation curves of the galaxies for the NFW (gray) and SIDM10 (magenta) halo models. The shaded regions represent the 68% confidence level from the 16th percentile to the 84th percentile. We also show the total baryon contribution, including stars and gas (orange). Both halo models fit the data well and their predicted rotation curves overlap each other in most regions, suggesting that it is difficult to distinguish the core-collapsed SIDM and NFW halos solely from rotation curve measurements. Among the galaxies, the difference is more noticeable for ID10 as the circular velocity of the SIDM halo reaches its maximum at $r \approx 10$ kpc and decreases slightly toward larger radii, while the NFW one is almost flattened out for $r \gtrsim 10$ kpc.

The galaxies in the sample have a sharp rising rotation curve; thus, high-density inner halos are needed. Notably, the measured circular velocities at $r \approx 3$ kpc are already close to their peak values. To explore to what extent our fits shown in Fig. 1 are driven by the innermost data points, which may be subject to relatively larger measurement uncertainties, we further fit the galaxies without including them, as shown in Fig. 2. For ID19, ID24, and ID48, their

circular velocities of the NFW halos still peaked at radii $r \approx 3$ kpc. In contrast, the SIDM10 rotation curves rise slower for all galaxies, compared to those shown in Fig. 1, as the halos could be less collapsed without including the innermost data points, as we discuss in the next section.

4. Halo Concentration and Gravothermal Timescale

In Fig. 3 (left), we show the halo concentration c_{200} and mass M_{200} inferred from the MCMC NFW (gray) and SIDM10 (magenta) fits with the full dataset. The median represents the 50th percentile while the error bars represent the 68% confidence level, from the 16th percentile to the 84th percentile. The inferred concentration value is normalized to the cosmological median c_m as in Dutton and Macciò (2014). For reference, the 3σ (dashed) and 5σ (dash-dot) lines above the cosmological median are shown with a scatter 0.11dex (Dutton and Macciò, 2014).

For all galaxies except ID10, the NFW fits require high c_{200} values, approximately 5σ above the cosmological median. In contrast, for the SIDM fits, the c_{200} values are 3σ higher from the median, while the halo masses are similar to their NFW counterparts. For the galaxy ID10, the SIDM fit prefers a slightly smaller mass but a higher concentration than its NFW counterpart. The rotation curve of ID10 is the most extended in the sample, up to 40 kpc. The SIDM fit tends to match the innermost data point while missing the outermost one. The trend is reversed for the NFW fit.

Fig. 3 (right) shows c_{200} and M_{200} inferred from the fits without including the innermost data points. Compared to the results in the left panel, the NFW and SIDM fits are shifting towards lower concentration values by approximately 2σ and 1σ , respectively. Meanwhile, the uncertainties increase as expected. Even when excluding the innermost points, the NFW fits still require systematically higher concentrations than the SIDM fits, except for ID10.

Fig. 4 (left) shows the probability density for the normalized gravothermal evolution timescale $\tau = t/t_c$ from the SIDM fits with the full dataset. We fix t to be 10 Gyr and calculate t_c using Eq. 6 with the halo parameters from the MCMC chains. The vertical gray line denotes $\tau = 0.146$ where an SIDM halo has maximum core expansion and the lowest central density accordingly (Roberts et al., 2024). All the SIDM halos are in the collapse phase. ID10 has $\tau \sim 0.6$, while the others have $\tau \sim 0.9$, suggesting a favor towards the late phase of gravothermal evolution to fit the sharp rising rotation curves in the sample. If the fits do not include the innermost data points, the τ distribution shifts to the regime $0.2 \lesssim \tau \lesssim 0.6$ as shown in Fig. 4 (right). The SIDM halos are at slightly earlier stages of gravothermal evolution but are still in the core-collapse phase as the maximum core expansion occurs at $\tau = 0.146$. Thus, the SIDM fits favor a core-collapsed solution regardless of whether the innermost data points are included.




Figure 1: Rotation curves from the SIDM10 (magenta) and NFW (gray) fits, where each shaded band represents the 68% confidence region spanning from the 16th to the 84th percentile of the MCMC chain. The black data points with error bars represent the observed total rotation curves from Glowacki et al. (2024), and the orange solid curves denote the baryonic contributions (stars+gas).




Figure 2: The same as Fig. 1, but the innermost data points (hollow markers) are excluded in the SIDM10 and NFW fits.




Figure 3: Inferred $\log_{10}(c_{200}/c_m)$ and $\log_{10}(M_{200})$ values for the galaxies, where the median represents the 50th percentile, and the error bars indicate the 68% confidence region spanning from the 16th to the 84th percentile of the chain. The cosmological median concentration c_m is calculated using the concentration-mass relation, with 3σ (dashed) and 5σ (dash-dot) above the median shown under the assumption of a scatter of $\sigma = 0.11\text{dex}$ (Dutton and Macciò, 2014). **Left:** Fits based on the full rotation curve dataset. **Right:** Fits excluding the innermost data points.

5. Controlled N-body Simulations

In our MCMC fits, we did not take into account the impact of the baryons on the halo density profile. As indicated in Fig. 1, the baryonic contribution to the total measured circular velocity is less than 40% for all the galaxies. For the CDM model, we use the semi-analytical formalism from Gnedin et al. (2004) to estimate the effect of adiabatic contraction on the halo for ID19 and find that the effect leads to up to 3% enhancements in the total circular velocity, compared to the NFW fit shown Fig. 1. Thus, the adiabatic contraction effect due to the baryons is negligible in the CDM model, and the halo remains a 5σ outlier in terms of its concentration. The tension would be even more exacerbated for galaxy formation models where baryonic feedback generates a density core.

In the SIDM model, the halo is more responsive to the presence of the baryonic potential because of collisional thermalization of dark matter particles (Kaplinghat et al., 2014; Robertson et al., 2018; Sameie et al., 2018), especially in the core-collapse regime (Feng et al., 2022; Zhong et al., 2023; Yang, 2024). Thus, we shall examine the impact of the baryons on the SIDM halo for the galaxies we consider. For a case study, we take the galaxy ID19, which has the highest halo concentration in the MCMC fits and the highest baryonic mass in the sample, and conduct four sets of controlled N-body SIDM simulations.

5.1. Simulation Setup

We first construct a static baryonic potential at the halo center and assume that it follows the Hernquist profile (Hernquist, 1990)

$$\rho_b(r) = \frac{\rho_b}{\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)\left(1 + \frac{r}{a}\right)^3}, \quad (7)$$

where ρ_b is the baryonic scale density and a is the characteristic radius. We determine the ρ_b and a values by fitting the Hernquist profile to the circular velocity profile of stars and gas, see the Fig. 1 (orange, ID19), and find $\rho_b = 3.82 \times 10^6 M_\odot/\text{kpc}^3$ and $a = 10.35 \text{ kpc}$. For the halo initial conditions, we adopt our best-fit SIDM10 model mass $M_{200} = 1.67 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$ from the fit to full dataset (Fig. 1) and $M_{200} = 2.85 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$ from the fit excluding the innermost data point (Fig. 2). We adjust the concentration parameter c_{200} by demanding the circular velocity profile of the simulated halo to match that of the MCMC best-fit model.

For the cross section, we consider $\sigma_{\text{eff}}/m = 10 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ as in our MCMC fits. In general, there is a degeneracy among the cross section, halo concentration and mass, and baryonic potential in affecting the gravothermal evolution of an SIDM halo. By fixing M_{200} and σ_{eff}/m to be their corresponding values from the MCMC fits, we investigate the degenerate effect between halo concentration and the baryonic impact. Additionally, we will conduct simulations assuming $\sigma_{\text{eff}}/m = 3 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ with the following considerations. After implementing the baryonic potential, the velocity dispersion




Figure 4: Histogram of the probability density for the normalized evolution timescale $\tau = t/t_c$ across the entire MCMC chain for the galaxies. The gray dotted line indicates $\tau = 0.146$, corresponding to the point of maximum core expansion and lowest central density for SIDM halos. **Left:** Fits based on the full rotation curve dataset. **Right:** Fits excluding the innermost data points.

of dark matter particles at the halo center increases by a factor of 1.3. Consider an SIDM model with a strong velocity dependence as $\sigma_{\text{eff}}/m \propto 1/v^4$ (Tulin and Yu, 2018) on the mass scale of the ID19 halo $M_{200} \approx 2 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$ ($V_{\text{max}} \approx 120$ km/s), we expect that σ_{eff}/m decreases from $10 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ to $\approx 3 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$.

We use the public code SpherIC (Garrison-Kimmel et al., 2013) to generate the initial condition for the dark matter halos and the code GADGET-2 (Springel et al., 2001; Springel, 2005), implemented with an SIDM module (Yang and Yu, 2022; Yang et al., 2020), to perform N-body SIDM simulations. The total number of simulation particles is 10^6 , and the softening length is 1 kpc.

5.2. Rotation Curves with the Simulated Halos

In Fig. 5 (left), we present the rotation curves from the SIDM10 (dashed) and SIDM3 (dotted) N-body simulations, along with the MCMC SIDM10 fit (50th percentile, solid), where the baryonic contribution is included (solid orange). In our simulations, we have adjusted the concentration value such that the resulting halo circular velocity agrees with the one from the MCMC fit within 10%. The concentration is 0.31 dex above the cosmological median from the MCMC fit, while it is 0.20 dex above the median from the SIDM10 simulation, which includes the baryonic impact on the halo. The baryonic potential accelerates the gravothermal evolution, and a lower concentration is needed. For the SIDM3 simulation, the required concentration is 0.25 dex above the median between the two other cases.

Fig. 5 (right) shows the rotation curves from the SIDM10 (dashed) and SIDM3 (dotted) simulations, along with the

MCMC SIDM10 fit for ID19 (50th percentile, solid) without including the innermost data point. Again, we see that the required concentration decreases after the impact of the baryons on the SIDM halo is included in the simulations. The SIDM10 and SIDM3 simulations suggest that a halo close to the median concentration would be sufficient if the innermost data point is excluded.

We have seen that although the galaxies in our sample are dark matter-dominated, the baryons have a mild impact on the halo profile in the SIDM model, which further makes these galaxies less of an outlier in terms of the concentration-mass relation. In contrast, the effect of adiabatic contraction is negligible in the CDM model, and the halo must have an extremely high concentration to account for the sharply rising rotation curve. The difference is rooted in the fact that the SIDM halo is more responsive to the potential change induced by the baryons due to the collisional thermalization of dark matter particles. This feature may help distinguish the SIDM and CDM predictions with kinematic data from spiral galaxies.

6. Cosmic Environments

In CDM, the galaxies we consider are extreme outliers in terms of their halo concentration. As shown in Fig. 1, four galaxies in the sample exceed the cosmological median by $\sim 5\sigma$. However, if these galaxies reside in dense environments, the standard concentration-mass relation, based on the average of simulated halos in a large cosmic volume, may not apply to them. Indeed, Glowacki et al. (2024) found that the 49 HI-rich galaxies can be identified with three major




Figure 5: Rotation curves generated from the SIDM10 (dashed-magenta) and SIDM3 (dotted-magenta) N-body simulations, which account for the impact of the baryons on the halo. For comparison, the best-fit curve from the MCMC sampling (solid-magenta) and the baryonic contribution (solid-orange) are also shown. **Left:** Fits based on the full rotation curve dataset, with all three halos having $M_{200} = 1.67 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$. **Right:** Fits excluding the innermost data point, with all three halos having $M_{200} = 2.85 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$.

groups at $z \sim 0.033, 0.041$, and 0.055 , suggesting that they could have detected a super group of galaxies that formed in a dense environment. Therefore, it is interesting to explore the correlation between their sharp rising rotation curves and cosmic environments.

Hellwing et al. (2021) showed that the concentration of filament halos increases up to 15% relative to the volume average as the halo mass decreases from $7 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ to $10^8 M_\odot$. However, the difference is negligible for halos with masses $10^{11} M_\odot$, which are relevant to the galaxies studied in this work. In contrast, dark matter halos formed in cosmic nodes can have significantly higher concentration. For $M_{200} \sim 10^{11}$, the concentration of the node halos vary from $c_{200} \sim 15\text{--}20$, corresponding to $2\text{--}3\sigma$ above the median from the volume average. Intriguingly, these node halos align well with the SIDM fits but are not sufficiently dense to account for the NFW fits to the full dataset (except for ID18). Our analysis suggests that the galaxies reported in Glowacki et al. (2024) may have formed in cosmic nodes of dark matter with significant self-interactions, though further investigation is needed to validate this hypothesis.

7. Conclusions

We have used the NFW and SIDM halo models to fit the sharply rising rotation curves of five newly discovered galaxies, where dark matter dominates at all radii. For the NFW fits, the inferred halo concentrations are extremely high, exceeding the cosmological median by 5σ for four galaxies. In contrast, the SIDM fits, using a parametric halo

model, require lower concentrations, 3σ above the median, assuming an effective cross section of $\sigma_{\text{eff}}/m = 10 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$. The SIDM halos are core-collapsed by 10 Gyr, resulting in high densities in the inner regions. The SIDM fits favor a core-collapsed solution regardless of whether the innermost data points are included. Additionally, the halo parameters are better constrained in the SIDM fits than in the NFW fits.

We also investigated the impact of baryons on the fits. For CDM, the effect of adiabatic contraction is completely negligible. For SIDM, controlled *N*-body simulations for one of the galaxies (ID19) show that the baryons have a mild effect in accelerating the gravothermal evolution of the halo. Including the impact of baryons slightly reduces the concentration while maintaining a similar fit. The concentrations of the node halos match those from the SIDM fits but are not sufficiently high to be compatible with those required for the NFW fits. Overall, our results indicate that the SIDM model with gravothermal collapse provides an intriguing explanation for the sharply rising rotation curves of the HI galaxies.

In the future, high-resolution measurements of the rotation curves, especially in the inner regions, can provide further robust tests on the NFW and SIDM models. It is also important to conduct kinematic measurements for more galaxies in the same cosmic region in order to have a much larger sample. On the theory side, further analyzing simulated halos formed in cosmic filaments and nodes and comparing them with the observations will be crucial to assess the challenges in the CDM model further. Additionally,

it would be of great interest to conduct cosmological zoom-in SIDM simulations focusing on over-dense regions that contain cosmic nodes.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Demao Kong: Conceptualization, Investigation, Formal analysis, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. **Hai-Bo Yu:** Conceptualization, Investigation, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the John Templeton Foundation under grant ID#61884 and the U.S. Department of Energy under grant No. de-sc0008541. Computations were performed using the computer clusters and data storage resources of the HPCC at UCR, which were funded by grants from NSF (MRI-2215705, MRI-1429826) and NIH (1S10OD016290-01A1). The opinions expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the funding agencies.

References

- Balberg, S., Shapiro, S.L., Inagaki, S., 2002. Self-Interacting Dark Matter Halos and the Gravothermal Catastrophe. *ApJ* 568, 475–487. doi:10.1086/339038, arXiv:astro-ph/0110561.
- de Blok, W.J.G., McGaugh, S.S., Bosma, A., Rubin, V.C., 2001a. Mass density profiles of LSB galaxies. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* 552, L23–L26. doi:10.1086/320262, arXiv:astro-ph/0103102.
- de Blok, W.J.G., McGaugh, S.S., Rubin, V.C., 2001b. High-Resolution Rotation Curves of Low Surface Brightness Galaxies. II. Mass Models. *Astron. J.* 122, 2396–2427. doi:10.1086/323450.
- de Blok, W.J.G., Walter, F., Brinks, E., Trachternach, C., Oh, S.H., Kennicutt, Jr., R.C., 2008. High-Resolution Rotation Curves and Galaxy Mass Models from THINGS. *Astron. J.* 136, 2648–2719. doi:10.1088/0004-6256/136/6/2648, arXiv:0810.2100.
- Blumenthal, G.R., Faber, S.M., Flores, R., Primack, J.R., 1986. Contraction of Dark Matter Galactic Halos Due to Baryonic Infall. *Astrophys. J.* 301, 27. doi:10.1086/163867.
- Chan, T.K., Kereš, D., Oñorbe, J., Hopkins, P.F., Muratov, A.L., Faucher-Giguère, C.A., Quataert, E., 2015. The impact of baryonic physics on the structure of dark matter haloes: the view from the FIRE cosmological simulations. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 454, 2981–3001. doi:10.1093/mnras/stv2165, arXiv:1507.02282.
- Correa, C., Schaller, M., Schaye, J., Ploeckinger, S., Borrow, J., Bahe, Y., 2024. TangoSIDM Project: Is the Stellar Mass Tully-Fisher relation consistent with SIDM? arXiv:2403.09186.
- Correa, C.A., 2021. Constraining velocity-dependent self-interacting dark matter with the Milky Way's dwarf spheroidal galaxies. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 503, 920–937. doi:10.1093/mnras/stab506, arXiv:2007.02958.
- Correa, C.A., Schaller, M., Ploeckinger, S., Anau Montel, N., Weniger, C., Ando, S., 2022. TangoSIDM: tantalizing models of self-interacting dark matter. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 517, 3045–3063. doi:10.1093/mnras/stac2830, arXiv:2206.11298.
- Creasey, P., Sameie, O., Sales, L.V., Yu, H.B., Vogelsberger, M., Zavala, J., 2017. Spreading out and staying sharp - creating diverse rotation curves via baryonic and self-interaction effects. *MNRAS* 468, 2283–2295. doi:10.1093/mnras/stx522, arXiv:1612.03903.
- Despali, G., Sparre, M., Vegetti, S., Vogelsberger, M., Zavala, J., Marinacci, F., 2019. The interplay of Self-Interacting Dark Matter and baryons in shaping the halo evolution. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 484, 4563. doi:10.1093/mnras/stz273, arXiv:1811.02569.
- Di Cintio, A., Brook, C.B., Macciò, A.V., Stinson, G.S., Knebe, A., Dutton, A.A., Wadsley, J., 2014. The dependence of dark matter profiles on the stellar-to-halo mass ratio: a prediction for cusps versus cores. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 437, 415–423. doi:10.1093/mnras/stt1891, arXiv:1306.0898.
- Dutton, A.A., Macciò, A.V., 2014. Cold dark matter haloes in the Planck era: evolution of structural parameters for Einasto and NFW profiles. *MNRAS* 441, 3359–3374. doi:10.1093/mnras/stu742, arXiv:1402.7073.
- Essig, R., McDermott, S.D., Yu, H.B., Zhong, Y.M., 2019. Constraining Dissipative Dark Matter Self-Interactions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 123, 121102. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.121102, arXiv:1809.01144.
- Feng, W.X., Yu, H.B., Zhong, Y.M., 2021. Seeding Supermassive Black Holes with Self-interacting Dark Matter: A Unified Scenario with Baryons. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* 914, L26. doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ac04b0, arXiv:2010.15132.
- Feng, W.X., Yu, H.B., Zhong, Y.M., 2022. Dynamical instability of collapsed dark matter halos. *J. Cosmology Astropart. Phys.* 2022, 036. doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2022/05/036, arXiv:2108.11967.
- Fischer, M.S., Kasselmann, L., Brüggen, M., Dolag, K., Kahlhoefer, F., Ragagnin, A., Robertson, A., Schmidt-Hoberg, K., 2024. Cosmological and idealized simulations of dark matter haloes with velocity-dependent, rare and frequent self-interactions. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 529, 2327–2348. doi:10.1093/mnras/stae699, arXiv:2310.07750.
- Fitts, A., et al., 2017. FIRE in the Field: Simulating the Threshold of Galaxy Formation. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 471, 3547–3562. doi:10.1093/mnras/stx1757, arXiv:1611.02281.
- Flores, R.A., Primack, J.R., 1994. Observational and theoretical constraints on singular dark matter halos. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* 427, L1–4. doi:10.1086/187350, arXiv:astro-ph/9402004.
- Foreman-Mackey, D., Hogg, D.W., Lang, D., Goodman, J., 2013. emcee: The MCMC Hammer. *PASP* 125, 306. doi:10.1086/670067, arXiv:1202.3665.
- Garrison-Kimmel, S., Rocha, M., Boylan-Kolchin, M., Bullock, J.S., Lally, J., 2013. Can feedback solve the too-big-to-fail problem? *MNRAS* 433, 3539–3546. doi:10.1093/mnras/stt984, arXiv:1301.3137.
- Glowacki, M., Albrow, L., Reynolds, T., Elson, E., Mahony, E.K., Allison, J.R., 2024. A serendipitous discovery of H I-rich galaxy groups with MeerKAT. *MNRAS* 529, 3469–3483. doi:10.1093/mnras/stae684, arXiv:2403.16807.
- Gnedin, O.Y., Kravtsov, A.V., Klypin, A.A., Nagai, D., 2004. Response of Dark Matter Halos to Condensation of Baryons: Cosmological Simulations and Improved Adiabatic Contraction Model. *ApJ* 616, 16–26. doi:10.1086/424914, arXiv:astro-ph/0406247.
- Governato, F., Brook, C., Mayer, L., Brooks, A., Rhee, G., Wadsley, J., Jansson, P., Willman, B., Stinson, G., Quinn, T., Madau, P., 2010. Bulgeless dwarf galaxies and dark matter cores from supernova-driven outflows. *Nature* 463, 203–206. doi:10.1038/nature08640, arXiv:0911.2237.
- Hellwing, W.A., Cautun, M., Van De Weygaert, R., Jones, B.T., 2021. Caught in the cosmic web: Environmental effect on halo concentrations, shape, and spin. *Phys. Rev. D* 103, 063517. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.063517, arXiv:2011.08840.
- Hernquist, L., 1990. An Analytical Model for Spherical Galaxies and Bulges. *ApJ* 356, 359. doi:10.1086/168845.
- Jiang, F., Benson, A., Hopkins, P.F., Slone, O., Lisanti, M., Kaplinghat, M., Peter, A.H.G., Zeng, Z.C., Du, X., Yang, S., Shen, X., 2023. A semi-analytic study of self-interacting dark-matter haloes with baryons. *MNRAS* 521, 4630–4644. doi:10.1093/mnras/stad705, arXiv:2206.12425.
- Kamada, A., Kaplinghat, M., Pace, A.B., Yu, H.B., 2017. Self-Interacting Dark Matter Can Explain Diverse Galactic Rotation Curves. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 119, 111102. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.111102, arXiv:1611.02716.
- Kaplinghat, M., Keeley, R.E., Linden, T., Yu, H.B., 2014. Tying Dark Matter to Baryons with Self-interactions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 113, 021302. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.021302, arXiv:1311.6524.

- Kaplinghat, M., Ren, T., Yu, H.B., 2020. Dark matter cores and cusps in spiral galaxies and their explanations. *J. Cosmology Astropart. Phys.* 2020, 027. doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2020/06/027, arXiv:1911.00544.
- Koda, J., Shapiro, P.R., 2011. Gravothermal collapse of isolated self-interacting dark matter haloes: N-body simulation versus the fluid model. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 415, 1125. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.18684.x, arXiv:1101.3097.
- Kong, D., Yang, D., Yu, H.B., 2024. Cold Dark Matter and Self-interacting Dark Matter Interpretations of the Strong Gravitational Lensing Object JWST-ER1. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* 965, L19. doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ad394b, arXiv:2402.15840.
- Lazar, A., et al., 2020. A dark matter profile to model diverse feedback-induced core sizes of Λ CDM haloes. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 497, 2393–2417. doi:10.1093/mnras/staa2101, arXiv:2004.10817.
- Moore, B., 1994. Evidence against dissipationless dark matter from observations of galaxy haloes. *Nature* 370, 629. doi:10.1038/370629a0.
- Nadler, E.O., Banerjee, A., Adhikari, S., Mao, Y.Y., Wechsler, R.H., 2020. Signatures of Velocity-Dependent Dark Matter Self-Interactions in Milky Way-mass Halos. *Astrophys. J.* 896, 112. doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab94b0, arXiv:2001.08754.
- Nadler, E.O., Yang, D., Yu, H.B., 2023. A Self-interacting Dark Matter Solution to the Extreme Diversity of Low-mass Halo Properties. *ApJ* 958, L39. doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ad0e09, arXiv:2306.01830.
- Navarro, J.F., Frenk, C.S., White, S.D.M., 1997. A Universal Density Profile from Hierarchical Clustering. *ApJ* 490, 493–508. doi:10.1086/304888, arXiv:astro-ph/9611107.
- Nishikawa, H., Boddy, K.K., Kaplinghat, M., 2020. Accelerated core collapse in tidally stripped self-interacting dark matter halos. *Phys. Rev. D* 101, 063009. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.101.063009, arXiv:1901.00499.
- Oh, S.H., et al., 2015. High-resolution mass models of dwarf galaxies from LITTLE THINGS. *Astron. J.* 149, 180. doi:10.1088/0004-6256/149/6/180, arXiv:1502.01281.
- Oman, K.A., Navarro, J.F., Fattahi, A., Frenk, C.S., Sawala, T., White, S.D.M., Bower, R., Crain, R.A., Furlong, M., Schaller, M., Schaye, J., Theuns, T., 2015. The unexpected diversity of dwarf galaxy rotation curves. *MNRAS* 452, 3650–3665. doi:10.1093/mnras/stv1504, arXiv:1504.01437.
- Pollack, J., Spergel, D.N., Steinhardt, P.J., 2015. Supermassive Black Holes from Ultra-strongly Self-interacting Dark Matter. *ApJ* 804, 131. doi:10.1088/0004-637X/804/2/131, arXiv:1501.00017.
- Pontzen, A., Governato, F., 2012. How supernova feedback turns dark matter cusps into cores. *MNRAS* 421, 3464–3471. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.20571.x, arXiv:1106.0499.
- Ragagnin, A., Meneghetti, M., Calura, F., Despali, G., Dolag, K., Fischer, M.S., Giocoli, C., Moscardini, L., 2024. Dianoga SIDM: Galaxy cluster self-interacting dark matter simulations. *Astron. Astrophys.* 687, A270. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/202449872, arXiv:2404.01383.
- Read, J.I., Agertz, O., Collins, M.L.M., 2016. Dark matter cores all the way down. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 459, 2573–2590. doi:10.1093/mnras/stw713, arXiv:1508.04143.
- Read, J.I., Iorio, G., Agertz, O., Fraternali, F., 2016. Understanding the shape and diversity of dwarf galaxy rotation curves in Λ CDM. *MNRAS* 462, 3628–3645. doi:10.1093/mnras/stw1876, arXiv:1601.05821.
- Ren, T., Kwa, A., Kaplinghat, M., Yu, H.B., 2019. Reconciling the Diversity and Uniformity of Galactic Rotation Curves with Self-Interacting Dark Matter. *Physical Review X* 9, 031020. doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.9.031020, arXiv:1808.05695.
- Roberts, M.G., Kaplinghat, M., Valli, M., Yu, H.B., 2024. Gravothermal collapse and the diversity of galactic rotation curves. *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:2407.15005doi:10.48550/arXiv.2407.15005, arXiv:2407.15005.
- Robertson, A., Massey, R., Eke, V., Tulin, S., Yu, H.B., Bahé, Y., Barnes, D.J., Bower, R.G., Crain, R.A., Dalla Vecchia, C., Kay, S.T., Schaller, M., Schaye, J., 2018. The diverse density profiles of galaxy clusters with self-interacting dark matter plus baryons. *MNRAS* 476, L20–L24. doi:10.1093/mnrasl/sly024, arXiv:1711.09096.
- Rocha, M., Peter, A.H.G., Bullock, J.S., Kaplinghat, M., Garrison-Kimmel, S., Onorbe, J., Moustakas, L.A., 2013. Cosmological Simulations with Self-Interacting Dark Matter I: Constant Density Cores and Substructure. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 430, 81–104. doi:10.1093/mnras/sts514, arXiv:1208.3025.
- Salucci, P., Lapi, A., Tonini, C., Gentile, G., Yegorova, I., Klein, U., 2007. The Universal Rotation Curve of Spiral Galaxies. 2. The Dark Matter Distribution out to the Virial Radius. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 378, 41–47. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.11696.x, arXiv:astro-ph/0703115.
- Sameie, O., Boylan-Kolchin, M., Sanderson, R., Vargya, D., Hopkins, P.F., Wetzel, A., Bullock, J., Graus, A., Robles, V.H., 2021. The central densities of Milky Way-mass galaxies in cold and self-interacting dark matter models. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 507, 720–729. doi:10.1093/mnras/stab2173, arXiv:2102.12480.
- Sameie, O., Creasey, P., Yu, H.B., Sales, L.V., Vogelsberger, M., Zavala, J., 2018. The impact of baryonic discs on the shapes and profiles of self-interacting dark matter haloes. *MNRAS* 479, 359–367. doi:10.1093/mnras/sty1516, arXiv:1801.09682.
- Sameie, O., Yu, H.B., Sales, L.V., Vogelsberger, M., Zavala, J., 2020. Self-Interacting Dark Matter Subhalos in the Milky Way's Tides. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 124, 141102. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.141102, arXiv:1904.07872.
- Santos-Santos, I.M., Di Cintio, A., Brook, C.B., Macciò, A., Dutton, A., Domínguez-Tenreiro, R., 2018. NIHAO - XIV: Reproducing the observed diversity of dwarf galaxy rotation curve shapes in Λ CDM. *MNRAS* 473, 4392–4403. doi:10.1093/mnras/stx2660, arXiv:1706.04202.
- Santos-Santos, I.M.E., Navarro, J.F., Robertson, A., Benítez-Llambay, A., Oman, K.A., Lovell, M.R., Frenk, C.S., Ludlow, A.D., Fattahi, A., Ritz, A., 2020. Baryonic clues to the puzzling diversity of dwarf galaxy rotation curves. *MNRAS* 495, 58–77. doi:10.1093/mnras/staa1072, arXiv:1911.09116.
- Slone, O., Jiang, F., Lisanti, M., Kaplinghat, M., 2023. Orbital evolution of satellite galaxies in self-interacting dark matter models. *Phys. Rev. D* 107, 043014. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.107.043014, arXiv:2108.03243.
- Spergel, D.N., Steinhardt, P.J., 2000. Observational Evidence for Self-Interacting Cold Dark Matter. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 84, 3760–3763. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.3760, arXiv:astro-ph/9909386.
- Springel, V., 2005. The cosmological simulation code GADGET-2. *MNRAS* 364, 1105–1134. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.09655.x, arXiv:astro-ph/0505010.
- Springel, V., Yoshida, N., White, S.D.M., 2001. GADGET: a code for collisionless and gasdynamical cosmological simulations. *New A* 6, 79–117. doi:10.1016/S1384-1076(01)00042-2, arXiv:astro-ph/0003162.
- Tollet, E., et al., 2016. NIHAO – IV: core creation and destruction in dark matter density profiles across cosmic time. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 456, 3542–3552. doi:10.1093/mnras/stv2856, arXiv:1507.03590. [Erratum: Mon.Not.Roy.Astron.Soc. 487, 1764 (2019)].
- Tulin, S., Yu, H.B., 2018. Dark Matter Self-interactions and Small Scale Structure. *Phys. Rept.* 730, 1–57. doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2017.11.004, arXiv:1705.02358.
- Turner, H.C., Lovell, M.R., Zavala, J., Vogelsberger, M., 2021. The onset of gravothermal core collapse in velocity-dependent self-interacting dark matter subhaloes. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 505, 5327–5339. doi:10.1093/mnras/stab1725, arXiv:2010.02924.
- Vogelsberger, M., Zavala, J., Loeb, A., 2012. Subhaloes in self-interacting galactic dark matter haloes. *MNRAS* 423, 3740–3752. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21182.x, arXiv:1201.5892.
- Yang, D., 2024. Exploring self-interacting dark matter halos with diverse baryonic distributions: A parametric approach. *Phys. Rev. D* 110, 103044. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.110.103044, arXiv:2405.03787.
- Yang, D., Nadler, E.O., Yu, H.B., 2023. Strong Dark Matter Self-interactions Diversify Halo Populations within and surrounding the Milky Way. *ApJ* 949, 67. doi:10.3847/1538-4357/acc73e, arXiv:2211.13768.
- Yang, D., Nadler, E.O., Yu, H.B., 2024a. Testing the parametric model for self-interacting dark matter using matched halos in cosmological simulations arXiv:2406.10753.
- Yang, D., Nadler, E.O., Yu, H.B., 2024b. A parametric model for self-interacting dark matter halos. *JCAP* 02, 032. doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2024/02/032, arXiv:2305.16176.

- Yang, D., Yu, H.B., 2022. Gravothermal evolution of dark matter halos with differential elastic scattering. *J. Cosmology Astropart. Phys.* 2022, 077. doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2022/09/077, arXiv:2205.03392.
- Yang, D., Yu, H.B., An, H., 2020. Self-Interacting Dark Matter and the Origin of Ultradiffuse Galaxies NGC1052-DF2 and -DF4. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 125, 111105. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.111105, arXiv:2002.02102.
- Yang, S., Jiang, F., Benson, A., Zhong, Y.M., Mace, C., Du, X., Zeng, Z.C., Peter, A.H.G., Fischer, M.S., 2024c. A quantitative comparison between velocity dependent SIDM cross-sections constrained by the gravothermal and isothermal models. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 533, 4007–4022. doi:10.1093/mnras/stae2038, arXiv:2305.05067.
- Zavala, J., Vogelsberger, M., Walker, M.G., 2013. Constraining Self-Interacting Dark Matter with the Milky Way's dwarf spheroidals. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 431, L20–L24. doi:10.1093/mnrasl/sls053, arXiv:1211.6426.
- Zeng, Z.C., Peter, A.H.G., Du, X., Benson, A., Kim, S., Jiang, F., Cyr-Racine, F.Y., Vogelsberger, M., 2022. Core-collapse, evaporation, and tidal effects: the life story of a self-interacting dark matter subhalo. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 513, 4845–4868. doi:10.1093/mnras/stac1094, arXiv:2110.00259.
- Zentner, A., Dandavate, S., Slone, O., Lisanti, M., 2022. A critical assessment of solutions to the galaxy diversity problem. *JCAP* 07, 031. doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2022/07/031, arXiv:2202.00012.
- Zhang, X., Yu, H.B., Yang, D., An, H., 2024a. Self-interacting Dark Matter Interpretation of Crater II. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* 968, L13. doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ad50cd, arXiv:2401.04985.
- Zhang, X., Yu, H.B., Yang, D., Nadler, E.O., 2024b. The GD-1 stellar stream perturber as a core-collapsed self-interacting dark matter halo arXiv:2409.19493.
- Zhong, Y.M., Yang, D., Yu, H.B., 2023. The impact of baryonic potentials on the gravothermal evolution of self-interacting dark matter haloes. *MNRAS* 526, 758–770. doi:10.1093/mnras/stad2765, arXiv:2306.08028.