The Ising model: Highlights and perspectives

Christof Külske^{*}

January 10, 2025

Abstract

We give a short non-technical introduction to the Ising model, and review some successes as well as challenges which have emerged from its study in probability and mathematical physics. This includes the infinite-volume theory of phase transitions, and ideas like scaling, renormalization group, universality, SLE, and random symmetry breaking in disordered systems and networks.

This note is based on a talk given on 15 August 2024, as part of the Ising lecture during the 11th Bernoulli-IMS world congress, Bochum.

AMS 2000 subject classification: 60K35, 82B20, 82B26

Key words: Ising model, spin models, Gibbs measures, phase transitions, renormalization group, SLE, disordered systems, extremal decomposition.

Contents

[∗]Ruhr-University Bochum, Germany

E-Mail: [christof.kuelske@rub.de;](mailto:Christof.Kuelske@ruhr-uni-bochum.de) ORCID iD: [0000-0001-9975-8329](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9975-8329)

[https://math.ruhr-uni-bochum.de/fakultaet/arbeitsbereiche/stochastik/gruppe-kuelske/mitarbeiter/](https://math.ruhr-uni-bochum.de/fakultaet/arbeitsbereiche/stochastik/gruppe-kuelske/mitarbeiter/christof-kuelske/) [christof-kuelske/](https://math.ruhr-uni-bochum.de/fakultaet/arbeitsbereiche/stochastik/gruppe-kuelske/mitarbeiter/christof-kuelske/)

1 A theory for ferromagnetic ordering?

Ernst Ising (1900 Cologne - 1998 Peoria, Illinois) was born into a Jewish family from Cologne who moved to Bochum, an industrial city in Ruhr area in the western part of Germany. Ernst Ising grew up in Bochum, obtained his Abitur (high-school diploma) in Bochum and went on to study physics in Göttingen, Bonn and Hamburg. In Hamburg he followed Ph.D. studies with Wilhelm Lenz (1888 Frankfurt - 1957 Hamburg) as a Ph.D. advisor. Ising later escaped the prosecution during the Nazi period via Luxemburg and settled in the US.

The problem of Ising's Ph.D. thesis was the following:

Can the formalism of statistical mechanics, following Boltzmann and Gibbs, explain a phenomenon like ferromagnetism?

Ferromagnetism is the physical property of a magnetic material (e.g. iron) that it can be magnetized by putting on an external magnetic field at low temperatures. If the magnetic field is then decreased to zero, the magnetization does not vanish, and one sees the so-called spontaneous magnetization. If then temperature is slowly increased, the spontaneous magnetization decreases and vanishes sharply at a particular finite critical temperature whose value depends on the material.

Statistical mechanics describes equilibrium states of large systems by means of weighted averages (instead of trying to solve time-dependent evolution equations for many-particle systems in detail). The weights are depending on the individual states of all particles. They are given by exponentials of the negative total energy of the system, with a prefactor $\beta > 0$ whose meaning is the inverse of the temperature. An excellent introductory book to the subject is [\[29](#page-14-0)].

2 Definition of the Ising model

It was known at the time of a young Ising that metals have a spatially regular structure, with atoms sitting on regular lattices. Based on this, the following statistical mechanics model which was later called *Ising model* for ferromagnetism was invented in 1920. The idea was to simplify the description of the local degrees of freedom (*local magnetic moments*) in an extreme way, and further assume only an interaction between nearest neighbors on the lattice of such magnetic moments, sitting on the sites of a lattice \mathbb{Z}^d . The spatial dimension d should in physical applications mostly take the values 3 or 2 , but mathematically d may also be an arbitrary integer.

In the Ising model, the degrees of freedom of the (finite-volume) model are given by magnetic moments (spins) $\sigma_i \in \{1, -1\}$ which are sitting at sites $i \in \Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, where Λ is a very large but finite subset of the lattice. In today's probabilistic language they are random variables on a common probability space, which are distributed according to the following Gibbs distribution. This is the probability measure depending on inverse temperature $\beta > 0$, and external field $h \in \mathbb{R}$ in which the probability to see a configuration $\sigma_{\Lambda} = (\sigma_i)_{i \in \Lambda}$ is given by

$$
\frac{1}{Z_{\Lambda}}\exp\big(\beta\sum_{i\sim j}\sigma_{i}\sigma_{j}+h\sum_{i}\sigma_{i}\big),\,
$$

where the first sum is over nearest neighbors $i \sim j$ in the finite volume Λ . The negative exponent is (up to constants) the energy of a configuration. Spin configurations which for most edges $i \sim j$ align are preferred under this measure, as the product of two spins $\sigma_i \sigma_j$ is maximal iff they are equal.

Next to the lattice \mathbb{Z}^d , other choices of base spaces which are very interesting today are networks. Then the model is used also with other interpretations of the "local magnetic moment" σ_i in mind, e.g. as *opinion of a person i in a network*.

The above exponential formula for the Gibbs measure in finite volume has free boundary conditions. In principle there may (and often should) be terms connecting the sites which sit on an inside layer of the finite volume to a fixed boundary condition. These couple to the spins inside only via its values on the outside boundary layer of the volume. Such a boundary condition could for instance be chosen to be the maximal boundary condition, in which all spins on the sites on the outer boundary are equal to one. Now, does this model show a *phase transition* and long-range order (in which spins tend to align over long distances)?

- Is there a spontaneous magnetization at large β ?
- Does the model have a magnetic phase transition?
- What is actually a phase transition in a precise mathematical sense?
- What can be said about the behavior of other physical quantities?

Other physical quantities of interest include the specific heat (the amount of energy needed to put into the system in order to increase temperature) and the susceptibility (the increase of the total magnetic moment of the material under increase of the external magnetic field).

Ernst Ising was the first person on earth to begin to tackle such questions. He defended his Ph.D. thesis in the year of 1924 in Hamburg, in which he showed by explicit combinatorial computations that the model has no phase transition in spatial dimension $d = 1$. The result was published in Zeitschrift für Physik (since 1997 European Physical Journal) in the year of 1925 [\[44\]](#page-15-0). He also conjectured in this paper that the absence of a phase transition in the short range model would probably be true also in higher spatial dimensions, and long-range interactions would probably be necessary to create a spontaneous magnetization in which spins over long distances stay aligned. Luckily he was too pessimistic about the short-range Ising model. Independently we note that the study of long-range models (in the form of Dyson models with power-law decay of the strength of the interactions between spins at sites i and j , as a function of the distance $d(i, j)$ turned out to be a beautiful story in itself which developed much later, where ferromagnetic ordering may even appear in dimension $d = 1$, see [\[1\]](#page-13-0), [\[24](#page-14-1)], [\[30](#page-14-2)].

3 Phase transitions and long-range order in lattice dimensions $d \geq 2$

Boundary condition persistence via Peierls contours and Peierls argument. Rudolph Peierls (1907 Berlin - 1995 Oxford) was a German-Jewish physicist who grew up in Berlin, and then moved to England. Already in 1936 he came up with his famous Peierls argument [\[29\]](#page-14-0), [\[35](#page-14-3)] which gave a proof that, contrary to the conjecture of Ising, there actually was long-range order in the two-dimensional short-range Ising model, in zero external field, at sufficiently low temperatures.

His statement was about the finite-volume Gibbs measure in large boxes, with an all-plus boundary condition. He proved that the probability to see a spin value $\sigma_v = -1$ at a site in the middle of the box, under this measure, is bounded above by $e^{-c\beta}$ for β large enough, with a bound which holds uniformly even for arbitrarily large boxes. In other words, the influence of the boundary condition persists over arbitrarily large distances, which indicates that there is long range order in the Ising model.

His proof idea is based on the observation that in each spin configuration in the box which has $\sigma_v = -1$, there must be at least one contour surrounding it, which separates plus clusters of spins from minus clusters of spins. We call such contours today Peierls contours. It is then easy to bound the probability of a fixed contour of length l by $e^{-2\beta l}$. Together with a bound of the number of length-l contours around a given site of the form $Cl3^l$, this proves the claim when summing over the possible lengths l of contours.

Versions of Peierls contours and versions of the Peierls argument are used today in many places different from the original nearest neighbor Ising model. This includes percolation theory [\[9](#page-13-1)], [\[37](#page-14-4)] (which studies the appearence of large connected clusters in spatial random models) and spin models with long-range interactions.

Contours are also key objects in Pirogov-Sinai theory [\[28\]](#page-14-5), [\[39](#page-14-6)], [\[73\]](#page-16-0), [\[83](#page-16-1)], with contributions from Yakov Sinai (born 1935 in Moscow, Abel prize 2014). This is a general theory to analyze phase diagrams of low temperature lattice spin models which are non-symmetric generalizations of the Ising model to situations where the spins may take many spin values. A phase diagram is a decomposition of the parameter space (of temperature and finitely many external fields) into regions in which the structure and number of macroscopic states (see Subsection [5\)](#page-6-0) does not change. Pirogov-Sinai theory is based on suitably adapted complex series expansion methods (cluster expansions) for logarithms of complex polynomials in many variables (given by possibly complex-valued contour weights), whose coefficients are governed by combinatorial rules.

The idea of Peierls to study boundary curves (interfaces) separating plusses from minusses in the Ising model turned out to be very fruitful in a number of different places, and in particular much later in history as a starting point to analyze limiting behavior of random curves which led to stochastic Loewner evolution (SLE), see below Section [6.](#page-9-0)

Non-analyticity of free energy as a function of temperature via exact Onsager solution. Lars Onsager (1903 Oslo - 1976 Florida) was a theoretical chemist who received the Nobel prize in chemistry in the year of 1968 for his contributions to non-equilibrium statistical mechanics (where one studies e.g. systems at not just one temperature, but in contact with reservoirs with two different temperatures) [\[62](#page-16-2)].

A very highly valued achievement in the realm of thermodynamic equilibrium was the socalled Onsager-solution of the two-dimensional Ising model, which was published in Phys. Rev. 1944 [\[63\]](#page-16-3), see also [\[57](#page-15-1)]. The solution of the model in this context refers to the computation of the *free energy* of the Ising model in zero external field $h = 0$ in lattice dimension $d = 2$. Onsager was able to give the explicit (and correct) formula

$$
\lim_{\Delta \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \log Z_{\Lambda}(\beta) = \log 2
$$

+
$$
\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \left(\cosh(2\beta)^2 - \sinh(2\beta) \left(\cos \theta_1 + \cos \theta_2 \right) \right) d\theta_1 d\theta_2
$$

where one take the volume limit $\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^2$ (for instance) along sequences of boxes with increasing sidelength which are all centered at the origin. To arrive at this expression Onsager used graphical representations of the partition functions $Z_{\Lambda}(\beta)$ of the model, combinatorics, algebra, determinants (respectively Pfaffians which are square-roots of determinants). Modern versions of the proof proceed via so-called fermionic integration (defined in terms of projections of Grassmann algebras) to handle combinatorics and to derive this formula.

Once this formula is obtained, it is a simple matter to see that there is singular behavior as a function of the inverse temperature β only at that critical value for which the argument of the log touches zero when both cosines equal 1 which amounts to $2\beta_c = \log(1+\sqrt{2})$. Probabilistically, the free energy is the log-moment generating function of the (negative) energy $\sum_{i \sim j} \sigma_i \sigma_j$ per lattice site. Taking derivatives w.r.t. temperature one obtains the famous *logarithmic divergence of the* specific heat of leading order $-\log|\beta - \beta_c|$ when β approaches the critical inverse temperature.

By a further ingenious piece of work, which remained unpublished for a long time, Onsager and Kaufmann showed that there is power law critical behavior for magnetisation [\[7](#page-13-2)]. Via intricate computations they found that the spontaneous magnetization per site behaves like

$$
m(\beta) = \lim_{n} \langle \sigma_0 \rangle_{\Lambda_n,+} \sim (\beta - \beta_c)^{\frac{1}{8}}
$$

as $\beta \downarrow \beta_c$. Here the brackets denote the expectation of the spin variable at the origin in the measure with plus boundary conditions in a box Λ_n which is centered at the origin, as the sidelength tends to infinity.

The planar Ising model is not the only statistical model which admits a solution formula in terms of some determinant [\[8\]](#page-13-3), [\[46\]](#page-15-2), but solvability in this sense seems to be possible only in spatial dimension $d = 2$.

4 Critical behavior and universality: physical perspective

Critical exponents. Can the Ising model really be relevant for the explanation of the behavior of the physics of a real material, with all the extreme simplifications going into the formulation of the model? Surprisingly, yes. Many materials behave like prototypical models, e.g. like the Ising model. Namely, physical experiments with real magnets performed around the 1970s support the following so-called universality conjecture:

Critical behavior and in particular critical exponents for systems with short-range interactions depend only on few general properties like spatial dimension d and dimension of the local spin space.

There are relevant models in which the Ising local state space (or fibre) $\{-1, 1\}$ is replaced by a copy of \mathbb{R}^k , or a manifold like a sphere, in which cases one sees critical behavior different from that of the Ising universality class. Here *critical behavior* refers to the leading asymptotic behavior of physical quantities like the magnetization in a neighborhood of the critical point $\beta = \beta_c$. Many substances show power law behavior of a form we just saw for the special case of the planar Ising model, where the *magnetization critical exponent* takes the exact value $\frac{1}{8}$. Today it is known that the magnetization in the Ising model behaves like

$$
m(\beta) \sim \begin{cases} (\beta - \beta_c)^{0.3264...} & d = 3\\ (\beta - \beta_c)^{\frac{1}{2}} & d \ge 4 \end{cases}
$$

where the asymptotics in the last line ignores logarithmic corrections in $d = 4$. The exponent for $d = 3$ is supported by physical experiments, numerical simulations, and non-rigorous approximation schemes (which nevertheless seem to work very well). The critical exponents for large spatial dimensions d coincide with those of *mean-field models* which are models on the complete graph with vertex set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ where all possible pairs of spins contribute an interaction $\beta \sigma_i \sigma_j$ to the Boltzmann weight.

But this is hard to show. The common but technically involved approach is based on the lace expansion, a diagrammatic expansions which is able to prove mean-field behavior in sufficiently spread out models, or nearest neighbor models in sufficiently high dimensions [\[10](#page-13-4)], [\[74](#page-16-4)]. A related angle is to prove Gaussianity of the scaling limit as in [\[3\]](#page-13-5).

How to explain such universality of the lattice Ising model with its dimensional dependence even heuristically? Universality is well-known in the form of classical limit theorems in probability. It is best known in the form of the *central limit theorem* [\[47](#page-15-3)] which holds for standardized sums of i.i.d. random variables when their number tends to infinity, and correspondingly distributional convergence of random walk paths to Brownian motion.

Renormalization group: flow heuristics, non-localities and singularities, successes, problems. This suggests to look for non-standard limit theorems for the lattice-indexed dependent Ising spin-variables, under a suitable scaling (zooming out). A non-rigorous but brilliant breakthrough in this regard was made by Kenneth Wilson (1936 Massachusetts - 2013 Maine) who received the Nobel prize in theoretical physics for precisely this work in the year of 1982. He outlined how universality and power laws could be explained by (non-rigorous) renormalization group theory [\[82\]](#page-16-5). Renormalization comes in various meanings in theoretical physics. Historically even earlier forms of renormalization derive from the study of series expansions needed in particle physics $[6]$, $[84]$. In theoretical physics, renormalization group (RG) is associated with a recipe for handling certain (typically non-convergent) series, which consists of formal bookkeeping rules in the form of diagrams which depict the countable zoo of terms appearing in the corresponding expansion, and adhoc rules to remove infinite terms. Many of these steps are hard to justify mathematically.

Wilson-renormalization in statistical mechanics now comes with the idea that carrying out scaling transformations (coarse-graining away fine scale details of configurations, and zooming out) should correspond to a so-called *renormalization group flow* in a space of finitely many parameters, here generically denoted by p , in some suitable space of interactions. This non-linear renormalization group flow $(t, p_0) \mapsto \Phi(t, p_0)$ depends on a real parameter $t \geq 0$ which describes how much we are zooming out, starting from parameter values p_0 , and is expected to display fixed points with stable and unstable manifolds attached to it. The asymptotics locally around these fixed points should correspond to the type of critical behavior for a whole universality class and thus determine the critical exponents of the original system. In Wilson-theory [\[82](#page-16-5)] (to deal with the Ising model in $d = 3$ for instance) this is accompanied with a number of further mathematically non-justifiable tricks for actual computations, one of which is expanding and taking formal limits in the dimensional parameter d, thereby treating it as if it were a continuous variable.

Mathematical physics has not been able to justify Wilson-renormalization in its literal form. This poses many open challenges! To begin with one major problem, due to the so-called RGpathologies, RG transformations tend to create very long-range interactions, which can not simply be ignored [\[28\]](#page-14-5), [\[36](#page-14-7)]. Lattice systems tend to leave any reasonable space of interactions immediately under coarse-graining.

In order to fully understand this phenomenon, one needs to go to a proper infinite-system description, see Section [5.](#page-6-0) Indeed, non-localities and loss of regularity of the measures appear more generally also for many other types of transformations of lattice-indexed distributions of random variables, including stochstic time-evolutions. There is an underlying physical mechanism for this production of singularities, which is related to hidden phase transitions in those parts of the systems which are integrated out. Depending on the concrete system and the transformation a multitude of scenarios and forms of singularities may appear. Let us mention e.g. deterministic spatial projections [\[69\]](#page-16-7), coarse-graining transformations in local state space [\[56\]](#page-15-4), applications of noisy kernels and stochastic time-evolutions [\[27](#page-14-8)], [\[51\]](#page-15-5), joint measures of spin variables and disorder variables [\[49\]](#page-15-6) in *quenched disordered systems* which we will discuss in the last section.

However, renormalization group and scaling, taken with a broad view, for instance on the level of contour and interfacial lines, have been guiding principles for beautiful fully rigorous mathematical physics and probability. We mention the study of low-temperature random systems [\[12](#page-13-7)], and the description of two-dimensional critical system in terms of random curves with classes of distributions given by SLE_{κ} , see below in Section [6.](#page-9-0) There is application of Wilson renormalization group ideas to stochastic PDEs [\[52\]](#page-15-7), and also relation to the theory of regularity structures [\[38\]](#page-14-9) to deal with fluctuations and possible divergences on a local scale for which Martin Hairer was awarded the Fields medal in 2014.

5 What is a phase transition? Infinite systems and Gibbs simplex

It is a fruitful idealization to study, instead of very large finite systems, systems which are actually infinitely large. The formalism we are using for this today goes back to Roland Dobrushin (1929 St Petersburg - 1995 Moscow) who obtained his Ph.D. with advisor Kolmogorov in 1955, Oscar Lanford (1940 New York City - 2013 Switzerland), and David Ruelle (1935 born in Ghent, Belgium). The mathematical theory of infinite systems has many advantages, as phase transitions become sharp, and there is a natural way to describe phase coexistence for the same model parameters in terms of multiple Gibbs measures. A canonical reference for large parts of the theory discussed in this section is the clear and abstract book by Hans-Otto Georgii [\[32\]](#page-14-10).

DLR-formalism. In the infinite volume, as Dobrushin, Lanford and Ruelle [\[68\]](#page-16-8) realized, a notion of consistency of the measure has to be defined, as it is impossible to directly write expressions for Gibbs probabilities involving infinitely many sums, and quantities like the partition function Z_A are only finite for finite Λ . This DLR-consistency equation has analogy to the much simpler situation for Markov chains. For Markov chains, one has only one determining equation for its invariant distribution, when the Markov transition matrix is given. The invariant distribution is then called stationary distribution, i.e. it is invariant under the associated stochastic time evolution. The more sophisticated analogue to a single transition matrix, is in DLR theory given by a whole family of so-called specification kernels γ_{Λ} , where Λ runs over the finite subvolumes of \mathbb{Z}^d . These kernels are precisely the finite-volume Gibbs measures we already encountered, but with a boundary condition ω outside of Λ . Thus, for the Ising model they take the form

$$
\gamma_{\Lambda}(\sigma_{\Lambda}|\omega_{\Lambda^c}) = \frac{1}{Z_{\Lambda}(\beta, h, \omega)} \exp \Bigl(\beta \sum_{\substack{i \sim j \\ i,j \in \Lambda}} \sigma_i \sigma_j + h \sum_{i \in \Lambda} \sigma_i + \beta \sum_{\substack{i \sim j \\ i \in \Lambda, j \in \Lambda^c}} \sigma_i \omega_j \Bigr)
$$

Here the fixed choice of boundary condition ω specifies a probability distribution for the variable σ inside Λ. The above expression thus is a kernel, as it describes a probability measure w.r.t. σ which depends measurably on the boundary condition ω .

Let now μ be a candidate infinite-volume state, i.e. a probability measure on $\{-1,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$, together with the standard product sigma-algebra on this space of infinite configurations. μ is called a Gibbs measure (in the infinite volume) for a statistical mechanics model described by a family of specification kernels γ_{Λ} , if and only if it satisfies the DLR consistency equation

$$
\mu\gamma_\Lambda=\mu
$$

for all finite volumes Λ. The left hand side of the DLR equation describes the application of the kernel γ_{Λ} to the measure μ , by taking a boundary condition ω from $\mu(d\omega)$ and re-randomizing σ_{Λ} accordingly inside the finite volume Λ . The DLR equation demands that this procedure should leave μ invariant as a measure, and this invariance under re-randomization should hold for all finite volumes Λ. The DLR formalism is different from the description of an infinite-volume measure via the Kolmogorov extension theory, as there may be multiple solutions μ . In general their finite-dimensional marginals can not in an obvious way directly read off from the DLR equation.

Gibbs simplex, extremal decomposition, pure states. The DLR equations are linear in μ , hence convex combinations $\alpha\mu_1 + (1 - \alpha)\mu_2$ of solutions μ_1, μ_2 are again solutions. Consequently the set of Gibbs measures is a convex set of probability measures in the infinite volume. It turns out that it is even a *simplex*, which means that each Gibbs measure has a *unique* decomposition $\mu = \int \nu \rho_{\mu}(d\nu)$ as an integral over the *extremal (non-decomposable)* Gibbs measures, with some decomposition measure $\rho_\mu(d\nu)$. Integrals over sets of measures like this are measure theoretically well-defined by putting the natural sigma-algebra on the space of infinite-volume measures, the so-called evaluation sigma-algebra. This allows to apply the previous formula to any spin-observable. Extremal Gibbs measures are also called pure states or pure phases, and they are characterized by the fact that they are tail-trivial, i.e. trivial on the tail-sigma algebra of spin-events. This is a generalization of the familiar Kolmogorov zero-one law for independent random variables. It means that a tail-event (that is an event in spin space which does not depend on any change of the values of finitely many spins) obtains only probability zero or one, in any pure state of a statistical mechanics model described by a specification. An example of a tail-measure observable in the Ising model is the magnetization per site $\limsup_n \frac{1}{|\Lambda_n|} \sum_{i \in \Lambda_n} \sigma_i$ along a sequence of finite volumes Λ_n tending to infinity, or more generally empirical sums of some local observable of interest. The zero-one law implies that such a macroscopic quantity has a sharp non-fluctuating value in any pure state. Tail-triviality is mathematically equivalent to spatial correlation decay of observables, in a suitable sense. For a clear exposition from a probabilistic point of view, see [\[32](#page-14-10)], and also [\[66](#page-16-9)], [\[72](#page-16-10)].

What is now the meaning of a pure state in infinite-volume statistical mechanics for physics? The mathematical pure states are commonly interpreted as the physically possible macroscopic states of very large pieces of matter. The extremal decomposition measure $\rho_{\mu}(dv)$ for a possibly non-pure state μ is commonly interpreted as an expression of a subjective statistical uncertainty, which of the pure states ν we have in front of us.

What is then a (first order) phase transition? A system described by a family of specification kernels is said to have a (first order) phase transition if there is more than one infinite-volume Gibbs measure. This means that the physical system may take more than one macroscopic state, for the same values of the parameter, as it is the case for instance for large enough β in zero magnetic field in the Ising model for $d \geq 2$.

Lattice Ising model. The two-dimensional Ising model in zero external field, has only two extremal Gibbs measures, a plus-like state μ^+ and a minus-like state μ^- . At temperatures strictly below the transition temperature these states are different, otherwise they coincide. There are no other states, which is the content of the Aizenman-Higuchi theorem [\[2](#page-13-8)], [\[34](#page-14-11)]. The Gibbs simplex is richer for the Ising model on \mathbb{Z}^3 , where there are also infinite-volume states describing stable interfaces at low temperatures, the so-called Dobrushin states [\[13](#page-13-9)], [\[22\]](#page-14-12). These two-dimensional interfaces are analogues of the one-dimensional original boundary curves (Peierls contours) in the two-dimensional Ising model we mentioned at the very beginning.

Inhomogeneous glassy states, Ising model on trees. Surprising things can happen for spin models like the Ising model on infinite graphs (networks) when the graphs are of a much different nature than lattices. The DLR formalism makes perfect sense for any countably infinite graph, including for instance trees. Models on infinite trees [\[55\]](#page-15-8), [\[67](#page-16-11)] are mathematically very interesting, and they are often important local building blocks of possibly more complicated random networks [\[41](#page-15-9)]. Consider now the Gibbs simplex in the DLR formalism for the nearest neighbor Ising model on a regular infinite tree where each vertex has precisely $k+1$ nearest neighbors, $k \geq 2$. Here the

infinite-volume Gibbs measure which is obtained with open boundary conditions is non-pure at very low temperature, and it decomposes over uncountably many pure states, which are spatially inhomogeneous. It can moreover be shown that the decomposition measure is atomless at low temperature, which means that $\rho_{\mu^{\text{free}}}(\{\nu\})=0$ for any of the uncountably many pure states ν [\[31](#page-14-13)]. It can also be shown that this is a general phenomenon for similar models, see [\[16\]](#page-13-10) which used the method of branch-overlaps motivated by spin-glasses. As a loose heuristic why this could be possible, note that finite subvolumes Λ of trees have large outer boundaries (which are of a size of the order of the volume itself). This makes it easier (than it would be on lattices) for boundary conditions ω to be remembered by the finite volume Gibbs measure $\gamma_\Lambda(d\sigma|\omega)$, even if one is looking for the behavior of the spin-configuration σ deep inside Λ . Correspondingly there could be and there really are provably (many) more pure states on trees than there are on lattices.

The appearance of many spatially inhomogeneous pure states is also expected to hold on lattices of large enough spatial dimension, however not for ferromagnetic models with homogeneous nearest neighbor couplings, but for *spin-glass models*. In spin-glasses the couplings between pairs of spins are random variables with symmetric distribution, see Section [7](#page-10-0) of this overview.

Benefits and further properties of infinite-volume measures. The DLR-infinite volume formalism is the natural setup to study the following subjects. There is usually a model independent part of the theory [\[29\]](#page-14-0), [\[32\]](#page-14-10) which has to be supplemented by model-dependent studies for concrete spin systems like the Ising model and its relatives.

1. Symmetries of Gibbs measures either under spin-space transformations or geometric transformations. Think e.g. of lattice translations on \mathbb{Z}^d or more generally of a graph automorphism of the underlying graph, which acts on spin configurations, and correspondingly on measures on spin configurations. Clearly any boundary condition in the two-dimensional Ising model breaks the translation symmetry, but translational symmetry is restored in the infinite volume, e.g. for the infinite-volume state μ^+ . This is relatively easy to show using arguments of stochastic monotonicity which hold e.g. for the Ising model [\[29\]](#page-14-0), [\[33\]](#page-14-14). Correspondingly one may study ergodicity properties of the shift-invariant Gibbs measures, which are invariant under the translation group on \mathbb{Z}^d .

2. Large deviations, concentration properties of observables, Gibbs variational principle in infinite volume. This can be studied both in the simpler uniqueness regime, and in phase transition regimes. Large deviations theory studies i.e. asymptotics for μ -probabilities of deviations from the expected value w.r.t. a Gibbs measure μ of an observable like $\frac{1}{|\Lambda_n|} \sum_{i \in \Lambda_n} \sigma_i$, but it is much more general. A pioneering figure in the study of large deviations, in particular for spatial models, is S.R.S. Varadhan [\[79\]](#page-16-12) (born 1940 Madras, India, Abel prize 2007). Large deviations theory is intimately connected with thermodynamic potentials (like the free energy) and their Legendre transforms, and in this way, it is tied to classical concepts in thermodynamics [\[19\]](#page-14-15), [\[26](#page-14-16)]. Legendre transforms of free energies reappear as large deviation rate functionals (which quantify the exponential decay rate of improbable events). Properties depend strongly whether we are in the uniqueness regime at small temperature, or in the non-uniqueness regime at low temperatures.

The study of concentration properties of functionals of dependent random variables (starting from independence) is an important subject in many subfields of probability [\[53](#page-15-10)], [\[77](#page-16-13)]. It is related and more general in its character, but different from the desire to study precise large deviations. In the example of the Ising model one is interested in the control of μ -probabilities of deviations of the function $F((\sigma_i)_{\in W})$ depending on spins in the volume W, from its expectation, w.r.t. a Gibbs measure μ . One expects to find bounds which are exponentially small in $|W|$, depending on the form of F , at least in uniqueness regimes, and weaker bounds in non-uniqueness regimes $[14]$. Opposed to large deviations, no symmetries are assumed for F and only quantities measuring the overall influence of the individual random variables appear.

Large deviations under a Gibbs measure $\mu(d\omega)$ like the lattice Ising model at any temperature can be abstractly studied with a high level perspective, namely on the level of spatial empirical measures in large boxes Λ. These empirical measures are infinite-volume measures themselves, which are of the form $\frac{1}{|\Lambda|}\sum_{i\in\Lambda} \delta_{\tau_{-i}\omega}$, where τ_i is a lattice-shift. Here the large deviation functionals appearing which quantify the atypicality of a given infinite-volume lattice measure, turn out to be intimately connected with the Gibbs variational principle in infinite-volume. They are connected via the concept of relative entropy per site, see [\[26\]](#page-14-16), [\[32](#page-14-10)], [\[66](#page-16-9)]. The Variational principle in infinite volume identifies the (possibly multiple!) translation-invariant Gibbs measures for a given specification as those having the smallest free energy (per lattice site) relative to the interaction. This assumes sufficient regularity for the interactions. Many of these general theories (for instance the variational principle) assume a certain regularity (which for an Ising model means: enough locality) of the interactions between the spin variables σ_i and σ_j of the system, as cornerstone theorems of the theory may else break down, as examples show [\[49](#page-15-6)]. The renormalization group pathologies sketched in Section 4 lead to the failure of this necessary regularity in many cases, and therefore so-called non-Gibbsian measures with unusual and interesting properties may appear which behave differently then standard short-range theory predicts.

6 Scaling limits of critical systems in $d = 2$, interfaces, and stochastic Loewner evolution

Stochastic Loewner evolution (SLE) was invented in 2000 by Oded Schramm (1961 Jerusalem - 2008 Washington) [\[70\]](#page-16-14). It is a one-parameter class of distributions on fractal planar curves in a given complex domain, e.g. in the upper half of the complex plane [\[45](#page-15-11)]. It is indexed by one real parameter κ governing its properties. Schramm's brilliant idea was to reconsider the deterministic Loewner differential equation of complex analysis [\[54](#page-15-12)] (which was discovered independently at the time while Ising was working on his Ph.D. thesis). It is used to construct conformal maps between various domains. Schramm added a Brownian motion, with a coupling strength κ , to map the upper half plane to a domain with a random slit, which is equivalently described by a curve. He obtained in this way a family of random fractal curves, which are very different from diffusion paths, but instead have a certain domain Markov property.

SLE (which is also called Schramm Loewner evolution) is of relevance as describing the possible distributional scaling limits for models of two-dimensional random curves at the critical point. A major example is the boundary curve of spin-clusters for the two-dimensional Ising model in zero external field. A good setup for this on the discrete side is to consider the upper half lattice, where it is more convenient to choose the hexagonal lattice then the square lattice, and where the boundary curve can be forced into the system as follows. Consider the standard two-dimensional Ising model, together with spin boundary condition on the x-axis which changes from spin value minus (for boundary sites left to the origin) to spin-value plus (for sites right or equal to the origin). Then, for each spin configuration observe the curve which separates plusses from minusses in the bulk, which is anchored at the the separation point between plusses and minusses on the boundary line. This curve is random w.r.t. the infinite-volume Gibbs measure on the upper half lattice. This Gibbs measure is indeed unique when the inverse temperature β equals the critical value β_c , and for this value the scaling limit of the curve has a very interesting self-similar behavior described by SLE [\[15\]](#page-13-12). In this case of the exploration curve in the Ising model, and for many more critical two-dimensional models, the SLE approach is able to obtain explicit values of critical exponents in dependence of κ . These were sometimes promised before by non-rigorous renormalization group theory (and previous non-rigorous theories of conformal invariance of theoretical physics), but they are now obtained in a fully rigorous way, which does not rely on explicit solvability of a model. Solvability of a model of course holds only for very few models.

A number of Fields medals were awarded for research on topics related to SLE and mathematical statistical mechanics. Wendelin Werner (born 1968 in Cologne) obtained the Fields medal in 2006. Stanislav Smirnov (born 1970 in Leningrad) [\[75](#page-16-15)] obtained the Fields medal in 2010. Hugo Duminil-Copin (born 1985 in Ile-de-France) [\[23\]](#page-14-17) obtained the Fields medal 2022 for related work, but with more focus also on dimensions $d = 3, 4$ where SLE is not available.

What about higher dimensions? We note that in more than two dimensions, interfacial lines in the Ising model become interfaces. Such interfaces have an effective probabilistic description in terms of gradient models, whose study is a beautiful and rich branch of statistical mechanics. In gradient models Ising spins are replaced by real-valued or integer-valued height-variables ϕ_i describing the height of an interface at a site i in a reference base-plane. The interaction depends only on the collection of increments $\phi_i - \phi_j$ instead of values of total heights. For the particular case of quadratic interactions the distribution becomes the Gaussian free field (on the lattice), which has a continuum limit which also appears as CLT-type scaling limit of a class of non-Gaussian gradient models. Gradient models pose challenging questions around the topics of localization and delocalization [\[80\]](#page-16-16), scaling limits [\[60](#page-15-13)], (in-)stability against stochastic perturbations describing quenched disorder (in the sense of Section [7\)](#page-10-0) [\[17](#page-13-13)], [\[18](#page-13-14)]. They are also studied on more general graphs than lattices as a base-plane where new phenomena of phase coexistence appear [\[40](#page-15-14)].

Coming back to two lattice dimensions, SLE can be upgraded to describe not only random planar curves anchored at a point, but whole families of random loops, the so-called conformal loop ensembles describing loop soups. It is further used e.g. in the context of the Gaussian free field [\[81](#page-16-17)], and models of quantum gravity [\[59](#page-15-15)] where one considers distributions of random graphs and their continuum limits which are physically motivated as a description of fluctuating geometries of space times.

7 Disordered Ising models

Consider a random quenched disordered Gibbs distribution for Ising spins σ_i taking values ± 1 , with spin probabilities in finite volume Λ according to

$$
\frac{1}{Z_{\Lambda}}\exp(\beta\sum_{i\sim j}J_{ij}\sigma_i\sigma_j+\sum_i h_i\sigma_i).
$$

Two types of random interactions may appear. In quenched random field systems the local magnetic fields h_i are chosen according to some a priori distribution \mathbb{P} , often i.i.d., and then kept fixed. Quenched physically means that the local degrees of freedom creating disorder move on a much larger time-scale and appear to be frozen for the much more rapidly fluctuating magnetic moments, and also for the human observer. For this reason the further analysis of the structure of Gibbs measures and their properties has to be performed conditional on typical realizations of the disorder variables. Mathematically speaking, this conditional procedure is the definition of a quenched model $[11]$. While translation-invariance is $\mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$ destroyed for the distribution of the spin-variables translation-covariance often holds and ergodicity arguments w.r.t. to the disorder distribution are useful. Translation covariance of a measure means invariance under joint lattice shift of disorder variables and spin variables. In other words, the functional dependence of a

Gibbs measure on variations of (for instance) the collections of random fields $(h_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}^d}$ is the same for all regions in space.

Similarly, the collection of random interactions J_{ij} may be chosen according to a quenched distribution P, often independently over the edges of the graph under consideration. Spin glasses, as the most challenging of the classes of random models discussed here, are defined by the property that positive and negative couplings appear with the same probability. This is in particular the case for the nearest neighbor Gaussian spinglass model [\[25\]](#page-14-18) proposed in the 1970s by Edwards and Anderson (Nobel prize in physics 1977) where the couplings $J_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ are standard normal random variables, independently over pairs of nearest neighbors i, j on the lattice. Motivation for such models and their relatives is derived from physics and material science. There is much interest also from the fields of *network science* [\[41](#page-15-9)], and for related models from the fields of computer science and algorithms, and machine learning, see [\[58\]](#page-15-16).

The questions to be asked in the framework of infinite volumes Gibbs measures, like the Ising model on a d-dimensional lattice, are the following.

(i) When does randomness of the interaction destroy a phase transition?

(ii) When does randomness alter an existing phase transition but leaves it mainly intact? (iii) When does randomness of the interaction create new complex phases?

For case (i) the random field Ising model with deterministic couplings J in spatial dimensions $d = 2$ is a famous example. Here the randomness of the local magnetic field terms destroys the low temperature Ising phase transition. Following physical predictions of Imry and Ma [\[43\]](#page-15-17), Aizenman and Wehr [\[5\]](#page-13-16) proved that the Gibbs measure at any temperature, for arbitrarily weak i.i.d. random fields must be almost surely unique, hence the phase transition in the pure model is destroyed. They used in their proof the ergodicity of the random field distribution, together with a surface vs. volume argument which is derived from energetic considerations for Peierls contours in the presence of external fields, and is already the core of the heuristics of Imry and Ma [\[43](#page-15-17)]. In their paper [\[5\]](#page-13-16) they also introduced the fruitful notion of a *metastate* $\kappa^{\eta}(d\mu)$. A metastate is a random measure on infinite-volume measures. It is random as it depends on the given realization of the disorder variables η , here given by the collection of random fields. For fixed η it is then a probability measure giving weights to the possible Gibbs measures μ in the sense of the DLR theory, which lie in the η -dependent Gibbs simplex. This notion has been extended to describe and quantify chaotic side dependence by Newman and Stein, see [\[11\]](#page-13-15), [\[48](#page-15-18)], [\[50](#page-15-19)], [\[61\]](#page-15-20), [\[76\]](#page-16-18). Chaotic size dependence means that a sequence of finite-volume Gibbs measures $\mu_{\Lambda_n}^{\eta}$, taken along an increasing sequence of cubes $\Lambda_n \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d$ for fixed disorder realization η , oscillates chaotically between various Gibbs measures, while it approaches the corresponding Gibbs simplex. The Newman-Stein metastate is then the large-volume limit of the empirical distribution of Gibbs measures at fixed η , along a volume-sequence. Hence it is a measure of relevance of the possible Gibbs-measures, when a large but finite system is considered.

Proofs of the Aizenman-Wehr phenomenon of washing out a phase transition have been given for a number of systems, including systems with continuous symmetries. The general idea is that quenched randomness makes it harder for a system to build up long-range order, so higher dimensions are needed to find a non-uniqueness regime for Gibbs measures. There is recent progress in *quantifications* of this phenomenon for the two dimensional random field Ising model $[4]$, $[20]$. They show that the *influence of choosing different boundary conditions* to the expectation of the spin variable at the origin, taken in the corresponding finite-volume Gibbs measures in a box of sidelength L , decays exponentially fast in L .

The Ising model in small quenched random fields provides also an example for case (ii) as it preserves the phase transition at low temperatures in $d \geq 3$. Indeed, it does have a ferromagnetic phase transition at low temperatures in small disorder, which means for instance centered Gaussian random fields with small enough variance. This was under dispute in the

theoretical physics community, but the issue was famously resolved by the renormalization group proof of Bricmont and Kupiainen [\[12](#page-13-7)] on the level of contours. This method is conceptually beautiful but technically demanding. A simpler and shorter proof appeared much later in [\[21](#page-14-20)].

The fundamental questions after stability of the phase transition have analogues for models on large but finite graphs. An extreme and supposedly simple case is the *complete graph* where all pairs of sites are connected. Such models on the complete graph are called mean-field models, since each spin variable experiences an effective field (mean field) created to equal parts by all of the other spins, which should lead to selfconsistency equations helping the analysis. This can be made rigorous relatively easily for the non-random mean field Ising model (also known as Curie-Weiss model) [\[29](#page-14-0)]. The Curie-Weiss model is a textbook example which is perfectly understood to fine probabilistic details including for instance non-trivial scaling laws at the critical points. The analogous questions for random mean field models, in particular those of spinglass type, are very hard. Let us consider the mean-field spin-glass introduced by Sherrington and Kirkpatrick [\[71](#page-16-19)]. They introduced it already in the title of their paper as a solvable model but without giving a solution. In this model all pairs of N Ising spin variables, interact via a coupling J_{ij} which is a centered Gaussian with variance $\frac{1}{N}$, chosen independently over the pairs.

In a branch closely related to spin glasses, coupled Ising spins can also serve as an artifical neural network, when they are coupled with specifically chosen prescribed constants J_{ij} . These can be chosen as to allow "to memorize" previously given patterns, in the sense that the Gibbs distribution gives high weights to spin configurations which resemble these patterns. This was the idea of the physicist John Hopfield (born 1933 in Chicago) [\[42](#page-15-21)], who received a Nobel prize in physics for his foundational contributions to machine learning in 2024, and started a fruitful line of research.

Giorgio Parisi (born 1948 in Rome) gave a (mathematically non-rigorous) solution of the quenched mean-field spin-glass, in the sense that he provided the so-called Parisi-formula for the free energy. He was awarded the Nobel Prize in Physics (2021) for this work and more generally his work on the "interplay of disorder and fluctuations in physical systems". In his Nobel prize lecture (available on youtube) Parisi charmingly recalls the time and situation he found the solution by means of (in his own words) "crazy and illegal" operations, involving the Replica-trick and replica symmetry breaking.

A rigorous breakthrough in the understanding of mean-field spin glasses was achieved by Michel Talagrand (born 1952 in Béziers, France). He was able to prove the validity of Parisi Formula which was published in 2006 [\[78\]](#page-16-20). See also the complementary [\[64](#page-16-21)], [\[65\]](#page-16-22) for insights on the level of the random Gibbs measures itself. Talagrand is also known in probability among other things for his work about the concentration of measure phenomenon, and chaining. The latter is a method to control suprema of dependent processes. Talagrand was awarded the Abel Prize in 2024, for his contributions to "probability theory, functional analysis, and outstanding applications to mathematical physics and statistics".

A world of related questions appears when we realize that quenched randomness may also come from an underlying random geometry. What can we say then about the influence of such types of spatial disorder on collective spin-behavior (think of dilute lattices, random networks, point clouds in the continuum, dynamical networks, ...) ? In this review we restricted ourselves to static properties, but there is even another world of related questions when we begin to talk about associated spin dynamics.

Declarations

Data Availability: Data sharing is not applicable to this article.

Competing interests: The author has no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

References

- [1] M. Aizenman, J. T. Chayes, L. Chayes, and C. M. Newman, "Discontinuity of the magnetization in one-dimensional 1/|x − y|² Ising and Potts models," *J. Statist. Phys.*, vol. 50, no. 1-2, pp. 1–40, 1988, ISSN: 0022-4715,1572-9613.
poi: [10.1007/BF01022985.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01022985) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01022985.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01022985)
- [2] M. Aizenman, "Translation invariance and instability of phase coexistence in the two-dimensional Ising system," Comm. Math. Phys., vol. 73, no. 1, pp. 83–94, 1980, ISSN: 0010-3616,1432-0916. [Online]. Available: http://
[projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1103907767.](http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1103907767)
- [3] M. Aizenman and H. Duminil-Copin, "Marginal triviality of the scaling limits of critical 4D Ising and ϕ_4^4 models," *Ann. of Math. (2)*, vol. 194, no. 1, pp. 163–235, 2021, issn: 0003-486X,1939-8980. doi: [10.4007/annals.2021.194.1.3.](https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2021.194.1.3) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2021.194.1.3.](https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2021.194.1.3)
- [4] M. Aizenman, M. Harel, and R. Peled, "Exponential decay of correlations in the 2D random field Ising model," *J. Stat. Phys.*, vol. 180, no. 1-6, pp. 304–331, 2020, issn: 0022-4715,1572-9613. doi: [10.1007/s10955-019-02401-5.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-019-02401-5) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-019-02401-5.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-019-02401-5)
- [5] M. Aizenman and J. Wehr, "Rounding effects of quenched randomness on first-order phase transitions," *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 130, no. 3, pp. 489–528, 1990. doi: [10.1007/bf02096933.](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02096933)
- [6] D. J. Amit and V. Mart´ın-Mayor, *Field theory, the renormalization group, and critical phenomena*, Third. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2005, pp. xxiv+543, Graphs to computers, ISBN: 981-256-109-9. doi: [10.1142/5715.](https://doi.org/10.1142/5715) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1142/5715.](https://doi.org/10.1142/5715)
- [7] R. J. Baxter, "Onsager and kaufman's calculation of the spontaneous magnetization of the ising model," *Journal of Statistical Physics*, vol. 145, no. 3, pp. 518–548, 2011. doi: [10.1007/s10955- 011- 0213- z.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-011-0213-z) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-011-0213-z.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-011-0213-z)
- [8] R. J. Baxter, *Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics*. Academic Press, Inc., London, 1982, pp. xii+486, isbn: 0-12-083180-5.
- [9] B. Bollob´as and O. Riordan, *Percolation*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 2006, pp. x+323, isbn: 978- 0-521-87232-4; 0-521-87232-4. doi: [10 . 1017 / CBO9781139167383.](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139167383) [Online]. Available: https : [//doi.org/10.1017/](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139167383) [CBO9781139167383.](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139167383)
- [10] E. Bolthausen, R. van der Hofstad, and G. Kozma, "Lace expansion for dummies," Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré *Probab. Stat.*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 141–153, 2018, issn: 0246-0203,1778-7017. doi: [10.1214/16-AIHP797.](https://doi.org/10.1214/16-AIHP797) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1214/16-AIHP797.](https://doi.org/10.1214/16-AIHP797)
- [11] A. Bovier, *Statistical mechanics of disordered systems* (Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006, vol. 18, pp. xiv+312, A mathematical perspective, ISBN: 978-0-521-84991-3; 0-521-84991-8. doi: [10.1017/CBO9780511616808.](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511616808) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1017/](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511616808) [CBO9780511616808.](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511616808)
- J. Bricmont and A. Kupiainen, "Phase transition in the 3d random field Ising model," Comm. Math. Phys., vol. 116, no. 4, pp. 539–572, 1988, ISSN: 0010-3616,1432-0916. [Online]. Available: [http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.](http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1104161515) [cmp/1104161515.](http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1104161515)
- [13] J. Bricmont, J. L. Lebowitz, and C. E. Pfister, "Non-translation-invariant Gibbs states with coexisting phases. III. Analyticity properties," *Comm. Math. Phys.*, vol. 69, no. 3, pp. 267–291, 1979. doi: [10.1007/BF01197448.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01197448)
- [14] J.-R. Chazottes, P. Collet, C. Külske, and F. Redig, "Concentration inequalities for random fields via coupling," Probab. Theory Related Fields, vol. 137, no. 1-2, pp. 201–225, 2007, ISSN: 0178-8051,1432-2064. DOI: [10.1007/s00440-006-0026-1.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00440-006-0026-1) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00440-006-0026-1.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00440-006-0026-1)
- [15] D. Chelkak, H. Duminil-Copin, C. Hongler, A. Kemppainen, and S. Smirnov, "Convergence of Ising interfaces to
Schramm's SLE curves," C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, vol. 352, no. 2, pp. 157–161, 2014, ISSN: 1631-073X,177 3569. doi: [10.1016/j.crma.2013.12.002.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2013.12.002) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2013.12.002.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2013.12.002)
- [16] L. Coquille, C. Kuelske, and A. L. Ny, *Continuity of the extremal decomposition of the free state for finite-spin* models on cayley trees, 2023. arXiv: [2310.11101](https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.11101) [math.PR]. [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/abs To appear in Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.
- [17] C. Cotar and C. Külske, "Uniqueness of gradient Gibbs measures with disorder," Probab. Theory Related Fields,
vol. 162, no. 3-4, pp. 587–635, 2015, ISSN: 0178-8051,1432-2064. DOI: [10.1007/s00440-014-0580-x.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00440-014-0580-x) [Online]. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00440-014-0580-x.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00440-014-0580-x)
- [18] P. Dario, M. Harel, and R. Peled, "Random-field random surfaces," *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, vol. 186, no. 1- 2, pp. 91–158, 2023, issn: 0178-8051,1432-2064. doi: [10.1007/s00440-022-01179-0.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00440-022-01179-0) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00440-022-01179-0) $\frac{\text{org}}{10.1007}/\text{s}00440 - 022 - 01179 - 0.$
- [19] A. Dembo and O. Zeitouni, *Large deviations techniques and applications* (Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010, vol. 38, pp. xvi+396, Corrected reprint of the second (1998) edition,
ISBN: 978-3-642-03310-0. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-642-03311-7.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-03311-7) [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3 [03311-7.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-03311-7)
- [20] J. Ding, Y. Liu, and A. Xia, "Long range order for three-dimensional random field Ising model throughout the entire low temperature regime," *Invent. Math.*, vol. 238, no. 1, pp. 247–281, 2024, ISSN: 0020-9910,1432-1297. DoI: [10.1007/s00222-024-01283-z.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-024-01283-z) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-024-01283-z.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-024-01283-z)
- [21] J. Ding and Z. Zhuang, "Long range order for random field Ising and Potts models," *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, vol. 77, no. 1, pp. 37–51, 2024, ISSN: 0010-3640,1097-0312. DOI: [10.1002/cpa.22127.](https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.22127) [Online]. Available: ht
- [22] R. L. Dobrushin, "Gibbs State Describing Coexistence of Phases for a Three-Dimensional Ising Model," *Theory Probab. Appl.*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 582–600, 1972, Translated by K. Durr. doi: [10.1137/1117073.](https://doi.org/10.1137/1117073)
- [23] H. Duminil-Copin and V. Tassion, "A new proof of the sharpness of the phase transition for Bernoulli percolation and the Ising model," *Comm. Math. Phys.*, vol. 343, no. 2, pp. 725–745, 2016, ISSN: 0010-3616,1432-0916 [10.1007/s00220-015-2480-z.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-015-2480-z) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-015-2480-z.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-015-2480-z)
- [24] F. J. Dyson, "Existence of a phase-transition in a one-dimensional Ising ferromagnet," *Comm. Math. Phys.*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 91–107, 1969, issn: 0010-3616,1432-0916. [Online]. Available: [http ://projecteuclid.org/euclid.](http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1103841344) [cmp/1103841344.](http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1103841344)
- [25] S. F. Edwards and P. W. Anderson, "Theory of spin glasses," *Journal of Physics F: Metal Physics*, vol. 5, no. 5, pp. 965–974, May 1975, ISSN: 0305-4608. poi: [10.1088/0305-4608/5/5/017.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4608/5/5/017)
- [26] R. S. Ellis, *Entropy, large deviations, and statistical mechanics* (Classics in Mathematics). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006, pp. xiv+364, Reprint of the 1985 original, isbn: 978-3-540-29059-9; 3-540-29059-1. doi: [10.1007/3-540-29060-5.](https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-29060-5) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-29060-5.](https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-29060-5)
- [27] A. C. D. van Enter, R. Fernández, F. den Hollander, and F. Redig, "Possible loss and recovery of Gibbsianness
during the stochastic evolution of Gibbs measures," *Comm. Math. Phys.*, vol. 226, no. 1, pp. 101–130, 200
- [28] A. C. D. van Enter, R. Fernández, and A. D. Sokal, "Regularity properties and pathologies of position-space
renormalization-group transformations: Scope and limitations of Gibbsian theory," J. Statist. Phys., vol. 72, no. 5-6, pp. 879–1167, 1993, issn: 0022-4715,1572-9613. doi: [10.1007/BF01048183.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01048183) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01048183) [org/10.1007/BF01048183.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01048183)
- [29] S. Friedli and Y. Velenik, *Statistical mechanics of lattice systems*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2018, pp. xix+622, A concrete mathematical introduction. doi: [10.1017/9781316882603.](https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316882603)
- [30] J. Fröhlich and T. Spencer, "The phase transition in the one-dimensional Ising model with $1/r^2$ interaction energy," Comm. Math. Phys., vol. 84, no. 1, pp. 87–101, 1982, ISSN: 0010-3616,1432-0916. [Online]. Availabl [http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1103921047.](http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1103921047)
- [31] D. Gandolfo, C. Maes, J. Ruiz, and S. Shlosman, "Glassy states: The free Ising model on a tree," *J. Stat. Phys.*, vol. 180, no. 1-6, pp. 227–237, 2020, issn: 0022-4715,1572-9613. doi: [10 . 1007 / s10955 - 019 - 02382 - 5.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-019-02382-5) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-019-02382-5.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-019-02382-5)
- [32] H.-O. Georgii, *Gibbs measures and phase transitions* (de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics), Second. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2011, vol. 9, pp. xiv+545. doi: [10.1515/9783110250329.](https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110250329)
- [33] H.-O. Georgii, O. Häggström, and C. Maes, "The random geometry of equilibrium phases," in *Phase transitions and critical phenomena, Vol. 18*, ser. Phase Transit. Crit. Phenom. Vol. 18, Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 2001, pp. 1–142, isbn: 0-12-220318-6. doi: [10.1016/S1062- 7901\(01\)80008- 2.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1062-7901(01)80008-2) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1062-7901(01)80008-2) [10.1016/S1062-7901\(01\)80008-2.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1062-7901(01)80008-2)
- [34] H.-O. Georgii and Y. Higuchi, "Percolation and number of phases in the two-dimensional Ising model," in 3, vol. 41, Probabilistic techniques in equilibrium and nonequilibrium statistical physics, 2000, pp. 1153–1169. poi: [10.1063/1.533182.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.533182) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1063/1.533182.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.533182)
- [35] R. B. Griffiths, "Peierls proof of spontaneous magnetization in a two-dimensional ising ferromagnet," Phys. Rev., vol. 136, A437–A439, 2A 1964. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRev.136.A437.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.136.A437) [Online]. Available: https://link.aps.org/ [1103/PhysRev.136.A437.](https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.136.A437)
- [36] R. B. Griffiths and P. A. Pearce, "Mathematical properties of position-space renormalization-group transformations," *J. Statist. Phys.*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 499–545, 1979, ISSN: 0022-4715,1572-9613. DOI: [10.1007/BF01012897.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01012897)
[Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01012897.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01012897)
- [37] G. Grimmett, *Percolation* (Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]), Second. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999, vol. 321, pp. xiv+444, ISBN: 3-540-64902-6. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-662-03981-6.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-03981-6) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-03981-6.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-03981-6)
- [38] M. Hairer, "A theory of regularity structures," *Invent. Math.*, vol. 198, no. 2, pp. 269–504, 2014, issn: 0020- 9910,1432-1297. doi: [10.1007/s00222-014-0505-4.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-014-0505-4) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-014-0505-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-014-0505-4) [4.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-014-0505-4)
- [39] T. Helmuth, W. Perkins, and G. Regts, "Algorithmic pirogov–sinai theory," *Probability Theory and Related*
Fields, vol. 176, no. 3, pp. 851–895, 2020. poi: [10.1007/s00440-019-00928-y.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00440-019-00928-y) [Online]. Available: https://doi. [10.1007/s00440-019-00928-y.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00440-019-00928-y)
- [40] F. Henning and C. Külske, "Coexistence of localized Gibbs measures and delocalized gradient Gibbs measures on trees," *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 2284–2310, 2021, issn: 1050-5164,2168-8737. doi: [10.1214/20-aap1647.](https://doi.org/10.1214/20-aap1647) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1214/20-aap1647.](https://doi.org/10.1214/20-aap1647)
- [41] R. van der Hofstad, *Random graphs and complex networks. Vol. 1* (Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017, vol. [43], pp. xvi+321, isbn: 978-1-107-17287-6. doi: [10.1017/9781316779422.](https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316779422) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316779422.](https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316779422)
- [42] J. J. Hopfield, "Neural networks and physical systems with emergent collective computational abilities," Proc. Nat.
Acad. Sci. U.S.A., vol. 79, no. 8, pp. 2554–2558, 1982, ISSN: 0027-8424. DOI: [10.1073/pnas.79.8.2554.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.79.8.2554) Available: [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.79.8.2554.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.79.8.2554)
- [43] Y. Imry and S.-k. Ma, "Random-field instability of the ordered state of continuous symmetry," Phys. Rev. Lett.,
vol. 35, pp. 1399–1401, 21 1975. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.35.1399.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.35.1399) [Online]. Available: https://link.aps. [10.1103/PhysRevLett.35.1399.](https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.35.1399)
- [44] E. Ising, "Beitrag zur theorie des ferromagnetismus," Zeitschrift für Physik, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 253–258, 1925. DOI: [10.1007/BF02980577.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02980577) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02980577.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02980577)
- A. Kemppainen, *Schramm-Loewner evolution* (SpringerBriefs in Mathematical Physics). Springer, Cham, 2017, vol. 24, pp. ix+145, ISBN: 978-3-319-65327-3; 978-3-319-65329-7. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-65329-7. [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-65329-7.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-65329-7)
- [46] R. Kenyon, A. Okounkov, and S. Sheffield, "Dimers and amoebae," Ann. of Math. (2), vol. 163, no. 3, pp. 1019–
1056, 2006, ISSN: 0003-486X,1939-8980. DOI: [10.4007/annals.2006.163.1019.](https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2006.163.1019) [Online]. Available: https://doi. [10.4007/annals.2006.163.1019.](https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2006.163.1019)
- [47] A. Klenke, *Probability theory* (Universitext), Second. Springer, London, 2014, pp. xii+638. doi: [10.1007/978-1-4471-5361-0.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-5361-0)
- [48] K. Koskinen, "Infinite volume Gibbs states and metastates of the random field mean-field spherical model," J. Stat. Phys., vol. 190, no. 3, Paper No. 62, 60, 2023, ISSN: 0022-4715,1572-9613. DOI: 10.1007/s10955-023-0 $\left[$ Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-023-03076-9.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-023-03076-9)
- [49] C. Külske, A. L. Ny, and F. Redig, "Relative entropy and variational properties of generalized Gibbsian measures,"
Ann. Probab., vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 1691–1726, 2004. DOI: [10.1214/009117904000000342.](https://doi.org/10.1214/009117904000000342) [Online]. Availa [doi.org/10.1214/009117904000000342.](https://doi.org/10.1214/009117904000000342)
- ,50] C. Külske, "Metastates in disordered mean-field models: Random field and Hopfield models," *J. Statist. Phys*
vol. 88, no. 5-6, pp. 1257–1293, 1997, ISSN: 0022-4715,1572-9613. DOI: [10.1007/BF02732434.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02732434) [Online]. Availa [https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02732434.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02732434)
- [51] C. Külske and A. Le Ny, "Spin-flip dynamics of the Curie-Weiss model: Loss of Gibbsianness with possibly
broken symmetry," *Comm. Math. Phys.*, vol. 271, no. 2, pp. 431–454, 2007, ISSN: 0010-3616,1432-0916. DOI:
10.10
- [52] A. Kupiainen, "Renormalization group and stochastic PDEs," *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 497–535, 2016, issn: 1424-0637,1424-0661. doi: [10.1007/s00023- 015- 0408- y.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-015-0408-y) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-015-0408-y) [s00023-015-0408-y.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-015-0408-y)
- [53] M. Ledoux, "Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities for unbounded spin systems revisited," *S´eminaire de probabilit´es de Strasbourg*, vol. 35, pp. 167–194, 2001.
- [54] K. Löwner, "Untersuchungen über schlichte konforme Abbildungen des Einheitskreises. I," Math. Ann., vol. 89, no. 1-2, pp. 103–121, 1923, issn: 0025-5831,1432-1807. doi: [10.1007/BF01448091.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01448091) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01448091) $org/10.1007/BF01448091.$
- [55] R. Lyons and Y. Peres, *Probability on Trees and Networks* (Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics). Cambridge University Press, New York, 2016, vol. 42, pp. xv+699, Available at [https://rdlyons.](https://rdlyons.pages.iu.edu/)
[pages.iu.edu/,](https://rdlyons.pages.iu.edu/) ISBN: 978-1-107-16015-6. DOI: 10.1017/9781316672815. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10. [1017/9781316672815.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/9781316672815)
- [56] C. Maes, F. Redig, S. Shlosman, and A. Van Moffaert, "Percolation, path large deviations and weakly Gibbs states, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, vol. 209, no. 2, pp. 517–545, 2000, issn: 0010-3616,1432-0916. doi: [10.1007/s002200050029.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002200050029) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1007/s002200050029.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002200050029)
- [57] B. M. McCoy and T. T. Wu, *The two-dimensional Ising model*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, 1973, pp. xvi+418, isbn: 0-674-91440-6. doi: [10.4159/harvard.9780674180758.](https://doi.org/10.4159/harvard.9780674180758) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.4159/harvard.9780674180758) [4159/harvard.9780674180758.](https://doi.org/10.4159/harvard.9780674180758)
- [58] M. Mézard and A. Montanari, *Information, physics, and computation* (Oxford Graduate Texts). Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2009, pp. xiv+569, ISBN: 978-0-19-857083-7. DOI: [10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198570837.001.0001.](https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198570837.001.0001) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198570837.001.0001.](https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198570837.001.0001)
- [59] J. Miller, S. Sheffield, and W. Werner, "Simple conformal loop ensembles on Liouville quantum gravity," Ann.
Probab., vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 905–949, 2022, ISSN: 0091-1798,2168-894X. DOI: [10.1214/21-aop1550.](https://doi.org/10.1214/21-aop1550) [Online]. Av [https://doi.org/10.1214/21-aop1550.](https://doi.org/10.1214/21-aop1550)
- [60] A. Naddaf and T. Spencer, "On homogenization and scaling limit of some gradient perturbations of a massless free field," *Comm. Math. Phys.*, vol. 183, no. 1, pp. 55–84, 1997, issn: 0010-3616,1432-0916. doi: [10.1007/BF02509796.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02509796)
[Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02509796.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02509796)
- [61] C. M. Newman, N. Read, and D. L. Stein, "Metastates and replica symmetry breaking," Apr. 2022. DOI: [10.48550/ARXIV.2204.10345.](https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2204.10345) arXiv: 2204.10345 [\[cond-mat.dis-nn\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.10345).
- [62] L. Onsager, "Reciprocal relations in irreversible processes I & II.," *Physical Review*, vol. 37:405–426 and 38:2265– 2279, 1931.
- [63] L. Onsager, "Crystal statistics. i. a two-dimensional model with an order-disorder transition," *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 65, pp. 117–149, 3-4 1944. doi: [10 . 1103 / PhysRev . 65 . 117.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.65.117) [Online]. Available: [https : //link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/](https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.65.117) [PhysRev.65.117.](https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.65.117)
- [64] D. Panchenko, "The sherrington-kirkpatrick model: An overview," *Journal of Statistical Physics*, vol. 149, no. 2, pp. 362-383, 2012. poi: [10.1007/s10955-012-0586-7.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-012-0586-7)
- [65] D. Panchenko, *The Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model* (Springer Monographs in Mathematics). Springer, New York, 2013, pp. xii+156, isbn: 978-1-4614-6288-0; 978-1-4614-6289-7. doi: [10.1007/978-1-4614-6289-7.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-6289-7) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-6289-7.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-6289-7)
- [66] F. Rassoul-Agha and T. Seppäläinen, *A course on large deviations with an introduction to Gibbs measures* (Graduate Studies in Mathematics). American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015, vol. 162, pp. xiv+318, isbn: 978-0-8218-7578-0. doi: [10.1090/gsm/162.](https://doi.org/10.1090/gsm/162) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1090/gsm/162.](https://doi.org/10.1090/gsm/162)
- [67] U. A. Rozikov, *Gibbs measures on Cayley trees*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2013, pp. xviii+385, isbn: 978-981-4513-37-1. doi: [10.1142/8841.](https://doi.org/10.1142/8841) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1142/8841.](https://doi.org/10.1142/8841)
- [68] D. Ruelle, *Statistical mechanics*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge NJ, 1999, pp. xvi+219, Rigorous results, Reprint of the 1989 edition, isbn: 981-02-3862-2. doi: [10.1142/4090.](https://doi.org/10.1142/4090) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1142/4090) [10.1142/4090.](https://doi.org/10.1142/4090)
- [69] R. H. Schonmann, "Projections of Gibbs measures may be non-Gibbsian," *Comm. Math. Phys.*, vol. 124, no. 1, pp. 1–7, 1989, issn: 0010-3616,1432-0916. [Online]. Available: [http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1104179072.](http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1104179072)
- [70] O. Schramm, "Scaling limits of loop-erased random walks and uniform spanning trees," *Israel J. Math.*, vol. 118, pp. 221–288, 2000, issn: 0021-2172,1565-8511. doi: [10.1007/BF02803524.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02803524) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02803524) [1007/BF02803524.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02803524)
- [71] D. Sherrington and S. Kirkpatrick, "Solvable model of a spin-glass," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 35, no. 26, pp. 1792–1796, 1975. doi: [10.1103/physrevlett.35.1792.](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.35.1792)
- [72] B. Simon, *The statistical mechanics of lattice gases. Vol. I* (Princeton Series in Physics). Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993, pp. x+522, ISBN: 0-691-08779-2. DoI: 10.1515/9781400863433. [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400863433.](https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400863433)
- [73] Y. G. Sinai, *Theory of phase transitions: rigorous results* (International Series in Natural Philosophy). Pergamon Press, Oxford-Elmsford, N.Y., 1982, vol. 108, pp. viii+150, Translated from the Russian by J. Fritz, A. Krámli, P. Major and D. Szász, ISBN: 0-08-026469-7.
- [74] G. Slade, *The lace expansion and its applications* (Lecture Notes in Mathematics). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006, vol. 1879, pp. xiv+228, Lectures from the 34th Summer School on Probability Theory held in Saint-Flour, July 6–24, 2004, Edited and with a foreword by Jean Picard, isbn: 978-3-540-31189-8; 3-540-31189-0.
- [75] S. Smirnov, "Critical percolation in the plane: Conformal invariance, Cardy's formula, scaling limits," *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris S´er. I Math.*, vol. 333, no. 3, pp. 239–244, 2001, issn: 0764-4442. doi: [10.1016/S0764-4442\(01\)01991-7.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0764-4442(01)01991-7) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0764-4442\(01\)01991-7.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0764-4442(01)01991-7)
- [76] D. L. Stein, *Spin Glasses and Complexity* (Primers in Complex Systems Ser. v.4), C. M. Newman, Ed. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2013, 1200 pp., Description based on publisher supplied metadata and other sources., isbn: 9781400845637.
- [77] M. Talagrand, "New concentration inequalities in product spaces," *Invent. Math.*, vol. 126, no. 3, pp. 505– 563, 1996, issn: 0020-9910,1432-1297. doi: [10.1007/s002220050108.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002220050108) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002220050108) [s002220050108.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002220050108)
- [78] M. Talagrand, "The Parisi formula," *Ann. of Math. (2)*, vol. 163, no. 1, pp. 221–263, 2006, issn: 0003-486X,1939- 8980. doi: [10.4007/annals.2006.163.221.](https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2006.163.221)
- [79] S. R. S. Varadhan, *Large deviations and applications* (CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics). Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1984, vol. 46, pp. v+75, isbn: 0-89871-189-4. doi: [10.1137/1.9781611970241.bm.](https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9781611970241.bm) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9781611970241.](https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9781611970241.bm) [bm.](https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9781611970241.bm)
- [80] Y. Velenik, "Localization and delocalization of random interfaces," *Probab. Surv.*, vol. 3, pp. 112–169, 2006, issn: 1549-5787. doi: [10.1214/154957806000000050.](https://doi.org/10.1214/154957806000000050) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1214/154957806000000050.](https://doi.org/10.1214/154957806000000050)
- [81] W. Werner and E. Powell, *Lecture notes on the Gaussian free field* (Cours Spécialisés [Specialized Courses]). Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2021, vol. 28, pp. vi+171, ISBN: 978-2-85629-952-4.
- [82] K. G. Wilson, "The renormalization group: Critical phenomena and the Kondo problem," *Rev. Modern Phys.*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 773–840, 1975, issn: 0034-6861,1539-0756. doi: [10.1103/RevModPhys.47.773.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.47.773) [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.47.773.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.47.773)
- [83] M. s. Zahradn´ık, "An alternate version of Pirogov-Sina˘i theory," *Comm. Math. Phys.*, vol. 93, no. 4, pp. 559–581, 1984, issn: 0010-3616,1432-0916. [Online]. Available: [http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1103941183.](http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1103941183)
- [84] J. Zinn-Justin, *Quantum field theory and critical phenomena* (International Series of Monographs on Physics). The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1989, vol. 77, pp. xxii+914, Oxford Science Publications, isbn: 0-19-851873-0.