

# Roots of polynomial sequences in root-sparse regions.

Henriksen, Christian

chrh@dtu.dk

Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science  
Technical University of Denmark

Petersen, Carsten Lunde

lunde@math.ku.dk

Department of Mathematical Sciences  
University of Copenhagen

Uhre, Eva

euhre@ruc.dk

Department of Science and Environment  
Roskilde University

January 10, 2025

## Abstract

Given a family  $(q_k)_k$  of polynomials, we call an open set  $U$  *root-sparse* if the number of zeros of  $q_k$  is locally uniformly bounded on  $U$ . We study the interplay between the individual zeros of the polynomials  $q_k$  and those of the  $m$ th derivatives  $q_k^{(m)}$ , in a root-sparse open set  $U$ , as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . More precisely, if the root distributions  $\mu_k$  of  $q_k$  converge weak\* to some compactly supported measure  $\mu$ , whose potential is nowhere locally constant on a root-sparse open set  $U$ , then we link the roots of the  $m$ th derivative  $q_k^m$ , for an arbitrary  $m > 0$ , to the roots of  $q_k$  and the critical points of the potential  $p_\mu$  on compact subsets of  $U$ .

We apply this result in a polynomial dynamics setting to obtain convergence results for the roots of the  $m$ th derivative of iterates of a polynomial outside the filled-in Julia set. We also apply our result in the setting of extremal polynomials.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary: 42C05, Secondary: 37F10, 31A15

*Keywords:* roots, convergence, divisor.

# 1 Introduction and main results

Studies of the statistical properties of the root loci of general families  $(q_k)_k$  of polynomials with degrees increasing to infinity, have a long history. Recently, the relation between the roots of  $q_k$  and  $q'_k$  has gathered a lot of interest, see e.g. [10], [11], [4], [3], [6] and [7]. Each  $q_k$  has a root distribution  $\mu_k$ . The root distributions  $(\mu_k)_k$  form a pre-compact family of probability measures on the Riemann sphere. Hence, passing to a subsequence if necessary, the sequence converges to some accumulation point  $\mu$  of the full sequence  $(\mu_k)_k$ .

Given a family  $(q_k)_k$  of polynomials we call an open set  $U$  *root-sparse* if the number of zeros of  $q_k$  is locally uniformly bounded on  $U$  (see Definition 1). In this paper, we study the interplay between the individual zeros of the polynomials  $q_k$  and those of the  $m$ th derivatives  $q_k^{(m)}$ , in a root-sparse open set  $U$ , as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

More precisely suppose the root distributions  $\mu_k$  of  $q_k$  converge weak\* to some compactly supported measure  $\mu$ , whose potential is nowhere locally constant on a root-sparse open set  $U$ . On compact subsets of such a root-sparse open set, we can, for any  $m$ , link the roots of the  $m$ th derivative  $q_k^m$  to the roots of  $q_k$  and the critical points of the potential  $p_\mu$  of  $\mu$ . For precise statements see Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 below.

For a typical example of root-sparsity, consider a Borel probability measure  $\mu$  with non-polar compact support  $K$ . Then the unbounded connected component of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$  is root-sparse for the family of orthogonal polynomials defined by  $\mu$ .

Another range of examples is provided in polynomial iteration. Indeed, take any polynomial  $P$  of degree at least 2. Let  $K$  be the filled-in Julia set of  $P$ , and  $U = \mathbb{C} \setminus K$ . Then  $U$  is root-sparse for the sequence of iterates  $q_k = P^k$ .

In order to state a precise result, we need to specify what we understand by the root distribution of a polynomial, root-sparsity and matching of roots in root-sparse sets. For the latter, we use a notion of divisors and their convergence.

Throughout the paper,  $(q_k)_k = (q_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  denotes a sequence of polynomials of degrees  $n_k > 0$  tending to infinity. As we are only interested in the location and multiplicity of the zeros, we can restrict our attention to monic polynomials  $q_k(z) = z^{n_k} + O(z^{n_k-1}) = \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} (z - z_{k,j})$  without loss of generality. Here  $z_{k,j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n_k$  are the roots of the polynomial  $q_k$ , repeated with multiplicity.

The *root-counting measure* of  $q_k$  is the measure  $\mu_k^\#$  given by

$$\mu_k^\# = \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \delta_{z_{k,j}},$$

where  $\delta_z$  denotes the Dirac point mass at  $z$ . The *root distribution*  $\mu_k$  of  $q_k$  is the Borel probability measure obtained from normalizing the root-counting measure

$$\mu_k = \frac{1}{n_k} \mu_k^\# = \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \delta_{z_{k,j}}.$$

With the aid of  $\mu_k^\#$ , we can formally define root-sparsity.

**Definition 1.** An open set  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  is called *root-sparse* (for  $(q_k)_k$ ) if for any compact set  $K \subset U$ , there exists  $M = M(K)$  such that

$$\mu_k^\#(K) \leq M,$$

for all sufficiently large  $k$ .

Clearly, an open root-sparse set  $U$  does not meet the support of any accumulation point  $\mu$  of  $(\mu_k)_k$ .

Root-sparse open sets show up in many interesting families of polynomials, e.g. sequences of orthogonal, Chebyshev, and Fekete polynomials, for more examples see the applications below.

Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  be an open set. We call a mapping  $\xi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  a *divisor* on  $U$  if the set  $\xi^{-1}(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})$  has no accumulation points in  $U$ .

We denote the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of divisors on  $U$  by  $\mathcal{D}(U)$ . We consider the divisors on  $U$  as elements of the dual space of the vector space  $\mathcal{C}_c(U)$  of continuous functions on  $U$  with compact support in  $U$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(U) \subset \mathcal{C}_c^*(U)$ . That is,  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(U)$  acts on  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c(U)$  by

$$\xi(f) = \sum_z \xi(z)f(z),$$

where the sum is taken over the finite set  $\{z \in \text{supp}(f) : \xi(z) \neq 0\}$ . This allows us to endow  $\mathcal{D}(U)$  with the weak\* topology. For a sequence  $(\xi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{D}(U)$ , and  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(U)$ , we have  $\xi_k \rightarrow \xi$  if and only if  $\xi_k(f) \rightarrow \xi(f)$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c(U)$ . Notice that if  $\xi$  is nonnegative, then  $\xi$  corresponds to a measure, and our notion of convergence corresponds to vague convergence of measures.

The sequence  $(\xi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{D}(U)$  is called *locally bounded* if and only if for every  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c(U)$  the sequence  $|\xi_k|(f)$  is bounded.

Given a non-constant meromorphic function  $f : U \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ , we can associate a divisor  $\xi_f \in \mathcal{D}(U)$  to  $f$  by:

$$\xi_f(z) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } f(z) \notin \{0, \infty\}, \\ m & \text{if } f \text{ has a zero of order } m \text{ at } z, \\ -m & \text{if } f \text{ has a pole of order } m \text{ at } z. \end{cases}$$

Thus  $\xi_f$  is nonnegative, whenever  $f$  is holomorphic.

Given a harmonic function  $h : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we define  $h' : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$h'(z) := 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} h(z) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) h(x + iy), \quad (1)$$

where we have written  $z = x + iy$  in the usual manner. It follows from the Cauchy-Riemann equations that  $h'$  is holomorphic, and we can thus define the nonnegative divisor  $\xi_{h'}$  as above.

Our main result links, for each order of derivative  $m \geq 1$ , the three divisors in  $\mathcal{D}(U)$ , the divisor  $\xi_{k,m} := \xi_{q_k^{(m)}}$  of  $q_k^{(m)}$ , the divisor  $\xi_k := \xi_{q_k}$  of  $q_k$  and the divisor  $\xi_{p'_\mu}$ , in the case where the root distributions  $\mu_k$  of  $q_k$  converge to a limiting probability measure  $\mu$  with potential  $p_\mu$ , which is non-constant on every connected component of  $U$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that  $(\mu_k)_k$  converges weak\* to a compactly supported measure  $\mu$ . Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a root-sparse open set on which  $p_\mu$  is nowhere locally constant. Then*

$$\xi_{k,m} - \xi_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} m\xi_{p'_\mu} \text{ on } U, \text{ for } m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Note that the sequences of divisors above are locally uniformly bounded and it is understood that the convergence is weak\* with respect to  $\mathcal{C}_c(U)$  as defined above.

The following corollary states, that when  $U$  has convex complement, we do not need convergence of the root distributions, as long as the root-loci are uniformly bounded.

**Corollary 1.** *Suppose that there exists  $R > 0$ , such that  $\mu_k(\mathbb{D}(R)) = 1$ , for all  $k$ , and suppose that  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a root-sparse open set such that  $V = \mathbb{C} \setminus U$  is convex. Then*

$$\xi_{k,m} - \xi_k \rightarrow 0 \text{ on } U \text{ for } m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

**Corollary 2.** *Suppose that  $(\mu_k)_k$  converges weak\* to the equilibrium measure  $\omega$  of some non-polar, polynomially convex, connected compact set  $K$ . Suppose moreover that  $U = \mathbb{C} \setminus K$  is root-sparse. Then*

$$\xi_{k,m} - \xi_k \rightarrow 0 \text{ on } U \text{ for } m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The proof of Theorem 1 relies on a more general result. The assumption that the root distributions  $\mu_k$  converge can be relaxed to the assumption that their potentials  $p_{\mu_k}$ , properly adjusted by additive constants, converge to a limiting harmonic function  $p$  on  $U$ , in the sense given in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  be an open set and  $p : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a nowhere locally constant harmonic function such that the following two conditions are satisfied.*

1.  $U$  is root-sparse,
2. for any infinite set  $N_1 \subset \mathbb{N}$  there exist an infinite subset  $N_2 \subset N_1$ , a subset  $\mathcal{E} \subset U$  without accumulation points in  $U$  and a sequence  $(d_k)_k \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$d_k + p_{\mu_k} \rightarrow p, \quad \text{locally uniformly in } U \setminus \mathcal{E} \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } N_2.$$

Then

$$\xi_{k,m} - \xi_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} m\xi_{p'} \text{ on } U, \text{ for } m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Before proving our results (in Section 4), we apply them in a polynomial dynamics setting as well as in an extremal polynomial setting.

## 2 Prerequisites in potential theory

Suppose  $\mu$  is a finite Borel measure with compact non-polar support  $K$ . Its potential  $p_\mu$  is defined as

$$p_\mu(z) = \int \log |z - w| d\mu(w) = \mu(\mathbb{C}) \log |z| + o(1),$$

where we follow the sign convention of [8].

The potential is harmonic on  $V := \mathbb{C} \setminus K$ . The derivative  $p'_\mu$  (defined by (1)) is thus well-defined and holomorphic on  $V$ , where it is given by the Cauchy transform of  $\mu$

$$p'_\mu(z) = \int \frac{1}{z - w} d\mu(w). \quad (2)$$

It follows that if  $\gamma$  is a curve in  $V$  connecting  $z_0$  to  $z_1$ , then

$$p_\mu(z_1) = p_\mu(z_0) + \Re \left( \int_\gamma p'_\mu \right). \quad (3)$$

Let  $\Omega$  denote the unbounded, connected component of the complement of  $K$ , and let  $\omega$  denote the equilibrium probability measure for  $K$ . The Green's function  $g_\Omega$  with pole at infinity satisfies  $g_\Omega = p_\omega - I(\omega)$ , where

$$I(\omega) = \iint \log |z - w| d\mu(w) d\mu(z)$$

is the energy of  $\omega$ . The logarithmic capacity of a compact set  $K$  is  $c(K) = e^{I(\omega)}$ .

## 3 Applications

Our first application comes from polynomial iteration. See e.g. [5] for a general introduction. Let  $P : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a monic polynomial of degree  $d > 1$ . We obtain a family  $(q_k)_k$  of polynomials, by letting  $q_k = P^k$  denote the  $k$ th iterate of  $P$ .

Given  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  there are two possibilities. Either  $q_k(z) \rightarrow \infty$  or  $q_k(z)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , form a bounded sequence. The set of  $z$  such that the former occurs is called the filled-in Julia set  $K(P)$ , that is,

$$K(P) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : (P^k(z))_k \text{ is a bounded sequence}\}.$$

It is well known and easy to see that  $K(P)$  is compact, nonempty, and polynomially convex. In particular,  $\Omega(P) := \mathbb{C} \setminus K(P)$  is connected.

Let  $g_\Omega$  denote the Green's function associated to  $\Omega(P)$ . For monic polynomials, it is equal to the potential  $p_\omega$  associated to the equilibrium distribution  $\omega$  on  $K$ . We obtain the following result from Theorem 2.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $C \subset \Omega(P)$  be compact and such that  $\partial C$  does not contain any critical points of  $g_\Omega$ . Let  $s$  denote the number of critical points of  $g_\Omega$  in  $C$  counted with multiplicity. Then, for any  $m \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$  there exists  $k_0$ , so that when  $k \geq k_0$ , the  $m$ th derivative  $q_k^{(m)}$  has exactly  $sm$  roots in  $C$  counted with multiplicity.*

*Proof.* We show that the conditions in Theorem 2 are satisfied for  $U = \Omega(P)$  and  $p = g_\Omega$ . Choose  $R > 0$  sufficiently large such that when  $|z| > R$ , then  $|(P(z))| > 2|z|$ , and let  $V = \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}_R$ . Then  $P^k$  has no roots in  $\overline{V}$  for any  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $V \subset P^{-1}(V) \subset P^{-2}(V) \subset \dots$  and  $\Omega(P) = \cup_{k>0} P^{-k}(V)$ . Let  $L \subset \Omega(P)$  be an arbitrary compact set. By compactness there exists  $k_0$ , such that  $L \subset P^{-k}(V)$  when  $k \geq k_0$ . In particular  $P^k$  has no zeros in  $L$  when  $k \geq k_0$ , showing that condition 1. is satisfied.

It is well known that  $g_\Omega \circ P = dg_\Omega$  on  $\Omega(P)$ , and it follows that

$$g_\Omega = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d^{-k} \log |P^k| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d^{-k} \log |q_k|$$

locally uniformly on  $\Omega(P)$ , see for example [8, Cor. 6.5.4]. The Green's function  $g_\Omega$  is harmonic and non-constant on  $\Omega(P)$ , so condition 2. is fulfilled with  $p = g_\Omega$ ,  $N_2 = N_1 = \mathbb{N}$ ,  $d_k = 0$  for all  $k$ , and  $\mathcal{E} = \emptyset$ .

Hence we can apply the main theorem and obtain

$$\xi_{k,m} - \xi_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} m\xi_{p'}, \text{ for } m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

We have already seen that given a compact set  $L \subset \Omega(P)$ ,  $P^k$  has no zeros in  $L$ , for  $k$  sufficiently big, and it follows that  $\xi_k \rightarrow 0$ . Hence

$$\xi_{k,m} \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} m\xi_{p'}, \text{ for } m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Let  $C \subset \Omega(P)$  be an arbitrary compact set having no critical points of  $g_\Omega$  in its boundary. Let  $s$  denote the number of critical points of  $g_\Omega$  in  $C$  and  $t_k$  denote the number of zeros in  $C$  of the  $m$ th derivative of  $P^k$  both counted with multiplicity. We must show that for every  $m$ , there exists  $k_0$ , such that when  $k \geq k_0$  then  $t_k = sm$ .

Let  $f : \Omega(P) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c(\Omega(P))$  satisfy  $f(z) = 0$  outside  $C$  and  $f(z) = 1$  at every critical point of  $g_\Omega$  in  $C$ . Then

$$t_k \geq \xi_{k,m}(f) = m\xi_{g'_\Omega}(f) + o(1) = sm + o(1) \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $t_k$  and  $sm$  are integers, it follows that  $t_k \geq sm$  for  $k$  sufficiently big.

To see the other inequality, let  $F : \Omega(P) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $F \in \mathcal{C}_c(\Omega(P))$ , satisfy  $F(z) = 1$  when  $z \in C$  and  $F(z) = 0$  for every critical point of  $g_\Omega$  outside  $C$ . Such a function exists since  $g_\Omega$  is non-constant and harmonic in  $\Omega(P)$ , so the critical points cannot accumulate on  $C$ . Then

$$t_k \leq \xi_{k,m}(F) = m\xi_{g'_\Omega}(F) + o(1) = sm + o(1) \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence  $t_k \leq sm$  for  $k$  sufficiently big, finishing the proof.  $\square$

We have illustrated the case  $P(z) = z^2 + \frac{1}{2}$  in Figure 1.




Figure 1: We illustrate the dynamics of  $P(z) = z^2 + \frac{1}{2}$  together with the roots of the second derivative of  $P^k$ , for  $k = 2, 4$  (first row) and  $k = 6, 10$  (second row). Every picture corresponds to the region  $\{x + iy : -3/2 < x < 3/2, -3/2 < y < 3/2\}$ . The filled-in Julia set  $K(P)$  is shown in black. It is well-known that it is a Cantor set for this particular polynomial. The critical points of the Green's function  $g_\Omega$  is shown in green, whereas the value of  $g_\Omega$  is suggested by shades of red. Finally, the roots of the second derivative of  $P^k$  are marked with blue crosses. A consequence of Proposition 1 is that there will be two roots of  $\frac{dP^k}{dz^2}$  converging to each critical point of  $g_\Omega$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Looking at the critical point at the origin, it does seem that two critical points of  $g_\Omega$ , lying on the imaginary axis, get closer and closer to 0 as  $k$  is increased.

**Families of orthogonal polynomials.** Let  $\mu$  be a Borel probability measure whose support  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a compact and infinite set, and consider the family  $(q_k)_k$  of orthogonal polynomials associated to  $\mu$ . Let  $U$  be the unbounded connected component of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ . Then  $U$  is root-sparse for the sequence  $(q_k)_k$ . This was originally shown by Fejér [1]; see also [9, Lemma 1.1.3]. In particular, any limit point  $\nu$  of the pre-compact family  $\mu_k$  has support in  $K$ . This leads to the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.** *Suppose that a subsequence  $\mu_{k_j}$  of root distributions converges to  $\nu$ . Then*

$$\xi_{k_j, m} - \xi_{k_j} \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} m\xi_{\nu'} \text{ on } \Omega, \text{ for } m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

*Proof.* The proposition follows immediately from the preceding remarks and Theorem 1.  $\square$

**Extremal families in the sense of Widom.** Our next application deals with a quite general class of extremal families of polynomials introduced by Widom, see [12].

Let  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a compact, non-polar set. A measure  $\mu$  on  $K$  is called *admissible* (in the sense of Widom) if there exists a family of closed subsets  $K_t \subset K, 0 < t < t_0$  which satisfies

1.  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} c(K_t) = c(K)$
2.  $\forall A \subset K$  satisfying  $\mu(A) = 0$ :  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} \omega_t(A \cap K_t) = 0$ ,

where  $\omega_t$  denotes the equilibrium measure on  $K_t$  and  $c(K_t), c(K)$  denote the logarithmic capacities of the respective sets. Widom remarks that in the simplest case, where  $K_t = K$  for all  $t$ , condition 2. is equivalent to  $\omega_K \ll \mu$ , i.e.  $\omega_K$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ .

Let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $K$ , with infinite support. Suppose  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \cup 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup 0$  is a non-negative, continuous and increasing function, such that

$$s_n = o(t_n) \Rightarrow \Phi(s_n) = o(\Phi(t_n)).$$

For example  $\Phi_p(t) = t^p$ , where  $p > 0$ .

Given  $\mu$  and  $\Phi$ , Widom considers for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the minimum problem

$$m_k = \inf \int \Phi(|z^k + a_1 z^{k-1} + \dots + a_k|) d\mu(z),$$

where the infimum is taken over all  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{C}$ . He shows that for each  $k$  the infimum is a minimum realized by some (monic) polynomial  $q_k$  of degree  $k$ . We call such a family of polynomials  $(q_k)_k$  a Widom-extremal family. Examples include the extremal families in  $L^p(\mu)$ ,  $p > 0$  such as the sequence of polynomials orthogonal with respect to  $\mu$ , (i.e.  $p = 2$ ).

The following result is a corollary of Theorem 2 and results of Widom [12].



**Proposition 3.** *Let  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a compact set, let  $\Omega$  denote the unbounded connected component of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$  and  $g_\Omega$  the corresponding Green's function with pole at  $\infty$ . Suppose  $\mu$  is an admissible measure on  $K$ , and  $(q_k)_k$  is a Widom-extremal family. Then*

$$\xi_{k,m} - \xi_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} m\xi_{p'_\mu}, \text{ on } \Omega \text{ for } m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

*Proof.* Widom shows in [12, Lemma 4] that Condition 1. of Theorem 2 is satisfied.

Moreover, in [12, Corollary p. 1007] he shows that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \log |q_k(z)| = g_\Omega(z) + \log c(K) = p_\omega(z)$  locally uniformly on the complement of the convex hull of  $K$ .

It follows that for any infinite set  $N_1 \subset \mathbb{N}$  there exist an infinite subset  $N_2 \subset N_1$  and a subset  $\mathcal{E} \subset \Omega$  without accumulation points in  $\Omega$  such that

$$\frac{1}{k} \log |q_k(z)| \rightarrow g_\Omega + \log c(K), \text{ locally uniformly in } \Omega \setminus \mathcal{E} \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } N_2,$$

i.e. that Condition 2. is satisfied with  $p = g_\Omega + c(K)$  and  $d_k = 0$ . The proof is left to the reader. For a similar proof see the proof of [2, Proposition 2].  $\square$

## 4 Proofs of theorems

We prove Theorem 2 and derive Theorem 1. We start with a lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *Assume condition 2. in Theorem 2 holds and  $W \subset U$  is an open and bounded set such that  $q_k$  is non-vanishing on  $W$  for  $k \in N_2$  sufficiently big. Then locally uniformly on  $W$*

1.  $d_k + \frac{1}{n_k} \log |q_k| \rightarrow p$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in  $N_2$  and
2.  $\frac{q'_k}{n_k q_k} \rightarrow p'$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in  $N_2$ .

*Furthermore, if  $K \subset W$  is a compact set containing no zeros of  $p'$ , then  $q'_k$  has no zero in  $K$  for  $k$  sufficiently big.*

Notice that part 1. of the lemma implies that we can always assume that the exceptional set  $\mathcal{E}$  in condition 2. only consists of accumulation points of zeros of the family  $(q_k)_k$ ,  $k \in N_2$ .

*Proof.* With  $N_1 \supset N_2$  and  $\mathcal{E} \subset U$  as in Condition 2. it is enough to prove the first statement, then the second follows by differentiation (see [2, Lemma 1] for details). Moreover it is enough to prove that if  $z_0 \in \mathcal{E} \cap W$ , then  $d_k + \frac{1}{n_k} \log |q_k| = d_k + p_{\mu_k} \rightarrow p$  uniformly on a compact neighbourhood of  $z_0$  in  $W$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in  $N_2$ .

So suppose  $z_0 \in \mathcal{E} \cap W$  and choose  $r > 0$  such that  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}(z_0, r) \subset W$  and  $\mathcal{E} \cap \overline{\mathbb{D}}(z_0, r) = \{z_0\}$ . This is possible since  $W \subset U$  is open and  $\mathcal{E}$  is without accumulation points in  $U$ . Then by condition 2. the harmonic functions  $d_k +$

$p_{\mu_k} - p$  on  $W$  converge uniformly to the constant function 0 on  $\{z : |z - z_0| = r\}$ . Whence by the maximum principle for harmonic functions the convergence is uniform on the closed disk  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}(z_0, r)$ .

Finally, if  $K \subset W$  is a compact set containing no zeros of  $p'$ , then

$$\frac{q'_k(z)}{n_k q_k(z)} = p'(z) + o(1) = p'(z)(1 + o(1))$$

uniformly on  $K$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in  $N_2$ , so that

$$q'_k(z) = n_k q_k(z) p'(z) (1 + o(1)),$$

whence  $q'_k$  has no zero in  $K$  for  $k$  sufficiently big.  $\square$

We prove Theorem 2 in two steps. First we prove the conclusion of the Theorem for  $m = 1$  in Proposition 4. Secondly we prove in Proposition 5 that the conditions 1. and 2. are *hereditary*, i.e. if the conditions are satisfied for a sequence of polynomials  $(q_k)_k$ , then they are satisfied for the sequence of derivatives  $(q'_k)_k$ .

**Proposition 4.** *Theorem 2 holds when  $m = 1$ . That is, under the assumptions of Theorem 2 we have convergence of divisors on  $U$*

$$\xi_{k,1} - \xi_k \rightarrow \xi_{p'} \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c(U)$  be arbitrary. We must show that

$$\xi_{k,1}(\phi) - \xi_k(\phi) - \xi_{p'}(\phi) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4)$$

It is enough to show that for any infinite subset  $N_1 \subset \mathbb{N}$ , there is a further infinite subset  $N_2 \subset N_1$  such that (4) holds for  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in  $N_2$ . So let  $\epsilon > 0$  be arbitrary and let  $N_1 \subset \mathbb{N}$  be an arbitrary infinite subset.

Let  $K = \text{supp}(\phi)$  and define a function  $v$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  by

$$v(z) = \begin{cases} -d(z, \partial K) & \text{for } z \in K \\ d(z, \partial K) & \text{for } z \notin K, \end{cases}$$

where  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the euclidean distance. The function  $v$  thus gives the signed distance to  $\partial K$ . For  $\delta > 0$  let  $K_\delta := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : v(z) \leq \delta\}$ ,  $2\delta_0 := d(K, \partial U) = \inf\{v(z) : z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus U\}$ . Let  $M = M(K_{\delta_0})$  be the uniform upper bound on the number of zeros in  $K_{\delta_0}$  given by condition 1.

Let  $(z_{k,j})$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n_k$  denote the roots of  $q_k$  repeated with respect to multiplicity. We can arrange the zeros  $(z_{k,j})_{j=1}^{n_k}$  of  $q_k$ , so that  $v(z_{k,j}) \leq v(z_{k,j+1})$  for all  $1 \leq j < n_k$ . Then starting from  $N_1$  we can find a subset  $N_2 \subset N_1$  such that the first  $M + 1$  roots converge as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in  $N_2$ . We let  $z_j = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty, k \in N_2} z_{k,j}$ . Notice that  $v(z_j) \leq v(z_{j+1})$  for  $1 \leq j \leq M$  and  $v(z_{M+1}) \geq \delta_0$ , so that  $z_{M+1} \notin K$ . If  $z_1 \notin K$  set  $m = 0$ . Otherwise let  $m$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq M$ , be maximal such that  $z_j \in K$  for  $j \leq m$ . Let  $E := \{z_j : 1 \leq j \leq m\}$ , then by Lemma 1 we can

assume that  $\mathcal{E} \cap K = E$ . Let  $w_1, w_2, \dots$  be a labeling of the critical points of  $p$  repeated with multiplicity and with  $v(w_j) \leq v(w_{j+1})$ . If  $w_1 \notin K$  we let  $l = 0$  and otherwise we let  $l$  be maximal with  $w_l \in K$ . Let  $F := \{w_j : 1 \leq j \leq l\}$  and  $N = m + l$ .

Since  $\phi$  is continuous with compact support, it is uniformly continuous. Let  $\delta_1 > 0$  be such that

$$|\phi(x) - \phi(y)| < \epsilon/N, \text{ when } |x - y| \leq \delta_1. \quad (5)$$

Define

$$\delta_2 = \frac{1}{4} \min(\{|x - y| : x \neq y, x, y \in E \cup F\} \cup \{\delta_0, v(z_{m+1}), \delta_1, v(w_{l+1})\}),$$

where we define  $v(w_{l+1}) = \infty$ , if  $F$  contains all critical points of  $p$ .

Let  $k_0$  be such that  $|z_{k,j} - z_j| < \delta_2$  for all  $k \geq k_0$ ,  $k \in N_2$ , and all  $1 \leq j \leq m + 1$ . We claim that for  $k \geq k_0$  and  $k \in N_2$

$$q_k^{-1}(0) \cap K \subset q_k^{-1}(0) \cap K_{3\delta_2} = \{z_{k,1}, \dots, z_{k,m}\}.$$

If  $j > m$  then  $v(z_{k,j}) \geq v(z_{k,m+1}) > v(z_{m+1}) - \delta_2 \geq 3\delta_2$ , whence  $q_k^{-1}(0) \cap K_{3\delta_2} \subset \{z_{k,1}, \dots, z_{k,m}\}$ . Furthermore since  $v(z_{k,m}) < v(z_m) + \delta_2 \leq \delta_2$  the claim follows.

Let  $D_z = \mathbb{D}(z, 2\delta_2)$ .

**Claim 1.** For every  $z \in E \cup F$  the number of zeros of  $q'_k$  in  $D_z$  equals the number of zeros of  $q_k p'$  in  $D_z$ , all zeros counted with multiplicity.

**Proof of Claim 1.** Define nested neighborhoods  $D_1 \subset D_2$  of  $E \cup F$  by

$$D_1 = \bigcup_{z \in E \cup F} \mathbb{D}(z, \delta_2) \quad \text{and} \quad D_2 = \bigcup_{z \in E \cup F} D_z.$$

Moreover define the compact set

$$L_2 := K_{2\delta_2} \setminus D_2$$

and notice that  $L_2$  contains

$$\partial D_2 = \bigcup_{z \in E \cup F} \partial D_z.$$

Define an open neighborhood  $W$  of  $L_2$  by

$$W := \overset{\circ}{K}_{3\delta_2} \setminus \overline{D_1}.$$

By construction  $p'$  and each  $q_k$ , for  $k \geq k_0$  and  $k \in N_2$ , do not vanish on  $\overline{W}$ . Combining this with Lemma 1 shows that  $q'_k$  has no zero in  $\overline{W}$  for  $k \in N_2$ ,  $k \geq k_0$ , increasing  $k_0$  if necessary.

Let

$$\rho := \min\{|p'(x)| : x \in \partial D_2\},$$

then, further increasing  $k_0$  if necessary, we can assume by 2. in Lemma 1 that

$$\rho > \sup \left\{ \left| \frac{q'_k(x)}{n_k q_k(x)} - p'(x) \right| : x \in \partial D_2 \right\},$$

for  $k \geq k_0$ ,  $k \in N_2$ . The claim is now an immediate consequence of Rouché's theorem applied to each  $D_z$ .

**Claim 2.** For every  $z \in E \cup F$   $|\phi(x) - \phi(y)| < \epsilon/N$  for every  $x, y \in D_z$

**Proof of Claim 2.** The claim follows from (5) and the bound  $\text{diam}(D_z) = 4\delta_2 \leq \delta_1$ .

Hence, when  $k \geq k_0$ ,  $k \in N_2$

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi_{q'_k}(\phi) - \xi_{q_k}(\phi) - \xi_{p'}(\phi)| &= \left| \sum_{z \in E \cup F} \left( \sum_{\substack{x \in D_z \\ q'_k(x)=0}} \phi(x) - \sum_{\substack{y \in D_z \\ (q_k p')(y)=0}} \phi(y) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{z \in E \cup F} \left| \sum_{\substack{x \in D_z \\ q'_k(x)=0}} \phi(x) - \sum_{\substack{y \in D_z \\ (q_k p')(y)=0}} \phi(y) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{z \in E \cup F} \sum_{\substack{x \in D_z \\ q'_k(x)=0}} \epsilon/N = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

where the roots in the sums are repeated according to multiplicity and the last inequality follows from Claims 1. and 2. above.  $\square$

In order to complete the proof of Theorem 2, we must show that the union of the conditions 1. and 2. are hereditary, i.e. are passed on to the sequence of normalized derivatives  $(\frac{1}{n_k} q'_k)_k$ . This is the content of the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.** *If  $(q_k)_k$  is a sequence of polynomials as above, satisfying the conditions 1. and 2. of Theorem 2, then the sequence of normalized derivatives  $(\frac{1}{n_k} q'_k)_k$  also satisfies the conditions 1. and 2. with the same harmonic function  $p$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $(q_k)_k$  is a sequence of polynomials satisfying the conditions 1. and 2. of Theorem 2. To alleviate notation we set  $\nu_k := \mu_{q'_k}$ .

Inheritance of condition 1. is a consequence of Proposition 4 as follows. Consider a compact set  $K \subset U$ , and let  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c(U)$  be a function  $f : U \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , which is 1 on  $K$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_k^\#(K) &= (n_k - 1)\nu_k(K) \\ &\leq \xi_{k,1}(f) = \xi_k(f) + \xi_{p'}(f) + o(1) \\ &\leq \mu_k^\#(\text{supp}(f)) + s + o(1), \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , where the equality in (6) follows from Proposition 4, and  $s$  is the number of critical points of  $p_\mu$  in  $\text{supp}(f)$ . Condition 1. for the sequence of normalized derivatives  $(\frac{1}{n_k} q'_k)_k$  then follows from condition 1. for  $(q_k)_k$ .

To show inheritance of condition 2. we have to show that given any infinite set  $N_1 \subset \mathbb{N}$  there exists an infinite subset  $N_2 \subset N_1$ , a subset  $\mathcal{E}' \subset U$  and a sequence  $(d'_k)_k$  such that  $d'_k + p_{\nu_k} \rightarrow p$  locally uniformly on  $U \setminus \mathcal{E}'$  for  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $k \in N_2$ .

Let  $v : U \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  denote the function  $v(z) = |z| + 1/d(z, \partial U)$ . Then  $v$  is bounded on any compact subset of  $U$  and for any  $c > 0$  the set  $v^{-1}([0, c])$  is compact.

Assume we have an infinite set  $N_1 \subset \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $z_{k,j}$  denote the roots of  $q_k$  ordered such that

- if  $z_{k,j} \in U$  and  $z_{k,l} \notin U$  then  $j < l$
- if  $z_{k,j}, z_{k,l} \in U$  then  $(j \leq l \Leftrightarrow v(z_{k,j}) \leq v(z_{k,l}))$ .

By a standard diagonal argument, there exists an infinite set  $N_2 \subset N_1$  and a sequence  $(z_j) \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ , such that  $z_{k,j} \rightarrow z_j$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in  $N_2$ .

Let  $E = U \cap \{z_j : k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ . The set  $E$  has no accumulation points in  $U$  by root sparsity, condition 1.

We claim that if a compact set  $L \subset U$  does not meet  $E$ , then there exists  $k_0 \in N_2$ , such that when  $k \geq k_0$ ,  $k \in N_2$ ,  $q_k$  has no zeros in  $L$ .

To see this, let  $\hat{v} = \max\{v(z) : z \in L\}$  and  $K = \{z \in U : v(z) \leq \hat{v}\}$ . Then  $L \subset K \subset U$ , and  $K$  is compact. By root-sparsity, only a finite number  $j_0$  of limit points  $z_j$  are elements of  $K$ . The points are ordered such that these points must be  $z_1, \dots, z_{j_0}$ . Choose  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $d(z_j, L) \geq \epsilon$  for  $j = 1, \dots, j_0$  and such that  $d(z_{j_0+1}, K) \geq \epsilon$ .

By convergence of the roots sequences  $(z_{k,j})_k$ , there exists  $k_0 \in N_2$ , such that when  $k \geq k_0$  and  $k \in N_2$ , we have  $d(z_{k,j}, z_j) < \epsilon$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, j_0 + 1$ .

Let  $k \geq k_0$  and  $k \in N_2$  be arbitrary. We show that  $z_{k,j} \notin L$ , by dividing into the three cases  $j < j_0 + 1$ ,  $j = j_0 + 1$  and  $j > j_0 + 1$ .

When  $j < j_0 + 1$ , we have  $d(z_{k,j}, L) \geq d(z_j, L) - d(z_j, z_{k,j}) > 0$ .

When  $j = j_0 + 1$  we have  $d(z_{k,j}, K) \geq d(z_j, K) - d(z_j, z_{k,j}) > 0$ . So  $z_{k,j_0+1} \notin K \supset L$ . It follows that  $v(z_{k,j_0+1}) > \hat{v}$  which we will use in the third and last case.

When  $j > j_0 + 1$ , either  $z_{k,j} \notin U \supset L$ , or  $z_{k,j} \in U$  and then by the ordering  $v(z_{k,j}) \geq v(z_{k,j_0+1}) > \hat{v}$ , so  $z_{k,j} \notin K \supset L$ . This proves the claim.

It then follows from condition 2. for  $(q_k)_k$  and Lemma 1 that we can assume  $E = \mathcal{E}$  and that

$$\frac{1}{n_k} \log |q_k| + d_k \rightarrow p \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } N_2,$$

locally uniformly on  $U \setminus \mathcal{E}$ .

Let  $F = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : p'(z) = 0\}$ . Also from Lemma 1 we have  $\frac{q'_k}{n_k q_k} \rightarrow p'$  locally uniformly on  $U \setminus \mathcal{E}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in  $N_2$ , so that

$$\frac{q'_k}{n_k q_k} = p'(1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } N_2,$$

locally uniformly on  $U \setminus (\mathcal{E} \cup F)$ . Taking log and dividing by  $n_k - 1$  we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n_k - 1} \log \left| \frac{q'_k}{n_k} \right| - \frac{1}{n_k - 1} \log |q_k| = o(1) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } N_2.$$

Finally, since  $p$  is uniformly bounded on compact subsets of  $U$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\nu_k} &= \frac{1}{n_k - 1} \log \left| \frac{q'_k}{n_k} \right| = \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n_k - 1} \right) \frac{1}{n_k} \log |q_k| + o(1) \\ &= \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n_k - 1} \right) (p - d_k + o(1)) = p - d'_k + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

locally uniformly on  $U \setminus \mathcal{E} \cup F$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in  $N_2$ . Thus, condition 2. holds for the sequence of normalized derivatives, with  $N_2$  as described above,  $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E} \cup F$  and  $d'_k = d_k(1 + \frac{1}{n_k - 1})$ .  $\square$

It is straightforward to prove Theorem 2 from Propositions 4 and 5.

*Proof.* We must show

$$\xi_{k,m} - \xi_k \rightarrow m\xi_{p'} \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (7)$$

for  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Applying Proposition 5 inductively, each of the sequences  $\left( \frac{(n_k - m)!}{n_k!} q_k^{(m)} \right)_k$  satisfies the requirements of Theorem 2, and by Proposition 4

$$\xi_{k,m+1} - \xi_{k,m} \rightarrow \xi_{p'} \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$  Equation (7) now follows by summation. Indeed

$$\xi_{k,m} - \xi_k = \sum_{l=1}^m (\xi_{k,l} - \xi_{k,l-1}) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} m\xi_{p'}$$

where  $\xi_{k,0} := \xi_k$ .  $\square$

Having proven Theorem 2, we now prove Theorem 1.

*Proof.* First assume that  $U$  is connected. We will show that the hypotheses of Theorem 1 imply the hypotheses of Theorem 2, with  $p = p_\mu$ . This will show the theorem in the case where  $U$  is connected.

Let  $N_1 \subset \mathbb{N}$  be an infinite subset.

We define a function  $v$  and order the roots in the same way we did in the proof of Proposition 5. That is, we define the function  $v : U \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  by  $v(z) = |z| + 1/d(z, \partial U)$ . For each  $k$ , order the roots  $z_{k,j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n_k$  of  $q_k$ , such that the roots inside  $U$  are ordered first and in ascending order with respect to  $v$ . More formally, label the roots such that

- if  $z_{k,j} \in U$  and  $z_{k,l} \notin U$  then  $j < l$ , and
- if  $z_{k,j}, z_{k,l} \in U$  then  $(j \leq l \Leftrightarrow v(z_{k,j}) \leq v(z_{k,l}))$ .

By a standard diagonal argument, there exists an infinite set  $N_2 \subset N_1$ , such that for every  $j$ ,  $z_{k,j} \rightarrow z_j \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in  $N_2$ . Notice that the limits  $z_j \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  fulfill the same two ordering properties as  $z_{k,j}$ .

Put  $\mathcal{E} = U \cap \{z_j : j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ . By root-sparsity,  $\mathcal{E}$  has no accumulation points in  $U$ . We claim that if  $L \subset U$  is a compact set, not meeting  $\mathcal{E}$ , then  $q_k$  has no roots in  $L$ , for  $k \in N_2$  sufficiently big. The claim follows as a consequence of the ordering of the roots and root sparsity (condition 1.), and was proven in the proof of Proposition 5.

We have  $p'_\mu(z) = \int \frac{d\mu(w)}{z-w}$  on  $U$ , and  $p'_{\mu_k}(z) = \int \frac{d\mu_k(w)}{z-w}$  when  $q_k(z) \neq 0$ . From this and the claim, it follows that  $p'_{\mu_k} \rightarrow p'_\mu$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $U \setminus \mathcal{E}$ .

Fix some base-point  $z_0 \in U$ . Since  $U \setminus \mathcal{E}$  is connected, it follows from the integral representation (3) that locally uniformly in  $U \setminus \mathcal{E}$

$$p_{\mu_k} - p_{\mu_k}(z_0) \rightarrow p_\mu - p_\mu(z_0) \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } N_2.$$

Hence

$$p_{\mu_k} + d_k \rightarrow p_\mu$$

locally uniformly in  $U \setminus \mathcal{E}$  as  $k \in N_2$  tends to infinity, where  $d_k = p_\mu(z_0) - p_{\mu_k}(z_0)$ .

This shows that the hypotheses of Theorem 2 are satisfied and Theorem 1 follows in the case where  $U$  is connected.

It remains to consider the case where  $U$  is disconnected. We must show

$$(\xi_{k,m} - \xi_k - m\xi_{p'_\mu})(\phi) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

for any  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c(U)$ . Let  $K \subset U$  be the support of  $\phi$ . Since the connected components of  $U$  form an open cover of  $K$ , compactness of  $K$  implies that only finitely many of them meet  $K$ . Denote those finitely many components by  $U_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, N$ , and notice  $K \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^N U_j$ .

Let  $\lambda_{U_j}$  be the characteristic function, i.e.  $\lambda_{U_j}$  is 1 on  $U_j$  and zero elsewhere. We have  $\phi = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_{U_j} \phi$  and  $\lambda_{U_j} \phi \in \mathcal{C}_c(U_j)$ . Hence

$$(\xi_{k,m} - \xi_k - m\xi_{p'_\mu})(\phi) = \sum_{j=1}^N (\xi_{k,m} - \xi_k - m\xi_{p'_\mu})(\lambda_{U_j} \phi)$$

Since  $\lambda_{U_j} \phi \in \mathcal{C}_c(U_j)$ , and we have just shown that Theorem 1 holds on connected open sets such as  $U_j$ , we have

$$(\xi_{k,m} - \xi_k - m\xi_{p'_\mu})(\lambda_{U_j} \phi) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

It only remains to prove Corollaries 1 and 2.

*Proof of Corollary 1.* The result follows, if we can show that for every infinite set  $N_1 \subset \mathbb{N}$ , there exists an infinite subset  $N_2 \subset N_1$ , such that

$$\xi_{k,m} - \xi_k \rightarrow 0 \text{ on } U, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } N_2.$$

Let  $N_1 \subset \mathbb{N}$  be an arbitrary infinite subset. Since the root loci are uniformly bounded,  $(\mu_k)_k$  is a precompact family. Hence there exists an infinite subset  $N_2 \subset N_1$  and a Borel probability measure  $\mu$  with compact support, such that  $\mu_k \xrightarrow{w^*} \mu$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in  $N_2$ . Since  $V$  contains the support of  $\mu$  and is convex,  $p_\mu$  has no critical points in  $U$  and in particular  $\xi_{p'_\mu} = 0$ . Convexity of  $V$  implies that every connected component of  $U$  is unbounded. Therefore  $p_\mu$  is not constant on any component of  $U$ . By Theorem 1,  $\xi_{k,m} - \xi_k \rightarrow 0$  on  $U$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in  $N_2$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 2.* The potential  $p_\omega = g_U + I(\omega)$  is harmonic and not constant on  $U$ . It has no critical points because  $K$  is connected.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments** The authors would like to thank the Danish Council for Independent Research — Natural Sciences for support via the grant DFF–1026–00267B.

## References

- [1] L. Fejér. Über die Lage der Nullstellen von Polynomen, die aus Minimumforderungen gewisser Arten entspringen. *Math. Ann.*, 85:41–48, 1922.
- [2] C. Henriksen, Petersen C. L., and E. Uhre. Convergence of equilibrium measures under  $K$ -regular polynomial sequences and their derivatives. *Submitted*, 2023.
- [3] C. Henriksen, Petersen C. L., and E. Uhre. Value distributions of derivatives of  $K$ -regular polynomial families. *Submitted*, 2023.
- [4] C. Henriksen, Petersen C. L., and E. Uhre. Zero distribution of derivatives of polynomial families centering on a set. *Submitted*, 2023.
- [5] John Milnor. *Dynamics in one complex variable*, volume 160 of *Ann. Math. Stud.* Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 3rd ed. edition, 2006.
- [6] Yūsuke Okuyama. Value distribution of the sequences of the derivatives of iterated polynomials. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Math.*, 42(2):563–574, 2017.
- [7] Yūsuke Okuyama and Gabriel Vigny. Value distribution of derivatives in polynomial dynamics. *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.*, 41(12):3780–3806, 2021.
- [8] Thomas Ransford. *Potential theory in the complex plane*, volume 28 of *Lond. Math. Soc. Stud. Texts.* Cambridge: Univ. Press, 1995.



- [9] Herbert Stahl and Vilmos Totik. *General orthogonal polynomials*, volume 43 of *Encycl. Math. Appl.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [10] Vilmos Totik. The Gauss-Lucas theorem in an asymptotic sense. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 48(5):848–854, 2016.
- [11] Vilmos Totik. Distribution of critical points of polynomials. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 372(4):2407–2428, 2019.
- [12] H. Widom. Polynomials associated with measures in the complex plane. *J. Math. Mech.*, 16:997–1013, 1967.