STABILITY EXCHANGE NEAR FOLDS: ANALYSIS OF AN END-LOADED ELASTICA WITH A LEVER ARM

Siva Prasad Chakri Dhanakoti Department of Mathermatics and Computer Science Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin 14135, Germany chakri.dhanakoti@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Numerous problems in physical sciences can be expressed as parameter-dependent variational problems. The associated family of equilibria may or may not exist realistically and can be determined after examining its stability. Hence, it is crucial to determine the stability and track its transitions. Generally, the stability characteristics of the equilibria change near the folds in the parameter space. The direction of stability change can be encoded through a particular projection of the solutions. In this article, we identify such projections for variational problems characterized by fixed-free ends, a class of problems frequently found in mechanics. Using the developed theory, we study an Elastica subject to an end load applied through a rigid lever arm. The examples revealed several instances of snap-back instability in these systems. These findings may aid in enhancing the design of soft robot arms and other innovative switching mechanisms.

*K*eywords Bifurcation diagrams · Multi-stability · Snap-back instability · Hysteresis · Soft Robots

1 Introduction

The study of physical problems using the variational framework has a rich history. In this setting, the equilibria are characterized as critical points of an energy-like functional. Typically, these problems are expressed in terms of a control parameter, generating a parameter family of equilibria. Indeed, the equilibria are computed by a slow scan of a parameter from a known solution using a continuation technique. However, not all evaluated equilibria are stable or physically viable; they must correspond to the local minima of the functional. Hence, it is crucial to determine whether the critical points are local minima (or stable) and track its changes. Generally, the stability of parameter-dependent equilibria changes at singularities, such as a fold or bifurcation in the parameter space [\[1,](#page-17-0) [2,](#page-17-1) [3\]](#page-17-2). However, it remains unclear whether a fold in the unstable equilibrium results in a stable equilibrium or a higher-order unstable equilibrium. This direction of stability transition can be encoded by observing a particular projection of the solutions against the parameter, referred to as distinguished bifurcation diagrams, and the stability can be assessed with a little observation and without any additional analysis. This idea of topologically exploiting the variational structure for stability examination was first employed in Astrophysics [\[4,](#page-18-0) [5\]](#page-18-1). Thompson [\[6\]](#page-18-2) introduced these diagrams for analysis of mechanical systems, expressed in finite dimensions. Subsequently, Maddocks [\[7\]](#page-18-3) and Rogers [\[8\]](#page-18-4) developed them for infinite-dimensional problems, particularly focusing on elastic rods. Several versions of these diagrams appear in the investigations of elastic problems [\[9,](#page-18-5) [10,](#page-18-6) [11\]](#page-18-7). In most of these studies, the diagrams are limited to problems characterized by fixed-fixed ends or cases where the varying parameter appears within the integrand or as a Lagrange multiplier. In this study, we generalize the distinguished bifurcation diagrams to variational problems subject to fixed-free ends, when the bifurcation parameter appears in the boundary conditions. As a result, we have two relevant cases, namely, when the parameter appears at the fixed end and when it appears at the free end. For this analysis, we introduce the notion of an index that is equal to the number of negative eigenvalues of the associated second variation operator. The critical points with index zero are local minima and stable.

The developed methodology is applied to test the stability of elastica under an end load through a lever arm. Cantilever structures with a lever arm can be found everywhere, such as in plants carrying fruits or load-bearing flexible structures. In recent years, nonlinear rod models [\[12\]](#page-18-8) have been actively employed in the fields of biophysics [\[13\]](#page-18-9), animations [\[14\]](#page-18-10)

and soft robot manipulators [\[15,](#page-18-11) [16\]](#page-18-12). Elastica, a simple planar version of this rod model, equally received the attention. A flexible elastic structure subjected to loads or constraints may exhibit multiple equilibria. Hence, it is crucial to determine their stability, as only stable structures exist physically. Indeed, the presence of instability also hints at snap-back phenomena, which are characteristic of multi-stable systems [\[17\]](#page-18-13). Numerous studies have been conducted on the stability of elastic rods, which involve either the Jacobi test [\[18,](#page-18-14) [19,](#page-18-15) [20,](#page-18-16) [21,](#page-18-17) [22\]](#page-18-18) or Hessian eigenvalue determination [\[23,](#page-18-19) [24,](#page-18-20) [25\]](#page-18-21). In this article, we assess the stability without performing any such rigorous computations. But, instead conclusions are drawn by qualitatively examining the plot of appropriate projection of the equilibria against the parameter.

Advances in material science produced highly deformable materials, providing renewed impetus to the field of soft robotics. Generally, soft robots employ very flexible structures to generate compliant mechanisms. A flexible elastic structure under load can exhibit multiple equilibria, where one equilibrium transitions to another through snap-back instability. These bistable or multi-stable systems exhibit large rapid displacements for small stimuli when operated around the regions of instabilities. As the system surpasses the initial energy barrier, it transitions to a new state, accompanied by a release of energy. In fact, some of the nature's quickest mechanisms seen in Mantis shrimp [\[26\]](#page-18-22), Venus fly trap [\[27\]](#page-19-0) and Humming bird beak [\[28\]](#page-19-1) can be attributed to this snap-back instability. These mechanisms are extensively used in the design of high performing soft actuators [\[29\]](#page-19-2), energy harvesters, switches, triggers, grippers, soft robots [\[30\]](#page-19-3), deployable and morphing structures [\[31\]](#page-19-4) and haptic devices [\[32\]](#page-19-5). Inspired by the growing range of applications, we aim to investigate the elastica with a lever arm and the dependence of its stability on several parameters. These findings could offer invaluable insights in the design of more innovative mechanisms. Especially, they signify the distinguished bifurcation diagrams for the analysis of multistable systems in engineering applications.

This article is organized as follows: The section [2](#page-1-0) describes the unconstrained calculus of variation problem with a parameter in the boundary conditions, and describes the associated distinguished bifurcation diagrams. In section [3,](#page-5-0) the elastica with an end load acting through a lever arm is formulated. A bifurcation analysis on resulting equilibria is also performed. In section [4,](#page-7-0) we present several examples investigating the impact of varying parameter on the stability using distinguished bifurcation diagrams. Section [5](#page-17-3) includes the summary and discussion of the results.

2 The Unconstrained Variational Problem

In this section, we extend the theory of *distinguished bifurcation diagrams* developed by [\[7\]](#page-18-3) and [\[8\]](#page-18-4) to variational problems subject to fixed-free ends. We consider both cases, where the bifurcation parameter appears in the fixed end or at the free end. In section [2.1,](#page-1-1) we introduce the problem and the relevant notations. The distinguished diagram at a simple fold when the varying parameter appears at the fixed end is developed in section [2.2](#page-3-0) and when the varying parameter appears at the free end is developed in section [2.3.](#page-4-0) Other non-simple folds are also discussed subsequently in this section.

2.1 Problem Formulation

Let $\zeta : s \to \mathbb{R}^p$ be a vector-valued function with a continuous derivative with respect to the independent parameter $s \in [0, l]$. Consider an unconstrained Calculus of Variations problem of the form

$$
J(\zeta, \xi_0, \xi_l) = \int_0^l \mathcal{L}(\zeta, \zeta', s) ds + B(\zeta, \xi_l, l), \tag{1}
$$

subject to a parameter-dependent *Dirichlet boundary condition* (or fixed boundary condition) at the end $s = 0$

$$
\boldsymbol{\zeta}(0) = \boldsymbol{\zeta}_o(\xi_0),\tag{2}
$$

while the other end $s = l$ is held free. The function $\mathcal L$ has continuous second derivatives with respect to all its arguments, and is convex in its second argument. On the other hand, B has continuous second derivatives with respect to its first argument and has continuous first derivatives with respect to the other arguments. The system can be influenced by the parameters ξ_0 and ξ_l at the boundaries $s = 0$ and $s = l$ respectively. In the current study, we vary either ξ_0 or ξ_l , but not both at a time. Maddocks [\[7\]](#page-18-3) produced the bifurcation diagrams when the parameter appears in the integrand, while Hoffmann [\[8\]](#page-18-4) obtained them when it appears in the boundary conditions. However, these studies are confined to problems with fixed-fixed ends. Here, we extend the analysis to the case where one of the fixed ends is let free. The critical points $(\zeta(s,\tau),\xi(\tau))$ of [\(1\)](#page-1-2) that are solutions to the well-known *Euler-Lagrange* equations

$$
-\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \zeta'}\right)' + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \zeta} = \mathbf{0}, \qquad \zeta(0) = \zeta_o(\xi_0), \tag{3a}
$$

and the *natural boundary condition* at the free end $s = l$

$$
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \zeta} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} B(\zeta, \xi_l, l) = \mathbf{0},\tag{3b}
$$

exist as a continuous curve of solutions along a branch for some pseudo-arclength parameterization τ [\[33\]](#page-19-6) satisfying the property

$$
|\dot{\zeta}(s,\tau)|^2 + |\dot{\xi}_i(\tau)|^2 = 1, \qquad i = 0 \text{ or } l.
$$

The notation $\dot{\zeta}(s,\tau)$ denotes the derivative with respect to pseudo-arclength τ , whereas $\zeta'(s,\tau)$ denotes the derivative with respect to arclength s. The second variation $\delta^2 J$ evaluated at the critical points $(\zeta(s,\tau), \xi_i(\tau))$, $(i = 0 \text{ or } l)$ must satisfy the condition [\[34\]](#page-19-7):

$$
\delta^2 J(\zeta_o)[\mathbf{h}] = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^l (\mathbf{h}' \cdot \mathbf{Ph}' + \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{Ch}' + \mathbf{h}' \cdot \mathbf{C}^T \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{h}) ds \ge 0,
$$
 (4)

so that they correspond to minima of the functional $J(\zeta, \xi)$, where P, C and Q are $p \times p$ Hessian matrices evaluated at the extremal ζ_o given by

$$
\mathbf{P} = \mathcal{L}_{\zeta'\zeta'}(\zeta_o, \zeta_o', s), \quad \mathbf{C} = \mathcal{L}_{\zeta\zeta'}(\zeta_o, \zeta_o', s), \quad \mathbf{Q} = \mathcal{L}_{\zeta\zeta}(\zeta_o, \zeta_o', s). \tag{5}
$$

For brevity, the dependence of P , C and Q on s is not explicitly shown. The matrices P and Q are symmetric, whereas the matrix C may not be. Here, h is a variation in the solution and satisfies the boundary conditions:

$$
\mathbf{h}(0) = \mathbf{0}, \qquad \mathbf{Ph}'(l) + \mathbf{C}^T \mathbf{h}(l) + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{h}(l) = \mathbf{0}, \tag{6}
$$

where the symmetric matrix **B** denotes $\frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial \zeta^2}$ evaluated at $s = l$. The integrand in [\(4\)](#page-2-0) has no explicit dependence on τ and ξ , and the coefficient matrices depend on them through the solutions $\zeta(s,\xi_i)$ and $\zeta'(s,\xi_i)$. We also assume that the Legendre's strengthened condition holds

$$
\mathbf{P} > 0,\tag{7}
$$

the symmetric matrix P is positive definite. The application of integration by parts on (4) and the vanishing boundary terms leads to an alternate form of the second variation

$$
\delta^2 J[\mathbf{h}] = \frac{1}{2} \langle S \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h} \rangle,\tag{8}
$$

where S is the second-order self-adjoint differential operator

$$
S\mathbf{h} \equiv -\frac{d}{ds} \left(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{h}' + \mathbf{C}^T \mathbf{h} \right) + \mathbf{C}\mathbf{h}' + \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{h},\tag{9}
$$

and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ represents the standard inner-product in L^2 -space. The second order condition [\(4\)](#page-2-0) can also be interpreted as a requirement that the following linear eigenvalue problem.

$$
\mathcal{S}\mathbf{h} = \mu \mathbf{h},
$$

\n
$$
\mathbf{h}(0) = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{P}\mathbf{h}'(l) + \mathbf{C}^T \mathbf{h}(l) + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{h}(l) = \mathbf{0}.
$$
\n(10)

has only non-negative eigenvalues. The operator S is assumed to have eigenvalues and eigenfunctions that depend smoothly on pseudo-arclength τ . Along the eigenvector h, the second variation functional takes the form

$$
\delta^2 J[\mathbf{h}] = \frac{1}{2} \langle S \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h} \rangle = \frac{\mu}{2} \langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h} \rangle.
$$
 (11)

We extend the standard notation of Morse index [\[35\]](#page-19-8) to these problems here, where it is equal to the number of negative eigenvalues of this eigenvalue problem and denotes the dimension of the vector subspace h over which the second variation is negative. Subsequently, index zero solutions satisfy the necessary condition for stability [\(4\)](#page-2-0) and correspond to stable solutions. Using a combination of Rayleigh coefficients, Sturm-Louville operator, this index for the case of fixed-fixed ends is indeed equal to the number of conjugate points (For more details see [\[18\]](#page-18-14)). However, we do not pursue our analysis in that direction.

Parameter-dependent variational problems generate a family of extremals, and in the case of a single parameter ξ , a solutions curve is obtained. Sometimes, these solutions are characterized by *folds*, the points at which this curve slopes

vertical. In Bifurcation terminology, these points are also sometimes referred to as *saddle-node bifurcation* or *turing points*. On differentiating the *Euler-Lagrange* equations [\(3a\)](#page-1-2) and the non-linear boundary conditions with respect to pseudo-arclength τ , we obtain the following expressions for the two cases of varying parameters

$$
\mathcal{S}\dot{\zeta} = \mathbf{0}, \qquad \dot{\zeta}(0) = \frac{d\zeta_o}{d\xi}\dot{\xi}_0, \quad \mathbf{P}\dot{\zeta}'(l) + \mathbf{C}^T\dot{\zeta}(l) + \mathbf{B}\dot{\zeta}(l) = \mathbf{0},
$$
\n(12a)

$$
\mathcal{S}\dot{\zeta} = \mathbf{0}, \qquad \dot{\zeta}(0) = \mathbf{0}, \qquad \mathbf{P}\dot{\zeta}'(l) + \mathbf{C}^T\dot{\zeta}(l) + \mathbf{B}\dot{\zeta}(l) + \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial \zeta \partial \xi_l}\dot{\xi}_l = \mathbf{0}.
$$
 (12b)

Both of these boundary value problems correspond to the eigenvalue problem [\(10\)](#page-2-1) with zero eigenvalue whenever $\dot{\xi}_0 = 0$ or $\dot{\xi}_l = 0$. We focus on the behavior of the motion of the eigenvalues around these folds. A *simple fold* further satisfies

$$
\ddot{\xi}_i \neq 0, \qquad i = 0 \text{ or } l \tag{13a}
$$

$$
\frac{d}{ds}J_{\xi_i}\neq 0 \qquad i=0 \text{ or } l,\tag{13b}
$$

and
$$
\mu = 0
$$
 is a simple eigenvalue of S. (13c)

An eigenvalue is called a simple eigenvalue if its algebraic multiplicity is one. Let h denote the eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue μ . On taking the inner product of [\(10\)](#page-2-1) with the eigenvector **h**, we obtain

$$
\langle \mathcal{S}\dot{\zeta}, \mathbf{h} \rangle = 0. \tag{14}
$$

Integrating by parts fetches

$$
\langle \mathcal{S}\dot{\zeta}, \mathbf{h} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{S}\mathbf{h}, \dot{\zeta} \rangle - \left(\left(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{h}' + \mathbf{C}^T \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{h} \right) \cdot \dot{\zeta} \right)_0^l + \left(\left(\mathbf{P}\dot{\zeta}' + \mathbf{C}^T \dot{\zeta} + \mathbf{B} \dot{\zeta} \right) \cdot \mathbf{h} \right)_0^l = 0. \tag{15}
$$

From this point, we separate the analyses for the cases of varying parameter at the fixed end and at the free end. The key aspect of the analysis is that, in the vicinity of a fold, an elementary expression can be found for the derivative of the critical eigenvalue μ .

2.2 Varying Parameter at the Fixed-end

For the case of parameter at the fixed-end [\(12a\)](#page-1-2), the expression [\(15\)](#page-3-1) becomes

$$
\langle \mathcal{S}\dot{\zeta}, \mathbf{h} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{S}\mathbf{h}, \dot{\zeta} \rangle - (\mathbf{P}\mathbf{h}' + \mathbf{C}^T \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{h}) \cdot \dot{\zeta} \Big|_{0} = 0
$$

$$
= \langle \mathcal{S}\mathbf{h}, \dot{\zeta} \rangle - \mathbf{P}\mathbf{h}'(0) \cdot \frac{d\zeta_o}{d\xi} \dot{\zeta}_0 = 0.
$$

As h is the eigenvector of S operator with eigenvalue μ , we can rewrite it as

$$
\mu \langle \mathbf{h}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} \rangle - \mathbf{P} \mathbf{h}'(0) \cdot \frac{d\boldsymbol{\zeta}_o}{d\xi} \dot{\xi}_0 = 0 \tag{16}
$$

along the branch. At a fold point $\mu = 0$ and $\xi = 0$. However, the eigenvalue μ changes its sign as a fold point is traversed, and μ $\left(\equiv \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \tau} \right)$ gives the direction of change. The expression for μ is obtained by differentiating the eigenvalue problem [\(10\)](#page-2-1) with respect to pseudo-arclength τ as

$$
\dot{\mu}\langle\mathbf{h},\dot{\zeta}\rangle + \mu \frac{d}{d\tau}\langle\mathbf{h},\dot{\zeta}\rangle - \frac{d}{d\tau}\mathbf{Ph}'(0) \cdot \frac{d\zeta_o}{d\xi}\dot{\zeta}_0 - \mathbf{Ph}'(0) \cdot \frac{d\zeta_o}{d\xi}\dot{\zeta}_0^2 - \mathbf{Ph}'(0) \cdot \frac{d\zeta_o}{d\xi}\ddot{\zeta}_0 = 0.
$$
 (17)

On substituting $\mu = 0$, $\dot{\xi}_o = 0$, $\mathbf{h} = \dot{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}$ at a fold, we obtain

$$
\dot{\mu}\langle\dot{\zeta},\dot{\zeta}\rangle = \mathbf{P}\dot{\zeta}'(0) \cdot \frac{d\zeta_o}{d\xi}\ddot{\xi}_0 = \mathcal{L}_{\zeta'\zeta'}\dot{\zeta}'(0) \cdot \frac{d\zeta_o}{d\xi}\ddot{\xi}_0
$$
\n(18)

For the function $\mathbf{P} = \mathcal{L}_{\zeta' \zeta'}$, which is a symmetric matrix, we can write

$$
\dot{\zeta}' \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\zeta'\zeta'} \frac{\partial \zeta_o}{\partial \xi}\Big|_{s=0} = \left(\dot{\zeta}' \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\zeta'\zeta'} \frac{\partial \zeta_o}{\partial \xi} + \mathcal{L}_{\zeta\zeta'} \frac{\partial \zeta_o}{\partial \xi} \dot{\zeta} \cdot \frac{\partial \zeta_o}{\partial \xi}\right)\Big|_{s=0},
$$
\n
$$
= \left(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta'\zeta'} \dot{\zeta}' \cdot \frac{\partial \zeta_o}{\partial \xi} + \mathcal{L}_{\zeta\zeta'} \frac{\partial \zeta_o}{\partial \xi} \dot{\zeta} \cdot \frac{\partial \zeta_o}{\partial \xi}\right)\Big|_{s=0} = \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta'} \cdot \frac{\partial \zeta_o}{\partial \xi}\right)\Big|_{s=0}.
$$

Figure 1: The direction of change in index near simple folds in the distinguished bifurcation diagram for problems with fixed-free ends. a) The direction of stability change when the parameter in the fixed end $s = 0$ is varied. b) The direction of stability change when the parameter in the free end $s = l$ is varied.

Then the expression for the μ becomes

$$
\dot{\mu} = \ddot{\xi} \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta'} \cdot \frac{\partial \zeta_o}{\partial \xi} \right) \Big|_{s=0} \tag{19}
$$

For a simple fold the terms μ and $\ddot{\xi}$ are non-zero, and [\(19\)](#page-4-1) provides the direction in which the eigenvalue crosses zero. The sign of ζ indicates the direction in which the fold opens. A positive ζ represents the fold opening towards the left, while a negative ζ represents the fold opening towards right. The distinguished bifurcation diagram is defined as the plot of $\left[\mathcal{L}_{\zeta'} \cdot \frac{\partial \zeta_o}{\partial \xi} \right]_{s=0}$ vs. ξ along the branches of critical points. As a simple fold is traversed, the critical eigenvalue μ changes its sign dictated by the signs of ζ and $\left[{\mathcal L}_{\zeta'} \cdot \frac{\partial \zeta_o}{\partial \xi}\right]_{s=0}$. Therefore, the index, which is the number of negative eigenvalues, alters by one at each fold, and the direction of change can be found by examining the shape of the projection of the solution branch as illustrated in Fig. [1a.](#page-4-2)

2.3 Varying Parameter at the Free-end

Now consider the other case, where the bifurcation parameter appears in the free end $s = l$. In this case, the expression [\(15\)](#page-3-1) becomes

$$
\langle \mathcal{S}\dot{\zeta}, \mathbf{h} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{S}\mathbf{h}, \dot{\zeta} \rangle + (\mathbf{P}\mathbf{h}' + \mathbf{C}^T \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{h}) \cdot \dot{\zeta} \Big|_{l} = 0
$$

$$
= \langle \mathcal{S}\mathbf{h}, \dot{\zeta} \rangle - \mathbf{h}(l) \cdot \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial \zeta \partial \xi} \dot{\zeta}_l = 0.
$$

which can be written in terms of eigenvalue μ and eigenvector h of the operator S as:

$$
\mu \langle \mathbf{h}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} \rangle - \mathbf{h}(l) \cdot \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial \boldsymbol{\zeta} \partial \boldsymbol{\xi}} \dot{\xi}_l = 0.
$$

At the fold $\dot{\xi}_l = 0$ and $\mu = 0$. The expression for $\dot{\mu}$ is obtained by differentiating the eigenvalue problem [\(10\)](#page-2-1) with respect to pseudo-arclength τ as

$$
\dot{\mu}\langle \mathbf{h}, \dot{\zeta}\rangle + \mu \frac{d}{d\tau} \langle \mathbf{h}, \dot{\zeta}\rangle = \dot{\mathbf{h}}(l) \cdot \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial \zeta \partial \xi} \dot{\xi}_l + \mathbf{h}(l) \cdot \frac{\partial^3 B}{\partial \zeta \partial \xi} \dot{\xi}_l^2 + \mathbf{h}(l) \cdot \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial \zeta \partial \xi} \ddot{\xi}_l
$$
(20)

The boundary term $\mathbf{P}\dot{\zeta}' + \mathbf{C}^T\dot{\zeta} + \mathbf{B}\dot{\zeta}$ vanishes at $s = l$ and assuming that $\langle \dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta} \rangle = 1$ we obtain

$$
\dot{\mu} = \langle \mathcal{S}\dot{\zeta}, \mathbf{h} \rangle + \langle \dot{\mathcal{S}}\zeta, \mathbf{h} \rangle, \n= \left(\dot{\mathbf{P}}\zeta' + \dot{\mathbf{C}}^T\zeta + \dot{\mathbf{B}}\zeta \right) \cdot \mathbf{h} \bigg|_{l} - \left(\dot{\mathbf{P}}\dot{\mathbf{h}}' + \dot{\mathbf{C}}^T\dot{\mathbf{h}} + \dot{\mathbf{B}}\dot{\mathbf{h}} + B_{\zeta\xi}\ddot{\zeta} \right) \cdot \dot{\mathbf{h}} \bigg|_{l} = -\ddot{\zeta}B_{\zeta\xi} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{h}} \bigg|_{l}
$$

Figure 2: The schematic of elastica with a load arm at the free end.

Then the expression for the μ becomes

$$
\dot{\mu} = -\ddot{\xi} \left(\frac{d}{d\tau} B_{\xi} \right). \tag{21}
$$

In this case, as a simple fold is transversd the projection B_{ξ} provides the information about the changes in the sign of critical eigenvalue. Consequently, the change in index is determined by the shape of B_{ξ} vs ξ plot as illustrated in Fig. [1b.](#page-4-2)

For the sake of completeness, we also consider the results when nondegeneracy conditions of a simple fold are relaxed. Suppose that $\mu = 0$ is not a simple eigenvalue at the fold, and has multiplicity N. Let $\{q_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be the eigenvectors corresponding to the zero eigenvalue μ . Then, near the fold, the nullspace ζ can be written as $\zeta = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i \mathbf{q}_i$. There are N branches of eigenvalues $\mu_i(\tau), i = 1, \dots, N$ satisfying $\mu(\tau^*) = 0$. The expression for μ_i is obtained when [\(17\)](#page-3-2) is evaluated at a fold $(\mu_i = 0, \dot{\xi} = 0, \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{q}_i)$

$$
\dot{\mu}_i \langle \mathbf{q}_i, \dot{\zeta} \rangle = \ddot{\xi}_0 \mathbf{P} \dot{\mathbf{q}}_i'(0) \cdot \frac{d\zeta_o}{d\xi}
$$
\n(22)

Multiplying by c_i and summing from $i = 1$ to $i = N$, we obtain

$$
\langle \sum_{i=1}^{N} \dot{\mu}_i c_i \mathbf{q}_i, \dot{\zeta} \rangle = \ddot{\xi}_0 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{P} \dot{\mathbf{q}}_i'(0) \cdot \frac{d\boldsymbol{\zeta}_o}{d\xi} = \ddot{\xi}_0 \mathbf{P} \dot{\zeta}' \cdot \frac{d\boldsymbol{\zeta}_o}{d\xi}
$$
(23)

Substituting $\dot{\zeta} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i \mathbf{q}_i$ and using orthonormality of the eigenvectors \mathbf{q}_i results in

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i^2 \dot{\mu}_i = \mathbf{P} \dot{\zeta}'(0) \cdot \frac{d\zeta_o}{d\xi} \ddot{\xi}_0 = \ddot{\xi} \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta'} \cdot \frac{\partial \zeta_o}{\partial \xi} \right) \Big|_{s=0}
$$
(24)

Hence, we have the information regarding the linear combination of all N derivatives of μ_i . However, only one of the eigenvalue changes the sign at the fold point. Similarly, we can prove the same when the parameter appears in the free end $s = l$.

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i^2 \dot{\mu}_i = -\ddot{\xi} B_{y\xi} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{y}} \bigg|_{l} = -\ddot{\xi} \bigg(\frac{d}{d\tau} B_{\xi} \bigg). \tag{25}
$$

3 Planar Elastica

In order to test the developed theory in section [2,](#page-1-0) we consider a special Euler elastica model and analyze stability of the associated equilibria. An inextensible and unshearable planar massless uniform elastic rod with a bending stiffness K, which is straight in its undeformed configuration is clamped at one end and connected to a dead load through a massless rigid lever arm at the other, as shown in Figure [2.](#page-5-1) Let $\{e_x, e_y, e_z\}$ denote a fixed right-handed orthonormal laboratory frame. The ends of the rod are defined by the coordinates $s = 0$ and $s = l$ in its fixed undeformed configuration. The lever arm is rigidly fixed to the tip $s = l$ so that it forms an angle ψ with the tangent of the tip $s = l$. The dead load exerts a force F in the $e_x - e_y$ plane and a moment $\Psi \times F$ due to an offset of the loading at the tip $s = l$. Here, Ψ is the lever arm of the load in the fixed frame. Let d_r and d_t denote the local directors along the radial and tangential directions, respectively, of the centerline at the tip $s = l$. Then, Ψ is given by $\Delta_r \mathbf{d}_r + \Delta_t \mathbf{d}_t$, where Δ_r and Δ_t are the respective components. If Δ denotes the length of the arm and makes an angle ψ with the tangent at the tip $s = l$, then $\Delta_t = \Delta \cos \psi$ and $\Delta_r = \Delta \sin \psi$. Let $\theta(s)$ be be the angle between the tangent of the rod centerline and the vertical. The direction of the load F with respect to vertical laboratory frame is denoted by α . The position vector of the rod centerline $r(s)$ in the deformed configuration is represented using

$$
\mathbf{r}(s) = x(s)\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{x}} + y(s)\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{y}},\tag{26}
$$

where $x(s)$ and $y(s)$ are the components in the fixed frame. As the rod is assumed to be inextensible and unshearable, the tangent of the rod in the deformed configuration satisfies the relation

$$
x'(s) = \cos \theta(s), \qquad y'(s) = -\sin \theta(s). \tag{27}
$$

Using the principles of differential geometry, the curvature of the rod's centerline can be easily expressed as θ' . Let m denote the internal moment vector along the fixed frame. We consider a simple linear constitutive law where the bending moment in the rod m is related to the curvature θ' as

$$
\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{e}_z = K \theta',\tag{28}
$$

and the stored bending energy satisfies

$$
\frac{1}{2}K{\theta'}^2. \tag{29}
$$

The clamped end is constrained to rotate about e_z - axis. Since it is a planar case, the moment vector m is always oriented perpendicular to the plane $(\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{e}_x = \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{e}_y = 0)$. The total energy stored in the system is sum of the bending energy and the work done by the external force, satisfying the inextensibility and unshearability constraint [\(27\)](#page-6-0)

$$
\mathcal{L} = \int_0^l \frac{K}{2} \theta'^2(s) + n_x(x' - \cos \theta) + n_y(y' + \sin \theta) ds - \mathbf{F} \cdot (\mathbf{r}(l) + \Psi(l)),\tag{30}
$$

where n_x and n_y are the corresponding Lagrange multipliers and denote the internal forces along e_1 and e_2 axes respectively. We denote this triad using the vector $\mathbf{n} = [n_x, n_y]^T$. The equilibrium equations are obtained through application of *Euler-Lagrange* equations on [\(30\)](#page-6-1)

$$
n'_x = 0, \qquad \lambda'_y = 0,\tag{31}
$$

$$
-K\theta'' + n_x \sin \theta + n_y \cos \theta = 0.
$$
\n(32)

and *natural boundary conditions* [\(4\)](#page-2-0) at the free end $s = l$

$$
n_x(l) - F_x = 0, \quad n_y(l) - F_y = 0,\tag{33a}
$$

$$
K\theta'(l) + \Psi \times \mathbf{F} = 0,\tag{33b}
$$

where F_x and F_y are the components of **F** along e_x and e_y directions, respectively. In this apparatus, the parameters that describe the Dirichlet boundary conditions, such as θ_0 and those that characterize the natural boundary conditions such as F, Δ , α and ψ are the varying parameters. We perform several numerical experiments using parameter continuation [\[33\]](#page-19-6) and employ the proposed *distinguished bifurcation diagrams* to determine the stability properties of the generated parameter-dependent equilibria.

3.1 Bifurcations

The distinguished bifurcation diagram gives information on the changes in stability. So, the stability of atleast one equilibrium along a branch must be established before proceeding. Hence, bifurcation analysis is performed on the current apparatus to evaluate the indices. The buckling characteristics of the elastica are well known; now, let us examine how the lever arm influences this behavior. For this analysis, we set $\alpha = 0$ and $\psi = 0$, and consequently we obtain $\lambda_x = 0$ and $\lambda_y = -\|\mathbf{F}\|$. We have the classical elastica when $\Delta = 0$. Before proceeding further, we non-dimensionalize the problem by substituting $\bar{s} = \frac{s}{l}$, $\epsilon = \frac{\Delta}{l}$ and $P = \frac{\|\mathbf{F}\|l}{K}$ $\frac{\mathbf{F} \parallel t}{K}$ that fetches

$$
-\theta'' - P\sin\theta = 0.\tag{34a}
$$

$$
\theta(0) = 0, \qquad \theta'(1) - P\epsilon \sin \theta = 0 \tag{34b}
$$

It has the trivial solution $\theta(s) = 0$. On linearizing the equilibrium equations about the solution $\theta(s) = 0$, we get

$$
S\delta\theta \equiv -\delta\theta'' - P\delta\theta = 0,\tag{35a}
$$

$$
\delta\theta(0) = 0, \qquad \delta\theta'(1) - P\epsilon\delta\theta(1) = 0 \tag{35b}
$$

where $\delta\theta(s)$ are perturbation in θ satisfying the boundary conditions. Its non-trivial solutions are of the form:

$$
\delta\theta(s) = A\sin\sqrt{P}s,\tag{36}
$$

and the boundary condition at $s = l$ leads to the relation

$$
\sqrt{P}\cos\sqrt{P} - P\epsilon\sin\sqrt{P} = 0, \implies \cot\sqrt{P} - \sqrt{P}\epsilon = 0, \qquad \sqrt{P} \neq n\pi.
$$
 (37)

The nontrivial solutions satisfying this relation that correspond to bifurcations are depicted in Figure [3.](#page-8-0) The critical buckling modes of elastica are the solutions pertaining to $\epsilon = 0$ are $P_{cr}^o = \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{4}$ $\frac{(1)^2 \pi^2}{4}$, $k = 1, \ldots, \infty$. Let P_{cr}^+ represent the critical load values pertaining to positive ϵ , while P_{cr}^- represent pertaining to negative ϵ . Note that for each k th mode, $(k-1)^2 \pi^2 < P_{cr,k}^+ < \left(\frac{(2k-1)}{2}\right)$ $\left(\frac{(-1)}{2}\right)^2 \pi^2$ and $\left(\frac{(2k-1)}{2}\right)$ $\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^2 \pi^2 < P_{cr,k}^- < k^2 \pi^2$, where $k = 1, 2, ..., \infty$.

By performing the similar analysis as in [\[12,](#page-18-8) pp, 174-177], these points can be proved to correspond to supercritical pitchfork bifurcations. The linearized equation can be rewritten as an eigenvalue problem:

$$
-\delta\theta'' - P\delta\theta = \mu\delta\theta, \qquad \delta\theta(0) = 0, \qquad \delta\theta'(1) - P\epsilon\delta\theta(1) = 0.
$$
 (38)

where μ is the eigenvalue. On rearranging the terms we have

$$
\delta\theta'' - (P + \mu)\,\delta\theta = 0, \qquad \delta\theta(0) = 0, \qquad \delta\theta'(1) - P\epsilon\delta\theta(1) = 0. \tag{39}
$$

Typically, at a supercritical bifurcation, the number of negative eigenvalues increases by one with each successive bifurcation. In our setup, the index of the equilibria for load $P_{cr,k}^{+/-}$ is k. For a very high ϵ value, i.e., when the \sqrt{Pe} line approaches the vertical line, the value of P_{cr}^+ approaches zero, suggesting that bifurcations occur at a very small load when the arm is long. On the other hand, P_{cr}^- approaches π^2 for higher loads, which indicates the critical loads for the case of fixed-fixed ends. The trivial solutions are stable only for loads below $P_{cr}^{+/-}$. Consider two instances of loads which correspond to first mode and second mode, say $\pi^2/4$ and $9\pi^2/4$. These chosen straight equilibria correspond to index one and two respectively, while the negative ϵ leads to index zero and index one respectively. We use these equilibria as initial solutions for numerical continuation in the subsequent sections.

4 Numerical Examples

The distinguished bifurcation diagrams facilitate the determination of change in index at a fold in the varying parameter. If there are no folds, the stability remains same for all solutions along the branch. At folds, the stability transitions usually happen, and they were evaluated by examining the manner in which the fold occurs (Figure [3\)](#page-8-0). We use the straight trivial solutions from previous section as initial solutions to generate the family of equilibria by varying parameters. Subsequently, we assess the stability of the resulting equilibria. Numerical continuation is performed using AUTO-07p [\[36\]](#page-19-9), which employs pseudo-arclength continuation [\[33\]](#page-19-6), and is capable of detecting singularities like folds and bifurcations as the parameter is varied. In these examples, the analysis is restricted solely to simple folds.

4.1 Varying Parameter in Free end

In the first section of the examples, the parameters associated with the free end $(s = l)$ are varied. These include the magnitude of the tip load P, direction of the tip load α , length of the load arm ϵ , and orientation of the arm ψ . Moreover, the parameters P and ϵ can assume negative values, as they represent the opposite direction of the force vector **F** and the arm vector Ψ respectively. In the presented examples, stiffness K and length l are set to unit values and therefore $P = ||\mathbf{F}||$ and $\epsilon = \Delta$.

Figure 3: Plot illustrating the solutions of $\cot \sqrt{P}$ – $P\epsilon = 0$ for different values of ϵ and the local bifurcation characteristics associated with $\epsilon = +/-0.25$ shown on top.

4.1.1 Rotating Arm

We begin with a numerical example where continuation is performed along ψ , which simulates the quasi-static rotation of the arm. We begin with a load $P = \pi^2/4$ and an arm $\epsilon = 0.25$ and perform continuation from the straight trivial equilibrium at $\psi = 0$ that has an index one. When ψ is the varying parameter, the ordinate in the distinguished bifurcation diagrams, as specified by [\(21\)](#page-5-2) is

$$
\frac{\partial B}{\partial \psi} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} (\mathbf{F} \cdot (\mathbf{r}(l) + \Delta \sin \psi \mathbf{d}_t + \Delta \cos \psi \mathbf{d}_r)),
$$

= $(-\Psi(l) \times \mathbf{F}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_z = \mathbf{m}(l) \cdot \mathbf{e}_z$

Here, the boundary condition [\(33b\)](#page-6-2) is used in the last step. This ordinate is evaluated from the numerical solutions and plotted against the parameter ψ to yield the associated distinguished bifurcation diagram, as shown in Figure [4.](#page-9-0) These plots exhibit folds, signifying the transition of stability. The direction of change in index near folds is determined using Figure [1b](#page-4-2) and is indicated in the Figures [4.](#page-9-0) In fact, the equilibria with non-zero index do not exist in a realistic setting; they instead undergo an abrupt snap to adjacent stable equilibrium, resulting in a snap-back instability. The dynamical aspects of the snapping motion are not discussed in this paper, and further details can be found in Armanini et al. [\[15\]](#page-18-11). The system is 2π -periodic in ψ i.e., the system corresponding to $\psi = k$ and $\psi = 2\pi + k$ exhibit identical features. As a result, the solutions and their projections too exhibit 2π - periodic characteristics. Figure [4a](#page-9-0) also displays the shapes assumed by elastica at intermediate values of ψ . The potential snapping motion depicting the initial and final configurations is also shown. This motion depends on the history of the configurations, and the snap jump is indicated by the arrow (in red).

Figure [4b](#page-9-0) displays the bifurcation diagrams for a family of equilibria at a higher load $P = 9\pi^2/4$. In this case, the straight trivial equilibrium has an index 2 (section [3.1\)](#page-6-3). These plots also exhibit folds, which, although appear qualitatively similar to the case of $P = \pi^2/4$, but differ significantly in their stability characteristics. In this scenario,

Figure 4: The distinguished bifurcation diagram when the arm of length $\epsilon = 0.25$ rotates for loads $P = \frac{\pi^2}{4}$ $\frac{\pi^2}{4}$ and $P = \frac{9\pi^2}{4}$ $\frac{\pi^2}{4}$. (a) Discontinuous family of stable equilibria suggesting snap-back instability. The intermediate configurations along this family are labeled and are depicted on top. The stable configurations before and after the snap-back instability are also displayed. (b) All these equilibria are unstable and cannot exist realistically. Although the folds are present, no information on the snapping can be deduced, as no stable equilibrium exists.

only family of equilibria with indices one and two exist, corresponding to unstable equilibria, that are not physically feasible. The continuation process must be initiated from another solution to obtain a family of stable equilibria. The potential snap-back instability can be assessed only when a family of stable equilibria exist.

A similar analysis is performed for a longer arm $\epsilon = 0.5$, and the Figure [5](#page-10-0) displays the corresponding diagrams. The straight trivial solutions with this arm has a same index as that of $\epsilon = 0.25$, i.e., one and two for loads $P = \pi^2/4$ and $P = 9\pi^2/4$ respectively. The bifurcation diagram and the configurations appear qualitatively similar to those in the case of $\epsilon = 0.25$. However, the higher load case of $P = 9\pi^2/4$ exhibits significant differences. Here, we observe several folds, with more than two equilibria existing for an identical value of ψ , suggesting the presence of multistability. Unlike the previous example with $P = 9\pi^2/4$ and $\epsilon = 0.25$, a family of equilibria with index zero exist here. Consequently, snap-back instability occurs as ψ is varied beyond the folds. The plots also illustrate several equilibrium configurations during this maneuver. Furthermore, the stable equilibria before and after the set of snap-back instability are also displayed. We assume that the geometry of the lever arm and elastica allows for the self-intersection, and the resulting configurations as displayed in the plots are valid. For a non-zero arm, when the load P exceeds the critical buckling length, it buckles into a non-straight configuration, deflecting either to the right or to the left, with both configurations being mirror images of each other. The non-zero arm also results in the similar post-buckling structures, and however they are not mirror images. The non-zero arm acts as an imperfect parameter breaking the symmetry.

Figure 5: The distinguished bifurcation diagram when the arm of length $\epsilon = 0.5$ rotates for loads $P = \frac{\pi^2}{4}$ $\frac{\pi^2}{4}$ and $P = \frac{9\pi^2}{4}$ $\frac{\pi^2}{4}$. Discontinuous family of stable equilibria exist for both cases, suggesting snap-back instability. The intermediate configurations along this family are labeled and are depicted on top. The stable configurations before and after the snap-back instability are also displayed.

Furthermore, the arm also induces bi-stability in the buckled configurations, meaning there is more than one stable equilibria for some values of ψ in buckled configurations as illustrated in Figure [5.](#page-10-0) Subsequently, more than two stable equilibria exist in some instances. With appropriate technical considerations, novel snapping, triggering, and switching mechanisms can be realized. The periodicity of the system about ψ allows the reproducibility of the snap-back instability i.e., the similar snapping motion can be replicated by tuning the parameter forward by 2π . Additionally, the snapping motion can also be generated by reversing the parameter ψ , but it occurs at fold in the reverse direction.

4.1.2 Varying Arm Length

We continue this analysis by varying the parameter associated with the arm's length ϵ . The equilibria with $\epsilon = 0.5$ at $\psi = 0, \psi = \pi/12, \psi = \pi/6, \psi = \pi/2$ and $\psi = 2\pi/3$ from the previous analysis are chosen as the initial solutions, and continuation is performed along ϵ from 0.5 to -0.5 . Figure [6a](#page-11-0) displays the bifurcation plot from the previous analysis, truncated between 0 and $2π$ to obtain all possible equilibria at a given $ψ$. Owing to the $2π$ -periodicity of the system, the section of the plot between 4π and 6π is represented as a plot in the interval from 0 to 2π . In this case, the ordinate of the distinguished bifurcation diagram is

$$
\beta := \frac{\partial B}{\partial \epsilon} = \mathbf{F} \cdot (\cos \psi \mathbf{d}_t + \sin \psi \mathbf{d}_r) = -\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{d}_t \cos \psi - \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{d}_r \sin \psi.
$$
 (40)

which is the force component along the arm vector. Figure [6b](#page-11-0) and Figure [7](#page-12-0) displays the plots β vs. ϵ associated with different ψ . A few plots exhibit folds and stability information is encoded according to the Figure [1b.](#page-4-2) Let us first consider the symmetric case of $\psi = 0$. Here, the ordinate β , which is the component of force along the arm vector has the identical values for the deflections to the right as well as left, resulting in overlapping plots. Consequently, the supercritical pitchfork bifurcation diagram appears only as a half plot. The plots separate as ψ is varied to a non-zero value. The half-pitchfork plot for $\psi = 0$, separates into two curves for $\psi = \frac{\pi}{12}$, resembling an imperfect system. The parameter ψ acts as an *imperfection* parameter perturbing the the perfect system obtained for $\psi = 0$. Imperfections significantly influence the system's response [\[37\]](#page-19-10) and result in large continuous deflections when loaded near the criticality. Interestingly, the equilibria chosen as starting solutions lie on branches of solutions continued from other initial solutions. In these instances, the change in indices align with the observed folds. As previously noted, although there are folds present in some branches, the potential for snap-back instability cannot be concluded without determining other stable equilibria. If a stable equilibrium exits, it transitions to the other stable equilibrium lying on the other curve, when the parameter is varied past the fold points. Based on this observation, we illustrate a few potential configurations that result from the snapping motion.

Figure 6: (a) The bifurcation diagram from the previous analysis adjusted between 0 and 2π . The labels are given to the equilibria based on the order which continuation crosses past specified ψ . (b) The distinguished bifurcation diagrams when the length of arm is varied from 0.5 to -0.5 at $\psi = 0$. Folds and bifurcations exist in this case. The equilibria corresponding to the given labels are shown on top. The potential snap-back instability arising between the stable equilibria is also illustrated.

Moreover, this response is not reproducible solely by varying ϵ , i.e., it cannot be replicated just by increasing the ϵ and decreasing it. By doing so, the system lies on the lower curve and does not jump to other equilibrium as there are no folds. However, the snap-back instability can be reproduced by tuning the combination of ϵ and ψ . Controlling the length ϵ in the vicinity of folds can be easily realized in mechanical systems. For example, a switching device can be activated by controlling ϵ through a linear actuator or thermal expansion.

Figure 7: Distinguished bifurcation diagrams when the length of arm is varied from 0.5 to −0.5 at various arm angles $\psi = \pi/12$, $\psi = \pi/6$, and $\psi = \pi/2$. Several instances of snap-back instability can be noticed and a few of them are illustrated.

4.1.3 Rotating Load

Next, we analyze the dependence of stability characteristics on the direction of the load α . Continuation is performed in α from the equilibrium at $P = \pi^2/4$, $\epsilon = 0.5$ and $\psi = \pi/2$ as an initial solution. Throughout this subsection, an equilibrium with index zero is selected as the initial solution. The ordinate of the bifurcation diagrams in this scenario is

$$
\frac{\partial B}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} ((-P \sin \alpha \mathbf{e}_y - P \cos \alpha \mathbf{e}_x) \cdot (\mathbf{r}(l) + \Psi(l)))
$$
\n
$$
= (-P \cos \alpha \mathbf{e}_y + P \sin \alpha \mathbf{e}_x) \cdot (\mathbf{r}(l) + \Psi(l)) = \mathbf{e}_z \times -P \sin \alpha \mathbf{e}_y - P \cos \alpha \mathbf{e}_x \cdot (\mathbf{r}(l) + \Psi(l))
$$
\n
$$
= -\mathbf{e}_z \cdot ((\mathbf{r}(l) + \Psi(l)) \times -P \sin \alpha \mathbf{e}_y - P \cos \alpha \mathbf{e}_x) = -\mathbf{e}_z \cdot ((\mathbf{r}(l) + \Psi(l)) \times \mathbf{n}(l))
$$
\n
$$
= \mathbf{e}_z \cdot (-\mathbf{m}(l) - \mathbf{r}(l) \times \mathbf{n}(l))
$$

Figure [8](#page-13-0) depicts the resulting bifurcation diagrams. A family of equilibria with index zero interspersed with a family of equilibria with index one, is obtained. The discontinuous curve of stable equilibria represents the bi-stability, resulting in snapping motion when the parameter α is varied quasi-statically near the fold points. The elastic configurations before and after the snap-back instability are displayed and illustrates its drastic change. The response of the elastica system reveal more interesting features. More than two equilibria exist here for any value of the tuning parameter α , and configurations for intermediate values of α are displayed. At some instances of α , we can observe five equilibria. The self-contact within the present elastica model, as well as contact with the lever arm, are disregarded, and the computed solutions remain valid. The snap-back instability can lead to either of the two illustrated equilibria. The

Figure 8: Distinguished Bifurcation Diagram when the load α with an arm $\epsilon = 0.5$ changes its orientation, making full rotations for $P = \frac{\pi^2}{4}$ $\frac{\pi^2}{4}$ and $P = \frac{9\pi^2}{4}$ $\frac{\pi^2}{4}$. The discontinuous family of stable equilibria suggests snap-back instability. The intermediate configurations along this stable-family are displayed on top.

Figure 9: (a) The bifurcation diagram from the previous analysis adjusted between 0 and 2π . The labels are given to the equilibria based on the order which continuation crosses past specified α . Continuation is performed from these labeled equilibria along P . (b) The bifurcation diagram when continuation is performed from the equilibria corresponding to $\alpha = 0$. Folds appear in the diagram and therefore, snap-back instability. The labeled equilibria and the snap-back instability between the equilibria are displayed on top and side.

specific equilibrium reached depends on the amount of energy released during the snapping process, and the specific details are outside the scope of this paper.

This load-direction varying systems in real scenarios can be observed when a rotating magnetic or electric gravitational field rotates with respect to the the fixed frame of elastica. In case of electric field, a charged particle is attached to the lever arm, while in the case of magnetic field, ferromagnetic bead is attached to the lever arm.

4.1.4 Varying Load Magnitude

We now examine the effect of load's magnitude P on the stability of our elastica setup. We employ the configurations from the previous example as a starting solution and perform continuation along P from $9\pi^2/4$ to $-9\pi^2/4$. The ordinate of the corresponding distinguished bifurcation diagrams is

$$
\gamma := \frac{\partial}{\partial P} = \mathbf{e}_z \cdot (\mathbf{r}(l) + \cos \psi \mathbf{d}_t + \sin \psi \mathbf{d}_r), \tag{41}
$$

which is the height of the point of application of force measured along the force vector F. Figure [10](#page-15-0) displays the response of the family of systems for this maneuver through bifurcation plots. The equilibria chosen as starting solutions lie on the continuation branches emanating from the other initial solutions. In this case, the changes in index are consistent with the predictions (Figure [1b\)](#page-4-2). These diagrams also resemble the unfolding of perfect systems. We observe two kinds of branches; a path with no folds and a path with a fold. This imperfect system loaded from its unloaded state will follow a constantly rising stable path so that no instability is encountered, the deflections merely grow rapidly as the critical load is passed. On the other hand, the other complimentary path would lead to a unstable path, resulting in a jump to adjacent stable equilibria.

The equilibrium paths of the perfect systems collapse on to the equilibrium path of the perfect system as ϵ tends to zero. Ideally, it is possible to obtain the perfect system for certain values of , where these two curves coalesce to a pitchfork curve. The variation of this imperfect parameter generates a family of imperfect systems degenerating to the perfect systems when $\epsilon = 0$. We also can notice the response of the branches emanating from the solutions with index 2. The direction of stability change near the fold aligns well with the prediction of distinguished bifurcation diagrams. These plots too exhibit folds and hence the stability transitions occur. The response is not reversible and reproducible with respect to P. The combination of parameters ψ , α and P replicates this behavior.

Figure 10: The bifurcation diagram when continuation is performed from the equilibria corresponding to $\alpha = 0$. Folds appear in the diagram and therefore, snap-back instability exists. The labeled equilibria and the snap-back instability between the equilibria are displayed on top and side.

4.2 Varying Parameter in Fixed end

Finally, we focus on the stability properties as the parameter at the fixed end is varied. We perform this maneuver by varying the rotation of the clamped end θ_o . The analysis is performed for a non-zero arm $\Delta = 0.5$ held at $\psi = \pi/2$ for

Figure 11: The bifurcation plots as the parameter θ_o is varied. Only the equilibria with index 0 are stable and can exist realistically. Some instances of stable equilibria are labelled and displayed along with the potential snap-back instability. The snap-back instability cases for $P = \frac{9\pi^2}{4}$ $rac{\pi^2}{4}$ are shown in Fig. [12.](#page-17-4)

two loads $P = \pi^2/4$ and $9\pi^2/4$. In this case, the ordinate of the bifurcation diagrams is

$$
\left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \zeta'} \cdot \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \theta_o}\right]_{s=0} = B\theta'(0) = m(0),\tag{42}
$$

which is internal moment m at the clamped end $s = 0$. Figures [11](#page-16-0) display the plots of $m(0)$ vs. θ_o for loads $\pi^2/4$ and $9\pi^2/4$. The presence of folds suggests the exchange of stability, and its change in direction aligns well with the prediction of the diagrams (Fig. [1a\)](#page-4-2). Physically, this problem is equivalent to the system with rotating loads discussed in section [4.1.4.](#page-14-0) Indeed, this is the simplest scenario that can be produced in devices. However, the ordinates in the distinguished bifurcation diagrams are different. Nevertheless, both these ordinates prove to be equivalent when [\(32\)](#page-6-4) is examined, which essentially represents moment-balance equations

$$
\mathbf{m}'(l) + \mathbf{r}' \times \mathbf{n} = 0 \implies \mathbf{m}(s) + \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{n} = k. \tag{43}
$$

for some constant k . So,

$$
\mathbf{m}(0) = \mathbf{m}(l) + \mathbf{r}(l) \times \mathbf{n}(l). \tag{44}
$$

Figure 12: Configurations before and after the snapping for the case of Fig. [11b.](#page-16-0) There are three possible snap-back instabilities as demonstrated and the actual achievable configuration depends on the energy release in the process.

Hence, the ordinate in the current case is negative of ordinate in section [4.1.4.](#page-14-0) Additionally, the response in plots will be inverted. The evolution of elastica configurations as the clamped end is rotated, are also indicated in the plots, along with the snap-back instability, illustrating the catapult-like behavior. The self-contact within the elastica and with the lever arm is disregarded. The lower load case of $\pi^2/4$ led to equilibria that don't involve self-contact. The higher load case of $9\pi^2/4$ generated multi-stable equilibria that formed loops and the snap-back instability would result in more than one equilibrium. Two families of stable equilibrium can be observed. The equilibrium configurations of one family are already illustrated in Figure [11.](#page-16-0) The configurations for the second family along with the snap-back instability are shown in Figure [12.](#page-17-4)

5 Conclusion

We extended the theory of distinguished bifurcation diagrams to analyze the stability of variational problems characterized by fixed-free ends. Using this approach, the stability of elastica subjected to an end load through a rigid lever arm is investigated. The stability is determined through a qualitative examination of the plots, without performing a rigorous analysis such as conjugate point computations or determining the eigenvalues of the Hessian. Nevertheless, the stability index of at least one solution along a branch must still be established and was determined using bifurcation analysis. Despite their simplicity and relative abundance, elastica systems with lever arm have received limited attention. We presented several instances of multi-stability arising in these systems by tuning various parameters. Bi-stability or multi-stability is extensively investigated for Engineering applications such as energy harvesters, soft robotic arms, MEMS devices, miniature switches, etc., The proposed distinguished bifurcation diagrams may serve as invaluable tool in their design. The natural extension of this work is to extend them to three-dimensional elastic rods using Kirchhoff Rod theory. We restricted ourselves to the parameters which produce equilibrium with a maximum index of 2. A key aspect of the current findings is the periodic nature of the system with respect to the rotation parameters. Parameters that generate the higher indices can also be explored. For example, the higher value of P would generate equilibria with higher indices. In contrast to problems with fixed-fixed ends as in [\[38\]](#page-19-11), the present system exhibited periodicity with respect to the rotation parameters when one of its fixed ends is set free.

Acknowledgments

I thank Prof. John Maddocks for fruitful discussions and for sharing his extensive knowledge of variational principles and elastic rods. This work would not have been possible without him. The part of this investigation was supported by the Einstein Foundation Berlin and MathPlus Berlin.

References

- [1] D.H Sattinger. Stability of solutions of nonlinear equations. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 39(1):1–12, 1972.
- [2] Michael G. Crandall and Paul H. Rabinowitz. Bifurcation, perturbation of simple eigenvalues, itand linearized stability. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 52(2):161–180, 1973.
- [3] Gérard Iooss and Daniel D Joseph. *Elementary stability and bifurcation theory*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [4] J. Katz. On the number of unstable modes of an equilibrium. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 183(4):765–770, 08 1978.
- [5] J. Katz. On the number of unstable modes of an equilibrium – II. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 189(4):817–822, 12 1979.
- [6] John Michael Tutill Thompson. Stability predictions through a succession of folds. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 292(1386):1–23, 1979.
- [7] J. H. Maddocks. Stability and folds. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 99(4):301–328, 1987.
- [8] Kathleen A Hoffman. Stability results for constrained calculus of variations problems: an analysis of the twisted elastic loop. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 461(2057):1357–1381, 2005.
- [9] John H. Maddocks and Robert L. Sachs. Constrained variational principles and stability in hamiltonian systems. In H. S. Dumas, K. S. Meyer, and D. S. Schmidt, editors, *Hamiltonian Dynamical Systems*, pages 231–264, New York, NY, 1995. Springer New York.
- [10] S. Neukirch, G.H.M. van der Heijden, and J.M.T. Thompson. Writhing instabilities of twisted rods: from infinite to finite length. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, 50(6):1175–1191, 2002.
- [11] G.H.M. van der Heijden, S. Neukirch, V.G.A. Goss, and J.M.T. Thompson. Instability and self-contact phenomena in the writhing of clamped rods. *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences*, 45(1):161–196, 2003.
- [12] S. Antman. *Nonlinear Problems of Elasticity*. Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer New York, 2006.
- [13] Robert S. Manning, John H. Maddocks, and Jason D. Kahn. A continuum rod model of sequence-dependent DNA structure. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 105(13):5626–5646, 10 1996.
- [14] Victor Romero, Mickaël Ly, Abdullah Haroon Rasheed, Raphaël Charrondière, Arnaud Lazarus, Sébastien Neukirch, and Florence Bertails-Descoubes. Physical validation of simulators in computer graphics: A new framework dedicated to slender elastic structures and frictional contact. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 40(4):1–19, 2021.
- [15] C. Armanini, F. Dal Corso, D. Misseroni, and D. Bigoni. From the elastica compass to the elastica catapult: an essay on the mechanics of soft robot arm. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 473(2198):20160870, 2017.
- [16] Arvind Nayak, Poornakanta Handral, and Ramsharan Rangarajan. Shape control for the elastica through load optimization. *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, 86(1):011011, 2019.
- [17] Nan Hu and Rigoberto Burgueño. Buckling-induced smart applications: recent advances and trends. *Smart Materials and Structures*, 24(6):063001, may 2015.
- [18] Robert S. Manning, Kathleen A. Rogers, and John H. Maddocks. Isoperimetric conjugate points with application to the stability of dna minicircles. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 454(1980):3047–3074, 1998.
- [19] Kathleen A. Hoffman, Robert S. Manning, and Randy C. Paffenroth. Calculation of the stability index in parameter-dependent calculus of variations problems: Buckling of a twisted elastic strut. *SIAM Journal on Applied Dynamical Systems*, 1(1):115–145, 2002.
- [20] J. M. T. Thompson and Kathleen A. Hoffman. Methods for determining stability in continuum elastic-rod models of dna. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 362(1820):1301–1315, 2004.
- [21] Milan Batista. On stability of elastic rod planar equilibrium configurations. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 72:144–152, 2015.
- [22] Siva Prasad Chakri Dhanakoti. Stability of cantilever-like structures with applications to soft robot arms, 2024.
- [23] Alain Goriely and Michael Tabor. Nonlinear dynamics of filaments i. dynamical instabilities. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 105(1-3):20–44, 1997.
- [24] S. V. Levyakov and V. V. Kuznetsov. Stability analysis of planar equilibrium configurations of elastic rods subjected to end loads. *Acta Mechanica*, 211(1):73–87, 2010.
- [25] Ajeet Kumar and Timothy J Healey. A generalized computational approach to stability of static equilibria of nonlinearly elastic rods in the presence of constraints. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 199(25-28):1805–1815, 2010.
- [26] Sheila N Patek, WL Korff, and Roy L Caldwell. Deadly strike mechanism of a mantis shrimp. *Nature*, 428(6985):819–820, 2004.
- [27] Jan M Skotheim and Lakshminarayanan Mahadevan. Physical limits and design principles for plant and fungal movements. *Science*, 308(5726):1308–1310, 2005.
- [28] M.L. Smith, G.M. Yanega, and A. Ruina. Elastic instability model of rapid beak closure in hummingbirds. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 282(1):41–51, 2011.
- [29] Yichi Luo, Dinesh K Patel, Zefang Li, Yafeng Hu, Hao Luo, Lining Yao, and Carmel Majidi. Intrinsically multistable soft actuator driven by mixed-mode snap-through instabilities. *Advanced Science*, 11(18):2307391, 2024.
- [30] Lishuai Jin, Yueying Yang, Bryan O. Torres Maldonado, Sebastian David Lee, Nadia Figueroa, Robert J. Full, and Shu Yang. Ultrafast, programmable, and electronics-free soft robots enabled by snapping metacaps. *Advanced Intelligent Systems*, 5(6):2300039, 2023.
- [31] Xudong Yang, Yuan Zhou, Huichan Zhao, Weicheng Huang, Yifan Wang, K. Jimmy Hsia, and Mingchao Liu. Morphing matter: from mechanical principles to robotic applications. *Soft Science*, 3(4), 2023.
- [32] Tian Chen, Osama R. Bilal, Kristina Shea, and Chiara Daraio. Harnessing bistability for directional propulsion of soft, untethered robots. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(22):5698–5702, 2018.
- [33] Eusebius Doedel, Herbert B. Keller, and Jean Pierre Kernevez. Numerical analysis and control of bifurcation problems (i): Bifurcation in finite dimensions. *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, 01(03):493–520, 1991.
- [34] Izrail Moiseevitch Gelfand, Richard A Silverman, et al. *Calculus of variations*. Courier Corporation, 1963.
- [35] Marston Morse. *Introduction to the Analysis in the Large*. Institute for Advanced Study, 1951.
- [36] Eusebius J Doedel, Alan R Champneys, Fabio Dercole, Thomas F Fairgrieve, Yu A Kuznetsov, B Oldeman, RC Paffenroth, B Sandstede, XJ Wang, and CH Zhang. Auto-07p: Continuation and bifurcation software for ordinary differential equations. 2007.
- [37] John Michael Tutill Thompson and Giles William Hunt. *A general theory of elastic stability*. John Wiley I& Sons, 1973.
- [38] Kathleen A Hoffman. Methods for determining stability in continuum elastic-rod models of dna. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 362(1820):1301–1315, 2004.