

The Forward Physics Facility at the HL-LHC and its Synergies with Astroparticle Physics

Dennis Soldin^{1*}

¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Utah,
Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA

* dennis.soldin@utah.edu



22nd International Symposium on Very High
Energy Cosmic Ray Interactions (ISVHECRI 2024)
Puerto Vallarta, Mexico, 8-12 July 2024
doi:[10.21468/SciPostPhysProc.?](https://doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhysProc.)

Abstract

High-energy collisions at the high-luminosity Large Hadron Collider (HL-LHC) will generate a vast flux of particles along the beam collision axis, a region not accessible by current LHC experiments. The study of multi-particle production in the far-forward region is especially important for astroparticle physics. High-energy cosmic rays create extensive air showers (EAS) in the atmosphere, driven by hadron-ion collisions in the non-perturbative QCD regime. Therefore, understanding high-energy hadronic interactions in the forward region is crucial for interpreting EAS data and estimating backgrounds for searches of astrophysical neutrinos, among other applications. The Forward Physics Facility (FPF) is a proposal to construct a new underground cavern at the HL-LHC, hosting various far-forward experiments designed to detect particles outside the current LHC acceptance. We will outline the current plans for the FPF and highlight its synergies with astroparticle physics. Specifically, we will discuss how FPF measurements will enhance the modeling of high-energy interactions in the atmosphere, helping to reduce the associated uncertainties in multi-messenger astrophysics.

Copyright attribution to authors.

This work is a submission to SciPost Phys. Proc.

License information to appear upon publication.

Publication information to appear upon publication.

Received Date

Accepted Date

Published Date

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	The Forward Physics Facility	3
2.1	Experiments	3
3	Astroparticle Physics at the FPF	5
3.1	Light Hadron Production	5
3.2	Charm Hadron Production	6
4	Conclusions	8
	References	8

1 Introduction

Cosmic rays with energies exceeding 10^{11} GeV enter Earth's atmosphere, where they interact with air molecules, generating extensive air showers (EASs) that can be detected by large ground-based detector arrays. To infer the properties of the primary cosmic rays, such as their energy and mass, from indirect measurements of secondary particles detected at ground level, simulations are required to interpret the EAS development. A key challenge in understanding EASs is accurately modeling hadronic interactions in the forward region across a broad range of energies, a domain that current collider facilities are unable to directly probe.

Figure 1 illustrates simulated particle densities from proton-proton collisions (solid lines), with pseudorapidity (η) ranges relevant to existing LHC experiments overlaid [1]. The dashed lines represent the estimated muon densities (N_μ), with the assumption $N_\mu \propto E^{0.93}$, where E_{lab} is the laboratory energy of the secondary EAS particles. While mid-rapidity regions have a minimal effect on EAS particle production, the forward region ($\eta > 4$) has a profound influence on EAS development, primarily driven by relativistic hadron-ion collisions in the atmosphere at low momentum transfer, within the non-perturbative QCD regime.

Given the difficulty in modeling hadron production from first principles, combined with the lack of sufficient data from current collider experiments, simulations typically rely on phenomenological models of hadronic interactions, which introduce significant uncertainties. As a result, accurate measurements of hadronic interactions, particularly in the far-forward region, are crucial for validating and refining current EAS models.

The Forward Physics Facility (FPF) is a proposal to build a new underground cavern at CERN to house a suite of forward experiments during the high-luminosity LHC (HL-LHC) era [2–4]. These experiments will cover the blind spots of the existing LHC detectors and probe high-energy hadronic interactions in the far-forward region. These measurements will improve the modeling of hadronic interactions in the atmosphere, which will help reduce uncertainties in air shower observations and lead to a better understanding of the properties of the highest-energy cosmic rays. Additionally, atmospheric neutrinos produced in EASs in the far-forward region represent a significant background in searches for high-energy astrophysical neutrinos using large-scale neutrino telescopes. As a result, FPF measurements will be critical for refining our understanding of the atmospheric neutrino flux and reducing associated uncertainties in high-energy astrophysical neutrino searches.




Figure 1: Simulated densities of particles [1] in arbitrary units (solid lines) in proton-proton collisions using EPOS-LHC [5]. Dashed lines show the estimated number of muons produced by these particles, assuming an equivalent energy for the fixed target collisions in the laboratory frame, E_{lab} , and $N_{\mu} \propto E_{\text{lab}}^{0.93}$.

2 The Forward Physics Facility

The Forward Physics Facility [2–4] is a proposed underground laboratory at CERN, specifically designed to house a range of experiments focused on the far-forward region at the HL-LHC. Existing LHC detectors leave certain areas along the beam line uninstrumented, potentially missing crucial physics signals from the significant flux of particles produced in the forward direction. In the absence of the FPF, the HL-LHC would lack sensitivity to key phenomena such as neutrino interactions and a variety of particles predicted by extensions to the Standard Model. However, recent pathfinder experiments in the forward region of the LHC have successfully detected collider neutrinos for the first time [6,7] and demonstrated the potential to uncover new physics [8–10]. The FPF will offer a versatile range of experiments to take advantage of these physics opportunities by detecting neutrino interactions at the highest accelerator energies, thereby advancing our knowledge of particle interactions in the far-forward region ($\eta > 7$).

The proposed site for the FPF is located 88 m underground along the line-of-sight (LOS) of the ATLAS collision axis, approximately 627 m west of the interaction point and shielded by over 200 m of rock, as shown in Fig. 2. The facility will span roughly 75 m in length and 11.8 m in internal width, providing the necessary infrastructure to host a diverse range of experiments aimed at investigating physics phenomena at pseudorapidities above $\eta \sim 7$. An extensive site selection study has been conducted by the CERN Civil Engineering group [11–14]. Vibration, radiation, and safety studies have shown that the FPF can be constructed independently of the LHC without interfering with LHC operations. A core sample, taken along the location of the 88 m deep shaft to provide information about the geological conditions, has confirmed that the site is suitable for construction. Studies of LHC-generated radiation have concluded that the facility can be safely accessed with appropriate controls during beam operations.

2.1 Experiments

The FPF is uniquely suited to explore physics in the forward region because it will house a diverse set of experiments based on different detector technologies and optimized for particular physics goals. The proposed experiments are shown in Fig. 2 and include [3,4]:




Figure 2: The FPF is located 627–702 m west of the ATLAS interaction point along the line-of-sight (LOS) [3, 4]. The FPF cavern is 75 m long and 12 m wide and will house a diverse set of experiments to fully explore physics in the forward region (see text for details).

- FASER2, a magnetic tracking spectrometer, designed to search for light and weakly-interacting states, including new force carriers, sterile neutrinos, axion-like particles, and dark sector particles, and to distinguish ν and $\bar{\nu}$ charged current scattering.
- FASER ν 2, an on-axis emulsion detector, with pseudorapidity range $\eta > 8.4$, that will detect $\sim 10^6$ neutrinos at TeV energies with unparalleled spatial resolution, including several thousands of tau neutrinos, among the least studied of all the known particles.
- FLArE, a 10-ton-scale, noble liquid, fine-grained time projection chamber that will detect neutrinos and search for light dark matter with high kinematic resolution, wide dynamic range and good particle-identification capabilities.
- FORMOSA, a detector composed of scintillating bars, with world-leading sensitivity to millicharged particles across a large range of masses.

As illustrated in Fig. 3, the FPF physics program encompasses a broad set of searches for novel new physics and unique Standard Model (SM) measurements that leverage the diverse capabilities of the suite of FPF experiments. The SM measurements specifically leverage the unprecedented flux of high-energy collider neutrinos that can be observed by FLArE and FASER ν 2 to study various physics scenarios, including strong connections to open questions in astroparticle physics. In the following, the synergies between the FPF physics program and current activities in astroparticle physics will be highlighted. A very comprehensive overview of the broad physics program of the FPF can be found in Refs. [2, 3].




Figure 3: The rich physics program at the FPF spans many topics and frontiers [3].

3 Astroparticle Physics at the FPF

The LHC neutrino fluxes depend sensitively on the mechanisms for forward light and heavy hadron production in pp collisions [15, 16]. The overall normalization of the muon-neutrino flux can be measured at the per-mille level at the FPF [17]. Thereby, the FPF provides unique opportunities for interdisciplinary studies at the intersection between high-energy particle and astroparticle physics [2–4, 18–20], as outlined in the following.

3.1 Light Hadron Production

Muons act as tracers of hadronic interactions, making their measurement in extensive air showers (EASs) essential for testing hadronic interaction models. For many years, several EAS experiments have reported discrepancies between model predictions and experimental data, a phenomenon known as the muon puzzle in EASs. Specifically, analyses from the Pierre Auger Observatory have shown a deficit in the number of muons compared to simulations [21–23], and a systematic meta-analysis of data from nine different air shower experiments has revealed an energy-dependent trend in these discrepancies, with high statistical significance [24–26]. Recent studies suggest that these discrepancies may be complex and indicate severe deficits in our understanding of particle physics [27], which remain unresolved.

Dedicated measurements at the FPF will provide key insights into light hadron production in the far-forward region. The ratio of electron neutrino (ν_e) to muon neutrino (ν_μ) fluxes, measured in FPF experiments, offers an indirect method for determining the ratio of charged kaons (K) to pions (π). Electron neutrinos are predominantly produced from kaon decays, while muon neutrinos originate from both pion and kaon decays. These neutrinos have distinct energy spectra, which allows them to be differentiated. Additionally, neutrinos from pion decays are more concentrated around the LOS compared to those from kaon decays, due to the lower mass of the pion ($m_\pi < m_K$), meaning pions impart less transverse momentum. As a result, the proximity of the neutrinos to the LOS, or their rapidity distribution, can help disentangle the origins of the neutrinos and provide an estimate of the pion-to-kaon ratio.




Figure 4: Neutrino energy spectra [3, 15] for electron neutrinos (left) and muon neutrinos (right) passing through FASERν2 for an integrated luminosity of 3 ab^{-1} . The different production modes are shown separately, i.e., pion decays (red), kaon decays (orange), hyperon decays (magenta), and charm decays (blue). The predictions are obtained from SIBYLL-2.3d (solid), DPMJET-III.2017 (short dashed), EPOS-LHC (long dashed), QGSJET-II.04 (dotted), and Pythia 8.2 using soft-QCD processes (dot-dashed) and with hard-QCD processes for charm production (double-dot-dashed).




Figure 5: Neutrino energy spectra [37, 38] for electron neutrinos (left) and muon neutrinos (right) passing through the FLArE detector. The vertical axis shows the number of neutrinos that pass the detector’s cross-sectional area of 1 m^2 for an integrated luminosity of 3 ab^{-1} : pion decays (red), kaon decays (orange), hyperon decays (magenta), and charm decays (blue). The different line styles correspond to predictions obtained from SIBYLL-2.3d by varying f_s .

Figure 4 shows predictions of the neutrino energy spectra for ν_e and ν_μ interactions in FASER $\nu 2$, assuming an integrated luminosity of 3 ab^{-1} . These predictions, based on various models including SIBYLL-2.3d [28–30], DPMJET-III [31], EPOS-LHC [5], QGSJET-II-04 [32, 33], and Pythia 8 [34, 35], show flux differences exceeding a factor of two, much larger than the expected statistical uncertainties at the FPF [15, 17]. Since the muon puzzle is thought to have its origins in soft-QCD processes [1], this directly ties the FPF measurements to its QCD program. Dedicated QCD measurements at the FPF will therefore be crucial for improving our understanding of particle production in EASs.

A potential key to resolving the muon puzzle may lie in the universal strangeness and baryon enhancement observed by the ALICE experiment in mid-rapidity collisions [36]. This enhancement, which depends solely on particle multiplicity and not on specific details of the collision system, could allow for predictions of hadron composition in EASs in a phase space beyond current collider capabilities. If this enhancement increases in the forward region, it would affect muon production in EASs and could be traced by measuring the ratio of charged kaons to pions at the FPF. A specific example accounting for enhanced strangeness production is shown in Fig. 5 where strangeness enhancement is introduced by allowing the substitution of pions with kaons in SIBYLL-2.3d with a probability f_s at large pseudorapidities ($\eta > 4$) [37, 38]. For $f_s = 0.1$ ($f_s = 0.2$), the predicted electron neutrino flux is 1.6 (2.2) times higher at its peak than the baseline prediction. These differences are much larger than the expected uncertainties at the FPF. For values of f_s between 0.4 and 0.6, this simple model can partially explain the observed data, suggesting a potential solution to the muon puzzle. This example demonstrates how the FPF will be uniquely positioned to test and constrain hadronic interaction models, providing a significant advancement in our understanding of multi-particle production in EASs.

3.2 Charm Hadron Production

High-energy neutrinos of astrophysical origin are routinely observed by large-scale neutrino telescopes, such as IceCube [39] and KM3NeT [40], and atmospheric neutrinos produced in extensive air showers are an irreducible background for these searches. Neutrinos at high energies above 1 TeV are mainly produced in charm hadron decays. The production of charm quarks is dominated by gluon fusion and can be described using perturbative QCD [41]. Measurements of the neutrino flux at the FPF therefore provide access to both the very high-x




Figure 6: Impact of FPF data on the small- x gluon PDF (left) [43], compared with non-linear QCD (saturation) models, and corresponding impact on the uncertainty of atmospheric muon-neutrino flux predictions (right) [4].

and the very low- x regions of the colliding protons. These measurements yield information about high- x parton distribution functions (PDFs), in particular intrinsic charm, as well as novel QCD production mechanisms, such as BFKL effects and non-linear dynamics [42], well beyond the coverage of any existing experiments and providing key inputs for astroparticle physics, particularly for large-scale neutrino telescopes.

One specific example of novel QCD dynamics that may affect the prompt neutrino fluxes is gluon saturation, which causes a suppression of the gluon density at low- x . This mechanism leads to a reduced flux of TeV-energy neutrinos at the FPF, as illustrated by the orange curve in Fig. 5 (left). By defining tailored observables where theory uncertainties cancel out, such as the ratio between electron and tau neutrino event rates, FPF measurements can be used to measure the gluon PDF down to $x \sim 10^{-7}$ [43]. Such measurements inform the study of novel QCD dynamics at small- x , a region where non-linear and BFKL-like effects are expected to dominate, as highlighted by the DGHP24 predictions [42] for the gluon PDF based on saturation (recombination) effects. Thus, FPF measurements will provide stringent constraints on the prompt atmospheric neutrino flux, contributing to the scientific program of large-scale neutrino telescopes. This is further quantified in Fig. 5 (right), showing theoretical predictions for the prompt muon-neutrino flux based on the formalism of Refs. [44, 45], considering only PDF uncertainties (error bands), before and after FPF constraints are included. Although other sources of theory uncertainty also contribute to the total uncertainties, Fig. 5 demonstrates the strong sensitivity of the FPF to the mechanisms governing atmospheric neutrino production from charm decays.

The robust interpretation of FPF measurements also requires state-of-the-art Monte Carlo event generators for neutrino scattering at TeV energies. Such generators, based on higher-order QCD corrections and matched to modern parton showers, are also relevant to model high-energy neutrino scattering at large-scale neutrino telescopes. Testing and validating neutrino event generators, such as the POWHEG-based ones presented in Refs. [46–48], with FPF data is also instrumental for the FPF beyond SM physics program, with neutrino signals representing the leading background in many such searches.

4 Conclusions

The Forward Physics Facility is a proposal to establish a new underground laboratory at the high-luminosity LHC, dedicated to a range of experiments that will focus on particle production in the far-forward region. These experiments will enable groundbreaking neutrino measurements with unprecedented statistics, exploring hadron production in a phase space that is currently inaccessible to any existing collider experiment, but essential for accurately modeling high-energy hadronic interactions in the atmosphere. As a result, the measurements at the FPF are closely tied to key questions in astroparticle physics. They will provide crucial tests for constraining hadronic interaction models, leading to a deeper understanding of multi-particle production in extensive air showers and offering valuable insights into the muon puzzle. This will significantly reduce the uncertainties associated with ground-based cosmic-ray observations, which are often dependent on interpretations based on these models. Furthermore, the FPF will yield important data on charm hadron production in the forward region, enhancing predictions of the prompt atmospheric neutrino flux and reducing associated uncertainties in searches for astrophysical neutrinos. Thereby, the experiments at the FPF will play a pivotal role in advancing our understanding of the origin and nature of the highest-energy cosmic rays and astrophysical neutrinos, particularly in the context of future multi-messenger astrophysical observations [49, 50].

Acknowledgements

The author gratefully thanks the Forward Physics Facility Initiative for useful discussions and input to this manuscript, in particular, L. A. Anchordoqui, J. L. Feng, F. Kling, J. Rojo, A. M. Stasto, M. H. Reno, and J. Boyd.

References

- [1] J. Albrecht *et al.*, *The Muon Puzzle in cosmic-ray induced air showers and its connection to the Large Hadron Collider*, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **367**(3), 27 (2022), doi:[10.1007/s10509-022-04054-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10509-022-04054-5), [2105.06148](https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.06148).
- [2] L. A. Anchordoqui *et al.*, *The Forward Physics Facility: Sites, experiments, and physics potential*, *Phys. Rept.* **968**, 1 (2022), doi:[10.1016/j.physrep.2022.04.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2022.04.004), [2109.10905](https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.10905).
- [3] J. L. Feng *et al.*, *The Forward Physics Facility at the High-Luminosity LHC*, *J. Phys. G* **50**(3), 030501 (2023), doi:[10.1088/1361-6471/ac865e](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6471/ac865e), [2203.05090](https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.05090).
- [4] J. Adhikary *et al.*, *Science and Project Planning for the Forward Physics Facility in Preparation for the 2024-2026 European Particle Physics Strategy Update* (2024), [2411.04175](https://arxiv.org/abs/2411.04175).
- [5] T. Pierog, I. Karpenko, J. M. Katzy, E. Yatsenko and K. Werner, *EPOS LHC: Test of collective hadronization with data measured at the CERN Large Hadron Collider*, *Phys. Rev. C* **92**(3), 034906 (2015), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevC.92.034906](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.92.034906), [1306.0121](https://arxiv.org/abs/1306.0121).
- [6] H. Abreu *et al.*, *First Direct Observation of Collider Neutrinos with FASER at the LHC*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**(3), 031801 (2023), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.031801](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.031801), [2303.14185](https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.14185).
- [7] R. Albanese *et al.*, *Observation of Collider Muon Neutrinos with the SND@LHC Experiment*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**(3), 031802 (2023), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.031802](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.031802), [2305.09383](https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.09383).

- [8] A. Ariga *et al.*, *Letter of Intent for FASER: ForwArd Search ExpeRiment at the LHC* (2018), [1811.10243](https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.10243).
- [9] B. Batell, J. L. Feng and S. Trojanowski, *Detecting Dark Matter with Far-Forward Emulsion and Liquid Argon Detectors at the LHC*, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**(7), 075023 (2021), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.103.075023](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.075023), [2101.10338](https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.10338).
- [10] S. Foroughi-Abari, F. Kling and Y.-D. Tsai, *Looking forward to millicharged dark sectors at the LHC*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**(3), 035014 (2021), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.104.035014](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.035014), [2010.07941](https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.07941).
- [11] J. Boyd, M. Andreini, G. Arduini, K. Balazs, R. A. Bozzi, F. Cerutti, F. Corsanego, J.-P. Corso, L. Elie, A. Infantino, A. Navascues Cornago, J. A. Osborne *et al.*, *Update on the FPF Facility technical studies* (2023).
- [12] J. Boyd *et al.*, *Update on the FPF Facility technical studies*, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2851822> (2023).
- [13] J. Boyd *et al.*, *Update of Facility Technical Studies for the FPF*, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2904086> (2024).
- [14] D. Gamba *et al.*, *Impact of Vibration to HL-LHC Performance During the FPF Facility Construction*, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2901520> (2024).
- [15] F. Kling and L. J. Nevay, *Forward neutrino fluxes at the LHC*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**(11), 113008 (2021), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.104.113008](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.113008), [2105.08270](https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.08270).
- [16] L. Buonocore, F. Kling, L. Rottoli and J. Sominka, *Predictions for neutrinos and new physics from forward heavy hadron production at the LHC*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **84**(4), 363 (2024), doi:[10.1140/epjc/s10052-024-12726-5](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-024-12726-5), [2309.12793](https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.12793).
- [17] J. M. Cruz-Martinez, M. Fieg, T. Giani, P. Krack, T. Mäkelä, T. R. Rabemananjara and J. Rojo, *The LHC as a Neutrino-Ion Collider*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **84**(4), 369 (2024), doi:[10.1140/epjc/s10052-024-12665-1](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-024-12665-1), [2309.09581](https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.09581).
- [18] D. Soldin, *Astroparticle Physics with the Forward Physics Facility at the High-Luminosity LHC*, *PoS ICRC2023*, 327 (2023), doi:[10.22323/1.444.0327](https://doi.org/10.22323/1.444.0327), [2308.09079](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.09079).
- [19] D. Soldin, *Astroparticle Physics at the Forward Physics Facility*, In *58th Rencontres de Moriond on Very High Energy Phenomena in the Universe* (2024), [2407.03427](https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.03427).
- [20] L. A. Anchordoqui, *Looking forward to forward physics at the CERN's LHC*, *SciPost Phys. Proc.* **13**, 042 (2023), doi:[10.21468/SciPostPhysProc.13.042](https://doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhysProc.13.042), [2205.12413](https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.12413).
- [21] A. Aab *et al.*, *Muons in Air Showers at the Pierre Auger Observatory: Mean Number in Highly Inclined Events*, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**(3), 032003 (2015), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.91.032003](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.032003), [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.D* 91, 059901 (2015)], [1408.1421](https://arxiv.org/abs/1408.1421).
- [22] A. Aab *et al.*, *Testing Hadronic Interactions at Ultrahigh Energies with Air Showers Measured by the Pierre Auger Observatory*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**(19), 192001 (2016), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.192001](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.192001), [1610.08509](https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.08509).
- [23] A. Abdul Halim *et al.*, *Testing hadronic-model predictions of depth of maximum of air-shower profiles and ground-particle signals using hybrid data of the Pierre Auger Observatory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**(10), 102001 (2024), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.109.102001](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.109.102001), [2401.10740](https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.10740).

- [24] H. P. Dembinski *et al.*, *Report on Tests and Measurements of Hadronic Interaction Properties with Air Showers*, EPJ Web Conf. **210**, 02004 (2019), doi:[10.1051/epjconf/201921002004](https://doi.org/10.1051/epjconf/201921002004), [1902.08124](https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.08124).
- [25] D. Soldin, *Update on the Combined Analysis of Muon Measurements from Nine Air Shower Experiments*, PoS **ICRC2021**, 349 (2021), doi:[10.22323/1.395.0349](https://doi.org/10.22323/1.395.0349), [2108.08341](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.08341).
- [26] L. Cazon, *Working Group Report on the Combined Analysis of Muon Density Measurements from Eight Air Shower Experiments*, PoS **ICRC2019**, 214 (2020), doi:[10.22323/1.358.0214](https://doi.org/10.22323/1.358.0214), [2001.07508](https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.07508).
- [27] J. C. Arteaga Velazquez, *A report by the WHISP working group on the combined analysis of muon data at cosmic-ray energies above 1 PeV*, PoS **ICRC2023**, 466 (2023), doi:[10.22323/1.444.0466](https://doi.org/10.22323/1.444.0466).
- [28] F. Riehn, R. Engel, A. Fedynitch, T. K. Gaisser and T. Stanev, *Hadronic interaction model Sibyll 2.3d and extensive air showers*, Phys. Rev. D **102**(6), 063002 (2020), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.102.063002](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.063002), [1912.03300](https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.03300).
- [29] A. Fedynitch, F. Riehn, R. Engel, T. K. Gaisser and T. Stanev, *Hadronic interaction model sibyll 2.3c and inclusive lepton fluxes*, Phys. Rev. D **100**(10), 103018 (2019), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.100.103018](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.103018), [1806.04140](https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.04140).
- [30] F. Riehn, A. Fedynitch and R. Engel, *Sibyll**, Astropart. Phys. **160**, 102964 (2024), doi:[10.1016/j.astropartphys.2024.102964](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.astropartphys.2024.102964), [2404.02636](https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.02636).
- [31] S. Roesler, R. Engel and J. Ranft, *The Monte Carlo event generator DPMJET-III*, In *International Conference on Advanced Monte Carlo for Radiation Physics, Particle Transport Simulation and Applications (MC 2000)*, pp. 1033–1038, doi:[10.1007/978-3-642-18211-2_166](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-18211-2_166) (2000), [hep-ph/0012252](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0012252).
- [32] S. Ostapchenko, *QGSJET-II: physics, recent improvements, and results for air showers*, EPJ Web Conf. **52**, 02001 (2013), doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/epjconf/20125202001>.
- [33] S. Ostapchenko, *Nonlinear screening effects in high energy hadronic interactions*, Phys. Rev. D **74**(1), 014026 (2006), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.74.014026](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.014026), [hep-ph/0505259](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0505259).
- [34] T. Sjöstrand, S. Ask, J. R. Christiansen, R. Corke, N. Desai, P. Ilten, S. Mrenna, S. Prestel, C. O. Rasmussen and P. Z. Skands, *An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **191**, 159 (2015), doi:[10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024), [1410.3012](https://arxiv.org/abs/1410.3012).
- [35] M. Fieg, F. Kling, H. Schulz and T. Sjöstrand, *Tuning pythia for forward physics experiments*, Phys. Rev. D **109**(1), 016010 (2024), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.109.016010](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.109.016010), [2309.08604](https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.08604).
- [36] J. Adam *et al.*, *Enhanced production of multi-strange hadrons in high-multiplicity proton-proton collisions*, Nature Phys. **13**, 535 (2017), doi:[10.1038/nphys4111](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys4111), [1606.07424](https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.07424).
- [37] L. A. Anchordoqui, C. G. Canal, F. Kling, S. J. Sciutto and J. F. Soriano, *An explanation of the muon puzzle of ultrahigh-energy cosmic rays and the role of the Forward Physics Facility for model improvement*, JHEAp **34**, 19 (2022), doi:[10.1016/j.jheap.2022.03.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jheap.2022.03.004), [2202.03095](https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.03095).
- [38] S. J. Sciutto, L. A. Anchordoqui, C. Garcia Canal, F. Kling and J. F. Soriano, *Gauging the cosmic ray muon puzzle with the Forward Physics Facility*, PoS **ICRC2023**, 388 (2023), doi:[10.22323/1.444.0388](https://doi.org/10.22323/1.444.0388), [2307.08634](https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.08634).

- [39] M. G. Aartsen *et al.*, *The IceCube Neutrino Observatory: Instrumentation and Online Systems*, JINST **12**(03), P03012 (2017), doi:[10.1088/1748-0221/12/03/P03012](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/12/03/P03012), [Erratum: JINST **19**, E05001 (2024)], [1612.05093](https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.05093).
- [40] R. Coniglione, *The KM3NeT neutrino telescope*, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **632**, 012002 (2015), doi:[10.1088/1742-6596/632/1/012002](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/632/1/012002).
- [41] R. Gauld, J. Rojo, L. Rottoli, S. Sarkar and J. Talbert, *The prompt atmospheric neutrino flux in the light of LHCb*, JHEP **02**, 130 (2016), doi:[10.1007/JHEP02\(2016\)130](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2016)130), [1511.06346](https://arxiv.org/abs/1511.06346).
- [42] P. Duwentäster, V. Guzey, I. Helenius and H. Paukkunen, *Proton PDFs with non-linear corrections from gluon recombination*, Phys. Rev. D **109**(9), 094004 (2024), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.109.094004](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.109.094004), [2312.12993](https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.12993).
- [43] J. Rojo, *Deep-Inelastic Scattering with LHC Neutrinos*, In *31st International Workshop on Deep-Inelastic Scattering and Related Subjects* (2024), [2407.06731](https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.06731).
- [44] W. Bai, M. Diwan, M. V. Garzelli, Y. S. Jeong, K. Kumar and M. H. Reno, *Forward production of prompt neutrinos from charm in the atmosphere and at high energy colliders*, JHEP **10**, 142 (2023), doi:[10.1007/JHEP10\(2023\)142](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2023)142), [2212.07865](https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.07865).
- [45] W. Bai, M. Diwan, M. V. Garzelli, Y. S. Jeong, F. K. Kumar and M. H. Reno, *Parton distribution function uncertainties in theoretical predictions for far-forward tau neutrinos at the Large Hadron Collider*, JHEP **06**, 148 (2022), doi:[10.1007/JHEP06\(2022\)148](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2022)148), [2112.11605](https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.11605).
- [46] M. van Beekveld, S. Ferrario Ravasio, E. Groenendijk, P. Krack, J. Rojo and V. S. Sánchez, *A Phenomenological Analysis of LHC Neutrino Scattering at NLO Accuracy Matched to Parton Showers* (2024), [2407.09611](https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.09611).
- [47] S. Ferrario Ravasio, R. Gauld, B. Jäger, A. Karlberg and G. Zanderighi, *An event generator for neutrino-induced Deep Inelastic Scattering and applications to neutrino astronomy* (2024), [2407.03894](https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.03894).
- [48] L. Buonocore, G. Limatola, P. Nason and F. Tramontano, *An event generator for Lepton-Hadron deep inelastic scattering at NLO+PS with POWHEG including mass effects*, JHEP **08**, 083 (2024), doi:[10.1007/JHEP08\(2024\)083](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2024)083), [2406.05115](https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.05115).
- [49] F. G. Schröder *et al.*, *High-Energy Galactic Cosmic Rays (Astro2020 Science White Paper)*, Bull. Am. Astron. Soc. **51**, 131 (2019), [1903.07713](https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.07713).
- [50] A. Coleman *et al.*, *Ultra high energy cosmic rays The intersection of the Cosmic and Energy Frontiers*, Astropart. Phys. **149**, 102819 (2023), doi:[10.1016/j.astropartphys.2023.102819](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.astropartphys.2023.102819), [2205.05845](https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.05845).