# UNIFORMIZABLE FOLIATED PROJECTIVE STRUCTURES ALONG SINGULAR FOLIATIONS

BERTRAND DEROIN AND ADOLFO GUILLOT

ABSTRACT. We consider holomorphic foliations by curves on compact complex manifolds, for which we investigate the existence of projective structures along the leaves varying holomorphically (foliated projective structures), that satisfy particular uniformizability properties. Our results show that the singularities of the foliation impose severe restrictions for the existence of such structures. A foliated projective structure separates the singularities of a foliation into parabolic and non-parabolic ones. For a strongly uniformizable foliated projective structure on a compact Kähler manifold, the existence of a single nondegenerate, non-parabolic singularity implies that the foliation is completely integrable. We establish an index theorem that imposes strong cohomological restrictions on the foliations having only non-degenerate singularities that support foliated projective structures making all of them parabolic. As an application of our results, we prove that, on a projective space of any dimension, a foliation by curves of degree at least two, with only non-degenerate singularities, does not admit a strongly uniformizable foliated projective structure.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

For a complex curve, a projective structure is an atlas for its complex structure with charts taking values in the complex projective line  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , and changes of coordinates in  $Aut(\mathbb{P}^1)$ . Such structures may be considered along the leaves of a one-dimensional foliation. Given a singular holomorphic foliation by curves  $\mathcal F$  on a complex manifold of dimension  $n + 1$ , with singular set of codimension at least two, a *foliated projective structure* is an atlas of holomorphic charts  $(z_i, t_i)$ , defined in the complement of the singular points of  $\mathcal{F}$ , taking values in  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}^n$ , in which  $\mathcal F$  is given by the level sets of  $t_i$ , with changes of coordinates of the form

$$
(z_j,t_j)=\left(\frac{a(t_i)z_i+b(t_i)}{c(t_i)z_i+d(t_i)},t_j(t_i)\right).
$$

Through such an object, every leaf of  $\mathcal F$  inherits a projective structure, which varies holomorphically from leaf to leaf (see [\[DG23,](#page-21-0) Sect. 2.2] for equivalent definitions).

The existence of these foliated projective structures was the subject of our previous article [\[DG23\]](#page-21-0). In the present work, we study foliated projective structures from a qualitative point of view, with interest in problems related to the holomorphic simultaneous uniformization of the leaves of holomorphic foliations.

A projective structure on a curve is said to be uniformizable if it is the quotient of an open subset of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  under the action of a subgroup of Aut $(\mathbb{P}^1)$ , and strongly uniformizable if it is uniformizable and the uniformizing open set is simply

Key words and phrases. Holomorphic foliation, foliated projective structure, uniformization, semicompleteness.

MSC 2020: 57M50, 32M25, 34M35, 34M45, 32S65.

The authors thank the IRL 2001 Solomon Lefschetz, CNRS-UNAM, for financial support.

connected. For example, the projective structure induced by the  $Poincaré$  uniformization, the uniformization that follows from the Kobe-Poincaré uniformization theorem, is strongly uniformizable, and so are the projective structures induced by quasi-Fuchsian groups. Projective structures given by Schottky uniformizations are uniformizable, although not strongly so. A foliated projective structure is said to be uniformizable or strongly uniformizable if such is the projective structure that it induces on each and every one of its leaves.

The aim of this article is to investigate the restrictions for uniformizability and strong uniformizability of foliated projective structures imposed, individually and collectively, by the singular points of a foliation.

The problem of the simultaneous uniformization the leaves of holomorphic foliations by curves has deserved a lot of attention. Let us mention two directions (by no means the only ones) along which it has been addressed. We have Ilyahskenko's notion of *simultaneous uniformization*, in which uniformizations vary holomorphically from leaf to leaf, but which are defined in some covering tubes, and not on the leaves themselves (such a uniformization may give a leaf various inequivalent projective structures). We refer the reader to Ilyashenko's survey [\[Ily24\]](#page-22-0), as well as to Glutsyuk's article [\[Glu02\]](#page-21-1) for precise definitions and statements, and limit to say that, for a foliation whose curves are hyperbolic Riemann surfaces, admitting a strongly uniformizable foliated projective structure is a stronger condition than admitting a simultaneous uniformization in the sense of Ilyashenko. Another direction concerns the study of the variation of the Poincaré uniformization of the leaves of a foliation, which developed around the seminal works of Verjovsky [\[Ver87\]](#page-22-1) and Candel [\[Can93\]](#page-21-2). For instance, within this trend of ideas, Lins Neto studied the Poincaré uniformization of the leaves of singular holomorphic foliations by curves. He proved that for a foliation by curves on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , of degree  $d \geq 2$ , having only nondegenerate singularities, all of its leaves are uniformized by the Poincaré disk, and their uniformizations vary continuously from leaf to leaf [\[LN94\]](#page-22-2) (see also [\[CGM95\]](#page-21-3)). In particular, the leaves of these foliations are endowed with projective structures, which vary continuously from leaf to leaf.

<span id="page-1-1"></span>As an application of our results, we will prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** Let F be a foliation by curves on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , of degree  $d \geq 2$ , all of whose singularities are non-degenerate. Then  $\mathcal F$  does not admit a strongly uniformizable foliated projective structure.

(This result should be weighed against the abundance of foliated projective structures supported by foliations by curves on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ : a foliation of degree  $d \geq 1$  has an affine space of dimension  $\binom{n+2d-2}{n}$  of such structures; see Section [7.](#page-17-0))

A foliated projective structure is equivalent to the data of a (foliated, projective) Christoffel symbol, a map  $\Xi: T_{\mathcal{F}} \to \mathcal{O}(M)$ , that to a vector field Z tangent to  $\mathcal F$ associates the holomorphic function  $E(Z)$ , in a way satisfying the modified Leibniz rule

<span id="page-1-0"></span>(1.1) 
$$
\Xi(fZ) = f^2 \Xi(Z) + fZ^2 f - \frac{1}{2}(Zf)^2;
$$

in this description, projective coordinates along the leaves are given by the solutions of the differential equations given by the vector fields  $Z$  tangent to  $\mathcal F$  for which  $\Xi(Z) \equiv 0$  (see [\[DG23,](#page-21-0) Sect. 2.2]). In the presence of a foliated projective structure, a singularity p of F is said to be parabolic if for every vector field Z defining  $\mathcal F$ 

in a neighborhood of p, with singular set of codimension at least two,  $\Xi(Z)|_p = 0$ . By  $(1.1)$ , this will happen for every such Z if and only if it happens for a single one of them. (Remark [10](#page-5-0) will give a rationale for this terminology.) At a singular point, a foliated projective structure induced by a vector field with singular set of codimension at least two is parabolic.

<span id="page-2-1"></span>Non-parabolic singularities of uniformizable foliated projective structures admit very simple normal forms:

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\mathcal F$  be a foliation endowed with a uniformizable foliated projective structure, p a singularity of  $\mathcal F$  that is non-parabolic with respect to the foliated projective structure. Then, there exist local coordinates centered at p in which  $\mathcal F$  is generated by a linear diagonal vector field with integral eigenvalues, whose projective Christoffel symbol is the constant  $-1/2$ .

In particular, if p is a non-degenerate singularity of  $\mathcal F$  which non-parabolic for the foliated projective structure, its eigenvalues are commensurable.

In the strongly uniformizable case, a single non-degenerate, non-parabolic singularity imposes, in the case where the ambient manifold is Kähler, the "meromorphic complete integrability" of the foliation:

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Theorem 3.** Let  $\mathcal F$  be a foliation by curves on a compact complex Kähler manifold M of dimension  $n + 1$  endowed with a strongly uniformizable foliated projective structure. If there exists a non-degenerate singularity of  $\mathcal F$  which is non-parabolic for the foliated projective structure, there exists an analytic space  $V$  of dimension  $n$ , and a dominant meromorphic map  $f : M \longrightarrow V$ , such that the leaves of F are contained in the fibers of f.

In the case of surfaces, the Kähler hypothesis may be dismissed (Remark [17\)](#page-16-0). For a foliation with non-degenerate singularities and a foliated projective structure which makes them all parabolic, we have the following index theorem. It is an extension of Theorem 5.1 in [\[DG23\]](#page-21-0), in which uniformizability plays no part.

<span id="page-2-2"></span>**Theorem 4.** Let M be a compact complex manifold of dimension  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  a holomorphic foliation by curves, all of whose singular points are non-degenerate, supporting a foliated projective structure for which they are all parabolic. Let  $\varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1})$ be a symmetric homogeneous polynomial of degree  $n + 1$ , and, for  $i = 0, \ldots, n + 1$ , let  $\widehat{\varphi}_i(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$  be the symmetric homogeneous polynomial of degree i defined by

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
\varphi(x_1,\ldots,x_n,x_{n+1})=\sum_{i=0}^{n+1}x_{n+1}^{n+1-i}\widehat{\varphi}_i(x_1,\ldots,x_n).
$$

Then,

(1.2) 
$$
\sum_{k>0} c_1^{2k} (T_{\mathcal{F}}) \hat{\varphi}_{n-2k} (c(TM - T_{\mathcal{F}})) = 0.
$$

Notice that the last sum does not include the term for  $k = 0$ . In this statement, as in the Baum-Bott index theorem (which will be used for its proof), evaluating a symmetric polynomial on the Chern polynomial of a virtual bundle consists in evaluating it at the associated Chern roots [\[BB70\]](#page-21-4). This last result imposes severe restrictions on the foliation, especially on manifolds of even dimensions.

<span id="page-2-4"></span>**Corollary 5.** Let M be a compact complex algebraic manifold of even dimension,  $\mathcal{F}$ a holomorphic foliation on M endowed with a foliated projective structure. Suppose that the singularities of  $\mathcal F$  are non-degenerate and non-dicritical (that, at each one of them, the ratios of the eigenvalues of the linear part of a vector field tangent to it are not all positive rationals), and that the foliated projective structure makes them all parabolic. Then,  $F$  is not of general type (the Iitaka dimension of its canonical bundle  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is strictly smaller than the dimension of M).

Foliations which are not of general type have been classified in dimension two (within the birational classification of foliations on surfaces [\[Bru15\]](#page-21-5)), and, at least in principle, can be described in higher dimensions as well.

Despite these negative results, there do exist interesting examples of strongly uniformizable foliated projective structures on foliations with non-trivial singular set. Two families will be described in Section [3.](#page-7-0)

An important ingredient in the proof of Theorem [3](#page-2-0) is a description, due to Jorge Pereira, of the set formed by the compact analytic subspaces invariant by a foliation on a Kähler compact manifold. We will discuss this result, along with some of its consequences, in Appendix [A.](#page-18-0)

# <span id="page-3-0"></span>2. Curves, foliations, projective structures and uniformizability

2.1. **Curves.** A projective structure on a (connected) curve C comes with a monodromy representation mon :  $\pi_1(C) \to \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  and a developing map  $D : \widetilde{C} \to$  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , an equivariant local biholomorphism. A projective structure on a curve C is said to be *uniformizable* if it is isomorphic to the quotient of an open subset of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  under the action of a subgroup of  $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ , and strongly uniformizable if, moreover, this open subset is simply connected.

For a projective structure  $\sigma$  on a curve C, and  $C' \subseteq C$  an open subset, for the induced projective structure  $\sigma'$  on  $C'$ ,

- if  $\sigma$  is uniformizable, so is  $\sigma'$ ;
- $\bullet \,$  if  $\sigma$  is strongly uniformizable, and the inclusion  $C' \hookrightarrow C$  injects the fundamental group of  $C'$ ,  $\sigma'$  is strongly uniformizable as well.

Projective geometry is the most general of the geometries given by transitive and faithful holomorphic actions of complex Lie groups on curves, the others being affine and translation geometry (given by the action of the affine group, or of its translation subgroup, on  $\mathbb{C}$ ). The uniformizability property can be considered for all of these geometries, and a certain framework is common to them all. By a straightforward adaptation of [\[Gui24,](#page-22-3) Prop. 1.6.1], we have:

**Proposition 6.** For a projective structure on a curve  $C$ , the following are equivalent:

- the projective structure is uniformizable;
- for the covering map  $\widehat{C} \to C$  associated to the kernel of the monodromy, the induced developing map  $\widehat{D}$  :  $\widehat{C} \to \mathbb{P}^1$  is one-to-one;
- for every open path  $\alpha : [0,1] \to C$ , and for every lift  $\tilde{\alpha} : [0,1] \to \tilde{C}$ , the path  $D \circ \tilde{\alpha}$  is also an open one.

Some obstructions for uniformizability are localized at the singularities of pro-jective structures. Let us discuss them based on [\[DG23,](#page-21-0) Section 3.2]. Let  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$  be the unit disk,  $\Delta^* = \Delta \setminus \{0\}$ . For a projective structure on  $\Delta^*$ , the origin is said to be a Fuchsian singular point if  $z^2 \Xi(\partial/\partial z)$  extends as a holomorphic function to 0.

In such a case, if

<span id="page-4-0"></span>(2.1) 
$$
z^2 \Xi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\nu^2}\right) + \cdots,
$$

 $\nu \in \mathbb{C}^* \cup \{\infty\}$  is said to be the *(projective) ramification index* of the singular point. It is only well-defined up to sign, and is independent of the chosen coordinate. In this case, from  $(2.1)$ , by  $(1.1)$ ,

<span id="page-4-1"></span>(2.2) 
$$
\Xi\left((\lambda z + \cdots)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) = -\frac{\lambda^2}{2\nu^2} + \cdots.
$$

Reciprocally, if  $\Xi((\lambda z + \cdots)\partial/\partial z)$  is holomorphic, the projective structure has a Fuchsian singular point, and the ramification index is given by the above expression.

**Proposition 7.** For a uniformizable projective structure on  $\Delta^*$ , the origin is a Fuchsian singular point, and the ramification index is either an integer or infinity.

The result is a projective analogue of Proposition 6 in [\[GR12\]](#page-22-4), to which we refer for a complete proof (see also [\[DG23,](#page-21-0) Section 3.2.1]).

Sketch of proof. Let  $D: \Delta^* \to \mathbb{P}^1$  be the (possibly multivalued) developing map of the projective structure.

If the monodromy is finite, of order  $\nu$ , we may suppose that it is generated by  $w \mapsto \rho w$ , for  $\rho$  a primitive  $\nu$ -th root of unity. Since the projective structure is uniformizable,  $D^{\nu} : \Delta^* \to \mathbb{P}^1$  is a well-defined, one-to-one function. It extends holomorphically to 0, and may be supposed to map 0 to 0, giving a coordinate  $z$  on  $\Delta$  in which the developing map is given by  $z \mapsto z^{1/\nu}$ . The projective structure is induced by the multivalued vector field  $\nu z^{1-1/\nu}\partial/\partial z$ , pull-back of  $\partial/\partial w$  under the developing map. From  $\Xi(\nu z^{1-1/\nu}\partial/\partial z) \equiv 0$  and  $(1.1)$ ,  $\Xi(\partial/\partial z) = \frac{1}{2}(1-1/\nu^2)z^{-2}$ : the singularity is Fuchsian, and has ramification index  $\pm \nu$ .

If the monodromy is parabolic, we may suppose that it is generated by  $w \mapsto$  $w + 2i\pi$ . By the uniformizability hypothesis,  $e^D : \Delta^* \to \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  is one-to-one, and thus extends holomorphically to 0, mapping 0 to 0. This gives a coordinate z in  $\Delta$ for which the developing map is given by  $log(z)$ . The pull-back of the vector field  $\partial/\partial w$  is  $z\partial/\partial z$ , which induces the projective structure. Since  $\Xi(z\partial/\partial z) \equiv 0$ , the singularity is Fuchsian and has infinite ramification index.

The other potential monodromies are incompatible with the uniformizability condition.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-4-2"></span>**Lemma 8.** Let C be a (not necessarily compact) curve,  $p \in C$ , and let  $C \setminus \{p\}$  be endowed with a strongly uniformizable projective structure. Then, either

- the projective structure extends to  $p$ , and  $C$  is a compact rational curve, with its canonical projective structure (in particular, p is a Fuchsian singularity with ramification index  $\pm 1$ ); or
- p is a Fuchsian singularity with infinite ramification index.

*Proof.* Let  $\Delta$  be a small disk around p,  $i : (\Delta, p) \to (C, p)$  the inclusion. The map  $i_* : \pi_1(\Delta \setminus \{p\}) \to \pi_1(C \setminus \{p\})$  is either trivial or injective, since for any closed path in  $C \setminus \{p\}$ , we have that either it is homotopically trivial, or its class in the fundamental group has infinite order. In the first case,  $C \setminus \{p\}$  is simply connected, and C is a compact rational curve; since the developing map is defined in  $\Delta \setminus \{p\}$ , the point p has ramification index  $\pm 1$ : the singularity is an apparent one, and the projective structure extends to a neighborhood of p. In the second case,  $\Delta \setminus \{p\}$ injects its fundamental group in that of  $C\setminus\{p\}$ , and the projective structure induced on  $\Delta \setminus \{p\}$  is strongly uniformizable; this implies that its monodromy is infinite, and, by the previous proposition, that it is Fuchsian with infinite ramification index.  $\Box$ 

The following proposition sheds light on the nature of the singularities that appear in the second item of this lemma (it will not be used further on).

<span id="page-5-2"></span><span id="page-5-1"></span>**Proposition 9.** For a projective structure on  $\Delta^*$ , the following are equivalent:

- (1) 0 is a Fuchsian singular point with infinite ramification index;
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>(2) the projective structure is induced by a vector field vanishing at 0, having nonzero linear part; and
- (3) a neighborhood of 0 in  $\Delta^*$  is isomorphic, for some N, to the quotient of  $\Omega_N = \{w \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Re(z) < N\}$  under the action of  $w \mapsto w + 2i\pi$ , and, in particular, the projective structure is uniformizable.

*Proof.* [\(1\)](#page-5-1)⇒[\(2\)](#page-5-2). If 0 is a Fuchsian singular point with infinite ramification in-dex, from [\(2.2\)](#page-4-1),  $\Xi(z\partial/\partial z)$  vanishes at 0. By [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0), for a function f, the condition  $\Xi(fz\partial/\partial z) \equiv 0$  is equivalent, for  $h = f'/f$ , to  $zh' + h + \frac{1}{2}zh^2 + z^{-1}\Xi(z\partial/\partial z) = 0$ . By the theorem of Briot and Bouquet [\[Inc44,](#page-22-5) §12.6], this equation has a solution h which is holomorphic at 0, and there is thus a non-vanishing f such that  $\Xi(fz\partial/\partial z) \equiv 0$ . [\(2\)](#page-5-2)⇒[\(3\)](#page-5-3). Let the projective structure be given by the vector field  $z f(z)\partial/\partial z$ ; up to multiplying by a constant, suppose that  $f(0) = 1$ . A developing map  $D$  for the projective structure (inverse of the solution of the differential equation given by the vector field) is given by  $z \mapsto \int^z ds/(sf(s))$ ; if  $1/f(s) = 1 + sh(s)$ ,  $D(z) = \log(z) + H(z)$ , for a holomorphic function H with  $H' = h$ , and  $e^D$  is one-to one. Its inverse gives the uniformizing map. [\(3\)](#page-5-3)⇒[\(2\)](#page-5-2). The map  $e^w : \Omega_N \to \Delta^*$ realizes the quotient by  $w \mapsto w + 2i\pi$ , and the image of the invariant vector field that induces the projective structure,  $\partial/\partial w$ , is  $z\partial/\partial z$ . [\(2\)](#page-5-2)⇒[\(1\)](#page-5-1). It follows directly from equation (1.1). from equation  $(1.1)$ .

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Remark 10. If p is a non-degenerate singular point of a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ , that is parabolic with respect to a foliated projective structure, and  $\gamma$  is a germ of curve through p, invariant by F, the restriction of projective structure to  $\gamma$  is described by the previous proposition: it is uniformizable, and has parabolic monodromy.

2.2. Foliations. We will use leisurely standard notions around foliation by curves, like the tangent bundle  $T_F$  of a foliation F, or its dual, the canonical bundle  $K_F$ ; we refer the reader to the first chapters of  $[Bru15]$  for a detailed presentation.

A (singular, holomorphic) foliation by curves on a complex manifold  $M$  is given by a collection of pairs  $\{(U_i, Z_i)\}\$ , with  $U_i \subset M$  an open subset,  $M = \cup_i U_i$ , and  $Z_i$  a holomorphic vector field on  $U_i$ , with singular set of codimension at least two, such that there is a non-vanishing function  $g_{ij}$  on  $U_i \cap U_j$ , for which  $Z_i = g_{ij}Z_i$ . The *singular set* of  $\mathcal F$  is, on  $U_i$ , given by the locus of zeros of  $Z_i$ . A singular point  $p$ of F is non-degenerate if F is generated, on a neighborhood of p, by a vector field vanishing at  $p$  having a linear part with no vanishing eigenvalues. In particular, non-degenerate singularities are isolated.

For a compact curve  $C$  invariant by a one-dimensional foliation  $\mathcal F$  on the manifold  $M$ , we have the Poincaré-Hopf formula

<span id="page-5-4"></span>(2.3) 
$$
\mu(\mathcal{F}, C) = K_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot C + 2 - 2g(C),
$$

in which  $g(C)$  is the geometric genus of C, and  $\mu(\mathcal{F}, C)$  is defined as a sum of local contributions as follows: for each parametrized branch of  $C$  trough a point  $p$ , and a vector field Z defining F on a neighborhood of p, the local contribution to  $\mu(F, C)$ is the number of zeroes of the restriction of  $Z$  to the branch of  $C$  (see [\[CCGdlF00,](#page-21-6) Prop. 2.2]; see also [\[LNS96,](#page-22-6) Prop. 2.8] and [\[Bru15,](#page-21-5) Prop. 2.3]).

Foliated projective structures were the subject of our previous work [\[DG23\]](#page-21-0), to which we will often refer. If a foliation on a manifold is endowed with a foliated projective structure, any open subset inherits both a foliation and a foliated projective structure, which will be uniformizable if so is the original one. Uniformizability is thus susceptible of being studied locally (strong uniformizability is, in part, a global property).

Foliated translation structures are induced by vector fields with singular sets of codimension two, and their uniformizability is equivalent to the single-valuedness of the solutions of the vector field; an approach for the study of this property within the theory of holomorphic foliations has developed around the early work of Rebelo [\[Reb96\]](#page-22-7) (see [\[Gui24\]](#page-22-3)). This has also motivated the study of the uniformizability of some foliated affine structures (see [\[GR12,](#page-22-4) Section 4], and the discussion in [\[Gui24,](#page-22-3) Section 1.6]).

Some facts established for foliated affine and translation structures extend naturally to the projective case.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Proposition 11.** Let  $\mathcal F$  be a foliation by curves on the manifold M endowed with a foliated projective structure. The union of the leaves where the structure is not uniformizable is an open subset of M.

*Proof.* Let  $dim(M) = n + 1$ . Let L be a leaf of F in restriction to which the projective structure is not uniformizable. Let  $\alpha_0 : [0, 1] \to L$  be an open path that develops onto a closed one. The standard construction leading to the definition of the holonomy of a leaf of a foliation can be adapted to the foliated projective structure, to ensure the following: there exists a simply connected curve  $E$ , a path  $\tilde{\alpha} : [0,1] \to E$ , an *n*-dimensional ball  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , and immersions  $D : E \to \mathbb{P}^1$  and  $i: E \times \mathbb{B}^n \to M$  such that

- (1) for every  $t_0 \in \mathbb{B}^n$ ,  $i|_{E \times \{t_0\}}$  is tangent to  $\mathcal{F}$ ;
- (2) the foliated projective structures on  $E\times\mathbb{B}^n$  induced, on the one hand, by  $i,$ and, on the other, by  $(z, t) \mapsto D(z)$ , agree;
- (3)  $\alpha(s) = i(\widetilde{\alpha}(s), 0).$

A development of  $\alpha_t(s) = i(\tilde{\alpha}(s), t)$  is given by  $D(\tilde{\alpha}(s))$ . For t close enough to 0,  $\alpha_t$  is an open path developing into a closed one, and, thus, for the corresponding leaf of  $\mathcal F$ , the projective structure is not uniformizable.

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Proposition 12.** Let  $\mathcal F$  be a foliation by curves endowed with a uniformizable foliated projective structure. Let L be a leaf of F, hol:  $\pi_1(L,p) \to \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$  and mon :  $\pi_1(L, p) \to \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  the holonomy and monodromy representations. Then,  $\ker(\text{mon}) \subseteq \ker(\text{hol})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha_0 : [0,1] \to L$  be a closed path of trivial monodromy, and consider, with it, the objects in the proof of Proposition [11.](#page-6-0) Consider the transversal  $T =$  $i(\tilde{\alpha}(0) \times \mathbb{B}^n)$ . The path  $\alpha_0$  develops onto a closed path, and so do the paths  $\alpha_t$ , and they must in consequence be closed, starting and ending at  $T$ . This is exactly the triviality of the holonomy along  $\alpha_0$ .

Analogues of Proposition [12](#page-6-1) for foliated affine and translation structures appear in [\[Reb96,](#page-22-7) Prop. 2.7] and [\[GR12,](#page-22-4) Fund. Lemma]. An affine version of Proposition [11](#page-6-0) can be found in [\[GR12,](#page-22-4) Cor. 12].

# 3. Examples

<span id="page-7-0"></span>Some regular foliations admit strongly uniformizable foliated projective structures. On compact surfaces uniformized by the bidisk, the horizontal and vertical foliations are canonically endowed with them. The Inoue surfaces  $S_M$  have two foliations (one by disks, the other by entire curves), both of which have tautological strongly uniformizable foliated projective structures. The foliated projective structures obtained by the suspension of a strongly uniformizable projective structure on a curve is strongly uniformizable as well [\[DG23,](#page-21-0) Ex. 2.16]. Global holomorphic vector fields with nontrivial singular set of codimension at least two on compact manifolds give foliations with singularities admitting strongly uniformizable foliated translation (hence projective) structures. More generally, from Brunella's works on the uniformization of foliations by curves, we have that a foliation by curves on a compact Kähler manifold, having only parabolic leaves (with Brunella's ad hoc definition of leaf), has a uniformizable foliated affine structure, with charts given by the "universal covering tubes" associated to the foliation; see [\[Bru11,](#page-21-7) Sect. 3.3].

We are not aware of many examples of strongly uniformizable foliated projective structures that have nontrivial singular set, and that are truly projective (that are neither given by global holomorphic vector fields nor reduce to foliated affine structures). We hereby present two families of such foliated projective structures.

3.1. Hilbert modular foliations. Let  $\mathbb{H}^2 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Im(z) > 0\}$ . The group  $PSL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$  acts diagonally on  $\mathbb{H}^2$  by preserving both the horizontal and vertical foliations by disks, together with their canonical foliated projective structures. For a torsion-free, discrete subgroup  $\Gamma \subset \text{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R}) \times \text{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ , the quotient manifold inherits two foliations with a strongly uniformizable foliated projective structure each.

For a square-free integer d, and  $\mathfrak o$  the ring of algebraic integers of  $\mathbb Q(\sqrt{d})$ , the *Hilbert modular group*  $PSL(\mathfrak{o})$  embeds as a non-uniform, irreducible lattice in  $PSL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$ . The quotient of  $\mathbb{H}^2$ , the *Hilbert modular surface*, is an analytic space with finitely many singular points corresponding to points in  $\mathbb{H}^2$ with non-trivial stabilizer, and a finite number of ends (cusps). The one-point compactification of a cusp is a normal analytic space; in its resolution, the exceptional divisor is a cycle of smooth rational curves intersecting transversely, in which the self-intersections of the curves can be explicitly described [\[Hir73\]](#page-22-8). The vertical and horizontal foliations on  $\mathbb{H}^2/\mathrm{PSL}(\mathfrak{o})$ , the *Hilbert modular foliations*, extend to this compactification while leaving these rational curves invariant [\[Bru15,](#page-21-5) Ex. 9.4].

Let us show that the foliated projective structure extends to the rational curves in the exceptional divisor. On a neighborhood of a point in the intersection of two of the rational curves of the cycle, there are coordinates  $u$  and  $v$  (locally defining the two rational curves) and constants w and  $w'$ ,  $w \neq w'$ , such that u and v are related to the global coordinates  $(z_1, z_2)$  of  $\mathbb{H}^2$  by

> $2i\pi z_1 = w \log u + \log v$ ,  $2i\pi z_2 = w' \log u + \log v$

(this is formula (9) in [\[Hir73,](#page-22-8) Section 2.3]). The coordinate vector field  $\partial/\partial z_1$ induces one of the foliated projective structures, and, in the above coordinates, it reads

$$
\frac{2i\pi}{w-w'}\left(u\frac{\partial}{\partial u}-w'v\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right).
$$

Thus, the foliated projective structure induced by  $\partial/\partial z_1$  extends to the rational curves of the cycles, and, on a neighborhood of the intersection of two of them, is induced by a non-degenerate vector field (a parabolic singularity of the foliated projective structure). Each rational curve of the cycle has two singular points, and the projective structure on their complement is the natural one on  $\mathbb{C}/2i\pi\mathbb{Z}$ .

By considering a finite-index, torsion-free subgroup of  $\Gamma$  we obtain a compact complex surface with a strongly uniformizable foliated projective structure with non-degenerate, parabolic singularities.

<span id="page-8-1"></span>3.2. The complex geodesic foliation of a complex-hyperbolic manifold. Let  $\Gamma \subset \text{PU}(n,1)$  be a torsion-free lattice. Its projective action on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  preserves the complex-hyperbolic ball  $\mathbb{B}^n$  (the projectivization of the set of strictly positive vectors), on which it acts freely and properly discontinuously, having as quotient a complex-hyperbolic manifold  $M = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{B}^n$ . The intersection of a line of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  with  $\mathbb{B}^n$  is a totally geodesic subspace of  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , a *complex geodesic*. Let  $\Omega$  be the set of couples  $(p, l)$ , where  $p \in \mathbb{B}^n$  and l is a line in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  passing through p. Consider the non-singular foliation by curves  $\mathcal F$  on  $\Omega$  whose leaves are given by the couples in  $\Omega$  having a common line. The leaf through  $(p, l)$  identifies naturally to the disk  $l \cap \mathbb{B}^n$ , and  $\mathcal F$  has thus a natural foliated projective structure. The group  $\Gamma$  acts freely and properly discontinuously on  $\Omega$ , preserving F together with its foliated projective structure, producing a manifold endowed with both a non-singular foliation by curves  $\mathcal{F}$ , the *complex geodesic foliation*, and a strongly uniformizable foliated projective structure along it. The manifold  $\Gamma\backslash\Omega$  is naturally biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}(TM)$ , the projectivization of the tangent bundle of M.

In the case where  $\Gamma$  is not uniform, Mok has shown that M can be compactified via a toroidal Mumford compactification into a smooth manifold  $\overline{M}$  by gluing an abelian variety of dimension  $n - 1$  to each one of its finitely many ends. This produces the compactification  $\mathbb{P}(T\overline{M})$  of  $\mathbb{P}(T\overline{M})$ . We will review this construction following [\[Mok12,](#page-22-9) Section 2.1]; we will show that the above foliation  $\mathcal F$  extends to  $\mathbb{P}(T\overline{M})$  as a foliation with singularities  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ , that the foliated projective structure on  $\mathcal F$  extends to  $\overline{\mathcal F}$ , and that this extension is strongly uniformizable.

Consider the Siegel domain presentation of complex-hyperbolic space

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
S_n = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \Im(z_n) > |z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_{n-1}|^2 \}.
$$

Write  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$  as  $(z'; z_n)$  with  $z' = (z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1})$ . Let  $W \subset \text{PU}(n, 1)$  be the group of transformations of  $S_n$  of the form

(3.1) 
$$
(z'; z_n) \mapsto \left( z' + a'; z_n + 2i \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \overline{a_j} z_j + i \|a'\|^2 + t \right),
$$

with  $(a', t) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ . Through its action on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  via the embedding  $(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \mapsto$  $[z_1 : \cdots : z_n : 1]$ , these transformations fix the point  $b = [0 : \cdots : 0 : 1 : 0]$ , belonging to the boundary of  $S_n$  (W is the unipotent radical of the stabilizer of b). The first derived subgroup W' of W is given by the elements of the form  $(0, t)$ .

All local models for the ends of finite-volume complex-hyperbolic manifolds are given by quotients of neighborhoods of b by lattices of W acting as above. Let  $\Gamma_0$  be such a lattice. Let  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that the intersection of  $\Gamma_0$  with  $W'$  is generated by  $(0, \tau)$ . Consider the quotient under the action of the latter, realized by

(3.2) 
$$
(w_1, \ldots, w_n) = (z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1}, e^{\rho z_n}),
$$

for  $\rho = 2i\pi/\tau$ . In the target space, let  $\Pi$  be the hyperplane  $w_n = 0$ . After quotient, the action [\(3.1\)](#page-8-0) with  $t \in \tau \mathbb{Z}$  is given by

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
(w'; w_n) \mapsto \left(w' + a'; \exp\left(2i\rho \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \overline{a_j} w_j + i\rho ||a'||^2\right) w_n\right).
$$

The restriction of the action to  $\Pi$  is given by translations, and the quotient of  $\Pi$ under  $\Gamma_0/\Gamma'_0$  gives the abelian variety compactifying this end.

Let  $\zeta_i = dz_i$  (as a function on  $TS_n$ ), so that  $z_1, \ldots, z_n, \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n$  are coordinates for  $TS_n$ . On  $\mathbb{P}(TS_n)$ , both F and the foliated projective structure on it are induced by the homogeneous vector field  $X = \sum \zeta_i \partial/\partial z_i$  on  $TS_n$ . Let  $\xi_i = dw_i$ , so that  $w_1, \ldots, w_n, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n$  are coordinates on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The map  $(3.2)$  extends to the tangent spaces. In the complement of  $\Pi$ , X passes to the quotient as the homogeneous vector field on  $T\mathbb{C}^n$ 

$$
Y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} + \frac{\xi_n^2}{w_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n}.
$$

In order to obtain a vector field on a chart of  $\mathbb{P}(T\mathbb{C}^n)$ , restrict Y to a nonzero level set of its first integral  $\xi_j$   $(j < n)$ . Let  $Y_j$  denote this restriction. In the chosen chart, the induced foliation is given by the holomorphic vector field  $w_n Y_i$ , and extends to Π in a way tangent to it. The extended foliation has a singular set of codimension two, given by  $\Pi \cap {\xi_n = 0}$ .

From  $\Xi(Y_j) \equiv 0$ , it follows that  $\Xi(w_n Y_j) = \frac{1}{2} \xi_n^2$ : the foliated projective structure extends to Π. By Proposition [11,](#page-6-0) this structure is uniformizable. Let us show that it is strongly so. The vector field on  $\Pi$  given by the restriction of  $w_n Y_j$  is  $\xi_n^2 \partial/\partial \xi_n$ , and from  $\Xi|_{\Pi}(\xi_n^2 \partial/\partial \xi_n) = \frac{1}{2}\xi_n^2$ , we have that  $\Xi|_{\Pi}(\xi_n \partial/\partial \xi_n) \equiv 0$ : the foliated projective structure is induced by the complete vector field  $\xi_n\partial/\partial\xi_n$ . The leaves within Π are, in consequence, uniformized by

$$
t \mapsto ((c_1, \ldots, c_{n-1}, 0), [v_1 : \cdots : v_{n-1} : e^t]),
$$

<span id="page-9-1"></span>and the foliated projective structure is strongly uniformizable.

# 4. Local normal forms

4.1. Foliated projective structures. For the proof of Theorem [2,](#page-2-1) we will use the geodesic vector field constructed in [\[DG23,](#page-21-0) Section 5.2]. We begin by recalling its definition along with some of its properties.

Let Z be a vector field on a neighborhood U of 0 in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , F the foliation it generates. Consider a foliated projective structure along  $\mathcal{F}$ , and let  $\rho: U \to \mathbb{C}$  be the projective Christoffel symbol of Z. On  $U \times \mathbb{C}^2$ , consider the *projective geodesic* vector field, given, in the coordinates  $(z_1, \ldots, z_n, \xi, \zeta)$ , by

$$
X = \zeta Z + \zeta \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\xi^2 - \rho \zeta^2\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}.
$$

Under the projection  $\pi: U \times \mathbb{C}^2 \to U$ ,  $\zeta^{-1}X$  gets mapped to Z. The natural parametrizations of the leaves of  $X$  give, when projected via  $\Pi$ , projective parametrizations of the leaves of  $\mathcal F$  since, for the foliated projective structure induced by X, by  $(1.1)$ ,  $\Xi(\zeta^{-1}X) = \rho$ .

With the vector fields  $H = \zeta \partial/\partial \zeta + \xi \partial/\partial \xi$  and  $Y = 2\partial/\partial \xi$ , X satisfies the  $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$  relations

$$
[H, X] = X, [H, Y] = -Y, [Y, X] = 2H.
$$

A consequence of these, and of the simple forms that take the integrations of H and Y, is that, if  $(z, \zeta, \xi)$  is a solution of X, and  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{C}),$ 

<span id="page-10-0"></span>(4.1) 
$$
\left(z\left(\frac{at+b}{ct+d}\right), \frac{1}{(ct+d)^2}\zeta\left(\frac{at+b}{ct+d}\right), \frac{1}{(ct+d)^2}\zeta\left(\frac{at+b}{ct+d}\right) - \frac{2c}{ct+d}\right)
$$

is again a solution of  $X$ .

We claim that if the foliated projective structure of  $\mathcal F$  is uniformizable, then so is the foliated translation structure induced by  $X$ , this is, every leaf of  $X$ , with its translation structure, is the quotient of a domain in  $\mathbb C$  under the action of a group of translations (compare with  $[Gui07, Prop. 4]$ ). Let L be a leaf of X, and  $\alpha : [0,1] \rightarrow L$  an open path that, with respect to the translation structure on L, develops onto a closed one. The restriction  $\pi|_L : L \to \pi(L)$  is a local biholomorphism. If  $\pi \circ \alpha$  is an open path in the leaf  $\pi(L)$  of F, then it develops, with respect to the projective structure of  $\pi(L)$ , onto a closed path, but this contradicts the uniformizability of the foliated projective structure on  $\mathcal{F}$ . If  $\pi \circ \alpha$  is closed, the identification of neighborhoods of  $\alpha(0)$  and  $\alpha(1)$  within L given by  $\pi$  is a projective map that is not a translation. This identification is, by formula  $(4.1)$  in the case  $b = 0$ , given by  $t \mapsto t/(d(ct + d))$ , which is a translation only if  $c = 0$  and  $d^2 = 1$ , a fact that is, by the same formula, incompatible with the assumption  $\alpha(0) \neq \alpha(1)$ . Hence, a small translation of  $\alpha$  within L produces an open path in L that projects onto an open one in  $\pi(L)$ , reducing this case to the previous one. Thus, there is no open path in L that develops into a closed one, and the foliated translation structure of  $X$  is uniformizable. This is equivalent to the fact that the vector field X is *semicomplete*, that its solutions are single-valued in their maximal definition domain (see [\[Reb96\]](#page-22-7), [\[Gui24,](#page-22-3) Prop. 1.2.1]).

*Proof of Theorem [2.](#page-2-1)* The result is a generalization of Theorem B in [\[Gui06\]](#page-22-11), from which we will borrow some arguments. The setting is the one described in the beginning of this section; we keep the notations there set. The core hypothesis is that 0 is a non-parabolic singularity of the projective structure, that  $\rho(0) \neq 0$ .

For  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ , consider the vector field  $H + cX$  on  $U \times \mathbb{C}^2$ . In its integral form, the Lie bracket relation between H and Y implies, denoting by  $\Phi_Z^t$  the flow of the vector field  $Z$  in time  $t$ , that

$$
\Phi_{H+cX}^t = \Phi_H^t \circ \Phi_X^{c(e^t - 1)}.
$$

This shows that  $H + cX$  is semicomplete: its flow in time t it is unambiguously defined whenever it is so, precisely because  $X$  is semicomplete (this is how the uniformizability hypothesis on the foliated projective structure comes into play). Moreover, and this is crucial, the same formula shows that the solutions of  $H + cX$ are  $2i\pi$ -periodic.

Let  $\xi_0$  be a square root of  $-2\rho(0)$ , which is different from zero by hypothesis. Let  $p = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, \xi_0) \in U \times \mathbb{C}^2$ ; it projects to the singular point of  $\mathcal F$  in U. Let  $F = \pi^{-1}(0)$  be the fiber of  $\pi$  through p. Consider the vector field  $A = H - \xi_0^{-1}X$ on  $U \times \mathbb{C}^2$ . It vanishes at p, preserves F, and

$$
DA|_{p} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\xi_{0}}DZ|_{0} & \vdots & \vdots \\ \hline 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ * & \cdots & * & -\xi_{0} & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

.

The eigenvalues of the latter are those of  $-\xi_0^{-1}DZ|_0$ , plus 1 and -1, the last two corresponding to directions tangent to  $F$ , with the last one tangent to the direction of  $X(p)$ .

Let us begin by proving that the eigenvalues of the linear part of  $A$  at p are integers, and that there exist coordinates  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n, u, v)$ , centered at p, in which  $X = \partial/\partial v$ , F is given by  $\bigcap \{x_i = 0\}$ , and

<span id="page-11-1"></span>(4.2) 
$$
A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - v \frac{\partial}{\partial v},
$$

with  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  (we will follow the proof of Lemma 2.5 in [\[Gui06\]](#page-22-11) to some extent, and repeat some of its arguments here). The plane  $F$  is foliated by orbits of  $X$ . Choose coordinates  $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}, v)$ , centered at p, in which  $X = \partial/\partial v$ , and in which F is given by  $\bigcap_{i\leq n}\{x_i=0\}$ . In a neighborhood of p, the leaf space of X is realized by  $\nu(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}, v) = (x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}),$  with  $\nu(F)$  given by  $\{x_{n+1} = 0\}.$  The vector field A preserves the foliation induced by X, and induces a vector field  $\nu_* A$  on its leaf space. Since the germ of A around  $p$  is semicomplete and  $2i\pi$ -periodic, so is the germ of  $\nu_* A$  in a neighborhood of  $\nu(p)$ . The flow of  $\Im(\nu_* A)$ , the imaginary part of  $\nu_* A$ , induces an analytic action of the compact group  $\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  by biholomorphisms. This action is holomorphically linearizable: by the Bochner-Cartan theorem [\[MZ55,](#page-22-12) Ch. V], the map

<span id="page-11-0"></span>(4.3) 
$$
\Psi(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\mathcal{D}\Phi_{\nu_*A}^{i\theta}|_p) \Phi_{\nu_*A}^{-i\theta}(x) d\theta
$$

is a local holomorphic change of coordinates, fixing  $p$ , tangent to the identity at  $p$ , that maps  $\Im(\nu_*A)$  it to its linear part at  $\nu(p)$ . This change of coordinates maps  $\nu_*A$  to a holomorphic vector field whose imaginary part is a linear vector field with  $2\pi$ -periodic solutions: the image of  $\nu_*(A)$  under  $\Psi$  is a diagonalizable linear vector field with integral eigenvalues. Since  $A$  preserves  $F$ , and the latter is foliated by orbits of X,  $\nu_* A$  preserves the hyperplane  $\nu(F)$ . From the original choice of coordinates, and the form of [\(4.3\)](#page-11-0),  $\Psi_*(\nu_*A)$  preserves the hyperplane  $\nu(F)$ , given by  ${x_{n+1} = 0}$ . In the leaf space of X, let us suppose, up to a linear change of coordinates preserving  $\{x_{n+1} = 0\}$ , that  $\nu_* A = \sum \lambda_i x_i \partial/\partial x_i$  with  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  (observe that  $\lambda_{n+1} = 1$ ). By extending  $\Psi$  to a neighborhood of p by  $(x, v) \mapsto (\Psi(x), v)$ , we obtain coordinates centered at p in which X retains the expression  $\partial/\partial v$ , and in which

$$
A = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \mu_i x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + f(x, v) \frac{\partial}{\partial v},
$$

for some function f vanishing at 0. The relation  $[X, A] = -X$  implies that  $f(x, v) =$  $h(x) - v$  for some function h. Let us show that, up to a change of coordinates, we may suppose that  $h \equiv 0$ . Let  $h = \sum a_I x^I$  (we use multi-index notation). The solution of A with initial condition  $(c, v_0) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{C}$  is given by  $x_i(t) = c_i e^{\lambda_i t}$ , for  $i = 1, \ldots, n + 1$ , and by

$$
v(t) = e^{-t}v_0 + e^{-t} \int_0^t e^{s}h(c_1e^{\lambda_1 s}, \dots, c_{n+1}e^{\lambda_{n+1}s}) ds
$$
  
=  $e^{-t}v_0 + e^{-t} \int_0^t \sum a_{I}c^{I}e^{(\langle \lambda, I \rangle + 1)s} ds.$ 

Since the flow of A is  $2i\pi$ -periodic,

$$
\sum_{\langle \mu, I \rangle = -1} a_I c^I \equiv 0,
$$

and thus  $a_I = 0$  if  $\langle \mu, I \rangle = -1$ . For  $g = \sum b_I x^I$ , let  $\overline{v} = v + g(x)$ , and consider coordinates  $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}, \overline{v})$ . Since  $H\overline{v} = 1$ , H has the same expression in the new coordinates. Let us show that q can be chosen so that  $A\overline{v} = -\overline{v}$ . This condition is formally equivalent to  $a_I + (\langle \mu, I \rangle + 1)b_I = 0$  for all I, and the previous observation ensures that there is a formal solution

$$
b_I = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \langle \mu, I \rangle = -1, \\ -\frac{a_I}{1 + \langle \mu, I \rangle}, & \text{if } \langle \mu, I \rangle \neq -1, \end{cases}
$$

which is easily seen to be convergent. By relabeling  $x_{n+1}$  as u, A is given by [\(4.2\)](#page-11-1), and  $F$  and  $X$  have the sought form.

Let  $c = -1/\xi_0, y_i = x_i(v+c)^{\lambda_i}, w = u(v+c)$ . In the coordinates  $(y_1, ..., y_n, w, v)$ ,

$$
X = \frac{1}{(v+c)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} + w \frac{\partial}{\partial w} + (v+c) \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right),
$$

and  $H = -(v + c)\partial/\partial v$ . Consider the  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional manifold M given by  $\{w=0\}$ . It is transverse to  $\pi$ , and is saturated by both H and X. Let us henceforth restrict to it. The fibers of  $\pi$  are the integral curves of H. In a neighborhood of p, the leaf space of H is realized by  $(y_1, \ldots, y_n, 0, v) \mapsto (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ . Through this projection, the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ , together with its foliated projective structure, is induced by  $X$ . (Since the foliated projective structure is induced by the quotient of  $X$  by H, and  $[X, H] = H$ , by restricting X to M we have reduced the foliated projective structure to an affine one.) For the foliated projective structure generated by  $X$ , from  $\Xi(X) \equiv 0$ , by  $(1.1)$ ,  $\Xi((v+c)X) \equiv -1/2$ . Since  $[H,(v+c)X] = 0$ , the vector field  $(v + c)X$  may be projected to the leaf space of H. This projection, which matches that of the vector field  $(v + c)X + H$ , reads simply  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i y_i \partial/\partial y_i$  $\Box$ 

With the normalization of Theorem [2,](#page-2-1) the  $\lambda_i$  are the ramification indices of the projective structures along the coordinate axis at  $p$ , as discussed in Section [2.1.](#page-3-0) Up to a simultaneous change of sign, they are the *principal projective ramification* indices of the foliated projective structure at  $p$ , as defined in [\[DG23,](#page-21-0) Section 3.2].

For strongly uniformizable foliated projective structures, this observation im-plies, with Lemma [8,](#page-4-2) that  $\lambda_i = \pm 1$  for all *i*; Theorem [2](#page-2-1) specializes as follows:

<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Corollary 13.** Let  $\mathcal F$  be a foliation on a manifold M endowed with a strongly uniformizable foliated projective structure. Let  $p \in M$  be a non-degenerate singularity of F which is not parabolic. Then, there exist coordinates around p in which  $\mathcal F$  is

generated by the vector field  $\sum_{i\leq k} z_i\partial/\partial z_i - \sum_{i>k} z_i\partial/\partial z_i$ , with constant Christoffel symbol equal to  $-1/2$ .

4.2. Foliated affine structures. A foliated affine structure is equivalent to the data of a foliated connection  $\nabla$  which to each vector field Z tangent to the foliation assigns its Christoffel symbol  $\Gamma(Z)$ , defined by  $\nabla_Z Z = \Gamma(Z)Z$ . It satisfies the relation  $\Gamma(fZ) = f\Gamma(Z) + Zf$  [\[DG23,](#page-21-0) Section 2.1.2]. A singularity p of F is said to be *parabolic* if for every (or for one) vector field  $Z$  with singular set of codimension at least two defining F in a neighborhood of p,  $\Gamma(Z)|_p = 0$ .

<span id="page-13-0"></span>We have the following analogue of Theorem [2](#page-2-1) for foliated affine structures.

**Theorem 14.** Let  $F$  be a foliation on a manifold endowed with a uniformizable foliated affine structure, p be a non-parabolic singularity of  $\mathcal F$ . There exist coordinates around p in which  $\mathcal F$  is generated by a linear diagonal vector field with integral eigenvalues, with constant affine Christoffel symbol equal to 1.

Let us indicate the lines of a first proof of this result. Let  $U$  be a neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , Z a vector field on U having a singularity at 0, and F the foliation defined by Z. Consider a uniformizable foliated affine structure on  $\mathcal{F}$ . On  $U \times \mathbb{C}$ , consider the geodesic vector field of the foliated affine structure,  $X = \zeta Z - \Gamma(Z)\zeta^2 \partial/\partial \zeta$ , together with its companion vector field  $H = \zeta \partial/\partial \zeta$ , which is complete and  $2i\pi$ periodic, and which satisfies, with X, the Lie bracket relation  $[H, X] = X$  (see [\[DG23,](#page-21-0) Section 4.1] for details). After establishing that the uniformizability of the foliated affine structure implies that the geodesic vector field is semicomplete, one can follow the proof of Theorem [2.](#page-2-1)

Theorem [14](#page-13-0) can also be established as an application of Theorem [2.](#page-2-1) A foliated affine structure on  $\mathcal F$  may be seen as a foliated projective structure: if  $\Gamma$  is its affine Christoffel symbol, the projective Christoffel symbol Ξ for the induced foliated projective structure is given by  $\Xi(Z) = -\frac{1}{2}\Gamma(Z)^2 + Z\Gamma$  [\[DG23,](#page-21-0) Section 2.2]. In the opposite direction, for a foliated projective structure with Christoffel symbol Ξ, if, for a vector field Z tangent to F, there exists a function  $\gamma: U \to \mathbb{C}$  such that  $Z\gamma = \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2 + \Xi(Z)$ , the projective structure comes from an affine one: the foliated affine structure on U given by the affine Christoffel symbol  $\Gamma(Z) = \gamma$  is in the projective class of Ξ. A foliated affine structure is uniformizable if and only if it is uniformizable as a projective one, and a singular point will be parabolic for a foliated affine structure if and only if it is parabolic when the structure is considered as a projective one. In order to prove Theorem [14,](#page-13-0) we may consider the foliated affine structure as a projective one, apply Theorem [2,](#page-2-1) and then come back to the foliated affine structure.

The following result is an application of Theorem [14.](#page-13-0) It concerns semicomplete meromorphic vector fields along foliations which are tangent to divisors of zeros and poles with normal crossings, a central situation in the birational theory of these vector fields (compare with [\[Reb99,](#page-22-13) Prop. 5.4] and [\[GR12,](#page-22-4) Props. 17 and 18]; see also [\[dlRG19,](#page-21-8) Lemma 1.2.3]).

Proposition 15. Let

$$
X = \sum_{i=1}^{k} z_i h_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} + \sum_{i>k} g_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}
$$

be a holomorphic vector field on a neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , vanishing at 0, with singular set of codimension at least two. If, for the integers  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$ , the germ of  $Z = z_1^{p_1} \cdots z_k^{p_k} X$  at 0 is semicomplete (if, away from its divisor of poles, all of its solutions are univalent in some neighborhood of 0), and  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i h_i(0) \neq 0$ , there exist coordinates centered at 0 in which

$$
Z = z_1^{p_1} \cdots z_k^{p_k} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i},
$$

for integers  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i p_i = -1$ .

*Proof.* The semicompleteness of  $Z$  is equivalent to the uniformizability of the foliated affine structure that it induces [\[GR12,](#page-22-4) Prop. 9] (we refer the reader to [\[GR12\]](#page-22-4) for a general discussion about semicomplete meromorphic vector fields and their relation to foliated affine structures). For the foliated affine structure induced by  $Z$ , from  $\Gamma(Z) \equiv 0$  and  $(1.1)$ , for  $f = z_1^{p_1} \cdots z_k^{p_k}$ ,

$$
\Gamma(X) = -\frac{1}{f}Xf = -\sum_{j=1}^{k} p_i h_i.
$$

The standing hypothesis  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i h_i(0) \neq 0$  is thus equivalent to the fact that the foliated affine structure is not parabolic at the singular point. By Theorem [14](#page-13-0) (and its proof via Theorem [2\)](#page-2-1), there exist coordinates where

$$
Z = u(z_1,\ldots,z_n)z_1^{p_1}\cdots z_k^{p_k}Y,
$$

for u a non-vanishing holomorphic function,  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i z_i \partial/\partial z_i$ , with  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\Gamma(Y) \equiv 1$  (one needs to observe that, in this case, the change of coordinates [\(4.3\)](#page-11-0) in the proof of Theorem [2](#page-2-1) preserves the invariant hyperplanes coming from  $\{z_i = 0\}$ for  $i = 1, ..., k$ ). From  $\Gamma(Z) \equiv 0$ , we have that  $u^{-1}Yu + \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i p_i + 1 = 0$ , and, since  $Yu(0) = 0$ , it follows that  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i p_i = -1$ , and that, in consequence,  $Yu \equiv 0$ . Let  $w_i = u^{-\lambda_i} z_i$  for  $i \leq k$ ,  $w_i = z_i$  for  $i > k$ . Since

$$
\frac{Zw_i}{w_i} = \lambda_i u \left( \prod_{j=1}^k z_j^{p_j} \right) = \lambda_i u \left( \prod_{j=1}^k w_j^{p_j} u^{\lambda_j p_j} \right) =
$$
  
=  $\lambda_i u^{1 + \lambda_1 p_1 + \dots + \lambda_k p_k} \left( \prod_{j=1}^k w_j^{p_j} \right) = \lambda_i \left( \prod_{j=1}^k w_j^{p_j} \right),$ 

the vector field has, in the coordinates  $(w_1, \ldots, w_n)$ , the desired form.

# 5. GLOBAL CONSEQUENCES

Theorem [3](#page-2-0) will be proved in this section. We start with a semilocal version of it, which promotes Corollary [13](#page-12-0) to a description of a saturated neighborhood of a non-degenerate, non-parabolic singular point of a strongly uniformizable foliated projective structure.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>**Proposition 16.** Let M be a manifold of dimension  $n+1$ , F a strongly uniformizable foliated projective structure,  $p \in \mathcal{F}$  a non-degenerate singularity of  $\mathcal F$  which is not parabolic for the foliated projective structure. Then, either

• M is biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ , with F corresponding to the foliation by lines through a point; or

#### 16 BERTRAND DEROIN AND ADOLFO GUILLOT

• there exists an open saturated subset  $\Omega \subset M$  containing p, a pointed analytic space  $(Y, o)$ , and a holomorphic map  $f : (\Omega, p) \to (Y, o)$  such that  $f|_{S\setminus f^{-1}(o)}:S\setminus f^{-1}(o)\to Y\setminus\{o\}$  is a proper map whose fibers are rational curves invariant by F.

*Proof.* Through Corollary [13,](#page-12-0) suppose that, in suitable coordinates centered at p, the foliation is generated by the vector field  $Z = \sum_{i=1}^{m} z_i \partial/\partial z_i - \sum_{i=m+1}^{n+1} z_i \partial/\partial z_i$ . Restrict to  $B = \{z \mid \sum_{i \le m} |z_i|^2 < \epsilon^2, \sum_{i > m} |z_i|^2 < \epsilon^2\}$ . Let  $W_{\text{loc}}^- = \bigcap_{i > m} \{z_i = 0\}$ ,  $W_{\text{loc}}^+ = \bigcap_{i \leq m} \{z_i = 0\}.$  The restriction of Z to  $W_{\text{loc}}^-$  is  $\sum_{i=1}^m z_i \partial/\partial z_i$ , and there is thus a local invariant curve for  $\mathcal F$  by  $p$  through each direction tangent to  $W^-_{\text{loc}}$ . By Lemma  $8$ , each one of these curves extends to a rational curve in  $M$  meeting no other singular point of  $\mathcal{F}$ . The saturated of  $W^+_{\text{loc}}$  is thus a compact manifold  $W^+ \subset M$ , biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^m$ , on which the restriction of  $\mathcal F$  is equivalent to the foliation by lines through a point. This proves our claim when  $m = n + 1$ .

Let us henceforth suppose that  $n > 0$ . There is a compact invariant manifold  $W^-$ , biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^m$ , extending  $W^-_{loc}$ . For  $z \in B \setminus (W^+_{loc} \cup W^-_{loc})$ , consider the annulus

$$
A_z = \left\{ t \in \mathbb{C} \; \left| \; \frac{|z_{m+1}|^2 + \dots + |z_{m+n}|^2}{\epsilon^2} < |t|^2 < \frac{\epsilon^2}{|z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_m|^2} \right\},\right\}
$$

which contains  $\{|t| = 1\}$ . Let  $L_z$  be the leaf of  $\mathcal F$  through  $z$ . The map  $f_z : A_z \to L_z$ ,

 $t \mapsto (z_1t, \ldots, z_mt, z_{m+1}t^{-1}, \ldots, z_{m+n}t^{-1}),$ 

gives a one-to-one parametrization of  $L_z \cap B$ , which is thus an annulus as well. We have that  $Df_z(t\partial/\partial t) = Z|_{L_z}$ , and, from  $\Xi(t\partial/\partial t) \equiv -1/2$  and formula [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0),  $\Xi(\partial/\partial t) \equiv 0$ : the projective structure on the image of  $A_z$  is the one it has as a subset of C.

The boundary of B has two components:  $\partial^+ B = \{\sum_{i \le m} |z_i|^2 = \epsilon\}$  and  $\partial^- B =$  $\{\sum_{i>m} |z_i|^2 = \epsilon\}.$  One of the boundary components of  $L_z \cap B$  is contained in  $\partial^+ B$ , the other in  $\partial^- B$ . Let  $L \subset W^+$  be a leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$ . It intersects  $\partial^- B$  along a circle, and has trivial monodromy. If  $L_z$  is a sufficiently close leaf that is not contained in  $W^+$ , it intersects  $\partial^- B$  along a neighboring circle. Outside B,  $L_z$  follows L, and consists of gluing a disk to the boundary component  $L_z \cap \partial^- B$  of the annulus  $L_z \cap \overline{B}$ . The same happens close to  $W^-$ . The leaves of F close to  $W^+ \cup W^-$  are thus compact rational curves which do not intersect the singular set of the foliation. Each one of them consists of an annulus (its trace in  $B$ ) plus a disk glued to each one of its boundary components.

For  $i \leq m$  and  $j > m$ , consider the function  $f_{ij} : B \to \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f_{ij} = z_i z_j$ . Let  $f: B \to \mathbb{C}^{nm}$  be the map defined by the  $f_{ij}$ ,  $Y \subset \mathbb{C}^{nm}$  its image. Let us show that this first integral  $f : B \to Y$  of F separates orbits that are not in  $W^+ \cup W^-$ . Let p and q be such that  $f(p) = f(q)$ . If  $z_1(p)$  and  $z_1(q)$  are both nonzero, we may suppose, up to the action of  $Z$  on  $p$ , that they are equal. This implies that, for every  $i > m$ ,  $z_i(p) = z_i(q)$ , for  $f_{1i}(p) = f_{1j}(q)$ . Since at least one of these must be nonzero, this implies that, for every  $k \leq m$ ,  $z_k(p) = z_k(q)$ . By extending f to the saturated of B, we obtain the result. saturated of B, we obtain the result.

Proof of Theorem [3.](#page-2-0) Through Theorem [21](#page-18-1) (Appendix [A\)](#page-18-0), Proposition [16](#page-14-0) implies that for every regular point p of  $\mathcal F$  there is a closed curve containing the leaf of  $\mathcal F$ through p; the result then follows directly from Theorem [22.](#page-19-0)  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-16-0"></span>Remark 17. In Theorem [3,](#page-2-0) the Kählerness of the ambient manifold is not necessary in dimension two: from Proposition [16,](#page-14-0)  $\mathcal F$  has infinitely many compact leaves, and in this setting, since the foliation is of codimension one, a theorem of Jouanolou and Ghys [\[Ghy00\]](#page-21-9) guarantees the existence of a meromorphic first integral.

A weak form of Theorem [3,](#page-2-0) not requiring the Kähler hypothesis, follows from an unpublished result by Barlet [\[Bar15\]](#page-21-10) (Proposition [16](#page-14-0) gives the setting of Corollary 1.0.2 in Barlet's article). In particular, the "stability region," the open subset formed by the smooth compact rational leaves that do not intersect the singular locus of the foliation, is the complement of an analytic subset.

# 6. The index theorem

Theorem [4](#page-2-2) is an extension of Theorem 5.1 in [\[DG23\]](#page-21-0), whose proof we will follow for the proof of the former. From the foliated projective structure, we will consider a foliation by curves on a compact  $(n+1)$ -dimensional manifold, and will apply the Baum-Bott index theorem to it.

*Proof of Theorem [4.](#page-2-2)* We begin by describing a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle N over M through some explicit formulas. Let  $\{U_i\}$  be an open cover of M and  $Z_i$  a vector field on  $U_i$ tangent to F. Let  ${g_{ij}}$  be the associated cocycle, so that  $Z_i = g_{ij}Z_j$  in  $U_i \cap U_j$ . In  $\{U_i \times \mathbb{P}^1\}$ , identify  $U_i \times \mathbb{P}^1$  with  $U_j \times \mathbb{P}^1$  via the natural identification of  $U_i$  and  $U_i$  along their intersection and, in the second factor, through  $u_i = g_{ij}u_j - Z_jg_{ij}$ , where  $u_i$  and  $u_j$  are affine coordinates in the corresponding projective lines. The resulting compact manifold N is a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle  $\pi : N \to M$ .

We will now use the foliated projective structure to define a foliation by curves  $\mathcal G$  on  $M$ , the projectivization of the projective geodesic vector field that already appeared in Section [4.](#page-9-1) Let  $\rho_i: U_i \to \mathbb{C}$  be the projective Christoffel symbol of  $Z_i$ . Consider the foliation  $\mathcal{G}_i$  on  $U_i \times \mathbb{P}^1$  given by the vector field

<span id="page-16-1"></span>
$$
W_i = Z_i - \left(\frac{1}{2}u_i^2 + \rho_i\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}.
$$

These foliations glue together and define a global foliation  $\mathcal G$  on  $N$ , which projects to F via π.

We will apply the Baum-Bott index theorem to  $\mathcal{G}$ . It establishes that the number resulting from the evaluation of  $\varphi$  on the Chern classes of the (virtual) normal bundle  $TN - T_{\mathcal{G}}$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  can be localized at the singular set of  $\mathcal{G}$ , and computed through some residues [\[BB70\]](#page-21-4). From the proof of Theorem 5.1 in [\[DG23\]](#page-21-0),  $\varphi(c(TN-T_G))$ equals twice the expression appearing in the left hand side of  $(1.2)$  plus

(6.1) 
$$
2\widehat{\varphi}_n(c(TM-T_{\mathcal{F}})),
$$

the term that would correspond to  $k = 0$  in  $(1.2)$ .

Let us calculate the local contributions of the singularities. Above each singular point of F, there is a unique singular point of  $\mathcal{G}$ , which is degenerate. For  $\epsilon$  in a neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathbb{C}$ , consider the foliation on  $U_i \times \mathbb{P}^1$  given by  $W_i + \epsilon \partial/\partial u_i$ , which gives the foliation  $\mathcal{G}_i$  when  $\epsilon = 0$ . The singularity of  $\mathcal{G}_i$  above  $(p, 0)$  splits, when  $\epsilon \neq 0$ 0, into two non-degenerate ones. One of them has the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$  of  $Z_i$ plus the eigenvalue  $\mu(\epsilon) = \sqrt{2(\epsilon - \rho_i)}$ , vanishing with  $\epsilon$ ; the other, the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$  and  $-\mu(\epsilon)$ . The sum of the Baum-Bott residues with respect to  $\varphi$  of these two is

$$
\frac{\varphi(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\mu)}{\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_n\mu}-\frac{\varphi(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,-\mu)}{\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_n\mu}=\frac{2}{\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_n}\sum_{j \text{ odd}}\mu^{j-1}\widehat{\varphi}_{n+1-j}(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n).
$$

According to [\[BS15,](#page-21-11) Thm. 5.4], the residue of the singularity of  $\mathcal{G}_i$  above p is the limit of this expression as  $\epsilon$  goes to 0, which equals

<span id="page-17-1"></span>(6.2) 
$$
2\frac{\widehat{\varphi}_n(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n)}{\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_n}.
$$

By the Baum-Bott index theorem applied to F via the symmetric polynomial  $\hat{\varphi}_n$ , the expressions  $(6.1)$  and  $(6.2)$  are equal, and cancel each other. This establishes the result.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-17-2"></span>*Remark* 18. For even *n*, for  $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i^{n+1}$ , formula [\(1.2\)](#page-2-3) reduces to  $c_1^n(T_{\mathcal{F}}) = 0$ ; For odd *n*, for  $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i^n \sum_{j \neq i} x_j$ , it reads  $c_1^{n-1}(T_{\mathcal{F}})c_1(TM - T_{\mathcal{F}}) = 0$ .

*Proof of Corollary [5.](#page-2-4)* If  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is nef then, as in the proof of Proposition 6.3 in [\[DG23\]](#page-21-0), which is based on the asymptotic Riemann-Roch formula, the condition on  $c_1(K_F)$ arising from Remark [18](#page-17-2) is incompatible with the assumption that  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is of general type. If  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is not nef then, by a result by Bogomolov and McQuillan [\[BM16,](#page-21-12) Cor. 4.2.1], either the foliation is a fibration by rational curves (in which case it is not of general type, for no positive power of its canonical bundle has non-zero sections), or there exists an invariant rational curve C in M such that  $K_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot C = -1$ . In this case, [\(2.3\)](#page-5-4) reads  $\mu(\mathcal{F}, C) = 1$ . This implies that C intersects the singular set of F along a single branch passing through a singular point p of F, that no other singular point of  $\mathcal F$  lies on  $C$ . Since p is, by hypothesis, a parabolic singular point, the ramification index at p of the projective structure induced on C is  $\infty$ , and the local monodromy is parabolic. But this is impossible, for  $C \setminus \{p\}$ , along which the projective structure is regular, is simply connected. This discards the case in which  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is not nef.

Remark 19. In the proof of Proposition 6.3 in [\[DG23\]](#page-21-0), it should have been mentioned that, for a regular holomorphic foliation by curves on a compact manifold, either its canonical bundle is nef, or the foliation is a fibration by rational curves [\[BM16,](#page-21-12) Cor. 4.2.2], and that in the second case, the foliation is not of general type.

### 7. Foliations on projective spaces

<span id="page-17-0"></span>In order to prove Theorem [1,](#page-1-1) we begin by stating the following result, which follows straightforwardly from Theorem [2](#page-2-1) and Lemma [8:](#page-4-2)

<span id="page-17-3"></span>**Lemma 20.** Let M be a manifold,  $\mathcal F$  a holomorphic foliation by curves on M endowed with a strongly uniformizable projective structure, and  $p \in M$  a nondegenerate, non-parabolic singularity of  $\mathcal F$ . Then, there exists a non-singular rational curve  $C \subset M$ , invariant by F, with  $\text{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cap C = \{p\}.$ 

*Proof of Theorem [1.](#page-1-1)* Let F be a foliation of degree d on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , so that  $K_{\mathcal{F}} = (d-1)h$ , for h the hyperplane class in  $H^2(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{Z})$ . If p is a non-degenerate, non-parabolic singularity of  $\mathcal F$  then, by Lemma [20,](#page-17-3) there exists a smooth rational curve C, invariant by F, intersecting  $\text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$  exclusively at p. If  $\delta$  denotes its degree, formula [\(2.3\)](#page-5-4) gives  $(d-1)\delta = -1$ , which implies that  $d = 0$ . Thus, if  $d > 0$ , all the singularities

of  $\mathcal F$  are parabolic, and  $\mathcal F$  is subject to the conditions imposed by Theorem [4.](#page-2-2) If n is even, by Remark [18,](#page-17-2)  $(1-d)^n = 0$ , and  $d = 1$ . If n is odd, from

$$
c(T\mathbb{P}^n - T_{\mathcal{F}}) = \frac{c(T\mathbb{P}^n)}{c(T_{\mathcal{F}})} = \frac{(1+h)^{n+1}}{1 - (d-1)h} = 1 + (n+d)h + \cdots,
$$

 $c_1(T\mathbb{P}^n - T_{\mathcal{F}}) = (n+d)h$ , and Remark [18](#page-17-2) gives  $(1-d)^{n-1}(n+d) = 0$ ; we also have  $d = 1$  in this case.

In Theorem [1,](#page-1-1) the hypothesis on the degree is a necessary one. A foliation of vanishing degree on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is given by the lines through a point. Its leaves are biholomorphic to C, and the canonical projective structure on each one of them gives a strongly uniformizable foliated projective structure. Foliations of degree one on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ are induced by globally defined holomorphic vector fields, which are complete, and which induce strongly uniformizable foliated translation (thus projective) structures. We do have foliations on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  of degree two with non-degenerate singularities supporting uniformizable foliated affine (hence projective) structures (which are not, of course, strongly uniformizable); see [\[Gui18\]](#page-22-14).

The results in Theorem [1](#page-1-1) coexist with an abundance of foliated projective structures along the involved foliations. For a foliation by curves  $\mathcal F$ , the space of foliated projective structures subordinate to it, if not empty, is an affine space directed by the vector space of quadratic differentials along the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  [\[DG23,](#page-21-0) Rmk. 2.15]. For a foliation by curves  $\mathcal F$  of degree d on  $\mathbb P^n$ , this space is not empty [\[DG23,](#page-21-0) Ex. 2.4], and, if  $d \geq 1$ ,  $K_{\mathcal{F}}^2 = (T_{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes 2})^* = O(2(d-1))$ . This space may be identified to the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree  $2(d-1)$  in  $n+1$  variables, and thus

$$
h^0({\mathbb P}^n,K_{\mathcal F}^2) = \binom{n+2d-2}{n}.
$$

In particular, for  $n = 2$ ,  $h^0(\mathbb{P}^2, K_{\mathcal{F}}^2) = d(2d - 1)$ , which, if  $d > 2$ , is strictly greater than (and roughly twice as big as)  $d^2+d+1$ , the number of singularities of a generic foliation of degree d (at a non-degenerate singular point, parabolicity is, locally, a codimension-one condition, and one may be na¨ıvely tempted to think that, for a given foliation with non-degenerate singularities, and sufficiently many foliated projective structures, some of the latter make all the singular points parabolic).

# <span id="page-18-0"></span>Appendix A. On the analytic subspaces invariant by foliations on KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

A holomorphic foliation of codimension one on a compact complex manifold with infinitely many compact invariant hypersurfaces has a meromorphic first integral, and the union of the invariant hypersurfaces is the whole manifold (this result is due Ghys [\[Ghy00\]](#page-21-9); it generalizes previous work by Jouanolou). This is no longer true for foliations of higher codimension, as observed in the closing remarks to [\[Ghy00\]](#page-21-9). In its place, we have, at least in the Kähler setting, the following result:

<span id="page-18-1"></span>**Theorem 21** (Pereira). Let M be a compact Kähler manifold,  $\mathcal{F}$  a (not necessarily regular) holomorphic foliation on M of dimension d. The union of the closed analytic subspaces of M of dimension d that are invariant by  $\mathcal F$  is the union of countably many closed analytic subspaces.

This result has a natural counterpart in the algebraic setting, which is implicit in the proof of Theorem 3 in [\[GM89\]](#page-21-13), and of which Proposition 2.1 in [\[CP06\]](#page-21-14) is a relative version. The proof we present is due to Jorge Pereira, and some of its arguments are not far from those in [\[GM89\]](#page-21-13). The ideas behind it will allow us to establish a Kählerian version of a result by Gómez-Mont [\[GM89,](#page-21-13) Thm. 3], the final remark in [\[GM89\]](#page-21-13) notwithstanding:

<span id="page-19-0"></span>**Theorem 22** (Pereira). Let F be a (not necessarily regular) holomorphic foliation of codimension  $q$  in the compact complex Kähler manifold  $M$ , and assume that through every regular point of  $\mathcal F$  there is an invariant compact analytic space of codimension q invariant by  $\mathcal F$ . Then, there exists an analytic space V, of dimension q, belonging to Fujiki's class C, and a meromorphic dominant map  $f : M \rightarrow V$ such that the leaves of  $\mathcal F$  are contained in the fibers of  $f$ .

Here, a holomorphic foliation  $\mathcal F$  of dimension d on a complex manifold M is a coherent analytic subsheaf  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq TM$  of rank d satisfying the Frobenius integrability condition  $[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}] \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  (see [\[BB72\]](#page-21-15)). An analytic subspace  $S \subseteq M$  defined by the sheaf of ideals  $\mathcal{J}_S \subset \mathcal{O}(M)$  is invariant by F if and only if  $\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{J}_S \subset \mathcal{J}_S$ , this is, if for every vector field Z in F and every  $f \in \mathcal{J}_S$ ,  $Zf \in \mathcal{J}_S$ . An invariant variety whose dimension is strictly smaller than that of  $\mathcal F$  is necessarily contained in  $\text{sing}(\mathcal F)$ .

The central ingredient in the proofs of these results is the Douady space of the manifold M, a complex space which parametrizes flat families of compact analytic subspaces of  $M$ . We refer the reader to [\[CP94\]](#page-21-16) for a discussion around this space as well as of some of its properties, which will be used without further comments.

<span id="page-19-3"></span>We begin by establishing a preliminary result (compare with [\[GM89,](#page-21-13) Lemma 2]).

**Lemma 23.** Let M be a compact complex manifold,  $\mathcal F$  a foliation of dimension d on M. Let T be a compact complex analytic space, and  $S \subset M \times T$  an analytic subspace such that the induced projection  $\pi : S \to T$  is equidimensional with fibers of dimension d. Then,

- <span id="page-19-2"></span><span id="page-19-1"></span>(1) the subset of T corresponding to fibers of  $\pi$  having an irreducible component invariant by F, and
- (2) the subset of M formed by points that belong to an irreducible component of a fiber of  $\pi$  invariant by  $\mathcal F$

are closed analytic subsets.

*Proof.* Let  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$  be the foliation of dimension d on  $M \times T$  tangent to the fibers of  $\pi$ , and coinciding with F along them. Let  $\mathcal{J}_S \subset \mathcal{O}(M \times T)$  be the sheaf of ideals defining S. Define recursively the ascending chain of sheafs of ideals  $\mathcal{J}_S^0 \subseteq \mathcal{J}_S^1 \subseteq \mathcal{J}_S^2 \subseteq \cdots$  by setting  $\mathcal{J}_S^0 = \mathcal{J}_S$ , and defining  $\mathcal{J}_S^{i+1}$  as the sheaf generated by  $\mathcal{I}_S^i$  and the derivatives of the elements of  $\mathcal{J}_S^i$  along the vector fields tangent to  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ . By local Noetherianity and the compactness of  $M \times T$ , the chain of ideals stabilizes: there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that  $\mathcal{J}_S^{n+1} = \mathcal{J}_S^n$ . Thus, for every  $f \in \mathcal{J}_S^n$  and every vector field Z tangent to  $\widehat{F}, Zf \in \mathcal{J}_S^n$ , and  $\mathcal{J}_S^n$  defines an analytic subspace  $S^{\mathcal{F}} \subset M \times T$ , invariant by  $\widehat{F}$ and contained in S.

If  $X \subset M$  is an analytic subspace invariant by F, and  $X \times \{t_0\} \subset S$ , then if  $X \times \{t_0\}$  is defined by the sheaf of ideals  $\mathcal{J}_X \subset \mathcal{O}(M \times T)$ , since  $\mathcal{J}_S \subset \mathcal{J}_X$ , and  $\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{J}_X \subset \mathcal{J}_X$ , we have that  $\mathcal{J}_S^i \subset \mathcal{J}_X$  for all i, and  $X \times \{t_0\}$  is thus contained in  $S^{\mathcal{F}}$ . Reciprocally, if  $X \subset M$  is an analytic subspace, and  $X \times \{t_0\} \subset S'$ , X is invariant by F. We also have that  $S \cap \text{sing}(\widehat{F}) = S \cap (\text{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \times T)$  is contained in  $S^{\mathcal{F}}$ .

Let S' be the subset of  $S^{\mathcal{F}}$  formed by the x such that the dimension at x of  $\pi^{-1} \circ \pi(x) \cap S^{\mathcal{F}}$  is d. By the semicontinuity of the fiber dimension [\[Fis76,](#page-21-17) Ch. 3, §3.4], S' is a closed analytic subset of  $S^{\mathcal{F}}$  (and of S).

Let  $T' = \pi(S')$ . It is the subset of T corresponding to the fibers of  $\pi$  having an irreducible component invariant by  $\mathcal{F}$ . By the Proper Mapping Theorem [\[GR84,](#page-21-18) Ch. 10,  $\S6$ , it is a closed analytic subspace of T. This establishes item [\(1\)](#page-19-1).

The image of S' in M under the projection  $M \times T \to M$  is the set of item [\(2\)](#page-19-2). Again, by the Proper Mapping Theorem, it is a closed analytic subset of  $M$ . This establishes item  $(2)$ .

*Proof of Theorem [21.](#page-18-1)* Consider the Douady space  $\mathcal{D}(M)$  of M. Since the ambient manifold is Kähler, each one of its irreducible components is compact, and belongs to Fujiki's class C. Let T be an irreducible component of  $\mathcal{D}(M)$  parametrizing analytic subspaces of dimension d, and let  $S \subset M \times T$  be the restriction of the universal family to T. Let  $T' \subset T$  and  $S' \subset M \times T'$  be as in Lemma [23](#page-19-3) (T' is also in Fujiki's class  $C$ ). The image of  $S'$  under the projection onto  $M$  is, by item  $(2)$ in Lemma [23,](#page-19-3) a closed analytic subspace of  $M$ . As  $T$  varies within the countably many irreducible components of  $\mathcal{D}(M)$ , we obtain the countable union of analytic subspaces of M in the statement of the theorem subspaces of M in the statement of the theorem.

Proof of Theorem [22.](#page-19-0) We follow the closing argument in Gómez-Mont's proof of Theorem 3 in [\[GM89\]](#page-21-13). Under the standing hypothesis, by Theorem [21,](#page-18-1) there is a component  $T$  of the Douady space of  $M$  such that, with the previous notations, the restriction to S' of the projection  $M \times T' \to M$  is a holomorphic onto map. This map is injective in the complement of a closed proper analytic subset (for there is only one leaf passing through a regular point of  $\mathcal{F}$ ), and is thus a bimeromorphic map, having an inverse  $\phi : M \dashrightarrow S'$ . The meromorphic map  $\pi'|_{S'} \circ \phi : M \dashrightarrow T'$ is the sought one.  $\Box$ 

Remark 24. Together, Theorems [21](#page-18-1) and [22](#page-19-0) extend the main result in [\[Per01\]](#page-22-15) to encompass singular foliations. This is essentially what allowed us, in the proof of Theorem [3,](#page-2-0) to go from Proposition [16](#page-14-0) to a setting where Theorem [22](#page-19-0) could be used.

We end by exhibiting some regular holomorphic foliations on algebraic compact manifolds with infinitely many compact leaves but without first integrals; not many examples of these seem to be known. For these, the union of the closed leaves is not contained in the union of finitely many proper closed analytic subspaces.

Example 25 (Geodesic flows of complex hyperbolic surfaces with infinitely many closed complex geodesics). Let q be a pseudo-Hermitian form on  $\mathbb{C}^3$  of signature  $(1, 2)$ , and let  $\Gamma \subset PU(q)$  be a cocompact lattice. As discussed in the beginning of Section [3.2,](#page-8-1) on the projectivized tangent bundle of the complex hyperbolic surface  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{B}^2$ , we have the complex geodesic foliation (a non singular holomorphic foliation by curves); its compact leaves correspond to the closed complex geodesics in  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{B}^2$ .

There exist plenty of forms q as above for which  $PU(q)$  admits cocompact lattices, and for which the associated compact complex hyperbolic manifold has infinitely many closed complex geodesics; see [\[MT15,](#page-22-16) Section 1]. The simplest of these are the pseudo-Hermitian forms defined over  $\mathbb Q$ , of signature  $(1, 2)$ , that do not represent 0, for which  $P(U(q) \cap SL(3, \mathbb{Z})) \subset PU(q)$  is a cocompact lattice, and for which the countably many rational planes of  $\mathbb{C}^3$  in restriction to which q has signature  $(1,1)$ give closed complex geodesics on  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{B}^2$ .

Acknowledgements. We thank Xavier Gómez-Mont and Jorge Pereira for enlightening conversations around the results discussed in Appendix [A.](#page-18-0) We are also grateful to Jorge Pereira for having generously allowed us to include Theorems [21](#page-18-1) and [22](#page-19-0) and their proofs here, and for his comments on this text.

# **REFERENCES**

<span id="page-21-10"></span>

- <span id="page-21-4"></span>[BB70] P. BAUM and R. BOTT, On the zeros of meromorphic vector-fields, in Essays on Topology and Related Topics (Mémoires dédiés à Georges de Rham), Springer, New York, 1970, pp. 29–47. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-49197-9\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-49197-9_4).
- <span id="page-21-15"></span>[BB72] P. BAUM and R. BOTT, Singularities of holomorphic foliations, J. Differential Geometry 7 (1972), 279–342. Available at <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.jdg/1214431158>.
- <span id="page-21-12"></span>[BM16] F. Bogomolov and M. McQuillan, Rational curves on foliated varieties, in Foliation theory in algebraic geometry, Simons Symp., Springer, Cham, 2016, pp. 21–51.
- <span id="page-21-11"></span>[BS15] F. Bracci and T. Suwa, Perturbation of Baum-Bott residues, Asian J. Math. 19 no. 5 (2015), 871–885. <https://doi.org/10.4310/AJM.2015.v19.n5.a4>.
- <span id="page-21-7"></span>[Bru11] M. BRUNELLA, Uniformisation de feuilletages et feuilles entières, in Complex man $ifolds, foliations and uniformization, Panor. Syntheses 34/35, Soc. Math. France,$ Paris, 2011, pp. 1–52.
- <span id="page-21-5"></span>[Bru15] M. Brunella, Birational geometry of foliations, IMPA Monographs 1, Springer, Cham, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-14310-1>.
- <span id="page-21-16"></span>[CP94] F. Campana and T. Peternell, Cycle spaces, in Several complex variables, VII, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci. 74, Springer, Berlin, 1994, pp. 319–349. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-09873-8\\_9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-09873-8_9).
- <span id="page-21-6"></span>[CCGdlF00] A. CAMPILLO, M. M. CARNICER, and J. GARCÍA DE LA FUENTE, Invariant curves by vector fields on algebraic varieties, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 62 no. 1 (2000), 56–70. <https://doi.org/10.1112/S0024610700008978>.
- <span id="page-21-2"></span>[Can93] A. CANDEL, Uniformization of surface laminations, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 26 no. 4 (1993), 489–516. <https://doi.org/10.24033/asens.1678>.
- <span id="page-21-3"></span>[CGM95] A. CANDEL and X. GÓMEZ-MONT, Uniformization of the leaves of a rational vector field, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 45 no. 4 (1995), 1123–1133. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5802/aif.1488>.
- <span id="page-21-14"></span>[CP06] S. C. Coutinho and J. V. Pereira, On the density of algebraic foliations without algebraic invariant sets, J. Reine Angew. Math. **594** (2006), 117-135. <https://doi.org/10.1515/CRELLE.2006.037>.
- <span id="page-21-8"></span>[dlRG19] D. DE LA ROSA GÓMEZ, *Quadratic vector fields with univalued solutions in dimension* 3 and higher, Ph.D. Thesis, Université Paul Sabatier-Toulouse III, 2019. Available at <https://theses.hal.science/tel-02896362>.
- <span id="page-21-17"></span>[Fis76] G. Fischer, Complex analytic geometry, Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. 538, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.
- <span id="page-21-0"></span>[DG23] B. DEROIN and A. GUILLOT, Foliated affine and projective structures, Compos. Math. 159 no. 6 (2023), 1153–1187. <https://doi.org/10.1112/s0010437x2300711x>.
- <span id="page-21-9"></span> $[Ghv00]$  E. GHYS,  $\hat{A}$  propos d'un théorème de J.-P. Jouanolou concernant les feuilles fermées des feuilletages holomorphes, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) 49 no. 1 (2000), 175– 180. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02904228>.
- <span id="page-21-1"></span>[Glu02] A. Glutsyuk, On simultaneous uniformization and local nonuniformizability, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 334 no. 6 (2002), 489–494. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1631-073X\(02\)02268-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1631-073X(02)02268-9).
- <span id="page-21-13"></span>[GM89] X. GÓMEZ-MONT, Integrals for holomorphic foliations with singularities having all leaves compact, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 39 no. 2 (1989), 451–458. <https://doi.org/10.5802/aif.1173>.
- <span id="page-21-18"></span>[GR84] H. Grauert and R. Remmert, Coherent analytic sheaves, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 265, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-69582-7>.
- <span id="page-22-11"></span>[Gui06] A. Guillot, Semicompleteness of homogeneous quadratic vector fields, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 56 no. 5 (2006), 1583–1615. <https://doi.org/10.5802/aif.2221>.
- <span id="page-22-10"></span>[Gui07] A. GUILLOT, Sur les équations d'Halphen et les actions de  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ , Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. no.  $105$  (2007), 221–294. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10240-007-0008-6>.
- <span id="page-22-14"></span>[Gui18] A. GUILLOT, Quadratic differential equations in three variables without multivalued solutions: Part I, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. 14 (2018), Paper No. 122, 46. <https://doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2018.122>.
- <span id="page-22-3"></span>[Gui24] A. GUILLOT, On the singularities of complete holomorphic vector fields in dimension two, in Handbook of geometry and topology of singularities VI. Foliations, Springer, Cham, 2024, pp. 1–37. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-54172-8\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-54172-8_1).
- <span id="page-22-4"></span>[GR12] A. Guillot and J. Rebelo, Semicomplete meromorphic vector fields on complex surfaces, J. Reine Angew. Math. 667 (2012), 27–65. <https://doi.org/10.1515/crelle.2011.127>.
- <span id="page-22-8"></span>[Hir73] F. E. P. HIRZEBRUCH, Hilbert modular surfaces, *Enseign. Math.* (2) 19 (1973), 183–281.
- <span id="page-22-0"></span>[Ily24] Y. Ilyashenko, Persistence, uniformizanion and holonomy, in Handbook of geometry and topology of singularities V. Foliations, Springer, Cham, 2024, pp. 71–97. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-52481-3\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-52481-3_2).
- <span id="page-22-5"></span>[Inc44] E. L. Ince, Ordinary Differential Equations, Dover Publications, New York, 1944.
- <span id="page-22-2"></span>[LN94] A. LINS NETO, Simultaneous uniformization for the leaves of projective foliations by curves, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.) 25 no. 2 (1994), 181–206. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01321307>.
- <span id="page-22-6"></span>[LNS96] A. LINS NETO and M. G. SOARES, Algebraic solutions of onedimensional foliations, *J. Differential Geom.* **43** no. 3 (1996), 652-673. <https://doi.org/10.4310/jdg/1214458327>.
- <span id="page-22-9"></span>[Mok12] N. Mok, Projective algebraicity of minimal compactifications of complex-hyperbolic space forms of finite volume, in Perspectives in analysis, geometry, and topology, Progr. Math. 296, Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2012, pp. 331-354. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-8176-8277-4\\_14](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-8176-8277-4_14).
- <span id="page-22-16"></span>[MT15] M. MÖLLER and D. TOLEDO, Bounded negativity of self-intersection numbers of Shimura curves in Shimura surfaces, Algebra Number Theory 9 no. 4 (2015), 897– 912. <https://doi.org/10.2140/ant.2015.9.897>.
- <span id="page-22-12"></span>[MZ55] D. MONTGOMERY and L. ZIPPIN, Topological transformation groups, Interscience Publishers, New York-London, 1955.
- <span id="page-22-15"></span>[Per01] J. V. Pereira, Global stability for holomorphic foliations on Kaehler manifolds, Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst. 2 no. 2 (2001), 381–384. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02969347>.
- <span id="page-22-7"></span>[Reb96] J. C. REBELO, Singularités des flots holomorphes, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 46 no. 2 (1996), 411–428. <https://doi.org/10.5802/aif.1519>.
- <span id="page-22-13"></span>[Reb99] J. C. REBELO, Champs complets avec singularités non isolées sur les surfaces complexes, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana (3) 5 no. 2 (1999), 359–395.
- <span id="page-22-1"></span>[Ver87] A. VERJOVSKY, A uniformization theorem for holomorphic foliations, in The Lefschetz centennial conference, Part III (Mexico City, 1984), Contemp. Math. 58, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 233–253.

CNRS-Laboratoire AGM-Universit´e de Cergy-Pontoise, 2 Avenue Adolphe Chauvin, 95302, Cergy-Pontoise, France

Email address: bertrand.deroin@cyu.fr

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO, CIUDAD UNIversitaria 04510, Ciudad de México, Mexico

Email address: adolfo.guillot@im.unam.mx