

CLASSIFICATION OF POLYNOMIAL MODELS WITHOUT 2-JET DETERMINATION IN \mathbb{C}^3

MARTIN KOLÁŘ, PETR LICZMAN, AND FRANCINE MEYLAN

ABSTRACT. An intriguing phenomenon regarding Levi-degenerate hypersurfaces is the existence of nontrivial infinitesimal symmetries with vanishing 2-jets at a point. In this work we consider polynomial models of Levi-degenerate real hypersurfaces in \mathbb{C}^3 of finite Catlin multitype. Exploiting the structure of the corresponding Lie algebra, we characterize completely models without 2-jet determination, including an explicit description of their symmetry algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

Our main motivation in this paper is the local equivalence problem for real hypersurfaces in complex space, as formulated by Poincaré in [24]. We are interested in the singular case, when the Levi form is degenerate at a point of the hypersurface. This leads naturally to the study of holomorphically nondegenerate polynomial models, which generalize the model hyperquadrics from the classical Chern-Moser theory [7].

The singularity of the Levi form prevents from using standard differential geometric techniques of Cartan, Chern, Tanaka [4, 5, 25] based on vector bundles, since the rank of the form may change from point to point. On the other hand, as it turns out, the analytic normal form approach to the equivalence problem, as developed by Moser and inspired by techniques coming from dynamics, is applicable to this setting [21, 22]. In particular, Kolář, Meylan and Zaitsev in [15] studied a generalization of the Chern-Moser operator to polynomial models of finite Catlin multitype and described explicitly the structure of the Lie algebra of symmetries, as a graded Lie algebra.

An immediate consequence of the classical Chern-Moser theory is a sharp 2-jet determination result for local automorphisms of Levi nondegenerate hypersurfaces. While finite jet determination still holds on polynomial models in the setting of [15], the possible order of determinacy is not uniformly bounded, and there exist infinitesimal symmetries determined by jets of arbitrarily high order. In fact, this phenomenon presents a main obstruction to a generalization of the normal form constructions of [7] and [20, 21, 22].

In fact, existence or nonexistence of higher order symmetries on CR manifolds is a problem of its own great interest and has been studied intensively in various settings. There has been also intensive research on characterizing finite jet determination in general ([23, 26] and references therein).

Key words and phrases. Infinitesimal CR automorphisms, Levi degenerate manifolds, Catlin multitype.

The first two authors were supported by the GAČR grant GA21-09220S.

Classifying all possible kernels of the Chern-Moser operator, and hence all Lie algebras of infinitesimal symmetries of such polynomial models, does not seem tractable in full generality. Note that \mathbb{C}^2 case is well understood and rather simple. It leads to three different types of models, two exceptional ones - circular and tubular, and the generic type. In contrast, already in \mathbb{C}^3 the problem becomes highly nontrivial.

In this paper we address the question of classifying the models which violate 2-jet determination, that is, admitting so called exotic symmetries. We also describe which other symmetries are compatible with an exotic symmetry. Observe that in this case $\dim \mathfrak{g} \geq 4$. The results can be summarized by the given table 1. (See Section 2 for notation.)

\mathfrak{g}	\mathfrak{g}_t	$\mathfrak{g}_0^{\text{Re}}$	$\mathfrak{g}_0^{\text{Im}}$	\mathfrak{g}_1	Model	Reference
10	2	1	1	1	$\text{Im } w = \text{Re } (\bar{z}_1 z_2^\alpha)$	[17]
7	1	1	1	1	$\text{Im } w = z_1 ^{2k} (\text{Re } z_2)^m$	Theorem 4.1
6	0	1	1	1	$\text{Im } w = z_1 ^{2k} z_2 ^{2l} \left(\text{Re } z_1^\alpha z_2^\beta \right)^m$	Theorem 3.13
6	2	0	1	0	$\text{Im } w = \text{Re } \bar{z}_1 z_2^{2\alpha-1} + z_2 ^{2\alpha}$	Theorem 4.1
5	2	0	0	0	$\text{Im } w = \text{Re } \bar{z}_1 z_2^\alpha + Q(z_2, \bar{z}_2)$	Theorem 4.1
5	1	1	0	0	$\text{Im } w = \text{Re } z_2^\alpha \text{Re } \bar{z}_1 z_2^\alpha$	Theorem 4.1
4	0	1	0	0	See (3.21)	Theorem 3.10
4	0	0	1	0	See (3.23)	Theorem 3.12
4	1	0	0	0	$\text{Im } w = \text{Re } z_2^\alpha \text{Re } \bar{z}_1 z_2^\alpha + Q(z_2, \bar{z}_2)$	Theorem 4.1

TABLE 1.

This table gives a classification of the models with respect to the dimension of the full symmetry algebra and, more precisely, with respect to the dimensions of some of its graded components that are compatible with the existence of an exotic symmetry. The common 3-dimensional part, $\mathfrak{g}_c \oplus \langle W, E \rangle$ is omitted for all models in the table (here $W = \partial_w$, where w is the transversal coordinate, and E is the Euler field). Also note that in \mathbb{C}^3 we always have $\dim \mathfrak{g}_c \leq 1$ [16].

Note that the first model has an extra 2-dimensional factor, \mathfrak{g}_n , as recalled in (2.4). Further details on the models and their parameters are provided in the respective given references.

The structure of the paper is as follows: In Section 2, we recall the needed background, collect useful theorems and fix notation. In Section 3, we study the existence of an exotic symmetry together with rotations; in Section 4, we explore exotic symmetries in combination with tubular symmetries. The general case, $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 3$, is treated in section 5.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Our goal is to characterize holomorphically nondenerate polynomial models of finite Catlin multitype of Levi-degenerate hypersurfaces in \mathbb{C}^3 given by

$$(2.1) \quad M_P = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im } w = P(z, \bar{z})\},$$

where P is a real valued weighted-homogeneous polynomial of weight one with respect to the Catlin multitype weights.

In this section, we fix notation and collect useful terminology and theorems from the literature. The statements are adapted for our needs in complex dimension 3.

2.1. Catlin multitype. We use nonnegative rational weights. We always have weight 1 for the complex transversal variable w . For tangential variables we need the following

Definition 2.1 (Catlin, [6]). *A weight is an n -tuple of rational numbers $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ such that*

$$0 \leq \lambda_k \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_k \geq \lambda_{k+1}$$

A weight Λ is distinguished for M if there exist local coordinates (at the origin) in which M is given by

$$\text{Im } w = P(z, \bar{z}) + o_\Lambda(1)$$

where P is a polynomial of Λ -weighted degree 1 not containing any pluriharmonic terms. The $o_\Lambda(1)$ term vanishes up to the weighted order 1.

Denote $\Lambda_M = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ the infimum of all distinguished weights in lexicographic order. The (Catlin) multitype is an n -tuple (m_1, \dots, m_n) given by

$$m_j = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\mu_j}, & \text{if } \mu_j \neq 0, \\ \infty, & \text{if } \mu_j = 0. \end{cases}$$

Multitype is clearly an invariant. The hypersurface M has *finite multitype* (or *is of finite multitype*) if $m_n < \infty$, or equivalently, all weights are positive. For finite multitype hypersurfaces, the Lemma 3.1 from [14] implies that for each k there are nonnegative integers a_1, \dots, a_k with $a_k > 0$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \lambda_j = 1.$$

Thanks to this condition, there are only finitely many weights with $\lambda_n > \epsilon > 0$. The infimum is therefore attained as a distinguished weight, which, in turn, provides us with *multitype coordinates* and a model for M given by

$$M_P = \{\text{Im } w = P(z, \bar{z})\}.$$

This model is not unique (as multitype coordinates are not unique), but it is shown in [14] that all such models are biholomorphically equivalent; hence, the model is an invariant.

2.2. The symmetry algebra and its graded parts. As an application of the generalized Chern-Moser theory, it is proved in [15] that the Lie algebra of infinitesimal symmetries of a finite multitype holomorphically nondegenerate model hypersurface M_P , denoted by $\mathfrak{g} = \text{hol}(M_P, 0)$, admits a weighted grading given by

$$(2.3) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\mu_1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\mu_2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_c \oplus \mathfrak{g}_n \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1,$$

where the weights are given by the multitype. We briefly recall description of each factor: $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \langle W \rangle$, where $W = \partial_w$. Symmetries of weights in the interval $(-1, 0)$ are called *tubular*. The factor $\mathfrak{g}_c \oplus \mathfrak{g}_n$ consists of symmetries of weights strictly between 0 and 1. Vector fields in \mathfrak{g}_c commute with W , while fields in \mathfrak{g}_n do not. Nontrivial elements of \mathfrak{g}_c are called *exotic symmetries* (or *generalized rotations*).

In \mathbb{C}^3 a nontrivial \mathfrak{g}_n is rare, indeed in [19] it has been shown that occurs only for models equivalent to

$$(2.4) \quad \operatorname{Im} w = \operatorname{Re}(z_1 \bar{z}_2^l), \text{ where } l > 1, \quad \dim \mathfrak{g} = 10,$$

or to

$$(2.5) \quad \operatorname{Im} w = |z_1|^2 \pm |z_2|^{2l}, \text{ where } l > 1, \quad \dim \mathfrak{g} = 9.$$

Vector fields that commute with W are called *rigid*; in other words, this means their coefficient functions are independent of the variable w . The factor \mathfrak{g}_0 consists of vector fields of weight zero. There is always present a unique (up to a real multiple) non-rigid field called the (multitype) Euler field E , which takes the following form in every multitype coordinates

$$(2.6) \quad E = w\partial_w + \mu_1 z_1 \partial_{z_1} + \mu_2 z_2 \partial_{z_2}.$$

The rigid elements of \mathfrak{g}_0 are called *rotations*. There exist multitype coordinates such that each rotation is linear and every tubular symmetry regular, i.e., nonvanishing at zero [15]. A rotation Y can be decomposed further as $Y = Y^{\operatorname{Re}} + Y^{\operatorname{Im}} + Y^{\operatorname{Nil}}$, where each term is also a symmetry ([15], [13]). This is obtained by putting Y into its Jordan normal form, Y^{Re} is then the real diagonal part, similarly with the other two terms. If $Y = Y^{\operatorname{Re}}$ we call it a *real rotation*. Analogously we have *imaginary* and *nilpotent* rotations. As a shorthand, we denote sets of these rotations by $\mathfrak{g}_0^{\operatorname{Re}}$, $\mathfrak{g}_0^{\operatorname{Im}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_0^{\operatorname{Nil}}$. Notice these sets are not even subspaces, but thanks to a standard fact from linear algebra, we have the following lemma

Lemma 2.2. *If all rotations are diagonalizable and commute, then they are diagonalizable simultaneously. Consequently, these sets are subalgebras and we can write*

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0^{\operatorname{Re}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0^{\operatorname{Im}} \oplus \langle E \rangle.$$

We will see in Theorem 3.1 that \mathfrak{g}_c is not compatible with a nilpotent rotation. Thanks to the following proposition, due to the first author (Lemma 4.2, [12]), we can assume throughout the entire paper that \mathfrak{g}_0 can be diagonalized.

Lemma 2.3. *Let \mathfrak{g}_0 be the graded component of weight 0 of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of a polynomial model M_P . Suppose that \mathfrak{g}_0 is nonabelian (with respect to the commutator of vector fields). Then either M_P admits a nilpotent rotation, or M_P is equivalent to*

$$(2.7) \quad \operatorname{Im} w = (|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2)^m$$

for some integer $m > 1$. In the latter case $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 7$ and $\dim \mathfrak{g}_0 = 5$.

Definition 2.4. *A vector field Y satisfying $\operatorname{Re}(YP) = P$ is called real reproducing field. If the vector field satisfies $YP = P$ we call it complex reproducing field.*

The Euler field is clearly a real reproducing field, complex reproducing fields are relevant for the \mathfrak{g}_1 factor by Lemma 2.7. The following lemmas are straightforward and can be proved by an useful, albeit elementary, observation. Action of a diagonal linear field reproduces monomials in the following sense: $Y(z^a \bar{z}^b) = cz^a \bar{z}^b$ for some number c that can be thought of as a weight of the monomial.

Lemma 2.5. *Let Y be a diagonal linear vector field $Y = \lambda_1 z_1 \partial_{z_1} + \lambda_2 z_2 \partial_{z_2}$, where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Y is a real rotation of the model M_P , given by (2.1) if and only if*

each monomial in P is of weight 0 with respect to the weights (λ_1, λ_2) , i.e. for each monomial $z_1^{a_1} z_2^{a_2} \bar{z}_1^{b_1} \bar{z}_2^{b_2}$ in P we have

$$\lambda_1(a_1 + b_1) + \lambda_2(a_2 + b_2) = 0.$$

There is a similar condition for the existence of imaginary rotations:

Lemma 2.6. *Let Y be a diagonal linear vector field $Y = i(\lambda_1 z_1 \partial_{z_1} + \lambda_2 z_2 \partial_{z_2})$, where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Y is an imaginary rotation of model M_P (2.1) if and only if for each monomial $z_1^{a_1} z_2^{a_2} \bar{z}_1^{b_1} \bar{z}_2^{b_2}$ in P we have*

$$\lambda_1(a_1 - b_1) + \lambda_2(a_2 - b_2) = 0.$$

The following characterization follows from [15] and [10].

Lemma 2.7. *For a model (2.1) the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The model admits a nontrivial \mathfrak{g}_1 .*
- (2) *There exists a complex reproducing vector field for P .*
- (3) *There exist multitype coordinates and real numbers λ_1, λ_2 such that for each monomial term $z_1^{a_1} z_2^{a_2} \bar{z}_1^{b_1} \bar{z}_2^{b_2}$ in P we have*

$$\lambda_1 a_1 + \lambda_2 a_2 = \lambda_1 b_1 + \lambda_2 b_2 = 1.$$

Remark 2.8. *In coordinates given by (3) the complex reproducing field is expressed as $Y = \lambda_1 z_1 \partial_{z_1} + \lambda_2 z_2 \partial_{z_2}$. Note that iY is then an imaginary rotation. Recall that the polynomial P and the model satisfying these conditions are called balanced.*

2.3. Exotic symmetries. The following is a characterization of exotic symmetries from [16].

Definition 2.9. *Let X be a weighted homogeneous vector field. A pair of finite sequences of holomorphic weighted homogeneous polynomials $\{U_0, \dots, U_m\}$ and $\{V_0, \dots, V_m\}$ is called an X -pair of chains (of length $m + 1$), if*

$$(2.8) \quad X(U_m) = 0, \quad X(U_j) = A_j U_{j+1}, \quad j = 0, \dots, m-1,$$

$$(2.9) \quad X(V_m) = 0, \quad X(V_j) = B_j V_{j+1}, \quad j = 0, \dots, m-1,$$

where A_j, B_j are nonzero complex numbers that satisfy

$$(2.10) \quad A_j = -\bar{B}_{m-j-1}, \quad j = 0, \dots, m-1.$$

Theorem 2.10. *Let M_P be a holomorphically nondegenerate hypersurface given by (2.1), which admits a nontrivial $X \in \mathfrak{g}_c$. Then P can be decomposed in the following way*

$$(2.11) \quad P_C = \sum_{j=1}^M T_j,$$

where each T_j is given by

$$(2.12) \quad T_j = \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m_j} U_k^j \overline{V_{m_j-k}^j} \right),$$

where $\{U_0^j, \dots, U_{m_j}^j\}$ and $\{V_0^j, \dots, V_{m_j}^j\}$ are X -pairs of chains.

3. EXOTIC SYMMETRIES AND ROTATIONS

In this section, we first prove that \mathfrak{g}_c is incompatible with a nilpotent symmetry. Then a careful analysis of monomial X -pairs yields to Theorems 3.10 and 3.12 that characterize existence of rotations.

Theorem 3.1. *If a holomorphically nondegenerate model (2.1) possesses a nilpotent rotation, then there are no exotic symmetries, i.e. $\mathfrak{g}_c = 0$.*

Proof. Let Y be a nilpotent rotation. We can choose multitype coordinates such that $Y = z_1 \partial_{z_2}$. It follows that $\mu_1 = \mu_2$. In these coordinates the exotic symmetry $X \in \mathfrak{g}_c$ of weight $\nu > 0$ is of the form

$$X = f \partial_{z_1} + g \partial_{z_2},$$

where f, g are holomorphic weighted homogeneous polynomials of degree $\nu + \mu_1$. Since in \mathbb{C}^3 $\dim \mathfrak{g}_c \leq 1$ it follows, that $[X, Y] = kX$ for some $k \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence

$$[X, Y] = -z_1 f_{z_2} \partial_{z_1} + (f - z_1 g_{z_2}) \partial_{z_2} = kX.$$

By collecting terms we obtain a system

$$(3.1) \quad -z_1 f_{z_2} = kf,$$

$$(3.2) \quad f - z_1 g_{z_2} = kg.$$

We consider two cases.

Case I: $k = 0$. It follows $f = az_1^m$ and $g = az_1^{m-1}z_2 + bz_1^m$, where $m \geq 2$, $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$. If $a = 0$, we get $X = bz_1^m \partial_{z_2}$, but that would mean $X = bz_1^{m-1}Y$ which cannot be a symmetry. Indeed, if it were, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \operatorname{Re}(XP) &= \operatorname{Re}(bz_1^{m-1}YP) = \operatorname{Re}(bz_1^{m-1}) \operatorname{Re}(YP) - \operatorname{Im}(bz_1^{m-1}) \operatorname{Im}(YP) \\ &= -\operatorname{Im}(bz_1^{m-1}) \operatorname{Im}(YP), \end{aligned}$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(YP) = 0$ since Y is a symmetry and $\operatorname{Im}(YP) \neq 0$ from holomorphic nondegeneracy. Hence $b = 0$ and $X = 0$. If $a \neq 0$, we can change coordinates by re-scaling in z_1 in order to remove a and obtain

$$X = z_1^{m-1}(z_1 \partial_{z_1} + (z_2 + cz_1) \partial_{z_2}),$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$. This X cannot be an exotic symmetry, since it does not annihilate any nonzero polynomial, i.e. it does not admit any X -pair. To see this, denote L the linear part of X in parentheses and Q a polynomial in z_1, z_2 such that $L(Q) = 0$. Focus on the term of the highest degree β in z_2 and compute

$$L(a_{\alpha\beta} z_1^\alpha z_2^\beta) = a_{\alpha\beta} (nz_1^\alpha z_2^\beta + c\beta z_1^{\alpha+1} z_2^{\beta-1}).$$

We notice the first term is of the same degree and cannot be eliminated by other terms of lower degree.

Case II: $k \neq 0$. We show the system does not have a nonzero solution. The only solution to (3.1) is $f = 0$, which can be again seen by focusing on the term of f of the highest degree in z_2 . This term appears on the right-hand side (because $k \neq 0$) but not on the left-hand side. Substituting $f = 0$ into (3.2) gives equation of the same form, hence $f = g = 0$ is the only solution. \square

The existence of rotation has a strong consequences for the form of the exotic symmetry.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that the holomorphically nondegenerate model (2.1) admits a rotation $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ together with an exotic symmetry $X \in \mathfrak{g}_c$. Then there are coordinates in which Y is diagonal and X monomial. More precisely, there are coordinates such that*

$$(3.3) \quad Y = \lambda_1 z_1 \partial_{z_1} + \lambda_2 z_2 \partial_{z_2}$$

$$(3.4) \quad X = iz_1^\alpha z_2^\beta (qz_1 \partial_{z_1} - pz_2 \partial_{z_2}), \text{ or } X = iz_1^\beta \partial_{z_2}, \text{ or } X = iz_2^\alpha \partial_{z_1},$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ and p, q, α, β are nonnegative integers.

Remark 3.3. *The case when $X = iz_1^\beta \partial_{z_2}$ can be understood as the two term form of X with $\alpha = -1$, holomorphicity of X then implies $p = 0$. Similarly the remaining field corresponds to $\beta = -1$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 Y is diagonalizable, hence we can choose some multitype coordinates such that $Y = \lambda_1 z_1 \partial_{z_1} + \lambda_2 z_2 \partial_{z_2}$, where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Denote $0 < \nu < 1$ the weight of X . In these coordinates $X = f_1(z) \partial_{z_1} + f_2(z) \partial_{z_2}$, where f_j 's are weighted homogeneous holomorphic polynomials of weighted degree $\nu + \mu_j$. The Lie bracket $[Y, X]$ is a symmetry of weight $\nu > 0$. Since $\dim \mathfrak{g}_c = 1$, the only possibility is

$$(3.5) \quad [Y, X] = kX$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{R}$. We will prove that f_j 's are monomials. Let us investigate a general monomial term $z_1^\gamma z_2^\beta$ in f_1 and find constraints on γ, β . Computing the Lie bracket gives us

$$[Y, z_1^\gamma z_2^\beta \partial_{z_1}] = \left(\lambda_1 \gamma z_1^\gamma z_2^\beta + \lambda_2 \beta z_1^\gamma z_2^\beta - \lambda_1 \gamma z_1^\gamma z_2^\beta \right) \partial_{z_1} = (\lambda_1(\gamma - 1) + \lambda_2 \beta) z_1^\gamma z_2^\beta \partial_{z_1}.$$

This means that possible terms in X do not interfere with each other when taking the bracket with Y . The Lie bracket condition (3.5) leads to a linear equation

$$(3.6) \quad \lambda_1(\gamma - 1) + \lambda_2 \beta = k,$$

$$(3.7) \quad \mu_1(\gamma - 1) + \mu_2 \beta = \nu,$$

where the second relationship comes from the homogeneity of X .

The system is regular. Indeed, write $Y = Y^{\text{Re}} + Y^{\text{Im}}$. If $Y^{\text{Re}} \neq 0$, its coefficient vector $(\text{Re } \lambda_1, \text{Re } \lambda_2)$ is independent of (μ_1, μ_2) , since the Euler field reproduces polynomials. If $Y = Y^{\text{Im}}$ is an imaginary rotation, i.e. $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in i\mathbb{R}$, then $k = 0$, because k is real. Since $\nu \neq 0$, it follows that, again, the rows are independent. In both cases there is a unique solution γ, β and therefore $f_1 = \sigma_1 z_1^\gamma z_2^\beta$ for some $\sigma_1 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Similarly one obtains $f_2(z_1, z_2) = \sigma_2 z_1^\alpha z_2^\delta$ satisfying

$$(3.8) \quad \lambda_1 \alpha + \lambda_2(\delta - 1) = k,$$

$$(3.9) \quad \mu_1 \alpha + \mu_2(\delta - 1) = \nu.$$

Combining these two systems we get

$$(3.10) \quad \lambda_1(\gamma - 1 - \alpha) + \lambda_2(\beta - \delta + 1) = 0,$$

$$(3.11) \quad \mu_1(\gamma - 1 - \alpha) + \mu_2(\beta - \delta + 1) = 0.$$

Again by uniqueness $\gamma = \alpha + 1, \beta + 1 = \delta$. Thus the symmetry X is of the form

$$(3.12) \quad X = f_1 \partial_{z_1} + f_2 \partial_{z_2} = z_1^\alpha z_2^\beta (\sigma_1 z_1 \partial_{z_1} + \sigma_2 z_2 \partial_{z_2}).$$

It is worth noting that the case $\gamma = 0$ (or $\delta = 0$) forces $\sigma_2 = 0$ (or $\sigma_1 = 0$), yielding a vector field X of the form

$$X = \sigma z_2^\beta \partial_{z_1} \quad \text{or} \quad X = \sigma z_1^\alpha \partial_{z_2}.$$

Now we determine σ_1, σ_2 . Since X is an exotic symmetry, it annihilates the last polynomial of any X -pair of chains. Write $L = \sigma_1 z_1 \partial_{z_1} + \sigma_2 z_2 \partial_{z_2}$ and $L(U) = 0$ for some weighted homogeneous polynomial U . The vector field L reproduces monomials in the following sense $L(z_1^p z_2^q) = (\sigma_1 p + \sigma_2 q) z_1^p z_2^q$, hence $\sigma_1 p + \sigma_2 q = 0$ for each monomial in U , since they cannot cancel each other in $X(U)$. Also the space of weighted homogeneous solutions of $X(U) = 0$ of given weight is at most 1-dimensional, implying U is a monomial. Additionally $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \theta(q, -p)$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$. It follows $X = \theta z_1^\alpha z_2^\beta (q z_1 \partial_{z_1} - p z_2 \partial_{z_2})$. By re-scaling in one of the variables, we arrive at the convenient form

$$X = i z_1^\alpha z_2^\beta (q z_1 \partial_{z_1} - p z_2 \partial_{z_2}). \quad \square$$

From now on, we will work in the coordinates given by Lemma (3.2). The proof of the Lemma offers useful and crucial parametrization collected in the following

Corollary 3.4. *For a monomial vector field (3.4) there exists a monomial solution Q to $X(Q) = 0$ of minimal (weighted) degree. Any other solution to $X(U) = 0$ is of the form $U = cQ^K$, where K is nonnegative integer and $c \in \mathbb{C}$. In the following, whenever we reference the vector field (3.4), we denote Q this minimal solution $Q = z_1^p z_2^q$. Note that p, q are coprime.*

We have to analyze every possible hypersurface that arises from Theorem 2.10 applied to the exotic symmetry X of the form (3.4). The first step is to describe all possible X -pairs of chains.

Definition 3.5. *Let X be a monomial vector field of the form (3.4), Q its minimal solution and denote $T = z_1^\alpha z_2^\beta$. Additionally, let K, N, m be nonnegative integers, K positive, such that $Kp > m\alpha$ and $Kq > m\beta$. We define a pure X -pair to be a monomial X -pair given by*

$$U_{m-j} = \frac{1}{j!} \frac{Q^K}{T^j} = \frac{1}{j!} z_1^{Kp-j\alpha} z_2^{Kq-j\beta}$$

and

$$V_{m-j} = U_{m-j} Q^N = \frac{1}{j!} \frac{Q^K Q^N}{T^j} = \frac{1}{j!} z_1^{Kp-j\alpha} z_2^{Kq-j\beta} z_1^{Np} z_2^{Nq}$$

for $j = 0, 1, \dots, m$.

Note that the pair just defined is indeed an X -pair. It satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} X(U_m) &= 0, & X(V_m) &= 0, \\ X(U_{m-j}) &= i(p\beta - q\alpha) U_{m-j+1}, & X(V_{m-j}) &= i(p\beta - q\alpha) V_{m-j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the number $i(p\beta - q\alpha)$ acts as all the constants A_j, B_j . The utility of pure X -pairs is a normalization; each monomial X -pair differs from a pure X -pair only by coefficients. It is useful to explicitly compute the *chain sum* as in (2.12) for any

pure X -pair. The corresponding model is

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.13) \quad \operatorname{Im} w &= \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{j=0}^m U_j \overline{V}_{m-j} \right) = \\
&= \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{j!(m-j)!} z_1^{Kp-j\alpha} z_2^{Kq-j\beta} \overline{z}_1^{Kp-(m-j)\alpha} \overline{z}_2^{Kq-(m-j)\beta} z_1^{Np} z_2^{Nq} \right) = \\
&= \frac{2^m}{m!} \operatorname{Re} \left((z_1^p z_2^q)^N |z_1|^{Kp-m\alpha} |z_2|^{Kq-m\beta} \left(\operatorname{Re} \left(z_1^\alpha z_2^\beta \right) \right)^m \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Requiring this model to be holomorphically nondegenerate forces $(p\beta - q\alpha) \neq 0$. This is an important observation. In other words (α, β) is independent of (p, q) . Indeed, if they were dependent, the right-hand side would be a function of $z_1^p z_2^q$ and $Z = qz_1 \partial_{z_1} - pz_2 \partial_{z_2}$ would be a complex tangent field.

The following lemma is also useful for analyzing all the possible X -pairs of chains, where X is not necessarily monomial. It computes how the corresponding chain sum of an X -pair changes if the pair is multiplied by constants.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\{U_0, \dots, U_m\}$ and $\{V_0, \dots, V_m\}$ be an X -pair of chains. Let c_j, d_j be nonzero complex numbers such that sequences $U'_j := c_j U_j$ and $V'_j := d_j V_j$ also form an X -pair of chains. Then there exists a nonzero complex number τ such that the corresponding chain sum of $\{U'_0, \dots, U'_m\}$ and $\{V'_0, \dots, V'_m\}$ amounts to*

$$(3.14) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{j=0}^m U'_j \overline{V}'_{m-j} \right) = \operatorname{Re} \left(\tau \sum_{j=0}^m U_j \overline{V}_{m-j} \right).$$

Proof. Denote the constants of both X -pairs by A_j, B_j and A'_j, B'_j as in the Definition 2.9. Applying the field gives

$$X(U'_j) = X(c_j U_j) = c_j A_j U_{j+1} = \frac{c_j A_j}{c_{j+1}} U'_{j+1}.$$

An analogous computation for V'_j gives us

$$A'_j = \frac{c_j}{c_{j+1}} A_j, \quad B'_j = \frac{d_j}{d_{j+1}} B_j,$$

for $j = 0, \dots, m-1$. The antihermitian condition $A'_j = -\overline{B}'_{m-j-1}$ translates to $c_j \overline{d}_{m-j} = c_{j+1} \overline{d}_{m-j-1}$. By induction, this expression does not depend on the index j and is therefore a constant. This is the number τ , since it is precisely the coefficient appearing in the corresponding chain sum. Indeed

$$U'_j \overline{V}'_{m-j} = c_j \overline{d}_{m-j} U_j \overline{V}_{m-j} = \tau U_j \overline{V}_{m-j}. \quad \square$$

Remark 3.7. *The previous lemma offers an opportunity to simplify Definition 2.9 of an X -pair of chains. Indeed, we can always find appropriate c_j and d_j such that the constants for the new X -pair are all equal to any nonzero imaginary number $A'_j = B'_j = ic \in i\mathbb{R}^*$. However, the corresponding chain sum can change. But that is easy to fix - divide one of the chains by τ .*

The following lemma deals with a general (nonmonomial) X -pair for our monomial vector field of the form (3.4). It turns out they can be thought of as a combination of monomial pairs.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\{U_0, \dots, U_m\}$ and $\{V_0, \dots, V_m\}$ be a nonmonomial X -pair of chains, where X is a monomial exotic symmetry given by (3.4). Then there exist several monomial X -pairs of chains $\{U_0^t, \dots, U_{m_t}^t\}$ and $\{V_0^t, \dots, V_{m_t}^t\}$ such that*

$$(3.15) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{j=0}^m U_j \overline{V_{m-j}} \right) = \sum_t \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m_t} U_j^t \overline{V_{m_t-j}^t} \right).$$

Moreover, we get an explicit description of each monomial 3.17.

Proof. By the previous Remark, we can assume

$$(3.16) \quad X(U_j) = i(p\beta - q\alpha)U_{j+1} \quad \text{for } j = 0, \dots, m-1.$$

Denote $U_m = a_m Q^{S_m}$ for some positive integer S_m and $a_m \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Denote again $T = z_1^\alpha z_2^\beta$. Using the fact that X is monomial, we solve this recurrence relation as

$$(3.17) \quad U_j = \sum_{k=j}^m A_j^k, \quad \text{where } A_j^k = \frac{a_k}{(k-j)! T^{k-j}} Q^{S_k}.$$

Here $S_j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$. The polynomial U_j has to be weighted-homogeneous, hence there are conditions fixing S_j , but we do not need them explicitly. In a case where S_j is not a positive integer, we do not obtain a polynomial. This situation is conveniently included in $a_j = 0$.

The sequences of monomials $\{A_0^k, \dots, A_k^k\}$ satisfy

$$X(A_k^k) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad X(A_j^k) = i(p\beta - q\alpha)A_{j+1}^k \quad \text{for } j = 0, \dots, k-1.$$

These are almost chains, but the polynomials are all zero if $a_k = 0$. Analogously we identify monomials B_j^l such that

$$(3.18) \quad V_{m-j} = \sum_{l=m-j}^m B_{m-j}^l, \quad \text{where } B_{m-j}^l = \frac{b_l}{(l+j-m)! T^{l+j-m}} Q^{R_l}.$$

After introducing this notation we can substitute sums (3.17) and (3.18) into (3.15) and easily distribute.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^m U_j \overline{V_{m-j}} &= \sum_{j=0}^m \left(\sum_{k=j}^m A_j^k \right) \left(\sum_{l=m-j}^m \overline{B_{m-j}^l} \right) = \\ &= \sum_{k,l} \left(\sum_{j=m-l}^k A_j^k \overline{B_{m-j}^l} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Naturally, the inner sums could be zero. Either the sequences are zero themselves or $k+l < m$. Terms in nonzero sums are precisely the X -pairs we are after. They are of length $k+l+1-m$ and there are less than $(m+1)^2$ of them. \square

Finally, using (3.13) and Lemmas 3.6 and 3.8 we obtain the following Theorem, which gives a description of a model admitting a monomial exotic symmetry.

Theorem 3.9. *Assume that the holomorphically nondegenerate model (2.1) possesses a monomial exotic symmetry X given by (3.4). Then it can be expressed as*

$$(3.19) \quad \operatorname{Im} w = \sum_{N,K,m} \operatorname{Re} \left(\tau_{N,K,m} (z_1^p z_2^q)^N \right) |z_1|^{2k} |z_2|^{2l} \left(\operatorname{Re} z_1^\alpha z_2^\beta \right)^m,$$

where N, K, m, k, l are nonnegative integers and $k = Kp - m\alpha$, $l = Kq - m\beta$. The coefficients $\tau_{N,K,m}$ are some nonzero complex numbers. The polynomial has a weighted degree 1. The special case of $\alpha = -1$ is then of the form

$$(3.20) \quad \operatorname{Im} w = \sum_{N,K,m} \operatorname{Re} (\tau_{N,K,m} z_2^N) |z_2|^{2(K-m\beta)} \left(\operatorname{Re} \bar{z}_1 z_2^\beta \right)^m.$$

For $\beta = -1$, the variables are interchanged.

Theorem 3.9 will be a key point when the model admits a (diagonal) rotation, the reason being that under this additional assumption, there exist multitype coordinates for which the exotic symmetry is, in fact, monomial.

3.1. Exotic symmetry together with real rotations. In this subsection we analyze the situation where our model (2.1) admits both \mathfrak{g}_c and $\mathfrak{g}_0^{\operatorname{Re}}$. After a possible change of multitype coordinates we can assume the real rotation to be diagonal; $Y = \lambda_1 z_1 \partial_{z_1} + \lambda_2 z_2 \partial_{z_2}$, where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. By the Theorem 3.2 the exotic symmetry X is monomial in these coordinates and given by (3.4). The results of previous section apply and the model is again equal to (3.19).

The additional existence of the rotation $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_0^{\operatorname{Re}}$ imposes some new constraints on the parameters N, k, l, m in the sum (3.19). Let us explore them.

Using Lemma 2.5, Y is a symmetry of (2.1) if and only if for each monomial $z_1^{a_1} z_2^{b_1} \bar{z}_1^{a_2} \bar{z}_2^{b_2}$ in P we have

$$\lambda_1(a_1 + a_2) + \lambda_2(b_1 + b_2) = 0.$$

Additionally, the condition of weighted-homogeneity is

$$\mu_1(a_1 + a_2) + \mu_2(b_1 + b_2) = 1.$$

We have already seen this is a regular system in the proof of Lemma 3.2, hence it has a unique solution. In other words, the total degrees in variables z_1, \bar{z}_1 and z_2, \bar{z}_2 are constant across all monomials. Denote these degrees k_1 and k_2 , they satisfy the following system in K, N and m .

$$\begin{aligned} 2pK + pN - \alpha m &= k_1, \\ 2qK + qN - \beta m &= k_2. \end{aligned}$$

From the kernel of this system we observe that m and $2K + N$ are actually fixed. Indeed

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2p & p & -\alpha \\ 2q & q & -\beta \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where we used only $q\alpha - p\beta \neq 0$.

This discussion proves most of the assertions in the following characterization.

Theorem 3.10. *Let M_P be a holomorphically nondegenerate model given by (2.1) with $\mathfrak{g}_c \neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{g}_0^{\operatorname{Re}} \neq 0$. Then there exist positive integers C and m such that the model is locally equivalent to*

$$(3.21) \quad \operatorname{Im} w = \sum_{2K+N=C} \operatorname{Re} \left(\tau_{K,N} (z_1^p z_2^q)^N \right) |z_1|^{2(Kp-m\alpha)} |z_2|^{2(Kq-m\beta)} \left(\operatorname{Re} z_1^\alpha z_2^\beta \right)^m,$$

where $\tau_{K,N}$ are nonzero complex numbers; the sum is taken over some nonnegative integer solutions to $2K + N = C$. Moreover, if $\mathfrak{g}_1 = 0$, then at least one N is

nonzero. In these coordinates the real rotation is given by

$$(3.22) \quad Y = (Cq - m\beta)z_1\partial_{z_1} - (Cp - m\alpha)z_2\partial_{z_2}.$$

Proof. The equation (3.21) is precisely (3.19) with fixed m . The only part that remains to be argued is the condition $\mathfrak{g}_1 = 0$. If the first factor $\operatorname{Re} \tau Q^N$ would not appear, then the model would be 3.25. But such a model admits a nontrivial \mathfrak{g}_1 , since it is balanced.

The formula for the rotation Y is an application of the Lemma 2.5. Indeed, the total degree in z_1, \bar{z}_1 is

$$Np + 2(Kp - m\alpha) + m\alpha = Cp - m\alpha,$$

and similarly for degree in z_2, \bar{z}_2 . \square

The following example confirms that the case described in the previous theorem does indeed happen.

Example 3.11. *A hypersurface in \mathbb{C}^3 given by*

$$\operatorname{Im} w = \operatorname{Re}(z_1^3 z_2) |z_1|^2 \operatorname{Re}(z_1^2 z_2)$$

is holomorphically nondegenerate, admits \mathfrak{g}_c and $\mathfrak{g}_0^{\operatorname{Re}}$, but $\mathfrak{g}_1 = 0$. This example corresponds to parameters $p = 3, q = 1, \alpha = 2, \beta = 1, m = 1, K = 1$ and $N = 1$

3.2. Exotic symmetry together with imaginary rotations. Now we need to check what is forced by the existence of an imaginary rotation.

Theorem 3.12. *Let M_P be a holomorphically nondegenerate model given by (2.1) with $\mathfrak{g}_c \neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{g}_0^{\operatorname{Im}} \neq 0$. Then the model is locally equivalent to*

$$(3.23) \quad \operatorname{Im} w = \sum_{(K,m) \in R} r_{K,m} |z_1|^{2(Kp-m\alpha)} |z_2|^{2(Kq-m\beta)} \left(\operatorname{Re} z_1^\alpha z_2^\beta \right)^m,$$

where $r_{K,m}$ are real numbers and

$$R = \left\{ (K, m) \mid \mu_1(2Kp - m\alpha) + \mu_2(2Kq - m\beta) = 1, K \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0} \right\}.$$

Moreover, if $\mathfrak{g}_1 = 0$, then the sum has at least two terms. In these coordinates the imaginary rotation is given by

$$(3.24) \quad Y = i(\beta z_1 \partial_{z_1} - \alpha z_2 \partial_{z_2}).$$

Proof. The index set R is nothing but a description of the weighted-homogeneity of the model. Denote the imaginary rotation in its diagonal coordinates $Y = i(\lambda_1 z_1 \partial_{z_1} + \lambda_2 z_2 \partial_{z_2})$. The exotic symmetry X is again monomial given by (3.4). We will use the characterization of imaginary rotations from Lemma 2.6. We apply the condition on two terms

$$Q^N |z_1|^{2k} |z_2|^{2l} z_1^{m\alpha} z_2^{m\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{Q}^N |z_1|^{2k} |z_2|^{2l} z_1^{m\alpha} z_2^{m\beta}.$$

This gives us two equations

$$\lambda_1(m\alpha + Np) + \lambda_2(m\beta + Nq) = 0$$

$$\lambda_1(m\alpha - Np) + \lambda_2(m\beta - Nq) = 0.$$

Adding them reveals $\lambda_1\alpha + \lambda_2\beta = 0$, which in turn provides the formula for Y . Since (α, β) is independent of (p, q) (otherwise the model would be holomorphically degenerate), the only possibility is $N = 0$. The remaining constants we rewrite as $r = \operatorname{Re}(\tau)$. At least two terms are needed to have $\mathfrak{g}_1 = 0$ as in the proof of Theorem 3.10. \square

Using the Theorem 3.10 and Theorem 3.12, we can recover (a part of) the Lemma 3.4 from [12]

Theorem 3.13. *If a model has $\mathfrak{g}_c \neq 0$ and $\dim \mathfrak{g}_1 \neq 0$, it is equivalent to*

$$(3.25) \quad \operatorname{Im} w = |z_1|^{2k} |z_2|^{2l} \left(\operatorname{Re} z_1^\alpha z_2^\beta \right)^m,$$

where k, l, m are nonnegative integers, $m > 0$ and α, β are integers such that $\alpha, \beta \geq -1$.

Proof. Thanks to Lemma 2.7 we have an imaginary rotation that can be diagonalized and we can use the form (3.23). This model has to be balanced, hence there is only one summand and we arrive at (3.25). There is a real rotation given by (3.22), therefore $\dim \mathfrak{g} \geq 6$. \square

If a model with nontrivial \mathfrak{g}_c has a real and imaginary rotation, we can assume they are both diagonal and apply Theorems 3.10 and 3.12 simultaneously and derive the model (3.25) again. Since all rotations can be diagonalized simultaneously, Theorems 3.10 and 3.12 also say more than two rotations of the same type are not possible. This concludes the discussion of rotations together with \mathfrak{g}_c . In the next section we will determine which models from this section possess an additional symmetry.

4. EXOTIC TOGETHER WITH TUBULAR SYMMETRIES

In the following theorem, we classify models admitting both an exotic symmetry and a tubular symmetry (i.e. symmetry of weight in the interval $(-1, 0)$).

Theorem 4.1. *Any Levi degenerate model (2.1) that is holomorphically nondegenerate and possesses both an exotic symmetry and a tubular symmetry, i.e. $\mathfrak{g}_c \neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{g}_t \neq 0$, is locally equivalent to one of the following models*

$$(4.1) \quad \operatorname{Im} w = \operatorname{Re} \bar{z}_1 z_2^\alpha, \quad \dim \mathfrak{g} = 10,$$

$$(4.2) \quad \operatorname{Im} w = \operatorname{Re} \bar{z}_1 z_2^{2\alpha-1} + |z_2|^{2\alpha}, \quad \dim \mathfrak{g} = 6,$$

$$(4.3) \quad \operatorname{Im} w = \operatorname{Re} \bar{z}_1 z_2^\alpha + Q_1(z_2, \bar{z}_2), \quad \dim \mathfrak{g} = 5,$$

$$(4.4) \quad \operatorname{Im} w = \operatorname{Re} z_2^\alpha \operatorname{Re} \bar{z}_1 z_2^\alpha, \quad \dim \mathfrak{g} = 5,$$

$$(4.5) \quad \operatorname{Im} w = \operatorname{Re} z_2^\alpha \operatorname{Re} \bar{z}_1 z_2^\alpha + Q_2(z_2, \bar{z}_2), \quad \dim \mathfrak{g} = 4,$$

$$(4.6) \quad \operatorname{Im} w = |z_1|^{2k} (\operatorname{Re} z_2)^m, \quad \dim \mathfrak{g} = 7,$$

where α, k, m are positive integers and Q 's are real-valued polynomials of weighted degree 1 without pluriharmonic terms such that the corresponding models are not equivalent to those listed previously, e.g., Q_1 is not circular ($Q_1 \neq r|z_2|^m$).

Remark 4.2. *Structure of \mathfrak{g} 's is collected in table 1. It can be checked that the multitype weights are equal in all of the cases. Hypersurfaces (4.1) and (4.6) have already been studied in [17] and [12].*

Proof: The proof is rather long and we split it into separate lemmas. In all of them we will use the following notation. Recall $\mathfrak{g}_t = \mathfrak{g}_{-\mu_1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\mu_2}$, denote $T \in \mathfrak{g}_t$ a tubular symmetry and $X \in \mathfrak{g}_c$ an exotic symmetry. We distinguish several cases according to the commutator $[T, X]$. First, we let X and T commute:

Lemma 4.3. *If $[T, X] = 0$, then we can choose multitype coordinates such that*

$$\begin{aligned} X &= iz_2^\beta \partial_{z_1} \quad \text{and} \quad T = i\partial_{z_1} + h\partial_w, \quad \text{or} \\ X &= iz_2^\alpha \partial_{z_1} \quad \text{and} \quad T = i\partial_{z_2} + h\partial_w \end{aligned}$$

for some positive integers α, β and weighted homogeneous polynomial h of weight $1 - \mu_j$.

Proof. We need to distinguish two cases depending on the weight of T .

Case I: Weight of T is $-\mu_1$, i.e. $T \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\mu_1}$. We will show $X = iz_2^\beta \partial_{z_1}$. In general such T is of the form $T = a\partial_{z_1} + b\partial_{z_2} + \tilde{h}\partial_w$, $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ with $b = 0$ if $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$; \tilde{h} is a polynomial of weighted degree $1 - \mu_1$. We can change multitype coordinates so that

$$T = i\partial_{z_1} + h\partial_w \quad \text{and} \quad X = f\partial_{z_1} + g\partial_{z_2},$$

where f, g, h are weighted-homogeneous polynomials. Computation of the commutator

$$0 = [T, X] = if_{z_1}\partial_{z_1} + ig_{z_1}\partial_{z_2} - Xh\partial_w$$

implies $X = \sigma_1 z_2^\alpha \partial_{z_1} + \sigma_2 z_2^\beta \partial_{z_2}$, where σ_1, σ_2 are complex numbers and $\alpha \geq \beta$ (with equality if $\mu_1 = \mu_2$). If σ_2 is nonzero, we can rewrite the field X as

$$(4.7) \quad X = \sigma_2 z_2^\beta (\sigma z_2^n \partial_{z_1} + \partial_{z_2}),$$

where $\sigma = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}$ and n is a nonnegative integer. By a change of coordinates

$$(4.8) \quad z_1 = z'_1 + \frac{\sigma}{n+1} z_2^{n+1}, \quad z_2 = z'_2, \quad w = w'$$

we transform the field to $X = \sigma_2 z_2^\beta \partial_{z_2}$. This field does not have any X -pairs of chains of length more than one. In such a situation the model (2.10) would be holomorphically degenerate, which is a contradiction. Hence $\sigma_2 = 0$ and by re-scaling in the z_2 variable we arrive at

$$X = iz_2^\beta \partial_{z_1}.$$

Case II: Weight of T is $-\mu_2$ and $\mu_1 > \mu_2$. We claim that we reach a similar conclusion $X = iz_1^\beta \partial_{z_2}$. In any multitype coordinates the tubular symmetry T is of the form

$$(4.9) \quad T = az_2^n \partial_{z_1} + b\partial_{z_2} + \tilde{h}\partial_w.$$

Using essentially the same change of coordinates as in (4.8) we can assume $T = i\partial_{z_2} + h\partial_w$. From $[T, X] = 0$ we similarly as before obtain $X = \sigma_1 z_1^\alpha \partial_{z_1} + \sigma_2 z_1^\beta \partial_{z_2}$, where $\alpha > \beta$. Although the form looks very similar to (4.7), we need to employ a different argument to obtain $\sigma_1 = 0$. Denote ν the weight of X , then

$$(4.10) \quad \nu + \mu_1 = \alpha\mu_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu + \mu_2 = \beta\mu_1.$$

This in turn implies $\mu_1 - \mu_2 = (\alpha - \beta)\mu_1$, which is impossible since $\mu_2 \neq 0$. Hence the ∂_{z_1} term does not appear and we have $X = iz_1^\beta \partial_{z_2}$. \square

The analysis in both cases given by the previous Lemma 4.3 is analogous, so we focus only on the first one. Let us choose coordinates such that

$$X = iz_2^\beta \partial_{z_1} \quad \text{and} \quad T = i\partial_{z_1} + h\partial_w.$$

Sum of X -pairs of chains is given by Theorem 3.9. In our specific case this takes the form of

$$(4.11) \quad \operatorname{Im} w = \sum_{N,K,m} \operatorname{Re}(\tau_{N,K,m} z_2^N) |z_2|^{2l} \left(\operatorname{Re} \bar{z}_1 z_2^\beta \right)^m.$$

Recall that parameters N, K, m are nonnegative integers, $l = K - m\beta$ and each summand is of weighted degree 1. In the following, we systematically investigate for which choices of parameters this model actually admits the tubular symmetry T . To this end, we use the following two lemmas, that are easy observations.

Lemma 4.4. *Let S be any holomorphic vector field, denote Z its " ∂_z -part", i.e.*

$$S = f\partial_{z_1} + g\partial_{z_2} + h\partial_w = Z + h\partial_w.$$

The field S is an infinitesimal symmetry of hypersurface $\operatorname{Im} w = P(z, \bar{z})$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re}(ZP)$ is pluriharmonic. A real-valued polynomial is pluriharmonic if and only if it does not contain a mixed term (monomial consisting of both holomorphic and antiholomorphic variables).

Lemma 4.5. *For any non-constant holomorphic f and real-valued function R , the product $R \cdot \operatorname{Im} f$ is pluriharmonic if and only if R is a real constant or $R = r \operatorname{Re} f$ for some $r \in \mathbb{R}$. In the second case*

$$r \operatorname{Re} f \cdot \operatorname{Im} f = \frac{r}{2} \operatorname{Im} f^2.$$

We return to the proof of the Theorem 4.1. Inspired by the previous Lemma 4.4 we compute

$$(4.12) \quad \operatorname{Re}(i\partial_{z_1} P) = \operatorname{Im} z_2^\beta \left(\sum \frac{m}{2} \operatorname{Re}(\tau z_2^N) |z_2|^{2l} \left(\operatorname{Re} \bar{z}_1 z_2^\beta \right)^{m-1} \right).$$

If there is a summand with $m > 1$, we can identify a mixed term that does not cancel out. Focus on the summand of maximal N among those of maximal m , that in turn implies minimal possible K . In this summand the monomial

$$z_2^{N+K} \bar{z}_2^{K-m\beta} \bar{z}_1^{m-1}$$

is present and cannot cancel with any other monomial. Since $N + K > 0$ this term is not mixed unless $m = 1$. Also, there has to be at least one summand with $m = 1$, otherwise the model would be holomorphically degenerate. Next we show that each K is equal to β , which means the sum (4.12) has only one summand.

Equation (4.12) becomes

$$(4.13) \quad \operatorname{Re}(i\partial_{z_1} P) = \frac{\operatorname{Im} z_2^\beta}{2} \left(\sum_{2K+N=C} \operatorname{Re}(\tau z_2^N) |z_2|^{2(K-\beta)} \right),$$

where $C = \beta + \frac{1-\mu_1}{\mu_2}$, $K \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}$ and $N \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$. We show the sum is not pluriharmonic by focusing on the term of largest K , denoted K_1 . The corresponding summand is

$$\tau z_2^{N_1+K_1-\beta} \bar{z}_2^{K_1-\beta} + \bar{\tau} z_2^{K_1-\beta} \bar{z}_2^{N_1+K_1-\beta}.$$

The first term is mixed if $K_1 > \beta$. It could potentially cancel out in the entire sum if there is a term with parameters K_2, N_2 satisfying $N_2 + K_2 = K_1$ and $K_2 = N_1 + K_2$, which is impossible. Hence $K_1 = \beta$ as needed. It follows that models (4.1) and (4.4) are the only possibilities with one X -pair of length 2. Their perturbations, i.e., models (4.2), (4.3), and (4.5), arise from the presence of additional chains

of length 1. Sums of such X -pairs can result in any real polynomial Q in z_2 . The precise structure of \mathfrak{g} for each discovered model needs to be checked directly using simultaneous diagonalization of \mathfrak{g}_0 and general form of tubular symmetries. Model (4.2) arises as the only perturbation possessing an imaginary rotation. This concludes the proof for the situation when X and T commute.

Now we focus on noncommuting T and X . Since $\dim \mathfrak{g}_c = 1$ and $\mathfrak{g}_n = 0$, the weight of the bracket is nonpositive. First, let the commutator be of weight 0, i.e., $[T, X] \in \mathfrak{g}_0$.

Lemma 4.6. *If $[T, X] = Y \neq 0$ is rotation, then there are coordinates such that*

$$(4.14) \quad X = iz_1(qz_1\partial_{z_1} - pz_2\partial_{z_2}).$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 and rigidity of T and X we have $Y = Y^{\text{Re}} + Y^{\text{Im}}$. We can change multitype coordinates such that Y is diagonal and by Lemma 3.2 we can assume X to be monomial in these coordinates

$$X = iz_1^\alpha z_2^\beta (qz_1\partial_{z_1} - pz_2\partial_{z_2}).$$

First, let the weights be equal. The general form of a tubular symmetry is

$$T = a\partial_{z_1} + b\partial_{z_2} + h(z)\partial_w.$$

The weight of X is μ_1 , hence its coefficients are quadratic, i.e. $\alpha + \beta = 1$. The choice $\alpha = -1$ leads to the field $X = iz_2^2\partial_{z_1}$, but in this case the commutator

$$[T, X] = 2ibz_2\partial_{z_1}$$

is not diagonal. Therefore (after interchanging variables if needed) we arrive at (4.14). If $\mu_1 > \mu_2$, the reader can compute directly $[T, X]$ using the general form of T given by (4.9) similarly as above and observe we always end up with (4.14). \square

We can assume (after possible switching of variables) the exotic symmetry is given by (4.14). From the commutator condition

$$(4.15) \quad Y = [T, X] = \lambda_1 z_1 \partial_{z_1} + \lambda_2 z_2 \partial_{z_2} = ia(2qz_1\partial_{z_1} - pz_2\partial_{z_2}) - ibpz_1\partial_{z_2} - Xh\partial_w,$$

it follows that $b = 0$ and $Xh = 0$. If $Y^{\text{Re}} \neq 0$, the description from the Theorem 3.10 takes the form

$$\text{Im } w = \sum_{2K+N=C} \text{Re}(\tau_{K,N}Q^N) |z_1|^{2(Kp-m)} |z_2|^{2Kq} (\text{Re } z_1)^m,$$

where $Q = z_1^p z_2^q$ and m is fixed. We show that the expression has only one summand. Comparing the formula (4.15) with (3.22) we obtain

$$\frac{p}{2q} = \frac{Cp - m}{Cq}.$$

It follows $m = \frac{Cp}{2}$. The exponent of the $|z_1|^2$ factor is now $Kp - m = Kp - \frac{(2K+N)p}{2} = -\frac{N}{2} \geq 0$, hence $N = 0$ and $Kp = m$. We have retrieved the model (4.6). If $Y^{\text{Re}} = 0$, Y would be an imaginary rotation. A comparison with (3.24) shows this situation does not occur, since the rotation Y has both ∂_{z_1} and ∂_{z_2} terms, while $\beta = 0$. This concludes the case when $[T, X] \in \mathfrak{g}_0$.

The only remaining case is $[T, X] = T_2$, where T_2 is also a tubular symmetry necessarily of higher weight. Now we can consider the commutator $[T_2, X]$ already covered in previous cases and conclude that no additional models are possible. \square

5. SOLITARY EXOTIC SYMMETRY

A procedure for verifying that a given model admits an exotic symmetry is described in [16]. Such an M admits no other symmetry if and only if it is not of the equivalent to those given in Theorems 3.10, 3.12 and 4.1. In particular, by inspecting the proofs we notice the exotic symmetry is monomial and we obtain the following

Proposition 5.1. *Let a holomorphically nondegenerate model (2.1) possess a non-trivial \mathfrak{g}_c , generated by a vector field X . If X is not a monomial multiple of a linear diagonal vector field in any multitype coordinates, then there is no additional symmetry and $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 3$.*

The results of Section 3 also provide a characterization in the case when X is a monomial multiple of a linear diagonal vector field in some multitype coordinates. A model of the form

(3.19) has a 3-dimensional symmetry algebra if and only if it is not of one of the special forms (3.21) or (3.23).

Example 5.2. *We now give an example of a model for which $X \in \mathfrak{g}_c$ is a monomial multiple of a linear diagonal vector field in some multitype coordinates, and $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 3$. In fact, at the same time it provides the first example of a model with nontrivial \mathfrak{g}_c for which the Catlin multitype weights are different.*

We consider $U_1 = z_1^4 + z_2^2 z_1^3$, $U_2 = 2z_2 z_1^8$, $U_3 = 2z_1^{13}$, with a vector field X given by $X = iz_1^5 \partial_{z_2}$. The weights are $\mu_1 = \frac{1}{17}$, $\mu_2 = \frac{1}{34}$. Hence the model is given by

$$\operatorname{Im} w = 8|z_1|^6 (\operatorname{Re} z_1^5 \bar{z}_2)^2 + 4|z_1|^8 \operatorname{Re} z_1^9.$$

The following result was proved in [18].

Theorem 5.3. *There exists a non-monomial model M such that $\dim \mathfrak{g}_c = 1$ and $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 3$.*

The model which proves the statement is provided by

$$(5.1) \quad P(z_1, z_2) = iz_1^2 z_2^3 (z_1 - z_2), \quad Q(z_1, z_2) = 3z_1^3 z_2^5 (z_1 - z_2)$$

and a vector field

$$(5.2) \quad X = z_1 z_2^2 (5z_1 - 6z_2) \partial_{z_1} - z_2^3 (4z_1 - 3z_2) \partial_{z_2}.$$

It is easy to check that $X(P) = iQ$ and $X(Q) = 0$, and therefore X is an exotic symmetry for M given by $\operatorname{Im} w = \operatorname{Re} P\bar{Q}$. Since the coefficients of X vanish along three different complex lines, it follows that X is not a monomial multiple of a linear diagonal vector field in any multitype coordinates.

REFERENCES

- [1] Baouendi, M. S., Ebenfelt, P., Rothschild, L. P., *Convergence and finite determination of formal CR mappings*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **13**, (2000), 697-723.
- [2] Bedford, E., Pinchuk, S. I., *Convex domains with noncompact groups of automorphisms*, Mat. Sb., **185** (1994), 3-26.
- [3] Bloom, T., Graham, I., *On "type" conditions for generic real submanifolds of C^n* , Invent. Math. **40** (1977), 217-243.
- [4] Cartan, E., *Sur la géométrie pseudo-conforme des hypersurfaces de deux variables complexes, I*, Ann. Math. Pura Appl. **11** (1932), 17-90.

- [5] Cartan, E., *Sur la géométrie pseudo-conforme des hypersurfaces de deux variables complexes, II*, Ann.Scoula Norm. Sup. Pisa **1** (1932), p. 333–354.
- [6] Catlin, D., *Boundary invariants of pseudoconvex domains*, Ann. Math. **120** (1984), 529–586.
- [7] Chern, S. S. Moser, J., *Real hypersurfaces in complex manifolds*, Acta Math. **133** (1974), 219–271.
- [8] D’Angelo, J. P., *Orders of contact, real hypersurfaces and applications*, Ann. Math. **115** (1982), 615–637.
- [9] Isaev, A. V., Kruglikov, B., *On the symmetry algebras of 5-dimensional CR-manifolds*, Adv. Math. **322** (2017), 530–564.
- [10] Julien, C., Meylan, F., *Characterization of Real-Analytic Infinitesimal CR Automorphisms for a Class of Hypersurfaces in \mathbb{C}^4* , Latin American Mathematics Series – UFSCar subseries, Springer, (2024), 203–223.
- [11] Kohn, J. J., *Boundary behaviour of $\bar{\partial}$ on weakly pseudoconvex manifolds of dimension two*, J. Differential Geom. **6** (1972), 523–542.
- [12] Kolář, M., *Symmetry algebras of polynomial models in complex dimension three*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **18** (2022), no. 2, 639–656.
- [13] Kolář, M., Meylan F., *Remarks on the symmetries of a model hypersurface*, Anal. Math. **48** (2022), no. 2, 545–565.
- [14] Kolář, M., *The Catlin Multitype and biholomorphic equivalence of Models*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **18** (2010): 3530-3548.
- [15] Kolář, M., Meylan, F. and Zaitsev, D., *Chern-Moser operators and polynomial models in CR geometry*. Adv. Math. **263** (2014): 321-356.
- [16] Kolář, M. and Meylan F., *Higher order symmetries of real hypersurfaces in \mathbb{C}^3* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **144** (2016): 4807-4818.
- [17] Kolář, M., Meylan, F. *Chern–Moser operators and weighted jet determination problems.*, Contemporary Mathematics **550** (2011), 75–87
- [18] Kolář, M and Meylan, F., *Infinitesimal CR automorphisms for a class of polynomial models*, Arch. Math., **53** (2017): 111-121.
- [19] Kolář, M., Meylan, F., *Nonlinear CR automorphisms of Levi degenerate hypersurfaces and a new gap phenomenon*, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa **19** (2019), 847-868.
- [20] Kolář, M., *Normal forms for hypersurfaces of finite type in \mathbb{C}^2* , Math. Res. Lett., **12** (2005), 897–910.
- [21] Kossovskiy I. and Zaitsev D. *Convergent normal form for real hypersurfaces at generic Levi degeneracy*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **749** (2019), 201–225.
- [22] Kossovskiy I. and Zaitsev D., *Convergent normal form and canonical connection for hypersurfaces of finite type in \mathbb{C}^2* . Advances in Mathematics, 2015, pp. 670-705.
- [23] Lamel, B., Mir, N., *Two decades of finite jet determination of CR mappings*, Complex Anal. Synerg. **8** (2022), no. 4, Paper No. 19, 15 pp.
- [24] Poincaré, H., *Les fonctions analytiques de deux variables et la représentation conforme*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **23** (1907), 185–220.
- [25] Tanaka, N., *On the pseudo-conformal geometry of hypersurfaces of the space of n complex variables*. J. Math. Soc. Japan **14** 1962 397-429.
- [26] Zaitsev, D., *Unique determination of local CR-maps by their jets: a survey*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl. **13** (2002), no. 3-4, 295–305.

M. KOLÁŘ, P. LICZMAN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MASARYK UNIVERSITY, KOTLARSKA 2, 611 37 BRNO, CZECH REPUBLIC
 Email address: mkolar@math.muni.cz
 Email address: liczman@mail.muni.cz

F. MEYLAN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FRIBOURG, CH 1700 PEROLLES, FRIBOURG
 Email address: francine.meylan@unifr.ch