

# Rigidity in a Fixed Number Field and a Directional $p$ -Adic Littlewood Conjecture for Algebraic Vectors

Yuval Yifrach

## Abstract

Let  $X_n$  be the space of unimodular lattices in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $A$  be the full diagonal group in  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ . It is known that compact  $A$ -orbits originate from moduli in totally real degree  $n$  number fields. Our first result shows that for a natural family of compact orbits  $(Ax_k)_k$  all originating from a fixed number field  $K$ , every weak limit of the Haar measures on those orbits  $m_{Ax_k}$  must contain the Haar measure  $m_{X_n}$  as an ergodic component. This result generalizes certain aspects of the work by Aka and Shapira in [1] to arbitrary dimensions, as well as elements from Shapira-Zheng in [10].

For every vector  $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and for every rational approximation  $(\bar{p}, q) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  we can associate the displacement vector  $q\alpha - \bar{p}$ . We focus on algebraic vectors, namely  $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  such that  $1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  span a rank  $n$  number field. For these vectors, we investigate the size of their displacements as well as the distribution of their directions. We establish that algebraic vector  $\bar{\alpha}$  satisfy the  $p$ -adic Littlewood Conjecture. Namely, we prove that

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} (k \|k\|_p)^{1/n} \|k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)\|_\infty = 0. \quad (0.1)$$

Additionally, we classify all limiting distributions, with a special weighting, of the sequence of directions of the defects in the  $\varepsilon$ -approximations of  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . Each such limiting measure is expressed as the pushforward of an algebraic measure on  $X_n$  to the sphere.

Our proof relies on estimates of the asymptotic orders of units in fixed number fields modulo families of natural numbers and on rigidity results from [4].

## 1 Introduction

Let  $X_n$  denote the space of unimodular lattices in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , commonly identified with the quotient  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ . Denote  $m_{X_n}$  to be the Haar probability measure on  $X_n$  coming from the Haar measure on  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $A \leq \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  denote the full diagonal subgroup.

A probability measure  $\mu$  on  $X_n$  is called *algebraic* if there exists a closed subgroup  $H \leq \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\mu$  is  $H$ -invariant and supported on an  $H$ -orbit.

Among the  $A$ -invariant probability measures on  $X_n$ , the family of algebraic measures supported on  $A$ -orbits is significant and well-studied due to its connections with algebraic number theory.

Our first goal in this paper is to investigate the structure of possible weak limits of algebraic measures supported on  $A$ -orbits that are related to each other in a specific way.

Recall that every algebraic ergodic probability measure supported on an  $A$ -orbit originates from a full module in a number field, as follows:

**Construction 1.1.** *Let  $K$  be a totally real number field of degree  $n$ , and let  $M \subset K$  be a full module. Denote  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as an ordering of the natural embeddings of  $K$ . Let  $x_M$  be the normalization of the lattice  $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)(M) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  to have co-volume 1. Then every  $x \in X_n$  with a compact  $A$ -orbit is of the form  $x_M$  for some  $K, M$  as above.*

*Note that if  $\mu$  is an algebraic probability measure supported on an  $A$ -orbit, then this orbit must be compact.*

In [11], together with Solan, we demonstrated that Haar measures on compact  $A$ -orbits exhibit certain non-rigid properties in the following ways:

- (a) We showed (in [11, Theorem 1.1]) that weak limits of Haar measures on compact  $A$ -orbits need not be ergodic. In fact, they can contain any countable collection of ergodic  $A$ -invariant measures in their ergodic decomposition.
- (b) We proved (in [11, Theorem 1.5]) that weak limits of Haar measures on compact  $A$ -orbits need not be probability measures, and any escape of mass can occur.

These results stand in stark contrast to rigidity phenomena observed for unipotent flows. For instance, in [7], it was shown that weak limits of ergodic measures invariant under a one-parameter unipotent flow are always ergodic.

**Remark 1.2.** *A natural question arises: must any weak limit of Haar measures on compact  $A$ -orbits (with discriminant tending to infinity) have the Haar measure on  $X_n$  as an ergodic component? Our construction in [11] did not account for the full ergodic decomposition of the weak limits. Thus, it is possible that  $m_{X_n}$  appears as a component in each of our constructed sequences.*

Our first result addresses a natural subfamily of compact  $A$ -orbits, all derived from a fixed number field. We prove that weak limits of Haar measures on these compact  $A$ -orbits must contain the Haar measure as an ergodic factor.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $p$  be a prime. Let  $K$  be a totally real number field of degree  $n$ , and let  $M \leq K$  be a lattice (the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -span of a basis for  $K$ ). Fix an ordering  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$  of the natural embeddings  $K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and denote*

$$x_M = \frac{1}{\text{cov}(\sigma(M))^{1/n}} \sigma(M) \in X_n, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ .

Write  $x_M = g\mathbb{Z}^n$  for some  $g \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

Define, for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$a_k = p^{-k \frac{n+1}{n}} \text{diag}(p^k, \dots, p^k, p^{2k}) \quad (1.2)$$

and let  $x_k = ga_k\mathbb{Z}^n$ .

Then every weak limit  $\mu$  of the Haar measures  $m_{Ax_k}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  contains the Haar measure as an ergodic component. When  $n$  is prime,  $m_{Ax_k} \rightarrow m_{X_n}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Remark 1.4** (Comparison to Shapira-Zheng). *This result resembles [10] in the following way. [10, Theorem 1.8] asserts that every weak limit of measures  $m_{Ax_k}$  from Theorem 1.3 is an algebraic probability  $A$ -invariant measure. When  $n$  is prime, their proof shows that the limit is the Haar measure on  $X_n$ . However, for non-prime  $n$ , it might seem possible for weak limits to be Haar measures on periodic orbits of intermediate subgroups of  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  containing  $A$ .*

*Theorem 1.3 contributes additional information about the weak limits from [10, Theorem 1.8]. Focusing on a specific family of sublattices of fixed order in a number field, our approach proves that every weak limit has positive entropy with respect to every one-parameter subgroup of  $A$ . By [2, Theorem 1.3], it follows that every weak limit must include the Haar measure as an ergodic factor. The proof involves an asymptotic polynomial bound on the regulator in terms of the discriminant of  $x_k$  (Section 3), combined with [4, Theorem 3.1].*

**Corollary 1.5.** *As a corollary of Remark 1.4 and Theorem 1.3, every weak limit  $\mu$  of the Haar measures  $m_{Ax_k}$  from Theorem 1.3 as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  contains the Haar measure as an ergodic component and is algebraic.*

Theorem 1.3 addresses one aspect of the following question, informed by the above discussion.

**Open Question 1.6.** *What kind of rigidity should we expect from  $A$ -invariant ergodic measures coming from a **fixed** number field? Could such measures exhibit escape of mass? Must they include  $m_{X_n}$  as an ergodic component?*

## 1.1 $p$ -Adic Littlewood conjecture for algebraic vectors

In this section, we discuss an application of Theorem 1.3.

The  $p$ -Adic Littlewood Conjecture can be stated as follows:

**Conjecture 1.7.** *Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $p$  be a prime. Then,*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle n\alpha \rangle n \|n\|_p = 0, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  denotes the fractional part and  $\|\cdot\|_p$  denotes the  $p$ -adic norm. Equivalently, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $n_\epsilon \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  and an integer  $m_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{m_\epsilon}{n_\epsilon} \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{n_\epsilon^2 \|n_\epsilon\|_p}. \quad (1.4)$$

This conjecture can be interpreted as an "approximation compromise" in the context of badly approximable numbers. A number  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  is called badly approximable if there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\langle n\alpha \rangle n > c.$$

In light of this, the conjecture suggests that to achieve approximations with arbitrarily small numerators, it suffices to remove the  $p$ -adic component of  $n$ .

**Remark 1.8.** *Einsiedler and Kleinbock proved in [3] that the set of exceptions to Conjecture 1.7 has Hausdorff dimension zero.*

For the case where  $\alpha$  is a quadratic irrational (i.e.,  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  is a degree 2 extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ), this conjecture was formulated by de Mathan and Teuli in [6, Theorem 2.1]. In fact, a stronger result was proven in [1], which we now explain.

Define the one-parameter group  $a(t) = \text{diag}(e^t, e^{-t})$  and the lattices

$$x_{p^n \alpha} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p^n \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}^2. \quad (1.5)$$

Equation (1.3) is equivalent to the assertion that the  $\{a(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ -orbits of  $x_{p^n \alpha}$  become unbounded in the space of lattices  $X_2$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In [1, Theorem 2.8], the authors proved that the  $\{a(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ -orbits of  $x_{p^n \alpha}$  are not only unbounded but also equidistributed in  $X_2$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

We propose the following conjecture, which seems to be a natural extension of Conjecture 1.7 but lacks a reference in the literature:

**Conjecture 1.9.** *Let  $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a vector, and let  $p$  be a prime. Denote  $\langle \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]^n$  as the pointwise fractional part of a vector. Then, we have*

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} (k \|k\|_p)^{1/n} \| \langle k\bar{\alpha} \rangle \|_\infty = 0. \quad (1.6)$$

**Definition 1.10.** *An  $n$ -tuple of real numbers  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  is called a real algebraic  $n$ -tuple if the set  $\{1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  spans a totally real number field of degree  $n+1$ . We will often refer to this simply as an "algebraic tuple."*

In light of Conjecture 1.9, and drawing a parallel to the relation between the  $p$ -Adic Littlewood Conjecture and the result of Aka-Shapira [1], Theorem 1.11 provides a positive answer to Conjecture 1.9 for algebraic tuples:

**Theorem 1.11** (The  $p$ -Adic Littlewood Conjecture for Algebraic Tuples). *Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be an algebraic tuple. Then,*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{1/n} \| \langle kp^m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \rangle \|_\infty = 0. \quad (1.7)$$

Moreover, the equation

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} (k \|k\|_p)^{1/n} \| \langle k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \rangle \|_\infty = 0 \quad (1.8)$$

immediately follows from (1.7), thereby confirming that  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  satisfies Conjecture 1.9.

## 1.2 Directional $p$ -Adic Littlewood

The methods used to prove Theorem 1.11 can be extended to provide more detailed information about the approximations of algebraic tuples. Specifically, this information relates to the direction of the approximating vectors. To state this precisely, we introduce the following definition.

**Definition 1.12.** Given  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\bar{r} = (\bar{p}, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{N}$ , we define the displacement of  $v$  by  $\bar{r}$  as

$$\text{disp}(\bar{r}, v) = q^{1/n}(qv - \bar{p}),$$

and the normalized displacement of  $v$  by  $\bar{r}$  as

$$\theta(v, \bar{r}) = \|qv - \bar{p}\|^{-1}(qv - \bar{p}).$$

Note that for a given  $v$ , the function  $\theta(v, \cdot)$  is injective on the set of primitive vectors in  $\mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{N}$ .

**Definition 1.13.** For every algebraic tuple  $\bar{\alpha}$ , we define the set

$$Q_T(\bar{\alpha}) := \{ \bar{r} = (\bar{p}, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{N} \text{ primitive} : q < e^{nT}, e^T \|q\bar{\alpha} - \bar{p}\|_\infty < \epsilon \}.$$

This set is finite, and we denote it as  $Q_T = (\bar{r}_i)_{i=1}^{N_T}$ .

Moreover, for  $\bar{r} = (\bar{p}, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{N}$ , we define the weight of  $\bar{r}$  up to  $T$  as

$$w_{\bar{\alpha}}(\bar{r}, T) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \frac{1}{|Q_t|} \delta_{Q_t}(\bar{r}) dt.$$

**Remark 1.14.** In Definition 1.13, we highlight a sequence of weights  $w(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{r})$  associated with each approximation  $\bar{r}$ . These weights reflect the quality of each approximation and are natural in the statement of Theorem 1.16 below. It is also possible to take the uniform counting measure on  $Q_T(\bar{\alpha})$ , as done in [9]. However, our methods, as well as those of [9], do not yield the explicit formulation appearing in Theorem 1.16.

**Notation 1.1.** For every  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote

$$C_{n,\epsilon} = \{ (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_\infty < \epsilon, |x_{n+1}| \leq 1 \}.$$

**Definition 1.15.** 1. A subgroup  $H \leq \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  is called an intermediate subgroup if there exists natural numbers  $k, d \in \mathbb{N}$  and a permutation matrix  $P$  such that

$n = kd$  and

$$H = \left\{ P \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & B_d \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} : B_i \in \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{R}) \right\} \cap \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}). \quad (1.9)$$

2. Given a Borel measure probability measure  $\nu$  on  $X_n$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  we define the distribution of  $\epsilon$ -shortest vector to be the probability measure on  $S^{n-2}$  defined by:

$$(\min\text{-vec}_\epsilon)_* \nu = \int \Theta_\epsilon(x) d\nu(x) \quad (1.10)$$

where

$$\Theta_\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{|x \cap C_{n-1,\epsilon}|} \sum_{v \in x \cap C_{n-1,\epsilon}} \delta_{\pi_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}(v)/\|\pi_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}(v)\|} \quad (1.11)$$

is the uniform measure on the directions of the shortest vectors of  $x$  and  $\pi_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}$  is the orthogonal projection on the first  $n - 1$  coordinates.

**Theorem 1.16.** *Let  $\bar{\alpha}$  be an algebraic tuple. Let  $Q_T(\cdot)$  be defined as in Definition 1.13.*

*For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $\epsilon_0(k) > 0$  such that  $\epsilon_0(k) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and the following holds. For  $\epsilon > \epsilon_0(k), T > 0$  define  $\mu_{k,T,\epsilon}$  to be the measure*

$$\mu_{k,T,\epsilon} = \sum_{\bar{\tau} \in Q_T(p^k \bar{\alpha})} w_{p^k \bar{\alpha}}(\bar{\tau}, T) \delta_{\theta(p^k \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\tau})}, \quad (1.12)$$

then:

- (a) *The sequence  $(\mu_{k,T,\epsilon})_T$  converges as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . Denote its limit by  $\mu_{k,\epsilon}$ . Then there exists  $x(k) \in X_n$  with a compact  $A$ -orbit and a unipotent matrix  $U$  independent on  $k$  such that  $\mu_{k,\epsilon} = (\min\text{-vec}_\epsilon)_* U m_{Ax(k)}$ .*
- (b) *For every weak limit  $\mu_\epsilon$  of  $(\mu_{k,\epsilon})_k$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  there exists an algebraic  $A$ -invariant probability measure  $\nu$  on  $X_n$  with positive entropy and a unipotent*



matrix  $U$  such that

$$\mu_\epsilon = (\text{min-vec}_\epsilon)_*(\nu(C_{n-1,\epsilon})^{-1}U\nu|_{C_{n-1,\epsilon}}). \quad (1.13)$$

Moreover,  $\nu$  is a weak limit of a subsequence of  $(m_{Ax(k)})_k$ .

**Corollary 1.17.** *By [5], there exists  $H \leq G$  as in Definition 1.15 and a periodic  $H$ -invariant measure  $\nu'$  contained in the ergodic decomposition of  $\nu$ . Therefore, in particular, there exists  $c \in (0, 1]$  such that*

$$(\text{min-vec}_\epsilon)_*(\nu|_{C_{n,\epsilon}}) \geq c(\text{min-vec}_\epsilon)_*(\nu'|_{C_{n,\epsilon}}) \quad (1.14)$$

and in particular, for every  $\epsilon > 0$  the support of the limiting measure in (1.13) is the whole sphere.

**Remark 1.18** (A higher rank phenomenon). *The property that every limiting distribution  $\mu_\epsilon$  of the weighted displacements is fully supported on the sphere, as stated in Corollary 1.17, holds for algebraic  $n$ -tuples for any  $n$  and generically, as shown in [9, Theorem 1.1(4)]. This might suggest that the property applies for all  $n$  and every vector  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . However, a counterexample can be constructed for  $n = 2$ , where a badly approximable number  $\alpha$  has a limiting distribution of displacements that is not fully supported on the sphere. Constructing such an  $\alpha$  requires additional background and would significantly lengthen this paper. For this reason, we have opted to present the construction in a separate document. It is still possible that this property holds for every  $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  for  $n \geq 2$  which would make this a higher rank phenomenon.*

**Remark 1.19** (Comparison to [9]). *Theorem 1.16 shares similarities with [9, Theorem 1.2] while also exhibiting notable differences.*

*Both Theorem 1.16(a) and [9, Theorem 1.2] concern the displacements of approximations of algebraic vectors. Additionally, both results provide information regarding the distribution of these displacement vectors. However, [9, Theorem 1.2] does not give an explicit description of the distribution of the displacement vectors (denoted as  $\nu^{(\mathbb{R}^d)}$  in [9]). Instead, the authors derive this distribution from a cross-section measure defined using a limiting process.*

*To obtain an explicit description of this limiting distribution, we employ a different weighting of the sequence of displacements, as defined in Definition 1.12. Under this approach, the limiting distribution can be explicitly described, as stated in Theorem 1.16(a), as the pushforward of the Haar measure on the periodic orbit  $Ax(k)$ .*

*A limitation of our result is that it focuses solely on the direction of the displacement, without addressing its magnitude. Furthermore, our analysis does not encompass the distributions of the other two invariants discussed in [9, Theorem 1.2] or the distribution related to the best approximations. While we believe our methods could be extended to prove equidistribution for these additional invariants, we have opted to center our discussion around the  $p$ -adic Littlewood conjecture for algebraic vectors to maintain clarity and focus.*

*Lastly, our work examines the possible limits of the measures  $\mu_{\epsilon,k}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , rather than restricting attention to a single measure derived from the displacements of approximations of a specific vector.*

## **Acknowledgements**

The author acknowledges the support of ISF grants number 871/17. This work has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant Agreement No. 754475).

## 2 Notation and Preliminaries

In this section we introduce some necessary definitions.

**Definition 2.1** (*O*-notations). *For two real functions  $f, g$  on a set  $A$  we write  $f \ll g$  if there exists a constant  $C$  independent on the parameters of  $f$  and  $g$  such that  $|f| \leq Cg$  on  $A$ . The notation  $O(g)$  will refer to some implicit function  $f$  which satisfies  $f \ll g$ . The notation  $\Theta(g)$  will refer to some implicit function  $f$  which satisfies  $g \ll f \ll g$ . Whenever  $r$  is a parameter going to 0 or  $\infty$ , the notation  $o_r(g)$  will refer to some implicit function  $f$  which satisfies  $f \ll g \cdot h$ , for some implicit function  $h \rightarrow 0$  as  $r$  goes to 0 or  $\infty$  respectively.*

**Definition 2.2.** *For any  $\epsilon > 0$  denote  $(X_n)_{<\epsilon}$  to be the set of lattices that contain a vector  $v$  with  $\|v\| < \epsilon$ . Given a lattice  $\Lambda$  and  $v \in \Lambda$ , we say that  $v$  is a shortest vector in  $\Lambda$  if  $v$  minimizes  $\{\|u\| : 0 \neq u \in \Lambda\}$ . If  $v$  is unique up to sign, we say that  $\Lambda$  has a unique shortest vector.*

### 2.1 Compact orbits

Use  $\|\cdot\|$  to denote the  $\ell^\infty$  norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Given a lattice  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  we use  $\text{cov}(\Lambda)$  to denote the co-volume of  $\Lambda$ . Let  $X_n$  denote the space of unimodular lattices in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $d_{X_n}(\cdot, \cdot)$  denote the Riemannian metric on  $X_n = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})/\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  coming from the right invariant Riemannian metric  $d_{\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})}(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Let  $\mathbb{R}_0^{n-1} = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_i v_i = 0\}$ . We abuse notations and define  $\text{exp} = \text{exp} \circ \text{diag} : \mathbb{R}_0^{n-1} \rightarrow A$  to be the standard parametrization. We denote by  $m_{X_n}$  probability measure on  $X_n = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})/\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  coming from the Haar measure on  $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Definition 2.3** (Space of Measures). *Let  $\mathcal{M}(X_n)$  denote the space of finite measures on  $X_n$  endowed with the topology induced by  $\mu_k \rightarrow \mu$  if for any  $f \in C_c(X_n)$  it holds that  $\mu_k(f) \rightarrow \mu(f)$ .*

**Definition 2.4.** *For every degree  $n$ , totally real number field  $K$ , denote by  $\text{Lat}'_K$  the set of free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules of rank  $n$  in  $K$ . We define an equivalence relation on  $\text{Lat}'_K$  by identifying two lattices  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \subset K$  if  $\Lambda_1 = k\Lambda_2$  for some  $k \in K^\times$ . The*

quotient space is denoted by  $\text{Lat}_K$ , and for every  $\Lambda \in \text{Lat}'_K$ , denote by  $[\Lambda] \in \text{Lat}_K$  its equivalence class. For every rank  $n$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\Lambda \in \text{Lat}'_K$  consider the lattice  $x_\Lambda := \sigma(\Lambda)/(\text{cov}(\sigma(\Lambda)))^{1/n} \in X_n$ , where  $\sigma_i : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}; i = 1, \dots, n$  is some ordering of the natural embeddings of  $K$  and let  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  denote their concatenation. Denote by  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda = \{k \in K : k\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda\}$ . This is a ring. Denote by  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda^{\times, >0} = \{u \in \mathcal{O}_\Lambda^\times : \sigma_i(u) > 0 : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . For every  $U \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K^{\times, >0}$  denote  $A_U = \{\text{diag}(\sigma_1(u), \sigma_2(u), \dots, \sigma_n(u)) : u \in U\}$ . Note that these definitions depend implicitly on the ordering of the real embeddings of  $K$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Given an algebraic tuple  $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we denote

$$\sigma_i : \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

to be the field embeddings of the number field associated to  $\bar{\alpha}$  ordered in some way. We denote the normalized (namely to an element in  $X_n$ ) image of  $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  under  $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n+1})$  to be  $x_{\bar{\alpha}}$ .

**Definition 2.6** (Correspondence between units and integer matrices). Let  $K$  be some totally real rank  $n$  number field and let  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  denote some ordering of the natural embeddings of  $K$ . Given a full module  $M$ , namely a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -span of a basis for  $K$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we denote  $\mathcal{O}_M$  to be the associated order. Namely,  $\mathcal{O}_M = \{\alpha \in K : \alpha M \subset M\}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{O}_M \leq \mathcal{O}_K$  is a subring. The group of units inside  $\mathcal{O}_M$  is denoted  $\mathcal{O}_M^\times = \mathcal{O}_M \cap K^\times$ . Note that for any  $\epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_M^\times$ ,  $\epsilon M = M$ . Choose  $\mathcal{M} = \{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$  to be some ordered  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for  $M$ . Then since  $\epsilon M = M$ , there exists a unique  $\gamma = \gamma_{\epsilon, \mathcal{M}} \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\epsilon m_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_{ij} m_j$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Definition 2.7** (Discriminant and Regulator). Given a full module  $M$  in a number field  $K$  we denote  $\text{Disc}(M) = \text{cov}(\mathcal{O}_M)$  and  $\text{Reg}(M) = \text{cov}(\log \mathcal{O}_M^\times)$  where  $\log \mathcal{O}_M^\times$  is a lattice in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  by Dirichlet's unit Theorem.

## 2.2 Hecke neighbors

In this subsection we give some background on the topic of Hecke neighbors.

**Definition 2.8** (Definition of the  $p$ -Hecke Neighbors and the Hecke Operator). *For every sequence of integers  $0 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_n$  consider:*

$$a = a_{p;k_1,k_2,\dots,k_n} = \frac{1}{p^{(k_1+\dots+k_n)/n}} \text{diag}(p^{k_1}, p^{k_2}, \dots, p^{k_n}) \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}).$$

*For every  $x = g\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \in X_n$  denote  $T_a(x) = g\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})a\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ . This set is finite since  $a\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})a^{-1}$  is commensurable to  $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ . The size  $\#T_a(x) = \#(\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})a\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})/\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}))$  depends only on  $k_1, \dots, k_n$  and not on  $x$ . Equivalently,*

$$T_a(x) = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{\text{cov}(x')}} x' : x' \subseteq x \text{ with } x/x' \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^{k_1}\mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p^{k_n}\mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

*In addition, given a natural number  $m$  we define the set of Hecke-neighbors of index  $m$  to be*

$$T_m(x) = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{\text{cov}(x')}} x' : x' \subseteq x \text{ with } [x' : x] = m \right\}. \quad (2.1)$$

### 3 Regulator-Discriminant Bounds

In this section we give an asymptotic lower bound on the regulator of the sequence of Hecke neighbors from Theorem 1.3. This lower bound is polynomial in the discriminant of the corresponding modules. Using this lower bound and using [4], we will prove Theorem 1.3 in Section 5.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $K$  be a degree  $n$  totally real number field and let  $p$  be a prime. Let  $M$  be a full module in  $K$  and write  $x_M = g\mathbb{Z}^n$  for some  $g \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Define, for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ :*

$$a_k = p^{-k\frac{n+1}{n}} \text{diag}(p^k, \dots, p^k, p^{2k}) \quad (3.1)$$

*and let  $x_k = ga_k\mathbb{Z}^n$ . Then  $\text{Reg}(x_k) \gtrsim p^k$ .*

**Definition 3.2.** Define the following two subgroups of  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ :

$$H_k = \left\{ A \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) : A \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * & \cdots & 0 \\ * & * & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ * & * & \cdots & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p^k} \right\}, H_\infty = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & * & \cdots & 0 \\ * & * & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ * & * & \cdots & * \end{pmatrix} \right\} \leq \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}). \quad (3.2)$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.1.* Fix a choice of ordering for the natural embeddings of  $K$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  and denote them  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ . Denote also  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Note that the matrix  $g$  yields a choice of an ordered basis  $\mathcal{M}$  for the module  $M$  by taking the columns of  $g$  and taking their inverse image under  $\sigma$ .

Therefore, as in Definition 2.6, whenever we take a unit  $\epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$  we correspond to it a matrix  $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ .

We will follow the lines of the proof of the claim in [1, p. 30]. First, we note the following simple fact:

**Claim 3.3.** Let  $k \geq 0$  be a natural number. Elements  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  satisfy  $\gamma_1 a_{p^k} \mathbb{Z}^3 = \gamma_2 a_{p^k} \mathbb{Z}^3$  if and only if

$$(\gamma_2^{-1} \gamma_1)^t \in H_k. \quad (3.3)$$

*Proof.* The two lattices are equal if and only if  $a_{p^k}^{-1} \gamma_2^{-1} \gamma_1 a_{p^k} \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  which happens precisely when the  $(n, 1), (n, 2), \dots, (n, n-1)$  coordinates of  $\gamma_2^{-1} \gamma_1$  are divisible by  $p^k$ , namely  $(\gamma_2^{-1} \gamma_1)^t \in H_k$ . ■

**Claim 3.4.** For any  $K \in \mathbb{N}$ , if there exists  $k_1 > 0$  such that  $\mathrm{ord}_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/p^k \mathbb{Z})/H_k}(\gamma^K) = p^{k-k_1}$  for any  $k > k_1$  then  $\mathrm{ord}_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/p^k \mathbb{Z})/H_k}(\gamma) \geq p^{k-k_1}$  for any  $k > k_1$ .

*Proof.* This follows immediately from the fact that

$$\mathrm{ord}_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/p^k \mathbb{Z})/H_k}(\gamma^N) \mid \mathrm{ord}_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/p^k \mathbb{Z})/H_k}(\gamma).$$

■

**Claim 3.5.** Fix a unit  $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  associated to some element  $\epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K$  and the basis  $\mathcal{M}$  as in Definition 2.6. Then there exist  $K \in \mathbb{N}, k_0 > 0$  such that for every  $k \geq 0$

$$d_k := \mathrm{ord}_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})/H_k}(\gamma^K) = p^{k-k_0}$$

and  $(\gamma^K)^{d_k} \in H_k \setminus H_{k+1}$  for all  $k \geq k_0$ .

By Claim 3.4 this implies that  $\mathrm{ord}_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})/H_k}(\gamma) \geq p^{k-k_0}$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* Take  $K$  such that  $\gamma^K \equiv I \pmod{p^2}$ . For simplicity, we denote  $\gamma^K$  by  $\eta$ .

Let  $k_0$  be the maximal such that  $\eta \in H_{k_0}$ .

Note that since  $\epsilon \neq 1$ ,  $\epsilon$  has no eigenvectors in  $K$ . In particular,  $\sigma^{-1}(e_n)$  is not an eigenvector of  $\epsilon$ . Equivalently,  $e_1$  is not an eigenvector of  $\gamma$ , which exactly means that  $\gamma \notin H_\infty$ . Therefore  $k_0 < \infty$ .

We will prove the claim by induction on  $k$ . The base case is covered by our assumption on the maximality of  $k_0$ . Assume the statement of the claim for numbers smaller than  $k + 1$ . Denote  $d = \mathrm{ord}_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})/H_k}(\eta)$ . From here on in the proof, we make the assumption that  $n = 3$ . This assumption doesn't effect the generality of the proof, but makes the notation significantly simpler.

Write, for every natural number  $\ell$ ,

$$\eta^{d\ell} = \begin{pmatrix} a_\ell & b_\ell & c_\ell \\ d_\ell & e_\ell & f_\ell \\ h_\ell & i_\ell & j_\ell \end{pmatrix}$$

then we have the following recursive relation for  $c_\ell, f_\ell$ :

$$c_{\ell+1} = a_1 c_\ell + b_1 f_\ell + c_1 i_\ell; \tag{3.4}$$

$$f_{\ell+1} = d_1 c_\ell + e_1 f_\ell + f_1 i_\ell. \tag{3.5}$$

By our induction assumption, it follows that we can write

$$c_1 = m_1 p^k + m_2 p^{k+1} + u p^{k+2} \tag{3.6}$$

$$f_1 = m'_1 p^k + m'_2 p^{k+1} + u' p^{k+2} \quad (3.7)$$

for  $m_i, m'_i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$  where at least one of  $m_1, m'_1 \neq 0$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $m_1 \neq 0$ .

We prove by induction on  $m$  that for every natural number  $m$ :

$$c_\ell = \ell m_1 p^k + \ell m_2 p^{k+1} + u_k p^{k+2} \quad (3.8)$$

$$f_\ell = \ell m'_1 p^m + \ell m'_2 p^{m+1} + u'_k p^{m+2} \quad (3.9)$$

where  $u_k, u'_k \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

Recall that  $\eta \equiv I \pmod{p^2}$  so that  $a_1 = 1 + p^2 A, b_1 = p^2 B, c_1 = p^2 C, d_1 = p^2 D, e_1 = 1 + p^2 E, f_1 = p^2 F, j_1 = 1 + p^2 G$  for some  $A, B, C, D, E, F, G \in \mathbb{N}$ . The base case of the induction is exactly Eq. (3.6),(3.7).

For the induction step, apply Eq. (3.4), (3.5) and the induction assumption (3.8), (3.9), the facts about  $a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1, e_1, f_1 \pmod{p^2}$  and since  $i_\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$  to deduce:

$$c_{\ell+1} = \ell m_1 p^k + \ell m_2 p^{k+1} + u_\ell p^{k+2} + m_1 p^k + m_2 p^{k+1} + u p^{k+2} + \tilde{u}_1 p^{k+2} \quad (3.10)$$

$$f_{\ell+1} = \ell m'_1 p^k + \ell m'_2 p^{k+1} + u'_\ell p^{k+2} + m'_1 p^k + m'_2 p^{k+1} + u' p^{k+2} + \tilde{u}_2 p^{k+2} \quad (3.11)$$

for some  $\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . In other words,

$$c_{\ell+1} = (\ell + 1) m_1 p^k + (\ell + 1) m_2 p^{k+1} + (u_\ell + \tilde{u}_1) p^{k+2} \quad (3.12)$$

$$f_{\ell+1} = (\ell + 1) m'_1 p^k + (\ell + 1) m'_2 p^{k+1} + (u'_\ell + \tilde{u}_2) p^{k+2}. \quad (3.13)$$

From Equations (3.8), (3.9) it follows that the minimal  $\ell$  such that  $\eta^{d_\ell} \in H_{k+1}$  is precisely  $p$ , and in addition  $c_{\ell+1} \pmod{p} \neq 0$  so that  $\eta^{p d} \notin H_{k+2}$ . Moreover, since  $H_{k+1} \leq H_k$  we know that  $d_k \mid d_{k+1}$ .  $\blacksquare$

Now, we use the above to bound the regulator of  $x_k$ . Recall (see e.g. Definition 2.6) that for every  $k$ ,  $\text{stab}_A(x_k) \leq \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ . The following fact follows immediately from Claim 3.3:



**Claim 3.6.** *The order of  $\epsilon$  in the quotient  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times / \text{stab}_A(x_k)$  is equal to the order of the  $\gamma \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  associated to  $\epsilon$  in  $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})/H_k$ .*

By Claim 3.5, there exists some  $k_0, K$  such that  $\text{ord}_{\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})/H_k}(\gamma) \geq p^{k-k_0}$ . By Claim 3.6, we have the bound  $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times / \text{stab}(x_k)}(\epsilon) \geq p^{k-k_0}$ . Therefore, the index  $[\mathcal{O}_K^\times : \text{stab}(x_k)]$  is divisible by this order and so  $[\mathcal{O}_K^\times : \text{stab}_A(x_k)] \geq p^{k-k_0}$ . Thus, by the definition of the regulator:

$$\text{Reg}(x_k) = \text{cov}(\text{stab}_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times}(x_k)) = \text{cov}(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)[\mathcal{O}_K^\times : \text{stab}_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times}(x_k)] \geq p^{k-k_0} \text{Reg}(x) \quad (3.14)$$

which shows the claim of the lemma. ■

The following lemma bounds the growth of the discriminant when taking Hecke neighbors. We will use this lemma for the proof of Theorem 1.3.

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $K$  be a totally real number field of degree  $n$  and let  $k$  be a natural number. Then for every  $M \in T_k(\mathcal{O}_K)$ ,  $\text{Disc}(M) \leq k^{n^2} \text{Disc}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ .*

*Proof.* Note that since  $M \in T_k(\mathcal{O}_K)$ , it follows that  $k\mathcal{O}_K \leq M$  and therefore for every  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ ,  $k\alpha \in M$ . Therefore, if  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , then  $M \geq \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{k\alpha_1, \dots, k\alpha_n\}$  so  $\text{cov}(M) \leq k^n \text{Disc}(\mathcal{O}_K)$  as desired. ■

## 4 Periodic $A$ -orbits vs Unipotent Orbits

In this section we prove a geometric fact about compact  $A$ -orbits and  $A$ -orbits of lattices coming from unipotent matrices. To state this fact we need the following notation.

**Definition 4.1.** 1. We will denote for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$a(t) = \text{diag}(e^t, e^t, \dots, e^t, e^{-nt}) \in \text{SL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}). \quad (4.1)$$

2. Denote for  $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$u(\bar{\alpha}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & \alpha_1 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & \alpha_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.2)$$

3. Given an algebraic tuple  $\bar{\alpha}$  we denote an ordering  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n+1}$  of the natural embeddings of  $K = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  such that  $\sigma_1(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . We denote:

$$B(\bar{\alpha}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sigma_1(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \sigma_1(\alpha_n) \\ 1 & \sigma_2(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \sigma_2(\alpha_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \sigma_{n+1}(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \sigma_{n+1}(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.3)$$

so that by Definition 2.4,  $x_{\bar{\alpha}} = B(\bar{\alpha})\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ .

We will prove, roughly speaking, that for algebraic tuples  $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the one parameter orbit  $\{a(t)u(p^k\alpha)\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}\}_{t>0}$  remains close to  $A(B(\bar{\alpha})a(T_k)\mathbb{Z}^{n+1})$  where  $T_k > 0$  is a sequence, uniformly in  $k$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *For any algebraic tuple  $\bar{\alpha}$  there exists some  $U_0 \in \text{SL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$  such that:*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{T_0 < 0} d(U_0 a(t - T_0) B(\bar{\alpha}) a(T_0), a(t) [a(-T_0) u(\bar{\alpha}) a(T_0)]) = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

*Proof.* We start by noting, similarly to [8, (5.6)], that there exist  $Q \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  and  $q_1, \dots, q_{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$U = \left( \begin{array}{ccc|c} & & & 0 \\ & & & 0 \\ & & & \vdots \\ \hline q_1 & q_2 & \cdots & q_{n+1} \end{array} \right)$$

satisfies

$$UB(\bar{\alpha}) = u(\bar{\alpha}). \quad (4.5)$$

Since the group  $a(t)$  expands only the coordinates  $(i, n+1)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we deduce that  $a(t)Ua(-t) \rightarrow U_0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  where

$$U_0 = \left( \begin{array}{c|ccc} & & & 0 \\ & Q & & 0 \\ \hline & & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & q_{n+1} \end{array} \right). \quad (4.6)$$

Conjugating Eq. (4.5) by  $a(T_0)$  we deduce:

$$a(-T_0)UB(\bar{\alpha})a(T_0) = a(-T_0)u(\bar{\alpha})a(T_0) \quad (4.7)$$

so it follows that for any  $t > 0$ :

$$[a(t-T_0)Ua(T_0-t)]a(t-T_0)B(\bar{\alpha})a(T_0) = a(t)a(-T_0)UA(\bar{\alpha})a(T_0) = a(t-T_0)u(\bar{\alpha})a(T_0). \quad (4.8)$$

Therefore, for every  $t$  large enough:

$$d(U_0a(t-T_0)B(\bar{\alpha})a(T_0), a(t)a(-T_0)u(\bar{\alpha})a(T_0)) \leq d(U_0, a(t-T_0)Ua(T_0-t)) \quad (4.9)$$

which converges to 0 uniformly, since  $T_0 < 0$  and  $a(t)Ua(-t) \rightarrow U_0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\blacksquare$

The following simple claim follows immediately from the definition of  $x_k$  and of  $a(t)$ .

**Claim 4.3.** *The following equations holds for every  $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :*

$$x_k = B(\bar{\alpha})a\left(-\frac{k}{n}\log p\right)\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}, \quad (4.10)$$

$$u(p^k\bar{\alpha}) = a\left(\frac{k}{n}\log p\right)u(\bar{\alpha})a\left(-\frac{k}{n}\log p\right). \quad (4.11)$$

**Remark 4.4.** Lemma 4.2 is similar to [9, Proposition 7.5] with an upgrade special for our use. The difference manifests in the following fact. For each  $T_0 = -\frac{k}{n} \log p$ , the lattices  $B(\bar{\alpha})a(T_0)\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$  all have compact  $A$ -orbits. The claim in [9, Proposition 7.5] implies that for each of them separately,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} d(U_0 a(t - T_0) B(\bar{\alpha}) a(T_0), a(t)[a(-T_0) u(\bar{\alpha}) a(T_0)]) = 0. \quad (4.12)$$

Using the special relation between the compact orbits  $AB(\bar{\alpha})a(T_0)\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ , we note that the proof actually gives uniformity of this convergence in  $T_0$ .

As explained before the statement of Lemma 4.2, we proved that  $a(t)$ -orbits of  $u(p^k \alpha)\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$  remain within uniformly bounded distance from the corresponding  $A$ -orbits of  $B(\bar{\alpha})a(T_k)\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$  for a certain sequence  $T_k \rightarrow \infty$ . In the following lemma we prove that in fact for every point  $y_k$  in the  $A$ -orbit of  $B(\bar{\alpha})a(T_k)\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$  there exist infinitely many  $t$ 's such that  $a(t)u(p^k \alpha)\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$  is within bounded distance from  $y_k$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** For any compact  $A$ -orbit  $Ax \subset X_{n+1}$  and for any Hecke neighbor  $x_k \in T_k(x)$ , the  $a(t)$ -orbit of  $x_k$  is equidistributed in  $Ax_k$ .

*Proof.* Write  $x = g\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$  and let  $K_x$  be the number field associated to  $Ax$  under the correspondence in Definition 2.4. Denote by  $\Lambda_x$  the lattice in  $V_0 = (1, \dots, 1)^\perp$  (not necessarily unimodular) coming from  $\text{stab}_A(x)$  under Definition 2.4. We claim that the line spanned by  $(1, \dots, 1, -n)$  is irrational for  $\Lambda_x$ . Indeed, if this line was rational, this would imply the existence of a unit  $\epsilon \in K_x^\times$ , such that its associated matrix  $A_\epsilon \in \text{SL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z})$  satisfies  $a(t)g = gA_\epsilon$  for some  $t > 0$ . Therefore, the characteristic polynomial of  $A_\epsilon$ , and the minimal polynomial of  $\epsilon$ , is split over  $\mathbb{R}$  and has  $e^t$  as a root of multiplicity  $n$ . However, by the theory of Galois extensions  $|\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\epsilon)/\mathbb{Q})| \mid n + 1$  so there is no element of multiplicity  $n$  as  $n$  does not divide  $n + 1$ . We deduce that for any  $k$ , the  $a(t)$ -orbit of  $x_k$  is dense inside  $Ax_k$ . Therefore the line spanned by  $(1, \dots, 1, -n)$  is equidistributed in  $V_0/\Lambda_x$  and therefore the  $a(t)$  orbit is equidistributed in  $Ax_k$ . ■

## 5 Proofs of the Main Theorems

The first lemma we need is a standard Dani Correspondence which links diophantine approximations of the vector  $\bar{\alpha}$  and geometric properties of an  $a(t)$ -orbit. We will use the following notation in the lemma.

**Notation 5.1.** For every  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  denote

$$C_{n,\epsilon} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_\infty < \epsilon, |x_{n+1}| \leq 1\}. \quad (5.1)$$

**Lemma 5.1.** Fix some  $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there exists  $0 < t \leq \frac{1}{n} \log M$  such that

$$a(t)u(\bar{\alpha})\mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \cap C_{n,\epsilon} \neq \emptyset \quad (5.2)$$

if and only if there exists  $\mathbb{N} \ni m \leq M$  such that

$$m^{1/n} \|\langle m\bar{\alpha} \rangle\| < \epsilon. \quad (5.3)$$

Moreover, in the first implication, if  $t \rightarrow \infty$  then also  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* Fix some  $\epsilon, \bar{\alpha}$  and  $M$  as in the statement of the lemma.

Suppose there exists  $0 < t \leq \frac{1}{n} \log M$  such that Eq. (5.2) holds. Denote  $\begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_n \\ m \end{pmatrix} \in$

$\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$  to be a vector realizing the validity of Eq. (5.2), namely such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^t(m\alpha_1 + m_1) \\ \vdots \\ e^t(m\alpha_n + m_n) \\ e^{-nt}m \end{pmatrix} \in C_{n,\epsilon}. \quad (5.4)$$

Therefore  $\|e^t \langle m\bar{\alpha} \rangle\| < \epsilon$  and  $|e^{-nt}m| < 1$  so that  $e^{nt} > m$  and so  $m^{1/n} \|\langle m\bar{\alpha} \rangle\| < \epsilon$ . Moreover, since  $t \leq \frac{1}{n} \log M$ , it holds that  $e^{nt} \leq M$  and so  $|e^{-nt}m| < 1$  implies that

$m \leq M$ .

In the reverse direction, suppose Eq. (5.3) holds for  $m \leq M$ . Let  $(m_1, \dots, m_n)$  be the vector realizing the distance to the nearest integer in this equation, namely such that

$$m^{1/n} \|(m\alpha_1 - m_1, \dots, m\alpha_n - m_n)\| < \epsilon. \quad (5.5)$$

Then we define the vector  $\bar{u} = \begin{pmatrix} -m_1 \\ \vdots \\ -m_n \\ m \end{pmatrix}$  and note that for  $t = \frac{1}{n} \log m \leq \frac{1}{n} \log M$ , it

holds that

$$a(t)u(\bar{\alpha})\bar{u} \in C_{n,\epsilon} \quad (5.6)$$

so that  $a(t)u(\bar{\alpha})\mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \cap C_{n,\epsilon}$  and  $t \leq \frac{1}{n} \log M$  as desired.

To prove the 'Moreover' part, note that for every fixed  $M > 0$ ,  $\sup_{i,m \leq M} \langle m\alpha_i \rangle$  is bounded below away from zero. Therefore, if  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $e^t \sup_{i,m \leq M} \langle m\alpha_i \rangle \rightarrow \infty$  and so

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^t(m\alpha_1 + m_1) \\ \vdots \\ e^t(m\alpha_n + m_n) \\ e^{-nt}m \end{pmatrix} \notin C_{n,\epsilon}. \quad (5.7)$$

■

**Claim 5.2.** *Let  $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $M > m > 0$ . Suppose  $\bar{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$  is such that*

$$m^{1/n} \|(m\alpha_1 + m_1, \dots, m\alpha_n + m_n)\|_\infty < \epsilon. \quad (5.8)$$

Let  $T > 0$  and denote

$$\nu_T := (a(\cdot)u(\bar{\alpha})\mathbb{Z}^{n+1})_* \frac{1}{T} \lambda|_{[0,T]}, \quad (5.9)$$

Then for every  $(\bar{m}, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{N}$ , writing  $\bar{\theta} = \frac{m\bar{\alpha} - \bar{m}}{\|m\bar{\alpha} - \bar{m}\|}$  we have:

$$(\min\text{-vec}_\epsilon)_* \nu_T(\{\bar{\theta}\}) = \sum_{\bar{r} \in \theta(\bar{\alpha}, \cdot)^{-1}(\bar{\theta})} w_{\bar{\alpha}}(\bar{r}, T) \quad (5.10)$$

recall that  $\theta(\cdot, \cdot)$  is defined by

$$\theta(v, \bar{r}) = \|qv - \bar{p}\|^{-1} (qv - \bar{p}). \quad (5.11)$$

*Proof.* Denote  $x_0 = u(\bar{\alpha})\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ . Let  $(\bar{m}', m') \in \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{N}$ , and write  $v = a(t)u(\bar{\alpha})(\bar{m}', m')$ . Then the property:

$$\bar{\theta} = \pi_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}(v) / \|\pi_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}(v)\|, \|\pi_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}(v)\|_\infty < \epsilon, |v_n| \leq 1 \quad (5.12)$$

is equivalent, by Lemma 5.1, to  $(\bar{m}', m') \in Q_t \cap \theta(\bar{\alpha}, \cdot)^{-1}(\bar{\theta})$ . Moreover, by definition of  $Q_t$  it holds that:

$$|Q_t| = |\{v \in a(t)x_0 : \|\pi_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}(v)\|_\infty < \epsilon, |v_n| \leq 1\}|. \quad (5.13)$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{min-vec}_\epsilon)_* \nu_T(\{\bar{\theta}\}) = \quad (5.14) \\ &= T^{-1} \int_0^T \frac{1}{|\{v \in a(t)x_0 : \|\pi_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}(v)\|_\infty < \epsilon, |v_n| \leq 1\}|} \sum_{v \in a(t)x_0 : \|\pi_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}(v)\|_\infty < \epsilon, |v_n| \leq 1} \delta_{\pi_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}(v) / \|\pi_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}(v)\|}(\bar{\theta}) \\ &= T^{-1} \int_0^T \frac{1}{|Q_t|} \sum_{\bar{r} \in \theta(\bar{\alpha}, \cdot)^{-1}(\bar{\theta})} 1 = \sum_{\bar{r} \in \theta(\bar{\alpha}, \cdot)^{-1}(\bar{\theta})} w_{\bar{\alpha}}(\bar{r}, T) \end{aligned}$$

as desired. ■

**Lemma 5.3.** *If  $\nu_k \rightarrow \nu_0$  are probability measures on  $X_n$  such that for every  $\epsilon', c > 0$*

$$\nu_0(x : x \cap \{\bar{v} : \|(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})\|_\infty = \epsilon'\} \neq \emptyset \text{ or } |v_n| = c) = 0. \quad (5.15)$$

*Then  $(\text{min-vec}_\epsilon)_* \nu_k \rightarrow (\text{min-vec}_\epsilon)_* \nu_0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f : S^{n-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded continuous function and define  $\tilde{f} : X_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  to be  $\tilde{f}(x) = \int f d\Theta_\epsilon(x)$ . The set of discontinuity points for  $\tilde{f}$  is exactly the set

$$D = \{x : x \cap \{\bar{v} : |v_n| = 1, \|(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})\|_\infty = \epsilon\} \neq \emptyset\}. \quad (5.16)$$

Fix  $\delta > 0$  small. Define the open set  $D_\delta$  by:

$$D_\delta = \{x : x \cap \{\bar{v} : ||v_n| - 1| < \delta, ||(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})||_\infty - \epsilon| < \delta\} \neq \emptyset\}. \quad (5.17)$$

By Eq. (5.15) applied twice and by continuity of measures we deduce the following two properties of  $D_\delta$ :

- (a)  $\nu_0(\partial D_\delta) = 0$
- (b) There exists  $c(\delta) > 0$  such that  $c(\delta) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  and  $\nu_0(D_\delta) < c(\delta)$ .

Since  $\nu_k \rightarrow \nu_0$ , we can deduce by (b) that for all  $l \geq 0$  large enough  $|\nu_l(D_\delta) - \nu_0(D_\delta)| < \delta$  so  $\nu_l(D_\delta) < \delta + c(\delta)$  for all  $l$  large enough.

Define  $\tilde{f}_\delta$  to be a continuous function such that:

- (a)  $\tilde{f}_\delta = \tilde{f}$  on  $D_\delta^c$ ;
- (b)  $\tilde{f}_\delta \leq \left\| \tilde{f} \right\|_\infty$ .

This shows that for every  $l$  large enough and for  $l = 0$ :

$$\left| \int \tilde{f}_\delta d\nu_l - \int \tilde{f}_\delta d\nu_0 \right| \leq (\delta + c(\delta)) \left\| \tilde{f} \right\|_\infty. \quad (5.18)$$

Since  $\tilde{f}_\delta$  is continuous and bounded, we deduce from  $\nu_l \rightarrow \nu_0$  weakly, that for all  $l$  large enough

$$\left| \int \tilde{f}_\delta d\nu_l - \int \tilde{f}_\delta d\nu_0 \right| \leq (\delta + c(\delta)) \left\| \tilde{f} \right\|_\infty \quad (5.19)$$

which shows that in fact  $\int \tilde{f}_\delta d\nu_l \rightarrow \int \tilde{f}_\delta d\nu_0$  as  $l \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $(\min\text{-vec}_\epsilon)_* \nu(f) = \int \tilde{f}_\delta d\nu$ , we get the desired claim.  $\blacksquare$

We leave the following lemma without proof.

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $Ax_0$  be a compact  $A$ -orbit in  $X_n$  and let  $m_{Ax_0}$  be the uniform measure on  $Ax_0$ . Then for every  $\epsilon', c > 0$  and for  $\mu \in \{m_{Ax_0}, m_{X_n}\}$ :*

$$\mu(x : x \cap \{\bar{v} : ||(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})||_\infty = \epsilon'\} \neq \emptyset \text{ or } |v_n| = c) = 0. \quad (5.20)$$



We start by proving Theorem 1.3.

*Proof.* Let  $x_k$  denote the sequence of compact orbits coming from the assumption of Theorem 1.3. Note that by Definition 2.8 and by definition of  $x_k$ , it holds that  $x_k \in T_{p^k}(x)$ . By Lemma 3.7 we deduce that  $\text{Disc}(x_k) \leq p^{kn^2} \text{Disc}(x)$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $\text{Reg}(x_k) \gtrsim p^k$ . We deduce that  $\text{Reg}(x_k) \geq \text{disc}(x_k)^{1/n^2}$  so by [4, Corollary 1.7], any weak limit of the measures  $m_{Ax_k}$  must contain a Haar component as desired.  $\blacksquare$

Next, we prove Theorem 1.16.

*Proof.* Fix  $\bar{\alpha}$  as in the Theorem, let  $\epsilon > 0$  and denote any choice of weak limit of the measures  $\mu_{k,\epsilon,T}$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  to be  $\mu_{k,\epsilon}$ .

Define for every  $T > 0$

$$\nu_T := (a(\cdot)u(p^k\bar{\alpha})\mathbb{Z}^{n+1})_* \frac{1}{T} \lambda|_{[0,T]}. \quad (5.21)$$

By definition of  $\mu_{k,\epsilon,t}$  and by Claim 5.2 we know that for every  $\bar{\theta} \in S^{n-2}$ :

$$\mu_{k,\epsilon,T}(\{\bar{\theta}\}) = \sum_{\bar{r} \in \theta(\bar{\alpha}, \cdot)^{-1}(\bar{\theta})} w_{\bar{\alpha}}(\bar{r}, T) = (\text{min-vec}_\epsilon)_* \nu_T(\{\bar{\theta}\}) \quad (5.22)$$

which shows, since both measures are finitely supported on  $S^{n-2}$ , that

$$\mu_{k,\epsilon,T} = (\text{min-vec}_\epsilon)_* \nu_T. \quad (5.23)$$

By Claim 4.3:

$$u(p^k\bar{\alpha}) = a\left(\frac{k}{n} \log p\right) u(\bar{\alpha}) a\left(-\frac{k}{n} \log p\right). \quad (5.24)$$

By Lemma 4.2 there exists some unipotent matrix  $U$  such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{T_0 < 0} d(Ua(t - T_0)B(\bar{\alpha})a(T_0), a(t)[a(-T_0)u(\bar{\alpha})a(T_0)]) = 0. \quad (5.25)$$

In particular, for  $T_0 = -\frac{k}{n} \log p$  we can invoke Lemma 4.5 to deduce that

$$\nu_T \rightarrow Um_{Ax_{p^{k\bar{\alpha}}}} \quad (5.26)$$

as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . By Lemma 5.4,

$$m_{Ax_{p^{k\bar{\alpha}}}}(x : |x \cap \{\bar{v} : |v_n| = 1, \|(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})\|_\infty = \epsilon\}| \geq 2) = 0. \quad (5.27)$$

Thus, Lemma 5.3 says that

$$(\text{min-vec}_\epsilon)_* \nu_T \rightarrow (\text{min-vec}_\epsilon)_* Um_{Ax_{p^{k\bar{\alpha}}}} \quad (5.28)$$

as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , which shows part (a) of the theorem.

For part (b), we let  $\mu_\epsilon$  be a weak limit of  $\mu_{k,\epsilon}$ . By part (a) we know that

$$\mu_{k,\epsilon} = (\text{min-vec}_\epsilon)_* Um_{Ax(k)}. \quad (5.29)$$

where  $x(k) = x_{p^{k\bar{\alpha}}}$ . By Corollary 1.5 we know that every weak limit  $\nu$  of  $m_{Ax(k)}$  is algebraic and contains the Haar measure as a positive weight ergodic component, so that  $\nu$  has positive entropy by monotonicity of entropy.

By Lemma 5.4 we know that

$$\nu(x : |x \cap \{\bar{v} : |v_n| = 1, \|(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})\|_\infty = \epsilon\}| \geq 2) = 0$$

so the conditions of Lemma 5.3 hold, and we can deduce from it and Eq. (5.29) that

$$\mu_{k,\epsilon} \rightarrow (\text{min-vec}_\epsilon)_* U\nu \quad (5.30)$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , as desired. ■

Finally, we prove Theorem 1.11.

*Proof of Theorem 1.11.* By Claim 4.3, we know that

$$x_k = B(\bar{\alpha})a\left(-\frac{k}{n}\log p\right)\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}, \quad (5.31)$$

$$u(p^k\bar{\alpha}) = a\left(\frac{k}{n}\log p\right)u(\bar{\alpha})a\left(-\frac{k}{n}\log p\right). \quad (5.32)$$

Lemma 4.2 applied for  $T_0 = -\frac{k}{n}\log p$  says that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{T_0 < 0} d(U_0 a(t - T_0) B(\bar{\alpha}) a(T_0), a(t) [a(-T_0) u(\bar{\alpha}) a(T_0)]) = 0. \quad (5.33)$$

so we deduce that

$$d(a(t)u(p^k\bar{\alpha})\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}, U_0 a(t)x_k) \rightarrow 0 \quad (5.34)$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

Moreover, by Lemma 4.5,  $(t \mapsto a(t)x_k)_* \frac{1}{T} m_{[0,T]}$  becomes equidistributed in  $Ax_k$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  according to the Haar measure on the orbit. By Theorem 1.3, we know that every weak limit  $\nu$  of  $U_0 m_{Ax_k}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  contains the Haar measure as an ergodic component, and thus has unbounded support. We deduce that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and for all  $k$  large enough there exists  $c = c(\epsilon) > 0$  such that  $U_0 m_{Ax_k}(C_{n,\epsilon}) > c$  and thus  $a(t)u(p^k\bar{\alpha})\mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \in C_{n,\epsilon}$  for infinitely many  $t > 0$ .

By the 'Moreover' part of Lemma 5.1 this means that for all  $k$  large enough,

$$\liminf_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \|\ell^{1/n} \langle \ell p^k \bar{\alpha} \rangle\| < \epsilon. \quad (5.35)$$

and so

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \liminf_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \|\ell^{1/n} \langle \ell p^k \bar{\alpha} \rangle\| = 0 \quad (5.36)$$

as desired. ■

## References

- [1] Menny Aka and Uri Shapira. On the evolution of continued fractions in a fixed quadratic field. *J. Anal. Math.*, 134(1):335–397, 2018.
- [2] Manfred Einsiedler, Anatole Katok, and Elon Lindenstrauss. Invariant measures and the set of exceptions to littlewood’s conjecture. *Annals of mathematics*, pages 513–560, 2006.
- [3] Manfred Einsiedler and Dmitry Kleinbock. Measure rigidity and  $p$ -adic littlewood-type problems. *Compositio Mathematica*, 143, 07 2005.
- [4] Manfred Einsiedler, Elon Lindenstrauss, Philippe Michel, and Akshay Venkatesh. Distribution of periodic torus orbits on homogeneous spaces. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 148(1):119 – 174, 2009.
- [5] Elon Lindenstrauss and Barak Weiss. On sets invariant under the action of the diagonal group. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 21(5):1481–1500, 2001.
- [6] Bernard Mathan and Olivier Teulié. Problèmes diophantiens simultanés. *Monatshefte für Mathematik*, 143:229–245, 11 2004.
- [7] Shahar Mozes and Nimish Shah. On the space of ergodic invariant measures of unipotent flows. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 15(1):149–159, 1995.
- [8] Uri Shapira. A solution to a problem of cassels and diophantine properties of cubic numbers. *Annals of Mathematics*, 173(1):543–557, 2011.
- [9] Uri Shapira and Barak Weiss. Geometric and arithmetic aspects of approximation vectors, 2024.
- [10] Uri Shapira and Cheng Zheng. Translates of  $s$ -arithmetic orbits and applications. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.05017*, 2021.
- [11] Omri Nisan Solan and Yuval Yifrach. Tori approximation of families of diagonally invariant measures. *GAF - Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 167, 07 2023.