

The probability for chiral oscillation of Majorana neutrino in Quantum Field Theory

Takuya Morozumi^{1,2,*}, Tomoharu Tahara^{1,†}

¹*Physics Program, Graduate School of Advanced Science and Engineering, Hiroshima University,
Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8526, Hiroshima, Japan*

²*Core of Research for the Energetic Universe, Hiroshima University,
Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8526, Hiroshima, Japan*

Abstract

We derive the probability for chiral oscillation of Majorana neutrinos based on quantum field theory. Since the Hamiltonian under the Majorana mass term does not conserve lepton number, the eigenstates of lepton number change continuously over time. Therefore, the transition amplitude is described by the inner product of the eigenstates of lepton number at the time of the neutrino production and the detection. With the Bogoliubov transformation, we successfully relate the lepton number eigenstates at different times. This method enables us to understand the time variation of lepton number induced by chiral oscillations in terms of transition probabilities. We also present the physical picture that emerges through the Bogoliubov transformation.

*morozumi@hiroshima-u.ac.jp

†t-tomoharu@hiroshima-u.ac.jp

1. Introduction

There are two types of neutrino oscillations: flavor oscillation and neutrino anti-neutrino oscillation [1, 2]. Flavor oscillation is a phenomenon in which a certain flavor neutrino (either ν_e , ν_μ , or ν_τ) is produced and observed as a different flavor neutrino through time. On the other hand, neutrino anti-neutrino oscillation [3–5] is a phenomenon characteristic of Majorana neutrinos, in which neutrinos transform into anti-neutrinos due to the time evolution, accompanied by chiral oscillation [6–9]. In this work, we focus on the Majorana neutrino and a phenomenon caused by the Majorana mass term. It leads to the transition among the states with different lepton numbers of neutrinos. The effect becomes significant when neutrinos carry small momentum compared with their rest mass. The standard oscillation formula for relativistic neutrino can not be applied to this case. Since the chiral oscillation caused by Majorana mass term is always accompanied by the change of the lepton number, we define the time dependent transition probabilities among the states with different lepton numbers. The Heisenberg operator for the lepton number is introduced in [6, 8]. The lepton number operator is time dependent and its eigenstates also depend on time. Then one can define the transition amplitude as an inner product between the state at the time of production and that at the time of detection. Each state is chosen as the eigenstate of the lepton number operator at the corresponding time. We introduce the Bogoliubov transformation [10, 11] to relate the creation and annihilation operators defined at different time and then one can easily compute the inner product among the states created.

As the first application of our framework, we study the simplest system of a one-flavor Majorana neutrino. The time dependent chiral transition probability is derived. By using the quantum field theory, we have developed a theoretical framework that can be applied to both relativistic and non-relativistic neutrinos. The effect of the Majorana mass term is important for the latter.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we introduce the Hamiltonian for the single Majorana neutrino. We carefully exclude the momentum zero mode for the Majorana neutrino and quantize the system. The field is expanded in terms of the creation and annihilation operators with the definite lepton number. Section 3 focuses on deriving the time evolution of the operators. Using the Bogoliubov transformation, we also show relations among the eigenstates of the lepton number operator at both times of production and detection. In section 4, we derive oscillation probabilities based on the time evolution of the operators and eigenstates. In section 5, we discuss the physical implication of our result which leads to the new interpretation for the lepton number changing chiral oscillation. We also show how the expectation value of the lepton number evaluated in [6, 8, 11] is related to the probabilities in the present work. In Appendix A, the derivation of the Hamiltonian and anti-commutation relations of the field operators is given.

2. Hamiltonian

To quantize the Majorana field, the standard approach is to introduce the creation and annihilation operators for massive Majorana field. However this approach is not suitable

for the purpose to compute the transition amplitude among the states with definite lepton numbers. This is because one-particle mass eigenstate obtained by applying the creation operator on the time invariant vacuum, does not carry the definite lepton number. In our approach, the creation and annihilation operators are chosen in such way that the one particle state has the definite lepton number. This is achieved by expanding the field operator with massless plane wave spinors and creation and annihilation operators associated with them. At the expense of introducing massless spinors, the time evolution of the operators become complex and the vacuum is time dependent. On the other-hand, the lepton number operator is simply written as the difference of the number operators for neutrino and anti-neutrino as in Eq.(3.3). As one can not express zero momentum mode of massive field with the massless spinors, we need to exclude the zero mode. If we keep the zero mode, one must attribute the mass parameter to operators for the zero mode and the lepton number operator can not be simply expressed by the the difference of the number operators for neutrino and anti-neutrino [8]. Below, we show how to exclude the zero mode consistently with the time evolution of operators. The results imply that one can construct the Hilbert space without the Fock space and the vacuum for the zero mode.

We begin with the path-integral expression of the action for Majorana neutrino for a single flavor case,

$$\int d\eta d\eta^\dagger \int d\xi_0 d\xi_0^\dagger e^{iS'[\eta, \xi_0]} = \int \int d\eta d\eta^\dagger \delta(\eta_0) \delta(\eta_0^\dagger) e^{iS[\eta]}, \quad (2.1)$$

where in the right-hand side of Eq.(2.1), $S[\eta]$ is an action for a single flavor Majorana neutrino with mass m in terms of two component chiral field η ,

$$S[\eta] = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}, \quad \mathcal{L} = \eta^\dagger (i\bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu) \eta - \frac{m}{2} (-\eta^\dagger i\sigma_2 \eta^\dagger + \eta i\sigma_2 \eta). \quad (2.2)$$

η_0 denotes the zero mode of η defined as,

$$\eta_0(t) = \frac{1}{V} \int d^3\mathbf{x} \eta(t, \mathbf{x}), \quad (2.3)$$

where V denotes the space volume and is defined by $V = (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{p} = 0)$. In the path-integral expression of the right-hand side, the delta functions $\delta(\eta_0)$ and $\delta(\eta_0^\dagger)$ remove zero modes from the path-integral and the action. In the left-hand side of Eq.(2.1), we express the delta function using the following formula,

$$\delta(\eta_0) \delta(\eta_0^\dagger) = \int d\xi_0^\dagger d\xi_0 e^{(\xi_0^\dagger \eta_0 - \eta_0^\dagger \xi_0)}. \quad (2.4)$$

Then the action $S'[\eta, \xi_0]$ is given as,

$$S'[\eta, \xi_0] = S[\eta] - i \int dt (\xi_0^\dagger \eta_0 - \eta_0^\dagger \xi_0) = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}', \quad (2.5)$$

where the Lagrangian density \mathcal{L}' is given by,

$$\mathcal{L}' = \eta^\dagger (i\bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu) \eta - \frac{m}{2} (-\eta^\dagger i\sigma_2 \eta^\dagger + \eta i\sigma_2 \eta) - \frac{i}{V} (\xi_0^\dagger \eta_0 - \eta_0^\dagger \xi_0). \quad (2.6)$$

In the appendix A, we derive the Hamiltonian corresponding to the Lagrangian density of Eq.(2.6). The Lagrangian in Eq.(2.6) has the form of a constrained system. We identify the constraints and impose the additional gauge fixing-like conditions. Including all of them, they form second class constraints. In Table 1, we show all the constraints and gauge fixing-like conditions.

constraints	$\phi^1(x)$	$\phi^2(x)$	ϕ^3	ϕ^4	ϕ^5	ϕ^6	ϕ^7	ϕ^8
	$\pi_\eta - i\eta^\dagger$	π_{η^\dagger}	η_0	η_0^\dagger	π_{ξ_0}	$\pi_{\xi_0^\dagger}$	ξ_0	ξ_0^\dagger

Table 1: constraints and gauge-fixing like conditions $\phi^A = 0$ ($A = 1 \sim 8$). See Appendix A for the derivation of the constraints.

They are used to compute the Dirac bracket [12] among the dynamical variables. Then we can quantize the field by setting the anti-commutator among the fields based on the Dirac bracket. The derivation of the Dirac bracket is given in Appendix A. Here we focus on the anti-commutation relations among η and η^\dagger , Eqs.(A62-A63),

$$\{\eta(\mathbf{x}, t), \eta^\dagger(\mathbf{y}, t)\} = \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) - \frac{1}{V}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\{\eta(\mathbf{x}, t), \eta(\mathbf{y}, t)\} = \{\eta^\dagger(\mathbf{x}, t), \eta^\dagger(\mathbf{y}, t)\} = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

In Eqs.(2.7-2.8), the field operator η and η^\dagger satisfy an anti-commutation relation excluding zero mode because $\{\eta_0, \eta_0^\dagger\} = \{\eta_0, \eta_0\} = \{\eta_0^\dagger, \eta_0^\dagger\} = 0$. As a result, the Hamiltonian can be expanded in terms of the fields $\eta(\mathbf{x}, t), \eta^\dagger(\mathbf{x}, t)$ without zero mode as,

$$H = \int d^3\mathbf{x} \left[\eta^\dagger i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}\eta + \frac{m}{2}(-\eta^\dagger i\sigma_2\eta^\dagger + \eta i\sigma_2\eta) \right], \quad (2.9)$$

where the last term of Eq.(A5) in Hamiltonian density is dropped because it is proportional to the constraints ϕ^i ($i = 3, 4, 7, 8$). The Hamiltonian is expanded by creation and annihilation operators with non-zero momentum. From Eq.(A16) in [8], the two component chiral field η , with the zero mode excluded, can be expressed using creation and annihilation operators as

$$\eta(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int_{\mathbf{p} \in A} \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2|\mathbf{p}|} \{ [a(\mathbf{p}, t)\phi_-(\mathbf{n}_\mathbf{p}) - b^\dagger(-\mathbf{p}, t)\phi_-(-\mathbf{n}_\mathbf{p})] e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + [a(-\mathbf{p}, t)\phi_-(-\mathbf{n}_\mathbf{p}) - b^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t)\phi_-(\mathbf{n}_\mathbf{p})] e^{-i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \}, \quad (2.10)$$

where $\mathbf{n}_\mathbf{p} = \frac{\mathbf{p}}{|\mathbf{p}|}$ and the momentum region A is a hemisphere region [13] defined by,

$$A = \{ \mathbf{p} = |\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{n}_\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{n}_\mathbf{p} = (\cos\phi \sin\theta \quad \sin\phi \sin\theta \quad \cos\theta); 0 \leq \phi, \theta < \pi, \mathbf{p} \neq \mathbf{0} \}. \quad (2.11)$$

The north pole which we define to be $\theta = \phi = 0$ is included in the momentum region A, while the south pole $\theta = \phi = \pi$ is not. Also, the two component spinors $\phi_\pm(\pm\mathbf{n}_\mathbf{p})$ are written by the polar angle θ and the azimuthal angle ϕ specifying $\mathbf{n}_\mathbf{p}$,

$$\phi_+(\mathbf{n}_\mathbf{p}) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\phi}{2}} \cos\frac{\theta}{2} \\ e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}} \sin\frac{\theta}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi_-(\mathbf{n}_\mathbf{p}) = \begin{pmatrix} -e^{-i\frac{\phi}{2}} \sin\frac{\theta}{2} \\ e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}} \cos\frac{\theta}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\phi_+(-\mathbf{n}_\mathbf{p}) = i\phi_-(\mathbf{n}_\mathbf{p}), \quad \phi_-(-\mathbf{n}_\mathbf{p}) = i\phi_+(\mathbf{n}_\mathbf{p}). \quad (2.13)$$

Then the Hamiltonian of single Majorana field, excluding zero mode contribution, is equal to the sum of the non-zero mode contribution of Eq.(A25) in [8] as denoted by $h(\mathbf{p}, t)$ below. Then we start with the following Hamiltonian,

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \int_{\mathbf{p} \in A} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2|\mathbf{p}|} |\mathbf{p}| [a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t)a(\mathbf{p}, t) + b^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t)b(\mathbf{p}, t) \\ &\quad + a^\dagger(-\mathbf{p}, t)a(-\mathbf{p}, t) + b^\dagger(-\mathbf{p}, t)b(-\mathbf{p}, t)] \\ &\quad + m \int_{\mathbf{p} \in A} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2|\mathbf{p}|} [-ia(\mathbf{p}, t)a(-\mathbf{p}, t) - ib(\mathbf{p}, t)b(-\mathbf{p}, t) + h.c.] \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{p} \in A} h(\mathbf{p}, t), \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

In the Hamiltonian, $h(\mathbf{p}, t)$ is written in terms of the set of operators $\{a(\pm\mathbf{p}, t), b(\pm\mathbf{p}, t), a^\dagger(\pm\mathbf{p}, t), b^\dagger(\pm\mathbf{p}, t)\}$ where \mathbf{p} is a momentum in A region in Eq.(2.11). Furthermore dimensionless operators $\alpha(\mathbf{p}, t)$ and $\beta(\mathbf{p}, t)$ are introduced,

$$\alpha(\mathbf{p}, t) = \frac{a(\mathbf{p}, t)}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2|\mathbf{p}| \delta^{(3)}(0)}}, \quad \beta(\mathbf{p}, t) = \frac{b(\mathbf{p}, t)}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2|\mathbf{p}| \delta^{(3)}(0)}}. \quad (2.15)$$

The creation operators and annihilation operators satisfy the following anti-commutation relations,

$$\{\alpha(\mathbf{p}), \alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{q})\} = \{\beta(\mathbf{p}), \beta^\dagger(\mathbf{q})\} = \delta_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{q}}. \quad (2.16)$$

By using them, $h(\mathbf{p}, t)$ is written as,

$$\begin{aligned} h(\mathbf{p}, t) &= |\mathbf{p}| [N_\alpha(\mathbf{p}, t) + N_\beta(\mathbf{p}, t) + N_\alpha(-\mathbf{p}, t) + N_\beta(-\mathbf{p}, t)] \\ &\quad - im [B_\alpha(\mathbf{p}, t) + B_\beta(\mathbf{p}, t) - B_\alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t) - B_\beta^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t)], \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

In Eq.(2.17), the following bilinear operators are introduced,

$$B_\alpha(\mathbf{p}, t) = \alpha(\mathbf{p}, t)\alpha(-\mathbf{p}, t), \quad (2.18)$$

$$B_\beta(\mathbf{p}, t) = \beta(\mathbf{p}, t)\beta(-\mathbf{p}, t), \quad (2.19)$$

$$N_\alpha(\pm\mathbf{p}, t) = \alpha^\dagger(\pm\mathbf{p}, t)\alpha(\pm\mathbf{p}, t), \quad (2.20)$$

$$N_\beta(\pm\mathbf{p}, t) = \beta^\dagger(\pm\mathbf{p}, t)\beta(\pm\mathbf{p}, t). \quad (2.21)$$

Throughtout this paper, we call the bilinear operators $B_\alpha(\mathbf{p}, t)$ ($B_\beta(\mathbf{p}, t)$) as Cooper pair operator since this operator annihilates a pair of the neutrinos (anti-neutrinos) with opposite momentum. $B_\alpha(\mathbf{p}, t)$ and $N_\alpha(\mathbf{p}, t)$ satisfy the commutation relations,

$$[N_\alpha(\pm\mathbf{p}, t), B_\alpha(\mathbf{q}, t)] = -B_\alpha(\mathbf{p}, t)\delta_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{q}}, \quad (2.22)$$

$$[N_\alpha(\pm\mathbf{p}, t), B_\alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{q}, t)] = B_\alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t)\delta_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{q}}, \quad (2.23)$$

$$[B_\alpha(\mathbf{p}, t), B_\alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{q}, t)] = (1 - N_\alpha(\mathbf{p}, t) - N_\alpha(-\mathbf{p}, t))\delta_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{q}}, \quad (2.24)$$

$$[N_\alpha(\mathbf{p}, t), N_\alpha(\mathbf{q}, t)] = 0. \quad (2.25)$$

The bilinear operators for anti-neutrinos $B_\beta(\mathbf{q}, t)$ and $N_\beta(\mathbf{q}, t)$ satisfy the same commutation relations as in Eq.(2.22-2.25). Using the commutation relations, the Hamiltonians for different momentum, $\mathbf{p} \neq \mathbf{q}$ commute each other,

$$[h(\mathbf{p}, t), h(\mathbf{q}, t)] = 0. \quad (2.26)$$

Hereafter the set of the operators $\{\alpha(\pm\mathbf{p}, t), \beta(\pm\mathbf{p}, t), \alpha^\dagger(\pm\mathbf{p}, t), \beta^\dagger(\pm\mathbf{p}, t)\}$ and their bilinear operators in Eqs.(2.18-2.21) which appear in $h(\mathbf{p}, t)$ are called as operators of \mathbf{p} sectors. For instance, the operator $\alpha(\mathbf{p})$ and $\alpha(-\mathbf{p})$ with $\mathbf{p} \in A$ are classified as the operators in the same \mathbf{p} sectors.

3. Time evolution of operators and Bogoliubov transformation

In this section, we first show the time evolution of the creation and annihilation operators using the Hamiltonian in Eq.(2.14). We also derive the time evolution of the Cooper pair operators. Next, the relation between the eigenstates of the lepton number operator defined at production time t_i and detection time t_f is written with the Bogoliubov transformation.

3.1. Time evolution of operators

The time evolution of the annihilation operators are given by,

$$\alpha(\mathbf{p}, t_f) = e^{iH\tau} \alpha(\mathbf{p}, t_i) e^{-iH\tau}, \quad \beta(\mathbf{p}, t_f) = e^{iH\tau} \beta(\mathbf{p}, t_i) e^{-iH\tau}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\tau = t_f - t_i$. We define the vacuum $|0, t\rangle$ as,

$$\alpha(\mathbf{p}, t) |0, t\rangle = \beta(\mathbf{p}, t) |0, t\rangle = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

$|0, t\rangle$ is the eigenstate of the lepton number where the lepton number operator is defined by,

$$L(t) = \sum_{\mathbf{p} \in A} L(\mathbf{p}, t),$$

$$L(\mathbf{p}, t) = N_\alpha(\mathbf{p}, t) - N_\beta(\mathbf{p}, t) + N_\alpha(-\mathbf{p}, t) - N_\beta(-\mathbf{p}, t). \quad (3.3)$$

From Eqs.(3.2-3.3), $|0, t\rangle$ is the state with the zero eigenvalue of $L(t)$. Since the following relation holds true,

$$\alpha(\mathbf{p}, t_f) |0, t_f\rangle = e^{iH\tau} \alpha(\mathbf{p}, t_i) e^{-iH\tau} |0, t_f\rangle = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\beta(\mathbf{p}, t_f) |0, t_f\rangle = e^{iH\tau} \beta(\mathbf{p}, t_i) e^{-iH\tau} |0, t_f\rangle = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

the two vacua $|0, t_f\rangle$ and $|0, t_i\rangle$ are related to each other as,

$$|0, t_f\rangle = e^{iH\tau} |0, t_i\rangle. \quad (3.6)$$

In the present formulation, the vacuum depends on time as can be seen from Eq.(3.6). To construct the Fock states, it is convenient to introduce the \mathbf{p} sector vacuum $|0, t\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}$. The vacuum is expressed by the direct product of the \mathbf{p} sector vacuum.

$$|0, t\rangle = \prod_{\mathbf{p} \in A} |0, t\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}. \quad (3.7)$$

On this \mathbf{p} sector vacuum, operators of \mathbf{p} sector act. Using the property Eq.(2.26) and the definition Eq.(3.7), we rewrite the time evolution for the vacuum in Eq.(3.6) as,

$$|0, t_f\rangle = \prod_{\mathbf{p} \in A} |0, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} = e^{iH\tau} |0, t_i\rangle = \prod_{\mathbf{p} \in A} e^{ih(\mathbf{p}, t_i)\tau} |0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}. \quad (3.8)$$

Then one can show that the time evolution of the vacuum of \mathbf{p} sector is given as,

$$|0, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} = e^{ih(\mathbf{p}, t_i)\tau} |0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$= \sum_n \frac{1}{n!} (\tau ih(\mathbf{p}, t_i))^n |0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}. \quad (3.10)$$

Next we study the time evolution of the Cooper pair operators. For this purpose, we show the time evolution of $\alpha(\mathbf{p}, t)$ and $\beta(\mathbf{p}, t)$ from the production time t_i to the detection time t_f [6, 8].

$$\alpha(\pm\mathbf{p}, t_f) = \left(\cos E_{\mathbf{p}}\tau - i \frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{E_{\mathbf{p}}} \sin E_{\mathbf{p}}\tau \right) \alpha(\pm\mathbf{p}, t_i) \mp \frac{m}{E_{\mathbf{p}}} \sin E_{\mathbf{p}}\tau \alpha^\dagger(\mp\mathbf{p}, t_i), \quad (3.11)$$

$$\beta(\pm\mathbf{p}, t_f) = \left(\cos E_{\mathbf{p}}\tau - i \frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{E_{\mathbf{p}}} \sin E_{\mathbf{p}}\tau \right) \beta(\pm\mathbf{p}, t_i) \mp \frac{m}{E_{\mathbf{p}}} \sin E_{\mathbf{p}}\tau \beta^\dagger(\mp\mathbf{p}, t_i), \quad (3.12)$$

where $E_{\mathbf{p}} = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}$.

We can derive the time evolution of the Cooper pair operator in Eq.(2.18) using Eq.(3.11).

$$\begin{aligned} B_\alpha(\mathbf{p}, t_f) &= \alpha(\mathbf{p}, t_f)\alpha(-\mathbf{p}, t_f) \\ &= \left(\cos E_{\mathbf{p}}\tau - i \frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{E_{\mathbf{p}}} \sin E_{\mathbf{p}}\tau \right)^2 B_\alpha(\mathbf{p}, t_i) - \left(\frac{m}{E_{\mathbf{p}}} \sin E_{\mathbf{p}}\tau \right)^2 B_\alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_i) \\ &\quad + \left(\cos E_{\mathbf{p}}\tau - i \frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{E_{\mathbf{p}}} \sin E_{\mathbf{p}}\tau \right) \frac{m}{E_{\mathbf{p}}} \sin E_{\mathbf{p}}\tau (1 - N_\alpha(\mathbf{p}, t_i) - N_\alpha(-\mathbf{p}, t_i)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

For the Cooper pair operator for anti-neutrinos $B_\beta(\mathbf{p}, t_f)$ in Eq.(2.19), one can derive the relation similar to Eq.(3.13) by replacing α with β in Eq.(3.13).

3.2. Bogoliubov transformation

We first define the set of the states defined at arbitrary time t by applying the Cooper pair operators on the vacuum $|0, t\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}$,

$$|2, t\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[B_\alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t) + B_\beta^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t) \right] |0, t\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}, \quad (3.14)$$

$$|4, t\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} = B_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}, t)B_{\beta}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}, t)|0, t\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}, \quad (3.15)$$

where $|2, t\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}$ and $|4, t\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}$ imply two particles and four particles states respectively. The S operator $S_{\mathbf{p}}(\tau) = e^{-ih(\mathbf{p})\tau}$ relates the set of the ket vectors (${}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 0, t_f|$ ${}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 2, t_f|$ ${}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 4, t_f|$) and those at $t = t_i$ as,

$$({}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 0, t_f| \quad {}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 2, t_f| \quad {}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 4, t_f|) = ({}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 0, t_i| \quad {}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 2, t_i| \quad {}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 4, t_i|) e^{-ih(\mathbf{p})\tau}, \quad (3.16)$$

As for bra vectors, the time evolution is expressed as,

$$\begin{aligned} (|0, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \quad |2, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \quad |4, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}) &= e^{ih(\mathbf{p})\tau} (|0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \quad |2, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \quad |4, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}) \\ &= (|0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \quad |2, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \quad |4, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}) \begin{pmatrix} G_{11}(\mathbf{p}, \tau) & G_{12}(\mathbf{p}, \tau) & G_{13}(\mathbf{p}, \tau) \\ G_{21}(\mathbf{p}, \tau) & G_{22}(\mathbf{p}, \tau) & G_{23}(\mathbf{p}, \tau) \\ G_{31}(\mathbf{p}, \tau) & G_{32}(\mathbf{p}, \tau) & G_{33}(\mathbf{p}, \tau) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

where $G_{ij}(\mathbf{p}, \tau)$ denotes the matrix elements of the operator $S_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} = e^{ih(\mathbf{p})\tau}$ among the states at $t = t_i$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} G_{11}(\mathbf{p}, \tau) & G_{12}(\mathbf{p}, \tau) & G_{13}(\mathbf{p}, \tau) \\ G_{21}(\mathbf{p}, \tau) & G_{22}(\mathbf{p}, \tau) & G_{23}(\mathbf{p}, \tau) \\ G_{31}(\mathbf{p}, \tau) & G_{32}(\mathbf{p}, \tau) & G_{33}(\mathbf{p}, \tau) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} {}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 0, t_i| \\ {}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 2, t_i| \\ {}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 4, t_i| \end{pmatrix} e^{ih(\mathbf{p})\tau} (|0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \quad |2, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \quad |4, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}). \quad (3.18)$$

The matrix elements of $G(\mathbf{p}, \tau)$ and the matrix elements of $S_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}$ have the following correspondence,

$${}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 0, t_i|0, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} = {}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 0, t_i|S_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}|0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} = G_{11}(\mathbf{p}, \tau), \quad (3.19)$$

$${}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 2, t_i|0, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} = {}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 2, t_i|S_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}|0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} = G_{21}(\mathbf{p}, \tau), \quad (3.20)$$

$${}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 4, t_i|0, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} = {}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 4, t_i|S_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}|0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} = G_{31}(\mathbf{p}, \tau), \quad (3.21)$$

$${}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 0, t_i|2, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} = {}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 0, t_i|S_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}|2, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} = G_{12}(\mathbf{p}, \tau), \quad (3.22)$$

$${}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 2, t_i|2, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} = {}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 2, t_i|S_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}|2, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} = G_{22}(\mathbf{p}, \tau), \quad (3.23)$$

$${}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 4, t_i|2, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} = {}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 4, t_i|S_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}|2, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} = G_{32}(\mathbf{p}, \tau), \quad (3.24)$$

$${}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 0, t_i|4, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} = {}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 0, t_i|S_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}|4, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} = G_{13}(\mathbf{p}, \tau), \quad (3.25)$$

$${}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 2, t_i|4, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} = {}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 2, t_i|S_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}|4, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} = G_{23}(\mathbf{p}, \tau), \quad (3.26)$$

$${}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 4, t_i|4, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} = {}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 4, t_i|S_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}|4, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} = G_{33}(\mathbf{p}, \tau). \quad (3.27)$$

In the following, we present how one can derive the elements of the matrix $G(\mathbf{p}, \tau)$. We consider the time evolution of each eigenstates $|0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}$, $|2, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}$ and $|4, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}$ using Eq.(3.10) and Eq.(3.17). Since one can expand the unitary operator $e^{ih(\mathbf{p})\tau}$ as a series $\sum_n \frac{1}{n!}(\tau ih(\mathbf{p}))^n|0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}$, one can study the action of the operator $\tau ih(\mathbf{p})$ on the three representative states as

$$(\tau ih(\mathbf{p})) (|0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \quad |2, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \quad |4, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}) = i\sqrt{2}m\tau (|0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \quad |2, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \quad |4, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & \sqrt{2}k & -i \\ 0 & i & 2\sqrt{2}k \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= (|0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \quad |2, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \quad |4, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}) \tilde{A}(i\sqrt{2}m\tau), \quad (3.28)$$

where

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & \sqrt{2}k & -i \\ 0 & i & 2\sqrt{2}k \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.29)$$

and $k = \frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{m}$. Therefore the action of $e^{ih(\mathbf{p})\tau}$ is given by

$$e^{ih(\mathbf{p})\tau} (|0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \quad |2, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \quad |4, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}) = (|0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \quad |2, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \quad |4, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}) e^{\tilde{A}(i\sqrt{2}m\tau)}, \quad (3.30)$$

From Eq.(3.18), one finds

$$G(\mathbf{p}, \tau) = e^{\tilde{A}(i\sqrt{2}m\tau)}. \quad (3.31)$$

The matrix form of $e^{\tilde{A}(i\sqrt{2}m\tau)}$ can be obtained by diagonalizing matrix \tilde{A} using a unitary matrix V . From Eq.(3.29)

$$\omega_i \delta_{ij} = V_{ik} \tilde{A}_{kl} V_{lj}^{-1} \quad (3.32)$$

where $\omega_i (i = 1 \sim 3)$ are the eigenvalues of A . Then the matrix A is written as,

$$\tilde{A} = V^{-1} \Omega V, \quad (3.33)$$

where Ω is a real diagonal matrix of the eigenvalues of \tilde{A} ,

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.34)$$

Then the matrix G in Eq.(3.31) is also written as,

$$e^{\tilde{A}(i\sqrt{2}m\tau)} = V^{-1} e^{\Omega(i\sqrt{2}m\tau)} V = V^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\omega_1\sqrt{2}m\tau} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\omega_2\sqrt{2}m\tau} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\omega_3\sqrt{2}m\tau} \end{pmatrix} V. \quad (3.35)$$

From Eq.(3.33), V^T satisfies the following equation,

$$\tilde{A}^T V^T = V^T \Omega. \quad (3.36)$$

The rest of the task is to find the eigenvalues of \tilde{A}^T and the matrix V^T . V^T consists of the three eigenvectors for \tilde{A}^T . The eigenvalues of \tilde{A}^T can be obtained via,

$$\det(\tilde{A}^T - \omega I) = \det \begin{pmatrix} -\omega & i & 0 \\ -i & \sqrt{2}k - \omega & i \\ 0 & -i & 2\sqrt{2}k - \omega \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

and they are given by,

$$\omega_1 = \sqrt{2}k_+, \quad \omega_2 = \sqrt{2}k, \quad \omega_3 = \sqrt{2}k_-, \quad (3.37)$$

where $k_{\pm} = k \pm \sqrt{k^2 + 1}$. With the eigenvalues obtained, we will find the eigenvectors. We write V^T with three complex vectors $\mathbf{v}_i (i = 1 \sim 3)$ as,

$$V^T = (\mathbf{v}_1^T \quad \mathbf{v}_2^T \quad \mathbf{v}_3^T). \quad (3.38)$$

For $\omega_2 = \sqrt{2}k$, the corresponding eigenvector is,

$$\mathbf{v}_2^T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k^2 + 2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -\sqrt{2}ki \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.39)$$

For $\omega_1 = \sqrt{2}k_+$ and $\omega_3 = \sqrt{2}k_-$, the corresponding eigenvector is given respectively as,

$$\mathbf{v}_1^T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k^2 + 2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}k_-}{2} \\ i \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}k_+}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_3^T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k^2 + 2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}k_+}{2} \\ i \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}k_-}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.40)$$

Therefore, the unitary matrix V and V^{-1} are given by,

$$V = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k^2 + 2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}k_-}{2} & i & \frac{\sqrt{2}k_+}{2} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{2}ki & 1 \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}k_+}{2} & i & \frac{\sqrt{2}k_-}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad V^{-1} = V^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k^2 + 2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}k_-}{2} & 1 & \frac{\sqrt{2}k_+}{2} \\ -i & \sqrt{2}ki & -i \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}k_+}{2} & 1 & \frac{\sqrt{2}k_-}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.41)$$

By substituting the eigenvalues to Eq.(3.35), from Eq.(3.41) the matrix $G(\mathbf{p}, \tau)$ is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} G(\mathbf{p}, \tau) &= V^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} e^{2ik_+m\tau} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2ikm\tau} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{2ik_-m\tau} \end{pmatrix} V \\ &= e^{2i|\mathbf{p}|\tau} \begin{pmatrix} f(\mathbf{p}, \tau)^2 & \sqrt{2}f(\mathbf{p}, \tau)g(\mathbf{p}, \tau) & g(\mathbf{p}, \tau)^2 \\ -\sqrt{2}f(\mathbf{p}, \tau)g(\mathbf{p}, \tau) & 1 - 2g(\mathbf{p}, \tau)^2 & \sqrt{2}f^*(\mathbf{p}, \tau)g(\mathbf{p}, \tau) \\ g(\mathbf{p}, \tau)^2 & -\sqrt{2}f^*(\mathbf{p}, \tau)g(\mathbf{p}, \tau) & f^*(\mathbf{p}, \tau)^2 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

where the functions $f(\mathbf{p}, \tau)$ and $g(\mathbf{p}, \tau)$ are given as,

$$f(\mathbf{p}, \tau) = \cos E_{\mathbf{p}}\tau - i \frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{E_{\mathbf{p}}} \sin E_{\mathbf{p}}\tau, \quad (3.43)$$

$$g(\mathbf{p}, \tau) = \frac{m}{E_{\mathbf{p}}} \sin E_{\mathbf{p}}\tau. \quad (3.44)$$

Thus, from Eq.(3.17), we can rewrite the vacuum $|0, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}$ as,

$$|0, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} = G_{11}(\mathbf{p}, \tau)|0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} + G_{21}(\mathbf{p}, \tau)|2, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} + G_{31}(\mathbf{p}, \tau)|4, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}$$

$$= G_{11}(\mathbf{p}, \tau) \exp \left[\frac{G_{21}(\mathbf{p}, \tau)}{\sqrt{2}G_{11}(\mathbf{p}, \tau)} \left(B_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}, t_i) + B_{\beta}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}, t_i) \right) \right] |0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}. \quad (3.45)$$

In the second line of Eq.(3.45), we write the relation between $|0, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}$ and $|0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}$ with the Bogoliubov transformation. The other states, $|2, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}$ and $|4, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}$ are also expressed by the superposition of the states $|0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}$, $|2, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}$ and $|4, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}$ with Eq.(3.17) and Eq.(3.42). These relations are also expressed with the Bogoliubov transformation similar to Eq.(3.45).

4. Probability

In this section, we compute the time dependent transition probability for the neutrino with momentum \mathbf{p} . Since the Fock state is given by the direct product of the state specified by each momentum sector in Eq.(2.11), the transition amplitude is also given by the product of the amplitude in each momentum sector. To obtain the transition amplitude of a single neutrino with momentum $\pm\mathbf{p}$ ($\mathbf{p} \in A$), it is not sufficient to know the transition amplitude of the state $\alpha^{\dagger}(\pm\mathbf{p}, t_i) |0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}$. As shown below, one needs to specify the states for all the momentum sectors specified by Eq.(2.11). Then the one particle state with momentum $\pm\mathbf{p}$ is expressed as,

$$\alpha^{\dagger}(\pm\mathbf{p}, t_i) |0, t_i\rangle = \alpha^{\dagger}(\pm\mathbf{p}, t_i) |0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \prod_{\mathbf{q} \neq \mathbf{p}} |0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}, \quad \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in A, \quad (4.1)$$

where we divide the momentum sectors into \mathbf{p} sector with one particle and the other \mathbf{q} sectors where particles are absent. Then we calculate the transition amplitudes for both sectors separately. For \mathbf{p} sector, one obtains the S matrix elements for the neutrino transitions from initial one particle state to the possible final states by defining the S -matrix operator called as $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}$. In the other \mathbf{q} sector, the vacuum $|0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}$ transits to the states of even number of particles including two or four particle states. We define the S -matrix operator $S_{\mathbf{q}}$ representing the transitions. Finally, we formulate the time dependent oscillation probability with the matrix elements of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}$ and $S_{\mathbf{q}}$.

4.1. S-Matrix

In the momentum sector \mathbf{p} , we consider the $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}$ operator representing neutrino oscillation among the states with the lepton number ± 1 , based on Eqs.(3.11), (3.13) and (3.45). The matrix elements for $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}$ operator are defined as

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}^{11} = {}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 0, t_i | \alpha(\mathbf{p}, t_i) \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}} \alpha^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}, t_i) |0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}^{13} = {}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 0, t_i | \alpha(\mathbf{p}, t_i) \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}} B_{\beta}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}, t_i) \alpha^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}, t_i) |0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}^{31} = {}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 0, t_i | B_{\beta}(\mathbf{p}, t_i) \alpha(\mathbf{p}, t_i) \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}} \alpha^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}, t_i) |0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}^{33} = {}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 0, t_i | B_{\beta}(\mathbf{p}, t_i) \alpha(\mathbf{p}, t_i) \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}} B_{\beta}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}, t_i) \alpha^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}, t_i) |0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}. \quad (4.5)$$

Using the matrix elements for $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}$, the relations among the states defined at $t = t_f$ and $t = t_i$ are given by,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_f) |0, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \\ B_\beta^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_f) \alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_f) |0, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}^{11*} & \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}^{13*} \\ \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}^{31*} & \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}^{33*} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_i) |0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \\ B_\beta^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_i) \alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_i) |0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.6)$$

The state with lepton number $+1$ at $t = t_f$ is given by the following superposition of the states defined at $t = t_i$,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_f) |0, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} &= \alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_f) G_{11}(\mathbf{p}, \tau) \exp \left[\frac{G_{21}(\mathbf{p}, \tau)}{\sqrt{2} G_{11}(\mathbf{p}, \tau)} \left(B_\alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_i) + B_\beta^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_i) \right) \right] |0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \\ &= e^{2i|\mathbf{p}|\tau} f(\mathbf{p}, \tau) \alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_i) |0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} + e^{2i|\mathbf{p}|\tau} (-g(\mathbf{p}, \tau)) B_\beta^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_i) \alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_i) |0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

where in the first line of the equation above, we use Eq.(3.11) and Eq.(3.45). Similarly, for the three particle state with lepton number -1 is written with the superposition of the states at $t = t_i$ with the lepton number ∓ 1 .

$$B_\beta^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_f) \alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_f) |0, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} = e^{2i|\mathbf{p}|\tau} g(\mathbf{p}, \tau) \alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_i) |0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} + e^{2i|\mathbf{p}|\tau} f^*(\mathbf{p}, \tau) B_\beta^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_i) \alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_i) |0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}}, \quad (4.8)$$

where anti-particle version of Eq.(3.13) and Eqs.(2.23-2.24) are used. From Eqs.(4.7-4.8), the matrix elements for $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}$ operator in Eq.(4.6) are given by,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}^{11*} & \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}^{13*} \\ \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}^{31*} & \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}^{33*} \end{pmatrix} = e^{2i|\mathbf{p}|\tau} \begin{pmatrix} f(\mathbf{p}, \tau) & -g(\mathbf{p}, \tau) \\ g(\mathbf{p}, \tau) & f^*(\mathbf{p}, \tau) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.9)$$

where $f(\mathbf{p}, \tau)$ and $g(\mathbf{p}, \tau)$ are given in Eq.(3.43) and Eq.(3.44) respectively. The matrix elements for $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}$ operator for the transition among the anti-neutrino state and the state with a neutrino Cooper pair plus an anti-neutrino are the same as those in Eq.(4.9). It is obtained in Eqs.(4.7-4.8) where at the initial time $t = t_i$, one-particle state with $l = +1$ is replaced by an anti-neutrino with $l = -1$ and three particle state with $l = -1$ is replaced by a neutrino pair plus anti-neutrino with $l = +1$. For the transitions, it is only necessary to replace α with β in Eq.(4.6),

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_f) |0, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \\ B_\alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_f) \beta^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_f) |0, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}^{11*} & \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}^{13*} \\ \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}^{31*} & \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}^{33*} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_i) |0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \\ B_\alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_i) \beta^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_i) |0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.10)$$

Next, we derive the matrix elements for $S_{\mathbf{q}}$ -matrix operator for the \mathbf{q} sector, where transitions from the vacuum to states with even lepton numbers take place. Including the vacuum, the eigenvalues of the lepton number for the states in the \mathbf{q} sector are even numbers. To express the state with lepton number $\pm l$, we use $|\pm l, t\rangle$ while we use $|n, t\rangle$ to denote a $n (> 0)$ particle state. Note that the state $|2, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}$ defined in Eq.(3.14) is a superposition of $|+2, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}$ and $|-2, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}$ given as,

$$|2, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|+2, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} + |-2, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}). \quad (4.11)$$

where $|+2, t\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} \equiv B_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{q}, t)|0, t\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}$ and $|-2, t\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} \equiv B_{\beta}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{q}, t)$. The time evolution of $|0, t\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}$, and $|4, t\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}$ can be derived based on the matrix $G(\mathbf{q}, \tau)$ in Eq.(3.17) and Eq.(3.42). Here we show the results for $|+2, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}$ and $|-2, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |+2, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} &= e^{2i|\mathbf{q}|\tau} f(\mathbf{q}, \tau)g(\mathbf{q}, \tau) |0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} + e^{2i|\mathbf{q}|\tau} |f(\mathbf{q}, \tau)|^2 |+2, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} \\ &\quad + e^{2i|\mathbf{q}|\tau} (-g(\mathbf{q}, \tau)^2) |-2, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} + e^{2i|\mathbf{q}|\tau} (-f^*(\mathbf{q}, \tau)g(\mathbf{q}, \tau)) |4, t_i\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |-2, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} &= e^{2i|\mathbf{q}|\tau} f(\mathbf{q}, \tau)g(\mathbf{q}, \tau) |0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} + e^{2i|\mathbf{q}|\tau} (-g(\mathbf{q}, \tau)^2) |+2, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} \\ &\quad + e^{2i|\mathbf{q}|\tau} |f(\mathbf{q}, \tau)|^2 |-2, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} + e^{2i|\mathbf{q}|\tau} (-f^*(\mathbf{q}, \tau)g(\mathbf{q}, \tau)) |4, t_i\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

For convenience, we rename the four states $|0, t\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}, |+2, t\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}, |-2, t\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}, |4, t\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}$ as follows,

$$|\theta_1, t\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} = |0, t\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}, \quad (4.14)$$

$$|\theta_2, t\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} = |+2, t\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}, \quad (4.15)$$

$$|\theta_3, t\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} = |-2, t\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}, \quad (4.16)$$

$$|\theta_4, t\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} = |4, t\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}. \quad (4.17)$$

With the states $|\theta_j, t\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}, (j = 1 \sim 4)$, the matrix $S_{\mathbf{q}}^*$ relates the states at t_f to those at t_i as follows,

$$|\theta_j, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} = \sum_{k=1}^4 S_{\mathbf{q}}^{jk*} |\theta_k, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}. \quad (4.18)$$

where the matrix $S_{\mathbf{q}}^*$ is obtained with Eq.(3.17), Eq.(3.42), Eq(4.12) and Eq.(4.13),

$$S_{\mathbf{q}}^* = e^{2i|\mathbf{q}|\tau} \begin{pmatrix} f(\mathbf{q}, \tau)^2 & -f(\mathbf{q}, \tau)g(\mathbf{q}, \tau) & -f(\mathbf{q}, \tau)g(\mathbf{q}, \tau) & g(\mathbf{q}, \tau)^2 \\ f(\mathbf{q}, \tau)g(\mathbf{q}, \tau) & |f(\mathbf{q}, \tau)|^2 & -g(\mathbf{q}, \tau)^2 & -f^*(\mathbf{q}, \tau)g(\mathbf{q}, \tau) \\ f(\mathbf{q}, \tau)g(\mathbf{q}, \tau) & -g(\mathbf{q}, \tau)^2 & |f(\mathbf{q}, \tau)|^2 & -f^*(\mathbf{q}, \tau)g(\mathbf{q}, \tau) \\ g(\mathbf{q}, \tau)^2 & f^*(\mathbf{q}, \tau)g(\mathbf{q}, \tau) & f^*(\mathbf{q}, \tau)g(\mathbf{q}, \tau) & (f^*(\mathbf{q}, \tau))^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.19)$$

4.2. Probability

Based on the matrices $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}$ and $S_{\mathbf{q}}$, we obtain the survival probability and the chiral oscillation probability for the neutrino transitions. First, we calculate the neutrino transition probability in the \mathbf{p} sector. From Eq.(4.6) and Eq.(4.9), the transition probabilities from the neutrino with lepton number $l = +1$ to the states with lepton number $l = \pm 1$ in \mathbf{p} sector are respectively given by,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{+1 \rightarrow +1}(\mathbf{p}, \tau) &= \left| {}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 0, t_f | \alpha(\mathbf{p}, t_f) \alpha^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}, t_i) | 0, t_i \rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \right|^2 \\ &= |\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}^{11}|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{+1 \rightarrow -1}(\mathbf{p}, \tau) &= \left| {}_{\mathbf{p}}\langle 0, t_f | B_{\beta}(\mathbf{p}, t_f) \alpha(\mathbf{p}, t_f) \alpha^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}, t_i) | 0, t_i \rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \right|^2 \\ &= |\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}^{31}|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

Next, we calculate the transition probabilities from the vacuum state $|\theta_1, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}$ to all the possible states $|\theta_{j_{\mathbf{q}}}, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}$, ($j_{\mathbf{q}} = 1 \sim 4$) in the \mathbf{q} sector. From Eq.(4.18) and Eq.(4.19), the transition probabilities from the state labelled by θ_1 to θ_j ($j = 1 \sim 4$) in the \mathbf{q} sector are,

$$\mathcal{P}_{\theta_1 \rightarrow \theta_{j_{\mathbf{q}}}}(\mathbf{q}, \tau) = |\langle \theta_{j_{\mathbf{q}}}, t_f | \theta_1, t_i \rangle_{\mathbf{q}}|^2 = |S_{\mathbf{q}}^{j_{\mathbf{q}}1}|^2. \quad (4.22)$$

The sum of these transition probabilities over the possible final states is given as,

$$\sum_{j_{\mathbf{q}}=1}^4 \mathcal{P}_{\theta_1 \rightarrow \theta_{j_{\mathbf{q}}}}(\mathbf{q}, \tau) = \sum_{j_{\mathbf{q}}=1}^4 |S_{\mathbf{q}}^{j_{\mathbf{q}}1}|^2 = 1. \quad (4.23)$$

Based on the above, we derive the oscillation probability taking account of the transitions from all momentum sectors. First, from Eq.(4.1), the initial neutrino state with momentum \mathbf{p} is given as follows,

$$\alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_i) |0, t_i\rangle = \alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_i) |0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \prod_{\mathbf{q} \neq \mathbf{p}} |\theta_1, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}, \quad \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in A. \quad (4.24)$$

The possible final states with a neutrino with momentum \mathbf{p} in \mathbf{p} sector to which the transition from the state in Eq.(4.24) can take place are given as follows,

$$\alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_f) |0, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \prod_{\mathbf{q} \neq \mathbf{p}} |\theta_{j_{\mathbf{q}}}, t_f\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}, \quad j_{\mathbf{q}} = 1 \sim 4. \quad (4.25)$$

The number of the final states in Eq.(4.25) is 4^n where n is a possible number of momenta for \mathbf{q} in A . Then the transition amplitude from the initial state in Eq.(4.24) to the final state in Eq.(4.25) is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{\mathbf{q} \neq \mathbf{p}} \langle \theta_{j_{\mathbf{q}}}, t_f |_{\mathbf{p}} \langle 0, t_f | \alpha(\mathbf{p}, t_f) \alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_i) |0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} |\theta_1, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} \\ &= \prod_{\mathbf{q} \neq \mathbf{p}} \langle \theta_{j_{\mathbf{q}}}, t_f |_{\theta_1, t_i}\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} \langle 0, t_f | \alpha(\mathbf{p}, t_f) \alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{p}, t_i) |0, t_i\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \\ &= \prod_{\mathbf{q} \neq \mathbf{p}} S_{\mathbf{q}}^{j_{\mathbf{q}}1} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}^{11}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

Then the corresponding transition probability is given by,

$$\prod_{\mathbf{q} \neq \mathbf{p}} \mathcal{P}_{\theta_1 \rightarrow \theta_{j_{\mathbf{q}}}}(\mathbf{q}, \tau) \mathcal{P}_{+1 \rightarrow +1}(\mathbf{p}, \tau) = \prod_{\mathbf{q} \neq \mathbf{p}} |S_{\mathbf{q}}^{j_{\mathbf{q}}1}|^2 |\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}^{11}|^2. \quad (4.27)$$

Finally we define the survival propability $P_{\nu \rightarrow \nu}(\mathbf{p}, \tau)$ as the sum of Eq.(4.27) over the possible final states,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\nu \rightarrow \nu}(\mathbf{p}, \tau) &= \prod_{\mathbf{q} \neq \mathbf{p}} \sum_{j_{\mathbf{q}}=1}^4 |S_{\mathbf{q}}^{j_{\mathbf{q}}1}|^2 |\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}^{11}|^2 \\ &= |\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}^{11}|^2 = |f(\mathbf{p}, \tau)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$= 1 - (1 - v^2) \sin^2 E_{\mathbf{p}} \tau. \quad (4.28)$$

where we use Eq.(4.23), Eq.(4.9) and Eq.(3.43). v is the velocity defined by $v = \frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{E_{\mathbf{p}}}$. Using the same steps as that in Eqs.(4.24-4.28), we calculate the chiral oscillation probability $P_{\nu \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} \bar{\nu}}(\mathbf{p}, \tau)$ for that the lepton number changing transition occurs from the state with $l = +1$ to the state with $l = -1$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\nu \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} \bar{\nu}}(\mathbf{p}, \tau) &= \prod_{\mathbf{q} \neq \mathbf{p} \in A} \sum_{j_{\mathbf{q}}=1}^4 |S_{\mathbf{q}}^{j_{\mathbf{q}}1}|^2 |\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}^{31}|^2 = |\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}^{31}|^2 \\ &= |g(\mathbf{p}, \tau)|^2 \\ &= (1 - v^2) \sin^2 E_{\mathbf{p}} \tau, \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

where we use Eq.(3.44), Eq.(4.9) and Eq.(4.23). In Fig.1, the survival probability, i.e., Eq.(4.28) and in Fig.2, the chiral oscillation probability, i.e., Eq.(4.29) are respectively plotted for various velocities of the neutrino. In the case of relativistic neutrino with $v \approx 1$, The survival probability stays around 1 and the chiral oscillation probability remains close to 0 with a short period $\Delta\tau \simeq \frac{0.1\sqrt{2}\pi}{m}$ for $v = 0.99$. In the case of non-relativistic neutrino with $0 < v \ll 1$, both the survival and chiral oscillation probabilities oscillate between 0 and 1 with a long period $\Delta\tau \simeq \frac{\pi}{m}$.




Figure 1: Survival probability: $P_{\nu \rightarrow \nu}(\mathbf{p}, \tau)$. Figure 2: Chiral oscillation probability: $P_{\nu \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} \bar{\nu}}(\mathbf{p}, \tau)$

In Fig.1, the survival probability: $P_{\nu \rightarrow \nu}(\mathbf{p}, \tau)$ and in Fig.2, chiral oscillation probability: $P_{\nu \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} \bar{\nu}}(\mathbf{p}, \tau)$ are plotted as functions of $m\tau$ where m is the mass of the neutrino. The red colored line shows the relativistic case with $v = 0.99$, The green colored line shows the case with $v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. The blue colored line shows the non-relativistic case with $v = 0.1$.

5. Conclusion

We study the probability for chiral oscillation of Majorana neutrino in quantum field theory. In this paper, we first show that the Hamiltonian can be written without the zero momentum mode. Secondly, we show that the state developed from the vacuum state is a superposition

of the vacuum state, the two-particle state, and the four-particle state and the time evolution can be described as the Bogoliubov transformation. Furthermore, the state with more than four particles in an arbitrary momentum sector are not allowed due to Pauli exclusion principle. For the neutrino oscillation probability in this work, if neutrino is ultra-relativistic, i.e., $v \approx 1$, the chirality flip is suppressed. In other words, the chirality flip occurs for the non-relativistic case. Moreover, we find that the chiral oscillation is not neutrino and anti-neutrino oscillation, but a transition from a neutrino state to the state with an anti-neutrino Cooper pair plus a neutrino (three-particle state). This is because the Majorana mass term creates anti-neutrino Cooper pair from the vacuum and it appears through time. The chiral transition to the final three particle state with $l = -1$ is different from the transition to a single anti-neutrino state. In this work, we show the former transition indeed occurs during the time evolution of a neutrino state.

Lastly, we compare our results with the previous study [11] on the expectation value of lepton number operator. The expectation value of the lepton number operator in the work is,

$$\langle \nu(\mathbf{p}, t_i) | L(\mathbf{p}, t_f) | \nu(\mathbf{p}, t_i) \rangle = v^2 + (1 - v^2) \cos(2E_{\mathbf{p}}\tau). \quad (5.1)$$

In the present work, the method to compute the probabilities is developed. Using the probabilities, one can obtain the expectation value of the lepton number in another way, i.e., the expectation value equals to the difference of the survival probability for $l = +1$ to $l = +1$ and the chiral oscillation probability for the transition from $l = +1$ to $l = -1$,

$$P_{\nu \rightarrow \nu}(\mathbf{p}, \tau) - P_{\nu \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu}}(\mathbf{p}, \tau) = 1 - 2(1 - v^2) \sin^2 E_{\mathbf{p}}\tau, \quad (5.2)$$

where we use the result of Eq.(4.28) and Eq.(4.29). Indeed, the results of Eq.(5.1) and Eq.(5.2) are the same to each other. This shows that the probabilities obtained in the present framework lead to a consistent result for the expectation value of the Lepton number operator; Fig.1 of [11]. For the relativistic neutrino ($v \lesssim 1$), it behaves as $\simeq 1 - 4(1 - v) \sin^2 \frac{m}{\sqrt{1-v^2}}\tau \simeq 1$ while for non-relativistic neutrino ($v \ll 1$), it oscillates as $v^2 + (1 - v^2) \cos 2E_p\tau \simeq \cos \frac{2m\tau}{\sqrt{1-v^2}}$ between $+1$ and -1 .

In future work, we will expand this formula to the three-flavor case. Furthermore, we need to discuss how the oscillation probability is affected when the matter effects are considered [14, 15].

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Naoki Uemura for the useful comment and discussion.

Appendix A Derivations of the Hamiltonian and anti-commutation relations without zero mode

In this appendix, we derive the Hamiltonian, Eq.(2.9) for the Majorana neutrino without zero mode operator. We also derive the anti-commutation relations of the field operators

η, η^\dagger Eqs.(2.7-2.8) based on the calculation of the Dirac bracket [12]. As a result, the anti-commutation relation become a modified Dirac delta function without zero mode as in Eq.(2.7). This enables us to expand the Majorana field operator with the plane wave massless spinors. Then the Hamiltonian is also expanded by with creation and annihilation operators with non-zero momentum as given in Eq.(2.14).

From the Lagrangian in Eq.(2.6), the conjugate momentum for each field is,

$$\pi_\eta = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}'}{\partial \dot{\eta}} = i\eta^\dagger, \quad \pi_{\eta^\dagger} = 0, \quad \pi_{\xi_0} = \pi_{\xi_0^\dagger} = 0. \quad (\text{A1})$$

Then we obtain four constraints:

$$\phi^1(x) = \pi_\eta - i\eta^\dagger, \quad \phi^2(x) = \pi_{\eta^\dagger}, \quad \phi^3 = \pi_{\xi_0}, \quad \phi^4 = \pi_{\xi_0^\dagger}. \quad (\text{A2})$$

The Hamiltonian density is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}'' &= (\pi_\eta - i\eta^\dagger)\dot{\eta} + \pi_{\eta^\dagger}\dot{\eta}^\dagger + \pi_{\xi_0}\dot{\xi}_0 + \pi_{\xi_0^\dagger}\dot{\xi}_0^\dagger \\ &+ \eta^\dagger i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \eta + \frac{m}{2}(-\eta^\dagger i\sigma_2 \eta^\dagger + \eta i\sigma_2 \eta) + \frac{i}{V}(\xi_0^\dagger \eta_0 - \eta_0^\dagger \xi_0). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A3})$$

We add the constraint to the Hamiltonian with the help of Lagrange multiplier

$$\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{H} + \sum_{A=1}^4 \phi^A \lambda^A. \quad (\text{A4})$$

\mathcal{H} is

$$\mathcal{H} = \eta^\dagger i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \eta + \frac{m}{2}(-\eta^\dagger i\sigma_2 \eta^\dagger + \eta i\sigma_2 \eta) + \frac{i}{V}(\xi_0^\dagger \eta_0 - \eta_0^\dagger \xi_0), \quad (\text{A5})$$

where we absorb the velocity dependent terms into the constraints by redefining the Lagrange multipliers,

$$\lambda^1 \rightarrow \lambda^1 - \dot{\eta}, \quad \lambda^2 \rightarrow \lambda^2 - \dot{\eta}^\dagger, \quad \lambda^3 \rightarrow \lambda^3 - \dot{\xi}_0, \quad \lambda^4 \rightarrow \lambda^4 - \dot{\xi}_0^\dagger. \quad (\text{A6})$$

Then one can consider the Hamiltonian given below.

$$H' \equiv \int d^3\mathbf{x} \left[\mathcal{H} + \sum_{a=1}^2 \phi^a(x) \lambda^a(x) \right] + \sum_{\alpha=3}^4 \phi^\alpha \lambda^\alpha, \quad (\text{A7})$$

$$= H + \sum_{a=1}^2 \int d^3\mathbf{x} \phi^a(x) \lambda^a(x) + \sum_{\alpha=3}^4 \phi^\alpha \lambda^\alpha, \quad (\text{A8})$$

$$H \equiv \int d^3\mathbf{x} \mathcal{H}. \quad (\text{A9})$$

where we replace λ^α with $\frac{\lambda^\alpha}{V}$ for $\alpha = 3, 4$ to impose the position independent constraints $\phi^\alpha = 0$ ($\alpha = 3, 4$) on the Hamiltonian from those on the Hamiltonian density. Next we examine

if the constraints $\phi^a(x) = 0$ ($a = 1, 2$) and $\phi^\alpha = 0$ ($\alpha = 3, 4$) do not contradict with the time evolution,

$$\dot{\phi}^a(x) = \{\phi^a(x), H\}_{PB} + \int d^3\mathbf{y} \sum_{b=1}^2 \{\phi^a(x), \phi^b(y)\}_{PB} \lambda^b(y) + \sum_{\beta=3}^4 \{\phi^a(x), \phi^\beta\}_{PB} \lambda^\beta \approx 0, \quad (\text{A10})$$

where PB denotes the Poisson bracket. One obtains the conditions,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\phi}^1(x) \\ \dot{\phi}^2(x) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \{\phi^1(x), H\}_{PB} \\ \{\phi^2(x), H\}_{PB} \end{pmatrix} - i \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2(x) \\ \lambda^1(x) \end{pmatrix} \simeq 0. \quad (\text{A11})$$

Then the Lagrange multipliers $\lambda^{1,2}(x)$ can be determined so that the constraints $\phi^a(x) = 0$ ($a = 1, 2$) are consistent with the time evolution. We also require the other constraints ϕ^α ($\alpha = 3, 4$) are consistent with time evolution,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\phi}^\alpha &= \{\phi^\alpha, H\}_{PB} + \int d^3\mathbf{y} \sum_{b=1}^2 \{\phi^\alpha, \phi^b(y)\}_{PB} \lambda^b(y) + \sum_{\beta=3}^4 \{\phi^\alpha, \phi^\beta\}_{PB} \lambda^\beta, \\ &= \{\phi^\alpha, H\}_{PB} \approx 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A12})$$

Then we obtain the secondary constraints,

$$\{\phi^3, H\}_{PB} = i\eta_0^\dagger \approx 0, \quad (\text{A13})$$

$$\{\phi^4, H\}_{PB} = i\eta_0 \approx 0. \quad (\text{A14})$$

We add the secondary constraints in Eqs.(A13-A14) to the Hamiltonian,

$$H' = \int d^3\mathbf{x} \left[\mathcal{H} + \sum_{a=1}^2 \phi^a(x) \lambda^a(x) \right] + \sum_{\alpha=3}^4 \phi^\alpha \lambda^\alpha + \sum_{\alpha=5}^6 \phi^\alpha \lambda^\alpha. \quad (\text{A15})$$

In Eq.(A15), we rename the constrains as $\phi^3 \equiv \eta_0$, $\phi^4 \equiv \eta_0^\dagger$, $\phi^5 \equiv \pi_{\xi_0}$ and $\phi^6 \equiv \pi_{\xi_0^\dagger}$ respectively for the later convenience. With the introduction of the new constraints, $\dot{\phi}^1(x)$ is given by, as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\phi}^1(x) &= \{\phi^1(x), H\}_{PB} + \int d^3\mathbf{y} \{\phi^1(x), \phi^2(y)\}_{PB} \lambda^2(y) + \sum_{\beta=3}^6 \{\phi^1(x), \phi^\beta\}_{PB} \lambda^\beta, \\ &= \{\phi^1(x), H\}_{PB} - i\lambda^2(x) + \frac{1}{V} \lambda^3. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A16})$$

Similarly, $\dot{\phi}^2(x)$ is given as follows,

$$\dot{\phi}^2(x) = \{\phi^2(x), H\}_{PB} - i\lambda^1(x) + \frac{1}{V} \lambda^4. \quad (\text{A17})$$

In addition, the time derivative of the new constraints $\phi^3 = \eta_0$ and $\phi^4 = \eta_0^\dagger$ are

$$\dot{\phi}^3 = \{\phi^3, H\}_{PB} + \int d^3\mathbf{y} \sum_{b=1}^2 \{\phi^3, \phi^b(y)\}_{PB} \lambda^b(y) + \sum_{\beta=3}^6 \{\phi^3, \phi^\beta\}_{PB} \lambda^\beta,$$

$$= \{\phi^3, H\}_{PB} + \frac{1}{V} \int d^3\mathbf{x} \lambda^1(x), \quad (\text{A18})$$

and

$$\dot{\phi}^4 = \{\phi^4, H\}_{PB} + \frac{1}{V} \int d^3\mathbf{x} \lambda^2(x). \quad (\text{A19})$$

$\dot{\phi}^5$ and $\dot{\phi}^6$ do not change from Eqs.(A13-A14),

$$\dot{\phi}^5 = \{\phi^5, H'\}_{PB} = \{\phi^5, H\}_{PB} = i\phi^4 = i\eta_0^\dagger, \quad (\text{A20})$$

$$\dot{\phi}^6 = \{\phi^6, H'\}_{PB} = \{\phi^6, H\}_{PB} = i\phi^3 = i\eta_0. \quad (\text{A21})$$

However the Lagrange multipliers λ^5 and λ^6 are undetermined from the conditions $\dot{\phi}^A = 0$, $A = 1 \sim 6$ which we have examined. For these primary constraints, we impose the gauge-fixing like conditions $\phi^7 = \phi^8 = 0$ as constraints,

$$\phi^7 = \xi_0 \quad , \quad \phi^8 = \xi_0^\dagger. \quad (\text{A22})$$

Including all the constraints in Table 1, Hamiltonian is,

$$H' = \int d^3\mathbf{x} \left[\mathcal{H} + \sum_{a=1}^2 \phi^a(x) \lambda^a(x) \right] + \sum_{\alpha=3}^4 \phi^\alpha \lambda^\alpha + \sum_{\alpha=5}^6 \phi^\alpha \lambda^\alpha + \sum_{\alpha=7}^8 \phi^\alpha \lambda^\alpha. \quad (\text{A23})$$

Below we show the obtained constraints become the second class by determining the Lagrange multipliers. We first consider $\dot{\phi}^\alpha = 0$ ($\alpha = 5 \sim 8$).

$$\dot{\phi}^5 = \{\phi^5, H\}_{PB} + \sum_{\beta=5}^8 \{\phi^5, \phi^\beta\}_{PB} \lambda^\beta,$$

$$= \{\phi^5, H\}_{PB} + \{\phi^5, \phi^7\}_{PB} \lambda^7,$$

$$= i\phi^4 + \lambda^7 \simeq 0. \quad (\text{A24})$$

$$\lambda^7 = -i\eta_0^\dagger. \quad (\text{A25})$$

similarly, one obtains,

$$\dot{\phi}^6 = \{\phi^6, H\}_{PB} + \lambda^8,$$

$$= i\phi^3 + \lambda^8 \simeq 0, \quad (\text{A26})$$

$$\lambda^8 = -i\eta_0. \quad (\text{A27})$$

$$\dot{\phi}^7 = \{\phi^7, H\}_{PB} + \lambda^5,$$

$$= \lambda^5 \simeq 0, \quad (\text{A28})$$

$$\lambda^5 = 0. \quad (\text{A29})$$

$$\dot{\phi}^8 = \{\phi^8, H\}_{PB} + \lambda^6,$$

$$= \lambda^6 \simeq 0, \quad (\text{A30})$$

$$\lambda^6 = 0. \quad (\text{A31})$$

In this way, $(\lambda^5, \lambda^6, \lambda^7, \lambda^8)$ are determined as,

$$(\lambda^5 \ \lambda^6 \ \lambda^7 \ \lambda^8) = (0 \ 0 \ -i\eta_0^\dagger \ -i\eta_0). \quad (\text{A32})$$

The other multipliers $\lambda^1(x), \lambda^2(x), \lambda^3$ and λ^4 are determined as follows. We first define zero modes $(\lambda_0^1, \lambda_0^2)$ of the Lagrange multipliers $(\lambda^1(x), \lambda^2(x))$ as,

$$\lambda_0^1 = \frac{1}{V} \int d^3\mathbf{x} \lambda^1(x), \quad \lambda_0^2 = \frac{1}{V} \int d^3\mathbf{x} \lambda^2(x). \quad (\text{A33})$$

With $\{\phi^a, H\}_{PB} \simeq 0$ ($a = 3, 4$) in Eqs.(A18-A19), the conditions $\dot{\phi}^a = 0$ ($a = 3, 4$) lead to,

$$\lambda_0^1 = \lambda_0^2 = 0. \quad (\text{A34})$$

We also define the zero modes ϕ^a ($a = 1, 2$) of $\phi^1(x)$ and $\phi^2(x)$,

$$\phi^1 = \int d^3\mathbf{x} \phi^1(x) \quad \phi^2 = \int d^3\mathbf{x} \phi^2(x). \quad (\text{A35})$$

One subtracts the zero modes from $\phi^a(x)$ ($a = 1, 2$) and defines $\phi'^a(x)$ ($a = 1, 2$),

$$\phi'^1(x) = \phi^1(x) - \frac{\phi^1}{V}, \quad \phi'^2(x) = \phi^2(x) - \frac{\phi^2}{V}. \quad (\text{A36})$$

which satisfy $\int d^3\mathbf{x} \phi'^a(x) = 0$. Lastly, the conditions $\dot{\phi}^a(x) = 0$, ($a = 1, 2$) in Eqs.(A16-A17) and

$$\{\phi^1(x), H\}_{PB} = i \left(\nabla \eta^\dagger(x) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + m\sigma_2 \eta(x) - \frac{1}{V} \xi_0^\dagger \right), \quad (\text{A37})$$

$$\{\phi^2(x), H\}_{PB} = i \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \eta(x) - m\sigma_2 \eta^\dagger(x) - \frac{1}{V} \xi_0 \right), \quad (\text{A38})$$

lead to the conditions,

$$i \left(\nabla \eta^\dagger(x) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + m\sigma_2 \eta(x) - \frac{1}{V} \xi_0^\dagger \right) - i\lambda^2(x) + \frac{1}{V} \lambda^3 = 0, \quad (\text{A39})$$

$$i \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \eta(x) - m\sigma_2 \eta^\dagger(x) - \frac{1}{V} \xi_0 \right) - i\lambda^1(x) + \frac{1}{V} \lambda^4 = 0. \quad (\text{A40})$$

By integrating Eq.(A39) and Eq.(A40) over three dimensional space \mathbf{x} , one determines λ^3 and λ^4 as,

$$\lambda^3 = iV\lambda_0^2 - i(m\sigma_2 V\eta_0 - \xi_0^\dagger) = -i(m\sigma_2 V\eta_0 - \xi_0^\dagger), \quad (\text{A41})$$

$$\lambda^4 = iV\lambda_0^1 - i(-m\sigma_2 V\eta_0^\dagger - \xi_0) = -i(-m\sigma_2 V\eta_0^\dagger - \xi_0), \quad (\text{A42})$$

where we use Eq.(A34). By substituting Eq.(A41) to Eq.(A39) and Eq.(A42) to Eq.(A40) respectively, one determines $\lambda^1(x) - \lambda_0^1$ and $\lambda^2(x) - \lambda_0^2$. Including them, the Lagrange multipliers determined from the conditions of $\dot{\phi}^A = 0, A = 1 \sim 8$ are summarized as follows,

$$\lambda^1(x) - \lambda_0^1 = \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \eta(x) - m\sigma_2(\eta^\dagger(x) - \eta_0^\dagger), \quad (\text{A43})$$

$$\lambda^2(x) - \lambda_0^2 = \nabla \eta^\dagger(x) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + m\sigma_2(\eta(x) - \eta_0), \quad (\text{A44})$$

$$\lambda_0^1 = 0, \quad (\text{A45})$$

$$\lambda_0^2 = 0, \quad (\text{A46})$$

$$\lambda^3 = -i\sigma_2 mV \eta_0 + i\xi_0^\dagger, \quad (\text{A47})$$

$$\lambda^4 = i\sigma_2 mV \eta_0^\dagger + i\xi_0, \quad (\text{A48})$$

$$\lambda^5 = 0, \quad (\text{A49})$$

$$\lambda^6 = 0, \quad (\text{A50})$$

$$\lambda^7 = -i\eta_0^\dagger, \quad (\text{A51})$$

$$\lambda^8 = -i\eta_0, \quad (\text{A52})$$

where we show Eq.(A32), Eq.(A34), Eq.(A41), and Eq.(A42) again. This shows that the constraints are second class.

We obtain anti-commutation relations among η and η^\dagger based on the Dirac brackets. The Dirac bracket for η and η^\dagger is calculated as,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\eta(\mathbf{x}, t), \eta^\dagger(\mathbf{y}, t)\}_{DB} &= \{\eta(\mathbf{x}, t), \eta^\dagger(\mathbf{y}, t)\}_{PB} \\ &\quad - \sum_{a,b=1}^2 \int d^3\mathbf{x}' d^3\mathbf{y}' \{\eta(\mathbf{x}, t), \phi'^a(\mathbf{x}', t)\}_{PB} (\bar{C}^{-1})^{ab}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}') \{\phi'^b(\mathbf{y}', t), \eta^\dagger(\mathbf{y}, t)\}_{PB} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^4 \{\eta(\mathbf{x}, t), \phi^\alpha\}_{PB} (C_0^{-1})^{\alpha\beta} \{\phi^\beta, \eta^\dagger(\mathbf{y}, t)\}_{PB} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\alpha,\beta=5}^8 \{\eta(\mathbf{x}, t), \phi^\alpha\}_{PB} (C_\xi^{-1})^{\alpha\beta} \{\phi^\beta, \eta^\dagger(\mathbf{y}, t)\}_{PB} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A53})$$

where DB denotes the Dirac bracket. We define the matrices of the Poisson brackets for constraints and their inverse matrices,

$$\bar{C}^{ab}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \{\phi'^a(\mathbf{x}), \phi'^b(\mathbf{y})\}_{PB} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \times \left(\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) - \frac{1}{V} \right), \quad a, b = 1 \sim 2, \quad (\text{A54})$$

$$C_0^{\alpha\beta} = \{\phi^\alpha, \phi^\beta\}_{PB} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -iV & 1 & 0 \\ -iV & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1 \sim 4, \quad (\text{A55})$$

$$C_\xi^{\alpha\beta} = \{\phi^\alpha, \phi^\beta\}_{PB} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha, \beta = 5 \sim 8. \quad (\text{A56})$$

Each inverse matrix is calculated to be,

$$(\bar{C}^{-1})^{ab}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \times \left(\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) - \frac{1}{V} \right), \quad a, b = 1 \sim 2, \quad (\text{A57})$$

$$(C_0^{-1})^{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & iV \\ 0 & 1 & iV & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1 \sim 4, \quad (\text{A58})$$

$$(C_\xi^{-1})^{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha, \beta = 5 \sim 8. \quad (\text{A59})$$

Then the Dirac bracket between η and η^\dagger are given as,

$$\{\eta(\mathbf{x}, t), \eta^\dagger(\mathbf{y}, t)\}_{DB} = -i\left(\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) - \frac{1}{V}\right) \equiv -i\bar{\delta}^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}). \quad (\text{A60})$$

One can also show the following Dirac brackets,

$$\{\eta(\mathbf{x}, t), \eta(\mathbf{y}, t)\}_{DB} = \{\eta^\dagger(\mathbf{x}, t), \eta^\dagger(\mathbf{y}, t)\}_{DB} = 0. \quad (\text{A61})$$

Then the anti-commutation relations are,

$$\{\eta(\mathbf{x}, t), \eta^\dagger(\mathbf{y}, t)\} = \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) - \frac{1}{V}, \quad (\text{A62})$$

$$\{\eta(\mathbf{x}, t), \eta(\mathbf{y}, t)\} = \{\eta^\dagger(\mathbf{x}, t), \eta^\dagger(\mathbf{y}, t)\} = 0. \quad (\text{A63})$$

Thus, the field operators η and η^\dagger satisfy an anti-commutation relation excluding zero mode because $\{\eta_0, \eta_0^\dagger\} = \{\eta_0, \eta_0\} = \{\eta_0^\dagger, \eta_0^\dagger\} = 0$.

References

- [1] C. Giunti and C. W. Kim, ‘‘Fundamentals of Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics,’’ doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198508717.001.0001.
- [2] M. Beuthe, Phys. Rept. **375** (2003), 105-218 [[arXiv:hep-ph/0109119](#) [hep-ph]].
- [3] B. Pontecorvo, Sov. Phys. JETP **6**, 429 (1957).
- [4] B. Pontecorvo, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **34**, 247 (1957).
- [5] J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 1666 (1981).
- [6] A. S. Adam, N. J. Benoit, Y. Kawamura, Y. Matsuo, T. Morozumi, Y. Shimizu, Y. Tokunaga and N. Toyota, PTEP **2021**, no.5, 053B01 (2021) [[arXiv:2101.07751](#) [hep-ph]].
- [7] V. A. S. V. Bittencourt, A. E. Bernardini and M. Blasone, EPL **139**, no.4, 44002 (2022).

- [8] A. Salim Adam, N. J. Benoit, Y. Kawamura, Y. Matsuo, T. Morozumi, Y. Shimizu and N. Toyota, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, no.5, 056009 (2023) [[arXiv:2106.02783](#) [hep-ph]].
- [9] V. Bittencourt, M. Blasone and G. Zanfardino, [[arXiv:2408.16742](#) [hep-ph]].
- [10] N. N. Bogolyubov, *Nuovo Cim.* **7**, 794-805 (1958).
- [11] T. Morozumi, N. J. Benoit and Y. Kawamura, *PoS CORFU2021*, 063 (2022) [[arXiv:2204.00971](#) [hep-ph]].
- [12] P. A. M. Dirac, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics, Belfer Graduate School of Science, Yeshiva University*, (New York, 1964).
- [13] A. S. Adam, N. J. Benoit, Y. Kawamura, Y. Mastuo, T. Morozumi, Y. Shimizu, Y. Tokunaga and N. Toyota, doi:10.31526/ACP.BSM-2021.29 [[arXiv:2105.04306](#) [hep-ph]].
- [14] M. W. Li, Z. L. Huang and X. G. He, *Phys. Lett. B* **855**, 138778 (2024) [[arXiv:2307.12561](#) [hep-ph]].
- [15] V. Cirigliano, S. Sen and Y. Yamauchi, [[arXiv:2404.16690](#) [hep-ph]].