

# Local convergence near equilibria for distribution dependent SDEs

Shao-Qin Zhang

School of Statistics and Mathematics, Central University of Finance and Economics, Beijing 100081, China

Email: zhangsq@cufe.edu.cn

January 9, 2025

## Abstract

Owing to exhibiting phase transitions, we investigate the local convergence around a stationary distribution for distribution dependent stochastic differential equations. By linearizing the nonlinear Markov semigroup associated with the distribution dependent equation, the local exponential convergence of the solution is related to the exponential convergence of a semigroup of linear operators. Our result can be used as a criteria for the local stability of stationary distributions. Concrete examples, including the granular media equation with double-wells landscapes and quadratic interaction, are given to illustrate our main result.

AMS Subject Classification (2020): primary 60H10; secondary 35B35

Keywords: distribution dependent stochastic differential equations; stationary distributions; phase transition; long-time behavior; stability

## 1 Introduction

Stochastic differential equations (SDEs) whose coefficients depend on the own law of the solution were introduced by McKean in [23]. Such equations are named distribution dependent SDEs, McKean-Vlasov SDEs, or mean-field SDEs in the literature, see e.g. [3, 7, 29, 36, 37]. Markov semigroups associated with DDSDEs are nonlinear. [13] established for the first time the existence of several stationary distributions, which is referred as phase transition in the literature, for a DDSDE with a double-well confinement and a Curie-Weiss interaction on the line. Beside [13], phase transitions for DDSDEs are studied by many works, e.g. an equation with infinite many stationary distributions was given in [2]; local bifurcations were investigated in [30, 40]; in a comprehensive series of papers of Tugaut et al., equations with multi-wells confinement have been studied systemically, see e.g. [1, 14, 18, 32, 33]; for general DDSDEs, non-uniqueness of stationary distributions was discussed in [39]; for phase transitions of McKean-Vlasov diffusions on the torus or nonlinear Markov jump processes, one can consult [9, 17].

For DDSDEs, one way to study the long-time behavior of solutions is developing techniques in the ergodicity theory for distribution free SDEs, see e.g. [4, 16, 21, 37]. However, systems investigated there are without phase transitions. Another way is using the gradient flow method or the free energy method, see e.g. [5, 9, 32]. This method is powerful for concrete equations with phase transitions, while it relies on that coefficients of SDEs are of gradient forms. In this paper, we introduce the third way. For a linear Markov semigroup, it is well known that the spectrum of the generator is closely related to the ergodicity of the associated Markov process, see e.g. [11, 34, 38]. Moreover, the behavior of a dynamical system near an equilibrium can be characterized by the linearized system at the equilibrium, see e.g. [27, 31]. Following this

idea, we want to linearize the nonlinear Markov process associated with the DDSDE around a stationary distribution in a suitable way, and find out the linearized operator to characterize the local convergence around the stationary distribution.

Consider the following equation

$$dX_t = b(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t})dt + \sigma(X_t)dB_t. \quad (1.1) \quad \boxed{\text{main-equ}}$$

where the coefficients  $b : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$  are measurable,  $\mathcal{P}$  is the space of probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $B_t$  is a  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion on a complete filtration probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{X_t}$  is the law of  $X_t$  in the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . We briefly explain the idea of linearizing the nonlinear Markov semigroup associated with  $X_t$  for readers' convenience. For a solution to (1.1) with  $X_0 \stackrel{d}{=} \mu_0$ , we denote  $\mu_t = \mathcal{L}_{X_t}$  and denote by  $X_t^\mu$  the solution of the following equation

$$dX_t^\mu = b(X_t^\mu, \mu_t)dt + \sigma(X_t^\mu)dB_t. \quad (1.2) \quad \boxed{\text{freezing}}$$

Let  $P_t^\mu$  be the Markov semigroup associated with  $X_t^\mu$ , and  $L_{\mu_t}$  be the infinitesimal generator:

$$L_{\mu_t}f(x) = \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(\sigma\sigma^*\nabla^2 f)(x) + b(x, \mu_t) \cdot \nabla f(x), f \in C^2,$$

where  $C^2$  is the set of twice continuously differentiable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then  $\mu_t$  is a solution of the following nonlinear Fokker-Planck equation on  $\mathcal{P}$ :

$$\partial_t \mu_t = L_{\mu_t}^* \mu_t.$$

If there is a stationary distribution for (1.1), saying  $\mu_\infty$ , then we have a SDE:

$$dX_t^{\mu_\infty} = b(X_t^{\mu_\infty}, \mu_\infty)dt + \sigma(X_t^{\mu_\infty})dB_t. \quad (1.3) \quad \boxed{\text{equ-mu-inf}}$$

Let  $P_t^{\mu_\infty}$  be the Markov semigroup associated with  $X_t^{\mu_\infty}$ , and  $L_{\mu_\infty}$  be the infinitesimal generator:

$$L_{\mu_\infty}f(x) = \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(\sigma\sigma^*\nabla^2 f)(x) + b(x, \mu_\infty) \cdot \nabla f(x), f \in C^2.$$

Then  $\mu_\infty$  satisfies the stationary Fokker-Planck equation  $L_{\mu_\infty}\mu_\infty = 0$ . By using equations of  $\mu_t$  and  $\mu_\infty$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(\mu_t - \mu_\infty) &= L_{\mu_t}^* \mu_t - L_{\mu_\infty}^* \mu_\infty \\ &= (L_{\mu_t} - L_{\mu_\infty})^*(\mu_t - \mu_\infty) + (L_{\mu_t} - L_{\mu_\infty})^* \mu_\infty + L_{\mu_\infty}^*(\mu_t - \mu_\infty). \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, we set  $b(x, \mu) = \mu(B(x, \cdot))$  for some function  $B(\cdot, \cdot)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then, formally,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(\mu_t(f) - \mu_\infty(f)) &= (\mu_t - \mu_\infty)(L_{\mu_\infty}f) + \mu_\infty((L_{\mu_t} - L_{\mu_\infty})f) + (\mu_t - \mu_\infty)((L_{\mu_t} - L_{\mu_\infty})f) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L_{\mu_\infty}f(z)(\mu_t - \mu_\infty)(dz) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu_\infty(B(\cdot, z) \cdot \nabla f)(\mu_t - \mu_\infty)(dz) \\ &\quad + (\mu_t - \mu_\infty)((b(\cdot, \mu_t) - b(\cdot, \mu_\infty)) \cdot \nabla f) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (L_{\mu_\infty}f(z) + \mu_\infty(B(\cdot, z) \cdot \nabla f))(\mu_t - \mu_\infty)(dz) \\ &\quad + (\mu_t - \mu_\infty)((b(\cdot, \mu_t) - b(\cdot, \mu_\infty)) \cdot \nabla f). \end{aligned}$$

The last term of the right hand side of this equality is a "second order infinitesimal of  $\mu_t - \mu_\infty$ ". Let

$$\bar{A}f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (B(x, z) \cdot \nabla f(x)) \mu_\infty(dx).$$

Then one can see that the linearization of  $\partial_t \mu_t = L_{\mu_t}^* \mu_t$  at  $\mu_\infty$  is given by the linear operator  $L_{\mu_\infty} + \bar{A}$ . Note that  $B(x, \cdot)$  is an external derivative or linear functional derivative of  $b(x, \cdot)$

indeed. When  $b(x, \mu)$  does not depend linearly on  $\mu$ , we can use the linear functional derivative to linearize  $b(x, \cdot)$ . For derivatives of functionals on  $\mathcal{P}$ , one can consult [8, 26] for detailed discussions. We study the semigroup generated by  $L_{\mu_\infty} + \bar{A}$  in Section 4. Then we prove that the exponential convergence of the semigroup leads to the local convergence of solutions to (1.1).

Recently, the long-time behavior of DDSDEs is also investigated by linearizing nonlinear Markov processes, see [12]. In [12], the Lions derivative, instead of the linear functional derivative, is used to linearize the nonlinear Markov process. However, their criteria can not deal with models in [13, 33]. In Section 6, we apply our result (Theorem 2.1) to the model studied in [13], and the local convergence is established.

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we present our main result. In Section 3, we study the regularity of decoupled SDEs associated with (1.1). In Section 4, we investigate the semigroup generated by  $L_{\mu_\infty} + \bar{A}$ . The proof of our main result is given in Section 5. Concrete examples are discussed in Section 6.

**Notation:** The following notations are used in the sequel.

- We denote by  $L^p$  (resp.  $L^p(\mu)$ ) the space of functions for which the  $p$ -th power of the absolute value is Lebesgue integrable (resp. integrable w.r.t. the measure  $\mu$ );  $C_0$  (resp.  $C_0^\infty$ ) the space of all the continuous (resp. smooth) functions with compact support on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ;  $C^k$  the  $k$  continuously differentiable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ;  $C_b^k$  the bounded continuously differentiable functions up to  $k$ -th order on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . For  $\mathbb{R}^{d_1}$ -valued functions, similar notations ( $C^k(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^{d_1})$ ,  $C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^{d_1})$ , etc) are used; for  $\mathbb{R}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$ -valued functions, similar notations ( $C^k(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{d_2})$ ,  $C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{d_2})$ , etc) are used.

- Let

$$W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2} = \{f \in W_{loc}^{1,2} \mid f, \nabla f \in L^2(\mu_\infty)\}, \quad \|f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} + \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}.$$

- To emphasise the initial distribution, we use  $X_t^{\mu_\infty}(\nu)$  and  $X_t^\mu(\nu)$ , i.e.  $X_0^{\mu_\infty}(\nu) = \nu$  and  $X_0^\mu(\nu) \stackrel{d}{=} \nu$ , respectively. When  $\nu = \delta_x$  with  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we use  $X_t^{\mu_\infty}(x)$  and  $X_t^\mu(x)$ .

## 2 Main Result

We investigate the local convergence near a stationary distribution of (1.1). Thus, assume

**(H0)** (1.1) has a stationary distribution  $\mu_\infty$  such that for any  $p > 0$ ,  $\mu_\infty((1 + |\cdot|)^p) < +\infty$ .

For the existence of stationary distributions for DDSDEs, one can consult [39]. For any  $p > 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{P}_p := \{\mu \in \mathcal{P} \mid \|\mu\|_p := \mu((1 + |\cdot|)^p) < +\infty\},$$

and define the weight total variance distance:

$$\|\mu - \nu\|_p = \sup_{|f| \leq (1+|\cdot|)^p} |\mu(f) - \nu(f)|, \quad \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{G} = \left\{ \phi : [0, +\infty) \mapsto [0, +\infty) \text{ increasing, } \phi(0+) = 0, \phi^2(\sqrt{\cdot}) \text{ is concave, } \int_0^1 \frac{\phi(s)}{s} ds < +\infty \right\},$$

and for  $\phi \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $p \geq 0$ , let

$$\mathcal{G}_{p,\phi} = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \|g\|_{p,\phi} := \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|g(x) - g(y)|}{\phi(|x-y|)((1+|x|)^p + (1+|y|)^p)} \leq 1 \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{p,\phi} = \{\mu \in \mathcal{P} \mid \mu((1 + \phi(|\cdot|))(1 + |\cdot|)^p) < +\infty\}.$$

Define

$$\|\mu - \nu\|_{p,\phi} = \sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}_{p,\phi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x)(\mu - \nu)(dx), \quad \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_{p,\phi}.$$

We assume that coefficients  $b, \sigma$  satisfy

**(H1)** There is  $q_0 > 0$  such that for any  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{q_0}$ , the drift  $b(\cdot, \mu) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , and there exist a nonnegative constant  $K_1$ , a nonnegative function  $K_2 \in C^0$ , nonnegative constants  $K_3$  and  $\beta_1$  such that for all  $x, v \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\langle \nabla b(\cdot, \mu)(x)v, v \rangle \leq (K_1 - K_2(x))|v|^2, \quad (2.1) \quad \boxed{\text{Inequ-nnb1}}$$

$$|\nabla^2 b(\cdot, \mu)(x)| \leq K_3(1 + |x|)^{\beta_1}, \quad (2.2) \quad \boxed{\text{Inequ-nnb2}}$$

$$\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{K_2(x)}{|x|^{\beta_1}} > 0. \quad (2.3) \quad \boxed{\text{liminf-K2b}}$$

**(H2)** The diffusion term  $\sigma \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d)$ , and there is a positive constant  $\sigma_0$  such that

$$\sigma(x)\sigma^*(x) \geq \sigma_0^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (2.4) \quad \boxed{\text{nondege0}}$$

**(H3)** There is  $K_4 > 0$  such that

$$(1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{q_0}{2}-1} \langle b(x, \mu), x \rangle \leq K_4 \left( (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{q_0}{2}} + \|\mu\|_{q_0} \right). \quad (2.5) \quad \boxed{\text{nnb1-Lypu}}$$

There exist  $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{G}$  and constants  $p_0 \geq 0, C > 0$  such that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_{p_0, \phi_0}$

$$(1 + \phi_0(|x|))(1 + |x|)^{p_0} \leq C(1 + |x|)^{q_0}, \quad (2.6) \quad \boxed{\text{ph-p-q0}}$$

$$|b(x, \mu) - b(x, \nu)| \leq C\|\mu - \nu\|_{p_0, \phi_0}. \quad (2.7) \quad \boxed{\text{b1-mu-nu}}$$

Since (2.6), we find that for any  $f \in \mathcal{G}_{p_0, \phi_0}$  there is

$$|f(x) - f(0)| \leq \phi_0(|x|)((1 + |x|)^{p_0} + 1) \leq 2C(1 + |x|)^{q_0}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu - \nu\|_{p_0, \phi_0} &= \sup_{f \in \mathcal{G}_{p_0, \phi_0}} |\mu(f) - \nu(f)| = \sup_{f-f(0) \in \mathcal{G}_{p_0, \phi_0}} |\mu(f - f(0)) - \nu(f - f(0))| \\ &\leq 2C \sup_{g \in (1+|\cdot|)^{q_0}} |\mu(g) - \nu(g)| = 2C\|\mu - \nu\|_{q_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8) \quad \boxed{\text{norm-p0q0}}$$

Combining this with **(H1)**, **(H2)** and **(H3)**, we have from [25] that, for any  $X_0$  with  $\mathcal{L}_{X_0} \in \mathcal{P}_{q_0}$  and  $T > 0$ , (1.1) has a unique solution  $X_t$  with  $\mathcal{L}_{X_t} \in C([0, T], \mathcal{P}_{q_0})$ . **(H0)**-**(H3)** imply the wellposedness of (1.3). To linearize (1.1), we introduce the linear functional derivative of a function on  $\mathcal{P}_{p, \phi}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A function  $u : \mathcal{P}_{p, \phi} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is called linear functional differentiable on  $\mathcal{P}_{p, \phi}$  if there is a measurable function

$$\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_{p, \phi} \ni (x, \mu) \mapsto D_\mu^F u(x)$$

such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\sup_{\|\mu\|_{p, \phi} \leq L} |D_\mu^F u(x)|}{(1 + \phi(|x|))(1 + |x|)^p} < +\infty, \quad L \geq 0, \quad (2.9) \quad \boxed{\text{DFuu}}$$

and

$$u(\mu) - u(\nu) = \int_0^1 dr \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} D_{r\mu + (1-r)\nu}^F u(x) (\mu - \nu)(dx), \quad \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_{p, \phi}.$$

**re-DF**

**Remark 2.1.** The linear functional derivative is unique up to a constant. Thus, for a linear functional differentiable function on  $\mathcal{P}_{p, \phi}$ , we always choose the linear functional derivative  $D_\mu^F u(\cdot)$  such that  $\mu(D_\mu^F u) = 0$ .

We also assume that  $b$  satisfies following conditions.

(H4) There is  $C > 0$  such that for any  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{G}_{p_0, \phi_0}$ ,

$$|b(x_1, \mu) - b(x_1, \nu) - (b(x_2, \mu) - b(x_2, \nu))| \leq C\phi_0(|x_1 - x_2|)\|\mu - \nu\|_{p_0, \phi_0}. \quad (2.10) \quad \boxed{\text{hhh0}}$$

For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $b(x, \cdot)$  is linear functional differentiable on  $\mathcal{P}_{p_0, \phi_0}$ ; there is  $F \in L^2(\mu_\infty)$  such that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|D_{\mu_\infty}^F b(x, z)|}{(1 + \phi_0(|z|))(1 + |z|)^{p_0}} + \|D_{\mu_\infty}^F b(x, \cdot)\|_{p_0, \phi_0} \leq F(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (2.11) \quad \boxed{\text{DF-DF}}$$

and there is  $C > 0$  so that

$$\|D_\mu^F b(x, \cdot) - D_\nu^F b(x, \cdot)\|_{p_0, \phi_0} \leq C\|\mu - \nu\|_{p_0, \phi_0}, \quad \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_{p_0, \phi_0}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (2.12) \quad \boxed{\text{DFb-pph}}$$

Due to (H4) and  $\mu_\infty \in \mathcal{P}_{p_0, \phi_0}$ , we let

$$\bar{A}f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} D_{\mu_\infty}^F b(x, z) \cdot \nabla f(x) \mu_\infty(dx), \quad f \in C_b^1. \quad (2.13) \quad \boxed{\text{def-barA}}$$

Due to Remark 2.1 and (2.11), the Fubini theorem implies that  $\mu_\infty(\bar{A}f) = 0$ .

Our main result indicates that the exponential convergence for the semigroup generated by  $L_{\mu_\infty} + \bar{A}$  can lead to the exponential convergence of  $\mu_t$  to  $\mu_\infty$  in the metric  $\|\cdot\|_{p_0, \phi_0}$ .

**thm0**

**Theorem 2.1.** *Assume (H0)-(H3) and (2.11). Then  $L_{\mu_\infty} + \bar{A}$  generates a  $C_0$ -semigroup, saying  $Q_t$ , on  $L^2(\mu_\infty)$ . Suppose in addition that (H4) holds, there is constants  $C_1 > 0$  such that*

$$C_1(r \wedge 1) \leq \phi_0(r), \quad r \geq 0, \quad (2.14) \quad \boxed{\text{Cr<ph}}$$

and there are  $C_W \geq 1$  and  $\lambda_P > 0$  such that

$$\|P_t^{\mu_\infty} f\|_{p_0, \phi_0} \leq C_W e^{-\lambda_P t} \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0}, \quad f \in \mathcal{G}_{p_0, \phi_0}, \quad (2.15) \quad \boxed{\text{con-W1}}$$

and  $C_Q \geq 1$  and  $\lambda_Q > 0$  such that

$$\|Q_t f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \leq C_Q e^{-\lambda_Q t} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}, \quad f \in L^2(\mu_\infty), \quad \mu_\infty(f) = 0. \quad (2.16) \quad \boxed{\text{Q-exp-dec}}$$

Then there exist  $C \geq 1$  and  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_P \wedge \lambda_Q)$  such that for any  $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{q_0}$  with

$$\|\mu_0 - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0} < \frac{1}{4C^2} \left( 2 \vee \frac{1 + (2\lambda - 1)^+}{\lambda} \right)^{-1},$$

there is

$$\|\mu_t - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0} < 2C e^{-\lambda t} \|\mu_0 - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0}, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (2.17) \quad \boxed{\text{th-loc-cov}}$$

**Remark 2.2.** *This theorem can be used as a criteria for the stability of  $\mu_\infty$ . (2.16) can be established by analysing the spectrum of the generator  $L_{\mu_\infty} + \bar{A}$  if  $Q_t$  has some regularity properties, see [15, Corollary 2.10 and Proposition 2.11].*

**rem-WW-pp**

**Remark 2.3.** *If  $p_0 = 0$  and  $\phi_0(r) = r$ , then  $\|\mu - \nu\|_{p_0, \phi_0} = \mathbb{W}_1(\mu, \nu)$ , where  $\mathbb{W}_1$  is the  $L^1$ -Wasserstein metric:*

$$\mathbb{W}_1(\mu, \nu) = \inf_{\pi \in \mathcal{C}(\mu, \nu)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |x - y| \pi(dx, dy), \quad \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_1, \quad (2.18) \quad \boxed{\text{WW1}}$$

and  $\mathcal{C}(\mu, \nu)$  consists of all couplings of  $(\mu, \nu)$ .

We remark that (2.15) holds if  $X_t^{\mu_\infty}$  satisfies

$$\mathbb{W}_{p_0, \phi_0} \left( \mathcal{L}_{X_t^{\mu_\infty}(x)}, \mathcal{L}_{X_t^{\mu_\infty}(y)} \right) \leq C_W e^{-\lambda_P t} \phi_0(|x - y|) ((1 + |x|)^{p_0} + (1 + |y|)^{p_0}), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (2.19) \quad \boxed{\text{WW-p-ph}}$$

where

$$\mathbb{W}_{p_0, \phi_0}(\mu, \nu) = \inf_{\pi \in \mathcal{C}(\mu, \nu)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi_0(|x - y|) ((1 + |x|)^{p_0} + (1 + |y|)^{p_0}) \pi(dx, dy).$$

Indeed, let  $\pi_{x,y}$  be the optimal coupling of  $(\mathcal{L}_{X_t^{\mu_\infty}(x)}, \mathcal{L}_{X_t^{\mu_\infty}(y)})$ . Then for  $f \in \mathcal{G}_{p_0, \phi_0}$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |P_t^{\mu_\infty} f(x) - P_t^{\mu_\infty} f(y)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (f(u) - f(v)) \pi_{x,y}(du, dv) \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi_0(|u - v|) ((1 + |v|)^{p_0} + (1 + |u|)^{p_0}) \pi_{x,y}(du, dv) \\ &= \mathbb{W}_{p_0, \phi_0}(\mathcal{L}_{X_t^{\mu_\infty}(x)}, \mathcal{L}_{X_t^{\mu_\infty}(y)}) \\ &\leq C_W e^{-\lambda P t} \phi_0(|x - y|) ((1 + |x|)^{p_0} + (1 + |y|)^{p_0}), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (2.15).

Since  $X_t^{\mu_\infty}$  satisfies (1.3), which is distribution free. We can use the coupling method to establish (2.19). For the exponential convergence of  $X_t^{\mu_\infty}$  in the quasi-distance  $\mathbb{W}_{p_0, \phi_0}$ , we refer to [16, 37] and references therein.

**Remark 2.4.** If  $\phi_0$  satisfies (2.14) and

$$|\nabla b(x, \mu) - \nabla b(x, \nu)| + |b(x, \mu) - b(x, \nu)| \leq C \|\mu - \nu\|_{p_0, \phi_0},$$

for some constant  $C > 0$ , then (2.10) holds.

Indeed, denoting

$$h(x) = b(x, \mu) - b(x, \nu),$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |h(x_1) - h(x_2)| &\leq |b(x_1, \mu) - b(x_1, \nu)| + |b(x_2, \mu) - b(x_2, \nu)| \\ &\leq 2C \|\mu - \nu\|_{p_0, \phi_0}, \\ |h(x_1) - h(x_2)| &\leq \left| \int_0^1 \nabla_{x_1 - x_2} h(x_2 + \theta(x_1 - x_2)) \theta \right| \\ &\leq C |x_1 - x_2| \cdot \|\mu - \nu\|_{p_0, \phi_0}, \end{aligned}$$

which imply that

$$|h(x_1) - h(x_2)| \leq 2C(|x_1 - x_2| \wedge 1) \|\mu - \nu\|_{p_0, \phi_0}. \quad (2.20) \quad \boxed{\text{hhh}}$$

This, together with (2.14), yields (2.10).

**Remark 2.5.** If  $\phi_0$  satisfies (2.14) and  $D_\mu^F b$  satisfies

$$|\nabla D_\mu^F b(x, \cdot)(z) - \nabla D_\nu^F b(x, \cdot)(z)| + |D_\mu^F b(x, z) - D_\nu^F b(x, z)| \leq C \|\mu - \nu\|_{p_0, \phi_0} (1 + |z|)^{p_0},$$

then  $D_\mu^F b(x, \cdot) - D_\nu^F b(x, \cdot)$  satisfies (2.12).

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} &|(D_\mu^F b(x, z_1) - D_\nu^F b(x, z_1)) - (D_\mu^F b(x, z_2) - D_\nu^F b(x, z_2))| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 \nabla_{z_1 - z_2} (D_\mu^F b(x, \cdot) - D_\nu^F b(x, \cdot))(z_2 + \theta(z_1 - z_2)) d\theta \right| \\ &\leq C |z_1 - z_2| \int_0^1 \|\mu - \nu\|_{p_0, \phi_0} (1 + \theta|z_1| + (1 - \theta)|z_2|)^{p_0} d\theta \\ &\leq C \|\mu - \nu\|_{p_0, \phi_0} |z_1 - z_2| ((1 + |z_1|)^{p_0} + (1 + |z_2|)^{p_0}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |(D_\mu^F b(x, z_1) - D_\nu^F b(x, z_1)) - (D_\mu^F b(x, z_2) - D_\nu^F b(x, z_2))| \\ & \leq 2C \|\mu - \nu\|_{p_0, \phi_0} ((1 + |z_1|)^{p_0} + (1 + |z_2|)^{p_0}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, together with (2.14), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & |(D_\mu^F b(x, z_1) - D_\nu^F b(x, z_1)) - (D_\mu^F b(x, z_2) - D_\nu^F b(x, z_2))| \\ & \leq C \|\mu - \nu\|_{p_0, \phi_0} (|z_1 - z_2| \wedge 1) ((1 + |z_1|)^{p_0} + (1 + |z_2|)^{p_0}) \\ & \leq C \|\mu - \nu\|_{p_0, \phi_0} \phi_0(|z_1 - z_2|) ((1 + |z_1|)^{p_0} + (1 + |z_2|)^{p_0}). \end{aligned}$$

To illustrate our main result, we give following examples. The first example comes from [12] and the second one comes from [13]. Detailed discussions are given in Section 6.

**exa1** **Example 2.2.** Let  $\beta > 0$ . Consider the following equation

$$dX_t = -X_t dt + \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cos(y) \mathcal{L}_{X_t}(dy) dt + \sqrt{2} dB_t. \quad (2.21) \quad \text{exa-Gaus}$$

Let  $m$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \cos(\beta m) = \sqrt{e} m, \\ \beta \sin(\beta m) > -\sqrt{e}. \end{cases} \quad (2.22) \quad \text{eq-mm}$$

Then  $\mu_m$ , which is a Gaussian measure with mean  $\beta m$  and covariance 1, is a stationary distribution of (2.21) and there exist  $C \geq 1$  and  $\lambda > 0$  such that for any  $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}_1$  with

$$\mathbb{W}_1(\mu_0, \mu_m) < \frac{1}{4C^2} \left( 2 \vee \frac{1 + (2\lambda - 1)^+}{\lambda} \right)^{-1},$$

there is

$$\mathbb{W}_1(\mu_t, \mu_m) \leq 2C e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{W}_1(\mu_0, \mu_m).$$

**exa2** **Example 2.3.** Consider the Dawson's model [13]:

$$dX_t = -(X_t^3 - X_t) dt - \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} (X_t - y) \mathcal{L}_{X_t}(dy) dt + \sigma dB_t. \quad (2.23) \quad \text{eq-Daw}$$

Due to [13, Theorem 3.3.1 and Theorem 3.3.2], there is  $\sigma_c > 0$  such that for  $0 < \sigma < \sigma_c$ , (2.23) has three stationary distributions, saying  $\mu_+, \mu_s, \mu_-$ , which satisfy

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \mu_+(dx) > 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \mu_s(dx) = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \mu_-(dx) < 0.$$

By using our theorem, there exist  $C \geq 1$  and  $\lambda > 0$  such that for any  $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}_1$  with

$$\mathbb{W}_1(\mu_0, \mu_\pm) < \frac{1}{4C^2} \left( 2 \vee \frac{1 + (2\lambda - 1)^+}{\lambda} \right)^{-1},$$

there is

$$\mathbb{W}_1(\mu_t, \mu_\pm) \leq 2C e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{W}_1(\mu_0, \mu_\pm).$$

### 3 Regularity of diffusions with polynomial growth coefficients

The equation (1.3) is a distribution free SDE with a polynomial growth drift term. We study the regularity of this kind of SDEs and associated Markov semigroups, which will be used in following sections. To this end, we consider

$$dY_t = Z(Y_t)dt + \sigma(Y_t)dB_t, \quad (3.1) \quad \boxed{\text{equ-Y}}$$

where  $\sigma$  and  $Z$  satisfy following assumptions.

**(A1)** The drift term  $Z \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $|\nabla Z|$  has polynomial growth. There exist a nonnegative constant  $K_1$  and a nonnegative function  $K_2 \in C^0$  such that for all  $x, v \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\langle \nabla_v Z(x), v \rangle \leq (K_1 - K_2(x))|v|^2. \quad (3.2) \quad \boxed{\text{Inequ-nnZ1}}$$

Let

$$K_2^*(r) = \begin{cases} r^{-1} \inf_{|v|=1, x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left( \int_0^r K_2(x + \theta v) d\theta \right), & r > 0, \\ \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} K_2(x), & r = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.3) \quad \boxed{\text{lim-K2}}$$

Then  $K_2^*$  is a nonnegative and locally bounded function on  $[0, +\infty)$ . We also assume that

**(A2)** The diffusion term  $\sigma \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d)$  has bounded and continuous first derivative, and there is a positive constant  $\sigma_0$  such that

$$\sigma(x)\sigma^*(x) \geq \sigma_0^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (3.4) \quad \boxed{\text{nondege}}$$

There exist  $\alpha_1 \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\alpha_2 > 1$  and  $K_\sigma > 0$  such that

$$\|\sigma(x)\|_{HS} \leq K_\sigma(1 + |x|)^{\alpha_1}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (3.5) \quad \boxed{\text{sub-lin-si}}$$

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(1+r)^{(\alpha_1+1)\alpha_2}}{K_2^*(r)r^2} < +\infty. \quad (3.6) \quad \boxed{\text{lim-a1a1a2}}$$

**Remark 3.1.** If  $Z \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $Z = -|x|^\beta x$  for some  $\beta > 0$  and large  $x$ , then  $K_2(x) = K_2|x|^\beta$  for some positive constant  $K_2$ . In this case,  $K_2^*(r) \geq \tilde{K}_2 r^\beta$ , where  $\tilde{K}_2$  is a positive constant depending on  $\beta$  and  $K_2$ .

In fact, following from the basis inequality:

$$|a^q - b^q| \leq |a - b|^q, \quad q \in (0, 1], a \geq 0, b \geq 0,$$

if  $\beta \leq 2$ , then

$$\left| |y|^{\frac{\beta}{2}} - r^{\frac{\beta}{2}}|x - y|^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \right| \leq ||y| - r||x - y|^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \leq |y + r(x - y)|^{\frac{\beta}{2}}, \quad r \in [0, 1].$$

Consequently,

$$|y|^\beta - 2r^{\frac{\beta}{2}}|y|^{\frac{\beta}{2}}|x - y|^{\frac{\beta}{2}} + r^\beta|x - y|^\beta \leq |y + r(x - y)|^\beta$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 |y + r(x - y)|^\beta dr &\geq \int_0^1 \left( |y|^\beta - 2r^{\frac{\beta}{2}}|y|^{\frac{\beta}{2}}|x - y|^{\frac{\beta}{2}} + r^\beta|x - y|^\beta \right) dr \\ &= |y|^\beta - \frac{4}{\beta + 2}|y|^{\frac{\beta}{2}}|x - y|^{\frac{\beta}{2}} + \frac{1}{\beta + 1}|x - y|^\beta \\ &\geq \frac{\beta^2}{(\beta + 1)(\beta + 2)^2}|x - y|^\beta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7) \quad \boxed{\text{Ineq-mon1}}$$



If  $\beta > 2$ , then the Jensen inequality yields

$$\int_0^1 |y + r(x - y)|^\beta dr \geq \left( \int_0^1 |y + r(x - y)|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \geq 12^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} |x - y|^\beta. \quad (3.8) \quad \boxed{\text{Ineq-mon2}}$$

Hence, it follows from (3.2), (3.7) and (3.8) that

$$\frac{1}{r} \int_0^r |x + \theta v|^\beta d\theta = \int_0^1 |x + \theta r v|^\beta d\theta \geq \tilde{K}_2 r^\beta, \quad |v| = 1, \quad r > 0.$$

where

$$\tilde{K}_2 = K_2 \left( \frac{\beta^2}{(\beta_1 + 1)(\beta + 2)^2} \mathbf{1}_{[\beta \leq 2]} + 12^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{[\beta > 2]} \right).$$

We can derive from (3.2) with  $K_2(x) = K_2|x|^\beta$  that  $Z$  is dissipative in long distance :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Z(y) - Z(x), y - x \rangle &= \int_0^1 \langle \nabla_{y-x} Z(x + \theta(y - x)), y - x \rangle d\theta \\ &\leq \left( K_1 - K_2 \int_0^1 |x + \theta(y - x)|^\beta d\theta \right) |y - x|^2 \\ &\leq \left( K_1 - \tilde{K}_2 |y - x|^\beta \right) |y - x|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by  $\nabla Y_t$  and  $\nabla^2 Y_t$  the first and second order gradient of  $Y_t$  w.r.t. initial value, respectively. We denote by  $DY_t$  the Malliavin derivative. To emphasise the initial value, we use  $Y_t^y, \nabla Y_t^y, \nabla^2 Y_t^y$  and  $DY_t^y$  when  $Y_0 = y$ .

**lem-Malli**

**Lemma 3.1.** Assume that (A1) and (A2) hold except (3.4). Then

(1) Equation (3.1) has a unique strong solution, and for any  $p \geq 1$ , there is  $C_p > 0$  such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |Y_t|^{2p} + \int_0^t K_2^*(|Y_s|) |Y_s|^{2p} ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_0 \right) \leq C_p e^{C_p t} \left( \sqrt{t}(\sqrt{t} + 1) + |Y_0|^{2p} \right). \quad (3.9) \quad \boxed{\text{Est-Y-2p}}$$

If  $K_2^*$  satisfies

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} K_2^*(r) > K_1, \quad (3.10) \quad \boxed{\text{liminf>K1}}$$

then for any  $p \geq 1$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t |Y_s|^{2p} ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_0 \right] \leq C_p \left( \sqrt{t}(\sqrt{t} + 1) + |Y_0|^{2p} \right). \quad (3.11) \quad \boxed{\text{Y-2p-unif-0}}$$

If there is  $\beta_2 > 0$  such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{K_2^*(r)}{r^{\beta_2}} > 0, \quad (3.12) \quad \boxed{\text{K*-be}}$$

then

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t |Y_s|^{2p+\beta_2} ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_0 \right] \leq C_p \left( \sqrt{t}(\sqrt{t} + 1) + |Y_0|^{2p} \right). \quad (3.13) \quad \boxed{\text{Y-be2-2p-0}}$$

(2) Let  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $Y_0 = y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .  $Y_t$  is differentiable w.r.t. initial value along  $v$ , and the derivative process  $\nabla_v Y_t$  satisfies

$$d\nabla_v Y_t = \nabla_{\nabla_v Y_t} Z(Y_t) dt + \nabla_{\nabla_v Y_t} \sigma(Y_t) dB_t, \quad \nabla_v Y_0 = v \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \geq 0.$$

Let  $\{h_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  be an adapted process with  $h_t' = w(t) \nabla_v Y_t$ , where  $w(\cdot)$  is a  $\mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$ -valued, bounded and adapted process on  $[0, \infty)$ . Then  $Y_t$  is Malliavin differentiable along  $h$ , and the derivative process  $D_h Y_t$  satisfies

$$dD_h Y_t = \nabla_{D_h Y_t} Z(Y_t) dt + \nabla_{D_h Y_t} \sigma(Y_t) dB_t + \sigma(Y_t) h_t' dt, \quad D_h Y_0 = 0. \quad (3.14) \quad \boxed{\text{equ-DhY}}$$

For  $Y_t, \nabla_v Y_t, D_h Y_t$ , we have following estimates for any  $p \geq 1$

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, s]} |\nabla_v Y_t|^{2p} + \int_0^s K_2(Y_t) |\nabla_v Y_t|^{2p} dt \right) \leq C_p e^{C_p s} |v|^{2p}, \quad (3.15) \quad \boxed{\text{Eest-nnY-2p}}$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, s]} |D_h Y_t|^{2p} + \int_0^s K_2(Y_t) |D_h Y_t|^{2p} dt \right) \leq C_p e^{C_p s} \mathbb{E} \int_0^s |\sigma(Y_t) h'_t|^{2p} dt, \quad s \geq 0, \quad (3.16) \quad \boxed{\text{Eest-DhY-2p}}$$

where  $C_p$  is a positive constant which is different in different formula.

(3) If, furthermore,  $Z \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\sigma$  has bounded and continuous second order derivatives, and there are nonnegative constants  $K_3$  and  $\beta_1$  such that

$$|\nabla^2 Z(x)| \leq K_3(1 + |x|)^{\beta_1}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (3.17) \quad \boxed{\text{Inequ-nnZ2}}$$

then, the second order derivative of  $Y_t$  w.r.t. the initial value exists and satisfies

$$\sup_{|u|, |v| \leq 1} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, s]} |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t^y|^{2p} \leq C_p e^{C_p s} (1 + |y|)^{2p\beta_1}, \quad s \geq 0 \quad (3.18) \quad \boxed{\text{Inequ-nn2Y}}$$

for some  $C_p$  depending on  $p, K_1, K_3, \beta_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty, \|\nabla^2 \sigma\|_\infty$ .

If there is  $\beta_2 \geq \beta_1$  such that

$$\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{K_2(x)}{|x|^{\beta_2}} > 0, \quad (3.19) \quad \boxed{\text{liminf-K2}}$$

then (3.15) and (3.18) are improved as

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, s]} |\nabla_v Y_t|^{2p} + \int_0^t |Y_t|^{\beta_2} |\nabla_v Y_t|^{2p} dt \right) \leq C_p e^{C_p s} |v|^{2p}, \quad (3.20) \quad \boxed{\text{Eest-nnY-2p}}$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, s]} |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t^y|^{2p} + \int_0^s |Y_t|^{\beta_2} |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t^y|^{2p} dt \right) \leq C_p e^{C_p s} |u|^{2p} |v|^{2p}, \quad s \geq 0, \quad (3.21) \quad \boxed{\text{nn2Y-be1-uv}}$$

where  $C_p$  can be different in different formulas.

The proof of this lemma is fundamental, and we give it in the appendix. When  $\nabla Z, \nabla \sigma$  or  $\nabla^2 Z$  and  $\nabla^2 \sigma$  are continuous, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $v, u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Assume (A1) and (A2) except (3.4). Then for any  $p \geq 1$*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow y} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, s]} |\nabla_v Y_t^y - \nabla_v Y_t^x|^{2p} = 0, \quad s > 0, \quad (3.22) \quad \boxed{\text{cont-nn1}}$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow y, s \rightarrow t} \mathbb{E} |\nabla_v Y_s^x - \nabla_v Y_t^y|^{2p} = 0. \quad (3.23) \quad \boxed{\text{cont-nn1-ad}}$$

If, furthermore, the assumption of (3) in Lemma 3.1 holds, then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow y} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, s]} |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t^y - \nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t^x|^{2p} = 0, \quad s > 0 \quad (3.24) \quad \boxed{\text{cont-nn2}}$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow y, s \rightarrow t} \mathbb{E} |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_s^x - \nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t^y|^{2p} = 0. \quad (3.25) \quad \boxed{\text{cont-nn2-ad}}$$

This corollary can be proved by using estimates in Lemma 3.1 and the dominated convergence theorem, and we omit it.

Let  $P_t^Z$  be the Markov semigroup associated with  $Y_t$ . Next, we introduce a lemma on the gradient estimate of  $P_t^Z$  for  $t > 0$ . The method to prove this lemma is due to [35, Lemma 2.1] essentially.

em-grad-est

**Lemma 3.3.** Assume that **(A1)**, **(A2)**, (3.17) and (3.19) hold. Then for any  $t > 0$  and any  $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with some  $p \geq 0$  such that

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|f(y)|}{(1 + |y|)^p} < +\infty, \quad (3.26) \quad \boxed{\text{f-polyg}}$$

there is  $P_t^Z f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and

$$\nabla_v P_t^Z f(y) = \frac{1}{t} \mathbb{E} \left( f(Y_t^y) \int_0^t \langle \sigma^{-1}(Y_r^y) \nabla_v Y_r^y, dB_r \rangle \right). \quad (3.27) \quad \boxed{\text{Bismut}}$$

Moreover, for any  $q \in (1, +\infty)$  (if  $p = 0$ ,  $q \in (1, +\infty]$ ), there is  $C > 0$  such that

$$|\nabla P_t^Z f|(y) \leq \frac{C}{\sigma_0 \sqrt{t \wedge 1}} (P_t^Z |f|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}(y), \quad (3.28) \quad \boxed{\text{nnpzf}}$$

$$|\nabla^2 P_t^Z f|(y) \leq \frac{C}{\sigma_0^2 (t \wedge 1)} (P_t^Z |f|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}(y), \quad t > 0, y \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (3.29) \quad \boxed{\text{grad-2-est}}$$

Furthermore, if  $f \in \mathcal{G}_{p_1, \phi}$  for some  $p_1 \geq 0$  and  $\phi \in \mathcal{G}$ , then (3.26) holds with  $p = p_1 + 1$ , and  $P_t^Z f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  satisfies (3.27)-(3.29) and

$$|\nabla P_t^Z f|(y) \leq \frac{C e^{Ct}}{\sigma_0} (1 + |y|)^{\alpha_1 \wedge \frac{2\alpha_1}{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2} + p_1} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi \left( t^{\frac{1}{2} \wedge \frac{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1}{2(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}} \right), \quad (3.30) \quad \boxed{\text{nn1Pph}}$$

$$|\nabla^2 P_t^Z f|(y) \leq \frac{C e^{Ct}}{\sigma_0^2} (1 + |y|)^{\alpha_1 \wedge \frac{2\alpha_1}{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2} + p_1} t^{-1} \phi \left( t^{\frac{1}{2} \wedge \frac{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1}{2(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}} \right). \quad (3.31) \quad \boxed{\text{nn2Pph}}$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.3.* For any  $t > 0$  and  $y, v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . It follows from Lemma 3.1 that  $Y_t^y$  is differentiable w.r.t. initial value. We set  $h_r = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^r \sigma^{-1}(Y_s^y) \nabla_v Y_s^y ds$ . Due to Lemma 3.1 again,  $Y_t$  is Malliavin differentiable along  $h$  on  $[0, t]$ . Moreover,  $\{D_h Y_r^y\}_{r \in [0, t]}$  and  $\{\frac{r}{t} \nabla_v Y_r^y\}_{r \in [0, t]}$  satisfy the same equation

$$d\xi_r = \nabla_{\xi_r} Z(Y_r^y) dr + \nabla_{\xi_r} \sigma(Y_r^y) dB_r + \frac{1}{t} \nabla_v Y_r^y dr, \quad \xi_0 = 0, \quad r \in [0, t].$$

Thus  $D_h Y_t^y = \nabla_v Y_t^y$ , and for  $f \in C_b^1$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_v P_t^Z f(y) &= \mathbb{E} \langle \nabla f(Y_t^y), \nabla_v Y_t^y \rangle = \mathbb{E} \langle \nabla f(Y_t^y), D_h Y_t^y \rangle \\ &= \mathbb{E} D_h (f(Y_t^y)) = \frac{1}{t} \mathbb{E} f(Y_t^y) \int_0^t \langle \sigma^{-1}(Y_r^y) \nabla_v Y_r^y, dB_r \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This, together with  $f \in C_b^1$ , (3.4) and (3.15), yields by the dominated convergence theorem that  $\nabla_v P_t^Z f \in C^0$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} P_t^Z f(y+v) - P_t^Z f(y) &= \int_0^1 \nabla_v P_t^Z f(y + \theta v) d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \int_0^1 \left( \mathbb{E} f(Y_t^{y+\theta v}) \int_0^t \langle \sigma^{-1}(Y_r^{y+\theta v}) \nabla_v Y_r^{y+\theta v}, dB_r \rangle \right) d\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.32) \quad \boxed{\text{Bis-int}}$$

For any  $p \geq 1$ , following from (3.4), (3.15), the B-D-G inequality and the Minkowski inequality, there are positive constants  $C_1$  which depends only on  $p$ , and  $C_2$  which depends on  $p, K_1, \|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left| \int_0^s \langle \sigma^{-1}(Y_r^{y+\theta v}) \nabla_v Y_r^{y+\theta v}, dB_r \rangle \right|^p &\leq C_1 \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^t |\sigma^{-1}(Y_r^{y+\theta v}) \nabla_v Y_r^{y+\theta v}|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{C_1}{\sigma_0^p} \left( \int_0^t \left( \mathbb{E} |\nabla_v Y_r^{y+\theta v}|^{p \vee 2} \right)^{\frac{2}{p \vee 2}} dr \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{C_1}{\sigma_0^p} \left( \int_0^t e^{2C_2 r} |v|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\leq C_1 \sigma_0^{-p} t^{\frac{p}{2}} e^{pC_2 t} |v|^p. \end{aligned} \quad (3.33) \quad \boxed{\text{in-MM}}$$

Thus, letting

$$\nu_1(A) = \int_0^1 \mathbb{E} \left( \mathbf{1}_A(Y_t^{y+\theta v}) \left| \int_0^t \langle \sigma^{-1}(Y_r^{y+\theta v}) \nabla_v Y_r^{y+\theta v}, dB_r \rangle \right| \right) d\theta, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

$\nu_1$  is a finite measure. Let  $\nu = \nu_1 + \mathcal{L}_{Y_t^{y+v}} + \mathcal{L}_{Y_t^y}$ . Since  $C_b^1$  is dense in  $L^1(\nu)$ , the equality (3.32) can be extended from  $C_b^1$  to  $L^1(\nu)$ . In particular, for any  $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with some  $p \geq 0$  such that (3.26) holds, we can derive from (3.9) and (3.15) that there are positive constants  $C_3, C_4$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & |P_t^Z f(y+v) - P_t^Z f(y)| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{t} \int_0^1 \left| \mathbb{E} f(Y_t^{y+\theta v}) \int_0^t \langle \sigma^{-1}(Y_r^{y+\theta v}) \nabla_v Y_r^{y+\theta v}, dB_r \rangle \right| d\theta \\ & \leq \frac{1}{t} \int_0^1 \left( \mathbb{E}(1 + |Y_t^{y+\theta v}|)^{2p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t \langle \sigma^{-1}(Y_r^{y+\theta v}) \nabla_v Y_r^{y+\theta v}, dB_r \rangle \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\theta \quad (3.34) \quad \boxed{\text{PPint}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{t} (C_3 e^{C_3 t} (t + |y| + |v|)^p) \sqrt{C_1} \sigma_0^{-1} t^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{C_2 t} |v| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} C_4 e^{C_4 t} (t + |y| + |v|)^p \sigma_0^{-1} |v|. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $P_t^Z f \in C^0$ . Moreover, replacing  $v$  by  $\epsilon v$  for  $\epsilon > 0$ , for any  $0 < \delta < t$ , there is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_t^Z f(y + \epsilon v) - P_t^Z f(y)}{\epsilon} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} (P_{t-\delta}^Z (P_\delta^Z f)(y + \epsilon v) - P_{t-\delta}^Z (P_\delta^Z f)(y)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon(t-\delta)} \int_0^\epsilon \left( \mathbb{E} P_\delta^Z f(Y_{t-\delta}^{y+\theta v}) \int_0^{t-\delta} \langle \sigma^{-1}(Y_r^{y+\theta v}) \nabla_v Y_r^{y+\theta v}, dB_r \rangle \right) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Due to Lemma 3.1,  $\nabla Y_t^y$  is continuous in  $y$ . Combining this with  $P_t^Z f \in C^0$  and

$$|P_t^Z f(y)| \leq C \mathbb{E}(1 + |Y_t^y|)^p \leq C e^{Ct} (1 + |y|)^p \quad (3.35) \quad \boxed{\text{plygrow}}$$

for some  $C > 0$  independent of  $t$ , the dominated convergence theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_v P_t^Z f(y) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{P_t^Z f(y + \epsilon v) - P_t^Z f(y)}{\epsilon} \\ &= \frac{1}{t-\delta} \mathbb{E} P_\delta^Z f(Y_{t-\delta}^y) \int_0^{t-\delta} \langle \sigma^{-1}(Y_r^y) \nabla_v Y_r^y, dB_r \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.36) \quad \boxed{\text{bis1}}$$

By using Lemma 3.1, Corollary 3.2 and  $P_\delta^Z f \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we can derive from the dominated convergence that  $\nabla_v P_t^Z f(y)$  is continuous in  $(v, y) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ . Moreover, by using the Markov property

$$P_\delta^Z f(Y_{t-\delta}^y) = \mathbb{E}[f(Y_\delta^{Y_{t-\delta}^y}) | \mathcal{F}_{t-\delta}] = \mathbb{E}[f(Y_t^y) | \mathcal{F}_{t-\delta}],$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} P_\delta^Z f(Y_{t-\delta}^y) \int_0^{t-\delta} \langle \sigma^{-1}(Y_r^y) \nabla_v Y_r^y, dB_r \rangle \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left( \mathbb{E}[f(Y_t^y) | \mathcal{F}_{t-\delta}] \int_0^{t-\delta} \langle \sigma^{-1}(Y_r^y) \nabla_v Y_r^y, dB_r \rangle \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ f(Y_t^y) \int_0^{t-\delta} \langle \sigma^{-1}(Y_r^y) \nabla_v Y_r^y, dB_r \rangle \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t-\delta} \right] \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left( f(Y_t^y) \int_0^{t-\delta} \langle \sigma^{-1}(Y_r^y) \nabla_v Y_r^y, dB_r \rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

Putting this into (3.36) and letting  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain (3.27). Combining (3.27) with (3.15), (3.33) and the semigroup property of  $P_t^Z$ , we can prove (3.28) (the proof is similar to that of (3.29) below, and we omit it).

Next, we shall prove that  $P_t^Z f \in C^2$ . By (3.36) with  $\delta = \frac{t}{2}$ ,

$$\nabla_v P_t^Z f(y) = \frac{2}{t} \mathbb{E} P_{\frac{t}{2}}^Z f(Y_{\frac{t}{2}}^y) \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \langle \sigma^{-1}(Y_r^y) \nabla_v Y_r^y, dB_r \rangle.$$

Noting that  $P_{\frac{t}{2}}^Z f \in C^1$  and satisfies (3.26), and taking into account (3.15), (3.18) and

$$|\nabla \sigma^{-1}(x)| = |\sigma^{-1}(x)(\nabla \sigma(x))\sigma^{-1}(x)| \leq \sigma_0^{-2} |\nabla \sigma(x)| \leq \sigma_0^{-2} \|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty, \quad (3.37) \quad \boxed{\text{nnsi-1}}$$

we can derive by using the dominated convergence theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_u \nabla_v P_t f(y) &= \frac{2}{t} \mathbb{E} \nabla_{\nabla_u Y_{\frac{t}{2}}^y} P_{\frac{t}{2}}^Z f(Y_{\frac{t}{2}}^y) \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \langle \sigma^{-1}(Y_r^y) \nabla_v Y_r^y, dB_r \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{t} \mathbb{E} P_{\frac{t}{2}}^Z f(Y_{\frac{t}{2}}^y) \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \langle \nabla_{\nabla_u Y_r^y} \sigma^{-1}(Y_r^y) \nabla_v Y_r^y, dB_r \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{t} \mathbb{E} P_{\frac{t}{2}}^Z f(Y_{\frac{t}{2}}^y) \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \langle \sigma^{-1}(Y_r^y) \nabla_u \nabla_v Y_r^y, dB_r \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3.38) \quad \boxed{\text{nn2P}}$$

and  $\nabla_u \nabla_v P_t^Z f \in C^0$ . By using (3.15), (3.33), (3.28) and (3.37), for any  $q \in (1, \infty)$  (if  $p = 0$ , we also can choose  $q = +\infty$ ), there is  $C > 0$  such that

$$|\nabla_u \nabla_v P_t^Z f(y)| \leq \frac{C}{\sigma_0^2 t} |u| \cdot |v| (P_t^Z |f|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}(y), \quad t \in (0, 1].$$

Combining this with the semigroup property of  $P_t^Z$ , we have for  $t > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla^2 P_t^Z f(y)| &= |\nabla^2 P_1^Z P_{t-1}^Z f(y)| \leq \frac{C e^C}{\sigma_0^2} (P_1^Z |P_{t-1}^Z f|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}(y) \\ &\leq \frac{C e^C}{\sigma_0^2} (P_1^Z P_{t-1}^Z |f|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}(y) = \frac{C e^C}{\sigma_0^2} (P_t^Z |f|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}(y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (3.29) holds.

Let  $\xi_t$  be the solution of the following equation

$$d\xi_t = Z(\xi_t)dt, \quad \xi_0 = y.$$

Then

$$d|\xi_t|^2 = 2\langle Z(\xi_t), \xi_t \rangle dt \leq 2(K_1 - K_2^*(|\xi_t|))|\xi_t|^2 dt,$$

which implies that

$$|\xi_s|^2 + 2 \int_0^s K_2^*(|\xi_t|)|\xi_t|^2 dt \leq e^{2K_1 s} |y|^2, \quad s \geq 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d|Y_t^y - \xi_t|^2 &\leq 2(K_1 - K_2^*(|Y_t^y - \xi_t|))|Y_t^y - \xi_t|^2 dt + \|\sigma(Y_t^y)\|_{HS}^2 dt + 2\langle Y_t^y - \xi_t, \sigma(Y_t^y) dB_t \rangle \\ &\leq 2(K_1 - K_2^*(|Y_t^y - \xi_t|))|Y_t^y - \xi_t|^2 dt + K_\sigma^2(1 + |Y_t^y|)^{2\alpha_1} dt \\ &\quad + 2\langle Y_t^y - \xi_t, \sigma(Y_t^y) dB_t \rangle \\ &\leq 2(K_1 - K_2^*(|Y_t^y - \xi_t|))|Y_t^y - \xi_t|^2 dt + K_\sigma^2(1 + |Y_t^y - \xi_t| + |\xi_t|)^{2\alpha_1} dt \\ &\quad + 2\langle Y_t^y - \xi_t, \sigma(Y_t^y) dB_t \rangle. \\ &\leq 2(K_1 - K_2^*(|Y_t^y - \xi_t|))|Y_t^y - \xi_t|^2 dt + 2^{(2\alpha_1-1)^+} K_\sigma^2(1 + |Y_t^y - \xi_t|)^{2\alpha_1} dt \\ &\quad + 2^{(2\alpha_1-1)^+} K_\sigma^2(1 + |\xi_t|)^{2\alpha_1} dt + 2\langle Y_t^y - \xi_t, \sigma(Y_t^y) dB_t \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (3.6) and (7.10), there is  $C > 0$  which is independent of  $y$  and whose value may vary at each line such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|Y_s^y - \xi_s|^2 &\leq C e^{Cs} \int_0^s (1 + |\xi_t|)^{2\alpha_1} dt \\ &\leq C e^{Cs} s^{\frac{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1}{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}} \left( \int_0^s (1 + |\xi_t|)^{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2} dt \right)^{\frac{2\alpha_1}{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}} \\ &\leq C e^{Cs} s^{\frac{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1}{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}} \left( s + \int_0^s K_2^*(|\xi_t|)|\xi_t|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{2\alpha_1}{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}} \\ &\leq C e^{Cs} \left( s + s^{\frac{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1}{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}} |y|^{\frac{4\alpha_1}{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|Y_s^y - \xi_s|^2 &\leq C e^{Cs} \int_0^s (1 + |\xi_t|)^{2\alpha_1} dt \\ &\leq 2^{(2\alpha_1-1)^+} C e^{Cs} \int_0^s (1 + |\xi_t|^{2\alpha_1}) dt \\ &\leq 2^{(2\alpha_1-1)^+} C e^{Cs} \int_0^s (1 + e^{2\alpha_1 K_1 t} |y|^{2\alpha_1}) dt \\ &= 2^{(2\alpha_1-1)^+} C e^{Cs} \left( s + \frac{e^{2\alpha_1 K_1 s} - 1}{2\alpha_1 K_1} |y|^{2\alpha_1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|Y_s^y - \xi_s|^2 &\leq C e^{Cs} \left\{ s + (s|y|^{2\alpha_1}) \wedge \left( s^{\frac{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1}{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}} |y|^{\frac{4\alpha_1}{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}} \right) \right\} \\ &\leq C e^{Cs} s^{1 \wedge \frac{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1}{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}} \left( 1 + |y|^{2\alpha_1} \wedge |y|^{\frac{4\alpha_1}{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}} \right) \\ &\leq C e^{Cs} s^{1 \wedge \frac{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1}{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}} (1 + |y|)^{2\alpha_1 \wedge \frac{4\alpha_1}{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.39) \quad \boxed{\text{Yxit}}$$

Since  $\phi^2(\sqrt{\cdot})$  is concave, there is  $C > 0$  such that

$$\phi(r) = \sqrt{\phi^2(\sqrt{r^2})} \leq \sqrt{C(1 + r^2)}, \quad r \geq 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(y) - f(x)| &\leq \phi(|x - y|) ((1 + |x|)^{p_1} + (1 + |y|)^{p_1}) \\ &\leq \sqrt{C(1 + |x - y|^2)} ((1 + |x|)^{p_1} + (1 + |y|)^{p_1}), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $f$  satisfies (3.26) with  $p = p_1 + 1$ . Then, by using (3.9), (3.28) with  $f$  replaced by  $f - f(\xi_t)$ , (3.39) and  $\phi^2(\sqrt{\cdot})$  is concave, we have for  $1 < p_2 < 2$  that

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla P_t^Z f|(y) &= |\nabla P_t^Z (f - f(\xi_t))|(y) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\sigma_0 \sqrt{t \wedge 1}} (P_t^Z |f - f(\xi_t)|^{p_2})^{\frac{1}{p_2}}(y) = \frac{C}{\sigma_0 \sqrt{t \wedge 1}} (\mathbb{E}|f(Y_t^y) - f(\xi_t)|^{p_2})^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\sigma_0 \sqrt{t \wedge 1}} [\mathbb{E}(\phi(|Y_t^y - \xi_t|)^{p_2} ((1 + |Y_t^y|)^{p_2 p_1} + (1 + |\xi_t|)^{p_2 p_1}))]^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\sigma_0 \sqrt{t \wedge 1}} (\mathbb{E}\phi(|Y_t^y - \xi_t|)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \left( \mathbb{E}(1 + |Y_t^y|)^{\frac{2p_2 p_1}{2-p_2}} \right)^{\frac{2-p_2}{2p_2}} + (1 + |\xi_t|)^{p_1} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{C e^{Ct}}{\sigma_0 \sqrt{t}} \phi \left( (\mathbb{E}|Y_t^y - \xi_t|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) (1 + |y|)^{p_1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{Ce^{Ct}}{\sigma_0^2} (1 + |y|)^{\alpha_1 \wedge \frac{2\alpha_1}{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2} + p_1} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi \left( t^{\frac{1}{2} \wedge \frac{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1}{2(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}} \right),$$

where in the last inequality we have used

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi \left( Ce^{Ct} t^{\frac{1}{2} \wedge \frac{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1}{2(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}} (1 + |y|)^{\alpha_1 \wedge \frac{2\alpha_1}{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}} \right) \\ & \leq Ce^{Ct} (1 + |y|)^{\alpha_1 \wedge \frac{2\alpha_1}{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}} \phi \left( t^{\frac{1}{2} \wedge \frac{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1}{2(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

since  $\phi^2(\sqrt{\cdot})$  is concave. Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla^2 P_t^Z f|(y) & \leq \frac{C}{\sigma_0^2(t \wedge 1)} (P_t^Z |f - f(\xi_t)|^{p_2})^{\frac{1}{p_2}}(y) \\ & \leq \frac{Ce^{Ct}}{\sigma_0^2} (1 + |y|)^{\alpha_1 \wedge \frac{2\alpha_1}{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2} + p_1} t^{-1} \phi \left( t^{\frac{1}{2} \wedge \frac{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1}{2(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

## 4 Generation and regularity for the perturbation of $P_t^{\mu_\infty}$ by $\bar{A}$

This section is devoted to study the perturbation of  $P_t^{\mu_\infty}$  by  $\bar{A}$  on  $L^2(\mu_\infty)$ . To this end, we introduce a slightly general framework. Let  $\{P_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions on  $L^2(\mu_\infty)$  with generator  $L$ , and let  $S$  be a linear operator in  $L^2(\mu_\infty)$ . In Subsection 4.1, a theorem on the perturbation of  $L$  by  $S$  is established. Denote by  $Q_t$  the semigroup generated by  $L + S$ . We investigate the regularity of  $Q_t$  in Subsection 4.2. By using theorems in the first two subsections, we study in Subsection 4.3 the  $C_0$ -semigroup generated by  $L_{\mu_\infty} + \bar{A}$ .

### 4.1 A generation theorem for $C_0$ -semigroups

4.1

We assume that  $P_t$  and  $S$  satisfy following assumptions.

#### Assumption (B)

(B1) Restricting on  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ ,  $\{P_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ , i.e. for any  $t > 0$  and  $f \in W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ ,  $P_t f \in W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$  and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|P_t f - f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} = 0.$$

There is  $C_0 > 0$  such that

$$\|\nabla P_t f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \leq \frac{C_0}{\sqrt{t \wedge 1}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}, \quad t > 0. \quad (4.1) \quad \boxed{\text{nnP-L2}}$$

(B2)  $S$  is a bounded operator from  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$  to  $L^2(\mu_\infty)$ , and  $\{P_t S\}_{t \geq 0}$  is continuous in the operator norm from  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$  to  $L^2(\mu_\infty)$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{D}(L)$  the domain of  $L$  and by  $\|\cdot\|_{W,2}$  the operator norm of bounded operators from  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$  to  $L^2(\mu_\infty)$ . Then (B2) indicates that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|P_t S - S\|_{W,2} \equiv \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{\|f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} \leq 1} \|P_t S f - S f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} = 0. \quad (4.2) \quad \boxed{\text{S-W120}}$$

rem-comp

**Remark 4.1.** A sufficient condition for (4.2) is that  $S$  is a compact operator from  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$  to  $L^2(\mu_\infty)$ . Indeed, if  $S$  is a compact operator from  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$  to  $L^2(\mu_\infty)$ , then the set  $\{S f \mid \|f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} \leq 1\}$

1} is a precompact set in  $L^2(\mu_\infty)$ . Thus, for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^m$  with  $\|f_n\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} \leq 1$  such that

$$\{Sf \mid \|f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} \leq 1\} \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^m \{Sf \mid \|Sf - Sf_n\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} < \epsilon\} \equiv \bigcup_{n=1}^m \mathcal{S}_n.$$

This indicates that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{\|f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} \leq 1} \|P_t Sf - Sf\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} &\leq \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \max_{1 \leq n \leq m} \|P_t Sf_n - Sf_n\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \\ &\quad + \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \max_{1 \leq n \leq m} \sup_{Sf \in \mathcal{S}_n} \|(P_t - I)(Sf - Sf_n)\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \\ &\leq 2\epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (4.2).

Under the assumption (B), we have the following theorem for the semigroup generated by  $L + S$ .

**pert**

**Theorem 4.1.** Assume that  $P_t$  and  $S$  satisfy (B). Then there is a unique  $C_0$ -semigroup  $Q_t$  on  $L^2(\mu_\infty)$  satisfying

$$Q_t f = P_t f + \int_0^t P_s S Q_{t-s} f ds, \quad t \geq 0, \quad f \in L^2(\mu_\infty) \quad (4.3) \quad \boxed{\text{Qt-Pt-int}}$$

where the integral converges in  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ , and there is  $C_1 > 0$  which depends on  $C_0$  in (4.1) and  $\|S\|_{W,2}$  such that

$$\|Q_t f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} \leq \frac{C_1}{\sqrt{t}} e^{C_1 t} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}, \quad t > 0, \quad f \in L^2(\mu_\infty). \quad (4.4) \quad \boxed{\text{Q-W12}}$$

Moreover,

(1) for any  $f \in L^2(\mu_\infty)$  and  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\|Q_t f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \leq \left(1 + 2C_1 \|S\|_{W,2} \sqrt{t} e^{C_1 t}\right) \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}, \quad (4.5) \quad \boxed{\text{exp-Qt}}$$

(2)  $Q_t$  is a  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ ,

(3) the generator of  $Q_t$  is  $L + S$  and  $\mathcal{D}(L + S) = \mathcal{D}(L)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $S$  is a bounded operator from  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$  to  $L^2(\mu_\infty)$ , for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have by  $\|P_\epsilon\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \leq 1$  and (4.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_\epsilon S f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} &\leq \|S f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \leq \|S\|_{W,2} \|f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}}, \\ \|\nabla P_\epsilon S f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} &\leq \frac{C_0}{\sqrt{\epsilon \wedge 1}} \|S f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \leq \frac{C_0}{\sqrt{\epsilon \wedge 1}} \|S\|_{W,2} \|f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.6) \quad \boxed{\text{PS-W2}}$$

which yield that  $P_\epsilon S$  is a bounded operator on  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ . Denote by  $L^W$  the generator of the semigroup  $P_t$  restricted on  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ . It follows from [24, Proposition 3.1.2 and (1.10)] that  $L^W + P_\epsilon S$  generates a  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ , which is denoted by  $Q_t^\epsilon$ , and

$$Q_t^\epsilon f = P_t f + \int_0^t P_s (P_\epsilon S) Q_{t-s}^\epsilon f ds, \quad f \in W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}. \quad (4.7) \quad \boxed{\text{Qep=Pt}}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_t^\epsilon f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} &\leq \|P_t f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} + \int_0^t \|P_s (P_\epsilon S) Q_{t-s}^\epsilon f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} ds \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} + \int_0^t \|S\|_{W,2} \|Q_{t-s}^\epsilon f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8) \quad \boxed{\text{nnQep0}}$$



and by using (4.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla Q_t^\epsilon f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} &\leq \|\nabla P_t f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} + \int_0^t \|\nabla P_s(P_\epsilon S)Q_{t-s}^\epsilon f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} ds \\
&\leq \frac{C_0}{\sqrt{t \wedge 1}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} + \int_0^t \frac{C_0}{\sqrt{s \wedge 1}} \|P_\epsilon S\|_{W,2} \cdot \|Q_{t-s}^\epsilon f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} ds \\
&= \frac{C_0}{\sqrt{t \wedge 1}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} + \int_0^t \frac{C_0 \|S\|_{W,2}}{\sqrt{(t-s) \wedge 1}} \|Q_s^\epsilon f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9} \quad \boxed{\text{nnQep}}$$

By setting

$$\gamma_1(t) = \frac{1 + C_0}{\sqrt{t \wedge 1}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}, \quad \gamma_2(t) = (1 + C_0) \|S\|_{W,2},$$

it follows from (4.8) and (4.9) that

$$\|Q_t^\epsilon f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} \leq \gamma_1(t) \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} + \int_0^t \frac{\gamma_2(s)}{\sqrt{(t-s) \wedge 1}} \|Q_s^\epsilon f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} ds.$$

Then, by using Lemma 7.1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_1(t) &\leq \int_0^t \frac{(1 + C_0) \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}}{\sqrt{((t-s) \wedge 1)(s \wedge 1)}} ds = (1 + C_0) \varphi_0(t) \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}, \\
\Gamma_2(t) &\leq (1 + C_0) \varphi_1(t) \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} + (1 + C_0)^2 \|S\|_{W,2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \varphi_2(t),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Q_t^\epsilon f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} &\leq (1 + C_0) \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{t \wedge 1}} + (1 + C_0) \|S\|_{W,2} \varphi_0(t) \right) \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \\
&\quad + (1 + C_0)^3 \|S\|_{W,2}^2 \varphi_0(t) [\varphi_1(t) + (1 + C_0) \|S\|_{W,2} \varphi_2(t)] e^{(1+C_0)^2 \|S\|_{W,2}^2 \varphi_2(t)} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\varphi_0(t)$  is defined by (7.2) and

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_1(t) &= 2\sqrt{t} \mathbf{1}_{[0 \leq t \leq 1]} + (t + 1) \mathbf{1}_{[t > 1]}, \\
\varphi_2(t) &= \int_0^t \varphi_0(s) ds = B \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) t + \frac{4}{3} \sqrt{t-1} \mathbf{1}_{[1 \leq t \leq 2]} + \left( \frac{t^2}{2} - \frac{2}{3} \right) \mathbf{1}_{[t > 2]}, \quad t \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

By using fundamental inequalities

$$2\sqrt{r} \leq r + 1 \leq e^r, \quad r \geq 0, \tag{4.10} \quad \boxed{\text{in-funda}}$$

there is a constant  $C_1 > 0$  which is independent of  $\epsilon$  and depends only on  $C_0, \|S\|_{W,2}$  such that

$$\|Q_t^\epsilon f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} \leq \frac{C_1 e^{C_1 t}}{\sqrt{t}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}, \quad f \in W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}, \quad t > 0. \tag{4.11} \quad \boxed{\text{Qep-e}}$$

By the approximation argument, we see that for all  $t > 0$ ,  $Q_t^\epsilon$  is a bounded operator from  $L^2(\mu_\infty)$  to  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$  and satisfies (4.11) for any  $f \in L^2(\mu_\infty)$ . Consequently,

$$\int_0^t \|Q_s^\epsilon f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} ds \leq 2C_1 \sqrt{t} e^{C_1 t} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}, \tag{4.12} \quad \boxed{\text{int-Qep-e}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t \frac{\|Q_s^\epsilon f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}}}{\sqrt{(t-s) \wedge 1}} ds &\leq C_1 e^{C_1 t} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \int_0^t \frac{ds}{\sqrt{s[(t-s) \wedge 1]}} \\
&= C_1 e^{C_1 t} \left( B \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) + 2\sqrt{(t-1)^+} \right) \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13} \quad \boxed{\text{int-Qe-tse}}$$

and (4.7) holds for any  $f \in L^2(\mu_\infty)$ . We derive from (4.12) and (4.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_t^\epsilon f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} &\leq \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} + 2C_1 \|S\|_{W,2} \sqrt{t} e^{C_1 t} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \\ &= \left(1 + 2C_1 \|S\|_{W,2} \sqrt{t} e^{C_1 t}\right) \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14) \quad \boxed{\text{exp-Qt-ep0}}$$

Next, we take  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ . For any  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 > 0$  and  $f \in L^2(\mu_\infty)$ , we have that

$$(Q_t^{\epsilon_1} - Q_t^{\epsilon_2})f = \int_0^t P_s ((P_{\epsilon_1} - P_{\epsilon_2})S) Q_{t-s}^{\epsilon_1} f ds + \int_0^t P_s (P_{\epsilon_2}S) (Q_{t-s}^{\epsilon_1} - Q_{t-s}^{\epsilon_2}) f ds. \quad (4.15) \quad \boxed{\text{Qep-ep}}$$

This, together with (4.1) and (4.13), implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Q_t^{\epsilon_1} - Q_t^{\epsilon_2})f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} &\leq \int_0^t \|P_s ((P_{\epsilon_1} - P_{\epsilon_2})S) Q_{t-s}^{\epsilon_1} f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|P_s (P_{\epsilon_2}S) (Q_{t-s}^{\epsilon_1} - Q_{t-s}^{\epsilon_2}) f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} ds \\ &\leq \|(P_{\epsilon_1} - P_{\epsilon_2})S\|_{W,2} \int_0^t \frac{1+C_0}{\sqrt{s} \wedge 1} \|Q_{t-s}^{\epsilon_1} f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} ds \\ &\quad + \|S\|_{W,2} \int_0^t \frac{1+C_0}{\sqrt{s} \wedge 1} \|(Q_{t-s}^{\epsilon_1} - Q_{t-s}^{\epsilon_2}) f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} ds \\ &\leq (1+C_0)C_2 e^{C_2 t} \|(P_{\epsilon_1} - P_{\epsilon_2})S\|_{W,2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \\ &\quad + (1+C_0)\|S\|_{W,2} \int_0^t \frac{\|(Q_s^{\epsilon_1} - Q_s^{\epsilon_2})f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}}}{\sqrt{(t-s) \wedge 1}} ds, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_2 > 0$  is a constant independent of  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2$ . By setting

$$\gamma_1(t) = (1+C_0)C_2 e^{C_2 t} \|(P_{\epsilon_1} - P_{\epsilon_2})S\|_{W,2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}, \quad \gamma_2(t) = (1+C_0)\|S\|_{W,2}$$

in Lemma 7.1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1(t) &\leq (1+C_0)C_2 e^{C_2 t} \varphi_1(t) \|(P_{\epsilon_1} - P_{\epsilon_2})S\|_{W,2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}, \\ \Gamma_2(t) &\leq (1+C_0)(e^{C_2 t} - 1) \|(P_{\epsilon_1} - P_{\epsilon_2})S\|_{W,2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \\ &\quad + (1+C_0)^2 C_2 \|S\|_{W,2} \|(P_{\epsilon_1} - P_{\epsilon_2})S\|_{W,2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \int_0^t e^{C_2 s} \varphi_1(s) ds \\ &\leq (1+C_0)^2 (e^{C_2 t} - 1) \|(P_{\epsilon_1} - P_{\epsilon_2})S\|_{W,2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} (1 + \|S\|_{W,2} \varphi_1(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Then there is a constant  $C_3 > 0$  which is also independent of  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2$  such that

$$\|(Q_t^{\epsilon_1} - Q_t^{\epsilon_2})f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} \leq C_3 e^{C_3 t} \|(P_{\epsilon_1} - P_{\epsilon_2})S\|_{W,2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}.$$

Combining this with (4.2), we have proven that  $Q_t^\epsilon f$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ . Thus, there is  $Q_t f \in W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$  such that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \|Q_t^\epsilon f - Q_t f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} = 0, \quad f \in L^2(\mu_\infty), \quad t > 0. \quad (4.16) \quad \boxed{\text{limQQ}}$$

Moreover, it is clear that  $\{Q_t\}_{t>0}$  is a semigroup from  $L^2(\mu_\infty)$  to  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$  since so is  $\{Q_t^\epsilon\}_{t>0}$ . Following from (4.16), (4.11) and (4.14),  $Q_t f$  satisfies (4.4) and (4.5). Taking  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$  on both side of (4.7) in  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ , we find that  $Q_t f$  satisfies (4.3) and the integral converges in  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ . Repeating the argument of estimating  $(Q_t^{\epsilon_1} - Q_t^{\epsilon_2})f$ , we can prove that there is a unique  $Q_t f$  satisfying (4.3) and (4.4).

By (4.3) and (4.4), we have that

$$\|Q_t f - f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \leq \|P_t f - f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} + \|S\|_{W,2} \int_0^t \|Q_{t-s} f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} ds$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \|P_t f - f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} + C_1 \|S\|_{W,2} \int_0^t \frac{e^{C_1(t-s)}}{\sqrt{(t-s)}} ds \\ &\leq \|P_t f - f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} + 2C_1 \|S\|_{W,2} \sqrt{t} e^{C_1 t}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|Q_t f - f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|P_t f - f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} = 0.$$

Hence,  $\{Q_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $L^2(\mu_\infty)$ .

Next, we prove (2). We first investigate  $Q_t$  on  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ . Since  $P_t$  is a  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ , according to [24, Theorem 1.2.2], there are  $C \geq 1$  and  $w \geq 0$  such that

$$\|P_t f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} \leq C e^{wt} \|f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}}.$$

Combining this with (4.8) and the first inequality in (4.9), we have for any  $f \in W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_t^\epsilon f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} &\leq \|P_t f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} + \int_0^t \frac{(1+C_0)\|S\|_{W,2}}{\sqrt{s \wedge 1}} \|Q_{t-s}^\epsilon f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} ds \\ &\leq C e^{wt} \|f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} + (1+C_0)\|S\|_{W,2} \int_0^t \frac{\|Q_{t-s}^\epsilon f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}}}{\sqrt{s \wedge 1}} ds. \end{aligned}$$

This, together with Lemma 7.1, implies that there is  $C_4 > 0$  which is independent of  $\epsilon$  so that

$$\|Q_t^\epsilon f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} \leq C_4 e^{C_4 t} \|f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}}.$$

Hence, due to (4.16), we arrive at

$$\|Q_t f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} \leq C_4 e^{C_4 t} \|f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}}.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_t f - f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} &\leq \|P_t f - f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} + \int_0^t \|P_s S Q_{t-s} f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} ds \\ &\leq \|P_t f - f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} + \int_0^t \left(1 + \frac{C_0}{\sqrt{s \wedge 1}}\right) \|S\|_{W,2} \|Q_{t-s} f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} ds \\ &\leq \|P_t f - f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} + (1+C_0)C_4 \|S\|_{W,2} \int_0^t \frac{e^{C_4(t-s)}}{\sqrt{s \wedge 1}} ds \|f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} \\ &\leq \|P_t f - f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} + 2(1+C_0)C_4 \|S\|_{W,2} e^{C_4 t} \varphi_1(t) \|f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $Q_t$  is also a  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ .

Finally, we investigate the generator of  $Q_t$ . We first prove that  $\mathcal{D}(L) \subset W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ . Since  $P_t$  is contractive, for any  $\lambda > 0$ , there is

$$(\lambda - L)^{-1} f = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P_t f dt, \quad f \in L^2(\mu_\infty). \quad (4.17) \quad \boxed{\text{resol}}$$

Since  $\{P_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ , there is  $C, w > 0$  such that

$$\|P_t f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} \leq C e^{wt} \|f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}}, \quad f \in W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}.$$

Then the right hand side of (4.17) converges in  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$  for any  $f \in W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$  and  $\lambda > w$ . This, together with (4.1), implies that

$$\|(\lambda - L)^{-1} f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} \leq \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \|P_t f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_0^{+\infty} \left( \frac{C_0}{\sqrt{t \wedge 1}} + 1 \right) e^{-\lambda t} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} dt \\
&\leq (C_0 + 1) \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{\sqrt{t \wedge 1}} dt \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}, \quad f \in W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By using the approximation argument, we see that there is  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|(\lambda - L)^{-1} f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}, \quad f \in L^2(\mu_\infty).$$

Thus  $\mathcal{D}(L) \subset W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ .

For  $f \in \mathcal{D}(L)$ , there is

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{Q_t f - f}{t} &= \frac{P_t f - f}{t} + \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t P_{t-s} S Q_s f ds \\
&= \frac{P_t f - f}{t} + \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (P_{t-s} - I) S Q_s f ds + \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t S Q_s f ds \\
&=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $I_2$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (P_{t-s} - I) S Q_s f ds \right\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} &\leq \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \|(P_{t-s} - I) S\|_{W,2} \|Q_s f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} ds \\
&\leq C_4 e^{C_4 t} \|f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \|(P_s - I) S\|_{W,2} ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality, we have used  $f \in \mathcal{D}(L) \subset W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ . Combining this with (4.2), there is  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|I_2\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} = 0$ .

Since  $\mathcal{D}(L) \subset W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ ,  $S$  is bounded from  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$  to  $L^2(\mu_\infty)$  and  $Q_t f$  is continuous in  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ , we find that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t S Q_s f ds = S f, \quad \text{in } L^2(\mu_\infty).$$

Since  $f \in \mathcal{D}(L)$ , we have that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} I_1 = L f$  in  $L^2(\mu_\infty)$ .

Hence, there is

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left\| \frac{Q_t f - f}{t} - L f - S f \right\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} = 0.$$

This yields that the generator of  $Q_t$  on  $L^2(\mu_\infty)$  is  $L + S$  and  $\mathcal{D}(L) \subset \mathcal{D}(L + S)$ . We can prove similarly that  $\mathcal{D}(L + S) \subset \mathcal{D}(L)$  since

$$\frac{P_t f - f}{t} = \frac{Q_t f - f}{t} - \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (P_{t-s} - I) S Q_s f ds - \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t S Q_s f ds, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(L + S).$$

□

## 4.2 Regularity of $Q_t$

4.2

In Theorem 4.1, we have proven that  $Q_t f(\cdot)$  is differentiable in the sense of Sobolev for any  $f \in L^2(\mu_\infty)$  and  $t > 0$ . Next, we investigate the regularity of  $Q_t f$  in the strong sense when  $f$  is regular, and stronger assumptions are imposed on  $P_t$  and  $S$ . For simplicity, we denote by  $G_f(t, z)$  the second term in (4.3) and

$$G_f^{(1)}(t, z) = \int_0^t \nabla P_s S Q_{t-s}(z) f ds, \quad G_f^{(2)}(t, z) = \int_0^t \nabla^2 P_s S Q_{t-s}(z) f ds.$$

thm-regu

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $P_t$  and  $S$  satisfy (B). Suppose that for any  $t > 0$  and  $f \in W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ ,  $P_t S f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and there is some  $q_1 \geq 0$  such that*

$$|\nabla P_t S f|(z) \leq \frac{C e^{Ct}}{\sqrt{t}} \|f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} (1 + |z|)^{q_1}. \quad (4.18) \quad \text{nnPS-p}$$

Assume also  $\mu_\infty((1 + |\cdot|)^{2q_1}) < +\infty$ . Then for any  $f \in L^2(\mu_\infty)$ ,  $G_f(\cdot, \cdot) \in C^{0,1}((0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\nabla G_f = G_f^{(1)}$  with

$$\left| G_f^{(1)}(t, z) \right| \leq C e^{Ct} (1 + |z|)^{q_1} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad f \in L^2(\mu_\infty). \quad (4.19) \quad \text{nnG1}$$

Moreover, if  $f \in W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ , then there is  $G_f(\cdot, \cdot) \in C^{0,1}([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Consequently, for  $f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , if  $P \cdot f(\cdot) \in C^{0,1}([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , then  $Q \cdot f(\cdot) \in C^{0,1}([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ .

Assume in addition that for any  $t > 0$  and  $f \in W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ ,  $P_t S f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and there exist a constant  $q_2 \geq 0$  and a positive function  $\theta \in L_{loc}^1([0, +\infty))$  such that  $\mu_\infty((1 + |\cdot|)^{2q_2}) < +\infty$ ,

$$\Theta(t) := \int_0^t \frac{\theta(s)}{\sqrt{t-s}} ds < +\infty, \quad (4.20) \quad \text{int-ths}$$

$$|\nabla^2 P_t S f|(z) \leq C e^{Ct} \theta(t) \|f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} (1 + |z|)^{q_2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (4.21) \quad \text{nn2PS-p}$$

Then for any  $f \in L^2(\mu_\infty)$ ,  $G_f(\cdot, \cdot) \in C^{0,2}((0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\nabla^2 G_f = G_f^{(2)}$  with

$$\left| G_f^{(2)}(t, z) \right| \leq C \Theta(t) e^{Ct} (1 + |z|)^{q_2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad f \in L^2(\mu_\infty). \quad (4.22) \quad \text{nnG2}$$

Moreover, if  $f \in W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ , then there is  $G_f(\cdot, \cdot) \in C^{0,2}([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Consequently, for  $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , if  $P \cdot f(\cdot) \in C^{0,2}([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , then  $Q \cdot f(\cdot) \in C^{0,2}([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ .

*Proof.* (1) For each  $f \in L^2(\mu_\infty)$  and  $s \in (0, t)$ , it follows from (4.4) and (4.18) that there is  $C > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla P_s S Q_{t-s} f(z)| &\leq \frac{C e^{Cs}}{\sqrt{s}} \|Q_{t-s} f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} (1 + |z|)^{q_1} \\ &\leq \frac{C C_1 e^{Cs} e^{C_1(t-s)}}{\sqrt{s(t-s)}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} (1 + |z|)^{q_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.23) \quad \text{PSQf-grow}$$

Then  $\nabla P_s S Q_{t-s} f \in L^2(\mu_\infty)$ , and there is a constant  $C > 0$ , whose value may vary at each line, such that (4.19) holds. Moreover, for any  $m \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \sup_{|z| \leq m} |\nabla P_s S Q_{t-s} f(z)| ds &\leq \int_0^t \frac{C e^{Cs}}{\sqrt{s(t-s)}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \sup_{|z| \leq m} (1 + |z|)^{q_1} ds \\ &\leq C (1 + m)^{q_1} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} B \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) e^{Ct}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24) \quad \text{nnintP}$$

Combining this with  $P_s S Q_{t-s} f(\cdot) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , the dominated convergence theorem yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_v G_f(t, z) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\epsilon} (P_{t-s} S Q_s f(z + \epsilon v) - P_{t-s} S Q_s f(z)) ds \\ &= \int_0^t \nabla_v P_{t-s} S Q_s f(z) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25) \quad \text{nnPt-Ptnn}$$

Using  $P_s S Q_{t-s} f(\cdot) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  again, we can derive from (4.25), (4.24) and the dominated convergence theorem that  $G_f^{(1)}(t, \cdot) \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\nabla G_f = G_f^{(1)}$ .

For  $t_1 > t_2 \geq 0$ , it follows from (4.18) and (4.24) that there are constants  $C_m, C > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{|z| \leq m} \left| G_f^{(1)}(t_1, z) - G_f^{(1)}(t_2, z) \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_0^{t_2} \sup_{|z| \leq m} |\nabla P_s S Q_{t_2-s} (Q_{t_1-t_2} - I) f(z)| ds + \int_{t_2}^{t_1} \sup_{|z| \leq m} |\nabla P_s S Q_{t_1-s} f(z)| ds \\
&\leq C_m \left( \int_0^{t_2} \frac{e^{Ct_2} \|(Q_{t_1-t_2} - I) f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}}{\sqrt{s(t_2-s)}} ds + \int_{t_2}^{t_1} \frac{e^{Ct_1} \|Q_{t_1-s} f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}}}{\sqrt{s}} ds \right) \\
&=: I_1 + I_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $Q_t$  is a  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $L^2(\mu_\infty)$ , there is

$$\lim_{|t_1-t_2| \rightarrow 0^+} \|(Q_{t_1-t_2} - I) f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} = 0,$$

which yields  $\lim_{|t_1-t_2| \rightarrow 0^+} I_1 = 0$ . For  $I_2$ , if there is  $c > 0$  such that  $t_2 \geq c$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\lim}_{\substack{|t_1-t_2| \rightarrow 0^+ \\ t_2 \geq c > 0}} \int_{t_2}^{t_1} \frac{\|Q_{t_1-s} f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}}}{\sqrt{s \wedge 1}} ds &\leq \overline{\lim}_{\substack{|t_1-t_2| \rightarrow 0^+ \\ t_2 \geq c > 0}} \left( \int_{t_2}^{t_1} \frac{C e^{C(t_1-s)}}{\sqrt{(s \wedge 1)(t_1-s)}} ds \right) \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \\
&\leq \overline{\lim}_{\substack{|t_1-t_2| \rightarrow 0^+ \\ t_2 \geq c > 0}} \left( \int_{t_2}^{t_1} \frac{C e^{C(t_1-s)}}{\sqrt{t_1-s}} ds \right) \frac{\|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}}{\sqrt{c \wedge 1}} \\
&= 0;
\end{aligned}$$

if  $t_2 = 0$ , then for  $f \in W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ , we find that

$$\overline{\lim}_{|t_1-t_2| \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{t_2}^{t_1} \frac{\|Q_{t_1-s} f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}}}{\sqrt{s \wedge 1}} ds \leq \overline{\lim}_{t_1 \rightarrow 0^+} \left( \int_0^{t_1} \frac{C e^{C(t_1-s)}}{\sqrt{s \wedge 1}} ds \right) \|f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} = 0.$$

Hence,  $G_f^{(1)}(\cdot, z)$  is continuous locally uniformly w.r.t.  $z$  on  $(0, +\infty)$  when  $f \in L^2(\mu_\infty)$  and on  $[0, +\infty)$  when  $f \in W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ . This implies that

$$\lim_{(t_1, z_1) \rightarrow (t_2, z_2)} \left| G_f^{(1)}(t_1, z_1) - G_f^{(1)}(t_2, z_2) \right| = 0, \quad \begin{cases} t_2 > 0, f \in L^2(\mu_\infty), \\ t_2 = 0, f \in W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}. \end{cases}$$

For  $f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ , since (4.3) and  $P.f(\cdot) \in C^{0,1}([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have that  $Q.f(\cdot) \in C^{0,1}([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ .

(2) It follows from (4.4), (4.20) and (4.21) that  $\nabla^2 P_s S Q_{t-s} f \in L^2(\mu_\infty)$  and for any  $m \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t \sup_{|z| \leq m} |\nabla^2 P_s S Q_{t-s} f(z)| ds &\leq \int_0^t C e^{Cs} \theta(s) \|Q_{t-s} f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} \sup_{|z| \leq m} (1+|z|)^{q_2} ds \\
&\leq C C_1 (1+m)^{q_2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \int_0^t \frac{e^{Cs} e^{C_1(t-s)} \theta(s)}{\sqrt{t-s}} ds \quad (4.26) \\
&\leq C C_1 (1+m)^{q_2} e^{(C \vee C_1)t} \Theta(t) \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We can prove (4.22) similarly. Since for  $t > s > 0$ ,  $\nabla^2 P_s S Q_{t-s} f(\cdot) \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . This, together with (4.26), (4.20) and the dominated convergence theorem implies that  $G_f^{(2)}(t, \cdot) \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is continuous and  $\nabla^2 G_f = G_f^{(2)}$ .

For  $t_1 > t_2 \geq 0$ , it follows from (4.21) and (4.26) that there exist positive constants  $C$  and  $C_m$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{|z| \leq m} \left| G_f^{(2)}(t_1, z) - G_f^{(2)}(t_2, z) \right| \\
&\leq \int_0^{t_2} \sup_{|z| \leq m} |\nabla^2 P_s S Q_{t_2-s} (Q_{t_1-t_2} - I) f(z)| ds + \int_{t_2}^{t_1} \sup_{|z| \leq m} |\nabla^2 P_s S Q_{t_1-s} f(z)| ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C_m \left( e^{Ct_2} \| (Q_{t_1-t_2} - I)f \|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \int_0^{t_2} \frac{\theta(s)}{\sqrt{t_2-s}} ds + e^{Ct_1} \int_{t_2}^{t_1} \| Q_{t_1-s} f \|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} \theta(s) ds \right).$$

Thus, following (4.20) and the same argument as proving assertions in (1), we have that

$$\lim_{(t_1, z_1) \rightarrow (t_2, z_2)} \left| G_f^{(2)}(t_1, z_1) - G_f^{(2)}(t_2, z_2) \right| = 0, \quad \begin{cases} t_2 > 0, f \in L^2(\mu_\infty), \\ t_2 = 0, f \in W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}. \end{cases}$$

As a consequence, for  $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , if  $P.f(\cdot) \in C^{0,2}([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , then there is  $Q.f(\cdot) \in C^{0,2}([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ .  $\square$

### 4.3 Semigroup generated by $L_{\mu_\infty} + \bar{A}$

4.3

We come back to investigate the perturbation of  $P_t^{\mu_\infty}$  by  $\bar{A}$ , and also denote by  $Q_t$  the semigroup generated by  $L_{\mu_\infty} + \bar{A}$ .

cor-L+A

**Corollary 4.3.** *Assume that (H0) holds, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $D_{\mu_\infty}^F b(x, \cdot)$  exists on  $\mathcal{P}_{p_0, \phi_0}$  for some  $p_0 \geq 0$  and  $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{G}$  such that (2.11) holds, and coefficients  $(b(\cdot, \mu_\infty), \sigma(\cdot))$  of (1.3) satisfy (A1), (A2), (3.17) and (3.19). Then assumptions in Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 hold for  $P_t^{\mu_\infty}$  and  $\bar{A}$ . Moreover, for any  $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , following properties hold for  $Q_t f$ .*

(1) for any  $q \in (1, +\infty]$ , there is  $C > 0$ , which is independent of  $f$ , such that for any  $t > 0$

$$|\nabla Q_t f(x)| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{t \wedge 1}} (P_t^{\mu_\infty} |f|^q(x))^{\frac{1}{q}} + C e^{Ct} (1 + |x|)^{p_0 + \alpha_1 \wedge \frac{2\alpha_1}{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}, \quad (4.27) \quad \text{nnQ1-pp}$$

$$|\nabla^2 Q_t f(x)| \leq \frac{C}{t \wedge 1} (P_t^{\mu_\infty} |f|^q(x))^{\frac{1}{q}} + C e^{Ct} (1 + |x|)^{p_0 + \alpha_1 \wedge \frac{2\alpha_1}{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}. \quad (4.28) \quad \text{nnQ2-pp}$$

(2)  $Q.f(\cdot) \in C^{1,2}([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , and  $Q_t f$  is a classical solution of the following equation

$$\partial_t v_t(x) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\sigma \sigma^* \nabla^2 v_t)(x) + b(x, \mu_\infty) \cdot \nabla v_t(x) + (\bar{A} v_t)(x), \quad v_0(x) = f(x). \quad (4.29) \quad \text{equ-clas}$$

Since  $\mu_\infty$  is an invariant probability measure of  $P_t^{\mu_\infty}$ , it is clear that  $P_t^{\mu_\infty}$  can be extended to be a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions on  $L^2(\mu_\infty)$ . The proof of Corollary 4.3 is divided into following lemmas.

To check that  $P_t^{\mu_\infty}$  satisfies Assumption (B1), we first prove that  $C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a dense space in  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ .

den-C1-W12

**Lemma 4.4.**  *$C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is dense in  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $N \geq 1$ ,  $\zeta_N \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \leq N\}} \leq \zeta_N(x) \leq \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \leq N+1\}}$  and  $|\nabla \zeta_N| \leq 2$ . For any  $f \in W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ , we find that  $f \zeta_N \in W_0^{1,2}(B_{N+1})$ , where  $B_{N+1} = \{x \mid |x| \leq N+1\}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (\|f - f \zeta_N\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} + \|\nabla(f - f \zeta_N)\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}) \\ & \leq \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} (\|f \mathbf{1}_{\{|\cdot| \geq N\}}\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} + \|(\nabla f) \mathbf{1}_{\{|\cdot| \geq N\}}\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} + 2\|f \mathbf{1}_{[N \leq |\cdot| \leq N+1]}\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}) \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

There are  $g_n \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\text{supp}(g_n) \subset B_{N+1}$  such that  $g_n \xrightarrow{W^{1,2}} f \zeta_N$ . Note that the coefficients of  $L_{\mu_\infty}$  are locally Lipschitz and  $\sigma$  is invertible, and  $\mu_\infty$  satisfies

$$\mu_\infty(L_{\mu_\infty} f) = 0, \quad f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

It follows from [6, Theorem 1.2.2] or [28, Theorem 2] that  $\mu_\infty(dx) \ll dx$  and the density  $\frac{d\mu_\infty}{dx}$  is continuous. Thus  $\frac{d\mu_\infty}{dx}$  is locally bounded. Then, we have that

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|g_n - f \zeta_N\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} \leq C_{N+1} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|g_n - f \zeta_N\|_{W^{1,2}} = 0.$$

Hence,  $C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is dense in  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ .  $\square$

Next lemma shows that  $P_t^{\mu_\infty}$  satisfies **(B1)**.

**lem-PWnn**

**Lemma 4.5.** *Assume  $(b(\cdot, \mu_\infty), \sigma(\cdot))$  satisfy **(A1)**, **(A2)**, (3.17) and (3.19). Then  $P_t^{\mu_\infty}$  is strongly continuous on  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$  and satisfies (4.1).*

*Proof.* For all  $f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $|v| \leq 1$ , due to (3.15), there exist  $C \geq 1, w \geq 0$  such that

$$|\nabla_v P_t^{\mu_\infty} f(x)| = |\mathbb{E}\langle \nabla f(X_t^{\mu_\infty}), \nabla_v X_t^{\mu_\infty} \rangle| \leq C e^{wt} \sqrt{P_t^{\mu_\infty} |\nabla f|^2(x)}, \text{ a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (4.30)$$

**nnp-pnn**

This implies that

$$\|\nabla P_t^{\mu_\infty} f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}^2 \leq C^2 e^{2wt} \mu_\infty(P_t^{\mu_\infty} |\nabla f|^2) \leq C^2 e^{2wt} \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}^2. \quad (4.31)$$

**Pt-lip-grow**

This, together with  $\mu_\infty(|P_t^{\mu_\infty} f|^2) \leq \mu_\infty(f^2)$ , yields that

$$\|P_t^{\mu_\infty} f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} \leq (C e^{wt} \vee 1) \|f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} \leq C e^{wt} \|f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}}, \quad f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d). \quad (4.32)$$

**Pt-W12**

For  $f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $|v| \leq 1$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_v P_t^{\mu_\infty} f(x) - \nabla_v f(x)| &\leq |\mathbb{E}\langle \nabla f(X_t^{\mu_\infty}(x)) - \nabla f(x), \nabla_v X_t^{\mu_\infty}(x) \rangle| \\ &\quad + |(\nabla f(x)) (\mathbb{E} \nabla_v X_t^{\mu_\infty}(x) - v)| \\ &\leq C e^{wt} (\mathbb{E} |\nabla f(X_t^{\mu_\infty}(x)) - \nabla f(x)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla f\|_\infty (\mathbb{E} |\nabla X_t^{\mu_\infty}(x) - I|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

This, together with (3.23) and the dominated convergence theorem, yields that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \mu_\infty(|\nabla P_t^{\mu_\infty} f - \nabla f|^2) = 0, \quad f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Combining this with (4.32) and the approximation argument, we prove that  $P_t^{\mu_\infty}$  is strong continuous on  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ . (4.1) can be derived from (3.28) and the density of  $C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  in  $L^2(\mu_\infty)$ .  $\square$

The following lemma shows that  $P_t^{\mu_\infty}$  and  $\bar{A}$  satisfy **(B2)**.

**lem-PAB2**

**Lemma 4.6.** *The same assumption as Lemma 4.5 holds. If for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $D_{\mu_\infty}^F b(x, \cdot)$  exists on  $\mathcal{P}_{p_0, \phi_0}$  for some  $p_0 \geq 0$  and  $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $D_{\mu_\infty}^F b \in L^2(\mu_\infty \times \mu_\infty)$ , then  $P_t^{\mu_\infty}$  and  $\bar{A}$  satisfy **(B2)**. Particularly, the assertion holds under the assumption of Corollary 4.3.*

*Proof.* If  $D_{\mu_\infty}^F b \in L^2(\mu_\infty \times \mu_\infty)$ , then  $\bar{A}$  is a compact operator from  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$  to  $L^2(\mu_\infty)$ . Thus Remark 4.1 yields that  $P_t^{\mu_\infty}$  and  $\bar{A}$  satisfy **(B2)**.

In particular, if (2.11) and **(H0)** holds, then  $D_{\mu_\infty}^F b \in L^2(\mu_\infty \times \mu_\infty)$ . Indeed, it follows from (2.11) that

$$|\bar{A}f(z)| \leq \mu_\infty(F|\nabla f|)(1 + |z|)^{p_0+1} \leq \|F\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \|f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} (1 + |z|)^{p_0+1},$$

which, together with **(H0)**, implies  $D_{\mu_\infty}^F b \in L^2(\mu_\infty \times \mu_\infty)$ .

Since (2.11) implies that

$$\|D_{\mu_\infty}^F b(x, \cdot)\|_{p_0, \phi_0} \leq F(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Here, we give another proof by using this inequality. Indeed, there is a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$\left| \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (D_{\mu_\infty}^F b(x, X_t^{\mu_\infty}(z)) - D_{\mu_\infty}^F b(x, z)) \cdot \nabla f(x) \mu_\infty(dx) \right|$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \mu_\infty (F|\nabla f|) \mathbb{E}(\phi_0(|X_t^{\mu_\infty}(z) - z|) [(1 + |X_t^{\mu_\infty}(z)|)^{p_0} + (1 + |z|)^{p_0}]) \\
&\leq \mu_\infty (F|\nabla f|) (\mathbb{E}\phi_0^2(|X_t^{\mu_\infty}(z) - z|))^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbb{E}(1 + |X_t^{\mu_\infty}(z)|)^{2p_0} + (1 + |z|)^{2p_0})^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C e^{Ct} \mu_\infty (F|\nabla f|) (1 + |z|)^{p_0} \phi_0 \left( \sqrt{\mathbb{E}|X_t^{\mu_\infty}(z) - z|^2} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.9) in the last inequality. By (3.17), there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$|b(x, \mu_\infty)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{2+\beta_1}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then, (3.9) and the Itô formula imply that

$$\mathbb{E}|X_t^{\mu_\infty}(z) - z|^2 \leq C^2 e^{2Ct} t (1 + |z|)^{2(\beta_1+2)}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (D_{\mu_\infty}^F b(x, X_t^{\mu_\infty}(z)) - D_{\mu_\infty}^F b(x, z)) \cdot \nabla f(x) \mu_\infty(dx) \right| \\
&\leq C e^{Ct} \mu_\infty (F|\nabla f|) (1 + |z|)^{p_0} \phi_0 \left( C e^{Ct} t^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + |z|)^{2+\beta_1} \right) \\
&\leq C e^{Ct} \mu_\infty (F|\nabla f|) (1 + |z|)^{p_0+2+\beta_1} \phi_0 \left( C e^{Ct} t^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, taking into account (H0), which yields  $\mu_\infty((1 + |\cdot|)^{p_0+2+\beta_1}) < +\infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{\|f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} \leq 1} \|P_t^{\mu_\infty} \bar{A}f - \bar{A}f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}^2 \\
&\leq C \|F\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \mu_\infty((1 + |\cdot|)^{p_0+2+\beta_1}) \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left( e^{Ct} \phi \left( t^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Up to now, we have shown that assumptions in Theorem 4.1 for  $P_t^{\mu_\infty}$  and  $\bar{A}$ . Next, we check assumptions in Theorem 4.2.

**Lemma 4.7.** *The same assumption as Corollary 4.3 holds. Then  $P_t^{\mu_\infty} \bar{A}$  satisfies (4.18) and (4.21) with  $q_1 = q_2 = p_0 + \alpha_1 \wedge \frac{2\alpha_1}{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}$  and*

$$\theta(t) = t^{-1} \phi \left( t^{\frac{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1}{2(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}} \right).$$

*Proof.* For all  $f \in W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ , it follows from (2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\bar{A}f(z_1) - \bar{A}f(z_2)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |D_{\mu_\infty}^F b_1(x, z_1) - D_{\mu_\infty}^F b_1(x, z_2)| \cdot |\nabla f(x)| \mu_\infty(dx) \\
&\leq \mu_\infty (F|\nabla f|) \phi_0(|z_1 - z_2|) ((1 + |z_1|)^{p_0} + (1 + |z_2|)^{p_0}) \\
&\leq \|F\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \phi_0(|z_1 - z_2|) ((1 + |z_1|)^{p_0} + (1 + |z_2|)^{p_0}).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.33} \quad \boxed{\text{Af-Af}}$$

This, together with Lemma 3.3, implies that  $P_t^{\mu_\infty} \bar{A}f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
|\nabla P_t^{\mu_\infty} \bar{A}f(z)| &\leq \frac{C e^{Ct}}{\sqrt{t}} \|f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} (1 + |z|)^{p_0 + \alpha_1 \wedge \frac{2\alpha_1}{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}} \phi \left( t^{\frac{1}{2} \wedge \frac{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1}{2(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{C e^{Ct}}{\sqrt{t}} (1 + t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} (1 + |z|)^{p_0 + \alpha_1 \wedge \frac{2\alpha_1}{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}} \\
&\leq \frac{C e^{Ct}}{\sqrt{t}} \|f\|_{W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}} (1 + |z|)^{p_0 + \alpha_1 \wedge \frac{2\alpha_1}{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (4.18) holds with  $q_1 = p_0 + \alpha_1 \wedge \frac{2\alpha_1}{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}$ . Similarly, combining (4.33) with (3.31), we find that

$$|\nabla^2 P_t^{\mu_\infty} \bar{A}f(z)| \leq C e^{Ct} (1 + |z|)^{\alpha_1 \wedge \frac{2\alpha_1}{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2} + p_0} t^{-1} \phi \left( t^{\frac{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1}{2(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}} \right) \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}.$$

Hence, (4.21) holds with  $\theta(t) = t^{-1} \phi \left( t^{\frac{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1}{2(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}} \right)$ , which is integrable since

$$\int_0^1 t^{-1} \phi \left( t^{\frac{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1}{2(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2}} \right) dt = \frac{2(1 + \alpha_1)\alpha_2}{(1 + \alpha_1)\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1} \int_0^1 u^{-1} \phi(u) du < +\infty.$$

□

Up to now, we have proven that assumptions in Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 hold for  $P_t^{\mu_\infty}$  and  $\bar{A}$ . Finally, we prove that

**Lemma 4.8.** *The same assumption as Corollary 4.3 holds. If  $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , then  $Q_t f$  satisfies (4.27), (4.28) and (4.29).*

*Proof.* We can derive directly from (4.3), Lemma 3.3, Lemma 4.7, (4.19) and (4.22) that (4.27) and (4.28) holds.

For  $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , it is clear that  $L_{\mu_\infty} f \in L^2(\mu_\infty)$  since (H0), (A1) and (A2). It follows from the Itô formula that

$$\frac{P_t^{\mu_\infty} f(x) - f(x)}{t} - L_{\mu_\infty} f(x) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (\mathbb{E} L_{\mu_\infty} f(X_s^{\mu_\infty}(x)) - L_{\mu_\infty} f(x)) ds, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then

$$\left\| \frac{P_t^{\mu_\infty} f - f}{t} - L_{\mu_\infty} f \right\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \leq \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \|P_s^{\mu_\infty} L_{\mu_\infty} f - L_{\mu_\infty} f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} ds.$$

This, together with the dominated convergence and that  $P_t^{\mu_\infty}$  is a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions on  $L^2(\mu_\infty)$ , implies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left\| \frac{P_t^{\mu_\infty} f - f}{t} - L_{\mu_\infty} f \right\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} = 0.$$

Thus,  $C_b^2 \subset \mathcal{D}(L_{\mu_\infty})$ . Combining this with Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.6, we can derive from Theorem 4.1 that

$$\mathcal{D}(L_{\mu_\infty} + \bar{A}) = \mathcal{D}(L_{\mu_\infty}) \supset C_b^2.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{d}{dt} Q_t f = (L_{\mu_\infty} + \bar{A}) Q_t f = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\sigma \sigma^* \nabla^2 Q_t f) + b(\cdot, \mu_\infty) \cdot \nabla Q_t f + \bar{A} Q_t f.$$

Due to Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.7, we derive from Theorem 4.2 that  $L_{\mu_\infty} Q_t f(\cdot) \in C^0([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Due to (4.33), we have that  $\bar{A}$  is continuous from  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$  to  $\mathcal{G}_{p_0, \phi_0}$ . This, together with that  $Q_t f$  is continuous on  $W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$  since  $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset W_{\mu_\infty}^{1,2}$ , we have that  $\bar{A} Q_t f(\cdot) \in C^0([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Hence,  $\frac{d}{dt} Q_t f \in C^0([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Therefore,  $Q_t f(\cdot) \in C^{1,2}([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $Q_t f$  satisfies (4.29).

□

## 5 Proof of Theorem 2.1

Because **(H0)**-**(H3)** imply that  $(b(\cdot, \mu_\infty), \sigma(\cdot))$  satisfies **(A1)**, **(A2)**, (3.17) and (3.19) with  $\alpha_1 = 0$  and  $\alpha_2 \in (1, 2)$ , the first assertion of Theorem 2.1 can be derived from Corollary 4.3 in Subsection 4.3. In this section, we focus on the rest of Theorem 2.1.

Due to **(H1)**-**(H3)**, for any  $X_0$  with  $\mathcal{L}_{X_0} = \mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{q_0}$ , (1.1) has a unique strong solution with  $\mathcal{L}_X \in C([0, T], \mathcal{P}_{q_0})$  for any  $T > 0$ . Denote by  $\mu_t = \mathcal{L}_{X_t}$ . The decoupled equation (1.2) has a unique strong solution for  $X_0^\mu = x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  since **(H1)**-**(H3)** again. **(H0)**-**(H3)** imply the strong wellposedness of (1.3). Denote

$$D_{\mu, \nu}^F u(x) = \int_0^1 D_{r\mu + (1-r)\nu}^F u(x) dr.$$

We first establish the following crucial equality for  $\mu_t$  and  $\mu_\infty$ .

Dhr0 **Lemma 5.1.** *Assume **(H0)**-**(H3)** and (2.11) hold. For any  $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{q_0}$ , there is*

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_t - \mu_\infty)(f) &= (\mu_0 - \mu_\infty)(Q_t f) + \int_0^t (\mu_s - \mu_\infty)((b(\mu_s) - b(\mu_\infty)) \cdot \nabla Q_{t-s} f) ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mu_s - \mu_\infty) (D_{\mu_s, \mu_\infty}^F b(x, \cdot) - D_{\mu_\infty}^F b(x, \cdot)) \cdot \nabla Q_{t-s} f(x) \mu_\infty(dx) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1) \quad \text{DuHam}$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mu_t(f) = \mu_0(P_t^\mu f)$ , we have that

$$\mu_t(f) - \mu_0(Q_t f) = \mu_0(P_t^\mu f) - \mu_0(Q_t f).$$

It follows from Corollary 4.3 and the Itô formula that

$$\begin{aligned} dQ_{t-s} f(X_s^\mu(x)) &= -(L_{\mu_\infty} + \bar{A})Q_{t-s} f(X_s^\mu(x)) ds + L_{\mu_s} Q_{t-s} f(X_s^\mu(x)) ds \\ &+ \langle \nabla Q_{t-s} f(X_s^\mu(x)), \sigma(X_s^\mu(x)) dB_s \rangle \\ &= (b(X_s^\mu(x), \mu_s) - b(X_s^\mu(x), \mu_\infty)) \cdot \nabla Q_{t-s} f(X_s^\mu(x)) - \bar{A}Q_{t-s} f(X_s^\mu(x)) \\ &+ \langle \nabla Q_{t-s} f(X_s^\mu(x)), \sigma(X_s^\mu(x)) dB_s \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By using **(H2)**, (4.27), (2.7), (2.11) and  $f \in C_b^2$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |(b(x, \mu_s) - b(x, \mu_\infty)) \cdot \nabla Q_{t-s} f(x)| &\leq C e^{Ct} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{(t-s) \wedge 1}} + (1 + |x|)^{p_0} \right) \|f\|_\infty \|\mu_s - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0}, \\ |\sigma(X_s^\mu(x))^* \nabla Q_{t-s} f(X_s^\mu(x))| &\leq C e^{Ct} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{(t-s) \wedge 1}} + (1 + |X_s^\mu(x)|)^{p_0} \right) \|f\|_\infty, \\ |\bar{A}Q_{t-s} f(x)| &\leq \mu_\infty(F |\nabla Q_{t-s} f|)(1 + \phi_0(x))(1 + |x|)^{p_0} \\ &\leq C C_{F, p_0} e^{Ct} \frac{(1 + \phi_0(x))(1 + |x|)^{p_0}}{\sqrt{(t-s) \wedge 1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_{F, p_0} = \|F\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} (1 + \|(1 + |\cdot|)^{p_0}\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}).$$

Combining these with  $\mu = \mathcal{L}_X \in C([0, T], \mathcal{P}_{q_0})$  for any  $T > 0$ , (2.6), (2.7), (2.8), and the Fubini theorem, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0(P_t^\mu f) - \mu_0(Q_t f) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}(Q_{t-s} f(X_s^\mu(x))|_{s=t} - Q_{t-s} f(X_s^\mu(x))|_{s=0}) \mu_0(dx) \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (b(x, \mu_s) - b(x, \mu_\infty)) \cdot \nabla Q_{t-s} f(x) \mu_s(dx) ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\bar{A}Q_{t-s} f)(x) \mu_s(dx) ds. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\mu_\infty(Q_t f) - \mu_\infty(f)$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_\infty(Q_t f) - \mu_\infty(f) &= \mu_\infty\left(\int_0^t (L_{\mu_\infty} + \bar{A})Q_s f ds\right) \\ &= \int_0^t \mu_\infty((L_{\mu_\infty} + \bar{A})Q_s f) ds.\end{aligned}$$

Due to  $f \in C_b^2$ , (2.2), (4.27) and (4.28) with  $\alpha_1 = 0$ , there is

$$|L_{\mu_\infty} Q_s f(x)| \leq C_s(1 + |x|)^{p_0 + \beta_1 + 2} \|f\|_\infty, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad s > 0.$$

Combining this with (H0) and that  $\mu_\infty$  is the invariant probability measure of  $P_t^{\mu_\infty}$ , we have that

$$\mu_\infty(L_{\mu_\infty} Q_s f) = 0, \quad s > 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \mu_\infty(L_{\mu_\infty} Q_s f) ds &= 0, \\ \mu_\infty(Q_t f) - \mu_\infty(f) &= \int_0^t \mu_\infty(\bar{A}Q_s f) ds.\end{aligned}$$

Due to Remark 2.1,  $\mu_\infty(D_{\mu_\infty}^F b(x, \cdot)) = 0$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then, (4.27) and the Fubini theorem imply  $\mu_\infty(\bar{A}Q_s f) = 0$ , and

$$\int_0^t \mu_\infty(\bar{A}Q_s f) ds = 0.$$

Hence,  $\mu_\infty(Q_t f) = \mu_\infty(f)$ .

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}(\mu_t - \mu_\infty)(f) - (\mu_0 - \mu_\infty)(Q_t f) &= \mu_t(f) - \mu_0(Q_t f) + (\mu_\infty(Q_t f) - \mu_\infty(f)) \\ &= \int_0^t \mu_s((b(\mu_s) - b(\mu_\infty)) \cdot \nabla Q_{t-s} f) ds - \int_0^t (\mu_s - \mu_\infty)(\bar{A}Q_{t-s} f) ds \\ &= \int_0^t (\mu_s - \mu_\infty)((b(\mu_s) - b(\mu_\infty)) \cdot \nabla Q_{t-s} f) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \mu_\infty((b(\mu_s) - b(\mu_\infty)) \cdot \nabla Q_{t-s} f) ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mu_\infty(D_{\mu_\infty}^F b(\cdot, z) \cdot \nabla Q_{t-s} f(\cdot))) (\mu_s - \mu_\infty)(dz) ds \\ &= \int_0^t (\mu_s - \mu_\infty)((b(\mu_s) - b(\mu_\infty)) \cdot \nabla Q_{t-s} f) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \mu_\infty((b(\mu_s) - b(\mu_\infty)) \cdot \nabla Q_{t-s} f) ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} ((\mu_s - \mu_\infty)(D_{\mu_\infty}^F b(x, \cdot)) \cdot \nabla Q_{t-s} f(x)) \mu_\infty(dx) ds \\ &= \int_0^t (\mu_s - \mu_\infty)((b(\mu_s) - b(\mu_\infty)) \cdot \nabla Q_{t-s} f) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \mu_\infty((b(\mu_s) - b(\mu_\infty)) \cdot \nabla Q_{t-s} f) ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} ((\mu_s - \mu_\infty)(D_{\mu_\infty}^F b(x, \cdot)) \cdot \nabla Q_{t-s} f(x)) \mu_\infty(dx) ds\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^t (\mu_s - \mu_\infty) ((b(\mu_s) - b(\mu_\infty)) \cdot \nabla Q_{t-s} f) ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mu_s - \mu_\infty) (D_{\mu_s, \mu_\infty}^F b(x, \cdot) - D_{\mu_\infty}^F b(x, \cdot)) \cdot \nabla Q_{t-s} f(x) \mu_\infty(dx) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

□

exp-con-Q

**Lemma 5.2.** Assume **(H0)**-**(H3)** hold, and  $D_{\mu_\infty}^F b(x, z)$  satisfies (2.11). Suppose (2.15) and (2.16) holds. Then there is  $C \geq 1$  and  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_P \wedge \lambda_Q$  such that

$$\|Q_t f\|_{p_0, \phi_0} \leq C e^{-\lambda t} \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0}, \quad f \in \mathcal{G}_{p_0, \phi_0}. \quad (5.2) \quad \text{exp-Lip0}$$

*Proof.* It follows from (4.4) that there is  $C_1 > 0$  such that

$$\|\nabla Q_t f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \leq \frac{C_1}{\sqrt{t}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \leq \frac{C_1 e^{\lambda_Q}}{\sqrt{t}} e^{-\lambda_Q t} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}, \quad 0 < t \leq 1, \quad f \in L^2(\mu_\infty).$$

Combining this with the semigroup property and (2.16), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla Q_t f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} &= \|\nabla Q_1 Q_{t-1} f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \leq C_1 \|Q_{t-1} f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \\
&\leq C_1 C_Q e^{-\lambda_Q(t-1)} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \\
&= C_1 C_Q e^{\lambda_Q} e^{-\lambda_Q t} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}, \quad \mu_\infty(f) = 0, \quad t \geq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $Q_t 1 = 1$ , since

$$\frac{d}{dt} Q_t 1 = Q_t (L_{\mu_\infty} + \bar{A}) 1 = 0.$$

Hence, there is  $\tilde{C} > 0$  such that

$$\|\nabla Q_t f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} = \|\nabla Q_t (f - \mu_\infty(f))\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \leq \frac{\tilde{C}}{\sqrt{t \wedge 1}} e^{-\lambda_Q t} \|f - \mu_\infty(f)\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}, \quad t > 0.$$

Combining this with (2.15) and (4.33), we find that for any  $f \in \mathcal{G}_{p_0, \phi_0}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Q_t f\|_{p_0, \phi_0} &\leq \|P_t^{\mu_\infty} f\|_{p_0, \phi_0} + \int_0^t \|P_{t-s}^{\mu_\infty} \bar{A} Q_s f\|_{p_0, \phi_0} ds \\
&\leq C_W e^{-\lambda_P t} \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0} + C_W \int_0^t e^{-\lambda_P(t-s)} \|\bar{A} Q_s f\|_{p_0, \phi_0} ds \\
&\leq C_W e^{-\lambda_P t} \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0} + C_W \|F\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda_P(t-s)} \|\nabla Q_s f\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} ds \\
&\leq C_W e^{-\lambda_P t} \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0} + C_W \|F\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \tilde{C} \int_0^t \frac{e^{-\lambda_P(t-s) - \lambda_Q s}}{\sqrt{s \wedge 1}} \|f - \mu_\infty(f)\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} ds \\
&\leq C_W e^{-\lambda_P t} \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0} + C_W \|F\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)} \tilde{C} e^{-(\lambda_P \wedge \lambda_Q)t} \varphi_1(t) \|f - \mu_\infty(f)\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for  $f \in \mathcal{G}_{p_0, \phi_0}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|f(x) - f(y)| &\leq \phi_0(|x - y|) ((1 + |x|)^{p_0} + (1 + |y|)^{p_0}) \\
&\leq C(1 + |x| + |y|) ((1 + |x|)^{p_0} + (1 + |y|)^{p_0}) \\
&\leq C_{p_0} ((1 + |x|)^{p_0+1} + (1 + |y|)^{p_0+1}).
\end{aligned} \quad (5.3) \quad \text{ph-ppp}$$

Thus

$$\|f - \mu_\infty(f)\|_{L^2(\mu_\infty)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( f(x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) \mu_\infty(dy) \right)^2 \mu_\infty(dx)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(x) - f(y)) \mu_\infty(dy) \right)^2 \mu_\infty(dx) \tag{5.4} \quad \boxed{\text{f-L2-ph}} \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} ((1 + |x|)^{p_0+1} + (1 + |y|)^{p_0+1}) \mu_\infty(dy) \right)^2 \mu_\infty(dx) \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0}^2 \\
&\leq C \mu_\infty((1 + |\cdot|)^{2p_0+2}) \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, there is  $\tilde{C} > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Q_t f\|_{p_0, \phi_0} &\leq C_W \left( e^{-\lambda_P t} + \tilde{C} \varphi_1(t) e^{-(\lambda_P \wedge \lambda_Q)t} \right) \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0} \\
&\leq C_W \left( 1 + \tilde{C} \varphi_1(t) \right) e^{-(\lambda_P \wedge \lambda_Q)t} \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0}, \quad f \in \mathcal{G}_{p_0, \phi_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there are  $C \geq 1$  and  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_P \wedge \lambda_Q$  such that (5.2) holds.  $\square$

Next, we use Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2 to estimate  $\|\mu_t - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0}$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** *Suppose assumptions of Theorem 2.1 hold. Then there are  $\lambda > 0$  and  $C \geq 1$  such that*

$$\|\mu_t - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0} \leq C \left( \|\mu_0 - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0} e^{-\lambda t} + \int_0^t \frac{e^{-\lambda(t-s)}}{\sqrt{(t-s) \wedge 1}} \|\mu_s - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0}^2 ds \right), \quad t \geq 0. \tag{5.5} \quad \boxed{\text{DuHam-2}}$$

*Proof.* We first estimate the second term of (5.1). Combining Lemma 3.3 (setting  $\alpha_1 = 0$  and  $p_1 = p_0$  there) with (5.4), (4.27) and (4.28), we find that there is  $C > 0$  whose value may vary at each line such that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\nabla Q_t f(x)| &\leq C e^{Ct} (1 + |x|)^{p_0} \left( t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi(\sqrt{t}) + 1 \right) \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0} \\
&\leq C e^{Ct} (1 + |x|)^{p_0} \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0}, \\
|\nabla^2 Q_t f(x)| &\leq C e^{Ct} (1 + |x|)^{p_0} \left( t^{-1} \phi\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) + 1 \right) \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0}, \\
&\leq \frac{C e^{Ct}}{\sqrt{t}} (1 + |x|)^{p_0} \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad f \in \mathcal{G}_{p_0, \phi_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining these with the semigroup property of  $Q_t$  and Lemma 5.2, we find that there is  $C \geq 1$  and  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\nabla Q_t f(x)| &\leq C e^{-\lambda t} (1 + |x|)^{p_0} \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0}, \\
|\nabla^2 Q_t f(x)| &\leq \frac{C e^{-\lambda t}}{\sqrt{t \wedge 1}} (1 + |x|)^{p_0} \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad f \in \mathcal{G}_{p_0, \phi_0}, \quad \mu_\infty(f) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Denote  $q_{t-s}(x) = \nabla Q_{t-s} f(x)$ . Then, together with (2.14), as proving (2.20), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
|q_{t-s}(x_1) - q_{t-s}(x_2)| &\leq \left[ \frac{C e^{-\lambda(t-s)}}{\sqrt{(t-s) \wedge 1}} |x_1 - x_2| ((1 + |x_1|)^{p_0} + (1 + |x_2|)^{p_0}) \right] \\
&\quad \wedge \left[ C e^{-\lambda(t-s)} ((1 + |x_1|)^{p_0} + (1 + |x_2|)^{p_0}) \right] \\
&\leq \frac{C e^{-\lambda(t-s)}}{\sqrt{(t-s) \wedge 1}} (|x_1 - x_2| \wedge 1) ((1 + |x_1|)^{p_0} + (1 + |x_2|)^{p_0}) \\
&\leq \frac{C e^{-\lambda(t-s)}}{\sqrt{(t-s) \wedge 1}} \phi_0(|x_1 - x_2|) ((1 + |x_1|)^{p_0} + (1 + |x_2|)^{p_0}).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2.7) and (2.10), and denoting  $h(x) = b_1(x, \mu_s) - b_1(x, \mu_\infty)$ , we have that

$$|h(x_1) \cdot q_{t-s}(x_1) - h(x_2) \cdot q_{t-s}(x_2)|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq |(h(x_1) - h(x_2)) \cdot q_{t-s}(x_1)| + |h(x_2) \cdot (q_{t-s}(x_1) - q_{t-s}(x_2))| \\
&\leq C e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \phi_0(|x_1 - x_2|) (1 + |x_1|)^{p_0} \|\mu_s - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0} \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0} \\
&\quad + \frac{C e^{-\lambda(t-s)}}{\sqrt{(t-s) \wedge 1}} \|\mu_s - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0} \phi_0(|x_1 - x_2|) ((1 + |x_1|)^{p_0} + (1 + |x_2|)^{p_0}) \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0} \\
&\leq \frac{2C e^{-\lambda(t-s)}}{\sqrt{(t-s) \wedge 1}} \|\mu_s - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0} \phi_0(|x_1 - x_2|) ((1 + |x_1|)^{p_0} + (1 + |x_2|)^{p_0}) \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(\mu_s - \mu_\infty)((b(\cdot, \mu_s) - b(\cdot, \mu_\infty)) \cdot \nabla Q_{t-s} f(\cdot)) \leq \frac{C e^{-\lambda(t-s)}}{\sqrt{(t-s) \wedge 1}} \|\mu_s - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0}^2 \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0},$$

and as a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t (\mu_s - \mu_\infty)((b(\cdot, \mu_s) - b(\cdot, \mu_\infty)) \cdot \nabla Q_{t-s} f(\cdot)) ds \\
&\leq \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0} \int_0^t \frac{C e^{-\lambda(t-s)}}{\sqrt{(t-s) \wedge 1}} \|\mu_s - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0}^2 ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6} \quad \boxed{\text{5.1-2term}}$$

Next, we estimate the third term in (5.1). It follows from (2.12) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|D_{\mu_s, \mu_\infty}^F b(x, \cdot) - D_{\mu_\infty}^F b(x, \cdot)\|_{p_0, \phi_0} \\
&\leq \int_0^1 \|D_{r\mu_s + (1-r)\mu_\infty}^F b(x, \cdot) - D_{\mu_\infty}^F b(x, \cdot)\|_{p_0, \phi_0} dr \\
&\leq C \int_0^1 \|r\mu_s + (1-r)\mu_\infty - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0} dr \\
&= \frac{C}{2} \|\mu_s - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&|(\mu_s - \mu_\infty) (D_{\mu_s, \mu_\infty}^F b(x, \cdot) - D_{\mu_\infty}^F b(x, \cdot)) \cdot \nabla Q_{t-s} f(x)| \\
&\leq C \|\mu_s - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0}^2 |\nabla Q_{t-s} f(x)| \\
&\leq C e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \|\mu_s - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0}^2 (1 + |x|)^{p_0} \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mu_s - \mu_\infty) (D_{\mu_s, \mu_\infty}^F b(x, \cdot) - D_{\mu_\infty}^F b(x, \cdot)) \cdot \nabla Q_{t-s} f(x) \mu_\infty(dx) ds \right| \\
&\leq C (\mu_\infty((1 + |\cdot|)^{p_0})) \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \|\mu_s - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0}^2 ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7} \quad \boxed{\text{5.1-3term}}$$

Substituting (5.6) and (5.7) into (5.1), and together with Lemma 5.2, we have that

$$(\mu_t - \mu_\infty)(f) \leq C \left( \|\mu_0 - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0} e^{-\lambda t} + \int_0^t \frac{e^{-\lambda(t-s)}}{\sqrt{(t-s) \wedge 1}} \|\mu_s - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0}^2 ds \right) \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0}, \tag{5.8} \quad \boxed{\text{DuHam-1}}$$

holds for any  $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

Finally, we extend (5.8) to  $f \in \mathcal{G}_{p_0, \phi_0}$ . Let  $\zeta \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be a nonnegative function such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta(x) dx = 1$  and  $\text{supp} \zeta \subset B(0, 1)$ , and let  $\zeta_n(x) = n^d \zeta(nx)$ . For each  $f \in \mathcal{G}_{p_0, \phi_0}$ ,  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we set

$$f_m = f \vee (-m) \wedge m, \quad f_{m,n} = f_m * \zeta_n.$$

Then  $f_{m,n} \in C_b^2$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
|f_{m,n}(x) - f_{m,n}(z)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_m(x-y) - f_m(z-y)| \zeta_n(y) dy \\
&\leq \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0} \phi_0(|x-z|) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} ((1+|x-y|)^{p_0} + (1+|z-y|)^{p_0}) n^d \zeta(ny) dy \\
&\leq \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0} \phi_0(|x-z|) \int_{|u| \leq 1} \left( \left(1 + |x - \frac{u}{n}|\right)^{p_0} + \left(1 + |z - \frac{u}{n}|\right)^{p_0} \right) \zeta(u) du \\
&\leq \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0} \phi_0(|x-z|) \left( \left(1 + |x| + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{p_0} + \left(1 + |z| + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{p_0} \right) \\
&\leq \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0} \phi_0(|x-z|) ((1+|x|)^{p_0} + (1+|z|)^{p_0}) (1 + \frac{1}{n})^{p_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{m \geq 1} \|f_{m,n}\|_{p_0, \phi_0} \leq \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0}.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
|f_{m,n}(x) - f_m(x)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_m(x-y) - f_m(x)| \zeta_n(y) dy \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi_0(|y|) ((1+|x-y|)^{p_0} + (1+|x|)^{p_0}) n^d \zeta(ny) dy \\
&\leq \int_{|u| \leq 1} \phi_0\left(\frac{|u|}{n}\right) \left( \left(1 + |x - \frac{u}{n}|\right)^{p_0} + (1+|x|)^{p_0} \right) \zeta(u) du, \\
|f_{m,n}(x)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_m(x-y)| \zeta_n(y) dy \\
&\leq |f_m(0)| + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_m(x-y) - f_m(0)| \zeta_n(y) dy \\
&\leq |f(0)| + \int_{|u| \leq 1} \phi_0\left(|x - \frac{u}{n}|\right) \left( \left(1 + |x - \frac{u}{n}|\right)^{p_0} + 1 \right) \zeta(u) du \\
&\leq |f(0)| + \phi_0(1+|x|) ((2+|x|)^{p_0} + 1) \\
&\leq |f(0)| + C(1+|x|)^{q_0},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (2.6) in the last inequality. Combining the both inequalities with **(H0)**,  $\mu_t \in \mathcal{P}_{q_0}$ ,  $|f_m| \leq |f|$  and (2.6), the dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (\mu_t + \mu_\infty)(|f_{m,n} - f_m|) &= 0, \\
\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} (\mu_t + \mu_\infty)(|f_m - f|) &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can choose a sequence from  $\{f_{m,n}\}_{m,n \geq 1}$ , denoting by  $\{\tilde{f}_m\}_{m \geq 1}$ , such that  $\tilde{f}_m \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \|\tilde{f}_m\|_{p_0, \phi_0} &\leq \|f\|_{p_0, \phi_0}, \\
\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} (\mu_s - \mu_\infty)(\tilde{f}_m) &= (\mu_s - \mu_\infty)(f).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, (5.5) follows from (5.8) and the approximation argument.  $\square$

Finally, we prove the convergence of  $\|\mu_t - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0}$ . Let  $\delta = \|\mu_0 - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0}$ ,  $C$  be the constant in (5.5), and

$$\tau = \inf \left\{ t \geq 0 \mid \|\mu_t - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0} \geq 2Ce^{-\lambda t} \delta \right\}.$$



Then on  $[0, \tau]$ , there is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \frac{e^{-\lambda(t-s)}}{\sqrt{(t-s) \wedge 1}} \|\mu_s - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0}^2 ds &\leq 4C^2 \int_0^t \frac{e^{-\lambda(t-s)}}{\sqrt{(t-s) \wedge 1}} e^{-2\lambda s} \delta^2 ds \\ &= 4C^2 \delta^2 e^{-2\lambda t} \int_0^t \frac{e^{\lambda s}}{\sqrt{s \wedge 1}} ds \\ &\leq 4C^2 \delta^2 e^{-2\lambda t} \left( 2e^{\lambda t} \sqrt{t} \mathbb{1}_{[t \leq 1]} + \left( \frac{e^{\lambda t} - e^\lambda}{\lambda} + 2e^\lambda \right) \mathbb{1}_{[t \geq 1]} \right) \\ &\leq 4C^2 \delta^2 \left( 2 \vee \frac{1 + (2\lambda - 1)^+}{\lambda} \right) e^{-\lambda t}, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (5.5), yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu_t - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0} &\leq C \left( \|\mu_0 - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0} e^{-\lambda t} + \int_0^t \frac{e^{-\lambda(t-s)}}{\sqrt{(t-s) \wedge 1}} \|\mu_s - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0}^2 ds \right) \\ &\leq C \left( 1 + 4C^2 \delta \left( 2 \vee \frac{1 + (2\lambda - 1)^+}{\lambda} \right) \right) \delta e^{-\lambda t}. \end{aligned}$$

For

$$\delta = \|\mu_0 - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0} < \frac{1}{4C^2} \left( 2 \vee \frac{1 + (2\lambda - 1)^+}{\lambda} \right)^{-1},$$

there is

$$\|\mu_\tau - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0} < 2C\delta e^{-\lambda\tau}. \quad (5.9) \quad \boxed{\text{mu-ta} <}$$

Due to (2.8) and  $t \mapsto \mu_t$  is continuous in  $\mathcal{P}_{q_0}$ , we have that

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow s} \|\mu_t - \mu_s\|_{p_0, \phi_0} \leq \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow s} \|\mu_t - \mu_s\|_{q_0} = 0.$$

Hence,  $s \mapsto \|\mu_s - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0}$  is continuous. Consequently, if  $\tau < +\infty$ , then

$$\|\mu_\tau - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0} = 2C\delta e^{-\lambda\tau}.$$

This contradict (5.9). Hence, we have that  $\tau = +\infty$ . Therefore,

$$\|\mu_t - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0} < 2C e^{-\lambda t} \|\mu_0 - \mu_\infty\|_{p_0, \phi_0}.$$

## 6 Proofs of examples

*Proof of Example 2.2.* To obtain stationary distributions of (2.21), we only need to solve the following equation

$$\mu(dx) = \frac{\exp \left\{ -\frac{x^2}{2} + \beta x \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\cos z) \mu(dz) \right\} dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{x^2}{2} + \beta x \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\cos z) \mu(dz) \right\} dx}. \quad (6.1) \quad \boxed{\text{fix-exal}}$$

Let

$$\mu_w(dx) = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\beta w)^2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dx.$$

Then  $\mu$  is a solution of (6.1) if and only if  $\mu = \mu_w$  for some  $w$  satisfying  $\mu_w(\cos(\cdot)) = w$ . Since  $\mu_w$  is a Gaussian measure, there is  $\mu_w(\cos(\cdot)) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cos(w\beta)$ . Thus for  $w$  satisfying  $e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cos(w\beta) = w$ ,  $\mu_w$  is a solution of (6.1). Due to the first equality in (2.22), we have that  $\mu_m$  is a stationary distribution of (2.21).

In this example,  $b(x, \mu) = -x + \beta\mu(\cos(\cdot))$ , and it is clear that **(H1)**-**(H4)** hold with  $q_0 = 1, p_0 = 0, K_1 = K_3 = 0, K_2(x) = -1, \beta_1 = 0, \phi_0(r) = r, \|\mu - \nu\|_{p_0, \phi_0} = \mathbb{W}_1(\mu, \nu)$  (see (2.18) for definition), and

$$\mathcal{G}_{p_0, \phi_0} = \mathcal{L}ip := \left\{ f \mid \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}ip} := \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} \leq 1 \right\}. \quad (6.2) \quad \boxed{\text{lip}}$$

For the stationary distribution  $\mu_\infty := \mu_m$ , it is clear that **(H0)** holds. Taking into account  $\mu_m(\cos(\cdot)) = m$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\mu_\infty} f &\equiv L_m f = \frac{d^2 f}{dx^2} - x \frac{df}{dx} + \beta m \frac{df}{dx}, \quad f \in C_b^2, \\ D_{\mu_m}^F b(x, z) &= \beta(\cos z - \mu_m(\cos(\cdot))) = \beta(\cos z - m) \\ \bar{A}f(x) &= \beta(\cos x - m)\mu_m(f'), \quad f \in C_b^1. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the integration by part formula that

$$\bar{A}f(x) = \beta(\cos x - m) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (x - \beta m) f(x) \mu_m(dx), \quad f \in C_b^1.$$

Thus  $\bar{A}$  can be extended to a compact operator on  $L^2(\mu_m)$ .

Since Lemma 4.4,  $L_m$  is an essential selfadjoint operator in  $L^2(\mu_m)$ , and we also denote by  $L_m$  the selfadjoint extension. Moreover, the essential spectrum of  $L_m$  is empty. We denote by  $P_t^{\mu_m}$  the diffusion semigroup generated by  $L_m$ . Then  $P_t^{\mu_m}$  is symmetric w.r.t.  $\mu_m$  and

$$\mathbb{W}_1((P_t^{\mu_m})^* \delta_x, (P_t^{\mu_m})^* \delta_y) \leq e^{-t}|x - y|, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (6.3) \quad \boxed{\text{exa1-W1}}$$

Then, following from the Kantorovich-Rubinstein duality or Remark 2.3, there is

$$\|P_t^{\mu_m} f\|_{\mathcal{L}ip} \leq e^{-t} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}ip}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad f \in \mathcal{L}ip.$$

Next, we show the semigroup  $Q_t$  generated by  $L_m + \bar{A}$  satisfies (2.16). Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\text{Re}\lambda \geq 0$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Then  $\lambda \notin \Sigma(L_m)$  (the spectrum of  $L_m$ ). We first prove that  $\lambda \notin \Sigma(L_m + \bar{A})$ . For any  $g \in L^2(\mu_m)$ , consider the following equation

$$(L_m + \bar{A} - \lambda)f = g. \quad (6.4) \quad \boxed{\text{LAlafg}}$$

Denote  $e_1(x) = x - \beta m$  and  $e_\infty(x) = \cos x - m$ . Then  $e_1$  is the eigenfunction of  $L_m$  associated with the eigenvalue  $-1$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_m(e_1 e_\infty) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} x \cos x \mu(dx) - \beta m^2 \\ &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\beta m \cos(\beta m) - \sin(\beta m)) - \beta m^2 \\ &= \beta m^2 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sin(\beta m) - \beta m^2 \\ &= -e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sin(\beta m), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used  $\cos(\beta m) = m\sqrt{e}$ , recalling (2.22), in the last second equality. Due to (2.22),

$$1 + \lambda + e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \beta \sin(\beta m) \neq 0.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= -(1 + \lambda + e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \beta \sin(\beta m))^{-1} \mu_m(e_1 g), \\ f &= (L_m - \lambda)^{-1} (g - \beta c_0 e_\infty). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $f \in \mathcal{D}(L_m) \subset W_{\mu_m}^{1,2}$ , and

$$\mu_m(f') = \mu_m(e_1 f) = \mu_m(e_1 (L_m - \lambda)^{-1} g) - \beta c_0 \mu_m(e_1 (L_m - \lambda)^{-1} e_\infty)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= -(1 + \lambda)^{-1} \mu_m(e_1 g) + \frac{\beta c_0}{1 + \lambda} \mu_m(e_1 e_\infty) \\
 &= -(1 + \lambda)^{-1} \mu_m(e_1 g) - \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \beta \sin(\beta m)}{1 + \lambda} c_0 \\
 &= \frac{1 + \lambda + e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \beta \sin(\beta m)}{1 + \lambda} c_0 - \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \beta \sin(\beta m)}{1 + \lambda} c_0 \\
 &= c_0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.5} \quad \boxed{\text{muf}'0}$$

Thus

$$(L_m - \lambda)f = g - \beta e_\infty \mu_m(f') = g - \bar{A}f$$

which implies that  $f$  is a solution of (6.4). Hence,  $\lambda \notin \Sigma(L_m + \bar{A})$ .

Next, we prove that 0 is a simple eigenvalue of  $L_m + \bar{A}$  (i.e. the algebraic multiplicity equals to 1). If there is a  $f \in \mathcal{D}(L_m)$  such that  $f \neq 0$ ,  $\mu_m(f) = 0$  and

$$(L_m + \bar{A})f = 0. \tag{6.6} \quad \boxed{\text{LAf0}}$$

Since 0 is a simple eigenvalue of  $L_m$  and 1 is the associated eigenfunction,  $L_m$  is invertible on

$$\mathcal{H} := \{f \in L^2(\mu_m) \mid \mu_m(f) = 0\},$$

and we denote by  $L_m^{-1}$  the inverse on  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . Then  $L_m^{-1}e_\infty$  makes sense due to  $\mu_m(e_\infty) = 0$ . It follows from (6.6) that

$$f = -\beta (\mu_m(f')) L_m^{-1}e_\infty, \tag{6.7} \quad \boxed{\text{fLe}}$$

which yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_m(f') &= -\beta \mu_m \left( \frac{d}{dx} L_m^{-1} e_\infty \right) \mu_m(f') = -\beta \mu_m(e_1 L_m^{-1} e_\infty) \mu_m(f') \\
 &= \beta \mu_m(e_1 e_\infty) \mu_m(f') = -e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \beta \sin(\beta m) \mu_m(f')
 \end{aligned}$$

Due to (2.22),  $\mu_m(f') = 0$ . This, together with (6.7), implies  $f = 0$ . This is a contradiction. Hence, the geometric multiplicity of the eigenvalue 0 is 1. If 0 is not a simple eigenvalue, then the following equation has a solution

$$(L_{\mu_m} + \bar{A})f = 1, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(L_{\mu_m}).$$

This is a contradiction, since  $\mu_m((L_{\mu_m} + \bar{A})f) = 0$ .

Finally, since  $\bar{A}$  is compact on  $L^2(\mu_m)$  and the essential spectrum of  $L_m$  is empty, the essential spectral radius  $\Sigma_{ess}(L_m + \bar{A}) = 0$ . Taking into account that 0 is simple eigenvalue, there is  $r_0 > 0$  such that

$$\Sigma(L_m + \bar{A}) = (\Sigma(L_{\mu_m} + \bar{A}) \cap \{\text{Re} \lambda < -r_0\}) \cup \{0\}. \tag{6.8} \quad \boxed{\text{spe-L-A1}}$$

Moreover, due to

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|P_t^{\mu_m} f - \mu_m(f)\|_{L^2(\mu_m)} = 0,$$

we derive from [15, Proposition V.4.9] that  $Q_t$  is a quasi-compact semigroup. Since

$$\mu_m(Q_t f) = \mu_m(f) + \int_0^t \mu_m((L_m + \bar{A})Q_s f) ds = 0, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(L_m + \bar{A}),$$

there is  $\mu_m(Q_t f) = \mu_m(f)$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{D}(L_m + \bar{A}) = \mathcal{D}(L_m)$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}$  is an invariant space of  $Q_t$ . Combining this with (6.8), 0 is a simple eigenvalue of  $L_m + \bar{A}$ , and [15, Corollary V.4.7], we have that there is  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|Q_t f\|_{L^2(\mu_m)} \leq C e^{-r_0 t} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_m)}, \quad \mu_m(f) = 0.$$

Hence, Theorem 2.1 yields that (2.17) is satisfied for  $\mu_m$ . Therefore, the exponential convergence follows from the Kantorovich-Rubinstein duality.  $\square$

*Proof of Example 2.3.* Consider the following equation

$$\mu(dx) = \frac{\exp\left\{-\frac{2}{\sigma^2}\left(\frac{x^4}{4} - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{\beta}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}}(x-y)^2\mu(dy)\right)\right\} dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left\{-\frac{2}{\sigma^2}\left(\frac{x^4}{4} - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{\beta}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}}(x-y)^2\mu(dy)\right)\right\} dx}.$$

This equation can be reformulated as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(dx) &= \frac{\exp\left\{-\frac{2}{\sigma^2}\left(\frac{x^4}{4} - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{\beta}{2}(x^2 - 2mx)\right)\right\} dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left\{-\frac{2}{\sigma^2}\left(\frac{x^4}{4} - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{\beta}{2}(x^2 - 2mx)\right)\right\} dx} \\ &= \frac{\exp\left\{-\frac{2}{\sigma^2}\left(\frac{x^4}{4} - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{\beta}{2}(x-m)^2\right)\right\} dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left\{-\frac{2}{\sigma^2}\left(\frac{x^4}{4} - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{\beta}{2}(x-m)^2\right)\right\} dx}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.9) \quad \boxed{\text{imp-mu}}$$

where  $m = \int_{\mathbb{R}} y\mu(dy)$ . The existence of solutions for (6.9) can be established by solving the following equation

$$\psi(m) := \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} (x-m) \exp\left\{-\frac{2}{\sigma^2}\left(\frac{x^4}{4} - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{\beta}{2}(x-m)^2\right)\right\} dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left\{-\frac{2}{\sigma^2}\left(\frac{x^4}{4} - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{\beta}{2}(x-m)^2\right)\right\} dx} = 0,$$

It is clear that  $\psi(0) = 0$ . According to [13, Theorem 3.3.1 and Theorem 3.3.2] or [18, Theorem 4.6], there are  $m_+ > 0$  and  $m_- < 0$  such that  $\psi(m_{\pm}) = 0$ . Due to [13, Theorem 3.3.1 and Theorem 3.3.2], for  $\sigma < \sigma_c$ ,  $\psi'(0) > 0$  and  $\psi(\cdot)$  is concave on  $[0, +\infty)$ . Thus, there is  $\psi'(m_{\pm}) < 0$ . Note that  $\psi'(m_{\pm}) = -1 + \mu_{\pm}((\cdot - m_{\pm})^2)$ , we find that

$$\frac{2\beta}{\sigma^2}\mu_+((\cdot - m_+)^2) < 1, \quad \frac{2\beta}{\sigma^2}\mu_-((\cdot - m_-)^2) < 1, \quad (6.10) \quad \boxed{\text{mu+-2}}$$

where  $\mu_{\pm}$  are solutions of (6.9) associated with  $m_{\pm}$ .

In this example,  $b(x, \mu) = -x^3 + x - \beta(x - \int_{\mathbb{R}} y\mu(dy))$ . For simplicity, we consider  $m_+$ , and it is similar for  $m_-$ . Since  $\mu_+$  satisfies (6.9) with  $m = m_+$ , (H0) holds. It is clear that (H1)-(H4) hold with  $K_1 = (1 - \beta)^+$ ,  $K_2(x) = 3x^2$ ,  $\beta_1 = 1$ ,  $p_0 = 0$ ,  $\phi_0(r) = r$ ,  $\|\mu - \nu\|_{p_0, \phi_0} = \mathbb{W}_1(\mu, \nu)$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_{p_0, \phi_0} = \mathcal{L}ip$  (see (6.2)) and

$$D_{\mu_+}^F b(x, y) = \beta(y - m_+).$$

Set  $\mu_{\infty} = \mu_+$  and  $L_{\mu_{\infty}} \equiv L_{\mu_+}$  defined as follows

$$L_{\mu_+} f(x) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \frac{d^2 f}{dx^2}(x) - (x^3 - x) \frac{df}{dx}(x) - \beta(x - m_+) \frac{df}{dx}(x), \quad f \in C_b^2.$$

Then  $L_{\mu_+}$  is essential self-adjoint in  $L^2(\mu_+)$ , and

$$\mu_+(gL_{\mu_+}f) = -\mu_+\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{2}g'f'\right), \quad f, g \in \mathcal{D}(L_{\mu_+}). \quad (6.11) \quad \boxed{\text{dirich}}$$

We also denote by  $L_{\mu_+}$  the self-adjoint extension and  $P_t^{\mu_+}$  the associated diffusion semigroup. For the operator  $\bar{A}$ , there is

$$\bar{A}f(x) = \beta(x - m_+) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \nabla f(x)\mu_+(dx) = -\beta(x - m_+) \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \left(\frac{d}{dx} \log \frac{d\mu_+}{dx}\right)(x)\mu_+(dx),$$

which can be extended to be a compact operator on  $L^2(\mu_+)$  since  $\left(\frac{d}{dx} \log \frac{d\mu_+}{dx}\right) \in L^2(\mu_+)$ .

According to [22, Theorem 1.4], there are  $C > 0$  and  $\lambda_P > 0$  such that

$$\mathbb{W}_1((P_t^{\mu_m})^* \delta_x, (P_t^{\mu_m})^* \delta_y) \leq Ce^{-\lambda_P t} |x - y|, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Due to the Kantorovich-Rubinstein duality or Remark 2.3, (2.15) holds for  $P_t^{\mu_+}$ .

Next, we prove that 0 is a simple eigenvalue of  $L_{\mu_+} + \bar{A}$  and

$$\Sigma(L_{\mu_+} + \bar{A}) \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0\} \cup \{0\}.$$

Denote  $e_+(x) = x - m_+$ . Let  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ . For any  $g \in L^2(\mu_+)$ , consider

$$(L_{\mu_+} + \bar{A} - \lambda)f = g. \tag{6.12}$$

LAlafg1

We prove that (6.12) has a unique solution. Since  $\lambda \notin \Sigma(L_{\mu_+})$ ,  $(L_{\mu_+} - \lambda)^{-1}$  is a bounded operator on  $L^2(\mu_+)$  and  $f \in \mathcal{D}(L_{\mu_+}) \subset W_{\mu_+}^{1,2}$ . According to [10, Proposition 3.21], the supper Poincaré inequality holds for the Dirichlet form associated with  $L_{\mu_+}$ . Thus, the essential spectrum of  $L_{\mu_+}$  is empty. Let  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$  are eigenvalues of  $-L_{\mu_+}$  except 0 and  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$  are associated eigenvectors. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2\beta}{\sigma^2} |\mu_+(e_+(L_{\mu_+}(L_{\mu_+} - \lambda)^{-1}e_+))| &\leq \frac{2\beta}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \left| \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i + \lambda} \right| \cdot |\mu_+(e_i e_+)|^2 \\ &< \frac{2\beta}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} |\mu_+(e_i e_+)|^2 \\ &= \frac{2\beta}{\sigma^2} \mu_+(e_+^2) \\ &< 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds since (6.10). We can let

$$\begin{aligned} c_+ &= \frac{\mu_+ \left( \frac{d}{dx}(L_{\mu_+} - \lambda)^{-1}g \right)}{1 - \frac{2\beta}{\sigma^2} \mu_+(e_+ L_{\mu_+}(L_{\mu_+} - \lambda)^{-1}e_+)}, \\ f &= (L_{\mu_+} - \lambda)^{-1}g - \beta c_+(L_{\mu_+} - \lambda)^{-1}e_+. \end{aligned}$$

Then, taking into account that (6.11) and  $\frac{d}{dx}e_+ = 1$ , there is

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_+(f') &= \mu_+ \left( \frac{d}{dx}(L_{\mu_+} - \lambda)^{-1}g \right) + \beta c_+ \mu_+ \left( \frac{d}{dx}(L_{\mu_+} - \lambda)^{-1}e_+ \right) \\ &= \left( 1 - \frac{2\beta}{\sigma^2} \mu_+(e_+ L_{\mu_+}(L_{\mu_+} - \lambda)^{-1}e_+) \right) c_+ \\ &\quad + \frac{2\beta}{\sigma^2} c_+ \mu_+ \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \frac{d}{dx}e_+ \cdot \frac{d}{dx}(L_{\mu_+} - \lambda)^{-1}e_+ \right) \\ &= \left( 1 - \frac{2\beta}{\sigma^2} \mu_+(e_+ L_{\mu_+}(L_{\mu_+} - \lambda)^{-1}e_+) \right) c_+ \\ &\quad - \frac{2\beta}{\sigma^2} c_+ \mu_+ (e_+ L_{\mu_+}(L_{\mu_+} - \lambda)^{-1}e_+) \\ &= c_+. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$f = (L_{\mu_+} - \lambda)^{-1}g - \beta c_+(L_{\mu_+} - \lambda)^{-1}e_+ = (L_{\mu_+} - \lambda)^{-1}g - (L_{\mu_+} - \lambda)^{-1}\bar{A}f,$$

which yields  $f$  is a solution of (6.12).

Next, we prove 0 is a simple eigenvalue of  $L_{\mu_+} + \bar{A}$ . Consider (6.12) with  $g = 0$ . We prove that there are no solutions except that  $f$  is a constant. Then, we can prove that 0 is a simple eigenvalue similarly to Example 2.2. For  $\lambda$  with  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Since  $\mu_+(e_+) = 0$  and 0 is a simple eigenvalue of  $L_{\mu_+}$ , there is a unique  $h \in L^2(\mu_+)$  such that  $\mu_+(h) = 0$  and  $L_{\mu_+}h = e_+$ .

Set  $L_{\mu_+}^{-1}e_+ = h$ . Then, for  $\lambda$  with  $\operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq 0$ ,  $(L_{\mu_+} - \lambda)^{-1}e_+$  make sense when  $\lambda = 0$ . Thus, for any solution of (6.12) with  $g = 0$ , there is

$$f = -\beta\mu_+(f')(L_{\mu_+} - \lambda)^{-1}e_+.$$

This, together with (6.11) and  $\frac{d}{dx}e_+ = 1$ , implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_+(f') &= -\beta\mu_+ \left( \frac{d}{dx}(L_{\mu_+} - \lambda)^{-1}e_+ \right) \mu_+(f') \\ &= -\frac{2\beta}{\sigma^2}\mu_+ \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \frac{d}{dx}e_+ \cdot \frac{d}{dx}(L_{\mu_+} - \lambda)^{-1}e_+ \right) \mu_+(f') \\ &= \frac{2\beta}{\sigma^2}\mu_+(e_+L_{\mu_+}(L_{\mu_+} - \lambda)^{-1}e_+) \mu_+(f') \\ &= \frac{2\beta}{\sigma^2}\mu_+(f') \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i + \lambda} |\mu_+(e_i e_+)|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{6.13} \quad \boxed{\text{mu+nnf}}$$

If  $\mu_+(f') \neq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu_+(f')| &\leq \frac{2\beta}{\sigma^2} |\mu_+(f')| \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \left| \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i + \lambda} \right| \cdot |\mu_+(e_i e_+)|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{2\beta}{\sigma^2} |\mu_+(f')| \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} |\mu_+(e_i e_+)|^2 \\ &= \left( \frac{2\beta}{\sigma^2} \mu_+(e_+^2) \right) |\mu_+(f')| \\ &< |\mu_+(f')|, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (6.10) in the last inequality again. This is a contradiction. Hence,  $\mu_+(f') = 0$ , which yields that  $f = 0$ .

Finally, we prove that (2.16) is satisfied. Since  $\bar{A}$  is compact on  $L^2(\mu_+)$  and the essential spectrum of  $L_{\mu_+}$  is empty,  $\Sigma_{\text{ess}}(L_{\mu_+} + \bar{A}) = 0$ . Taking into account that 0 is simple eigenvalue, then there is  $r_0 > 0$  such that

$$\Sigma(L_{\mu_+} + \bar{A}) = (\Sigma(L_{\mu_+} + \bar{A}) \cap \{\operatorname{Re}\lambda < -r_0\}) \cup \{0\}. \tag{6.14} \quad \boxed{\text{spe-L-A}}$$

Since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|P_t^{\mu_+} f - \mu_+(f)\|_{L^2(\mu_+)} = 0,$$

we derive from [15, Corollary V.4.7], (6.14) and  $\mu_+(Q_t f) = \mu_+(f)$  that there is  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|Q_t f\|_{L^2(\mu_+)} \leq C e^{-r_0 t} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_+)}, \quad \mu_+(f) = 0.$$

Therefore, Theorem 2.1 and the Kantorovich-Rubinstein duality imply that the assertion of this example holds.  $\square$

## 7 Appendix

Let  $\gamma_1$  be a nonnegative and locally integrable function on  $(0, +\infty)$  such that

$$\Gamma_1(t) := \int_0^t \frac{\gamma_1(s)}{\sqrt{(t-s) \wedge 1}} < +\infty, \quad t > 0,$$

and let  $\gamma_2$  is a positive and nondecreasing function on  $[0, +\infty)$ .

**lem-Gron****Lemma 7.1.** *Assume that a nonnegative function  $\psi$  satisfies*

$$\psi(t) \leq \gamma_1(t) + \gamma_2(t) \int_0^t \frac{\psi(s)ds}{\sqrt{(t-s) \wedge 1}}, \quad t > 0. \quad (7.1) \quad \text{in-Gron}$$

Let  $B(\cdot, \cdot)$  be the beta function,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_0(t) &= B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) + 2\sqrt{t-1}\mathbf{1}_{[1 \leq t \leq 2]} + t\mathbf{1}_{[t > 2]} \\ \Gamma_2(t) &= \int_0^t (\gamma_1(s) + \gamma_2(s)\Gamma_1(s)) ds, \quad t > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (7.2) \quad \text{app-phi0}$$

Then

$$\psi(t) \leq (\gamma_1(t) + \gamma_2(t)\Gamma_1(t)) + \gamma_2(t)^2\varphi_0(t)\Gamma_2(t) \exp\left\{\int_0^t \gamma_2(s)^2\varphi_0(s)ds\right\}. \quad (7.3) \quad \text{in-App1}$$

*Proof.* For any  $r > t$ , multiplying both side of (7.1) by  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{(r-t) \wedge 1}}$  and integrating on  $[0, r]$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^r \frac{\psi(t)dt}{\sqrt{(r-t) \wedge 1}} &\leq \int_0^r \frac{\gamma_1(t)dt}{\sqrt{(r-t) \wedge 1}} + \int_0^r \frac{\gamma_2(t)}{\sqrt{(r-t) \wedge 1}} \int_0^t \frac{\psi(s)ds}{\sqrt{(t-s) \wedge 1}} dt \\ &\leq \Gamma_1(r) + \gamma_2(r) \int_0^r \left( \int_s^r \frac{dt}{\sqrt{(r-t) \wedge 1} \cdot \sqrt{(t-s) \wedge 1}} \right) \psi(s)ds \\ &= \Gamma_1(r) + \gamma_2(r) \int_0^r \varphi_0(r-s)\psi(s)ds \\ &\leq \Gamma_1(r) + \gamma_2(r)\varphi_0(r) \int_0^r \psi(s)ds. \end{aligned} \quad (7.4) \quad \text{int-rtps-0}$$

This, together with (7.1), yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t) &\leq \gamma_1(t) + \gamma_2(t) \left( \Gamma_1(t) + \gamma_2(t)\varphi_0(t) \int_0^t \psi(s)ds \right) \\ &= (\gamma_1(t) + \gamma_2(t)\Gamma_1(t)) + \gamma_2(t)^2\varphi_0(t) \int_0^t \psi(s)ds. \end{aligned} \quad (7.5) \quad \text{int-rtps-1}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^r \psi(t)dt &\leq \int_0^r (\gamma_1(t) + \gamma_2(t)\Gamma_1(t)) dt + \int_0^r \left( \gamma_2(t)^2\varphi_0(t) \int_0^t \psi(s)ds \right) dt \\ &\leq \Gamma_2(r) + \gamma_2(r)^2\varphi_0(r) \int_0^r \left( \int_0^t \psi(s)ds \right) dt, \quad r > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then the Gronwall inequality implies

$$\int_0^t \psi(s)ds \leq \Gamma_2(t) \exp\left\{\int_0^t \gamma_2(s)^2\varphi_0(s)ds\right\}.$$

Combining this with (7.4) and (7.5), we obtain

$$\int_0^t \frac{\psi(s)ds}{\sqrt{(t-s) \wedge 1}} \leq \Gamma_1(t) + \gamma_2(t)\varphi_0(t)\Gamma_2(t) \exp\left\{\int_0^t \gamma_2(s)^2\varphi_0(s)ds\right\},$$

and (7.3).

□

*Proof of Lemma 3.1.* (1) It follows from (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle Z(y) - Z(x), y - x \rangle &= \int_0^1 \langle \nabla_{y-x} Z(x + \theta(y-x)), y - x \rangle d\theta \\
&\leq \left( K_1 - \int_0^1 K_2(x + \theta(y-x)) d\theta \right) |y - x|^2 \\
&= \left( K_1 - \frac{1}{|y-x|} \int_0^{|y-x|} K_2 \left( x + \theta \frac{y-x}{|y-x|} \right) d\theta \right) |y-x|^2 \\
&\leq (K_1 - K_2^*(|y-x|)) |y-x|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.6} \quad \boxed{\text{ZZ}}$$

This, together with that  $\sigma$  is Lipschitz, implies by [20, Theorem 3.1.1] that (3.1) has a unique strong solution. Proofs of rest inequalities are routine, we omit them or one can consult proofs for estimates of  $Y_t^\epsilon$  below.

(2) It follows from [20, Theorem 3.1.1] and (3.2) that the equation

$$d\eta_t = \nabla_{\eta_t} Z(Y_t) dt + \nabla_{\eta_t} \sigma(Y_t) dB_t, \quad \eta_0 = v \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \geq 0. \tag{7.7} \quad \boxed{\text{equ-et}}$$

has a unique non-explosive strong solution. Moreover, the B-D-G inequality, the Itô formula and (3.2) imply that for all  $p \geq 1$ , there is  $C_p > 0$  depending on  $K_1$  and  $\|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty$  such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\eta_s|^{2p} + \int_0^t K_2(Y_s) |\eta_s|^{2p} ds \right) \leq C_p e^{C_p t} |v|^{2p}. \tag{7.8} \quad \boxed{\text{Inequ-et-su}}$$

The proof of that  $Y_t$  is derivable w.r.t. the initial value along  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $\nabla_v Y_t$  satisfies (7.7) is similar to the following discussion on Malliavin differentiable of  $Y_t$ , and we omit it. Moreover, the inequality (7.8) implies (3.15).

Let  $Y^\epsilon$  be the solution of the following equation:

$$Y_t^\epsilon = Y_0 + \int_0^t Z(Y_s^\epsilon) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(Y_s^\epsilon) dB_s + \epsilon \int_0^t \sigma(Y_s^\epsilon) h'_s ds. \tag{7.9} \quad \boxed{\text{Yep0}}$$

We first prove the well-posedness of (7.9) and estimate  $Y_t^\epsilon$ . Since  $\nabla \sigma$  is bounded, there is

$$|\sigma(x) h'_s - \sigma(y) h'_s| \leq \|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty |w(t)| \cdot |\nabla_v Y_t| \cdot |x - y|.$$

Then, taking into account that  $\sigma$  is Lipschitz and (7.6), [20, Theorem 3.1.1] implies that (7.9) has a unique strong solution.

Next, we estimate  $Y_t^\epsilon$ . By (3.6), there are positive constants  $r_0, C_1, C_2$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
(1+r)^{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2} &\leq C_1 K_2^*(r) r^2 \mathbf{1}_{[r \geq r_0]} + (1+r)^{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2} \mathbf{1}_{[r < r_0]} \\
&\leq C_1 K_2^*(r) r^2 + \left( C_1 K_2^*(r) r^2 + (1+r)^{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2} \right) \mathbf{1}_{[r \leq r_0]} \\
&\leq C_1 K_2^*(r) r^2 + C_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.10} \quad \boxed{\text{rr}_0}$$

For  $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$  and  $\tilde{\epsilon} \in (0, 1]$ , by using the Itô formula, (3.3), (7.6) and (7.10), the Hölder inequality



and the Jensen inequality imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
d|Y_t^\epsilon|^2 - 2\langle Y_t^\epsilon, \sigma(Y_t^\epsilon)dB_t \rangle &= 2\langle Z(Y_t^\epsilon), Y_t^\epsilon \rangle dt + 2\epsilon\langle \sigma(Y_t^\epsilon)h'_t, Y_t^\epsilon \rangle dt + \|\sigma(Y_t^\epsilon)\|_{HS}^2 dt \\
&= 2\langle Z(Y_t^\epsilon) - Z(0), Y_t^\epsilon \rangle dt + 2\langle Z(0), Y_t^\epsilon \rangle dt \\
&\quad + 2\epsilon|\sigma(Y_t^\epsilon)| \cdot |Y_t^\epsilon| \cdot |h'_t| dt + K_\sigma^2(1 + |Y_t^\epsilon|)^{2\alpha_1} dt \\
&\leq 2(K_1 - K_2^*(|Y_t^\epsilon|))|Y_t^\epsilon|^2 dt + 2|Z(0)| \cdot |Y_t^\epsilon| dt \\
&\quad + 2K_\sigma(1 + |Y_t^\epsilon|)^{\alpha_1}|Y_t^\epsilon| \cdot |w(t)\nabla_v Y_t^\epsilon| dt + K_\sigma^2(1 + |Y_t^\epsilon|)^{1+\alpha_1} dt \\
&\leq 2(K_1 - K_2^*(|Y_t^\epsilon|))|Y_t^\epsilon|^2 dt + (\tilde{\epsilon}^{-1}|Z(0)|^2 + \tilde{\epsilon}|Y_t^\epsilon|^2) dt \\
&\quad + K_\sigma(1 + |Y_t^\epsilon|)^{1+\alpha_1} (2|w(t)\nabla_v Y_t^\epsilon| dt + K_\sigma) dt \\
&\leq (2K_1 + \tilde{\epsilon} - 2K_2^*(|Y_t^\epsilon|))|Y_t^\epsilon|^2 dt + \tilde{\epsilon}^{-1}|Z(0)|^2 dt \\
&\quad + K_\sigma\alpha_2^{-1}\tilde{\epsilon}(1 + |Y_t^\epsilon|)^{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2} dt \\
&\quad + \frac{K_\sigma(\alpha_2 - 1)}{\alpha_2\tilde{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_2-1}}} (2|w(t)\nabla_v Y_t^\epsilon| + K_\sigma)^{\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_2-1}} dt \\
&\leq (2K_1 + \tilde{\epsilon} - 2K_2^*(|Y_t^\epsilon|))|Y_t^\epsilon|^2 dt + \tilde{\epsilon}^{-1}|Z(0)|^2 dt \\
&\quad + K_\sigma\alpha_2^{-1}\tilde{\epsilon}(C_1K_2^*(|Y_t^\epsilon|)|Y_t^\epsilon|^2 + C_2) dt \\
&\quad + \frac{2^{\frac{1}{\alpha_2-1}}K_\sigma(\alpha_2 - 1)}{\alpha_2\tilde{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_2-1}}} \left( (2|w(t)\nabla_v Y_t^\epsilon|)^{\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_2-1}} + K_\sigma^{\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_2-1}} \right) dt \\
&\leq (C_1(\tilde{\epsilon}) - C_2(\tilde{\epsilon})K_2^*(|Y_t^\epsilon|))|Y_t^\epsilon|^2 dt \\
&\quad + C_3(\tilde{\epsilon})|w(t)\nabla_v Y_t^\epsilon|^{\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_2-1}} dt + C_4(\tilde{\epsilon})dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (7.10) in the last second inequality, and

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1(\tilde{\epsilon}) &= 2K_1 + \tilde{\epsilon}, & C_2(\tilde{\epsilon}) &= 2 - C_\sigma\alpha_2^{-1}C_1\tilde{\epsilon}, & C_3(\tilde{\epsilon}) &= \frac{2^{\frac{\alpha_2+1}{\alpha_2-1}}K_\sigma(\alpha_2 - 1)}{\alpha_2\tilde{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_2-1}}}, \\
C_4(\tilde{\epsilon}) &= \tilde{\epsilon}^{-1}|Z(0)|^2 + K_\sigma C_2\alpha_2^{-1}\tilde{\epsilon} + \frac{2^{\frac{1}{\alpha_2-1}}K_\sigma^{\frac{2\alpha_2-1}{\alpha_2-1}}(\alpha_2 - 1)}{\alpha_2\tilde{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_2-1}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then for any  $p \geq 1$ , there are  $C_{1,p}, C_{2,p}, C_{3,p}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
d|Y_t^\epsilon|^{2p} &\leq p(C_1(\tilde{\epsilon}) - C_2(\tilde{\epsilon})K_2^*(|Y_t^\epsilon|))|Y_t^\epsilon|^{2p} dt + pC_3(\tilde{\epsilon})|Y_t^\epsilon|^{2(p-1)} dt \\
&\quad + pC_4(\tilde{\epsilon})|Y_t^\epsilon|^{2(p-1)}|w(t)\nabla_v Y_t^\epsilon|^{\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_2-1}} dt \\
&\quad + 2p|Y_t^\epsilon|^{2p-2}\langle Y_t^\epsilon, \sigma(Y_t^\epsilon)dB_t \rangle + 2p(p-1)|Y_t^\epsilon|^{2(p-2)}|\sigma^*(Y_t^\epsilon)Y_t^\epsilon|^2 dt \\
&\leq p(C_1(\tilde{\epsilon}) - C_2(\tilde{\epsilon})K_2^*(|Y_t^\epsilon|))|Y_t^\epsilon|^{2p} dt + \tilde{\epsilon}^{-(p-1)}C_3(\tilde{\epsilon})^p dt + \tilde{\epsilon}(p-1)|Y_t^\epsilon|^{2p} dt \\
&\quad + \tilde{\epsilon}(p-1)|Y_t^\epsilon|^{2p} dt + \tilde{\epsilon}^{-(p-1)}C_4(\tilde{\epsilon})^p|w(t)\nabla_v Y_t^\epsilon|^{\frac{\alpha_2 p}{\alpha_2-1}} dt \\
&\quad + 2p|Y_t^\epsilon|^{2p-2}\langle Y_t^\epsilon, \sigma(Y_t^\epsilon)dB_t \rangle + 2p(p-1)K_\sigma^2|Y_t^\epsilon|^{2(p-1)}(1 + |Y_t^\epsilon|)^{2\alpha_1} dt \\
&\leq (pC_1(\tilde{\epsilon}) + 2\tilde{\epsilon}(p-1) - pC_2(\tilde{\epsilon})K_2^*(|Y_t^\epsilon|))|Y_t^\epsilon|^{2p} dt + \tilde{\epsilon}^{-(p-1)}C_3(\tilde{\epsilon})^p dt \\
&\quad + \tilde{\epsilon}^{-(p-1)}C_4(\tilde{\epsilon})^p|w(t)\nabla_v Y_t^\epsilon|^{\frac{\alpha_2 p}{\alpha_2-1}} dt + 2p|Y_t^\epsilon|^{2p-2}\langle Y_t^\epsilon, \sigma(Y_t^\epsilon)dB_t \rangle \\
&\quad + 2p(p-1)K_\sigma^2|Y_t^\epsilon|^{2(p-1)} \left( \tilde{\epsilon}\alpha_2^{-1}(1 + |Y_t^\epsilon|)^{(1+\alpha_1)\alpha_2} + \frac{\alpha_2 - 1}{\alpha_2\tilde{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_2-1}}} \right) dt \tag{7.11} \\
&\leq (pC_1(\tilde{\epsilon}) + 2\tilde{\epsilon}(p-1) - pC_2(\tilde{\epsilon})K_2^*(|Y_t^\epsilon|))|Y_t^\epsilon|^{2p} dt + \tilde{\epsilon}^{-(p-1)}C_3(\tilde{\epsilon})^p dt \\
&\quad + \tilde{\epsilon}^{-(p-1)}C_4(\tilde{\epsilon})^p|w(t)\nabla_v Y_t^\epsilon|^{\frac{\alpha_2 p}{\alpha_2-1}} dt + 2p|Y_t^\epsilon|^{2p-2}\langle Y_t^\epsilon, \sigma(Y_t^\epsilon)dB_t \rangle \\
&\quad + 2p(p-1)K_\sigma^2|Y_t^\epsilon|^{2(p-1)} \left( \frac{\tilde{\epsilon}C_1}{\alpha_2}K_2^*(|Y_t^\epsilon|)|Y_t^\epsilon|^2 + \frac{\tilde{\epsilon}C_2}{\alpha_2} + \frac{\alpha_2 - 1}{\alpha_2\tilde{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_2-1}}} \right) dt
\end{aligned}$$

Yep2p

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq (pC_1(\tilde{\epsilon}) + 2\tilde{\epsilon}(p-1) - pC_2(\tilde{\epsilon})K_2^*(|Y_t^\epsilon|)) |Y_t^\epsilon|^{2p} dt + \tilde{\epsilon}^{-(p-1)} C_3(\tilde{\epsilon})^p dt \\
&\quad + \tilde{\epsilon}^{-(p-1)} C_4(\tilde{\epsilon})^p |w(t)\nabla_v Y_t| \frac{\alpha_2 p}{\alpha_2 - 1} dt + 2p |Y_t^\epsilon|^{2p-2} \langle Y_t^\epsilon, \sigma(Y_t^\epsilon) dB_t \rangle \\
&\quad + \tilde{\epsilon} \frac{2p(p-1)K_\sigma^2 C_1}{\alpha_2} K_2^*(|Y_t^\epsilon|) |Y_t^\epsilon|^{2p} dt \\
&\quad + \frac{2(p-1)^2 K_\sigma^2 \tilde{\epsilon}}{\alpha_2} |Y_t^\epsilon|^{2p} + \frac{2(p-1)K_\sigma^2}{\alpha_2 \tilde{\epsilon}^{p-1}} \left( \tilde{\epsilon} C_2 + \frac{\alpha_2 - 1}{\tilde{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_2 - 1}}} \right)^p dt \\
&= (C_5(\tilde{\epsilon}) - C_6(\tilde{\epsilon})K_2^*(|Y_t^\epsilon|)) |Y_t^\epsilon|^{2p} dt + C_7(\tilde{\epsilon}) |w(t)\nabla_v Y_t| \frac{\alpha_2 p}{\alpha_2 - 1} dt \\
&\quad + C_8(\tilde{\epsilon}) dt + 2p |Y_t^\epsilon|^{2p-2} \langle Y_t^\epsilon, \sigma(Y_t^\epsilon) dB_t \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
C_5(\tilde{\epsilon}) &= pC_1(\tilde{\epsilon}) + 2\tilde{\epsilon}(p-1) + \frac{2(p-1)^2 K_\sigma^2 \tilde{\epsilon}}{\alpha_2}, \\
C_6(\tilde{\epsilon}) &= pC_2(\tilde{\epsilon}) - \tilde{\epsilon} \frac{2p(p-1)K_\sigma^2 C_1}{\alpha_2}, \\
C_7(\tilde{\epsilon}) &= \tilde{\epsilon}^{-(p-1)} C_4(\tilde{\epsilon})^p \\
C_8(\tilde{\epsilon}) &= \tilde{\epsilon}^{-(p-1)} C_3(\tilde{\epsilon})^p + \frac{2(p-1)K_\sigma^2}{\alpha_2 \tilde{\epsilon}^{p-1}} \left( \tilde{\epsilon} C_2 + \frac{\alpha_2 - 1}{\tilde{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_2 - 1}}} \right)^p.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the B-D-G inequality and the Hölder inequality, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left| \int_0^s |Y_r^\epsilon|^{2p-2} \langle Y_r^\epsilon, \sigma(Y_r^\epsilon) dB_r \rangle \right| \middle| \mathcal{F}_0 \right] \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^t |Y_r^\epsilon|^{4p-2} (1 + |Y_r^\epsilon|^2) dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_0 \right] \\
&\leq C \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^t (|Y_r^\epsilon|^{4p} + 1) dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_0 \right] \leq C \left( \sqrt{t} + \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{r \in [0, t]} |Y_r^\epsilon|^p \left( \int_0^t |Y_r^\epsilon|^{2p} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_0 \right] \right) \\
&\leq C \left( \sqrt{t} + \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t |Y_r^\epsilon|^{2p} dr \middle| \mathcal{F}_0 \right] \right) + \frac{1}{2p} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{r \in [0, t]} |Y_r^\epsilon|^{2p} \middle| \mathcal{F}_0 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (7.11) and (7.8), the Gronwall inequality and the stopping time argument imply that there exists  $C_p > 0$  such that for any  $t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{\epsilon \in [0, 1]} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |Y_t^\epsilon|^{2p} + \int_0^t K_2^*(|Y_s^\epsilon|) |Y_s^\epsilon|^{2p} ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_0 \right] \\
&\leq C_p \left( \sqrt{t}(\sqrt{t} + 1) + |Y_0|^{2p} + \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[ |w(s)\nabla_v Y_s| \frac{\alpha_2 p}{\alpha_2 - 1} \middle| \mathcal{F}_0 \right] ds \right) e^{C_p t}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.12} \quad \boxed{\text{supYep2p}}$$

By taking  $w(\cdot) \equiv 0$ , we obtain (3.9).

If (3.10) holds, then we can choose small enough  $\tilde{\epsilon}$  and large enough  $r_0$  in (7.11) such that there is  $C > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(C_5(\tilde{\epsilon}) - C_6(\tilde{\epsilon})K_2^*(|Y_t^\epsilon|)) |Y_t^\epsilon|^{2p} \\
&\leq -\bar{C}_1 |Y_t^\epsilon|^{2p} \mathbf{1}_{\{|Y_t^\epsilon| \geq r_0\}} + (C_5(\tilde{\epsilon}) - C_6(\tilde{\epsilon})K_2^*(|Y_t^\epsilon|)) |Y_t^\epsilon|^{2p} \mathbf{1}_{\{|Y_t^\epsilon| < r_0\}} \\
&\leq -\bar{C}_1 |Y_t^\epsilon|^{2p} + \sup_{r \leq r_0} ((\bar{C}_1 + C_5(\tilde{\epsilon}) - C_6(\tilde{\epsilon})K_2^*(r)) r^{2p}).
\end{aligned}$$

Putting this into (7.11), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
d|Y_t^\epsilon|^{2p} &\leq -\bar{C}_1 |Y_t^\epsilon|^{2p} dt + C_7(\tilde{\epsilon}) |w(t)\nabla_v Y_t| \frac{\alpha_2 p}{\alpha_2 - 1} dt \\
&\quad + \bar{C}_8(\tilde{\epsilon}) dt + 2p |Y_t^\epsilon|^{2p-2} \langle Y_t^\epsilon, \sigma(Y_t^\epsilon) dB_t \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\bar{C}_8(\tilde{\epsilon}) = C_8(\tilde{\epsilon}) + \sup_{r \leq r_0} ((\bar{C}_1 + C_5(\tilde{\epsilon}) - C_6(\tilde{\epsilon})K_2^*(r)) r^{2p}).$$

Then

$$\sup_{\epsilon \in [0,1]} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t |Y_s^\epsilon|^{2p} ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_0 \right] \leq C_p \left( \sqrt{t}(\sqrt{t} + 1) + |Y_0|^{2p} + \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[ |w(s) \nabla_v Y_s|^{\frac{\alpha 2p}{\alpha 2 - 1}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_0 \right] ds \right). \quad (7.13) \quad \boxed{\text{Y-be2-unif}}$$

If (3.12) holds, then a similar argument yields that

$$\sup_{\epsilon \in [0,1]} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t |Y_s^\epsilon|^{2p+\beta_2} ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_0 \right] \leq C_p \left( \sqrt{t}(\sqrt{t} + 1) + |Y_0|^{2p} + \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[ |w(s) \nabla_v Y_s|^{\frac{\alpha 2p}{\alpha 2 - 1}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_0 \right] ds \right). \quad (7.14) \quad \boxed{\text{Y-be2-2p}}$$

Taking  $w \equiv 0$ , we obtain similar estimates (7.12), (3.11) and (3.13) for  $Y_t$ .

Next, we estimate  $Y_t^\epsilon - Y_t$ . For  $h_t$ , because of (3.15) and that  $w$  is a bounded process, for any  $s > 0$  and  $p > 0$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0,s]} |h_t'|^p &\leq \sup_{t \in [0,s], \omega \in \Omega} |w(t, \omega)| \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0,s]} |\nabla_v Y_t|^p \\ &\leq C_p e^{C_p t} \left( \sup_{t \in [0,s], \omega \in \Omega} |w(t, \omega)| \right) |v|^p \end{aligned} \quad (7.15) \quad \boxed{\text{Inhsup}}$$

for some  $C_p > 0$ .

Due to (7.6), (A2) and the Itô formula, there is

$$\begin{aligned} d|Y_t^\epsilon - Y_t|^2 &= 2\langle Z(Y_t^\epsilon) - Z(Y_t), Y_t^\epsilon - Y_t \rangle dt + |\sigma(Y_t^\epsilon) - \sigma(Y_t)|_{HS}^2 dt \\ &\quad + 2\langle Y_t^\epsilon - Y_t, (\sigma(Y_t^\epsilon) - \sigma(Y_t)) dB_t \rangle + 2\epsilon \langle \sigma(Y_t^\epsilon) h_t', Y_t^\epsilon - Y_t \rangle dt \\ &\leq 2(K_1 - K_2^*(|Y_t^\epsilon - Y_t|)) |Y_t^\epsilon - Y_t|^2 dt + (\|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty^2 + 1) |Y_t^\epsilon - Y_t|^2 dt \\ &\quad + 2\langle Y_t^\epsilon - Y_t, (\sigma(Y_t^\epsilon) - \sigma(Y_t)) dB_t \rangle + \epsilon^2 |\sigma(Y_t^\epsilon) h_t'|^2 dt. \end{aligned} \quad (7.16) \quad \boxed{\text{YYep1}}$$

Moreover, for any  $p \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d|Y_t^\epsilon - Y_t|^{2p} &\leq p(2K_1 + 1 + (2p-1)\|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty^2 - 2K_2^*(|Y_t^\epsilon - Y_t|)) |Y_t^\epsilon - Y_t|^{2p} dt \\ &\quad + p\epsilon^2 |Y_t^\epsilon - Y_t|^{2p-2} |\sigma(Y_t^\epsilon) h_t'|^2 dt \\ &\quad + 2p |Y_t^\epsilon - Y_t|^{2p-2} \langle Y_t^\epsilon - Y_t, (\sigma(Y_t^\epsilon) - \sigma(Y_t)) dB_t \rangle \\ &\leq p \left( 2K_1 + \frac{2p-1}{p} + (2p-1)\|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty^2 - 2K_2^*(|Y_t^\epsilon - Y_t|) \right) |Y_t^\epsilon - Y_t|^{2p} dt \\ &\quad + \epsilon^{2p} |\sigma(Y_t^\epsilon) h_t'|^{2p} dt + 2p |Y_t^\epsilon - Y_t|^{2p-2} \langle Y_t^\epsilon - Y_t, (\sigma(Y_t^\epsilon) - \sigma(Y_t)) dB_t \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with the B-D-G inequality, the Gronwall inequality and the Hölder inequality, there is  $C_p > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} |Y_s^\epsilon - Y_s|^{2p} &+ \mathbb{E} \int_0^t K_2^*(|Y_s^\epsilon - Y_s|) |Y_s^\epsilon - Y_s|^{2p} ds \\ &\leq \epsilon^{2p} C_p e^{C_p t} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |\sigma(Y_s^\epsilon) h_s'|^{2p} ds. \end{aligned}$$

This, together with (7.12), (7.15) and (7.8), yields that

$$\sup_{\epsilon \in (0,1], |v| \leq 1} \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \left| \frac{Y_s^\epsilon - Y_s}{\epsilon} \right|^{2p} < +\infty, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (7.17) \quad \boxed{\text{YYep}}$$

The conditions (A1) and (A2), together with [20, Theorem 3.1.1], yield that the following equation has a unique strong solution

$$dD_h Y_t = \nabla_{D_h Y_t} Z(Y_t) dt + \nabla_{D_h Y_t} \sigma(Y_t) dB_t + \sigma(Y_t) h_t' dt, \quad D_h Y_0 = 0.$$

By using the Itô formula and the B-D-G inequality directly, it can be proved that  $D_h Y_t$  satisfies (3.16). Let

$$V_t^\epsilon = \frac{Y_t^\epsilon - Y_t}{\epsilon} - D_h Y_t, \quad U_t^\epsilon = \frac{Y_t^\epsilon - Y_t}{\epsilon}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} dV_t^\epsilon &= \int_0^1 (\nabla_{U_t^\epsilon} Z(Y_t + \theta(Y_t^\epsilon - Y_t)) - \nabla_{U_t^\epsilon} Z(Y_t)) d\theta dt \\ &\quad + \nabla_{V_t^\epsilon} Z(Y_t) dt + \nabla_{V_t^\epsilon} \sigma(Y_t) dB_t \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 (\nabla_{U_t^\epsilon} \sigma(Y_t + \theta(Y_t^\epsilon - Y_t)) - \nabla_{U_t^\epsilon} \sigma(Y_t)) d\theta dB_t \\ &\quad + (\sigma(Y_t^\epsilon) - \sigma(Y_t)) h_t' dt. \end{aligned}$$

By the Itô formula, (A1) and (A2), and the Hölder inequality, for any  $p \geq 1$ , there is a positive constant  $C$  which depends on  $p, K_1, \|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d|V_t^\epsilon|^{2p} &\leq 2p(C - K_2(Y_t^\epsilon)) |V_t^\epsilon|^{2p} dt + |\sigma(Y_t^\epsilon) - \sigma(Y_t)|^{2p} |h_t'|^{2p} dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 |\nabla \sigma(Y_t + \theta \epsilon U_t^\epsilon) - \nabla \sigma(Y_t)|^{2p} d\theta |U_t^\epsilon|^{2p} dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 |\nabla Z(Y_t + \epsilon \theta U_t^\epsilon) - \nabla Z(Y_t)|^{2p} d\theta |U_t^\epsilon|^{2p} dt \\ &\quad + 2p|V_t^\epsilon|^{2p-2} \langle V_t^\epsilon, \int_0^1 (\nabla_{U_t^\epsilon} \sigma(Y_t + \epsilon \theta U_t^\epsilon) - \nabla_{U_t^\epsilon} \sigma(Y_t)) d\theta dB_t \rangle \\ &\quad + 2p|V_t^\epsilon|^{2p-2} \langle V_t^\epsilon, \nabla_{V_t^\epsilon} \sigma(Y_t) dB_t \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the B-D-G inequality and the Gronwall inequality that there is a positive constant  $C$  which depends on  $p, K_1, \|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty$  such that for any  $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |V_s^\epsilon|^{2p} + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t K_2(Y_s^\epsilon) |V_s^\epsilon|^{2p} ds \\ &\leq C e^{Ct} \left( \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int_0^1 |\nabla \sigma(Y_s + \theta \epsilon U_s^\epsilon) - \nabla \sigma(Y_s)|^{2p} d\theta |U_s^\epsilon|^{2p} ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int_0^1 |\nabla Z(Y_s + \epsilon \theta U_s^\epsilon) - \nabla Z(Y_s)|^{2p} d\theta |U_s^\epsilon|^{2p} ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \epsilon^{2p} \|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty^{2p} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |U_s^\epsilon|^{2p} |h_s'|^{2p} ds \right) \\ &=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\epsilon \theta U_s^\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  in probability, which is implied by (7.17), and  $\nabla \sigma(\cdot)$  is continuous, the dominated convergence theorem yields that  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} I_1 = 0$ .

Since  $\nabla Z$  has polynomial growth, there exist  $q > 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that

$$|\nabla Z(y)| \leq C(1 + |y|)^q.$$

Then

$$|\nabla Z(Y_s + \epsilon \theta U_s^\epsilon) - \nabla Z(Y_s)|^{2p} |U_s^\epsilon|^{2p} \leq 2C(|Y_s| + |U_s^\epsilon|)^{2pq} |U_s^\epsilon|^{2p},$$

which is integrable on  $\Omega \times [0, t] \times [0, 1]$  under  $d\mathbb{P} \otimes ds \otimes d\theta$ . This, together with that  $\epsilon \theta U_s^\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  in probability, implies by using the dominated convergence theorem that  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} I_2 = 0$ .

By using (7.15) and (7.17), it is clear that  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} I_3 = 0$ .

Hence

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |V_s^\epsilon|^{2p} = 0.$$

Therefore,  $Y_t$  is Malliavin differentiable along  $h$ .

(3) Similarly, following from **(A1)**, **(A2)** except (3.4), (7.8) and (7.12), we can prove that  $\nabla_v Y_t^y$  is differentiable w.r.t.  $y$ , i.e.  $Y_t$  is twice differentiable w.r.t. the initial value. Moreover,  $\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} d\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t &= \nabla_{\nabla_u Y_t} \nabla_{\nabla_v Y_t} Z(Y_t) dt + \nabla_{\nabla_u Y_t} \nabla_{\nabla_v Y_t} \sigma(Y_t) dB_t \\ &\quad + \nabla_{\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t} Z(Y_t) dt + \nabla_{\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t} \sigma(Y_t) dB_t, \quad \nabla_u \nabla_v Y_0 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and the Itô formula, **(A1)** and (3.17) imply

$$\begin{aligned} & d|\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t|^2 - 2\langle \nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t, (\nabla_{\nabla_u Y_t} \nabla_{\nabla_v Y_t} \sigma(Y_t) + \nabla_{\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t} \sigma(Y_t)) \rangle dB_t \\ &= 2\langle \nabla_{\nabla_u Y_t} \nabla_{\nabla_v Y_t} Z(Y_t), \nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t \rangle dt + 2\langle \nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t, \nabla_{\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t} Z(Y_t) \rangle dt \\ &\quad + \|\nabla_{\nabla_u Y_t} \nabla_{\nabla_v Y_t} \sigma(Y_t) + \nabla_{\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t} \sigma(Y_t)\|_{HS}^2 dt \\ &\leq 2\|\nabla^2 Z(Y_t)\| \cdot |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t| \cdot |\nabla_u Y_t| \cdot |\nabla_v Y_t| dt + 2(K_1 - K_2(Y_t)) |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t|^2 dt \\ &\quad + 2(\|\nabla^2 \sigma\|_\infty^2 |\nabla_u Y_t|^2 |\nabla_v Y_t|^2 + \|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty^2 |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t|^2) dt. \end{aligned} \tag{7.18} \quad \boxed{\text{nn2Y2}}$$

Then for any  $p \geq 1$ , there are positive constants  $C_1, \dots, C_5$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d|\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t^y|^{2p} &\leq p|\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t^y|^{2(p-1)} (C_1 |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t^y|^2 + C_2 (|\nabla^2 Z(Y_t^y)|^2 + 1) |\nabla_u Y_t^y|^2 \cdot |\nabla_v Y_t^y|^2) dt \\ &\quad + 2p|\nabla_y \nabla_v Y_t^y|^{2(p-1)} \langle \nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t^y, (\nabla_{\nabla_u Y_t^y} \nabla_{\nabla_v Y_t^y} \sigma(Y_t^y) + \nabla_{\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t^y} \sigma(Y_t^y)) \rangle dB_t \\ &\quad + C_3 |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t^y|^{2(p-1)} (|\nabla_u Y_t^y|^2 |\nabla_v Y_t^y|^2 + |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t^y|^2) dt \\ &\leq C_4 |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t^y|^{2p} dt + C_5 (1 + |\nabla^2 Z(Y_t^y)|)^{2p} |\nabla_u Y_t^y|^{2p} |\nabla_v Y_t^y|^{2p} dt \\ &\quad + 2p|\nabla_y \nabla_v Y_t^y|^{2(p-1)} \langle \nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t^y, (\nabla_{\nabla_u Y_t^y} \nabla_{\nabla_v Y_t^y} \sigma(Y_t^y) + \nabla_{\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t^y} \sigma(Y_t^y)) \rangle dB_t. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the B-D-G inequality, the Gronwall inequality and the Hölder inequality imply that there is  $C > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, s]} |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t^y|^{2p} &\leq C e^{C_s} \mathbb{E} \int_0^s (1 + |\nabla^2 Z(Y_t^y)|)^{2p} |\nabla_u Y_t^y|^{2p} |\nabla_v Y_t^y|^{2p} dt \\ &\leq C e^{C_s} \left( \mathbb{E} \int_0^s (1 + |\nabla^2 Z(Y_t^y)|)^{4p} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \mathbb{E} \int_0^s |\nabla_u Y_t^y|^{8p} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \mathbb{E} \int_0^s |\nabla_v Y_t^y|^{8p} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (3.17) and (3.11), we find that there is a positive constant  $C$  which depends on  $p, K_1, \beta_1, r_0, K_3, \|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty, \|\nabla^2 \sigma\|_\infty$  such that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, s]} |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t^y|^{2p} \leq C_p e^{C_p s} (1 + |y|)^{2p\beta_1} |u|^{2p} |v|^{2p}, \quad s \geq 0. \tag{7.19} \quad \boxed{\text{nn2Y-be1-uv}}$$

This yields (3.18).

If (3.19) holds, then there are constants  $\bar{K}_2 > 0, r_0 \geq 1$  such that

$$K_2(x) \geq \bar{K}_2 |x|^{\beta_2}, \quad |x| \geq r_0.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} K_2(x) &\geq \bar{K}_2 |x|^{\beta_2} + (K_2(x) - \bar{K}_2 |x|^{\beta_2}) \mathbf{1}_{|x| < r_0} \\ &\geq \bar{K}_2 |x|^{\beta_2} - \sup_{|x| \leq r_0} (K_2(x) - \bar{K}_2 |x|^{\beta_2}) \equiv \bar{K}_2 |x|^{\beta_2} - \bar{C}_1. \end{aligned} \tag{7.20} \quad \boxed{\text{K2-K2C}}$$

By (3.17), the Hölder inequality and the  $C$ - $r$  inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2|\nabla^2 Z(Y_t)| \cdot |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t| \cdot |\nabla_u Y_t| \cdot |\nabla_v Y_t| \\
& \leq 2K_3(1 + |Y_t|)^{\beta_1} |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t| \cdot |\nabla_u Y_t| \cdot |\nabla_v Y_t| \\
& = 2 \left( K_3(1 + |Y_t|)^{\beta_1 - \frac{\beta_2}{2}} |\nabla_u Y_t| \cdot |\nabla_v Y_t| \right) \left( (1 + |Y_t|)^{\frac{\beta_2}{2}} |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t| \right) \\
& \leq \frac{2^{(\beta_2-1)^+} K_3^2}{\bar{K}_2} (1 + |Y_t|)^{2\beta_1 - \beta_2} |\nabla_u Y_t|^2 |\nabla_v Y_t|^2 + \frac{\bar{K}_2 (1 + |Y_t|)^{\beta_2}}{2^{(\beta_2-1)^+}} |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t|^2 \\
& \leq \frac{2^{(\beta_2-1)^+} K_3^2}{\bar{K}_2} (1 + |Y_t|)^{2\beta_1 - \beta_2} |\nabla_u Y_t|^2 |\nabla_v Y_t|^2 + \bar{K}_2 (1 + |Y_t|^{\beta_2}) |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.21} \quad \boxed{\text{Znn2nn-nn}}$$

Putting this and (7.20) into (7.18), we arrive at that

$$\begin{aligned}
& d|\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t|^2 - 2\langle \nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t, (\nabla_{\nabla_u Y_t} \nabla_{\nabla_v Y_t} \sigma(Y_t) + \nabla_{\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t} \sigma(Y_t)) dB_t \rangle \\
& \leq (2(K_1 + \bar{C}_1 + \|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty^2) - \bar{K}_2 |Y_t|^{\beta_2}) |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t|^2 dt \\
& \quad + \frac{2^{(\beta_2-1)^+} K_3^2}{\bar{K}_2} (1 + |Y_t|)^{2\beta_1 - \beta_2} |\nabla_u Y_t|^2 |\nabla_v Y_t|^2 dt \\
& \quad + 2\|\nabla^2 \sigma\|_\infty^2 |\nabla_u Y_t|^2 |\nabla_v Y_t|^2 dt \\
& \leq (\bar{C}_2 - \bar{K}_2 |Y_t|^{\beta_2}) |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t|^2 dt + (\bar{C}_3 (1 + |Y_t|)^{2\beta_1 - \beta_2} + \bar{C}_4) |\nabla_u Y_t|^2 |\nabla_v Y_t|^2 dt,
\end{aligned} \tag{7.22} \quad \boxed{\text{nn2Y2-new}}$$

where

$$\bar{C}_2 = 2(K_1 + \bar{C}_1 + \|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty^2), \quad \bar{C}_3 = \frac{2^{(\beta_2-1)^+} K_3^2}{\bar{K}_2}, \quad \bar{C}_4 = 2\|\nabla^2 \sigma\|_\infty^2.$$

Then, for any  $p \geq 1$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
d|\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t|^{2p} & \leq dM_t + p(\bar{C}_2 - \bar{K}_2 |Y_t|^{\beta_2}) |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t|^{2p} dt \\
& \quad + \left( \bar{C}_3 (1 + |Y_t|)^{2\beta_1 - \beta_2} + \bar{C}_4 \right) |\nabla_u Y_t|^2 |\nabla_v Y_t|^2 |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t|^{2(p-1)} dt \\
& \quad + 2p(p-1) |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t|^{2(p-1)} |\nabla_{\nabla_u Y_t} \nabla_{\nabla_v Y_t} \sigma(Y_t) + \nabla_{\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t} \sigma(Y_t)|^2 dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$dM_t := 2p |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t|^{2(p-1)} \langle \nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t, (\nabla_{\nabla_u Y_t} \nabla_{\nabla_v Y_t} \sigma(Y_t) + \nabla_{\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t} \sigma(Y_t)) dB_t \rangle.$$

Note that for any  $\tilde{\epsilon} \in (0, 1)$ , the Hölder inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1 + |Y_t|)^{2\beta_1 - \beta_2} |\nabla_u Y_t|^2 |\nabla_v Y_t|^2 |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t|^{2(p-1)} \\
& \leq \tilde{\epsilon} \frac{p-1}{p} (1 + |Y_t|)^{\beta_2} |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t|^{2p} \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{p\tilde{\epsilon}^{p-1}} (1 + |Y_t|)^{(2\beta_1 - \beta_2)p - \beta_2(p-1)} |\nabla_u Y_t|^{2p} |\nabla_v Y_t|^{2p} \\
& \leq \frac{(p-1)2^{(\beta_2-1)^+} \tilde{\epsilon}}{p} (1 + |Y_t|^{\beta_2}) |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t|^{2p} \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{p\tilde{\epsilon}^{p-1}} (1 + |Y_t|)^{2(\beta_1 - \beta_2)p + \beta_2} |\nabla_u Y_t|^{2p} |\nabla_v Y_t|^{2p} \\
& \leq \frac{(p-1)2^{(\beta_2-1)^+} \tilde{\epsilon}}{p} (1 + |Y_t|^{\beta_2}) |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t|^{2p} \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{p\tilde{\epsilon}^{p-1}} (1 + |Y_t|)^{\beta_2} |\nabla_u Y_t|^{2p} |\nabla_v Y_t|^{2p},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used  $(1 + |Y_t|)^{2(\beta_1 - \beta_2)p} \leq 1$  since  $\beta_1 \leq \beta_2$  in the last inequality. Choosing small enough  $\tilde{\epsilon}$ , there are positive constants  $\bar{C}_5, \bar{C}_6, \bar{C}_7$  such that

$$d|\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t|^{2p} \leq p(\bar{C}_5 - \bar{C}_6 |Y_t|^{\beta_2}) |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t|^{2p} dt$$

$$+ \bar{C}_7(1 + |Y_t|)^{\beta_2} |\nabla_u Y_t|^{2p} |\nabla_v Y_t|^{2p} + dM_t.$$

Then, the B-D-G inequality, the Gronwall inequality and the Hölder inequality imply that there are  $\bar{C}_8, \bar{C}_9 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, s]} |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t|^{2p} + \int_0^s |Y_t|^{\beta_2} |\nabla_u \nabla_v Y_t|^{2p} dt \right) \\ & \leq \bar{C}_8 e^{\bar{C}_8 s} \mathbb{E} \int_0^s (1 + |Y_t|)^{\beta_2} |\nabla_u Y_t|^{2p} |\nabla_v Y_t|^{2p} dt \\ & \leq \bar{C}_8 e^{\bar{C}_8 s} \left( \mathbb{E} \int_0^s (1 + |Y_t|)^{\beta_2} |\nabla_u Y_t|^{4p} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \mathbb{E} \int_0^s (1 + |Y_t|)^{\beta_2} |\nabla_v Y_t|^{4p} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \bar{C}_9 e^{\bar{C}_9 s} |u|^{2p} |v|^{2p}. \end{aligned}$$

where we have use (3.15) and (7.20) in the last inequality. □

### Acknowledgements

The author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12371153).

### References

- [1] A. Alecio, Phase transitions of McKean-Vlasov SDEs in multi-well landscapes, [arXiv:2307.16846v2](#)
- [2] N. U. Ahmed, X. Ding, On invariant measures of nonlinear Markov processes, *J. Appl. Math. Stochastic Anal.* 6, (1993), 385–406.
- [3] J. Bao, M. Scheutzow, C. Yuan, Existence of invariant probability measures for functional McKean-Vlasov SDEs, *Electron. J. Probab.* 27 (2022), 1–14.
- [4] J. Bao, X. Sun, J. Wang, Y. Xie, Quantitative estimates for Lévy driven SDEs with different drifts and applications, *J. Differential Equations* 398 (2024), 182–217
- [5] K. Bashiri, On the long-time behaviour of McKean-Vlasov paths, *Electron. Commun. Probab.* 25 (2020) no. 52, 1–14.
- [6] V. I. Bogachev, N. V. Krylov, M. Röckner, Elliptic and parabolic equations for measures, *Russ. Math. Surv.* 64 (2009), 973–1078
- [7] R. Buckdahn, J. Li, S. Peng and C. Rainer, *Mean-field stochastic differential equations and associated PDEs*, *Ann. Probab.* 2 (2017), 824–878.
- [8] R. Carmona, F. Delarue, *Probabilistic Theory of Mean Field Games with Applications I*, Springer, 2018.
- [9] J.A. Carrillo, R.S. Gvalani, G.A. Pavliotis, A. Schlichting, Long-Time Behaviour and Phase Transitions for the McKean-Vlasov Equation on the Torus. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 235 (2020), 635–690.
- [10] P. Cattiaux, A. Guillin, F.-Y. Wang and L. Wu. Lyapunov conditions for super Poincaré inequalities. *J. Funct. Anal.* 256 (2009) 1821–1841.
- [11] Chen, M.-F., *Eigenvalues, Inequalities, and Ergodic Theory*, Springer-Verlag London, 2005.

- [12] Q. Cormier, On the stability of the invariant probability measures of McKean-Vlasov equations, [arXiv:2201.11612v4](https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.11612)
- [13] D. A. Dawson, Critical dynamics and fluctuations for a mean-field model of cooperative behavior. *J. Stat. Phys.* **31(1)** (1983), 29–85.
- [14] M. H. Duong, J. Tugaut, Stationary solutions of the Vlasov-Fokker-Planck equation: Existence, characterization and phase-transition, *Applied Mathematics Letters* **52** (2016), 38–45
- [15] K. J. Engel, R. Nagel, *A Short Course on Operator Semigroups*, Springer New York, 2006.
- [16] A. Eberle, A. Guillin, R. Zimmer, Quantitative Harris-type theorems for diffusions and McKean-Vlasov processes, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **371** (2019), 7135–7173.
- [17] Feng, S. and Zheng, X. G., Solutions of a class of non-linear Master equations, *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **43** (1992), 65–84.
- [18] S. Herrmann, J. Tugaut, Non-uniqueness of stationary measures for self-stabilizing processes, *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **120** (2010), 1215–1246.
- [19] M. Liang, M. B. Majka, J. Wang, Exponential ergodicity for SDEs and McKean-Vlasov processes with Lévy noise
- [20] W. Liu and M. Röckner. *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations: An Introduction*. Springer: Switzerland. 2015.
- [21] W. Liu, L. Wu, Ch. Zhang, Long-time behaviors of mean-field interacting particle systems related to McKean-Vlasov equations, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **387** (2021) 179–214
- [22] D. Luo, J. Wang, Exponential convergence in L<sub>p</sub>-Wasserstein distance for diffusion processes without uniformly dissipative drift, *Math. Nachr.* **289** (2016) 1909–1926
- [23] H. P. McKean, Jr., Propagation of chaos for a class of non-linear parabolic equations. In: *Stochastic Differential Equations (Lecture Series in Differential Equations, Session 7, Catholic Univ.)*, pp. 41–57. Air Force Office Sci. Res., Arlington, VA, 1967
- [24] A. Pazy, *Semigroup of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential equations*, Springer-Verlag. 1983.
- [25] P. Ren, Singular McKean-Vlasov SDEs: Well-Posedness, Regularities and Wang’s Harnack Inequality, *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **156** (2023) 291–311
- [26] P. Ren, F.-Y. Wang, Derivative Formulas in Measure on Riemannian Manifolds, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **53** (2021) 1786–1800
- [27] W. Strauss, Tutorial: Notes on Nonlinear Stability, 2012, [https://depts.washington.edu/bdecon/workshop2012/g\\_stability.pdf](https://depts.washington.edu/bdecon/workshop2012/g_stability.pdf)
- [28] P. Sjögren, On the adjoint of an elliptic linear differential operator and its potential theory, *Ark. Mat.*, **11** (1973), 153–165.
- [29] M. Röckner, X. Zhang, Well-posedness of distribution dependent SDEs with singular drifts, *Bernoulli* **27(2)** (2021), 1131–1158.
- [30] Y. Tamura, On asymptotic behaviors of the solution of a nonlinear diffusion equation. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **31(1)** (1984), 195–221.
- [31] G. Teschl, *Ordinary Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems*, Amer. Math. Soc, Providence, RI 2012



- [32] J. Tugaut, Convergence to the equilibria for self-stabilizing processes in double well landscape, *Ann. Probab.* **41** (2010), 1427–1460.
- [33] J. Tugaut, Phase transitions of McKean-Vlasov processes in double-wells landscape, *Stochastics*, **86** (2) (2014), pp. 257–284
- [34] F.-Y. Wang, Functional Inequalities, Markov Semigroups and Spectral Theory, Science Press, 2005.
- [35] F.-Y. Wang, Gradient estimates and applications for SDEs in Hilbert space with multiplicative noise and Dini continuous drift. *J. Differential Equations* **260** (2016), 2792–2829.
- [36] F.-Y. Wang, Distribution dependent SDEs for Landau type equations, *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **128** (2018), 595–621.
- [37] F.-Y. Wang, Exponential ergodicity for non-dissipative McKean-Vlasov SDEs, *Bernoulli* **29**(2) (2023), 1035–1062.
- [38] L. Wu, Gradient estimates of Poisson equations on Riemannian manifolds and applications, *J. Funct. Anal.* **29** (2009) 4015–4033
- [39] S.-Q. Zhang, Existence and non-uniqueness of stationary distributions for distribution dependent SDEs, *Electron. J. Probab.* **28**(93) (2023),1–34.
- [40] S.-Q. Zhang, A local bifurcation theorem for McKean-Vlasov diffusions, [arXiv:2401.14784](https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.14784)