

# Coupled channel effects for the bottom-strange mesons

Wei Hao,<sup>1,\*</sup> M. Atif Sultan,<sup>1,2,†</sup> and En Wang<sup>3,‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Physics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China*

<sup>2</sup>*Centre For High Energy Physics, University of the Punjab, Lahore (54590), Pakistan*

<sup>3</sup>*School of Physics, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450001, China*

We have calculated the mass spectrum of  $B_s$  mesons within a nonrelativistic potential model considering coupled channel effects, and the corresponding strong decay widths within the  ${}^3P_0$  model using the numerically calculated wave functions. By comparing with the available experimental data, we find that the states  $B_s$ ,  $B_s^*$ ,  $B_{s1}(5830)$ , and  $B_{s2}^*(5840)$  could be interpreted as the  $B_s(1^1S_0)$ ,  $B_s(1^3S_1)$ ,  $B_s(1P')$ , and  $B_s(1^3P_2)$ , respectively. Although the quantum numbers of the newly observed  $B_s(6064)$  and  $B_s(6158)$  states have not been determined, our results support the assignments of  $B_s(1^3D_3)$  and  $B_s(1^3D_1)$  for them. Our predictions are helpful in searching for the bottom-strange meson in future experiments.

PACS numbers:

## I. INTRODUCTION

In past years, significant progress has been made in studying the bottom-strange mesons. Up to now, eight bottom-strange mesons have been observed in experiments, as shown in Table I. The ground state  $B_s$  meson was first observed in 1990 using the Columbia University–Stony Brook (CUSB-II) detector [1], and was confirmed by many collaborations, such as CDF, OPAL, Belle, DELPHI, and LHCb [2]. The  $B_{s1}(5830)$  and  $B_{s2}^*(5840)$  were first observed by the CDF Collaboration in the  $K^-B^+$  two-body decay system [3] and confirmed by LHCb [4], CMS [5], and D0 [6]. However, the  $B_{sJ}^*(5850)$  was only observed by the OPAL Collaboration at LEP in the  $B^{(*)+}K^-$  invariant mass distribution in 1995 [7], and more experimental information is needed to confirm the existence of this state. Until 2020, the  $B_{sJ}(6064)$ ,  $B_{sJ}(6114)$ ,  $B_{sJ}(6109)$  and  $B_{sJ}(6158)$  were founded in the  $B^\pm K^\mp$  mass spectrum of the proton-proton collisions by LHCb [8], which further enriches ex-

perimental information on the bottom-strange mesons. It should be pointed out that these four states may be just two states. Because the  $B_{sJ}(6064)$  and  $B_{sJ}(6114)$  are obtained by analyzing the decay directly into final state  $B^\pm K^\mp$ . However, if the decay occurs through  $B^{*\pm}K^\mp$  with a missing photon from the decay  $B^{*\pm} \rightarrow B^\pm\gamma$  then the masses of the two states will shift approximately 45 MeV, which leads to the  $B_{sJ}(6109)$  and  $B_{sJ}(6158)$  states [8].

Although a few bottom-strange states are observed experimentally, many theoretical approaches have been used to study bottom-strange mesons, such as the constituent quark model [9–17], chiral quark model [18], lattice QCD [19], heavy meson effective theory [20, 21]. However, such traditional approaches cannot explain well the properties of some excited mesons, such as  $X(3872)$ ,  $D_{s0}^*(2317)$ , and  $D_{s1}(2460)$ , which indicates that traditional approaches need some necessary modifications. For example, conventional quark models may miss the generation of the light quark-antiquark pair, which could enlarge the Fock space of the initial state [22], and such effects will change the Hamiltonian of the conventional quark potential models and lead to mass shifts. Thus, the unquenched quark models, accounting for such ef-

\*Electronic address: [haowei@nankai.edu.cn](mailto:haowei@nankai.edu.cn)

†Electronic address: [atifisultan.chep@pu.edu.pk](mailto:atifisultan.chep@pu.edu.pk)

‡Electronic address: [wangen@zzu.edu.cn](mailto:wangen@zzu.edu.cn)

TABLE I: Experimental information of the  $B_s$  mesons [2].

state	mass (MeV)	width (MeV)	$I(J^P)$	mode
$B_s$	$5366.93 \pm 0.10$	–	$0(0^-)$	
$B_s^*$	$5415.4 \pm 1.4$	–	$0(1^-)$	
$B_{s1}(5830)$	$5828.73 \pm 0.20$	$0.5 \pm 0.4$	$0(1^+)$	$B^{*+}K^-$
$B_{s2}^*(5840)$	$5839.88 \pm 0.12$	$1.49 \pm 0.27$	$0(2^+)$	$B^+K^-, B^{*+}K^-, B^0K_S^0, B^{*0}K_S^0$
$B_{sJ}(6064)$	$6063.5 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.8$	$26 \pm 4 \pm 4$	$0(?^?)$ [8]	$B^+K^-$
$B_{sJ}(6114)$	$6114 \pm 3 \pm 5$	$66 \pm 18 \pm 21$	$0(?^?)$ [8]	$B^+K^-$
$B_{sJ}(6109)$	$6108.8 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.7$	$22 \pm 5 \pm 4$	$0(?^?)$ [8]	$B^+K^-$
$B_{sJ}(6158)$	$6158 \pm 4 \pm 5$	$72 \pm 18 \pm 25$	$0(?^?)$ [8]	$B^+K^-$

fects, have been developed, and there are two kinds of unquenched quark models, one is the screened potential models [15, 23–27], and the other is the coupled channel model [22, 28–38], both of which have achieved great success in the interpretation of the hadron spectra. Within the unquenched quark models, the properties of the  $X(3872)$ ,  $D_{s0}^*(2317)$ ,  $D_{s1}(2460)$  can be well explained [29, 30, 39–43]. Thus, to describe the newly observed bottom-strange mesons  $B_{sJ}(6064)$ ,  $B_{sJ}(6114)$ ,  $B_{sJ}(6109)$  and  $B_{sJ}(6158)$  and predict the accurate masses and decay properties of the excited bottom-strange mesons, in this work we will systematically investigate the mass spectrum and decay properties of the bottom-strange mesons within the coupled channel model and discuss the possible assignments of these states.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we will introduce the coupled channel framework. The numerical results and the corresponding discussions are presented in Section III. Finally, a short summary will be given in Section IV.

## II. THEORETICAL FORMALISM

### A. Coupled channel framework

In the coupled channel model, the full Hamiltonian is composed of quenched part and self energy part, and can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{b\bar{s}} + \mathcal{H}_{BC} + \mathcal{H}_I, \quad (1)$$

where the quenched part labeled as  $\mathcal{H}_{b\bar{s}}$  accounts for the interaction between constituent quarks  $b$  and  $\bar{s}$ , and the self energy part includes the energy term  $\mathcal{H}_{BC}$  and the mixing term  $\mathcal{H}_I$ . The term  $\mathcal{H}_{BC}$  can be written as  $\mathcal{H}_{BC} = E_{BC} = \sqrt{m_B^2 + p^2} + \sqrt{m_C^2 + p^2}$ , where  $B$  and  $C$  stand for two mesons of the coupled channel. With the Hamiltonian, the physical masses of the bottom-strange mesons can be written as

$$M = M_{b\bar{s}} + \Delta M, \quad (2)$$

with

$$\Delta M = \sum_{BC, \ell, S} \int_0^\infty p^2 dp \frac{|\langle BC; p, \ell S | \mathcal{H}_I | \psi_0 \rangle|^2}{M - E_{BC}}, \quad (3)$$

where  $M_{b\bar{s}}$  is the bare mass, and  $\Delta M$  is the mass shift from the coupled channel effects. Here  $p$  is the momentum of the one meson in the  $BC$  system. We will give the expression of the  $\mathcal{H}_I$  in the following.  $\ell$  is the relative angular momentum of  $B$  and  $C$ , and  $S$  is the sum of the  $B$  and  $C$  spins.

Besides the mass shift, the probabilities of the various components can be obtained by analyzing the wave functions

$$|\psi\rangle = c_0|\psi_0\rangle + \sum_{BC, \ell, S} \int d^3p c_{BC}(p) |BC; p, \ell S\rangle, \quad (4)$$

where the first term relates to  $b\bar{s}$  component, and the second term relates to self energy component. By considering the normalization condition,  $|c_0|^2 + \int d^3p |c_{BC}|^2 = 1$ , the probabilities of the  $b\bar{s}$  component in the state below

the  $BC$  threshold can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{b\bar{s}} &\equiv |c_0|^2 \\ &= \left( 1 + \sum_{BC,\ell,S} \int_0^\infty p^2 dp \frac{|\langle BC; p, \ell S | \mathcal{H}_I | \psi_0 \rangle|^2}{(M - E_{BC})^2} \right)^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{BC} &= \left( 1 + \sum_{BC,\ell,S} \int_0^\infty p^2 dp \frac{|\langle BC; p, \ell S | \mathcal{H}_I | \psi_0 \rangle|^2}{(M - E_{BC})^2} \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\ell,S} \int_0^\infty p^2 dp \frac{|\langle BC; p, \ell S | \mathcal{H}_I | \psi_0 \rangle|^2}{(M - E_{BC})^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Furthermore, for the initial state above the  $BC$  threshold, the strong decay width can be obtained by the mixing term  $\mathcal{H}_I$  as

$$\Gamma_{BC} = 2\pi p_0 \frac{E_B(p_0)E_C(p_0)}{M} \sum_{\ell,S} |\langle BC; p_0, \ell S | \mathcal{H}_I | \psi_0 \rangle|^2, \quad (7)$$

where  $p_0$  is the momentum of the final meson in the rest frame of the initial state, and  $E_B$  and  $E_C$  are the energy of the  $B$  and  $C$  mesons, respectively,

## B. Bare mass

The bare mass  $M_0$  corresponds to the quenched part of the coupled channel model, and could be obtained within the potential models, such as the Godfrey-Isgur (GI) relativized quark model [9], constituent quark model [44], nonrelativistic quark model [45]. Since the bottom-strange mesons could be regarded as the nonrelativistic system due to the large mass of the bottom quark, in this work we use the nonrelativistic quark model to calculate the bare mass, which has been successfully used to describe various mesons [14, 26, 46, 47]. The Hamiltonian of the nonrelativistic quark model is denoted by  $\mathcal{H}_{b\bar{s}}$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}_{b\bar{s}} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}_{sd}, \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_0 &= m_b + m_{\bar{s}} + \frac{\mathbf{P}^2}{2M_r} - \frac{4}{3} \frac{\alpha_s}{r} + br + C_{b\bar{s}} \\ &\quad + \frac{32\alpha_s\sigma^3 e^{-\sigma^2 r^2}}{9\sqrt{\pi}m_b m_{\bar{s}}} \mathbf{S}_b \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\bar{s}}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where  $m_b, m_{\bar{s}}$  are the masses of the constituent quarks,  $\alpha_s$ ,  $b$ , and  $\sigma$  are the free parameters which reflect the

interaction strengths.  $C_{b\bar{s}}$  is a constant that controls the overall movement of meson masses.  $M_r = m_b m_{\bar{s}} / (m_b + m_{\bar{s}})$  is the reduced mass of the bottom and strange quarks.  $\mathbf{S}_b$  and  $\mathbf{S}_{\bar{s}}$  are the spin of the bottom and strange quarks, respectively.

The spin-dependent term  $\mathcal{H}_{sd}$  includes spin-orbit term and spin-spin term,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{sd} &= \left( \frac{\mathbf{S}_b}{2m_b^2} + \frac{\mathbf{S}_{\bar{s}}}{2m_{\bar{s}}^2} \right) \cdot \mathbf{L} \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{dV_c}{dr} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{dV_1}{dr} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\mathbf{S}_+ \cdot \mathbf{L}}{m_b m_{\bar{s}}} \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{dV_2}{dr} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{3\mathbf{S}_b \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{S}_{\bar{s}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} - \mathbf{S}_b \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\bar{s}}}{3m_b m_{\bar{s}}} V_3 \\ &\quad + \left[ \left( \frac{\mathbf{S}_b}{m_b^2} - \frac{\mathbf{S}_{\bar{s}}}{m_{\bar{s}}^2} \right) + \frac{\mathbf{S}_-}{m_b m_{\bar{s}}} \right] \cdot \mathbf{L} V_4, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where  $\mathbf{L}$  is the orbital angular momentum between quark  $b$  and antiquark  $\bar{s}$ , and  $\mathbf{S}_\pm = \mathbf{S}_b \pm \mathbf{S}_{\bar{s}}$ . The  $V_c$  and  $V_1 \sim V_4$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} V_c &= -\frac{4}{3} \frac{\alpha_s}{r} + br, \\ V_1 &= -br - \frac{2}{9\pi} \frac{\alpha_s^2}{r} [9\ln(\sqrt{m_b m_{\bar{s}}} r) + 9\gamma_E - 4], \\ V_2 &= -\frac{4}{3} \frac{\alpha_s}{r} - \frac{1}{9\pi} \frac{\alpha_s^2}{r} [-18\ln(\sqrt{m_b m_{\bar{s}}} r) + 54\ln(\mu r) \\ &\quad + 36\gamma_E + 29], \\ V_3 &= -\frac{4\alpha_s}{r^3} - \frac{1}{3\pi} \frac{\alpha_s^2}{r^3} [-36\ln(\sqrt{m_b m_{\bar{s}}} r) + 54\ln(\mu r) \\ &\quad + 18\gamma_E + 31], \\ V_4 &= \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\alpha_s^2}{r^3} \ln\left(\frac{m_{\bar{s}}}{m_b}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where  $\mu = 1$  GeV is renormalization scale,  $\gamma_E = 0.5772$  is the Euler constant.

Since the  $b\bar{s}$  mesons are not charge conjugation eigenstates, which means the mixing will occur only when states have  $J = L$  and  $S = 0, 1$ . For example, the two  $J^P = 1^+$  states  $1^1P_1$  and  $1^3P_1$  can mix into  $1P$  and  $1P'$  with mixing angle  $\theta$ . The mixing angle can be obtained by analyzing the spin-orbit term  $\mathcal{H}_{sd}$ , which could be divided into symmetric part  $\mathcal{H}_{sym}$  and antisymmetric part  $\mathcal{H}_{anti}$  [14],

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{sym} &= \frac{\mathbf{S}_+ \cdot \mathbf{L}}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2m_b^2} + \frac{1}{2m_{\bar{s}}^2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{dV_c}{dr} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{dV_1}{dr} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2}{m_b m_{\bar{s}}} \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{dV_2}{dr} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{m_b^2} - \frac{1}{m_{\bar{s}}^2} \right) V_4 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{anti} = \frac{\mathbf{S}_- \cdot \mathbf{L}}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2m_b^2} - \frac{1}{2m_s^2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{dV_c}{dr} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{dV_1}{dr} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{m_b^2} + \frac{1}{m_s^2} + \frac{2}{m_b m_s} \right) V_4 \right]. \quad (13)$$

The antisymmetric part  $\mathcal{H}_{anti}$  can provide non-diagonal terms in the matrix equation and then the mixing angle can be extracted by diagonalization calculation. In this case, the the  $B_s(nL)$ , and  $B_s(nL')$  states can be obtained as [9, 14, 48],

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_{sL}(nL) \\ B_{sL}(nL') \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{nL} & \sin \theta_{nL} \\ -\sin \theta_{nL} & \cos \theta_{nL} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_s(n^1 L_L) \\ B_s(n^3 L_L) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

### C. Mass shift

The mass shift comes from the transition between the physical state and coupled channel, which could be described by the mixing term Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}_I$ . Usually, this mixing is achieved by the  $^3P_0$  model, which is a useful tool to analyze the coupling between the initial state and coupled channel [49–54]. In the  $^3P_0$  model, the quarks of the initial state can connect with vacuum generated quark-antiquark pair to form final states, and the transition operator  $\mathcal{H}_I = T^\dagger$  is expressed as [31–33],

$$T^\dagger = -3\gamma_0^{eff} \int d\vec{p}_3 d\vec{p}_4 \delta(\vec{p}_3 + \vec{p}_4) C_{34} F_{34} e^{-r_q^2(\vec{p}_3 - \vec{p}_4)^2/6} [\chi_{34} \times \mathcal{Y}_1(\vec{p}_3 - \vec{p}_4)]_0^{(0)} b_3^\dagger(\vec{p}_3) d_4^\dagger(\vec{p}_4), \quad (15)$$

where the generated quark-antiquark pair has vacuum quantum numbers  $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ . It should be noted that the operator  $T^\dagger$  includes a Gaussian factor that represents the actual size of the created quark pair, which has been adopted to analyze meson properties [31–33, 55–58]. It is believed that, when one sums over a complete set of virtual decay channels, this factor is necessary [56]. Here we use  $r_q = 0.3$  fm, the middle value of the range 0.25 – 0.35 fm determined in Refs. [55–58]. In Eq. (15), the color, flavor, and spin wave functions of the  $b\bar{s}$  meson system is labeled as  $C_{34}$ ,  $F_{34}$ , and  $\chi_{34}$ , respectively.  $b_3^\dagger(\vec{p}_3)$  and  $d_4^\dagger(\vec{p}_4)$  are the creation operators for a quark and an antiquark with momenta  $\vec{p}_3$  and  $\vec{p}_4$ , respectively. For  $u\bar{u}/d\bar{d}$  pair creation, the pair-creation strength  $\gamma_0^{eff} = \gamma_0$

and for  $s\bar{s}$  pairs, the  $\gamma_0^{eff} = \frac{m_u}{m_s} \gamma_0$ . Usually, the parameter  $\gamma_0$  is determined by analyzing the decay properties of the mesons, and in this work we take a typical value  $\gamma_0 = 0.4$ , which has been used in many works to calculate various meson strong decay widths [59–65].

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

TABLE II: Parameters used in this work [14, 45, 46].

Parameter	value
$m_n$	0.45 GeV
$m_s$	0.55 GeV
$m_b$	4.5 GeV
$\alpha_s$	0.5
$b$	0.14 GeV <sup>2</sup>
$\sigma$	1.17 GeV
$C_{bs}$	0.169 GeV
$\gamma_0$	0.4

In this work, we use the nonrelativistic quark model to calculate the mass spectrum of the bottom-strange mesons by considering the coupled channel effects. The model parameters we used are listed in Table II, which have been used to successfully describe the  $D$ ,  $D_s$ , and  $B$  mesons [14, 45, 46]. The only one unknown parameter  $C_{bs}$  is determined to be  $C_{bs} = 0.169$  by reproducing the mass of the ground state  $B_s$ . For the calculation of the decay widths, we used the realistic wave functions by solving the Schrodinger wave equation numerically using the nonrelativistic potential model.

The masses, mass shifts, probabilities, and strong decay widths for the bottom-strange mesons are given in Tables III–VII. In the following, we give the explicit discussions.

### A. S-wave states

The experimental masses of the lowest-lying bottom-strange mesons  $B_s$  ( $J^P = 0^-$ ) and  $B_s^*$  ( $J^P = 1^-$ ) are  $5366.93 \pm 0.10$  MeV and  $5415.4 \pm 1.4$  MeV, which are

TABLE III: The mass spectrum (in MeV) of the  $b\bar{s}$  mesons. Column 3 to 5 stand for bare mass from the potential model, the mass shift, and the spectrum with coupled channel effects. Results from other models are listed in Columns 6 ~ 9 as comparison. The last Column is the experimental values taken from RPP [66]. The mixing angles of  $1P$ ,  $2P$ ,  $1D$  are  $-55.8^\circ$ ,  $-54.5^\circ$  and  $-50.3^\circ$ , respectively.

$n^{2S+1}L_J$	state	$M_0$	$\Delta M$	$M$	MGI [15]	NR[14]	GI[64]	DRV[12]	KA[67]	VRA[68]	RPP [2]
$1^1S_0$	$B_s$	5480	-114	5367	5367	5362	5394	5372		5359	$5366.93 \pm 0.10$
$1^3S_1$	$B_s^*$	5531	-122	5409	5419	5413	5450	5414		5415	$5415.4 \pm 1.4$
$2^1S_0$	—	6095	-146	5949	5947	5977	5984	5976	6025	5980	—
$2^3S_1$	—	6122	-130	5992	5972	6003	6012	5992	6033	5993	—
$1^3P_0$	—	5876	-145	5732	5753	5756	5831	5833	5709	5798	—
$1P$	—	5921	-145	5776	5797	5801	5857	5831	5768	5818	—
$1P'$	$B_{s1}(5830)$	5953	-147	5805	5825	5836	5861	5865	5875	5846	$5828.73 \pm 0.20$
$1^3P_2$	$B_{s2}^*(5840)$	5968	-143	5825	5840	5851	5876	5842	5890	5838	$5839.88 \pm 0.12$
$2^3P_0$	—	6323	-110	6214	6158	6203	6279	6318	6387	6292	—
$2P$	—	6362	-87	6274	6194	6241	6279	6321	6393	6304	—
$2P'$	—	6413	-106	6307	6236	6297	6296	6345	6470	6320	—
$2^3P_2$	—	6427	-74	6352	6249	6309	6295	6359	6476	6316	—
$1^3D_1$	$B_{sJ}(6158)$	6261	-104	6157	6117	6142	6182	6209	6247	6144	$6158 \pm 4 \pm 5$
$1D$	—	6205	-128	6077	6053	6087	6169	6189	6256	6139	—
$1D'$	—	6277	-123	6154	6132	6159	6196	6218	6292	6135	—
$1^3D_3$	$B_{sJ}(6064)$	6214	-135	6079	6061	6096	6179	6191	6297	6139	$6063.5 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.8$

TABLE IV: Mass shift  $\Delta M$  (in MeV) of each coupled channel.

State		$BK$	$B^*K$	$BK^*$	$B^*K^*$	$B_s\eta$	$B_s\eta'$	$B_s^*\eta$	$B_s^*\eta'$	$B_s\phi$	$B_s^*\phi$	Total
$1^1S_0$	$B_s$	0	-23	-26	-51	0	0	-5	-2	0	-6	-114
$1^3S_1$	$B_s^*$	-9	-16	-19	-64	-2	-1	-4	-1	-2	-4	-122
$2^1S_0$	—	0	-43	-31	-58	0	0	-8	-2	0	-5	-146
$2^3S_1$	—	-4	-17	-22	-71	-3	-1	-7	-1	-2	-3	-130
$1^3P_0$	—	-42	0	0	-93	-5	-1	0	0	-4	0	-145
$1P$	—	0	-42	-30	-59	0	0	-6	-2	0	-6	-145
$1P'$	$B_{s1}(5830)$	0	-42	-24	-70	0	0	-6	-2	0	-5	-147
$1^3P_2$	$B_{s2}^*(5840)$	-17	-23	-23	-63	-3	-1	-4	-2	-3	-4	-143
$2^3P_0$	—	-2	0	0	-102	-3	-1	0	0	-2	0	-110
$2P$	—	0	0.02	-26	-55	0	0	-1	-1	0	-4	-87
$2P'$	—	0	-0.2	-10	-90	0	0	-2	-1	0	-3	-106
$2^3P_2$	—	-4	-3	-13	-47	-0.2	-1	-0.2	-1	-2	-3	-74
$1^3D_1$	$B_{sJ}(6158)$	12	5	-10	-106	-0.04	-1	-1	-0.4	-2	-1	-104
$1D$	—	0	-23	-32	-60	0	0	-6	-2	0	-5	-128
$1D'$	—	0	-11	-30	-70	0	0	-5	-2	0	-4	-123
$1^3D_3$	$B_{sJ}(6063)$	-19	-24	-21	-56	-3	-1	-4	-1	-3	-3	-135

TABLE V: Possibilities (in %) of each coupled channel.

State	$BK$	$B^*K$	$BK^*$	$B^*K^*$	$B_s\eta$	$B_s\eta'$	$B_s^*\eta$	$B_s^*\eta'$	$B_s\phi$	$B_s^*\phi$	$P_{molecule}$	$P_{b\bar{s}}$	
$1^1S_0$	$B_s$	0	2.2	2.0	3.7	0	0	0.4	0.1	0	0.4	8.9	91.1
$1^3S_1$	$B_s^*$	1.0	1.7	1.5	4.8	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.3	10.0	90.0
$1^3P_0$	—	23.9	0	0	7.0	1.1	0.1	0	0	0.3	0	32.4	67.6

TABLE VI: Decay widths of the  $2S$  and  $1P$  states.

mode	$2^1S_0$	$2^3S_1$	$1^1P_1$	$1^3P_1$	$1^3P_2$
	—	—	—	$B_{s1}(5830)$	$B_{s2}^*(5840)$
$BK$	—	34	—	—	1[1.01]
$B^*K$	91	68	—	0.04	—[0.08]
$BK^*$	—	—	—	—	—
$B^*K^*$	—	—	—	—	—
$B_s\eta$	—	4	—	—	—
$B_s\eta'$	—	—	—	—	—
$B_s^*\eta$	—	2	—	—	—
$B_s^*\eta'$	—	—	—	—	—
$B(1^3P_0)K$	—	—	—	—	—
$B(1^3P_2)K$	—	—	—	—	—
$B(1P)K$	—	—	—	—	—
$B(1P')K$	—	—	—	—	—
Total	91	108	—	0.04	1[1.09]
Exp.	—	—	—	$0.5 \pm 0.4$	$1.49 \pm 0.27$

TABLE VII: Decay widths of the  $2P$  and  $1D$  states.

mode	$2^3P_0$	$2P$	$2P'$	$2^3P_2$	$1^3D_1$	$1D$	$1D'$	$1^3D_3$
	—	—	—	—	$B_{sJ}(6158)$	—	—	$B_{sJ}(6064)$
$BK$	35	—	—	0.02	28	—	—	12
$B^*K$	—	33	28	1	18	75	34	11
$BK^*$	—	13	95	53	—	—	—	—
$B^*K^*$	—	—	—	86	—	—	—	—
$B_s\eta$	4	—	—	1	10	—	—	0.4
$B_s\eta'$	—	—	—	0.1	—	—	—	—
$B_s^*\eta$	—	1	7	3	5	9	1	0.2
$B_s^*\eta'$	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
$B(1^3P_0)K$	—	0.1	0.2	—	—	—	—	—
$B(1^3P_2)K$	—	—	—	14	—	—	—	—
$B(1P)K$	—	—	—	1	—	—	—	—
$B(1P')K$	—	—	—	4	—	—	—	—
Total	39	48	130	165	62	84	35	24
Exp.	—	—	—	—	$72 \pm 18 \pm 25$	—	—	$26 \pm 4 \pm 4$

in good agreement with our predictions 5367 MeV and 5409 MeV, as shown in Table III. Both of them have no strong decay modes, since their masses are lower than the  $BK$  threshold. We could estimate the possibilities of various components, as shown in Table V. Our results show that the dominant component of the  $B_s$  is  $b\bar{s}$  (91.1%), while the dominant component of the  $B_s^*$  is also  $b\bar{s}$  (90.0%).

There is no experimental information for the  $2S$ -wave states at present. As shown in Table III, our predicted masses 5949 MeV and 5992 MeV for the  $B_s(2^1S_0)$  and  $B_s(2^3S_1)$  are reasonable consistent with the results of other models [12, 14, 15, 64, 67, 68], which indicates that the mass ranges of these two states should be  $5947 \sim 6025$  MeV and  $5972 \sim 6033$  MeV, respectively. Furthermore, we have shown the strong decay behaviors of these two states in Table VI. The  $B_s(2^1S_0)$  can only decay into  $B^*K$  with the predicted width of 91 MeV, and the  $B_s(2^3S_1)$  can decay into  $BK$  (34 MeV) and  $B^*K$  (68 MeV) with total width of 108 MeV. The ratio between two decay modes is

$$\frac{\Gamma(B_s(2^3S_1) \rightarrow B^{*+}K^-)}{\Gamma(B_s(2^3S_1) \rightarrow B^+K^-)} = 2.0, \quad (16)$$

which is in good agreement with the results of Refs. [14, 69].

### B. $P$ -wave states

The  $B_{s1}(5830)$  and  $B_{s2}^*(5840)$  are identified as the  $1^+$  and  $2^+$  states. According to Table III, the predicted masses of the  $B_s(1^3P_0)$  and  $B_s(1P)$  are below the  $BK$  threshold, therefore these states has no strong decay modes [10, 14, 69]. As shown in Table V, our results suggest that the dominant components of the  $B_s(1^3P_0)$  are  $BK$  (23.9%) and  $b\bar{s}$  (67.6%). With the mixing angle  $-55.8^\circ$ , the predicted masses of the  $B_s(1P')$  and  $B_s(1^3P_2)$  are 5805 MeV and 5825 MeV, which are reasonable consistent with those of the  $B_{s1}(5830)$  and  $B_{s2}^*(5840)$ , respectively. Meanwhile, both the decay widths for these two states are very narrow, consistent with the experimental data. On the other hand, the de-

cay ratio between two decay modes is

$$\frac{\Gamma(B_{s2}^*(5840) \rightarrow B^{*+}K^-)}{\Gamma(B_{s2}^*(5840) \rightarrow B^+K^-)} = 0.096, \quad (17)$$

which is also consistent with the LHCb data of  $0.093 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.012$  [4]. Thus, one could conclude that the  $B_{s1}(5830)$  and  $B_{s2}^*(5840)$  should be the  $B_s(1P')$  and  $B_s(1^3P_2)$ , respectively, same as discussed in Ref. [14].

As shown in Table III and Table VII, the masses of the  $2P$ -wave states are predicted to be 6214 MeV, 6274 MeV, 6307 MeV, and 6352 MeV, respectively, and their total decay widths are 39 MeV, 48 MeV, 130 MeV, and 165 MeV, respectively. Our results show that the  $B_s(2^3P_0)$  mainly decays into  $BK$ , while the main decay modes of the  $B_s(2^3P_2)$  state are  $BK^*$  and  $B^*K^*$ . With mixing angle  $-54.5^\circ$ , both the  $B_s(2P)$  and  $B_s(2P')$  mainly decay to  $B^*K$  and  $BK^*$ .

### C. $D$ -wave states

According to Table III and Table VII, our predicted mass and width of the  $B_s(1^3D_1)$  is 6157 MeV and 62 MeV, consistent with the mass  $6158 \pm 4 \pm 5$  MeV and width  $72 \pm 18 \pm 25$  MeV of the  $B_{sJ}(6158)$ , which implies that the state  $B_{sJ}(6158)$  could be regarded as the candidate of the  $B_s(1^3D_1)$ . Our predicted value for the mass and decay width for  $B_s(1^3D_3)$  are 6079 MeV and 24 MeV, consistent with the mass  $6063.5 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.8$  MeV and width  $26 \pm 4 \pm 4$  MeV of the  $B_{sJ}(6064)$ , respectively, which implies that the  $B_{sJ}(6064)$  could be considered as a candidate of the  $B_s(1^3D_3)$ .

As for the two  $J^P = 2^+$  states, they are mixing states due to the spin-orbit mixing. According to Table III, the predicted masses of the  $B_s(1D)$  and  $B_s(1D')$  are 6077 MeV and 6154 MeV, respectively, which are in reasonable agreement with the predictions of Refs. [14, 15]. Their decay properties are given in Table VII, which show that the  $B_s(1D)$  and  $B_s(1D')$  can decay to  $B^*K$  and  $B_s^*\eta$ , and the dominant decay channel for both states is  $B^*K$ .

On the other hand, based on a nonrelativistic linear potential model, the  $B_{sJ}(6064)$  could be explained as

$B_s(1^3D_3)$  with predicted mass  $M = 6067$  MeV and width  $\Gamma = 13$  MeV. In Ref. [70], the  $B_{sJ}(6064)$  was considered as  $B_s(2^3S_1)$ , using the heavy quark model effective theory, but the predicted width  $\Gamma = 170 \pm 1.5$  MeV is too larger than the experimental data of  $26 \pm 4 \pm 4$  MeV.

#### IV. SUMMARY

In this work, we have systematically investigated the mass spectrum and the strong decay properties of the bottom-strange mesons in the coupled channel model with a nonrelativistic potential. The wave functions are obtained by solving the Schrodinger wave equation using the Gaussian expansion method, and used to calculate the strong decay widths with the  $^3P_0$  model.

We could assign the  $B_{s1}(5830)$  and  $B_{s2}^*(5840)$  as  $B_s(1P')$  and  $B_s(1^3P_2)$ , respectively. Furthermore, our results suggest that the  $B_{sJ}(6158)$  and  $B_{sJ}(6064)$  could be regarded as candidates for the  $B_s(1^3D_1)$  and  $B_s(1^3D_3)$  states, respectively, both the  $B_{sJ}(6064)$  and

$B_{sJ}(6158)$  mainly decay to the  $BK$  and  $B^*K$  channels.

Specifically, our results show that the dominant component of the ground states  $B_s(1^1S_0)$  and  $B_s(1^3S_1)$  is  $b\bar{s}$  (91.1% and 90.0%), and the  $b\bar{s}$  component of the  $B_s(1^3P_0)$  is about 67.6%.

Furthermore, we also calculated the masses and decay widths of the  $2S$  states. The main decay mode of  $B_s(2^1S_0)$  is  $BK$  with a total width of 91 MeV, and  $B_s(2^3S_1)$  can decay to  $BK$  (34 MeV) and  $B^*K$  (68 MeV), which will be helpful in searching for them in the experiments.

#### V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

E.Wang acknowledge the support from the National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2024YFE0105200). This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Henan under Grant No. 232300421140 and No. 222300420554, the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 12475086 and No. 12192263.

- 
- [1] Juliet Lee-Franzini, U. Heintz, D. M. J. Lovelock, M. Narain, R. Dean Schamberger, J. Willins, C. Yanagisawa, P. Franzini, and P. M. Tuts. Hyperfine splitting of  $B$  mesons and  $B_s$  production at the  $\Upsilon(5S)$ . *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 65:2947–2950, 1990.
- [2] S. Navas et al. Review of particle physics. *Phys. Rev. D*, 110(3):030001, 2024.
- [3] T. Aaltonen et al. Observation of orbitally excited  $B_s$  mesons. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 100:082001, 2008.
- [4] R Aaij et al. First observation of the decay  $B_{s2}^*(5840)^0 \rightarrow B^{*+}K^-$  and studies of excited  $B_s^0$  mesons. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 110(15):151803, 2013.
- [5] Albert M Sirunyan et al. Studies of  $B_{s2}^*(5840)^0$  and  $B_{s1}(5830)^0$  mesons including the observation of the  $B_{s2}^*(5840)^0 \rightarrow B^0K_S^0$  decay in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV. *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 78(11):939, 2018.
- [6] V. M. Abazov et al. Observation and properties of the orbitally excited  $B_{s2}^*$  meson. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 100:082002, 2008.
- [7] R. Akers et al. Observations of  $\pi - B$  charge - flavor correlations and resonant  $B\pi$  and  $BK$  production. *Z. Phys. C*, 66:19–30, 1995.
- [8] Roel Aaij et al. Observation of new excited  $B_s^0$  states. *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 81(7):601, 2021.
- [9] S. Godfrey and Nathan Isgur. Mesons in a Relativized Quark Model with Chromodynamics. *Phys. Rev. D*, 32:189–231, 1985.
- [10] J. Zeng, J. W. Van Orden, and W. Roberts. Heavy mesons in a relativistic model. *Phys. Rev. D*, 52:5229–5241, 1995.
- [11] Massimo Di Pierro and Estia Eichten. Excited Heavy - Light Systems and Hadronic Transitions. *Phys. Rev. D*, 64:114004, 2001.
- [12] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, and V. O. Galkin. Heavy-light meson spectroscopy and Regge trajectories in the relativistic quark model. *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 66:197–206, 2010.
- [13] T. A. Lahde, C. J. Nyfalt, and D. O. Riska. Spectra and  $M1$  decay widths of heavy light mesons. *Nucl. Phys. A*, 674:141–167, 2000.
- [14] Qi-Fang Lü, Ting-Ting Pan, Yan-Yan Wang, En Wang, and De-Min Li. Excited bottom and bottom-strange mesons in the quark model. *Phys. Rev. D*, 94(7):074012,



- 2016.
- [15] Wei Hao, Yu Lu, and En Wang. The assignments of the  $B_s$  mesons within the screened potential model and  $^3P_0$  model. *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 83(6):520, 2023.
- [16] Xue-Chao Feng, Zheng-Ya Li, De-Min Li, Qin-Tao Song, En Wang, and Wen-Cheng Yan. Mass spectra and decay properties of the higher excited  $\rho$  mesons. *Phys. Rev. D*, 106(7):076012, 2022.
- [17] Zheng-Ya Li, De-Min Li, En Wang, Wen-Cheng Yan, and Qin-Tao Song. Assignments of the  $Y(2040)$ ,  $\rho(1900)$ , and  $\rho(2150)$  in the quark model. *Phys. Rev. D*, 104(3):034013, 2021.
- [18] Xian-hui Zhong and Qiang Zhao. Strong decays of heavy-light mesons in a chiral quark model. *Phys. Rev. D*, 78:014029, 2008.
- [19] C. B. Lang, Daniel Mohler, Sasa Prelovsek, and R. M. Woloshyn. Predicting positive parity  $B_s$  mesons from lattice QCD. *Phys. Lett. B*, 750:17–21, 2015.
- [20] Hao Xu, Xiang Liu, and Takayuki Matsuki. Newly observed  $B(5970)$  and the predictions of its spin and strange partners. *Phys. Rev. D*, 89(9):097502, 2014.
- [21] Zhi-Gang Wang. Strong decays of the bottom mesons  $B_1(5721)$ ,  $B_2(5747)$ ,  $B_{s1}(5830)$ ,  $B_{s2}(5840)$  and  $B(5970)$ . *Eur. Phys. J. Plus*, 129:186, 2014.
- [22] Yu Lu, Muhammad Naeem Anwar, and Bing-Song Zou. Coupled-Channel Effects for the Bottomonium with Realistic Wave Functions. *Phys. Rev. D*, 94(3):034021, 2016.
- [23] Qin-Tao Song, Dian-Yong Chen, Xiang Liu, and Takayuki Matsuki. Higher radial and orbital excitations in the charmed meson family. *Phys. Rev. D*, 92(7):074011, 2015.
- [24] Qin-Tao Song, Dian-Yong Chen, Xiang Liu, and Takayuki Matsuki. Charmed-strange mesons revisited: mass spectra and strong decays. *Phys. Rev. D*, 91:054031, 2015.
- [25] Bai-Qing Li and Kuang-Ta Chao. Higher Charmonia and  $X, Y, Z$  states with Screened Potential. *Phys. Rev. D*, 79:094004, 2009.
- [26] Xue-Chao Feng, Wei Hao, and li-Juan Liu. The assignments of the bottom mesons within the screened potential model and  $^3P_0$  model. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E*, 31(07):2250066, 2022.
- [27] Wei Hao, M. Atif Sultan, Li-Juan Liu, and En Wang. Strangeonium spectrum with the screening effects and interpretation of  $h_1(1911)$  and  $h_1(2316)$  observed by BESIII. 12 2024.
- [28] Jing-Jing Yang, Wei Hao, Xiaoyu Wang, De-Min Li, Yu-Xiao Li, and En Wang. The mass spectrum and strong decay properties of the charmed-strange mesons within Godfrey–Isgur model considering the coupled-channel effects. *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 83(12):1098, 2023.
- [29] Pablo G. Ortega, Jorge Segovia, David R. Entem, and Francisco Fernandez. Molecular components in P-wave charmed-strange mesons. *Phys. Rev. D*, 94(7):074037, 2016.
- [30] Wei Hao, Yu Lu, and Bing-Song Zou. Coupled channel effects for the charmed-strange mesons. *Phys. Rev. D*, 106(7):074014, 2022.
- [31] J. Ferretti, G. Galatà, and E. Santopinto. Interpretation of the  $X(3872)$  as a charmonium state plus an extra component due to the coupling to the meson-meson continuum. *Phys. Rev. C*, 88(1):015207, 2013.
- [32] J. Ferretti, G. Galata, E. Santopinto, and A. Vassallo. Bottomonium self-energies due to the coupling to the meson-meson continuum. *Phys. Rev. C*, 86:015204, 2012.
- [33] J. Ferretti and E. Santopinto. Higher mass bottomonia. *Phys. Rev. D*, 90(9):094022, 2014.
- [34] J. Ferretti and E. Santopinto. Open-flavor strong decays of open-charm and open-bottom mesons in the  $^3P_0$  model. *Phys. Rev. D*, 97(11):114020, 2018.
- [35] Yu. S. Kalashnikova. Coupled-channel model for charmonium levels and an option for  $X(3872)$ . *Phys. Rev. D*, 72:034010, 2005.
- [36] Wei Hao and Ruilin Zhu. Beauty-charm meson family with coupled channel effects and their strong decays\*. *Chin. Phys. C*, 48(12):123101, 2024.
- [37] Wei Hao, M. Atif Sultan, and En Wang. Spectrum and decay properties of the charmed mesons involving the coupled channel effects. 11 2024.
- [38] Ting-Ting Pan, Qi-Fang Lü, En Wang, and De-Min Li. Strong decays of the  $X(2500)$  newly observed by the BESIII Collaboration. *Phys. Rev. D*, 94(5):054030, 2016.
- [39] Estia J. Eichten, Kenneth Lane, and Chris Quigg. Charmonium levels near threshold and the narrow state  $X(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ . *Phys. Rev. D*, 69:094019, 2004.
- [40] Eef van Beveren and George Rupp. Observed  $D_s(2317)$  and tentative  $D(2100-2300)$  as the charmed cousins of the light scalar nonet. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 91:012003, 2003.
- [41] Yuan-Ben Dai, Xin-Qiang Li, Shi-Lin Zhu, and Ya-Bing Zuo. Contribution of  $DK$  continuum in the QCD sum rule for  $D_{sJ}(2317)$ . *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 55:249–258, 2008.
- [42] Yan-Rui Liu, Xiang Liu, and Shi-Lin Zhu. Light Pseu-

- doscalar Meson and Heavy Meson Scattering Lengths. *Phys. Rev. D*, 79:094026, 2009.
- [43] Xiong-Hui Cao, Meng-Lin Du, and Feng-Kun Guo. Photoproduction of the  $X(3872)$  beyond vector meson dominance: the open-charm coupled-channel mechanism. *J. Phys. G*, 51(10):105002, 2024.
- [44] J. Vijande, F. Fernandez, and A. Valcarce. Constituent quark model study of the meson spectra. *J. Phys. G*, 31:481, 2005.
- [45] Olga Lakhina and Eric S. Swanson. A Canonical  $D_s(2317)$ ? *Phys. Lett. B*, 650:159–165, 2007.
- [46] De-Min Li, Peng-Fei Ji, and Bing Ma. The newly observed open-charm states in quark model. *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 71:1582, 2011.
- [47] Wei Hao, Guan-Ying Wang, En Wang, Guan-Nan Li, and De-Min Li. Canonical interpretation of the  $X(4140)$  state within the  $^3P_0$  model. *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 80(7):626, 2020.
- [48] Stephen Godfrey and Richard Kokoski. The Properties of  $P$ -Wave Mesons with One Heavy Quark. *Phys. Rev. D*, 43:1679–1687, 1991.
- [49] L. Micu. Decay rates of meson resonances in a quark model. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 10:521–526, 1969.
- [50] A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pene, and J. C. Raynal. Naive quark pair creation model of strong interaction vertices. *Phys. Rev. D*, 8:2223–2234, 1973.
- [51] A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pene, and J. C. Raynal. Naive quark pair creation model and baryon decays. *Phys. Rev. D*, 9:1415–1419, 1974.
- [52] Tian-Ge Li, Zhuo Gao, Guan-Ying Wang, De-Min Li, En Wang, and Jingya Zhu. Possible assignments of the scalar  $K_0^*(1950)$  and  $K_0^*(2130)$  within the  $^3P_0$  model. *Phys. Rev. D*, 106(3):034012, 2022.
- [53] Shi-Chen Xue, Guan-Ying Wang, Guan-Nan Li, En Wang, and De-Min Li. The possible members of the  $5^1S_0$  meson nonet. *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 78(6):479, 2018.
- [54] Guan-Ying Wang, Shi-Chen Xue, Guan-Nan Li, En Wang, and De-Min Li. Strong decays of the higher isovector scalar mesons. *Phys. Rev. D*, 97(3):034030, 2018.
- [55] B. Silvestre-Brac and C. Gignoux. Unitary effects in spin orbit splitting of  $P$  wave baryons. *Phys. Rev. D*, 43:3699–3708, 1991.
- [56] Paul Geiger and Nathan Isgur. Reconciling the OZI rule with strong pair creation. *Phys. Rev. D*, 44:799–808, 1991.
- [57] P. Geiger and Nathan Isgur. How the Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka rule evades large loop corrections. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 67:1066–1069, 1991.
- [58] Paul Geiger and Nathan Isgur. Strange hadronic loops of the proton: A Quark model calculation. *Phys. Rev. D*, 55:299–310, 1997.
- [59] E. S. Ackleh, Ted Barnes, and E. S. Swanson. On the mechanism of open flavor strong decays. *Phys. Rev. D*, 54:6811–6829, 1996.
- [60] T. Barnes, N. Black, and P. R. Page. Strong decays of strange quarkonia. *Phys. Rev. D*, 68:054014, 2003.
- [61] T. Barnes, S. Godfrey, and E. S. Swanson. Higher charmonia. *Phys. Rev. D*, 72:054026, 2005.
- [62] F. E. Close and E. S. Swanson. Dynamics and decay of heavy-light hadrons. *Phys. Rev. D*, 72:094004, 2005.
- [63] Qi Li, Ming-Sheng Liu, Long-Sheng Lu, Qi-Fang Lü, Long-Cheng Gui, and Xian-Hui Zhong. Excited bottom-charmed mesons in a nonrelativistic quark model. *Phys. Rev. D*, 99(9):096020, 2019.
- [64] Stephen Godfrey, K. Moats, and E. S. Swanson.  $B$  and  $B_s$  Meson Spectroscopy. *Phys. Rev. D*, 94(5):054025, 2016.
- [65] Stephen Godfrey and Kenneth Moats. Properties of Excited Charm and Charm-Strange Mesons. *Phys. Rev. D*, 93(3):034035, 2016.
- [66] R. L. Workman et al. Review of Particle Physics. *PTEP*, 2022:083C01, 2022.
- [67] Keval Gandhi and Ajay Kumar Rai. Study of  $B$ ,  $B_s$  mesons using heavy quark effective theory. *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 82(9):777, 2022.
- [68] Vikas Patel, Raghav Chaturvedi, and A. K. Rai. Spectroscopic Properties of  $B$  and  $B_s$  meson using Screened Potential. 1 2022.
- [69] Yuan Sun, Qin-Tao Song, Dain-Yong Chen, Xiang Liu, and Shi-Lin Zhu. Higher bottom and bottom-strange mesons. *Phys. Rev. D*, 89(5):054026, 2014.
- [70] Qi li, Ru-Hui Ni, and Xian-Hui Zhong. Towards establishing an abundant  $B$  and  $B_s$  spectrum up to the second orbital excitations. *Phys. Rev. D*, 103:116010, 2021.