

**COMPLETELY INTEGRABLE FOLIATIONS:
SINGULAR LOCUS, INVARIANT CURVES AND
TOPOLOGICAL COUNTERPARTS**

JAVIER RIBÓN

CONTENTS

Abstract	1
1. Introduction	2
2. Completely integrable foliations	7
2.1. Closedness of Leaves	7
2.2. Stability of leaves	11
3. The singular set and the set of separatrices	14
3.1. Existence of a separatrix	14
3.2. The neighborhood of the set of separatrices	15
3.3. Construction of holomorphic first integrals of \mathcal{F}	18
3.4. End of the proof of Theorem 2	19
3.5. The completely integrable case	19
4. Existence of a dicritical hypersurface	20
4.1. The neighborhood of the set of separatrices	20
4.2. Construction of holomorphic first integrals	20
4.3. End of the proof of Theorem 3	22
5. TCI germs of vector fields	22
6. From formal to analytic complete integrability	28
6.1. End of the proof of Theorem 5	32
References	33

ABSTRACT

We study codimension $q \geq 2$ holomorphic foliations defined in a neighborhood of a point P of a complex manifold that are completely integrable, i.e. with q independent meromorphic first integrals. We

e-mail address: jribon@id.uff.br.

MSC class. Primary: 32S65, 34A05; Secondary: 34C45, 32M25, 34M65, 34M35.

Keywords: holomorphic foliations, first integrals, holonomy groups, invariant analytic varieties.

show that either P is a regular point, a non-isolated singularity or there are infinitely many invariant analytic varieties through P of the same dimension as the foliation, the so called separatrices. Moreover, we see that this phenomenon is of topological nature.

Indeed, we introduce topological counterparts of completely integrable local holomorphic foliations and tools, specially the concept of total holonomy group, to build holomorphic first integrals if they have isolated separatrices. As a result, we provide a topological characterization of completely integrable non-degenerated elementary isolated singularities of vector fields with an isolated separatrix.

1. INTRODUCTION

We study completely integrable holomorphic foliations or, more precisely, foliations with the highest possible number of independent holomorphic (or meromorphic) first integrals. Such number is the codimension of the foliation. In order to fix the setting of the paper, let us introduce the definition of completely integrable vector field.

Definition 1. *A holomorphic foliation \mathcal{F} of codimension q is completely integrable (CI) if there exist meromorphic first integrals f_1, \dots, f_q of \mathcal{F} such that $df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_q \neq 0$. We say that (f_1, \dots, f_q) is a complete first integral of \mathcal{F} . We say that \mathcal{F} is $(CI)_\mathcal{O}$ if the definition holds for holomorphic f_1, \dots, f_q .*

For example if \mathcal{F} is given by a germ of vector field X , we are requiring $X(f_1) = \dots = X(f_{n-1}) = 0$. The property $df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_q \neq 0$ is the condition of generic independence of the first integrals.

Mattei and Moussu studied holomorphic complete integrability $(CI)_\mathcal{O}$ in their seminal paper [20] for the case of codimension $q = 1$. They proved that the existence of a non-trivial formal first integral is equivalent to the existence of an analytic one and that the $(CI)_\mathcal{O}$ property is a topological invariant. In spite of this, the CI property is not a topological invariant even for $q = 1$ [32, Suzuki]. This paper treats the case $q \geq 2$; this is a current topic of research in which new phenomena appear. For instance $(CI)_\mathcal{O}$ is not a topological invariant for $q = 2$ [26, Pinheiro-Reis].

A priori, we could expect completely integrable foliations to be simple since the leaves are generically connected components of level sets of a complete first integral. Moreover, a complete first integral determines the foliation. Nevertheless, the properties of CI foliations are subtle and it is not straightforward to obtain the geometrical properties of the foliation from a complete first integral.

As an example, consider $n = 3$, $f_1(x, y, z) = xz$, $f_2(x, y, z) = yz$. The 1-form

$$\omega := df_1 \wedge df_2 = z(zdx \wedge dy + ydx \wedge dz - xdy \wedge dz)$$

determines the 1-dimensional foliation \mathcal{F} induced by the germ of vector field

equ:ex1
(1)

$$X = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

that has isolated singularity whereas $\text{cod}(\text{Sing}(\omega)) = 1$. This discrepancy between singular sets is independent of the choice of first integrals. Indeed, if we have two germs ω_1, ω_2 of holomorphic 1-forms such that $\omega_1(X) = \omega_2(X) = 0$ and $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \neq 0$, we obtain that $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2$ is a multiple of $z^{-1}\omega$ and thus singular at $\mathbf{0}$. It is a well-known result that in such a case $\mathbf{0}$ is a non-isolated singular point of $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2$ [9, Lemma 3.1.2] [18] and hence we obtain $\text{cod}(\text{Sing}(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2)) = 1$.

We are interested in the geometrical properties of germs of CI foliations \mathcal{F} . First, we study two geometrically relevant sets associated to \mathcal{F} , namely the singular set $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ and the set of separatrices (the integral varieties of \mathcal{F} whose closure contains $\mathbf{0}$). Next theorem reveals their transcendence, showing that at least one of the sets is “big”.

Theorem 1. *Let \mathcal{F} be a completely integrable germ of holomorphic foliation of codimension $q \geq 2$ in $(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ with an isolated singularity at the origin. Then, there are infinitely many invariant analytic varieties of dimension $\dim(\mathcal{F})$ through the origin.*

teo:dicritical

An alternative statement is that if \mathcal{F} is a CI germ that is singular at $\mathbf{0}$ and $q \geq 2$, we obtain that either $\dim(\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})) \geq 1$ or there are infinitely many separatrices. For instance, in example (1) the origin is an isolated singularity and there are infinitely many separatrices, indeed any line through the origin in the plane $z = 0$ is a separatrix. Of course, there are also examples of CI foliations with finitely many separatrices and non-isolated singularities (cf. Remark 14).

Theorem 1 is a result intrinsic of codimension $q \geq 2$. Indeed, for $q = 1$, there are germs of function f , for instance $f(x, y) = xy$, such that $f = 0$ has an isolated singularity. In such a case $df = 0$ has finitely many separatrices, namely the irreducible components of $f = 0$.

Theorem 1 extends a theorem of Pinheiro and Reis [26] where they suppose that $n = 3$, \mathcal{F} is $(\text{CI})_{\mathcal{O}}$ and made additional hypothesis on the existence of reduction of singularities after a single blow-up. Let us remark that their techniques rely on desingularization theory and index arguments whereas ours are of topological nature.

Next result is an immediate corollary of Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. *Consider germs f_1, \dots, f_q of holomorphic function defined in a neighborhood of $\mathbf{0}$ in \mathbb{C}^n such that $(f_1, \dots, f_q)^{-1}(\mathbf{0})$ has pure codimension q . Then, either \mathcal{F} is regular at $\mathbf{0}$ or $\dim(\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})) \geq 1$, where \mathcal{F} is the foliation with complete first integral (f_1, \dots, f_q) .*

Indeed, the hypothesis on $(f_1, \dots, f_q)^{-1}(\mathbf{0})$ implies that \mathcal{F} has finitely many separatrices.

Our techniques are of topological kind and as a consequence of their flexibility we can give topological versions of our results. We introduce the topological counterpart of completely integrable foliations.

Definition 2. *Let \mathcal{F} be a germ of holomorphic foliation in $(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ with isolated singularity. We say that \mathcal{F} is topologically completely integrable (TCI) in $\overline{B}(\mathbf{0}; \epsilon_0)$ if \mathcal{F} has a holomorphic representative defined in a neighborhood of $\overline{B}(\mathbf{0}; \epsilon_0)$ and*

- $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \overline{B}(\mathbf{0}; \epsilon_0) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$;
- every leaf \mathcal{L} of the foliation \mathcal{F}^{ϵ_0} induced by \mathcal{F} in $B(\mathbf{0}; \epsilon_0)$ is closed in $B(\mathbf{0}; \epsilon_0) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$;
- given any closed leaf \mathcal{L} of \mathcal{F}^{ϵ_0} , its holonomy group is finite;
- \mathcal{L} is transverse to $\partial B(\mathbf{0}; \epsilon_0)$ for any leaf \mathcal{L} of \mathcal{F}^{ϵ_0} with $\mathbf{0} \in \overline{\mathcal{L}}$;

We say that \mathcal{F} is topologically completely integrable (TCI) if in some coordinate system centered at $\mathbf{0}$, given any $\epsilon_0 > 0$ there exists $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$ such that \mathcal{F} is topologically integrable in $\overline{B}(\mathbf{0}; \epsilon)$.

Let us remark that the choice of balls centered at $\mathbf{0}$ as domains of study is unimportant. We could consider more general domains with smooth boundaries.

All conditions in the definition of TCI are of topological nature except transversality. Anyway, TCI is inherently a topological concept. Indeed, we can give an entirely topological definition of TCI by replacing transversality with topological transversality in the fourth bullet point of Definition 2. Our constructions can be carried out with any of the definitions of TCI. We consider Definition 2 for simplicity.

The next theorem is a topological version of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *Let \mathcal{F} be a germ of holomorphic foliation of codimension $q \geq 2$ in $(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ with an isolated singularity at the origin. Suppose that there exists an open ball $B(\mathbf{0}; \epsilon)$ such that*

- every leaf \mathcal{L} of the foliation \mathcal{F}^ϵ induced by \mathcal{F} in $B(\mathbf{0}; \epsilon)$ is closed in $B(\mathbf{0}; \epsilon) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and
- given any closed leaf \mathcal{L} of \mathcal{F}^ϵ , its holonomy group is finite.

Then, there are infinitely many invariant analytic varieties of dimension $\dim(\mathcal{F})$ through the origin.

This result implies that the conditions in the Mattei-Moussu's topological criterium for the existence of holomorphic first integrals for germs of holomorphic foliations of codimension $q = 1$ [20], namely closedness of leaves, finiteness of holonomy groups and the existence of finitely many separatrices never happen for codimension $q \geq 2$. Let us remark that even if finiteness of holonomy groups is not explicitly required in the criterium, closedness of leaves implies such a property for $q = 1$, something that is no longer valid for $q = 2$ in general.

Indeed, we will see that both hypotheses in Theorem 2 are satisfied by a CI germ of foliation and thus Theorem 1 becomes a corollary of Theorem 2. Moreover, a vector field satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 2 and with finitely many separatrices is topologically completely integrable and hence it suffices to understand such a case to show Theorem 2.

We explore the connection between topological complete integrability and complete integrability. Indeed, we show that TCI implies $(CI)_{\mathcal{O}}$ if there exists an isolated separatrix. Moreover, the TCI property provides relevant geometrical properties, since, for instance, it implies the existence of a dicritical variety of codimension 1.

Theorem 3. *Let \mathcal{F} be a germ of holomorphic foliation of codimension $q \geq 2$ that is TCI in $\bar{B}(\mathbf{0}; \epsilon_0)$ and such that \mathcal{F}^{ϵ_0} has an isolated separatrix. Then \mathcal{F} is $(CI)_{\mathcal{O}}$ and there exists a \mathcal{F} -dicritical variety of codimension 1, i.e. an invariant variety S in which all leaves \mathcal{L} of \mathcal{F}^{ϵ_0} through points in $S \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ are non-closed in $B(\mathbf{0}; \epsilon_0)$.*

[teo:exist_cod1](#)

The foliation \mathcal{F} does not necessarily satisfies the hypotheses of the Theorem of Frobenius with Singularities [18] even if $\text{cod}(\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})) \geq 3$. Indeed, even if $\mathbf{0}$ is an isolated singularity of \mathcal{F} it can happen that $\text{cod}(\text{Sing}(\omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_q)) = 1$ as in (1) for any q -uple of 1-forms $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_q$ determining \mathcal{F} . Moreover, the hypotheses of the Theorem of Frobenius with Singularities never hold true for (singular at $\mathbf{0}$) foliations of dimension 1 since $\text{cod}(\text{Sing}(\omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{n-1})) \leq 2$ in such a case [9, Lemma 3.1.2].

We exploit the transversality of separatrices to obtain a complete first integral. Let us remark that ideas of this kind were used by Moussu to provide a topological proof of the topological criterium of existence of holomorphic first integrals for germs of codimension 1 holomorphic foliations [23].

We introduce a relevant concept on its own: the *total holonomy group* (Definition 12). It is, essentially, a pseudo-group of holonomy that turns out to be a finite group of biholomorphisms with a common fixed point for the setting of Theorem 3. Invariant functions by the action of this group induce first integrals of the foliation. The total holonomy group can be defined for certain CI foliations with non-isolated singularities (cf. Remark 14, where we consider a germ of codimension 2 foliation in \mathbb{C}^4) and it will be fundamental for their study, that will be treated in future work in collaboration with R. Rosas. It is great news that the scope of our techniques is not limited to isolated singularities since such a property is in some cases very restrictive, for example, it is not known if there is a germ of codimension two foliation in \mathbb{C}^4 with isolated singularity (cf. [6]).

Theorem 3 can be greatly improved in the case of foliations of dimension 1 with an isolated separatrix. Then we characterize all germs of foliations that are topologically completely integrable.

Theorem 4. *Let \mathcal{F} be a germ of one-dimensional foliation in $(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ ($n \geq 3$). Then \mathcal{F} is analytically conjugated to the foliation given by a germ of vector field of the form*

$$(2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \quad \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}^-, \quad \lambda_n \in \mathbb{Z}^+,$$

if and only \mathcal{F} is topologically completely integrable and has an isolated separatrix.

There are several instances in the literature in which transversality of a foliation \mathcal{F} of dimension or codimension 1 with the boundary of a domain imposes strong constraints on \mathcal{F} [14] [3] [2] [15] [16] [1] [17] as it happens in Theorem 4. In contrast with such results, we do not impose transversality in the whole boundary and just at the separatrices making our approach closer to Moussu's in [23].

During the proof of Theorem 4 we noticed that it was not known if a foliation \mathcal{F} formally conjugated to the foliation \mathcal{F}_0 given by the vector field (2) is indeed analytically conjugated to \mathcal{F}_0 .

Theorem 5. *Let \mathcal{F} be a germ of one-dimensional foliation in $(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ ($n \geq 2$). Then \mathcal{F} is analytically conjugated to the foliation \mathcal{F}_X given by a germ of vector field of the form*

$$X = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \quad \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}^-, \quad \lambda_n \in \mathbb{Z}^+,$$

if and only \mathcal{F} is formally conjugated to \mathcal{F}_X .

A natural question, asked by D. Cerveau, is whether the existence of $n - 1$ independent formal first integrals implies $(CI)_\mathcal{O}$ for germs of vector fields. It is inspired by the case $n = 2$ in which the answer is positive [20]. Theorem 5 ensures that this is also the case for non-nilpotent singularities in which the eigenvalues are divided in two sets of size 1 and $n - 1$. This kind of result is non-obvious, for instance, recently it has been proved that the existence of a non-trivial formal first integral for a germ of vector field in $(\mathbb{C}^3, \mathbf{0})$ does not imply the existence of an analytic one [8].

The proof of Theorem 5 is related to the problem of determining whether the analytic conjugacy of holonomies associated to certain separatrices implies the analytic conjugacy of germs of singularities of 1-dimensional foliations [27] [28] [7].

We discuss CI foliations in section 2. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2. The proof of Theorem 3 and in particular of the existence of a dicritical hypersurface is carried out in section 4. It is introduced also the concept of *total holonomy group*. We provide a characterization of TCI germs of vector field, with an isolated separatrix, in section 5. The normalizing Theorem 5 is showed in section 6.

2. COMPLETELY INTEGRABLE FOLIATIONS

[sec:ci](#)

First, let us introduce the results that allow to reduce the proof of Theorem 1 to the proof of Theorem 2.

2.1. Closedness of Leaves. The purpose of this subsection is proving that all leaves of a germ of completely integrable foliation are closed off $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$. This is obvious for leaves \mathcal{L}_P through generic points since in such a case $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_P$ is an irreducible component of the level set of a complete first integral (f_1, \dots, f_q) containing P such that $\dim(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_P) = \dim(\mathcal{F})$ and $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_P \setminus \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{L}_P$. Since it is not true, in general, that any level set of (f_1, \dots, f_q) has pure dimension $\dim(\mathcal{F})$, we need to deal with leaves contained in level sets of higher dimension. The next result is the first step in this task.

[pro_basica](#)

Proposition 1. *Consider a normal closed analytic variety S defined in a complex manifold M . Let f, g be meromorphic functions defined in some open subset U of S such that $df \wedge dg \neq 0$. Let H be an irreducible closed analytic subvariety of $S \cap U$ of codimension 1. Then, there exists $h \in \mathcal{K}$ that is non-constant on H , where \mathcal{K} is the field generated by f, g and the constant functions.*

An analogous result for the case of holomorphic functions f, g is proved in [26].

Proof. Let us reason by contradiction, assuming that every $h \in \mathcal{K}$ is constant on H . Since S is normal and thus $\text{cod}(\text{Sing}(S)) \geq 2$, we can define the annulation order $\nu_H(a)$ of a in H for any weakly holomorphic function a . Given a meromorphic germ a/b we define the annulation order $\nu_H(a/b) = \nu_H(a) - \nu_H(b)$. Let $r \geq 1$ be the minimum of the positive annulation orders of functions in \mathcal{K} . Choose a meromorphic first integral $\Psi \in \mathcal{K}$ with $\nu_H(\Psi) = r$. Let $\Upsilon \in \mathcal{K}$ be another meromorphic first integral with $\nu_H(\Upsilon) \geq 0$. We are assuming that Υ is constant along H , having a fixed value $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. There is an integer number $m_0 \geq 1$ such that

$$\nu_H(\Upsilon - c_0) = m_0 r.$$

Indeed, otherwise, we have that $\nu_H(\Upsilon - c_0) = qr + s$, with $0 < s < r$, and then the properties $(\Upsilon - c_0)\Psi^{-q} \in \mathcal{K}$ and

$$\nu_H((\Upsilon - c_0)\Psi^{-q}) = s,$$

contradict the minimality of r . Denote $\Upsilon_1 = (\Upsilon - c_0)\Psi^{-m_0}$. Then $\Upsilon_1 \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\Upsilon_1|_H$ is constant and equal to $c_1 \neq 0$. Now, we repeat the procedure with $\Upsilon_1 - c_1$, noting that $\nu_H(\Upsilon_1 - c_1) > 0$ and we write $\Upsilon_2 = (\Upsilon_1 - c_1)\Psi^{-m_1}$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon &= c_0 + \Upsilon_1 \Psi^{m_0} \\ &= c_0 + c_1 \Psi^{m_0} + \Upsilon_2 \Psi^{m_0+m_1} \\ &= c_0 + c_1 \Psi^{m_0} + c_2 \Psi^{m_0+m_1} + \Upsilon_3 \Psi^{m_0+m_1+m_2} \\ &= \dots \\ &= c_0 + \sum_{j=1}^k c_j \Psi^{m_0+m_1+\dots+m_{j-1}} + \Upsilon_{k+1} \Psi^{m_0+m_1+\dots+m_k}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu_H(\Upsilon_j) \geq 0$, for any $j \geq 1$. If we compute $d\Upsilon \wedge d\Psi$ with the formulas above, we conclude that

$$\nu_H(d\Upsilon \wedge d\Psi) \geq (m_0 + m_1 + \dots + m_k) \nu_H(\Psi) = (m_0 + m_1 + \dots + m_k)r,$$

for any $k \geq 0$. Therefore $d\Upsilon \wedge d\Psi \equiv 0$. Moreover, such result holds for any $\Upsilon \in \mathcal{K}$ since $\nu_H(\Upsilon) < 0$ implies $\nu_H(\Upsilon^{-1}) > 0$ and hence

$$d\Upsilon \wedge d\Psi \equiv -\Upsilon^2(d\Upsilon^{-1} \wedge d\Psi) \equiv 0.$$

We deduce $df \wedge d\Psi \equiv 0$ and $dg \wedge d\Psi \equiv 0$. This implies that $df \wedge dg \equiv 0$, contradiction. \square

The previous result has independent interest since it implies that, in our setting, meromorphic first integrals generically separate points in a hypersurface.

Corollary 2. *Let \mathcal{F} be a germ of CI foliation of codimension $q \geq 2$ in $(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$. Consider an irreducible germ H of hypersurface. Then there is a meromorphic first integral Ψ of \mathcal{F} such that $\Psi|_H$ is non-constant.*

Next lemma will be used to show that, for CI foliations of codimension $q \geq 2$, there are infinitely many invariant hypersurfaces containing a fixed point $P \notin \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$.

Lemma 1. *Consider an irreducible closed analytic variety S defined in a complex manifold M . Let \mathcal{K} be a subfield of the field of meromorphic functions containing the constant functions and defined in some open subset U of S . Suppose there exist $f, g \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $df \wedge dg \neq 0$. Then, given $P \in S \cap U$ and an irreducible component S' of the germ (S, P) , there exists $h \in \mathcal{K}$ such that the neither the germ of h at (S', P) nor its inverse h^{-1} is weakly holomorphic.*

lem:pure_meromorphic

Proof. Consider the normalization $\pi : \hat{S} \rightarrow S$. Let \hat{P} be the point in $\pi^{-1}(P)$ such that P belongs to the closure of $\pi^{-1}(S' \setminus \text{Sing}(S))$. Notice that since \hat{S} is normal, the germ (\hat{S}, \hat{P}) is irreducible. There is a bijective correspondence between the weakly holomorphic (resp. meromorphic) functions of U and the holomorphic (resp. meromorphic) functions of $\pi^{-1}(U)$. Thus it suffices to find $h \in \pi^*(\mathcal{K})$ such that neither h nor h^{-1} is holomorphic at \hat{P} . Therefore, modulo to replace S and P with \hat{S} and \hat{P} respectively, we can suppose that S is normal.

Let us argue by contradiction, assume that h is non-purely meromorphic at P for any $h \in \mathcal{K}$. Up to replace f with f^{-1} if necessary, we can assume that f is holomorphic at P . Moreover, we can suppose $f(P) = 0$ up to replace f with $f - f(P)$. Let H be an irreducible component of $f^{-1}(0)$ such that (H, P) contains an irreducible component H_P of $(f^{-1}(0), P)$. There exists $\Psi \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $\Psi|_H$ is non-constant by Proposition 1. Analogously as for f , we can suppose that Ψ is holomorphic at P and $\Psi(P) = 0$. Let H'_P be an irreducible component of $(\Psi^{-1}(0), P)$. Since $\nu_{H_P}(f/\Psi^k) = \nu_{H_P}(f) > 0$ and

$$\nu_{H'_P}(f/\Psi^k) = \nu_{H'_P}(f) - k\nu_{H'_P}(\Psi) \leq \nu_{H'_P}(f) - k$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, it follows that f/Ψ^k is purely meromorphic for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ sufficiently big. \square

Definition 3. *We denote by B_ϵ and \overline{B}_ϵ the open and closed balls of center $\mathbf{0}$ and radius ϵ respectively.*

def:fe

Definition 4. *We denote by \mathcal{F}^ϵ the foliation induced by \mathcal{F} in B_ϵ . Given $P \in B_\epsilon \setminus \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$, we denote by \mathcal{L}_P^ϵ the leaf of \mathcal{F}^ϵ through P . Sometimes we drop the superindex ϵ if is implicit.*

Finally, we can show the main result in this subsection.

Proposition 2. *Let \mathcal{F} be a CI germ of foliation. Then there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and a representative of \mathcal{F} defined in an open neighborhood of the closed ball $\overline{B}_{\epsilon_0}$ such that for any $P \in B_{\epsilon_0} \setminus \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$, the leaf $\mathcal{L}_P^{\epsilon_0}$ of \mathcal{F}^{ϵ_0} through P is a closed analytic subset of $B_{\epsilon_0} \setminus \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$. In particular, the closure of $\mathcal{L}_P^{\epsilon_0}$ in B_{ϵ_0} is a closed analytic set of dimension $\dim(\mathcal{F})$ such that $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_P^{\epsilon_0} \setminus \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{L}_P^{\epsilon_0}$.*

Proof. Let (f_1, \dots, f_q) be a complete first integral of \mathcal{F} . Consider $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that \mathcal{F} and f_1, \dots, f_q have representatives defined in a neighborhood of $\overline{B}_{\epsilon_0}$.

We claim that given any $1 \leq r \leq q$, there exist an \mathcal{F}^{ϵ_0} -invariant irreducible closed analytic set H_r of dimension $\dim(\mathcal{F}) + r$ in B_{ϵ_0} containing P and meromorphic first integrals $f_{1,r}, \dots, f_{r,r}$ of $\mathcal{F}|_{H_r}$ such that $df_{1,r} \wedge \dots \wedge df_{r,r} \neq 0$. The result is obvious for $r = q$ since we consider $H_q = B_{\epsilon_0}$ and $f_{j,q} = f_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq q$. Let us show that if it holds for $r + 1 \geq 2$ so it does for r .

Consider the field \mathcal{K}_{r+1} generated by the constant functions and $f_{1,r+1}, \dots, f_{r+1,r+1}$. Let S' be an irreducible component of the germ (H_{r+1}, P) . Then there exists $\Psi \in \mathcal{K}_{r+1}$ such that Ψ is purely meromorphic at (S', P) by Lemma 1. By considering irreducible components of level sets $\{\Psi = cte\} \cap H_{r+1}$, we obtain infinitely many \mathcal{F}^{ϵ_0} -invariant irreducible closed analytic sets H of dimension $\dim(\mathcal{F}) + r$ that contain P . In particular we can choose one of them H_r that is not contained in the zero set of $df_{1,r+1} \wedge \dots \wedge df_{r+1,r+1}$. Thus there exists a subset of r elements of $((f_{1,r+1})|_{H_r}, \dots, (f_{r+1,r+1})|_{H_r})$ that is a complete first integral of $\mathcal{F}|_{H_r}^{\epsilon_0}$. The proof of the claim is complete.

Let us fix $r = 1$, so that $\mathcal{F}|_{H_1}^{\epsilon_0}$ is a codimension 1 foliation with non-constant meromorphic first integral $f_{1,1}$. The irreducible components of the levels of $f_{1,1}$ provide a partition of $H_1 \setminus \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ in \mathcal{F}^{ϵ_0} -invariant irreducible smooth closed analytic subvarieties of $H_1 \setminus \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ whose closures are irreducible closed analytic subvarieties of H_1 of dimension $\dim(\mathcal{F})$. This is the partition of $H_1 \setminus \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ in leaves of $\mathcal{F}|_{H_1}^{\epsilon_0}$. Therefore, we obtain $\dim(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_P) = \dim(\mathcal{F})$ and $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_P \setminus \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{L}_P$. \square

Remark 1. It is clear that Proposition 2 also holds for any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$.

Definition 5. *Consider a holomorphic foliation \mathcal{F} defined in a neighborhood of the origin in \mathbb{C}^n such that $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \{\mathbf{0}\}$. We say that a leaf \mathcal{L} is a separatrix if $\mathbf{0} \in \overline{\mathcal{L}}$.*

Remark 2. Under the hypotheses of Proposition 2 and $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, the foliation \mathcal{F}^{ϵ_0} has two types of leaves, namely closed leaves in B_{ϵ_0} and separatrices.

2.2. Stability of leaves. There are two topological hypotheses in Theorem 2, namely closedness of leaves and finiteness of holonomy groups. Both are a consequence of the CI property. In the former case we proved it in Proposition 2 whereas the latter property is treated in this subsection.

We will also show that any closed leaf of a CI foliation has a fundamental system of invariant neighborhoods. Since leaves are not necessarily transverse to the boundary of the domain of definition, we need the following definition in order to give a precise statement.

Definition 6. Consider a holomorphic foliation \mathcal{F} defined in a neighborhood of \overline{B}_ϵ . Given a point $P \in \overline{B}_\epsilon \setminus \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$, we define the leaf \mathfrak{L}_P^ϵ of \mathcal{F} in \overline{B}_ϵ through P by

$$\mathfrak{L}_P^\epsilon = \bigcap_{\epsilon < \epsilon' < \epsilon + 1} \mathcal{L}_P^{\epsilon'}$$

where each $\mathcal{L}_P^{\epsilon'}$ is the leaf of $\mathcal{F}^{\epsilon'}$ through P . We will say that \mathfrak{L}_P^ϵ is a leaf of \mathfrak{F}^ϵ . We will say that the holonomy group of \mathfrak{L}_P^ϵ is finite if there exists $\epsilon' > \epsilon$ such that the image of the holonomy representation $\pi_1(\mathcal{L}_P^{\epsilon'}, P) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(T, P)$, where T is a transversal to \mathcal{F} at P , is finite.

Remark 3. Note that $Q \in \mathfrak{L}_P^\epsilon$ if and only if $P \in \mathfrak{L}_Q^\epsilon$ and in such a case $\mathfrak{L}_P^\epsilon = \mathfrak{L}_Q^\epsilon$ holds. Thus the leaves of \mathfrak{F}^ϵ provide a partition of $\overline{B}_\epsilon \setminus \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$.

rem:closed_implies_connected

Remark 4. A priori a leaf \mathfrak{L}_P^ϵ is not necessarily connected. Nevertheless, it is connected if it is closed. In such a case, fixed $\epsilon'' > \epsilon$, there exists a compact neighborhood of \mathfrak{L}_P^ϵ in $\mathcal{L}_P^{\epsilon''}$. Thus, $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_P^{\epsilon'}$ is compact and satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_P^{\epsilon'} = \overline{\mathcal{L}}_P^{\epsilon'} \cap B_{\epsilon'}$$

for $0 < \epsilon' - \epsilon \ll 1$. This implies $\mathfrak{L}_P^\epsilon = \bigcap_{0 < \epsilon' - \epsilon \ll 1} \overline{\mathcal{L}}_P^{\epsilon'}$ and hence that \mathfrak{L}_P^ϵ is connected, thanks to classical results on continua topological spaces (cf. [33, Theorem 28.2]).

rem:aclosed_implies_connected

Remark 5. Consider the case where $\overline{B}_\epsilon \cap \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Any leaf \mathfrak{L}_P^ϵ that is closed in $\overline{B}_\epsilon \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ satisfies that $\overline{\mathfrak{L}}_P^\epsilon \cap B_\epsilon$ is a closed analytic subset of B_ϵ by Remmert-Stein's Theorem (cf. [11, Theorem K7]). Moreover, we claim that $\overline{\mathfrak{L}}_P^\epsilon$ and \mathfrak{L}_P^ϵ are both connected. Indeed, we can proceed

as in Remark 4 to show

$$(3) \quad \overline{\mathfrak{L}}_P^\epsilon \setminus \{0\} = \bigcap_{0 < \epsilon' - \epsilon \ll 1} (\overline{\mathcal{L}}_P^{\epsilon'} \setminus \{0\}) = \bigcap_{0 < \epsilon' - \epsilon \ll 1} \mathcal{L}_P^{\epsilon'} = \mathfrak{L}_P^\epsilon.$$

Thus $\overline{\mathfrak{L}}_P^\epsilon = \bigcap \overline{\mathcal{L}}_P^{\epsilon'}$ is a continuum that is an analytic set in a neighborhood of 0 . It follows that \mathfrak{L}_P^ϵ is connected. Moreover if $\mathcal{L}_P^{\epsilon'}$ is closed in $B_{\epsilon'} \setminus \{0\}$ for some $\epsilon' > \epsilon$ then Equation (3) still holds and \mathfrak{L}_P^ϵ is closed in $\overline{B}_\epsilon \setminus \{0\}$ and connected.

The next result is a version, in our setting, of Reeb local stability theorem [34, 5].

Proposition 3. *Consider a holomorphic foliation \mathcal{F} defined in a neighborhood of the closed ball \overline{B}_ϵ . Let \mathfrak{L}_P^ϵ be a leaf of \mathfrak{F}^ϵ such that $\overline{\mathfrak{L}}_P^\epsilon = \mathfrak{L}_P^\epsilon$ and whose holonomy group is finite. Then there is a fundamental system of \mathfrak{F}^ϵ -invariant neighborhoods of \mathfrak{L}_P^ϵ in \overline{B}_ϵ .*

Proof. Denote $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{L}_P^\epsilon$. It suffices to show that given an open neighborhood V of \mathfrak{L} in \mathbb{C}^n , there exists an \mathfrak{F}^ϵ -invariant neighborhood of \mathfrak{L} in \overline{B}_ϵ contained in V . Let us consider ϵ'' with $0 < \epsilon'' - \epsilon \ll 1$. There exists a compact neighborhood of \mathfrak{L} in $\mathcal{L}_P^{\epsilon''}$. As a consequence, the leaf $\mathcal{L}_P^{\epsilon'}$ is closed in $B_{\epsilon'}$ if $\epsilon < \epsilon' \ll \epsilon''$. Fix such ϵ' such that the holonomy group of $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{L}_P^{\epsilon'}$ is finite and $\overline{\mathcal{L}} \subset V$. Moreover, we can choose ϵ' such that \mathcal{L} is transverse to $\partial B_{\epsilon'}$ by Sard's theorem. Therefore, we get $\overline{\mathcal{L}} = \mathfrak{L}_P^{\epsilon'}$. We know that \mathcal{L} has a tubular neighborhood, since it is a Stein subvariety of the (Stein) open ball, see [10, 31]. That is, there is an open neighborhood U of \mathcal{L} and a retraction-submersion

$$r : U \rightarrow \mathcal{L}.$$

We can assume that the fibers of r are transversal to \mathcal{F} , by taking a smaller U if necessary. By transversality of \mathcal{L} and $\partial B_{\epsilon'}$ and finiteness of the holonomy group of \mathcal{L} , we can argue as in Reeb's stability theorem [34, 5], applied to the compact invariant set $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$, to obtain a fundamental system of $\mathfrak{F}^{\epsilon'}$ -invariant neighborhoods of $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ in $\overline{B}_{\epsilon'}$. By considering one of such neighborhoods W sufficiently small, we obtain a \mathfrak{F}^ϵ -invariant neighborhood $W \cap \overline{B}_\epsilon$ of \mathfrak{L} contained in V . \square

Definition 7. We denote by $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_n$ and \mathfrak{m}_n the ring $\mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ of formal power series in n -variables with complex coefficients and its maximal ideal respectively. Denote by \hat{K}_n the fraction field of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_n$. We denote by \mathcal{O}_n the subring of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_n$ of convergent power series.

Definition 8. We denote by $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ (resp. $\hat{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$) the Lie algebra of (holomorphic) germs of vector field singular at the origin of \mathbb{C}^n (resp.

formal vector fields). An element X of $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ (resp. $\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$) is of the form

$$X = f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \dots + f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$$

where $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{O}_n \cap \mathfrak{m}_n$ (resp. \mathfrak{m}_n). We denote by D_0X the linear part of X at $\mathbf{0}$.

def:flow

Definition 9. Given $t \in \mathbb{C}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$, we denote by $\exp(tX)$ the time- t flow of X . We define the real part $\operatorname{Re}(X)$ as the real vector field whose time- t flow is equal to $\exp(tX)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Analogously, we define the imaginary part $\operatorname{Im}(X)$ as the real vector field whose time- t flow is equal to $\exp(itX)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 6. Consider a holomorphic vector field $X = f(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$. Then

$$\operatorname{Re}(X) = \operatorname{Re}(f) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \operatorname{Im}(f) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \text{ and } \operatorname{Im}(X) = -\operatorname{Im}(f) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \operatorname{Re}(f) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

where $z = x + iy$.

def:formal_dif

Definition 10. We denote by $\operatorname{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ (resp. $\widehat{\operatorname{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$) the group of (holomorphic) germs of diffeomorphism at $(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ (resp. formal diffeomorphisms). An element ϕ of $\operatorname{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ (resp. $\widehat{\operatorname{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$) is of the form

$$\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (\phi_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, \phi_n(x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

where $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \in \mathcal{O}_n \cap \mathfrak{m}_n$ (resp. \mathfrak{m}_n) and the linear part $D_0\phi$ of ϕ at $\mathbf{0}$ is a linear isomorphism. In both cases the operation law is the composition.

Remark 7. Given $t \in \mathbb{C}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$, the time- t flow $\exp(tX)$ belongs to $\operatorname{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$.

Next, we show the finiteness of holonomy groups of leaves in the completely integrable setting. The proof relies on results in [29] and more precisely that the subgroup G of $\widehat{\operatorname{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^q, \mathbf{0})$ of formal diffeomorphisms preserving generically independent germs of meromorphic map $\overline{f}_1, \dots, \overline{f}_q$ is Zariski-closed (a projective limit of linear algebraic groups) and its Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g} = \{Z \in \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{C}^q, \mathbf{0}) : Z(\overline{f}_j) = 0 \forall 1 \leq j \leq q\}$$

is trivial, inducing G to be finite.

pro:finite

Proposition 4. Let \mathcal{F} be a CI germ of foliation. Consider $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$ where $\epsilon_0 > 0$ is given by Proposition 2. Let \mathcal{L}_P^ϵ be a leaf of \mathcal{F}^ϵ . Then the image of the holonomy representation of \mathcal{L}_P^ϵ is a finite group.

Proof. Denote $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_P^\epsilon$. Let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{L}} \subset \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^q, 0)$ be the image of the holonomy representation $\pi_1(\mathcal{L}, P) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^q, 0)$, where $(\mathbb{C}^q, \mathbf{0})$ is identified with a germ of transverse section to \mathcal{F} at P . The first integrals f_1, \dots, f_q induce germs of meromorphic functions on the transversal $(\mathbb{C}^q, \mathbf{0})$, that we denote $\bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_q$. We still have that $\bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_q$ are generically independent, i.e. $d\bar{f}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{f}_q \neq 0$, since we are in a transverse section. Moreover they are invariant under the action of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{L}}$. This implies that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{L}}$ is contained in the group

$$\{F \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^q, 0) : \bar{f}_j \circ F = \bar{f}_j \text{ for any } 1 \leq j \leq q\}.$$

Since the latter group is finite in view of [29, Proposition 3.46], we are done. \square

The next result is a direct consequence of Propositions 3 and 4.

Corollary 3. *Consider a germ of CI holomorphic foliation \mathcal{F} . Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that any closed leaf \mathfrak{L}_P^ϵ of \mathfrak{F}^ϵ has a fundamental system of \mathfrak{F}^ϵ -invariant neighborhoods in \bar{B}_ϵ . Moreover, the result holds for any $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small.*

3. THE SINGULAR SET AND THE SET OF SEPARATRICES

We are going to prove Theorem 2 in this section. The last subsection will be devoted to Theorem 1 that is a straightforward corollary of Theorem 2.

Consider a foliation \mathcal{F} satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 2. Assume, aiming at contradiction, that there are finitely many separatrices. We are going to show that $\dim(\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})) \geq 1$, contradicting that \mathcal{F} has an isolated singularity.

If the hypotheses of Theorem 2 are satisfied for any open ball B_{ϵ_1} then they also hold for any $B_{\epsilon'}$ with $0 < \epsilon' < \epsilon_1$. In particular, we can consider $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that the hypotheses hold for any $B_{\epsilon'}$ with $\epsilon' \in (0, \epsilon_0]$ and also if $0 < \epsilon' - \epsilon_0 \ll 1$. We proceed in several steps.

3.1. Existence of a separatrix. We show

Proposition 5. *There is at least one separatrix.*

Proof. Consider $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$. Let us remark that any leaf of \mathfrak{F}^ϵ is closed in $\bar{B}_\epsilon \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ by hypothesis and Remark 5. Suppose, aiming at contradiction, that there is no separatrix. Therefore, any leaf \mathfrak{L}_P^ϵ of \mathfrak{F}^ϵ is closed and hence $\mathbf{0} \notin \bar{\mathfrak{L}}_P^\epsilon$. By hypothesis, \mathfrak{L}_P^ϵ has a finite holonomy group. Thus, there exists a \mathfrak{F}^ϵ -invariant neighborhood W_P of \mathfrak{L}_P^ϵ in \bar{B}_ϵ such that $\mathbf{0} \notin \bar{W}_P$ by Proposition 3. By compactness of ∂B_ϵ , there exist

$P_1, \dots, P_k \in \partial B_\epsilon$ such that ∂B_ϵ is contained in $\cup_{j=1}^k W_{P_j}$. Now, consider $P \in B_\epsilon \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ sufficiently close to $\mathbf{0}$ that does not belong to $\cup_{j=1}^k W_{P_j}$. The leaf \mathfrak{L}_P^ϵ does not intersect $\cup_{j=1}^k W_{P_j}$, and hence ∂B_ϵ , since $\cup_{j=1}^k W_{P_j}$ is \mathfrak{F}^ϵ -invariant. Therefore, \mathfrak{L}_P^ϵ is a compact analytic subset of B_ϵ of dimension $\dim(\mathcal{F}) \geq 1$ that is equal to \mathcal{L}_P^ϵ . We obtain a contradiction since such a set does not exist by the maximum modulus principle. \square

Remark 8. Consider a germ \mathcal{F} of CI foliation in $(\mathbb{C}^3, \mathbf{0})$ of codimension 2. Since \mathcal{F} is tangent to a codimension one foliation, for instance $df = 0$ where f is a non-constant meromorphic first integral, the existence of a separatrix can also be obtained, in such a case, as a consequence of a theorem of Cerveau and Lins Neto [6, Proposition 3].

3.2. The neighborhood of the set of separatrices. Recall that we are assuming that we have only finitely many separatrices through the origin, which we denote as

$$\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_\ell, \quad \ell \geq 1.$$

Denote $\Sigma = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \cup \dots \cup \Gamma_\ell$.

Remark 9. Up to consider a smaller $\epsilon_0 > 0$ if necessary, the boundaries of all the balls B_ϵ transversely intersect each Γ_i , for $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$ and the pair $(\overline{B_\epsilon}, \overline{B_\epsilon} \cap \Sigma)$ is homeomorphic to the cone over the pair $(\partial B_\epsilon, \partial B_\epsilon \cap \Sigma)$ for any $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ by the local conic structure of analytic sets [4, Lemma 3.2] [22, Theorem 2.10]. Therefore, \mathcal{F} is topologically completely integrable. Note that the intersection $\Sigma \cap \partial B_\epsilon$ is a finite union of ℓ mutually disjoint connected manifolds of real dimension $2 \dim(\mathcal{F}) - 1$.

In this subsection we study a fundamental system of open neighborhood of Σ and introduce transverse sections that will be key to understand their structure.

A system of transverse sections $\mathcal{T}_\epsilon = \{T_1^\epsilon, T_2^\epsilon, \dots, T_\ell^\epsilon\}$ for $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ is the data of a two dimensional section $T_j^\epsilon \subset B_\epsilon$ transverse to Σ at a point $O_j^\epsilon \in \Gamma_j$, for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$, in such a way that the T_j^ϵ are pairwise disjoint, they intersect Σ in the single point O_j^ϵ and they are transverse to \mathcal{F} . We assume that each T_j^ϵ is isomorphic to an open two dimensional disk centered at O_j^ϵ and moreover the closure $\overline{T_j^\epsilon}$ is isomorphic to the closed disc with boundary contained in $B_\epsilon \setminus \Sigma$.

Next, we show the existence of a fundamental system of neighborhoods of Σ in B_ϵ and a system of transverse sections.

[def:h_m](#)

Definition 11. Given a metric space (M, d) , we define $H(M)$ as the space of non-empty bounded closed subsets of M . Given $A, B \in H(M)$,

we define

$$\mathfrak{d}(A, B) = \inf\{\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+ : A \subset \cup_{P \in B} B(P; \epsilon) \text{ and } B \subset \cup_{P \in A} B(P; \epsilon)\}.$$

Then $(H(M), \mathfrak{d})$ is a metric space [25, Theorem 4.2] and the induced topology on $H(M)$ is the so called Hausdorff topology.

Proposition 6. *Let us fix a system of transversal sections \mathcal{T}_ϵ for any $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$. We can select a fundamental system $\mathcal{U}^\epsilon = \{U_m^\epsilon\}_{m \geq k(\epsilon)}$ of invariant open and connected neighborhoods of Σ in \overline{B}_ϵ , such that:*

- (1) For any $m \geq l \geq k(\epsilon)$, we have $U_m^\epsilon \subset U_l^\epsilon$.
- (2) The leaves in U_m^ϵ intersect transversely the boundary ∂B_ϵ .
- (3) The boundaries of the T_j^ϵ do not intersect U_m^ϵ .
- (4) Each connected component Δ of $U_m^\epsilon \cap \partial B_\epsilon$ contains exactly one of the sets $\Gamma_j \cap \partial B_\epsilon$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$.

Moreover, if $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon' \leq \epsilon_0$, we have we have that $U_m^\epsilon \subset U_m^{\epsilon'}$ for any $m \geq \max(k(\epsilon), k(\epsilon'))$.

Proof. Let us consider a standard euclidean distance $\lambda_{\overline{B}_{\epsilon_0}}$ in the closed ball $\overline{B}_{\epsilon_0}$ and consider the sequence

$$C_m = \{P \in \overline{B}_{\epsilon_0}; \lambda_{\overline{B}_{\epsilon_0}}(P, \Sigma) \geq 1/m\}$$

of compact sets and let A_m be the $\mathfrak{F}^{\epsilon_0}$ -saturation of C_m in the closed ball $\overline{B}_{\epsilon_0}$, that is A_m is the union of the leaves $\mathcal{L}_P^{\epsilon_0}$, for $P \in C_m$. Let us see that there is a positive constant $0 < \mu_m \leq 1/m$ such that $\lambda_{\overline{B}_{\epsilon_0}}(P, \Sigma) \geq \mu_m$ for any $P \in A_m$. Indeed, the function

$$C_m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}; \quad P \mapsto \lambda_{\overline{B}_{\epsilon_0}}(\mathcal{L}_P^{\epsilon_0}, \Sigma)$$

is lower-semicontinuous, in view of Proposition 3 and the closedness of the leaves. Hence, it has positive minimum μ_m , since C_m is compact. Consider

$$V_m = \overline{B}_{\epsilon_0} \setminus A_m;$$

it is a neighborhood of Σ , since it contains the points P such that $\lambda_{\overline{B}_{\epsilon_0}}(P, \Sigma) < \mu_m$. Moreover, $V_m \setminus \Sigma$ is open in $\overline{B}_{\epsilon_0}$ by Proposition 3 and hence V_m is open in $\overline{B}_{\epsilon_0}$. We obtain that the family $\{V_m\}_{m \geq 1}$ is a fundamental system of $\mathfrak{F}^{\epsilon_0}$ -invariant open neighborhoods of $\Sigma \cap \overline{B}_{\epsilon_0}$, since

$$V_m \subset \{P \in \overline{B}_{\epsilon_0}; \lambda_{\overline{B}_{\epsilon_0}}(P, \Sigma) < 1/m\}.$$

Now, we define $U_m^{\epsilon_0}$ as the connected component of V_m containing Σ . It is an open subset of $\overline{B}_{\epsilon_0}$. Let us consider $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$. We define U_m^ϵ to be the connected component of Σ in $U_m^{\epsilon_0} \cap \overline{B}_\epsilon$. Taking $k(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ big enough, we obtain the desired properties except (2) and (4).

Let us fix $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$. By compactness, there is a sequence $\alpha_m \rightarrow 0$, with $\alpha_m > 0$ such that all the points in $U_m^\epsilon \cap \partial B_\epsilon$ are at a distance smaller than α_m from $\Sigma \cap \partial B_\epsilon$. Then, for $m \gg 0$ all the leaves in U_m^ϵ intersect transversely the boundary ∂B_ϵ providing (2). In view of the transversality property, the map

$$U_m^\epsilon \setminus \Sigma \rightarrow H(\overline{B}_\epsilon); \quad P \mapsto \mathfrak{L}_P^\epsilon$$

is continuous by Proposition 4.

Let us show (4). Given $m \gg 0$, the sets

$$S_{m,j} := \{P \in U_m^\epsilon \cap \partial B_\epsilon : \lambda_{\overline{B}_{\epsilon_0}}(P, \Gamma_j \cap \partial B_\epsilon) < \alpha_m\}$$

are pairwise disjoint for $j = 1, \dots, \ell$. Fix $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. We deduce that the map

$$\Lambda_j : U_m^\epsilon \setminus \Sigma \rightarrow H(\overline{B}_\epsilon); \quad P \mapsto \mathfrak{L}_P^\epsilon \cap S_{m,j}$$

is continuous. Moreover, $\Lambda_j(P)$ is a finite union of manifolds of real dimension $2 \dim(\mathcal{F}) - 1$ for any $P \in U_m^\epsilon \setminus \Sigma$. Let \mathcal{C}_j be the connected component of $U_m^\epsilon \cap S_{m,j}$ containing $\Gamma_j \cap \partial B_\epsilon$; it is a neighborhood of $\Gamma_j \cap \partial B_\epsilon$ in ∂B_ϵ . Note that there exists $Q \in U_m^\epsilon \setminus \Sigma$ such that $\Lambda_j(Q) \subset \mathcal{C}_j$, for instance any $Q \in U_l^\epsilon \setminus \Sigma$ with $l \gg m$. The continuity of Λ_j and $\Lambda_j(Q) \subset \mathcal{C}_j$ imply $\Lambda_j(U_m^\epsilon \setminus \Sigma) \subset \mathcal{C}_j$. Since

$$\mathcal{C}_j \subset U_m^\epsilon \cap S_{m,j} = \Lambda_j(U_m^\epsilon \setminus \Sigma) \cup (\Gamma_j \cap \partial B_\epsilon) \subset \mathcal{C}_j,$$

the set $U_m^\epsilon \cap S_{m,j}$ is connected. This completes the proof of (4). \square

Let us see that the saturation of a transverse section, together with Σ , provides a neighborhood of Σ .

Proposition 7. *Consider $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ and $m \geq k(\epsilon)$. Then the \mathfrak{F}^ϵ -saturated S_s^ϵ of T_s^ϵ contains the set $(U_m^\epsilon \setminus \Sigma) \cup ((\Gamma_s \cap \overline{B}_\epsilon) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\})$ for any $s = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$.*

Proof. Consider the set

$$A = \{P \in U_m^\epsilon \setminus \Sigma; \mathfrak{L}_P^\epsilon \cap T_s^\epsilon \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Note that $A = \{P \in U_m^\epsilon \setminus \Sigma; \mathfrak{L}_P^\epsilon \cap \overline{T}_s^\epsilon \neq \emptyset\}$ since $\partial T_s^\epsilon \cap U_m^\epsilon = \emptyset$ (Proposition 6). Since the map

$$\Lambda_j : U_m^\epsilon \setminus \Sigma \rightarrow H(\overline{T}_s^\epsilon); \quad P \mapsto \mathfrak{L}_P^\epsilon \cap T_s^\epsilon$$

is continuous by Proposition 3, it follows that A is open and closed in the connected set $U_m^\epsilon \setminus \Sigma$ and hence $A = U_m^\epsilon \setminus \Sigma$. We end the proof by noting that $(\Gamma_s \cap \overline{B}_\epsilon) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ is the \mathfrak{F}^ϵ -saturated of $\{O_s^\epsilon\}$. \square

3.3. Construction of holomorphic first integrals of \mathcal{F} . In this section we are going to build a first integral in some B_ϵ whose level sets, besides Σ , have leaves of the form \mathcal{L}_P^ϵ as connected components.

Proposition 8. *Let $1 \leq s \leq \ell$. Then there exist $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$ and a holomorphic map $H_s : B_\epsilon \rightarrow T_s^{\epsilon_0}$ such that*

- $H_s(P) \in \mathfrak{L}_P^{\epsilon_0} \cap T_s^{\epsilon_0}$ for any $P \in B_\epsilon \setminus \Sigma$;
- $H_s(\mathcal{L}_P^\epsilon) = \{H_s(P)\}$ for any $P \in B_\epsilon \setminus \Sigma$;
- $H_s(\Sigma) = \{O_s^{\epsilon_0}\}$;
- H_s is a submersion on $B_\epsilon \setminus \Sigma$.

Proof. Consider $s = 1$ without lack of generality. Denote $T = T_1^{\epsilon_0}$ and consider $U := U_m^{\epsilon_0}$ for $m \gg 0$. We start by building a multivalued holomorphic map $H|_{U \setminus \Sigma} : U \setminus \Sigma \rightarrow T$. Given $P \in U \setminus \Sigma$, consider a path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_P^{\epsilon_0}$ joining P with T inside the leaf $\mathfrak{L}_P^{\epsilon_0}$, i.e. $\gamma(0) = P$ and $\gamma(1) \in T$. Denote the corresponding holonomy map as

$$\theta_{\gamma, P} : W_{\gamma, P} \rightarrow T,$$

where $W_{\gamma, P}$ is a suitable open neighborhood of P . By construction it is a submersion such that $\theta_{\gamma, P}(Q) \in \mathfrak{L}_Q^{\epsilon_0} \cap T$ for any $Q \in W_{\gamma, P}$. In view of the finiteness of the holonomy of $\mathfrak{L}_P^{\epsilon_0}$, there is an open neighborhood W of P and a finite set of submersive maps

$$\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k : W \rightarrow T$$

such that for any path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_P^{\epsilon_0}$, with $\gamma(0) = P$ and $\gamma(1) \in T$, we have that $W \subset W_{\gamma, P}$ and there is an index $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ with

$$\theta_{\gamma, P}|_W = \theta_l.$$

Moreover, the maps θ_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, are valid in the above sense for any point $Q \in W$ (use the existence of a tubular neighborhood of $\mathfrak{L}_P^{\epsilon_0}$). The set of holonomy maps $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k$ being finite, we can make analytic continuation of each θ_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ along paths in $U \setminus \Sigma$. Note that k is just the cardinal of $\mathfrak{L}_Q^{\epsilon_0} \cap T$ for a generic $Q \in U$.

There exists a ball B_ϵ contained in U . Noting that $B_\epsilon \setminus \Sigma$ is simply connected, since $\text{cod}(\Sigma) \geq 2$, we obtain holomorphic submersions

$$\theta_i : B_\epsilon \setminus \Sigma \rightarrow T \setminus \{O_1^{\epsilon_0}\}$$

such that $\theta_i(P) \in \mathfrak{L}_P^{\epsilon_0} \cap T$ for all $P \in B_\epsilon \setminus \Sigma$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Fix $1 \leq i \leq k$. By defining $\theta_i(P) = O_1^{\epsilon_0}$ for $P \in B_\epsilon \cap \Sigma$, we obtain a continuous extension of θ_i to B_ϵ by Proposition 6. We deduce that $\theta_i : B_\epsilon \rightarrow T$ is holomorphic by Riemann extension theorem (cf. [12, Theorem D.2]). Given $P \in B_\epsilon \setminus \Sigma$ and by considering analytic continuation along paths in \mathcal{L}_P^ϵ , we obtain that $\theta_i(\mathcal{L}_P^\epsilon) = \{\theta_i(P)\}$. Indeed, if $\theta_i = \theta_{\gamma, P}$ and $\beta : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_P^\epsilon$ is a path with $\beta(1) = P$, then

the analytic continuation of $\theta_{\gamma,P}$ along the inverse path $\overline{\beta}$ is equal to $\theta_{\beta,\gamma,\beta(0)}$ and in particular

$$\theta_i(\beta(0)) = \theta_{\beta,\gamma,\beta(0)}(\beta(0)) = \gamma(1) = \theta_{\gamma,P}(P) = \theta_i(P).$$

Now all the desired properties are satisfied for $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k$ and we can define $H_1 = \theta_1$. □

subsection:end

3.4. End of the proof of Theorem 2. Consider the first integral $H_1 : B_\epsilon \rightarrow T_1^{\epsilon_0}$ given in Proposition 8. Denote $H = H_1$ and $T = T_1^{\epsilon_0}$. By considering coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_q) in T , we obtain that H is of the form (f_1, \dots, f_q) where f_1, \dots, f_q are holomorphic functions such that the q -form $\omega := df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_q$ satisfies $\omega(P) \neq 0$ for any $P \in B_\epsilon \setminus \Sigma$ since H is a submersion on $B_\epsilon \setminus \Sigma$ by construction (Proposition 8).

The form ω is of the form $g\omega'$ where $g \in \mathcal{O}_n$ and the coefficients of the germ of 1-form ω' have no common factors. As a consequence of $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, we deduce that either $\mathbf{0}$ is an isolated singularity of ω or the germ of $\text{Sing}(\omega)$ at the origin has dimension $n - 1$. Since $\text{Sing}(\omega) \subset \Sigma$, it follows that $\text{Sing}(\omega) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. It is known that if $\omega(\mathbf{0})$ vanishes then

$$\{P \in B(\mathbf{0}; \epsilon); (df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_q)(P) = 0\}$$

does not contain isolated points since it has dimension greater than $q-2$ and thus positive [9, Lemma 3.1.2] [18], contradicting $\text{Sing}(\omega) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

subsection:infinite_ci

3.5. The completely integrable case. First let us show Theorem 1. and then discuss a corollary.

Proof of Theorem 1. This is immediate since given \mathcal{F} satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1, it also satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2 by Propositions 2 and 4. □

Corollary 4. *Let \mathcal{F} be a germ of $(\text{CI})_{\mathcal{O}}$ foliation of codimension $q = 2$ in $(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ with isolated singularity at $\mathbf{0}$. Then there exists a dicritical hypersurface S . More precisely, the restriction $\mathcal{F}|_S$ has a purely meromorphic first integral.*

Proof. Consider a complete first integral $(f_1, f_2) \in (\mathcal{O}_n \cap \mathfrak{m}_n)^2$. Note that all separatrices of \mathcal{F} are contained in $(f_1, f_2)^{-1}(\mathbf{0})$. As a consequence of the hypothesis, there exists an irreducible component S of $(f_1, f_2)^{-1}(\mathbf{0})$ of codimension 1 containing infinitely many separatrices. Moreover, there exists a meromorphic first integral h of \mathcal{F} that is non-constant on S by Proposition 1. Finally $h|_S$ is purely meromorphic since S contains infinitely many separatrices. □

Remark 10. $\mathcal{F}|_S$ is a holomorphic foliation of codimension 1 with a purely meromorphic first integral. The level sets of $h|_S$ consists of finitely many leaves and their closures. Hence, the set of separatrices of $\mathcal{F}|_S$ has the same cardinal as \mathbb{C} .

4. EXISTENCE OF A DICRITICAL HYPERSURFACE

[sec:cod1](#)

In this section we are going to show Theorem 3. The proof follows many of the same steps of the proof of Theorem 2. We are going to adapt the ideas to the current setting.

We denote $S = \{P \in \overline{B}_{\epsilon_0} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} : \mathbf{0} \in \overline{\mathfrak{L}}_P^{\epsilon_0}\}$ and $\Sigma = \{\mathbf{0}\} \cup S$. Thus Σ is the set of separatrices. It is compact by Proposition 3. Since transversality is an open property, the hypothesis of the theorem holds for $0 < \epsilon' - \epsilon_0 \ll 1$. Let Γ be the isolated separatrix of \mathcal{F} .

4.1. The neighborhood of the set of separatrices. Instead of a system of transverse sections, we are going to consider a transverse section $T := T^{\epsilon_0}$ transverse to Σ at a point $O^{\epsilon_0} \in \Gamma \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$. We assume that $\overline{T} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} \subset B_{\epsilon_0} \setminus \Sigma$, $T \cap \Sigma = \{O^{\epsilon_0}\}$, that T is transverse to \mathcal{F} and that \overline{T} is homeomorphic to a closed ball whereas T is homeomorphic to an open ball.

We can prove the existence of a fundamental system of invariant open and connected neighborhoods of Σ in $\overline{B}_{\epsilon_0}$ with analogous properties as in Proposition 6. We just remove property (4) in Proposition 6 and in property (3) we just consider T . The proof is analogous to the already explained. Consider $m \gg 1$; the set

$$A = \{P \in U_m^{\epsilon_0} \setminus \Sigma; \mathfrak{L}_P^{\epsilon_0} \cap T \neq \emptyset\}$$

is open in $\overline{B}_{\epsilon_0}$, its boundary in $\overline{B}_{\epsilon_0}$ is contained in Σ and A is a union of connected components of $U_m^{\epsilon_0} \setminus \Sigma$ by the proof of Proposition 7. Since one of the connected components of $U_m^{\epsilon_0} \setminus \Sigma$ contains a pointed neighborhood of O in T and all of them contains points arbitrarily near O , it follows that A is connected. Moreover, $A \cap T$ is connected by arguments analogous to the ones in the proof of property (4) of Proposition 6.

[subsec:cons](#)

4.2. Construction of holomorphic first integrals. Our goal is finding a holomorphic complete first integral $f : U_m^{\epsilon_0} \cap B_{\epsilon_0} \rightarrow T$.

Let U be a open $\mathfrak{F}^{\epsilon_0}$ -invariant neighborhood of $\mathbf{0}$ in $\overline{B}_{\epsilon_0}$ such that \mathcal{F} is transverse to ∂B_{ϵ_0} at $U \cap \partial B_{\epsilon_0}$. For instance, we can consider $U = U_m^{\epsilon_0}$. Denote $O = O^{\epsilon_0}$. Analogously as in the proof of Proposition 8, we obtain multivalued maps $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k : A \rightarrow T$ such that $\theta_j(P)$

tends uniformly to O for $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $d(P, \Sigma) \rightarrow 0$. We consider their restrictions $\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_k$ to $U \cap T$ and

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}, \Gamma, U, T} := \{\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_k\}.$$

Since $U \cap T$ is a pointed neighborhood of O in T and $\dim(T) = q \geq 2$, it follows that, up to consider a smaller U if necessary, for instance considering a bigger m , Θ_j is univalued in $U \cap T$ and extends continuously to O by defining $\Theta_j(O) = O$ for any $1 \leq j \leq k$. Therefore Θ_j is holomorphic in $U \cap T$ for any $1 \leq j \leq k$. Moreover, by the construction of $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k$, we obtain that Θ_j^{-1} and $\Theta_j \circ \Theta_l$ belong to $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}, \Gamma, U, T}$ for any choice of $1 \leq j, l \leq k$. Thus \mathcal{H} is a group of biholomorphisms of $U \cap T$.

`def:total`

Definition 12. *We say that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}, \Gamma, U, T}$ is the total holonomy group associated to \mathcal{F} , U , Γ and T .*

By construction of $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k$, we have that

$$\mathfrak{L}_P^{\epsilon_0} \cap T = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(P)$$

for any $P \in U \cap T$, where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(P)$ is the \mathcal{H} -orbit of P .

Since \mathcal{H} is a finite group, it is linearizable, i.e. it is a subgroup of $\text{GL}(q, \mathbb{C})$ in some coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_q) in T centered at O . It is easy to construct linear maps $L_1, \dots, L_q : \mathbb{C}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that for any choice of $g_1, \dots, g_q \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\{L_1 \circ g_1 = 0\} \cap \{L_2 \circ g_2 = 0\} \cap \dots \cap \{L_q \circ g_q = 0\} = \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

Then, $F_j := \prod_{\Theta \in \mathcal{H}} (L_j \circ \Theta)$ is a \mathcal{H} -invariant homogeneous polynomial of degree $|\mathcal{H}|$ for any $1 \leq j \leq q$ and $(F_1, \dots, F_q)^{-1}(\mathbf{0}) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Moreover, $dF_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dF_q \neq 0$ holds because otherwise the generic level set of (F_1, \dots, F_q) would have positive dimension and as consequence every level set would have positive dimension, contradicting that the $\mathbf{0}$ -level is a point.

Given $1 \leq j \leq q$, we can obtain an extension of F_j to A , that we denote by f_j , in such a way that is constant on leaves $\mathfrak{L}_P^{\epsilon_0}$ since F_j is \mathcal{H} -invariant. Moreover, we can extend f_j to U by defining $f_j(P) = 0$ for any $P \in U \setminus A$. Since the boundary of A in U is contained in Σ , it follows that f_j is continuous in U . Moreover, it is holomorphic in $f_j^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^*) \cap B_{\epsilon_0}$ since such a set is contained in A . Therefore f_j is holomorphic in $U \cap B_{\epsilon_0}$ by Rado's theorem (cf. [12, Corollary Q.6]). By construction $f := (f_1, \dots, f_q)$ is a holomorphic complete first integral of \mathcal{F} and thus \mathcal{F} is $(\text{CI})_O$.

`rem:analytic`

Remark 11. $\Sigma \cap B_{\epsilon_0}$ is contained in the analytic set $V \cap B_{\epsilon_0}$ where $V := (f_1, \dots, f_q)^{-1}(\mathbf{0})$ and $U \setminus V = A$. As a consequence $U \setminus \Sigma$ is connected, $A = U \setminus \Sigma$ and $\Sigma = V$.

4.3. End of the proof of Theorem 3. It suffices to show that Σ has an irreducible component of dimension $n - 1$. Suppose, aiming at contradiction, that $\dim(\Sigma) \leq n - 2$. There exists a ball B_ϵ contained in U and we obtain that $\theta_i : B_\epsilon \rightarrow T$ is a (univalued) holomorphic map for any $1 \leq i \leq k$ as in the proof of Proposition 8. By considering coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_q) in T , we see that the map $H := \theta_1$ is of the form (f'_1, \dots, f'_q) . Moreover, it is a submersion on $B_\epsilon \setminus \Sigma$ by construction. Now, we proceed as in subsection 3.4. The 1-form $\omega := df'_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df'_q$ satisfies that either $\text{Sing}(\omega) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ or $\dim(\text{Sing}(\omega)) = n - 1$. The latter option can be ruled out since $\text{Sing}(\omega) \subset \Sigma$. The former option is also impossible since $\dim(\text{Sing}(\omega)) \geq q - 1 \geq 1$ [9, Lemma 3.1.2]. The proof is complete.

5. TCI GERMS OF VECTOR FIELDS

[sec:tc1](#)

In this section we are going to improve Theorem 3 for the case of vector fields. Indeed, next we show Theorem 4 that provides a characterization of topologically complete integrable vector fields with an isolated separatrix.

First, let us introduce the Jordan decomposition of formal vector fields, a useful tool that we will use to understand the properties of non-degenerated singularities of 1-dimensional $(\text{CI})_{\mathcal{O}}$ foliations. Given $X \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$, it can be written in a unique way in the form

$$X = X_S + X_N,$$

where $X_S \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ is semisimple, i.e. of the form

$$X_S = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$$

in some formal coordinates, $X_N \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ is nilpotent (i.e. $D_0 X$ is nilpotent) and $[X_S, X_N] = 0$ (cf. [19]). The decomposition is particularly simple in the “formal” CI case.

[lem:jordan](#)

Lemma 2. *Let $X \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$. Suppose there exist $f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} \in \hat{K}_n$ (cf. Definition 7) such that $df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_{n-1} \neq 0$ and $X(f_j) = 0$ for any $1 \leq j < n$. Then X_S and X_N are linearly dependent over \hat{K}_n . Moreover, we have $X = X_S$ if there exist $f \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $X(f) = \lambda f$.*

Proof. The group

$$G = \{\phi \in \widehat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) : f_j \circ \phi = f_j \ \forall 1 \leq j < n\}$$

is pro-algebraic, i.e. it is the projective limit of a sequence of linear algebraic groups [29, Remark 3.28]. Its Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} satisfies

$$\mathfrak{g} = \{Z \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0}) : Z(f_j) = 0 \ \forall 1 \leq j < n\}.$$

Chevalley's theorem implies that the Lie algebra contains the semisimple and nilpotent parts of their elements [30, Proposition 2.5]. Thus, we obtain

$$X_S(f_j) = X_N(f_j) = 0 \ \forall 1 \leq j < n.$$

Since $df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_{n-1} \neq 0$, given $Y \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus \{0\}$, any formal vector field Z in \mathfrak{g} is of the form gY where $g \in \hat{K}_n$.

By construction of the Jordan decomposition of X and since f is an eigenvector of X , we obtain $X_S(f) = \lambda f$ and $X_N(f) = 0$. Note that $X_N = gX_S$, where $g \in \hat{K}_n$, by the first part of the proof and thus we obtain $g = 0$, $X_N = 0$ and $X = X_S$. \square

The next elementary result is useful to show Theorems 4 and 5.

Definition 13. We denote by \mathcal{F}_X the foliation induced by a germ of holomorphic vector field X such that $\text{cod}(\text{Sing}(X)) \geq 2$.

Lemma 3. lem:setting_formal Let \mathcal{F}_X be a germ of foliation that is formally conjugated to \mathcal{F}_{X_0} where

$$X_0 = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \quad \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{Z}^- \text{ and } \lambda_{r+1}, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$$

for some $0 \leq r \leq n$ and $D_0 X = X_0$ (cf. Definition 8). Then

- there exist unique germs of smooth invariant manifolds S^- and S^+ that are tangent to $\cap_{r < j \leq n} \{x_j = 0\}$ and $\cap_{1 \leq j \leq r} \{x_j = 0\}$ at the origin respectively;
- we have $S^- = \cap_{r < j \leq n} \{x_j = 0\}$, $S^+ = \cap_{1 \leq j \leq r} \{x_j = 0\}$,

$$(\mathcal{F}_X)|_{S^-} = \mathcal{F}_{\sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} \lambda_j x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{F}_X)|_{S^+} = \mathcal{F}_{\sum_{r < j \leq n} \lambda_j x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}}$$

and also $X(x_n) = \lambda_n x_n$ if $r = n - 1$, up to an analytic tangent to the identity change of coordinates;

- S^- and S^+ are unions of separatrices of \mathcal{F}_X ;
- all formal separatrices of \mathcal{F}_X are contained in $S^- \cup S^+$.

Remark 12. The extreme cases $r = 0$ and $r = n$ are special. Then the lemma says that \mathcal{F}_X is analytically conjugated to \mathcal{F}_{X_0} and that there is a neighborhood of the origin that is a union of separatrices.

Proof. We can suppose that X is equal to X_0 up to an arbitrary fixed a priori order $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Indeed, there exist $u \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_n$ and $\phi \in \widehat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ such that $u(\mathbf{0}) = 1$ and $\phi_*(uX) = X_0$. We can consider $u_k \in \mathcal{O}_n$ and $\phi_k \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ such that the equalities $j^k u = j^k u_k$ and $j^k \phi = j^k \phi_k$ of k -jets hold. Up to replace X with $(\phi_k)_*(u_k X)$, we obtain $j^k X = X_0$. Fix $k \gg 1$ sufficiently big.

The first property is a consequence of Hadamard-Perron's theorem (cf. [13][Theorem 7.1, p. 106]). Note that S^- and S^+ are unique even if smooth formal invariant manifolds are considered. We can assume that $S^- = \bigcap_{r < j \leq n} \{x_j = 0\}$ and $S^+ = \bigcap_{1 \leq j \leq r} \{x_j = 0\}$ up to an analytic change of coordinates that preserves $j^k X = X_0$. Moreover, $X(x_n)$ is of the form $\lambda_n v x_n$, where $v \in \mathcal{O}_n \setminus \mathfrak{m}_n$ and $j^{k-1} v = 1$ for $r = n - 1$. By replacing X with $v^{-1} X$, we obtain $X(x_n) = \lambda_n x_n$ and $j^k X = X_0$ for $r = n - 1$.

Since $X|_{S^-}$ has eigenvalues in the Poincaré domain and there are no resonances because of $k \gg 1$, it follows that $X|_{S^-}$ is analytically conjugated to $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} \lambda_j x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$. Analogously $X|_{S^+}$ is analytically conjugated to $\sum_{r < j \leq n} \lambda_j x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$. Therefore, we obtain

$$X|_{S^-} = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} \lambda_j x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \quad X|_{S^+} = \sum_{r < j \leq n} \lambda_j x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$$

and $j^k X = X_0$ (and $X(x_n) = \lambda_n x_n$ if $r = n - 1$) up to an analytic tangent to the identity change of coordinates. Note that the curve of parametrization

$$t \mapsto (c_1 t^{-\lambda_1}, \dots, c_r t^{-\lambda_r}, 0, \dots, 0)$$

is a separatrix of \mathcal{F} in S^- for any $(c_1, \dots, c_r) \in \mathbb{C}^r \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Thus S^- is a union of separatrices. Analogously S^+ is a union of separatrices.

There exists a formal tangent to the identity change of coordinates that transforms \mathcal{F}_X in \mathcal{F}_{X_0} . By uniqueness of S^- and S^+ it preserves them. Now it is easy to see that all formal separatrices of \mathcal{F}_{X_0} are contained in $S^- \cup S^+$. The analogous property for \mathcal{F} follows. \square

rem:transv_stable

Remark 13. Assume that we are in the setting of Lemma 3 and the second bullet point holds; this is always possible up to a change of coordinates. Since

$$X \left(\sum_{j=1}^r |x_j|^2 \right) \Big|_{S^-} = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j |x_j|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad X \left(\sum_{j=r+1}^n |x_j|^2 \right) \Big|_{S^+} = \sum_{j=r+1}^n \lambda_j |x_j|^2,$$

it follows that X is transverse to ∂B_ϵ at the points in $S^- \cup S^+$ for $\epsilon > 0$.

Now, we show the sufficient condition in Theorem 4.

Lemma 4. *Consider a germ of holomorphic foliation \mathcal{F} in $(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ that is analytically conjugated to \mathcal{F}_X where*

$$X = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \quad \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}^-, \quad \lambda_n \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Then \mathcal{F} is topologically completely integrable and completely integrable and has an isolated separatrix.

Proof. It suffices to show the properties for \mathcal{F}_X . The foliation \mathcal{F}_X is $(CI)_\mathcal{O}$ since the map

$$f := (f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}) := (x_1^{\lambda_n} x_n^{-\lambda_1}, \dots, x_{n-1}^{\lambda_n} x_n^{-\lambda_{n-1}})$$

is a holomorphic complete first integral of \mathcal{F}_X . The set of separatrices coincide with $f^{-1}(\mathbf{0})$, i.e. it is the union of $x_n = 0$ and the x_n -axis. Moreover, X is transverse to ∂B_ϵ at the points in $f^{-1}(\mathbf{0})$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ by Remark 13. We deduce that \mathcal{F}_X is topologically completely integrable by Propositions 2 and 4. \square

Assume, in the remainder of the section, that \mathcal{F} is a germ of holomorphic one-dimensional foliation in $(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ ($n \geq 3$) that is topologically completely integrable and has an isolated separatrix Γ and fix the coordinate system in the definition of TCI (cf. Definition 2). Let X be a germ of vector field with isolated singularity defining the foliation \mathcal{F} . Consider the notations in section 4.

pro:non-nil

Proposition 9. *The linear part $D_0 X$ of X at the origin is non-nilpotent.*

Proof. By definition of TCI there exists a decreasing sequence $(\epsilon_m)_{m \geq 1}$ in \mathbb{R}^+ such that \mathcal{F} is transverse to ∂B_{ϵ_m} at the points of

$$\Sigma_m = \{P \in \overline{B}_{\epsilon_m} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} : \mathbf{0} \in \overline{\mathfrak{L}}_P^{\epsilon_m}\} \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. The set $\Sigma_m \cap B_{\epsilon_m}$ is analytic in B_{ϵ_m} for $m \geq 1$ by Remark 11. Since $\Sigma_{m+1} \subset \Sigma_m$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the germ $(\Sigma_m, \mathbf{0})$ is independent of m for any $m \gg 1$. Since $\Sigma_m \cap B_{\epsilon_m}$ is analytic in B_{ϵ_m} for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $\Sigma_m = \Sigma_{m_0} \cap B_{\epsilon_m}$ for any $m \geq m_0$. Consider $\epsilon = \epsilon_m$ for some $m \gg 1$; the pair $(\overline{B}_\epsilon, \overline{B}_\epsilon \cap \Sigma_{m_0})$ is homeomorphic to the cone over $(\partial B_\epsilon, \partial B_\epsilon \cap \Sigma_{m_0})$ by the local conic structure of analytic sets [4, Lemma 3.2].

By Theorem 3 the analytic set $\Sigma_{m_0} \cap B_{\epsilon_{m_0}}$ has dimension $n - 1 \geq 2$. Choose germs Γ_\circ of non-isolated separatrix of \mathcal{F} and S of irreducible analytic set of dimension 2 such that $\Gamma_\circ \subset S \subset (\Sigma_{m_0}, \mathbf{0})$. Let $\epsilon = \epsilon_m$, for some $m \gg 1$, such that $(\overline{B}_\epsilon, \overline{B}_\epsilon \cap S)$ and $(\overline{B}_\epsilon, \overline{B}_\epsilon \cap \Gamma_\circ)$ are homeomorphic to the cones over $(\partial B_\epsilon, \partial B_\epsilon \cap S)$ and $(\partial B_\epsilon, \partial B_\epsilon \cap \Gamma_\circ)$ respectively.

Denote $\Sigma = \Sigma_m$ and $\partial\Sigma = \partial B_\epsilon \cap \Sigma$. Consider now the function

$$\rho : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}; \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^2$$

and the tangent vector $Y(P)$ that is the gradient at P of the restriction of ρ to $\mathcal{L}_P^{\epsilon m_0}$. Since X is transverse to ∂B_ϵ at $\partial\Sigma$, it follows that $Y(P)$ points towards the exterior of B_ϵ for any $P \in \partial\Sigma$. In particular, we can define maps $(a, b) : \partial\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ and $c : \partial\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$Y(P) = c(P)(a(P)\operatorname{Re}(X)(P) + b(P)\operatorname{Im}(X)(P))$$

for $P \in \partial\Sigma$ (cf. Definition 9). We can extend (a, b) to $\Sigma \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ continuously by the local conic structure of analytic sets. In particular (a, b) is defined in $(S \cap B_\epsilon) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Consider the normalization $\pi : \hat{S} \rightarrow S \cap B_\epsilon$; we can lift (a, b) to \hat{S} . Let $\hat{\Gamma}_\circ$ be an irreducible curve such that $\pi(\hat{\Gamma}_\circ) = \Gamma_\circ \cap B_\epsilon$. The map π induces a homeomorphism from $\hat{\Gamma}_\circ \cap \pi^{-1}(\partial B_{\epsilon'})$ to $\Gamma_\circ \cap \partial B_{\epsilon'}$ for any $\epsilon' \in (0, \epsilon)$. The description of the link of a normal surface singularity by Mumford implies that $\hat{\Gamma}_\circ \cap \pi^{-1}(\partial B_{\epsilon'})$ is a torsion element of $H_1(\hat{S} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}, \mathbb{Z})$ for any $\epsilon' \in (0, \epsilon)$ [24, page 234]. As a consequence $(a, b)|_{\hat{\Gamma}_\circ \cap \pi^{-1}(\partial B_{\epsilon'})}$ is homotopic to the constant map $(1, 0)$ and then so is $(a, b)|_{\Gamma_\circ \cap \partial B_{\epsilon'}}$ for $\epsilon' \in (0, \epsilon)$. By continuity $(a, b)|_{\Gamma_\circ \cap \partial B_\epsilon}$ is homotopic to $(1, 0)$. Similar arguments are explored in [14].

Let $\gamma_\circ(t)$ be an irreducible Puiseux parametrization of Γ_\circ . Assume that it is defined (and injective) in a neighborhood of \overline{D} where D is homeomorphic to an open disc in \mathbb{C} such that \overline{D} is homeomorphic to a closed disc, $\gamma_\circ(D) = B_\epsilon \cap \Gamma_\circ$ and $\gamma_\circ(\partial D) = \partial B_\epsilon \cap \Gamma_\circ$. Denote by $Z = g(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ the vector field defined in a neighborhood of \overline{D} such that

$$(D_t \gamma_\circ)(Z(t)) \equiv X(\gamma_\circ(t)).$$

The previous paragraph implies that $\operatorname{Re}(Z)|_{\partial D}$ is homotopic to $Y|_{\partial D}$ that points towards the outside of D . Therefore, we obtain

$$\operatorname{ind}(Z, 0) = \chi(D) = 1$$

by applying Poincaré-Hopf theorem (cf. [21, Chapter 6]) to $\operatorname{Re}(Z)$. It follows that the vanishing order of $g(t)$ at 0 is equal to 1. Assume, without lack of generality, that $[1 : 0 : \dots : 0]$ is the direction in the tangent cone of Γ_\circ at $\mathbf{0}$. The Puiseux parametrization $\gamma_\circ := (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ satisfies that the vanishing order of γ_1 is equal to k for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $\gamma_j = o(t^{k+1})$ for any $2 \leq j \leq n$. Denote $X = \sum_{j=1}^n h_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$. Since

$$h_j(\gamma_\circ(t)) = g(t) \frac{\partial \gamma_j}{\partial t}(t)$$

for any $1 \leq j \leq n$, it follows that the vanishing orders satisfy

$$\nu_0(h_1(\gamma_\circ(t))) = k < \nu_0(h_j(\gamma_\circ(t)))$$

for any $2 \leq j \leq n$. This implies

$$\lambda := \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{0}) \neq 0 = \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{0})$$

for any $2 \leq j \leq n$. Therefore λ is a non-vanishing eigenvalue of D_0X corresponding to the eigenvector $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$. \square

Lemma 5. \mathcal{F} is formally conjugated to the foliation given by a vector field of the form (2).

Proof. The foliation \mathcal{F} is $(CI)_\mathcal{O}$ by Theorem 3. Let $f = (f_1, \dots, f_{n-1})$ be the complete holomorphic first integral of \mathcal{F} constructed in subsection 4.2. Consider the Jordan decomposition $X = X_S + X_N$ of X . Note that $X_S \neq 0$ by Proposition 9 since the eigenvalues of D_0X and X_S coincide. It is of the form

$$X_0 := \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$$

in some formal coordinates that we fix from now on. Since X is a multiple of X_S by Lemma 2, \mathcal{F} is formally conjugated to the foliation given by X_0 .

If there is just one non-vanishing eigenvalue of D_0X then \mathcal{F}_X is regular, contradicting the hypothesis. If the number k of non-vanishing eigenvalues satisfies $1 < k < n$, we have that the singularity of X is non-isolated, again providing a contradiction. Thus, we obtain $\lambda_j \neq 0$ for any $1 \leq j \leq n$. Now, consider the set

$$M := \left\{ (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n : \sum_{j=1}^n k_j \lambda_j = 0 \right\}.$$

Any monomial $\underline{x}^{\underline{k}} = x_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n}$ that has a non-zero coefficient in f_j for some $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ satisfies $X_S(\underline{x}^{\underline{k}}) = 0$ and hence $\underline{k} \in M$. We claim that the \mathbb{Q} -vector space generated by M has dimension $n-1$. Otherwise, there is a vector field

$$Y = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$$

such that $\underline{\mu}$ is not a complex multiple of $\underline{\lambda}$ and $\underline{k}\underline{\mu} = 0$ for any $\underline{k} \in M$. In particular, we obtain $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ but this is impossible since Y is not a multiple of X_S . Now, $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) = n-1$ implies $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ up to multiplication by a non-vanishing complex number.

Suppose $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{Z}^-$ and $\lambda_{r+1}, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ for some $0 \leq r \leq n$. There exist stable and unstable manifolds S^- and S^+ of \mathcal{F} that are tangent at the origin to

$$\{x_{r+1} = \dots = x_n = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{x_1 = \dots = x_r = 0\}$$

respectively by Lemma 3. Since $S^- \cup S^+$ is the union of all separatrices and there exists an isolated separatrix by hypothesis, it follows that $r = 1$ or $r = n - 1$. Up to replacing X with $-X$ we can suppose $r = n - 1$. \square

So, in order to prove Theorem 4, it suffices to show Theorem 5.

6. FROM FORMAL TO ANALYTIC COMPLETE INTEGRABILITY

sec:normal

In this section we show Theorem 5. Denote $X_0 = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$. First let us see that \mathcal{F} is formally conjugated to \mathcal{F}_{X_0} by a formal diffeomorphism that is transversally formal along $S^- \cup S^+$. This type of approach was used in [7] to improve the theorems that relate analytic conjugacy of holonomy maps and analytic conjugacy of certain singularities of vector fields [27] (cf. [28]).

pro:formal_trans

Proposition 10. *Given $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the foliation \mathcal{F} is analytically conjugated to a foliation given by a vector field of the form*

$$(4) \quad X = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_j x_j + f_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \lambda_n x_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$$

where $f_j \in (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})^k \cap (x_n)^k$ for any $1 \leq j < n$.

Proof. We can assume $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_X$ where the second bullet point in Lemma 3 holds. Since X_0 is $(\text{CI})_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $X(x_n) = \lambda_n x_n$, we obtain $X = X_S$ by Lemma 2. Therefore, we can suppose $j^{k'} X = X_0$ for some $k' \gg 1$, up to an analytic change of coordinates that preserves the previous properties. Denote $I_- = (x_n)$ and $I_+ = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$. We say that X is of type (r, s) if it is of the form (4) with $f_j \in I_-^r \cap I_+^s \cap \mathfrak{m}^{k'+1}$ for any $1 \leq j < n$. By the second bullet point of Lemma 3, X is of type $(1, 1)$.

Since a vector field of the form

$$X' = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\lambda_j x_j + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_{jk}(x_n) x_k \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \lambda_n x_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n},$$

where $a_{jk} \in (x_n)^{k'}$ for all $1 \leq j, k < n$, has no resonances when $k' \gg 1$, it is analytically conjugated to X_0 by a change of coordinates of the

form

$$\left(x_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_{1k}(x_n)x_k, \dots, x_{n-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_{n-1k}(x_n)x_k, x_n \right)$$

by the theory of Fuchsian singularities (cf. [13, Theorems 16.15 and 16.16]). By considering X' such that $X - X' \in I_+^2$, we obtain that the vector field X is of type $(1, 2)$ in these coordinates.

Now, let us see that we can make X of type $(r + 1, s)$ if X is of type (r, s) , for $1 \leq r < k$ and $2 \leq s \leq k$, up to an analytic change of coordinates. We have

$$f_j = x_n^r a_j(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) + O(x_n^{r+1})$$

where

$$a_j = \sum_{\underline{k}} a_{j,\underline{k}} x_1^{k_1} \dots x_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}} \in I_+^s \cap \mathfrak{m}^{k'+1-r}$$

for any $1 \leq j < n$. We define

$$b_{j,\underline{k}} = \frac{-a_{j,\underline{k}}}{\lambda_j - \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} k_l \lambda_l - r \lambda_n}.$$

Notice that $a_{j,\underline{k}} \neq 0$ implies $k_1 + \dots + k_{n-1} \geq k' + 1 - r$ and thus the denominator does not vanish if $k' \gg 1$. Moreover, since the modulus of the denominator is bounded by below by 1, it follows that

$$Y := \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{\underline{k}} b_{j,\underline{k}} x_1^{k_1} \dots x_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}} \right) x_n^r \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0}).$$

By construction it satisfies

$$[Y, X_0] = - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) x_n^r \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}.$$

Consider the diffeomorphism $\phi = \exp(Y)$ (cf. Definition 9), it satisfies

$$\phi^* X = X + [Y, X] + \frac{1}{2}[Y, [Y, X]] + \frac{1}{3!}[Y, [Y, [Y, X]]] + \dots =$$

$$X + [Y, X_0] + [Y, X - X_0] + \frac{1}{2}[Y, [Y, X]] + \frac{1}{3!}[Y, [Y, [Y, X]]] + \dots$$

and $\phi^* X$ is of type $(r + 1, s)$. Finally, the transition from type (r, s) to type $(r, s + 1)$, for $1 \leq r \leq k$ and $1 < s < k$, is proved analogously to the transition from type (r, s) to type $(r + 1, s)$. \square

Corollary 5. \mathcal{F} is conjugated to \mathcal{F}_{X_0} by a formal diffeomorphism that is transversally formal along $S^- \cup S^+$.

Proof. Let us consider the notations and definitions in Proposition 10. We can suppose that X is of type $(2, 2)$. Consider $S := (S^+ \cup S^-) \cap B_\epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ so that X is defined in a neighborhood of S . It suffices to show that there exist $X_k \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ and $\phi_k \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, for any $k \geq 2$, such that

- $X_2 = X$ and $X_{k+1} = (\phi_k)_* X_k$ for any $k \geq 2$;
- X_k is of type (k, k) for any $k \geq 2$;
- X_k and ϕ_k are defined in a neighborhood of S and $S \subset \text{Fix}(\phi_k)$ for any $k \geq 2$;
- ϕ_k is of type (k, k) , i.e.

$$\phi_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1 + f_1, \dots, x_{n-1} + f_{n-1}, x_n),$$

where $f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} \in I_+^k \cap I_-^k$ for any $k \geq 2$.

Then there is a unique $\hat{\phi} \in \widehat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ with $j^{k-1} \hat{\phi} = j^{k-1}(\phi_k \circ \dots \circ \phi_2)$ for any $k \geq 2$. Moreover, by construction $\hat{\phi}$ is transversally formal along S and conjugates X and X_0 .

We can construct X_{k+1} and ϕ_k by induction on k . By the proof of Proposition 10, ϕ_k is the composition of three local diffeomorphisms: the first one ψ_1 gives $j^{k'}((\psi_1)_* X_k) = X_0$ for $k' \gg 1$, and ψ_2 and ψ_3 guarantee that $(\psi_2 \circ \psi_1)_* X_k$ and $(\psi_3 \circ \psi_2 \circ \psi_1)_* X_k$ are of types $(k+1, k)$ and $(k+1, k+1)$ respectively. It is easy to see that ψ_j is defined in a neighborhood of S , $S \subset \text{Fix}(\psi_j)$ and ψ_j is of type (k, k) for any $1 \leq j \leq 3$. Thus $\phi_k = \psi_3 \circ \psi_2 \circ \psi_1$ is the desired diffeomorphism. \square

Let us continue the proof of Theorem 5. Consider the holonomy map Θ associated to the isolated separatrix of \mathcal{F} . It is defined in a transverse section $T = \{x_n = c_0\}$ for some $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and it is the holonomy map associated to the path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow S^+ \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ defined by $\gamma(t) = (0, \dots, 0, c_0 e^{2\pi i t})$. The next result is a consequence of the formal transversality property provided by Proposition 10.

Lemma 6. *The holonomy map Θ associated to the isolated separatrix of \mathcal{F} has finite order.*

Proof. We can suppose that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_X$ where X is of the form (4) where $f_j \in (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})^k \cap (x_n)^k$ for any $1 \leq j < n$ and some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ by Proposition 10. The holonomy map Θ is of the form

$$\Theta(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \exp \left(\frac{2\pi i}{\lambda_n} X \right) \Big|_{x_n=c_0}$$

and satisfies $\Theta_j - e^{\frac{2\pi i \lambda_j}{\lambda_n}} x_j \in I_+^k$ for any $1 \leq j < n$. Note that Θ^{λ_n} is tangent to the identity and its order of tangency with the identity is at least k . Since k is arbitrary and the order of tangency with the

identity is an analytic invariant, we deduce that Θ has finite order. In particular, we obtain $\exp(2\pi iX) = \text{id}$. \square

Fix a transverse section $T = \{x_n = c_0\}$, with $0 < c_0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, and let Γ be the x_n -axis. Next, we describe the total holonomy group (cf. Definition 12).

Lemma 7. *\mathcal{F} is topologically completely integrable and its total holonomy group $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F},\Gamma,U,T}$ is equal to the finite group $\langle \Theta \rangle$.*

Proof. We can suppose that X is of the form (4) by Proposition 10 for some $k \geq 2$. Denote $m = \min(-\lambda_1, \dots, -\lambda_{n-1})$, $\underline{x}^- = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ and $\underline{x} = (\underline{x}^-, x_n)$. We have

$$\text{equ:aux_tr} \quad (5) \quad X(|x_n|^2) = \lambda_n |x_n|^2 \text{ and } X(|\underline{x}|^2) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j |x_j|^2 + O(|\underline{x}^-|^3)$$

and as a consequence we get

$$\text{equ:aux_dec} \quad (6) \quad \text{Re}(X) \left(\ln |\underline{x}^-|^2 + \frac{m}{4\lambda_n} \ln |x_n|^2 \right) < 0.$$

Thus $|\underline{x}^-| |x_n|^{\frac{4}{m\lambda_n}} \circ \exp(tX)$ is a decreasing function of $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Consider the foliation \mathcal{F}_∂ induced by \mathcal{F} in ∂B_ϵ . There exists an open \mathcal{F}_∂ -invariant neighborhood W of $\Gamma \cap \partial B_\epsilon$ in ∂B_ϵ since Θ has finite order. All leaves of \mathcal{F}_∂ in W are closed. From now on, we consider that W is a sufficiently small neighborhood of $\Gamma \cap \partial B_\epsilon$.

Let $P \in W \setminus \Gamma$. Let c_P be the minimum real positive number c such that $\exp(-cX)(P) \notin B_\epsilon$. Property (6) implies that c_P is well-defined and, moreover, that $A_P := \exp(-c_P X)(P)$ is in a small neighborhood of $S^- \cap \partial B_\epsilon$. The real flow of X is transverse to ∂B_ϵ at both P and A_P by Property (5). Thus c_P depends continuously on $P \in W \setminus \Gamma$. Consider a leaf \mathcal{L}_∂ of \mathcal{F}_∂ through $P \in W \setminus \Gamma$. The previous discussion implies that

$$\mathfrak{L}_P^\epsilon = \cup_{Q \in \mathcal{L}_\partial} \{\exp(tX)(Q) : t \in [-c_Q, 0]\}.$$

is a closed leaf of \mathfrak{F}^ϵ for any $P \in W \setminus \Gamma$. In particular, the set

$$U_W = (S^- \cap \overline{B}_\epsilon) \cup \cup_{P \in W} \mathfrak{L}_P^\epsilon$$

is an open \mathfrak{F}^ϵ -invariant neighborhood of $S^- \cup S^+$ in \overline{B}_ϵ such that X is transverse to ∂B_ϵ at $U_W \cap \partial B_\epsilon$. By considering any open \mathcal{F}_∂ -invariant neighborhood of $\Gamma \cap \partial B_\epsilon$ in ∂B_ϵ , we obtain a fundamental system of \mathfrak{F}^ϵ -invariant neighborhoods of $S^- \cup S^+$ in \overline{B}_ϵ . Denote $U := U_W$.

Any path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_P^\epsilon \cap U$, with $P \notin S^-$, can be deformed continuously in \mathfrak{L}_P^ϵ into a path $\overline{\gamma} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_P^\epsilon \cap W$. Indeed given

any $s \in [0, 1]$, there exists $t_s \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\exp(tX)(\gamma(s)) \in U \setminus W$ for any $0 \leq t < t_s$ and $\exp(t_s X)(\gamma(s)) \in W$. The transversality of X with ∂B_ϵ in U implies that $s \mapsto t_s$ is continuous in $[0, 1]$. The path $\bar{\gamma}$ is defined by $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \exp(t_s X)(\gamma(s))$ for $s \in [0, 1]$. Therefore, the holonomy group of any leaf is finite since it can be considered as a subgroup of $\langle \Theta \rangle$. Moreover, the total holonomy group $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}, \Gamma, U, T}$ coincides with $\langle \Theta \rangle$ and hence is finite. We obtain that the foliation \mathcal{F} is topologically completely integrable. \square

rem: tci_non_iso

Remark 14. It is possible to define total holonomy groups in more general contexts. For instance, consider $f_j(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = \sum_{k=1}^4 x_k^{j+1}$ for $j \in \{1, 2\}$. We have

$$df_1 \wedge df_2 = 6 \sum_{j < k} x_j x_k (x_k - x_j) dx_j \wedge dx_k.$$

Since $\text{cod}(\text{Sing}(df_1 \wedge df_2)) = 3$, the foliation \mathcal{F} of codimension 2 with first integrals f_1 and f_2 satisfies $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) = \text{Sing}(df_1 \wedge df_2)$. By definition \mathcal{F} is (CI) $_{\mathcal{O}}$. The singular set of \mathcal{F} is the union of 15 lines through the origin. We have $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cap (f_1, f_2)^{-1}(\mathbf{0}) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and, moreover, $(f_1, f_2)^{-1}(\mathbf{0})$ consists of at most 6 separatrices. The importance of the transversality condition in the definition of TCI is that it forces leaves containing points close to $\mathbf{0}$ to intersect the boundary ∂B_ϵ of the domain of definition transversally. This happens for \mathcal{F} since such leaves are going to intersect the boundary near $(f_1, f_2)^{-1}(\mathbf{0})$ that has a local conic structure. We can show analogues in this setting of Propositions 6, 7 and 8 to define the total holonomy group of the foliation \mathcal{F} in a transverse section to a separatrix. This expansion of the idea of TCI foliations to the case of non-isolated singularities will be studied in future work. Let us advance that there are examples of non-cyclic total holonomy groups.

6.1. End of the proof of Theorem 5. Suppose $\text{gcd}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = 1$ without lack of generality. We can assume that X is of the form (4) for some $k \gg 1$ fixed by Proposition 10. Given $1 \leq j < n$, we define

$$r_j = \lambda_n / \text{gcd}(\lambda_j, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \quad \text{and} \quad s_j = -\lambda_j / \text{gcd}(\lambda_j, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

The function $g_j = x_j^{r_j} x_n^{s_j}$ is a first integral of X_0 for any $1 \leq j < n$.

The holonomy map Θ is of the form $\Theta = (\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_{n-1})$ where $\Theta_j - e^{\frac{2\pi i \lambda_j}{\lambda_n}} x_j \in I_+^k$ for any $1 \leq j < n$ and $I_+ = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$. It has order λ_n . It can be linearized by a map of the form

$$G = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{j=0}^{\lambda_n-1} (D_0 \Theta)^{-j} \circ \Theta^j.$$

Indeed, we obtain $D_0G = \text{id}$, $D_0\Theta \circ G = G \circ \Theta$ and $G - \text{id} \in I_+^k \times \dots \times I_+^k$.

Fix $1 \leq j < n$. The equality $x_j^{r_j} \circ D_0\Theta = x_j^{r_j}$ implies

$$(x_j^{r_j} \circ G) \circ \Theta = x_j^{r_j} \circ G.$$

Note that $x_j^{r_j} \circ G - x_j^{r_j} \in I_+^k$. Since $\langle \Theta \rangle$ is the total holonomy group of \mathcal{F} , we can construct a first integral F_j of X in a neighborhood of $\mathbf{0}$ such that $(F_j)|_T \equiv x_j^{r_j} \circ G$. It satisfies

$$F_j = x_j^{r_j} a_j(x_n) + h_j(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

where $a_j \neq 0$ and $h_j \in I_+^k$ for any $1 \leq j < n$. Moreover, by construction F_j is of the form $f_j^{r_j} x_n^{d_j}$ where $f_j \in \mathcal{O}_n$ is irreducible and $d_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Since $r_j < k$, all non-vanishing monomials $x_j^{r_j} x_n^d$ of $x_j^{r_j} a_j(x_n)$ are resonant, i.e. $r_j \lambda_j + d \lambda_n = 0$ and thus $d = s_j$. In particular a_j is of the form $c_j x_n^{s_j}$ for some $c_j \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

Consider a non-vanishing monomial $x_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n}$ of h_j . If $k_n \geq k$ then $k_n > s_j$. Otherwise the monomial is resonant, i.e. $k_1 \lambda_1 + \dots + k_n \lambda_n = 0$, since X is of the form (4). But this implies

$$k_n = \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} -\frac{\lambda_l}{\lambda_n} k_l \geq (k_1 + \dots + k_{n-1}) \min_{1 \leq l < n} \frac{-\lambda_l}{\lambda_n} \geq k \min_{1 \leq l < n} \frac{-\lambda_l}{\lambda_n} > s_j.$$

We deduce

$$F_j = f_j^{r_j} x_n^{s_j} = (c_j x_j^{r_j} + h.o.t.) x_n^{s_j}$$

and hence $f_j = c_j^{-\frac{1}{r_j}} x_j + h.o.t.$

Resuming, $(y_1, \dots, y_n) = (f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, x_n)$ is a system of coordinates in which $y_j^{r_j} y_n^{s_j}$ is a first integral of \mathcal{F} for any $1 \leq j < n$. Therefore \mathcal{F} is induced by the vector field $\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j y_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Filippo Bracci and Bruno Scárdua. Holomorphic vector fields transverse to polydiscs. *J. Lond. Math. Soc., II. Ser.*, 75(1):99–115, 2007.
- [2] Marco Brunella. On holomorphic foliations in complex surfaces transverse to a sphere. *Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat., Nova Sér.*, 26(2):117–128, 1995.
- [3] Marco Brunella and Paulo Sad. Holomorphic foliations in certain holomorphically convex domains of \mathbb{C}^2 . *Bull. Soc. Math. Fr.*, 123(4):535–546, 1995.
- [4] Dan Burghelea and Andrei Verona. Local homological properties of analytic sets. *Manuscr. Math.*, 7:55–66, 1972.
- [5] César Camacho and Alcides Lins Neto. Geometric theory of foliations. Transl. from the Portuguese by Sue E. Goodman. Boston - Basel - Stuttgart: Birkhäuser. V, 205 p. DM 98.00 (1985)., 1985.
- [6] Dominique Cerveau and A. Lins Neto. Codimension two holomorphic foliation. *J. Differ. Geom.*, 113(3):385–416, 2019.

- [7] Francisco Chaves. Holonomy and equivalence of analytic foliations. *J. Dyn. Control Syst.*, 28(4):771–790, 2022.
- [8] André Belotto da Silva, Martin Klimeš, Julio Rebelo, and Helena Reis. A remark on first integrals of vector fields. *Publ. Mat., Barc.*, 68(1):103–109, 2024.
- [9] Airton S. de Medeiros. Singular foliations and differential p -forms. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse, Math. (6)*, 9(3):451–466, 2000.
- [10] O. Forster and K. J. Ramspott. Analytische Modulgarben und Endromisbündel. *Invent. Math.*, 2:145–170, 1966.
- [11] Robert C. Gunning. *Introduction to holomorphic functions of several variables*, volume II. Brooks/Cole Publishing Company, 1990.
- [12] Robert C. Gunning. *Introduction to holomorphic functions of several variables*, volume I. Brooks/Cole Publishing Company, 1990.
- [13] Yulij Ilyashenko and Sergei Yakovenko. *Lectures on analytic differential equations*, volume 86 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [14] Toshikazu Ito. A Poincaré-Bendixson type theorem for holomorphic vector fields. In *Singularities of holomorphic vector fields and related topics. Proceedings of a symposium held at the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan, November 9–12, 1993*, pages 1–9. Kyoto: Kyoto Univ., Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, 1994.
- [15] Toshikazu Ito and Bruno Scárdua. Holomorphic foliations of codimension one transverse to polydiscs. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 575:37–44, 2004.
- [16] Toshikazu Ito and Bruno Scárdua. On the non-existence of a codimension one holomorphic foliation transverse to a sphere. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 12(5-6):713–717, 2005.
- [17] Toshikazu Ito and Bruno Scardua. A non-existence theorem for Morse type holomorphic foliations of codimension one transverse to spheres. *Int. J. Math.*, 21(4):435–452, 2010.
- [18] Bernard Malgrange. Frobenius avec singularités. II: Le cas général. *Invent. Math.*, 39:67–89, 1977.
- [19] J. Martinet. *Normalisation des champs de vecteurs holomorphes (d’après A.-D. Brjuno)*, volume 901 of *Lecture Notes in Math*. Springer, Berlin-New York, 1981.
- [20] J.-F. Mattei and R. Moussu. Holonomie et intégrales premières. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 13(4):469–523, 1980.
- [21] John M. Milnor. *Topology from the differentiable viewpoint. Based on notes by David W. Weaver*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, revised 2nd ed. edition, 1997.
- [22] John W. Milnor. *Singular points of complex hypersurfaces*, volume 61 of *Ann. Math. Stud.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1968.
- [23] Robert Moussu. On the existence of holomorphic first integrals. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, Cl. Sci., IV. Ser.*, 26(4):709–717, 1998.
- [24] D. Mumford. The topology of normal singularities of an algebraic surface and a criterion for simplicity. *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.*, 9:5–22, 1961.
- [25] Sam B. jun. Nadler. *Continuum theory. An introduction*, volume 158 of *Pure Appl. Math., Marcel Dekker*. New York: Marcel, 1992.

- [26] Susana Pinheiro and Helena Reis. Topological aspects of completely integrable foliations. *J. Lond. Math. Soc., II. Ser.*, 89(2):415–433, 2014.
- [27] Elizarov P.M. and Yu.S. Ilyashenko. Remarks on the orbital analytic classification of germs of vector fields. *Math. USSR Sb.*, 49:111–124, 1984.
- [28] Helena Reis. Equivalence and semi-completeness of foliations. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl., Ser. A, Theory Methods*, 64(8):1654–1665, 2006.
- [29] Javier Ribón. Algebraic properties of groups of complex analytic local diffeomorphisms. In *VIII Escuela doctoral intercontinental de matemáticas*, pages 185–230. Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, 2015.
- [30] Javier Ribón. Finite dimensional groups of local diffeomorphisms. *Isr. J. Math.*, 227(1):289–329, 2018.
- [31] Yum-Tong Siu. Every Stein subvariety admits a Stein neighborhood. *Invent. Math.*, 38:89–100, 1976.
- [32] Masakazu Suzuki. Sur les intégrales premières de certains feuilletages analytiques complexes. In *Fonctions de plusieurs variables complexes, III (Sém. François Norquet, 1975–1977)*, volume 670 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 53–79, 394. Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [33] Stephen Willard. *General topology*. Mineola, NY: Dover Publications, reprint of the 1970 original edition, 2004.
- [34] Wen-Tsun Wu and Georges Reeb. *Sur les espaces fibrés et les variétés feuilletées. I: Sur les classes caractéristiques des structures fibrées sphériques (Wu). II: Sur certaines propriétés topologiques des variétés feuilletées (Reeb)*, volume 11 of *Publ. Inst. Math. Univ. Strasbourg*. Hermann, Paris, 1952.

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL FLUMINENSE, CAMPUS DO GRAGOATÁ, RUA MARCOS VALDEMAR DE FREITAS REIS S/N, 24210-201 NITERÓI, RIO DE JANEIRO - BRASIL