EXOTIC ELLIPTIC SURFACES WITHOUT 1-HANDLES

MOTOO TANGE

ABSTRACT. In this article, we consider a sufficient condition that a knot surgery or log-transformation of E(n) admits a handle decomposition without 1-handles. We show that if K is a knot that the bridge number is $b(K) \leq 9n$, then the knot surgery $E(n)_K$ of an elliptic surface E(n) admits a handle decomposition without 1-handles. Furthermore, we also show that the double logtransformation $E(n)_{2,q}$ admits a handle decomposition without 1handles for any positive integer n and any odd integer q.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Handle decomposition of a 4-manifold. A long-standing interesting problem of smooth 4-manifolds is whether any simply-connected closed smooth 4-manifold admits a handle decomposition without 1handles or 3-handles.

The following is the classical main problem about 1-handles and 3-handles of closed smooth 4-manifolds.

Problem 1.1 (Kirby's problem [6]). Let X be a simply-connected closed smooth 4-manifold.

- Does X admit a handle decomposition without 1-handles?
- Does X admit a handle decomposition without 1-handles and 3-handles?

Several affirmative evidences about Problem 1.1 as below has been known. These problems are essentially difficult to resolve. In fact, if any homotopy S^4 admits a handle decomposition without 1-handles and 3-handles, the 4-dimensional smooth Poincaré conjecture is resolved affirmatively.

Handle decompositions of any simply-connected closed smooth 4manifolds are so complicated, and it does not seem that 1-handles and

Date: January 8, 2025.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 57R65, 57R55.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ handle decomposition, elliptic surfaces, knot surgery, log-transformation.

The author was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 21K03216.

3-handles can be eliminated through handle slides and cancellations. For instance, Harer, Kas and Kirby in [5] conjectured that the Dolgachev surface $E(1)_{2,3}$ does not admit a handle decomposition without 1-handles and 3-handles. In this article, we consider a sufficient condition that exotic elliptic surfaces $E(n)_K$ or $E(n)_{p,q}$ have a handle decomposition without 1-handles. Whether we can eliminate 3-handles after eliminating 1-handles is a more subtle problem, we do not deal with it in this article.

1.2. Handle decomposition of knot surgery. Let E(n) be the elliptic surface with 12n Lefschetz singularities. Harer-Kas-Kirby's conjecture in [5] was negatively resolved by Yasui in [12] and Akbulut in [1] independently. Yasui in [12], constructed handle decompositions of $E(n)_{p,q}$ for (p,q) = (2,3), (2,5), (3,4) and (4,5) for any positive integer n without 1-handles. Akbulut also in [2] proved that knot surgeries of E(1) for each of an infinite family of knots admit a handle decomposition without 1-handles. Sakamoto constructed a handle decomposition without 1-handles in [9]. Recently, Monden and Yabuguchi in [8] announced that $E(1)_{2,q}$ admits handle decomposition without 1handles and 3-handles. Kusuda in [7] gave some handle decompositions $E(n)_{5,6}, E(n)_{6,7}, E(n)_{7,8}$ and $E(n)_{8,9}$ of the double log-transformation without 1-handles for $n \ge 4$, $n \ge 5$, $n \ge 9$, and $n \ge 24$ respectively.

In this article, we prove a wider condition for a knot surgery of E(n) to admit a handle decomposition without 1-handles. Here b(K) is the bridge number of a knot K. The following is the main theorem of this article.

Theorem 1.2. Let K be a knot in S^3 with $b(K) \leq 9n$. Then $E(n)_K$ admits a handle decomposition without 1-handles.

This theorem is applicable to the case of double log-transformation of E(1), because of $E(1)_{T_{p,q}} = E(1)_{p,q}$. See [4] for this equality. Then we obtain the following immediately. Here, for relatively prime integers $p, q, T_{p,q}$ stands for the right-handed torus knot for pq > 0. If pq < 0, $T_{p,q}$ represents the left-handed torus knot.

Corollary 1.3. Let p, q be relatively prime positive integers with p < q. Then if $p \leq 9$, then $E(1)_{p,q}$ admits handle decomposition without 1-handles.

Proof. The bridge number of a torus knot $T_{p,q}$ is $\min\{p,q\}$. Thus, equality $E(1)_{T_{p,q}} = E(1)_{p,q}$ and Theorem 1.2 make $E(1)_{p,q}$ admit a handle decomposition without 1-handles.

This result can be extended to the case of the double log-transformation of E(n) for $n \ge 2$ in the case of $\min\{p,q\} = 2$.

Theorem 1.4. Let q be an odd integer with q > 2. If $n \ge 2$, then $E(n)_{2,q}$ admits handle decomposition without 1-handles.

Recall that the case of n = 1 is proven in Corollary 1.3. This theorem also partially encompasses the results of Yasui and Akbulut. To prove this proposition, we use the fact that any log-transformation is regarded as a "twisted" knot-surgery.

Moreover, the blow-up process simplifies the condition for whether a manifold admits a handle decomposition without 1-handles.

Theorem 1.5. Let p, q be relatively prime integers with p = 2, 3 and p < q. If $n \ge 2$, then $E(n)_{p,q} \# n \overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ admits handle decomposition without 1-handles.

Here, we ask the following question instead of Harer-Kas-Kirby's conjecture.

Question 1.6. Does $E(1)_{10,11}$ admit a handle decomposition without 1-handles and 3-handles?

Acknowledgements

The first main result was essentially inspired by works of Akbulut and Yasui fifteen years ago. However, apparently it has been less known ever for experts. This article was inspired by talks of Yabuguchi and Kusuda in the workshop "Four-Dimensional Topology" on October 2024 held at Osaka. The author is grateful for their results so much.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Knot-surgery.** Let X be a 4-manifold in which the trivial tubular neighborhood of a torus T is contained. Hence, we can take a diffeomorphism $\nu(T) \cong T \times D^2$. Here, $\nu(A)$ is a tubular neighborhood of a submanifold A. Let $K \subset S^3$ be a knot. Then, *knot-surgery* is defined by the following surgery:

$$X_K := (X - \nu(T)) \cup_{\phi} (S^3 - \nu(K)) \times S^1.$$

The gluing map $\phi : \partial \nu(K) \times S^1 \to T \times \partial D^2$ satisfies $\phi(m) = \lambda_1$, $\phi(s) = \lambda_2, \ \phi(l) = d$, where $m, l \subset \partial \nu(K) \times \{\text{pt}\}$ are the meridian and longitude of K respectively, s is $\{\text{pt}\} \times S^1$, d is the meridian circle $\{\text{pt}\} \times \partial D^2$ of T, and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \subset T \times \{\text{pt}\}$ are two simple closed circles generating $H_1(T)$.

2.2. Bridge presentation of knot. Let K be a knot in S^3 . If there exists a decomposition $S^3 = B_0^3 \cup B_1^3$ with $B_0^3 \cap B_1^3 = \partial B_0^3 = \partial B_1^3$, such that B_i^3 is the 3-ball and the intersection $K \cap B_i = K_i$ is a set of n boundary-parallel arcs in the 3-ball. This is called an *n*-bridge presentation of K. We define the bridge number

 $b(K) = \min\{n | K \text{ admits an } n \text{-bridge presentation}\}.$

A knot with b(K) = 1 is the trivial knot. For example, a knot with b(K) = 2 is called a 2-bridge knot.

It is easy to show that an n-bridge presentation of K has a normal form as in Figure 1.



FIGURE 1. A normal form of n-bridge knot. B is a pure braid.

Fact 2.1. Let K be a knot with an n-bridge presentation. Then, we can find a bridge presentation as Figure 1 using a pure braid B of a 2n-string.

The set of generators of the pure braid group PB_n with an *n*-string is well-known.

Fact 2.2. The pure braid group PB_n has the following set of generators $\{\mathcal{T}_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ as in Figure 2.



FIGURE 2. A generator element $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}$ of PB_n .

2.3. Handle decomposition of knot-surgery. In this section, we construct a handle decomposition of knot surgery. This is due to [3, 10, 11]. Let X be a 4-manifold which $T^2 \times D^2$ is contained. We may assume that we regard the handle decomposition of X as a decomposition constructed by attaching several handles over $T^2 \times D^2 = h^0 \cup h_1^1 \cup h_2^1 \cup h^2$.



FIGURE 3. A handle decomposition of $T^2 \times D^2$.

First, we insert 2-/3-canceling pairs and 1-/2-canceling pairs in the positions in the second and third pictures in Figure 4. We deform the diagram as in Figure 4, which presents a deformation in the case of two pairs of 2-/3-canceling handles. In general, similarly, we can also deform the diagram in the case where the number of 2-/3-canceling pairs is n - 1.

Second, we use an *n*-bridge presentation of K in a normal form using a 2*n*-pure braid B as shown in Figure 1. We remove $T^2 \times D^2$ from X. According to the presentation $B = \mathcal{T}_{i_1,j_1} \mathcal{T}_{i_2,j_2} \cdots, \mathcal{T}_{i_r,j_r}$, we deform the surgery diagram of the boundary. For example, $\mathcal{T}_{1,2}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{3,4}$ are isotopies by twisting. As another example, the deformation in the case of $\mathcal{T}_{2,5}$ is shown in Figure 1. These are the boundary diffeomorphisms over $\partial(S^3 - \nu(K)) \times S^1$. Gluing $(S^3 - \nu(K)) \times S^1$ to the boundary, we obtain the diagram of X_K .

2.4. Log-transformation. Here, we give a short review of the logtransformation. Let $T^2 \subset X$ be an embedded torus with the trivial normal bundle. The boundary of the tubular neighborhood $\nu(T^2) \cong$ $T^2 \times D^2$ of T^2 is a 3-torus T^3 . The generator set of $H_1(\partial(T^2 \times D^2))$ is represented by $\{d, \lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$, where $d = \{\text{pt}\} \times \partial D^2$, and $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$ presents a generator set of $H_1(T^2 \times \{\text{pt}\})$. Then we define a map $\phi : T^2 \times \partial D^2 \to$ $T^2 \times \partial D^2$ as follows:

$$\phi(d) = p' \cdot \gamma + p \cdot d,$$

where p and p' are relatively prime and γ is some primitive element in $H_1(T^3)$ with $\gamma = b \cdot \lambda_1 + c \cdot \lambda_2$ some integers b, c. In this paper, for two simple closed curves x_1, x_2 in a 2-torus and for $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, the notation $a_1 \cdot x_1 + a_2 \cdot x_2$ stands for an isotopy class of a simple closed curve representing the element $a_1[x_1] + a_2[x_2]$ in H_1 of the torus. If the circle is one component, then $gcd(a_1, a_2)$ must be 1.

Then the *log-transformation* along T^2 is the following surgery:

$$X_{\gamma,p',p} := (X - \nu(T^2)) \cup_{\psi} T^2 \times D^2$$



FIGURE 4. A deformation of the handle diagram of $T^2 \times D^2$. All the components with no dots are 0-framed 2-handles.

Here p is called a *multiplicity* of log-transformation.

We assume $\pi_1(X - \nu(T^2)) = e$. The diffeomorphism class of the log-transformation depends only on the multiplicity, because of this π_1 condition. We denote the log-transformation by X_p . When we perform the log-transformation along two parallel tori with multiplicities p, qrespectively, we say the surgery *double log-transformation*. We then denote the result as $X_{p,q}$.

Here we state a relation between the double log-transformation with multiplicities p, q and the knot-surgery of $T_{p,q}$. Let $T \subset X$ be an embedded torus with the trivial normal bundle. Let $d, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, m, l, s$ be the same as the things defined in Section 2.1.

Proposition 2.3. The log-transformation $X_{p,q}$ is diffeomorphic to $(X - \nu(T)) \cup_{\phi'} (S^3 - \nu(T_{p,q})) \times S^1$. The gluing map $\phi' : \partial(S^3 - \nu(T_{p,q})) \times S^1 \rightarrow \partial\nu(T)$ satisfies $\phi'(m) = d, \ \phi'(l) = \lambda_1 - pq \cdot d$, and $\phi'(s) = \lambda_2$.



FIGURE 5. A deformation of diagram for a generator element $\mathcal{T}_{2,5}$ in PB_6

Proof. The manifold $S^3 - \nu(T_{p,q})$ is diffeomorphic to the twice Dehn surgery of $S^1 \times D^2$ along two parallel curves f_1, f_2 parallel to $\lambda_1 = S^1 \times \{\text{pt}\}$ as shown in Figure 6. The slopes of the Dehn surgeries are p/u and q/v where p, q are degrees about the meridians of f_1, f_2 and satisfy pv + qs = 1. A slope r/s of $T_{p,q}$ corresponds to a slope r/s - pq of the dotted circle in the Seifert structure in the middle picture of Figure 6. By taking the product of S^1 , $(S^3 - \nu(T_{p,q})) \times S^1$ is diffeomorphic to $(T^2 \times D^2)_{(\lambda_1, u, p), (\lambda_1, v, q)}$. Here, the S^1 -direction is λ_2 .

The meridian circle of $T_{p,q}$, i.e., ∞ -slope in $\partial \nu(T_{p,q})$ is mapped to the ∞ -slope of the dotted circle in Figure 6. The longitude circle (0-slope) of $T_{p,q}$ is mapped to a circle representing $\lambda_1 - pq \cdot d$ in $\partial \nu(T)$. The S^1 -direction in $(S^3 - \nu(T_{p,q})) \times S^1$ is mapped to λ_2 . Hence, the gluing map $\phi' : \partial(S^3 - \nu(T_{p,q})) \times S^1 \to \partial \nu(T)$ satisfies

Hence, the gluing map $\phi' : \partial(S^3 - \nu(T_{p,q})) \times S^1 \to \partial\nu(T)$ satisfies $\phi'(m) = d, \ \phi'(l) = \lambda_1 - pq \cdot d$, and $\phi'(s) = \lambda_2$. Then we have the following.

$$X_{p,q} = (X - \nu(T)) \cup (D^2 \times T^2)_{p,q}$$

$$\cong (X - \nu(T)) \cup_{\phi'} (S^3 - \nu(T_{p,q})) \times S^1.$$

3. Proofs

3.1. The global monodromy of E(n). Let E(n) be the elliptic surface with 12n Lefschetz singularities. We divide E(n) a neighborhood of general fiber T and the complements as in $(E(n) - \nu(T)) \cup T \times D^2$.



FIGURE 6. A diffeomorphism from $S^3 - \nu(T_{p,q})$ to a double log-transformation along two curves.

We perform the knot surgery in $E(n) - \nu(T)$

$$E(n)_K \supset (E(n) - \nu(T))_K$$

Let two elements a, b be $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. The global monodromy of E(n) is $(ab)^{6n}$ and can be deformed as follows

$$(ab)^{6n} = (abababababab)^n = (a(aba)a(aba)a(aba))^n$$

(1)
$$= (a^2ba^3ba^3ba)^n \sim (a^3ba^3ba^3b)^n.$$

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let K be a knot with $b(K) \leq 9n$. Since E(n) has 12n Lefschetz singularities, the handle decomposition of E(n)consists of the union of $T \times D^2 \cong h^0 \cup h_1^1 \cup h_2^1 \cup h^2$, 12n (-1)-framed 2-handles and $h^2 \cup h_1^3 \cup h_2^3 \cup h^4$. We obtain a handle decomposition around a general fiber T as in Figure 7 (a). For simplicity, here it is the case of n = 1. From the computation of monodromy (1), the parallel 9n-1-framed 2-handles in the figure correspond to the vanishing cycles for the element a. Another -1-framed 2-handle corresponds to the vanishing cycle for b. By removing $T \times D^2$ and gluing $(S^3 - \nu(K)) \times S^1$ as shown in Figure 7 (b), the meridian circle d of $T \times D^2$, through the boundary diffeomorphism, is mapped to the meridian of a 1-handle of $(S^3 - \nu(K)) \times S^1$ as illustrated in Figure 7. Here, sliding some -1framed 2-handles over 0-framed 2-handles we can move them to each of the meridians of other 1-handles. We obtain the handle diagram in Figure 7 (c). Since we can give a handle decomposition having b(K) + 1 1-handles in $(S^3 - \nu(K)) \times S^1$, all 1-handles in the picture can be canceled from the condition $b(K) \leq 9n$. Since $E(n) - \nu(T)$ has no 1-handles, $E(n)_K$ admits a handle decomposition without 1-handles.

Since $b(T_{p,q}) = \min\{p,q\}$, the condition $\min\{p,q\} \le 9n$ gives a handle decomposition of $E(n)_{T_{p,q}}$ without 1-handles.



FIGURE 7. A knot surgery near a regular fiber with 9+1 vanishing cycles. Here B is a pure braid.

3.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.4.** Let p > 0 be an odd integer. We decompose $E(n)_{2,q} = ((S^3 - \nu(T_{2,q})) \times S^1) \cup_{\phi'} (E(n) - \nu(T))$ according to Proposition 2.3. The 1-handles of $E(n)_{2,q}$ are induced by the 1-handles of $(S^3 - \nu(T_{2,q})) \times S^1$. Over the meridian of the 1-handle of the S^1 -direction, a -1-framed 2-handle is attached from $E(n) - \nu(T)$ through ϕ' . Hence, this 1-handle is canceled. Over the 1-handle for the meridian m in $(S^3 - \nu(T_{2,q})) \times S^1$, a section $E(n) - \nu(T)$ is attached. The section gives a -n-framed 2-handle in $E(n) - \nu(T)$.

As shown in Figure 8, in the handle diagram of $(S^3 - \nu(T_{2,q})) \times S^1$, we slide the top 0-framed 2-handle over the -n-framed meridian. Then, we obtain two 2-handles with the framing -n. Here, we use the parallel 6n 2-handles attached over λ_1 . According to the process as illustrated in Figure 9, we can construct a chain link with all framings -2 and with the length 6n - 1. Removing the 3rd, $6th, \dots, (6n - 3)$ -rd components, we get 2n separated Hopf links with the framing -2.

Using the *n* Hopf links, we untie the -n-linking as shown in Figure 10. Then, the framing -n of the two 2-handles become -3n. Hence, in the meridians of the two 1-handles associated with the generators of $S^3 - \nu(T_{2,q})$, we have -3n-framed 2-handles. These are canceling pairs. Therefore, we can cancel all 1-handles of $E(n)_{2,q}$.

In this proof we can use the 9n parallel -1-framed 2-handles. In this case, the result is the same.



FIGURE 8. A handle slide.



FIGURE 9. Handle slides to construct a chain of framed link.



FIGURE 10. An untwist process using a Hopf link with the framing -2.

3.4. **Proof of Theorem 1.5.** In the same way as the proof of Theorem 1.4, we use the diagram of $(S^3 - \nu(T_{p,q})) \times S^1$. We cancel the 1-handle of the S^1 -direction. We have a -n-framed 2-handle on the meridian coming from a 1-handle of $S^3 - \nu(T_{p,q})$. Here we may assume p = 3, hence the number of the 1-handles coming from $S^3 - \nu(T_{3,q})$ is three. Slide the 2-handle over one of the top 0-framed 2-handles. Then we obtain -n-linking in the same way. Here, we do the blow-up n times. Using the resulting -1-framed 2-handles, we can untie the link as shown in Figure 11. Then we obtain two -2n-framed 2-handles in the meridians of two 1-handles. Sliding the -2n-framed 2-handle over the other 0-framed 2-handle, we obtain -2n-linked -2n-framed 2-handles. The linking can be untied by using the separated 2n Hopf links with the framing -2 in the same manner as the proof of Theorem 1.4. Hence, we can put a 2-handle at each meridian of three 1-handles. By canceling these pairs, we obtain a handle decomposition without 1-handles.



FIGURE 11. An untwist process by a blow-up.

References

- S. Akbulut, The Dolgachev surface. Disproving the Harer-Kas-Kirby conjecture, Comment. Math. Helv. 87 (2012), no. 1, 187–241.
- [2] S. Akbulut, An infinite family of exotic Dolgachev surfaces without 1- and 3handles, Journal of Gökova Geometry Topology Volume 3 (2009) 22–43.
- [3] S. Akbulut, Variations on Fintushel-Stern knot surgery on 4-manifolds, Turkish J. Math. 26 (2002), no. 1, 81-92.
- [4] R. Fintushel and R. Stern, Six lectures on 4-manifolds, In Low dimensional topology, IAS/Park City Math. Ser. 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009, 265–315.
- [5] J. Harer, A. Kas, and R. Kirby, Handlebody decompositions of complex surfaces, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 62 (1986), no. 350, iv+102 pp.
- [6] R. Kirby, Problems in Low-Dimensional Topology, in Geometric Topology (W. Kazez ed.), AMS/IP. Stud. Adv Math. vol. 2.2, Amer. Math. Soc. (1997), 35–473.
- [7] D. Kusuda, On elliptic surfaces which have no 1-handles arXiv:2410.16900.
- [8] N. Monden, and R. Yabuguchi, Knot surgered elliptic surfaces without 1- and 3-handles, 4-dimensional topology in 2024.
- [9] R. Sakamoto, A geometrically simply connected elliptic surface, Master thesis, Osaka University (2023).
- [10] M. Tange, On the diffeomorphisms for Akbulut's knot concordance surgery, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 14 (2005), no. 5, 539–563.
- [11] M. Tange, The link surgery of S²×S² and Scharlemann's manifolds, Hiroshima Math. J. 44 (2014), no. 1, 35–62.
- [12] K. Yasui, Elliptic surfaces without 1-handles, J. Topol. 1 (2008), no. 4, 857– 878.

Moto-o Tange

University of Tsukuba,

Ibaraki 305-8502, Japan. tange@math.tsukuba.ac.jp

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA, 1-1-1 TENNODAI, TSUKUBA, IBARAKI 305-8571, JAPAN Email address: tange@math.tsukuba.ac.jp

12