# **EXOTIC ELLIPTIC SURFACES WITHOUT 1-HANDLES**

MOTOO TANGE

Abstract. In this article, we consider a sufficient condition that a knot surgery or log-transformation of  $E(n)$  admits a handle decomposition without 1-handles. We show that if *K* is a knot that the bridge number is  $b(K) \leq 9n$ , then the knot surgery  $E(n)_K$ of an elliptic surface  $E(n)$  admits a handle decomposition without 1-handles. Furthermore, we also show that the double logtransformation  $E(n)_{2,q}$  admits a handle decomposition without 1handles for any positive integer *n* and any odd integer *q*.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Handle decomposition of a 4-manifold.** A long-standing interesting problem of smooth 4-manifolds is whether any simply-connected closed smooth 4-manifold admits a handle decomposition without 1 handles or 3-handles.

The following is the classical main problem about 1-handles and 3 handles of closed smooth 4-manifolds.

<span id="page-0-0"></span>**Problem 1.1** (Kirby's problem [\[6\]](#page-10-0))**.** Let *X* be a simply-connected closed smooth 4-manifold.

- Does *X* admit a handle decomposition without 1-handles?
- Does *X* admit a handle decomposition without 1-handles and 3-handles?

Several affirmative evidences about Problem [1.1](#page-0-0) as below has been known. These problems are essentially difficult to resolve. In fact, if any homotopy *S* <sup>4</sup> admits a handle decomposition without 1-handles and 3-handles, the 4-dimensional smooth Poincaré conjecture is resolved affirmatively.

Handle decompositions of any simply-connected closed smooth 4 manifolds are so complicated, and it does not seem that 1-handles and

*Date*: January 8, 2025.

<sup>2020</sup> *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57R65, 57R55.

*Key words and phrases.* handle decomposition, elliptic surfaces, knot surgery, log-transformation.

The author was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 21K03216.

3-handles can be eliminated through handle slides and cancellations. For instance, Harer, Kas and Kirby in [\[5\]](#page-10-1) conjectured that the Dolgachev surface  $E(1)_{2,3}$  does not admit a handle decomposition without 1-handles and 3-handles. In this article, we consider a sufficient condition that exotic elliptic surfaces  $E(n)_K$  or  $E(n)_{p,q}$  have a handle decomposition without 1-handles. Whether we can eliminate 3-handles after eliminating 1-handles is a more subtle problem, we do not deal with it in this article.

1.2. **Handle decomposition of knot surgery.** Let *E*(*n*) be the elliptic surface with 12*n* Lefschetz singularities. Harer-Kas-Kirby's conjecture in [\[5\]](#page-10-1) was negatively resolved by Yasui in [\[12\]](#page-10-2) and Akbulut in [\[1\]](#page-10-3) independently. Yasui in [\[12\]](#page-10-2), constructed handle decompositions of  $E(n)_{p,q}$  for  $(p,q) = (2,3), (2,5), (3,4)$  and  $(4,5)$  for any positive integer *n* without 1-handles. Akbulut also in [\[2\]](#page-10-4) proved that knot surgeries of  $E(1)$  for each of an infinite family of knots admit a handle decomposition without 1-handles. Sakamoto constructed a handle decomposition of  $E(1)_{2,7}$  without 1-handles in [\[9\]](#page-10-5). Recently, Monden and Yabuguchi in [\[8\]](#page-10-6) announced that  $E(1)_{2,q}$  admits handle decomposition without 1handles and 3-handles. Kusuda in [\[7\]](#page-10-7) gave some handle decompositions  $E(n)_{5,6}$ ,  $E(n)_{6,7}$ ,  $E(n)_{7,8}$  and  $E(n)_{8,9}$  of the double log-transformation without 1-handles for  $n \geq 4$ ,  $n \geq 5$ ,  $n \geq 9$ , and  $n \geq 24$  respectively.

In this article, we prove a wider condition for a knot surgery of  $E(n)$ to admit a handle decomposition without 1-handles. Here  $b(K)$  is the bridge number of a knot *K*. The following is the main theorem of this article.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Theorem 1.2.** Let *K* be a knot in  $S^3$  with  $b(K) \leq 9n$ . Then  $E(n)_K$ admits a handle decomposition without 1-handles.

This theorem is applicable to the case of double log-transformation of  $E(1)$ , because of  $E(1)_{T_{p,q}} = E(1)_{p,q}$ . See [\[4\]](#page-10-8) for this equality. Then we obtain the following immediately. Here, for relatively prime integers  $p, q, T_{p,q}$  stands for the right-handed torus knot for  $pq > 0$ . If  $pq < 0$ , *Tp,q* represents the left-handed torus knot.

<span id="page-1-1"></span>**Corollary 1.3.** Let  $p, q$  be relatively prime positive integers with  $p < q$ . Then if  $p \leq 9$ , then  $E(1)_{p,q}$  admits handle decomposition without 1handles.

*Proof.* The bridge number of a torus knot  $T_{p,q}$  is  $\min\{p,q\}$ . Thus, equality  $E(1)_{T_{p,q}} = E(1)_{p,q}$  and Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) make  $E(1)_{p,q}$  admit a handle decomposition without 1-handles.  $\Box$ 

This result can be extended to the case of the double log-transformation of  $E(n)$  for  $n \geq 2$  in the case of  $\min\{p,q\} = 2$ .

<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Theorem 1.4.** Let *q* be an odd integer with  $q > 2$ . If  $n \geq 2$ , then  $E(n)_{2,q}$  admits handle decomposition without 1-handles.

Recall that the case of  $n = 1$  is proven in Corollary [1.3.](#page-1-1) This theorem also partially encompasses the results of Yasui and Akbulut. To prove this proposition, we use the fact that any log-transformation is regarded as a "twisted" knot-surgery.

Moreover, the blow-up process simplifies the condition for whether a manifold admits a handle decomposition without 1-handles.

<span id="page-2-2"></span>**Theorem 1.5.** Let  $p, q$  be relatively prime integers with  $p = 2, 3$  and  $p < q$ . If  $n \geq 2$ , then  $E(n)_{p,q} \neq n\overline{CP^2}$  admits handle decomposition without 1-handles.

Here, we ask the following question instead of Harer-Kas-Kirby's conjecture.

**Question 1.6.** Does  $E(1)_{10,11}$  admit a handle decomposition without 1-handles and 3-handles?

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The first main result was essentially inspired by works of Akbulut and Yasui fifteen years ago. However, apparently it has been less known ever for experts. This article was inspired by talks of Yabuguchi and Kusuda in the workshop "Four-Dimensional Topology" on October 2024 held at Osaka. The author is grateful for their results so much.

### 2. Preliminaries

<span id="page-2-0"></span>2.1. **Knot-surgery.** Let *X* be a 4-manifold in which the trivial tubular neighborhood of a torus *T* is contained. Hence, we can take a diffeomorphism  $\nu(T) \cong T \times D^2$ . Here,  $\nu(A)$  is a tubular neighborhood of a submanifold *A*. Let  $K \subset S^3$  be a knot. Then, *knot-surgery* is defined by the following surgery:

$$
X_K := (X - \nu(T)) \cup_{\phi} (S^3 - \nu(K)) \times S^1.
$$

The gluing map  $\phi$  :  $\partial \nu(K) \times S^1 \to T \times \partial D^2$  satisfies  $\phi(m) = \lambda_1$ ,  $\phi(s) = \lambda_2, \phi(l) = d$ , where  $m, l \subset \partial \nu(K) \times \{pt\}$  are the meridian and longitude of *K* respectively, *s* is  $\{pt\} \times S^1$ , *d* is the meridian circle  $\{pt\} \times \partial D^2$  of *T*, and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \subset T \times \{pt\}$  are two simple closed circles generating  $H_1(T)$ .

2.2. **Bridge presentation of knot.** Let  $K$  be a knot in  $S^3$ . If there exists a decomposition  $S^3 = B_0^3 \cup B_1^3$  with  $B_0^3 \cap B_1^3 = \partial B_0^3 = \partial B_1^3$ , such that  $B_i^3$  is the 3-ball and the intersection  $K \cap B_i = K_i$  is a set of *n* boundary-parallel arcs in the 3-ball. This is called an *n-bridge presentation* of *K*. We define the bridge number

 $b(K) = \min\{n | K \text{ admits an } n\text{-bridge presentation}\}.$ 

A knot with  $b(K) = 1$  is the trivial knot. For example, a knot with  $b(K) = 2$  is called a 2-bridge knot.

It is easy to show that an *n*-bridge presentation of *K* has a normal form as in Figure [1.](#page-3-0)



<span id="page-3-0"></span>FIGURE 1. A normal form of *n*-bridge knot. *B* is a pure braid.

**Fact 2.1.** Let *K* be a knot with an *n*-bridge presentation. Then, we can find a bridge presentation as Figure [1](#page-3-0) using a pure braid *B* of a 2*n*-string.

The set of generators of the pure braid group  $PB_n$  with an *n*-string is well-known.

**Fact 2.2.** The pure braid group  $PB_n$  has the following set of generators  ${\mathcal{T}_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n}$  as in Figure [2.](#page-3-1)



<span id="page-3-1"></span>FIGURE 2. A generator element  $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}$  of  $PB_n$ .

2.3. **Handle decomposition of knot-surgery.** In this section, we construct a handle decomposition of knot surgery. This is due to [\[3,](#page-10-9) [10,](#page-10-10) 11. Let X be a 4-manifold which  $T^2 \times D^2$  is contained. We may assume that we regard the handle decomposition of *X* as a decomposition constructed by attaching several handles over  $T^2 \times D^2 = h^0 \cup h_1^1 \cup h_2^1 \cup h^2$ .



<span id="page-4-0"></span>FIGURE 3. A handle decomposition of  $T^2 \times D^2$ .

First, we insert 2-/3-canceling pairs and 1-/2-canceling pairs in the positions in the second and third pictures in Figure [4.](#page-5-0) We deform the diagram as in Figure [4,](#page-5-0) which presents a deformation in the case of two pairs of 2-/3-canceling handles. In general, similarly, we can also deform the diagram in the case where the number of 2-/3-canceling pairs is  $n-1$ .

Second, we use an *n*-bridge presentation of *K* in a normal form using a 2*n*-pure braid *B* as shown in Figure [1.](#page-3-0) We remove  $T^2 \times D^2$  from X. According to the presentation  $B = \mathcal{T}_{i_1, j_1} \mathcal{T}_{i_2, j_2} \cdots \mathcal{T}_{i_r, j_r}$ , we deform the surgery diagram of the boundary. For example,  $\mathcal{T}_{1,2}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{3,4}$  are isotopies by twisting. As another example, the deformation in the case of  $\mathcal{T}_{2,5}$  is shown in Figure [1.](#page-3-0) These are the boundary diffeomorphisms over  $\partial(S^3 - \nu(K)) \times S^1$ . Gluing  $(S^3 - \nu(K)) \times S^1$  to the boundary, we obtain the diagram of  $X_K$ .

2.4. **Log-transformation.** Here, we give a short review of the logtransformation. Let  $T^2 \subset X$  be an embedded torus with the trivial normal bundle. The boundary of the tubular neighborhood  $\nu(T^2) \cong$  $T^2 \times D^2$  of  $T^2$  is a 3-torus  $T^3$ . The generator set of  $H_1(\partial(T^2 \times D^2))$  is represented by  $\{d, \lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$ , where  $d = \{\text{pt}\}\times \partial D^2$ , and  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$  presents a generator set of  $H_1(T^2 \times {\text{pt}})$ . Then we define a map  $\phi: T^2 \times \partial D^2 \to$  $T^2 \times \partial D^2$  as follows:

$$
\phi(d) = p' \cdot \gamma + p \cdot d,
$$

where *p* and *p*<sup>'</sup> are relatively prime and  $\gamma$  is some primitive element in  $H_1(T^3)$  with  $\gamma = b \cdot \lambda_1 + c \cdot \lambda_2$  some integers *b, c*. In this paper, for two simple closed curves  $x_1, x_2$  in a 2-torus and for  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the notation  $a_1 \cdot x_1 + a_2 \cdot x_2$  stands for an isotopy class of a simple closed curve representing the element  $a_1[x_1] + a_2[x_2]$  in  $H_1$  of the torus. If the circle is one component, then  $gcd(a_1, a_2)$  must be 1.

Then the *log-transformation* along  $T^2$  is the following surgery:

$$
X_{\gamma,p',p} := (X - \nu(T^2)) \cup_{\psi} T^2 \times D^2.
$$



<span id="page-5-0"></span>FIGURE 4. A deformation of the handle diagram of  $T^2 \times$ *D*<sup>2</sup> . All the components with no dots are 0-framed 2 handles.

Here *p* is called a *multiplicity* of log-transformation.

We assume  $\pi_1(X - \nu(T^2)) = e$ . The diffeomorphism class of the log-transformation depends only on the multiplicity, because of this  $\pi_1$ condition. We denote the log-transformation by  $X_p$ . When we perform the log-transformation along two parallel tori with multiplicities *p, q* respectively, we say the surgery *double log-transformation*. We then denote the result as *Xp,q*.

Here we state a relation between the double log-transformation with multiplicities  $p, q$  and the knot-surgery of  $T_{p,q}$ . Let  $T \subset X$  be an embedded torus with the trivial normal bundle. Let  $d, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, m, l, s$  be the same as the things defined in Section [2.1.](#page-2-0)

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Proposition 2.3.** The log-transformation *Xp,q* is diffeomorphic to (*X*−  $\nu(T)$ U<sub>*φ*'</sub>( $S^3 - \nu(T_{p,q})$ )× $S^1$ . The gluing map  $\phi' : \partial(S^3 - \nu(T_{p,q})) \times S^1 \to$  $\frac{\partial v(T)}{\partial t}$  satisfies  $\phi'(m) = d$ ,  $\phi'(l) = \lambda_1 - pq \cdot d$ , and  $\phi'(s) = \lambda_2$ .



FIGURE 5. A deformation of diagram for a generator element  $\mathcal{T}_{2,5}$  in  $PB_6$ 

*Proof.* The manifold  $S^3 - \nu(T_{p,q})$  is diffeomorphic to the twice Dehn surgery of  $S^1 \times D^2$  along two parallel curves  $f_1, f_2$  parallel to  $\lambda_1 =$  $S^1 \times \{\text{pt}\}\$ as shown in Figure [6.](#page-7-0) The slopes of the Dehn surgeries are  $p/u$  and  $q/v$  where  $p, q$  are degrees about the meridians of  $f_1, f_2$ and satisfy  $pv + qs = 1$ . A slope  $r/s$  of  $T_{p,q}$  corresponds to a slope  $r/s - pq$  of the dotted circle in the Seifert structure in the middle picture of Figure [6.](#page-7-0) By taking the product of  $S^1$ ,  $(S^3 - \nu(T_{p,q})) \times S^1$  is diffeomorphic to  $(T^2 \times D^2)_{(\lambda_1, u, p), (\lambda_1, v, q)}$ . Here, the *S*<sup>1</sup>-direction is  $\lambda_2$ .

The meridian circle of  $T_{p,q}$ , i.e.,  $\infty$ -slope in  $\partial \nu(T_{p,q})$  is mapped to the ∞-slope of the dotted circle in Figure [6.](#page-7-0) The longitude circle (0-slope) of  $T_{p,q}$  is mapped to a circle representing  $\lambda_1 - pq \cdot d$  in  $\partial \nu(T)$ . The *S*<sup>1</sup>-direction in  $(S^3 - \nu(T_{p,q})) \times S^1$  is mapped to  $\lambda_2$ .

Hence, the gluing map  $\phi' : \partial(S^3 - \nu(T_{p,q})) \times S^1 \to \partial \nu(T)$  satisfies  $\phi'(m) = d$ ,  $\phi'(l) = \lambda_1 - pq \cdot d$ , and  $\phi'(s) = \lambda_2$ . Then we have the following.

$$
X_{p,q} = (X - \nu(T)) \cup (D^2 \times T^2)_{p,q}
$$
  
\n
$$
\cong (X - \nu(T)) \cup_{\phi'} (S^3 - \nu(T_{p,q})) \times S^1.
$$

 $\Box$ 

### 3. Proofs

3.1. **The global monodromy of**  $E(n)$ . Let  $E(n)$  be the elliptic surface with  $12n$  Lefschetz singularities. We divide  $E(n)$  a neighborhood of general fiber *T* and the complements as in  $(E(n) - \nu(T)) \cup T \times D^2$ .



<span id="page-7-0"></span>FIGURE 6. A diffeomorphism from  $S^3 - \nu(T_{p,q})$  to a double log-transformation along two curves.

We perform the knot surgery in  $E(n) - \nu(T)$ 

$$
E(n)_K \supset (E(n) - \nu(T))_K
$$

Let two elements  $a, b$  be  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . The global monodromy of  $E(n)$  is  $(ab)^{6n}$  and can be deformed as follows

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
(ab)^{6n} = (abababababab)^n = (a(aba)a(aba)a(aba))^n
$$

$$
= (a^2ba^3ba^3ba)^n \sim (a^3ba^3ba^3b)^n.
$$

3.2. **Proof of Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-0)** Let *K* be a knot with  $b(K) \leq 9n$ . Since  $E(n)$  has 12*n* Lefschetz singularities, the handle decomposition of  $E(n)$ consists of the union of  $T \times D^2 \cong h^0 \cup h_1^1 \cup h_2^1 \cup h^2$ , 12*n* (-1)-framed 2-handles and  $h^2 \cup h_1^3 \cup h_2^3 \cup h_1^4$ . We obtain a handle decomposition around a general fiber *T* as in Figure [7](#page-8-0) (a). For simplicity, here it is the case of  $n = 1$ . From the computation of monodromy [\(1\)](#page-7-1), the parallel 9*n* −1-framed 2-handles in the figure correspond to the vanishing cycles for the element *a*. Another −1-framed 2-handle corresponds to the vanishing cycle for *b*. By removing  $T \times D^2$  and gluing  $(S^3 - \nu(K)) \times S^1$ as shown in Figure [7](#page-8-0) (b), the meridian circle  $d$  of  $T \times D^2$ , through the boundary diffeomorphism, is mapped to the meridian of a 1-handle of  $(S^3 - \nu(K)) \times S^1$  as illustrated in Figure [7.](#page-8-0) Here, sliding some -1framed 2-handles over 0-framed 2-handles we can move them to each of the meridians of other 1-handles. We obtain the handle diagram in Figure [7](#page-8-0) (c). Since we can give a handle decomposition having  $b(K) + 1$  1-handles in  $(S^3 - \nu(K)) \times S^1$ , all 1-handles in the picture can be canceled from the condition  $b(K) \leq 9n$ . Since  $E(n) - \nu(T)$  has no 1-handles,  $E(n)_K$  admits a handle decomposition without 1-handles. $\Box$ 

Since  $b(T_{p,q}) = \min\{p,q\}$ , the condition  $\min\{p,q\} \leq 9n$  gives a handle decomposition of  $E(n)_{T_{p,q}}$  without 1-handles.



<span id="page-8-0"></span>FIGURE 7. A knot surgery near a regular fiber with  $9+1$ vanishing cycles. Here *B* is a pure braid.

3.3. **Proof of Theorem [1.4.](#page-2-1)** Let *p >* 0 be an odd integer. We decompose  $E(n)_{2,q} = ((S^3 - \nu(T_{2,q})) \times S^1) \cup_{\phi'} (E(n) - \nu(T))$  according to Proposition [2.3.](#page-5-1) The 1-handles of  $E(n)_{2,q}$  are induced by the 1handles of  $(S^3 - \nu(T_{2,q})) \times S^1$ . Over the meridian of the 1-handle of the  $S^1$ -direction, a -1-framed 2-handle is attached from  $E(n) - \nu(T)$ through  $\phi'$ . Hence, this 1-handle is canceled. Over the 1-handle for the meridian *m* in  $(S^3 - \nu(T_{2,q})) \times S^1$ , a section  $E(n) - \nu(T)$  is attached. The section gives a  $-n$ -framed 2-handle in  $E(n) - \nu(T)$ .

As shown in Figure [8,](#page-9-0) in the handle diagram of  $(S^3 - \nu(T_{2,q})) \times S^1$ , we slide the top 0-framed 2-handle over the −*n*-framed meridian. Then, we obtain two 2-handles with the framing −*n*. Here, we use the parallel  $6n$  2-handles attached over  $\lambda_1$ . According to the process as illustrated in Figure [9,](#page-9-1) we can construct a chain link with all framings −2 and with the length  $6n-1$ . Removing the 3rd,  $6th, \dots, (6n-3)$ -rd components, we get  $2n$  separated Hopf links with the framing  $-2$ .

Using the *n* Hopf links, we untie the −*n*-linking as shown in Figure [10.](#page-9-2) Then, the framing −*n* of the two 2-handles become −3*n*. Hence, in the meridians of the two 1-handles associated with the generators of  $S^3 - \nu(T_{2,q})$ , we have  $-3n$ -framed 2-handles. These are canceling pairs. Therefore, we can cancel all 1-handles of  $E(n)_{2,q}$ .

In this proof we can use the 9*n* parallel −1-framed 2-handles. In this case, the result is the same.

 $\Box$ 



<span id="page-9-0"></span>Figure 8. A handle slide.



FIGURE 9. Handle slides to construct a chain of framed link.

<span id="page-9-1"></span>

<span id="page-9-2"></span>FIGURE 10. An untwist process using a Hopf link with the framing  $-2$ .

3.4. **Proof of Theorem [1.5.](#page-2-2)** In the same way as the proof of The-orem [1.4,](#page-2-1) we use the diagram of  $(S^3 - \nu(T_{p,q})) \times S^1$ . We cancel the 1-handle of the  $S^1$ -direction. We have a  $-n$ -framed 2-handle on the meridian coming from a 1-handle of  $S^3 - \nu(T_{p,q})$ . Here we may assume  $p = 3$ , hence the number of the 1-handles coming from  $S^3 - \nu(T_{3,q})$ is three. Slide the 2-handle over one of the top 0-framed 2-handles. Then we obtain −*n*-linking in the same way. Here, we do the blow-up *n* times. Using the resulting −1-framed 2-handles, we can untie the link as shown in Figure [11.](#page-10-12) Then we obtain two −2*n*-framed 2-handles in the meridians of two 1-handles. Sliding the −2*n*-framed 2-handle over the other 0-framed 2-handle, we obtain −2*n*-linked −2*n*-framed 2-handles. The linking can be untied by using the separated 2*n* Hopf links with the framing  $-2$  in the same manner as the proof of Theorem [1.4.](#page-2-1) Hence, we can put a 2-handle at each meridian of three 1-handles. By canceling these pairs, we obtain a handle decomposition without 1-handles.



FIGURE 11. An untwist process by a blow-up.

#### <span id="page-10-12"></span>**REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-10-3"></span>[1] S. Akbulut, *The Dolgachev surface. Disproving the Harer-Kas-Kirby conjecture*, Comment. Math. Helv. 87 (2012), no. 1, 187–241.
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>[2] S. Akbulut, *An infinite family of exotic Dolgachev surfaces without 1- and 3 handles*, Journal of Gökova Geometry Topology Volume 3 (2009) 22–43.
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>[3] S. Akbulut, *Variations on Fintushel-Stern knot surgery on 4-manifolds*, Turkish J. Math. 26 (2002), no. 1, 81-92.
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>[4] R. Fintushel and R. Stern, *Six lectures on 4-manifolds*, In Low dimensional topology, IAS/Park City Math. Ser. 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009, 265–315.
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>[5] J. Harer, A. Kas, and R. Kirby, *Handlebody decompositions of complex surfaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 62 (1986), no. 350, iv+102 pp.
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>[6] R. Kirby, *Problems in Low-Dimensional Topology*, in Geometric Topology (W. Kazez ed.), AMS/IP. Stud. Adv Math. vol. 2.2, Amer. Math. Soc. (1997), 35–473.
- <span id="page-10-7"></span><span id="page-10-6"></span>[7] D. Kusuda, *On elliptic surfaces which have no 1-handles* [arXiv:2410.16900.](http://arxiv.org/abs/2410.16900)
- [8] N. Monden, and R. Yabuguchi, *Knot surgered elliptic surfaces without 1- and 3-handles*, 4-dimensional topology in 2024.
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>[9] R. Sakamoto, *A geometrically simply connected elliptic surface*, Master thesis, Osaka University (2023).
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>[10] M. Tange, *On the diffeomorphisms for Akbulut's knot concordance surgery*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 14 (2005), no. 5, 539–563.
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>[11] M. Tange, *The link surgery of*  $S^2 \times S^2$  *and Scharlemann's manifolds*, Hiroshima Math. J. 44 (2014), no. 1, 35–62.
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>[12] K. Yasui, *Elliptic surfaces without 1-handles*, J. Topol. 1 (2008), no. 4, 857– 878.

Moto-o Tange

University of Tsukuba,

Ibaraki 305-8502, Japan. tange@math.tsukuba.ac.jp

Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan *Email address*: tange@math.tsukuba.ac.jp