

TEMPORAL REGULARITY FOR THE STOCHASTIC HEAT EQUATION WITH ROUGH DEPENDENCE IN SPACE

BIN QIAN, MIN WANG, RAN WANG, AND YIMIN XIAO

Abstract: Consider the nonlinear stochastic heat equation

$$\frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u(t, x)}{\partial x^2} + \sigma(u(t, x))\dot{W}(t, x), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where \dot{W} is a Gaussian noise which is white in time and has the covariance of a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$ in the space variable. When $\sigma(0) = 0$, the well-posedness of the solution and its Hölder continuity have been proved by Hu et al. [13]. In this paper, we study the asymptotic properties of the temporal gradient $u(t + \varepsilon, x) - u(t, x)$ at any fixed $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. As applications, we deduce Khintchine's law of iterated logarithm, Chung's law of iterated logarithm, and a result on the q -variations of the temporal process $\{u(t, x)\}_{t \geq 0}$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is fixed.

Keyword: Stochastic heat equation; Fractional Brownian motion; Law of iterated logarithm; Rough noise.

MSC: 60H15; 60G17; 60G22.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we are interested in the temporal regularity of the solution to the nonlinear stochastic heat equation (SHE, for short):

$$\frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u(t, x)}{\partial x^2} + \sigma(u(t, x))\dot{W}(t, x), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $W(t, x)$ is a centered Gaussian field with the covariance given by

$$\mathbb{E}[W(t, x)W(s, y)] = \frac{1}{2}(s \wedge t)(|x|^{2H} + |y|^{2H} - |x - y|^{2H}), \quad (1.2)$$

with $\frac{1}{4} < H < \frac{1}{2}$. That is, W is a standard Brownian motion in time and a fractional Brownian motion (fBm, for short) with Hurst index H in the space, and $\dot{W}(t, x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x} W(t, x)$. Formally, the covariance of the noise \dot{W} is given by

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\dot{W}(t, x)\dot{W}(s, y)\right] = \delta_0(t - s)\Lambda(x - y),$$

where the spatial covariance Λ is a distribution, whose Fourier transform is the measure $\mu(d\xi) = c_{1,1}|\xi|^{1-2H}d\xi$ with

$$c_{1,1} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\Gamma(2H + 1)\sin(\pi H). \quad (1.3)$$

The spatial covariance $\Lambda(x - y)$ can be formally written as $\Lambda(x - y) = H(2H - 1)|x - y|^{2H-2}$. However, the corresponding covariance Λ is not locally integrable and fails to be non-negative when $H \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$. It does not satisfy the classical Dalang's condition in [6], where Λ is given by a non-negative locally integrable function. Consequently, the standard approaches used in references [5–7] do not apply to such rough covariance structures.

Recently, many authors have studied the existence and uniqueness of solutions of stochastic partial differential equations driven by Gaussian noise with the covariance of a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$ in the space variable. See, e.g., [13], [14], [15], [21], and [27]. For surveys on the subject, we refer to [12] and [26]. When the diffusion coefficient is affine, i.e., $\sigma(x) = ax + b$, Balan et al. [1] proved the existence and uniqueness of the mild solution to SHE (1.1) using the Fourier analytic techniques. They also established the Hölder continuity of the solution in [2]. In the case of a nonlinear coefficient $\sigma(u)$, Hu et al. [13] proved the well-posedness of SHE (1.1) under the assumption that $\sigma(u)$ is Lipschitz continuous, differentiable with a Lipschitzian derivative and that $\sigma(0) = 0$. Under similar conditions, Liu and Mao [22] studied the well-posedness and intermittency of SHE with non-local fractional differential operators. Hu and Wang [15] relaxed the condition $\sigma(0) = 0$ through the introduction of a decay weight.

When W is space-time white noise, i.e., $H = \frac{1}{2}$, Khoshnevisan et al. [19] developed an approximation approach to study the temporal regularity of the solution $\{u(t, x)\}_{t \geq 0}$ to the stochastic fractional heat equation

$$\frac{\partial u_\alpha(t, x)}{\partial t} = -(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_\alpha(t, x) + \sigma(u_\alpha(t, x)) \dot{W}(t, x), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.4)$$

where $-(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$, $\alpha \in (1, 2]$, is the fractional Laplacian on \mathbb{R} and $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is a globally Lipschitz continuous function. The key idea in [19] is to show that for every fixed $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$,

$$u_\alpha(t + \varepsilon, x) - u_\alpha(t, x) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(\alpha - 1)}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma(u_\alpha(t, x)) [B^{H_0}(t + \varepsilon) - B^{H_0}(t)],$$

in certain sense, where B^{H_0} denotes a fBm with Hurst index $H_0 = \frac{\alpha-1}{2\alpha} \in (0, \frac{1}{4}]$. They were able to quantify the size of the approximation error by controlling its moments. Consequently, some of the local properties of $t \mapsto u(t, x)$ can be derived from those of the fBm B^{H_0} . Das [8] further applied this approximation approach and studied the sample path properties of the temporal process of the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation with general initial data.

Recently, Wang and Xiao [31] extended the approximation approach of [19] to the stochastic fractional heat equation driven by a centered Gaussian field that is white in time and has the covariance of a fBm with Hurst parameter $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ in the space variable.

In this paper, we continue this line of research and extend the approximation approach in [19, 31] to SHE (1.1) in the rough noise setting of $H \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$. As applications, we deduce Khintchine's and Chung's laws of the iterated logarithm, as well as a result on the q -variations of the temporal process $\{u(t, x)\}_{t \geq 0}$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is fixed.

Let us first consider the linear stochastic heat equation

$$\frac{\partial v(t, x)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 v(t, x)}{\partial x^2} + \dot{W}(t, x), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.5)$$

with the initial condition $v(0, x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The solution to (1.5) is given by

$$v(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{t-s}(x-y) W(ds, dy), \quad (1.6)$$

where $p_t(x-y)$ is the heat kernel, defined as

$$p_t(x-y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}}. \quad (1.7)$$

Using the idea of the pinned string process from Mueller and Tribe [24], it can be shown (cf. [11, 17, 29]) that, for any fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a Gaussian process $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, which

is independent of $\{v(t, x)\}_{(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}}$ and has a version that is infinitely differentiable on $(0, \infty)$, such that

$$\kappa^{-1}(v(t, x) + T(t)) \quad (t \geq 0) \quad (1.8)$$

is a fBm with Hurst parameter $\frac{H}{2}$, where

$$\kappa := \left(\frac{\Gamma(2H)}{\Gamma(H)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (1.9)$$

See, e.g., [32, Theorem 1] for details.

To study the well-posedness of SHE (1.1), Hu et al. [13] proposed the following condition:

Condition 1.1. (A1) For some $\beta_0 > \frac{1}{2} - H$ and some $p_0 > \max\left(\frac{6}{4H-1}, \frac{1}{\beta_0+H-1/2}\right)$, the initial value u_0 is in $L^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_0(x) - u_0(x+h)|^2 |h|^{-1-2\beta_0} dh \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|u_0(\cdot) - u_0(\cdot+h)\|_{L^{p_0}(\mathbb{R})}^2 |h|^{2H-2} dh < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

(A2) σ is Lipschitzian and differentiable, the derivative of σ is Lipschitzian, and $\sigma(0) = 0$.

Let

$$\vartheta_0 := \frac{1}{2}(H \wedge \beta_0), \quad (1.11)$$

and

$$\mathcal{I} := \left(0, \frac{(2-H)\vartheta_0}{2(2+\vartheta_0)}\right] \cap \left(0, \frac{(2-H)(1-2H)}{2(5-2H)}\right). \quad (1.12)$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any random field $\{\eta(t, x)\}_{t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}}$, denote

$$(\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon \eta)(t, x) := \eta(t + \varepsilon, x) - \eta(t, x), \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.13)$$

and

$$p_t * u_0(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_t(x-y) u_0(y) dy.$$

Theorem 1.2. Assume that Condition 1.1 holds. For every $p \geq 1$ and $\delta \in \mathcal{I}$, there exists a constant $c_{1,2} \in (0, \infty)$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $t \in [0, T]$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\left\| (\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon u)(t, x) - [p_{t+\varepsilon}(\cdot) - p_t(\cdot)] * u_0(x) - \sigma(u(t, x))(\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon v)(t, x) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq c_{1,2} \varepsilon^{\frac{H}{2} + \delta}. \quad (1.14)$$

By Theorem 1.2 and using similar arguments from [9, 31], we obtain the following results on the temporal regularity of $\{u(t, x)\}_{t \geq 0}$ for any fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Corollary 1.3. Assume that Condition 1.1 holds. There exists a fBm $\{B^{H/2}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ with Hurst index $H/2$ such that for every fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < T_1 < T_2 < \infty$, and $\lambda > 0$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{t \in [T_1, T_2]} \mathbb{P} \left(\left| \frac{u(t + \varepsilon, x) - u(t, x)}{B^{H/2}(t + \varepsilon) - B^{H/2}(t)} - \kappa \sigma(u(t, x)) \right| > \lambda \right) = 0.$$

Here and below, κ denotes the constant given by (1.9).

Corollary 1.4. Assume that Condition 1.1 holds. Choose and fix $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, with probability one,

(a) (*Khinchine's law of the iterated logarithm*)

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{|u(t + \varepsilon, x) - u(t, x)|}{\varepsilon^{H/2} \sqrt{2 \log \log(1/\varepsilon)}} = \kappa |\sigma(u(t, x))|.$$

(b) (*Chung's law of the iterated logarithm*)

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 \leq r \leq \varepsilon} \frac{|u(t + r, x) - u(t, x)|}{(\varepsilon / \log \log(1/\varepsilon))^{H/2}} = \kappa c_{1,3}^{H/2} |\sigma(u(t, x))|,$$

where $c_{1,3}$ is the small ball constant of a fBm with index $\frac{H}{2}$ (see, e.g., [20, Theorem 6.9]).

We deduce the following ‘‘central limit theorem’’, which tells us that the limiting distribution of the normalized increments of $\{u(t, x)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a mixture of mean-zero normal distributions.

Corollary 1.5. *Assume that Condition 1.1 holds. Choose and fix $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, for all $z \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{u(t + \varepsilon, x) - u(t, x)}{\varepsilon^{H/2}} \leq z \right) = \mathbb{P} \left(\sigma(u(t, x)) \times \mathcal{N} \leq \frac{z}{\kappa} \right),$$

where \mathcal{N} denotes a standard Gaussian random variable, independent of $u(t, x)$.

Finally, we consider the weighted temporal variation of the solution $\{u(t, x)\}_{t \geq 0}$. This result extends the work of Pospíšil and Tribe [25].

Corollary 1.6. *Assume that Condition 1.1 holds. If $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz continuous, then for all positive constants $T_1 < T_2$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{T_1 2^n \leq j \leq T_2 2^n} \varphi \left(u \left(\frac{j}{2^n}, x \right) \right) \left| u \left(\frac{j+1}{2^n}, x \right) - u \left(\frac{j}{2^n}, x \right) \right|^{\frac{2}{H}} \\ &= c_{1,4} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \varphi(u(t, x)) |\sigma(u(t, x))|^{\frac{2}{H}} dt, \end{aligned}$$

almost surely and in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$, where $c_{1,4} = \kappa^{\frac{2}{H}} \mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{N}|^{\frac{2}{H}}]$ with \mathcal{N} being a standard Gaussian random variable.

We remark that when W is the space-time white noise (i.e., $H = \frac{1}{2}$), Foondun et al. [9] utilized an approximation approach to study the local and variational properties of the solution $\{u_\alpha(t, x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$ to the stochastic fractional heat equation (1.4) for every fixed $t > 0$. The key idea in [9] is to show that, as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & u_\alpha(t, x + \varepsilon) - u_\alpha(t, x) \\ & \approx \left(2\Gamma(\alpha) \left| \cos \left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \right) \right| \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sigma(u_\alpha(t, x)) \left[B^{(\alpha-1)/2}(x + \varepsilon) - B^{(\alpha-1)/2}(x) \right], \end{aligned}$$

in a certain sense. They quantified the size of the approximation error by controlling moments, and consequently derived some local properties for the spatial process $x \mapsto u(t, x)$ from those of fBm. Recently, Wang [30] extended the results in [9] to the stochastic fractional heat equation (1.4) driven by a centered Gaussian field that is white in time and has the covariance of a fBm with Hurst parameter $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ in the space variable. It would be interesting to modify the approximation method to study the spatial regularity of the solution $\{u(t, x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$ to SHE (1.1) with rough dependence in space, for any fixed $t > 0$. We hope to study this problem in future.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide some preliminaries on the stochastic integral and the properties of the solution to Equation (1.1), which are adapted from [13]. In Section 3, we present an important lemma to control the approximation errors and apply a modified version in [19, 31] to prove the main results. The details of the proof for this key lemma are given in Section 4.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Covariance structure and stochastic integration. Recall some notations from [13]. Let $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ denote the space of real-valued, infinitely differentiable functions with compact support on \mathbb{R} . The Fourier transform of a function $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}f(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x} f(x) dx.$$

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a complete probability space and let $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$ denote the space of real-valued, infinitely differentiable functions with compact support on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$. The noise \dot{W} is a zero-mean Gaussian family $\{W(\phi), \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})\}$ with the covariance structure given by

$$\mathbb{E}[W(\phi)W(\psi)] = c_{1,1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}\phi(s, \xi) \overline{\mathcal{F}\psi(s, \xi)} \cdot |\xi|^{1-2H} ds d\xi, \quad (2.1)$$

where $H \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$, $c_{1,1}$ is given in (1.3), and $\mathcal{F}\phi(s, \xi)$ is the Fourier transform of the function $\phi(s, x)$ with respect to the spatial variable x .

Let \mathcal{H} be the Hilbert space obtained by completing $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ with respect to the following scalar product:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= c_{1,1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}\phi(\xi) \overline{\mathcal{F}\psi(\xi)} \cdot |\xi|^{1-2H} d\xi \\ &= H \left(\frac{1}{2} - H \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [\phi(x+y) - \phi(x)] \cdot [\psi(x+y) - \psi(x)] \cdot |y|^{2H-2} dx dy, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

for any $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$. The second equality in (2.2) holds by [1, Proposition 2.8].

For any $t \geq 0$, let \mathcal{F}_t be the filtration generated by W , that is,

$$\mathcal{F}_t := \sigma\{W(\phi) : \phi \in \mathcal{D}([0, t] \times \mathbb{R})\},$$

where $\mathcal{D}([0, t] \times \mathbb{R})$ is the space of real-valued infinitely differentiable functions on $[0, t] \times \mathbb{R}$ with compact support.

Definition 2.1. [13, Definition 2.2] *An elementary process g is a process given by*

$$g(t, x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m X_{i,j} \mathbf{1}_{(a_i, b_i]}(t) \mathbf{1}_{(h_j, l_j]}(x),$$

where n and m are finite positive integers, $0 \leq a_1 < b_1 < \dots < a_n < b_n < \infty$, $h_j < l_j$, and $X_{i,j}$ are \mathcal{F}_{a_i} -measurable random variables for $i = 1, \dots, n$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. The stochastic integral of an elementary process g with respect to W is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t, x) W(dt, dx) \\ & := \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m X_{i,j} [W(b_i, l_j) - W(a_i, l_j) - W(b_i, h_j) + W(a_i, h_j)]. \end{aligned}$$

Hu et al. [13, Proposition 2.3] extended the notion of the stochastic integral with respect to W to a broader class of adapted processes in the following way:

Proposition 2.2. ([13, Proposition 2.3]) *Let Λ_H be the space of predictable processes g defined on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ such that almost surely $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{H})$ and $\mathbb{E}[\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \|g(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 ds] < \infty$. Then, the following items hold:*

- (i) *The space of the elementary processes defined in Definition 2.1 is dense in Λ_H ;*

(ii) For any $g \in \Lambda_H$, the stochastic integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(s, x) W(ds, dx)$ is defined as the $L^2(\Omega)$ -limit of Riemann sums along elementary processes approximating g in Λ_H , and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(s, x) W(ds, dx) \right)^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \|g(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 ds \right]. \quad (2.3)$$

Let $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$ be a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_B$. For any function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow B$, we define the norm $\mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{2}-H}^B f(x)$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{2}-H}^B f(x) := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f(x+h) - f(x)\|_B^2 \cdot |h|^{2H-2} dh \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2.4)$$

provided the integral is convergent. When $B = \mathbb{R}$, we abbreviate this notation as $\mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{2}-H} f$. When $B = L^p(\Omega)$, we denote $\mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{2}-H}^B$ by $\mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{2}-H, p}^B$, that is,

$$\mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{2}-H, p}^B f(x) := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f(x+h) - f(x)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2 \cdot |h|^{2H-2} dh \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.5)$$

2.2. Stochastic heat equation. Recall that the predictable σ -field \mathcal{P} is generated by the family of random variables $\{X(\omega) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{(s,t]}(u) \cdot \mathbf{1}_A(x)\}$ where $t > s \geq 0$, $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, and $X \in \mathcal{F}_s$. A random field $u : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called predictable if u is \mathcal{P} -measurable.

Definition 2.3. [13, Definition 2.4] Let $u = \{u(t, x)\}_{t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a real-valued predictable stochastic field such that, for any fixed $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\{p_{t-s}(x-y)\sigma(u(s, y))\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(s)\}_{0 \leq s \leq t, y \in \mathbb{R}}$$

is an element of Λ_H , where $p_t(x)$ is given by (1.7). We say that u is a mild solution of SHE (1.1) if, for all $t \in [0, T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$u(t, x) = p_t * u_0(x) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{t-s}(x-y)\sigma(u(s, y))W(ds, dy) \quad a.s., \quad (2.6)$$

where the stochastic integral is understood in the sense of Proposition 2.2.

Remark 2.1. Notice that Condition 1.1 automatically implies that (1.10) holds for all $p \geq p_0$. Indeed, by Proposition A.1 in [13], we see that Condition 1.1 implies that the initial function u_0 is Hölder continuous with order β_0 . Consequently, by Hölder's inequality, we obtain the following for any $p > p_0$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|h| \leq 1} \|u_0(\cdot) - u_0(\cdot + h)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}^2 |h|^{2H-2} dh \\ &= \int_{|h| \leq 1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_0(x) - u_0(x+h)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} |h|^{2H-2} dh \\ &\leq C \int_{|h| \leq 1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_0(x) - u_0(x+h)|^{p_0} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} |h|^{\frac{2\beta_0(p-p_0)}{p} + 2H-2} dh \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{|h| \leq 1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_0(x) - u_0(x+h)|^{p_0} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p_0}} |h|^{2H-2} dh \right)^{\frac{p_0}{p}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{|h| \leq 1} |h|^{2\beta_0 + 2H-2} dh \right)^{1 - \frac{p_0}{p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Both integrals in the last term are finite due to (1.10) and the fact that $\beta_0 > \frac{1}{2} - H$ as stated in Condition 1.1. Therefore, by (2.7) and the fact that $u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ according to Condition 1.1, we conclude that (1.10) holds for all $p \geq p_0$.

According to [13, Theorems 4.5 and 4.7] and Remark 2.1, we obtain the following result about the solution of Equation (1.1).

Theorem 2.4. *Under Condition 1.1, Equation (1.1) admits a unique mild solution satisfying the following moment bounds: for any $p \geq p_0$ and $T > 0$,*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0, T]} \|u(t, x)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0, T]} \mathcal{N}_{1/2-H, p} u(t, x) < \infty, \quad (2.8)$$

and for all $s, t \in [0, T]$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|u(t, x) - u(s, y)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq c_{2,1} \left(|t - s|^{\vartheta_0} + |x - y|^{2\vartheta_0} \right), \quad (2.9)$$

where ϑ_0 is defined by (1.11).

Proposition 2.5. ([13, Proposition 3.2]) *Let W be the Gaussian noise with the covariance given by (2.1), and let $f \in \Lambda_H$ be a predictable random field. Then, for any $p \geq 2$, we have the following inequality:*

$$\left\| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s, y) W(ds, dy) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \sqrt{4pc_{2,2}} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{2}-H, p} f(s, y) \right]^2 dy ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2.10)$$

where $c_{2,2}$ is a constant depending only on H , and $\mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{2}-H, p} f(s, y)$ denotes the application of $\mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{2}-H, p}$ to the spatial variable y .

We note that Chen and Dalang [3, Proposition 3.1] and Chen and Kim [4, Proposition 2.2] provided easily verifiable conditions to check the predictability of random fields. In particular, the BDG inequality in Proposition 2.5 is valid for the solution $u = \{u(t, x)\}_{t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}}$ to (1.1), since u is jointly measurable with respect to $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{F}$; u is adapted, i.e., for all $(s, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$, $u(s, x)$ is \mathcal{F}_s -measurable; and u satisfies the moment bounds in (2.8).

3. PROOFS OF MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we will employ a modified argument from [19, 31] to estimate the temporal increment of u .

For any fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the increment of the process $t \mapsto u(t, x)$ can be written as

$$u(t + \varepsilon, x) - u(t, x) = [p_{t+\varepsilon}(\cdot) - p_t(\cdot)] * u_0(x) + \mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_{2,\theta} + \mathcal{J}_{3,\theta}, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{J}_1 := \int_t^{t+\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-y) \sigma(u(s, y)) W(ds, dy); \quad (3.2)$$

$$\mathcal{J}_{2,\theta} := \int_0^{t-\varepsilon^\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} [p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-y) - p_{t-s}(x-y)] \sigma(u(s, y)) W(ds, dy); \quad (3.3)$$

$$\mathcal{J}_{3,\theta} := \int_{t-\varepsilon^\theta}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} [p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-y) - p_{t-s}(x-y)] \sigma(u(s, y)) W(ds, dy). \quad (3.4)$$

Here, the parameter $\theta \in (0, 1)$ is fixed, and its optimal value will be specified later. For any $t > 0$ and for any sufficiently small ε , we define $t(\varepsilon) := t - \varepsilon^\theta$ for convenience.

3.1. An important lemma. We outline the idea of approximating the three terms in (3.1), inspired by [19, 31]:

- Firstly, since $p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-y)$ behaves like a point mass when $s \in (t, t+\varepsilon)$ and ε is small, and u is Hölder continuous in s , we expect that $\mathcal{J}_1 \approx \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_1$, where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_1 := \sigma(u(t, x)) \int_t^{t+\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-y) W(ds, dy). \quad (3.5)$$

- Secondly, we will show that the quantity $\mathcal{J}_{2,\theta}$ becomes small as long as $\theta \in (0, 1)$ is chosen appropriately.
- Thirdly, since $p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-y)$ and $p_{t-s}(x-y)$ both act as point masses when $s \in (t(\varepsilon), t)$, we expect that $\mathcal{J}_{3,\theta} \approx \mathcal{J}'_{3,\theta}$, where

$$\mathcal{J}'_{3,\theta} := \sigma(u(t(\varepsilon), x)) \int_{t(\varepsilon)}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} [p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-y) - p_{t-s}(x-y)] W(ds, dy). \quad (3.6)$$

- Finally, by the Hölder continuity of u , we expect that $\mathcal{J}'_{3,\theta} \approx \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{3,\theta}$, where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{3,\theta} := \sigma(u(t, x)) \int_{t-\varepsilon^\theta}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} [p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-y) - p_{t-s}(x-y)] W(ds, dy). \quad (3.7)$$

More precisely, we quantify the sizes of the approximation errors by controlling their moments, as stated in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *For any $T > 0$ and $p \geq p_0$, the following statements hold:*

- (a) *For any $\vartheta \in (0, \vartheta_0] \cap (0, \frac{1}{2} - H)$, there exists a finite positive constant $c_{3,1}$, independent of $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, such that*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \mathcal{J}_1 - \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_1 \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2 \leq c_{3,1} \varepsilon^{H+\vartheta}. \quad (3.8)$$

- (b) *There exists a finite positive constant $c_{3,2}$, independent of $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, such that*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \mathcal{J}_{2,\theta} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2 \leq c_{3,2} \varepsilon^{[H+(2-H)(1-\theta)] \wedge \frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.9)$$

- (c) *For any $\vartheta \in (0, \vartheta_0] \cap (0, \frac{1}{2} - H)$, there exists a finite positive constant $c_{3,3}$ such that for all $\varepsilon, \theta \in (0, 1)$,*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \mathcal{J}_{3,\theta} - \mathcal{J}'_{3,\theta} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2 \leq c_{3,3} \varepsilon^{(H+\vartheta)\theta}. \quad (3.10)$$

- (d) *There exists a finite positive constant $c_{3,4}$, such that for all $\varepsilon, \theta \in (0, 1)$,*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \mathcal{J}'_{3,\theta} - \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{3,\theta} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2 \leq c_{3,4} \varepsilon^{(H+2\vartheta_0)\theta}. \quad (3.11)$$

The proof of Lemma 3.1 will be provided in Section 4. Let us first prove Theorem 1.2 using Lemma 3.1.

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The proof is inspired by [19, Proposition 4.6] and [31, Theorem 1.2]. For any $\vartheta \in (0, \vartheta_0] \cap (0, \frac{1}{2} - H)$, let

$$\theta := \frac{2}{2+\vartheta}. \quad (3.12)$$

For this particular choice of θ , we have

$$H + (2-H)(1-\theta) = (H+\vartheta)\theta =: \mathcal{G}_\vartheta.$$

Notice that $\mathcal{G}_\vartheta = H + \frac{2-H}{2+\vartheta}\vartheta \in (H, \frac{1}{2})$.

By Minkowski's and Jensen's inequalities, parts (c) and (d) of Lemma 3.1 imply that for any $p \geq 1, \eta \in (H, \mathcal{G}_\vartheta]$, we have

$$\left\| \mathcal{J}_3 - \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{3,\theta} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq c_{3,5} \varepsilon^\eta, \quad (3.13)$$

for some positive finite constant $c_{3,5}$, which does not depend on $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $t \in [0, T]$, or $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

For any interval $Q \subset [0, T]$, define $\Lambda(Q)$ as

$$\Lambda(Q) := \int_{Q \times \mathbb{R}} [p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-y) - p_{t-s}(x-y)] W(ds, dy).$$

By (3.7), we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{3,\theta} = \sigma(u(t, x)) \Lambda \left([t - \varepsilon^\theta, t] \right).$$

By applying (2.8) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain the following estimate

$$\left\| \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{3,\theta} - \sigma(u(t, x)) \Lambda([0, t]) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq c_{3,6} \left\| \Lambda \left([0, t - \varepsilon^\theta] \right) \right\|_{L^{2p}(\Omega)}, \quad (3.14)$$

where $c_{3,6} > 0$ is a constant. Since $\Lambda([0, t - \varepsilon^\theta])$ is the same as the quantity $\mathcal{J}_{3,\theta}$ when $\sigma \equiv 1$, we can apply part (b) of Lemma 3.1 to the linear equation (1.5). This gives the estimate for any $\eta \in (H, \mathcal{G}_\vartheta]$,

$$\left\| \Lambda \left([0, t - \varepsilon^\theta] \right) \right\|_{L^{2p}(\Omega)} \leq c_{3,2} \varepsilon^\eta. \quad (3.15)$$

Combining (3.13)-(3.15), we obtain that every $p \geq 1$ and $\eta \in (H, \mathcal{G}_\vartheta]$, there exists a finite positive constant $c_{3,7}$, which does not depend on $t \in [0, T]$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, or $x \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$\left\| \mathcal{J}_{3,\theta} - \sigma(u(t, x)) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} [p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-y) - p_{t-s}(x-y)] W(ds, dy) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq c_{3,7} \varepsilon^\eta.$$

This, together with (3.8) and (3.9), implies that (1.14) holds for every $\eta \in (H, \mathcal{G}_\vartheta]$. The proof is complete. \square

3.3. Proofs of Corollaries 1.3–1.6. Using the arguments in [31] and Theorem 1.2, we can obtain Corollaries 1.3–1.6. For example, Corollary 1.4 follows from Lemma 3.2 below, the decomposition (1.8), and Khintchine's and Chung's laws of the iterated logarithm for fractional Brownian motions (e.g., [20, Section 7], [23], [28]). The proofs of Corollaries 1.3–1.6 are omitted here.

Lemma 3.2. *For every $t \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\eta \in \mathcal{I}$, with probability one, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < \delta} \left| (\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon u)(t, x) - [p_{t+\varepsilon}(\cdot) - p_t(\cdot)] * u_0(x) - \sigma(u(t, x)) (\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon v)(t, x) \right| \\ &= o\left(\delta^{\frac{H}{2} + \eta}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

as $\delta \downarrow 0$.

Proof. Similarly to the proof of [31, Lemma 4.4], the statement in (3.16) can be proved by Theorem 1.2 and an interpolation argument, following the approach of Proposition 3.2 in [16]. The details are omitted. \square

3.4. Remarks about the initial value. If $\beta_0 > H$, then there exist finite constants $\delta > 0$ and $c_{3,8} > 0$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| (\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon u)(t, x) - \sigma(u(t, x))(\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon v)(t, x) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq c_{3,8} \varepsilon^{\frac{H}{2} + \delta}. \quad (3.17)$$

Indeed, since the initial condition u_0 is Hölder continuous with order β_0 , by [18, Proposition 2.6], there exists a constant $c_{3,9} > 0$ such that

$$\left| [p_{t+\varepsilon}(\cdot) - p_t(\cdot)] * u_0(x) \right| \leq c_{3,9} \varepsilon^{\frac{\beta_0}{2}}. \quad (3.18)$$

Here, the constant $c_{3,9}$ is independent of $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $t \in [0, T]$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Combining (1.14) and (3.18) yields (3.17).

Under Condition 1.1, for any fixed $t > 0$, and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$|p_t * u_0(x) - p_t * u_0(y)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |p_t(x-z) - p_t(y-z)| dz \cdot \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}.$$

By [10, Lemma 2.1], we obtain

$$|p_t * u_0(x) - p_t * u_0(y)| \leq c_{3,10} \frac{|x-y|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})},$$

where $c_{3,10} > 0$ is a constant. Hence, by [18, Proposition 2.6], there exists a constant $c_{3,11} > 0$ such that

$$\left| [p_{t+\varepsilon}(\cdot) - p_t(\cdot)] * u_0(x) \right| \leq c_{3,11} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad (3.19)$$

for all $t \geq T > 0$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Putting (3.19) and (1.14) together, we obtain that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $T_2 > T_1 > 0$,

$$\sup_{t \in [T_1, T_2]} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| [u(t+\varepsilon, x) - u(t, x)] - \sigma(u(t, x))[v(t+\varepsilon, x) - v(t, x)] \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq c_{3,12} \varepsilon^{\frac{H}{2} + \delta},$$

for some constants $c_{3,12} > 0$ and $\delta > 0$.

4. PROOF OF LEMMA 3.1

4.1. Proof of (a) in Lemma 3.1.

Proof of (a) in Lemma 3.1. Notice that $\mathcal{J}_1 - \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_1$ is of the form

$$\int_t^{t+\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{t,x,\varepsilon}(s, y) W(ds, dy),$$

with

$$g_{t,x,\varepsilon}(s, y) := p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-y) [\sigma(u(s, y)) - \sigma(u(t, x))].$$

Since $g_{t,x,\varepsilon}$ is predictable, by BDG's inequality (2.10), we have

$$\left\| \mathcal{J}_1 - \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_1 \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2 \leq 4pc_{2,2}^2 \int_t^{t+\varepsilon} ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz \|g_{t,x,\varepsilon}(s, y) - g_{t,x,\varepsilon}(s, z)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2 |y-z|^{2H-2}.$$

A simple decomposition of the increment $g_{t,x,\varepsilon}(s, y) - g_{t,x,\varepsilon}(s, z)$ then yields

$$\left\| \mathcal{J}_1 - \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_1 \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2 \leq 8pc_{2,2}^2 \left[\int_t^{t+\varepsilon} J_1(s) ds + \int_t^{t+\varepsilon} J_2(s) ds \right], \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(s) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz |p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-y) - p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-z)|^2 \\ &\quad \cdot |y-z|^{2H-2} \|\sigma(u(s, y)) - \sigma(u(t, x))\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$J_2(s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-z)^2 |y-z|^{2H-2} \|\sigma(u(s,y)) - \sigma(u(s,z))\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2.$$

By (2.8) and (2.9), we have that for $\vartheta \leq \vartheta_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(s) &\leq c_{4,1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz |p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-y) - p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-z)|^2 \\ &\quad \cdot |y-z|^{2H-2} \left[(s-t)^\vartheta + |y-x|^{2\vartheta} \right] \\ &\leq c_{4,1} (s-t)^\vartheta \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz |p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-y) - p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-z)|^2 |y-z|^{2H-2} \\ &\quad + c_{4,1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz |p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-y) - p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-z)|^2 |y-z|^{2H-2} |y-x|^{2\vartheta} \\ &=: c_{4,1} [J_{1,1}(s) + J_{1,2}(s)]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Applying Lemma A.1 with $\beta = \frac{1}{2} - H$, we obtain the estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz |p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-y) - p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-z)|^2 |y-z|^{2H-2} = c_{4,2} (t+\varepsilon-s)^{H-1}.$$

This allows us to write the integral over time as

$$\int_t^{t+\varepsilon} J_{1,1}(s) ds = c_{4,2} \int_t^{t+\varepsilon} (s-t)^\vartheta (t+\varepsilon-s)^{H-1} ds = c_{4,2} \mathbf{B}(1+\vartheta, H) \varepsilon^{H+\vartheta}, \tag{4.3}$$

where $\mathbf{B}(1+\vartheta, H)$ is the beta function.

By a change of variables and the scaling property of the heat kernel:

$$p_t(x) = t^{-\frac{1}{2}} p_1(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}x), \quad \text{for } t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{4.4}$$

we can write $J_{1,2}(s)$ as follows: for any $\vartheta < \frac{1}{2} - H$,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{1,2}(s) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz |p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-y) - p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-z)|^2 |y-z|^{2H-2} |y-x|^{2\vartheta} \\ &= (t+\varepsilon-s)^{H+\vartheta-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz |p_1(y) - p_1(z)|^2 |y-z|^{2H-2} |y|^{2\vartheta}. \end{aligned}$$

The remaining integral in the above equation is finite due to Lemma A.2. Therefore,

$$\int_t^{t+\varepsilon} J_{1,2}(s) ds \leq c_{4,3} \int_t^{t+\varepsilon} (t+\varepsilon-s)^{H+\vartheta-1} ds = \frac{c_{4,3}}{H+\vartheta} \varepsilon^{H+\vartheta}, \tag{4.5}$$

where $c_{4,3}$ is a positive constant.

Recall the notation $\mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{2}-H,p}$ defined by Equation (2.5). There exists a constant $c_{4,4} > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} J_2(s) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-z)^2 \left[\mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{2}-H,p} \sigma(u(s,z)) \right]^2 dz \\ &\leq \sup_{s \in [0,T], x \in \mathbb{R}} \left[\mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{2}-H,p} \sigma(u(s,x)) \right]^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-z)^2 dz \\ &\leq c_{4,4} (t+\varepsilon-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact

$$\sup_{s \in [0,T], x \in \mathbb{R}} \left[\mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{2}-H,p} \sigma(u(s,x)) \right]^2 \leq L_\sigma^2 \sup_{s \in [0,T], x \in \mathbb{R}} \left[\mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{2}-H,p} u(s,x) \right]^2 < \infty,$$

with L_σ being the Lipschitz constant of σ . Thus,

$$\int_t^{t+\varepsilon} J_2(s) ds \leq 2c_{4,4}\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.6)$$

By combining (4.1), (4.2), (4.3), (4.5), and (4.6), we obtain (3.8). Thus, the proof of part (a) in Lemma 3.1 is complete. \square

4.2. Proof of (b) in Lemma 3.1. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, denote

$$p_{[t, t+\varepsilon]}(x) := p_{t+\varepsilon}(x) - p_t(x), \quad (t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}). \quad (4.7)$$

Proof of (b) in Lemma 3.1. The term $\mathcal{J}_{2,\theta}$ is of the form

$$\int_0^{t(\varepsilon)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{t,x,\varepsilon}(s, y) W(ds, dy),$$

with

$$f_{t,x,\varepsilon}(s, y) := p_{[t-s, t+\varepsilon-s]}(x-y)\sigma(u(s, y)).$$

Since $f_{t,x,\varepsilon}$ is predictable, applying the BDG inequality (2.10), we have

$$\|\mathcal{J}_{2,\theta}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2 \leq 4pc_{2,2}^2 \int_0^{t(\varepsilon)} ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz \|f_{t,x,\varepsilon}(s, y) - f_{t,x,\varepsilon}(s, z)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2 |y-z|^{2H-2}.$$

A simple decomposition of the increment $f_{t,x,\varepsilon}(s, y) - f_{t,x,\varepsilon}(s, z)$ then yields

$$\|\mathcal{J}_{2,\theta}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2 \leq 4pc_{2,2}^2 \left[\int_0^{t(\varepsilon)} J_3(s) ds + \int_0^{t(\varepsilon)} J_4(s) ds \right], \quad (4.8)$$

where

$$J_3(s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz \left| p_{[t-s, t+\varepsilon-s]}(x-y) - p_{[t-s, t+\varepsilon-s]}(x-z) \right|^2 |y-z|^{2H-2} \|\sigma(u(s, y))\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2,$$

$$J_4(s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz p_{[t-s, t+\varepsilon-s]}(x-z)^2 |y-z|^{2H-2} \|\sigma(u(s, y)) - \sigma(u(s, z))\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2.$$

By (2.8), a change of variables, and Plancherel's identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{t(\varepsilon)} J_3(s) ds \\ & \leq c_{4,5} \int_0^{t(\varepsilon)} ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz \left| p_{[t-s, t+\varepsilon-s]}(x-y) - p_{[t-s, t+\varepsilon-s]}(x-z) \right|^2 |y-z|^{2H-2} \\ & = c_{4,5} \int_0^{t(\varepsilon)} ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dh \left| p_{[t-s, t+\varepsilon-s]}(y) - p_{[t-s, t+\varepsilon-s]}(y+h) \right|^2 |h|^{2H-2} \\ & = c_{4,5} \int_0^{t(\varepsilon)} ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\xi \int_{\mathbb{R}} dh e^{-2(t-s)\xi^2} \left| e^{-\varepsilon\xi^2} - 1 \right|^2 \left| e^{-i\xi h} - 1 \right|^2 |h|^{2H-2} \\ & = c_{4,5} \int_0^{t(\varepsilon)} ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\xi e^{-2(t-s)\xi^2} \left| e^{-\varepsilon\xi^2} - 1 \right|^2 |\xi|^{1-2H} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} dh \left| e^{-ih} - 1 \right|^2 |h|^{2H-2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

where $c_{4,5} \in (0, \infty)$ is a constant. By the elementary inequality $0 \leq 1 - e^{-x} \leq x$ for any $x \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^{t(\varepsilon)} ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\xi e^{-2(t-s)\xi^2} \left| e^{-\varepsilon\xi^2} - 1 \right|^2 |\xi|^{1-2H} \\
 & \leq \varepsilon^2 \int_0^{t(\varepsilon)} ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\xi e^{-2(t-s)\xi^2} |\xi|^{5-2H} \\
 & = 2^{H-3} \varepsilon^2 \int_0^{t(\varepsilon)} (t-s)^{H-3} ds \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\xi^2} \xi^{5-2H} d\xi \\
 & \leq 2^{H-3} \varepsilon^{H+(2-H)(1-\theta)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\xi^2} \xi^{5-2H} d\xi.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Since the integrals $\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\xi^2} \xi^{5-2H} d\xi$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |e^{-ih} - 1|^2 |h|^{2H-2} dh$ are convergent, equations (4.9) and (4.10) imply that

$$\int_0^{t(\varepsilon)} J_3(s) ds \leq c_{4,6} \varepsilon^{H+(2-H)(1-\theta)}, \tag{4.11}$$

for some positive constant $c_{4,6} \in (0, \infty)$.

Recalling the notation $\mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{2}-H,p}$ defined in (2.5), and using Plancherel's identity along with (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^{t(\varepsilon)} J_4(s) ds &= \int_0^{t(\varepsilon)} ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz p_{[t-s, t+\varepsilon-s]}(x-z)^2 \left[\mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{2}-H,p} \sigma(u(s, z)) \right]^2 \\
 &\leq \sup_{s \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}} \left[\mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{2}-H,p} \sigma(u(s, x)) \right]^2 \cdot \int_0^{t(\varepsilon)} ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz p_{[t-s, t+\varepsilon-s]}(x-z)^2 \\
 &\leq c_{4,7} \int_0^{t(\varepsilon)} ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\xi e^{-2(t-s)\xi^2} \left| e^{-\varepsilon\xi^2} - 1 \right|^2 \\
 &= \frac{c_{4,7}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[e^{-2\varepsilon^\theta \xi^2} - e^{-2t\xi^2} \right] \left| e^{-\varepsilon\xi^2} - 1 \right|^2 |\xi|^{-2} d\xi \\
 &\leq \frac{c_{4,7}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| e^{-\varepsilon\xi^2} - 1 \right|^2 |\xi|^{-2} d\xi \\
 &= \frac{c_{4,7}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| e^{-\xi^2} - 1 \right|^2 |\xi|^{-2} d\xi \cdot \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Here, $c_{4,7}$ is a positive constant.

Putting (4.8), (4.11), and (4.12) together, we obtain (3.9). Thus, the proof of part (b) in Lemma 3.1 is complete. \square

4.3. Proof of (c) in Lemma 3.1.

Proof of (c) in Lemma 3.1. Since $u(t(\varepsilon), x)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{t(\varepsilon)}$ -measurable, $\sigma(u(t(\varepsilon), x))$ can be put inside the stochastic integral $\mathcal{J}'_{3,\theta}$. Specifically, we have

$$\mathcal{J}'_{3,\theta} = \int_{t(\varepsilon)}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{[t-s, t+\varepsilon-s]}(x-y) \sigma(u(t(\varepsilon), x)) W(ds, dy).$$

Consequently, the term $\overline{\mathcal{J}}_{3,\theta} - \mathcal{J}'_{3,\theta}$ takes the form

$$\int_{t(\varepsilon)}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} k_{t,x,\varepsilon}(s, y) W(ds, dy)$$

with

$$k_{t,x,\varepsilon}(s, y) := p_{[t-s, t+\varepsilon-s]}(x - y) [\sigma(u(s, y)) - \sigma(u(t(\varepsilon), x))].$$

Since $k_{t,x,\varepsilon}$ is predictable, applying the BDG inequality (2.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{J}_{3,\theta} - \mathcal{J}'_{3,\theta}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2 \\ & \leq 4pc_{2,2}^2 \int_{t(\varepsilon)}^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz \|k_{t,x,\varepsilon}(s, y) - k_{t,x,\varepsilon}(s, z)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2 |y - z|^{2H-2}. \end{aligned}$$

A simple decomposition of the increment $k_{t,x,\varepsilon}(s, y) - k_{t,x,\varepsilon}(s, z)$ yields:

$$\|\mathcal{J}_{3,\theta} - \mathcal{J}'_{3,\theta}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2 \leq 8pc_{2,2}^2 \left[\int_{t(\varepsilon)}^t J_5(s) ds + \int_{t(\varepsilon)}^t J_6(s) ds \right], \quad (4.13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_5(s) & := \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz \left| p_{[t-s, t+\varepsilon-s]}(x - y) - p_{[t-s, t+\varepsilon-s]}(x - z) \right|^2 \\ & \quad \cdot |y - z|^{2H-2} \|\sigma(u(s, y)) - \sigma(u(t(\varepsilon), x))\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2, \\ J_6(s) & := \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz p_{[t-s, t+\varepsilon-s]}(x - z)^2 |y - z|^{2H-2} \|\sigma(u(s, y)) - \sigma(u(s, z))\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

for any $s \in [t(\varepsilon), t]$.

By (2.8) and (2.9), there exists a constant $c_{4,8} \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying that for any $\vartheta \in (0, \vartheta_0]$,

$$\begin{aligned} J_5(s) & \leq c_{4,8} (s - t(\varepsilon))^{\vartheta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz \left| p_{[t-s, t+\varepsilon-s]}(x - y) - p_{[t-s, t+\varepsilon-s]}(x - z) \right|^2 |y - z|^{2H-2} \\ & \quad + c_{4,8} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz \left| p_{[t-s, t+\varepsilon-s]}(x - y) - p_{[t-s, t+\varepsilon-s]}(x - z) \right|^2 |y - z|^{2H-2} |y - x|^{2\vartheta} \\ & =: c_{4,8} [J_{5,1}(s) + J_{5,2}(s)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|s - t(\varepsilon)| \leq \varepsilon^\theta$ for any $s \in [t(\varepsilon), t]$, we appeal to Plancherel's identity and a change of variables to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t(\varepsilon)}^t J_{5,1}(s) ds \\ & \leq \varepsilon^{\theta\vartheta} \int_{t(\varepsilon)}^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz \left| p_{[t-s, t+\varepsilon-s]}(x - y) - p_{[t-s, t+\varepsilon-s]}(x - z) \right|^2 |y - z|^{2H-2} \\ & \leq \varepsilon^{\theta\vartheta} \int_{t(\varepsilon)}^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\xi \int_{\mathbb{R}} dh e^{-2(t-s)\xi^2} \left| e^{-\varepsilon\xi^2} - 1 \right|^2 \left| e^{-i\xi h} - 1 \right|^2 |h|^{2H-2} \\ & \leq \varepsilon^{\theta\vartheta} \int_{t(\varepsilon)}^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\xi e^{-2(t-s)\xi^2} \left| e^{-\varepsilon\xi^2} - 1 \right|^2 |\xi|^{1-2H} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| e^{-ih} - 1 \right|^2 |h|^{2H-2} dh \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\theta\vartheta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\xi \left(1 - e^{-2\varepsilon^\theta \xi^2} \right) \left| 1 - e^{-\varepsilon\xi^2} \right|^2 |\xi|^{1-2H} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| e^{-ih} - 1 \right|^2 |h|^{2H-2} dh \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\theta\vartheta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\xi \left| 1 - e^{-\varepsilon\xi^2} \right|^2 |\xi|^{1-2H} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| e^{-ih} - 1 \right|^2 |h|^{2H-2} dh \\ & \leq c_{4,9} \varepsilon^{H+\theta\vartheta}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Here, $c_{4,9} \in (0, \infty)$ is a constant.

By a change of variables, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{5,2}(s) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz |p_{[t-s,t+\varepsilon-s]}(x-y) - p_{[t-s,t+\varepsilon-s]}(x-z)|^2 |y-z|^{2H-2} |y-x|^{2\vartheta} \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dh |p_{[t-s,t+\varepsilon-s]}(y) - p_{[t-s,t+\varepsilon-s]}(y+h)|^2 |h|^{2H-2} |y|^{2\vartheta} \\
 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dh |p_{t-s}(y) - p_{t-s}(y+h)|^2 |h|^{2H-2} |y|^{2\vartheta} \\
 &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dh |p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(y) - p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(y+h)|^2 |h|^{2H-2} |y|^{2\vartheta}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (4.4) and a change of variables, we have that for any $0 \leq \vartheta < \frac{1}{2} - H$ and $z \in \{0, \varepsilon\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dh |p_{t+z-s}(y+h) - p_{t+z-s}(y)|^2 |h|^{2H-2} |y|^{2\vartheta} \\
 &= (t+z-s)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dh \left| p_1 \left((t+z-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(y+h) \right) - p_1 \left((t+z-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}y \right) \right|^2 |h|^{2H-2} |y|^{2\vartheta} \\
 &= (t+z-s)^{\vartheta+H-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dh |p_1(y+h) - p_1(y)|^2 |h|^{2H-2} |y|^{2\vartheta} \\
 &\leq c_{4,10} (t+z-s)^{\vartheta+H-1},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $c_{4,10}$ is a finite constant by Lemma A.2. Hence, for $0 \leq \vartheta < \frac{1}{2} - H$ and $\vartheta \leq \vartheta_0$, we have

$$\int_{t(\varepsilon)}^t J_{5,2}(s) ds \leq c_{4,11} \left((\varepsilon + \varepsilon^\theta)^{\vartheta+H} + \varepsilon^{\theta(\vartheta+H)} \right) \leq 3c_{4,10} \varepsilon^{\theta(\vartheta+H)}. \quad (4.15)$$

Using the same argument as that in the proof of (4.12), we have

$$\int_{t(\varepsilon)}^t J_6(s) ds \leq c_{4,11} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.16)$$

where $c_{4,11} \in (0, \infty)$.

Putting (4.13), (4.14) (4.15) and (4.16) together, we obtain (3.10) for any $\vartheta \in (0, \vartheta_0] \cap (0, \frac{1}{2} - H)$. The proof of part (c) in Lemma 3.1 is complete. \square

4.4. Proof of (d) in Lemma 3.1.

Proof of (d) in Lemma 3.1. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, (2.9) and the Lipschitz continuity of σ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left\| \mathcal{J}'_{3,\theta} - \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{3,\theta} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2 \\
 &\leq \left\| \sigma(u(t, x)) - \sigma(u(t(\varepsilon), x)) \right\|_{L^{2p}(\Omega)}^2 \left\| \int_{t(\varepsilon)}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} [p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-y) - p_{t-s}(x-y)] W(ds, dy) \right\|_{L^{2p}(\Omega)}^2 \\
 &\leq c_{4,12} L_\sigma^2 \varepsilon^{2\vartheta_0 \theta} \left\| \int_{t(\varepsilon)}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} [p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-y) - p_{t-s}(x-y)] W(ds, dy) \right\|_{L^{2p}(\Omega)}^2, \quad (4.17)
 \end{aligned}$$

for some finite positive constant $c_{4,12}$.

By (2.10) and Lemma A.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \int_{t(\varepsilon)}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} [p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-y) - p_{t-s}(x-y)] W(ds, dy) \right\|_{L^{2p}(\Omega)}^2 \\
& \leq c_{4,13} \int_{t(\varepsilon)}^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz |p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-y) - p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-z) + p_{t-s}(x-z) - p_{t-s}(x-y)|^2 |y-z|^{2H-2} \\
& \leq 2c_{4,13} \int_{t(\varepsilon)}^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz |p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-y) - p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x-z)|^2 |y-z|^{2H-2} \\
& \quad + 2c_{4,13} \int_{t(\varepsilon)}^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz |p_{t-s}(x-y) - p_{t-s}(x-z)|^2 |y-z|^{2H-2} \\
& \leq c_{4,14} \int_{t(\varepsilon)}^t (t+\varepsilon-s)^{H-1} ds + c_{4,14} \int_{t(\varepsilon)}^t (t-s)^{H-1} ds \\
& \leq \frac{2c_{4,14}}{H} \varepsilon^{H\theta}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

Putting (4.17) and (4.18) together, we get (3.11). The proof of part (d) in Lemma 3.1 is complete. \square

APPENDIX A. SOME LEMMAS

Lemma A.1. [13, Lemma 3.1] *For any $t > 0, \beta \in (0, 1)$, we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |p_t(x+h) - p_t(x)|^2 |h|^{-1-2\beta} dh dx = ct^{-\frac{1}{2}-\beta},$$

where c is a positive constant.

Lemma A.2. *For any $H \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$ and $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2} - H)$, we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |e^{-(x+h)^2} - e^{-x^2}|^2 |h|^{2H-2} |x|^{2\theta} dh dx < \infty.$$

Proof. By [15, Lemma 2.10], we know that for any $H \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$, there exists a positive constant c_H satisfying that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |e^{-(x+h)^2} - e^{-x^2}|^2 |h|^{2H-2} dh \leq c_H (1 \wedge |x|^{2H-2}).$$

Consequently, for any $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2} - H)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx |x|^{2\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dh |e^{-(x+h)^2} - e^{-x^2}|^2 |h|^{2H-2} \\
& \leq c_H \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^{2\theta} (1 \wedge |x|^{2H-2}) dx < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

Acknowledgments: We thank Prof. Fuqing Gao for many fruitful discussions. The research of R. Wang is partially supported by the NSF of Hubei Province (Grant No. 2024AFB683). The research of Y. Xiao is partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-2153846.

REFERENCES

- [1] Balan, R., Jolis, M., Quer-Sardanyons, L.: SPDEs with affine multiplicative fractional noise in space with index $\frac{1}{4} < H < \frac{1}{2}$. *Electron. J. Probab.* **20**(54), 1–36 (2015)
- [2] Balan, R., Jolis, M., Quer-Sardanyons, L.: SPDEs with rough noise in space: Hölder continuity of the solution. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* **119**, 310–316 (2016)
- [3] Chen, L., Dalang, R. C.: Moments and growth indices for the nonlinear stochastic heat equation with rough initial conditions. *Ann. Probab.* **43**(6), 3006–3051 (2015)
- [4] Chen, L., Kim, K.: Nonlinear stochastic heat equation driven by spatially colored noise: moments and intermittency. *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B.* **39**(3), 645–668 (2019)
- [5] Da Prato, G., Zabczyk, J.: Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions. Second edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2014)
- [6] Dalang, R. C.: Extending the martingale measure stochastic integral with applications to spatially homogeneous s.p.d.e.’s. *Electron. J. Probab.* **4**(6), 1–29 (1999)
- [7] Dalang, R. C., Quer-Sardanyons, L.: Stochastic integrals for spde’s: A comparison. *Expo. Math.* **29**(1), 67–109 (2011)
- [8] Das, S.: Temporal increments of the KPZ equation with general initial data. *Electron. J. Probab.* **29**, 1–28 (2024)
- [9] Foondun, M., Khoshnevisan, D., Mahboubi, P.: Analysis of the gradient of the solution to a stochastic heat equation via fractional Brownian motion. *Stoch PDE: Anal. Comp.* **3**, 133–158 (2015)
- [10] Hao, Z., Röckner, M., Zhang, X.-C.: Euler scheme for density dependent stochastic differential equations. *J. Differential Equations* **274**, 996–1014 (2021)
- [11] Herrell, R., Song, R., Wu, D., Xiao, Y.: Sharp space-time regularity of the solution to a stochastic heat equation driven by a fractional-colored noise. *Stoch. Anal. Appl.* **38**, 747–768 (2020)
- [12] Hu, Y.: Some recent progress on stochastic heat equations. *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B (Engl. Ed.)* **39**(3), 874–914 (2019)
- [13] Hu, Y., Huang, J., Lê, K., Nualart, D., Tindel, S.: Stochastic heat equation with rough dependence in space. *Ann. Probab.* **45**(6), 4561–616 (2017)
- [14] Hu, Y., Huang, J., Lê, K., Nualart, D., Tindel, S.: Parabolic Anderson model with rough dependence in space. *Computation and combinatorics in dynamics, stochastics and control*, 477–498. Abel Symp., 13, Springer, Cham (2018)
- [15] Hu, Y., Wang, X.: Stochastic heat equation with general rough noise. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.* **58**(1) 379–423 (2022)
- [16] Huang, J., Khoshnevisan, D.: On the multifractal local behavior of parabolic stochastic PDEs. *Electron. Commun. Probab.* **22**, 1–11 (2017)
- [17] Khalil, Z. M., Tudor, C. A.: On the distribution and q -variation of the solution to the heat equation with fractional Laplacian. *Probab. Math. Statist.* **39**(2), 315–335 (2019)
- [18] Khoshnevisan, D., Sanz-Solé, M.: Optimal regularity of SPDEs with additive noise. *Electron. J. Probab.* **28**, 1–31 (2023)
- [19] Khoshnevisan, D., Swanson, J., Xiao, Y., Zhang, L.: Weak existence of a solution to a differential equation driven by a very rough fBm. Preprint available at arXiv:1309.3613v2 (2014).
- [20] Li, W. V., Shao, Q.-M.: Gaussian processes: inequalities, small ball probabilities and applications. In *Stochastic Processes: Theory and Methods. Handbook of Statistics*, 19, (C.R. Rao and D. Shanbhag, editors), pp. 533–597, North-Holland (2001)
- [21] Liu, S., Hu, Y., Wang, X.: Nonlinear stochastic wave equation driven by rough noise. *J. Differential Equations* **331**, 99–161 (2022)

- [22] Liu, J., Mao, L.: Nonlinear fractional stochastic heat equation driven by Gaussian noise rough in space. *Bull. Sci. Math.* **181**, 103207 (2022)
- [23] Monrad, D., Rootzén, H.: Small values of Gaussian processes and functional laws of the iterated logarithm. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **101**, 173–192 (1995)
- [24] Mueller, C., Tribe, R.: Hitting probabilities of a random string. *Electronic J. Probab.* **7**, 1–29 (2002)
- [25] Pospíšil, J., Tribe, R.: Parameter estimates and exact variations for stochastic heat equations driven by space-time white noise. *Stoch. Anal. Appl.* **25**(3), 593–611 (2007)
- [26] Song, J.: SPDEs with colored Gaussian noise: a survey. *Commun. Math. Stat.* **6**(4), 481–492 (2018)
- [27] Song, J., Song X., Xu, F.: Fractional stochastic wave equation driven by a Gaussian noise rough in space. *Bernoulli* **26**(4), 2699–2726 (2020)
- [28] Talagrand, M.: Lower classes of fractional Brownian motion. *J. Theor. Probab.* **9**, 191–213 (1996)
- [29] Tudor, C. A., Xiao, Y.: Sample path properties of the solution to the fractional-colored stochastic heat equation. *Stoch. Dyn.* **17**(1), 1750004 (2017)
- [30] Wang, R.: Analysis of the gradient for the stochastic fractional heat equation with spatially-colored noise in \mathbb{R} . *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. (B)* **29**(6), 2769–2785 (2024)
- [31] Wang, R., Xiao, Y.: Temporal properties of the stochastic fractional heat equation with spatially-colored noise. *Theory Probab. Math. Statist.* **110**, 121–142 (2024)
- [32] Wang, R., Zhang, S.: Decompositions of stochastic convolution driven by a white-fractional Gaussian noise. *Front. Math. China* **16**(4), 1063–1073 (2021)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CHANGSHU INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CHANGSHU, JIANGSU, 215500, CHINA.

Email address: binqiancn@126.com

MIN WANG, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN, 430072, CHINA.

Email address: minwang@whu.edu.cn

RAN WANG, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN, 430072, CHINA.

Email address: rwang@whu.edu.cn

YIMIN XIAO, DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS AND PROBABILITY, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MI 48824, USA.

Email address: xiaoy@msu.edu