

ON THE SURJECTIVITY OF THE CAUCHY-RIEMANN AND LAPLACE OPERATORS ON WEIGHTED SPACES OF SMOOTH FUNCTIONS

ANDREAS DEBROUWERE, QUINTEN VAN BOXSTAEL, AND JASSON VINDAS

ABSTRACT. We study the surjectivity of the Cauchy-Riemann and Laplace operators on certain weighted spaces of smooth functions of rapid decay on strip-like domains in the complex plane that are defined via weight function systems. We fully characterize when these operators are surjective on such function spaces in terms of a growth condition on the defining weight function systems.

1. INTRODUCTION

Characterizing when a constant coefficient partial differential operator (PDO) on \mathbb{R}^d is surjective on a given space of functions or distributions is a fundamental problem in functional analysis that goes back to the pioneering works of Ehrenpreis [3], Malgrange [13], and Hörmander [5]. This question has been extensively studied for local spaces, e.g. the space $C^\infty(X)$ of smooth functions, the space $\mathcal{D}'(X)$ of distributions, or the spaces $B_{p,k}^{\text{loc}}(X)$, where $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is open; see Hörmander's monograph [6].

The surjectivity problem is however much less understood for global weighted spaces. Let us mention a few results in this direction. A classical result, independently shown by Hörmander [4] and Łojasiewicz [12], states that every non-zero PDO is surjective on the space $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of tempered distributions. In [11, 14], the surjectivity of PDO on the space $\mathcal{O}_M(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of slowly increasing smooth functions was studied. The related question concerning the existence of continuous linear right inverses of PDO (and, more generally, convolution operators) on various weighted function and (ultra)distribution spaces has been thoroughly investigated by Langenbruch, see [10] and references therein.

In this paper, we study the surjectivity of the Cauchy-Riemann operator $\bar{\partial} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)$ and the Laplace operator $\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$ on weighted spaces of smooth functions on certain open subsets of \mathbb{C} . More precisely, we introduce the weighted spaces $\mathcal{K}_W(X)$ of smooth functions of rapid decay on X , where $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is a generalized strip (which can more or less be seen as a generalization of a horizontal strip of the form $\mathbb{R} + i(-h, h)$, $h > 0$, but that may have a uniformly continuous curve as boundary) and W is a weight function system (measuring how fast the functions in $\mathcal{K}_W(X)$ decay at infinity); see Section 2 for the precise definition of $\mathcal{K}_W(X)$. Our goal is then to characterize when $\bar{\partial}$ and Δ are surjective on $\mathcal{K}_W(X)$ in terms of a growth condition on the defining weight function system W . Our results complement and

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. *Primary*. 35A01, 46E10. *Secondary*. 35A05, 35A35, 35J05.

Key words and phrases. Surjectivity of the Cauchy-Riemann and Laplace operator; weighted spaces of smooth functions; weighted Runge type approximation theorem.

J. Vindas acknowledges support by the Ghent University grant bof/baf/4y/2024/01/155 and the Research Foundation–Flanders grant G067621N.

extend the recent work of Kruse [8] on this problem for the Cauchy-Riemann operator (see also the related papers [7, 9]).

We now state a sample of our main result. We define the horizontal strip $T_h = \mathbb{R} + i(-h, h)$ for $h \in (0, \infty]$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $w: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a non-decreasing unbounded function. Suppose that there is $C > 1$ such that*

$$\int_0^\infty e^{w(t)-w(Ct)} dt < \infty.$$

Let $h \in (0, \infty]$. Define $\mathcal{K}_{(w)}(T_h)$ as the space consisting of all $f \in C^\infty(T_h)$ such that

$$\sup_{z \in \overline{T_{h'}}} e^{w(N|\operatorname{Re} z|)} |f^{(\alpha)}(z)| < \infty, \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2, h' \in (0, h).$$

Then, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) *The Cauchy-Riemann operator $\bar{\partial}: \mathcal{K}_{(w)}(T_h) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{(w)}(T_h)$ is surjective.*
- (ii) *The Laplace operator $\Delta: \mathcal{K}_{(w)}(T_h) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{(w)}(T_h)$ is surjective.*
- (iii) *There exists a holomorphic function in $\mathcal{K}_{(w)}(T_h)$ that is not identically zero.*
- (iv) *For all $\mu > 0$,*

$$\int_0^\infty w(t)e^{-\mu t} dt < \infty.$$

The main improvement of Theorem 1.1 upon the work of Kruse [8] is that the conditions on the weight function w are much less restrictive than in [8], leading to a new full characterization of the surjectivity of $\bar{\partial}$ and Δ on $\mathcal{K}_{(w)}(T_h)$. As a concrete example, we mention that Theorem 1.1 implies that $\bar{\partial}$ and Δ are surjective on $\mathcal{K}_{(w)}(T_h)$ for $w(t) = t^a$ with $a > 0$. This was previously shown in [8, Example 5.7] for the Cauchy-Riemann operator, but under the additional assumption $a \leq 1$. On the other hand, it is worth pointing out that [8, Example 5.7] holds for spaces defined on a broader class of open sets than (generalized) strips.

The principal tool in the proof of Theorem 1.1 (and, more generally, in that of our main result Theorem 3.1) is a novel weighted version of the classical Runge approximation theorem, which we also show here and may be of independent interest. This makes our proof method elementary and constructive. Furthermore, it is different from the approach used in [8], which is based on the Hahn-Banach theorem and is inspired by Hörmander's solution of the $\bar{\partial}$ -problem in weighted L^2 -spaces.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In the preliminary Section 2, we introduce the weighted spaces of smooth functions that we are interested in and recall the abstract Mittag-Leffler lemma for Fréchet spaces. Next, in Section 3, we discuss our main result (Theorem 3.1) and give various examples illustrating it. The main ingredient in the proof of Theorem 3.1, our new Runge type approximation result for weighted spaces of smooth functions, is shown in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5, we prove Theorem 3.1.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this preliminary section, we introduce generalized strips, weight function systems, and the weighted spaces of smooth functions of rapid decay that we shall be concerned with. We also explain the abstract Mittag-Leffler lemma for Fréchet spaces.

2.1. Generalized strips. The following special kind of open sets in \mathbb{C} will play a fundamental role in this article.

Definition 2.1. We write $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ for the family of all functions $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ that are uniformly continuous and satisfy $0 < \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} F(t) \leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} F(t) < \infty$. Given $F, G \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$, we define the *generalized strip* $T^{F,G}$ as

$$T^{F,G} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid -G(\operatorname{Re} z) < \operatorname{Im} z < F(\operatorname{Re} z)\}.$$

We define the horizontal strip $T_h = \mathbb{R} + i(-h, h)$ for $h \in (0, \infty)$.

The following two lemmas will be used later on. They show that, in a certain sense, generalized strips are well-separated in distance and by the graphs of two C^∞ -functions.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $F, G \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ and $a \in (0, 1)$. Then, there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that*

$$\overline{T^{aF, aG}} + \overline{B}(0, \varepsilon) \subseteq T^{F,G}.$$

Proof. We just need to prove that there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\{x + iaF(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\} + \overline{B}(0, \varepsilon) \subseteq T^{F,G}$ and $\{x - iaG(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\} + \overline{B}(0, \varepsilon) \subseteq T^{F,G}$. We only show the former inclusion as the latter can be proved in a completely analogous way. Choose $0 < \varepsilon' < \frac{1-a}{1+a} \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} F(t)$. Since F is uniformly continuous on \mathbb{R} , there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \forall t, t' \in \mathbb{R} : |t - t'| \leq \delta \implies |F(t) - F(t')| \leq \varepsilon'.$$

Pick $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{\delta, \varepsilon', a \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} F(t)\}$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary. Let $x' + iy' \in \overline{B}(x + iaF(x), \varepsilon)$. It is clear that $-G(x') < 0 < y'$ and

$$\begin{aligned} y' &\leq |y' - aF(x)| + aF(x) < \varepsilon' + a|F(x) - F(x')| + aF(x') \\ &\leq \varepsilon'(1+a) + aF(x') \leq (1-a)F(x') + aF(x') = F(x'). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\{x + iaF(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\} + \overline{B}(0, \varepsilon) \subseteq T^{F,G}$. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $F, G \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ and $a \in (0, 1)$. Then, there are $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, with all derivatives bounded, such that $\overline{T^{aF, aG}} + \overline{B}(0, \varepsilon) \subseteq T^{\Phi, \Psi}$ and $\overline{T^{\Phi, \Psi}} + \overline{B}(0, \varepsilon) \subseteq T^{F,G}$.*

Proof. Take $c \in (a, 1)$ arbitrary. By Lemma 2.2, we can choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\overline{T^{aF, aG}} + \overline{B}(0, 2\varepsilon) \subseteq T^{cF, cG}$ and $\overline{T^{cF, cG}} + \overline{B}(0, 2\varepsilon) \subseteq T^{F,G}$. Since F and G are uniformly continuous on \mathbb{R} , there is $\delta > 0$ such that, for all $t, t' \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|t - t'| \leq \delta \implies |cF(t) - cF(t')| \leq \varepsilon \text{ and } |cG(t) - cG(t')| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Choose now $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $\operatorname{supp} \varphi \subseteq B(0, \delta)$, $\varphi \geq 0$, $\|\varphi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} = 1$, and define $\Phi = cF * \varphi$, $\Psi = cG * \varphi$. It is clear that $\Phi, \Psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and that all derivatives of Φ, Ψ are bounded. By the mean value theorem, the latter implies that Φ and Ψ are uniformly continuous. Using the estimates $\|cF - \Phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \|cF(x)\varphi - cF(x-\cdot)\varphi\|_{L^1(\overline{B}(0, \delta))} \leq \varepsilon$ and $\|cG - \Psi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq \varepsilon$, it follows that $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$, $\overline{T^{aF, aG}} + \overline{B}(0, \varepsilon) \subseteq T^{\Phi, \Psi}$, and $\overline{T^{\Phi, \Psi}} + \overline{B}(0, \varepsilon) \subseteq T^{F,G}$. □

2.2. Weight function systems. We will measure the decay of functions at infinity via so called weight function systems, a concept which is introduced in the following definition.

Definition 2.4. A function $w: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be a *weight function* if it is non-decreasing and $w(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. A pointwise non-decreasing sequence $W = (w_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ of weight functions is called a *weight function system*.

We shall employ the following conditions on a weight function system $W = (w_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$:

(α) $\forall N \in \mathbb{N} \exists M > N, A > 1 \forall t \geq 0 : w_N(2t) \leq w_M(t) + \log A$.

$$(\epsilon)_0 \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N} \forall \mu > 0 : \int_0^\infty w_N(t) e^{-\mu t} dt < \infty.$$

$$(N) \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N} \exists M > N : \int_0^\infty e^{w_N(t) - w_M(t)} dt < \infty.$$

The conditions (α) and (N) are of a technical nature, while (ϵ)₀ shall play a fundamental role in our considerations (see Theorem 3.1 below). Condition (α) implies that W is weakly subadditive in the following sense.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $W = (w_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a weight function system that satisfies (α). Then,*

$$\forall N \in \mathbb{N} \exists M > N, A > 1 \forall t, s \geq 0 : w_N(t + s) \leq w_M(t) + w_M(s) + \log A.$$

Proof. Take $N \in \mathbb{N}$ arbitrary and choose $M > N$ and $A > 1$ as in (α). Then, for all $t, s \geq 0$,

$$w_N(t + s) \leq w_N(2t) + w_N(2s) \leq w_M(t) + w_M(s) + 2 \log A. \quad \square$$

Given $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ open, we write $\mathcal{H}(X)$ for the space of holomorphic functions on X . The following lemma shall be of crucial importance to us.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $W = (w_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a weight function system that satisfies (ϵ)₀. Then, for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h > 0$, there is $Q \in \mathcal{H}(T_h)$ such that*

$$e^{w_N(|\operatorname{Re} \xi|)} \leq |Q(\xi)|, \quad \forall \xi \in T_h.$$

Proof. This follows immediately from [2, Proposition 3.2]. □

2.3. Weighted spaces of smooth and holomorphic functions. We are ready to define the weighted spaces of smooth and holomorphic functions that we are interested in.

Definition 2.7. Let $W = (w_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a weight function system and let $F, G \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$. We define $\mathcal{K}_W(T^{F,G})$ as the space consisting of all $f \in C^\infty(T^{F,G})$ such that

$$p_{N,\alpha,a}(f) = \sup_{\xi \in \overline{T^{aF,aG}}} e^{w_N(|\operatorname{Re} \xi|)} |f^{(\alpha)}(\xi)| < \infty, \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2, a \in (0, 1).$$

We endow $\mathcal{K}_W(T^{F,G})$ with the locally convex topology generated by the system of seminorms $\{p_{N,\alpha,a} \mid N \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2, a \in (0, 1)\}$. We set

$$\mathcal{U}_W(T^{F,G}) = \mathcal{K}_W(T^{F,G}) \cap \mathcal{H}(T^{F,G})$$

and endow it with the subspace topology induced by $\mathcal{K}_W(T^{F,G})$.

Note that $\mathcal{K}_W(T^{F,G})$ is a Fréchet space. Moreover, since $\mathcal{U}_W(T^{F,G})$ is closed in $\mathcal{K}_W(T^{F,G})$, we obtain that $\mathcal{U}_W(T^{F,G})$ is a Fréchet space as well.

We now give a useful derivative-free characterization of the Fréchet space $\mathcal{U}_W(T^{F,G})$.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $W = (w_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a weight function system that satisfies (α) and let $F, G \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$. A function $f \in \mathcal{H}(T^{F,G})$ belongs to $\mathcal{U}_W(T^{F,G})$ if and only if*

$$p_{N,a}(f) = \sup_{\xi \in \overline{T^{aF,aG}}} e^{w_N(|\operatorname{Re} \xi|)} |f(\xi)| < \infty, \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}, a \in (0, 1).$$

Moreover, the topology of $\mathcal{U}_W(T^{F,G})$ is generated by the system of seminorms $\{p_{N,a} \mid N \in \mathbb{N}, a \in (0, 1)\}$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_W(T^{F,G})$ be the space consisting of all $f \in \mathcal{H}(T^{F,G})$ such that $p_{N,a}(f) < \infty$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in (0, 1)$, endowed with the topology generated by the system of seminorms $\{p_{N,a} \mid N \in \mathbb{N}, a \in (0, 1)\}$. We need to show that $\mathcal{U}_W(T^{F,G}) = \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_W(T^{F,G})$ as locally convex spaces. It is clear that $\mathcal{U}_W(T^{F,G}) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_W(T^{F,G})$ continuously. We now show that $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_W(T^{F,G})$ is continuously included in $\mathcal{U}_W(T^{F,G})$. Take $a \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2$, and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ arbitrary. Fix $b \in (a, 1)$ and choose $M > N$ and $A > 1$ as in Lemma 2.5. By Lemma 2.2, there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\overline{T^{aF,aG}} + \overline{B}(0, \varepsilon) \subseteq \overline{T^{bF,bG}}$. Let $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_W(T^{F,G})$ be arbitrary. The Cauchy integral formula yields, for all $\xi \in \overline{T^{aF,aG}}$ (we write $k = |\alpha|$),

$$|f^{(\alpha)}(\xi)| = \left| \frac{k!}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z-\xi|=\varepsilon} \frac{f(z)}{(z-\xi)^{k+1}} dz \right| \leq \frac{k!}{2\pi \varepsilon^{k+1}} \oint_{|z-\xi|=\varepsilon} |f(z)| |dz|.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} p_{N,\alpha,a}(f) &= \sup_{\xi \in \overline{T^{aF,aG}}} e^{w_N(|\operatorname{Re} \xi|)} |f^{(\alpha)}(\xi)| \leq \frac{k!}{2\pi \varepsilon^{k+1}} \sup_{\xi \in \overline{T^{aF,aG}}} e^{w_N(|\operatorname{Re} \xi|)} \oint_{|z-\xi|=\varepsilon} |f(z)| |dz| \\ &\leq \frac{Ak!e^{w_M(\varepsilon)}}{2\pi \varepsilon^{k+1}} \sup_{\xi \in \overline{T^{aF,aG}}} \oint_{|z-\xi|=\varepsilon} e^{w_M(|\operatorname{Re} z|)} |f(z)| |dz| \leq \frac{Ak!e^{w_M(\varepsilon)}}{\varepsilon^k} p_{M,b}(f). \end{aligned}$$

□

2.4. The abstract Mittag-Leffler lemma. In this subsection, we explain the abstract Mittag-Leffler lemma for projective spectra of Fréchet spaces. This will be needed for the proof of our main result. We follow the book [17].

Definition 2.9. A *projective spectrum* $\mathcal{X} = (X_n, \rho_m^n)$ is a sequence $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of vector spaces together with linear maps $\rho_m^n: X_m \rightarrow X_n$, $n \leq m$, such that $\rho_n^n = \operatorname{id}_{X_n}$ and $\rho_k^n = \rho_m^n \circ \rho_k^m$ for $n \leq m \leq k$. We call ρ_m^n the *linking maps*. Consider the map

$$\Psi: \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n \rightarrow \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n: (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto (x_n - \rho_{n+1}^n(x_{n+1}))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

We define the *projective limit* of \mathcal{X} as the kernel of Ψ , that is,

$$\operatorname{Proj} \mathcal{X} = \left\{ (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n \mid \rho_m^n(x_m) = x_n, \forall m \geq n \right\}.$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$\rho^k: \operatorname{Proj} \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X_k: (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow x_k.$$

Furthermore, we define the *first derived projective limit* of \mathcal{X} as the cokernel of Ψ , namely,

$$\operatorname{Proj}^1 \mathcal{X} = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n / \operatorname{im} \Psi.$$

Next, we introduce morphisms between projective spectra.

Definition 2.10. Let $\mathcal{X} = (X_n, \rho_m^n)$ and $\mathcal{Y} = (Y_n, \sigma_m^n)$ be two projective spectra. A *morphism* $f = (f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ consists of linear maps $f_n: X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ such that $f_n \circ \rho_m^n = \sigma_m^n \circ f_m$ for all $m \geq n$. The *kernel* $\ker f$ of the morphism f is defined as the projective spectrum $(\ker f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with linking maps $\rho_m^n|_{\ker X_m}: \ker X_m \rightarrow \ker X_n$. Moreover, we define for each morphism $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ the linear map $\text{Proj } f: \text{Proj } \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Proj } \mathcal{Y}: (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto (f_n(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

We shall use the following basic property of the derived projective limit.

Proposition 2.11 ([17, Proposition 3.1.8]). *Let $\mathcal{X} = (X_n, \rho_m^n)$ and $\mathcal{Y} = (Y_n, \sigma_m^n)$ be two projective spectra and let $f = (f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a morphism. The linear map $\text{Proj } f: \text{Proj } \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Proj } \mathcal{Y}$ is surjective if*

- (i) *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $m > n$ such that $\sigma_m^n(Y_m) \subseteq \text{im } f_n$.*
- (ii) $\text{Proj}^1 \ker f = \{0\}$.

In practice, a projective spectrum $\mathcal{X} = (X_n, \rho_m^n)$ often consists of locally convex spaces X_n and continuous linking maps ρ_m^n . In this case, there exist sufficient (and necessary) linear topological conditions on \mathcal{X} to decide whether $\text{Proj}^1 \mathcal{X} = \{0\}$; see [17, Section 3.2] for a detailed overview of such conditions. We will need the following sufficient condition for $\text{Proj}^1 \mathcal{X} = \{0\}$ for projective spectra \mathcal{X} consisting of Fréchet spaces. This result is sometimes called the *abstract Mittag-Leffler lemma*.

Proposition 2.12. *Let $\mathcal{X} = (X_n, \rho_m^n)$ be a projective spectrum consisting of Fréchet spaces and continuous linking maps. If*

$$(2.2) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \exists m > n \forall k > m : \rho_m^n(X_m) \subseteq \overline{\rho_k^n(X_k)}^{X_n},$$

then $\text{Proj}^1 \mathcal{X} = \{0\}$.

Proof. This follows from [17, Theorem 3.2.1]. □

3. SURJECTIVITY OF THE CAUCHY-RIEMANN AND LAPLACE OPERATORS ON $\mathcal{K}_W(T^{F,G})$

In this section, we state our main result, which characterizes the surjectivity of both the Cauchy-Riemann and Laplace operators on the spaces $\mathcal{K}_W(T^{F,G})$ in terms of the defining weight function system W . Furthermore, we also discuss two particular classes of weight function systems that are generated by a single weight function and for which our main result is directly applicable.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $F, G \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ and let W be a weight function system that satisfies (α) and (N) . Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *The Cauchy-Riemann operator $\bar{\partial}: \mathcal{K}_W(T^{F,G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_W(T^{F,G})$ is surjective.*
- (ii) *The Laplace operator $\Delta: \mathcal{K}_W(T^{F,G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_W(T^{F,G})$ is surjective.*
- (iii) *The weight function system W satisfies $(\epsilon)_0$.*
- (iv) *The space $\mathcal{U}_W(T^{F,G})$ is non-trivial.*

The proof of Theorem 3.1 will be given in Section 5. The most involved part will be the proof of the implication (iii) \implies (i), for which we will make use of the abstract Mittag-Leffler lemma (Proposition 2.12). In fact, to check the statement (2.2), we will employ a Runge type approximation theorem related to the spaces $\mathcal{U}_W(T^{F,G})$, shown in Section 4.

Let us now introduce two classes of weight function systems solely generated by a single weight function w and rephrase the conditions appearing in Theorem 3.1 in terms of w . To this end, we consider the following conditions on w :

$$(\alpha) \exists C > 0, A > 1 \forall t \geq 0 : w(2t) \leq Cw(t) + \log A .$$

$$(\epsilon)_0 \forall \mu > 0 : \int_0^\infty w(t)e^{-\mu t} dt .$$

$$(N)_i \exists C > 1 : \int_0^\infty e^{w(t)-w(Ct)} dt < \infty .$$

$$(N)_o \exists C > 0 : \int_0^\infty e^{-Cw(t)} dt < \infty .$$

Given a weight function w , we define the weight function system $W_w = (w(N \cdot))_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$. The following lemma provides, among other useful properties, a sufficient condition on w for W_w to satisfy (N) .

Lemma 3.2. *Let w be a weight function. Then,*

- (i) W_w always satisfies (α) .
- (ii) W_w satisfies $(\epsilon)_0$ if and only if w satisfies $(\epsilon)_0$.
- (iii) W_w satisfies (N) if and only if w satisfies $(N)_i$.
- (iv) If w satisfies

$$(3.1) \quad \exists C > 0, A > 1 \forall t \geq 0 : 2w(t) \leq w(Ct) + \log A,$$

then w satisfies $(N)_i$.

Proof. Statements (i)–(iii) are obvious, so we only show (iv). Condition (3.1) implies that there is $A > 1$ such that $2w(t/A) \leq w(t) + \log A$ for all $t \geq 0$. Iterating this condition yields $2^n w(t/A^n) \leq w(t) + (2^n - 1) \log A$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Choose $t_0 > 0$ such that $w(t_0) > \log A$. Take $t \geq t_0$ arbitrary and pick $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t_0 A^n \leq t < t_0 A^{n+1}$. As w is non-decreasing, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} w(t) &\geq w(t_0 A^n) \geq 2^n w(t_0) - (2^n - 1) \log A \\ &= 2^n (w(t_0) - \log A) + \log A \geq 2^{\frac{\log \frac{t}{t_0}}{\log A} - 1} (w(t_0) - \log A) + \log A. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, there is $\tau > 0$ such that $w(t) \geq 2 \log(1 + t)$ for $t \geq \tau$. Hence, we get $w(t) + 2 \log(1 + t) \leq 2w(t) \leq w(At) + \log A$ for all $t \geq \tau$. This implies that w satisfies $(N)_i$ with $C = A$. \square

Hence, we can reformulate Theorem 3.1 for weight function systems of type W_w as follows.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $F, G \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ and let the weight function w satisfy $(N)_i$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) The Cauchy-Riemann operator $\bar{\partial} : \mathcal{K}_{W_w}(T^{F,G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{W_w}(T^{F,G})$ is surjective.
- (ii) The Laplace operator $\Delta : \mathcal{K}_{W_w}(T^{F,G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{W_w}(T^{F,G})$ is surjective.
- (iii) The weight function w satisfies $(\epsilon)_0$.
- (iv) The space $\mathcal{U}_{W_w}(T^{F,G})$ is non-trivial.

Note that, when $h < \infty$, Theorem 1.1 from the Introduction is a particular instance of Corollary 3.3.

Example 3.4. Suppose that either $a > 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ or $a = 0$ and $b \geq 0$. Consider a weight function w defined as $w(t) = e^{t^a(\log(e+t))^b}$ for t sufficiently large. Then, by Lemma 3.2(iv), w always satisfies $(N)_i$, while it satisfies $(\epsilon)_0$ if and only if $0 \leq a < 1$ or $a = 1$ and $b < 0$. Let $F, G \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$. By Corollary 3.3, we obtain that the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator

and/or the Δ -operator are surjective on $\mathcal{K}_{W_w}(T^{F,G})$ if and only if $0 \leq a < 1$ or $a = 1$ and $b < 0$.

Next, we consider weight functions systems of the form $\tilde{W}_w = (Nw)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$, where w is a weight function.

Lemma 3.5. *Let w be a weight function. Then,*

- (i) \tilde{W}_w satisfies (α) if and only if w satisfies (α) .
- (ii) \tilde{W}_w satisfies $(\epsilon)_0$ if and only if w satisfies $(\epsilon)_0$.
- (iii) \tilde{W}_w satisfies (N) if and only if w satisfies $(N)_o$.
- (iv) If w satisfies (α) , then there is a > 0 such that $\omega(t) = O(t^a)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, w satisfies $(\epsilon)_0$.

Proof. Statements (i)–(iii) are obvious. Property (iv) is a consequence of general results from the theory of regular variation [1], but since the proof is short, we give it here for the sake of completeness. Since w satisfies (α) , there are $C > 1$ and $A > 1$ such that $w(2t) \leq Cw(t) + \log A$ for all $t \geq 0$. Take an arbitrary $t \geq 1$ and choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^n \leq t < 2^{n+1}$. By iteration of the above inequality, we get that

$$w(t) \leq w(2^{n+1}) \leq C^{n+1}w(1) + \frac{C^{n+1} - 1}{C - 1} \log A \leq C^{\frac{\log t}{\log 2} + 1} w(1) + \frac{C^{\frac{\log t}{\log 2} + 1} - 1}{C - 1} \log A,$$

which shows the result. \square

Hence, we can reformulate Theorem 3.1 for weight function systems of type \tilde{W}_w as follows.

Corollary 3.6. *Let $F, G \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ and let w be a weight function that satisfies (α) and $(N)_o$. Then, the following statements are valid:*

- (i) The Cauchy-Riemann operator $\bar{\partial}: \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{W}_w}(T^{F,G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{W}_w}(T^{F,G})$ is surjective.
- (ii) The Laplace operator $\Delta: \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{W}_w}(T^{F,G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{W}_w}(T^{F,G})$ is surjective.
- (iii) The space $\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{W}_w}(T^{F,G})$ is non-trivial.

Example 3.7. Let $a > 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ or $a = 0$ and $b \geq 1$. Consider a weight function w defined as $w(t) = t^a(\log(e + t))^b$ for t sufficiently large. Then, w always satisfies (α) , $(N)_o$, and $(\epsilon)_0$. Let $F, G \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$. By Corollary 3.6, we obtain that the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator and the Δ -operator are surjective on $\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{W}_w}(T^{F,G})$.

We end this section with an important remark.

Remark 3.8. Let $W = (w_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a weight function system. Define $\mathcal{K}_W(\mathbb{C}) = \text{Proj}_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{K}_{w_N}(T_N)$ with the natural restriction maps as linking maps. Assume that W satisfies (α) and (N) . Similarly to Theorem 3.1, we have that the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) The Cauchy-Riemann operator $\bar{\partial}: \mathcal{K}_W(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_W(\mathbb{C})$ is surjective.
- (ii) The Laplace operator $\Delta: \mathcal{K}_W(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_W(\mathbb{C})$ is surjective.
- (iii) The weight function system W satisfies $(\epsilon)_0$.
- (iv) The space $\mathcal{U}_W(\mathbb{C})$ is non-trivial.

This can be shown in the same way as Theorem 3.1 (one also needs an obvious modification of Proposition 5.1 below); the details are left to the reader. Furthermore, Corollaries 3.3 and 3.6 are still valid if we replace $T^{F,G}$ by \mathbb{C} . Finally, note that, for $h = \infty$, Theorem 1.1 from the Introduction is a particular instance of this modified version of Corollary 3.3.

4. A WEIGHTED VERSION OF THE RUNGE APPROXIMATION RESULT

This section is devoted to show the following weighted Runge approximation result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $F, G \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ and let $W = (w_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a weight function system that satisfies (α) , (N) , and $(\epsilon)_0$. Then, for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $M > N$ such that for all $K > M$ and for all $1 < a < b < \infty$:*

$$(4.1) \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall f \in \mathcal{U}_{w_M}(T^{aF, aG}) \exists g \in \mathcal{U}_{w_K}(T^{bF, bG}) : \sup_{\xi \in \overline{T^{F, G}}} e^{w_N(|\operatorname{Re} \xi|)} |f(\xi) - g(\xi)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Our proof of Theorem 4.1 is a variant of the standard proof of the classical Runge theorem. We need the following pole pushing lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be closed and let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus K$. Suppose that α and β lie in the same connected component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$. Then, for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a rational function R with β as only pole such that*

$$\sup_{\xi \in K} \left| \frac{1}{\xi - \alpha} - R(\xi) \right| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Proof. This result is well-known, see for instance the second part of the proof of [15, Lemma 5.10]. □

We are now able to prove Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary. By Lemma 2.5, there are $L > N$ and $A > 1$ such that, for all $t, s \geq 0$,

$$w_N(t + s) \leq w_L(t) + w_L(s) + \log A.$$

Condition (N) tells us that there is $M > L$ such that

$$(4.2) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{w_L(t) - w_M(t)} dt < \infty.$$

Take arbitrary $K > M$ and $1 < a < b < \infty$. Fix $h > 2b \max\{\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} F(t), \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} G(t)\}$ and pick an arbitrary $f \in \mathcal{U}_{w_M}(T^{aF, aG})$. By Lemma 2.5, there are $\tilde{K} > K$ and $C > 1$ such that, for all $t, s \geq 0$,

$$w_K(t + s) \leq w_{\tilde{K}}(t) + w_{\tilde{K}}(s) + \log C.$$

Lemma 2.6 allows us to find $Q \in \mathcal{H}(T_h)$ such that $|Q(\xi)| \geq e^{w_{\tilde{K}}(|\operatorname{Re} \xi|)}$ for all $\xi \in T_h$. Define $P = Q(0)/Q$. Fix $c \in (1, a)$. By Lemma 2.3 (applied to cF and cG and with a/c playing the role of a in Lemma 2.3), we can choose $r > 0$ and $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, with all derivatives bounded, such that $\overline{T^{F, G}} + \overline{B}(0, r) \subseteq T^{\Phi, \Psi}$ and $\overline{T^{\Phi, \Psi}} \subseteq T^{cF, cG}$. We now show that we can represent $f(\xi)$ as an (improper) contour integral along the boundary of $T^{\Phi, \Psi}$ for all $\xi \in \overline{T^{F, G}}$. More precisely, we have:

Claim 1: *Define the contours $\Gamma_1 = \{t + i\Phi(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $\Gamma_2 = \{t - i\Psi(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Orient Γ_1 from ‘right to left’ and Γ_2 from ‘left to right’. Then, $f(\xi) = I_1(\xi) + I_2(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in \overline{T^{F, G}}$, where*

$$I_k(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_k} \frac{f(z)P(z - \xi)}{z - \xi} dz, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

Proof: Let $\xi \in \overline{T^{F,G}}$ be arbitrary. For $R > |\operatorname{Re} \xi|$, we set

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_1^R &= \{t + i\Phi(t) | t \in [-R, R]\}, \\ \Gamma_2^R &= \{t - i\Psi(t) | t \in [-R, R]\}, \\ \Gamma_3^R &= [R - i\Psi(R), R + i\Phi(R)], \\ \Gamma_4^R &= [-R - i\Psi(-R), -R + i\Phi(-R)],\end{aligned}$$

where we orient the closed contour $\bigcup_{i=1}^4 \Gamma_i^R$ counterclockwise. Since $P(0) = 1$, the Cauchy integral formula yields

$$f(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^4 I_k(\xi, R),$$

where

$$I_k(\xi, R) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_k^R} \frac{f(z)P(z - \xi)}{z - \xi} dz, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

It suffices to show that $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} I_k(\xi, R) = I_k(\xi)$ for $k = 1, 2$ and $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} I_k(\xi, R) = 0$ for $k = 3, 4$. We only consider $k = 1, 3$, as the other cases can be treated similarly. We have, as $R \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}|I_1(\xi) - I_1(\xi, R)| &\leq e^{w_N(|\operatorname{Re} \xi|)} |I_1(\xi) - I_1(\xi, R)| \\ &\leq \frac{A}{2\pi} \int_{t \in \mathbb{R}, |t| \geq R} \frac{e^{w_L(|t|)} |f(t + i\Phi(t))| e^{w_L(|t - \operatorname{Re} \xi|)} |P(t + i\Phi(t) - \xi)|}{|t + i\Phi(t) - \xi|} |1 + i\Phi'(t)| dt \\ &\leq \frac{A|Q(0)|}{2\pi r} (1 + \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} |\Phi'(s)|) \sup_{z \in T^{cF, cG}} (e^{w_M(|\operatorname{Re} z|)} |f(z)|) \int_{t \in \mathbb{R}, |t| \geq R} e^{w_L(|t|) - w_M(|t|)} \rightarrow 0,\end{aligned}$$

in view of (4.2), and

$$\begin{aligned}|I_3(\xi, R)| &\leq \frac{|Q(0)|}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_3} \frac{|f(z)|}{|z - \xi|} |dz| \\ &\leq \frac{|Q(0)|}{2\pi} \sup_{z \in T^{cF, cG}} |f(z)| \frac{\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \Phi(t) + \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \Psi(t)}{R - |\operatorname{Re} \xi|} \rightarrow 0.\end{aligned}$$

■

An inspection of the proof of the previous Claim shows for $k = 1, 2$, as $R \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sup_{\xi \in \overline{T^{F,G}}} e^{w_N(|\operatorname{Re} \xi|)} |I_k(\xi) - I_k(\xi, R)| \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence,

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\xi \in \overline{T^{F,G}}} e^{w_N(|\operatorname{Re} \xi|)} \left| f(\xi) - I_1(\xi, R) - I_2(\xi, R) \right| = 0.$$

The idea is now to use the integral representation we just proved to approximate f uniformly on $\overline{T^{F,G}}$, with respect to the weight e^{w_N} , via Riemann sums. Hereafter, we will approximate these Riemann sums uniformly, again with respect to e^{w_N} , on $\overline{T^{F,G}}$ with functions belonging to $\mathcal{U}_{w_K}(T^{bF, bG})$ by using Lemma 4.2 (pole pushing). From now on we fix an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$.

Claim 2: *There are $J \in \mathbb{N}$, $z_1, \dots, z_J \in \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$, and $C_1, \dots, C_J \in \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$\sup_{\xi \in \overline{T^{F,G}}} e^{w_N(|\operatorname{Re} \xi|)} \left| f(\xi) - \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{C_j P(z_j - \xi)}{z_j - \xi} \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Proof: Equation (4.3) implies that there is $R > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{\xi \in \overline{T^{F,G}}} e^{w_N(|\operatorname{Re} \xi|)} \left| f(\xi) - I_1(\xi, R) - I_2(\xi, R) \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Hence, it suffices to show, for $k = 1, 2$, that there are $J_k \in \mathbb{N}$, $z_1, \dots, z_{J_k} \in \Gamma_k$ and $C_1, \dots, C_{J_k} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(4.4) \quad \sup_{\xi \in \overline{T^{F,G}}} e^{w_N(|\operatorname{Re} \xi|)} \left| I_k(\xi, R) - \sum_{j=1}^{J_k} \frac{C_j P(z_j - \xi)}{z_j - \xi} \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{8}.$$

We only consider $k = 1$, as $k = 2$ is completely analogous. For convenience, define

$$H_1(t, \xi) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{f(t + i\Phi(t))P(t + i\Phi(t) - \xi)}{t + i\Phi(t) - \xi} (1 + i\Phi'(t))$$

for $(t, \xi) \in [-R, R] \times \overline{T^{F,G}}$. Then, for all $t \in [-R, R]$ and $\xi \in \overline{T^{F,G}}$ with $|\operatorname{Re} \xi| > R$,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{w_N(|\operatorname{Re} \xi|)} |H_1(t, \xi)| &\leq \frac{A|Q(0)| \sup_{z \in \overline{T^{F,G}}} (e^{w_M(|\operatorname{Re} z|)} |f(z)|)}{2\pi(|\operatorname{Re} \xi| - R)} (1 + \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |\Phi'(t)|) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |\operatorname{Re} \xi| \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there is $B > R$ such that, for all $t \in [-R, R]$ and $\xi \in \overline{T^{F,G}}$ with $|\operatorname{Re} \xi| > B$,

$$e^{w_N(|\operatorname{Re} \xi|)} |H_1(t, \xi)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{32R}.$$

The continuity of H_1 insures that there is $\delta > 0$ such that, for all $t, t' \in [-R, R]$ and $\xi \in \overline{T^{F,G}}$ with $|\operatorname{Re} \xi| \leq B$,

$$|t - t'| \leq \delta \implies e^{w_N(|\operatorname{Re} \xi|)} |H_1(t, \xi) - H_1(t', \xi)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{16R}.$$

Choose now $J_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ so large that $2R/J_1 \leq \delta$. Define $t_j = -R + 2jR/J_1$ for $j = 1, \dots, J_1$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{\xi \in \overline{T^{F,G}}} e^{w_N(|\operatorname{Re} \xi|)} \left| I_1(\xi, R) - \sum_{j=1}^{J_1} H_1(t_j, \xi) \frac{2R}{J_1} \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{\xi \in \overline{T^{F,G}}} e^{w_N(|\operatorname{Re} \xi|)} \left| \int_{-R}^R H_1(t, \xi) dt - \sum_{j=1}^{J_1} H_1(t_j, \xi) \frac{2R}{J_1} \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{J_1} \frac{\varepsilon}{16R} \frac{2R}{J_1} = \frac{\varepsilon}{8}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows (4.4) for $k = 1$. ■

Next, we approximate the function $\sum_{j=1}^J C_j P(z_j - \xi)(z_j - \xi)^{-1}$ from the previous

Claim uniformly on $\overline{T^{F,G}}$ with respect to the weight e^{w_N} .

Claim 3: *There are rational functions R_1, \dots, R_J such that*

(i) For all $j = 1, \dots, J$ we have

$$R_j(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{d_j} \gamma_{j,k} (z - \alpha_j)^{-k}$$

with $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{T^{bF, bG}}$, $\gamma_{j,k} \in \mathbb{C}$, and $d_j \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, $\sup_{z \in \overline{T^{bF, bG}}} |R_j(z)| < \infty$ for all $j = 1, \dots, J$.

$$(ii) \sup_{\xi \in \overline{T^{F, G}}} e^{w_N(\operatorname{Re} \xi)} \left| \sum_{j=1}^J C_j P(z_j - \xi) (z_j - \xi)^{-1} - \sum_{j=1}^J C_j P(z_j - \xi) R_j(\xi) \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Proof: It holds that

$$D = \max_{1 \leq j \leq J} \sup_{\xi \in \overline{T^{F, G}}} e^{w_N(\operatorname{Re} \xi)} |C_j P(z_j - \xi)| \leq A |Q(0)| \max_{1 \leq j \leq J} |C_j| e^{w_L(\operatorname{Re} z_j)} < \infty.$$

Choose a complex number $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{T_h}$ for each $j = 1, \dots, J$, such that the line segment $[\alpha_j, z_j]$ lies in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{T^{F, G}}$. By Lemma 4.2, we obtain a rational function R_j with α_j as only pole that satisfies

$$\sup_{\xi \in \overline{T^{F, G}}} \left| \frac{1}{z_j - \xi} - R_j(\xi) \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2DJ}.$$

This implies the result. ■

Combining the two previous Claims, we find $J \in \mathbb{N}$, $z_1, \dots, z_J \in \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$, and rational functions R_1, \dots, R_J with poles in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{T^{bF, bG}}$ and bounded on $\overline{T^{bF, bG}}$ such that

$$(4.5) \quad \sup_{\xi \in \overline{T^{F, G}}} e^{w_N(\operatorname{Re} \xi)} \left| f(\xi) - \sum_{j=1}^J C_j P(z_j - \xi) R_j(\xi) \right| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Now define

$$g(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^J C_j P(z_j - \xi) R_j(\xi),$$

for $\xi \in T^{bF, bG}$. Clearly, g belongs to $\mathcal{H}(T^{bF, bG})$, satisfies the inequality in (4.1), and, since

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\xi \in \overline{T^{bF, bG}}} (e^{w_K(\operatorname{Re} \xi)} |g(\xi)|) &\leq C \sup_{\xi \in \overline{T^{bF, bG}}} \sum_{j=1}^J |C_j| e^{w_{\tilde{K}}(\operatorname{Re} \xi - \operatorname{Re} z_j)} e^{w_{\tilde{K}}(\operatorname{Re} z_j)} |P(z_j - \xi)| |R_j(\xi)| \\ &\leq C |Q(0)| \sum_{j=1}^J |C_j| e^{w_{\tilde{K}}(\operatorname{Re} z_j)} \sup_{\xi \in \overline{T^{bF, bG}}} |R_j(\xi)| < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

we have $g \in \mathcal{U}_{w_K}(T^{bF, bG})$. □

5. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3.1. We start with an auxiliary result that is inspired by the proof of the well-known fact, due to Grothendieck, that an elliptic constant coefficient partial differential operator $P(D): C^\infty(\Omega) \rightarrow C^\infty(\Omega)$, $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open and $d \geq 2$, does not have a continuous linear right inverse; see for example [16, Appendix C].

Proposition 5.1. *Let $F, G \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ and let $W = (w_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a weight function system. Let $P(D)$ be an elliptic constant coefficient partial differential operator with $\deg P \geq 1$. Suppose that $P(D): \mathcal{K}_W(T^{F,G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_W(T^{F,G})$ is surjective. Then, the space $\ker P(D) \subseteq \mathcal{K}_W(T^{F,G})$ is non-trivial.*

Proof. Suppose that $\ker P(D) \subseteq \mathcal{K}_W(T^{F,G})$ is trivial. Then, $P(D): \mathcal{K}_W(T^{F,G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_W(T^{F,G})$ is a continuous linear bijection. By the open mapping theorem, it is then an isomorphism between Fréchet spaces. Hence, this map has a continuous linear inverse $I: \mathcal{K}_W(T^{F,G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_W(T^{F,G})$. Fix $a \in (0, 1)$. There are $b \in (0, 1)$, $A > 0$, and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $f \in \mathcal{K}_W(T^{F,G})$,

$$(5.1) \quad \sup_{\xi \in \overline{T^{aF, aG}}} |I(f)(\xi)| \leq A \sup_{\xi \in \overline{T^{bF, bG}}, |\alpha| \leq N} e^{w_N(|\operatorname{Re} \xi|)} |f^{(\alpha)}(\xi)|.$$

Set $c = \max\{a, b\}$. Next, take $z \in T^{F,G} \setminus \overline{T^{cF, cG}}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\overline{B}(z, \varepsilon) \subseteq T^{F,G} \setminus \overline{T^{cF, cG}}$. As usual, set $\mathcal{D}(B(z, \varepsilon)) = \{\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \mid \operatorname{supp} \varphi \subseteq B(z, \varepsilon)\}$. We now prove the following claim.

Claim: $I(\mathcal{D}(B(z, \varepsilon))) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(B(z, \varepsilon))$. Consequently, $P(D): \mathcal{D}(B(z, \varepsilon)) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(B(z, \varepsilon))$ is surjective.

Proof: Take an arbitrary $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(B(z, \varepsilon))$ and choose $r \in (0, \varepsilon)$ such that $\operatorname{supp} \varphi \subseteq B(z, r)$. On the one hand, we have that $P(D)(I(\varphi))(\xi) = \varphi(\xi) = 0$ for all $\xi \in T^{F,G} \setminus \overline{B}(z, r)$. Hence, as $P(D)$ is elliptic, we obtain that $I(\varphi)$ is real analytic on the open domain $T^{F,G} \setminus \overline{B}(z, r)$. On the other hand, inequality (5.1) implies that $I(\varphi) \equiv 0$ on $T^{aF, aG}$. The uniqueness property for real analytic functions yields $I(\varphi) \equiv 0$ on the open domain $T^{F,G} \setminus \overline{B}(z, r)$. Hence, $I(\varphi) \in \mathcal{D}(B(z, \varepsilon))$. \blacksquare

Since $P(D): \mathcal{D}(B(z, \varepsilon)) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(B(z, \varepsilon))$ is surjective, its transpose $P(D)^t = P(-D): \mathcal{D}'(B(z, \varepsilon)) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(B(z, \varepsilon))$ is injective. This means that $\ker P(-D) \subseteq \mathcal{D}'(B(z, \varepsilon))$ is trivial. However, this is a contradiction, since, due to the fundamental theorem of algebra, there are always $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(x, y) = e^{\lambda x + \mu y}$ satisfies $P(-D)f = 0$. \square

We are ready to show Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. (iii) \implies (i). We use the abstract Mittag-Leffler lemma (Proposition 2.11) to show this implication. Let $(a_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing positive sequence that converges to 1. We define the projective spectrum \mathcal{X} as the sequence $(\mathcal{K}_{w_N}(T^{a_N F, a_N G}))_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ together with the natural restriction maps $\rho_M^N: \mathcal{K}_{w_M}(T^{a_M F, a_M G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{w_N}(T^{a_N F, a_N G})$. Consider the morphism $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ given by

$$(\bar{\partial}: \mathcal{K}_{w_N}(T^{a_N F, a_N G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{w_N}(T^{a_N F, a_N G}))_{N \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Then, $\operatorname{Proj} \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{K}_W(T^{F,G})$ and $\operatorname{Proj} f: \operatorname{Proj} \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \operatorname{Proj} \mathcal{X}$ coincides with $\bar{\partial}: \mathcal{K}_W(T^{F,G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_W(T^{F,G})$. Hence, by Theorems 2.11 and 2.12, it suffices to show the following two properties:

(AP) For all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $M > N$ such that for all $K > M$:

$$\rho_M^N(\mathcal{U}_{w_M}(T^{a_M F, a_M G})) \subseteq \overline{\rho_K^N(\mathcal{U}_{w_K}(T^{a_K F, a_K G}))}^{\mathcal{U}_{w_N}(T^{a_N F, a_N G})}.$$

(LP) For all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $M > N$ such that for all $g \in \mathcal{K}_{w_M}(T^{a_M F, a_M G})$ there is $f \in \mathcal{K}_{w_N}(T^{a_N F, a_N G})$ such that $\bar{\partial} f = g$ on $T^{a_N F, a_N G}$.

In view of Lemma 2.8, property (AP) follows from Theorem 4.1 with $a = a_M/a_N$ and $b = a_K/a_N$. We now establish property (LP). Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary. Using

Lemma 2.5, we find $M > N$ and $C > 1$ such that, for all $t, s \geq 0$,

$$w_N(t+s) \leq w_M(t) + w_M(s) + \log C.$$

Let $g \in \mathcal{K}_{w_M}(T^{a_M F, a_M G})$ be arbitrary. Condition (N) implies that there is $K > M$ such that

$$(5.2) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{w_M(t) - w_K(t)} dt < \infty.$$

Choose $h > 2a_M \max\{\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} F(t), \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} G(t)\}$. By Lemma 2.6, there is $Q \in \mathcal{H}(T_h)$ such that $|Q(\xi)| \geq e^{w_K(|\operatorname{Re} \xi|)}$ for all $\xi \in T_h$. Define $P = Q(0)/Q$. Fix $b \in (a_N, a_M)$. Lemma 2.2 allows us to choose $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $\operatorname{supp} \varphi \subseteq T^{bF, bG}$ such that $\varphi \equiv 1$ on $\overline{T^{a_N F, a_N G}}$ and $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} |\varphi^{(\alpha)}(z)| < \infty$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2$. Set $f = (g\varphi) * (P(z)/(\pi z)) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Since $P(0) = 1$, $P \in \mathcal{H}(T_h)$, $(\pi z)^{-1}$ is a fundamental solution of $\bar{\partial}$, and $\varphi \equiv 1$ on $T^{a_N F, a_N G}$, we have $\bar{\partial} f = g$ on $T^{a_N F, a_N G}$. We now show that $f \in \mathcal{K}_{w_N}(T^{a_N F, a_N G})$. The relation (5.2) and the integrability of z^{-1} at $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ imply that

$$\int_{T_h} e^{w_M(|\operatorname{Re} z|)} \frac{|P(z)|}{\pi|z|} dx dy < \infty.$$

Hence, we have, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2$ and $\xi \in T^{a_N F, a_N G}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{w_N(|\operatorname{Re} \xi|)} |f^{(\alpha)}(\xi)| \\ & \leq e^{w_N(|\operatorname{Re} \xi|)} \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \int_{\xi - T^{bF, bG}} |g^{(\beta)}(\xi - z)| |\varphi^{(\alpha-\beta)}(\xi - z)| \frac{|P(z)|}{\pi|z|} dx dy \\ & \leq C \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \sup_{w \in \mathbb{C}} |\varphi^{(\alpha-\beta)}(w)| \sup_{w \in T^{bF, bG}} (e^{w_M(|\operatorname{Re} w|)} |g^{(\beta)}(w)|) \int_{T_h} e^{w_M(|\operatorname{Re} z|)} \frac{|P(z)|}{\pi|z|} dx dy \\ & < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

(i) \implies (iv). This follows from Proposition 5.1 with $P(D) = \bar{\partial}$.

(iv) \implies (iii). We follow the same idea as in the proof of [2, Proposition 4.2]. Fix $a \in (0, 1)$ and choose $h > 0$ such that $h < a \min\{\inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} F(t), \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} G(t)\}$. Applying [2, Lemma 3.4], any $f \in \mathcal{H}(T_h) \setminus \{0\}$ that is continuous and bounded on $\overline{T_h}$ must satisfy

$$-\infty < \int_{-\infty}^\infty \log|f(t)| e^{-\pi|t|/h} dt.$$

Choose $f \in \mathcal{U}_W(T^{F, G}) \setminus \{0\}$. For all $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & -\infty < \int_{-\infty}^\infty \log|f(t)| e^{-\pi|t|/h} dt \\ & = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \log|e^{w_N(N|t)} f(t)| e^{-\pi|t|/h} dt - \int_{-\infty}^\infty w_N(N|t) e^{-\pi|t|/h} dt \\ & \leq \log \left(\sup_{\xi \in \overline{T^{aF, aG}}} e^{w_N(N|\operatorname{Re} \xi|)} |f(\xi)| \right) \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-\pi|t|/h} dt - \frac{1}{N} \int_{-\infty}^\infty w_N(|t|) e^{-\pi|t|/(Nh)} dt \end{aligned}$$

Since W satisfies (α) , we obtain that $\sup_{\xi \in \overline{T^{aF, aG}}} e^{w_N(N|\operatorname{Re} \xi|)} |f(\xi)| < \infty$. Therefore,

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty w_N(|t|) e^{-\pi|t|/(Nh)} dt = 2 \int_0^\infty w_N(t) e^{-\pi t/(Nh)} dt < \infty.$$

Since $N \in \mathbb{N}$ was arbitrary, we may conclude that W satisfies $(\epsilon)_0$.

(i) \implies (ii). By complex conjugation, the operator $2\partial = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ is surjective on $\mathcal{K}_W(T^{F,G})$ as well. Since $\Delta = 4\partial\bar{\partial}$, the result follows.

(ii) \implies (iv). Proposition 5.1 with $P(D) = \Delta$ tells us that there is a harmonic function in $\mathcal{K}_W(T^{F,G})$ that is non-identically zero. By passing to its real or imaginary part, we can find a real-valued harmonic function $u \in \mathcal{K}_W(T^{F,G}) \setminus \{0\}$. Define $v = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - i\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \in \mathcal{K}_W(T^{F,G})$. Then, $2\bar{\partial}v = \Delta u = 0$. Thus $v \in \mathcal{U}_W(T^{F,G})$. Moreover, v is non-identically zero; it is therefore our sought non-trivial element of $\mathcal{U}_W(T^{F,G})$. Indeed, if v would identically vanish, then $\nabla u = 0$ and u would be constant. But u vanishes at infinity, what would lead to $u \equiv 0$, a contradiction. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie, and J. L. Teugels, *Regular variation*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [2] A. Debrouwere and J. Vindas, *On the non-triviality of certain spaces of analytic functions. Hyperfunctions and ultrahyperfunctions of fast growth*, RACSAM **112** (2018), 473–508.
- [3] L. Ehrenpreis, *Solutions of some problems of division III*, Amer. J. Math. **78** (1956), 685–715.
- [4] L. Hörmander, *On the division of distributions by polynomials*, Ark. Mat. **3** (1958), 555–568.
- [5] L. Hörmander, *On the range of convolution operators*, Ann. of Math. **76** (1962), 148–170.
- [6] L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators, II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.
- [7] K. Kruse, *Parameter dependence of solutions of the Cauchy-Riemann equation on weighted spaces of smooth functions*, RACSAM **114** (2020), 24.
- [8] K. Kruse, *Surjectivity of the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator between weighted spaces of smooth vector-valued functions*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **67** (2021), 2676–2707.
- [9] K. Kruse, *The inhomogeneous Cauchy-Riemann equation for weighted smooth vector-valued functions on strips with holes*, Collect. Math. **74** (2023), 81–112.
- [10] M. Langenbruch, *Right inverses for partial differential operators on Fourier hyperfunctions*, Studia Math. **183** (2007), 273–299.
- [11] J. Larcher, *Surjectivity of differential operators and the division problem in certain function and distribution spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **409** (2014), 91–99.
- [12] S. Lojasiewicz, *Sur le problème de la division*, Studia Math. **18** (1959), 87–136.
- [13] B. Malgrange, *Existence et approximation des solutions des équations aux dérivées partielles et des équation de convolution*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **6** (1955/56), 271–355.
- [14] N. Ortner and P. Wagner, *Applications of $O(p, q)$ -invariant distributions*, Math. Nachr. **290** (2017), 2995–3005.
- [15] E. Stein and R. Shakarchi, *Complex analysis*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2003.
- [16] F. Trèves, *Locally convex spaces and linear partial differential equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967.
- [17] J. Wengenroth, *Derived functors in functional analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.

A. DEBROUWERE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND DATA SCIENCE, VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT BRUSSEL, BELGIUM, PLEINLAAN 2, 1050 BRUSSELS, BELGIUM
Email address: `Andreas.Debrouwere@vub.be`

Q. VAN BOXSTAEEL, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND DATA SCIENCE, VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT BRUSSEL, BELGIUM, PLEINLAAN 2, 1050 BRUSSELS, BELGIUM
Email address: `Quinten.Van.Boxstael@vub.be`

J. VINDAS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS: ANALYSIS, LOGIC AND DISCRETE MATHEMATICS, GHENT UNIVERSITY, KRIJGSLAAN 281, 9000 GHENT, BELGIUM
Email address: `Jasson.Vindas@UGent.be`