

# Pullback measure attractors for non-autonomous stochastic FitzHugh-Nagumo system with distribution dependence on unbounded domains \*

Ruiyan Hu, Dingshi Li, Tianhao Zeng<sup>†</sup>

*School of Mathematics, Southwest Jiaotong University, Chengdu, 610031, P. R. China*

**Abstract** This paper is primarily focused on the asymptotic dynamics of a non-autonomous stochastic FitzHugh-Nagumo system with distribution dependence, specifically on unbounded domains  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Initially, we establish the well-posedness of solutions for the FitzHugh-Nagumo system with distribution dependence by utilizing the Banach fixed-point theorem. Subsequently, we demonstrate the existence and uniqueness of pullback measure attractors for this system through the application of splitting techniques, tail-end estimates and Vitali's theorem.

**Keywords** FitzHugh-Nagumo system; distribution dependence; pullback measure attractor.

**MSC 2010.** Primary 37L55; Secondary 34F05, 37L30, 60H10

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the asymptotic behaviors of the following non-autonomous stochastic FitzHugh-Nagumo system with distribution dependence driven by nonlinear noise on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} du(t) - \Delta u(t) dt + \lambda u(t) dt + \alpha v(t) dt + f(t, x, u, \mathcal{L}_{u(t)}) dt = G_1(t, x, u, \mathcal{L}_{u(t)}) dt \\ + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\theta_{1,k}(t, x) + w(x) \sigma_k(t, u, \mathcal{L}_{u(t)})) dW_k(t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > \tau, \\ dv(t) + \gamma v(t) dt - \beta u(t) dt = G_2(t, x) dt \\ + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\theta_{2,k}(t, x) + \delta_k v(t)) dW_k(t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > \tau, \end{array} \right. \quad (1.1)$$

with initial condition

$$u(\tau, x) = \xi_1, \quad v(\tau, x) = \xi_2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.2)$$

---

\*This work was supported by NSFC (12371178) and Natural Science Foundation of Sichuan province under grant 2023NSFSC1342.

<sup>†</sup>Corresponding authors: zengtianhao123@my.swjtu.edu.cn (T. Zeng).

where  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda, \alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  are positive constants and satisfy  $\gamma > \lambda$ ,  $f$  is a nonlinear function with arbitrary growth rate,  $\mathcal{L}_{u(t)}$  is the distribution of  $u(t)$ ,  $G_1$  is a Lipschitz function,  $G_2 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^4(\mathbb{R}^n))$ ,  $\theta_{i,k} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , are given,  $w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\sigma_k$  is a nonlinear diffusion term for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\delta_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , are nonnegative constants and  $\{W_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of independent standard real-valued Wiener processes on a complete filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{P})$ , respectively.

Distribution-dependent stochastic differential equations (SDEs), alternatively known as McKean-Vlasov SDEs (MVSDEs) or mean-field SDEs, are equations that depend not only on the state of their solutions but also on the distribution of those solutions. This dependency causes the Markov operators associated with them to lose their semigroup properties. Recent years have seen a surge of interest in these equations, following pioneering works such as [15, 21]. The existence and uniqueness of solutions have been firmly established in studies including [5, 6, 12, 10, 13, 22, 27]. Additionally, singular coefficients have been explored in [2, 9, 11, 23], while large deviation principles have been introduced in [3, 20, 32, 34]. It is noteworthy that Shi et al. [25] have investigated the existence of pullback measure attractors for distribution-dependent stochastic reaction-diffusion equations.

The Fitzhugh-Nagumo system, as a mathematical model, is dedicated to describing the transmission of signals within the nervous system, as documented in [14, 24]. The limiting behavior of solutions to the Fitzhugh-Nagumo system, particularly when defined on unbounded domains, has been extensively studied in numerous works, including [1, 4, 7, 8, 26, 28, 29, 33, 35, 36]. For bounded domains, similar investigations have been conducted in [16, 18, 19]. However, the literature has yet to explore the Fitzhugh-Nagumo system with distribution dependence. In this paper, we delve into the dynamics of the Fitzhugh-Nagumo system with distribution dependence, specifically as it is defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

For simplicity, in the following the norm of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is denoted as  $\|\cdot\|$  and we write  $\xi_0 = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$ ,  $\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\mathbb{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) = H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . To describe the main results of this paper, we denote by  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  the space of probability measures on  $(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)))$ , where  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The weak topology of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  is metrizable, and the corresponding metric is denoted by  $d_{\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}$ . Set

$$\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) = \left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) : \int_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\xi\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^4 d\mu(\xi) < \infty \right\}.$$

Then  $(\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)), d_{\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))})$  is a metric space. Given  $r > 0$ , denote by

$$B_{\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}(r) = \left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) : \int_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\xi\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^4 d\mu(\xi) \leq r^4 \right\}.$$

Given  $\tau \leq t$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , let  $P_{\tau,t}^* \mu$  be the law of the solution of (1.1)-(1.2) with initial

law  $\mu$  at initial time  $\tau$ . If  $\phi : \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a bounded Borel function, then we write

$$p_{\tau,t}\phi(u_\tau) = \mathbb{E}(\phi(k(t, \tau, \xi_0))), \quad \forall \xi_0 \in \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where  $k(t, \tau, \xi_0) = (u(t, \tau, \xi_0), v(t, \tau, \xi_0))$  is the solution of (1.1)-(1.2) with initial value  $\xi_0$  at initial time  $\tau$ . Note that for the McKean-Vlasov stochastic equation as the equation of (1.1),  $P_{\tau,t}^*$  is not the dual of  $P_{\tau,t}$  (see [27]) in the sense that

$$\int_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} P_{\tau,t}\phi(\xi) d\mu(\xi) \neq \int_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \phi(\xi) dP_{\tau,t}^*(\xi), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and  $\phi : \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a bounded Borel function.

The main goal of this paper is to prove that the system (1.1)-(1.2) admits a unique pullback measure attractors  $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{A}(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}$  in  $\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The first difficulty lies in the fact that Sobolev embeddings are not compact as a result of the Fitzhugh-Nagumo system is partially dissipative systems. To overcome this obstacle, we need to decompose  $v$  into two functions, one part is uniformly asymptotically null in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and the other is regular in the sense that it belongs to  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . The second obstacle lies in the fact that we can't directly obtain that  $\{P_{\tau,t}^*\}_{\tau \leq t}$  is a continuous non-autonomous dynamical system on  $(\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)), d_{\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))})$  as a result of  $P_{\tau,t}^*$  is no longer the dual of  $P_{\tau,t}$  as demonstrated by (1.3). To solve this problem, we will take the advantage of the regularity of  $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and apply the Vitali's theorem to prove the continuity of  $\{P_{\tau,t}^*\}_{\tau \leq t}$  on the subspace  $(B_{\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}(r), d_{\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))})$  instead of the entire space  $(\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)), d_{\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))})$ .

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we recall the abstract theory of pullback measure attractors. In Section 3, we give some assumptions which will be used in subsequent estimates. Section 4 is devoted to obtain the well-posedness of (1.1)-(1.2). Section 5 is devoted to obtain the necessary estimates about the solutions of (1.1)-(1.2). In Section 6, we establish the existence and uniqueness of pullback measure attractors for the system (1.1)-(1.2).

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, in order to discuss issues in an abstract framework, we recall some abstract theory of pullback measure attractors [17, 25].

In the following, let  $X$  be a separable Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|_X$ . Define  $C_b(X)$  as the space of bounded continuous functions  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  endowed with the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in X} |\varphi(x)|.$$

Let  $L_b(X)$  denote the space of bounded Lipschitz functions on  $X$  equipped with the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_L = \|\varphi\|_\infty + \text{Lip}(\varphi),$$

where

$$\text{Lip}(\varphi) := \sup_{\substack{x_1, x_2 \in X \\ x_1 \neq x_2}} \frac{|\varphi(x_1) - \varphi(x_2)|}{\|x_1 - x_2\|_X} < \infty,$$

for  $f \in C_b(X)$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  the set of probability measure on  $(X, \mathcal{B}(X))$ , where  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $X$ . Given  $\varphi \in C_b(X)$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ , we write

$$(\varphi, \mu) = \int_X \varphi(x) \mu(dx).$$

We say that a sequence  $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  is weakly convergent to  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  if and only if for every  $\varphi \in C_b(X)$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi, \mu_n) = (\varphi, \mu).$$

The weak topology of  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  is metrizable with metric given by

$$d_{\mathcal{P}(X)}(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in L_b(X) \\ \|\varphi\|_L \leq 1}} |(\varphi, \mu_1) - (\varphi, \mu_2)|, \quad \forall \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}(X).$$

Note that the  $(\mathcal{P}(X), d)$  is a Polish space. Denote  $(\mathcal{P}_p(X), \mathbb{W}_p)$  be the Polish space such that for all  $p \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathcal{P}_p(X) = \left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{P}(X) : \int_X \|x\|_X^p \mu(dx) < \infty \right\},$$

and

$$\mathbb{W}_p(\mu, \nu) = \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)} \left( \int_{X \times X} \|x - y\|_X^p \pi(dx, dy) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(X),$$

where  $\Pi(\mu, \nu)$  is the set of all couplings of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ , the metric  $\mathbb{W}_p$  is called the Wasserstein distance. Given  $r > 0$ , define

$$B_{\mathcal{P}_p(X)}(r) = \left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{P}_p(X) : \left( \int_X \|x\|_X^p \mu(dx) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq r \right\}.$$

A subset  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_p(X)$  is bounded if there is  $r > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq B_{\mathcal{P}_p(X)}(r)$ . If  $\mathcal{E}$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$ , then we set

$$\|\mathcal{E}\|_{\mathcal{P}_p(X)} = \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{E}} \left( \int_X \|x\|_X^p \mu(dx) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Since  $(\mathcal{P}_p(X), \mathbb{W}_p)$  is a Polish space, but  $(\mathcal{P}_p(X), d_{\mathcal{P}_p(X)})$  is not complete. Note that for any  $r > 0$ ,  $B_{\mathcal{P}_p(X)}(r)$  is a closed subset of  $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$  with respect to the metric  $d_{\mathcal{P}_p(X)}$ , we know that the space  $(B_{\mathcal{P}_p(X)}(r), d_{\mathcal{P}_p(X)})$  is complete for every  $r > 0$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A family  $S = \{S(t, \tau) : t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$  of mappings from  $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$  to  $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$  is called a continuous non-autonomous dynamical system on  $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$ , if for all  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , the following conditions are satisfied

- (a)  $S(0, \tau) = I_{\mathcal{P}_p(X)}$ , where  $I_{\mathcal{P}_p(X)}$  is the identity operator on  $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$ ;
- (b)  $S(t + s, \tau) = S(t, s + \tau) \circ S(s, \tau)$ ;
- (c)  $S(t, \tau) : \mathcal{P}_p(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_p(X)$  is continuous.

**Definition 2.2.** A set  $D \subseteq \mathcal{P}_p(X)$  is called a bounded subset if there is  $r > 0$  such that  $D \subseteq B_{\mathcal{P}_p(X)}(r)$ .

In the sequel, we denote by  $\mathcal{D}$  a collection of some families of nonempty subsets of  $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$  parametrized by  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , that is,

$$\mathcal{D} = \{D = \{D(\tau) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_p(X) : D(\tau) \neq \emptyset, \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} : D \text{ satisfies some conditions}\}.$$

**Definition 2.3.** A collection  $\mathcal{D}$  of some families of nonempty subsets of  $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$  is said to be neighborhood-closed if for each  $D = \{D(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}$ , there exists a positive number  $\epsilon$  depending on  $D$  such that the family

$$\{B(\tau) : B(\tau) \text{ is a nonempty subset of } \mathcal{N}_\epsilon(D(\tau)), \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

also belongs to  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Note that the neighborhood closedness of  $\mathcal{D}$  implies for each  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ ,

$$\tilde{D} = \{\tilde{D}(\tau) : \emptyset \neq \tilde{D}(\tau) \subseteq D(\tau), \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}. \quad (2.1)$$

A collection  $\mathcal{D}$  satisfying (2.1) is said to be inclusion-closed in the literature.

**Definition 2.4.** A family  $K = \{K(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}$  is called a  $\mathcal{D}$ -pullback absorbing set for  $S$  if for each  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and every  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ , there exists  $T = T(\tau, D) > 0$  such that

$$S(t, \tau - t)D(\tau - t) \subseteq K(\tau), \quad \text{for all } t \geq T.$$

**Definition 2.5.** The non-autonomous dynamical system  $S$  is said to be  $\mathcal{D}$ -pullback asymptotically compact in  $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$  if for each  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\{S(t_n, \tau - t_n)\mu_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  has a convergent subsequence in  $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$  whenever  $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $\mu_n \in D(\tau - t_n)$  with  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ .

**Definition 2.6.** A family  $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{A}(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}$  is called a  $\mathcal{D}$ -pullback measure attractor for  $S$  if the following conditions are satisfied,

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$  is compact in  $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$  for each  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ ;

- (ii)  $\mathcal{A}$  is invariant, that is,  $S(t, \tau)\mathcal{A}(\tau) = \mathcal{A}(t + \tau)$ , for all  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ;  
 (iii)  $\mathcal{A}$  attracts every set in  $\mathcal{D}$ , that is, for each  $D = \{D(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} d(S(t, \tau - t)D(\tau - t), \mathcal{A}(\tau)) = 0.$$

**Definition 2.7.** A mapping  $\psi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_p(X)$  is called a complete orbit of  $S$  if for every  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , the following holds

$$S(t, s + \tau)\psi(s, \tau) = \psi(t + s, \tau). \quad (2.2)$$

In addition, if there exists  $D = \{D(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $\psi(t, \tau)$  belongs to  $D(\tau + t)$  for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\psi$  is called a  $\mathcal{D}$ -complete orbit of  $S$ .

**Definition 2.8.** A mapping  $\xi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_p(X)$  is called a complete solution of  $S$  if for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , the following holds

$$S(t, \tau)\xi(\tau) = \xi(t + \tau).$$

In addition, if there exists  $D = \{D(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $\xi(\tau)$  belongs to  $D(\tau)$  for every  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\xi$  is called a  $\mathcal{D}$ -complete solution of  $S$ .

**Definition 2.9.** For each  $D = \{D(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , the pullback  $\omega$ -limit set of  $D$  at  $\tau$  is defined by

$$\omega(D, \tau) := \bigcap_{s \geq 0} \overline{\bigcup_{t \geq s} S(t, \tau - t)D(\tau - t)},$$

that is,

$$\omega(D, \tau) = \left\{ \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(X) : \text{there exists } t_n \rightarrow \infty, \mu_n \in D(\tau - t_n) \text{ such that } \nu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(t_n, \tau - t_n)\mu_n \right\}.$$

Based on above notation, we give the following main criterion about the existence and uniqueness of  $\mathcal{D}$ -pullback measure attractor [30].

**Proposition 2.10.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a neighborhood-closed collection of families of subsets of  $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$  and  $S$  be a continuous non-autonomous dynamical system on  $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$ . Then  $S$  has a unique  $\mathcal{D}$ -pullback measure attractor  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$  if and only if  $S$  has a closed  $\mathcal{D}$ -pullback absorbing set  $K \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $S$  is  $\mathcal{D}$ -pullback asymptotically compact in  $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$ . The  $\mathcal{D}$ -pullback measure attractor  $\mathcal{A}$  is given by, for each  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(\tau) &= \omega(K, \tau) = \{\psi(0, \tau) : \psi \text{ is a } \mathcal{D}\text{-complete orbit of } S\} \\ &= \{\xi(\tau) : \xi \text{ is a } \mathcal{D}\text{-complete solution of } S\}. \end{aligned}$$

### 3 Abstract Formulation of Stochastic Equations

In this section, we present some assumptions that will be used in subsequent estimates. Throughout this paper, we set  $\delta_0$  for the Dirac probability measure at 0.

**(H1).** **Assumption on nonlinear term  $f$ .** Suppose  $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_2(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and differentiable with respect to the second and third arguments, that is for all  $t, u, u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mu, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_2(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ ,

$$f(t, x, 0, \delta_0) = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

$$f(t, x, u, \mu) u \geq \alpha_1 |u|^p - \phi_1(t, x) \left(1 + |u|^2\right) - \psi_1(x) \mu \left(\|\cdot\|^2\right), \quad (3.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t, x, u_1, \mu_1) - f(t, x, u_2, \mu_2)| \leq & \alpha_2 \left(\phi_2(t, x) + |u_1|^{p-2} + |u_2|^{p-2}\right) |u_1 - u_2| \\ & + \phi_3(t, x) \mathbb{W}(\mu_1, \mu_2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(t, x, u, \mu) \geq -\phi_4(t, x), \quad (3.4)$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, x, u, \mu) \right| \leq \phi_5(t, x) \left(1 + |u| + \sqrt{\mu \left(\|\cdot\|^2\right)}\right), \quad (3.5)$$

where  $p \geq 2$ ,  $\alpha_1 > 0$ ,  $\alpha_2 > 0$ ,  $\psi_1 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\phi_i \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ .

By (3.1)-(3.3) we can get that for all  $t, u \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ ,

$$|f(t, x, u, \mu)| \leq \alpha_3 |u|^{p-1} + \phi_6(t, x) \left(1 + \sqrt{\mu \left(\|\cdot\|^2\right)}\right), \quad (3.6)$$

where  $\alpha_3 > 0$  and  $\phi_6 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$ .

**(H2).** **Assumption on nonlinear terms  $G_1$ .** Suppose  $G_1 : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_2(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and differentiable with respect to the second and third arguments, that is for all  $t, u, u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mu, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_2(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ ,

$$|G_1(t, x, u, \mu)| \leq \phi_g(t, x) + \phi_7(t, x) |u| + \psi_g \sqrt{\mu \left(\|\cdot\|^2\right)}, \quad (3.7)$$

$$|G_1(t, x, u_1, \mu_1) - G_1(t, x, u_2, \mu_2)| \leq \phi_7(t, x) (|u_1 - u_2| + \mathbb{W}_2(\mu_1, \mu_2)), \quad (3.8)$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial G_1}{\partial x}(t, x, u, \mu) \right| \leq \phi_8(t, x) + \phi_7(t, x) \left(|u| + \sqrt{\mu \left(\|\cdot\|^2\right)}\right), \quad (3.9)$$

where  $\phi_7 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$ ,  $\psi_g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\phi_g, \phi_8 \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ .

By (3.8) we can get that for all  $t, u \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ ,

$$\left| \frac{\partial G_1}{\partial u}(t, x, u, \mu) \right| \leq \phi_7(t, x). \quad (3.10)$$

**(H3).** **Assumption on diffusion term  $\theta_i$ .** Suppose the function  $\theta_i = \{\theta_{i,k}\}_{k=1}^\infty : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are continuous and satisfy

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_t^{t+1} \|\nabla \theta_{i,k}(s)\|^2 ds < \infty, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2. \quad (3.11)$$

**(H4).** **Assumption on diffusion term  $\sigma_k$ .** Suppose for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sigma_k$  is continuous and  $\sigma_k(t, u, \mu)$  is differentiable in  $u$  and Lipschitz continuous in both  $u$  and  $\mu$  uniformly for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , that is for all  $t, u, u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mu, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_2(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ ,

$$|\sigma_k(t, u, \mu)| \leq \beta_{1,k} \left( 1 + \sqrt{\mu(\|\cdot\|^2)} \right) + \gamma_{1,k} |u|, \quad (3.12)$$

and

$$|\sigma_k(t, u_1, \mu_1) - \sigma_k(t, u_2, \mu_2)| \leq L_{\sigma,k} (|u_1 - u_2| + \mathbb{W}_2(\mu_1, \mu_2)), \quad (3.13)$$

where  $\beta_1 = \{\beta_{1,k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  and  $\gamma_1 = \{\gamma_{1,k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  are nonnegative sequences with  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty (\beta_{1,k}^2 + \gamma_{1,k}^2) < \infty$

and  $L_\sigma = \{L_{\sigma,k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of nonnegative numbers satisfy  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty L_{\sigma,k}^2 < \infty$ .

From (3.13) we can get that for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ ,

$$\left| \frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial u}(t, u, \mu) \right| \leq L_{\sigma,k}. \quad (3.14)$$

Denote by  $l^2$  the space of square summable sequences of real numbers. For all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $u, v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , define maps  $\sigma(t, u, \mu), \delta(t, v) : l^2 \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by

$$\sigma(t, u, \mu)(\eta)(x) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty (\theta_{1,k}(t, x) + w(x) \sigma_k(t, u(x), \mu)) \eta_k, \quad \forall \eta = \{\eta_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \in l^2, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$\delta(t, v)(\eta)(x) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty (\theta_{2,k}(t, x) + \delta_k v(t)) \eta_k, \quad \forall \eta = \{\eta_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \in l^2, x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (3.16)$$

Let  $L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  be the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators from  $l^2$  to  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}$ .

It follows from (3.11)-(3.12), (3.15)-(3.16) we can get

$$\|\sigma(t, u, \mu)\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 \leq 2 \|\theta_1(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 + 8 \|w\|^2 \|\beta_1\|_{l^2}^2 \left( 1 + \mu(\|\cdot\|^2) \right) + 4 \|w\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \|\gamma_1\|_{l^2}^2 \|u\|^2 \quad (3.17)$$



and

$$\|\delta(t, v)\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 \leq 2\|\theta_2(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 + 2\|\delta\|_{l^2}^2 \|v\|^2, \quad (3.18)$$

where  $\delta = \{\delta_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of nonnegative numbers satisfy  $2\sum_{k=1}^\infty |\delta_k|^2 < \gamma < \infty$ . Besides, by (3.13) and (3.18) we can get that for all  $t, u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mu, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_2(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\sigma(t, u_1, \mu_1) - \sigma(t, u_2, \mu_2)\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 \\ & \leq 2\|L_\sigma\|_{l^2}^2 \left( \|w\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \|u_1 - u_2\|^2 + \|w\|^2 \mathbb{W}_2^2(\mu_1, \mu_2) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

and

$$\|\delta(t, v_1) - \delta(t, v_2)\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 \leq \|\delta\|_{l^2}^2 (\|v_1 - v_2\|^2). \quad (3.20)$$

We now reformulate problem (1.1)-(1.2) as follows

$$\begin{cases} du(t) - \Delta u(t)dt + \lambda u(t)dt + \alpha v(t)dt + f(t, x, u, \mathcal{L}_{u(t)}) dt = G_1(t, x, u, \mathcal{L}_{u(t)}) dt \\ + \sigma(t, u, \mathcal{L}_{u(t)}) dW(t), \quad t > \tau, \\ dv(t) + \gamma v(t) dt - \beta u(t) dt = G_2(t, x) dt + \delta(t, v) dW(t), \quad t > \tau, \end{cases} \quad (3.21)$$

with initial condition

$$u(\tau) = \xi_1, \quad v(\tau) = \xi_2. \quad (3.22)$$

For a Banach space  $X$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , we use  $L_{\mathcal{F}_\tau}^2(X)$  to denote the space of all  $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ -measurable,  $X$ -valued random variables  $\varphi$  with  $\mathbb{E}\|\varphi\|_X^2 < \infty$ , where  $\mathbb{E}$  means the mathematical expectation. In the sequel, we assume  $c_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$ , are positive constants depend on  $\tau$ , but not on  $D_1$  and we also assume that the coefficient  $\lambda$  is sufficiently large such that there exists a sufficiently small number  $\eta \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\lambda - 5\eta > 24\|w\|^2 \|\beta_1\|_{l^2}^2 + 12\|w\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \|\gamma_1\|_{l^2}^2 + 2\|\phi_1\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))} + 2\|\psi_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ + 2\|\phi_7\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \|\psi_g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + 6\|\delta\|_{l^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Given a subset  $E$  of  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , which is denoted by

$$\|E\|_{\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} = \inf \left\{ r > 0 : \sup_{\mu \in E} \left( \int_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|z\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \mu(dz) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq r \right\},$$

with the convention that  $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ . If  $E$  is a bounded subset of  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , then  $\|E\|_{\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} < \infty$ . Denote  $D_1 = \{D(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}, D(\tau) \text{ is a bounded nonempty subset of } \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))\}$  and  $D_2 = \{D(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}, D(\tau) \text{ is a bounded nonempty subset of } \mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}_0$  be the collection of all such families  $D_1$  which further satisfy

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow -\infty} e^{\eta\tau} \|D_1(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 = 0, \quad (3.24)$$

where  $\eta$  is the same number as in (3.23). Similarly denote by  $\mathcal{D}$  the collection of all such families  $D_2$  which further satisfy

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow -\infty} e^{2\eta\tau} \|D_2(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{P}_4(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^4 = 0. \quad (3.25)$$

It is evident  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{D}_0$ . We also assume the following condition in order to deriving uniform estimates of solutions

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\eta s} \left( \|\phi_g(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \|\theta_1(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 + \|\theta_2(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 \right) ds < \infty, \quad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.26)$$

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\eta s} \left( \|\phi_g(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^4 + \|\theta_1(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^4 + \|\theta_2(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^4 \right) ds < \infty, \quad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.27)$$

## 4 Well-posedness of Stochastic Equations

In this section, we give definition of the solutions of the system (3.21)-(3.22) and establish the existence and uniqueness of solutions by Banach fixed-point theorem.

Firstly, we show the definition of solutions of system (3.21)-(3.22).

**Definition 4.1.** For every  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\xi_0 = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_\tau}(\Omega, \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , a continuous stochastic process  $k = (u, v)$  is called a solution of system (3.21)-(3.22) if for every  $T > 0$ ,

$$k \in L^2(\Omega, C[\tau, \tau + T], \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \quad \text{with}$$

$$u \in L^2(\Omega, L^2(\tau, \tau + T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))) \cap L^p(\Omega, L^p(\tau, \tau + T; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))),$$

such that for all  $t \geq \tau$  and  $\zeta \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & (u(t), \zeta) - \int_{\tau}^t (\Delta u(s), \Delta \zeta) ds + \int_{\tau}^t (\lambda u(s) + \alpha v(s), \zeta) ds + \int_{\tau}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(s, x, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}) \zeta(x) dx ds \\ &= (\xi_1, \zeta) + \int_{\tau}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_1(s, x, u, \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}) \zeta(x) dx ds + \int_{\tau}^t (\sigma(s, u, \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}), \zeta) dW(s), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(v(t), \zeta) + \int_{\tau}^t (\gamma v(s) - \beta u(s), \zeta) ds = (\xi_2, \zeta) + \int_{\tau}^t (G_2(s, x), \zeta) ds + \int_{\tau}^t (\delta(s, v(s)), \zeta) dW(s),$$

$\mathbb{P}$ -almost surely, where  $k(t, \tau, \xi_0) = (u(t, \tau, \xi_0), v(t, \tau, \xi_0))$  or  $k(t) = (u(t), v(t))$ , and  $\zeta$  in the stochastic terms is identified with the element in  $(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))^* = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in view of Riesz's representation theorem.

Note that if  $k = (u, v)$  is a solution of system (3.21)-(3.22) in the sense of Definition 4.1, then  $\Delta u \in L^2(\Omega, L^2(\tau, \tau + T; (H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))^*))$  and  $f \in L^q(\Omega, L^q(\tau, \tau + T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)))$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , and hence for all  $t \geq \tau$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & u(t) - \int_{\tau}^t \Delta u(s) ds + \int_{\tau}^t (\lambda u(s) + \alpha v(s)) ds + \int_{\tau}^t f(s, x, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}) ds \\ & = \xi_1 + \int_{\tau}^t G_1(s, x, u, \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}) ds + \int_{\tau}^t \sigma(s, u, \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}) dW(s) \text{ in } (H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))^*, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$v(t) + \int_{\tau}^t (\gamma v(s) - \beta u(s)) ds = \xi_2 + \int_{\tau}^t G_2(s, x) ds + \int_{\tau}^t \delta(s, v(s)) dW(s) \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (4.2)$$

$\mathbb{P}$ -almost surely.

Next we will prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the system (3.21)-(3.22) by Banach fixed-point theorem.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Suppose assumption  $(\mathbf{H}_1) - (\mathbf{H}_4)$  hold, then for every  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\xi_0 \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{\tau}}(\Omega, \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , the system (3.21)-(3.22) has a unique solution  $k = (u, v)$  in the sense of Definition 4.1 such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta \|u(t)\|^2 + \alpha \|v(t)\|^2 + 2\beta \int_{\tau}^t \|\nabla u(s)\|^2 ds + 2\beta \lambda \int_{\tau}^t \|u(s)\|^2 ds + 2\alpha \gamma \int_{\tau}^t \|v(s)\|^2 ds \\ & + 2\beta \int_{\tau}^t (f(s, x, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}), u(s)) ds \\ & = \beta \|\xi_1\|^2 + \alpha \|\xi_2\|^2 + 2\alpha \int_{\tau}^t (G_2(s), v(s)) ds + 2\beta \int_{\tau}^t (G_1(s, x, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}), u(s)) ds \\ & + \alpha \int_{\tau}^t \|\delta(s, v(s))\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 ds + \beta \int_{\tau}^t \|\sigma(s, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)})\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 ds \\ & + 2\beta \int_{\tau}^t (\sigma(s, u(s, x), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}), u(s)) dW(s) + 2\alpha \int_{\tau}^t (\delta(s, v(s, x)), v(s)) dW(s), \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

for all  $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$ ,  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost surely.

*Proof.* Given  $\xi_0 \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{\tau}}(\Omega, \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and  $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2) \in C([\tau, \tau + T], \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)))$ , consider the stochastic equation

$$\begin{cases} du_{\mu}(t) - \Delta u_{\mu}(t) dt + \lambda u_{\mu}(t) dt + \alpha v_{\mu}(t) dt + f_{\mu}(t, x, u_{\mu}(t)) dt = G_{1\mu}(t, x, u_{\mu}(t)) dt \\ + \sigma_{\mu}(t, u_{\mu}(t)) dW(t), \quad t > \tau, \\ dv_{\mu}(t) + \gamma v_{\mu}(t) dt - \beta u_{\mu}(t) dt = G_2(t, x) dt + \delta_{\mu}(t, v_{\mu}(t)) dW(t), \quad t > \tau, \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

with initial condition

$$u_{\mu}(\tau) = \xi_1, \quad v_{\mu}(\tau) = \xi_2, \quad (4.5)$$

where  $f_\mu(t, x, u_\mu(t)) = f(t, x, u_\mu(t), \mu_1(t))$ ,  $G_{1\mu}(t, x, u_\mu(t)) = G_1(t, x, u_\mu(t), \mu_1(t))$ ,  $\sigma_\mu(t, u_\mu(t)) = \sigma(t, u_\mu(t), \mu_1(t))$  and  $\delta_\mu(t, v_\mu(t)) = \delta(t, v_\mu(t))$ . One can verify that if **(H<sub>1</sub>)** – **(H<sub>4</sub>)** hold, then  $f_\mu, G_{1\mu}, \sigma_\mu$  and  $\delta_\mu$  satisfy all conditions in [[31], Theorem 6.3], further we can get that system (4.4)-(4.5) has a unique solution  $k_\mu = (u_\mu, v_\mu)$  satisfy

$$k_\mu \in L^2(\Omega, C[\tau, \tau + T], \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \quad \text{with}$$

$$u_\mu \in L^2(\Omega, L^2(\tau, \tau + T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))) \cap L^p(\Omega, L^p(\tau, \tau + T; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))).$$

By  $k_\mu \in L^2(\Omega, C[\tau, \tau + T], \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we see that  $k_\mu \in C([\tau, \tau + T], L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)))$ . Since

$$\mathbb{W}_2(\mathcal{L}_{k_\mu(t)}, \mathcal{L}_{k_\mu(s)}) \leq \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \|k_\mu(t) - k_\mu(s)\|^2 \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall s, t \in [\tau, \tau + T],$$

and hence  $\mathcal{L}_{k_\mu(\cdot)} \in C([\tau, \tau + T], \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)))$ . Define a map  $\Phi^{\xi_0} : C([\tau, \tau + T], \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))) \rightarrow C([\tau, \tau + T], \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)))$  by

$$\Phi^{\xi_0}(\mu)(t) = \mathcal{L}_{k_\mu(t)}, \quad t \in [\tau, \tau + T], \mu \in C([\tau, \tau + T], \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))),$$

where  $k_\mu$  is the solution of (4.4)-(4.5). Next, we will prove the existence of solutions of (3.21)-(3.22) by finding a fixed point of  $\Phi^{\xi_0}$ . To that end, we need to show  $\Phi^{\xi_0}$  is a contractive map in the complete metric space  $(C([\tau, \tau + T], \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))), d_{C([\tau, \tau + T], \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)))})$  where the metric  $d_{C([\tau, \tau + T], \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)))}$  is defined by

$$d_{C([\tau, \tau + T], \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)))}(\mu, \nu) = \sup_{t \in [\tau, \tau + T]} e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{W}_2(\mu(t), \nu(t)), \quad \forall \mu, \nu \in C([\tau, \tau + T], \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))).$$

Let  $\mu, \nu \in C([\tau, \tau + T], \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)))$ , and  $k_\mu, k_\nu$  be the solution of (4.4)-(4.5). By Ito's formula

we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \beta \|u_\mu(t) - u_\nu(t)\|^2 + \alpha \|v_\mu(t) - v_\nu(t)\|^2 + 2\beta \int_\tau^t \|\nabla(u_\mu(s) - u_\nu(s))\|^2 ds \\
& + 2\beta\lambda \int_\tau^t \|u_\mu(s) - u_\nu(s)\|^2 ds + 2\alpha\gamma \int_\tau^t \|v_\mu(s) - v_\nu(s)\|^2 ds \\
& + 2\beta \int_\tau^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f(s, x, u_\mu(s), \mu_1(s)) - f(s, x, u_\nu(s), \nu_1(s))) (u_\mu(s) - u_\nu(s)) dx ds \\
& = 2\alpha \int_\tau^t (G_2(s), v_\mu(s) - v_\nu(s)) ds + \alpha \int_\tau^t \|\delta(s, v_\mu(s)) - \delta(s, v_\nu(s))\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 ds \\
& + 2\beta \int_\tau^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (G_1(s, x, u_\mu(s), \mu_1(s)) - G_1(s, x, u_\nu(s), \nu_1(s))) (u_\mu(s) - u_\nu(s)) dx ds \\
& + \beta \int_\tau^t \|\sigma(s, u_\mu(s), \mu_1(s)) - \sigma(s, u_\nu(s), \nu_1(s))\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 ds \\
& + 2\beta \int_\tau^t (\sigma(s, u_\mu(s), \mu_1(s)) - \sigma(s, u_\nu(s), \nu_1(s)), u_\mu(s) - u_\nu(s)) dW(s) \\
& + 2\alpha \int_\tau^t (\delta(s, v_\mu(s)) - \delta(s, v_\nu(s)), v_\mu(s) - v_\nu(s)) dW(s).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Taking the expectation of both side of (4.6), we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|u_\mu(t) - u_\nu(t)\|^2 + \alpha \|v_\mu(t) - v_\nu(t)\|^2 \right) + 2\beta \mathbb{E} \left( \int_\tau^t \|\nabla(u_\mu(s) - u_\nu(s))\|^2 ds \right) \\
& + 2\lambda \mathbb{E} \left( \int_\tau^t \left( \beta \|u_\mu(s) - u_\nu(s)\|^2 + \alpha \|v_\mu(s) - v_\nu(s)\|^2 \right) ds \right) \\
& \leq -2\beta \mathbb{E} \left( \int_\tau^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f(s, x, u_\mu(s), \mu_1(s)) - f(s, x, u_\nu(s), \nu_1(s))) (u_\mu(s) - u_\nu(s)) dx ds \right) \\
& + 2\beta \mathbb{E} \left( \int_\tau^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (G_1(s, x, u_\mu(s), \mu_1(s)) - G_1(s, x, u_\nu(s), \nu_1(s))) (u_\mu(s) - u_\nu(s)) dx ds \right) \\
& + \beta \mathbb{E} \left( \int_\tau^t \|\sigma(s, u_\mu(s), \mu_1(s)) - \sigma(s, u_\nu(s), \nu_1(s))\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 ds \right) \\
& + 2\alpha \mathbb{E} \left( \int_\tau^t (G_2(s), v_\mu(s) - v_\nu(s)) ds \right) + \alpha \mathbb{E} \left( \int_\tau^t \|\delta(s, v_\mu(s)) - \delta(s, v_\nu(s))\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 ds \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

For the first term on the right side of (4.7), by (3.3), (3.4) and Hölder inequality, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2\beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(f(s,x,u_{\mu}(s),\mu_1(s))-f(s,x,u_{\nu}(s),\nu_1(s)))(u_{\mu}(s)-u_{\nu}(s))dxds\right) \\
& =-2\beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(f(s,x,u_{\mu}(s),\mu_1(s))-f(s,x,u_{\nu}(s),\mu_1(s)))(u_{\mu}(s)-u_{\nu}(s))dxds\right) \\
& \quad -2\beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(f(s,x,u_{\nu}(s),\mu_1(s))-f(s,x,u_{\nu}(s),\nu_1(s)))(u_{\mu}(s)-u_{\nu}(s))dxds\right) \quad (4.8) \\
& \leq 2\beta\|\phi_4\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R},L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n))}\int_{\tau}^t\mathbb{E}\left[\|u_{\mu}(s)-u_{\nu}(s)\|^2\right]ds \\
& \quad +\beta\int_{\tau}^t\|\phi_2(s)\|^2\mathbb{E}\left[\|u_{\mu}(s)-u_{\nu}(s)\|^2\right]ds+\beta\int_{\tau}^t(\mathbb{W}_2(\mu(s),\nu(s)))^2ds.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term on the right side of (4.7), by (3.8) we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(G_1(s,x,u_{\mu}(s),\mu_1(s))-G_1(s,x,u_{\nu}(s),\nu_1(s)))(u_{\mu}(s)-u_{\nu}(s))dxds\right) \\
& \leq 2\beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\phi_7(s,x)((u_{\mu}(s)-u_{\nu}(s))+\mathbb{W}_2(\mu(s),\nu(s)))|u_{\mu}(s)-u_{\nu}(s)|dxds\right) \quad (4.9) \\
& \leq 2\beta\|\phi_7\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R},L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n))}\int_{\tau}^t\mathbb{E}\left[\|u_{\mu}(s)-u_{\nu}(s)\|^2\right]ds \\
& \quad +\beta\int_{\tau}^t\|\phi_7(s)\|^2\mathbb{E}\left[\|u_{\mu}(s)-u_{\nu}(s)\|^2\right]ds+\beta\int_{\tau}^t(\mathbb{W}_2(\mu(s),\nu(s)))^2ds.
\end{aligned}$$

For the last three terms on the right side of (4.7), by (3.19) and (3.20) we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^t\|\sigma(s,u_{\mu}(s),\mu_1(s))-\sigma(s,u_{\nu}(s),\nu_1(s))\|_{L_2(l^2,L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2ds\right) \\
& \quad +2\alpha\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^t(G_2(s),v_{\mu}(s)-v_{\nu}(s))ds\right)+\alpha\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^t\|\delta(s,v_{\mu}(s))-\delta(s,v_{\nu}(s))\|_{L_2(l^2,L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2ds\right) \\
& \leq\left(L_{\sigma}+2\|G_2\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R},L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n))}\right)\int_{\tau}^t\mathbb{E}\left(\beta\|u_{\mu}(s)-u_{\nu}(s)\|^2+\alpha\|v_{\mu}(s)-v_{\nu}(s)\|^2\right)ds \\
& \quad +\beta L_{\sigma}\int_{\tau}^t(\mathbb{W}_2(\mu(s),\nu(s)))^2ds. \quad (4.10)
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.7)-(4.10) we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|u_\mu(t) - u_\nu(t)\|^2 + \alpha \|v_\mu(t) - v_\nu(t)\|^2 \right) + 2\beta \mathbb{E} \left( \int_\tau^t \|\nabla(u_\mu(s) - u_\nu(s))\|^2 ds \right) \\
& \leq \beta \int_\tau^t \left( \|\phi_2(s)\|^2 + \|\phi_7(s)\|^2 \right) \mathbb{E} \left[ \|u_\mu(s) - u_\nu(s)\|^2 \right] ds + (2 + L_\sigma) \beta \int_\tau^t (\mathbb{W}_2(\mu(s), \nu(s)))^2 ds \\
& \quad + \left( -2\lambda + L_\sigma + 2\|G_2\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))} \right) \int_\tau^t \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|u_\mu(s) - u_\nu(s)\|^2 + \alpha \|v_\mu(s) - v_\nu(s)\|^2 \right) ds \\
& \quad + \left( 2\|\phi_7\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))} + 2\|\phi_4\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))} \right) \int_\tau^t \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|u_\mu(s) - u_\nu(s)\|^2 + \alpha \|v_\mu(s) - v_\nu(s)\|^2 \right) ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

By (4.11) and Gronwall inequality we can get for all  $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|u_\mu(t) - u_\nu(t)\|^2 + \alpha \|v_\mu(t) - v_\nu(t)\|^2 \right) \leq c_T \int_\tau^t (\mathbb{W}_2(\mu(s), \nu(s)))^2 ds, \tag{4.12}$$

where  $c_T > 0$  is a positive constant. By (4.12) we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-2\eta t} \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|u_\mu(t) - u_\nu(t)\|^2 + \alpha \|v_\mu(t) - v_\nu(t)\|^2 \right) \\
& \leq c_T \int_\tau^t e^{-2\eta(t-s)} e^{-2\eta s} (\mathbb{W}_2(\mu(s), \nu(s)))^2 ds \leq \frac{c_T}{2\eta} \sup_{s \in [\tau, t]} e^{-2\eta s} (\mathbb{W}_2(\mu(s), \nu(s)))^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

By (4.13) and the definition of  $\mathbb{W}_2(\cdot, \cdot)$ , we can get

$$e^{-2\eta t} (\mathbb{W}_2(\mathcal{L}_{u_\mu(t)}, \mathcal{L}_{u_\nu(t)}))^2 \leq \frac{c_T}{2\eta} \sup_{s \in [\tau, t]} e^{-2\eta s} (\mathbb{W}_2(\mu(s), \nu(s)))^2, \quad \forall t \in [\tau, \tau + T]. \tag{4.14}$$

By (4.14) and the definition of  $d_{C([\tau, \tau+T], \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)))}$ , we can get

$$d_{C([\tau, \tau+T], \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)))}(\mathcal{L}_{u_\mu}, \mathcal{L}_{u_\nu}) \leq \left( \frac{c_T}{2\eta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d_{C([\tau, \tau+T], \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)))}(\mu, \nu). \tag{4.15}$$

Here we assume that  $\eta$  is a enough large positive constant such that  $\frac{c_T}{2\eta} = \frac{1}{4}$ , by (4.15) and the definition of  $\Phi^{\xi_0}$ , we can get that for all  $\mu, \nu \in C([\tau, \tau + T], \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)))$ ,

$$d_{C([\tau, \tau+T], \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)))}(\Phi^{\xi_0}(\mu), \Phi^{\xi_0}(\nu)) \leq \frac{1}{2} d_{C([\tau, \tau+T], \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)))}(\mu, \nu), \tag{4.16}$$

which shows  $\Phi^{\xi_0}$  is a contractive map in  $C([\tau, \tau + T], \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)))$  with metric  $d_{C([\tau, \tau+T], \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)))}$ . Therefore,  $\Phi^{\xi_0}$  has a unique fixed point  $\bar{\mu} \in C([\tau, \tau + T], \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)))$ . Then  $k_{\bar{\mu}}$  is a solution of (3.21)-(3.22). On the other hand, for every solution  $k$  of (3.21)-(3.22), if we set  $\mu(t) = \mathcal{L}_{k(t)}$  for  $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$ , then  $\mu$  is a fixed point of  $\Phi^{\xi_0}$  in  $C([\tau, \tau + T], \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)))$ , which along with the uniqueness of fixed points of  $\Phi^{\xi_0}$  and (4.12) implies the uniqueness of solutions of (3.21)-(3.22).

Since  $T > 0$  was taken arbitrarily and the uniqueness is ensured, this implies that (3.21)-(3.22) has a unique solution up to every time  $T > 0$ . Hence (3.21)-(3.22) has solution in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

□

## 5 Uniform Estimates

In this section, we give the uniform estimates of the solutions of (3.21)-(3.22) which is devoted to the existence and uniqueness of  $\mathcal{D}$ -pullback measure attractors.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Suppose assumption  $(\mathbf{H}_1) - (\mathbf{H}_4)$ , (3.23) and (3.26) hold, then for every  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $D_1 = \{D_1(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}_0$ , there exists  $T = T(\tau, D_1) > 0$  such that for all  $t \geq T$ , the solution  $k = (u, v)$  of (3.21)-(3.22) satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left( \|(u(\tau, \tau - t, \xi_0), v(\tau, \tau - t, \xi_0))\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right) \\ & \leq M_1 + M_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} \left( \|\phi_g(s)\|^2 + \|\theta_1(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 + \|\theta_2(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 \right) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} \mathbb{E} \left( \|u(\tau, \tau - s, \xi_0)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \|v(\tau, \tau - s, \xi_0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right) ds \\ & \leq M_1 + M_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} \left( \|\phi_g(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \|\theta_1(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 + \|\theta_2(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 \right) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

where  $\xi_0 \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{\tau-t}}(\Omega, \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  with  $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_0} \in D_1(\tau - t)$ ,  $\eta > 0$  is the same number as in (3.23) and  $M_1$  is a positive constant independent of  $\tau$  and  $D_1$ .

*Proof.* By (4.3) and Ito's formula we can get that for all  $t \geq \tau$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|u(t)\|^2 + \alpha \|v(t)\|^2 \right) + 2\beta \mathbb{E} \left( \|\nabla u(t)\|^2 \right) + 2\beta \lambda \mathbb{E} \left( \|u(t)\|^2 \right) + 2\alpha \gamma \mathbb{E} \left( \|v(t)\|^2 \right) \\ & = -2\beta \mathbb{E} \left( (f(t, x, u(t), \mathcal{L}_{u(t)}), u(t)) \right) + 2\alpha \mathbb{E} \left( G_2(t), v(t) \right) + \alpha \mathbb{E} \left( \|\delta(t, v(t))\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 \right) \\ & \quad + 2\beta \mathbb{E} \left( G_1(t, x, u(t, x), \mathcal{L}_{u(t)}), u(t) \right) + \beta \mathbb{E} \left( \|\sigma(t, u(t), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)})\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

For the first term on the right-hand side of (5.3), by (3.2) we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & -2\beta \mathbb{E} \left( f(t, x, u(t, x), \mathcal{L}_{u(t)}), u(t) \right) \\ & \leq 2\beta \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_1(t, x) (1 + |u|^2) dx \right) + 2\beta \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi_1(x) \mathbb{E} \|u(t)\|^2 dx \right) \\ & \quad - 2\beta \alpha_1 \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(t, x)|^p dx \right) \\ & \leq 2\beta \|\phi_1(t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} - 2\beta \alpha_1 \mathbb{E} \left( \|u(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p \right) + 2\beta \left( \|\phi_1(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\psi_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \mathbb{E} \left( \|u(t)\|^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$



For the second and fourth term on the right-hand side of (5.3), by (3.7) we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\beta\mathbb{E} \left( G_1(t, x, u(t, x), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}), u(t) \right) + 2\alpha\mathbb{E} \left( G_2(t, x), v(t) \right) \\
& \leq 2\beta\mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(t, x)| \phi_g(t, x) dx \right) + \lambda\alpha\mathbb{E} \left( \|v(t)\|^2 \right) + \lambda^{-1}\alpha \|G_2(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \\
& \quad + 2\beta\mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(t, x)| \left[ \phi_7(t, x) |u(t, x)| + \psi_g(x) \mu \sqrt{\|\cdot\|^2} \right] dx \right) \\
& \leq \beta\mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lambda |u(t)|^2 + \lambda^{-1} |\phi_g(t, x)|^2 dx \right) + 2\beta\mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\psi_g(x)| \left[ |u(t)|^2 + \left( \mu \sqrt{\|\cdot\|^2} \right)^2 \right] dx \right) \\
& \quad + 2\beta\mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_7(t, x) |u(t)|^2 dx \right) + \lambda\alpha\mathbb{E} \left( \|v(t)\|^2 \right) + \lambda^{-1}\alpha \|G_2(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \\
& \leq \lambda^{-1}\beta\mathbb{E} \left( \|\phi_g(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right) + \lambda\alpha\mathbb{E} \left( \|v(t)\|^2 \right) + \lambda^{-1}\alpha \|G_2(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \\
& \quad + \beta \left( \lambda + 2\|\phi_7(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\psi_g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\psi_g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \mathbb{E} \left( \|u(t)\|^2 \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

For the third and fifth term on the right-hand side of (5.3), by (3.17) and (3.18) we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \beta\mathbb{E} \left( \|\sigma(t, u(t), \mathcal{L}_{u(t)})\|_{L^2(I^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 \right) + \alpha\mathbb{E} \left( \|\delta(t, v(t))\|_{L^2(I^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 \right) \\
& \leq 8\beta\|w\|^2 \|\beta_1\|_{I^2}^2 + 2 \left( \beta \|\theta_1(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, I^2)}^2 + \alpha \|\theta_2(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, I^2)}^2 \right) \\
& \quad + \left( 2\|\delta\|_{I^2}^2 + 8\|w\|^2 \|\beta_1\|_{I^2}^2 + 4\|w\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \|\gamma_1\|_{I^2}^2 \right) \mathbb{E} \left( \beta\|u(t)\|^2 + \alpha\|v(t)\|^2 \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

It follows from (5.3)-(5.6) that for all  $t \geq \tau$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E} \left( \beta\|u(t)\|^2 + \alpha\|v(t)\|^2 \right) + 2\beta\mathbb{E} \left( \|\nabla u(s)\|^2 \right) + 2\beta\alpha_1 \mathbb{E} \left( \|u(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p \right) \\
& \leq 8\beta\|w\|^2 \|\beta_1\|_{I^2}^2 + 2 \left( \beta \|\theta_1(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, I^2)}^2 + \alpha \|\theta_2(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, I^2)}^2 \right) \\
& \quad + 2\beta\|\phi_1(t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \lambda^{-1}\alpha \|G_2(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \lambda^{-1}\beta\mathbb{E} \left( \|\phi_g(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right) \\
& \quad + 2 \left( \|\delta\|_{I^2}^2 + \|\phi_1(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\psi_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \mathbb{E} \left( \beta\|u(t)\|^2 + \alpha\|v(t)\|^2 \right) \\
& \quad + \left( -\lambda + 2\|\phi_7(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\psi_g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\psi_g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \mathbb{E} \left( \beta\|u(t)\|^2 + \alpha\|v(t)\|^2 \right) \\
& \quad + \left( 8\|w\|^2 \|\beta_1\|_{I^2}^2 + 4\|w\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \|\gamma_1\|_{I^2}^2 \right) \mathbb{E} \left( \beta\|u(t)\|^2 + \alpha\|v(t)\|^2 \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Multiplying (5.7) by  $e^{\eta t}$  and integrating the resulting inequality on  $(\tau - t, \tau)$  with  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|u(t)\|^2 + \alpha \|v(t)\|^2 \right) + 2\beta \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} \mathbb{E} \left( \|\nabla u(s)\|^2 \right) ds \\
& + 2\beta\alpha_1 \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} \mathbb{E} \left( \|u(\tau, \tau - s, \xi_1)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p \right) ds \\
\leq & \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|\xi_1\|^2 + \alpha \|\xi_2\|^2 \right) e^{-\eta t} + \lambda^{-1}\beta \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} \|\phi_g(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 ds \\
& + 2\beta \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} \|\phi_1(s)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds + \lambda^{-1}\alpha \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} \|G_2(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 ds \\
& + 2 \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} \left( \beta \|\theta_1(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 + \alpha \|\theta_2(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 \right) ds + 8\beta \|w\|^2 \|\beta_1\|_{l^2}^2 \eta^{-1} \\
& + \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} 2 \left( \|\delta\|_{l^2}^2 + \|\phi_7(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\psi_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|u(s)\|^2 + \alpha \|v(s)\|^2 \right) ds \\
& + \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} \left( 2\|\phi_1(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\psi_g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\psi_g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|u(s)\|^2 + \alpha \|v(s)\|^2 \right) ds \\
& + \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} \left( -\lambda + 8\|w\|^2 \|\beta_1\|_{l^2}^2 + 4\|w\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \|\gamma_1\|_{l^2}^2 \right) \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|u(s)\|^2 + \alpha \|v(s)\|^2 \right) ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

By (5.3)-(5.8) we get for all  $t \geq \tau$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|u(t)\|^2 + \alpha \|v(t)\|^2 \right) + 2\beta \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} \mathbb{E} \left( \|\nabla u(s)\|^2 \right) ds \\
& + 2\beta\alpha_1 \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} \mathbb{E} \left( \|u(\tau, \tau - s, \xi_1)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p \right) ds \\
\leq & \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|\xi_1\|^2 + \alpha \|\xi_2\|^2 \right) e^{-\eta t} + c_1 \\
& + c_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} \left( \|\theta_1(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 + \|\theta_2(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 + \|\phi_g(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right) ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

Note that  $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_0} \in D_1(\tau - t)$  then we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\eta t} \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|\xi_1\|^2 + \alpha \|\xi_2\|^2 \right) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\eta t} \|D_1(\tau - t)\|_{\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 = 0.$$

And hence there exists  $T = T(\tau, D_1)$  such that for all  $t \geq T$ ,

$$e^{-\eta t} \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|\xi_1\|^2 + \alpha \|\xi_2\|^2 \right) \leq \frac{c_1}{\lambda},$$

which along with (5.9) concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** *Suppose assumption  $(\mathbf{H}_1) - (\mathbf{H}_4)$ , (3.23) and (3.26) hold, then for every  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $D_1 = \{D_1(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}_0$ , there exists  $T = T(\tau, D_1) > 0$  such that for all  $t \geq T$ , the solution*

$k = (u, v)$  of (3.21)-(3.22) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\tau-1}^{\tau} \mathbb{E} \left( \|u(s, \tau - s, \xi_0)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \|v(s, \tau - s, \xi_0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right) ds \\ & \leq M_2 + M_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} \left( \|\phi_g(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \|\theta_1(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 + \|\theta_2(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 \right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi_0 \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{\tau-t}}(\Omega, \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  with  $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_0} \in D_1(\tau - t)$ ,  $\eta > 0$  is the same number as in (3.23) and  $M_2$  is a positive constant independent of  $\tau$  and  $D_1$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** *Suppose assumption  $(\mathbf{H}_1) - (\mathbf{H}_4)$ , (3.23) and (3.26) hold, then for every  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $D_1 = \{D_1(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}_0$ , there exists  $T = T(\tau, D_1) > 0$  such that for all  $t \geq T$ , the solution  $k = (u, v)$  of (3.21)-(3.22) satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left( \|u(\tau, \tau - s, \xi_0)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right) \\ & \leq M_3 + M_3 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} \left( \|\phi_g(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \|\theta_1(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 + \|\theta_2(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 \right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi_0 \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{\tau-t}}(\Omega, \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  with  $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_0} \in D_1(\tau - t)$ ,  $\eta > 0$  is the same number as in (3.23) and  $M_3$  is a positive constant independent of  $\tau$  but not on  $D_1$ .

*Proof.* By (3.21) and Ito's formula we can get for all  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t > 1$  and  $\varsigma \in (\tau - 1, \tau)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla u(\tau)\|^2 + 2 \int_{\varsigma}^{\tau} \|\Delta u(s)\|^2 ds + 2\lambda \int_{\varsigma}^{\tau} \|\nabla u(s)\|^2 ds \\ = & \|\nabla u(\varsigma)\|^2 + 2\alpha \int_{\varsigma}^{\tau} (v(s), \Delta u(s)) ds - 2 \int_{\varsigma}^{\tau} (\nabla f(s, x, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}), \nabla u(s)) ds \\ & + 2 \int_{\varsigma}^{\tau} (\nabla G_1(s, x, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}), \nabla u(s)) ds + \int_{\varsigma}^{\tau} \|\sigma(s, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)})\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 ds \\ & + 2 \int_{\varsigma}^{\tau} (\sigma(s, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}), \nabla u(s)) dW(s). \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

For the second term on the right-hand side of (5.10), we can get

$$2\alpha \int_{\varsigma}^{\tau} (v(s), \Delta u(s)) ds \leq \alpha^2 \int_{\varsigma}^{\tau} \|v(s)\|^2 ds + \int_{\varsigma}^{\tau} \|\Delta u(s)\|^2 ds. \tag{5.11}$$

For the third term on the right-hand side of (5.10), by (3.4) and (3.5) we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2 \int_{\varsigma}^{\tau} (\nabla f(s, x, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}), \nabla u(s)) ds \\
&= -2 \int_{\varsigma}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(s, x, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}) \cdot \nabla u(s) dx ds - 2 \int_{\varsigma}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(s, x, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}) |\nabla u(s)|^2 dx ds \\
&\leq 2 \int_{\varsigma}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_5(s, x) \left(1 + |u(s)| + \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(\|\cdot\|^2)}\right) \nabla u(s) dx ds + 2 \int_{\varsigma}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_4(s, x) |\nabla u(s)|^2 dx ds \\
&\leq \int_{\varsigma}^{\tau} (\|\phi_5(s)\|^2 + \|\nabla u(s)\|^2) ds + \int_{\varsigma}^{\tau} \|\phi_5(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \mathbb{E}(\|u(s)\|^2) ds \\
&\quad + \int_{\varsigma}^{\tau} \|\phi_5(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} (\|u(s)\|^2 + \|\nabla u(s)\|^2) ds + 2 \int_{\varsigma}^{\tau} \|\phi_4(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\nabla u(s)\|^2 ds \\
&\leq \left(1 + 2\|\phi_5\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))} + 2\|\phi_4\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))}\right) \int_{\tau-1}^{\tau} \|u(s)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 ds \\
&\quad + \|\phi_5\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 + \|\phi_5\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^1(\mathbb{R}^n))} \int_{\tau-1}^{\tau} \mathbb{E}(\|u(s)\|^2) ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

For the fourth term on the right-hand side of (5.10), similarly by (3.8) and (3.9) we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \int_{\varsigma}^{\tau} (\nabla G_1(s, x, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}), \nabla u(s)) ds \\
&= 2 \int_{\varsigma}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial G_1}{\partial x}(s, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}) \cdot \nabla u(s) dx ds + 2 \int_{\varsigma}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial G_1}{\partial u}(s, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}) |\nabla u(s)|^2 dx ds \\
&\leq \left(1 + 2\|\phi_7\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))} + 2\|\phi_7\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^1(\mathbb{R}^n))}\right) \int_{\tau-1}^{\tau} \|u(s)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 ds \\
&\quad + \|\phi_8\|_{L^2(\tau-1, \tau, L^1(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 + \|\phi_5\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^1(\mathbb{R}^n))} \int_{\tau-1}^{\tau} \mathbb{E}(\|u(s)\|^2) ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

For the fifth term on the right-hand side of (5.10), by (3.11)-(3.15) we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\varsigma}^{\tau} \|\sigma(s, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)})\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 ds \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\varsigma}^{\tau} \|\nabla \theta_k(s)\|^2 ds + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\varsigma}^{\tau} \|(\nabla w) \sigma_{1,k}(s, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)})\|^2 ds \\
&\quad + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\varsigma}^{\tau} \left\| w \nabla u(s) \frac{\partial \sigma_1}{\partial u}(s, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}) \right\|^2 ds \leq c_2 + c_2 \int_{\tau-1}^{\tau} \left( \mathbb{E}(\|u(s)\|^2) + \|u(s)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right) ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

By (5.10)-(5.14) and Lemma 5.1 that for all  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t > 1$  and  $\varsigma \in (\tau - 1, \tau)$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}(\|\nabla u(s)\|^2) \leq \mathbb{E}(\|\nabla u(\varsigma)\|^2) + c_3 + c_3 \int_{\tau-1}^{\tau} \mathbb{E}(\|u(s)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2) ds. \tag{5.15}$$

Integrating (5.15) with respect to  $\zeta$  on  $(\tau - 1, \tau)$  for all  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $t \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \|\nabla u(s)\|^2 \right) \leq c_3 + (1 + c_3) \int_{\tau-1}^{\tau} \mathbb{E} \left( \|u(s)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right) ds,$$

which together with Lemma 5.1 completes the proof.  $\square$

Next we will show the asymptotic compactness of solutions of system (3.21)-(3.22). Since system (3.21)-(3.22) is a partly dissipative system and the initial condition  $\xi_0$  is only in  $L^2(\Omega, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , then for any  $t \geq \tau$ ,  $v(t, \tau, \xi_0)$  only belongs to  $L^2(\Omega, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , but not  $L^2(\Omega, H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$ . This makes it difficult for us to prove the asymptotic compactness of the solution directly by using the Sobolev embedding theorem in bounded domains. In order to solve this difficulty, we decompose the solution. Let  $v = v_1 + v_2$ , where  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are the solutions of the following systems, respectively:

$$\begin{cases} dv_1 + \gamma v_1 dt = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k v_1(t) dW_k(t), \\ v_1(\tau) = \xi_2, \end{cases} \quad (5.16)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} dv_2 + \gamma v_2 dt - \beta u dt = G_2(t, x) dt + \delta(t, v_2(t)) dW(t), \\ v_2(\tau) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (5.17)$$

By (5.16) and Gronwall inequality we can get for every  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $D_1 = \{D_1(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}_0$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left( \|v_1(\tau)\|^2 \right) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left( \|\xi_0\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right) e^{-(2\gamma - \|\delta\|_{l^2}^2)t} \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|D_1\|_{\mathcal{P}_2(Z)}^2 e^{-(2\gamma - \|\delta\|_{l^2}^2)t} = 0, \quad (5.18)$$

where  $\xi_0 = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{\tau-t}}(\Omega, \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  with  $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_0} \in D_1(\tau - t)$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** *Suppose assumption  $(\mathbf{H}_1) - (\mathbf{H}_4)$ , (3.23) and (3.26) hold, then for every  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $D_1 = \{D_1(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}_0$ , there exists  $T = T(\tau, D_1) > 0$  such that for all  $t \geq T$ , the solution  $k = (u, v)$  of (3.21)-(3.22) satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left( \|v_2(\tau, \tau - t, 0)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right) \\ & \leq M_4 + M_4 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} \left( \|\phi_g(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \|\theta_1(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 + \|\theta_2(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 \right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi_0 \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{\tau-t}}(\Omega, \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  with  $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_0} \in D_1(\tau - t)$ ,  $\eta > 0$  is the same number as in (3.23) and  $M_4$  is a positive constant independent of  $\tau$  and  $D_1$ .

*Proof.* By (5.17) and Ito's formula, we get that for all  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla v_2(\tau, \tau - t, 0)\|^2 + 2\gamma \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} \|\nabla v_2(s, \tau - t, 0)\|^2 ds \\ & = 2\beta \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} (\nabla v_2(s, \tau - s, 0), \nabla u(s, \tau - t, \xi_0)) ds + 2 \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} (\nabla G_2(s, x), \nabla v_2(s, \tau - t, 0)) ds \quad (5.19) \\ & + \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} \|\nabla(\delta(s, v_2(s)))\|^2 ds + 2 \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} (\nabla(\delta(s, v_2(s))), \nabla v_2(s, \tau - t, 0)) dW(s). \end{aligned}$$

For the first term on the right-hand side of (5.19), we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\beta \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} (\nabla v_2(\tau, \tau-s, 0), \nabla u(s, \tau-t, \xi_1)) ds \\ & \leq \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} \|\nabla v_2(\tau, \tau-s, 0)\|^2 ds + \frac{2}{\gamma} \beta^2 \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} \|\nabla u(s, \tau-t, \xi_1)\|^2 ds. \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

For the second term on the right-hand side of (5.19), we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} (\nabla G_2(s, x), \nabla v_2(s, \tau-t, 0)) ds \\ & \leq \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} \|\nabla v_2(\tau, \tau-s, 0)\|^2 ds + \frac{2}{\gamma} \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} \|\nabla G_2(s)\|^2 ds. \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

For the third term on the right-hand side of (5.19), by (3.18) we can get

$$\int_{\tau-t}^t \|\nabla(\delta(s, v_2(s)))\|^2 ds \leq 2 \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} \|\nabla \theta_2(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 ds + 2\|\delta\|_{l^2}^2 \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} \|\nabla v_2(s)\|^2 ds. \quad (5.22)$$

It follows from (5.19)-(5.22) we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left( \|\nabla v_2(\tau, \tau-t, 0)\|^2 \right) + (\gamma - 2\|\delta\|_{l^2}^2) \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} \mathbb{E} \left( \|\nabla v_2(\tau, \tau-t, 0)\|^2 \right) ds \\ & \leq \frac{2}{\gamma} \beta^2 \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} \mathbb{E} \left( \|\nabla u(s, \tau-t, \xi_1)\|^2 \right) ds + \frac{2}{\gamma} \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} \|\nabla G_2(s)\|^2 ds + 2 \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} \|\nabla \theta_2(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 ds. \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

By Gronwall inequality, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left( \|\nabla v_2(\tau, \tau-t, 0)\|^2 \right) \leq \frac{2}{\gamma} \beta^2 \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{(\gamma-2\|\delta\|_{l^2}^2)(s-\tau)} \mathbb{E} \left( \|\nabla u(s, \tau-t, \xi_0)\|^2 \right) ds \\ & + \frac{2}{\gamma} \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{(\gamma-2\|\delta\|_{l^2}^2)(s-\tau)} \|\nabla G_2(s)\|^2 ds + 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{(\gamma-2\|\delta\|_{l^2}^2)(s-\tau)} \|\nabla \theta_2(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 ds, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (3.11) and Lemma 5.3 completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.5.** *Suppose assumption  $(\mathbf{H}_1) - (\mathbf{H}_4)$ , (3.23) and (3.26) hold, then for every  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $D_1 = \{D_1(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}_0$ , there exists  $T = T(\tau, D_1) > 0$  such that for all  $t \geq T$ , the solution  $k = (u, v)$  of (3.21)-(3.22) satisfies*

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \int_{|x| \geq \sqrt{2n}} (|u(\tau, \tau-t, \xi_0)(x)|^2 + |v(\tau, \tau-t, \xi_0)(x)|^2) dx \right) < \varepsilon,$$

where  $\xi_0 \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{\tau-t}}(\Omega, \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , with  $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_0} \in D_1(\tau-t)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\rho : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a cut-off smooth function such that for any  $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,

$$\rho(s) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq s \leq 1, \\ 0 < \rho(s) < 1, & 1 < s < 2, \\ 1, & s \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (5.24)$$

Let  $c_4 = \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}^+} |\rho'(s)|$ ,  $n$  be a fixed integer and  $\rho_n = \rho\left(\frac{|x|^2}{n^2}\right)$ . By (4.3) we have for all  $t \geq \tau$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{\eta t} \left( \beta \|\rho_n u(t)\|^2 + \alpha \|\rho_n v(t)\|^2 \right) + 2\beta \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} (\nabla(\rho_n^2 u(s)), \nabla u(s)) ds \\ & + 2\beta \lambda \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \|\rho_n u(s)\|^2 ds - \eta \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \left( \beta \|\rho_n u(t)\|^2 + \alpha \|\rho_n v(t)\|^2 \right) ds \\ & + 2\alpha \gamma \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \|\rho_n v(s)\|^2 ds + 2\beta \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} (f(s, x, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}), \rho_n^2 u(s)) ds \\ = & e^{\eta t} \left( \beta \|\rho_n \xi_1\|^2 + \alpha \|\rho_n \xi_2\|^2 \right) + 2\beta \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} (G_1(s, x, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}), \rho_n^2 u(s)) ds \\ & + 2\alpha \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} (G_2(s, x), \rho_n^2 v(s)) ds + \alpha \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \|\rho_n \delta(s, v(s))\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 ds \\ & + \beta \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \|\rho_n \sigma(s, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)})\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 ds + 2\alpha \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} (\delta(s, v(s)), \rho_n^2 v(s)) dW(s) \\ & + 2\beta \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} (\sigma(s, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}), \rho_n^2 u(s)) dW(s), \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

$\mathbb{P}$ -almost surely. Given  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , denote by

$$\tau_m = \inf\{t \geq \tau : \|k(t)\| \geq m\}.$$

By (5.25) we get for all  $t \geq \tau$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left( e^{\eta(t \wedge \tau_m)} \left( \beta \|\rho_n u(t \wedge \tau_m)\|^2 + \alpha \|\rho_n v(t \wedge \tau_m)\|^2 \right) \right) + 2\beta \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{\eta s} (\nabla(\rho_n^2 u(s)), \nabla u(s)) ds \right) \\ \leq & \mathbb{E} \left( e^{\eta \tau} \left( \beta \|\rho_n \xi_1\|^2 + \alpha \|\rho_n \xi_2\|^2 \right) \right) + (\eta - 2\lambda) \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \left( \beta \|\rho_n u(s)\|^2 + \alpha \|\rho_n v(s)\|^2 \right) ds \\ & - 2\beta \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{\eta s} (f(s, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}), \rho_n^2 u(s)) ds \right) \\ & + 2\beta \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{\eta s} (G_1(s, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}), \rho_n^2 u(s)) ds \right) \\ & + 2\alpha \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{\eta s} (G_2(s), \rho_n^2 v(s)) ds \right) + \alpha \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{\eta s} \|\rho_n \delta(s, v(s))\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 ds \right) \\ & + \beta \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{\eta s} \|\rho_n \sigma(s, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)})\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 ds \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2\beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^{t\wedge\tau_m} e^{\eta s} (\nabla(\rho_n^2 u(s)), \nabla u(s)) ds\right) = -2\beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^{t\wedge\tau_m} e^{\eta s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho_n^2 |\nabla u(s)|^2 dx ds\right) \\
& -2\beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^{t\wedge\tau_m} e^{\eta s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2n^{-1} u(s, x) \rho_n(x) \nabla \rho\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) \nabla u(s, x) dx ds\right) \\
& \leq 4n^{-1} \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^{t\wedge\tau_m} e^{\eta s} \|u(s)\| \|\nabla u(s)\| ds\right) \\
& \leq 2n^{-1} \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \|u(s)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 ds\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.27}$$

For the third term on the left-hand side of (5.26), by (3.2) we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2\beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^{t\wedge\tau_m} e^{\eta s} (f(s, x, u(s, x), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}), \rho_n^2 u(s)) ds\right) \\
& \leq -2\beta\alpha_1 \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^{t\wedge\tau_m} e^{\eta s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho_n^2 |u(s)|^p dx ds\right) + 2\beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^{t\wedge\tau_m} e^{\eta s} \mathbb{E}\left(\|u(s)\|^2\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho_n^2 \psi_1(x) dx ds\right) \\
& + 2\beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^{t\wedge\tau_m} e^{\eta s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|\phi_1(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\rho_n u(s)\|^2 ds\right) + 2\beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^{t\wedge\tau_m} e^{\eta s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho_n^2(x) |\phi_1(s, x)| dx ds\right) \\
& \leq 2\beta \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|\phi_1(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \mathbb{E}\left(\|\rho_n u(s)\|^2\right) ds + 2\beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho_n^2(x) |\phi_1(s, x)| dx ds\right) \\
& + 2\beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho_n^2(x) \psi_1(x) dx \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \mathbb{E}\left(\|u(s)\|^2\right) ds\right) - 2\beta\alpha_1 \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^{t\wedge\tau_m} e^{\eta s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho_n^2 |u(s)|^p dx ds\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

For the fourth term on the right-hand side of (5.26), by (3.7) and Young's inequality we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^{t\wedge\tau_m} e^{\eta s} (G_1(s, x, u(s, x), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}), \rho_n^2 u(s)) ds\right) \\
& \leq 2\beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^{t\wedge\tau_m} e^{\eta s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho_n^2(x) \phi_g(s, x) |u(s, x)| dx ds\right) \\
& + 2\beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^{t\wedge\tau_m} e^{\eta s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho_n^2(x) \left(\phi_7(s, x) |u(s)|^2 + \psi_g(x) |u(s)| \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left(\|u(s)\|^2\right)}\right) dx ds\right) \\
& \leq \beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^{t\wedge\tau_m} e^{\eta s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho_n^2(x) \left(\eta |u(s, x)|^2 + \eta^{-1} |\phi_g(s, x)|^2\right) dx ds\right) \\
& + \beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^{t\wedge\tau_m} e^{\eta s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho_n^2(x) \left((2\phi_7(s, x) + \psi_g(x)) |u(s)|^2 + |\psi_g(x)| \mathbb{E}\left(\|u(s)\|^2\right)\right) dx ds\right) \\
& \leq \beta\eta^{-1} \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \|\rho_n \phi_g(s)\|^2 ds + \beta \|\rho_n^2 \psi_g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \mathbb{E}\left(\|u(s)\|^2\right) ds \\
& + \beta \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \left(\eta + 2\|\phi_7(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\psi_g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right) \mathbb{E}\left(\|\rho_n u(s)\|^2\right) ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$



For the fifth term on the right-hand side of (5.26), we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\alpha \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{\eta s} (G_2(s), \rho_n^2 v(s)) ds \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha \eta \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \|\rho_n v(s)\|^2 ds \right) + 2\alpha \eta^{-1} \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \|G_2(s)\|^2 ds \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

For the last two terms on the right-hand side of (5.26), by (3.15) and (3.16) we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{\eta s} \|\rho_n \sigma(s, u(s), \mathcal{L}u(s))\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 ds \right) + \alpha \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{\eta s} \|\rho_n \delta(s, v(s))\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 ds \right) \\ & \leq 2\beta \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{\eta s} \|\rho_n \theta_1(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 ds \right) + 4\beta \|w\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \|\gamma_1\|_{l^2}^2 \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{\eta s} \|\rho_n u(s)\|^2 ds \right) \\ & \quad + 8\beta \|\rho_n w\|^2 \|\beta_1\|_{l^2}^2 \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{\eta s} (1 + \mathbb{E}(\|u(s)\|^2)) ds \right) \\ & \quad + 2\alpha \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \|\rho_n \theta_2(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 ds + 2\alpha \|\delta\|_{l^2}^2 \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \|\rho_n v(s)\|^2 ds \\ & \leq 2\beta \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \|\rho_n \theta_1(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 ds + 4\beta \|w\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \|\gamma_1\|_{l^2}^2 \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{\eta s} \mathbb{E}(\|\rho_n u(s)\|^2) ds \\ & \quad + 8\beta \|\rho_n w\|^2 \|\beta_1\|_{l^2}^2 \eta^{-1} e^{\eta t} + 8\beta \|\rho_n w\|^2 \|\beta_1\|_{l^2}^2 \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \mathbb{E}(\|u(s)\|^2) ds \\ & \quad + 2\alpha \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \|\rho_n \theta_2(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 ds + 2\alpha \|\delta\|_{l^2}^2 \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \|\rho_n v(s)\|^2 ds. \end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

It follows from (5.26)-(5.31) that for all  $t \geq \tau$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left( e^{\eta(t \wedge \tau_m)} \left( \beta \|\rho_n u(t)\|^2 + \alpha \|\rho_n v(t)\|^2 \right) \right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left( e^{\eta(t \wedge \tau_m)} \left( \beta \|\rho_n \xi_1\|^2 + \alpha \|\rho_n \xi_2\|^2 \right) \right) + 2\alpha \eta^{-1} \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \|G_2(s)\|^2 ds \right) \\ & \quad + 2n^{-1} \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \|u(s)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 ds \right) + 2\beta \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \|\rho_n \phi_1(s)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 ds \\ & \quad + 2\beta \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \|\rho_n \theta_1(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 ds + 2\alpha \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \|\rho_n \theta_2(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 ds \\ & \quad + 8\beta \|\rho_n w\|^2 \|\beta_1\|_{l^2}^2 \eta^{-1} e^{\eta t} + \beta \eta^{-1} \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \|\rho_n \phi_g(s)\|^2 ds \\ & \quad + \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \left( 2\eta - 2\lambda + 2\|\phi_\tau(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\psi_g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|\rho_n u(s)\|^2 + \alpha \|\rho_n v(s)\|^2 \right) ds \\ & \quad + 2 \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \left( 2\|w\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \|\gamma_1\|_{l^2}^2 + \|\phi_1(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\delta\|_{l^2}^2 \right) \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|\rho_n u(s)\|^2 + \alpha \|\rho_n v(s)\|^2 \right) ds \\ & \quad + \left( 8\|\rho_n w\|^2 \|\beta_1\|_{l^2}^2 + 2\|\rho_n^2 \psi_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\rho_n^2 \psi_g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|u(s)\|^2 + \alpha \|v(s)\|^2 \right) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (5.32)$$

Taking the limit of (5.32) as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , then replacing  $\tau$  and  $t$  in (5.32) by  $\tau - t$  and  $\tau$ , respectively, by Fatou's lemma, (3.23) and Lemma 5.1 we can get that there exist  $c_5 = c_5(\tau) > 0$  and  $T_1 = T_1(\tau, D_1) \geq 1$  such that  $t \geq T_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|\rho_n u(\tau, \tau - t, \xi_1)\|^2 + \alpha \|\rho_n v(\tau, \tau - t, \xi_2)\|^2 \right) \\
& \leq e^{-\eta t} \mathbb{E} \left( \left( \beta \|\rho_n \xi_1\|^2 + \alpha \|\rho_n \xi_2\|^2 \right) \right) + 2\alpha \eta^{-1} \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \|G_2(s)\|^2 ds \right) \\
& \quad + 2\beta \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\eta s} \|\rho_n \phi_1(s)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 ds + \beta \eta^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} \|\rho_n \phi_g(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 ds \\
& \quad + 2\beta \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} \|\rho_n \theta_1(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 ds + 2\alpha \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} \|\rho_n \theta_2(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 ds \\
& \quad + 2n^{-1} \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} c_5 + 8\beta \|\rho_n w\|^2 \|\beta_1\|_{l^2}^2 \eta^{-1} \\
& \quad + \left( 8\|\rho_n w\|^2 \|\beta_1\|_{l^2}^2 + 2\|\rho_n^2 \psi_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\rho_n^2 \psi_g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) c_5.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.33}$$

Note that  $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_0} \in D_1(\tau - t)$  and  $D_1 \in \mathcal{D}_0$ , then we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\eta t} \mathbb{E} \left( \left( \beta \|\rho_n \xi_1\|^2 + \alpha \|\rho_n \xi_2\|^2 \right) \right) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} b e^{-\eta t} \|D_1(\tau - t)\|_{\mathcal{P}_2(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 = 0,$$

and hence for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $T_2 = T_2(\varepsilon, \tau, D_1) \geq T_1$  such that for all  $t \geq T_2$ ,

$$e^{-\eta t} \mathbb{E} \left( \left( \beta \|\rho_n \xi_1\|^2 + \alpha \|\rho_n \xi_2\|^2 \right) \right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}. \tag{5.34}$$

Since  $G_2 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and  $\phi_1 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$  we can get that there exists  $N_1 = N_1(\varepsilon, \tau) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\alpha \eta^{-1} \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \|G_2(s)\|^2 ds \right) + 2\beta \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} \|\rho_n \phi_1(s)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 ds \\
& \leq 2\alpha \eta^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} \int_{|x| \geq n} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} |G_2(s, x)|^2 dx ds + 2\beta \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} \int_{|x| \geq n} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} |\rho_n \phi_1(s)|^2 dx ds < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.35}$$

By (3.26) we can get there exists  $N_2 = N_2(\varepsilon, \tau) \geq N_1$  such that for all  $n \geq N_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\beta \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} \|\rho_n \theta_1(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 ds + 2\alpha \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} \|\rho_n \theta_2(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 ds \\
& \quad + \beta \eta^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} \|\rho_n \phi_g(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 ds \\
& \leq 2\beta \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} \int_{|x| \geq n} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} |\rho_n \theta_1(s)|^2 dx ds + 2\alpha \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} \int_{|x| \geq n} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} |\rho_n \theta_2(s)|^2 dx ds \\
& \quad + \beta \eta^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} \int_{|x| \geq n} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} |\rho_n \phi_g(s)|^2 dx ds < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.36}$$

For the last three term on the left-hand side of (5.33), we can get there exists  $N_3 = N_3(\varepsilon, \tau) \geq N_2$  such that for all  $n \geq N_3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2n^{-1} \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} c_5 + 8 \|\rho_n w\|^2 \|\beta_1\|_{l^2}^2 \eta^{-1} + \left( 8 \|\rho_n w\|^2 \|\beta_1\|_{l^2}^2 + 2 \|\rho_n^2 \psi_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\rho_n^2 \psi_g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) c_5 \\
& \leq 2n^{-1} \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} c_5 + 8 \|\beta_1\|_{l^2}^2 \eta^{-1} \int_{|x| \geq n} w^2(x) dx \\
& \quad + \left( 8 \|\beta_1\|_{l^2}^2 \int_{|x| \geq n} w^2(x) dx + 2 \int_{|x| \geq n} |\psi_1(x)| dx + \int_{|x| \geq n} |\psi_g(x)| dx \right) c_5 < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.37}$$

It follows from (5.33)-(5.37) that for all  $t \geq T_2$  and  $n \geq N_3$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \int_{|x| \geq \sqrt{2}n} |u(\tau, \tau - t, \xi_0)(x)|^2 + |v(\tau, \tau - t, \xi_0)(x)|^2 dx \right) \leq \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|\rho_n u(t)\|^2 + \alpha \|\rho_n v(t)\|^2 \right) < \varepsilon,$$

as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.6.** *Suppose assumption  $(\mathbf{H}_1) - (\mathbf{H}_4)$ , (3.23) and (3.26) hold, then for every  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $D_1 = \{D_1(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}_0$ , there exists  $T = T(\tau, D_1) > 0$  such that for all  $t \geq T$ , the solution  $k = (u, v)$  of (3.21)-(3.22) satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left( \|(u(\tau, \tau - s, \xi_0), v(\tau, \tau - s, \xi_0))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^4 \right) \\
& \leq M_5 + M_5 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} \left( \|\phi_g(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^4 + \|\theta_1(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^4 + \|\theta_2(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^4 \right) ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi_0 \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{\tau-t}}(\Omega, \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  with  $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_0} \in D_1(\tau - t)$ ,  $\eta > 0$  is the same number as in (3.23) and  $M_5$  is a positive constant independent of  $\tau$  and  $D_2$ .

*Proof.* By (4.3) and Ito's formula, we can get for all  $t \geq \tau$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{2\eta t} \left( \beta \|u(t)\|^4 + \alpha \|v(t)\|^4 \right) + 4\beta \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \|u(s)\|^2 \|\nabla u(s)\|^2 ds \\
& - 2\eta \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \left( \beta \|u(s)\|^4 + \alpha \|v(s)\|^4 \right) ds + 4\beta\lambda \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \|u(s)\|^4 ds \\
& + 4\beta \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \|u(s)\|^2 (f(s, x, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}), u(s)) ds + 4\alpha\gamma \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \|v(s)\|^4 ds \\
= & e^{2\eta\tau} \left( \beta \|\xi_1\|^4 + \alpha \|\xi_2\|^4 \right) + 4\alpha \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \|v(s)\|^2 (G_2(s), v(s)) ds \\
& + 4\beta \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \|u(s)\|^2 (G_1(s, x, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}), u(s)) ds \\
& + 2\beta \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \|u(s)\|^2 \|\sigma(s, u, \mathcal{L}_u)\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 ds + 2\alpha \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \|v(s)\|^2 \|\delta(s, v)\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 ds \\
& + 4\beta \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \left( \|u(s)\|^2, \|\sigma(s, u, \mathcal{L}_u)\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 \right) ds + 4\alpha \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \left( \|v(s)\|^2, \|\delta(s, v)\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 \right) ds \\
& + 4\beta \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \|u(s)\|^2 (\sigma(s, u, \mathcal{L}_u), u) dW(s) + 4\alpha \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \|v(s)\|^2 (\delta(s, v), v) dW(s).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.38}$$

$\mathbb{P}$ -almost surely. Given  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , denote by

$$\tau_m = \inf\{t \geq \tau : \|k(t)\| \geq m\}.$$

Consequently we can get that for all  $t \geq \tau$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left( e^{2\eta(t \wedge \tau_m)} \left( \beta \|u(t \wedge \tau_m)\|^4 + \alpha \|v(t \wedge \tau_m)\|^4 \right) \right) + 4\beta \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{2\eta s} \|u(s)\|^2 \|\nabla u(s)\|^2 ds \right) \\
& + 2(2\lambda - \eta) \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{2\eta s} \left( \beta \|u(s)\|^4 + \alpha \|v(s)\|^4 \right) ds \right) \\
\leq & e^{2\eta\tau} \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|\xi_1\|^4 + \alpha \|\xi_2\|^4 \right) - 4\beta \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{2\eta s} \|u(s)\|^2 (f(s, x, u(s, x), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}), u(s)) ds \right) \\
& + 4\beta \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{2\eta s} \|u(s)\|^2 (G_1(s, x, u(s, x), \mathcal{L}_{u(s, \tau-t, \xi_1)}), u(s)) ds \right) \\
& + 4\alpha \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{\eta s} \|v(s)\|^2 (G_2(s, x), v(s)) ds \right) \\
& + 6\alpha \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{2\eta s} \|v(s)\|^2 \|\delta(s, v)\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 ds \right) \\
& + 6\beta \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{2\eta s} \|u(s)\|^2 \|\sigma(s, u(s, \tau-t, \xi_1), \mathcal{L}_{u(s, \tau-t, \xi_1)})\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 ds \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.39}$$

For the second term on the left-hand side of (5.39), we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
& -4\beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^{t\wedge\tau_m} e^{2\eta s}\|u(s)\|^2(f(s,x,u(s,x),\mathcal{L}_{u(s)}),u(s))ds\right) \\
& \leq 4\beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^{t\wedge\tau_m} e^{2\eta s}\|\phi_1(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|u(s)\|^4ds\right) \\
& \quad + 4\beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^{t\wedge\tau_m} e^{2\eta s}\|u(s)\|^2\left(\|\phi_1(s)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}+\|\psi_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\mathbb{E}\left(\|u(s)\|^2\right)\right)ds\right) \\
& \leq 4\beta\left(\int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s}\|\phi_1(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\mathbb{E}\left(\|u(s)\|^4\right)ds\right)+4\beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s}\|u(s)\|^2\|\phi_1(s)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}ds\right) \quad (5.40) \\
& \quad + 4\beta\left(\int_{\tau}^{t\wedge\tau_m} e^{2\eta s}\|\psi_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\|u(s)\|^2\right)\right)^2ds\right) \\
& \leq \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s}\left(4\|\phi_1(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}+4\|\psi_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}+\frac{1}{3}\eta\right)\mathbb{E}\left(\beta\|u(s)\|^4\right)ds \\
& \quad + 12\eta^{-1}\int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s}\|\phi_1(s)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2ds.
\end{aligned}$$

For the third term on the right-hand side of (5.39), we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
& 4\beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^{t\wedge\tau_m} e^{2\eta s}\|u(s)\|^2(G_1(s,x,u(s,x),\mathcal{L}_{u(s,\tau-t,\xi_1)}),u(s))ds\right) \\
& \leq 2\beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^{t\wedge\tau_m} e^{2\eta s}\left(\eta\|u(s)\|^4+\eta^{-1}\|\phi_g(s)\|^2\|u(s)\|^2\right)ds\right) \\
& \quad + 2\beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^{t\wedge\tau_m} e^{2\eta s}\|u(s)\|^2\|\psi_g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\mathbb{E}\left(\|u(s)\|^2\right)ds\right) \\
& \quad + 2\beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^{t\wedge\tau_m} e^{2\eta s}\left(2\|\phi_7(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}+\|\psi_g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right)\|u(s)\|^4ds\right) \\
& \leq 2\beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s}\left(\frac{4}{3}\eta\|u(s)\|^4+\frac{3}{4}\eta^{-3}\|\phi_g(s)\|^4\right)ds\right) \quad (5.41) \\
& \quad + 2\beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s}\|u(s)\|^2\|\psi_g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\mathbb{E}\left(\|u(s)\|^2\right)ds\right) \\
& \quad + 2\beta\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s}\left(2\|\phi_7(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}+\|\psi_g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right)\|u(s)\|^4ds\right) \\
& \leq \frac{3}{2}\eta^{-3}\left(\int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s}\|\phi_g(s)\|^4ds\right) \\
& \quad + 2\int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s}\left(2\|\phi_7(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}+\|\psi_g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}+\|\psi_g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}+\frac{4}{3}\eta\right)\mathbb{E}\left(\beta\|u(s)\|^4\right)ds.
\end{aligned}$$

For the fourth and fifth term on the left-hand side of (5.39), we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
& 4\alpha \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{\eta s} \|v(s)\|^2 (G_2(s, x), v(s)) ds \right) \\
& + 6\alpha \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{2\eta s} \|v(s)\|^2 \|\delta(s, v(s))\|_{L^2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 ds \right) \\
\leq & \frac{2\alpha}{\eta} \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{\eta s} \|v(s)\|^2 \|G_2(s)\|^2 ds \right) + 2\alpha \eta \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{\eta s} \|v(s)\|^4 ds \right) \\
& + 12\alpha \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{2\eta s} \|v(s)\|^2 \|\theta_2(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 ds \right) + 12\alpha \|\delta\|_{l^2}^2 \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \|v(s)\|^4 ds \right) \\
\leq & \frac{3}{2} \eta^{-3} \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{\eta s} \|G_2(s)\|^4 ds \right) + 3\alpha \eta \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{\eta s} \|v(s)\|^4 ds \right) \\
& + 12\alpha \|\delta\|_{l^2}^2 \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \|v(s)\|^4 ds \right) + 108\alpha \eta^{-1} \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \|\theta_2(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^4 ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.42}$$

For the last term on the left-hand side of (5.39), we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
& 6\beta \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{2\eta s} \|u(s)\|^2 \|\sigma(s, u(s, \tau - t, \xi_1), \mathcal{L}_{u(s, \tau - t, \xi_1)})\|_{L^2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 ds \right) \\
\leq & 12\beta \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{2\eta s} \|u(s)\|^2 \|\theta_1(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^2 ds \right) \\
& + 48\|w\|^2 \|\beta_1\|_{l^2}^2 \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{2\eta s} \|u(s)\|^2 \left( 1 + \mathbb{E}(\|u(s)\|^2) \right) ds \right) \\
& + 24\|w\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \|\gamma_1\|_{l^2}^2 \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{2\eta s} \|u(s)\|^4 ds \right) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{3} \beta \eta \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \mathbb{E}(\|u(s)\|^4) ds + 108\beta \eta^{-1} \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \|\theta_1(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^4 ds \\
& + 48\beta \|w\|^2 \|\beta_1\|_{l^2}^2 \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \mathbb{E}(\|u(s)\|^4) ds + 48\beta \|w\|^2 \|\beta_1\|_{l^2}^2 \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \mathbb{E}(\|u(s)\|^2) ds \\
& + 24\beta \|w\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \|\gamma_1\|_{l^2}^2 \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{2\eta s} \mathbb{E}(\|u(s)\|^4) ds \\
\leq & \left( \frac{1}{2} \eta + 48\|w\|^2 \|\beta_1\|_{l^2}^2 + 24\|w\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \|\gamma_1\|_{l^2}^2 \right) \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \mathbb{E}(\beta \|u(s)\|^4) ds \\
& + 108\eta^{-1} \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \left( \beta \|\theta_1(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^4 \right) ds + 1728\eta^{-2} \|w\|^4 \|\beta_1\|_{l^2}^4 e^{2\eta t}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.43}$$

It follows from (5.39)-(5.43) that for all  $t \geq \tau$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left( e^{2\eta(t \wedge \tau_m)} \left( \beta \|u(t \wedge \tau_m)\|^4 + \alpha \|v(t \wedge \tau_m)\|^4 \right) \right) \\
& + (4\lambda - 5\eta) \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau}^{t \wedge \tau_m} e^{2\eta s} \left( \beta \|u(s)\|^4 + \alpha \|v(s)\|^4 \right) ds \right) \\
\leq & e^{2\eta\tau} \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|\xi_1\|^4 + \alpha \|\xi_2\|^4 \right) + 12\eta^{-1} \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \|\phi_1(s)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 ds + \frac{3}{2}\eta^{-3} \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \|G_2(s)\|^4 ds \\
& + \frac{3}{2}\eta^{-3} \left( \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \|\phi_g(s)\|^4 ds \right) + 1728\eta^{-2} \|w\|^4 \|\beta_1\|_{l^2}^4 e^{2\eta t} \\
& + 108\eta^{-1} \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \left( \beta \|\theta_1(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^4 + \alpha \|\theta_2(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^4 \right) ds \\
& + 4 \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \left( \|\phi_1(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\psi_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + 3\|\delta\|_{l^2}^2 \right) \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|u(s)\|^4 + \alpha \|v(s)\|^4 \right) ds \\
& + 2 \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \left( 2\|\phi_\tau(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\psi_g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\psi_g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|u(s)\|^4 + \alpha \|v(s)\|^4 \right) ds \\
& + \left( \frac{1}{2}\eta + 48\|w\|^2 \|\beta_1\|_{l^2}^2 + 24\|w\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \|\gamma_1\|_{l^2}^2 \right) \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|u(s)\|^4 + \alpha \|v(s)\|^4 \right) ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.44}$$

Taking the limit of (5.44) as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , by Fatou's lemma and (3.24) we get that for all  $t \geq \tau$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left( e^{2\eta t} \left( \beta \|u(t)\|^4 + \alpha \|v(t)\|^4 \right) \right) \\
\leq & e^{2\eta\tau} \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|\xi_1\|^4 + \alpha \|\xi_2\|^4 \right) + 12\eta^{-1} \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \|\phi_1(s)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 ds + \frac{3}{2}\eta^{-3} \int_{\tau}^t e^{\eta s} \|G_2(s)\|^4 ds \\
& + \frac{3}{2}\eta^{-3} \left( \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \|\phi_g(s)\|^4 ds \right) + 1728\eta^{-2} \|w\|^4 \|\beta_1\|_{l^2}^4 e^{2\eta t} \\
& + 108\eta^{-1} \int_{\tau}^t e^{2\eta s} \left( \beta \|\theta_1(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^4 + \alpha \|\theta_2(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^4 \right) ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.45}$$

Replacing  $\tau$  and  $t$  in (5.45) by  $\tau - t$  and  $\tau$ , respectively, we have for all  $t \geq \tau$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|u(t)\|^4 + \alpha \|v(t)\|^4 \right) \\
\leq & e^{-2\eta t} \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|\xi_1\|^4 + \alpha \|\xi_2\|^4 \right) + 12\eta^{-1} \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{2\eta(s-\tau)} \|\phi_1(s)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 ds + \frac{3}{2}\eta^{-3} \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\eta(s-\tau)} \|G_2(s)\|^4 ds \\
& + \frac{3}{2}\eta^{-3} \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{2\eta(s-\tau)} \|\phi_g(s)\|^4 ds + 1728\eta^{-2} \|w\|^4 \|\beta_1\|_{l^2}^4 \\
& + 108\eta^{-1} \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{2\eta(s-\tau)} \left( \beta \|\theta_1(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^4 + \alpha \|\theta_2(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^4 \right) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_0} \in D_2(\tau - t)$  and  $D_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ , then we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-2\eta t} \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|\xi_1\|^4 + \alpha \|\xi_2\|^4 \right) \leq e^{-2\eta\tau} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{2\eta(\tau-t)} \|D_2(\tau - t)\|_{\mathcal{P}_4(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^4 = 0,$$

and hence there exists  $T = T(\tau, D_2) > 0$  such that for all  $t \geq T$ ,

$$e^{-2\eta t} \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|\xi_1\|^4 + \alpha \|\xi_2\|^4 \right) \leq 1.$$

Further we can get that for all  $t \geq T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|u(t)\|^4 + \alpha \|v(t)\|^4 \right) \\ & \leq 1 + 6\eta^{-1} \|\phi_1(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^1(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 + \frac{3}{2}\eta^{-3} \|\phi_1(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 + 1728\eta^{-2} \|w\|^4 \|\beta_1\|_{l^2}^4 \\ & \quad + \frac{3}{2}\eta^{-3} \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{2\eta s} \|\phi_g(s)\|^4 ds + 108\eta^{-1} \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{2\eta s} \left( \beta \|\theta_1(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^4 + \alpha \|\theta_2(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^4 \right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

## 6 Existence of Pullback Measure Attractors

In this section, we establish the existence and uniqueness of  $\mathcal{D}$ -pullback measure attractors of (3.21)-(3.22) in  $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ . For this purpose, we first define a non-autonomous dynamical system in  $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ . Given  $\tau, t \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $t \geq \tau$ , define  $P_{\tau, t}^* : \mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , then for every  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ ,

$$P_{\tau, t}^* \mu = \mathcal{L}_{k(t, \tau, \xi_0)}, \quad (6.1)$$

where  $k(t, \tau, \xi_0)$  is the solution of (3.19) with  $\xi_0 \in L_{\mathcal{F}_\tau}^4(\Omega, \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  such that  $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_0} = \mu$ . Moreover, for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , define  $S(t, \tau) : \mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  by, for all  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ ,

$$S(t, \tau) \mu = P_{\tau, \tau+t}^* \mu. \quad (6.2)$$

According to the uniqueness of solutions of (3.21)-(3.22), we can get that for all  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\xi_0 \in L_{\mathcal{F}_\tau}^4(\Omega, \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ ,

$$k(t + s + \tau, \tau, \xi_0) = k(t + s + \tau, s + \tau, k(s + \tau, \tau, \xi_0)).$$

Further we can get that for all  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ ,

$$S(t + s, \tau) \mu = S(t, s + \tau) (S(s, \tau) \mu). \quad (6.3)$$

Next we will prove  $S$  is weakly continuous over bounded subsets of  $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , which is devoted to obtain  $S$  is weakly continuous over bounded subsets of  $(\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)), d_{\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))})$ .



**Lemma 6.1.** *Suppose  $(\mathbf{H}_1) - (\mathbf{H}_4)$  hold. Let  $\xi_0, \xi_{0,n} \in L^4_{\mathcal{F}_\tau}(\Omega, \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  such that  $\mathbb{E}(\|\xi_0\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^4) \leq K$  and  $\mathbb{E}(\|\xi_{0,n}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^4) \leq K$  for some  $K > 0$ . If  $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\xi_{0,n}}$  weakly, then for every  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $t \geq \tau$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{k(t,\tau,\xi_0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{k(t,\tau,\xi_{0,n})}$  weakly.*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\xi_{0,n}}$  weakly, by the Skorokhov theorem, there exist a probability space  $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$  and random variables  $\tilde{\xi}_0$  and  $\tilde{\xi}_{0,n}$  defined in  $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$  such that the distributions of  $\tilde{\xi}_0$  and  $\tilde{\xi}_{0,n}$  coincide with that of  $\xi_0$  and  $\xi_{0,n}$ , respectively. Furthermore,  $\tilde{\xi}_{0,n} \rightarrow \tilde{\xi}_0$   $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -almost surely. Note that  $\tilde{\xi}_0$ ,  $\tilde{\xi}_{0,n}$  and  $W$  can be considered as random variables defined in the product space  $(\Omega \times \tilde{\Omega}, \mathcal{F} \times \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \mathbb{P} \times \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$ . So we may consider the solutions of the stochastic equation in the product space with initial data  $\xi_0$  and  $\xi_{0,n}$ , instead of the solutions in  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  with initial data  $\tilde{\xi}_0$  and  $\tilde{\xi}_{0,n}$ . However, for simplicity, we will not distinguish the new random variables from the original ones, and just consider the solutions of the equation in the original space. Since  $\tilde{\xi}_{0,n} \rightarrow \tilde{\xi}_0$   $(\mathbb{P} \times \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$ -almost surely, without loss of generality, we simply assume that  $\xi_{0,n} \rightarrow \xi_0$   $\mathbb{P}$ -almost surely. Let  $y_n = u(t, \tau, \xi_1) - u_n(t, \tau, \xi_{1,n})$  and  $z_n = v(t, \tau, \xi_2) - v_n(t, \tau, \xi_{2,n})$ .

For the first equation of (3.21) we can get that for all  $t \geq \tau$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & dy_n(t) - \nabla y_n(t) + \lambda y_n(t) dt + \alpha z_n(t) dt + (f(t, u(t), \mathcal{L}_{u(t)}) - f(t, u_n(t), \mathcal{L}_{u_n(t)})) dt \\ &= (G_1(t, u(t), \mathcal{L}_{u(t)}) - G_1(t, u_n(t), \mathcal{L}_{u_n(t)})) dt + (\sigma(t, u(t), \mathcal{L}_{u(t)}) - \sigma(t, u_n(t), \mathcal{L}_{u_n(t)})) dW(t). \end{aligned}$$

For the second equation of (3.21) we can get that for all  $t \geq \tau$ ,

$$dz_n(t) + \gamma z_n(t) dt - \beta z_n dt = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k z_n(t) dW_k(t).$$

Then from above equations and by Ito's formula we can get that for all  $t \geq \tau$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\beta \|y_n(t)\|^2 + \alpha \|z_n(t)\|^2) + 2\beta \int_{\tau}^t \|\nabla y_n(s)\|^2 ds \\ &+ 2\beta \lambda \int_{\tau}^t \|y_n(s)\|^2 ds + 2\alpha \gamma \int_{\tau}^t \|z_n(s)\|^2 ds \\ &= \beta \|\xi_1 - \xi_{1,n}\|^2 + \alpha \|\xi_2 - \xi_{2,n}\|^2 - 2\beta \int_{\tau}^t (f(s, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}) - f(s, u_n(s), \mathcal{L}_{u_n(s)})) ds \\ &+ 2\beta \int_{\tau}^t (G_1(s, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}) - G_1(s, u_n(s), \mathcal{L}_{u_n(s)}), y_n(s)) ds \\ &+ \beta \int_{\tau}^t \|\sigma(s, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}) - \sigma(s, u_n(s), \mathcal{L}_{u_n(s)})\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 ds \\ &+ \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\tau}^t \|\delta_k z_n(s)\|^2 ds + 2\alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\tau}^t (\delta_k z_n(s), z_n(s)) dW(s) \\ &+ 2\beta \int_{\tau}^t (\sigma(s, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}) - \sigma(s, u_n(s), \mathcal{L}_{u_n(s)}), y_n(s)) dW(s). \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

For the second term on the right-hand, by (3.3) and (3.4) we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2\beta \int_{\tau}^t (f(s, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}) - f(s, u_n(s), \mathcal{L}_{u_n(s)}), y_n(s)) ds \\
&= -2\beta \int_{\tau}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f(s, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}) - f(s, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u_n(s)})) y_n(s) ds \\
& \quad -2\beta \int_{\tau}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f(s, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u_n(s)}) - f(s, u_n(s), \mathcal{L}_{u_n(s)})) y_n(s) ds \\
&\leq \beta \int_{\tau}^t \left( (2\|\phi_4(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\phi_3(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}) \|y_n(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}(\|y_n(s)\|^2) \|\phi_3(s)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

For the third term on the right-hand, similarly by (3.8) we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\beta \int_{\tau}^t (G_1(s, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}) - G_1(s, u_n(s), \mathcal{L}_{u_n(s)}), y_n(s)) ds \\
&\leq \beta \int_{\tau}^t \left( 3\|\phi_7(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|y_n(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}(\|y_n(s)\|^2) \|\phi_7(s)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

For the fourth term on the right-hand, by (3.18) we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \beta \int_{\tau}^t \|\sigma(s, u(s), \mathcal{L}_{u(s)}) - \sigma(s, u_n(s), \mathcal{L}_{u_n(s)})\|_{L_2(l^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 ds \\
&\leq 2\beta \|\sigma_1\|_{l^2}^2 \int_{\tau}^t \left( \|w\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \|y_n(s)\|^2 + \|w\|^2 \mathbb{E}(\|y_n(s)\|^2) \right) ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

For the fifth term on the right-hand, we can get

$$\alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\tau}^t \|\delta_k z_n(s)\|^2 ds \leq \alpha \left( \lambda + \|\delta\|_{l^2}^2 \right) \int_{\tau}^t \|z_n(s)\|^2 ds. \tag{6.8}$$

It follows from (6.4)-(6.7) we can get that for all  $t \geq \tau$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|y_n(t)\|^2 + \alpha \|z_n(t)\|^2 \right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|\xi_1 - \xi_{1,n}\|^2 + \alpha \|\xi_2 - \xi_{2,n}\|^2 \right) \\
& \quad + \left( 2\|\phi_4\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))} + 3\|\phi_7\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \int_{\tau}^t \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|y_n(s)\|^2 + \alpha \|z_n(s)\|^2 \right) ds \\
& \quad + \left( \|\phi_3\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \|\delta\|_{l^2}^2 \right) \int_{\tau}^t \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|y_n(s)\|^2 + \alpha \|z_n(s)\|^2 \right) ds \\
& \quad + 2\|L_\sigma\|_{l^2}^2 \left( \|w\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \|w\|^2 \right) \int_{\tau}^t \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|y_n(s)\|^2 + \alpha \|z_n(s)\|^2 \right) ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

By (6.9) and Gronwall's lemma, we can get for all  $t \geq \tau$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \beta \|y_n(t)\|^2 + \alpha \|z_n(t)\|^2 \right) \leq \mathbb{E} \left( \alpha \|\xi_2 - \xi_{2,n}\|^2 + \beta \|\xi_1 - \xi_{1,n}\|^2 \right) e^{c_7(t-\tau)}.$$

Since  $\mathbb{E} \left( \|\xi_{0,n}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^4 \right) \leq K$ , we see that the sequence  $\{\xi_{0,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is uniformly integrable in  $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_\tau}(\Omega, \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ . Then using the assumption that  $\xi_{0,n} \rightarrow \xi_0$   $\mathbb{P}$ -almost surely, we obtain from Vitali's theorem that  $\xi_{0,n} \rightarrow \xi_0$  in  $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_\tau}(\Omega, \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , which along with (6.9) shows that  $k(t, \tau, \xi_{0,n}) \rightarrow k(t, \tau, \xi_0)$  in  $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_\tau}(\Omega, \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and hence also in distribution.  $\square$

Next we will show that the system (3.21)-(3.22) has a closed  $\mathcal{D}$ -pullback absorbing set.

**Lemma 6.2.** *Suppose assumption  $(\mathbf{H}_1) - (\mathbf{H}_4)$ , (3.23) and (3.27) hold, then  $S$  has a closed  $\mathcal{D}$ -pullback absorbing set  $B \in \mathcal{D}$  which is given by, for each  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ ,*

$$B(\tau) = \left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) : \int_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\xi\|^4 \mu(d\xi) \leq R(\tau) \right\}, \quad (6.10)$$

where  $R(\tau)$  is given by

$$R(\tau) = M_5 + M_5 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{2\eta(s-\tau)} \left( \|\phi_g(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^4 + \|\theta_1(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^4 + \|\theta_2(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^4 \right) ds, \quad (6.11)$$

where  $\eta > 0$  is the same number as in (3.23) and  $M_5 > 0$  is the same constant in Lemma 5.6 which is independent of  $\tau$ .

*Proof.* Obviously  $B(\tau)$  is a closed subset of  $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ . By (6.2) and Lemma 5.6, we can get that for every  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $D_2 \in \mathcal{D}$  there exists  $T = T(\tau, D_2) > 0$  such that for all  $t \geq T$ ,

$$S(t, \tau - t) D_2(\tau - t) \subseteq B(\tau). \quad (6.12)$$

Finally, by (3.27) and (6.10) we have, as  $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{2\eta\tau} \|B(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^4 \\ &= e^{2\eta\tau} M_6 + M_6 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{2\eta s} \left( \|\phi_g(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^4 + \|\theta_1(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^4 + \|\theta_2(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, l^2)}^4 \right) ds \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $B = \{B(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}$ . Therefore, by (6.12) we find that  $B$  as given by (6.10) is a closed  $\mathcal{D}$ -pullback absorbing set for  $S$ .  $\square$

Let  $\chi^n = \chi_1^n + \chi_2^n$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\{\chi^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ ,  $\{\chi_1^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $\{\chi_2^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  are  $X$ -valued random sequence.

**Lemma 6.3.** *[17] Assume  $\{\mathcal{L}(\chi_1^n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  are tight and  $\mathbb{E}(\|\chi_2^n\|_X^2)$  convergence to zero. Then  $\{\mathcal{L}(\chi^n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  are also tight.*

Given  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $D_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $t_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\mu_n \in D_2(\tau - t_n)$ , hereafter we will prove the sequence  $\{S(t_n, \tau - t_n) \mu_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  has a convergent subsequence in  $(\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)), d_{\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))})$ .

**Lemma 6.4.** *Suppose assumption  $(H_1)$ - $(H_4)$ , (3.23) and (3.26)-(3.27) hold. Then  $S$  is  $\mathcal{D}$ -pullback asymptotically compact in  $(\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)), d_{\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))})$ .*

*Proof.* Denote  $\xi_{0,n} \in L^4_{\mathcal{F}_{\tau-t_n}}(\Omega, \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  with  $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_{0,n}} = \mu_n$ , we consider the solution  $k(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n})$  of (3.21)-(3.22) with initial data  $\xi_{0,n}$  at initial time  $\tau - t_n$ . We first prove the distributions of  $\{k(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  are tight in  $\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Let  $\rho : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be the smooth cut-off function given by (5.24), and  $\rho_m(x) = \rho\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)$  for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then the solution  $k$  can be decomposed as

$$k(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n}) = \rho_m k(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n}) + (1 - \rho_m) k(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n}).$$

Further we depose the  $(1 - \rho_m) k(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n})$  as

$$(1 - \rho_m) k(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n}) = (1 - \rho_m) k_1(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n}) + (1 - \rho_m) k_2(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n}), \quad (6.13)$$

where

$$(1 - \rho_m) k_1(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n}) = (1 - \rho_m) (0, v_1(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n})), \quad (6.14)$$

and

$$(1 - \rho_m) k_2(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n}) = (1 - \rho_m) (u(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n}), v_2(\tau, \tau - t_n, 0)). \quad (6.15)$$

By (5.18) we can get

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \|(1 - \rho_m) k_1(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n})\|^2 \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6.16)$$

Then from (6.13)-(6.16) and Lemma 6.3 we can get  $\{\mathcal{L}_{(1-\rho_m)k(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n})}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is tight as along as  $\{\mathcal{L}_{(1-\rho_m)k_2(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n})}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is tight. By Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.4 we can get that for every  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $D_2 = \{D_2(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}$  there exist  $N_5 = N_5(\tau, D_2) > 0$  such that for all  $n \geq N_5$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \|u(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{1,n})\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \|v_2(\tau, \tau - t_n, 0)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right) \leq c_8. \quad (6.17)$$

By (6.17) we can get that for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \geq N_5$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \|(1 - \rho_m) k_2(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n})\|_{\mathbb{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right) \leq c_9. \quad (6.18)$$

By (6.18) and Chebyshev's inequality we can get that there exists  $R(\epsilon, \tau) > 0$  such that for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \geq N_5$ ,

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \left\{ \|(1 - \rho_m) k_2(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n})\|_{\mathbb{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} > R(\epsilon, \tau) \right\} \right) \leq \epsilon. \quad (6.19)$$

Denote

$$Z_\epsilon = \left\{ k_2 \in \mathbb{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|k_2\|_{\mathbb{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq R(\epsilon, \tau); k_2(x) = 0 \text{ for a.e. } |x| > m \right\}.$$

Then  $Z_\epsilon$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , by (6.19) we can get that for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \geq N_5$ ,

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \left\{ \|(1 - \rho_m) k_2(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n})\|_{\mathbb{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \in Z_\epsilon \right\} \right) > 1 - \epsilon. \quad (6.20)$$

Note that  $\delta > 0$  is arbitrary, by (6.20) we can get that for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \geq N_5$ ,

$$\left\{ \mathcal{L}_{(1-\rho_m)k_2(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n})} \right\}_{n=1}^\infty \text{ is tight in } \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (6.21)$$

Further we can get that the sequence  $\left\{ \mathcal{L}_{(1-\rho_m)k(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n})} \right\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is tight in  $\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Next we will use the uniform tail-estimates to prove  $\left\{ \mathcal{L}_{k(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n})} \right\}_{n=1}^\infty$  also is tight in  $\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . According to Lemma 5.4 we can get that for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N_6 = N_6(\epsilon, \tau, D_2) \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m_0 = m_0(\epsilon, \tau) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N_6$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \int_{|x| > m_0} |k(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n})(x)|^2 dx \right) < \frac{1}{9} \epsilon^2. \quad (6.22)$$

By (6.22) we can get that for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \geq N_6$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \|\rho_{m_0} k(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n})\|^2 \right) < \frac{1}{9} \epsilon^2. \quad (6.23)$$

By (6.23) we can see that  $\left\{ \mathcal{L}_{(1-\rho_{m_0})k(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n})} \right\}_{n=N_6}^\infty$  is tight in  $\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and hence there exist  $n_1, \dots, n_l \geq N_6$  such that

$$\left\{ \mathcal{L}_{(1-\rho_{m_0})k(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n})} \right\}_{n=N_6}^\infty \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^l B \left( \mathcal{L}_{(1-\rho_{m_0})k(\tau, \tau - t_{n_j}, \xi_{0,n_j})}, \frac{1}{3} \epsilon \right), \quad (6.24)$$

where  $B \left( \mathcal{L}_{(1-\rho_{m_0})k(\tau, \tau - t_{n_j}, \xi_{0,n_j})}, \frac{1}{3} \epsilon \right)$  is the  $\frac{1}{3} \epsilon$ -neighborhood of  $\mathcal{L}_{(1-\rho_{m_0})k(\tau, \tau - t_{n_j}, \xi_{0,n_j})}$  in the space  $(\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)), d_{\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))})$ . Given  $n \geq N_6$ , by (6.24) we can get that there exist  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$  such that

$$\mathcal{L}_{(1-\rho_{m_0})k(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n})} \subseteq B \left( \mathcal{L}_{(1-\rho_{m_0})k(\tau, \tau - t_{n_j}, \xi_{0,n_j})}, \frac{1}{3} \epsilon \right). \quad (6.25)$$

By (6.23) and (6.25) we can get that

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_{\mathcal{P}(Z)} \left( \mathcal{L}_{k(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n})}, \mathcal{L}_{k(\tau, \tau - t_{n_j}, \xi_{0,n_j})} \right) \\
&= \sup_{\psi \in L_b(Z), \|\psi\|_{L_b} \leq 1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi d\mathcal{L}_{k(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n})} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi d\mathcal{L}_{k(\tau, \tau - t_{n_j}, \xi_{0,n_j})} \right| \\
&= \sup_{\psi \in L_b(Z), \|\psi\|_{L_b} \leq 1} \left| \mathbb{E}(\psi(k(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n}))) - \mathbb{E}(\psi(k(\tau, \tau - t_{n_j}, \xi_{0,n_j}))) \right| \\
&\leq \sup_{\psi \in L_b(Z), \|\psi\|_{L_b} \leq 1} \left| \mathbb{E}(\psi(k(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n}))) - \mathbb{E}(\psi(1 - \rho_{m_0})k(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n})) \right| \\
&\quad + \sup_{\psi \in L_b(Z), \|\psi\|_{L_b} \leq 1} \left| \mathbb{E}(\psi(1 - \rho_{m_0})k(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n})) - \mathbb{E}(\psi(1 - \rho_{m_0})k(\tau, \tau - t_{n_j}, \xi_{0,n_j})) \right| \\
&\quad + \sup_{\psi \in L_b(Z), \|\psi\|_{L_b} \leq 1} \left| \mathbb{E}(\psi(1 - \rho_{m_0})k(\tau, \tau - t_{n_j}, \xi_{0,n_j})) - \mathbb{E}(\psi(k(\tau, \tau - t_{n_j}, \xi_{0,n_j}))) \right| \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}(\|\rho_{m_0}k(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n})\|) + \mathbb{E}(\|\rho_{m_0}k(\tau, \tau - t_{n_j}, \xi_{0,n_j})\|) \\
&\quad + d_{\mathcal{P}(Z)} \left( \mathcal{L}_{(1-\rho_{m_0})k(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n})}, \mathcal{L}_{(1-\rho_{m_0})k(\tau, \tau - t_{n_j}, \xi_{0,n_j})} \right) \\
&< \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon = \varepsilon.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.26}$$

Consequently we can get

$$\left\{ \mathcal{L}_{(1-\rho_{m_0})k(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n})} \right\}_{n=N_6}^{\infty} \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^l B \left( \mathcal{L}_{(1-\rho_{m_0})k(\tau, \tau - t_{n_j}, \xi_{0,n_j})}, \varepsilon \right). \tag{6.27}$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, by (6.27) we see that the sequence  $\{\mathcal{L}_{k(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n})}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is tight in  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , which implies that there exists  $\varpi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  such that, up to a subsequence,

$$\mathcal{L}_{k(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n})} \rightarrow \varpi \quad \text{weakly}. \tag{6.28}$$

It remains to show  $\varpi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ . Let  $B = \{B(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$  be the closed  $\mathcal{D}$ -pullback absorbing set of  $S$  given by (6.10). Then there exists  $N_7 = N_7(\tau, D_2) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N_7$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}_{k(\tau, \tau - t_n, \xi_{0,n})} \in B(\tau). \tag{6.29}$$

Since  $B(\tau)$  is closed with respect to the weak topology of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , by (6.28)-(6.29) we obtain  $\varpi \in B(\tau)$  and thus  $\varpi \in \mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

We now prove the existence and uniqueness of  $\mathcal{D}$ -pullback measure attractors of  $S$ .

**Theorem 6.5.** *If (H1) – (H4), (3.23) and (3.26)-(3.27) hold, then  $S$  associated with (3.21)-(3.22) has a unique  $\mathcal{D}$ -pullback measure attractor  $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{A}(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}$  in  $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  such that for all  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}(\tau) &= k(B, \tau) = \{\psi(0, \tau) : \psi \text{ is a } \mathcal{D}\text{-complete orbit of } S\} \\ &= \{\xi(\tau) : \xi \text{ is a } \mathcal{D}\text{-complete solution of } S\},\end{aligned}$$

where  $B = \{B(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is a  $\mathcal{D}$ -pullback absorbing of  $S$  as given by Lemma 6.2.

*Proof.* We can get the existence and uniqueness of the measure attractor  $\mathcal{A}$  follows from Proposition 2.10 based on Lemma 6.1, 6.2 and 6.4.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Abiti Adili and Wang Bixiang. Random attractors for stochastic FitzHugh-Nagumo systems driven by deterministic non-autonomous forcing. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems-B*, 18: 643-666 (2013).
- [2] Bao Jianhai and Huang Xing. Approximations of McKean-Vlasov SDEs with Irregular Coefficients. Arxiv preprint arxiv: 1905.08522 (2019).
- [3] Chen Zhang and Wang Bixiang. Well-posedness and large deviations of fractional McKean-Vlasov stochastic reaction-diffusion equations on unbounded domains. Arxiv preprint arxiv: 2406.10694 (2024).
- [4] Erik, Vleck Van and Wang Bixiang. Attractors for lattice FitzHugh-Nagumo systems. *Physica D*, 212: 317-336 (2005).
- [5] Fan Xiliang, Huang Xing, Suo Yongqian and Yuan Chengui. Distribution dependent SDEs driven by fractional Brownian motions. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 151: 23-67 (2022).
- [6] Gao Jingyue, Hong Wei and Liu Wei. Distribution-dependent stochastic porous media equations. Arxiv preprint arxiv: 2103.10135 (2023).
- [7] Gu Anhui, Li Yangrong and Li Jia. Random attractors on lattice of stochastic Fitzhugh-Nagumo systems driven by  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy noises. *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, 24: 1-9 (2014).
- [8] Gu Anhui and Wang Bixiang. Asymptotic behavior of random Fitzhugh-Nagumo systems driven by colored noise. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems-B*, 23: 1689-1720 (2018).

- [9] Huang Xing and Wang Fengyu. Distribution dependent SDEs with singular coefficients. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 129: 4747-4770 (2019).
- [10] Huang, Xing, Röckner Michael and Wang Fengyu. Nonlinear Fokker-Planck equations for probability measures on path space and path-distribution dependent SDEs. Arxiv preprint arxiv: 1709.00556 (2020).
- [11] Huang Xing, Ren Panpan and Wang Fengyu. Distribution dependent stochastic differential equations. *Frontiers of Mathematics in China*, 16: 257-301 (2021).
- [12] Hong Wei, Hu Shanshan and Liu Wei. McKean-Vlasov sde and spde with locally monotone coefficients. *Annals of Applied Probability*, 34: 2136-2189 (2024).
- [13] Hu Shanshan. Long-time behaviour for distribution dependent SDEs with local Lipschitz coefficients. Arxiv preprint arxiv: 2103.13101 (2021).
- [14] Jinichi Nagumo, Suguru Arimoto and Shuji Yoshizawa. An active pulse transmission line simulating nerve axon. *Proceedings of the IRE*, 50: 2061-2070 (1962).
- [15] Kac Mark. Probability and Related Topics in the Physical Sciences. *Physics Today*, 1959.
- [16] Li Dingshi, Wang Bixiang and Wang Xiaohu. Limiting behavior of non-autonomous stochastic reaction diffusion equations on thin domains. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 262: 1575-1602 (2017).
- [17] Li Dingshi and Wang Bixiang. Pullback measure attractors for non-autonomous stochastic reaction-diffusion equations on thin domains. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 397: 232-261 (2024).
- [18] Li Dingshi, Li Ran and Zeng Tianhao. Long-time behaviour of the non-autonomous stochastic FitzHugh-Nagumo systems on thin domains. (submitted)
- [19] Li Yangrong and Yin Jinyan. A modified proof of pullback attractors in a sobolev space for stochastic fitzhugh-nagumo equations. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems-B*, 21: 1203-1223 (2016).
- [20] Liu Wei, Song Yulin, Zhai Jianliang and Zhang Tusheng. Large and moderate deviation principles for McKean-Vlasov SDEs with jumps. *Potential Analysis*, 59: 1141-1190 (2023).
- [21] McKean Jr and Henry P. A class of Markov processes associated with nonlinear parabolic equations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 56: 1907-1911 (1966).



- [22] Röckner Michael, Zhu Rongchan and Zhu Xiangchan. Existence and uniqueness of solutions to stochastic functional differential equations in infinite dimensions. *Nonlinear Analysis*, 125: 358-397 (2015).
- [23] Röckner Michael and Zhang Xichen. Well-posedness of distribution dependent SDEs with singular drifts. *Bernoulli*, 27: 1131-1158 (2021).
- [24] Richard FitzHugh. Impulses and physiological states in theoretical models of nerve membrane. *Biophysical journal*, 1: 445-466 (1961).
- [25] Shi Lin, Shen Jun, Lu Kening and Wang Bixiang. Invariant measures, periodic measures and pullback measure attractors of McKean-Vlasov stochastic reaction-diffusion equations on unbounded domains. Arxiv preprint arxiv: 2409.17548 (2024).
- [26] Tang Quoc Bao. Regularity of pullback random attractors for stochastic FitzHugh-Nagumo system on unbounded domains. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems-A*, 35: 441-466 (2015).
- [27] Wang Fengyu. Distribution dependent SDEs for Landau type equations. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 128: 595-621 (2019).
- [28] Wang Bixiang. Random attractors for the stochastic FitzHugh-Nagumo system on unbounded domains. *Nonlinear Analysis*, 71: 2811-2828 (2009).
- [29] Wang Bixiang. Pullback attractors for the non-autonomous FitzHugh-Nagumo system on unbounded domains. *Nonlinear Analysis*, 70: 3799-3815 (2009).
- [30] Wang Bixiang. Sufficient and necessary criteria for existence of pullback attractors for non-compact random dynamical systems. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 253: 1544-1583 (2012).
- [31] Wang Bixiang. Dynamics of fractional stochastic reaction-diffusion equations on unbounded domains driven by nonlinear noise. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 268: 1-59 (2019).
- [32] Wang Bixiang. Large deviations of fractional stochastic equations with non-Lipschitz drift and multiplicative noise on unbounded domains. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 376: 1-38 (2023).
- [33] Wang Renhai, Guo Boling and Wang Bixiang. Well-posedness and dynamics of fractional Fitzhugh-Nagumo systems on  $r^n$  driven by nonlinear noise. *Science China Mathematics*, 64: 2395-2436 (2021).
- [34] Xiong Jie and Zhai Jianliang. Large deviations for locally monotone stochastic partial differential equations driven by Lévy noise. *Bernoulli*, 24: 2842-2874 (2018).

- [35] Zhao Wenqiang and Gu Anhui. Regularity of pullback attractors and random equilibrium for non-autonomous stochastic Fitzhugh-Nagumo system on unbounded domains. *Journal of applied analysis and computation*, 7: 1285-1311 (2017).
- [36] Zhao Wenqiang. Continuity and random dynamics of the non-autonomous stochastic FitzHugh-Nagumo system on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 75: 3801-3824 (2018).