DYNAMICS OF AN ISOSCELES PROBLEM GENERATED BY A PERTURBATION OF EULER'S COLLINEAR SOLUTION

KARINE DE ALMEIDA SANTOS

ABSTRACT. This paper presents a study of the isosceles problem resulting by a perturbation of Euler's collinear solution under Newtonian gravitational attraction of three bodies in space. After the Hamiltonian is obtained, a circumference of relative equilibria points was found. The original system was subsequently reduced to another system with two degrees of freedom, where there is now a single point of equilibrium and the linear stability and parametric stability were discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION

An isosceles solution to the three-body problem is a solution in which the three masses, at any given moment, form the configuration of an isosceles triangle that does not degenerate into a collinear or equilateral configuration. Since the coordinates of a solution to the three-body problem are analytic functions of time, if a solution is collinear or equilateral for any interval of time, no matter how small, it remains collinear or equilateral, as the case may be, for all time. Thus, such particular configurations only occur for isolated values of time, and consequently, the base of the isosceles triangle is well defined.

As presented in [3], in an isosceles solution, the masses of the base must be equal. Because of this, it can be proven that there are three types of isosceles solutions. Collisions occur in the first two types, so less than regularizations of collisions, this solution is defined only over a finite interval of time. In the third type, no collisions occur and the solution is defined all of the time. Some references about isosceles solutions include [2,3,13], and [14, Pages 344-346].

2. Statement of the problem

Initially, let us consider the collinear solution of the three-body problem where the particle with mass m_3 lies fixed at the origin of an inertial coordinate system Oxyz with canonical base of \mathbb{R}^3 , $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$, and the other two particles of equal mass $m_1 = m_2 = m$ are moving on the xy-plane symmetrically with respect to the origin.

Key words and phrases. isosceles problem, reduction degree freedom of Hamiltonian system, resonances, parametric stability, boundary curves of stability/instability.

Owing to symmetry of this problem, the position of the particle of mass m is denoted by $\overline{r}_1^e = \rho(\cos\nu, \sin\nu, 0)$, so $\overline{r}_2^e = -\overline{r}_1^e$ and $r_3 = 0$.

The motion of the mass m is described by

(1)
$$\ddot{\vec{r}}_1^e = -\frac{G(m+4m_3)}{4\|r_1^e\|^3}\vec{r}_1^e,$$

where \overline{r}_1^e it is Kepler's solution on the plane. It is known from his theory that

(2)
$$\rho = \frac{p}{1 + \epsilon \cos \nu}, \quad \dot{\nu} = \frac{c}{\rho^2}, \text{ and } \ddot{\rho} = \frac{c^2}{\rho^3} \frac{\epsilon \cos \nu}{(1 + \epsilon \cos \nu)}$$

where $\rho = \|\overline{r}_1^e\|$ represent the distance of the attractor focus, ν is the true anomaly, ϵ is the eccentricity, c is area constant and $p = a(1 - \epsilon^2)$ is the orbit parameter, where a is the major semiaxis of the ellipse.

The isosceles shape can be imposed in this solution by applying the following perturbation to the position of the two bodies of same mass m,

(3)
$$r_1 = (\overline{r}_1^e + \overline{v}) + \overline{w}e_3$$
 and $r_2 = -(\overline{r}_1^e + \overline{v}) + \overline{w}e_3$,

where $\langle \overline{v}, e_3 \rangle = 0$. To ensure that the center of mass remains at the origin, the position vector of m_3 is given by:

(4)
$$r_3 = -\frac{2m}{m_3}\overline{w}e_3$$

Proposition 2.1. There is a vector function $\overline{v} = \overline{v}(t)$ and a scalar function $\overline{w} = \overline{w}(t)$ such that the vectors r_1 , r_2 and r_3 at (3) and (4) are three body problem solutions.

Proof. Like r_1 , r_2 and r_3 are three body problem solutions, so they satisfy the equations

(5)
$$\ddot{r_1} = \frac{Gm}{d_{12}^3}(r_2 - r_1) + \frac{Gm_3}{d_{13}^3}(r_3 - r_1)$$
$$\ddot{r_2} = \frac{Gm}{d_{12}^3}(r_1 - r_2) + \frac{Gm_3}{d_{23}^3}(r_3 - r_2)$$
$$\ddot{r_3} = \frac{Gm}{d_{13}^3}(r_1 - r_3) + \frac{Gm}{d_{23}^3}(r_2 - r_3),$$

where

 $d_{12} = ||r_1 - r_2||, \quad d_{13} = ||r_1 - r_3|| \text{ and } d_{23} = ||r_2 - r_3||.$

Using (3) and (4), we can write $r_1 - r_2 = 2(\overline{r}_1^e + \overline{v})$ and $r_1 + r_2 - 2r_3 = 2\frac{M}{m_3}\overline{w}e_3$, where $M = 2m + m_3$ it is the total mass. Furthermore, as m_1 and m_2 remain equidistant from m_3 , we have $d_{13} = d_{23}$. Therefore, (5) can be rewritten as follows

(6)
$$\begin{cases} \ddot{r}_1^e + \ddot{\overline{v}} = -G\left(\frac{2m}{\overline{d}_{12}^3} + \frac{m_3}{\overline{d}_{13}^3}\right)(\overline{r}_1^e + \overline{v}) \\ \ddot{\overline{w}}e_3 = -\frac{GM}{\overline{d}_{13}^3}\overline{w}e_3 \end{cases}$$

where

$$\overline{d}_{12} = \|2(\overline{r}_1^e + \overline{v})\|$$
 and $\overline{d}_{13} = \left\|(\overline{r}_1^e + \overline{v}) + \frac{M}{m_3}\overline{w}e_3\right\|.$

The vector function $\overline{r}_1^e(t)$ is a solution to Kepler's problem (1) and describes the motion of mass m_1 in the considered collinear solution. Note that $\overline{v} = 0$, $\overline{w} = 0$ is an equilibrium solution of this system, because as mentioned, \overline{r}_1^e is a solution to Kepler's problem. Thus, (6) is a second-order system in \overline{v} , \overline{w} with analytical data. Therefore, by the existence and uniqueness theorem in ordinary differential equations, for each initial condition \overline{v}_0 , \overline{w}_0 , $\dot{\overline{v}}_0$, there is only one solution to this system defined on an open time interval, whose coordinates are analytical functions on time. As it determines the vectors in (3) that form an isosceles configuration in this interval, by analyticity, the solution (3) coincides with the solution isosceles at all times it is defined, and because it is of the third type, it is set for all of the time.

3. HAMILTONIAN IN PULSATING COORDINATES

In this section we consider a rotating coordinate system with angular speed given by the true anomaly from the elliptic motion. Together with the rotating coordinates we introduce pulsating coordinates via the radius vector of the elliptic motion. After these coordinates change, we take the true anomaly ν as time variable. Proceeding with the computations we arrive at an expression of the Hamiltonian in these coordinates.

First, to obtain a simpler equation for the problem let us regularize the measurement units. Applying a new scale in a vertical vector, $\overline{w}e_3 = \sqrt{\frac{m_3}{M}}v_3e_3$, and denoting $\overline{v} = (v_1, v_2, 0)$ and $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$, (6) becomes :

(7)
$$\ddot{v} = \nabla U(v, t, m, m_3) - \ddot{\overline{r}}_1^e$$

where the potential function is $U(z, t, m, m_3) = \frac{Gm}{4d_{12}} + \frac{Gm_3}{d_{13}}$, where $d_{12} = \|\overline{r}_1^e + \overline{v})\|$ and $d_{13} = \left\|(\overline{r}_1^e + \overline{v}) + \sqrt{\frac{M}{m_3}}v_3e_3\right\|$. Then, in (7), denote $\mu = \frac{m}{m_3}$, consider l as a length unit such that $\frac{Gm_3}{l^3} = 1$ and put

$$l\overline{r}_1^e=r_1^e \qquad ext{and} \qquad lv=z, \qquad ext{where} \qquad z=(z_1,z_2,z_3).$$

Finally, due to the homogeneity of the potential function and because $\overline{r}_1^e = \rho(\cos\nu, \sin\nu, 0)$, we obtain

(8)
$$\ddot{z} - \nabla U(z,t,\mu) = -\ddot{r_1^e},$$

where the potential function is now

$$U(z,t,\mu)=rac{\mu}{4d_{12}}+rac{1}{d_{13}},$$

with

$$d_{12} = \sqrt{(\rho \cos \nu + v_1)^2 + (\rho \sin \nu + v_2)^2}$$

$$d_{13} = \sqrt{(\rho \cos \nu + v_1)^2 + (\rho \sin \nu + v_2)^2 + (2\mu + 1)v_3^2}$$

Denoting by $\hat{e}_1 = (\cos\nu, \sin\nu, 0)$, so $r_1^e = \rho \hat{e}_1$ and $\ddot{r}_1^e = (\ddot{\rho} - \rho \dot{\nu}^2) \hat{e}_1 = (\ddot{\rho} - \rho \dot{\nu}^2) \Omega e_1$, where e_1 is a canonical vector of \mathbb{R}^3 and $\Omega(\nu) = \Omega$ is the rotation matrix given by $\begin{bmatrix} \cos\nu & -\sin\nu & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

 $\Omega = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \nu & -\sin \nu & 0\\ \sin \nu & \cos \nu & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Furthermore, using second and third Kepler's relation in (2),

we have

$$\ddot{r}_1^e = -\frac{c^2}{\rho^3} \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon \cos \nu} \Omega e_1$$

wich represents the right side of (8).

Now, letting ζ the movement of the perturbations vectors in the rotating coordinate system, we apply the first change of coordinate $z = \Omega \zeta$, and compute the derivates as follows:

(9)
$$\ddot{z} = \Omega[\ddot{\zeta} + 2\dot{\nu}\Sigma\dot{\zeta} + \ddot{\nu}\Sigma\zeta + \dot{\nu}^2\Sigma^2\zeta].$$

where the prime represents to the derivative with respect to true anomaly and $\Omega' = \Omega \Sigma$

and
$$\Omega'' = \Omega \Sigma^2$$
, with $\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

The second change of coordinates defined in terms of the radius vector ρ at (2) is given by $\zeta = \rho x$. Using the chain rule we obtain

$$\dot{\zeta} = \dot{\rho}x + \rho\dot{\nu}x'$$
 and $\ddot{\zeta} = \ddot{\rho}x + (2\dot{\rho}\dot{\nu} + \rho\ddot{\nu})x' + \rho\dot{\nu}^2x''.$

Because $\dot{\nu}\rho^2 = c$ follows that $2\dot{\rho}\dot{\nu} + \rho\ddot{\nu} = 0$, so the above equation is now $\ddot{\zeta} = \ddot{\rho}x + \rho\dot{\nu}^2x''$, and (9) is given by

$$\ddot{z} = \Omega \left[\varrho \dot{\nu}^2 (x'' + 2\Sigma x') + (\ddot{\rho}I + \dot{\nu}^2 \rho \Sigma^2) x) \right],$$

where I is identity matrix of order three.

Thus, using the second and third relation in (1), the above equation is

$$\ddot{z} = \frac{c^2}{\rho^3} \Omega \left[x'' + 2\Sigma x' + \Sigma^2 x + \frac{\epsilon \cos \nu}{1 + \epsilon \cos \nu} x \right]$$

Finally, using the rotation invariance and the homogeneity of the potential function we have $\nabla_z U(z,t,\mu) = \frac{1}{\rho^2} \Omega \nabla_x W(x,\mu)$, so (8) can be rewritten as

$$x'' + 2\Sigma x' + \Sigma^2 x = \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon \cos \nu} \left(-\epsilon \cos \nu x + \frac{p}{c^2} \nabla_x W(x, \mu) + e_1 \right).$$

From the first and third equations in (2) we have $\frac{\rho}{c^2} = \frac{1}{\kappa(1 + \epsilon \cos \nu)}$, so by defining $y = x' + \Sigma x$, we obtain the following first order system

(10)
$$x' = y - \Sigma x \qquad y' = -\Sigma y + \nabla_x V(x, \nu, \mu, \epsilon),$$

whose Hamiltonian $H: \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \times I \times [0,1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

(11)
$$H(x, y, \nu, \mu, \epsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \|y\|^2 - V(x, \nu, \mu, \epsilon) - \langle \Sigma x, y \rangle$$

where $\Gamma = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3; x_1 \neq -1 \text{ or } x_2 \neq 0\}, I$ is a subset of positive real numbers and

(12)
$$V(x,\nu,\mu,\epsilon) = \frac{1}{1+\epsilon\cos\nu} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon\cos\nu\|x\|^2 + \frac{1}{\kappa}W(x,\mu) + x_1\right), \quad \kappa = \frac{\mu+4}{4}$$

where
$$W(x,\mu) = \frac{\mu}{4d_1} + \frac{1}{d_2}$$
, with $d_1 = \sqrt{(1+x_1)^2 + x_2^2}$ and $d_2 = \sqrt{(1+x_1)^2 + x_2^2 + (2\mu+1)x_3^2}$.

4. Relative Equilibria

Proposition 4.1. The system (10) admits a family of equilibria points in the form $(x^*, y^*) = ((1 + x_1^*), x_2^*, 0, -x_2^*, (1 + x_1^*), 0)$, where $((1 + x_1)^*, x_2^*)$ belongs to the unitary radius circumference centered at (-1, 0) in the plane x_1x_2 .

Proof. The equilibria points are obtained by solving (x', y') = (0, 0) for all $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu \in I$, and $\epsilon \in [0, 1)$. That is, by (10), we have

(13)
$$y = \Sigma x$$

(14)
$$\nabla_x V(x,\nu,\mu,\epsilon) = \Sigma^2 x,$$

for all $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu \in I$, and $\epsilon \in [0, 1)$. Additionally,

$$\nabla_x V(x,\nu,\mu,\epsilon) = \frac{1}{1+\epsilon\cos\nu} \left(-\epsilon\cos\nu x + \frac{1}{\kappa}\nabla_x W(x,\mu) + e_1\right),$$

where

(15)
$$\nabla_x W(x,\mu) = -\left(B(x,\mu)(1+x_1), B(x,\mu)x_2, \frac{(2\mu+1)}{d_2^3}x_3\right),$$

with

$$B(x,\mu) = \frac{\mu}{4d_1^3} + \frac{1}{d_2^3}.$$

Since the third entry of $\Sigma^2 x$ is zero, the third equation of (14) is satisfied for any $\nu \in \mathbb{R}, \ \mu \in I$, and $\epsilon \in [0,1)$ if, and only if, $x_3 = 0$. When this occurs, we have $d_1 = d = d_2 = \sqrt{(1+x_1)^2 + x_2^2}$. Thus, the first two equations of the system (14) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\frac{1}{1+\epsilon\cos\nu}\left(1-\frac{1}{\kappa}\frac{\kappa}{d^3}\right)(1+x_1) = 0, \qquad \frac{1}{1+\epsilon\cos\nu}\left(1-\frac{1}{\kappa}\frac{\kappa}{d^3}\right)x_2 = 0.$$

Note that $1 + x_1$ and x_2 cannot be simultaneously zero, as it would lead to a singularity for W. Therefore, by both of the above equations, we have $d^3 = 1$, that is

(16)
$$(1+x_1)^2 + x_2^2 = 1$$
, for all $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu \in I$ and $\epsilon \in [0,1)$.

Now, because (13), we have $y_1 = -x_2$, $y_2 = 1 + x_1$. Finally, we denote these equilibria as in the statement.

Remark: Previously, we observed that the equilibrium $\overline{v} = 0$, $\overline{w} = 0$ of the system (6) gives rise to Euler's collinear solution that generates the isosceles solutions considered. Geometrically, because of rotation and homothety to each point taken on the equilibria circumference (16), we generates an ellipse whose focus is common to the center (-1,0) of this circumference, and whose major axis is defined as ν varies. See this interpretation in Figure 1 below.



FIGURE 1. Geometric interpretation of equilibria circumference

Thus, each equilibria of Hamiltonian system (11) situated on circumference (16) corresponds to an elliptical collinear solution. Note that the equilibria on dynamical of isosceles movements are not isosceles solutions.

5. Characteristic equation of Hamiltonian system

Consider the Hamiltonian system linearized at the equilibria solution in the form $z' = A(\nu, \mu, \epsilon)z$, where

$$A(
u,\mu,\epsilon) = JH_{zz}(z^*,
u,\mu,\epsilon) = egin{bmatrix} -\Sigma & I \\ V^*_{xx} & -\Sigma \end{bmatrix},$$

where $J = \begin{bmatrix} O & I \\ -I & O \end{bmatrix}$, I is the identity matrix of order three, $V_{xx}^* = V_{xx}(x^*, \nu, \mu, \epsilon) = \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon \cos \nu} \left(-\epsilon \cos \nu I + \frac{1}{\kappa} W_{xx}^*(x, \mu)\right)$, and $W_{xx}^* = W_{xx}(x^*, \mu)$ denotes the Hessian matrix of W at equilibrium. When $\epsilon = 0$, we have an autonomous system represented by the Hamiltonian matrix $A = A(\mu)$, where $V_{xx}^* = \frac{1}{\kappa} W_{xx}^*$. The entries of this matrix, can be obtained using (15), then

$$\begin{split} W_{x_1x_1} &= & 3B_1(x,\mu)(1+x_1)^2 - B(x,\mu) \qquad W_{x_1x_2} = & 3B_1(x,\mu)(1+x_1)x_2 \\ W_{x_2x_2} &= & 3B_1(x,\mu)x_2^2 - B(x,\mu) \qquad \qquad W_{x_3x_3} = \frac{(2\mu+1)}{d_2^3} \left(\frac{3(2\mu+1)}{d_2^2}x_3^2 - 1\right), \end{split}$$

where $B_1(x,\mu) = \left(\frac{\mu}{4d_1^5} + \frac{1}{d_2^5}\right)$. When $x_3^* = 0$, and (16) is satisfied, the entries of the matrix W_{xx}^* are as follows:

$$\begin{split} &W_{x_1x_1}^* = a &= \kappa \left[3(1+x_1^*)^2 - 1 \right], \qquad W_{x_1x_2}^* = b = \kappa \left[3(1+x_1^*)x_2^* \right] \\ &W_{x_2x_2}^* = c &= \kappa \left[3x_2^{*2} - 1 \right], \qquad \qquad W_{x_3x_3}^* = d = -(2\mu+1), \end{split}$$

with the remaining entries being zero. The characteristic polynomial of A is given by

$$p(\lambda) = \left(\frac{d}{\kappa} - \lambda^2\right) \left[\lambda^4 + \left(2 - \frac{a+c}{\kappa}\right)\lambda^2 + \left(\frac{a}{\kappa} + 1\right)\left(\frac{c}{\kappa} + 1\right) - \frac{b^2}{\kappa^2}\right].$$

Now, as (x_1^*, x_2^*) belongs to the equilibria circumference, it follows that

$$2 - \frac{a+c}{\kappa} = 1 \qquad \left(\frac{a}{\kappa} + 1\right) \left(\frac{c}{\kappa} + 1\right) - \frac{b^2}{\kappa^2} = 0$$

Therefore, replacing the value of d, the characteristic polynomial is given by:

$$p(\lambda) = -\lambda^2 \left(\frac{2\mu + 1}{\kappa} + \lambda^2\right) (\lambda^2 + 1).$$

Thus, we have a double zero eigenvalue and two distinct purely imaginary eigenvalues when $\mu \neq 0$.

Note that the linearized Hamiltonian at the equilibrium solution is given by

(17)
$$H_0(x, y, \mu) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\|y\|^2 - \frac{1}{\kappa} \langle x, W_{xx}^* x \rangle - 2 \langle \Sigma x, y \rangle \right], \quad \kappa = \frac{\mu + 4}{4}.$$

6. Null eigenvalue elimination and normal form of the reduced Hamiltonian

As we have seen, the Hamiltonian matrix A has a zero eigenvalue with a multiplicity equal to two. This occurs because the function $Q(x, y) = Q(x, y) = -\langle \Sigma x, y \rangle =$ $x_2y_1 - x_1y_2$, is an integral first of the system (11) as is proven in the following result

Proposition 6.1. Let x_0 be an equilibrium of $\dot{x} = f(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and Ψ be a first integral of this system defined in a neighborhood of x_0 such that $\nabla \Psi(x_0) \neq 0$. Then $A = Df(x_0)$ has a zero eigenvalue.

Proof. By contradiction.

The following result can be seen in [12, Section 18].

Proposition 6.2. Consider an autonomous Hamiltonian system $\dot{z} = J\nabla H(z)$, where Ψ is a time-independent first integral. Then, in an open set where $\nabla \Psi(z) \neq 0$, it is possible to decrease the number of degrees of freedom of the system by one unit.

Proposition 6.3. Exist a symplectic transformation such that the Hamiltonian in (17), with three degree of freedom, is taken to the following Hamiltonian with two degrees of freedom

(18)
$$\mathcal{H}(u_1, u_3, v_1, v_3, \nu, \mu, \gamma, \epsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \left(v_1^2 + v_3^2 \right) + \mathcal{V}(u_1, u_3, v_1, v_3, \nu, \mu, \gamma, \epsilon),$$

with $u_1 \neq 0$ and the potential (12) now given by

$$\mathcal{V}(u_1, u_3, \nu, \mu, \gamma, \epsilon) = \frac{\gamma^2}{2u_1^2} + \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon \cos \nu} \left(\frac{1}{2} \epsilon \cos \nu (u_1^2 + u_3^2) - \frac{1}{\kappa} \mathcal{W}(u_1, u_3, \mu) \right),$$

where

$$\mathcal{W}(u_1, u_3, \mu) = \left(rac{\mu}{4|u_1|} + rac{1}{\sqrt{u_1^2 + (2\mu + 1)u_3^2}}
ight).$$

Proof. Because of the Proposition 6.1 and the process described in proof of Proposition 6.2 we can get the following symplectic transformation given by

$$egin{aligned} & x_1 = u_1 \cos u_2, & x_2 = -u_1 \sin u_2, & x_3 = u_3, \ & y_1 = v_1 \cos u_2 - rac{v_2}{u_1} \sin u_2, & y_2 = -v_1 \sin u_2 - rac{v_2}{u_1} \cos u_2, & y_3 = v_3. \end{aligned}$$

generated by $\mathcal{F}(u, y) = (u_1 \cos u_2) y_1 + (-u_1 \sin u_2) y_2 + u_3 y_3$, since $u_1 \neq 0$.

Due to above mentioned transformation, now $\mathcal{Q} = v_2$ is a constant of motion, say $v_2 = \gamma$. That is, the term \mathcal{Q} in (17) can be ignored and so we obtain the reduced Hamiltonian as (18).

If $\gamma \neq 1$, then (18) there is no equilibria. If $\gamma = 1$, its only equilibrium is the point

$$P^*: u_1 = 1, \quad u_3 = 0, \quad v_1 = 0, \quad v_3 = 0.$$

In order to study the stability of the equilibrium point P^* we change to new coordinates $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ with its origin at the equilibrium point via

$$u_1 = \xi_1 + 1,$$
 $u_3 = \xi_2,$ $v_1 = \eta_1,$ $v_3 = \eta_2$

Then, the Hamiltonian (18) becomes

$$H(\xi_1, \xi_2, \eta_1, \eta_2, \nu, \mu, \gamma, \epsilon) = \frac{1}{2}(\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2) + S(\xi_1, \xi_2, \eta_1, \eta_2, \mu, \gamma, \epsilon)$$

where $S(\xi_1, \xi_2, \eta_1, \eta_2, \nu, \mu, \gamma, \epsilon) = \mathcal{V}(\xi_1 + 1, \xi_2, \eta_1, \eta_2, \mu, \gamma, \epsilon)$. Thus, the expansion of (20) at the origin is given by

(19)
$$H(\zeta,\nu,\mu,\epsilon) = H_0(\zeta,\nu,\mu,\epsilon) + H_1(\zeta,\nu,\mu,\epsilon) + H_2(\zeta,\nu,\mu,\epsilon) + \dots$$

where each H_k , k = 0, 1, 2, ... it is a homogeneous polynomial of degree k + 2 in the coordinates of $\zeta = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ and is 2π -periodic in ν besides this terms containing the parameters μ and ϵ and the quadratic term is given by

$$H_{0}(\xi_{1},\xi_{2},\eta_{1},\eta_{2},\mu,\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\eta_{1}^{2}+\eta_{2}^{2}\right) + \frac{3}{2}\xi_{1}^{2}$$

$$(20) \qquad - \frac{1}{1+\epsilon\cos\nu} \left[-\frac{\epsilon\cos\nu}{2}(\xi_{1}^{2}+\xi_{2}^{2})+\xi_{1}^{2}-\frac{(2\mu+1)}{2\kappa}\xi_{2}^{2}\right]$$

Remark: By reducing the dynamics to the space obtained when the value of the first integral is fixed, which gave rise to the null eigenvalue, we obtain a summarized system from which the null eigenvalue has been eliminated.

7. PARAMETRIC STABILITY

In the previous section, although (18) has been reduced to another Hamiltonian with two degrees of freedom, the system depends on ν , and therefore, the stability study of this equilibria is non-trivial. However, there is possible to study the stability of the equilibria for the linearized Hamiltonian system in a neighborhood of it. To carry out the parametric stability study of the linearized Hamiltonian system can be facilitated by the normal form of the unperturbed linearized Hamiltonian system ($\epsilon = 0$) and so obtain information about the region of linear stability through the frequencies of linear oscillations, as can be seen below. A most simpler expression for (20) can be obtained. Proceeding as [8, Section 1.2] for $\epsilon = 0$, the following sympletic linear transformation

$$\xi_1 = \omega_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} y_1, \qquad \xi_2 = \omega_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} y_2, \qquad \eta_1 = -\omega_1^{\frac{1}{2}} x_1, \qquad \eta_2 = -\omega_2^{\frac{1}{2}} x_2,$$

where $\omega_1 = 1$, $\omega_2 = \sqrt{\frac{2\mu + 1}{\kappa}}$ and $\kappa = \frac{\mu + 4}{4}$, brings (19) to following normalized Hamiltonian

(21)
$$H(x, y, \nu, \mu, \epsilon) = H_0 + \epsilon H_1 + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2!} H_2 + \ldots + \frac{\epsilon^k}{k!} H_k + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{k+1})$$

where

$$H_0 = \frac{\omega_1}{2} (x_1^2 + y_1^2) + \frac{\omega_2}{2} (x_2^2 + y_2^2)$$

$$H_k = \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{2} \left[3y_1^2 - \frac{7}{2} \frac{\mu}{\mu + 4} \frac{1}{\omega_2} y_2^2 \right] \cos^k(\nu), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

For unperturbed, in this case also autonomous system, as the quadratic form H_0 is positive definite, the equilibrium (0,0) is stable by the Dirichlet theorem. For $\epsilon \neq 0$ the system it is time dependent and the question of stability of an equilibrium is not trivial. When the linear system $z' = A(\nu, \mu^*, 0)z$ has a multiple multiplier for some value $\mu^* \in I$, we say that this is the value of *parametric resonance*. According to the Krein-Gelfand-Lidskii (KGL) theorem enunciated below, the unperturbed system for this resonance value can be strongly stable for small values of ϵ , and consequently parametically stable. In this last case, we have that for (μ, ϵ) in the neighborhood of $(\mu^*, 0)$, the perturbed system $z' = A(\nu, \mu, \epsilon)z$ is stable for small ϵ . Now, let us assume that the unperturbed system is not strongly stable. That is, in the neighborhood of this system, there are both stable and unstable systems. Furthermore, in the family of parametric systems $z' = A(\nu, \mu, \epsilon)z$, we can have parameters that provide stable systems and parameters that provide unstable systems. Thus, perhaps the parameters can be separated by continuous curves that limit, in the parameter plane (μ, ϵ) , the regions of the family of systems that are stable from those that are unstable. We then look for the resonances of this system according to the KGL theorem enunciated below and can be consulted in [4, Section 7.7] and [8, Section 3.1] or [15], in specific case of resonance, see [9].

Consider a Hamiltonian function of the form $H = H_0 + \epsilon H_1 + \epsilon^2 H_2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)$, where H_1 , H_2, \ldots are quadratic forms in the variables $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n$ with continuous and 2π -periodic coefficients on t and $H_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k (x_k^2 + y_k^2)$, where $\sigma_k = \delta_k \omega_k$, with $\delta_k = -1$ or $\delta_k = 1$.

Theorem 7.1. (Krein-Gelfand-Lidskii: KGL) For sufficiently small ϵ the linear system with the above Hamiltonian is strongly stable if and only if the values of σ_j are

not related by equalities of the form $\sigma_k + \sigma_l = N$, where k, l are non-negative integer and $N \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Because $2\omega_1 = N_1 = 2$ is an integer for all $\mu > 0$, then

(22)
$$2 < 2\omega_2(\mu) < 4\sqrt{2}$$

Thus, a basic resonance is obtained since $2\omega_2$ is not an integer. By other hand, double resonances occur when $2\omega_2 = N_2$ is integer in interval defined by (22), that is $N_2 = 3, 4, 5$, and the following parametric resonance values are

$$\mu_3^* = \frac{20}{23}, \qquad \mu_4^* = 3 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mu_5^* = 12$$

7.1. Boundary curves of the stability/instability regions. Now, let us construct the curves that delimit the stability and instability regions for the case of double resonance. Such curves are built in the parameter plane (ϵ, μ) and can be expressed through the following expansion in ϵ :

(23)
$$\mu = \mu_0 + \mu_1 \epsilon + \mu_2 \epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3),$$

where $\mu_0 = \mu_{N_2}^*$ it is parametric value that gives rise to cases of double resonance, with $N_2 = 3, 4, 5$.

By inserting (23) into (21) and rearranging in powers of ϵ we get the expansion (24)

$$H(\xi, \eta, \nu, \mu, \epsilon) = H_0(\xi, \eta, \nu, \mu_0) + \epsilon H_1(\xi, \eta, \nu, \mu_0, \mu_1) + \epsilon^2 H_2(\xi, \eta, \nu, \mu_0, \mu_1, \mu_2) + \dots,$$

In order to apply Deprit–Hori method in Kamel's formulation, see [1, 5, 7-10], it is convenient to eliminate the resonant harmonic oscillator making the double rotation

(25)
$$x_{1} = \cos\left(\frac{N_{1}\nu}{2}\right)X_{1} + \sin\left(\frac{N_{1}\nu}{2}\right)Y_{1}$$
$$y_{1} = -\sin\left(\frac{N_{1}\nu}{2}\right)X_{1} + \cos\left(\frac{N_{1}\nu}{2}\right)Y_{1}$$
$$x_{2} = \cos\left(\frac{N_{2}\nu}{2}\right)X_{2} + \sin\left(\frac{N_{2}\nu}{2}\right)Y_{2}$$
$$y_{2} = -\sin\left(\frac{N_{2}\nu}{2}\right)X_{2} + \cos\left(\frac{N_{2}\nu}{2}\right)Y_{2}$$

where $N_1 = 2$ and $N_2 = 3, 4, 5$. Then, after applying the rotation given by (25) into (24), we obtain a new Hamiltonian $\mathbf{H}(X,Y) = H(X,Y) - W_{\nu}$, where due to $W_{\nu} = -H_0$, the term \mathbf{H}_0 is eliminated. The other terms \mathbf{H}_j will be explained according to the types of resonances and the coefficients μ_1, μ_2, \ldots can be found through the boundary condition of characteristic equation coefficients of the autonomous and τ periodic Hamiltonian K, obtained through the Deprit-Hori's method. (1) A pair of resonance both even: $2\omega_1(\mu_4^*) = N_1 = 2$ and $2\omega_2(\mu_4^*) = N_2 = 4$. For $\mu_4^* = 3$ we obtain a pair of resonance $2\omega_1(\mu_4^*) = N_1 = 2$ and $2\omega_2(\mu_4^*) = N_2 = 4$. In this case, the Hamiltonian of (24) is given by

$$\begin{split} H_0 &= \frac{1}{4} \left(2(\xi_1^2 + \eta_1^2) + 4(\xi_2^2 + \eta_2^2) \right) \\ H_1 &= \frac{1}{28} \left(2\mu_1 \left(\xi_2^2 + \eta_2^2 \right) + 21 \cos(\nu) \left(2\eta_1^2 - \eta_2^2 \right) \right) \\ H_2 &= -\frac{1}{392} \left(\left(5\mu_1^2 - 28\mu_2 \right) \left(\xi_2^2 + \eta_2^2 \right) + 294 \cos^2(\nu) \left(2\eta_1^2 - \eta_2^2 \right) + 35\mu_1\eta_2^2 \cos(\nu) \right) \\ H_3 &= \frac{1}{10976} \left(2 \left(\xi_2^2 + \eta_2^2 \right) \left(13\mu_1^3 - 140\mu_1\mu_2 + 392\mu_3 \right) + 8232 \cos^3(\nu) \left(2\eta_1^2 - \eta_2^2 \right) \\ &+ 7\eta_2^2 \left(27\mu_1^2 - 140\mu_2 \right) \cos(\nu) + 980\mu_1\eta_2^2 \cos^2(\nu) \right) \end{split}$$

Therefore, applying (25) into above Hamiltonian, with $N_1 = 2$ and $N_2 = 4$, we obtain the following Hamiltonian

(26)
$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_0 + \epsilon \mathbf{H}_1 + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2!} \mathbf{H}_2 + \dots + \frac{\epsilon^k}{k!} \mathbf{H}_k + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{k+1}),$$

where

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{H}_{0} &= 0 \\ \mathbf{H}_{1} &= \frac{3}{8} \left(\cos(\nu) - \cos(3\nu) \right) X_{1}^{2} + \frac{3}{4} \left(-\sin(\nu) - \sin(3\nu) \right) X_{1}Y_{1} \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{8} \left(3\cos(\nu) + \cos(3\nu) \right) Y_{1}^{2} + \left(\frac{\mu_{1}}{14} + \frac{3}{16}\cos(3\nu) + \frac{3}{16}\cos(5\nu) - \frac{3}{8}\cos(\nu) \right) X_{2}^{2} \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{8} \left(\sin(3\nu) + \sin(5\nu) \right) X_{2}Y_{2} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\mu_{1}}{14} - \frac{3}{16}\cos(3\nu) - \frac{3}{16}\cos(5\nu) - \frac{3}{8}\cos(\nu) \right) Y_{2}^{2} \\ \mathbf{H}_{2} &= \frac{3}{16} \left(\cos(4\nu) - 1 \right) X_{1}^{2} + \frac{3}{8} \left(2\sin(2\nu) + \sin(4\nu) \right) X_{1}Y_{1} \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{16} \left(-4\cos(2\nu) - \cos(4\nu) - 3 \right) Y_{1}^{2} + \left(-\frac{5\mu_{1}^{2}}{392} - \frac{5}{112}\mu_{1}\cos(\nu) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{5}{224}\mu_{1}\cos(3\nu) + \frac{5}{224}\mu_{1}\cos(5\nu) + \frac{\mu_{2}}{14} + \frac{3}{32}\cos(2\nu) - \frac{3}{16}\cos(4\nu) \\ &\quad - \frac{3}{32}\cos(6\nu) + \frac{3}{16} \right) X_{2}^{2} + \left(\frac{5}{112}\mu_{1}\sin(3\nu) + \frac{5}{112}\mu_{1}\sin(5\nu) + \frac{1}{16}(-3)\sin(2\nu) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{3}{8}\sin(4\nu) - \frac{3}{16}\sin(6\nu) \right) X_{2}Y_{2} + \left(- \frac{5\mu_{1}^{2}}{392} - \frac{5}{112}\mu_{1}\cos(\nu) - \frac{5}{224}\mu_{1}\cos(3\nu) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{5}{224}\mu_{1}\cos(5\nu) + \frac{\mu_{2}}{14} + \frac{9}{32}\cos(2\nu) + \frac{3}{16}\cos(4\nu) + \frac{3}{32}\cos(6\nu) + \frac{3}{16} \right) Y_{2}^{2} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{H}_{3} &= \frac{3}{32} \left(2\cos(\nu) - \cos(3\nu) - \cos(5\nu) \right) X_{1}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{3}{16} \left(-2\sin(\nu) - 3\sin(3\nu) - \sin(5\nu) \right) X_{1}Y_{1} \\ &+ \left(\frac{15}{16}\cos(\nu) + \frac{15}{32}\cos(3\nu) + \frac{3}{32}\cos(5\nu) \right) Y_{1}^{2} + \left(\frac{13}{5488} \mu_{1}^{3} \right) \\ &+ \frac{27}{3136} \mu_{1}^{2}\cos(\nu) - \frac{27}{6272} \mu_{1}^{2}\cos(3\nu) - \frac{27}{6272} \mu_{1}^{2}\cos(5\nu) - \frac{5}{196} \mu_{1}\mu_{2} \\ &+ \frac{5}{448} \mu_{1}\cos(2\nu) - \frac{5}{224} \mu_{1}\cos(4\nu) - \frac{5}{244} \mu_{1}\cos(6\nu) + \frac{5\mu_{1}}{224} \\ &- \frac{5}{112} \mu_{2}\cos(\nu) + \frac{5}{224} \mu_{2}\cos(3\nu) + \frac{5}{224} \mu_{2}\cos(5\nu) + \frac{\mu_{3}}{14} \\ &+ \frac{3}{64}\cos(3\nu) + \frac{9}{64}\cos(5\nu) + \frac{3}{64}\cos(7\nu) - \frac{15}{64}\cos(\nu) \right) X_{2}^{2} + \left(\\ &- \frac{27}{3136} \mu_{1}^{2}\sin(3\nu) - \frac{27}{3136} \mu_{1}^{2}\sin(5\nu) - \frac{5}{224} \mu_{1}\sin(2\nu) - \frac{5}{112} \mu_{1}\sin(4\nu) \\ &- \frac{5}{224} \mu_{1}\sin(6\nu) + \frac{5}{112} \mu_{2}\sin(3\nu) + \frac{5}{112} \mu_{2}\sin(5\nu) + \frac{3}{32}\sin(\nu) \\ &+ \frac{9}{32}\sin(3\nu) + \frac{9}{32}\sin(5\nu) + \frac{3}{32}\sin(7\nu) \right) X_{2}Y_{2} + \left(\frac{13}{5488} \mu_{1}^{3} \\ &+ \frac{27}{3136} \mu_{1}^{2}\cos(\nu) + \frac{27}{6272} \mu_{1}^{2}\cos(3\nu) + \frac{27}{6272} \mu_{1}^{2}\cos(5\nu) - \frac{5}{196} \mu_{1}\mu_{2} \\ &+ \frac{15}{448} \mu_{1}\cos(2\nu) + \frac{5}{224} \mu_{1}\cos(4\nu) \\ &+ \frac{5}{448} \mu_{1}\cos(6\nu) + \frac{5\mu_{1}}{224} - \frac{5}{112} \mu_{2}\cos(\nu) \\ &- \frac{5}{224} \mu_{2}\cos(3\nu) - \frac{5}{224} \mu_{2}\cos(5\nu) + \frac{\mu_{3}}{14} \\ &- \frac{15}{64}\cos(3\nu) - \frac{9}{64}\cos(5\nu) - \frac{3}{64}\cos(7\nu) \\ &- \frac{3}{64}\cos(\nu) \right) Y_{2}^{2} \end{split}$$

Applying Deprit-Hori method, the expression for the autonomous Hamiltonian 2π -periodic K up to fourth order in ϵ is

$$K = K_0 + \sum_{j=1}^4 \frac{\epsilon^j}{j!} K_j,$$

where

$$\begin{split} K_0 &= 0\\ K_1 &= \frac{1}{14} \mu_1 \left(X_2^2 + Y_2^2 \right)\\ K_2 &= \frac{3}{4} (-X_1^2 + Y_1^2) + \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{5\mu_1^2}{98} + \frac{2\mu_2}{7} + \frac{9}{10} \right) (X_2^2 + Y_2^2)\\ K_3 &= \frac{3}{68600} \left(325\mu_1^3 - 3500\mu_1\mu_2 + 4704\mu_1 + 9800\mu_3 \right) \left(X_2^2 + Y_2^2 \right) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} K_4 &= -\frac{45}{16}X_1^2 - \frac{189}{16}Y_1^2 + \frac{3}{4802000} \Big(-17625\mu_1^4 + 28\mu_1^2(9750\mu_2 - 6937) \\ &\quad -980000\mu_1\mu_3 - 490000\mu_2^2 + 686(1920\mu_2 + 4000\mu_4 + 5859) \Big) X_2^2 \\ &\quad -\frac{3}{4802000} \Big(17625\mu_1^4 - 28\mu_1^2(9750\mu_2 - 6937) + 980000\mu_1\mu_3 \\ &\quad + 196 \Big(2500\mu_2^2 - 6720\mu_2 - 14000\mu_4 - 84819 \Big) \Big) Y_2^2 \end{split}$$

The boundary curves obtained according to the coefficients of the characteristic equation of the 2π -periodic Hamiltonian system associated to K, $\lambda^4 + a\lambda^2 + b = 0$, by means of the following conditions

(27)
$$a > 0, b = 0 \text{ or } a > 0, d = a^2 - 4b = 0.$$

In this case, this coefficients represented in power series in ϵ are:

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \frac{\mu_1^2}{49} \epsilon^2 - \frac{\mu_1}{3430} \left(25\mu 1^2 - 140\mu_2 - 441 \right) \epsilon^3 + \frac{1}{137200} \left(275\mu 1^4 - 3000\mu 1^2\mu_2 \right. \\ &- 462\mu 1^2 + 5600\mu 1\mu_3 + 2800\mu_2^2 + 17640\mu_2 - 49392 \right) \epsilon^4 + O\left(\epsilon^5\right) \\ b &= -\frac{9\mu_1^2}{784} \epsilon^6 + \frac{9\mu_1}{54880} \left(25\mu 1^2 - 140\mu_2 - 441 \right) \epsilon^7 + O\left(\epsilon^8\right) \\ d &= \frac{\mu_1^4}{2401} \epsilon^4 - \frac{\mu_1^{13}}{84035} \left(25\mu 1^2 - 140\mu_2 - 441 \right) \epsilon^5 \frac{\mu_1^2}{23529800} \left(3175\mu 1^4 - 35000\mu 1^2\mu_2 \right. \\ &- 47334\mu 1^2 + 39200\mu 1\mu_3 + 58800\mu_2^2 + 370440\mu_2 + 1123668 \right) \epsilon^6 + O\left(\epsilon^7\right) \end{aligned}$$

From the conditions b = 0 and d = 0 we get $\mu_1 = 0$. The expressions of a, band d evaluated for $\mu_1 = 0$ reduce to

$$a = \frac{1}{2450} (5\mu_2 + 42)(10\mu_2 - 21)\epsilon^4 + \frac{\mu^3}{490} (20\mu_2 + 63)\epsilon^5 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^6)$$

$$b = -\frac{9}{313600} (20\mu_2 + 63)^2 \epsilon^8 - \frac{9\mu^3}{7840} (20\mu_2 + 63)\epsilon^9 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{10})$$

$$(28) \qquad d = \frac{1}{96040000} \left(200\mu_2^2 + 1260\mu_2 + 7497\right)^2 \epsilon^8$$

$$+ \frac{\mu^3}{2401000} (20\mu_2 + 63) \left(200\mu_2^2 + 1260\mu_2 + 7497\right) \epsilon^9 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{10})$$

From b = 0 we get the curves

$$\mu_4^1 = 3 - \frac{63}{20} \epsilon^2 + \frac{14553}{8000} \epsilon^4,$$

$$\mu_4^2 = 3 - \frac{63}{20} \epsilon^2 - \frac{22197}{8000} \epsilon^4.$$

0



FIGURE 2. Boundary curves of condiction b = 0

If the condition a > 0 was satisfied, then the shaded region in the Figure 2 would be the instability region. However, we can see from the coefficient of the fourth order in ϵ of a in (28) that a can be positive if $\mu_2 < -\frac{42}{5}$ or $\mu_2 > \frac{21}{10}$ and $\mu_2 = -\frac{63}{20}$ obtained from b = 0 do not satisfy any of these conditions. The condition d = 0 and a > 0 there is no real solution.

(2) For μ_3^* and μ_5^* both resonances are different with one is even and the other is odd, that is: $2\omega_1(\mu_3^*) = 2$, $2\omega_2(\mu_3^*) = 3$ and $2\omega_1(\mu_5^*) = 2$, $2\omega_2(\mu_5^*) = 5$

(2.1) In the first case, $N_1 = 2$ and $N_2 = 3$, the Hamiltonian of (24) is given by

$$\begin{split} H_0 &= \frac{1}{4} \left(2(\xi_1^2 + \eta_1^2) + 3(\xi_2^2 + \eta_2^2) \right) \\ H_1 &= \frac{1}{2688} \left(529\mu_1 \left(\xi_2^2 + \eta_2^2 \right) + 224\cos(\nu) \left(18\eta_1^2 - 5\eta_2^2 \right) \right) \\ H_2 &= -\frac{1}{10838016} \left(529 \left(1357\mu_1^2 - 4032\mu_2 \right) \left(\xi_2^2 + \eta_2^2 \right) \right. \\ &\quad + 903168\cos^2(\nu) \left(18\eta_1^2 - 5\eta_2^2 \right) + 3080896\mu_1\eta_2^2\cos(\nu) \right) \\ H_3 &= \frac{1}{21849440256} \left(529 \left(\xi_2^2 + \eta_2^2 \right) \left(1060645\mu_1^3 - 5471424\mu_1\mu_2 + 8128512\mu_3 \right) \right. \\ &\quad + 1820786688\cos^3(\nu) \left(18\eta_1^2 - 5\eta_2^2 \right) \\ &\quad + 355488\eta_2^2 \left(7291\mu_1^2 - 17472\mu_2 \right)\cos(\nu) + 6211086336\mu_1\eta_2^2\cos^2(\nu) \right) \end{split}$$

Then, applying (25) into above Hamiltonian, with $N_1 = 2$ and $N_2 = 3$, we obtain the following Hamiltonian

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{H}_{0} &= 0 \\ \mathbf{H}_{1} &= \left(\frac{3}{8}\cos(\nu) - \frac{3}{8}\cos(3\nu)\right) X_{1}^{2} + \left(-\frac{3}{4}\sin(\nu) - \frac{3}{4}\sin(3\nu)\right) X_{1}Y_{1} \\ &+ \left(\frac{9}{8}\cos(\nu) + \frac{3}{8}\cos(3\nu)\right) Y_{1}^{2} \\ &+ \left(\frac{529}{2688}\mu_{1} + \frac{5}{48}\cos(2\nu) + \frac{5}{48}\cos(4\nu) - \frac{5\cos(\nu)}{24}\right) X_{2}^{2} \\ &+ \left(\frac{5}{24}\sin(2\nu) + \frac{5}{24}\sin(4\nu)\right) X_{2}Y_{2} \\ &+ \left(\frac{529\mu_{1}}{2688} - \frac{5}{48}\cos(2\nu) - \frac{5}{48}\cos(4\nu) - \frac{5\cos(\nu)}{24}\right) Y_{2}^{2} \\ \mathbf{H}_{2} &= \left(\frac{3}{16}\cos(4\nu) - \frac{3}{16}\right) X_{1}^{2} + \left(\frac{3}{4}\sin(2\nu) + \frac{3}{8}\sin(4\nu)\right) X_{1}Y_{1} \\ &+ \left(-\frac{1}{4}3\cos(2\nu) - \frac{3}{16}\cos(4\nu) - \frac{9}{16}\right) Y_{1}^{2} + \left(-\frac{717853\mu_{1}^{2}}{10838016} + \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(2\nu)}{96768} - \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(\nu)}{48384} + \frac{529\mu_{2}}{2688} + \frac{5}{48}\cos(2\nu) - \frac{5}{48}\cos(3\nu) \\ &- \frac{5}{96}\cos(5\nu) - \frac{5\cos(\nu)}{96} + \frac{5}{48}\right) X_{2}^{2} + \left(\frac{6877\mu_{1}\sin(2\nu)}{48384} + \frac{6877\mu_{1}\sin(4\nu)}{48384} - \frac{1}{48}5\sin(\nu) - \frac{5}{24}\sin(3\nu) - \frac{5}{48}\sin(5\nu)\right) X_{2}Y_{2} \\ &+ \left(-\frac{717853\mu_{1}^{2}}{10838016} - \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(\nu)}{48384} - \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(2\nu)}{96768} - \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(4\nu)}{96768} - \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(4\nu)}{96768} - \frac{529\mu_{2}}{48}\sin(5\nu)\right) X_{2}Y_{2} \\ &+ \left(-\frac{717853\mu_{1}^{2}}{10838016} - \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(\nu)}{48384} - \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(2\nu)}{96768} - \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(4\nu)}{96768} - \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(2\nu)}{96768} - \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(4\nu)}{96768} - \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(2\nu)}{96768} - \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(2\nu)}{96768} - \frac{5}{48}\cos(2\nu) + \frac{5}{48}\cos(3\nu) + \frac{5}{96}\cos(5\nu) + \frac{5}{48}\right) Y_{2}^{2} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{H}_{3} &= \left(\frac{3\cos(\nu)}{16} - \frac{3}{32}\cos(3\nu) - \frac{3}{32}\cos(5\nu)\right) X_{1}^{2} \\ &+ \left(-\frac{1}{8}3\sin(\nu) - \frac{9}{16}\sin(3\nu) - \frac{3}{16}\sin(5\nu)\right) X_{1}Y_{1} \\ &+ \left(\frac{15\cos(\nu)}{16} + \frac{15}{32}\cos(3\nu) + \frac{3}{32}\cos(5\nu)\right) Y_{1}^{2} + \left(\frac{561081205\mu_{1}^{3}}{21849440256}\right) \\ &+ \frac{3856939\mu_{1}^{2}\cos(\nu)}{65028096} - \frac{3856939\mu_{1}^{2}\cos(2\nu)}{130056192} - \frac{3856939\mu_{1}^{2}\cos(4\nu)}{130056192} \\ &- \frac{717853\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}{5419008} + \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(2\nu)}{96768} - \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(3\nu)}{96768} - \frac{6877\mu_{2}\cos(4\nu)}{193536} \\ &- \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(5\nu)}{193536} + \frac{6877\mu_{1}}{96768} + \frac{6877\mu_{2}\cos(2\nu)}{96768} + \frac{6877\mu_{2}\cos(4\nu)}{96768} \\ &- \frac{6877\mu_{2}\cos(\nu)}{48384} + \frac{529\mu_{3}}{2688} + \frac{5}{64}\cos(2\nu) - \frac{5}{96}\cos(3\nu) + \frac{5}{64}\cos(4\nu) \\ &+ \frac{5}{192}\cos(6\nu) - \frac{5\cos(\nu)}{32} + \frac{5}{192}\right) X_{2}^{2} + \left(-\frac{3856939\mu_{1}^{2}\sin(2\nu)}{65028096} - \frac{3856939\mu_{1}^{2}\sin(2\nu)}{48384} - \frac{6877\mu_{1}\sin(\nu)}{96768} - \frac{6877\mu_{1}\sin(\nu)}{96768} - \frac{6877\mu_{1}\sin(\nu)}{96768} + \frac{6877\mu_{2}\sin(4\nu)}{48384} + \frac{5}{32}\sin(2\nu) \\ &+ \frac{5}{32}\sin(4\nu) + \frac{5}{96}\sin(6\nu)\right) X_{2}Y_{2} + \left(\frac{561081205\mu_{1}^{3}}{21849440256} + \frac{3856939\mu_{1}^{2}\cos(\nu)}{65028096} + \frac{3856939\mu_{1}^{2}\cos(2\nu)}{130056192} + \frac{3856939\mu_{1}^{2}\cos(2\nu)}{130056192} - \frac{717853\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}{5419008} \\ &+ \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(\nu)}{130356192} + \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(2\nu)}{96768} + \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(3\nu)}{96768} + \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(5\nu)}{193536} \\ &+ \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(\nu)}{130356192} + \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(2\nu)}{96768} - \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(3\nu)}{96768} + \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(5\nu)}{193536} \\ &+ \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(\nu)}{193536} + \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(2\nu)}{96768} - \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(3\nu)}{96768} - \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(5\nu)}{193536} \\ &+ \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(\nu)}{96768} - \frac{6877\mu_{2}\cos(\nu)}{96768} - \frac{6877\mu_{2}\cos(4\nu)}{96768} - \frac{5}{192}y_{2}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(2\nu)}{96768} - \frac{6877\mu_{2}\cos(2\nu)}{96768} - \frac{6877\mu_{2}\cos(4\nu)}{96768} - \frac{5}{192}y_{2}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(2\nu)}{96768} - \frac{6877\mu_{2}\cos(2\nu)}{96768} - \frac{6877\mu_{2}\cos(2\nu)}{96768} - \frac{5}{192}y_{2}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{6877\mu_{1}\cos(2\nu)}{32} - \frac{5}{96}\cos(3\nu) - \frac{5}{64}\cos(4\nu) - \frac{5}{192}\cos(2\nu) - \frac{5}{36}\cos(2\nu) - \frac{5}{36}\cos(2\nu) - \frac{5}{36}\cos(2\nu) \\ &+ \frac{5}{96}\cos(2\nu) - \frac{5}{96}\cos(2\nu) - \frac{5}{96}\cos(2\nu) - \frac{5}{92}\cos(2\nu) - \frac{5}{92}\cos(2\nu) \\ &+ \frac{5}{96}\cos(2\nu$$

Applying Deprit-Hori method, the expression for the autonomous Hamiltonian 4π -periodic K up to third order in ϵ is

(29)
$$K = \sum_{j=0}^{3} \frac{\epsilon^j}{j!} K_j,$$

where each coefficient is given by

$$\begin{aligned} K_0 &= 0\\ K_1 &= \frac{529}{2688} \mu_1 \left(X_2^2 + Y_2^2 \right)\\ K_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{4} \left(-X_1^2 + Y_1^2 \right) + \frac{1}{5419008} \left(1008(2116\mu_2 + 1295) - 717853\mu_1^2 \right) \right) \left(X_2^2 + Y_2^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} K_3 &= \frac{1}{21849440256} \Big(X_2^2 \Big[561081205 \mu_1^3 \\ &\quad -2132928 \mu_1 (1357 \mu_2 - 728) + 8128512 (529 \mu_3 + 70) \Big] \\ &\quad + Y_2^2 \Big[561081205 \mu_1^3 - 2132928 \mu_1 (1357 \mu_2 - 728) + 8128512 (529 \mu_3 - 70) \Big] \Big) \end{split}$$

Just like in previous case, we have the characteristic equation associated to 4π -periodic Hamiltonian K, $\lambda^4 + a\lambda^2 + b$, where the coefficients give us the boundary stability conditions as (27). This coefficients are:

$$a = \frac{279841}{1806336} \mu_1^2 \epsilon^2 - \frac{529}{3641573376} \mu_1 \left(717853\mu_1^2 - 1008(2116\mu_2 + 1295) \right) \epsilon^3 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4)$$

$$b = -\frac{279841}{3211264} \mu_1^2 \epsilon^6 + \frac{529}{6473908224} \mu_1 \left(717853\mu_1^2 - 1008(2116\mu_2 + 1295) \right) \epsilon^7 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^8)$$

$$d = \frac{78310985281}{3262849744896} \mu_1^4 \epsilon^4$$

$$-\frac{148035889}{3288952542855168} \mu_1^3 \left(717853\mu_1^2 - 1008(2116\mu_2 + 1295) \right) \epsilon^5 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^6)$$

Note that because of boundary conditions (27), both b = 0 and d = 0, gives us $\mu_1 = 0$. Then, taking $\mu_1 = 0$, the expressions of a, b and d reduce to

$$a = \frac{23}{28901376} (92\mu_2 - 119)(2116\mu_2 + 5327)\epsilon^4 + \frac{529}{3612672} (2116\mu_2 + 1295)\mu_3\epsilon^5 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^6) b = -\frac{(2116\mu_2 + 1295)^2}{51380224}\epsilon^8 - \frac{529(2116\mu_2 + 1295)\mu_3}{6422528}\epsilon^9 + \frac{97214524672}{1657320505344}\mu_2^3 + 59182234720\mu_2^2 - 44436\mu_2(6500352\mu_4 + 2366525) - 7 (20632117248\mu_3^2 + 25253867520\mu_4 + 6658099175)\epsilon^{10} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{11}) d = \frac{(4477456\mu_2^2 + 5480440\mu_2 + 17934049)^2}{835289534693376}\epsilon^8 + \frac{529}{52205595918336} (9474296896\mu_2^3 + 17394916560\mu_2^2 + 45045617484\mu_2 + 23224593455)\mu_3\epsilon^9 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{10})$$

On the one hand, although the condition b = 0 gives us the curves

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_3^1 &= \frac{20}{23} - \frac{1295}{2116} \epsilon^2 + \frac{5915}{16928} \epsilon^3 - \frac{106154825}{448524288} \epsilon^4 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^5) \\ \mu_3^2 &= \frac{20}{23} - \frac{1295}{2116} \epsilon^2 - \frac{5915}{16928} \epsilon^3 - \frac{106154825}{448524288} \epsilon^4 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^5), \end{aligned}$$



FIGURE 3. Boundary curves of condition b = 0

If the condition a > 0 was satisfied, then the shaded region in the Figure 3 would be the instability region. However, we can see from the coefficient of the fourth order of a in (30) that a can be positive if $\mu_2 < -\frac{5327}{2116}$ or $\mu_2 > \frac{119}{92}$ and $\mu_2 = -\frac{1295}{2116}$ obtained from b = 0 do not satisfy any of these conditions. The condition d = 0 and a > 0 there is no real solution.

(2.2) In the second case, $N_1 = 2$ and $N_2 = 5$, the Hamiltonian of (24) is given by

$$\begin{split} H_{0} &= \frac{1}{4} \left(2\xi_{1}^{2} + 5\xi_{2}^{2} + 2\eta_{1}^{2} + 5\eta_{2}^{2} \right) \\ H_{1} &= \frac{1}{640} \left(7\mu_{1} \left(\xi_{2}^{2} + \eta_{2}^{2} \right) + 96\cos(\nu) \left(10\eta_{1}^{2} - 7\eta_{2}^{2} \right) \right) \\ H_{2} &= -\frac{1}{1024000} \left(7 \left(107\mu_{1}^{2} - 1600\mu_{2} \right) \left(\xi_{2}^{2} + \eta_{2}^{2} \right) + 153600\cos^{2}(\nu) \left(10\eta_{1}^{2} - 7\eta_{2}^{2} \right) \right. \\ &+ 12992\mu_{1}\eta_{2}^{2}\cos(\nu) \right) \\ H_{3} &= \frac{1}{819200000} \left(7 \left(\xi_{2}^{2} + \eta_{2}^{2} \right) \left(5749\mu_{1}^{3} - 171200\mu_{1}\mu_{2} + 1280000\mu_{3} \right) \right. \\ &+ 122880000\cos^{3}(\nu) \left(10\eta_{1}^{2} - 7\eta_{2}^{2} \right) + 224\eta_{2}^{2} \left(3159\mu_{1}^{2} - 46400\mu_{2} \right)\cos(\nu) \\ &+ 10393600\mu_{1}\eta_{2}^{2}\cos^{2}(\nu) \right) \end{split}$$

Then, applying the rotation (25) with $N_1 = 2$ and $N_2 = 5$ in above Hamiltonian, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{0} &= 0\\ \mathbf{H}_{1} &= \left(\frac{3\cos(\nu)}{8} - \frac{3}{8}\cos(3\nu)\right)X_{1}^{2} + \left(-\frac{1}{4}3\sin(\nu) - \frac{3}{4}\sin(3\nu)\right)X_{1}Y_{1}\\ &+ \left(\frac{9\cos(\nu)}{8} + \frac{3}{8}\cos(3\nu)\right)Y_{1}^{2}\\ &+ \left(\frac{7\mu_{1}}{640} + \frac{21}{80}\cos(4\nu) + \frac{21}{80}\cos(6\nu) - \frac{21\cos(\nu)}{40}\right)X_{2}^{2}\\ &+ \left(\frac{21}{40}\sin(4\nu) + \frac{21}{40}\sin(6\nu)\right)X_{2}Y_{2}\\ &+ \left(\frac{7\mu_{1}}{640} - \frac{21}{80}\cos(4\nu) - \frac{21}{80}\cos(6\nu) - \frac{21\cos(\nu)}{40}\right)Y_{2}^{2}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{2} &= \left(\frac{3}{16}\cos(4\nu) - \frac{3}{16}\right)X_{1}^{2} + \left(\frac{3}{4}\sin(2\nu) + \frac{3}{8}\sin(4\nu)\right)X_{1}Y_{1} \\ &+ \left(-\frac{1}{4}3\cos(2\nu) - \frac{3}{16}\cos(4\nu) - \frac{9}{16}\right)Y_{1}^{2} \\ &+ \left(-\frac{749\mu_{1}^{2}}{1024000} + \frac{203\mu_{1}\cos(4\nu)}{64000} + \frac{203\mu_{1}\cos(6\nu)}{64000} - \frac{203\mu_{1}\cos(\nu)}{32000} + \frac{7\mu_{2}}{640} \\ &+ \frac{21}{80}\cos(2\nu) - \frac{21}{160}\cos(3\nu) - \frac{21}{80}\cos(5\nu) - \frac{21}{160}\cos(7\nu) + \frac{21}{80}\right)X_{2}^{2} \\ &+ \left(\frac{203\mu_{1}\sin(4\nu)}{32000} + \frac{203\mu_{1}\sin(6\nu)}{32000} + \frac{1}{80}(-21)\sin(3\nu) - \frac{21}{40}\sin(5\nu) \\ &- \frac{21}{80}\sin(7\nu)\right)X_{2}Y_{2} \\ &+ \left(-\frac{749\mu_{1}^{2}}{1024000} - \frac{203\mu_{1}\cos(\nu)}{32000} - \frac{203\mu_{1}\cos(4\nu)}{64000} - \frac{203\mu_{1}\cos(6\nu)}{64000} + \frac{7\mu_{2}}{640} \\ &+ \frac{21}{80}\cos(2\nu) + \frac{21}{160}\cos(3\nu) + \frac{21}{80}\cos(5\nu) + \frac{21}{160}\cos(7\nu) + \frac{21}{80}\right)Y_{2}^{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{H}_{3} &= \left(\frac{3\cos(\nu)}{16} - \frac{3}{32}\cos(3\nu) - \frac{3}{32}\cos(5\nu)\right) X_{1}^{2} \\ &+ \left(-\frac{1}{8}3\sin(\nu) - \frac{9}{16}\sin(3\nu) - \frac{3}{16}\sin(5\nu)\right) X_{1}Y_{1} \\ &+ \left(\frac{15\cos(\nu)}{16} + \frac{15}{32}\cos(3\nu) + \frac{3}{32}\cos(5\nu)\right) Y_{1}^{2} \\ &+ \left(\frac{40243\mu_{1}^{3}}{819200000} + \frac{22113\mu_{1}^{2}\cos(\nu)}{5120000} - \frac{22113\mu_{1}^{2}\cos(4\nu)}{102400000} - \frac{203\mu_{1}\cos(3\nu)}{102400000} - \frac{203\mu_{1}\cos(5\nu)}{102400000} - \frac{203\mu_{1}\cos(3\nu)}{102400000} - \frac{203\mu_{1}\cos(3\nu)}{128000} - \frac{203\mu_{1}\cos(3\nu)}{128000} - \frac{203\mu_{1}\cos(3\nu)}{128000} - \frac{203\mu_{1}\cos(3\nu)}{128000} - \frac{203\mu_{1}\cos(3\nu)}{128000} - \frac{203\mu_{1}\cos(3\nu)}{128000} - \frac{2113\mu_{1}^{2}\cos(4\nu)}{128000} - \frac{203\mu_{1}\cos(3\nu)}{128000} - \frac{203\mu_{1}\cos(3\nu)}{128000} - \frac{203\mu_{1}\cos(3\nu)}{120000} - \frac{203\mu_{1}\sin(3\nu)}{120000} - \frac{2113\mu_{1}^{2}\sin(6\nu)}{120000} - \frac{203\mu_{1}\sin(5\nu)}{120000} - \frac{203\mu_{1}\sin(5\nu)}{120000} - \frac{203\mu_{1}\sin(5\nu)}{120000} - \frac{203\mu_{1}\sin(5\nu)}{120000} - \frac{203\mu_{1}\sin(5\nu)}{120000} - \frac{203\mu_{1}\sin(2\nu)}{160} + \frac{63}{160}\sin(4\nu) + \frac{63}{160}\sin(6\nu) + \frac{21}{160}\sin(8\nu) X_{2}Y_{2} \\ &+ \left(\frac{40243\mu_{1}^{3}}{160} + \frac{203\mu_{1}\cos(2\nu)}{51200000} + \frac{203\mu_{1}\cos(3\nu)}{128000} + \frac{203\mu_{1}\cos(5\nu)}{64000} + \frac{203\mu_{1}\cos(5\nu)}{128000} +$$

Applying Deprit-Hori method, the expression for the autonomous Hamiltonian 4π -periodic K up to fourth order in ϵ is

$$K = K_0 + \sum_{j=1}^4 \frac{\epsilon^j}{j!} K_j,$$

where

$$\begin{split} K_0 &= 0\\ K_1 &= \frac{7\mu_1}{640} \left(X_2^2 + Y_2^2 \right)\\ K_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{3}{4} \left(-X_1^2 + Y_1^2 \right) + \frac{7(-107\mu_1^2 + 400(117 + 4\mu_2))}{512000} \left(X_2^2 + Y_2^2 \right) \right]\\ K_3 &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{7}{409600000} \left[5749\mu_1^3 - 100\mu_1(-5973 + 1712\mu_2) + 1280000\mu_3 \right] \left(X_2^2 + Y_2^2 \right) \end{split}$$

Just like in previous case, we have the characteristic equation associated to 4π -periodic Hamiltonian K, $\lambda^4 + a\lambda^2 + b$, where the coefficients give us the boundary stability conditions. This coefficients are:

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \frac{49}{102400} \mu_1^2 \epsilon^2 - \frac{49}{81920000} \mu_1 \left(107 \mu_1^2 - 400(4\mu_2 + 117) \right) \epsilon^3 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4) \\ b &= -\frac{441}{1638400} \mu_1^2 \epsilon^6 + \frac{441}{1310720000} \mu_1 \left(107 \mu_1^2 - 400(4\mu_2 + 117) \right) \epsilon^7 \\ &- \frac{441}{838860800000} \left(6889 \mu_1^4 - 80 \mu_1^2 (2568 \mu_2 + 25465) + 1024000 \mu_1 \mu_3 \right) \\ &+ 32000(4\mu_2 + 117)^2 \right) \epsilon^8 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^9) \\ d &= \frac{2401}{10485760000} \mu_1^4 \epsilon^4 - \frac{2401}{419430400000} \mu_1^3 \left(107 \mu_1^2 - 400(4\mu_2 + 117) \right) \epsilon^5 \\ &+ \frac{49}{1342177280000000} \mu_1^2 \left[2809807 \mu_1^4 - 19600 \mu_1^2 (4280 \mu_2 + 69141) \right] \\ &+ 25088000 \mu_1 \mu_3 + 48000 \left(784 \mu_2^2 + 45864 \mu_2 + 977961 \right) \right] \epsilon^6 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^7) \end{aligned}$$

Note that because of boundary conditions (27), both b = 0 and d = 0, gives us $\mu_1 = 0$. Then, taking $\mu_1 = 0$, the expressions of a, b and d reduce to

$$a = \frac{1}{1638400} (28\mu^2 - 141) (28\mu^2 + 1779)\epsilon^4 + \frac{49}{204800} (4\mu_2 + 117)\mu_3\epsilon^5 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^6)$$

$$b = -\frac{441}{26214400} (4\mu_2 + 117)^2\epsilon^8 - \frac{441}{3276800} (4\mu_2 + 117)\mu_3\epsilon^9$$

$$+\frac{441}{335544320000} (27392\mu_2^3 + 814880\mu_2^2 - 4\mu_2(102400\mu_4 + 2117649))$$

$$- 204800\mu_3^2 - 11980800\mu_4 - 259455339)\epsilon^{10} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{11})$$

$$d = \frac{1}{2684354560000} \left(784\mu_2^2 + 45864\mu_2 + 1592361\right)^2 \epsilon^8$$

$$+\frac{49}{167772160000} \left(3136\mu_2^3 + 275184\mu_2^2 + 11735532\mu_2 + 186306237\right)\mu_3\epsilon^9$$

From condition b = 0 we obtain:



FIGURE 4. curve of boundary condition b = 0

If the condition a > 0 was satisfied, then the shaded region in the Figure 4 would be the instability region. However, we can see from the coefficient of the fourth order of a in (31), that a can be positive if $\mu_2 < -\frac{1779}{28}$ or $\mu_2 > \frac{141}{28}$ and $\mu_2 = -\frac{117}{4}$ obtained from b = 0 do not satisfy any of these conditions. The condition d = 0 and a > 0 there is no real solution.

8. Acknowledgments

This work is result of PhD research supported by a Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) scholarship, supervised by Hildeberto Cabral to whom I am very grateful for his many valuable contributions. I am also thanks to Adecarlos Carvalho for the computional code assistence.

References

- Araujo, G.C.; Cabral, H.E., Parametric stability in a P+ 2-body problem. Journal Of Dynamics And Differential Equations. 30(2018), pp. 719-742.
- [2] Cabral, H. E., Periodic and quasi-periodic motions in triple stellar systems. The Motion Of Planets And Natural And Artifical Satellites. 2(1983), pp. 3-9.
- [3] Cabral, H.E, On the isosceles solutions of the three-body problem. Boletín De La Sociedad Matemática Mexicana: Tercera Serie. 18(2012), pp. 135-141.
- [4] Cabral, H.E; Dias, L. F.B., Normal Forms and Stability of Hamiltonian Systems. Springer, 2023.
- [5] Dias, L.; Cabral, H. E., Parametric stability in a Sitnikov-like restricted P-body problem. Journal Of Dynamics And Differential Equations. 30(2018), 81-92.

- [6] Llibre, J.; Simó, C., Estudio cualitativo del problema de Sitnikov. Publicacions De La Secció De Matemàtiques(1980), pp. 49-71.
- [7] Markeev, A., On one special case of parametric resonance in problems of celestial mechanics. Astronomy Letters. 31(2005), pp. 350-356.
- [8] Markeev, A., Linear Hamiltonian Systems and Some Problems of Stability of Motion of Satellites. R&C Dynamics, 2009.
- [9] Markeev, A., Multiple parametric resonance in Hamilton systems. Journal Of Applied Mathematics And Mechanics. 70(2006), 176-194.
- [10] Menezes Neto, J. L.; Cabral, H., Parametric stability of a pendulum with variable length in an elliptic orbit. *Regular And Chaotic Dynamics.* 25 (2020), pp. 323-329.
- [11] Meyer, K.; Offin, D., Introduction to Hamiltonian Dynamical Systems and the N-Body Problem. Springer, 2009.
- [12] Siegel, C.; Moser, J. Lectures on celestial mechanics. Springer Science; Business Media, 2012.
- [13] Simó, C. ; Martinez, R., Qualitative study of the planar isosceles three-body problem. *Celestial Mechanics.* 41(1987), 179-251.
- [14] Wintner, A., The analytical foundations of celestial mechanics. Courier Corporation, 2014.
- [15] Yakubovich, V.; Starzhinskii, V., Linear differential equations with periodic coefficients. (Wiley,1975)

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA Y CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE SAN ANDRÉS, VITO DUMAS, 284, VICTORIA, PROVINCIA DE BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA.

 $Email \ address: {\tt kdealmeidasantos@udesa.edu.ar}$