

# $q$ -deformed Perelomov-Popov measures and quantized free probability

Panagiotis Zografos  
Leipzig University, Mathematics Institute

January 7, 2025

## Abstract

The asymptotic study of tuples of random non-increasing integers is crucial for probabilistic models coming from asymptotic representation theory and statistical physics. We study the global behavior of such tuples, introducing a new family of discrete probability measures, depending on a parameter  $q \in [-1, 1]$ . We prove the Law of Large Numbers for these measures based on the asymptotics of the Schur generating functions and we provide explicit formulas for the moments and the free cumulants of the limiting measures. Our results provide an interpolation between the results of Bufetov and Gorin for  $q = 0, 1$ , who distinguished these two cases from the side of free probability theory. We show the connection with free probability theory and we introduce a deformation of free convolution, motivated by our formulas for the free cumulants. We also study the first order correction to the Law of Large Numbers and we make the connection with infinitesimal free probability, computing explicitly the infinitesimal moments and the infinitesimal free cumulants. Finally, we prove non-asymptotic relations between the limiting measures for different  $q$ , which are related to the celebrated Markov-Krein correspondence.

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Overview

In the current article, we introduce a new family of probability measures that encode random signatures and study its asymptotics. Let  $\hat{U}(N)$  be the set of all signatures  $\lambda(N)$ , i.e.  $N$ -tuples  $\lambda(N) = (\lambda_1(N), \dots, \lambda_N(N)) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$  of non-increasing integers. One of key connections that motivates the study of such simple objects is that they are in one-to-one correspondence with the isomorphism classes of the irreducible representations of the unitary group  $U(N)$  of all  $N \times N$  complex unitary matrices (see e.g. [43]).

We study the global asymptotics of  $\lambda(N)$ , as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , proving among other the Law of Large Numbers for discrete probability measures, parametrized by random  $\lambda(N)$ . For this purpose we introduce the probability measures

$$m_{N,PP(q)}[\lambda(N)] := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\lambda_i(N) - i) - (\lambda_j(N) - j) - q}{(\lambda_i(N) - i) - (\lambda_j(N) - j)} \right) \delta \left( \frac{\lambda_i(N) + N - i}{N} \right), \quad (1)$$

where  $q \in [-1, 1]$ . Although it is clear that for  $q = 0$  this is a very natural measure, up to the author's knowledge, this measure has not been considered in the literature before for  $q \neq 0, 1$ .

Our theorems are based on general assumptions for probability measures on  $\hat{U}(N)$ , related to a representation-theoretic notion of Fourier transform for such measures. We recall that a character  $\chi^{\pi_N} : U(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of a finite-dimensional representation  $\pi_N$  of  $U(N)$  is defined by  $\chi^{\pi_N}(U) = \text{Tr}(\pi_N(U))$ , for every  $U \in U(N)$ . Since  $U \in U(N)$  is diagonalizable  $\chi^{\pi_N}(U)$  depends only on the spectrum of  $U$  and  $\chi^{\pi_N}$  is a function of  $N$  variables on the unit circle. For  $\lambda(N) \in \hat{U}(N)$  we denote by  $\chi^{\lambda(N)}$  the character of the irreducible representation  $\pi^{\lambda(N)}$  that corresponds to the signature  $\lambda(N)$ . These characters are the rational Schur functions,

$$\chi^{\lambda(N)}(u_1, \dots, u_N) = \frac{\det(u_i^{\lambda_j(N) + N - j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}}{\det(u_i^{N-j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}} = \frac{\det(u_i^{\lambda_j(N) + N - j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (u_i - u_j)}.$$

Let  $\rho(N)$  be a probability measure on  $\hat{U}(N)$ . The Schur generating function  $S_{\rho(N)}$ , with respect to  $\rho(N)$ , is defined by

$$S_{\rho(N)}(u_1, \dots, u_N) := \mathbb{E}_{\lambda(N)} \left[ \frac{\chi^{\lambda(N)}(u_1, \dots, u_N)}{\chi^{\lambda(N)}(1, \dots, 1)} \right] = \sum_{\lambda(N) \in \hat{U}(N)} \rho(N)[\lambda(N)] \frac{\chi^{\lambda(N)}(u_1, \dots, u_N)}{\chi^{\lambda(N)}(1, \dots, 1)}.$$

In the following, we assume that the Schur generating functions converge uniformly in a neighborhood of  $1^N := (1, \dots, 1)$ . This can be guaranteed under very mild assumptions for  $\rho(N)$  (see e.g. [12]). One can think of  $S_{\rho(N)}$  as a Fourier transform on  $U(N)$  because it is analogous to the definition of the Fourier transform of a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ , in the sense that they are expectations of random characters.

We denote by  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho(N)]$  the random probability measure (1), where  $\lambda(N)$  is distributed according to  $\rho(N)$ . Our first main result is the Law of Large Numbers (LLN) for  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho(N)]$ .

**Theorem 1** *Let  $\rho(N)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , be a sequence of probability measures on  $\hat{U}(N)$  such that*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \partial_{i_0}^k \left( \frac{1}{N} \log S_{\rho(N)} \right) (1^N) = a_k \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \partial_{i_1} \dots \partial_{i_m} \left( \frac{1}{N} \log S_{\rho(N)} \right) (1^N) = 0,$$

for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i_0, \dots, i_m \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  with  $|\{i_1, \dots, i_m\}| \geq 2$ . Moreover assume that the power series

$$\Psi(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \frac{(z-1)^k}{k!},$$

converges in a neighborhood of 1. Then the sequence of random measures  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho(N)]$  converges as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  in probability, in the sense of moments to a deterministic probability measure  $\mu^{(q)}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  which moments

$$\mu_k^{(q)} = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} \frac{1}{(m+1)!} \frac{d^m}{du^m} (u^{k-q} (\Psi'(u))^{k-m}) \Big|_{u=1}. \quad (2)$$

For  $q, q' \in [-1, 1]$  the limiting measures of  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho(N)]$ ,  $m_{N,PP(q')}[\rho(N)]$  are related through

$$\left( 1 - q \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k^{(q)} z^{k+1} \right)^{1/q} = \left( 1 - q' \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k^{(q')} z^{k+1} \right)^{1/q'}. \quad (3)$$

The representation-theoretic interpretation of Schur generating functions leads to applications of Theorem 1 in asymptotic representation theory of the unitary group. More precisely, we provide new results for the decomposition into irreducible components of tensor products of irreducible representations of large unitary groups. For  $q = 0, 1$ , similar or more general results have already been shown in this direction [2], [10], [11], [12]. For a finite-dimensional representation  $\pi_N$  of  $U(N)$  we have a decomposition into irreducible components:

$$\pi_N = \bigoplus_{\lambda(N) \in \hat{U}(N)} c_{\lambda(N)} \pi^{\lambda(N)},$$

which gives rise to the sequence of probability measures on  $\hat{U}(N)$

$$\rho_{\pi_N}[\lambda(N)] = \frac{c_{\lambda(N)} \dim(\pi^{\lambda(N)})}{\dim(\pi_N)}, \quad \text{for every } \lambda(N) \in \hat{U}(N).$$

In the above  $c_{\lambda(N)}$  are the multiplicities and  $\dim$  stands for the dimension of the representation. For  $\lambda_1(N), \lambda_2(N) \in \hat{U}(N)$  and  $\pi_N = \pi^{\lambda_1(N)} \otimes \pi^{\lambda_2(N)}$  the fact that  $S_{\rho_{\pi_N}} = S_{\rho_{\pi^{\lambda_1(N)}}} \cdot S_{\rho_{\pi^{\lambda_2(N)}}}$  leads to the following corollary.

**Corollary 1** *Let  $\lambda_1(N), \lambda_2(N) \in \hat{U}(N)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , be two sequences of signatures that satisfy some technical assumptions, including that*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} m_{N,PP(q)}[\lambda_i(N)] = \mu_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2,$$

where the above convergence is in the sense of moments. Then, if  $\pi_N = \pi^{\lambda_1(N)} \otimes \pi^{\lambda_2(N)}$ , the sequence of random measures  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho_{\pi_N}]$  converges as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  in probability, in the sense of moments to a deterministic probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  which we denote by  $\mu_1 \boxtimes_q \mu_2$ , and it is such that

$$(\mu_1 \boxtimes_q \mu_2) \boxplus \beta(1-q, 1+q) = \mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2,$$

where  $\beta(1-q, 1+q)$  is the beta distribution with parameters  $1-q, 1+q \geq 0$  and  $\boxplus$  stands for the free convolution.

The technical assumptions mentioned in the above corollary will not be important for us due to the fact that the convergence for  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\lambda(N)]$  is equivalent to convergence for  $m_{N,PP(0)}[\lambda(N)]$ . For more details we refer to [10].

Starting with three sequences  $\rho_1(N), \rho_2(N), \rho_3(N)$  of probability measures on  $\hat{U}(N)$  such that they satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1 and  $S_{\rho_3(N)} = S_{\rho_1(N)} \cdot S_{\rho_2(N)}$  one can ask if there is a meaningful expression for the limit of  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho_3(N)]$  in terms of the limits of  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho_1(N)]$  and  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho_2(N)]$ . To answer this question we make the connection with free probability and we introduce a  $q$ -deformation of free convolution (see Theorem 4).

The techniques used in the current article lead to the asymptotic expansion for the measure  $\mathbb{E}[m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho(N)]]$ . We also study the second leading term of this expansion. We will often refer to it as the correction (to the Law of Large Numbers) or as the infinitesimal limit. To make it precise, given a sequence of probability measures  $\rho(N)$  on  $\hat{U}(N)$ , we define the sequence of linear functionals

$$\varphi_N^{(q)}(P) := \mathbb{E}_{\lambda(N)} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} P(t) m_{N,PP(q)}[\lambda(N)](dt) \right], \quad P \in \mathbb{C}[t].$$

By the infinitesimal limit (of  $\{\varphi_N^{(q)}\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ ), we mean the triple  $(\mathbb{C}[t], \varphi_q, \varphi'_q)$ , where

$$\varphi_q(P) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_N^{(q)}(P) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi'_q(P) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N(\varphi_N^{(q)}(P) - \varphi_q(P)), \quad \text{for } P \in \mathbb{C}[t].$$

**Theorem 2** Let  $\rho(N)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , be a sequence of probability measures on  $\hat{U}(N)$  such that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left( \partial_{i_0}^k \log S_{\rho(N)}(1^N) - Na_k \right) = b_k \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \partial_{i_1} \dots \partial_{i_m} \log S_{\rho(N)}(1^N) = 0,$$

for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i_0, \dots, i_m \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  with  $|\{i_1, \dots, i_m\}| \geq 2$ . Moreover, assume that  $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, (b_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  are such that

$$\Psi(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \frac{(z-1)^k}{k!} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k \frac{(z-1)^k}{k!},$$

converge in a neighborhood of 1. Then, for every  $q \in [-1, 1]$ , there exists an infinitesimal limit  $(\mathbb{C}[t], \varphi_q, \varphi'_q)$  of  $\{\varphi_N^{(q)}\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ . The moments  $\varphi_q(t^k)$  are given by (2). We also have the explicit formulas

$$\varphi'_q(t^k) = \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{m+1} \frac{1}{m!} \frac{d^m}{du^m} \left( u^{k-q} \left( \Phi'(u) - \frac{1-q}{2u} \right) (\Psi'(u))^{k-m-1} \right) \Big|_{u=1}.$$

Moreover, for  $\mu_k^{(q)} = \varphi_q(t^k)$ ,  $\mu_k^{(0)} = \varphi_0(t^k)$ ,  $\mu_k^{(q)'} = \varphi'_q(t^k)$ ,  $\mu_k^{(0)'} = \varphi'_0(t^k)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that

$$\exp \left( q \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k^{(0)} z^{k+1} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k^{(q)'} z^{k+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k^{(0)'} z^{k+1} + \frac{q}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1) \mu_k^{(0)} z^{k+2}. \quad (4)$$

Infinitesimal limits of averaged empirical measures of random matrices have been studied extensively the last few years, in the context of infinitesimal free probability. We also make the connection with infinitesimal free probability and we introduce a  $q$ -deformation of the infinitesimal free convolution (see Theorem 6).

To summarize, in this article on the one hand we prove asymptotic results for  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho(N)]$ , based on asymptotic conditions for the Schur generating functions  $S_{\rho(N)}$  and on the other hand we show how the limiting measures that correspond to different  $q \in [-1, 1]$  are related in a non-asymptotic manner, through transforms of probability

measures on  $\mathbb{R}$ . We start by explaining a combinatorial interpretation of the measures  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\lambda(N)]$  showing how they are related to standard symmetric polynomials (see Proposition 2). Their combinatorial side is crucial for the non-asymptotic relations of the limits, which are corollaries of Newton identities.

We show two different ways to prove the LLN for  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho(N)]$  (see Theorem 3 and Theorem 4). In both, we use the same technique which is based on applying differential operators to analytic and symmetric functions. Although both of them give a very precise description of the limiting measures through their moments, the second one allows us to go a step further and determine precisely their free cumulants, which cannot be extracted in a straightforward way from the formulas for the moments that we get from the first approach. The explicit formulas for the free cumulants describe the impact of the conditions of Theorem 1 for the Schur generating functions, on the limiting measures. They also reveal interesting properties for these measures that lead to the results of Corollary 1 and motivate an operation  $\otimes_q$  for probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}$  with compact support, that are approximated by  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\lambda(N)]$ ,  $\lambda(N) \in \hat{U}(N)$ . This operation is strongly related to the free convolution.

We also investigate asymptotic properties of  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho(N)]$  under different limit regimes for  $S_{\rho(N)}$ . Though these different limit regimes do not change the limiting measures  $\mu^{(q)}$ , they affect interestingly the  $1/N$  correction of  $\mu^{(q)}$ , meaning the  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N \left( \mathbb{E}[m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho(N)]] - \mu^{(q)} \right)$ . Similarly to the above, we study the  $1/N$  correction via its moments using both methods of differential operators mentioned above (see Theorem 5 and Theorem 6). The key tool for our analysis is that of infinitesimal free cumulants. We show how the additional requirements of the second limit regime give rise to a  $1/N$  correction, determined by very concrete free cumulants/infinitesimal free cumulants. This leads to results similar to that of Corollary 1 but on the more general infinitesimal level where the infinitesimal free convolution is involved. It also motivates a deformation of the infinitesimal free convolution.

We make the connection of our non-asymptotic relations for the limiting measures to the celebrated Markov-Krein correspondence (see Theorem 8). We also point how these non-asymptotic relations emerge from standard tools of free probability that were used for the understanding of these measures.

Finally, we also present an example, where using Theorem 13 we compute explicitly the probability density function of the limit of  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho(N)]$  (see Example 1). Interestingly, we get a probability measure that gives an interpolation between the semicircle distribution, the Marchenko-Pastur distribution and the one-sided Plancherel distribution. As far as we know this probability distribution has not appeared in the literature before. We think that it would be interesting to understand if it is connected to some random matrix ensemble which gives an interpolation between the Gaussian unitary ensemble and Wishart matrices.

## 1.2 Free convolution and its quantized versions

We briefly recall the notions of free cumulants and free convolution and we briefly describe the kind of deformations of free convolution that we consider in this article. The free convolution is a well studied operation of probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}$  with compact support. To justify the name, it is a convolution for non-commutative random variables (such as random matrices or operators on Hilbert spaces) that have a non-commutative notion of stochastic independence known as freeness (see e.g. [35], [42]). It plays an essential role to the study of random matrices as their size goes to infinity.

Compared to the classical convolution, free convolution is a much more complicated operation of probability measures. Although the  $k$ -th moment of the classical convolution  $\mu_1 * \mu_2$  of two probability measures  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  can be expressed very simply in terms of the moments of  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ , this is not the case for the  $k$ -th moment of  $\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2$ . In that sense given three probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}$  with compact support, looking their moments it is hard to deduce whether one of them is the free convolution of the other two. This problem can be solved by acquiring a free analogue of the cumulants.

**Definition 1 (Speicher, [35])** *Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  with compact support. The free cumulants  $(\kappa_n(\mu))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mu$  are uniquely determined by*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} t^k \mu(dt) = \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}(k)} \prod_{V \in \pi} \kappa_{|V|}(\mu), \quad \text{for every } k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\text{NC}(k)$  stands for the lattice of non-crossing partitions of  $\{1, \dots, k\}$ .

We will refer to (5) as moment-cumulant relation. Similarly to the classical case, free cumulants linearize the free convolution (see e.g. [35]), meaning that

$$\kappa_n(\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2) = \kappa_n(\mu_1) + \kappa_n(\mu_2), \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

Similarly, as we will prove later on, being  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  limits of measures  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\lambda(N)]$ , the measure  $\mu_1 \otimes_q \mu_2$  of Corollary 1 is determined by the linearization of another analytic function. This function will play the role of  $R$ -transform for  $\otimes_q$  and it is given by

$$R_\mu^{quant(q)}(z) = R_\mu(z) - R_{\beta(1-q,1+q)}(z) = R_\mu(z) - \frac{(1-qz)^{-1/q}}{(1-qz)^{-1/q} - 1} + \frac{1}{z}.$$

We refer to  $R_\mu^{quant(q)}$  as  $q$ -deformed quantized  $R$ -transform. Note that  $R_\mu^{quant(1)} = R_\mu$  and  $R_\mu^{quant(-1)} = R_{\mu \boxplus \delta_{(-1)}}$ .

### 1.3 Related works

The technique of Schur generating functions is not a new one. It has been developed in the last ten years for the study of the asymptotic behavior of discrete particle systems and random matrices [10], [11], [12], [25]. Similar approaches exist for generating functions of Jack polynomials [28]. Related methods for local asymptotics have been presented in [2]. The LLN for  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\lambda(N)]$  for the particular cases  $q = 0, 1$  was firstly proved in [10] where they also highlighted the connection to free probability. As we already mentioned, for  $q = 1$  one gets the classical  $R$ -transform but for  $q = 0$  the quantized  $R$ -transform becomes

$$R_\mu^{quant(0)}(z) = R_\mu(z) - R_{u[0,1]}(z) = R_\mu(z) - \frac{e^z}{e^z - 1} + \frac{1}{z},$$

where  $u[0, 1]$  denotes the uniform measure on  $[0, 1]$ . In [10] they proved that to get an operation of probability measures that linearizes  $\mu \mapsto R_\mu^{quant(0)}$  one has to restrict to compactly supported probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}$ , continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure and such that their Radon-Nikodym derivative is bounded by one.

We introduce the measures  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\lambda(N)]$ , for  $q \in [-1, 1]$ , to provide an interpolation to the already known results for  $q = 0, 1$ . As mentioned, we are not aware of other works defining such measures and studying their asymptotic properties. Recently, it was proved in [18] that the moments of  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\lambda(N)]$  are eigenvalues of Heckman-Polychronakos operators for symmetric Jack polynomials.

In [10],  $m_{N,PP(1)}[\lambda(N)]$  is referred to as Perelomov-Popov measure because it is related to the work of Perelomov and Popov [38] on the centers of universal enveloping algebras of classical Lie groups. To keep it similar we will refer to  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\lambda(N)]$  as  $q$ -deformed Perelomov-Popov measure. Therefore, on the one hand, for  $q = 1$  the Perelomov-Popov measures have a clear algebraic interpretation, while on the other hand, for  $q = 0$ , they have been used in the literature to study geometric properties of tilings [10], [11], [12], [13], [14]. Or results provide a natural continuous interpolation between these two quite distinct setups.

Relevant approaches with symmetric generating functions exist to study the spectrum of self-adjoint random matrices as their size goes to infinity [5], [14], [16], [26], [27], [32]. These are mainly based on the asymptotics of the Harish-Chandra transforms. The framework of random matrices can be seen as the continuous analogue of ours. It is not clear to the author if some meaningful  $q$ -generalization of the empirical spectral distribution could be considered to play the role of  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\lambda(N)]$  for  $q \neq 0$ . The infinitesimal limit of  $m_{N,PP(0)}[\lambda(N)]$  presented on this article was also studied in [14], in order to understand the connection of random signatures to infinitesimal free probability in an analogous way that the connection to free probability was understood in [10], and make the comparison with the results for random matrices.

Moreover, there exist other transforms, relevant to (3) in the literature between measures or distributions, that emerge trying to relate the limits of random (signed) measures that encode signatures or eigenvalues [5], [9], [10], [23], [24], [32]. It ends up that many of them are related to celebrated transforms that originate from problems of functional analysis [1], [20], [30], [31].

**Acknowledgments** The author is grateful to Alexey Bufetov for many valuable discussions and the encouragement to work on this project. The author is also grateful to Vadim Gorin for helpful discussions and Grigori

Olshanski for valuable comments. The author was partially supported by the European Research Council (ERC), Grant Agreement No. 101041499.

## 2 Law of Large Numbers for Perelomov-Popov measures

In the current section we prove the Law of Large Numbers for  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho(N)]$ . Before we formulate and prove our results, we start by introducing some differential operators that will be important for our analysis. Applying these differential operators to the Schur generating functions we can compute the moments of  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\lambda(N)]$  in a similar manner that one can compute moments of a random variable differentiating its characteristic function.

### 2.1 Schur generating functions and differential operators

We start by proving that  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\lambda(N)]$  is indeed a probability measure.

**Proposition 1** *Let  $\lambda(N) = (\lambda_1(N) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_N(N)) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$  be a signature and  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\lambda(N)]$  is a signed measure of total mass 1 and a probability measure for  $q \in [-1, 1]$ .*

**Proof** Since  $\{\lambda_i(N) + N - i\}_{i=1}^N$  are strictly decreasing integers,  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\lambda(N)]$  is a positive measure for  $q \in [-1, 1]$ . For notation simplicity, consider  $x_i(N) = \lambda_i(N) - i$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Then for  $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ , the product that corresponds to  $i_0$

$$\prod_{j \neq i_0} \frac{x_{i_0}(N) - x_j(N) - q}{x_{i_0}(N) - x_j(N)} = \prod_{j \neq i_0} \left( 1 + \frac{-q}{x_{i_0}(N) - x_j(N)} \right),$$

is equal to a big sum where its summands are either 1 or

$$a_{\{i_1, \dots, i_l\}}(i_0) := \frac{(-q)^l}{(x_{i_0}(N) - x_{i_1}(N)) \dots (x_{i_0}(N) - x_{i_l}(N))} \quad \text{for some } l = 1, \dots, N-1,$$

where  $i_0, \dots, i_l \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  are distinct. But these summands will not contribute to the mass of the measure since

$$a_{\{i_1, \dots, i_l\}}(i_0) + a_{\{i_0, i_2, \dots, i_l\}}(i_1) + \dots + a_{\{i_0, \dots, i_{l-1}\}}(i_l) = 0.$$

This implies that the mass is equal to 1 for every  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ . □

Although the  $q$ -deformed Perelomov-Popov measures do not seem very “natural” from the probabilistic point of view, there are some interesting properties from the side of symmetric functions. These can be understood trying to express the moments of  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\lambda(N)]$  in terms of power sums on the variables  $x_i(N) = \lambda_i(N) + N - i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ .

**Proposition 2** *Let  $x_1, \dots, x_N$  be  $N$  distinct variables and for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  consider the rational function*

$$m_k^{(q)}(x_1, \dots, x_N) := \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{x_i - x_j - q}{x_i - x_j} \right) x_i^k. \quad (6)$$

Then  $m_k^{(q)}(x_1, \dots, x_N)$  is a symmetric polynomial that satisfies the relation

$$\begin{aligned} & (k+1)! m_k^{(q)}(x_1, \dots, x_N) \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\pi \in P(k+1)} (-1)^{|\pi|-1} \prod_{V \in \pi} (|V|-1)! (p_{|V|}(x_1 + q, \dots, x_N + q) - p_{|V|}(x_1, \dots, x_N)), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where  $p_k(x_1, \dots, x_N) := x_1^k + \dots + x_N^k$  and  $P(k)$  denotes the set of partitions of  $\{1, \dots, k\}$ .

**Proof** By relation (6) we have that

$$m_k^{(q)}(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \sum_{l=1}^N \frac{(-q)^{l-1}}{l!} \sum_{\substack{i(1), \dots, i(l)=1 \\ i(\alpha) \neq i(\beta) \text{ for } \alpha \neq \beta}}^N h_{k-l+1}(x_{i(1)}, \dots, x_{i(l)}),$$

where  $h_k$  denotes the complete homogeneous symmetric polynomial of degree  $k$ . This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_k^{(q)}(x_1, \dots, x_N) z^{k+1} &= \sum_{l=1}^N \frac{(-q)^{l-1} z^l}{l!} \sum_{\substack{i(1), \dots, i(l)=1 \\ i(\alpha) \neq i(\beta) \text{ for } \alpha \neq \beta}}^N \sum_{k=l-1}^{\infty} h_{k-l+1}(x_{i(1)}, \dots, x_{i(l)}) z^{k-l+1} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^N \frac{(-q)^{l-1} z^l}{l!} \sum_{\substack{i(1), \dots, i(l)=1 \\ i(\alpha) \neq i(\beta) \text{ for } \alpha \neq \beta}}^N \prod_{j=1}^l \frac{1}{1 - x_{i(j)} z} \\ &= - \sum_{l=1}^N \frac{q^{l-1} z^l}{l!} \frac{(\partial_{x_1} + \dots + \partial_{x_N})^l \prod_{i=1}^N (1 - x_i z)}{\prod_{i=1}^N (1 - x_i z)} \\ &= - \left( \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{1 - x_i z} \right) \sum_{l=1}^N \frac{q^{l-1}}{l!} \frac{d^l}{dt^l} f(z, x_1 + t, \dots, x_N + t) \Big|_{t=0}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $f(z, x_1, \dots, x_N) := \prod_{i=1}^N (1 - x_i z)$ . Thus  $f(z, x_1 + t, \dots, x_N + t)$  is a polynomial of  $t$  of degree  $N$  and by Taylor's theorem we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_k^{(q)}(x_1, \dots, x_N) z^{k+1} &= \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{q} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{1 - (x_i + q)z}{1 - x_i z} \\ &= \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{q} \exp \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_k(x_1, \dots, x_N) - p_k(x_1 + q, \dots, x_N + q)}{k} z^k \right). \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating the above relation  $k + 1$  times and setting  $z = 0$ , we get relation (7).  $\square$

**Remark 1** It seems that the polynomials  $m_k^{(q)}(x_1, \dots, x_N)$  are very natural functions from the side of symmetric polynomials. In the theory of symmetric functions (see e.g. [40]) the super-symmetric complete homogeneous polynomials  $h_k(x_1, \dots, x_N; y_1, \dots, y_N)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , are symmetric in  $(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ ,  $(y_1, \dots, y_N)$  separately and such that the super-symmetric power sums

$$p_k(x_1, \dots, x_N; y_1, \dots, y_N) = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^k - \sum_{i=1}^N (-y_i)^k,$$

can be expressed in terms of these in the usual way

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k z^k = \exp \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_k}{k} z^k \right).$$

The formula that we proved in the above proposition for the generating function of the symmetric polynomials

$$h_k^{(+q)}(x_1, \dots, x_N) := \begin{cases} -q m_{k-1}^{(q)}(x_1, \dots, x_N) & \text{for } k \geq 1 \\ 1 & \text{for } k = 0, \end{cases}$$

implies that  $h_k^{(+q)}(x_1, \dots, x_N)$  is equal to the super-symmetric complete homogeneous polynomial of order  $k$ , in two sets of variables  $(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ ,  $(y_1, \dots, y_N)$ , if we consider  $y_i = -(x_i + q)$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, N$ .

There is a straightforward procedure in the literature that allows the passage from Schur generating functions to  $q$ -deformed Perelomov-Popov measures. This can be done by applying certain differential operators on the Schur generating functions. Such operators were firstly introduced in [10] for the cases  $q = 0, 1$  where they realized that the  $k$ -th moments of the corresponding  $q$ -deformed Perelomov-Popov are eigenvalues of the character of the irreducible representation of  $U(N)$ , of the corresponding signature.

We now introduce a differential operator that depends on  $q \in [-1, 1]$  and acts on functions  $f$  of  $N$  variables that are analytic:

$$\mathcal{D}_k^{U(N),q}(f)(u_1, \dots, u_N) := \left( \prod_{i < j} \frac{1}{u_i - u_j} \right) \sum_{i=1}^N u_i^{1-q} \partial_i \left[ (u_i \partial_i)^{k-1} \left( \prod_{i < j} (u_i - u_j) f(u_1, \dots, u_N) \right) \right].$$

To make our notation clear,  $\partial_i$  denotes the partial derivative with respect to the variable  $u_i$  and  $(u_i \partial_i) f(u_1, \dots, u_N) := u_i \partial_i f(u_1, \dots, u_N)$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, N$ .

**Proposition 3** For every  $\lambda(N) = (\lambda_1(N) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_N(N)) \in \hat{U}(N)$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\frac{1}{\chi^{\lambda(N)}(1^N)} \mathcal{D}_k^{U(N),q} \chi^{\lambda(N)}(u_1, \dots, u_N) \Big|_{u_1 = \dots = u_N = 1} = N^{k+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^k m_{N,PP(q)}[\lambda(N)](dt). \quad (8)$$

**Proof** Using the Leibniz formula for the determinant, we immediately get that

$$\mathcal{D}_k^{U(N),q} \chi^{\lambda(N)}(u_1, \dots, u_N) = \sum_{i=1}^N (\lambda_i(N) + N - i)^k \chi^{\lambda_i^{(-q)}(N)}(u_1, \dots, u_N),$$

where  $\lambda_i^{(-q)}(N) := (\lambda_1(N), \dots, \lambda_{i-1}(N), \lambda_i(N) - q, \lambda_{i+1}(N), \dots, \lambda_N(N))$ . We will denote the  $j$ -th coordinate of  $\lambda_i^{(-q)}(N)$  by  $\lambda_{i,j}^{(-q)}(N)$ . Of course  $\lambda_i^{(-q)}(N)$  is not a signature, but an  $N$ -tuple of reals which are decreasing for  $q \in (-1, 1)$  but not necessarily for  $q = \pm 1$ . For the signature  $\lambda(N)$ , the Weyl's dimension formula implies that

$$\chi^{\lambda(N)}(1^N) = \prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq N} \frac{(\lambda_\alpha(N) - \alpha) - (\lambda_\beta(N) - \beta)}{\beta - \alpha}.$$

The value of  $\chi^{\lambda_i^{(-q)}(N)}$  at  $1^N$  can be computed evaluating the multivariate Bessel function

$$B_{\lambda_i^{(-q)}(N)}(u_1, \dots, u_N) := \frac{\det(e^{u_\alpha (\lambda_{i,\beta}^{(-q)}(N) + N - \beta)})_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq N}}{\prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq N} (u_\alpha - u_\beta)},$$

at  $0^N$ . The Harish-Chandra integral formula (see in [29]) implies that this value is equal to

$$\prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq N} \frac{(\lambda_{i,\alpha}^{(-q)}(N) - \alpha) - (\lambda_{i,\beta}^{(-q)}(N) - \beta)}{\beta - \alpha}.$$

This proves the claim. □

## 2.2 Asymptotic behavior and moments

Now, we focus on the asymptotic study of the random measure  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\lambda(N)]$  where  $\lambda(N) \in \hat{U}(N)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  are random signatures such that the Schur generating functions that corresponds to the distribution of  $\lambda(N)$ , satisfy certain asymptotics as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Our goal is to extract a Law of Large numbers for  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\lambda(N)]$ .

In Proposition 3 we described the procedure that gives us the moments of the  $q$ -deformed Perelomov-Popov measures. The control of these moments as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  relies on the way that the differential operator  $\mathcal{D}_k^{U(N),q}$  acts on analytic functions of  $N$  variables. This action can be described explicitly when our analytic function is symmetric. Of course this is a property that the Schur generating function satisfies.



**Theorem 3** Let  $\rho(N), N \in \mathbb{N}$ , be a sequence of probability measures on  $\hat{U}(N)$ . Moreover we assume that there exists a function  $\Psi$ , analytic in a neighborhood of 1 such that for every  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  fixed,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log S_{\rho(N)}(u_1, \dots, u_r, 1^{N-r}) = \sum_{i=1}^r \Psi(u_i), \quad (9)$$

where the convergence is uniform in a neighborhood of  $1^r$ . Then the random measure  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho(N)]$  converges as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  in probability, in the sense of moments to a deterministic measure  $\mu^{(q)}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , with moments  $(\mu_k^{(q)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  given by

$$\mu_k^{(q)} = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} \frac{1}{(m+1)!} \frac{d^m}{du^m} (u^{k-q} (\Psi'(u))^{k-m}) \Big|_{u=1}. \quad (10)$$

**Proof** First, we show convergence in expectation, i.e. for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\lambda(N)} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^k m_{N,PP(q)}[\lambda(N)](dt) \right] = \mu_k^{(q)}. \quad (11)$$

For this purpose we will show that there is an expansion of the form  $N^{k+1} M_{0,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi) + N^k M_{1,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi) + \dots + M_{k+1,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi)$  for  $\mathcal{D}_k^{U(N),q} S_{\rho(N)} \Big|_{u_1=\dots=u_N=1}$ , where  $(M_{i,k,N}(\Psi))_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  converge and  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} M_{0,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi) = \mu_k^{(q)}$ . For the cases  $q = 0, 1$ , it was studied extensively in [10], [14], how the assumption (9) leads to such an expansion and which are the limits of the corresponding sequences. For the general case  $q \in [-1, 1]$ , similar arguments hold. Below we briefly describe this procedure and how the general  $q \in [-1, 1]$  affects the limit of the coefficient of  $N^{k+1}$ . For more details we refer to the above papers.

By definition, the function  $\mathcal{D}_k^{U(N),q} S_{\rho(N)}(u_1, \dots, u_N)$  can be written as a linear combination of terms

$$\left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1}{u_i - u_j} \right) \sum_{i=1}^N u_i^{n-q} \partial_i^n \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (u_i - u_j) S_{\rho(N)}(u_1, \dots, u_N) \right), \quad (12)$$

for  $n = 1, \dots, k$ . The leading term of the expansion  $N^{k+1} M_{0,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi)$  emerges from the term (12) for  $n = k$ , which has coefficient 1. From Lemma 1 of [14], it emerges that this is equal to

$$\sum_{m=0}^k \sum_{\substack{l_0, \dots, l_m=1 \\ l_i \neq l_j \text{ for } i \neq j}}^N \binom{k}{m} \frac{u_{l_0}^{k-q} \partial_{l_0}^{k-m} S_{\rho(N)}(u_1, \dots, u_N)}{(u_{l_0} - u_{l_1}) \dots (u_{l_0} - u_{l_m})}. \quad (13)$$

Assumption (9) indicates to write  $S_{\rho(N)} = \exp(N \cdot \frac{1}{N} \log S_{\rho(N)})$  before we differentiate, since we want to study (13) as  $u_1 = \dots = u_N = 1$  and  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Doing this we have that (13) is a linear combination of terms

$$\frac{u_{b_0}^{k-q} \left( \partial_{b_0} \left( \frac{1}{N} \log S_{\rho(N)} \right) \right)^{l_1} \dots \left( \partial_{b_0}^{k-m} \left( \frac{1}{N} \log S_{\rho(N)} \right) \right)^{l_{k-m}}}{(u_{b_0} - u_{b_1}) \dots (u_{b_0} - u_{b_m})} + \dots + \frac{u_{b_m}^{k-q} \left( \partial_{b_m} \left( \frac{1}{N} \log S_{\rho(N)} \right) \right)^{l_1} \dots \left( \partial_{b_m}^{k-m} \left( \frac{1}{N} \log S_{\rho(N)} \right) \right)^{l_{k-m}}}{(u_{b_m} - u_{b_0}) \dots (u_{b_m} - u_{b_{m-1}})}, \quad (14)$$

where  $l_1 + 2l_2 + \dots + (k-m)l_{k-m} = k-m$  and  $b_0, \dots, b_m \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  are distinct. For  $u_1 = \dots = u_N = 1$ , we have that (14) converges (see e.g. Theorem 8 of [14]), the limit does not depend on  $b_0, \dots, b_m$  and due to (9) the limit will converge as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . For these reasons we can get the desirable expansion for  $\mathcal{D}_k^{U(N),q} S_{\rho(N)} \Big|_{u_1=\dots=u_N=1}$ . The leading term emerges from the terms (14) for  $l_1 = k-m, l_2 = \dots = l_{k-m} = 0$  and it is equal to

$$M_{0,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi) = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} \frac{1}{(m+1)!} \partial_1^m \left( u_1^{k-q} \left( \partial_1 \left( \frac{1}{N} \log S_{\rho(N)} \right) \right)^{k-m} \right) (1^N) + o(1).$$

Thus the relation (11) holds.

In order to show convergence in probability note that by Proposition 2 all the moments of  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho(N)]$  converge in probability if all the moments of  $m_{N,PP(0)}[\rho(N)]$  converge in probability as well. The convergence in probability for the moments of  $m_{N,PP(0)}[\rho(N)]$  was proved in [10] where basically they proved the more general result

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\lambda(N)} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \frac{\lambda_i(N) + N - i}{N} \right)^k \right)^l \right] = (\mu_k^{(0)})^l \quad \text{for every } k, l \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (15)$$

applying recursively the differential operator  $\mathcal{D}_k^{U(N),0}$  on  $S_{\rho(N)}$ . Therefore (15) implies that the limit in probability of the  $k$ -th moment of  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho(N)]$  is equal to the limit in expectation.  $\square$

### 2.3 Asymptotic behavior and $R$ -transform

In Theorem 3 we showed how from a certain asymptotic behavior for the logarithm of the Schur generating functions of  $(\rho(N))_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ , we can extract a Law of Large Numbers for  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho(N)]$ . It is clear that in this case, the moments of the limiting measure are uniquely determined by the function  $\Psi$  of (9), however from the formula (10) for the moments, it is difficult to recognize in which way  $\Psi$  characterizes the limiting measure. For the cases  $q = 0, 1$  this problem have been solved in [10]. In these cases the function  $\Psi$  is essential in order to describe the  $R$ -transforms of the corresponding limiting measures.

Let  $R^{(0)}$  and  $R^{(1)}$  be the  $R$ -transforms of the limiting measures  $\mu^{(0)}, \mu^{(1)}$  of Theorem 3. Then it is known (see in [10]) that these functions are given by

$$R^{(0)}(z) = e^z \Psi'(e^z) + \frac{e^z}{e^z - 1} - \frac{1}{z} \quad \text{and} \quad R^{(1)}(z) = \frac{1}{1-z} \Psi' \left( \frac{1}{1-z} \right), \quad (16)$$

for  $|z|$  small enough. These relations clarify completely the kind of dependence of the limiting measures from the function  $\Psi$ . Also, the formula for  $R^{(0)}$  motivates the definition of the quantized free convolution. Our goal is to investigate how for general  $q \in [-1, 1]$ , the  $R$ -transform  $R^{(q)}$  of  $\mu^{(q)}$  can be expressed in terms of  $\Psi$ .

Looking at the formula for the moments  $\mu_k^{(q)}$ ,  $q \in [-1, 1]$ , and using the moment-cumulant relations (5) in order to compute the free cumulants  $\kappa_n(\mu^{(q)})$ , for  $n$  small, it seems that for the family of  $q$ -deformed Perelomov-Popov measures we have the phenomenon that  $\kappa_n(\mu^{(q)})$  is a sum of two terms where the first summand is linear on  $\Psi$  and the second summand does not depend on  $\Psi$ . For example

$$\kappa_1(\mu^{(q)}) = \Psi'(1) + \frac{1-q}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_2(\mu^{(q)}) = \Psi''(1) + \Psi'(1) + \frac{1-q^2}{12}.$$

Consequently, the same thing holds for  $R^{(q)}$ . The formulas in (16) for  $q = 0, 1$  makes us to expect that the summand of  $R^{(q)}(z)$  that depends on  $\Psi$  will have the form  $e_q(z) \Psi'(e_q(z))$  where  $e_q(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n^{(q)}} z^n$  in a neighborhood of 0 and  $(n^{(q)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of reals. Of course  $n^{(0)} = n!$ ,  $n^{(1)} = 1$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for  $q \in [-1, 1]$  taking into account the formula (10) for  $\mu_k^{(q)}$ , one should expect that

$$n^{(q)} = \frac{n!}{((n-1)q+1)((n-2)q+1) \dots (q+1)} \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } e_q(z) = (1-qz)^{-\frac{1}{q}}.$$

In order to determine the summand of  $R^{(q)}(z)$  that does not depend on  $\Psi$ , we just have to compute the  $R$ -transform of  $\mu^{(q)}$  for  $\Psi \equiv 0$ . In that case  $\mu_k^{(q)} = \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \prod_{i=1}^k (i-q)$ , which is the  $k$ -th moment of  $\beta(1-q, 1+q)$ . Thus, the above observations make us believe that

$$R^{(q)}(z) = e_q(z) \Psi'(e_q(z)) + R_{\beta(1-q, 1+q)}(z) = e_q(z) \Psi'(e_q(z)) + \frac{e_q(z)}{e_q(z) - 1} - \frac{1}{z}, \quad (17)$$

for  $|z|$  small enough. In this subsection we will prove this claim.

We will use a different approach in order to show convergence of  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho(N)]$ , as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Although our strategy remains the same, we have to modify the differential operator  $\mathcal{D}_k^{U(N),q}$  and to replace the Schur generating functions by another sequence of symmetric functions of  $N$  variables, analytic in a neighborhood of  $0^N$ . We will rely on the fact that we can immediately extract the  $R$ -transform of a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  when its moments are given by certain formulas (see Lemma 4 of [14]). The same strategy was followed in [14] in order to give an alternate proof for the relation in (16) concerning  $R^{(0)}$ .

**Definition 2** Let  $\rho(N)$  be a sequence of probability measures on  $\hat{U}(N)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $q \in [-1, 1]$ , we define the  $q$ -deformed Schur generating functions with respect to  $\rho(N)$ :

$$T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)}(u_1, \dots, u_N) := \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{e_q(u_i) - e_q(u_j)}{u_i - u_j} \right) S_{\rho(N)}(e_q(u_1), \dots, e_q(u_N)).$$

Of course,  $T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)}$  is a symmetric function, well defined in a neighborhood of  $0^N$ . Assuming that (9) holds, this determines the asymptotics of the normalized logarithm of  $T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)}$ . The next step is to introduce the differential operator that we will apply to  $T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)}$ : For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and an analytic function  $f$  of  $N$  variables, define

$$\mathfrak{D}_k^{U(N),q}(f)(u_1, \dots, u_N) := \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1}{u_i - u_j} \right) \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - qu_i)^{k+1} \partial_i^k \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (u_i - u_j) f(u_1, \dots, u_N) \right).$$

**Proposition 4** For every  $\lambda(N) = (\lambda_1(N) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_N(N)) \in \hat{U}(N)$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\chi^{\lambda(N)}(1^N)} \mathfrak{D}_k^{U(N),q} \left( \frac{\det(e_q(u_i)^{\lambda_j(N)+N-j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (u_i - u_j)} \right) \Big|_{u_1 = \dots = u_N = 0} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\lambda_i(N) - i) - (\lambda_j(N) - j) - q}{(\lambda_i(N) - i) - (\lambda_j(N) - j)} \right) \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (\lambda_i(N) + N - i + jq). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

**Proof** Same argument as in Proposition 3. □

**Remark 2** Note that the operator  $\mathfrak{D}_k^{U(N),0}$  is significantly simpler from the operators  $\mathfrak{D}_k^{U(N),q}$ ,  $q \neq 0$ . This operator is crucial for the study of the empirical spectral distribution of large unitarily invariant random matrices. In this context, it was studied in [14], [26]. One of its important properties is that for an arbitrary sequence  $f_N$  of analytic and symmetric functions of  $N$  variables such that for every  $k$  finite  $((u_1, \dots, u_k) \mapsto \frac{1}{N} \log f_N(u_1, \dots, u_k, 0^{N-k}))_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges uniformly in an additive symmetric function in a neighborhood of  $0^k$ , we can determine explicitly the non-crossing partition expansion of  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-k-1} \mathfrak{D}_k^{U(N),0}(f_N)|_{u_1 = \dots = u_N = 0}$ . This means that we can determine the unique sequence  $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of reals for which we have that for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the above limit is equal to  $\sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}(k)} \prod_{V \in \pi} \alpha_{|V|}$ . That's the way that it was proved in [14] the formula of relation (16) for the  $R$ -transform of the limiting measure  $\mu^{(q)}$ , of Theorem 3, for  $q = 0$ . For the case  $q \neq 0$  the determination of the  $R$ -transform is a slightly more complicated process.

**Theorem 4** Let  $\rho(N)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , be a sequence of probability measures on  $\hat{U}(N)$ . Moreover we assume that there exists a function  $\Psi$ , analytic in a neighborhood of 1 such that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log S_{\rho(N)}(u_1, \dots, u_r, 1^{N-r}) = \sum_{i=1}^r \Psi(u_i), \quad (19)$$

where the convergence is uniform in a neighborhood of  $1^r$ . Then for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the  $k$ -th moment of  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho(N)]$  converges as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  in probability to the sum

$$\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{k!}{m!(m+1)!(k-m)!} \frac{d^m}{du^m} \left( e_q(u) \Psi'(e_q(u)) + \frac{e_q(u)}{e_q(u) - 1} - \frac{1}{u} \right) \Big|_{u=0}. \quad (20)$$

**Proof** Taking into account Theorem 3, it suffices to show convergence of the  $k$ -th moment of  $\mathbb{E}[m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho(N)]]$  to (20). The assumption (19) implies that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)}(u_1, \dots, u_r, 0^{N-r}) = \sum_{i=1}^r \Psi(e_q(u_i)) + \log \left( \frac{e_q(u_i) - 1}{u_i} \right), \quad (21)$$

uniformly in a neighborhood of  $0^r$ . The strategy of our proof is the same as in Theorem 3, namely we will apply the differential operator  $\mathfrak{D}_k^{U(N),q}$  to  $T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)}$  and set  $u_1 = \dots = u_N = 0$  in order to get an expansion of the form  $N^{k+1}M_{0,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi) + N^k M_{1,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi) + \dots + M_{k+1,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi)$ , for

$$\mathbb{E}_{\lambda(N)} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\lambda_i(N) - i) - (\lambda_j(N) - j) - q}{(\lambda_i(N) - i) - (\lambda_j(N) - j)} \right) \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (\lambda_i(N) + N - i + jq) \right]. \quad (22)$$

The sequences  $(M_{i,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi))_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  will converge. Also since we have already proved that (19) implies convergence for the moments of  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho(N)]$ , a suitable expansion for (22) suffices in order to prove the claim.

Using the same arguments as in Lemma 1 of [14] we have that

$$\mathfrak{D}_k^{U(N),q}(T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)})(u_1, \dots, u_N) = \sum_{m=0}^k \sum_{\substack{l_0, \dots, l_m=1 \\ l_i \neq l_j \text{ for } i \neq j}}^N \binom{k}{m} \frac{(1 - qu_{l_0})^{k+1} \partial_{l_0}^{k-m} T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)}(u_1, \dots, u_N)}{(u_{l_0} - u_{l_1}) \dots (u_{l_0} - u_{l_m})}, \quad (23)$$

and we write  $T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)} = \exp \left( N \cdot \frac{1}{N} \log T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)} \right)$  in order to get the desirable expansion. Then, the reasons that lead to the expansion that we claimed are the same as in Theorem 3 and they are explained in detail in Theorem 8 of [14]. Since at this moment we are interested in  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} M_{0,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi)$ , we concentrate on how from equality (23) we can compute  $M_{0,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi)$ .

Applying the chain rule for the derivatives  $\partial_{l_0}^{k-m} \exp \left( N \cdot \frac{1}{N} \log T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)} \right)$ , the only summands that will contribute to  $N^{k+1}M_{0,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi)$  are  $N^{k-m} T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)} \left( \partial_{l_0} \left( \frac{1}{N} \log T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)} \right) \right)^{k-m}$ . This is the case because the summands

$$\begin{aligned} & \binom{k}{m} N^{k-m} \frac{(1 - qu_{b_0})^{k+1} \left( \partial_{b_0} \left( \frac{1}{N} \log T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)} \right) \right)^{k-m}}{(u_{b_0} - u_{b_1}) \dots (u_{b_0} - u_{b_m})} + \\ & \dots + \binom{k}{m} N^{k-m} \frac{(1 - qu_{b_m})^{k+1} \left( \partial_{b_m} \left( \frac{1}{N} \log T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)} \right) \right)^{k-m}}{(u_{b_m} - u_{b_0}) \dots (u_{b_m} - u_{b_{m-1}})} \Bigg|_{u_1 = \dots = u_N = 0}, \quad (24) \end{aligned}$$

of  $\mathfrak{D}_k^{U(N),q} T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)}|_{u_1 = \dots = u_N = 0}$ , do not depend on  $b_0, \dots, b_m \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ . The explicit computation of (24) is a far complicated procedure, however due to (21) we are interested in its summands that include only derivatives where we differentiate with respect to only one variable. These can be clarified easily due to the results of [10], [14].

Summarizing, by the above we have that

$$M_{0,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi) = \sum_{m=0}^k \frac{k!}{m!(m+1)!(k-m)!} \partial_1^m \left( (1 - qu_1)^{k+1} \left( \partial_1 \left( \frac{1}{N} \log T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)} \right) \right)^{k-m} \right) (0^N) + o(1),$$

and since  $e'_q(u) = e_q^{q+1}(u)$ , this converges to

$$\sum_{m=0}^k \frac{k!}{m!(m+1)!(k-m)!} \frac{d^m}{du^m} \left( \frac{1}{e_q^{(m+1)q}(u)} \left( e_q(u) \Psi'(e_q(u)) + \frac{e_q(u)}{e_q(u) - 1} - \frac{1}{u} + q \right)^{k-m} \right) \Bigg|_{u=0}. \quad (25)$$

In order to make it clear why (25) is equal to (20) we consider

$$F_q(u) := e_q(u)\Psi'(e_q(u)) + \frac{e_q(u)}{e_q(u) - 1} - \frac{1}{u}.$$

Then, the  $m$ -th order derivative in (25) is equal to

$$\sum_{n=0}^{k-m} \sum_{l=0}^m \binom{k-m}{n} \binom{m}{l} \frac{(m+1)!}{(l+1)!} q^n (-q)^{m-l} \frac{d^l}{du^l} F_q^{k-m-n}(u) \Big|_{u=0}.$$

As a corollary, (25) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l=0}^k \sum_{n=0}^{k-l} \sum_{m=l}^{k-n} \frac{k!}{l!(l+1)!(k-l)!} \binom{k-l}{n} \binom{k-l-n}{m-l} q^n (-q)^{m-l} \frac{d^l}{du^l} F_q^{k-m-n}(u) \Big|_{u=0} \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^k \sum_{n=0}^{k-l} \frac{k!}{l!(l+1)!(k-l)!} \binom{k-l}{n} q^n \frac{d^l}{du^l} (F_q(u) - q)^{k-l-n} \Big|_{u=0} \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^k \frac{k!}{l!(l+1)!(k-l)!} \frac{d^l}{du^l} F_q^{k-l}(u) \Big|_{u=0}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark 3** Comparing Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 we see that if the relation (19) is satisfied for a sequence  $\rho(N)$  of probability measures on  $\hat{U}(N)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , then (20) must be equal to (10). The significance of formula (20) for the moments is that it immediately gives us the  $R$ -transform of the limiting measure  $\mu^{(q)}$ . That's the upshot of Lemma 4 of [14], from which we deduce that our claim for the  $R$ -transform  $R^{(q)}$  holds, i.e. it is given by formula (17).

**Remark 4** In the framework of Theorem 4, obviously the 1-deformed Perelomov-Popov measure joins the regime of free probability, in the sense that  $R^{(1)}$  is linear with respect to  $\Psi$ . Under slight modifications the same holds true for the second boundary case  $q = -1$ . More precisely for the shifted by 1 Perelomov-Popov measure

$$m_{N,PP(-1)}^{(-1)}[\rho(N)] := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\lambda_i(N) - i) - (\lambda_j(N) - j) + 1}{(\lambda_i(N) - i) - (\lambda_j(N) - j)} \right) \delta \left( \frac{\lambda_i(N) - i}{N} \right),$$

where  $\lambda(N) \in \hat{U}(N)$  is random with distribution  $\rho(N)$ , Theorem 4 implies that it converges to a probability measure with  $R$ -transform  $z \mapsto (z+1)\Psi'(z+1)$ .

## 2.4 Extreme Characters and $q$ -deformed Perelomov-Popov measures

In this subsection we recall some connections between Schur generating functions and extreme characters of the infinite unitary group. We recall that for  $q = -1, 1$ , the  $q$ -deformed quantized free convolution is the classical free convolution, which is related to random matrices. For these particular cases, classical probability distributions strongly related to random matrices, are limits of  $q$ -deformed Perelomov-Popov measures, connected to extreme characters of  $U(\infty)$ .

We denote by  $U(\infty)$  the group of infinite unitary matrices  $U = (U_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  with finitely many entries  $U_{i,j}$  different from  $\delta_{i,j}$ . An important class of functions on  $U(\infty)$  are characters, which are central normalized positive-definite functions on  $U(\infty)$  that are in one-to-one correspondence with the spherical unitary representations, see e.g. in [36], [37]. Given a character  $\chi : U(\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , there is a sequence of probability measures  $\rho(N)$  on  $\hat{U}(N)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\chi(\text{diag}(u_1, \dots, u_N, 1, 1, \dots)) = S_{\rho(N)}(u_1, \dots, u_N)$ .

A special role from the side of representation theory play the extreme points of the convex set of characters of  $U(\infty)$ , which are known as extreme characters. The classification of extreme characters emerges from the Edrei-Voiculescu theorem (see e.g. [19], [41]). According to this theorem, an arbitrary extreme character  $\chi : U(\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  has a multiplicative form, i.e.

$$\chi(U) = \prod_{u \in \text{Spectrum}(U)} \Phi_\omega(u), \quad \text{for every } U \in U(\infty), \quad (26)$$

where  $\Phi_\omega : \{u \in \mathbb{C} : |u| = 1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a complex function such that  $\Phi_\omega(1) = 1$ . To be more precise, the function  $\Phi_\omega$  is parametrized by a family of variables  $\omega = (\{\alpha_i^+\}_{i \geq 0}, \{\alpha_i^-\}_{i \geq 0}, \{\beta_i^+\}_{i \geq 0}, \{\beta_i^-\}_{i \geq 0}, \delta^+, \delta^-)$  where all the sequences in  $\omega$  are non-increasing sequences of non-negative reals and  $\delta^+, \delta^- \geq 0$ . Moreover we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_i^\pm + \beta_i^\pm) \leq \delta^\pm \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_1^+ + \beta_1^- \leq 1.$$

For such an  $\omega$ , the function  $\Phi_\omega$  is given by

$$\Phi_\omega(u) = \exp(\gamma^+(u-1) + \gamma^-(u^{-1}-1)) \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \beta_i^+(u-1))(1 + \beta_i^-(u^{-1}-1))}{(1 + \alpha_i^+(u-1))(1 - \alpha_i^-(u^{-1}-1))},$$

where  $\gamma^\pm := \delta^\pm - \sum_{i \geq 0} (\alpha_i^\pm + \beta_i^\pm) \geq 0$ . The function  $\Phi_\omega$  is known as Voiculescu function with parameter  $\omega$ .

As a corollary of relation (26), the logarithm of Schur generating functions of probability measures on  $\hat{U}(N)$  that correspond to extreme characters, is an additive function for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and not just asymptotically. Therefore, Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 can be applied in order to determine the limiting measures of  $q$ -deformed Perelomov-Popov measures of signatures that are distributed according to the above probability measures on  $\hat{U}(N)$ . For example, let  $\chi_N^\gamma : U(\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the extreme characters that correspond to the Voiculescu functions  $\Phi_N^\gamma(u) = \exp(N\gamma(u-1))$ . Then we can consider the probability measures  $\rho^\gamma(N)$  on  $\hat{U}(N)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , that satisfy the relation

$$S_{\rho^\gamma(N)}(u_1, \dots, u_N) = \chi_N^\gamma(\text{diag}(u_1, \dots, u_N, 1, 1, \dots)) = \prod_{i=1}^N \Phi_N^\gamma(u_i), \quad (27)$$

for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $u_1, \dots, u_N \in \{u \in \mathbb{C} : |u| = 1\}$ . For  $q = 0$ , it was proved in [6], [8] that the probability density function of the corresponding limiting measure of Theorem 3, is given by

$$f_\gamma(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} 1_{[\gamma+1-2\sqrt{\gamma}, \gamma+1+2\sqrt{\gamma}]}(x) \arccos\left(\frac{x-1+\gamma}{2\sqrt{\gamma x}}\right),$$

for  $\gamma > 1$  and

$$f_\gamma(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} 1_{[\gamma+1-2\sqrt{\gamma}, \gamma+1+2\sqrt{\gamma}]}(x) \arccos\left(\frac{x-1+\gamma}{2\sqrt{\gamma x}}\right) + 1_{[0, \gamma+1-2\sqrt{\gamma}]}(x),$$

for  $\gamma < 1$ . This probability distribution is known as the one-sided Plancherel distribution.

For the cases  $q = -1, 1$ , the (shifted) Perelomov-Popov measures that correspond to certain extreme characters, converge to celebrated probability distributions such as the semicircle distribution or the Marchenko-Pastur distribution. For example the 1-deformed Perelomov-Popov measure of signatures that are distributed according to the probability measures described in (27), converges to the probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ , with  $R$ -transform  $R_\gamma(z) = \frac{\gamma}{1-z}$ . This is the Marchenko-Pastur distribution (or free Poisson distribution) with rate  $\gamma$  (see in [35]). Analogously, for a sequence of random signatures  $(\lambda_1(N) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_N(N)) \in \hat{U}(N)$  distributed according to the measure  $\rho^\gamma(N)$  of (27), the random measure

$$m_{N,PP(-1)}^{(-\gamma-1)}[\rho^\gamma(N)] := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\lambda_i(N) - i) - (\lambda_j(N) - j) + 1}{(\lambda_i(N) - i) - (\lambda_j(N) - j)} \right) \delta\left(\frac{\lambda_i(N) - \gamma N - i}{N}\right), \quad (28)$$

converges to the semicircle (or free Gaussian) distribution of variance  $\gamma$ ,

$$\mu_{sc}^\gamma(dx) = \frac{1}{2\pi\gamma} 1_{[-2\sqrt{\gamma}, 2\sqrt{\gamma}]}(x) \sqrt{4\gamma - x^2} dx.$$

The Marcheno-Pastur and the semicircle distribution appear in random matrix theory as limits of the empirical spectral distribution of Wishart and Gaussian matrices respectively (see in [34]).

Alternatively, from the extreme characters with Voiculescu functions  $\Psi_N^\gamma(u) = \exp(N\gamma(u^{-1} - 1))$ , we can get probability measures  $\rho^\gamma(N)$  on  $\hat{U}(N)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $S_{\rho^\gamma(N)}(u_1, \dots, u_N) = \prod_{i=1}^N \Psi_N^\gamma(u_i)$ . From Theorem 4, we have that for a sequence of random signatures  $(\lambda_1(N) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_N(N)) \in \hat{U}(N)$  distributed according to the measure  $\rho^\gamma(N)$ , the random measure

$$m_{N,PP(1)}^{(+\gamma)}[\rho^\gamma(N)] := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\lambda_i(N) - i) - (\lambda_j(N) - j) - 1}{(\lambda_i(N) - i) - (\lambda_j(N) - j)} \right) \delta \left( \frac{\lambda_i(N) + (\gamma + 1)N - i}{N} \right), \quad (29)$$

converges to  $\mu_{sc}^\gamma$ . The fact that the limit of (28) is equal to the limit of (29) should not be a surprise. More generally, let  $X$  be a random variable such that its moments are given by (20) and  $Y$  be another random variable such that its moments are also given by (20) if we replace  $q$  with  $-q$  and the function  $\Psi$  with  $u \mapsto \Psi(u^{-1})$ . Then we have that  $\mathbb{E}[X^k] = \mathbb{E}[(1 - Y)^k]$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In Example 1, we compute the probability density function of the limiting measure  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho^\gamma(N)]$ , for every  $q \in [-1, 1]$ . This gives an interpolation between the probability distributions mentioned before.

### 3 Perelomov-Popov measures and infinitesimal free probability

In section 2 we showed how from a particular limit regime for the Schur generating function we can deduce convergence of the  $q$ -deformed Perelomov-Popov measures and analyze the limit from the side of free probability. In this section we consider a different limit regime which includes the framework of Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 but allows us to go a step further and compute the correction to  $\mu^{(q)}$ . More specifically, in the following we examine the asymptotic additivity not only for the normalized logarithm of the Schur generating function but also for the logarithm itself. Through this limit regime we can study the signed measure  $N \left( m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho(N)] - \mu^{(q)} \right)$ , as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . For  $q=0$  the results of this section have already been presented in [14].

#### 3.1 Asymptotic behavior and infinitesimal moments

In Theorem 3 we justified how from the assumption (9) it emerges an asymptotic expansion for the moments of  $\mathbb{E}[m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho(N)]]$  and we clarified explicitly the leading term of this expansion (i.e. the sequence  $(M_{0,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi))_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  according to the notation in the proof of Theorem 3) and its limit. Now we focus on the second term of this expansion (namely the sequence  $(M_{1,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi))_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ ). Although the limit regime (9) gives rise to such a term, it is reasonable to consider a more general limit regime that leads to a more general formula. This will have the advantage that it will allow us to compute the moments of  $N \left( \mathbb{E}[m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho(N)]] - \mu^{(q)} \right)$ , as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . In order to be done, by our analysis in the proof of Theorem 3 it is clear that the existence of the limits,  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left( \partial_1^l (\log S_{\rho(N)})(1^N) - N \Psi^{(l)}(1) \right)$ ,  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \partial_{i_1}^{l_1} \dots \partial_{i_s}^{l_s} (\log S_{\rho(N)})(1^N)$  is essential. The limit regime of the following theorem provides the simplest way to guarantee it.

**Theorem 5** *Let  $\rho(N), N \in \mathbb{N}$ , be a sequence of probability measures on  $\hat{U}(N)$ . Moreover we assume that there exist functions  $\Psi, \Phi$  analytic in a neighborhood of 1 such that for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  fixed,*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N \left( \frac{1}{N} \log S_{\rho(N)}(u_1, \dots, u_k, 1^{N-k}) - \sum_{i=1}^k \Psi(u_i) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^k \Phi(u_i), \quad (30)$$

where the convergence is uniform in a neighborhood of  $1^k$ . Then for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N \left( \mathbb{E}_{\lambda(N)} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^k m_{N,PP(q)}[\lambda(N)](dt) \right] - \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} \frac{1}{(m+1)!} \frac{d^m}{du^m} (u^{k-q} (\Psi'(u))^{k-m}) \Big|_{u=1} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{m+1} \frac{1}{m!} \frac{d^m}{du^m} \left( u^{k-q} \left( \Phi'(u) - \frac{1-q}{2u} \right) (\Psi'(u))^{k-m-1} \right) \Big|_{u=1}. \quad (31)$$

**Proof** Condition (30) implies that we have an expansion of the form  $N^{k+1}M_{0,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi) + N^k M_{1,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi) + \dots + M_{k+1,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi)$  for  $\mathcal{D}_k^{U(N),q} S_{\rho(N)} \Big|_{u_1=\dots=u_N=1}$ . This expansion is acquired in the same way as in Theorem 3. Due to (30), the leading term will contribute to (31) with  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N(M_{0,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi) - \mu_k^{(q)})$ , which is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} \frac{1}{(m+1)!} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N \left( \partial_1^m \left( u_1^{k-q} \left( \partial_1 \left( \frac{1}{N} \log S_{\rho(N)} \right) \right)^{k-m} \right) (1^N) - \frac{d^m}{du^m} (u^{k-q} (\Psi'(u))^{k-m}) \Big|_{u=1} \right) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{m+1} \frac{1}{m!} \frac{d^m}{du^m} (u^{k-q} \Phi'(u) (\Psi'(u))^{k-m-1}) \Big|_{u=1}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

In order to prove (31) it is necessary to compute  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} M_{1,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi)$ . The summand

$$\frac{k(k-1)}{2} \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1}{u_i - u_j} \right) \sum_{i=1}^N u_i^{k-1-q} \partial_i^{k-1} \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (u_i - u_j) S_{\rho(N)}(u_1, \dots, u_N) \right),$$

of  $\mathcal{D}_k^{U(N),q} S_{\rho(N)}(u_1, \dots, u_N)$  may not play any role for  $M_{0,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi)$  but it does for  $M_{1,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi)$ . Using the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3 we have that it contributes to  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} M_{1,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi)$  (or equivalently to (31)) with

$$\frac{k-1}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{m+1} \frac{1}{m!} \frac{d^m}{du^m} (u^{k-1-q} (\Psi'(u))^{k-1-m}) \Big|_{u=1}. \quad (33)$$

The remaining part that contributes to  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} M_{1,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi)$  comes from the summand of  $\mathcal{D}_k^{U(N),q} S_{\rho(N)}(u_1, \dots, u_N)$  that gives rise to  $M_{0,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi)$  (described in the proof of Theorem 3). On the one hand, taking into account that the symmetrized sums (14) for  $l_1 = k - m$ , do not depend on  $b_0, \dots, b_m \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  when  $u_1 = \dots = u_N = 1$ , we see that they contribute to  $M_{1,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi)$  with

$$- \sum_{m=1}^k \frac{k!}{2(m-1)!m!(k-m)!} \partial_1^m \left( u_1^{k-q} \left( \partial_1 \left( \frac{1}{N} \log S_{\rho(N)} \right) \right)^{k-m} \right) (1^N) + o(1),$$

that converges as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{-(k-q)}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{m+1} \frac{1}{m!} \frac{d^m}{du^m} (u^{k-q-1} (\Psi'(u))^{k-m-1}) \Big|_{u=1} \\ & - \sum_{m=0}^{k-2} \frac{k!}{2m!(m+1)!(k-m-2)!} \frac{d^m}{du^m} (u^{k-q} \Psi''(u) (\Psi'(u))^{k-m-2}) \Big|_{u=1}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

On the other hand, the symmetrized sums (14) for  $l_1 = k - m - 2$  and  $l_2 = 1$  will also contribute to  $M_{1,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi)$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Their contribution converges to

$$\sum_{m=0}^{k-2} \frac{k!}{2m!(m+1)!(k-m-2)!} \frac{d^m}{du^m} (u^{k-q} \Psi''(u) (\Psi'(u))^{k-m-2}) \Big|_{u=1}. \quad (35)$$

Note that only for these two cases symmetrized sums of the form (14) contribute to  $M_{1,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi)$ . Thus (31) is given by the sum of (32), (33), (34), (35), that proves the claim.  $\square$



**Remark 5** An interpolation between the limit regime of Theorem 3 and the limit regime of Theorem 5 can be made if we consider the case where for some  $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$ ,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^\varepsilon \left( \frac{1}{N} \log S_{\rho(N)}(u_1, \dots, u_k, 1^{N-k}) - \sum_{i=1}^k \Psi(u_i) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^k \Phi(u_i) \quad (36)$$

uniformly in a neighborhood of  $1^k$ , for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  fixed. Then for  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , only the term  $N^\varepsilon (M_{0,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi) - \mu_k^{(q)})$  will contribute to the  $k$ -th moment of  $N^\varepsilon \left( \mathbb{E}[m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho(N)]] - \mu^{(q)} \right)$ , which implies that it will converge to (32).

**Remark 6** Of course the condition (30) implies the condition (9). Thus, if (30) holds then (20) and (10) must coincide.

### 3.2 Asymptotic behavior and infinitesimal $R$ -transform

In Theorem 5 we showed how additional information for the asymptotics of the logarithm of the Schur generating function leads to the determination of the  $1/N$  correction to the limit of Theorem 3. The dependence of the limiting probability measure from the function  $\Psi$  has been analyzed and explained from the side of free probability. In this subsection we describe the free probabilistic toolbox that explains the dependence of  $\Psi$  and  $\Phi$  from the  $1/N$  correction of Theorem 5. This fits into the context of infinitesimal free probability.

Infinitesimal free probability is a generalization of free probability and typically a theory of non-commutative random variables [4], [7], [15], [21], [22], [33], [39]. For our purposes, it suffices to keep the conversation in the commutative setting. The key notion for us is that of infinitesimal free cumulants which leads to an infinitesimal analogue of the  $R$ -transform.

**Definition 3 (Février-Nica, [22])** *Let  $(\mu, \mu')$  be a pair of measures on  $\mathbb{R}$  where  $\mu$  is a probability measure and  $\mu'$  is a signed measure such that  $\mu'(\mathbb{R}) = 0$ . The infinitesimal free cumulants  $\{(\kappa_n(\mu))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (\kappa_n(\mu'))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\}$  of  $(\mu, \mu')$  are uniquely determined by the relations*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} t^k \mu(dt) = \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}(k)} \prod_{V \in \pi} \kappa_{|V|}(\mu) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^k \mu'(dt) = \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}(k)} \sum_{V \in \pi} \kappa_{|V|}(\mu') \prod_{\substack{W \in \pi \\ W \neq V}} \kappa_{|W|}(\mu),$$

for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In order to connect the above definition with the framework of Theorem 5, we can think that the measure  $\mu$  arises as a limit of a sequence of probability measures  $(\mu_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\mu'$  is the  $1/N$  correction to this limit. This means that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \mu_N(dt) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \mu(dt) \right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \mu'(dt),$$

for sufficiently nice functions  $f$ . If we assume that the above equality holds for every monomial then this implies that  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N(\kappa_n(\mu_N) - \kappa_n(\mu)) = \kappa_n(\mu')$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In such a situation the measure  $\mu'$  acts like a formal derivative of  $\mu$  and analogously  $(\kappa_n(\mu'))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a formal derivative for  $(\kappa_n(\mu))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . That being said, the relation in Definition 3 for the moments of  $\mu'$  is quite natural in the sense that it expresses the application of the Leibniz rule in the moment-cumulant relations.

In what follows, we are making the connection between the  $1/N$  correction of Theorem 5 and infinitesimal free probability, determining explicitly the infinitesimal free cumulants  $\{(\kappa_{n,q}(\Psi, \Phi))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (\kappa'_{n,q}(\Psi, \Phi))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\}$ . This leads to certain conclusions. Of course we have already determined the sequence  $(\kappa_{n,q}(\Psi, \Phi))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and in order to get an idea for the formula of  $(\kappa'_{n,q}(\Psi, \Phi))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  one can look on relation (31). Then, we observe the same kind of phenomenon as for  $(\kappa_{n,q}(\Psi, \Phi))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , namely for small  $n$  we have that  $\kappa'_{n,q}(\Psi, \Phi)$  does not depend on  $\Psi$  and it consists of two summands such that the one is linear on  $\Phi$  and the other on does not depend on  $\Phi$ . For example from (31) we have that

$$\kappa'_{1,q}(\Psi, \Phi) = \Phi'(1) - \frac{1-q}{2}, \quad \kappa'_{2,q}(\Psi, \Phi) = \Phi''(1) + \Phi'(1),$$

$$\text{and } \kappa'_{3,q}(\Psi, \Phi) = \frac{1}{2}\Phi'''(1) + \frac{3+q}{2}\Phi''(1) + \frac{1+q}{2}\Phi'(1).$$

In order to clarify the exact form of dependence of  $\kappa'_{n,q}(\Psi, \Phi)$  from  $\Phi$  we will use the alternative approach for the computation of the  $1/N$  convergence, which is based on the differential operators  $\mathfrak{D}_k^{U(N),q}$ . Before we state our theorem we describe in a few words what we conclude from it: It is elementary to check that for the empty signature  $\lambda(N) = 0^N$  the measure  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\lambda(N)]$  converges to  $\beta(1-q, 1+q)$  and the  $1/N$  correction to this limit is given by

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^k m_{N,PP(q)}[\lambda(N)](dt) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^k \beta(1-q, 1+q)(dt) \right) = \frac{q-1}{2} k \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^{k-1} \beta(1-q, 1+q)(dt)$$

which immediately implies that the infinitesimal free cumulants are  $\left(\frac{q-1}{2}, 0, 0, \dots\right)$ . Giving structure to  $\lambda(N)$ , at random according to probability measures  $\rho(N)$  on  $\hat{U}(N)$  that satisfy (30), the limiting measure is affected very concretely by adding the term  $\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \frac{d^{n-1}}{du^{n-1}}(e_q(u)\Psi'(e_q(u))) \Big|_{u=0}$  to the  $n$ -th free cumulant of  $\beta(1-q, 1+q)$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (i.e. like considering the free convolution with another measure). Analogously the same rule holds for the  $1/N$  correction, namely it will be affected by adding  $\left(\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \frac{d^{n-1}}{du^{n-1}}(e_q(u)\Phi'(e_q(u))) \Big|_{u=0}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  to  $\left(\frac{q-1}{2}, 0, 0, \dots\right)$ . Consequently, the upshot of assumption (30) is that it reforms the limiting measure and its first order correction by considering a very particular infinitesimal free convolution.

**Theorem 6** *Let  $\rho(N)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , be a sequence of probability measures on  $\hat{U}(N)$ . Moreover we assume that there exist functions  $\Psi, \Phi$  analytic in a neighborhood of 1 such that for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  fixed*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N \left( \frac{1}{N} \log S_{\rho(N)}(u_1, \dots, u_k, 1^{N-k}) - \sum_{i=1}^k \Psi(u_i) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^k \Phi(u_i), \quad (37)$$

where the convergence is uniform in a neighborhood of  $1^k$ . Then, the infinitesimal free cumulants of the  $1/N$  correction  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N \left( \mathbb{E}[m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho(N)]] - \mu^{(q)} \right)$  are given by

$$\kappa_{n,q}(\Psi, \Phi) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \frac{d^{n-1}}{du^{n-1}}(e_q(u)\Psi'(e_q(u))) \Big|_{u=0} + \kappa_n(\beta(1-q, 1+q)) \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (38)$$

and

$$\kappa'_{n,q}(\Psi, \Phi) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \frac{d^{n-1}}{du^{n-1}}(e_q(u)\Phi'(e_q(u))) \Big|_{u=0} + \kappa_n \left( \delta \left( \frac{q-1}{2} \right) \right) \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (39)$$

where  $e_q(u) = (1-qu)^{-1/q}$ .

**Proof** By Lemma 5 of [14], it suffices to show that for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N \left( \mathbb{E}_{\lambda(N)} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^k m_{N,PP(q)}[\lambda(N)](dt) \right] - \right. \\ & \quad \left. \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} \frac{1}{(m+1)!} \frac{d^m}{du^m} \left( e_q(u)\Psi'(e_q(u)) + \frac{e_q(u)}{e_q(u)-1} - \frac{1}{u} \right)^{k-m} \Big|_{u=0} \right) \\ & = \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{m+1} \frac{1}{m!} \frac{d^m}{du^m} \left( e_q(u)\Phi'(e_q(u)) - \frac{1-q}{2} \right) \left( e_q(u)\Psi'(e_q(u)) + \frac{e_q(u)}{e_q(u)-1} - \frac{1}{u} \right)^{k-m-1} \Big|_{u=0}. \end{aligned}$$

For notation simplicity we write  $A_q(u) = \Psi(e_q(u))$ ,  $B_q(u) = \log \left( \frac{e_q(u)-1}{u} \right)$  and  $\Gamma_q(u) = \Phi(e_q(u))$ . In order to get an expression for the left hand side of the above equality we use the same strategy as in the proof of Theorem 5.

As we explained there, applying  $\mathfrak{D}_k^{U(N),q}$  to  $T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)}$  and setting  $u_1 = \dots = u_N = 0$ , we get (22). Starting from (22), in order to compute the desired limit, we see that the sum

$$-q \frac{k(k-1)}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{m} \frac{1}{(m+1)!} \frac{d^m}{du^m} \left( e_q(u) \Psi'(e_q(u)) + \frac{e_q(u)}{e_q(u)-1} - \frac{1}{u} \right)^{k-1-m} \Big|_{u=0}, \quad (40)$$

and the limit

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} \frac{N}{(m+1)!} \partial_1^m \left( (1-qu_1)^{k+1} \left( \partial_1 \left( \frac{1}{N} \log T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)} \right) \right)^{k-m} \right) (0^N) \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} \frac{N}{(m+1)!} \frac{d^m}{du^m} \left( (1-qu)^{k+1} (A'_q(u) + B'_q(u))^{k-m} \right) \Big|_{u=0} \right), \quad (41)$$

will contribute. Due to (37) we have that for every  $l \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left( \partial_1^l \log T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)} (0^N) - N A_q^{(l)}(0) - N B_q^{(l)}(0) \right) = \Gamma_q^{(l)}(0) - B_q^{(l)}(0),$$

which implies that the above limit is equal to

$$\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{m+1} \frac{1}{m!} \frac{d^m}{du^m} \left( (1-qu)^{k+1} (\Gamma'_q(u) - B'_q(u)) (A'_q(u) + B'_q(u))^{k-m-1} \right) \Big|_{u=0} \quad (42)$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{m+1} \frac{1}{m!} \frac{d^m}{du^m} \left( (1-qu)^{m+1} \varphi_q(u) (f_q(u) + q)^{k-m-1} \right) \Big|_{u=0}, \quad (43)$$

where  $\varphi_q(u) = e_q(u) \Phi'(e_q(u)) - e_q(u) (e_q(u) - 1)^{-1} + u^{-1} - q$  and  $f_q(u) = e_q(u) \Psi'(e_q(u)) + e_q(u) (e_q(u) - 1)^{-1} - u^{-1}$ . Using the Leibniz rule for the derivative and changing the order of summation we see that (43) is equal to

$$\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \sum_{n=0}^{k-l-1} \sum_{m=l}^{k-n-1} \frac{1}{l!} \binom{k}{l+1} \binom{k-l-1}{n} \binom{k-n-1-l}{m-l} q^n (-q)^{m-l} \frac{d^l}{du^l} (\varphi_q(u) f_q^{k-n-1-m}(u)) \Big|_{u=0} \\ = \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{m+1} \frac{1}{m!} \frac{d^m}{du^m} (\varphi_q(u) f_q^{k-m-1}(u)) \Big|_{u=0}. \quad (44)$$

Note that the approach of the differential operator  $\mathfrak{D}_k^{U(N),q}$  is in one sense harder for the determination of the  $1/N$  correction because in contrast to the function  $S_{\rho(N)}$ , for the function  $T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)}$  it does not necessarily hold that the derivatives  $\partial_1^l \partial_2^k \log T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)} (0^N)$  converge to 0 as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Based on results of [14] (see Theorem 15), we know exactly how such derivatives contribute to the limit that we try to compute. They do by the limit

$$- \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{m} \frac{N}{(m+1)!} [(\partial_1 + \partial_2)^m - \partial_1^m] \left( (1-qu_1)^{k+1} \left( \partial_1 \left( \frac{1}{N} \log T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)} \right) \right)^{k-m} \right) (0^N). \quad (45)$$

In order to compute this limit note that by the definition of  $T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)}$  we have that

$$\partial_1 \log T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)}(u, u, 0^{N-2}) - N B'_q(u) - N A'_q(u) = \\ e'_q(u) (\partial_1 \log S_{\rho(N)}(e_q(u), e_q(u), 1^{N-2}) - N \Psi'(e_q(u))) + \frac{B'_q(0)}{1-qu} - 2B'_q(u),$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{m} \frac{N}{(m+1)!} \left( \frac{d^m}{du^m} (1-qu)^{k+1} \left( \partial_1 \left( \frac{1}{N} \log T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)} \right) \right)^{k-m} (u, u, 0^{N-2}) \Big|_{u=0} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{d^m}{du^m} \left( (1-qu)^{k+1} (A'_q(u) + B'_q(u))^{k-m} \right) \Big|_{u=0} \right) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{m+1} \frac{1}{m!} \frac{d^m}{du^m} \left( (1-qu)^{k+1} \left( \Gamma'_q(u) + \frac{B'_q(0)}{1-qu} - 2B'_q(u) \right) (A'_q(u) + B'_q(u))^{k-m-1} \right) \Big|_{u=0}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, taking into account the computation that we have already done for (41), we deduce that (45) is equal to

$$\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{m+1} \frac{1}{m!} \frac{d^m}{du^m} \left( (1-qu)^{k+1} \left( B'_q(u) - \frac{B'_q(0)}{1-qu} \right) (A'_q(u) + B'_q(u))^{k-m-1} \right) \Big|_{u=0}. \quad (46)$$

A computation similar to the one that we did in order to show that (42) is equal to (44) implies that (46) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{m+1} \frac{1}{m!} \frac{d^m}{du^m} \left( \left( \frac{e_q(u)}{e_q(u)-1} - \frac{1}{u} + q - B'_q(0) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left( e_q(u) \Psi'(e_q(u)) + \frac{e_q(u)}{e_q(u)-1} - \frac{1}{u} \right)^{k-m-1} \right) \Big|_{u=0}. \quad (47) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the last thing is to examine the convergence of the sequence  $(M_{1,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi))_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  of the expansion that we mentioned in the proof of Theorem 4. On the one hand, the limits (24) will play a role for  $M_{1,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi)$  because they appear  $m! \binom{N}{m+1}$  times in  $\mathfrak{D}_k^{U(N),q} T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)} \Big|_{u_1=\dots=u_N=0}$ . This fact in combination with assumption (37) implies that the contribution of the limits (24) to  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} M_{1,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi)$  is

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^k \binom{k}{m} \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \frac{d^m}{du^m} \left( (1-qu)^{k+1} (A'_q(u) + B'_q(u))^{k-m} \right) \Big|_{u=0}. \quad (48)$$

Note that there are also symmetrized sums of another form, that emerge from the relation (23) and contribute to  $M_{1,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi)$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . These have the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{k! N^{k-m-1}}{2m!(k-m-2)!} \left( \frac{(1-qu_{b_0})^{k+1} \partial_{b_0}^2 \left( \frac{1}{N} \log T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)} \right) \left( \partial_{b_0} \left( \frac{1}{N} \log T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)} \right) \right)^{k-m-2}}{(u_{b_0} - u_{b_1})(u_{b_0} - u_{b_2}) \dots (u_{b_0} - u_{b_m})} + \right. \\ & \quad \left. \dots + \frac{(1-qu_{b_m})^{k+1} \partial_{b_m}^2 \left( \frac{1}{N} \log T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)} \right) \left( \partial_{b_m} \left( \frac{1}{N} \log T_{\rho(N)}^{(q)} \right) \right)^{k-m-2}}{(u_{b_m} - u_{b_0})(u_{b_m} - u_{b_1}) \dots (u_{b_m} - u_{b_{m-1}})} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The same arguments that we used for the symmetrized sums (24) combined with the assumption (37) are sufficient in order to deduce that the above symmetrized sums for  $u_1 = \dots = u_N = 0$  will affect  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} M_{1,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi)$  by the sum

$$\sum_{m=0}^{k-2} \frac{k!}{2m!(m+1)!(k-m-2)!} \frac{d^m}{du^m} \left( (1-qu)^{k+1} (A''_q(u) + B''_q(u)) (A'_q(u) + B'_q(u))^{k-m-2} \right) \Big|_{u=0}. \quad (49)$$

Note that  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} M_{1,k,N}^{(q)}(\Psi)$  is equal to the sum of (49) and (48). Taking the sum of (40), (44), (47) and this limit we see that the claim holds.  $\square$

**Remark 7** In the setting of Theorem 6, as we have already mentioned, the  $1/N$  correction can be considered as a formal derivative with respect to “ $t = 1/N$ ” at 0. In that sense the differentiation procedure that we described in the above theorem affects the part of the limiting measure that depends on the function  $\Psi$ , in terms of the free cumulants, by replacing the function  $\Psi$  with  $\Phi$  for the infinitesimal free cumulants. For the remaining part of the limiting measure, i.e. the beta distribution, although it acts as a constant its derivative is not zero (meaning  $\delta(0)$ ) but  $\delta\left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right)$ . This changes for the intermediate limit regime that we described in Remark 5. In that case the correction to the limit plays again the role of a derivative at 0 but with respect to “ $t = 1/N^\varepsilon$ ” for  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . Consequently the  $1/N^\varepsilon$  correction will be different because the derivative of  $\beta(1-q, 1+q)$  will be  $\delta(0)$ , meaning that the free cumulants of  $\delta\left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right)$  will not contribute to the infinitesimal free cumulants (39) anymore while the formula for the free cumulants (38) will remain the same. Under slight modifications the same thing happens for the case  $\varepsilon = 1$  as well. One of the reasons is that the free cumulants of the Dirac measure exist in (39) due to the definition of the  $q$ -deformed Perelomov-Popov measure and not due to the assumption (37). More precisely shifting  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\lambda(N)]$  by  $\frac{1-q}{2N}$  to the right, for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , the limit regime (36) leads to the same limiting measure with free cumulants (38), for every  $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$ , and to the same  $1/N^\varepsilon$  correction, for every  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ , for which the derivative of  $\beta(1-q, 1+q)$  is equal to zero.

### 3.3 Infinitesimal distributions and extreme characters

Concluding this section, we give some concrete examples of (infinitesimal) distributions that arise as limits, in the framework of Theorem 6 and Theorem 5, when our Schur generating functions correspond to particular extreme characters. These examples also appear very naturally in random matrix theory and in some cases they describe very interesting phenomena for the spectrum, related to outlier eigenvalues and phase transitions [3], [14], [39].

Let  $\rho(N)$  be probability measures on  $\hat{U}(N)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that

$$S_{\rho(N)}(u_1, \dots, u_N) = \chi_N(\text{diag}(u_1, \dots, u_N, 1, 1 \dots)) = \prod_{i=1}^N \exp(N(u_i - 1) + (u_i - 1)),$$

for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $u_1, \dots, u_N \in \mathbb{C}$  on the unit circle. Then the moments of the limit of  $m_{N,PP(-1)}[\rho(N)]$  and its  $1/N$  correction are given by (10) and (31) respectively, for  $\Psi(u) = \Phi(u) = u - 1$ . This implies that the limit is a semicircle distribution and the  $1/N$  correction is given by the signed measure

$$\mu'(dx) = \frac{1}{2\pi} 1_{(0,4)}(x) \frac{x^2 - 4x + 2}{\sqrt{(4-x)x}} dx.$$

Note that the “continuous” analogue of this example, on the level of random matrices, is given if we consider the limit of the empirical spectral distribution and its  $1/N$  correction, for the random matrix  $A_N + 2I_N + N^{-1/2}B_N$ , where  $I_N$  is the identity matrix of size  $N$  and  $A_N, B_N$  are independent GUE matrices of size  $N$ .

An alternative way to get a quite similar outcome, but for the limit of 1-Perelomov-Popov measures is by considering probability measures  $\rho(N)$  on  $\hat{U}(N)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that

$$S_{\rho(N)}(u_1, \dots, u_N) = \prod_{i=1}^N \exp(N(u_i^{-1} - 1) + (u_i^{-1} - 1)),$$

for every  $u_1, \dots, u_N$ . Then  $m_{N,PP(1)}[\rho(N)]$  converges to the standard semicircle distribution, shifted by 1 and the  $1/N$  correction is given by

$$\tilde{\mu}'(dx) = \frac{1}{2\pi} 1_{(-3,1)}(x) \frac{x^2 + x - 2}{\sqrt{(1-x)(3+x)}} dx.$$

In the sense that we explained before, this corresponds to the random matrix  $A_N - (1 + N^{-1})I_N + N^{-1/2}B_N$ .

Infinitesimal limits of the averaged empirical distribution attracted attention in the context of random matrices because they provide information for outlier eigenvalues [3], [14], [15], [39]. Similar applications were shown in [14], in the context of random domino tiling and asymptotic representation theory, studying the infinitesimal limit

of  $m_{N,PP(0)}[\rho(N)]$ . For certain sequences of measures on  $\hat{U}(N)$ , the infinitesimal limits of  $q$ -deformed Perelomov-Popov measures, for  $q = -1, 1$ , coincide with the infinitesimal limits of finite rank perturbations of GUE or Wishart matrices. For example the  $1/N$  correction of  $m_{N,PP(-1)}[\rho^{\gamma,\alpha}(N)]$ , where

$$S_{\rho^{\gamma,\alpha}(N)}(u_1, \dots, u_N) = \prod_{i=1}^N \exp(\gamma N(u_i^{-1} - 1)) \frac{1}{1 - \alpha(u_i - 1)},$$

is given by a signed measure

$$\begin{aligned} \mu'_{\gamma,\alpha}(dx) &= 1_{\alpha+1 \geq \sqrt{\gamma}} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} \delta\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha+1} - \gamma + \alpha + 1\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi} 1_{(-2\sqrt{\gamma}-\gamma, 2\sqrt{\gamma}-\gamma)}(x) \frac{\alpha(x+\gamma) - 2\alpha(\alpha+1)}{(\alpha+1)^2 + \gamma - (\alpha+1)(x+\gamma)} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{(2\sqrt{\gamma}-\gamma-x)(x+2\sqrt{\gamma}+\gamma)}}. \end{aligned}$$

The above signed measure is strongly related to the  $1/N$  correction of 1-rank perturbation of a Gaussian matrix. From the formula for  $\mu'_{\gamma,\alpha}$  we extract information not only for the outlier, but also for the weight of  $N^{-1}(\lambda_1(N) + N - 1)$ .

**Example 1** We conclude with a general example where we deal with the probability measures  $\rho^\gamma(N)$  that we defined in subsection 2.4, but now we consider the limiting measure of Theorem 4 and its  $1/N$  correction for arbitrary  $-1 \leq q \leq 1$ . Comparing the two (equivalent) formulas that we have for the moments, the formula (10) can be more useful in order to clarify the probability density function of the limiting measure  $\mu_\gamma^{(q)}$ . This is so because using integral representations for the derivatives that appear in the formula for the moments, we can end up to the density. For this example the  $k$ -th moment of the limiting measure  $\mu_\gamma^{(q)}$  is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m=0}^k \frac{k!}{(m+1)!(k-m)!} \frac{\gamma^{k-m}}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}}} \frac{(z+1)^{k-q}}{z^{m+1}} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i(k+1)} \oint_{|z|=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}}} \frac{1}{(z+1)^{q+1}} \left( \left( \gamma z + \frac{1}{z} + \gamma + 1 \right)^{k+1} - (\gamma z + \gamma)^{k+1} \right) dz. \end{aligned}$$

Doing a typical change of variables in the above integral, we deduce that  $\mu_\gamma^{(q)}(dt) = f_{\gamma,q}(t)dt$  for  $\gamma > 1$  and  $-1 \leq q \leq 1$ , where


$$f_{\gamma,q}(t) = \frac{\gamma^{q/2}}{q\pi^{q/2}} 1_{[(\sqrt{\gamma}-1)^2, (\sqrt{\gamma}+1)^2]}(t) \sin\left(q \arctan\left(\frac{\sqrt{(\gamma+1+2\sqrt{\gamma}-t)(t-\gamma-1+2\sqrt{\gamma})}}{t+\gamma-1}\right)\right).$$

On the other hand, if  $\gamma < 1$  we have that  $\mu_\gamma^{(q)}(dt) = \widetilde{f}_{\gamma,q}(t)dt$ , for  $-1 \leq q < 1$  where


$$\widetilde{f}_{\gamma,q}(t) = \begin{cases} (\pi q)^{-1} (1 - \sqrt{\gamma})^{-q} \gamma^{q/2} \sin(\pi q), & t \in (0, (1 - \sqrt{\gamma})^2) \\ \frac{\gamma^{q/2}}{q\pi^{q/2}} \sin\left(q \arctan\left(\frac{\sqrt{(\gamma+1+2\sqrt{\gamma}-t)(t-\gamma-1+2\sqrt{\gamma})}}{t+\gamma-1}\right) + q\pi\right), & t \in ((1 - \sqrt{\gamma})^2, 1 - \gamma) \\ \frac{\gamma^{q/2}}{q\pi^{q/2}} \sin\left(q \arctan\left(\frac{\sqrt{(\gamma+1+2\sqrt{\gamma}-t)(t-\gamma-1+2\sqrt{\gamma})}}{t+\gamma-1}\right)\right), & t \in (1 - \gamma, (1 + \sqrt{\gamma})^2) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover,  $\mu_\gamma^{(-1)}(dt) = \widetilde{f}_{\gamma,-1}(t)dt + (1 - \gamma)\delta(0)$ . Note that the formulas of  $f_{\gamma,0}$  and  $\widetilde{f}_{\gamma,0}$  coincide with those written in subsection 2.4.


Moreover the  $1/N$  correction of this measure can be determined completely applying an integration by parts since its  $k$ -th moment is equal to  $\frac{q-1}{2} k \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^{k-1} \mu_\gamma^{(q)}(dt)$ .




$\gamma = 0.25, q = 0.25$




$\gamma = 0.25, q = -0.25$




$\gamma = 0.25, q = 0.5$




$\gamma = 0.25, q = -0.5$




$\gamma = 0.25, q = 0.75$




$\gamma = 0.25, q = -0.75$




$\gamma = 0.25, q = 0.9$



$\gamma = 0.25, q = -0.9$



$\gamma = 0.25, q = 1$



$\gamma = 0.25, q = -1$

Figure 1: Graph of  $y = \widetilde{f}_{\gamma, q}(x)$  for  $\gamma = 0.25$  and different values of  $q \in [-1, 1]$ .

## 4 Non-asymptotic relations and Markov-Krein correspondence

In this section we focus on some non-asymptotic relations regarding the limiting measures  $\mu^{(q)}$  of Theorem 3 and Theorem 4. For the time being, we have shown that measures of this form arise asymptotically as limits of the  $q$ -deformed Perelomov-Popov measures of certain random signatures. The connection of Perelomov-Popov measures with symmetric polynomials provides transforms that allow to express the moments of  $\mu^{(q)}$  in terms of the moments of  $\mu^{(q')}$ . In the following we denote by  $G_\mu$  the Stieltjes transform of a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 7** *Let  $\rho(N)$  be a sequence of probability measures on  $\hat{U}(N)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $m_{N,PP(0)}[\rho(N)]$  converges as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  in probability, in the sense of moments to a deterministic measure  $\mu^{(0)}$  with moments  $(\mu_k^{(0)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Then for every  $q \in [-1, 1]$  the probability measures  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\rho(N)]$  converge as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  in probability, in the sense of moments to deterministic probability measures  $\mu^{(q)}$  with moments  $(\mu_k^{(q)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . The moments of  $\mu^{(q)}$  are related to the moments of  $\mu^{(0)}$  via*

$$\exp\left(-q \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k^{(0)} z^{k+1}\right) = 1 - q \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k^{(q)} z^{k+1}. \quad (50)$$

**Proof** The claim is a corollary of Proposition 2. □

**Remark 8** In the case that we have probability measures  $\rho(N)$  such that the conditions of Theorem 3 are satisfied, assuming that the limiting measures have compact supports, the precise formulas for their  $R$ -transforms can also lead to (50) which is basically a relation between Stieltjes transforms. To be more precise, the formula for  $R_{\mu^{(q)}}$ ,

$$R_{\mu^{(q)}}(z) = e_q(z) \Psi'(e_q(z)) + \frac{e_q(z)}{e_q(z) - 1} - \frac{1}{z}, \quad \text{with } e_q(z) = (1 - qz)^{-1/q},$$

implies that

$$R_{\mu^{(q)}}\left(\frac{1 - \exp(-qG_{\mu^{(0)}}(z))}{q}\right) + \frac{q}{1 - \exp(-qG_{\mu^{(0)}}(z))} = R_{\mu^{(0)}}(G_{\mu^{(0)}}(z)) + \frac{1}{G_{\mu^{(0)}}(z)} = z.$$

In the previous equality, we used that for an arbitrary probability measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  with compact support,  $z \mapsto R_\nu(z) + \frac{1}{z}$  is the compositional inverse of  $G_\nu$ . Therefore we must have that  $1 - \exp(-qG_{\mu^{(0)}}(z)) = qG_{\mu^{(q)}}(z)$  or equivalently (50).

**Remark 9** The non-asymptotic relation (4), in Theorem 2 emerges directly from the results of Theorem 6 based on arguments coming from infinitesimal free probability. Before we make it clear, note also that for a deterministic sequence  $\lambda(N) \in \hat{U}(N)$  such that  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\lambda(N)]$  has an infinitesimal limit, (2) can be deduced by Proposition 2. We can deduce the same for the  $q$ -deformed Perelomov-Popov measures of Theorem 6, using the standard functional relations between the infinitesimal Stieltjes transform, the infinitesimal  $R$ -transform and the Stieltjes transform (of a non-commutative random variable) [33], combined with the formulas that we have proved for the (infinitesimal) free cumulants.

A natural question to ask is what kind of probability measures are related through (50). For  $q = -1$ , the relation (50) gives the well known Markov-Krein correspondence [1], [31]. This is a bijection between measures on  $\mathbb{R}$ , with connections to asymptotic representation theory of the symmetric groups [30] and the Hausdorff moment problem [17]. For  $q = 1$ , this is a slight modification of the Markov-Krein correspondence, firstly introduced in [10] and it can be derived immediately from the original one. We recall that the Markov-Krein correspondence states that for every probability measure  $\mu$  with compact support on  $\mathbb{R}$ , which is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure and its probability density function is bounded by 1, there exists a unique probability measure  $\nu$ , with compact support on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k z^{k+1}\right) = 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \nu_k z^{k+1}, \quad (51)$$



where  $(\mu_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, (\nu_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  are the moments of  $\mu, \nu$  respectively. It is known (see in [31]) that the map that sends  $\mu$  to  $\nu$  is a bijection. We denote it by  $MK_{(-1)}$ .

**Corollary 2** *Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  with compact support, which is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure and its probability density function is bounded by  $1/|q|$ . Then, there exists a unique probability measure  $\nu$  with compact support on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$\exp\left(-q \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k z^{k+1}\right) = 1 - q \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \nu_k z^{k+1},$$

where  $(\mu_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, (\nu_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  are the moments of  $\mu, \nu$  respectively. Moreover the map  $MK_{(q)}$  that sends  $\mu$  to  $\nu$  is a bijection.

**Proof** The map  $MK_{(q)} := \Pi_{-q} \circ MK_{(-1)} \circ \Pi_{-1/q}$  where  $\Pi_{\alpha}(\mu)(\cdot) := \mu(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \alpha x \in \cdot\})$  for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , satisfies all the desired properties.  $\square$

Combining  $MK_{(q)}$  for  $q = \pm 1$  and  $-1 < q < 1$  we can get an operation of probability measures that translates the classical free convolution to the  $q$ -deformed quantized free convolution.

**Theorem 8** *Let  $q \in (-1, 0) \cup (0, 1)$ . For every probability measure  $\mu$  with compact support on  $\mathbb{R}$  there exist unique probability measures  $\nu$  and  $\lambda$ , with compact support on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$1 - G_{\mu}(z) = (1 - qG_{\nu}(z))^{1/q} \text{ and } 1 + G_{\mu}(z-1) = (1 - qG_{\lambda}(z))^{-1/q}$$

for  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|z|^{-1}$  small enough. Moreover the map  $\mathfrak{F}$  that sends such measures  $\mu$  to the corresponding  $\nu$  and the map  $\mathfrak{Q}$  that sends  $\mu$  to the corresponding  $\lambda$  are injective and such that

$$\mathfrak{F}(\nu_1 \boxplus \nu_2) = \mathfrak{F}(\nu_1) \otimes_q \mathfrak{F}(\nu_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{Q}(\nu_1 \boxplus \nu_2) = \mathfrak{Q}(\nu_1) \otimes_q \mathfrak{Q}(\nu_2). \quad (52)$$

**Proof** We start with the map  $\mathfrak{F}$ . We define  $\mathfrak{F} := MK_{(q)} \circ MK_{(-1)}^{-1}$ . It is immediate that the desired relation between  $G_{\mu}$  and  $G_{\mathfrak{F}(\mu)}$  is satisfied and  $\mathfrak{F}$  is injective. In order to show the property regarding the free convolution, note that for a compactly supported probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , by the definition of  $\mathfrak{F}(\mu)$  we have

$$R_{\mathfrak{F}(\mu)}(z) = R_{\mu}(1 - (1 - qz)^{1/q}) + \frac{(1 - qz)^{-1/q}}{(1 - qz)^{-1/q} - 1} - \frac{1}{z},$$

which implies that  $R_{\mathfrak{F}(\nu_1 \boxplus \nu_2)}^{quant(q)}(z) = R_{\mathfrak{F}(\mu)}^{quant(q)}(z) + R_{\mathfrak{F}(\nu)}^{quant(q)}(z)$ .

On the other hand, we define  $\mathfrak{Q} := MK_{(q)} \circ MK_{(1)}^{-1} \circ T_1$  where  $T_1(\mu)(\cdot) := \mu(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : x + 1 \in \cdot\})$  and by definition we have  $1 + G_{\mu}(z-1) = (1 - qG_{\mathfrak{Q}(\mu)}(z))^{-1/q}$ . As a consequence  $R_{\mathfrak{Q}(\mu)}$  is given by

$$R_{\mathfrak{Q}(\mu)}(z) = R_{\mu}((1 - qz)^{-1/q} - 1) + \frac{(1 - qz)^{-1/q}}{(1 - qz)^{-1/q} - 1} - \frac{1}{z},$$

which implies that  $R_{\mathfrak{Q}(\nu_1 \boxplus \nu_2)}^{quant(q)}(z) = R_{\mathfrak{Q}(\nu_1)}^{quant(q)}(z) + R_{\mathfrak{Q}(\nu_2)}^{quant(q)}(z)$ .  $\square$

We denote by  $\mathcal{P}_{\leq 1}(\mathbb{R})$  the set of compactly supported probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}$ , continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure and with probability density function bounded by 1. Note that the images of  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}$  are the same but these maps are not surjective. By relation (52) we see that in order to define the  $q$ -deformed quantized free convolution, we have to restrict on probability measures that belong to the image of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . In other words, it is defined on  $MK_{(q)}(\mathcal{P}_{\leq 1}(\mathbb{R}))$ .

It is an open question to determine this set. However, since  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}^{-1}$  are continuous we are able to determine some dense subsets. First of all, since every probability measure on  $\mathcal{P}_{\leq 1}(\mathbb{R})$  is a limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  of a measure  $m_{N,PP(0)}[\lambda(N)]$ , for some  $\lambda(N) \in \hat{U}(N)$ , Proposition 2 implies that the image of this measure under  $MK_{(q)}$  is a limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  of  $m_{N,PP(q)}[\lambda(N)]$ . Similarly, starting from another dense subset of  $\mathcal{P}_{\leq 1}(\mathbb{R})$  we get another dense subset of  $MK_{(q)}(\mathcal{P}_{\leq 1}(\mathbb{R}))$ .

**Proposition 5** Let  $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(q)}(\mathbb{R})$  be the set of probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}$  that are continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure and they have probability density functions of the form

$$f_{\alpha,\beta}^{(q)}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin(\pi q)}{\pi q} \prod_{i=1}^r |x - \alpha_i|^{-q} |x - \beta_i|^q, & x \in [\alpha_1, \beta_1] \cup \dots \cup [\alpha_r, \beta_r] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\alpha_1 < \beta_1 < \alpha_2 < \beta_2 < \dots < \alpha_r < \beta_r \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^r (\beta_i - \alpha_i) = 1.$$

The set  $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(q)}(\mathbb{R})$  is a dense subset of  $MK_{(q)}(\mathcal{P}_{\leq 1}(\mathbb{R}))$ .

**Proof** Since every measure on  $\mathcal{P}_{\leq 1}(\mathbb{R})$  can be approximated by uniform measures  $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}$  on subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  of the form  $[\alpha_1, \beta_1] \cup \dots \cup [\alpha_r, \beta_r]$ , where  $\alpha_1 < \beta_1 < \alpha_2 < \beta_2 < \dots < \alpha_r < \beta_r$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^r (\beta_i - \alpha_i) = 1$ , the image of these uniform measures under  $MK_{(q)}$  provides a dense subset of  $MK_{(q)}(\mathcal{P}_{\leq 1}(\mathbb{R}))$ . Because

$$G_{\mu_{\alpha,\beta}}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^r \int_{\alpha_i}^{\beta_i} t^k dt \frac{1}{z^{k+1}} = \log \left( \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{z - \alpha_i}{z - \beta_i} \right),$$

we deduce that the Stieltjes transform of  $MK_{(q)}(\mu_{\alpha,\beta})$  is

$$G_{MK_{(q)}(\mu_{\alpha,\beta})}(z) = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{q} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{(z - \beta_i)^q}{(z - \alpha_i)^q}.$$

Therefore, the Stieltjes inversion formula implies that  $MK_{(q)}(\mu_{\alpha,\beta})(dx) = f_{\alpha,\beta}^{(q)}(x)dx$ . □

**Remark 10** The probability measures  $\nu$  that belong to the image of  $\mathfrak{P}$  are basically determined by the property that the function  $F(z) = 1 - (1 - qG_{\nu}(z))^{1/q}$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$ , is a Stieltjes transform of a compactly supported probability measure, on  $\mathbb{R}$ , where  $\mathbb{C}^+ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(z) > 0\}$  and without loss of generality  $q > 0$ . This automatically means that  $G_{\nu}$  must satisfy more properties than the standard of a Stieltjes transform (see in [34]). We recall that one of the necessary conditions for  $F$  to be a Stieltjes transform is to be analytic, which is the case since  $G_{\nu}$  is. Moreover  $\limsup_{y \rightarrow \infty} y|F(iy)|$  must be equal to one, which is true because  $\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} iyG_{\nu}(iy) = 1$  and  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \left(z - z\left(1 - \frac{q}{z}\right)^{1/q}\right) = 1$ . The last necessary condition is  $F(\mathbb{C}^+) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^- := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(z) < 0\}$ . That's the point where we need more properties for  $G_{\nu}$  since the fact that  $\text{Arg}(1 - qG_{\nu}(z)) \in (0, \pi)$  for every  $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$  does not suffice and instead we should have that  $q^{-1} \text{Arg}(1 - qG_{\nu}(z)) \in \{\phi + 2k\pi : \phi \in (0, \pi), k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cap (0, q^{-1}\pi)$  for every  $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$ .

## References

- [1] N. I. Aheizer and M. Krein. Some questions in the theory of moments, volume Vol. 2 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1962.
- [2] Andrew Ahn. Airy point process via supersymmetric lifts. *Probab. Math. Phys.*, 3(4):869–938, 2022.
- [3] Benson Au. Finite-rank perturbations of random band matrices via infinitesimal free probability. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 74(9):1855–1895, 2021.
- [4] Serban T. Belinschi and Dimitri Shlyakhtenko. Free probability of type  $B$ : analytic interpretation and applications. *Amer. J. Math.*, 134(1):193–234, 2012.
- [5] Florent Benaych-Georges, Cesar Cuenca, and Vadim Gorin. Matrix addition and the Dunkl transform at high temperature. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 394(2):735–795, 2022.

- [6] Philippe Biane. Approximate factorization and concentration for characters of symmetric groups. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (4):179–192, 2001.
- [7] Philippe Biane, Frederick Goodman, and Alexandru Nica. Non-crossing cumulants of type B. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 355(6):2263–2303, 2003.
- [8] Alexei Borodin, Alexey Bufetov, and Grigori Olshanski. Limit shapes for growing extreme characters of  $U(\infty)$ . *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 25(4):2339–2381, 2015.
- [9] Alexey Bufetov. Kerov’s interlacing sequences and random matrices. *J. Math. Phys.*, 54(11):113302–10, 2013.
- [10] Alexey Bufetov and Vadim Gorin. Representations of classical Lie groups and quantized free convolution. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 25(3):763–814, 2015.
- [11] Alexey Bufetov and Vadim Gorin. Fluctuations of particle systems determined by Schur generating functions. *Adv. Math.*, 338:702–781, 2018.
- [12] Alexey Bufetov and Vadim Gorin. Fourier transform on high-dimensional unitary groups with applications to random tilings. *Duke Math. J.*, 168(13):2559–2649, 2019.
- [13] Alexey Bufetov and Alisa Knizel. Asymptotics of random domino tilings of rectangular Aztec diamonds. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.*, 54(3):1250–1290, 2018.
- [14] Alexey Bufetov and Panagiotis Zografos. Asymptotics of Harish-Chandra transform and infinitesimal freeness. *ArXiv preprint arXiv:2412.09290*, 2024.
- [15] Guillaume Cébron, Antoine Dahlqvist, and Franck Gabriel. Freeness of type B and conditional freeness for random matrices. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 73(3):1207–1252, 2024.
- [16] Benoît Collins and Piotr Śniady. New scaling of Itzykson-Zuber integrals. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, 43(2):139–146, 2007.
- [17] Persi Diaconis and David Freedman. The Markov moment problem and de Finetti’s theorem. I. *Math. Z.*, 247(1):183–199, 2004.
- [18] Charles Dunkl and Vadim Gorin. Eigenvalues of Heckman-Polychronakos operators. *ArXiv preprint arXiv:2412.01938*, 2024.
- [19] Albert Edrei. On the generation function of a doubly infinite, totally positive sequence. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 74:367–383, 1953.
- [20] Jacques Faraut and Faiza Fourati. Markov-Krein transform. *Colloq. Math.*, 144(1):137–156, 2016.
- [21] Maxime Février. Higher order infinitesimal freeness. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 61(1):249–295, 2012.
- [22] Maxime Février and Alexandru Nica. Infinitesimal non-crossing cumulants and free probability of type B. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 258(9):2983–3023, 2010.
- [23] Katsunori Fujie and Takahiro Hasebe. The spectra of principal submatrices in rotationally invariant Hermitian random matrices and the Markov-Krein correspondence. *ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.*, 19(1):109–123, 2022.
- [24] Gopal K. Goel and Andrew Yao. A quantized analogue of the Markov-Krein correspondence. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (6):4805–4838, 2023.
- [25] Vadim Gorin and Greta Panova. Asymptotics of symmetric polynomials with applications to statistical mechanics and representation theory. *Ann. Probab.*, 43(6):3052–3132, 2015.

- [26] Vadim Gorin and Yi Sun. Gaussian fluctuations for products of random matrices. *Amer. J. Math.*, 144(2):287–393, 2022.
- [27] Alice Guionnet and Mylène Maïda. A Fourier view on the  $R$ -transform and related asymptotics of spherical integrals. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 222(2):435–490, 2005.
- [28] Jiaoyang Huang. Law of large numbers and central limit theorems through Jack generating functions. *Adv. Math.*, 380:107545, 2021.
- [29] Claude Itzykson and Jean B. Zuber. The planar approximation. II. *J. Math. Phys.*, 21(3):411–421, 1980.
- [30] Sergei V. Kerov. *Asymptotic representation theory of the symmetric group and its applications in analysis*, volume 219 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [31] Mark G. Krein and Adolf A. Nudelman. *The Markov moment problem and extremal problems*, volume Vol. 50 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1977.
- [32] Pierre Mergny and Marc Potters. Rank one HCIZ at high temperature: interpolating between classical and free convolutions. *SciPost Phys.*, 12(1):0, 2022.
- [33] James A. Mingo. Non-crossing annular pairings and the infinitesimal distribution of the GOE. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 100(3):987–1012, 2019.
- [34] James A. Mingo and Roland Speicher. *Free probability and random matrices*, volume 35 of *Fields Institute Monographs*. Springer, New York; Fields Institute for Research in Mathematical Sciences, Toronto, ON, 2017.
- [35] Alexandru Nica and Roland Speicher. *Lectures on the combinatorics of free probability*, volume 335 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [36] Grigori Olshanski. Unitary representations of infinite-dimensional pairs  $(G, K)$  and the formalism of R. Howe. In *Representation of Lie groups and related topics*, volume 7 of *Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math.*, pages 269–463. Gordon and Breach, New York, 1990.
- [37] Grigori Olshanski. The problem of harmonic analysis on the infinite-dimensional unitary group. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 205(2):464–524, 2003.
- [38] Askold M. Perelomov and Vladimir S. Popov. Casimir operators for semi-simple Lie groups. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 32:1368–1390, 1968.
- [39] Dimitri Shlyakhtenko. Free probability of type-B and asymptotics of finite-rank perturbations of random matrices. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 67(2):971–991, 2018.
- [40] Richard P. Stanley. *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 2*, volume 208 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, Second edition, 2024.
- [41] Dan V. Voiculescu. Représentations factorielles de type  $\text{II}_1$  de  $U(\infty)$ . *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 55(1):1–20, 1976.
- [42] Dan V. Voiculescu, Ken J. Dykema, and Alexandru Nica. *Free random variables*, volume 1 of *CRM Monograph Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992.
- [43] Hermann Weyl. *The Classical Groups. Their Invariants and Representations*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1939.