

Classification of LCD and self-dual codes over a finite non-unital local ring

Anup Kushwaha · Indibar Debnath · Om Prakash*

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract This work explores LCD and self-dual codes over a noncommutative non-unital ring $E_p = \langle r, s \mid pr = ps = 0, r^2 = r, s^2 = s, rs = r, sr = s \rangle$ of order p^2 where p is a prime. Initially, we study the monomial equivalence of two free E_p -linear codes. In addition, a necessary and sufficient condition is derived for a free E_p -linear code to be MDS and almost MDS (AMDS). Then, we use these results to classify MDS and AMDS LCD codes over E_2 and E_3 under monomial equivalence for lengths up to 6. Subsequently, we study left self-dual codes over the ring E_p and classify MDS and AMDS left self-dual codes over E_2 and E_3 for lengths up to 12. Finally, we study self-dual codes over the ring E_p and classify MDS and AMDS self-dual codes over E_2 and E_3 for smaller lengths.

Keywords MDS codes · AMDS codes · LCD codes · Self-dual codes · Non-unital ring

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 94B05 · 16L30

1 Introduction

The most well-known constructions in coding theory for data transmission are linear codes for two main reasons. Firstly, they offer a means to transmit data across noisy channels, safeguarding the integrity of the information. Secondly, they serve as a tool for data protection against unauthorized access, effectively employed in encryption methods. In traditional studies of coding theory, finite fields have been used as code alphabets. Over the past three decades, considerable research has extended to codes over various rings, primarily concentrating on commutative rings, often with unity. However, recent attention has shifted significantly towards non-unital rings of order four [2, 3, 10, 11, 21]. In this paper, as an alphabet, we consider a noncommutative non-unital ring $E_p = \langle r, s \mid pr = ps = 0, r^2 = r, s^2 = s, rs = r, sr = s \rangle$ of order p^2 present in the classification of Fine [7], where p is a prime number.

To provide some context, first recall some fundamental concepts. A code C of length n and size M is called an (n, M, d) -code if its minimum (Hamming) distance is d . For an (n, M, d) -code C over an alphabet of size q , the Singleton bound provides an upper bound for the code size. It states that $M \leq q^{n-d+1}$. The linear codes who achieve this bound are considered optimal linear codes and regarded as Maximum distance separable (MDS) codes. In other words, if $M = q^{n-d+1}$, the corresponding code is called MDS. Further, if $M = q^{n-d}$, the corresponding code is regarded as an almost MDS (AMDS) code. The distance of a linear code quantifies its ability to detect and correct errors. Thus, MDS and AMDS codes hold significant importance in both theoretical studies and practical applications, as their error-detecting and error-correcting capacities are maximum. These facts show that MDS and AMDS codes are worth studying.

The dual of a linear code C over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q is the collection of all the orthogonal vectors to C under Euclidean inner product, and denoted by C^\perp . If a linear code C meets its dual trivially, i.e., $C \cap C^\perp = \{0\}$, it is known as a linear complementary dual (LCD) code. This class of codes has been used in many applications, particularly in data repositories and communication systems. Besides their conventional use in data repositories and communication systems, Carlet et al. [6] recently introduced various constructions of LCD codes and explored their applications against Fault-Injection

and Side-Channel attacks. Further, LCD codes are also crucial in secret-sharing schemes [23]. These applications of LCD codes have reignited interest in constructing LCD codes with large distances. Therefore, the construction of MDS and AMDS LCD codes holds significant theoretical and practical importance.

The class of LCD codes over finite fields was first introduced by Massey in 1992 in [15], where he proved that asymptotically good LCD codes exist. In [22], it has been demonstrated that these codes achieve the Gilbert-Varshamov bound. In 2015, Liu et al. [14] provided certain conditions under which a linear code over finite chain rings becomes LCD. In 2019, Liu et al. [13] studied LCD codes over finite commutative rings and presented some results on the equivalence of free LCD codes. Meantime, Shi et al. [20] demonstrated that double circulant LCD codes exist over the ring \mathbb{Z}_4 , and then enumerated such codes for length $2n$ over \mathbb{Z}_4 . On the other hand, Prakash et al. [17] enumerated LCD double circulant codes of length $2n$ over a finite non-chain commutative ring. Moreover, Islam et al. [9] utilized cyclic codes to construct LCD codes over a finite non-chain ring. Although LCD codes have been explored over different commutative rings, only a few works are available in the literature on LCD codes over noncommutative rings. This inspires us to explore LCD codes over a noncommutative ring.

Let C and C' be two \mathbb{F}_q -linear codes of length n . Then, they are called monomial equivalent if there exists a monomial matrix $M_{n \times n}$ over \mathbb{F}_q such that $C = C'M = \{xM \mid x \in C'\}$. In 2019, Araya et al. [1] provided a comprehensive classification of binary and ternary LCD codes under monomial equivalence for lengths up to 13 and 10, respectively. Recently, in 2021, Shi et al. introduced the LCD codes over the non-unital rings for the very first time in [21] and investigated left LCD and left ACD codes over the ring E_2 . We extend some of their results and initiate the study of MDS and AMDS LCD codes over E_p . Firstly, using the classification of binary and ternary LCD codes by Araya et al. [1], we have enumerated monomial inequivalent LCD codes over E_2 for lengths up to 13 and over E_3 for lengths up to 10. Then, inequivalent LCD codes over E_2 and E_3 with a fixed minimum distance for the said lengths are enumerated. Subsequently, we have associated MDS and AMDS LCD codes over \mathbb{F}_p with MDS and AMDS codes over E_p and classified MDS and AMDS LCD codes over E_2 and E_3 for lengths up to 6.

On the other hand, if $C = C^\perp$, the linear code C over \mathbb{F}_q is known as a self-dual code. In recent times, there has been a significant surge in research on self-dual codes, largely driven by their connections with lattices, designs, and quantum error-correcting codes. Therefore, the purpose behind studying this class of codes is quite evident. In 2022, Alahmadi et al. [2] studied quasi-self-dual codes over the noncommutative non-unital ring E_2 and classified them for short lengths. Then, in a follow-up paper, they considered three non-unital rings and studied various dualities of codes over these rings [3]. Moreover, they studied self-dual codes over the ring E_p and classified them in short lengths [4]. Further, Kushwaha et al. [12] studied quasi-self-dual codes over a noncommutative non-unital ring containing 9 elements. Motivated by these works, we study two one-sided MDS and AMDS self-dual codes such as MDS and AMDS left and right self-dual codes over E_p . Firstly, we study left self-dual codes over E_p and classify MDS and AMDS left self-dual codes over E_2 and E_3 for lengths up to 12. Then, we study right self-dual codes over E_p and prove the non-existence of MDS right self-dual codes. Further, we show that AMDS right self-dual codes over E_p exist only for length 2. Finally, we study MDS and AMDS self-dual codes over E_p and classify these codes over E_2 and E_3 for short lengths. As per our survey, this is the first attempt to study MDS and AMDS LCD and self-dual codes over a noncommutative non-unital ring.

This work is structured as follows. Section 2 contains basic definitions required for the subsequent sections. Section 3 studies LCD codes over the ring E_p and classifies MDS and AMDS LCD codes over E_2 and E_3 for some specific lengths. In Section 4, MDS and AMDS left self-dual codes are classified for lengths up to 12. Moreover, we classify MDS and AMDS self-dual codes over E_2 and E_3 for short lengths. Section 5 concludes the paper.

2 Codes over the ring E_p

For any prime p , let E_p be a ring generated by two generators r and s under certain relations as follows:

$$E_p = \langle r, s \mid pr = ps = 0, r^2 = r, s^2 = s, rs = r, sr = s \rangle.$$

For an example, consider the ring E_p generated by two matrices r and s over \mathbb{F}_p where

$$r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, the ring $E_p = \{ ir + js \mid 0 \leq i, j < p \}$ contains p^2 elements and its characteristic is p . We observe that the ring E_p is noncommutative and has no multiplicative identity element. Also, $er = es = e$ for all $e \in E_p$. Let $t = r + (p-1)s$. Then, every element $e \in E_p$ has a t -adic decomposition as follows:

$$e = ur + vt \quad \text{where } u, v \in \mathbb{F}_p.$$

Further, $I = \{ mt \mid 0 \leq m < p \}$ is the unique maximal ideal of the ring E_p . Therefore, the ring E_p is a local ring with residue class field $E_p/I \cong \mathbb{F}_p = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$. Next, we can define a natural action of \mathbb{F}_p on E_p as $eu = ue$ for all $e \in E_p$ and $u \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Note that this action is distributive, i.e., for all $e \in E_p$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{F}_p$, we have $e(u \oplus_{\mathbb{F}_p} v) = eu + ev = ue + ve$ where $\oplus_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ denotes the addition in \mathbb{F}_p . Next, we write an arbitrary element of E_p in t -adic decomposition form and define a map $\alpha : E_p \rightarrow E_p/I = \mathbb{F}_p$ by

$$\alpha(e) = \alpha(ur + vt) = u.$$

This map is known as the map of reduction modulo I and can be extended naturally from E_p^n to \mathbb{F}_p^n .

Definition 1 (Linear code) A left E_p -submodule of E_p^n refers to an E_p -linear code of length n .

Definition 2 (Generating set) Let C be an E_p -linear code of length n and $X = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k\} \subset C$. Then, the (left) E_p -span of X is given by the set

$$\langle X \rangle_{E_p} = \{e_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + e_2 \mathbf{x}_2 + \dots + e_k \mathbf{x}_k \mid e_i \in E_p, \forall i\},$$

and the additive span of X is given by the set

$$\langle X \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p} = \{u_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + u_2 \mathbf{x}_2 + \dots + u_k \mathbf{x}_k \mid u_i \in \mathbb{F}_p, \forall i\}.$$

Note that $\langle X \rangle_{E_p}$ does not always contain $\langle X \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ since the ring E_p does not have the unity element. A subset $X = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k\}$ of C is called a generating set for the code C if

$$\langle X \rangle_{E_p} \cup \langle X \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p} = C.$$

Definition 3 (Generator matrix) Let C be an E_p -linear code of length n and $X = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k\} \subset C$ be its generating set. Then, a generator matrix G_{E_p} of C is a $k \times n$ matrix whose rows are $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k$ and $\langle G \rangle_{E_p} = \langle X \rangle_{E_p} \cup \langle X \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p}$.

Definition 4 (Minimum (Hamming) weight and distance of a linear code) The number of non-zero positions in a codeword of a linear code C is called the weight of that codeword, and the minimum of all the non-zero weights is defined as the minimum weight of the code C . Next, the number of positions at which two distinct codewords of a linear code C differ is called the distance between them. Then, the minimum of all the distances between two distinct codewords of C is called the minimum distance of the code C . Usually, $wt(C)$ and $d(C)$ denote the minimum weight and the distance of a linear code C , respectively.

Definition 5 (Permutation-equivalent codes) A code C_1 is called permutation-equivalent to a code C_2 if C_1 can be obtained from C_2 by a suitable coordinate permutation.

Now, we define two linear codes over \mathbb{F}_p associated with a linear code C of length n over E_p .

(i) **Residue code:** The residue code of the code C is defined by

$$Res(C) = \{\alpha(\mathbf{x}) \mid \mathbf{x} \in C\}.$$

(ii) **Torsion code:** The torsion code of the code C is given by

$$Tor(C) = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_p^n \mid \mathbf{v}t \in C\},$$

where $t = r + (p-1)s$.

Remark 1 Throughout the paper, r and s represent the generators of the ring E_p satisfying the relations $pr = ps = 0$, $r^2 = r$, $s^2 = s$, $rs = r$, $sr = s$, and $t = r + (p-1)s$. In addition, m_1 and m_2 denote the dimensions of $Res(C)$ and $Tor(C)$, respectively.

Next, for any $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in E_p^n$, define an inner product on E_p^n by

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j y_j.$$

Under this inner product, the following two duals of an E_p -linear code C of length n are defined.

(i) **Left dual:** The left dual of the code C is defined as

$$C^{\perp L} = \{z \in E_p^n \mid \langle z, w \rangle = 0, \forall w \in C\}.$$

(ii) **Right dual:** The right dual of the code C is defined as

$$C^{\perp R} = \{z \in E_p^n \mid \langle w, z \rangle = 0, \forall w \in C\}.$$

3 LCD codes over the ring E_p

This section focuses on LCD codes over the ring E_p and enumerates monomial inequivalent LCD codes over E_2 and E_3 for lengths up to 13 and 10, respectively. Also, the number of monomial inequivalent LCD codes with a given code length and minimum distance are calculated over E_2 and E_3 . Moreover, we study MDS and AMDS LCD codes over E_p and classify these codes over E_2 and E_3 under monomial equivalence for lengths up to 6.

Definition 6 (Left and right nice codes) An E_p -linear code C of length n is said to be left nice if it satisfies $|C| \cdot |C^{\perp L}| = |E_p|^n$, and is called right nice if it satisfies $|C| \cdot |C^{\perp R}| = |E_p|^n$.

Definition 7 (Left and right LCD codes) If a left (resp. right) nice code C over E_p satisfies $C \cap C^{\perp L} = \{0\}$ (resp. $C \cap C^{\perp R} = \{0\}$), it is called left (resp. right) LCD code.

Note that, for an E_p -linear code C , $C \oplus C^{\perp L} = E_p^n$ (resp. $C \oplus C^{\perp R} = E_p^n$) if and only if it is a left (resp. right) LCD code over E_p .

Definition 8 (Free code) An E_p -linear code C is called free if it can be expressed as a finite direct sum of E_p (E_p as a left E_p -module), i.e., $C = E_p \oplus E_p \oplus \dots \oplus E_p$ where $E_p = \langle x_i \rangle_{E_p}$ for some $x_i \in E_p$.

Following [2], we observe that an E_p -linear code C is free if and only if $Res(C)$ and $Tor(C)$ are equal, or equivalently, $m_2 = 0$.

The next few results for linear codes over E_p are simple extensions of some results on linear codes over E_2 from [21]. For their proofs, which are not given here, we can simply replace binary codes with codes over \mathbb{F}_p and binary matrices with matrices over \mathbb{F}_p .

Remark 2 There exists no non-zero right LCD code over E_p .

Therefore, we consider the left LCD codes as LCD codes in our further investigations.

Theorem 1 Let C be a free linear code over E_p with generator matrix G_{E_p} . Then

- (i) $C = \langle rG \rangle_{E_p}$,
- (ii) $C^{\perp L} = \langle rH \rangle_{E_p}$,

where G is a generator matrix of $Res(C)$ and H is a parity-check matrix of $Res(C)$.

Theorem 2 An LCD code over E_p is always free.

Authors in [21] have demonstrated a method for constructing LCD codes over E_2 by using LCD codes over \mathbb{F}_2 . In the next result, we extend that method for constructing LCD codes over E_p by using LCD codes over \mathbb{F}_p . We omit the proof as it follows the same procedure given in Proposition 2 in [21].

Theorem 3 Let the matrix $G_{k \times n}$ generates an LCD code D over \mathbb{F}_p . Then the E_p -span of rG , $\langle rG \rangle_{E_p}$ is an LCD code over E_p .

Theorem 4 [21] A free E_2 -LCD code C is generated by the matrix rG_2 where G_2 generates a binary LCD code D .

In the next result, we extend Theorem 4 for LCD codes over E_p and remove the word ‘‘free’’, as LCD codes are always free by Theorem 2. We omit the proof as it follows the same procedure as in proof of Theorem 4.

Theorem 5 An LCD code C over E_p has the generator matrix of the form rG for some generator matrix G of an \mathbb{F}_p -LCD code D .

The next result gives a relationship between an LCD code over E_p and an LCD code over \mathbb{F}_p .

Theorem 6 *An E_p -linear code C is LCD if and only if it is generated by a matrix of the form rG where G generates an \mathbb{F}_p -LCD code D .*

Proof By Theorem 5, an LCD code C over E_p is generated by a matrix of the form rG where G generates an \mathbb{F}_p -LCD code D .

Conversely, suppose that C is an E_p -linear code and rG be its generator matrix where G generates an \mathbb{F}_p -LCD code D . By Theorem 3, the E_p -span of rG , $\langle rG \rangle_{E_p}$ is an LCD code over E_p . It is easy to see that the E_p -span of rG always contains the additive span of rG by taking scalars as 0 or r . Therefore, the code C generated by the matrix rG is an LCD code over E_p . \square

Definition 9 (Monomial equivalent codes) Two E_p -linear codes C and C' of the same length n are called monomial equivalent over the ring E_p if there exists a monomial matrix $M_{n \times n}$ over E_p (a matrix consisting only one nonzero entry from $E_p \setminus J$ in each row and column, where J is the set of all the right zero divisors of E_p) such that $C_1 = C_2 M = \{xM \mid x \in C_2\}$.

Note that with respect to the above definition, monomial and permutation equivalence over E_2 coincide, as $er = es = e$ for all $e \in E_2$.

The next result is crucial in our study as it gives a condition under which two free linear codes over E_p are monomial inequivalent.

Theorem 7 *Let B and C be two free E_p -linear codes of the same length n . Then, B and C are monomial equivalent over E_p if and only if their residue codes $Res(B)$ and $Res(C)$ are monomial equivalent over \mathbb{F}_p .*

Proof Let B and C be two free E_p -linear codes of the same length n . By Theorem 1, B and C will have generator matrices of the form rG_1 and rG_2 , respectively, for some matrices G_1, G_2 over \mathbb{F}_p . Now, suppose that their residue codes $Res(B)$ and $Res(C)$ generated by the matrices G_1 and G_2 , respectively, are monomial equivalent over \mathbb{F}_p . Then there exists a monomial matrix $M_{n \times n}$ over \mathbb{F}_p such that $Res(B) = Res(C)M$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $G_1 = G_2M$. Since $G_1 = G_2M$ and $r^2 = r$, $rG_1 = rG_2M = rrG_2M = rG_2Mr$. This implies that $\langle rG_1 \rangle_{E_p} = \langle rG_2Mr \rangle_{E_p} = \langle rG_2 \rangle_{E_p} Mr$. Therefore, $B = C(Mr)$. We see that Mr is a monomial matrix over E_p . Thus, the free E_p -linear codes B and C are monomial equivalent over E_p .

Conversely, suppose that the two free E_p -codes B and C are monomial equivalent over E_p . Then, we must prove that their residue codes $Res(B)$ and $Res(C)$ are also monomial equivalent over \mathbb{F}_p . If possible, let $Res(B)$ and $Res(C)$ are not monomial equivalent. Then there exist at least one column c_{i_1} of G_1 and one column c_{j_1} of G_2 such that $c_{i_1} \neq uc_{j_1}$ for any $u \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Therefore, there will be a position, say m^{th} , where the corresponding entries of the columns c_{i_1} and uc_{j_1} are not equal for any $u \in \mathbb{F}_p$. This can be the case only when at m^{th} position of the columns c_{i_1} of G_1 and c_{j_1} of G_2 , entry of one column is zero, and the other column is non-zero. Then, at m^{th} position of columns rc_{i_1} of rG_1 and rc_{j_1} of rG_2 , the entry of one column will be zero and the entry of the other column will be nonzero. That is, the columns rc_{i_1} of rG_1 and rc_{j_1} of rG_2 are not equal. This shows that the free E_p -codes B and C are not monomial equivalent, which is a contradiction. Thus, the residue codes $Res(B)$ and $Res(C)$ are also monomial equivalent. \square

Next, we give an example which shows that Theorem 7 may not be true for non-free linear codes over E_p .

Example 1 Let $B = \{000, rr0, ss0, tt0, 0t0, rs0, sr0, t00\}$ and $C = \{000, r0r, s0s, t0t, 0t0, rtr, sts, ttt\}$ be two E_2 -linear codes. Then, $Res(B) = \{000, 110\}$, $Res(C) = \{000, 101\}$, $Tor(B) = \{000, 110, 010, 100\}$ and $Tor(C) = \{000, 101, 010, 111\}$. We see that the E_2 -linear codes B and C are not free, as their respective torsion and residue codes are not equal. Next, a short calculation shows that $Res(B)$ and $Res(C)$ are monomial equivalent over \mathbb{F}_2 . On the other hand, B has 2 codewords of weight 1, and C has only one codeword of weight 1. Therefore, the E_2 -linear codes B and C are not monomial equivalent.

The next result is very important for our study as it enumerates monomial inequivalent LCD codes over E_p for a fixed code length.

Theorem 8 *The number of monomial inequivalent E_p -LCD codes and the number of monomial inequivalent \mathbb{F}_p -LCD codes of the same lengths are always equal.*

Proof By Theorem 2, an LCD code C over E_p is always free. Moreover, by Theorem 7, two free E_p -codes B and C are monomial equivalent over E_p if and only if their residue codes $Res(B)$ and $Res(C)$ are monomial equivalent over \mathbb{F}_p . Then the proof follows directly from Theorem 6.

□

Our next purpose is to enumerate monomial inequivalent LCD codes over E_2 and E_3 for a fixed code length. We use Propositions 4, 5 and Tables 4, 6 from [1] together with Theorem 8 to enumerate monomial inequivalent LCD codes of a fixed length n over E_2 and E_3 and list them in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. In the tables, the number of monomial inequivalent LCD codes of length n is denoted by N . These numbers have been calculated over E_2 up to length 13 and over E_3 up to length 10.

Table 1: No. of monomial inequivalent LCD codes over E_2 .

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
N	2	3	6	10	18	34	66	138	312	790	2234	7534	30620

Table 2: No. of monomial inequivalent LCD codes over E_3 .

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
M	2	4	6	12	24	49	116	331	1136	5590

In the next result, we provide a lower bound for the number of monomial inequivalent LCD codes over E_3 for any arbitrary length n .

Theorem 9 *Let $\phi(n, m)$ denotes the number of ternary LCD codes of length n and dimension m . Then there exist at least $\sum_{m=0}^{m=n} \lceil \frac{\phi(n, m)}{2^{n-1}n!} \rceil$ monomial inequivalent E_3 -LCD codes of length n .*

Proof From [1], the automorphism group of a ternary LCD code has at least 2 elements. Hence, there are at least $\lceil \frac{\phi(n, m)}{2^{n-1}n!} \rceil$ monomial inequivalent m -dimensional ternary LCD codes of length n . The rest part of the proof holds immediately from Theorem 8. □

The next two results from [4] are essential in our further studies.

Theorem 10 [4] *For an E_p -linear code C , $rRes(C) \subseteq C$.*

Theorem 11 [4] *For an E_p -linear code C , $C = rRes(C) \oplus tTor(C)$.*

The next result relates the minimum distance of a free E_p -linear code to the minimum distance of its residue code.

Theorem 12 *Let C be a non-zero free E_p -linear code. Then the minimum distances of C and $Res(C)$ are equal.*

Proof Let $d(C) = d_C$ and $d(Res(C)) = d_R$. Since $d(Res(C)) = d_R$, there must exist some non-zero $\mathbf{z}_1 \in Res(C)$ with $wt(\mathbf{z}_1) = d_R$. Since $rRes(C) \subseteq C$ by Theorem 10, $r\mathbf{z}_1 \in C$. Then, $wt(r\mathbf{z}_1) = wt(\mathbf{z}_1) = d_R$ implies that $d_C \leq d_R$. Further, let $\mathbf{z} \in C$ with $wt(\mathbf{z}) = d_C$. This shows that $\mathbf{z} = r\mathbf{z}_1 + t\mathbf{z}_2$ for some $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2 \in Res(C)$, by Theorem 11. Then, the following cases arise:

1. When $\mathbf{z}_1 \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{z}_2 = \mathbf{0}$, $wt(\mathbf{z}) = wt(r\mathbf{z}_1) = wt(\mathbf{z}_1)$.
2. When $\mathbf{z}_1 = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{z}_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$, $wt(\mathbf{z}) = wt(t\mathbf{z}_2) = wt(\mathbf{z}_2)$.
3. When $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$, $wt(\mathbf{z}) \geq wt(r\mathbf{z}_1) = wt(\mathbf{z}_1)$.

From the above three cases, we conclude that $d_C = wt(\mathbf{z}) \geq d_R$, as $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2 \in Res(C)$ and $wt(\mathbf{z}_1), wt(\mathbf{z}_2) \geq d_R$. Thus, $d_C = d_R$. □

Next, we give an example which shows that Theorem 12 may not be true for non-free E_p -codes.

Example 2 Let $C = \{000, rr0, ss0, tt0, 0t0, rs0, sr0, t00\}$ be an E_2 -linear code. Then, $Tor(C) = \{000, 110, 010, 100\}$ and $Res(C) = \{000, 110\}$. The E_2 -linear code C is not free, as its torsion and residue codes are not equal. Further, $d(Res(C)) = 2$ and $d(C) = 1$ imply that $d(Res(C)) \neq d(C)$.

The next result enumerates monomial inequivalent E_p -LCD codes with a fixed code length and minimum distance in terms of the number of monomial inequivalent LCD codes over the finite field \mathbb{F}_p .

Theorem 13 *The number of monomial inequivalent LCD codes of length n over E_p with minimum distance d is equal to the number of monomial inequivalent LCD codes of length n over \mathbb{F}_p with minimum distance d .*

Proof By Theorem 5, we know that the generator matrix of an E_p -LCD code C is of the form rG where G generates an \mathbb{F}_p -LCD code D . In addition, by Theorem 12, the minimum distances of the E_p -LCD code C and $Res(C)$ are always equal. Moreover, $Res(C) = D$ is an LCD code over \mathbb{F}_p . Then the proof holds immediately from Theorem 8. \square

Our next purpose is to enumerate monomial inequivalent LCD codes over E_2 and E_3 for a fixed length and minimum distance. We use Propositions 4, 5 and Tables 4, 6 from [1] along with Theorem 13 to calculate the numbers of monomial inequivalent LCD codes of length n over E_2 and E_3 with a minimum distance d and list them in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. We denote by N_d the number of monomial inequivalent LCD codes of length n and minimum distance d . These numbers are given over E_2 up to length 13 and over E_3 up to length 10.

Table 3: No. of monomial inequivalent LCD codes over E_2 with a fixed minimum distance.

n	N_1	N_2	N_3	N_4	N_5	N_6	N_7	N_8	N_9	N_{10}	N_{11}	N_{12}	N_{13}
1	1												
2	2	-											
3	3	1	1										
4	6	2	1	-									
5	10	5	1	-	1								
6	18	11	3	-	1	-							
7	34	24	4	1	1	-	1						
8	66	57	9	2	2	-	1	-					
9	138	140	23	5	2	1	1	-	1				
10	312	393	61	13	6	2	1	-	1	-			
11	790	1199	185	41	11	4	1	-	1	-	1		
12	2234	4381	726	152	28	7	3	-	1	-	1	-	
13	7534	18717	3558	708	79	16	3	1	1	-	1	-	1

Table 4: No. of monomial inequivalent LCD codes over E_3 with a fixed minimum distance.

n	N_1	N_2	N_3	N_4	N_5	N_6	N_7	N_8	N_9	N_{10}
1	1									
2	2	1								
3	4	1	-							
4	6	4	-	1						
5	12	8	1	1	1					
6	24	17	4	2	1	-				
7	49	47	12	5	1	-	1			
8	116	149	43	17	3	-	1	1		
9	331	539	191	63	8	1	1	1	-	
10	1136	2732	1266	410	37	4	2	1	-	1

3.1 MDS and AMDS LCD codes over E_p

For an (n, M, d) -code, the Singleton bound is already defined in [19]. Here, we define the same bound over the ring E_p .

Definition 10 (Singleton bound) For an (n, M, d) -code C over E_p , the Singleton bound states that $M \leq |E_p|^{n-d+1}$.

Definition 11 (MDS and AMDS codes) For a linear (n, M, d) -code C over E_p , if $M = |E_p|^{n-d+1}$ (resp. $M = |E_p|^{n-d}$), it is known as an MDS (resp. AMDS) code.

The next result is crucial in our study as it characterizes free MDS and AMDS codes over E_p .

Theorem 14 A free linear code C of length n over E_p with minimum distance d is an MDS (resp. AMDS) code over E_p if and only if its residue code is an MDS (resp. AMDS) code over \mathbb{F}_p .

Proof The torsion and residue codes of a free E_p -linear code C are always equal. Hence,

$$|C| = |Res(C)||Tor(C) = |Res(C)|^2 = (p^2)^{m_1},$$

and

$$|E_p|^{n-d+1} = (p^2)^{n-d+1}.$$

These imply that $|C| = |E_p|^{n-d+1}$ if and only if $m_1 = n - d + 1$. Moreover, by Theorem 12, $d(C) = d(\text{Res}(C))$. Therefore, the free E_p -linear code C is MDS if and only if its residue code is MDS. Similar arguments work for the AMDS part. \square

The following corollary is very important, as it provides a necessary and sufficient condition for an LCD code C over E_p to be MDS or AMDS.

Corollary 1 *An E_p -LCD code C is MDS (resp. AMDS) if and only if its residue code is an LCD MDS (resp. AMDS) code over \mathbb{F}_p .*

Proof By Theorem 2, an E_p -LCD code C is always free. Then the proof holds immediately from Theorem 14. \square

The following examples show that Theorem 14 may not hold for non-free linear codes over E_p .

Example 3 Let C be an E_2 -linear code with generator matrix

$$G_{E_2} = \begin{pmatrix} r & r & r & r \\ t & 0 & 0 & t \\ 0 & t & 0 & t \\ 0 & 0 & t & t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, its residue and torsion codes have respective generator matrices

$$G_1 = (1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1) \text{ and } G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This shows that C is not free. We can easily see that the residue code is a $(4, 2, 4)$ binary code. Therefore, the residue code is an MDS code. But the E_2 -linear code C is a $(4, 16, 2)$ -code which is not MDS as $|C| = 2^4$ and $|E_2|^{n-d+1} = 2^6$.

Example 4 Let $C = \{000, r0r, s0s, t0t, 0t0, rtr, sts, ttt\}$ be an E_2 -linear code of length 3. Then, $\text{Res}(C) = \{000, 101\}$ and $\text{Tor}(C) = \{000, 101, 010, 111\}$. This linear code C over E_2 is not free as its torsion and residue codes are not equal. Further, we see that $\text{Res}(C)$ is a $(3, 2, 2)$ -code which is an AMDS code. But C is a $(3, 8, 1)$ -code over E_2 which is not AMDS, as $|C| = 2^3$ and $|E_2|^{n-d} = 2^4$.

The next result enumerates monomial inequivalent MDS and AMDS LCD codes over E_p in terms of the number of monomial inequivalent MDS and AMDS LCD codes over \mathbb{F}_p having the same lengths and minimum distances.

Theorem 15 *The number of monomial inequivalent MDS (resp. AMDS) LCD codes over E_p and the number of monomial inequivalent MDS (resp. AMDS) LCD codes over \mathbb{F}_p having the same lengths and minimum distances are equal.*

Proof The proof is direct by using Theorems 13 and 14. \square

Our next purpose is to classify MDS and AMDS LCD codes over E_2 and E_3 for some specific lengths. We rely on [1] for inequivalent binary and ternary LCD codes and use MAGMA [5] to find MDS and AMDS binary and ternary LCD codes. Then, we use Theorems 1, 2, 7, 12, and Corollary 1 to classify monomial inequivalent MDS and AMDS LCD codes over E_2 and E_3 for lengths up to 6 and list them in Tables 5 and 6, respectively.

Table 5: Monomial inequivalent MDS and AMDS LCD codes over E_2 .

n	Generator Matrix	Minimum Distance	Remark
1	(r)	1	MDS
2	$(r \ 0)$	1	AMDS
	rI_2	1	MDS

3	$(r r r)$	3	MDS	
	$(r r 0)$ $(r 0 r)$	2	MDS	
	$(0 r 0)$ $(0 0 r)$	1	AMDS	
	rI_3	1	MDS	
4	$(r r r 0)$	3	AMDS	
	$(r 0 r r)$ $(0 r r r)$	2	AMDS	
	$(r 0 0 r)$ $(0 r 0 r)$	2	AMDS	
	$(0 r 0 0)$ $(0 0 r 0)$ $(0 0 0 r)$	1	AMDS	
	$(r r 0 0)$ $(r 0 r 0)$ $(0 0 0 r)$	1	AMDS	
	rI_4	1	MDS	
	5	$(r r r r r)$	5	MDS
$(0 r r 0 0)$ $(0 r 0 r 0)$ $(r r 0 0 r)$		2	AMDS	
$(0 r 0 0 0)$ $(0 0 r 0 0)$ $(0 0 0 r 0)$ $(0 0 0 0 r)$		1	AMDS	
$(r r 0 0 0)$ $(r 0 r 0 0)$ $(r 0 0 r 0)$ $(r 0 0 0 r)$		2	MDS	
$(r r 0 0 0)$ $(r 0 r 0 0)$ $(0 0 0 r 0)$ $(0 0 0 0 r)$		1	AMDS	
rI_5		1	MDS	
6		$(r 0 r r r r)$	5	AMDS
		$(0 r r 0 0 0)$ $(0 r 0 r 0 0)$ $(0 r 0 0 r 0)$ $(r r 0 0 0 r)$	2	AMDS
		$(r r r 0 0 0)$ $(r 0 0 r 0 0)$ $(0 r 0 0 r 0)$ $(0 r 0 0 0 r)$	2	AMDS
		$(r 0 r 0 0 0)$ $(r 0 0 r 0 0)$ $(0 r 0 0 r 0)$ $(0 r 0 0 0 r)$	2	AMDS
	$(0 r r 0 0 0)$ $(0 r 0 r 0 0)$ $(0 r 0 0 r 0)$ $(0 r 0 0 0 r)$	2	AMDS	

	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r & 0 & r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r & 0 & 0 & r & 0 & 0 \\ r & 0 & 0 & 0 & r & 0 \\ r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$	1	AMDS
	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$	1	AMDS
	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r & 0 & 0 \\ r & 0 & 0 & 0 & r & 0 \\ r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$	1	AMDS
	rI_6	1	MDS

Table 6: Monomial inequivalent MDS and AMDS LCD codes over E_3 .

n	Generator Matrix	Minimum Distance	Remark
1	(r)	1	MDS
2	$(r \ r)$	2	MDS
	$(r \ 0)$ rI_2	1 1	AMDS MDS
3	$(r \ r \ 0)$ $(2r \ r \ 0)$	2 1	AMDS AMDS
	$(0 \ r \ 0)$ $(0 \ 0 \ r)$ rI_3	1 1 1	AMDS AMDS MDS
	4	$(r \ 2r \ 2r \ r)$	4
$(r \ 0 \ r \ 0)$ $(0 \ r \ 2r \ r)$		2	AMDS
$(r \ 0 \ r \ 0)$ $(0 \ r \ 0 \ r)$		2	AMDS
$(0 \ r \ 0 \ 0)$ $(r \ 0 \ r \ 0)$ $(0 \ 0 \ 0 \ r)$		1	AMDS
$(r \ r \ 0 \ 0)$ $(r \ 0 \ r \ 0)$ $(2r \ 0 \ 0 \ r)$		2	MDS
$(0 \ r \ 0 \ 0)$ $(0 \ 0 \ r \ 0)$ $(0 \ 0 \ 0 \ r)$ rI_4		1 1 1 1	AMDS AMDS AMDS MDS
		$(r \ r \ r \ r \ r)$	5
	$(r \ r \ 2r \ 0 \ r)$	4	AMDS
	$(r \ 0 \ 2r \ 0 \ r)$ $(0 \ r \ 2r \ 2r \ 0)$	3	AMDS
	$(r \ 0 \ r \ 0 \ 0)$ $(r \ 0 \ 0 \ r \ 0)$ $(2r \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ r)$	2	AMDS

5	$\begin{pmatrix} r & r & r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r & 0 & r & 0 \\ 2r & 0 & 0 & 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$	2	AMDS
	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & r & r & 0 & 0 \\ r & r & 0 & r & 0 \\ 0 & 2r & 0 & 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$	2	AMDS
	$\begin{pmatrix} 2r & r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2r & 0 & r & 0 & 0 \\ 2r & 0 & 0 & r & 0 \\ 2r & 0 & 0 & 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$	2	MDS
	$\begin{pmatrix} 2r & r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r & 0 & r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r & 0 \\ 2r & 0 & 0 & 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$	1	AMDS
	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$	1	AMDS
	$\begin{pmatrix} 2r & r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$	1	AMDS
	rI_5	1	MDS
	$(r \ r \ 2r \ 0 \ 2r \ r)$	5	AMDS
	$\begin{pmatrix} r & 0 & r & r & r & r \\ 0 & r & 2r & 2r & r & r \end{pmatrix}$	4	AMDS
	$\begin{pmatrix} r & 0 & 0 & 2r & 0 & r \\ 0 & r & 0 & 2r & 2r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r & 0 & 2r & r \end{pmatrix}$	3	AMDS
$\begin{pmatrix} r & 0 & 0 & 2r & 0 & r \\ 0 & r & 0 & 2r & 2r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r & 2r & 2r & r \end{pmatrix}$	3	AMDS	
$\begin{pmatrix} 2r & 0 & r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2r & 0 & r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r & 0 & 0 & r & 0 \\ 0 & 2r & 0 & 0 & 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$	2	AMDS	
$\begin{pmatrix} 2r & r & r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2r & r & 0 & r & 0 & 0 \\ 2r & 2r & 0 & 0 & r & 0 \\ 2r & 2r & 0 & 0 & 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$	2	AMDS	
$\begin{pmatrix} 2r & 0 & r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2r & 0 & 0 & r & 0 & 0 \\ 2r & 0 & 0 & 0 & r & 0 \\ 2r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$	2	AMDS	
$\begin{pmatrix} 2r & r & r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r & 0 & r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2r & 0 & 0 & r & 0 \\ r & 2r & 0 & 0 & 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$	2	AMDS	
$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r & 0 & 0 & r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$	1	AMDS	
6			

	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r & 0 & r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2r & 0 & 0 & r & 0 & 0 \\ 2r & 0 & 0 & 0 & r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$	1	AMDS
	$\begin{pmatrix} 2r & r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r & 0 & r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r & 0 & 0 \\ r & 0 & 0 & 0 & r & 0 \\ 2r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$	1	AMDS
	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$	1	AMDS
	rI_6	1	MDS

4 Self-dual codes

This section focuses on the study of left, right and two-sided self-dual codes over E_p . Firstly, we study left self-dual codes over E_p and classify these codes which are MDS and AMDS over E_2 and E_3 for lengths up to 12. Then, we study right self-dual codes over E_p and prove the non-existence of right self-dual MDS codes. Also, we show that the right self-dual AMDS codes over E_p exist only for the code length 2. Finally, we study MDS and AMDS two-sided self-dual codes over E_p and classify these codes over E_2 and E_3 for lengths up to 6 and 4, respectively.

Definition 12 (Left and right self-dual codes) If an E_p -linear code C satisfies $C = C^{\perp_L}$ (resp. $C = C^{\perp_R}$), it is known as a left (resp. right) self-dual code.

Definition 13 (Quasi-self-dual and self-dual codes) If an E_p -linear code C of length n satisfies $C \subseteq C^{\perp_L} \cap C^{\perp_R}$ and $|C| = p^n$, it is known as a quasi-self-dual (QSD) code. Further, if $C = C^{\perp_L} \cap C^{\perp_R}$, the code C refers to a self-dual code.

The next result gives a condition under which an E_p -linear code C becomes left self-dual.

Theorem 16 *An E_p -linear code C is a left self-dual code over E_p if and only if C is free and its residue code is a self-dual code over \mathbb{F}_p .*

Proof Let C be a left self-dual code over E_p . Then, C is free, by Lemma 5 from [21]. We have $Res(C) = Res(C^{\perp_L})$, as $C = C^{\perp_L}$. On the other hand, $Res(C^{\perp_L}) = Res(C)^\perp$ by Lemma 8 from [21]. This implies that $Res(C) = Res(C)^\perp$. Therefore, the residue code is self-dual.

Conversely, suppose that the E_p -linear code C is free and its residue code is self-dual. Then, by Theorem 11,

$$\begin{aligned} C^{\perp_L} &= rRes(C^{\perp_L}) \oplus tTor(C^{\perp_L}) \\ &= rRes(C^{\perp_L}) \oplus tRes(C^{\perp_L}) \\ &= rRes(C)^\perp \oplus tRes(C)^\perp \\ &= rRes(C) \oplus tRes(C) \\ &= rRes(C) \oplus tTor(C) \\ &= C. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, C is left self-dual. □

The following result is very important in our study, as it gives a necessary and sufficient condition under which a left self-dual E_p -code becomes MDS or AMDS.

Theorem 17 *A left self-dual E_p -code C is MDS (resp. AMDS) if and only if its residue code is an MDS (resp. AMDS) code over \mathbb{F}_p .*

Proof By Theorem 16, a left self-dual E_p -code is always free. Therefore, by Theorem 14, a left self-dual E_p -code C is MDS (resp. AMDS) if and only if its residue code is an MDS (resp. AMDS) code over \mathbb{F}_p .

□

The next result enumerates monomial inequivalent MDS and AMDS left self-dual codes of length n over E_p with minimum distance d in terms of the number of monomial inequivalent MDS and AMDS self-dual codes over \mathbb{F}_p having the same length and minimum distance.

Theorem 18 *The number of monomial inequivalent MDS (resp. AMDS) left self-dual codes of length n over E_p with minimum distance d and the number of monomial inequivalent MDS (resp. AMDS) self-dual codes over \mathbb{F}_p with the same length and minimum distance are equal.*

Proof By Theorem 16, a left self-dual code C over E_p is always free and its residue code is a self-dual code. Then the result holds directly from Theorems 12 and 17.

□

Our next purpose is the classification of MDS and AMDS left self-dual codes over E_2 and E_3 for some specific lengths. Theorem 17 can be used to classify MDS and AMDS left self-dual codes over E_p . We refer [8,16,18] for inequivalent binary and ternary self-dual codes and use MAGMA computer algebra system [5] to find MDS and AMDS binary and ternary self-dual codes. Then, we use Theorems 1 and 17 to construct monomial inequivalent MDS and AMDS left self-dual codes over E_2 and E_3 for lengths up to 12 and list them in Tables 7 and 8, respectively. The length (up to 12) is absent in the tables if there exists no MDS or AMDS left self-dual code of that length.

Table 7: Monomial inequivalent MDS and AMDS left self-dual codes over E_2 .

n	Generator Matrix	Minimum Distance	Remark
2	$(r \ r)$	2	MDS
4	$\begin{pmatrix} r & r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r & r \end{pmatrix}$	2	AMDS
8	$\begin{pmatrix} r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r & r & r \\ 0 & r & 0 & 0 & r & 0 & r & r \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r & r & r & 0 & r \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r & r & r & r & r \end{pmatrix}$	4	AMDS

Table 8: Monomial inequivalent MDS and AMDS left self-dual codes over E_3 .

n	Generator Matrix	Minimum Distance	Remark
4	$\begin{pmatrix} r & 0 & r & r \\ 0 & r & r & 2r \end{pmatrix}$	3	MDS
12	$\begin{pmatrix} r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r & r & r & r & r \\ 0 & r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2r & 0 & r & 2r & 2r & r \\ 0 & 0 & r & 0 & 0 & 2r & r & 0 & r & 2r & 2r \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r & 0 & 2r & 2r & r & 0 & r & 2r \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r & 0 & 2r & 2r & 2r & r & 0 & r \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r & 2r & r & 2r & 2r & r & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	6	AMDS

In the next result, we investigate MDS and AMDS right self-dual codes over E_p . This result proves the non-existence of MDS right self-dual codes over E_p . It also shows that AMDS right self-dual codes exist over E_p only for the code length 2.

Theorem 19 *No MDS right self-dual code exists over the ring E_p . Moreover, a right self-dual code C over E_p is AMDS if and only if its length is 2.*

Proof By (iv) of Theorem 2 in [4], an E_p -code C of length n is right self-dual if and only if $C = t\mathbb{F}_p^n$. Then,

$$d = d(C) = d(t\mathbb{F}_p^n) = d(\mathbb{F}_p^n) = 1.$$

Also,

$$|C| = |t\mathbb{F}_p^n| = |\mathbb{F}_p^n| = p^n.$$

Hence, $|E_p|^{n-d+1} = (p^2)^{n-1+1} = p^{2n}$ and $|E_p|^{n-d} = (p^2)^{n-1} = p^{2n-2}$. This shows that C can never be an MDS right self-dual code over E_p , as $|C| \neq |E_p|^{n-d+1}$. On the other hand, suppose that the right self-dual E_p -code C is AMDS. Then, $p^n = p^{2n-2}$ implies that $n = 2n - 2$ and so $n = 2$. Conversely, if the right self-dual code C is of length 2, it is AMDS. Thus, the code C is AMDS if and only if its length is 2. \square

Next, we study self-dual MDS and AMDS codes over E_p . In Remark 2 of [3], authors have proved that the concepts of QSD codes and self-dual codes over E_2 coincide. This result is also true for codes over E_p . The proof follows the same approach as in [3]. We use this result in the next theorem to show that the odd lengths MDS and AMDS self-dual codes do not exist over E_p .

Theorem 20 *Any MDS or AMDS self-dual code over E_p must have an even length. Moreover, m_2 is also even.*

Proof Let C be a self-dual code of length n over E_p with minimum distance d . Since all the self-dual codes over E_p are also QSD, we have $|C| = p^n$. Now, if C is MDS, then $|C| = p^n = |E_p|^{n-d+1}$ implies that $n = 2n - 2d + 2$. Hence, $n = 2(d - 1)$. On the other hand, if C is AMDS, then $|C| = p^n = |E_p|^{n-d}$ implies that $n = 2n - 2d$. Therefore, $n = 2d$. Thus, from both scenarios, we conclude that any MDS or AMDS self-dual code over E_p must have an even length. Further, $|C| = p^{2m_1+m_2} = p^n$ implies that $2m_1 + m_2 = n$, and so $m_2 = n - 2m_1$. Therefore, m_2 is also even. \square

The next result shows that there is no MDS self-dual code over E_p with minimum distance 1.

Theorem 21 *There exist no MDS self-dual code over E_p with $d(C) = 1$.*

Proof Let C be an MDS self-dual code of length n over E_p with minimum distance d . Then, by Theorem 20, $n = 2(d - 1)$. This shows that d should always be greater than 1, and hence the result follows. \square

Our next purpose is to classify self-dual MDS and AMDS codes over E_2 and E_3 . QSD codes over E_2 are classified in [2] for lengths up to 6. We use this classification and MAGMA computer algebra system [5] to classify self-dual MDS and AMDS codes over E_2 for lengths up to 6 and list them in Table 9. Moreover, authors in [2] have shown that for a QSD code C over E_2 , $Tor(C) = Res(C)^\perp$ and $Res(C)$ is a self-orthogonal code. This result is also true for codes over E_p . We use this result and Theorem 11 to construct monomial inequivalent self-dual codes over E_3 and use MAGMA computer algebra system [5] to classify MDS and AMDS self-dual codes over E_3 for lengths up to 4 and list them in Table 10. The lengths (up to 6 and 4, resp.) are absent in the tables if no MDS or AMDS self-dual code exists for that length.

Table 9: Monomial inequivalent MDS and AMDS self-dual codes over E_2 .

n	Generator Matrix	Minimum Distance	Remark
2	$\begin{pmatrix} r & r \end{pmatrix}$	2	MDS
	tI_2	1	AMDS
4	$\begin{pmatrix} r & 0 & r & 0 \\ 0 & r & 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$	2	AMDS
	$\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 & 0 & t \\ r & r & r & r \\ 0 & t & 0 & t \\ 0 & 0 & t & t \end{pmatrix}$	2	AMDS

Table 10: Monomial inequivalent MDS and AMDS self-dual codes over E_3 .

n	Generator Matrix	Minimum Distance	Remark
2	tI_2	1	AMDS
4	$\begin{pmatrix} r & 0 & 2r & 2r \\ 0 & r & 2r & r \end{pmatrix}$	3	MDS

5 Conclusion

This paper presented the study of LCD and self-dual codes over a non-unital noncommutative ring E_p . Firstly, the enumeration of monomial inequivalent LCD codes over E_2 and E_3 with a fixed code length and minimum distance is carried out for lengths up to 13 and 10, respectively. Then, the classification of MDS and AMDS LCD codes over E_2 and E_3 is presented for lengths up to 6. Further, we have introduced MDS and AMDS left self-dual codes over E_p and classified these codes over E_2 and E_3 for lengths up to 12. Also, we have shown that MDS right self-dual codes do not exist over E_p . Finally, self-dual codes have been introduced over the ring E_p , and then MDS and AMDS self-dual codes over E_2 and E_3 are classified for smaller lengths.

Acknowledgement

The first author thanks the Council of Scientific & Industrial Research (under grant No. 09/1023(16098)/2022-EMR-I), Govt. of India, for financial support.

Declarations

Use of AI tools: The authors confirm that no Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools were used for the preparation of this manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest related to the publication of this manuscript.

Statement on Data Availability: The authors confirm that this manuscript encompasses all the data used to support the findings of this study. For any essential clarifications, requests can be directed to the corresponding author.

References

1. Araya, M., Harada, M.: On the classification of linear complementary dual codes. *Discrete Math.* **342**(1), 270-278 (2019)
2. Alahmadi, A., Altassan, A., Basaffar, W., Shoaib, H., Bonnezeze, A., Solé, P.: Type IV codes over a non-unital ring. *J. Algebra Appl.* **21**(7), 2250142, 16 pp. (2022)
3. Alahmadi, A., Melaibari, A., Solé, P.: Duality of codes over non-unital rings of order four. *IEEE Access.* **11**, 53120-53133 (2023)
4. Alahmadi, A., Alshuhail, A., Betty, R.A., Galvez, L., Solé, P.: The mass formula for self-orthogonal and self-dual codes over a non-unital non-commutative ring. *Mathematics*, **12**(6), 862 (2024)
5. Bosma, W., Cannon, J.: *Handbook of Magma Functions*, Univ. of Sydney, (1995)
6. Carlet, C., Guilley, S.: Complementary dual codes for counter-measures to side-channel attacks. *Adv. Math. Commun.* **10**(1), 131-150 (2016)
7. Fine, B.: Classification of finite rings of order p^2 . *Math. Mag.* **66**(4), 248-252 (1993)
8. Harada, M., Munemasa, A.: Database of self-dual codes. <https://www.math.is.tohoku.ac.jp/munemasa/selfdualcodes.htm>. (Accessed on 3 January, 2025)
9. Islam, H., Prakash, O.: Construction of LCD and new quantum codes from cyclic codes over a finite non-chain ring. *Cryptogr. Commun.* **14**(1), 59-73 (2022)
10. Kim, J.L., Roe, Y.G.: Construction of quasi-self-dual codes over a commutative non-unital ring of order 4. *Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput.* **35**, 1-14 (2022), DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00200-022-00553-8>
11. Kim, J.L., Ohk, D.E.: DNA codes over two noncommutative rings of order four. *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **68**(3), 2015-2038 (2022)
12. Kushwaha, A., Yadav, S., Prakash, O.: Quasi-self-dual, Right LCD and ACD codes over a noncommutative non-unital ring. *J. Algebra Comb. Discrete Struct. Appl.* **11**(3), 207-225 (2024)
13. Liu, Z., Wang, J.: Linear complementary dual codes over rings. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **87**(12), 3077-3086 (2019)
14. Liu, X., Liu, H.: LCD codes over finite chain rings. *Finite Fields Appl.* **34**, 1-19 (2015)
15. Massey, J.L.: Linear codes with complementary duals. *Discrete Math.* **106**, 337-342 (1992)
16. Mallows, C.L., Pless, V., Sloane, N.J.A.: Self-Dual Codes over $GF(3)$. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **31**(4), 649-666 (1976)
17. Prakash, O., Yadav, S., Islam, H., Solé, P.: Self-dual and LCD double circulant codes over a class of non-local rings. *Comput. Appl. Math.* **41**(6), 245, 16 pp. (2022)
18. Pless, V.: A classification of self-orthogonal codes over $GF(2)$. *Discrete Math.* **3**, 209-246 (1972)
19. Singleton, R.C.: Maximum distance q -nary codes. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, **10**, 116-118 (1964)
20. Shi, M., Huang D., Sok, L., Solé, P.: Double circulant LCD codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 . *Finite Fields Appl.* **58**, 133-144 (2019)
21. Shi, M., Li, S., Kim, J.L., Solé, P.: LCD and ACD codes over a noncommutative non-unital ring with four elements. *Cryptogr. Commun.* **14**(3), 627-640 (2022)
22. Sendrier, N.: Linear codes with complementary duals meet the Gilbert-Varshamov bound. *Discrete math.* **285**(1-3), 345-347 (2004)
23. Yadav, S., Debnath, I., Prakash, O.: Some constructions of l -Galois LCD codes. *Adv. Math. Commun.* **19**(1), 227-244 (2025)