PREPARED FOR SUBMISSION TO JCAP

## **Cosmological Perturbation Theory** with Trinity of Scalar Fields

# Amjad Ashoorioon<sup>*a*</sup>, Shinji Mukohyama<sup>*b,c*</sup>, Kazem Rezazadeh<sup>*a*</sup>, and Navid Talebizadeh<sup>*a*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Physics, The Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM)

P.O. Box 19395-5531, Tehran, Iran

<sup>b</sup>2Center for Gravitational Physics and Quantum Information, Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics,

Kyoto University, 606-8502, Kyoto, Japan

<sup>c</sup> Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI),

The University of Tokyo Institutes for Advanced Study, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8583, Japan

E-mail: amjad@ipm.ir, shinji.mukohyama@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp, rezazadeh86@gmail.com, navidtalebizadeh@ipm.ir

**Abstract.** This paper explicitly develops the three-field cosmological perturbation theory with a flat field space. We solve the background and perturbation equations numerically for three different cases. First, to check the consistency of the three-field formalism, we investigate an effective two-field model motivated by the two-block case of the multi-giant vacua matrix Inflation model. Then we investigate a completely three-field case without any direct interaction between different fields, and finally, a three-field case containing direct interactions. The power spectra of the curvature perturbations in all cases are computed numerically, and the effects of rapid turn in the power spectrum are highlighted.

## Contents

T	Int	roduction	1	
<b>2</b>	Three-Field Cosmological Perturbation Theory - Background Level			
3	Three-Field Cosmological Perturbation Theory - Perturbative Level			
	3.1	Curvature and isocurvature directions	5	
	3.2	Observables	10	
4	Results		11	
	4.1 Initial conditions		11	
	4.2 Two-Field Case		12	
		4.2.1 Background Solution	13	
		4.2.2 Perturbation Level	13	
	4.3	4.3 Three-Field Non-Interacting Case		
		4.3.1 Background Solution	14	
		4.3.2 Perturbative Level	15	
	4.4	4.4 Three-Field Interacting Case		
		4.4.1 Background Solution	20	
		4.4.2 Perturbative Level	22	
<b>5</b>	Co	nclusion	22	

## 1 Introduction

The inflationary paradigm has become an essential part of modern cosmology, providing a compelling explanation for the observed large-scale homogeneity and isotropy of the universe, and also a mechanism for explaining the origin of the small anisotropies observed in the temperature fluctuations in the cosmic microwave background (CMB). Inflation also provides phenomenological predictions that could be tested by current and future experiments. For instance, the fact that inflation produces a red scalar power spectrum is expected from the fact that inflation has ended. This is compatible with the latest result of the Planck 2018 experiment which determines  $n_s = 0.9649 \pm 0.0042$  [1]. Inflationary models naturally produce gravitational waves (GW), whose amplitude however depends on the scale of inflation, and hence, may be unobservable if the scale of inflation is too low. Although inflation was not designed to explain the origin of primordial black holes (PBH), they can be used to explain the fluctuating modes with large amplitudes needed to explain the origin of PBHs. An inflationary epoch can also act like a very high energy lab that can provide us with deeper insights about the species with masses around the inflationary Hubble parameter [2].

Inflation is a paradigm and there are a plethora of models which can give the desired behavior for the early evolution of the universe. The simplest models of inflation are the single-field models. They successfully fulfill the current observational constraints, most of which come from the CMB data [1, 3]. The single scalar degree of freedom (DoF) during inflation can be explained from the effective field theory point of view [4, 5], in which one expects a goldstone boson from the breaking of the time translation symmetry [6, 7]. Nonetheless, there are some phenomenological and theoretical motivations to think about more complicated models that involve more than one field.

Single field models predict the spectrum of perturbations to be nearly gaussian,  $f_{\rm NL}^{\rm loc} \sim \mathcal{O}(0.01)$ . However, current available observations do not exclude the possibility of having larger non-gaussianities, e.g. from the Planck 2018 experiment [8], we have  $f_{\rm NL}^{\rm loc} = -0.9 \pm 5.1$ . Future observations may severely constrain the non-gaussianity [9, 10]. Multi-field models of inflation are one of the candidates that can produce non-gaussianities in the primordial spectra. Another phenomenological motivation is related to the enhancement of the scalar power spectrum on scales smaller than the observed scales, e.g. in CMB [11–13]. These enhancements can in turn lead to other observable phenomena, e.g. PBH production [14], which may fully or partly contribute to the dark matter content of the universe [15–17], and also the production of the second order GWs [18, 19]. Some mechanisms have been introduced which can enhance the scalar power spectrum on relevant scales e.g. excited states [20], resonant amplification [21], having different dispersion relation [13] and, phase transition[22]. Another possible mechanism to enhance the power spectrum is to have sharp turns in the field trajectory in multi-field inflationary models (See e.g. [23, 24]). Having turns in the trajectory of the field space, also known as the non-geodesic trajectory in the literature, can also cause some other interesting phenomena, e.g. generation of derivative interactions between the adiabatic and non-adiabatic modes [25–27], and particle production due to the non-gravitational interactions arising from the non-geodesic motion in the field space [28].

Multiple-field models of inflation also have some dynamical properties that are absent in the single-field models, the most significant of which is the appearance of isocurvature perturbations. The presence of isocurvature modes can alter the overall curvature perturbation during inflation, which in turn can make a detectable non-gaussianity. There would also be some residual isocurvature fluctuation which can be correlated to the curvature perturbations [29]. In this sense, detection of primordial isocurvature perturbations would be a sign for more complicated models of inflation. However, there are some observational constraints on the isocurvature modes in the early radiation dominated era, the most important of which arises from CMB anisotropies. Structure of acoustic peaks for pure isocurvature, and pure adiabatic initial condition of perturbation is a very distinctive feature. Detection of the first acoustic peak at  $l \simeq 220$  ruled out a pure isocurvature mode as a sole source of perturbations [30]. However, presence of a subdominant isocurvature contribution is not still ruled out. Degrees of freedom other than the inflaton can also produce the primordial perturbations, the most well-known scenario of which is the curvaton model [31]. There are also some theoretical motivations for inflationary models with more than one scalar field. From the perspective of UV physics, existing multiple scalar fields is a natural expectation. In supergravity and stringy models there exists a plethora of scalar moduli fields (See e.g. [32-44]), and in theories that gravity propagates in extra-dimensions, infinite series of particles arises from the extra dimension and the inflaton field can mix with these fields through the coupling to the higher-dimensional Ricci scalar. Another theoretical motivation comes from the swampland conjectures [45–48], which although still under debate, put constraints on the parameters of low-energy models which possess a UV completion in string theory, and from these constraints having multiple fields in the inflationary model is motivated [49].

So far several more-than-one field inflationary scenarios have been proposed, most of which are two-field models, e.g. assisted inflation models [50], N-flation [51], and curvaton models[31]. General formalisms of multi-field dynamics, in both the background and perturbation level, have also been developed[25, 27, 52], and the two-field case has been studied carefully [53, 54]. More-than-two-field models are also studied from different points of view, e.g. in the context of EFT of inflation [55], or investigating the resulting GW background [56, 57]. A general multiple-field model has also been introduced in [42, 43], which is called Matrix-Inflation (M-flation). In this model, which is inspired by string theory and D-brane dynamics, the inflaton fields were taken to be matrix-valued objects. It is shown that this model can be reduced to a standard single or multiple-field model at the classical level, however depending on the matrix dimension, there could be many isocurvature modes with a specific mass spectrum at the quantum level.

The dynamics of different DoFs during the inflation depend on their masses. The lightness and heaviness of the modes in this context are determined compared to the Hubble parameter. Therefore, typically we have three classes of modes which are light modes, m < H, heavy modes, m > H, and, modes which have masses at the order of Hubble parameters,  $m \sim H$ , we call them medium mass modes. Usually the light modes are the ones that drive inflation. Heavy modes usually do not contribute to the inflationary dynamics, since the amplitude of their quantum perturbations decay exponentially after exiting the horizon. Effects of existing medium mass modes beside the light inflaton mode is investigated in the quasi-single field model [58]. Having three types of DoFs according to their masses shows that a three-field model can be a typical case that can potentially include all different type of modes. Three-field inflationary models are also shown to have some unique properties, different from the two field case, and more similar to more-than-three-field models, enabling a more direct generalization to N-field models with N > 3 [56, 57].

In this paper, we are going to develop the three-field scenario explicitly and investigate the background and perturbative regime by solving the equations numerically for some different cases, highlighting the effect of rapid turns in the field trajectory. For simplicity, we do not consider the curved field space in this work. We plan to investigate the non-flat field space case in future. In section 2, we construct the basic formulation of the background dynamics. We develop the perturbative regime in section 3, and show how to obtain equations in kinematic basis which characterize the adiabatic and isocurvature modes. We solved the background and perturbative equations for three different cases numerically, and the results are shown in section 4. The first case is an effectively two-field model, inspired from the two-block M-flationary scenario. The second case is a completely three-field case, in which the field have only self-interactions. The final case is a three-field case where the fields interact with each other too. The effect of the turns in background field trajectory is highlighted in the three-field cases. Finally, we conclude the paper in section 5.

## 2 Three-Field Cosmological Perturbation Theory - Background Level

In this section, we are going to develop the three-field Cosmological Perturbation Theory (TFCPT). As was mentioned in the introduction, in the construction of inflation within more fundamental theories such as string theory, usually numerous fields are involved. Having multiple DoFs during inflation can have observational effects which may be tested in the light of the upcoming experiments observing GWs or CMB at smaller scales. In this sense, investigating the three-field case explicitly would be a small but important step forward in understanding the possible multiple-field dynamics of the inflationary era.

For simplicity, we consider the simplest scenario, consisting of three scalar fields with canonical kinetic terms  $^{1}$ ,

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \chi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \sigma)^2 + V(\phi, \chi, \sigma) \right].$$
(2.1)

The background equations of motion are

$$\phi + 3H\phi + V_{,\phi} = 0, \qquad (2.2)$$

$$\ddot{\chi} + 3H\dot{\chi} + V_{,\chi} = 0,$$
 (2.3)

$$\ddot{\sigma} + 3H\dot{\sigma} + V_{,\sigma} = 0, \qquad (2.4)$$

$$H^{2} = \frac{1}{3} \left[ V + \frac{1}{2} (\dot{\phi}^{2} + \dot{\chi}^{2} + \dot{\sigma}^{2}) \right], \qquad (2.5)$$

in which a dot denotes the derivative with respect to the cosmic time. We set the reduced Planck mass,  $M_P \equiv \frac{1}{8\pi G}$  to 1. By solving these coupled equations, the background trajectory in the field space is determined. We are going to solve these coupled equations numerically with respect to  $N_e$ , the number of e-folds before the end of inflation. Our convention for the number of e-folds is  $dN_e = Hdt$ . We will follow the evolution from 80 e-folds before the end of inflation,  $N_e = -80$ , to the end of inflation at  $N_e = 0$ , and show the results for the last 60 e-folds from  $N_e = -60$  to  $N_e = 0$ .

For an arbitrary quantity, A, we have the relation

$$\dot{A} = HA', \tag{2.6}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Generally, kinetic terms of the fields can be characterized by a metric for field space. As an example, the field space metric shows up when the fields are non-minimally coupled to the gravitational sector. By a conformal transformation, the coupling will become minimal again but the kinetic terms will be non-canonical and can be described by a metric on the field space [59]. Considering the UV-complete theory of the inflation, for example in the String theory framework, would also induce a non-flat metric for the field space.

where prime is the derivative with respect to  $N_e$ . The background equations (2.2 - 2.5) with respect to  $N_e$  will be

$$\phi'' + (3 + \frac{H'}{H})\phi' + \frac{V_{,\phi}}{H^2} = 0, \qquad (2.7)$$

$$\chi'' + (3 + \frac{H'}{H})\chi' + \frac{V_{,\chi}}{H^2} = 0, \qquad (2.8)$$

$$\sigma'' + (3 + \frac{H'}{H})\sigma' + \frac{V_{,\sigma}}{H^2} = 0, \qquad (2.9)$$

$$H^{2} = \frac{1}{3} \left[ V + \frac{H^{2}}{2} (\phi'^{2} + \chi'^{2} + \sigma'^{2}) \right].$$
(2.10)

## 3 Three-Field Cosmological Perturbation Theory - Perturbative Level

After solving the background equations and having the background trajectory, we are now going to discuss the linear perturbation regime of the 3-field model. In [55], the authors have developed the perturbative level of a three-field case by introducing a goldstone boson as the fluctuation along the direction of broken time translational symmetry. They adopted the ADM metric and wrote the full action. Then by applying the constraint equations and considering the decoupling limit, equations of motion for the fluctuations at the linear regime were obtained. In this paper, however, we obtain the E.O.Ms, for the Mukhanov-Sasaki variables at the linear regime without considering the decoupling limit. We follow [54] and [26], and generalize them to the three-field case. Then, by solving the equations numerically, the desired observables will be calculated.

For simplicity, we work in the longitudinal gauge and in the absence of anisotropic stress  $^2$ . The perturbed metric is

$$ds^{2} = -(1+2\Phi)dt^{2} + a^{2}(t)(1-2\Phi)d\vec{x}^{2}.$$
(3.1)

Perturbation of the fields are

$$\phi(t) \to \phi(t) + \delta\phi(\vec{x}, t), \qquad (3.2)$$

$$\chi(t) \to \chi(t) + \delta\chi(\vec{x}, t), \qquad (3.3)$$

$$\sigma(t) \to \sigma(t) + \delta\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \,. \tag{3.4}$$

We are interested in the perturbation equations of Mukhanov-Sasaki variables defined as

$$Q_{\phi} = \delta \phi + \frac{\phi}{H} \Phi \,, \tag{3.5}$$

$$Q_{\chi} = \delta \chi + \frac{\dot{\chi}}{H} \Phi , \qquad (3.6)$$

$$Q_{\sigma} = \delta\sigma + \frac{\sigma}{H}\Phi.$$
(3.7)

Working in the Fourier space, and from equations (2.2)-(2.4), Mukhanov-Sasaki variables satisfy the

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ Although for more than one scalar field the energy-momentum tensor is not of the perfect fluid form to all orders in perturbations, it can be shown that to first order the anisotropic inertia vanishes (See [60] p.499).

following linear order equations,

$$\ddot{Q}_{\phi} + 3H\dot{Q}_{\phi} + \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}}Q_{\phi} + \left[V_{,\phi\phi} - \frac{1}{a^{3}M_{p}^{2}}\left(\frac{a^{3}}{H}\dot{\phi}^{2}\right)^{\cdot}\right]Q_{\phi} + \left[V_{,\phi\chi} - \frac{1}{a^{3}M_{p}^{2}}\left(\frac{a^{3}}{H}\dot{\phi}\dot{\chi}\right)^{\cdot}\right]Q_{\chi} + \left[V_{,\phi\sigma} - \frac{1}{a^{3}M_{p}^{2}}\left(\frac{a^{3}}{H}\dot{\phi}\dot{\sigma}\right)^{\cdot}\right]Q_{\sigma} = 0, \quad (3.8)$$
$$\ddot{Q}_{\chi} + 3H\dot{Q}_{\chi} + \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}}Q_{\chi} + \left[V_{,\chi\chi} - \frac{1}{a^{3}M^{2}}\left(\frac{a^{3}}{H}\dot{\chi}^{2}\right)^{\cdot}\right]Q_{\chi} + \left[V_{,\chi\phi} - \frac{1}{a^{3}M^{2}}\left(\frac{a^{3}}{H}\dot{\chi}\dot{\phi}\right)^{\cdot}\right]Q_{\phi}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{Q}_{\chi} + 6H\dot{Q}_{\chi} + a^{2}\dot{Q}_{\chi} + [V,\chi\chi - a^{3}M_{p}^{2}(H^{\chi})]\dot{Q}_{\chi} + [V,\chi\phi - a^{3}M_{p}^{2}(H^{\chi}\phi)]\dot{Q}_{\phi} \\ &+ \left[V_{,\chi\sigma} - \frac{1}{a^{3}M_{p}^{2}}\left(\frac{a^{3}}{H}\dot{\chi}\dot{\sigma}\right)^{\cdot}\right]Q_{\sigma} = 0, \quad (3.9) \\ \ddot{Q}_{\sigma} + 3H\dot{Q}_{\sigma} + \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}}Q_{\sigma} + \left[V_{,\sigma\sigma} - \frac{1}{a^{3}M_{p}^{2}}\left(\frac{a^{3}}{H}\dot{\sigma}^{2}\right)^{\cdot}\right]Q_{\sigma} + \left[V_{,\sigma\phi} - \frac{1}{a^{3}M_{p}^{2}}\left(\frac{a^{3}}{H}\dot{\sigma}\dot{\phi}\right)^{\cdot}\right]Q_{\phi} \\ &+ \left[V_{,\sigma\chi} - \frac{1}{a^{3}M_{p}^{2}}\left(\frac{a^{3}}{H}\dot{\sigma}\dot{\chi}\right)^{\cdot}\right]Q_{\chi} = 0, \quad (3.10) \end{aligned}$$

where  $k = \frac{2\pi a}{\lambda}$  is the comoving wavenumber of the mode with physical wavelength  $\lambda$ , ()<sup>·</sup>  $\equiv \frac{d}{dt}$ (), and  $V_{,a} \equiv \frac{\partial V}{\partial a}, V_{,ab} \equiv \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial a \partial b}$ . We define

$$C_{IJ} \equiv V_{,IJ} - \frac{1}{a^3 M_p^2} \left(\frac{a^3}{H} \dot{I} \dot{J}\right), \qquad (3.11)$$

where I and J can be each of our fields. The set of equations can be then written as

$$\ddot{Q}_{\phi} + 3H\dot{Q}_{\phi} + \left(\frac{k^2}{a^2} + C_{\phi\phi}\right)Q_{\phi} + C_{\phi\chi}Q_{\chi} + C_{\phi\sigma}Q_{\sigma} = 0, \qquad (3.12)$$

$$\ddot{Q}_{\chi} + 3H\dot{Q}_{\phi} + \left(\frac{k^2}{a^2} + C_{\chi\chi}\right)Q_{\chi} + C_{\chi\phi}Q_{\phi} + C_{\chi\sigma}Q_{\sigma} = 0, \qquad (3.13)$$

$$\ddot{Q}_{\sigma} + 3H\dot{Q}_{\sigma} + \left(\frac{k^2}{a^2} + C_{\sigma\sigma}\right)Q_{\sigma} + C_{\sigma\phi}Q_{\phi} + C_{\sigma\chi}Q_{\chi} = 0, \qquad (3.14)$$

where

$$C_{\phi\phi} = V_{,\phi\phi} + \frac{3\dot{\phi}^2}{M_p^2} + \frac{2\dot{\phi}V_{\phi}}{M_p^2H} - \frac{\dot{\phi}^2(\dot{\phi}^2 + \dot{\chi}^2 + \dot{\sigma}^2)}{2M_p^4H^2}, \qquad (3.15)$$

$$C_{\chi\chi} = V_{,\chi\chi} + \frac{3\dot{\chi}^2}{M_p^2} + \frac{2\dot{\chi}V_{\chi}}{M_p^2H} - \frac{\dot{\chi}^2(\dot{\phi}^2 + \dot{\chi}^2 + \dot{\sigma}^2)}{2M_p^4H^2}, \qquad (3.16)$$

$$C_{\sigma\sigma} = V_{,\sigma\sigma} + \frac{3\dot{\sigma}^2}{M_p^2} + \frac{2\dot{\sigma}V_{\sigma}}{M_p^2H} - \frac{\dot{\sigma}^2(\dot{\phi}^2 + \dot{\chi}^2 + \dot{\sigma}^2)}{2M_p^4H^2}, \qquad (3.17)$$


$$C_{\phi\chi} = V_{,\phi\chi} + \frac{3\dot{\phi}\dot{\chi}}{M_p^2} + \frac{\dot{\phi}V_{\chi} + \dot{\chi}V_{\phi}}{M_p^2H} - \frac{\dot{\phi}\dot{\chi}(\dot{\phi}^2 + \dot{\chi}^2 + \dot{\sigma}^2)}{2M_p^4H^2} = C_{\chi\phi} , \qquad (3.18)$$

$$C_{\phi\sigma} = V_{,\phi\sigma} + \frac{3\dot{\phi}\dot{\sigma}}{M_n^2} + \frac{\dot{\phi}V_{\sigma} + \dot{\sigma}V_{\phi}}{M_n^2H} - \frac{\dot{\phi}\dot{\sigma}(\dot{\phi}^2 + \dot{\chi}^2 + \dot{\sigma}^2)}{2M_n^4H^2} = C_{\sigma\phi} , \qquad (3.19)$$

$$C_{\chi\sigma} = V_{,\chi\sigma} + \frac{3\dot{\chi}\dot{\sigma}}{M_p^2} + \frac{\dot{\chi}V_{\sigma} + \dot{\sigma}V_{\chi}}{M_p^2H} - \frac{\dot{\chi}\dot{\sigma}(\dot{\phi}^2 + \dot{\chi}^2 + \dot{\sigma}^2)}{2M_p^4H^2} = C_{\sigma\chi}.$$
(3.20)

## 3.1 Curvature and isocurvature directions

It turns out to be more useful to investigate perturbations in an instantaneous basis,  $(\hat{l}, \hat{s}_1, \hat{s}_2)$ , usually called the kinematic basis, that captures the curvature and isocurvature perturbations. The curvature



**Figure 1**. The instantaneous basis  $(\hat{l}, \hat{s}_1, \hat{s}_2)$  is defined at each point of the trajectory.  $\hat{l}$  is along the field trajectory which captures the curvature perturbation and  $\hat{s}_1, \hat{s}_2$  are orthogonal to  $\hat{l}$  and capture the two isocurvature modes.

perturbations are later transformed into the temperature fluctuations in CMB. However, the fluctuations orthogonal to the background trajectory can affect the relative density between different matter components even if the total density, and therefore the spatial curvature is unperturbed [61].

In this basis,  $\hat{l}$  is always along the background trajectory, and  $\hat{s}_1, \hat{s}_2$  are orthogonal to it in the field space, see figure 1. The line element of the field trajectory is

$$dl^2 = d\phi^2 + d\chi^2 + d\sigma^2 \tag{3.21}$$

and,

$$\dot{l}^2 = \dot{\phi}^2 + \dot{\chi}^2 + \dot{\sigma}^2 \,, \tag{3.22}$$

$$\cos\beta \equiv \frac{d\sigma}{dl}\,,\tag{3.23}$$

$$\sin\beta \equiv \frac{\sqrt{d\phi^2 + d\chi^2}}{dl},\qquad(3.24)$$

$$\cos \alpha \equiv \frac{d\phi}{\sqrt{d\phi^2 + d\chi^2}},\tag{3.25}$$

$$\sin \alpha \equiv \frac{d\chi}{\sqrt{d\phi^2 + d\chi^2}} \,. \tag{3.26}$$

where  $\beta$  is the angle between dl and the  $\hat{\sigma}$  axis, and  $\alpha$  is the angle between the projection of dl on  $\phi - \chi$  plane and the  $\hat{\phi}$  axis, see figure 2. We also have

$$\dot{l} = \dot{\phi} \cos \alpha \sin \beta + \dot{\chi} \sin \alpha \sin \beta + \dot{\sigma} \cos \beta.$$
(3.27)

The kinematic basis directions can be defined as the following

$$\hat{l}^a = \frac{\dot{\phi}^a}{i}, \qquad \hat{s}^a_1 = \frac{\dot{l}^a}{\sqrt{\delta_{ab} \dot{l}^a \dot{l}^b}}, \qquad \hat{s}^a_2 = \delta^{ab} \epsilon_{bcd} \hat{l}^c \hat{s}^d_1, \qquad (3.28)$$




Figure 2. The two angles,  $\alpha, \beta$  characterize the instantaneous basis at each point.  $\beta$  is the angle between the trajectory direction and  $\sigma$ -axis, and  $\alpha$  is the angle between the projection of  $\hat{l}$  to the  $\phi$ - $\chi$  plane and the  $\phi$ -axis.

where  $\phi^a \in \{\phi, \chi, \sigma\}$ , and  $\delta_{ab}$  is the Euclidean metric of the field space, since we assumed a flat field space. We can project the equation of motion of the fields, Eqs. (2.2) - (2.4), in the direction of the kinematic basis,

$$\ddot{l} + 3H\dot{l} + V_{,l} = 0,$$
 (3.29)

$$\hat{s}_{1_a}\ddot{\phi}^a + V_{s_1} = 0, \tag{3.30}$$

$$\hat{s}_{2a}\ddot{\phi}^a + V_{,s_2} = 0, \tag{3.31}$$

where  $V_{,l} = \hat{l}^a V_{,a}$ ,  $V_{,s_1} = \hat{s}_1^a V_{,a}$ , and  $V_{,s_2} = \hat{s}_2^a V_{,a}$ . We also define a useful quantity, which is usually called the covariant field acceleration in the literature,

$$\eta^a = \frac{\ddot{\phi}^a}{H\dot{l}}.\tag{3.32}$$

It can be decomposed to kinematic basis components:

$$\hat{l}_a \eta^a = \eta_{||} = \frac{\dot{\phi}_a \ddot{\phi}^a}{H \dot{l}^2} = \frac{\ddot{l}}{H \dot{l}}$$
(3.33)

$$\hat{s}_{1a}\eta^a = \eta_{\perp 1} = \frac{s_{1a}\ddot{\phi}^a}{H\dot{l}} = -\frac{V_{,s_1}}{H\dot{l}}$$
(3.34)

$$\hat{s}_{2a}\eta^{a} = \eta_{\perp 2} = \frac{s_{2a}\ddot{\phi}^{a}}{H\dot{l}} = -\frac{V_{,s_{2}}}{H\dot{l}}$$
(3.35)

As it is obvious  $\eta_{\perp 1}$  and  $\eta_{\perp 2}$  characterize the turning rate of the field trajectory in comparison with the Hubble rate. In this sense when these quantities are larger than unity, the trajectory is undergoing a rapid turn.

To derive the perturbation equations in this basis, we should have a rotation matrix,  $\mathcal{M}$ , by which at each point on the field trajectory we can transform  $(d\phi, d\chi, d\sigma)$  to  $(dl, ds_1, ds_2)$ , and also

transform Mukhanov-Sasaki variables  $Q_{\phi}, Q_{\chi}, Q_{\sigma}$  to  $Q_l, \delta s_1, \delta s_2$ ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} dl\\ ds_1\\ ds_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{M} \begin{pmatrix} d\phi\\ d\chi\\ d\sigma \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.36}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_l \\ \delta s_1 \\ \delta s_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{M} \begin{pmatrix} Q_\phi \\ Q_\chi \\ Q_\sigma \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.37)

 $Q_l$  is defined as

$$Q_l = \delta l + \frac{\dot{l}}{H} \Phi \,, \tag{3.38}$$

and, in the comoving gauge, it is directly related to the three-dimensional curvature of the constant time spacelike slices,  $\mathcal{R}$ ,

$$\mathcal{R} \equiv \frac{H}{i} Q_l \,. \tag{3.39}$$

 $\delta s_1$  and  $\delta s_2$  are called the isocurvature perturbations and are gauge invariant. Analogous to  $\mathcal{R}$ , we can define entropy perturbations from the isocurvature perturbations as

$$S_1 \equiv \frac{H}{i} \delta s_l, \tag{3.40}$$

$$S_2 \equiv \frac{H}{i} \delta s_2. \tag{3.41}$$

Matrix  $\mathcal{M}$  is just a regular rotation matrix in the three dimensional space which is parameterized by three parameters. Here we only have two parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . The third parameter sets the orientation of the  $(\hat{s}_1, \hat{s}_2)$  plane. We make the assumption of 'minimal basis rotation', which means that we consider only the minimum number of rotations required to put the  $\hat{\phi}$  axis along that of  $\hat{l}$ : first a rotation around  $\hat{\sigma}$ -axis with angle  $\alpha$  then a rotation with angle  $\frac{\pi}{2} - \beta$  around the rotated  $\hat{\chi}$ -axis. Given these specifications, the rotation matrix to the  $(dl, ds_1, ds_2)$  basis will be

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \beta\right) & 0 \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \beta\right) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \beta\right) & 0 \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \beta\right) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\alpha & \sin\alpha & 0 \\ -\sin\alpha & \cos\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} \sin\beta\cos\alpha & \sin\beta\sin\alpha & \cos\beta \\ -\sin\alpha & \cos\alpha & 0 \\ -\cos\beta\cos\alpha & -\cos\beta\sin\alpha & \sin\beta \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.42)

 $\mathcal{M}$  is orthogonal, therefore

$$\mathcal{M}^{-1} = \mathcal{M}^{T} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin\beta\cos\alpha & -\sin\alpha & -\cos\beta\cos\alpha\\ \sin\beta\sin\alpha & \cos\alpha & -\cos\beta\sin\alpha\\ \cos\beta & 0 & \sin\beta \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (3.43)

Now we are going to write equations (3.12-3.14) in terms of the new variables. First, we can write them in the matrix form

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \begin{pmatrix} Q_{\phi} \\ Q_{\chi} \\ Q_{\sigma} \end{pmatrix} + 3H \frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} Q_{\phi} \\ Q_{\chi} \\ Q_{\sigma} \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{N} \begin{pmatrix} Q_{\phi} \\ Q_{\chi} \\ Q_{\sigma} \end{pmatrix} = 0, \qquad (3.44)$$

where

$$\mathcal{N} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{k^2}{a^2} + C_{\phi\phi} & C_{\phi\chi} & C_{\phi\sigma} \\ C_{\chi\phi} & \frac{k^2}{a^2} + C_{\chi\chi} & C_{\chi\sigma} \\ C_{\sigma\phi} & C_{\sigma\chi} & \frac{k^2}{a^2} + C_{\sigma\sigma} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.45)

Using the inverse transformation of (3.37), we can write (3.44) as

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left[ \mathcal{M}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} Q_l \\ \delta s_1 \\ \delta s_2 \end{pmatrix} \right] + 3H \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \mathcal{M}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} Q_l \\ \delta s_1 \\ \delta s_2 \end{pmatrix} \right] + \mathcal{N} \left[ \mathcal{M}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} Q_l \\ \delta s_1 \\ \delta s_2 \end{pmatrix} \right] = 0, \qquad (3.46)$$

which becomes

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \begin{pmatrix} Q_l \\ \delta s_1 \\ \delta s_2 \end{pmatrix} + \left[2\mathcal{M}\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{M}^{-1} + 3H\right]\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} Q_l \\ \delta s_1 \\ \delta s_2 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{M}\left[\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\mathcal{M}^{-1} + 3H\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{M}^{-1} + \mathcal{N}\mathcal{M}^{-1}\right] \begin{pmatrix} Q_l \\ \delta s_1 \\ \delta s_2 \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad (3.47)$$

Using the following relations, which can be easily confirmed,

$$\dot{\beta} = \frac{V_{,s_2}}{\dot{l}}, \qquad (3.48)$$

$$\dot{\alpha} = -\frac{V_{,s_1}}{\dot{l}\sin\beta}\,,\tag{3.49}$$

$$\cot \beta = \frac{\dot{\sigma}}{\sqrt{\dot{\phi}^2 + \dot{\chi}^2}} \tag{3.50}$$

and, by some long algebra, the coefficients of the second and the third terms of (3.47) can be worked out

$$2\mathcal{M}\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{M}^{-1} + 3H = \begin{pmatrix} 3H & 2\frac{V_{\cdot s_1}}{l} & 2\frac{V_{\cdot s_2}}{l} \\ -2\frac{V_{\cdot s_1}}{l} & 3H & 2\frac{V_{\cdot s_1}}{l}\cot\beta \\ -2\frac{V_{\cdot s_2}}{l} & -2\frac{V_{\cdot s_1}}{l}\cot\beta & 3H \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.51)

and,

$$\mathcal{M}[\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\mathcal{M}^{-1} + 3H\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{M}^{-1} + \mathcal{N}\mathcal{M}^{-1}] = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{k^2}{a^2} + C_{ll} & C_{ls_1} & C_{ls_2} \\ C_{s_1l} & \frac{k^2}{a^2} + C_{s_1s_1} & C_{s_1s_2} \\ C_{s_2l} & C_{s_2s_1} & \frac{k^2}{a^2} + C_{s_2s_2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.52)$$

where

$$C_{ll} = V_{,ll} - \frac{\dot{l}^4}{2H^2} + 3\dot{l}^2 + \frac{2V_{,l}\dot{l}}{H} - \frac{V_{,s1}^2 + V_{,s2}^2}{\dot{l}^2}, \qquad (3.53)$$

$$C_{s_1s_1} = V_{,s_1s_1} - \frac{V_{,s_1}^2 \csc^2 \beta}{l^2} , \qquad (3.54)$$

$$C_{s_2s_2} = V_{,s_2s_2} - \frac{V_{,s_1}^2 \cot^2 \beta + V_{,s_2}^2}{\dot{l}^2}, \qquad (3.55)$$

$$C_{ls_1} = 2V_{,ls_1} + \frac{V_{,s_1}\dot{l}}{H} + \frac{6HV_{,s_1}}{\dot{l}} + \frac{2V_{,l}V_{,s_1} - 2V_{,s_1}V_{,s_2}\cot\beta}{\dot{l}^2}, \qquad (3.56)$$

$$C_{ls_2} = 2V_{,ls_2} + \frac{V_{,s_2}\dot{l}}{H} + \frac{6HV_{,s_2}}{\dot{l}} + \frac{2V_lV_{,s_2} + (V_{,s_1}^2 - V_{,s_2}^2)\cot\beta}{\dot{l}^2}, \qquad (3.57)$$

$$C_{s_1l} = \frac{V_{,s_1}\dot{l}}{H} - \frac{6HV_{,s_1}}{\dot{l}} - \frac{2V_lV_{,s_1}}{\dot{l}^2} , \qquad (3.58)$$

$$C_{s_2l} = -\cot\beta V_{,ll} + \frac{V_{,s_2}\dot{l}}{H} - \frac{6HV_{,s_2}}{\dot{l}} - \frac{2V_lV_{,s_2} - (V_{,s_1}^2 + V_{,s_2}^2)\cot\beta}{\dot{l}^2},$$
(3.59)

$$C_{s_1 s_2} = V_{s_1 s_2} + \cot \beta V_{s_1 l} + \frac{6HV_{s_1} \cot \beta}{\dot{l}} + \frac{2V_{s_1} (V_l \cot \beta - V_{s_2} \csc^2 \beta)}{\dot{l}^2}, \qquad (3.60)$$

$$C_{s_2s_1} = V_{s_2s_1} - \cot\beta V_{s_1l} - \frac{6HV_{s_1}\cot\beta}{\dot{l}} - \frac{2V_{s_1}(V_l\cot\beta - V_{s_2}\cot^2\beta)}{\dot{l}^2}.$$
 (3.61)

Therefore, the linear perturbation equations for the Mukhanov-Sasaki variables will be  $^3$ 

$$\ddot{Q}_{l} + 3H\dot{Q}_{l} + \left(\frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}} + C_{ll}\right)Q_{l} + 2\frac{V_{,s_{1}}}{\dot{l}}\dot{\delta s_{1}} + 2\frac{V_{,s_{2}}}{\dot{l}}\dot{\delta s_{2}} + C_{ls_{1}}\delta s_{1} + C_{ls_{2}}\delta s_{2} = 0, \qquad (3.62)$$

$$\ddot{\delta s_1} + 3H\dot{\delta s_1} + \left(\frac{k^2}{a^2} + C_{s_1s_1}\right)\delta s_1 - 2\frac{V_{,s_1}}{\dot{l}}\dot{Q}_l + 2\frac{V_{,s_1}\cot\beta}{\dot{l}}\dot{\delta s_2} + C_{s_1l}Q_l + C_{s_1s_2}\delta s_2 = 0\,,\qquad(3.63)$$

$$\ddot{\delta s_2} + 3H\dot{\delta s_2} + \left(\frac{k^2}{a^2} + C_{s_2s_2}\right)\delta s_2 - 2\frac{V_{,s_2}}{\dot{l}}\dot{Q}_l - 2\frac{V_{,s_1}\cot\beta}{\dot{l}}\dot{\delta s_1} + C_{s_2l}Q_l + C_{s_2s_1}\delta s_1 = 0.$$
(3.64)

As it can be seen, these equations are in the most general form, by which we mean all of curvature and isocurvature modes are coupled together. Couplings of different modes, in general, depend on the derivatives of potential and the quantities defined in (3.53) - (3.61), all of which depend on the background trajectory.

#### 3.2 Observables

We are interested in power spectrum of the curvature and isocurvature modes,  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}_1}$ , and  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}_2}$ . We solve equations (3.62) - (3.64) numerically around the background trajectory. Then, using (3.39) - (3.41) the power spectrums can be obtained from

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}} = \frac{k_i^3}{2\pi^2} |\mathcal{R}|^2 \,, \tag{3.65}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}_1} = \frac{k_i^3}{2\pi^2} |\mathcal{S}_1|^2 \,, \tag{3.66}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}_2} = \frac{k_i^3}{2\pi^2} |\mathcal{S}_2|^2 \,, \tag{3.67}$$

where  $k_i$  is a specific k for which we are interested in, which in our case is just the modes that exit the horizon after 60 e-folds before the end of inflation. The ones that exit the horizon between 60 to 50 e-folds before the end of inflation correspond to the CMB scales. Another quantity which would be useful is the correlation between these different modes, defined as

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{RS}_1} = \frac{k_i^3}{2\pi^2} \mathcal{RS}_1^{\dagger}, \qquad (3.68)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{RS}_2} = \frac{k_i^3}{2\pi^2} \mathcal{RS}_2^{\dagger} \,, \tag{3.69}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{S}_1 \mathcal{S}_2} = \frac{k_i^3}{2\pi^2} \mathcal{S}_1 \mathcal{S}_2^{\dagger}.$$
(3.70)

An important point which should be considered for solving the equations (3.62) - (3.64) is the way we set the initial conditions. The first approach that comes to mind is assigning the Bunch-Davis (BD) initial condition to all curvature and isocurvature modes simultaneously and solving the equations numerically. However, to take into account the statistical independence of the adiabatic and the isocurvature perturbations deep inside the Hubble radius, the correct way to proceed is to solve the equations three times, each time setting only one of the modes in the BD initial condition, setting the rest equal to zero. For each initial condition we calculate the contribution to the two-point correlation function then add them up to obtain the total two-point correlation functions.

The relative correlation coefficients, then are defined as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{ij} = \frac{|\mathcal{C}_{ij}|}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}_i \mathcal{P}_j}},\tag{3.71}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>We can reduce these three-field perturbative equations to the two field case, if we put for example  $\sigma$  in its minimum, and therefore  $\beta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ , and also set the derivatives of the potential w.r.t.  $s_2$  vanish. It can be shown that these reduced equations are the same as the equations (34)-(35) in [54] in the flat field space limit.

where,  $i, j \in \{\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2\}$ . The value of these quantities is between zero and one, and it indicates to what extent the final curvature perturbations result from the interactions with the isocurvature perturbations [54].

## 4 Results

In this section, we will solve the background and linear perturbative level equations for three different cases. The first case is inspired by the M-flation model [42, 43], and is, in fact, a two-field case. By investigating this case we intend to check the consistency of the three-field formulation in reducing to the known two-field formalism. The second and third cases that we investigate numerically are, on the other hand, the cases in which all the three DoFs are dynamical during inflation. In the second case, different fields do not directly interact with each other, while in the third case direct interaction between fields is also included.

#### 4.1 Initial conditions

Equations of motions for the fields are second order, and each of them requires two boundary conditions. We are going to set the initial conditions at 80 e-folds before the end of inflation,  $N_e = N_{e_i} = -80$ , thus we can use the slow-roll approximation of ((2.2) - (2.5)) to set the initial conditions for derivatives of the fields

$$\phi(N_{e_i}) = \phi_i \,, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\chi(N_{e_i}) = \chi_i \,, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\sigma(N_{e_i}) = \sigma_i \,, \tag{4.3}$$

$$\phi'(N_{e_i}) = \frac{V_{,\phi}(\phi_i, \chi_i, \sigma_i)}{3V(\phi_i, \chi_i, \sigma_i)},$$
(4.4)

$$\chi'(N_{e_i}) = \frac{V_{\chi}(\phi_i, \chi_i, \sigma_i)}{3V(\phi_i, \chi_i, \sigma_i)}, \qquad (4.5)$$

$$\sigma'(N_{e_i}) = \frac{V_{,\sigma}(\phi_i, \chi_i, \sigma_i)}{3V(\phi_i, \chi_i, \sigma_i)}, \qquad (4.6)$$

where we used the slow roll approximation of (2.7)-(2.9). The initial condition for H is determined from (2.10),

$$H(N_{e_i}) = \sqrt{-\frac{2V(\phi_i, \chi_i, \sigma_i)}{\phi_i^{'2} + \chi_i^{'2} + \sigma_i^{'2} - 6}}.$$
(4.7)

After obtaining the background trajectory of the field space, solving equations (3.62)-(3.64), and using (3.65)-(3.70), we can obtain the power spectra of curvature and isocurvature modes, and also the relative correlation function.

In the  $a \to 0$  limit, which correspond to  $N_e \to -\infty$ ,  $\frac{k^2}{a^2}$  will be the dominant term in (3.62)-(3.64), and we can neglect other terms. This means that in that limit  $Q_l$ ,  $\delta s_1$  and  $\delta s_2$  are not coupled with each other. Moreover, since orthogonal transformations in the field space preserve the canonical kinetic term for Mukhanov-Sasaki variables, at that limit equations will become

$$Q_l'' + \left(k^2 - \frac{a''}{a}\right)Q_l = 0, (4.8)$$

$$\delta s_1'' + \left(k^2 - \frac{a''}{a}\right)\delta s_1 = 0, \tag{4.9}$$

$$\delta s_2'' + \left(k^2 - \frac{a''}{a}\right)\delta s_2 = 0, \tag{4.10}$$

where prime denotes differentiation w.r.t to the conformal time. These equations simply leads to the Bunch-Davis vacuum that should be normalized by  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}}$  to account for the Wronskian condition. Therefore, by assuming that this argument holds with sufficiently good precision for  $N_e = -80$ , we are allowed to choose the Bunch-Davis initial condition. We verified this assumption by explicitly checking it out using the background value of the coefficients in the perturbative equations.

As already explained in subsection 3.2, we solve the linear perturbation equations three times, each time setting only one of the modes in the BD initial condition, setting the rest equal to zero. For each initial condition we calculate the contribution to the two-point correlation function then add them up to obtain the total two-point correlation functions.

1.

$$\begin{split} Q_l(N_{e_i}) &= \frac{1}{a(N_{e_i})\sqrt{2k}} \,, \qquad Q_l'(N_{e_i}) = -\frac{ik + H(N_{e_i})a'(N_{e_i})}{H(N_{e_i})a(N_{e_i})^2\sqrt{2k}} \\ \delta s_1(N_{e_i}) &= 0 \,, \qquad \qquad \delta s_1'(N_{e_i}) = 0 \\ \delta s_2(N_{e_i}) &= 0 \,, \qquad \qquad \delta s_2'(N_{e_i}) = 0 \end{split}$$

2.

$$\begin{split} Q_l(N_{e_i}) &= 0 \,, & Q_l'(N_{e_i}) = 0 \\ \delta s_1(N_{e_i}) &= \frac{1}{a(N_{e_i})\sqrt{2k}} \,, & \delta s_1'(N_{e_i}) = -\frac{ik + H(N_{e_i})a'(N_{e_i})}{H(N_{e_i})a(N_{e_i})^2\sqrt{2k}} \\ \delta s_2(N_{e_i}) &= 0 \,, & \delta s_2'(N_{e_i}) = 0 \end{split}$$

3.

$$\begin{split} Q_l(N_{e_i}) &= 0 \,, & Q_l'(N_{e_i}) = 0 \\ \delta s_1(N_{e_i}) &= 0 \,, & \delta s_1'(N_{e_i}) = 0 \\ \delta s_2(N_{e_i}) &= \frac{1}{a(N_{e_i})\sqrt{2k}} \,, & \delta s_2'(N_{e_i}) = -\frac{ik + H(N_{e_i})a'(N_{e_i})}{H(N_{e_i})a(N_{e_i})^2\sqrt{2k}} \end{split}$$

#### 4.2 Two-Field Case

In this case, by putting the third field in the minimum of its potential manually, we have a two-field model at the background level. This case is motivated by the two-block case of the Matrix Inflation model [42–44, 62]. By investigating this case, we can also check if the three-field formulation correctly reduces to the two-field one. Different fields do not directly interact each other, and therefore the potential consists of the potential of each field,

$$V(\phi, \chi, \sigma) = V_{\phi}(\phi) + V_{\chi}(\chi) + V_{\sigma}(\sigma).$$
(4.11)

The potentials are chosen from one of the relevant cases in M-flation landscape [43],

$$V_{\phi}(\phi) = \frac{\lambda_{\phi}}{4} \phi^2 (\phi^2 - \mu_{\phi})^2 , \qquad (4.12)$$

$$V_{\chi}(\chi) = \frac{\lambda_{\chi}}{4} \chi^2 (\chi^2 - \mu_{\chi})^2, \qquad (4.13)$$

$$V_{\sigma}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2}m \ \sigma^2 \,. \tag{4.14}$$

In [42],  $\sigma$  represents one of the uncorrelated isocurvature modes.




Figure 3. 2-Field Case: The evolution of  $\phi$  and  $\chi$  with respect to the number of e-folds, and the trajectory in the field space is shown.  $N_e = 0$  is the end of inflation.

#### 4.2.1 Background Solution

Parameters and initial conditions are directly set from [42] and are shown in table 1. The results for this case are shown in figures 3 and 4. The left and middle plots of figure 3 show the evolution of fields  $\phi$  and  $\chi$  during inflation. On the other hand,  $\sigma$  does not evolve during inflation, since it is placed at the minimum of its potential manually. Therefore, at the background level, this case is equivalent to a two-field model. The field trajectory is also shown in the right plot in figure 3. Figure 4 shows the evolution of the Hubble and slow-roll parameters.

Parameters	2-Field Case
$\lambda_{\phi}$	$2.0  imes 10^{-15}$
$\lambda_{\chi}$	$\lambda_{\phi} \left(rac{\mu_{\phi}}{\mu_{\chi}} ight)^2$
$\mu_{\phi}$	196.168
$\mu_{oldsymbol{\phi}} \ \mu_{oldsymbol{\chi}}$	36.000
$\phi_i$	209.439
$\chi_i$	26.678
m	$10^{-6}$

**Table 1. 2-Field Case**: Parameters of the potential and initial conditions. Since the third field is placed at the minimum of the potential, at the background level, its mass is irrelevant.  $M_p$  is set to 1.

#### 4.2.2 Perturbation Level

We mentioned previously that the coefficients of different terms in the perturbation equations depend on the background trajectory. Since the background trajectory is in the  $\sigma = 0$  surface, then we have  $\beta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ , and  $\cos \beta = \cot \beta = 0$ . Therefore, it can be seen that many of the coefficients in the equations vanish and the perturbation equations for this case take the form

$$\ddot{Q}_l + 3H\dot{Q}_l + 2\frac{V_{,s_1}}{\dot{l}}\dot{\delta s_1} + \left(\frac{k^2}{a^2} + C_{ll}\right)Q_l + C_{ls_1}\delta s_1 = 0, \qquad (4.15)$$

$$\ddot{\delta s_1} + 3H\dot{\delta s_1} - 2\frac{V_{,s_1}}{\dot{l}}\dot{Q}_l + \left(\frac{k^2}{a^2} + C_{s_1s_1}\right)\delta s_1 + C_{s_1l}Q_l = 0, \qquad (4.16)$$

$$\ddot{\delta s_2} + 3H\dot{\delta s_2} + \left(\frac{k^2}{a^2} + C_{s_2 s_2}\right)\delta s_2 = 0.$$
(4.17)

It is obvious that the second isocurvature mode is completely decoupled from the other modes, as it was expected. In figure 5, the evolution of the power spectra of the curvature and isocurvature modes (left plot), and the correlation between them (right plot), for a specific mode, which exits the




Figure 4. 2-Field Case: The evolution of the Hubble parameter, H, and the slow-roll parameter,  $\epsilon_H$ , with respect to the number of e-folds is shown.

horizon 60 e-folds before the end of inflation,  $k = 0.002 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ , w.r.t.  $N_e$  are shown. As it could be easily observed, the amplitude of the curvature perturbations grow even when the modes become superhorizon, which is an indication that the mode is fed by the correlated isocurvature perturbation. As it was expected, since the second isocurvature mode is perpendicular to the curvature and first isocurvature mode, the related correlation functions vanish. The correlation between the curvature, and the first isocurvature mode increases during the inflation. This increase is related to the increasing turn rate, shown in the left plot of figure 6. The evolution of the power spectrum of the curvature mode, evaluated at the end of inflation, for different momentum modes is shown in the right plot. The value of the power spectrum is fixed on the known value  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}} \sim 2.1 \times 10^{-9}$  for the mode which exit at about 60 e-folds before the end of inflation. The power spectra of the isocurvature modes evolve similarly to the curvature mode w.r.t. to k, and their values for the mode exiting the horizon at the CMB scale are, respectively,  $\mathcal{P}_{S_1} \sim 10^{-10}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{S_2} \sim 10^{-11}$ . Amplitudes of the isocurvature modes are constrained by CMB. According to Planck data[1]  $\beta_{iso}$ , defined as  $\frac{\mathcal{P}_S}{\mathcal{P}_{S_1} + \mathcal{P}_R}$  can be at most at order of 0.1 for  $k = 0.002 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ . However, we should be careful that the constraints on isocurvature modes from CMB are for their value as the initial condition of the perturbations after inflation in the early radiation dominated era. Therefore, the effect of these constraints on the amplitude of the isocurvature modes power spectrum at the end of inflation depends on the reheating model and the following dynamics.

#### 4.3 Three-Field Non-Interacting Case

For the second case, we chose the potential in such a way that all the three fields have dynamics during the inflation, so it is really a three-field model. Since the fields do not interact with each other, the potential form is just like (4.11), and we assume,

$$V_{\phi}(\phi) = \frac{\lambda_{\phi}}{4} \phi^2 (\phi^2 - \mu_{\phi})^2, \qquad (4.18)$$

$$V_{\chi}(\chi) = \frac{\lambda_{\chi}}{4} \chi^2 (\chi^2 - \mu_{\chi})^2, \qquad (4.19)$$

$$V_{\sigma}(\sigma) = \frac{\lambda_{\sigma}}{4} \sigma^2 (\sigma^2 - \mu_{\sigma})^2.$$
(4.20)

## 4.3.1 Background Solution

The parameters and initial conditions of this case are shown in Table 2.




Figure 5. 2-Field Case: The evolution of the power spectra (Left plot) of the curvature and isocurvature modes, and their correlations (Right plot), defined in (3.71), for the specific mode that exit the horizon 60 e-folds before the end of inflation,  $k = 0.002 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ , w.r.t  $N_e$ . The correlations including the second isocurvature mode vanishes ( $\tilde{C}_{RS_2}$  is invisible since it coincides with  $\tilde{C}_{S_1S_2}$ ), and the correlation between the curvature, and the first isocurvature mode increases during the inflation. The increase is related to the turn rate increase, shown in the left plot of figure 6




Figure 6. 2-Field Case: The left plot shows the evolution of the turn rate of the trajectory during inflation. As it is obvious the trajectory does not experience rapid turns. The power spectrum of the curvature mode for different values of k, evaluated at the end of inflation, is shown in the right plot. The power spectrum of the isocurvature modes have a similar shape with respectively one and two order of magnitude lower amplitude.

The results for this case are shown in figures 7-10. Figure 7 shows the evolution of fields during inflation. Figure 8 shows the evolution of the Hubble and slow-roll parameters. The trajectory in the field space is also shown in the 2d point of view in figure 9, and in the 3d point of view in figure 10. As it is obvious, there are two turns in the trajectory.

### 4.3.2 Perturbative Level

In this case we should solve the full equations (3.62)-(3.64), since none of the coefficients vanish. In figure 11, the evolution of the power spectra of the curvature and isocurvature modes (left plot), and the correlations (right plot), defined in eq. (3.71), for a specific mode which exit the horizon 60 e-folds before the end of inflation, i.e.  $k = 0.002 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ , w.r.t  $N_e$  are shown. The field trajectory in this model has two turns at about 45, and 35 e-folds before the end of inflation. As can be seen in the left plot of figure 12, the first turn is a slow turn in the sense that  $\eta_{\perp 1} < 1$ , and the second turn is a rapid turn which means that  $\eta_{\perp 2} > 1$ . The power spectrum of the curvature mode experiences mild stepwise increases at the turns, and the power spectrums of the first, and the second isocurvature modes first experience an increase, respectively at the second, and the first turn, and then begin a

Parameters	3-Field Non-Interacting Case I
``````````````````````````````````````	
$\lambda_{\phi}$	$0.298 \times 10^{-19}$
$egin{array}{c} \lambda_{\phi} \ \lambda_{\chi} \end{array}$	$\frac{200\lambda_{\phi}\left(\frac{\mu_{\phi}}{\mu_{\chi}}\right)^{2}}{2200\lambda_{\phi}\left(\frac{\mu_{\phi}}{\mu_{\sigma}}\right)^{2}}$
$\lambda_{\sigma}$	$2200\lambda_{\phi}\left(rac{\mu_{\phi}}{\mu_{\sigma}} ight)^{2}$
$\mu_{\phi}$	200.0
$\mu_{\phi} \ \mu_{\chi}$	100.0
$\mu_{\sigma}$	50.0
$\mu_{\sigma} \ \phi_i$	188.014
$\chi_i$	93.000
$\sigma_i$	40.326

Table 2. 3-Field Non-Interacting Case I: Parameters of the potential and initial conditions.  $M_p$  is set to 1.




Figure 7. 3-Field Non-Interacting Case: The evolution of the three scalar fields,  $\phi$ ,  $\chi$  and  $\sigma$  is shown with respect to the number of e-folds.  $N_e = 0$  is the end of inflation




Figure 8. 3-Field Non-Interacting Case I: The evolution of the Hubble parameter, H, and the slow-roll parameter,  $\epsilon_H$  is shown.

sharp decrease along with oscillations. The correlations between the first isocurvature mode, and the curvature mode,  $\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{RS}_1}$  (black line), and between the two isocurvature modes,  $\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{S}_1\mathcal{S}_2}$  (blue line), have almost a similar behavior. Both correlations shift from zero to one at about the e-fold in which the second turn happens. The correlations between the second isocurvature mode and the curvature mode,  $\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{RS}_2}$ , (red line) shifts from zero to one at about the time where the first turn happens. After about 35 e-folds before the end of inflation, there is no special feature in the correlations, and there are




Figure 9. 3-Field Non-Interacting Case I: 2D projections of the background trajectory in the field space




Figure 10. 3-Field Non-Interacting Case I: The 3D background trajectory in the field space.

just an oscillatory behavior due to the very small and oscillatory behavior of the power spectra of the isocurvature modes, which imprint themselves in the denominator of the correlation definition (see eq. (3.71)). Dependence of the power spectrum of the curvature mode at the end of inflation on k, and the turning rates,  $\eta_{\perp 1}$ , and  $\eta_{\perp 2}$  are also shown in figure 12. The amplitude of the power spectrum of the isocurvature modes at the end of inflation are too small (respectively of order  $10^{-52}$  and  $10^{-68}$ ). Therefore, the numerical results were dominated with noise. However their general shapes, as much as we manage to investigate them, are similar to that of the curvature mode. The Effect of the turns in the trajectory can be seen for the modes which exit the horizon around the time the turns occur. The difference between the effect of the slow turn, happening at modes around  $k = 10^3 \text{Mpc}^{-1}$ , and the rapid turn, happening at modes around  $k = 10^{6} \text{Mpc}^{-1}$ , is obvious in the right plot of figure 12. By changing the potential parameters, different behavior of the turning regimes in the field trajectory can be obtained. For instance we have obtained two other cases. The first case has two turns, one of which has a turning rate almost equal to one and the other one with a turn larger than unity. The parameters of this case are shown in table 3, and the turning rates, and power spectrum of the curvature mode are shown in figure 13. The other case is a case with two slow turns. The parameters of this case are shown in table 4, and the turning rates, and power spectrum of the curvature mode are shown in figure 14.




Figure 11. 3-Field Non-Interacting Case I: The evolution of the power spectra (left plot) of the curvature and isocurvature modes, and their correlations (right plot), defined in (3.71), for the specific mode that exit the horizon 60 e-folds before the end of inflation,  $k = 0.002 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ , w.r.t.  $N_e$ . As it can be seen from the field space trajectory, shown in figure 10, there are two turns in the trajectory. The rates of these turns w.r.t. the Hubble rate are shown in the left plot of figure 12. The power spectrum of the curvature mode experiences a mild increase at the turns. The power spectra of isocurvature modes also respectively experience an increase at the two turns initially and then fall off rapidly along with oscillations.  $\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{R}S_1}$  and  $\tilde{C}_{S_1S_2}$  have a similar behavior. Both correlations shift from zero to one at about the e-fold in which the second turn happens.  $\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{R}S_2}$ shifts from zero to one at about the time where the first turn happens. After about 35 e-folds before the end of inflation, there is no special feature in the correlations, and there are just an oscillatory behavior due to the very small value and the oscillatory behavior of the power spectra of the isocurvature modes, which show themselves in the denominator of the correlation definition. See eq. (3.71)




Figure 12. 3-Field Non-Interacting Case I: Turn rates of the field trajectory, defined in Eqs. (3.34), (3.35) are shown in the left plot. The first turn is a slow turn, which means that the turn rate is lower than one, and the second turn is a sharp turn. The power spectrum of the curvature mode for different values of k evaluated at the end of inflation is shown in the right plot. Power spectra of the isocurvature modes could not be obtained numerically without noise, since their value are very small (respectively, of order  $10^{-52}$ , and  $10^{-68}$ ). However their general shape is similar to the power spectrum of the curvature mode.

#### 4.4 Three-Field Interacting Case

After investigating the spectrum of a three-field inflationary model without any direct interaction between different fields, now we are turning to the three-field case involving direct interactions between different fields. Therefore, the potential of this case has an interacting term,  $V_{\phi\chi\sigma}$  besides the previous terms Eqs. (4.18) - (4.20),

$$V_{\phi\chi\sigma} = g(\phi^2 \chi^2 + \phi^2 \sigma^2 + \chi^2 \sigma^2).$$
(4.21)

Parameters	3-Field Non-Interacting Case II
$\lambda_{\phi}$	$0.950 \times 10^{-22}$
$egin{array}{c} \lambda_{\phi} \ \lambda_{\chi} \end{array}$	$90\lambda_{\phi}\left(rac{\mu_{\phi}}{\mu_{\chi}} ight)^{2} 2400\lambda_{\phi}\left(rac{\mu_{\phi}}{\mu_{\sigma}} ight)^{2}$
$\lambda_{\sigma}$	$2400\lambda_{\phi}\left(rac{\mu_{\phi}}{\mu_{\sigma}} ight)^{2}$
$\mu_{\phi}$	200.0
$\mu_{\phi} \ \mu_{\chi}$	100.0
$\mu_{\sigma} \ \phi_i$	50.0
$\phi_i$	188.014
$\chi_i$	93.000
$\sigma_i$	40.326

Table 3. 3-Field Non-Interacting Case II: Parameters of the potential and initial conditions of the case which have a turn with a turning rate almost equal to one and a rapid turn.  $M_p$  is set to 1.




Figure 13. 3-Field Non-Interacting Case II: Turning rates of the field trajectory, defined in Eqs. (3.34), (3.35) are shown in the left plot. As it is obvious one of the turning rate is about unity, and the other one is larger than one. The power spectrum of the curvature mode for different values of k evaluated at the end of inflation are shown in the right plot.

Parameters	3-Field Non-Interacting Case III
,	
$\lambda_{\phi}$	$0.140 \times 10^{-15}$
$\lambda_{\phi} \ \lambda_{\chi}$	$\frac{20\lambda_{\phi}\left(\frac{\mu_{\phi}}{\mu_{\chi}}\right)^{2}}{100\lambda_{\phi}\left(\frac{\mu_{\phi}}{\mu_{\sigma}}\right)^{2}}$
$\lambda_{\sigma}$	$100\lambda_{\phi}\left(rac{\mu_{\phi}}{\mu_{\sigma}} ight)^{2}$
$\mu_{\phi}$	200.0
$\mu_{\chi}$	100.0
$egin{array}{l} \mu_{\phi} \ \mu_{\chi} \ \mu_{\sigma} \ \phi_i \end{array}$	50.0
$\phi_i$	187.920
$\chi_i$	93.000
$\sigma_i$	40.326

Table 4. 3-Field Non-Interacting Case III: Parameters of the potential and initial conditions of a case having two slow turns.  $M_p$  is set to 1.




Figure 14. 3-Field Non-Interacting Case III: Turning rates of the field trajectory, defined in Eqs. (3.34) and (3.35) are shown in the left plot. As it is obvious both are lower than unity, therefore we have two slow turns. The power spectrum of the curvature mode for different values of k evaluated at the end of inflation is shown in the right plot.




Figure 15. 3-Field Interacting Case: The evolution of the three scalar fields,  $\phi$ ,  $\chi$  and  $\sigma$  is shown with respect to the number of e-folds.  $N_e = 0$  is the end of inflation

#### 4.4.1 Background Solution

Parameters and initial conditions of this case are shown in table 5. The results for this case are shown in figures 15 -18. Figure 15 shows the evolution of fields during inflation. Figure 16 shows the evolution of the Hubble and slow-roll parameters. The trajectory in the field space in 2d point of view is shown in figure 17, and from a 3d point of view in figure 18.

Parameters	<b>3-Field Interacting Case</b>
	$\langle \rangle^2$
$\lambda_{\phi}$	$4500\lambda_{\sigma} \left(\frac{\mu_{\sigma}}{\mu_{\phi}}\right)^{2}$ $90\lambda_{\sigma} \left(\frac{\mu_{\sigma}}{\mu_{\chi}}\right)^{2}$ $5.520 \times 10^{-12}$
$egin{array}{lll} \lambda_{\phi} \ \lambda_{\chi} \ \lambda_{\sigma} \end{array}$	$90\lambda_{\sigma}\left(\frac{\mu_{\sigma}}{\mu_{\chi}}\right)^{2}$
$\lambda_{\sigma}$	
g	$5.000 \times 10^{-22}$
$\mu_{\phi}$	200.00
$\mu_{\phi} \ \mu_{\chi}$	100.00
$\mu_{\sigma}$	50.00
$\phi_i$	13.441
$\chi_i$	10.600
$\sigma_i$	6.750

Table 5. 3-Field Interacting Case: Parameters of the potential and initial conditions for the interacting case.  $M_p$  is set to 1.




Figure 16. 3-Field Interacting Case: The evolution of the Hubble parameter, H, and the slow-roll parameter,  $\epsilon_H$  is shown.




Figure 17. 3-Field Interacting Case: The 2D projections of the background trajectory in the field space.




Figure 18. 3-Field Interacting Case: The 3D background trajectory in the field space.




Figure 19. 3-Field Interacting Case: The evolution of the power spectra of the curvature and isocurvature modes for a specific Fourier mode, which exit the horizon 60 e-folds before the end of inflation,  $k = 0.002 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ , w.r.t.  $N_e$ . At about 30 e-fold before the end of inflation, the power spectra of curvature and isocurvature modes experience a jump and then fall off. The power spectrum of the second isocurvature mode falls off more slowly, therefore its amplitude at the end of inflation is larger than the power spectrum of the curvature mode just becomes correlated with the curvature and the first isocurvature modes when the turn happens, see  $\tilde{C}_{S_1S_2}$ ,  $\tilde{C}_{RS_2}$ .

#### 4.4.2 Perturbative Level

Solving equations (3.62)-(3.64) numerically, we obtained the desired quantities. The evolution of the power spectra of the curvature and isocurvature modes (left plot), and their correlations (right plot), for a specific mode, which exit the horizon 60 e-folds before the end of inflation,  $k = 0.002 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ . w.r.t.  $N_e$  are shown in figure 19. The field trajectory in this case has a sharp turn at about 30 e-folds before the end of inflation. The power spectra of the curvature and isocurvature experience jumps at the turn. After that the power spectra of the curvature and first isocurvature mode start to fall off until the end of inflation to respectively reach a value of order  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}} \simeq 10^{-9}$ , and  $\mathcal{P}_{s_1} \simeq 10^{-39}$ . Power spectrum of the second isocurvature mode falls off more slowly, and its amplitude at the end of inflation is larger than the curvature mode ( $\mathcal{P}_{s_2} \simeq 10^{-8}$ ). The correlations between the first isocurvature mode and the curvature mode,  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{RS}_1}$  (black line), has an almost constant value of 0.3 until the turn happens at about 30 e-folds before the end of inflation, and begins to oscillate between 0.3 and 1. The second isocurvature mode is uncorrelated to the curvature and the first isocurvature mode ( $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{S}_1 \mathcal{S}_2}$ ,  $\hat{C}_{\mathcal{RS}_2}$ ) until when the turn happens. In figure 20, the power spectrum of the curvature mode is shown with respect to k (Right plot). The value of the power spectrum for the mode which exit the horizon at the CMB scale is set to the known value,  $2.1 \times 10^{-9}$ . The power spectrum of the isocurvature modes have similar shapes with amplitudes  $\mathcal{P}_{s_1} \sim 10^{-39}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{s_2} \sim 10^{-7}$  for  $k = 0.002 \,\mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$ . The The turn rates,  $\eta_{\perp 1}$ , and  $\eta_{\perp 2}$  are also shown in the same figure (left plot).

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we have developed the three-field cosmological perturbation theory in the flat field space. We have projected the perturbations of fields along and perpendicular to the background trajectory and obtained the equations of motion for the curvature and two isocurvature modes explicitly. As examples, three different scenarios have been investigated. First, we have investigated a three-field model in which one of the fields remains at the bottom of the potential and which effectively reduces to a two-field model plus a linearly decoupled isocurvature mode. Our equations in the two-field limit is consistent with the two-field formulations in the literature. We have later investigated two other scenarios of three-field, where in the first case different fields do not directly interact with each other, and in the second one interactions between different fields are assumed. In the non-interacting case we have investigated three different sub-cases which include different regimes of turns in the field space




Figure 20. 3-Field Interacting Case: In the left plot, the turning rates of the trajectory is shown.  $\eta_{\perp 2}$  is negligible during the inflation, and there is only a single rapid turn in this case. The power spectrum of the curvature mode at the end of inflation for different momentum modes is shown in the right plot. The value of the power spectrum for the mode which exit the horizon at the CMB scale is set to the known  $2.1 \times 10^{-9}$ . The power spectra of the isocurvature modes have similar shapes with different values,  $\mathcal{P}_{s_1} \sim 10^{-39}$ , and  $\mathcal{P}_{s_2} \sim 10^{-7}$ .

background trajectory. These sub-cases include slow turns, with turning rates lower than one, rapid turns, with turning rates larger than unity, and also turns with turning rate almost equal to one. The effect of different turning regimes on the power spectra is shown. It is also observed that in all these cases the amplitudes of the power spectra of isocurvature modes are quite subdominant. We have then investigated a three-field model in which different fields directly interact with each other. In this case we observed that the power spectrum of one of the isocurvature modes is about three orders of magnitude larger than the power spectrum of the curvature mode. In this paper we have worked in the flat field space. It would be interesting to explore generalizations of this work to models with a curved field space. Also investigating the bispectrum when such sharp turns in the field space occurs is another interesting avenue to pursue.

## Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Abdulrahim Al Balushi for collaboration in the initial stages of this project. We are also thankful to K. Turzynski for feedback on the manuscript. We are also grateful to M.M.Sheikh-Jabbari, and M.H.Namjoo for useful discussions. This project is supported by INSF grant 4031449. This project has also received funding from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie Staff Exchange grant agreement No 101086085 – ASYMMETRY. The work of S.M. was supported in part by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 24K07017 and the World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI), MEXT, Japan.

## References

- [1] Y. Akrami et al. Planck 2018 results. X. Constraints on inflation. Astron. Astrophys., 641:A10, 2020.
- [2] Nima Arkani-Hamed and Juan Maldacena. Cosmological Collider Physics. 3 2015.
- [3] E. Komatsu et al. Five-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Cosmological Interpretation. Astrophys. J. Suppl., 180:330–376, 2009.
- [4] Clifford Cheung, Paolo Creminelli, A. Liam Fitzpatrick, Jared Kaplan, and Leonardo Senatore. The Effective Field Theory of Inflation. JHEP, 03:014, 2008.

- [5] Amjad Ashoorioon, Roberto Casadio, Michele Cicoli, Ghazal Geshnizjani, and Hyung J. Kim. Extended Effective Field Theory of Inflation. JHEP, 02:172, 2018.
- [6] Nima Arkani-Hamed, Hsin-Chia Cheng, Markus A. Luty, and Shinji Mukohyama. Ghost condensation and a consistent infrared modification of gravity. JHEP, 05:074, 2004.
- [7] Paolo Creminelli, Markus A. Luty, Alberto Nicolis, and Leonardo Senatore. Starting the Universe: Stable Violation of the Null Energy Condition and Non-standard Cosmologies. JHEP, 12:080, 2006.
- [8] Y. Akrami et al. Planck 2018 results. IX. Constraints on primordial non-Gaussianity. Astron. Astrophys., 641:A9, 2020.
- [9] Olivier Doré et al. Cosmology with the SPHEREX All-Sky Spectral Survey. 12 2014.
- [10] Kevork Abazajian et al. CMB-S4 Science Case, Reference Design, and Project Plan. 7 2019.
- [11] P. Ivanov, P. Naselsky, and I. Novikov. Inflation and primordial black holes as dark matter. *Phys. Rev.* D, 50:7173–7178, 1994.
- [12] Juan Garcia-Bellido and Ester Ruiz Morales. Primordial black holes from single field models of inflation. *Phys. Dark Univ.*, 18:47–54, 2017.
- [13] Amjad Ashoorioon, Abasalt Rostami, and Javad T. Firouzjaee. EFT compatible PBHs: effective spawning of the seeds for primordial black holes during inflation. JHEP, 07:087, 2021.
- [14] Bernard J. Carr and S. W. Hawking. Black holes in the early Universe. Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc., 168:399–415, 1974.
- [15] N. Bartolo, V. De Luca, G. Franciolini, A. Lewis, M. Peloso, and A. Riotto. Primordial Black Hole Dark Matter: LISA Serendipity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 122(21):211301, 2019.
- [16] Gianfranco Bertone and Tim Tait, M. P. A new era in the search for dark matter. Nature, 562(7725):51–56, 2018.
- [17] Simeon Bird, Ilias Cholis, Julian B. Muñoz, Yacine Ali-Haïmoud, Marc Kamionkowski, Ely D. Kovetz, Alvise Raccanelli, and Adam G. Riess. Did LIGO detect dark matter? *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 116(20):201301, 2016.
- [18] Kishore N. Ananda, Chris Clarkson, and David Wands. The Cosmological gravitational wave background from primordial density perturbations. *Phys. Rev. D*, 75:123518, 2007.
- [19] Daniel Baumann, Paul J. Steinhardt, Keitaro Takahashi, and Kiyotomo Ichiki. Gravitational Wave Spectrum Induced by Primordial Scalar Perturbations. *Phys. Rev. D*, 76:084019, 2007.
- [20] Jacopo Fumagalli, Gonzalo A. Palma, Sébastien Renaux-Petel, Spyros Sypsas, Lukas T. Witkowski, and Cristobal Zenteno. Primordial gravitational waves from excited states. *JHEP*, 03:196, 2022.
- [21] Zhi-Zhang Peng, Chengjie Fu, Jing Liu, Zong-Kuan Guo, and Rong-Gen Cai. Gravitational waves from resonant amplification of curvature perturbations during inflation. JCAP, 10:050, 2021.
- [22] Haipeng An, Kun-Feng Lyu, Lian-Tao Wang, and Siyi Zhou. A unique gravitational wave signal from phase transition during inflation<sup>\*</sup>. *Chin. Phys. C*, 46(10):101001, 2022.
- [23] Ana Achucarro, Jinn-Ouk Gong, Sjoerd Hardeman, Gonzalo A. Palma, and Subodh P. Patil. Features of heavy physics in the CMB power spectrum. *JCAP*, 01:030, 2011.
- [24] Maciej Konieczka, Raquel H. Ribeiro, and Krzysztof Turzynski. The effects of a fast-turning trajectory in multiple-field inflation. JCAP, 07:030, 2014.
- [25] S. Groot Nibbelink and B. J. W. van Tent. Scalar perturbations during multiple field slow-roll inflation. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 19:613–640, 2002.
- [26] Christopher Gordon, David Wands, Bruce A. Bassett, and Roy Maartens. Adiabatic and entropy perturbations from inflation. *Phys. Rev. D*, 63:023506, 2000.
- [27] S. Groot Nibbelink and B. J. W. van Tent. Density perturbations arising from multiple field slow roll inflation. 11 2000.
- [28] Nicolás Parra, Spyros Sypsas, Gonzalo A. Palma, and Cristóbal Zenteno. Particle creation from non-geodesic trajectories in multifield inflation. 10 2024.

- [29] Bruce A. Bassett, Shinji Tsujikawa, and David Wands. Inflation dynamics and reheating. Rev. Mod. Phys., 78:537–589, 2006.
- [30] Kari Enqvist, Hannu Kurki-Suonio, and Jussi Valiviita. Open and closed CDM isocurvature models contrasted with the CMB data. *Phys. Rev. D*, 65:043002, 2002.
- [31] David H. Lyth and David Wands. Generating the curvature perturbation without an inflaton. Phys. Lett. B, 524:5–14, 2002.
- [32] Shamit Kachru, Renata Kallosh, Andrei D. Linde, Juan Martin Maldacena, Liam P. McAllister, and Sandip P. Trivedi. Towards inflation in string theory. JCAP, 10:013, 2003.
- [33] Hassan Firouzjahi and S. H. Henry Tye. Closer towards inflation in string theory. Phys. Lett. B, 584:147–154, 2004.
- [34] Daniel Baumann and Liam McAllister. Inflation and String Theory. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, 5 2015.
- [35] Daniel Baumann, Anatoly Dymarsky, Igor R. Klebanov, and Liam McAllister. Towards an Explicit Model of D-brane Inflation. JCAP, 01:024, 2008.
- [36] C. P. Burgess. Lectures on Cosmic Inflation and its Potential Stringy Realizations. PoS P, 2GC:008, 2006.
- [37] Liam McAllister and Eva Silverstein. String Cosmology: A Review. Gen. Rel. Grav., 40:565–605, 2008.
- [38] James M. Cline. String Cosmology. In Les Houches Summer School Session 86: Particle Physics and Cosmology: The Fabric of Spacetime, 12 2006.
- [39] S. H. Henry Tye. Brane inflation: String theory viewed from the cosmos. Lect. Notes Phys., 737:949–974, 2008.
- [40] Amjad Ashoorioon and Axel Krause. Power Spectrum and Signatures for Cascade Inflation. 7 2006.
- [41] Amjad Ashoorioon, Axel Krause, and Krzysztof Turzynski. Energy Transfer in Multi Field Inflation and Cosmological Perturbations. JCAP, 02:014, 2009.
- [42] Amjad Ashoorioon, Hassan Firouzjahi, and Mohammmad Mahdi Sheikh-Jabbari. Matrix Inflation and the Landscape of its Potential. JCAP, 05:002, 2010.
- [43] Amjad Ashoorioon, Hassan Firouzjahi, and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari. M-flation: Inflation From Matrix Valued Scalar Fields. *JCAP*, 06:018, 2009.
- [44] A. Ashoorioon and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari. Gauged M-flation, its UV sensitivity and Spectator Species. JCAP, 06:014, 2011.
- [45] Georges Obied, Hirosi Ooguri, Lev Spodyneiko, and Cumrun Vafa. De Sitter Space and the Swampland. 6 2018.
- [46] Prateek Agrawal, Georges Obied, Paul J. Steinhardt, and Cumrun Vafa. On the Cosmological Implications of the String Swampland. *Phys. Lett. B*, 784:271–276, 2018.
- [47] Sumit K. Garg and Chethan Krishnan. Bounds on Slow Roll and the de Sitter Swampland. JHEP, 11:075, 2019.
- [48] Hirosi Ooguri, Eran Palti, Gary Shiu, and Cumrun Vafa. Distance and de Sitter Conjectures on the Swampland. Phys. Lett. B, 788:180–184, 2019.
- [49] Ana Achúcarro and Gonzalo A. Palma. The string swampland constraints require multi-field inflation. JCAP, 02:041, 2019.
- [50] Andrew R. Liddle, Anupam Mazumdar, and Franz E. Schunck. Assisted inflation. Phys. Rev. D, 58:061301, 1998.
- [51] S. Dimopoulos, S. Kachru, J. McGreevy, and Jay G. Wacker. N-flation. JCAP, 08:003, 2008.
- [52] David Wands. Multiple field inflation. Lect. Notes Phys., 738:275–304, 2008.
- [53] Christian T. Byrnes and David Wands. Curvature and isocurvature perturbations from two-field inflation in a slow-roll expansion. *Phys. Rev. D*, 74:043529, 2006.

- [54] Z. Lalak, D. Langlois, S. Pokorski, and K. Turzynski. Curvature and isocurvature perturbations in two-field inflation. JCAP, 07:014, 2007.
- [55] Sebastián Céspedes and Gonzalo A. Palma. Cosmic inflation in a landscape of heavy-fields. JCAP, 10:051, 2013.
- [56] Vikas Aragam, Sonia Paban, and Robert Rosati. Primordial stochastic gravitational wave backgrounds from a sharp feature in three-field inflation. Part I. The radiation era. JCAP, 11:014, 2023.
- [57] Vikas Aragam, Sonia Paban, and Robert Rosati. Primordial Stochastic Gravitational Wave Backgrounds from a Sharp Feature in Three-field Inflation II: The Inflationary Era. 9 2024.
- [58] Xingang Chen and Yi Wang. Quasi-Single Field Inflation and Non-Gaussianities. JCAP, 04:027, 2010.
- [59] David I. Kaiser. Conformal Transformations with Multiple Scalar Fields. Phys. Rev. D, 81:084044, 2010.
- [60] Steven Weinberg. Cosmology. 2008.
- [61] Daniel Baumann. Inflation. In Theoretical Advanced Study Institute in Elementary Particle Physics: Physics of the Large and the Small, pages 523–686, 2011.
- [62] A. Ashoorioon and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari. Gauged M-flation After BICEP2. Phys. Lett. B, 739:391–399, 2014.