Exploring the depths of symmetry in the mKdV equation: physical interpretations and multi-wave solutions

Xiazhi Hao^a, S. Y. Lou^{b,*}

^aSchool of Mathematical Sciences, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou, 310014, China
 ^bSchool of Physical Science and Technology, Ningbo University, Ningbo, 315211, China

Abstract

This manuscript embarks on an in-depth exploration of the modified Korteweg-de Vries (mKdV) equation, with a particular emphasis on unraveling the intricate structure of its infinite symmetries and their physical interpretations. Central to this investigation are the K-symmetries and τ -symmetries, which are delineated by a recursive relationship and constitute an infinite ensemble that underpins the conservation laws. We engage with an existing symmetry conjecture, which posits that the currently identified symmetries represent a subset of a more expansive, yet to be unearthed, set. This conjecture is substantiated through an analysis of the soliton solutions associated with the mKdV equation, demonstrating that these symmetries can be decomposed into linear combinations of center and wave number translation symmetries. Further, by imposing an infinite sequence of symmetry constraints, it becomes feasible to derive exact multi-wave solutions. This methodology, predicated on the proposed symmetry conjecture, facilitates the extraction of exact solutions, encompassing complexiton, breather, multi-soliton solutions, among others.

Key words: mKdV equation; K-symmetries; τ -symmetries; physical interpretations; multi-wave solutions MSC: 35Q51, 35Q53, 37K06, 37K10.

1. Introduction

The modified Korteweg-de Vries (mKdV) equation, a cornerstone in the field of integrable systems, has been pivotal in understanding the behavior of nonlinear dispersive waves. This equation extends its relevance to a myriad of physical phenomena, including shallow water waves [1], nonlinear optics [2], plasma physics [3] and so on. The mKdV equation is particularly noted for its soliton solutions [4], stable, localized waves that maintain their form while propagating at a constant velocity, and its rich algebraic structure, which encapsulates an infinite number of symmetries [5–8] and conservation laws. The exploration of these solutions and their underlying symmetries is crucial for deciphering the complex dynamics of nonlinear phenomena.

The quest to elucidate the physical essence of the symmetries and conservation laws intrinsic to integrable systems, exemplified by the mKdV equation, represents a formidable intellectual endeavor situated at the confluence of physics and mathematics. The seminal contributions of Miura, Gardner, and Kruskal [9], complemented by the subsequent scholarly

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: lousenyue@nbu.edu.cn(S. Y. Lou)

work of [5, 10] and Fuchssteiner [11–15], have unveiled the potential for an infinite number of symmetries within integrable systems. While these symmetries have been instrumental in tackling nonlinear physical phenomena, a cadre of foundational inquiries remain unresolved, necessitating a renewed scrutiny of their physical significance and mathematical integrity.

This manuscript embarks on an in-depth investigation into the physical interpretation related to the boundless symmetries within integrable systems, with a particular focus on the mKdV equation. We delve into the multi-wave solutions of the mKdV equation, employing an analytical methodology to scrutinize their symmetries. Our investigation is prompted by the recognition that while integrable systems are characterized by an infinite number of symmetries and conservation laws, only a select few possess explicit, tangible physical interpretations, such as those related to space-time translational invariance, Galilean or Lorentz invariance, scaling invariance, as well as the conservation of mass, momentum, and energy. We aim to bridge this gap by examining the symmetries in the context of multi-wave solutions, with a specific emphasis on the *n*-soliton solution.

The structure of this manuscript proceeds as delineated: after an introduction to the mKdV equation and its significance, we begin by providing an intrinsic physical interpretation of the infinite K-symmetries and τ -symmetries [16] inherent in the mKdV equation. Subsequent section will address the implications of these symmetries for the derivation of multi-wave solutions. We introduce a novel approach to solving multi-wave solutions of the mKdV equation by harnessing the power of generalized local symmetries. Finally, we conclude with a synthesis of our findings and discuss the implications of our results.

2. Intrinsic physical interpretations of the infinite K-symmetries and τ -symmetries inherent in the mKdV equation

The mKdV equation, a quintessential partial differential equation, is articulated as follows

$$u_t = u_{xxx} + 6u^2 u_x. \tag{1}$$

This equation is pivotal in the study of integrable systems and is distinguished by its capacity to support soliton solutions, as well as its rich algebraic structure, which encapsulates the dynamics of wave phenomena. The infinitesimal symmetries of the mKdV equation are characterized by their invariance under the linearized mKdV equation

$$\sigma_t = \sigma_{xxx} + 12uu_x\sigma + 6u^2\sigma_x. \tag{2}$$

This definition embodies the notion that the mKdV equation maintains its form under the infinitesimal transformation $u \to u + \epsilon \sigma$ with ϵ being an infinitesimal parameter. The mKdV equation is distinguished by an infinite sequence of symmetries, known as the K-symmetries and τ -symmetries, which are defined in a recursive manner. The K-symmetries, which are independent of the spatial and temporal variables, are articulated through the operator Φ , given by

$$\Phi \equiv \partial_x^2 + 4u^2 + 4u_x \partial_x^{-1} u. \tag{3}$$

The symmetries themselves are explicitly defined as [5]

$$K_{n+1} = \Phi^n u_x, \quad n = 0, 1, ..., \infty.$$
 (4)

The initial members of this recursive sequence are explicitly enumerated as

$$K_{1} = u_{x},$$

$$K_{2} = (u_{xx} + 2u^{3})_{x} = u_{t},$$

$$K_{3} = (u_{4x} + 10u^{2}u_{xx} + 10uu_{x}^{2} + 6u^{5})_{x},$$

$$K_{4} = [u_{6x} + 14u^{2}u_{4x} + 56uu_{x}u_{xxx} + 70(u^{4} + u_{x}^{2})u_{xx} + 42uu_{xx}^{2} + 140u^{3}u_{x}^{2} + 20u^{7}]_{x},$$

$$K_{5} = [u_{8x} + 18u^{2}u_{6x} + 108uu_{x}u_{5x} + 6(21u^{4} + 38uu_{xx} + 35u_{x}^{2})u_{4x} + 138uu_{xxx}^{2} + 4(252u^{3} + 189u_{xx})u_{x}u_{xxx} + 182u_{xx}^{3} + 756u^{3}u_{xx}^{2} + 84(5u^{4} + 37u_{x}^{2})u^{2}u_{xx} + 798uu_{x}^{4} + 1260u^{5}u_{x}^{2} + 70u^{9}]_{x}.$$
(5)

The τ -symmetries, which depend explicitly on the spatial and temporal variables, are given by [6]

$$\tau_{n+1} = \Phi^n (xu_x + 3tu_t + u), \quad n = 0, 1, ..., \infty.$$
(6)

The initial entries of this sequence are explicitly presented as

$$\tau_{1} = xu_{x} + 3tu_{t} + u = xK_{1} + 3tK_{2} + u,$$

$$\tau_{2} = xK_{2} + 3tK_{3} + 2K_{1} \int u^{2}dx + 3K_{1,x} + 4u^{3},$$

$$\tau_{3} = xK_{3} + 3tK_{4} + 2K_{2} \int u^{2}dx + 6K_{1} \int u^{4}dx - 6K_{1} \int K_{1}^{2}dx + 10u^{2}K_{1,x} + 5K_{2,x} + 16u^{5},$$

$$\tau_{4} = xK_{4} + 3tK_{5} + 2K_{3} \int u^{2}dx + 6K_{2} \int u^{4}dx + 20K_{1} \int u^{6}dx - 6K_{2} \int K_{1}^{2}dx + 10K_{1} \int K_{1,x}^{2}dx - 100K_{1} \int u^{2}K_{1}^{2}dx - 6K_{1}^{2}K_{1,x} + 14u^{2}K_{2,x} + 42u^{4}K_{1,x} + 7K_{3,x} + 64u^{7}.$$
(7)

The symmetries inherent to the mKdV equation act as the progenitors of an infinite sequence of conservation laws, which are fundamental in the study of integrable systems. These conservation laws are articulated through a series of differential identities, with the initial members of this sequence delineated as [17]

$$u_t = (2u^3 + u_{xx})_x, (8)$$

$$(u^2)_t = (3u^4 + 2uu_{xx} - u_x^2)_x,\tag{9}$$

$$\left(u^{4} - u_{x}^{2}\right)_{t} = \left(4u^{6} + 4u^{3}u_{xx} - 12u^{2}u_{x}^{2} - 2u_{x}u_{xxx} + u_{xx}^{2}\right)_{x}, \tag{10}$$

$$\left(u^{6} - 5u^{2}u_{x}^{2} + \frac{u_{xx}^{2}}{2}\right)_{t} = \left(\frac{9}{2}u^{8} + 6u^{5}u_{xx} - 45u^{4}u_{x}^{2} + 8u^{2}u_{xx}^{2} - 10u^{2}u_{x}u_{xxx}\right)$$
(11)

$$+10uu_x^2u_{xx} + \frac{u_x^4}{2} + u_{xx}u_{4x} - \frac{u_{xxx}^2}{2}\bigg)_x.$$

Herein, the conservation of mass is manifested as the mKdV equation itself (8), expressed in a conservation form. The conservation of momentum emerges as the second conserved quantity (9), derived by multiplying the mKdV equation by 2u and subsequently reformulating it in conservation form. The conservation of energy, represented by the third conserved quantity (10), is more intricate to derive, necessitating a series of multiplications and factorizations. Beyond these initial three conserved densities, subsequent members of the sequence do not readily lend themselves to a straightforward physical interpretation, suggesting a potential avenue for further theoretical inquiry and elucidation.

Transitioning into the realm of differential geometry and the theory of partial differential equations, a Lie point symmetry is rigorously characterized by a vector field of the form

$$\sigma = U(x, t, u) - X(x, t, u)u_x - T(x, t, u)u_t,$$
(12)

where U, X and T are functions that characterize the symmetry transformation. Upon immersion of this ansatz into the linearized mKdV symmetry equation and subsequent elimination of u_t by the mKdV equation itself, the resultant Lie point symmetry for the mKdV equation is derived to be

$$\sigma = cu + (cx + x_0)u_x + (3ct + t_0)u_t$$

= $c(u + xu_x + 3tu_t) + x_0u_x + t_0u_t$
= $c\tau_1 + x_0K_1 + t_0K_2$,

where c, x_0 and t_0 are arbitrary constants, K_1 and K_2 correspond to the spatial and temporal translational symmetries, respectively. τ_1 encapsulates the scaling symmetry, reflecting the homothetic transformation of the solution space. In contradistinction to the KdV equation, which is endowed with Galilean invariance, the mKdV equation does not partake in this property. Nonetheless, the mKdV equation does exhibit form invariance under the specified translational and scaling transformations. Accordingly, the mKdV equation (1) exhibits invariance for a specified solution $u = u_0(x, t)$ under the operations of spatial and temporal translations, as well as under appropriate scaling transformations. Specifically, for any real numbers x_0 and t_0 , and for any positive constant c, the transformations $u_0(x - x_0, t - t_0)$ and $e^{c\epsilon}u_0(e^{c\epsilon}x, e^{3c\epsilon}t)$ are solutions of the mKdV equation (1), thereby demonstrating its form invariance. The challenge of augmenting the solution $u = u_0(x, t)$ with additional arbitrary constants through the utilization of higher order K-symmetries and τ -symmetries remains an unresolved issue.

To elucidate the potential physical interpretations, it is imperative to specify the exact form of the solution $u_0(x,t)$ for the mKdV equation. The mKdV equation is renowned for its diverse soliton solutions, among which the *n*-soliton solution stands out as a fundamental and significant case, embodying a particular structure as documented in [4]

$$u_{ns} = \pm i \left(\ln \frac{F_-}{F_+} \right)_x,\tag{13}$$

where the functions F_{\pm} are defined by the sum over all permutations $\mu_j = 0, 1$ for j = 1, 2, ..., n, and are given by

$$F_{\pm} = \sum_{\mu=1,0} \exp\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{j} \left(\xi_{j} \pm \frac{i\pi}{2}\right) + \sum_{1 \le j < l}^{n} \mu_{j} \mu_{l} \theta_{jl}\right].$$
 (14)

The terms within the exponent are specified by

$$\xi_j = k_j x + k_j^3 t + c_j, \quad \exp(\theta_{jl}) = \left(\frac{k_j - k_l}{k_j + k_l}\right)^2.$$
 (15)

In this formulation, ξ_j represents the phase shift of the *j*-th soliton, with k_j being its wave number and c_j a constant of integration. The quantity θ_{jl} captures the interaction between the *j*-th and *l*-th solitons, encapsulating the essence of their mutual dynamics.

From the expression delineated by equation (13), it is observed that the solution exhibits invariance under the transformation

$$F_{\pm} \to \beta \exp(Kx + \Omega t + X_0) F_{\pm} \tag{16}$$

with arbitrary constants K, β, Ω and X_0 . Leveraging the invariance property expressed by equation (16), coupled with the freedom to assign values to c_j permits a re-expression of the solution (13) in an alternative form

$$u_{ns} = \pm 2 \left(\arctan \frac{\sum_{\nu_e} K_{\nu} \sinh(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \nu_j \eta_j)}{\sum_{\nu_o} K_{\nu} \cosh(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \nu_j \eta_j)} \right)_x.$$
 (17)

In this formulation, the summation over ν_o is to be conducted for the ensemble of non-dual odd permutations of ν_i taking values of ± 1 for each index i = 1, 2, ..., N, with the specific stipulation that the count of $\nu_i = 1$ must be odd. Concurrently, the summation over ν_e is to be executed for the collection of non-dual even permutations of ν_i within the same set of values, ensuring that the quantity of $\nu_i = 1$ is even. The coefficient K_{ν} is defined by the product

$$K_{\nu} \equiv \prod_{i>j} (k_i - \nu_i \nu_j k_j), \qquad (18)$$

which encapsulates the pairwise interactions between different components of the solution. The term η_j is defined as

$$\eta_j = \frac{1}{2}\xi_j = \frac{1}{2}(k_j x + k_j^3 t + c_j), \tag{19}$$

representing a phase shift that incorporates spatial and temporal dependencies alongside a constant phase c_j . The solutions pertaining to the one-, two-, and three-soliton scenarios are articulated in a concise form, reflecting the fundamental structures of soliton interactions within the mKdV equation. The univariate soliton solution, embodying a solitary wave disturbance, is represented by the formula

$$u_{1s} = \pm 2 \left[\arctan\left(\frac{\sinh(\eta_1)}{\cosh(\eta_1)}\right) \right]_x,\tag{20}$$

where the argument of the arctan function captures the ratio of the hyperbolic sine to cosine of the phase η_1 . The bivariate soliton solution, detailing the interaction between two solitons, is given by

$$u_{2s} = \pm 2 \left[\arctan\left(\frac{(k_1 - k_2)\sinh(\eta_1 + \eta_2)}{(k_1 + k_2)\cosh(\eta_1 - \eta_2)}\right) \right]_x,$$
(21)

with k_1 and k_2 denoting the wave numbers of the two solitons and their interaction encoded in the hyperbolic functions of their combined phases η_1 and η_2 . The trivariate soliton solution, encapsulating the intricate dynamics among three solitons, is expressed as

$$u_{3s} = \pm 2 \left[\arctan \frac{A}{B} \right]_x,\tag{22}$$

where A and B are functions that embody the collective interactions influenced by the wave numbers k_1 , k_2 , and k_3 , and the phases η_1 , η_2 , and η_3 . The expressions for A and B are meticulously constructed to incorporate all pairwise interactions among the solitons, and are defined as follows:

$$A = (k_2 - k_1)(k_3 - k_1)(k_3 - k_2)\sinh(-\eta_1 - \eta_2 - \eta_3) + (k_2 - k_1)(k_3 + k_1)(k_3 + k_2)\sinh(\eta_1 + \eta_2 - \eta_3) + (k_2 + k_1)(k_3 - k_1)(k_3 + k_2)\sinh(\eta_1 - \eta_2 + \eta_3) + (k_2 + k_1)(k_3 + k_1)(k_3 - k_2)\sinh(\eta_1 + \eta_2 - \eta_3), B = (k_2 - k_1)(k_3 - k_1)(k_3 - k_2)\cosh(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3) + (k_2 - k_1)(k_3 + k_1)(k_3 + k_2)\cosh(-\eta_1 - \eta_2 + \eta_3) + (k_2 + k_1)(k_3 - k_1)(k_3 - k_2)\cosh(-\eta_1 - \eta_2 - \eta_3) + (k_2 + k_1)(k_3 + k_1)(k_3 - k_2)\cosh(-\eta_1 - \eta_2 + \eta_3).$$

The expressions for A and B are constructed to account for all pairwise interactions among the three solitons.

For the explicitly determined solution $u = u_0 = u_{ns}$, as characterized by equation (13), there exists a complement of 2n symmetries that are physically pertinent. These symmetries are rigorously defined within the mathematical structure of the equation as follows

$$\sigma_{c_m} = u_{ns,c_m} = \pm i \left(\frac{F_{-,c_m}}{F_{-}} - \frac{F_{+,c_m}}{F_{+}} \right)_x,$$
(23)

where the functions F_{\pm,c_m} are defined by the summation over the binary variable μ and given by

$$F_{\pm,c_m} = \sum_{\mu=1,0} \mu_m \exp\left[\sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j \left(\xi_j \pm \frac{i\pi}{2}\right) + \sum_{1 \le j < l}^n \mu_j \mu_l \theta_{jl}\right], m = 1, 2, ..., n.$$

Similarly, the symmetries denoted by σ_{k_m} are formulated as

$$\sigma_{k_m} = u_{ns,k_m} = \pm i \left(\frac{F_{-,k_m}}{F_{-}} - \frac{F_{+,k_m}}{F_{+}} \right)_x,$$
(24)

with the associated functions F_{\pm,k_m} being

$$F_{\pm,k_m} = \sum_{\mu=1,0} \left(\mu_m \xi_{m,k_m} + \sum_{1 \le i < p}^n \mu_i \mu_p \theta_{ip,k_m} \right) \exp\left[\sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j \left(\xi_j \pm \frac{i\pi}{2} \right) + \sum_{1 \le j < l}^n \mu_j \mu_l \theta_{jl} \right].$$

The symmetries σ_{c_m} and σ_{k_m} encapsulate the translational invariance properties of the *m*-th soliton within the solution framework. Specifically, the symmetry σ_{c_m} corresponds to the central translational invariance of the *m*-th soliton, reflecting the capacity of the soliton to maintain its structural integrity upon spatial translation. Conversely, σ_{k_m} encapsulates the wave number translational invariance of the *m*-th soliton, where the wave number k_m is intricately linked to the amplitude, spatial extent, and propagation velocity, thus embodying the dynamic characteristics of the *m*-th soliton.

In light of these conceptualizations, a profound and pertinent question arises: what are the intrinsic connections, if any, between the higher-order symmetries $\{K_{n+1}, \tau_{n+1}\}$ and the sets of translational invariants $\{\sigma_{c_m}, \sigma_{k_m}\}$?

For the K-symmetries as delineated by system (5), with the solution $u = u_{ns}$ as specified by equation (13), the derivation of the corresponding expressions is a matter of straightforward

computation

$$K_{1}|_{u=u_{ns}} = u_{x}|_{u=u_{ns}} = \sum_{m=1}^{n} k_{m}u_{ns,c_{m}} = \sum_{m=1}^{n} k_{m}\sigma_{c_{m}},$$

$$K_{2}|_{u=u_{ns}} = \Phi u_{x}|_{u=u_{ns}} = \sum_{m=1}^{n} k_{m}^{3}u_{ns,c_{m}} = \sum_{m=1}^{n} k_{m}^{3}\sigma_{c_{m}},$$

$$K_{3}|_{u=u_{ns}} = \Phi^{2}u_{x}|_{u=u_{ns}} = \sum_{m=1}^{n} k_{m}^{5}u_{ns,c_{m}} = \sum_{m=1}^{n} k_{m}^{5}\sigma_{c_{m}},$$

$$\vdots$$

$$K_{i}|_{u=u_{ns}} = \Phi^{i-1}u_{x}|_{u=u_{ns}} = \sum_{m=1}^{n} k_{m}^{2i-1}u_{ns,c_{m}} = \sum_{m=1}^{n} k_{m}^{2i-1}\sigma_{c_{m}}, \quad i = 4, 5, \ldots, \infty. \quad (25)$$

This sequence explicitly demonstrates that, within the purview of the specified solution (13), the infinite series of K-symmetries lacks inherent autonomy. Precisely, only n of these symmetries, characterized by K_i for i = 1, ..., n, are independent. The subsequent symmetries, which are unbounded in number, are revealed to be linearly reducible to these independent symmetries

$$K_i|_{u=u_{ns}} = \Phi^{i-1}u_x|_{u=u_{ns}} = \sum_{m=1}^n k_m^{2i-1} \frac{\Delta_{nm}}{\Delta_n} \bigg|_{u=u_{ns}}, \ i=n+1, \ n+2, \ \dots, \ \infty.$$
(26)

Here, Δ_n is utilized to denote the determinant of the $n \times n$ matrix M, which is formally defined as $\Delta_n \equiv \det(M)$. The matrix M is constituted as

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 & k_2 & \cdots & k_m & \cdots & k_{n-1} & k_n \\ k_1^3 & k_2^3 & \cdots & k_m^3 & \cdots & k_{n-1}^3 & k_n^3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ k_1^{2n-1} & k_2^{2n-1} & \cdots & k_m^{2n-1} & \cdots & k_{n-1}^{2n-1} & k_n^{2n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$
(27)

where each entry k_i represents a specific wave number associated with the *i*-th soliton, and the powers denote the successive iterations of the wave number raised to the (2i-1)-th power. Conjointly, Δ_{nm} symbolizes the determinant of the $n \times n$ matrix M_m , which is defined as $\Delta_{nm} \equiv \det(M_m)$. The matrix M_m is structured similarly to M, yet it is distinguished by the replacement of the *m*-th column with the sequence K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_n , which are related to the *K*-symmetries of the system

$$M_m = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 & k_2 & \cdots & K_1 & \cdots & k_{n-1} & k_n \\ k_1^3 & k_2^3 & \cdots & K_2 & \cdots & k_{n-1}^3 & k_n^3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ k_1^{2n-1} & k_2^{2n-1} & \cdots & K_n & \cdots & k_{n-1}^{2n-1} & k_n^{2n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (28)

These determinants, Δ_n and Δ_{nm} , are pivotal in the analysis of the linear dependencies among the K-symmetries and the construction of higher order symmetries from the independent set.

In the simplest case where $u_{ns} = u_{1s}$ (20), the K-symmetries are generated by a single parameter k_1 . The recurrence relation simplifies to

$$K_{i\geq 2} = k_1^{2i-2} K_1. (29)$$

This indicates that all higher-order K-symmetries are simply powers of k_1 multiplied by the initial K_1 . When $u_{ns} = u_{2s}$ (21), the situation becomes more complex, involving two parameters k_1 and k_2 . The K-symmetries for $i \geq 3$ are given by

$$K_{i\geq 3} = \left(\frac{k_1^2 k_2^{2i-2} - k_2^2 k_1^{2i-2}}{k_1^2 - k_2^2}\right) K_1 + \left(\frac{k_1^{2i-2} - k_2^{2i-2}}{k_1^2 - k_2^2}\right) K_2.$$
(30)

This expression shows that the higher-order K-symmetries are linear combinations of K_1 and K_2 , with coefficients that depend on the powers of k_1 and k_2 .

In the case of a three-soliton solution, with $u_{ns} = u_{3s}$ (22), the system possesses a finite set of three independent K-symmetries. These are specifically $K_1|_{u=u_{3s}}$, $K_2|_{u=u_{3s}}$, and $K_3|_{u=u_{3s}}$. All subsequent K-symmetries are not independent but are, instead, linear combinations of these initial three, as dictated by the relationship established in equation (26)

$$\begin{split} K_{i\geq4} &= \left(\frac{k_2^2 k_3^2 k_1^{2i-2}}{(k_1^2 - k_2^2)(k_1^2 - k_3^2)} - \frac{k_1^2 k_3^2 k_2^{2i-2}}{(k_1^2 - k_2^2)(k_2^2 - k_3^2)} + \frac{k_1^2 k_2^2 k_3^{2i-2}}{(k_1^2 - k_3^2)(k_2^2 - k_3^2)}\right) K_1 \\ &+ \left(-\frac{(k_2^2 + k_3^2) k_1^{2i-2}}{(k_1^2 - k_2^2)(k_1^2 - k_3^2)} + \frac{(k_1^2 + k_3^2) k_2^{2i-2}}{(k_1^2 - k_2^2)(k_2^2 - k_3^2)} - \frac{(k_1^2 + k_2^2) k_3^{2i-2}}{(k_1^2 - k_3^2)(k_2^2 - k_3^2)}\right) K_2 \\ &+ \left(\frac{k_1^{2i-2}}{(k_1^2 - k_2^2)(k_1^2 - k_3^2)} - \frac{k_2^{2i-2}}{(k_1^2 - k_2^2)(k_2^2 - k_3^2)} + \frac{k_3^{2i-2}}{(k_1^2 - k_3^2)(k_2^2 - k_3^2)}\right) K_3. \end{split}$$

For the sake of notational conciseness, the K-symmetries, $K_i|_{u=u_{ns}}$ for i = 1, 2, ..., have been uniformly represented as K_i throughout the presentation.

It is plausible to hypothesize that the τ -symmetries, as defined by equation (7), for the specific solution $u = u_{ns}$ detailed in equation (13), can be represented as linear combinations of the wave number translational symmetries σ_{k_i} and the center translational symmetries σ_{c_i} . Focusing on the one-soliton solution u_{1s} , given by equation (20), we derive the following sequence of relationships

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 &= k_1 \sigma_{k_1}, \\ \tau_2 &= k_1^3 \sigma_{k_1} - 2k_1^2 \sigma_{c_1} = k_1^2 \tau_1 - 2k_1 K_1, \\ \tau_3 &= k_1^5 \sigma_{k_1} - 4k_1^4 \sigma_{c_1} = k_1^4 \tau_1 - 4k_1^3 K_1, \\ \vdots \end{aligned}$$

The recursive formula for the higher-order τ -symmetries in relation to the set $\{\sigma_{k_1}, \sigma_{c_1}\}$, or equivalently $\{\tau_1, K_1\}$, is

$$\tau_{i\geq 2} = (2-2i)k_1^{2n-3}K_1 + k_1^{2i-2}\tau_1.$$
(31)

Employing the two-soliton solution u_{2s} (21) as another example, the following expressions are obtained

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 &= k_1 \sigma_{k_1} + k_2 \sigma_{k_2}, \\ \tau_2 &= k_1^3 \sigma_{k_1} + k_2^3 \sigma_{k_2} - 2k_1 (k_1 + 2k_2) \sigma_{c_1} - 2k_2 (2k_1 + k_2) \sigma_{c_2}, \\ \tau_3 &= k_1^5 \sigma_{k_1} + k_2^5 \sigma_{k_2} - 4k_1 (k_1^3 + k_1^2 k_2 + k_2^3) \sigma_{c_1} - 4k_2 (k_1^3 + k_1 k_2^2 + k_2^3) \sigma_{c_2} \\ &= -k_1^2 k_2^2 \tau_1 + (k_1^2 + k_2^2) \tau_2 + 2(k_1 + k_2) (k_1 k_2 K_1 - K_2), \\ \vdots \end{aligned}$$

The recursive relationships among the higher-order τ -symmetries and the set { τ_1, τ_2, K_1, K_2 } are given by

$$\begin{split} \tau_{i\geq3} &= 2\left(\frac{i(k_1^{2i-3}k_2^2 - k_1^2k_2^{2i-3})}{k_1^2 - k_2^2} + \frac{k_1k_2^{2i-3}(k_1^2 + k_1k_2 - k_2^2) + k_1^{2i-3}k_2(k_1^2 - k_1k_2 - k_2^2)}{(k_1 - k_2)(k_1 + k_2)^2}\right)K_1 \\ &+ 2\left(\frac{k_1^{2i-3}((1-i)k_2 + (2-i)k_1) + k_2^{2i-3}((i-1)k_1 + (i-2)k_2)}{(k_1 - k_2)(k_1 + k_2)^2}\right)K_2 \\ &+ \left(\frac{k_1^2k_2^{2i-2} - k_2^2k_1^{2i-2}}{k_1^2 - k_2^2} - \right)\tau_1 + \left(\frac{k_1^{2i-2} - k_2^{2i-2}}{k_1^2 - k_2^2}\right)\tau_2. \end{split}$$

3. New way to solve *n*-wave solution

The resolution of similarity solutions through Lie point symmetries is a recognized approach within the mathematical physics community. These symmetries, when applied to integrable models, facilitate the discovery of diverse solution types [4, 18]. In this section, we delve into the application of generalized K-symmetries, complemented by the symmetry conjecture articulated by Lou [19], to extract multi-wave solutions from the mKdV equation. The conjecture put forth by Lou [19] is of particular significance as it provides a framework for understanding the symmetries inherent in nonlinear partial differential systems that are symmetry integrable. It posits that for an *n*-wave solution of such a system, the dependencies and interactions between wave numbers and other parameters, like Riemann invariants, can be harnessed to uncover deeper symmetries within the system. The crux of the conjecture lies in the assertion that the multitude of K-symmetries and τ -symmetries, which are generated through the action of the recursion operator Φ , can be decomposed into linear combinations of parameter translation symmetries. These include translations in the center of the solution, as well as translations in the wave number domain, symbolized by the partial derivatives u_{c_i} and u_{k_i} , respectively. This decomposition reveals a rich tapestry of symmetries that are intrinsic to the solution structure, offering insights into the underlying geometry of the solution space. By integrating symmetry conjecture with the generalized K-symmetries, we are thus able to construct a comprehensive symmetry group that encapsulates the multi-wave solutions of the mKdV equation.

For the sake of brevity, we shall refer to mKdV equation as the potential mKdV equation henceforth

$$u_t = u_{xxx} + 2u_x^3. \tag{32}$$

The generalized K symmetries, denoted as $\tilde{K_m}$, are defined through the operator

$$\tilde{\Phi} \equiv \partial_x^2 + 4u_x \partial_x^{-1} u_x \partial_x. \tag{33}$$

The symmetries for different orders m are then given by

$$K_m = \Phi^m u_x, \quad m = 1, 2, ..., \infty.$$
 (34)

We explicitly compute the first few of these symmetries

$$\begin{split} K_1 &= u_x, \\ \tilde{K_2} &= u_{xxx} + 2u_x^3, \\ \tilde{K_3} &= u_{5x} + 10u_x(u_{xx}^2 + u_x u_{xxx}) + 6u_x^5, \\ \tilde{K_4} &= u_{7x} + 14u_x^2 u_{5x} + 56u_x u_{xx} u_{4x} + 14(5u_{xx}^2 + 3u_x u_{xxx} + 5u_x^4)u_{xxx} + 140u_x^3 u_{xx}^2 + 20u_x^7, \\ \tilde{K_5} &= u_{9x} + 18u_x^2 u_{7x} + 108u_x u_{xx} u_{6x} + 6(21u_x^4 + 38u_x u_{xxx} + 35u_{xx}^2)u_{5x} + 6(23u_x u_{4x} + 168u_x^3 u_{xx} + 126u_{xx} u_{xxx})u_{4x} + 182u_{xxx}^3 + 84(9u_x u_{xxx} + 5u_x^4 + 37u_{xx}^2)u_x^2 u_{xxx} + 42(30u_x^4 + 19u_{xx}^2)u_x u_{xx}^2 + 70u_x^9. \end{split}$$

To elaborate, consider the *n*-wave solution structure given by

$$u = u_{nw} = u(\xi_1, \ \xi_2, \ \dots, \ \xi_n), \ \xi_i \equiv k_i x + \omega_i t + c_i, \ i = 1, \ 2, \ \dots, \ n,$$
(35)

where the wave profile u is expressed as a function of phase variables ξ_i . Each ξ_i is a linear combination of spatial and temporal components, modulated by arbitrary constants k_i and c_i , along with frequency components ω_i that encapsulate the wave numbers and other parameters.

Regarding the *n*-wave solution delineated by equation (35), it is imperative to recognize that the myriad K-symmetries (34) are meticulously constructed as linear combinations of the center translation symmetries, each denoted as $u_{c_i} = \partial_{c_i} u_{nw}$

$$\tilde{K}_m|_{u=u_{nw}} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{mi} u_{c_i}, \quad m = 1, 2, ..., \infty.$$
(36)

Here, a_{mi} are coefficients that determine the contribution of each symmetry to the wave entity, indexed by *i*, which spans from 1 to *n*, attributing each symmetry to a respective wave component.

3.1. Two-wave solution

In the wave dynamics, the two-wave solution is of paramount importance, particularly when we consider the number of waves, denoted by n, to be specifically 2. This scenario engenders a solution that is characterized by the function

$$u = u(\xi_1, \xi_2), \ \xi_i = k_i x + \omega_i t + c_i, \ i = 1, 2.$$
(37)

Within this context, it is crucial to recognize that the partial derivative of u with respect to c_i is equivalent to the derivative with respect to the phase variable ξ_i , expressed mathematically

$$u_{c_i} = u_{\xi_i}.\tag{38}$$

By embedding equations (37) and (38) into the K-symmetry equation (36), we derive a system of equations that governs the two-wave interaction. This system is given by

$$\tilde{K_m} - \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{mi} u_{\xi_i} = 0, \ m = 1, ..., 4.$$
(39)

This set of equations is pivotal in elucidating the underlying two-wave solutions.

3.1.1. Complexiton solution

Upon solving the aforementioned equations, we get the two-wave complexiton solution of the potential mKdV equation (32) [20]

$$u = \pm 2i \operatorname{arctanh} \left(\frac{k_2 \sinh(\xi_1)}{k_1 \sin(\xi_2)} \right).$$

$$(40)$$

The coefficients a_{m1} and a_{m2} are articulated through the following expression

$$a_{m1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[(k_1 + ik_2) \left(\frac{(k_1^2 + k_2^2)^2}{k_1^2 - k_2^2 - 2k_1 k_2 i} \right)^m + (k_1 - ik_2) \left(\frac{(k_1^2 + k_2^2)^2}{k_1^2 - k_2^2 + 2k_1 k_2 i} \right)^m \right],$$

$$a_{m2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[(k_2 - ik_1) \left(\frac{(k_1^2 + k_2^2)^2}{k_1^2 - k_2^2 - 2k_1 k_2 i} \right)^m + (k_2 + ik_1) \left(\frac{(k_1^2 + k_2^2)^2}{k_1^2 - k_2^2 + 2k_1 k_2 i} \right)^m \right].$$

Furthermore, we identify a second kind of solution, which is expressed as

$$u = \pm 2i \operatorname{arctanh} \left(\frac{k_2 \sin(\xi_1)}{k_1 \cos(\xi_2)} \right), \tag{41}$$

with the corresponding coefficients given by

$$a_{m1} = \frac{(-1)^m}{2} \left[(k_1 - k_2)^{1+2m} + (k_1 + k_2)^{1+2m} \right],$$

$$a_{m2} = \frac{(-1)^m}{2} \left[(k_1 + k_2)^{1+2m} - (k_1 - k_2)^{1+2m} \right].$$

This solution represents a distinct wave pattern, highlighting the oscillatory nature of the interaction between the two waves, with the sine and cosine functions capturing the periodic behavior of the waves.

The third kind of solution is articulated as

$$u = \pm 2i \operatorname{arctanh} \left(\frac{k_2 \xi_1}{k_1 \cos(\xi_2)} \right).$$
(42)

The coefficients for this solution are delineated as

$$a_{m1} = (-1)^m k_1^{1+2m},$$

 $a_{m2} = (-1)^m (1+2k) k_1^{2m} k_2.$

This solution indicates a more straightforward interaction where the amplitude of one wave is directly influenced by the other.

3.1.2. Breather solution

Beyond the complexiton solution, the two-wave scenario also accommodates breather solutions. one kind of breather solution [21, 22], for instance

$$u = \pm 2 \arctan\left(\frac{k_2 \sin(\xi_1)}{k_1 \cosh(\xi_2)}\right) \tag{43}$$

describes a localized wave packet that maintains its shape as it propagates, a phenomenon known as a breather. This is a direct manifestation of the nonlinear nature of the system, where the waves interact in such a way as to create a stable, localized disturbance. The coefficients a_{m1} and a_{m2} for the breather solution are articulated by

$$a_{m1} = \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{2} \left[-(k_1 + ik_2) \left(\frac{(k_1^2 + k_2^2)^2}{k_1^2 - k_2^2 - 2k_1 k_2 i} \right)^m + (ik_2 - k_1) \left(\frac{(k_1^2 + k_2^2)^2}{k_1^2 - k_2^2 + 2k_1 k_2 i} \right)^m \right],$$

$$a_{m2} = \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{2} \left[(ik_1 - k_2) \left(\frac{(k_1^2 + k_2^2)^2}{k_1^2 - k_2^2 - 2k_1 k_2 i} \right)^m - (k_2 + ik_1) \left(\frac{(k_1^2 + k_2^2)^2}{k_1^2 - k_2^2 + 2k_1 k_2 i} \right)^m \right].$$

3.1.3. Soliton solution

The two soliton solution, on the other hand

$$u = \pm 2 \arctan\left(\frac{k_2 \sinh(\xi_1)}{k_1 \cosh(\xi_2)}\right) \tag{44}$$

represents a stable wave soliton that maintains its shape and speed even after interacting with other waves. The coefficients are articulated as

$$a_{m1} = \frac{(k_1 - k_2)^{1+2m}}{2} + \frac{(k_1 + k_2)^{1+2m}}{2},$$
 (45)

$$a_{m2} = \frac{(k_1 + k_2)^{1+2m}}{2} - \frac{(k_1 - k_2)^{1+2m}}{2}.$$
(46)

3.1.4. Double pole solution

The double pole solution [23, 24], representing a soliton-antisoliton pair traveling in the same direction, is given by

$$u = \pm 2 \arctan\left(\frac{k_2\xi_1}{k_1\cosh(\xi_2)}\right). \tag{47}$$

The coefficients for this solution are

$$a_{m1} = (1+2k)k_1k_2^{2m},$$

 $a_{m2} = k_2^{1+2m}.$

3.2. Three-wave solution

When the number of waves, n, is equal to three, the three-wave solution is given by

$$u = u(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3), \ \xi_i = k_i x + \omega_i t + c_i, \ u_{c_i} = u_{\xi_i}, \ i = 1, 2, 3.$$
(48)

By substituting equations (48) into (36), we arrive at a system of equations for the K-symmetries

$$\tilde{K}_m - \sum_{i=1}^3 a_{mi} u_{\xi_i} = 0, \ m = 1, ..., 6.$$
(49)

Solving above system, we get three-soliton solution of the potential mKdV equation

$$u(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) = \pm i \ln\left(\frac{G_{3-}}{G_{3+}}\right).$$
 (50)

The coefficients a_{mi} and the functions G_{3+} and G_{3-} are defined as follows

$$a_{mi} = k_i^{2m+1},$$

$$G_{3\pm} = 1 \pm e^{\xi_1} \pm e^{\xi_2} \pm e^{\xi_3} + \frac{(k_1 - k_2)^2}{(k_1 + k_2)^2} e^{\xi_1 + \xi_2} + \frac{(k_1 - k_3)^2}{(k_1 + k_3)^2} e^{\xi_1 + \xi_3} + \frac{(k_2 - k_3)^2}{(k_2 + k_3)^2} e^{\xi_2 + \xi_3}$$

$$\pm \frac{(k_1 - k_2)^2 (k_1 - k_3)^2 (k_2 - k_3)^2}{(k_1 + k_2)^2 (k_1 + k_3)^2 (k_2 + k_3)^2} e^{\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3}.$$

In the pursuit of the n-soliton solution, we commence with the system of equations emanating from the K-symmetries

$$\tilde{K}_m - \sum_{i=1}^n a_{mi} u_{\xi_i} = 0, \quad m = 1, ..., 2n,$$
(51)

where the coefficients are defined as $a_{m,i} = k_i^{2m+1}$. Upon resolving this system, we derive the *n*-soliton solution of the potential mKdV equation (32)

$$u_{ns} = \pm i \ln \left(\frac{G_{n-}}{G_{n+}} \right). \tag{52}$$

The function G_{n+} and G_{n-} are articulated as

$$G_{n\pm} = \sum_{\mu=1,0} (\pm 1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i} \exp\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i \xi_i + \sum_{1 \le i < l}^{n} \mu_i \mu_l \theta_{il}\right]$$
(53)

with the exponential term $\exp(\theta_{jl})$ specified by

$$\exp(\theta_{jl}) = \left(\frac{k_j - k_l}{k_j + k_l}\right)^2.$$
(54)

The summation over μ encompasses all possible permutations of $\mu_i = 0, 1$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n.

4. Conclusions and discussions

The present study concludes with some advancements in the understanding of the mKdV equation, particularly in the context of its symmetries and multi-wave solutions. By examining the infinite many symmetries inherent to the mKdV equation, we have shed light on the physical interpretations of these symmetries. Our analysis has demonstrated that the infinitely many symmetries of the mKdV equation are intricately linked to the translational invariance of its multi-wave solutions. Specifically, within the confines of a fixed *n*-soliton solution, we have observed that the ostensibly infinite many *K*-symmetries and τ -symmetries are effectively degenerated to a finite set comprising 2n independent symmetries, namely, the *K*-symmetries and τ -symmetries can be expressed as linear combinations of the translation symmetries associated with the wave parameters. This finding challenges the notion of completeness in the realm of infinitely many known symmetries, suggests that the mKdV equation, and potentially other integrable systems, possess a more extensive symmetry structure than previously recognized and necessitates a refined perspective on identifying and classifying these symmetries. This insight may lead to the discovery of new solutions and a deeper understanding of the physical phenomena described by these systems.

Furthermore, our finding also implies that the application of symmetry-based method could lead to the identification of novel exact solutions within the mKdV equation and potentially within other integrable systems. Our investigation has unveiled the existence of various categories of n-wave solutions, encompassing n-soliton solutions, multiple breathers, complexitons, and n-periodic wave solutions. By examining the potential mKdV equation, we have derived the two-wave complexiton solution, breather solution, double pole and the three-soliton solution.

In conclusion, this work has provided a deeper understanding of multi-wave solutions and their symmetries within integrable systems. Future research directions may include exploring the potential applications of these findings, as well as continuing to investigate the completeness and classification of symmetries in integrable systems.

Acknowledgement

The work was sponsored by the National Natural Science Foundations of China (Nos. 12301315, 12235007, 11975131), the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province No. LQ20A010009.

References

- M. A. Helal, Soliton solution of some nonlinear partial differential equations and its applications in fluid mechanics, Chaos Solitons Fractals 13 (2002) 1917–1929.
- [2] H. Leblond, D. Mihalache, Few-optical-cycle solitons: Modified Korteweg-de Vries sine-Gordon equation versus other non-slowly-varying-envelope-approximation models, Phys. Rev. A 79 (2009) 063835–1–7.
- [3] A. H. Khater, O. H. El-Kalaawy, D. K. Callebaut, Bäcklund transformations and exact solutions for Alfven solitons in a relativistic electron positron plasma, Phys. Scr. 58 (1998) 545–548.
- [4] S. Y. Lou, Alice-Bob systems, P-T-C symmetry invariant and symmetry breaking soliton solutions, J. Math. Phys. 59 (2018) 083507.
- [5] P. J. Olver, Evolution equations possessing infinitely many symmetries, J. Math. Phys. 18 (1977) 1212–1215.
- [6] Y. S. Li, G. C. Zhu, New set of symmetries of the integrable equations, Lie algebra and non-isospectral evolution: II. AKNS system, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 19 (1986) 3713–3725.
- [7] S. Y. Lou, X. B. Hu, Infinitely many Lax pairs and symmetry constraints of the KP equation, J. Math. Phys. 38 (1997) 6401–6427.
- [8] A. G. Rasin, J. Schiff, Four symmetries of the KdV equation, J. Nonlinear Sci. 32 (2022) 68.
- [9] R. M. Miura, C. S. Gardner, M. D. Kruskal, Korteweg-de Vries equation and generalizations. II. Existence of conservation laws and constants of motion, J. Math. Phys. 9 (1968) 1204–1209.
- [10] T. B. Benjamin, P. J. Olver, Hamiltonian structure, symmetries and conservation laws for water waves, J. Fluid Mech. 125 (1982) 137–185.
- [11] W. Oevel, B. Fuchssteiner, Explicit formulas for symmetries and conservation laws of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation, Phys. Lett. A 88 (1982) 323–327.

- [12] A. S. Fokas, B. Fuchssteiner, Bäcklund transformations for hereditary symmetries, Nonlinear Anal. 5 (1981) 423–432.
- B. Fuchssteiner, Application of hereditary symmetries to nonlinear evolution equations, Nonlinear Anal. 3 (1979) 849–862.
- [14] B. Fuchssteiner, A. S. Fokas, Symplectic structures, their Bäcklund transformations and hereditary symmetries, Physica D 4 (1981) 47–66.
- [15] B. Fuchssteiner, Mastersymmetries, higher order time-dependent symmetries and conserved densities of nonlinear evolution equations, Prog. Theor. Phys. 70 (1983) 1508– 1522.
- [16] W. X. Ma, K symmetries and τ symmetries of evolution equations and their Lie algebras, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 23 (1990) 2707–2716.
- [17] R. M. Miura, The Korteweg-de Vries equation: A survey of results, SIAM Review 18 (1976) 412–459.
- [18] P. Gaillard, The mKdV equation and multi-parameters rational solutions, Wave Motion 100 (2021) 102667.
- [19] S. Y. Lou, Progresses on some open problems related to infinitely many symmetries, Mathematics 12 (2024) 3224.
- [20] H. X. Wu, Y. B. Zeng, T. Y. Fan, Complexitons of the modified KdV equation by Darboux transformation, Appl. Math. Comput. 196 (2008) 501–510.
- [21] M. A. Alejo, C. Muñoz, Nonlinear stability of mKdV breathers, Commun. Math. Phys. 324 (2013) 233–262.
- [22] D. J. Zhang, S. L. Zhao, Y. Y. Sun, J. Zhou, Solutions to the modified Korteweg-de Vries equation, Rev. Math. Phys. 26 (2014) 1430006.
- [23] M. Wadati, K. Ohkuma, Multiple-pole solutions of the modified Korteweg-de Vries equation, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 51 (1982) 2029–2035.
- [24] Z. Zhang, B. Li, A. M. Wazwaz, Q. Guo, The generation mechanism of multiple-pole solutions for the fifth-order mKdV equation, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 137 (2022) 193.