

# Radiation-Reaction and Angular Momentum Loss at $\mathcal{O}(G^4)$

Carlo Heissenberg\*

*\*Institut de Physique Théorique, CEA Saclay, CNRS,  
Université Paris-Saclay, F-91191, Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France*

We point out that the contribution to the  $\mathcal{O}(G^4)$  angular momentum loss for two-body scattering involving two radiation modes,  $J_{2\text{rad}}$ , is determined by the radiation-reaction contribution to the one-loop waveform. The latter is proportional to the tree-level one, and this reduces the calculation of  $J_{2\text{rad}}$  to cut two-loop integrals. We exploit this simplification, which follows from unitarity, to obtain a closed-form expression for  $J_{2\text{rad}}$  for generic velocities, which resums all fractional post-Newtonian (PN) corrections to the  $\mathcal{O}(G^4)$  angular momentum loss starting at 1.5PN.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The quest for a deeper understanding of the gravitational two-body problem stimulated by the dawn of the gravitational-wave era has recently led to the development of new methods to study the dynamics of compact binaries. Traditional techniques to tackle this problem are based on the expansion of the classical equations of motion in the weak-field or post-Minkowskian (PM) regime [1–5] and for small velocities, in the post-Newtonian (PN) regime [6]. For the scattering of two objects with masses  $m_1, m_2$  at an impact parameter  $b$ , the PM regime is characterized by  $Gm_{1,2}/b \ll 1$ , while the PN regime holds for  $Gm_{1,2}/b \sim v^2 \ll 1$ , with  $v$  the relative velocity at infinity. In recent years, scattering amplitudes have emerged as a powerful tool to recast the PM expansion of gravitational observables in terms of on-shell, gauge invariant building blocks [7–13]. Amplitudes offer an independent way of organizing such calculations, which can serve to more easily identify new structures, simplify the computation of higher-order contributions and advance the precision frontier.

An example of such a simplification was the inclusion of radiation-reaction (RR) effects in the  $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$  deflection [14–19]. These capture the odd-in-velocity, hence half-odd PN, corrections to the 3PM deflection angle and can be reduced via analyticity and unitarity to a simple one-loop integral, while the complete  $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$  result consists in a two-loop calculation [20–28].

Using the classical limit of scattering amplitudes and worldline approaches that efficiently solve the classical equations of motion [29–31], a full calculation of the  $\mathcal{O}(G^4)$  impulses (three loops) was achieved [32–37] and progress is underway at  $\mathcal{O}(G^5)$  (four loops) [38–40]. Such methods also mesh well with complementary approximation principles including the self-force (SF) expansion, in which calculations are organized in powers of the mass ratio of the binary [41–46] (see also [47–49]).

Another interesting observable is of course the gravitational waveform, which is the dynamical metric fluctuation,  $g_{\mu\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu} \sim \frac{4G}{r} w_{\mu\nu}$ , measured by a detector placed at a large distance  $r$  from the sources. The leading order contribution,  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ , to  $w_{\mu\nu}$ , obtained in [1–3] and more recently streamlined in [50, 51], is given by a tree-level five-point amplitude involving one graviton

emission [52, 53]. The next order,  $\mathcal{O}(G^2)$ , can be expressed in terms of the one-loop amplitude obtained in Refs. [54–57] (see also [58–60]). The relation between this amplitude-based waveform and the one computed from the Multipolar-Post-Minkowskian approach in the PN limit was recently analyzed and clarified in [60–63], finding full agreement between the two frameworks. At this order, the multipolar waveform includes both integer PN, or “instantaneous”, contributions and half-odd PN terms due to RR and rescattering or “tail” effects.

Knowledge of the gravitational waveform also allows one to study the energy and angular momentum lost by the binary due to the interaction with the gravitational field, as achieved in [64–66] at  $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$ . One of the key steps of such calculations consists in recasting the resulting phase-space integration in terms of cut two-loop integrals via reverse unitarity [67–70]. The  $\mathcal{O}(G^4)$  energy loss can be deduced from the  $\mathcal{O}(G^4)$  impulses in [36, 37], while the analysis of the  $\mathcal{O}(G^4)$  angular momentum loss was initiated in [71] by obtaining a closed-form expression for the static contribution to this observable, which is sensitive to the zero-frequency modes of the gravitational field [15, 65, 71–73]. In particular, [71] showed that, despite naive power counting, the  $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$  nonlinear memory contribution to the waveform  $w^{\mu\nu}$  does not contribute to the  $\mathcal{O}(G^4)$  angular momentum loss, and thus the static loss can be deduced from the result in [72]. In [71], the radiative loss was instead analyzed in the PN limit, thus recovering the results in [74, 75].

In this work, we clarify the connection between the various building blocks of the one-loop waveform and the components of the  $\mathcal{O}(G^4)$  radiated linear and angular momentum. We provide a parity argument based on the unitarity properties of the one-loop waveform kernel showing that all fractional PN corrections to the  $\mathcal{O}(G^4)$  energy  $E_{2\text{rad}}$  and angular momentum  $J_{2\text{rad}}$  losses can be reduced to the RR part of the one-loop waveform, which is proportional to the tree-level one [54–57, 59]. This reduces a naively three-loop calculation to a two-loop one, which we perform using the tools developed in [64, 66]. In this way, we derive simple closed-form expressions for  $E_{2\text{rad}}$  and  $J_{2\text{rad}}$  valid for generic velocities. The former serves as a cross-check of the results in [36, 37], while the latter constitutes a new result that resums all half-odd PN corrections to the  $\mathcal{O}(G^4)$  angular momentum loss.

## II. STRUCTURE OF THE GRAVITATIONAL WAVEFORM UP TO ONE LOOP

We start by briefly reviewing the structure of the waveform “kernel”

$$W^{\mu\nu} = W_0^{\mu\nu} + W_1^{\mu\nu} + \dots \quad (1)$$

where  $W_0$  is the tree-level,  $\mathcal{O}(G^{3/2})$ , and  $W_1$  the one-loop,  $\mathcal{O}(G^{5/2})$ , contribution. This is the classical object whose Fourier transform from transferred momenta  $q_1, q_2$  to impact parameter  $b^\alpha = b_1^\alpha - b_2^\alpha$

$$\tilde{W}^{\mu\nu}(k) = \int_{q_1, q_2} e^{ib_1 \cdot q_1 + ib_2 \cdot q_2} W^{\mu\nu}(q_1, q_2) \quad (2)$$

with (letting  $p_{1,2}$  denote the incoming momenta)

$$\int_{q_1, q_2} = \int \frac{d^D q_1 d^D q_2}{(2\pi)^{D-2}} \delta(2p_1 \cdot q_1) \delta(2p_2 \cdot q_2) \delta^{(D)}(q_1 + q_2 + k) \quad (3)$$

gives the gravitational waveform

$$g_{\mu\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu} \sim \frac{4G}{r} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \frac{\tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}(\omega n)}{\sqrt{8\pi G}} e^{-i\omega U} + \text{c.c.} \quad (4)$$

at retarded time  $U$  and angles  $n^\mu$ . See Refs. [60, 62, 63] for further details.

The tree-level piece is simply the classical limit of the tree-level amplitude  $\mathcal{A}_0$  [17, 52, 53],

$$W_0^{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{A}_0^{\mu\nu}. \quad (5)$$

Upon Fourier transform, the tree-level amplitude  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$  thus provides the leading PM waveform [3, 50–52]. When expanded for small velocity,  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$  starts with a Newtonian (0PN) contribution captured by the Einstein quadrupole formula, and then only yields integer PN corrections to each multipole.

The one-loop kernel  $W_1$  is obtained from the one-loop amplitude  $\mathcal{A}_1$  [54–57], which involves a real part plus unitarity cuts,

$$\mathcal{A}_1^{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{B}_1^{\mu\nu} + \frac{i}{2}(s^{\mu\nu} + s'^{\mu\nu}) + \frac{i}{2}(c_1^{\mu\nu} + c_2^{\mu\nu}), \quad (6)$$

by dropping the two-massive-particle cuts  $s$  and  $s'$  [60],

$$W_1^{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{B}_1^{\mu\nu} + \frac{i}{2}(c_1^{\mu\nu} + c_2^{\mu\nu}), \quad (7)$$

keeping the real part  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and the Compton cuts  $c_1, c_2$ . The simple form of the one-loop kernel (7) obtains when the waveform is expressed in terms of the *average* velocities of the scattering objects,  $u_1^\alpha, u_2^\alpha$ , and of the *eikonal* impact parameter  $b^\alpha$  orthogonal to them [60] (see also [58, 61–63, 76]). These are also the standard reference vectors employed in the PN literature (see e.g. [74]) and, at this order, they differ from the initial ones,  $v_1^\alpha, v_2^\alpha$ ,

$b_J^\alpha$ , by an  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  rotation.<sup>1</sup> We define the invariant  $\sigma = -v_1 \cdot v_2$ , which is the relative Lorentz factor between the two objects, and the frequencies  $\omega_1 = -v_1 \cdot k, \omega_2 = -v_2 \cdot k$ . The center-of-mass energy  $E = \sqrt{m_1^2 + 2m_1 m_2 \sigma + m_2^2}$  and frequency  $\omega$  obey

$$E\omega = m_1\omega_1 + m_2\omega_2. \quad (8)$$

The real part is further composed of a RR (“Odd”) part, which is proportional to the tree-level amplitude times an extra factor of  $\pi$ , and an instantaneous (“Even”) part,

$$\mathcal{B}_1^{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{B}_{1O}^{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{B}_{1E}^{\mu\nu}. \quad (9)$$

We further split  $\mathcal{B}_{1O}$  as

$$\mathcal{B}_{1O}^{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{B}_{1O}^{(i)\mu\nu} + \mathcal{B}_{1O}^{(h)\mu\nu} \quad (10)$$

with

$$\mathcal{B}_{1O}^{(i)\mu\nu} = -\frac{\sigma(\sigma^2 - 3/2)}{(\sigma^2 - 1)^{3/2}} \pi G E \omega \mathcal{A}_0^{\mu\nu}, \quad (11a)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{1O}^{(h)\mu\nu} = \pi G E \omega \mathcal{A}_0^{\mu\nu}. \quad (11b)$$

While  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1O}^{(i)}, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1E}$  only contribute integer PN corrections to the multipolar waveform, which start at 0PN and 1PN orders,  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1O}^{(h)}$  only contributes half-odd corrections starting at 1.5PN order.

The Compton cuts take into account the rescattering of the gravitational radiation against the curvature produced by the binary system. They are infrared divergent [77], and this divergence can be resummed into a phase factor  $e^{-iGE\omega/\epsilon}$  with  $\omega$  the frequency in the center-of-mass frame and  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}(4 - D)$  the dimensional regulator,

$$W^{\mu\nu} = e^{-\frac{i}{\epsilon} GE\omega} W_{\text{reg}}^{\mu\nu} \quad (12)$$

with

$$W_{\text{reg}}^{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{A}_0^{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{B}_1^{\mu\nu} + \frac{i}{2} \mathcal{C}^{\mu\nu} + \dots \quad (13)$$

The divergence can be reabsorbed by redefining the origin of retarded time in (4), and the regulated Compton cuts,

$$\frac{i}{2} \mathcal{C}^{\mu\nu} = 2iGE\omega \log \frac{\omega}{\mu_{\text{IR}}} \mathcal{A}_0^{\mu\nu} + (C^{\text{reg}})^{\mu\nu}, \quad (14)$$

contain the logarithm of an unspecified energy scale  $\mu_{\text{IR}}$ , which amounts to performing further finite time translations by  $2GE \log \mu_{\text{IR}}$ . The remainder  $\tilde{C}^{\text{reg}}$  contributes half-odd corrections to the multipolar waveform starting at 1.5PN order. From here on, we shall drop the subscript “reg” and always work with the regulated waveform.

<sup>1</sup> This distinction is completely irrelevant for the main results for the 2rad contributions in (45), (52) below. Indeed, a rotation of the reference vectors by  $\frac{1}{2}\Theta_{1\text{PM}}$ , which has an integer PN expansion, would only induce a mixing between the 1rad contributions (34), (35) and their  $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$  counterparts (22), (29). More precisely, by (28), (33), it would induce nonzero feed-down terms in the right-hand sides of (39). For instance, one can check, using the results in Ref. [36], that  $b \cdot (\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{O}(G^3)} + \mathcal{P}_{\text{1rad}}) = 0$ , while  $b_J \cdot (\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{O}(G^3)} + \mathcal{P}_{\text{1rad}}) \neq 0$ .

### III. RADIATED LINEAR AND ANGULAR MOMENTUM

The radiated energy-momentum and angular momentum-mass dipole moment are given by the following expressions in terms of the gravitational waveform  $\tilde{W}$  obeying  $k^\mu \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu} = 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}^\alpha = \int_k \mathbf{K}^\alpha[\tilde{W}, \tilde{W}], \quad \mathbf{J}^{\alpha\beta} = -i \int_k \mathbf{O}^{\alpha\beta}[\tilde{W}, \tilde{W}], \quad (15)$$

where  $\int_k$  is a shorthand for the phase-space integral

$$\int_k = \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} 2\pi\theta(k^0)\delta(k^2), \quad (16)$$

and the integrands  $\mathbf{K}_\alpha$ ,  $\mathbf{O}_{\alpha\beta}$  are given by [64]

$$\mathbf{K}_\alpha[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}] = D^{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma} k_\alpha \tilde{X}_{\mu\nu}^* \tilde{Y}_{\rho\sigma} \quad (17)$$

with  $D^{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma} = \eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma} - \eta^{\mu\nu}\eta^{\rho\sigma}/(D-2)$  and [65, 72]

$$\mathbf{O}_{\alpha\beta}[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}] = D^{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma} \tilde{X}_{\mu\nu}^* k_{[\alpha} \frac{\overleftrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial k^{\beta]}} \tilde{Y}_{\rho\sigma} + 2\tilde{X}_{\mu[\alpha}^* \tilde{Y}_{\beta]}^\mu \quad (18)$$

Here  $A_{[\alpha} B_{\beta]} = A_\alpha B_\beta - A_\beta B_\alpha$  and  $f \overleftrightarrow{\partial} g = \frac{1}{2}(f \partial g - g \partial f)$ . Note for later convenience that

$$\mathbf{K}^\alpha[\tilde{X}^*, \tilde{Y}^*] = \mathbf{K}^\alpha[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]^* = +\mathbf{K}^\alpha[\tilde{Y}, \tilde{X}], \quad (19a)$$

$$\mathbf{O}^{\alpha\beta}[\tilde{X}^*, \tilde{Y}^*] = \mathbf{O}^{\alpha\beta}[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]^* = -\mathbf{O}^{\alpha\beta}[\tilde{Y}, \tilde{X}]. \quad (19b)$$

We recall that  $\mathbf{P}^\alpha$  and  $\mathbf{J}^{\alpha\beta}$  are Lorentz tensors, and that  $\mathbf{P}^\alpha$  is translation-invariant, while  $\mathbf{J}^{\alpha\beta}$  transforms as follows

$$\mathbf{J}^{\alpha\beta} \mapsto \mathbf{J}^{\alpha\beta} + a^{[\alpha} \mathbf{P}^{\beta]} \quad (20)$$

under a translation by  $a^\alpha$ .

The notation for the integrands (17), (18) is introduced to more easily discuss the various contributions arising when inserting the PM expanded waveform (13) into the expressions (15), which gives

$$\mathbf{P}^\alpha = \mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{O}(G^3)}^\alpha + \mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{O}(G^4)}^\alpha + \dots \quad (21a)$$

$$\mathbf{J}^{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{O}(G^3)}^{\alpha\beta} + \mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{O}(G^4)}^{\alpha\beta} + \dots \quad (21b)$$

We discuss their properties, choosing the translation frame  $b_1^\alpha = b^\alpha$ ,  $b_2^\alpha = 0$  without loss of generality.

The leading-order contribution to the linear momentum is given by

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{O}(G^3)}^\alpha = \int_k \mathbf{K}_0^\alpha, \quad \mathbf{K}_0^\alpha = \mathbf{K}^\alpha[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0]. \quad (22)$$

Let us note that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0^* = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0|_{b \mapsto -b}, \quad (23)$$

which follows from (2) and from the reality of the momentum-space tree-level amplitude  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . On the other hand,  $\mathbf{K}_0^{\alpha*} = \mathbf{K}_0^\alpha$  is real, so that

$$\mathbf{K}_0^\alpha = \mathbf{K}_0^\alpha|_{b \mapsto -b}. \quad (24)$$

The coefficients of the form-factor decomposition,

$$\mathbf{K}_0^\alpha = f_{u_1} \check{u}_1^\alpha + f_{u_2} \check{u}_2^\alpha + f_b b^\alpha + f_k k^\alpha, \quad (25)$$

with<sup>2</sup>  $\check{u}_i \cdot u_j = -\delta_{ij}$ , are thus (real) functions of the invariant products with definite parity under  $b \mapsto -b$  (since only the invariant product  $b \cdot k$  transforms, we only highlight this argument)

$$f_{u_{1,2}}(-b \cdot k) = +f_{u_{1,2}}(b \cdot k), \quad (26a)$$

$$f_b(-b \cdot k) = -f_b(b \cdot k), \quad (26b)$$

$$f_k(-b \cdot k) = +f_k(b \cdot k). \quad (26c)$$

Therefore, the integrand

$$b \cdot \mathbf{K}_0 = f_b b^2 + f_k b \cdot k \quad (27)$$

appearing in  $b \cdot \mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{O}(G^3)}$  is *odd* under the change of variable<sup>3</sup>  $b \cdot k \mapsto -b \cdot k$ , and therefore we recover [64]

$$b \cdot \mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{O}(G^3)} = 0. \quad (28)$$

Conversely, the integrands for  $-u_{1,2} \cdot \mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{O}(G^3)}$  are even and these components are indeed nontrivial [64].

Turning to the leading contribution to the radiated angular momentum,

$$\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{O}(G^3)}^{\alpha\beta} = -i \int_k \mathbf{O}_0^{\alpha\beta}, \quad \mathbf{O}_0^{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{O}^{\alpha\beta}[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0], \quad (29)$$

instead we note that  $\mathbf{O}_0^{\alpha\beta*} = -\mathbf{O}_0^{\alpha\beta}$  is imaginary, so

$$\mathbf{O}_0^{\alpha\beta} = -\mathbf{O}_0^{\alpha\beta}|_{b \mapsto -b}. \quad (30)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{O}_0^{\alpha\beta} = & i \left( f_{u_1 u_2} \check{u}_1^{[\alpha} \check{u}_2^{\beta]} + f_{u_1 b} \check{u}_1^{[\alpha} b^{\beta]} + f_{u_2 b} \check{u}_2^{[\alpha} b^{\beta]} \right. \\ & \left. + f_{u_1 k} \check{u}_1^{[\alpha} k^{\beta]} + f_{u_2 k} \check{u}_2^{[\alpha} k^{\beta]} + f_{bk} b^{[\alpha} k^{\beta]} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where the coefficients are real functions transforming as

$$f_{u_1 u_2}(-b \cdot k) = -f_{u_1 u_2}(b \cdot k), \quad (32a)$$

$$f_{u_1 b}(-b \cdot k) = +f_{u_1 b}(b \cdot k), \quad (32b)$$

$$f_{u_2 b}(-b \cdot k) = +f_{u_2 b}(b \cdot k), \quad (32c)$$

$$f_{u_1 k}(-b \cdot k) = -f_{u_1 k}(b \cdot k), \quad (32d)$$

$$f_{u_2 k}(-b \cdot k) = -f_{u_2 k}(b \cdot k), \quad (32e)$$

$$f_{bk}(-b \cdot k) = +f_{bk}(b \cdot k). \quad (32f)$$

<sup>2</sup> Explicitly,  $\check{u}_1^\alpha = (\sigma u_2^\alpha - u_1^\alpha)/(\sigma^2 - 1)$ ,  $\check{u}_2^\alpha = (\sigma u_1^\alpha - u_2^\alpha)/(\sigma^2 - 1)$  up to  $\mathcal{O}(G^2)$  corrections.

<sup>3</sup> We note that  $-u_1 \cdot k$ ,  $-u_2 \cdot k$ ,  $b \cdot k$  and  $k_o^\mu$  with  $k_o \cdot u_{1,2} = 0 = k_o \cdot b$  are  $D$  independent variables for the phase-space integration over  $k^\mu = -u_1 \cdot k \check{u}_1^\mu - u_2 \cdot k \check{u}_2^\mu + b \cdot k b^\mu/b^2 + k_o^\mu$ .

From this, it is clear that

$$u_1 \cdot \mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{O}(G^3)} \cdot u_2 = 0, \quad (33)$$

because its integrand is odd under  $b \cdot k \mapsto -b \cdot k$ . This agrees with the explicit results in [65, 66].

Moving to the next order in  $G$ , and following the terminology employed in [36], we split  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{O}(G^4)}^\alpha = \mathbf{P}_{1\text{rad}}^\alpha + \mathbf{P}_{2\text{rad}}^\alpha$  and  $\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{O}(G^4)}^{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{J}_{1\text{rad}}^{\alpha\beta} + \mathbf{J}_{2\text{rad}}^{\alpha\beta}$  into two contributions involving either one (“1rad”) or two (“2rad”) radiation (on-shell) modes. The former, which we can cast as follows using the properties (19a), (19b),

$$\mathbf{P}_{1\text{rad}}^\alpha = 2 \int_k \text{Re } \mathbf{K}^\alpha[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1O}^{(i)} + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1E}], \quad (34)$$

and

$$\mathbf{J}_{1\text{rad}}^{\alpha\beta} = 2 \int_k \text{Im } \mathbf{O}^{\alpha\beta}[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1O}^{(i)} + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1E}], \quad (35)$$

lead to integer PN corrections, while the latter,

$$\mathbf{P}_{2\text{rad}}^\alpha = \int_k \left( 2 \text{Re } \mathbf{K}^\alpha[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1O}^{(h)}] - \text{Im } \mathbf{K}^\alpha[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}] \right), \quad (36)$$

and

$$\mathbf{J}_{2\text{rad}}^{\alpha\beta} = \int_k \left( 2 \text{Im } \mathbf{O}^{\alpha\beta}[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1O}^{(h)}] + \text{Re } \mathbf{O}^{\alpha\beta}[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}] \right), \quad (37)$$

yield half-odd PN corrections to the energy and angular momentum losses [60, 71].

Since the kernel ingredients, such as  $\mathcal{B}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$ , are all real in momentum space, they obey

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^* = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1|_{b \rightarrow -b}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^* = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}|_{b \rightarrow -b}. \quad (38)$$

Performing then the same discussion based on the parity of the integrands under  $b \cdot k \mapsto -b \cdot k$  as detailed above for the tree-level case, we find that the 1rad contributions follow the same pattern as their  $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$  counterparts,

$$b \cdot \mathbf{P}_{1\text{rad}} = 0, \quad u_1 \cdot \mathbf{J}_{1\text{rad}} \cdot u_2 = 0. \quad (39)$$

Instead, the “bare” factor of  $i$  appearing in front of  $\mathcal{C}$  (which follows from unitarity) induces a different pattern in the 2rad part, and the contributions of  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1O}^{(h)}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  neatly separate among the components:

$$u_{1,2} \cdot \mathbf{P}_{2\text{rad}} = 2u_{1,2}^\alpha \int_k \text{Re } \mathbf{K}_\alpha[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1O}^{(h)}], \quad (40a)$$

$$b \cdot \mathbf{P}_{2\text{rad}} = -b^\alpha \int_k \text{Im } \mathbf{K}_\alpha[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}], \quad (40b)$$

and

$$u_{1,2} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{2\text{rad}} \cdot b = 2u_{1,2}^\alpha b^\beta \int_k \text{Im } \mathbf{O}_{\alpha\beta}[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1O}^{(h)}], \quad (41a)$$

$$u_1 \cdot \mathbf{J}_{2\text{rad}} \cdot u_2 = u_1^\alpha u_2^\beta \int_k \text{Re } \mathbf{O}_{\alpha\beta}[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}]. \quad (41b)$$

This analysis highlights that the components  $u_{1,2} \cdot \mathbf{P}_{2\text{rad}}$  and  $u_{1,2} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{2\text{rad}} \cdot b$  are entirely fixed by the RR contribution to the one-loop waveform. Therefore, by Eq. (11b), they can be obtained from the knowledge of the tree-level amplitude only.

#### IV. RADIATION-REACTION AND ENERGY LOSS

Introducing the following notation for the longitudinal part of the 2rad energy-momentum loss,

$$\mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^\alpha = (-u_1 \cdot \mathbf{P}_{2\text{rad}}) \check{u}_1^\alpha + (-u_2 \cdot \mathbf{P}_{2\text{rad}}) \check{u}_2^\alpha, \quad (42)$$

by Eq. (40a) we have

$$\mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^\alpha = 2 \int_k \text{Re } \mathbf{K}^\alpha[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1O}^{(h)}] \quad (43)$$

and, thanks to Eq. (11b), this turns into

$$\mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^\alpha = 2\pi G \int_k (m_1 \omega_1 + m_2 \omega_2) k^\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0^* \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0. \quad (44)$$

This integral can be recast as the Fourier transform of a three-particle cut built from the product of two tree-level amplitudes, weighted by  $(m_1 \omega_1 + m_2 \omega_2) k^\alpha$ , and can be thus calculated with the two-loop reverse-unitarity techniques developed in Ref. [64] (see also [17, 18]). The result is

$$\mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^\alpha = \frac{G^4 m_1^2 m_2^2}{b^4} \left[ m_1 (\mathcal{E}^{(1)} \check{u}_1^\alpha + \mathcal{E}^{(2)} \check{u}_2^\alpha) + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right] \quad (45)$$

with

$$\mathcal{E}^{(i)} = \frac{f_1^{(i)}}{\sigma^2 - 1} + f_2^{(i)} \frac{\text{arccosh } \sigma}{(\sigma^2 - 1)^{3/2}} + f_3^{(i)} \frac{(\text{arccosh } \sigma)^2}{(\sigma^2 - 1)^2} \quad (46)$$

for  $i = 1, 2$  and the polynomials  $f_{1,2,3}^{(i)}$  given in Table I. Note that, owing to the obvious mass polynomiality of the result, (45) can be more easily obtained by focusing on the term involving either mass in (44), and then using particle interchange symmetry to obtain the other one.

Eq. (45) is in perfect agreement with the results obtained in Ref. [36] from a worldline EFT and in Ref. [37] from an amplitude approach. Those references calculated the complete impulses,  $Q_{1,2}^\alpha$  experienced by particles 1 and 2 up to  $\mathcal{O}(G^4)$ , including both 1rad and 2rad contributions, from which the radiated energy-momentum can be deduced by the balance law  $\mathbf{P}^\alpha = -Q_1^\alpha - Q_2^\alpha$ .

$f_1^{(1)} = \frac{16}{45} (12\sigma^6 - 1484\sigma^4 + 4927\sigma^2 + 1720)$ $f_2^{(1)} = \frac{16}{5} \sigma (16\sigma^6 + 204\sigma^4 - 496\sigma^2 - 869)$ $f_3^{(1)} = -32 (8\sigma^6 - 6\sigma^4 - 51\sigma^2 - 8)$ $f_1^{(2)} = \frac{16}{3} \sigma (64\sigma^4 - 130\sigma^2 - 411)$ $f_2^{(2)} = -\frac{16}{3} (64\sigma^6 + 20\sigma^4 - 868\sigma^2 - 173)$ $f_3^{(2)} = 64\sigma (\sigma^2 + 4) (2\sigma^4 - 5\sigma^2 - 5)$
--

TABLE I. Polynomials entering  $E_{2\text{rad}}$ .

Eq. (45) determines the full 2rad contribution to the radiated energy in the center-of-mass frame,<sup>4</sup>

$$E_{2\text{rad}} = -t \cdot \mathbf{P}_{2\text{rad}}, \quad t^\mu = \frac{m_1 u_1^\mu + m_2 u_2^\mu}{E}. \quad (47)$$

The first few terms in the expansion of this result for small  $p_\infty = \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}$  read

$$E_{2\text{rad}} = \frac{G^4 M^5}{b^4} \nu^2 p_\infty^2 \left[ \frac{3136}{45} + \left( \frac{1216}{105} - \frac{2272\nu}{45} \right) p_\infty^2 + \left( \frac{117248}{1575} - \frac{8056\nu}{1575} + \frac{1528\nu^2}{45} \right) p_\infty^4 + \mathcal{O}(p_\infty^6) \right] \quad (48)$$

with  $M = m_1 + m_2$  and  $\nu = m_1 m_2 / M^2$ , again in agreement with the PN results obtained in [74, 75]. Note that the PN expansion of  $E_{1\text{rad}}$  provides the Newtonian (0PN) contribution at  $\mathcal{O}(p_\infty^{-1})$  and only contributes odd powers of  $p_\infty$ , i.e. integer PN corrections. On the contrary, (48) starts at  $\mathcal{O}(p_\infty^2)$ , thus at 1.5PN relative to the Newtonian order, and only involves even powers of  $p_\infty$ , i.e. half-odd PN corrections. Via (47), Eq. (45) provides the all-order resummation of such fractional PN contributions.

## V. RADIATION-REACTION AND ANGULAR MOMENTUM LOSS

Turning to the angular momentum loss, letting

$$\mathbf{J}_\perp^{\alpha\beta} = (-b \cdot \mathbf{J}_{2\text{rad}} \cdot \tilde{u}_1) b^{[\alpha} u_1^{\beta]} + (-b \cdot \mathbf{J}_{2\text{rad}} \cdot \tilde{u}_2) b^{[\alpha} u_2^{\beta]}, \quad (49)$$

by Eq. (41a) we have

$$\mathbf{J}_\perp^{\alpha\beta} = 2 \int_k \text{Im} \mathcal{O}^{\alpha\beta} [\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1\mathcal{O}}^{(h)}]. \quad (50)$$

By Eq. (11b), this turns into<sup>5</sup>

$$\mathbf{J}_\perp^{\alpha\beta} = 2\pi G \int_k (m_1 \omega_1 + m_2 \omega_2) \mathcal{O}^{\alpha\beta} [\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0]. \quad (51)$$

In this way, the integrand again reduces to an object quadratic in the tree-level waveform times an additional weighting function. The amplitude here does not appear multiplicatively, but techniques to reduce this type of integrals to the Fourier transform of a conventional three-particle cut were developed in [13, 66] (see [78, 79] for related applications). Although for the intermediate steps it is convenient to work in the translation frame  $b_2^\alpha = 0$ ,  $b_1^\alpha = b^\alpha$ , we present the result in the midpoint frame

$b_1^\alpha = -b_2^\alpha = b^\alpha/2$ , where particle-interchange symmetry becomes manifest (note that  $b^\alpha \leftrightarrow -b^\alpha$  under  $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ ),

$$\mathbf{J}_\perp^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{G^4 m_1^2 m_2^2}{b^3} \left[ m_1 (\mathcal{F}^{(1)} b^{[\alpha} u_1^{\beta]} + \mathcal{F}^{(2)} b^{[\alpha} u_2^{\beta]}) + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right] \quad (52)$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}^{(i)} = \frac{g_1^{(i)}}{(\sigma^2 - 1)^2} + g_2^{(i)} \frac{\text{arccosh } \sigma}{(\sigma^2 - 1)^{5/2}} + g_3^{(i)} \frac{(\text{arccosh } \sigma)^2}{(\sigma^2 - 1)^3} \quad (53)$$

and the functions  $g_{1,2,3}^{(i)}$  are given in Table II. Of course, one can freely translate the result along  $b^\alpha$  by means of (20) thanks to the explicit expression (45) for  $\mathbf{P}_\parallel^\alpha$ . Like for  $\mathbf{P}_\parallel^\alpha$ , it is easier to first calculate one of the two terms in (51) and then obtain the other one by  $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ .

As a cross check, using the probe-limit,  $m_1 \rightarrow 0$ , waveform evaluated up to 10PN in the frame where  $m_2$  is at rest,  $b_2^\alpha = 0$  and  $u_1^\alpha = (\sigma, 0, p_\infty, 0)$  [80], we computed the radiated angular momentum in this frame for small  $p_\infty$ ,

$$J_{2\text{rad}}^{\text{probe}} = \frac{G^4 m_1^2 m_2^3}{b^3} \left[ \frac{448 p_\infty}{5} + \frac{1184 p_\infty^3}{21} - \frac{13736 p_\infty^5}{315} + \frac{724868 p_\infty^7}{17325} - \frac{15578279 p_\infty^9}{450450} + \frac{20316617 p_\infty^{11}}{700700} - \frac{3525071503 p_\infty^{13}}{142942800} + \frac{1039071734251 p_\infty^{15}}{48886437600} - \frac{14500043393593 p_\infty^{17}}{782183001600} + \frac{11996977412779 p_\infty^{19}}{734294246400} - \frac{23005919863020091 p_\infty^{21}}{1583138395238400} \right] + \mathcal{O}(p_\infty^{23}) \quad (54)$$

in agreement<sup>6</sup> with the prediction obtained from (52) after transforming it back to  $b_2^\alpha = 0$  by means of a translation (20) with  $a_{\text{rest } 2}^\alpha = +\frac{1}{2} b^\alpha$ ,

$$-p_\infty \frac{b^\alpha}{b} \left( \mathbf{J}_\perp^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} b^{[\alpha} \mathbf{P}_\parallel^{\beta]} \right) \tilde{u}_{1\beta} \sim J_{2\text{rad}}^{\text{probe}} \quad (55)$$

$g_1^{(1)} = \frac{8}{45} (972\sigma^6 + 1456\sigma^4 + 10177\sigma^2 - 320)$
$g_2^{(1)} = -\frac{8}{5}\sigma (64\sigma^6 + 76\sigma^4 + 2336\sigma^2 + 259)$
$g_3^{(1)} = 16 (-4\sigma^6 + 100\sigma^4 + 39\sigma^2 + 2)$
$g_1^{(2)} = \frac{8}{45}\sigma (564\sigma^6 - 2732\sigma^4 + 11347\sigma^2 + 3061)$
$g_2^{(2)} = -\frac{8}{15} (48\sigma^8 - 764\sigma^6 + 3908\sigma^4 + 4829\sigma^2 + 169)$
$g_3^{(2)} = 16\sigma (-12\sigma^6 + 36\sigma^4 + 95\sigma^2 + 18)$

TABLE II. Polynomials entering  $\mathbf{J}_{2\text{rad}}$ .

<sup>4</sup> Note that  $m_1 u_1^\mu + m_2 u_2^\mu = m_1 v_1^\mu + m_2 v_2^\mu + \mathcal{O}(G^2)$ .

<sup>5</sup> The terms in which the derivatives act on  $\omega_{1,2}$  cancel due to  $\leftrightarrow$ .

<sup>6</sup> In fact, one could also use the PN data (54) to bootstrap the full result (52) following the strategy adopted in [65].



as  $m_1 \rightarrow 0$ .

To move instead to the center-of-mass translation frame, defined by  $E_1 b_1^\alpha + E_2 b_2^\alpha = 0$  where  $E_{1,2} = -m_{1,2} t \cdot u_{1,2}$ , one needs to perform a translation (20) by  $a_{\text{CM}}^\alpha = \frac{m_2^2 - m_1^2}{2E^2} b^\alpha$ . Thus, the 2rad contribution to the radiated angular momentum defined in the center-of-mass frame is

$$\mathbf{J}_{2\text{rad}} = \frac{b_\alpha}{b} \left( \mathbf{J}_\perp^{\alpha\beta} + a_{\text{CM}}^{[\alpha} \mathbf{P}_\parallel^{\beta]} \right) \frac{p^\beta}{p}, \quad (56)$$

where

$$p^\alpha = \frac{m_1 m_2}{E^2} (m_1 (\sigma u_1^\alpha - u_2^\alpha) - m_2 (\sigma u_2^\alpha - u_1^\alpha)) \quad (57)$$

is the spatial momentum of particle 1 in the center-of-mass frame and  $p = m_1 m_2 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1} / E$  is its magnitude. In the small-velocity limit, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_{2\text{rad}} = & \frac{G^4 M^5}{b^3} \nu^2 p_\infty \left[ \frac{448}{5} + \left( \frac{1184}{21} - \frac{45664\nu}{315} \right) p_\infty^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \left( \frac{10648\nu^2}{63} - \frac{2872\nu}{63} - \frac{13736}{315} \right) p_\infty^4 + \mathcal{O}(p_\infty^6) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

where the first line reproduces the last line in Eq. (5.17) of [71]. (We also find agreement with the third line of Eq. (5.31) of that Ref. by projecting on the appropriate component.) For reference, we recall that the Newtonian correction to the angular momentum loss at  $\mathcal{O}(G^4)$  scales like  $p_\infty^{-2}$ , and arises from the 1rad contributions which are responsible for all integer-PN terms.

Finally, in addition to the radiative loss, we need to account also for the static contribution already discussed and calculated in [71, 72]. By (5.41) of [71], this reads

$$\mathbf{J}_{2\text{rad}} = \frac{G^2 p}{2b} Q_{1\text{PM}}^2 \mathcal{I}(\sigma)^2 \quad (59)$$

with

$$Q_{1\text{PM}} = \frac{4Gm_1 m_2 (\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2})}{b\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \quad (60)$$

the leading-order impulse and

$$\mathcal{I}(\sigma) = \frac{2\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 - 1} - \frac{16}{3} + \frac{2(2\sigma^2 - 3)\sigma \operatorname{arccosh}\sigma}{(\sigma^2 - 1)^{3/2}} \quad (61)$$

the RR function first introduced in Ref. [15]. The complete expression for the total angular momentum lost by the system that resums all half-off PN orders is thus

$$\mathbf{J}_{2\text{rad}} = \mathbf{J}_{2\text{rad}} + \mathcal{J}_{2\text{rad}}, \quad (62)$$

whose first few orders in the PN expansion read

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_{2\text{rad}} = & \frac{G^4 M^5}{b^3} \nu^2 p_\infty \left[ \frac{448}{5} + \left( \frac{1184}{21} - \frac{220256\nu}{1575} \right) p_\infty^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \left( \frac{262168\nu^2}{1575} - \frac{46456\nu}{1575} - \frac{13736}{315} \right) p_\infty^4 + \mathcal{O}(p_\infty^6) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

The first line of (63) matches the 1.5PN and 2.5PN corrections obtained in [74, 75] (more recently reproduced in [71]). We provide  $\mathbf{P}_\parallel^\alpha$ ,  $E_{2\text{rad}}$ ,  $\mathbf{J}_\perp^{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $\mathcal{J}_{2\text{rad}}$  and  $\mathbf{J}_{2\text{rad}}$  in computer-friendly format in the ancillary file `2rad-anc.m`.

## VI. DISCUSSION AND OUTLOOK

In this work, we pointed out that specific components of the  $\mathcal{O}(G^4)$  energy and angular momentum losses, the longitudinal ones for  $\mathbf{P}_{2\text{rad}}^{\alpha\beta}$  and transverse ones for  $\mathbf{J}_{2\text{rad}}^{\alpha\beta}$ , can be reduced to two-loop integrals, contrary to the three-loop order that naive power counting would suggest. We leveraged this simplification to calculate the 2rad contribution to the total angular momentum loss, obtaining a new PM expression which resums all half-odd PN contributions to this observables at  $\mathcal{O}(G^4)$ .

Naturally it will be important and interesting to complete the present analysis by explicitly evaluating the remaining terms in Eqs. (34), (35), (36), (37). These include the 2rad parts involving  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  in (40b), (41b), which would serve as a cross-check of the results in [36, 37] for  $b \cdot \mathbf{P}_{2\text{rad}}$  and give new expressions for the radiated mass dipole  $u_1 \cdot \mathbf{J}_{2\text{rad}} \cdot u_2$ . The latter quantity, however, is sensitive to the arbitrariness under time translations induced by the infrared divergences in the Compton cuts, see [71], as well as to the supertranslation [60, 62, 63, 81]. Perhaps more interesting are the  $b \cdot \mathbf{J}_{1\text{rad}} \cdot u_{1,2}$  components, which would provide a new result for the 1rad angular momentum loss, thus resumming also the integer PN corrections. Of course,  $2 \int_k \operatorname{Re} \mathbf{K}^\alpha [\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1E}]$  and  $2 \int_k \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{O}^{\alpha\beta} [\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1E}]$  represent the more challenging part of the calculations, while, thanks to (11b),

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_k \operatorname{Re} \mathbf{K}^\alpha [\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1O}^{(i)}] &= -\frac{\sigma(\sigma^2 - \frac{3}{2})}{(\sigma^2 - 1)^{3/2}} \mathbf{P}_\parallel^\alpha, \\ 2 \int_k \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{O}^{\alpha\beta} [\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1O}^{(i)}] &= -\frac{\sigma(\sigma^2 - \frac{3}{2})}{(\sigma^2 - 1)^{3/2}} \mathbf{J}_\perp^{\alpha\beta}, \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

which are thus proportional to the results (45), (52) above. Since  $\mathcal{B}_{1E}$  starts at 1PN, Eqs. (64) fix the Newtonian (0PN) contributions to be exactly  $\frac{1}{2}$  times the 1.5PN ones in (48), (58) (in agreement with known data points [71, 74, 75]).

Another interesting direction consists in calculating the individual mechanical contributions of particles 1 and 2 to the angular momentum loss, as done in [66] at  $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$ , which would allow one to explicitly check the total balance law, as discussed for  $Q_1, Q_2$  in [36, 75]. Further natural generalizations consist in taking into account tidal and spin effects [78, 79, 82–84], leveraging in particular the spinning waveforms obtained in [76, 82, 85–88], or additional massless fields such as those appearing in  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  supergravity, which can also serve as a simpler testing ground for more challenging calculations [17, 18, 89, 90]. An intriguing open issue also concerns

the high-energy behavior of radiated quantities, whose ill-behaved large- $\sigma$  expansion points to a breakdown of the conventional PM approximation, thus requiring to develop novel tools for its investigation [91–94].

**Acknowledgments.** I would like to thank P. H. Damgaard, S. Foffa, L. Planté, M. Porrati, S. Speziale for discussions, and P. Di Vecchia, R. Russo also for helpful comments on a preliminary version of this work.

- 
- [1] P. C. Peters, “Relativistic gravitational bremsstrahlung,” *Phys. Rev. D* **1** (1970) 1559–1571.
- [2] S. J. Kovacs and K. S. Thorne, “The Generation of Gravitational Waves. 3. Derivation of Bremsstrahlung Formulas,” *Astrophys. J.* **217** (1977) 252–280.
- [3] S. J. Kovacs and K. S. Thorne, “The Generation of Gravitational Waves. 4. Bremsstrahlung,” *Astrophys. J.* **224** (1978) 62–85.
- [4] K. Westpfahl and M. Goller, “Gravitational scattering of two relativistic particles in postlinear approximation,” *Lett. Nuovo Cim.* **26** (1979) 573–576.
- [5] K. Westpfahl, “High-Speed Scattering of Charged and Uncharged Particles in General Relativity,” *Fortsch. Phys.* **33** (1985) no. 8, 417–493.
- [6] L. Blanchet, “Post-Newtonian Theory for Gravitational Waves,” *Living Rev. Rel.* **17** (2014) 2, [arXiv:1310.1528 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [7] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard, G. Festuccia, L. Planté, and P. Vanhove, “General Relativity from Scattering Amplitudes,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121** (2018) no. 17, 171601, [arXiv:1806.04920 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [8] C. Cheung, I. Z. Rothstein, and M. P. Solon, “From Scattering Amplitudes to Classical Potentials in the Post-Minkowskian Expansion,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121** (2018) no. 25, 251101, [arXiv:1808.02489 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [9] D. A. Kosower, B. Maybee, and D. O’Connell, “Amplitudes, Observables, and Classical Scattering,” *JHEP* **02** (2019) 137, [arXiv:1811.10950 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [10] A. Koemans Collado, P. Di Vecchia, and R. Russo, “Revisiting the second post-Minkowskian eikonal and the dynamics of binary black holes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019) no. 6, 066028, [arXiv:1904.02667 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [11] A. Cristofoli, R. Gonzo, D. A. Kosower, and D. O’Connell, “Waveforms from amplitudes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **106** (2022) no. 5, 056007, [arXiv:2107.10193 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [12] A. Cristofoli, R. Gonzo, N. Moynihan, D. O’Connell, A. Ross, M. Sergola, and C. D. White, “The uncertainty principle and classical amplitudes,” *JHEP* **06** (2024) 181, [arXiv:2112.07556 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [13] P. Di Vecchia, C. Heissenberg, R. Russo, and G. Veneziano, “The gravitational eikonal: From particle, string and brane collisions to black-hole encounters,” *Phys. Rept.* **1083** (2024) 1–169, [arXiv:2306.16488 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [14] P. Di Vecchia, C. Heissenberg, R. Russo, and G. Veneziano, “Universality of ultra-relativistic gravitational scattering,” *Phys. Lett. B* **811** (2020) 135924, [arXiv:2008.12743 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [15] T. Damour, “Radiative contribution to classical gravitational scattering at the third order in  $G$ ,” *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020) no. 12, 124008, [arXiv:2010.01641 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [16] P. Di Vecchia, C. Heissenberg, R. Russo, and G. Veneziano, “Radiation Reaction from Soft Theorems,” *Phys. Lett. B* **818** (2021) 136379, [arXiv:2101.05772 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [17] P. Di Vecchia, C. Heissenberg, R. Russo, and G. Veneziano, “The eikonal approach to gravitational scattering and radiation at  $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$ ,” *JHEP* **07** (2021) 169, [arXiv:2104.03256 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [18] E. Herrmann, J. Parra-Martinez, M. S. Ruf, and M. Zeng, “Radiative classical gravitational observables at  $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$  from scattering amplitudes,” *JHEP* **10** (2021) 148, [arXiv:2104.03957 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [19] C. Heissenberg, “Infrared divergences and the eikonal exponentiation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) no. 4, 046016, [arXiv:2105.04594 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [20] Z. Bern, C. Cheung, R. Roiban, C.-H. Shen, M. P. Solon, and M. Zeng, “Scattering Amplitudes and the Conservative Hamiltonian for Binary Systems at Third Post-Minkowskian Order,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122** (2019) no. 20, 201603, [arXiv:1901.04424 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [21] Z. Bern, C. Cheung, R. Roiban, C.-H. Shen, M. P. Solon, and M. Zeng, “Black Hole Binary Dynamics from the Double Copy and Effective Theory,” *JHEP* **10** (2019) 206, [arXiv:1908.01493 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [22] G. Kälin, Z. Liu, and R. A. Porto, “Conservative Dynamics of Binary Systems to Third Post-Minkowskian Order from the Effective Field Theory Approach,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125** (2020) no. 26, 261103, [arXiv:2007.04977 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [23] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard, L. Planté, and P. Vanhove, “Classical gravity from loop amplitudes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) no. 2, 026009, [arXiv:2104.04510 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [24] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard, L. Planté, and P. Vanhove, “The amplitude for classical gravitational scattering at third Post-Minkowskian order,” *JHEP* **08** (2021) 172, [arXiv:2105.05218 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [25] P. H. Damgaard, L. Plante, and P. Vanhove, “On an exponential representation of the gravitational S-matrix,” *JHEP* **11** (2021) 213, [arXiv:2107.12891 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [26] A. Brandhuber, G. Chen, G. Travaglini, and C. Wen, “Classical gravitational scattering from a gauge-invariant double copy,” *JHEP* **10** (2021) 118, [arXiv:2108.04216 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [27] G. U. Jakobsen, G. Mogull, J. Plefka, and B. Sauer, “All things retarded: radiation-reaction in worldline quantum field theory,” *JHEP* **10** (2022) 128, [arXiv:2207.00569 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [28] G. Kälin, J. Neef, and R. A. Porto, “Radiation-reaction in the Effective Field Theory approach to Post-Minkowskian dynamics,” *JHEP* **01** (2023) 140, [arXiv:2207.00580 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [29] G. Kälin and R. A. Porto, “Post-Minkowskian Effective Field Theory for Conservative Binary Dynamics,” *JHEP* **11** (2020) 106, [arXiv:2006.01184 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [30] G. Mogull, J. Plefka, and J. Steinhoff, “Classical black hole scattering from a worldline quantum field theory,” *JHEP* **02** (2021) 048, [arXiv:2010.02865 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [31] C. Dlapa, G. Kälin, Z. Liu, and R. A. Porto, “Bootstrapping the relativistic two-body problem,” *JHEP* **08** (2023) 109, [arXiv:2304.01275 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [32] Z. Bern, J. Parra-Martinez, R. Roiban, M. S. Ruf, C.-H. Shen, M. P. Solon, and M. Zeng, “Scattering Amplitudes and Conservative Binary Dynamics at  $\mathcal{O}(G^4)$ ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126** (2021) no. 17, 171601, [arXiv:2101.07254 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [33] C. Dlapa, G. Kälin, Z. Liu, and R. A. Porto, “Dynamics of binary systems to fourth Post-Minkowskian order from the effective field theory approach,” *Phys. Lett. B* **831** (2022) 137203, [arXiv:2106.08276 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [34] Z. Bern, J. Parra-Martinez, R. Roiban, M. S. Ruf, C.-H. Shen, M. P. Solon, and M. Zeng, “Scattering Amplitudes, the Tail Effect, and Conservative Binary Dynamics at  $\mathcal{O}(G^4)$ ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128** (2022) no. 16, 161103, [arXiv:2112.10750 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [35] C. Dlapa, G. Kälin, Z. Liu, and R. A. Porto, “Conservative Dynamics of Binary Systems at Fourth Post-Minkowskian Order in the Large-Eccentricity Expansion,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128** (2022) no. 16, 161104, [arXiv:2112.11296 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [36] C. Dlapa, G. Kälin, Z. Liu, J. Neef, and R. A. Porto, “Radiation Reaction and Gravitational Waves at Fourth Post-Minkowskian Order,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130** (2023) no. 10, 101401, [arXiv:2210.05541 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [37] P. H. Damgaard, E. R. Hansen, L. Planté, and P. Vanhove, “Classical observables from the exponential representation of the gravitational S-matrix,” *JHEP* **09** (2023) 183, [arXiv:2307.04746 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [38] M. Driesse, G. U. Jakobsen, G. Mogull, J. Plefka, B. Sauer, and J. Usovitsch, “Conservative Black Hole Scattering at Fifth Post-Minkowskian and First Self-Force Order,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132** (2024) no. 24, 241402, [arXiv:2403.07781 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [39] Z. Bern, E. Herrmann, R. Roiban, M. S. Ruf, A. V. Smirnov, V. A. Smirnov, and M. Zeng, “Amplitudes, supersymmetric black hole scattering at  $\mathcal{O}(G^5)$ , and loop integration,” *JHEP* **10** (2024) 023, [arXiv:2406.01554 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [40] M. Driesse, G. U. Jakobsen, A. Klemm, G. Mogull, C. Nega, J. Plefka, B. Sauer, and J. Usovitsch, “High-precision black hole scattering with Calabi-Yau manifolds,” [arXiv:2411.11846 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [41] C. Cheung, J. Parra-Martinez, I. Z. Rothstein, N. Shah, and J. Wilson-Gerow, “Effective Field Theory for Extreme Mass Ratio Binaries,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132** (2024) no. 9, 091402, [arXiv:2308.14832 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [42] D. Kosmopoulos and M. P. Solon, “Gravitational self force from scattering amplitudes in curved space,” *JHEP* **03** (2024) 125, [arXiv:2308.15304 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [43] C. Cheung, J. Parra-Martinez, I. Z. Rothstein, N. Shah, and J. Wilson-Gerow, “Gravitational scattering and beyond from extreme mass ratio effective field theory,” *JHEP* **10** (2024) 005, [arXiv:2406.14770 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [44] P. H. Damgaard and K. Lee, “Schwarzschild Black Hole from Perturbation Theory to All Orders,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132** (2024) no. 25, 251603, [arXiv:2403.13216 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [45] S. Mougiakakos and P. Vanhove, “Schwarzschild Metric from Scattering Amplitudes to All Orders in  $G_N$ ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **133** (2024) no. 11, 111601, [arXiv:2405.14421 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [46] S. Mougiakakos and P. Vanhove, “Schwarzschild geodesics from scattering amplitudes to all orders in  $G_N$ ,” *JHEP* **10** (2024) 152, [arXiv:2407.09448 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [47] T. Adamo, A. Cristofoli, A. Ilderton, and S. Klisch, “All Order Gravitational Waveforms from Scattering Amplitudes,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131** (2023) no. 1, 011601, [arXiv:2210.04696 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [48] T. Adamo, A. Cristofoli, A. Ilderton, and S. Klisch, “Scattering amplitudes for self-force,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **41** (2024) no. 6, 065006, [arXiv:2307.00431 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [49] F. Fucito, J. F. Morales, and R. Russo, “Gravitational wave forms for extreme mass ratio collisions from supersymmetric gauge theories,” [arXiv:2408.07329 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [50] G. U. Jakobsen, G. Mogull, J. Plefka, and J. Steinhoff, “Classical Gravitational Bremsstrahlung from a Worldline Quantum Field Theory,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126** (2021) no. 20, 201103, [arXiv:2101.12688 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [51] S. Mougiakakos, M. M. Riva, and F. Vernizzi, “Gravitational Bremsstrahlung in the post-Minkowskian effective field theory,” *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) no. 2, 024041, [arXiv:2102.08339 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [52] W. D. Goldberger and A. K. Ridgway, “Radiation and the classical double copy for color charges,” *Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017) no. 12, 125010, [arXiv:1611.03493 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [53] A. Luna, I. Nicholson, D. O’Connell, and C. D. White, “Inelastic Black Hole Scattering from Charged Scalar Amplitudes,” *JHEP* **03** (2018) 044, [arXiv:1711.03901 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [54] A. Brandhuber, G. R. Brown, G. Chen, S. De Angelis, J. Gowdy, and G. Travaglini, “One-loop gravitational bremsstrahlung and waveforms from a heavy-mass effective field theory,” *JHEP* **06** (2023) 048, [arXiv:2303.06111 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [55] A. Herderschee, R. Roiban, and F. Teng, “The sub-leading scattering waveform from amplitudes,” *JHEP* **06** (2023) 004, [arXiv:2303.06112 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [56] A. Elkhidir, D. O’Connell, M. Sergola, and I. A. Vazquez-Holm, “Radiation and reaction at one loop,” *JHEP* **07** (2024) 272, [arXiv:2303.06211 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [57] A. Georgoudis, C. Heissenberg, and I. Vazquez-Holm, “Inelastic exponentiation and classical gravitational scattering at one loop,” *JHEP* **06** (2023) 126,



- [arXiv:2303.07006 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [58] S. Caron-Huot, M. Giroux, H. S. Hannesdottir, and S. Mizera, “What can be measured asymptotically?,” *JHEP* **01** (2024) 139, [arXiv:2308.02125 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [59] A. Georgoudis, C. Heissenberg, and I. Vazquez-Holm, “Addendum to: Inelastic exponentiation and classical gravitational scattering at one loop,” *JHEP* **2024** (2024) no. 02, 161, [arXiv:2312.14710 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [60] A. Georgoudis, C. Heissenberg, and R. Russo, “An eikonal-inspired approach to the gravitational scattering waveform,” *JHEP* **03** (2024) 089, [arXiv:2312.07452 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [61] D. Bini, T. Damour, and A. Geralico, “Comparing one-loop gravitational bremsstrahlung amplitudes to the multipolar-post-Minkowskian waveform,” *Phys. Rev. D* **108** (2023) no. 12, 124052, [arXiv:2309.14925 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [62] A. Georgoudis, C. Heissenberg, and R. Russo, “Post-Newtonian multipoles from the next-to-leading post-Minkowskian gravitational waveform,” *Phys. Rev. D* **109** (2024) no. 10, 106020, [arXiv:2402.06361 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [63] D. Bini, T. Damour, S. De Angelis, A. Geralico, A. Herderschee, R. Roiban, and F. Teng, “Gravitational waveforms: A tale of two formalisms,” *Phys. Rev. D* **109** (2024) no. 12, 125008, [arXiv:2402.06604 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [64] E. Herrmann, J. Parra-Martinez, M. S. Ruf, and M. Zeng, “Gravitational Bremsstrahlung from Reverse Unitarity,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126** (2021) no. 20, 201602, [arXiv:2101.07255 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [65] A. V. Manohar, A. K. Ridgway, and C.-H. Shen, “Radiated Angular Momentum and Dissipative Effects in Classical Scattering,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129** (2022) no. 12, 121601, [arXiv:2203.04283 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [66] P. Di Vecchia, C. Heissenberg, R. Russo, and G. Veneziano, “Classical gravitational observables from the Eikonal operator,” *Phys. Lett. B* **843** (2023) 138049, [arXiv:2210.12118 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [67] C. Anastasiou and K. Melnikov, “Higgs boson production at hadron colliders in NNLO QCD,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **646** (2002) 220–256, [arXiv:hep-ph/0207004](#).
- [68] C. Anastasiou, L. J. Dixon, and K. Melnikov, “NLO Higgs boson rapidity distributions at hadron colliders,” *Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl.* **116** (2003) 193–197, [arXiv:hep-ph/0211141](#).
- [69] C. Anastasiou, L. J. Dixon, K. Melnikov, and F. Petriello, “Dilepton rapidity distribution in the Drell-Yan process at NNLO in QCD,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91** (2003) 182002, [arXiv:hep-ph/0306192](#).
- [70] C. Anastasiou, C. Duhr, F. Dulat, E. Furlan, F. Herzog, and B. Mistlberger, “Soft expansion of double-real-virtual corrections to Higgs production at N<sup>3</sup>LO,” *JHEP* **08** (2015) 051, [arXiv:1505.04110 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [71] C. Heissenberg and R. Russo, “Revisiting gravitational angular momentum and mass dipole losses in the eikonal framework,” [arXiv:2406.03937 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [72] P. Di Vecchia, C. Heissenberg, and R. Russo, “Angular momentum of zero-frequency gravitons,” *JHEP* **08** (2022) 172, [arXiv:2203.11915 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [73] S. Biswas and J. Parra-Martinez, “Classical Observables from Causal Response Functions,” [arXiv:2411.09016 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [74] D. Bini, T. Damour, and A. Geralico, “Radiative contributions to gravitational scattering,” *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) no. 8, 084031, [arXiv:2107.08896 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [75] D. Bini, T. Damour, and A. Geralico, “Radiated momentum and radiation reaction in gravitational two-body scattering including time-asymmetric effects,” *Phys. Rev. D* **107** (2023) no. 2, 024012, [arXiv:2210.07165 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [76] R. Aoude, K. Haddad, C. Heissenberg, and A. Helset, “Leading-order gravitational radiation to all spin orders,” *Phys. Rev. D* **109** (2024) no. 3, 036007, [arXiv:2310.05832 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [77] S. Weinberg, “Infrared photons and gravitons,” *Phys. Rev.* **140** (1965) B516–B524.
- [78] C. Heissenberg, “Angular Momentum Loss due to Tidal Effects in the Post-Minkowskian Expansion,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131** (2023) no. 1, 011603, [arXiv:2210.15689 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [79] C. Heissenberg, “Angular momentum loss due to spin-orbit effects in the post-Minkowskian expansion,” *Phys. Rev. D* **108** (2023) no. 10, 106003, [arXiv:2308.11470 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [80] C. Heissenberg and R. Russo. To appear.
- [81] G. Veneziano and G. A. Vilkovisky, “Angular momentum loss in gravitational scattering, radiation reaction, and the Bondi gauge ambiguity,” *Phys. Lett. B* **834** (2022) 137419, [arXiv:2201.11607 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [82] M. M. Riva, F. Vernizzi, and L. K. Wong, “Gravitational bremsstrahlung from spinning binaries in the post-Minkowskian expansion,” *Phys. Rev. D* **106** (2022) no. 4, 044013, [arXiv:2205.15295 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [83] S. Mougiakakos, M. M. Riva, and F. Vernizzi, “Gravitational Bremsstrahlung with Tidal Effects in the Post-Minkowskian Expansion,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129** (2022) no. 12, 121101, [arXiv:2204.06556 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [84] G. U. Jakobsen, G. Mogull, J. Plefka, and B. Sauer, “Dissipative Scattering of Spinning Black Holes at Fourth Post-Minkowskian Order,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131** (2023) no. 24, 241402, [arXiv:2308.11514 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [85] G. U. Jakobsen, G. Mogull, J. Plefka, and J. Steinhoff, “Gravitational Bremsstrahlung and Hidden Supersymmetry of Spinning Bodies,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128** (2022) no. 1, 011101, [arXiv:2106.10256 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [86] S. De Angelis, P. P. Novichkov, and R. Gonzo, “Spinning waveforms from the Kosower-Maybe-O’Connell formalism at leading order,” *Phys. Rev. D* **110** (2024) no. 4, L041502, [arXiv:2309.17429 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [87] A. Brandhuber, G. R. Brown, G. Chen, J. Gowdy, and G. Travaglini, “Resummed spinning waveforms from five-point amplitudes,” *JHEP* **02** (2024) 026, [arXiv:2310.04405 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [88] L. Bohnenblust, H. Ita, M. Kraus, and J. Schlenk, “Gravitational Bremsstrahlung in black-hole scattering at  $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$ : linear-in-spin effects,” *JHEP* **11** (2024) 109, [arXiv:2312.14859 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [89] Z. Bern, H. Ita, J. Parra-Martinez, and M. S. Ruf, “Universality in the classical limit of massless gravitational scattering,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125** (2020) no. 3, 031601, [arXiv:2002.02459 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [90] J. Parra-Martinez, M. S. Ruf, and M. Zeng, “Extremal black hole scattering at  $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$ : graviton dominance, eikonal exponentiation, and differential equations,” *JHEP* **11** (2020) 023, [arXiv:2005.04236 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [91] P. Di Vecchia, C. Heissenberg, R. Russo, and G. Veneziano, “The eikonal operator at arbitrary velocities I: the soft-radiation limit,” *JHEP* **07** (2022) 039, [arXiv:2204.02378 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [92] F. Alessio, P. Di Vecchia, and C. Heissenberg, “Logarithmic soft theorems and soft spectra,” *JHEP* **11** (2024) 124, [arXiv:2407.04128 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [93] K. Häring and A. Zhiboedov, “What is the graviton pole made of?,” [arXiv:2410.21499 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [94] I. Z. Rothstein and M. Saavedra, “A Systematic Lagrangian Formulation for Quantum and Classical Gravity at High Energies,” [arXiv:2412.04428 \[hep-th\]](#).