Extracting the Speed of Sound in Heavy-Ion Collisions: A Study of Quantum Fluctuations and Thermalization

Yu-Shan Mu,¹ Jing-An Sun,^{2,3} Li Yan,^{2,4,*} and Xu-Guang Huang^{1,4,5}

¹*Physics Department and Center for Particle Physics and Field Theory, Fudan University, Shanghai 200438, China*

2 *Institute of Modern Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China*

³*Department of Physics, McGill University 3600 rue University Montreal, QC Canada H3A 2T8*

⁴*Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE), Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China*

5 *Shanghai Research Center for Theoretical Nuclear Physics,*

National Natural Science Foundation of China and Fudan University, Shanghai 200438, China

The thermalization of quark-gluon plasma created in heavy-ion collisions is crucial for understanding its behavior as a relativistic fluid and the thermodynamic properties of the Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD). This study investigates the role of fluctuations in the relationship between transverse momentum and particle multiplicity, with a particular focus on their impact on extracting the QCD speed of sound. In a thermalized quark-gluon plasma, fluctuations are dominantly quantum in origin and follow a Gaussian distribution due to their independence from the thermodynamic response. In contrast, non-thermalized systems display non-Gaussian fluctuations, reflecting the breakdown of thermalization. By leveraging the Gaussianity condition of quantum fluctuations, the physical value of the speed of sound can be extracted statistically, even in the presence of significant event-by-event fluctuations. This framework provides a robust diagnostic tool for probing thermalization and extracting thermodynamic properties in both large and small collision systems.

Heavy-ion collisions at facilities such as the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) and the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) create extreme conditions, where Quark-Glasma Plasma (QGP) forms [\[1\]](#page-4-0). A crucial question is whether this deconfined state of quarks and gluons achieves local thermal equilibrium, at least transiently, so that one is allowed to apply hydrodynamic models [\[2](#page-4-1)[–4\]](#page-4-2) and explore the phase structure of the strongly interacting matter through fundamental thermodynamic properties [\[5\]](#page-4-3). As a closed quantum system of high energies, the thermalization of QGP is also essential for understanding non-equilibrium dynamics, in a broad sense [\[6–](#page-4-4) [9\]](#page-4-5).

Local thermalization of QGP in nucleus-nucleus collisions is widely accepted, supported by different types of flow signatures that reveal anisotropic particle emissions. These are indirect evidence, however, as they are consequences of hydrodynamic response of a fluid-like QGP with respect to the geometric deformation of initial state [\[10,](#page-4-6) [11\]](#page-4-7). More explicitly, by assuming the QGP as a relativistic fluid, the thermodynamic quantities like pressure P , energy density e , and entropy S can be well implemented in hydrodynamic models, and the initial geometric deformation results in anisotropies of pressure gradients, which further drives the system to expand anisotropically [\[12\]](#page-4-8). Although flow analyses have extended to the smaller colliding systems, including proton-lead [\[13–](#page-4-9) [15\]](#page-4-10), proton-proton [\[16](#page-4-11)[–18\]](#page-4-12) and even electron-positron [\[19\]](#page-4-13), aside from which, investigation of the local thermalization of the strongly interacting matter through directly the thermodynamic properties, such as the QCD speed of sound [\[20\]](#page-4-14), was realized only recently [\[21,](#page-4-15) [22\]](#page-4-16).

Among the thermodynamic properties of QCD, the speed of sound, c_s , holds special significance as it contains information

of the equation of state (EOS). Defined as

$$
c_s^2 \equiv \frac{\partial P}{\partial e} = \frac{\partial \ln T}{\partial \ln S},\tag{1}
$$

the speed of sound measures the thermodynamic response of pressure to energy density, as well as the response of relative variation of temperature to the relative variation of entropy. Note that the second equation applies to a uniform and baryon-less QGP. Speed of sound is closely linked to the stiffness of the EOS. Near the critical region, c_s^2 is expected to exhibit a sharp decrease, signaling a softening of the EOS due to the transition between hadronic matter and QGP [\[23\]](#page-4-17).

In high-energy ultracentral collisions of heavy nuclei, where geometric deformation is substantially suppressed, if the created QGP reaches local thermal equilibrium, the QCD speed of sound governs the response of variation in the observed mean transverse momentum of particles, $\langle p_T \rangle \equiv$ $\sum p_T / N_c$, to the variation in the charged particle multiplicity, N_c . This is because, in ultracentral collisions, mean transverse momentum measures effectively temperature in the system [\[21\]](#page-4-15), while the charged particle multiplicity is linked to the entropy. Consequently, linear relationships exist between $\langle p_T \rangle$ and T, and between N_c and S. Corresponding to Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-1), the response is purely thermodynamic and deterministic, so one has [\[21,](#page-4-15) [22\]](#page-4-16)

$$
\frac{\Delta_p}{\langle p_T \rangle_0} = c_s^2 \frac{\Delta_N}{N_0},\tag{2}
$$

where $\langle p_T \rangle_0$ and N_0 are the averaged values of the mean transverse momentum and the charged particle multiplicity over the ultracentral collision events, while $\Delta_p = \langle p_T \rangle$ – $\langle p_T \rangle_0$ and $\Delta_N = N_c - N_0$ are variations. Note that the mechanism of relating Δ_p and Δ_N is not unique. From purely multi-parton interactions or mini-jet production, for instance, a linear response relation between Δ_p and Δ_N presents analogously, but the coefficient has of course, nothing to do with

[∗] cliyan@fudan.edu.cn

the speed of sound. Nonetheless, it is crucial that Eq. [\(2\)](#page-0-2) from a thermalized QGP is thermodynamic, while the correlations induced in non-thermal systems are quantum.

While in experiments, a linear rise between Δ_p and Δ_N has been identified in the ultracentral lead-lead collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV [\[24,](#page-4-18) [25\]](#page-4-19), the extracted speed of sound from the slope is contaminated. In several model studies using hydrodynamic simulations, it has been noticed that the slope depends on the selection of rapidity, cut in transverse momentum, and how centrality is determined [\[26,](#page-4-20) [27\]](#page-4-21). These kinematic effects can be understood, at least qualitatively. For instance, recording in a finite interval of the transverse momentum of particle spectrum reduces the number of thermal particles taken into account, which as a consequence changes the contribution of thermodynamic response.

On the other hand, there are fluctuations in realistic heavyion collisions. On an event-by-event basis, these fluctuations are dominantly of quantum origin, such as the quantum fluctuations of the nucleons populating inside nuclei as they collide, and decay and scatterings of hadrons during final-state particlization [\[28\]](#page-4-22). In terms of flow measurement, fluctuations in high-energy heavy-ion collisions have been well captured through multi-particle correlations [\[7\]](#page-4-23), in consistent to hydrodynamic model simulations on an event-by-event basis. The role of fluctuations in the response relation between Δ_p and Δ_N , in the ultracentral collisions, remains unclear so far [\[29\]](#page-4-24). In this Letter, we will investigate the effect of fluctuations on the extraction of speed of sound, and we propose a statistical method that systematically isolates the thermodynamic response, so that in both theoretical simulations and experiments the speed of sound can be well identified in the presence of the fluctuations. More importantly, we find that the quantum nature of the event-by-event fluctuations makes the extraction of the speed of sound an ideal probe of the QGP thermalization.

Quantum fluctuations. – In realistic heavy-ion collisions, with respect to the mean transverse momentum and the charged particle multiplicity, the presence of fluctuations leads to a two-dimensional joint probability distribution of $\mathcal{P}(\Delta_p, \Delta_N)$ [\[30](#page-4-25)[–32\]](#page-4-26), instead of the deterministic relation Eq. (2) .

While such a distribution can be measured experimentally, for the purpose of illustration, we generate a set of $\mathcal{P}(\Delta_p, \Delta_N)$ from numerical simulations of hydrodynamic model and non-thermal models [\[33\]](#page-4-27). The hydrodynamic model is hybrid that incorporates the generation of initial density profile using the T_R ENTo method [\[34\]](#page-5-0), solving coupled equations of viscous hydrodynamics with the EOS from lattice QCD (LEOS) [\[23\]](#page-4-17) for the system's dynamical evolution using the MUSIC program [\[35](#page-5-1)[–37\]](#page-5-2), which is then connected to UrQMD for particlization and hadron gas evolution [\[38\]](#page-5-3). These are standard theoretical prescriptions that systematically takes into account the event-by-event quantumn fluctuations from different stages. It should be emphasized that while solving hydrodynamics implies local thermalization up to the dissipative corrections, the hadron dynamics regarding UrQMD does not require local thermalization. Therefore, local thermalization from the numerical simulations of the hybrid hydrodynamic models is only transiently realized.

For lead-lead (Pb-Pb) collisions at high energies, longitudinal boost invariance is a good approximation, which allows us to simplify the analysis and work in a 2+1 dimensional setup. However, this longitudinal symmetry is broken in small collision systems such as proton-lead (p-Pb), for which we invoke the exact 3+1 dimensional characterization of the initial state in T_R ENTo-3D [\[39\]](#page-5-4), as well as in MUSIC. For comparison, we also simulate and collect data on the mean transverse momentum and multiplicity in p-Pb collisions using non-thermal models, including HIJING [\[40](#page-5-5)[–42\]](#page-5-6) and PYTHIA [\[43](#page-5-7)[–45\]](#page-5-8). In these non-thermal models, particles are generated by parton scatterings and radiative processes rather than thermal medium expansion, meaning that local thermalization is absent.

With the $\langle p_T \rangle_0$ and N_0 fixed with respect to the top central collisions in experiments, for instance, top 5% centrality for Pb-Pb collisions at the LHC with respect to the pseudorapidity $|\eta|$ < 0.5 and particles of transverse momentum $p_T \in [0, 3]$ GeV [\[46,](#page-5-9) [47\]](#page-5-10), from numerical simulations we obtain the two-dimensional joint probability distributions, as presented in Fig. [1.](#page-2-0) In Pb-Pb collisions, the vanishing impact parameter, or saturation of system size, appears only in the ultracentral events, namely, the right tip of the distribution in Fig. [1](#page-2-0) (a) [\[22,](#page-4-16) [31\]](#page-4-28). In p-Pb collisions, on the other hand, the geometry of the generated system is dominantly determined by the proton, one does not require the condition of ultracentral collisions to suppress the size dependence [\[48\]](#page-5-11). In principle, the analysis of the speed of sound can be carried out through most centralities of the p-Pb collisions. Nonetheless, we still focus on the top 2% central events in p-Pb, where system collective expansion has been observed in experiments through particle flow of multi-particle correlations [\[15\]](#page-4-10).

For later convenience, we have also obtained the effective temperatures from the freeze-out hypersurface [\[21\]](#page-4-15), with details given in the Supplemental Materials. While for the Pb-Pb system, one approximately has $\langle p_T \rangle \approx 3T_{\text{eff}}$ due to the boost invariance, for p-Pb collisions, on an event-by-event basis we find that $\langle p_T \rangle \approx 2.22 \sim 2.8 T_{\text{eff}}$ and $\langle p_T \rangle \approx 2.16 \sim 2.58 T_{\text{eff}}$ for the collision energies $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV and 8.16 TeV, respectively.

Given the joint probability distribution $\mathcal{P}(\Delta_p, \Delta_N)$, the response between the variation of the transverse momentum and the variation of multiplicity should be generalized with a stochastic contribution, δ ,

$$
\frac{\Delta_p}{\langle p_T \rangle_0} = c_s^2 \frac{\Delta_N + \delta}{N_0} \,. \tag{3}
$$

Importantly, for a thermalized QGP, δ is independent of the thermodynamic response relation. This independence reflects the quantum origin of δ , which introduces stochastic fluctuations uncorrelated with the deterministic macroscopic relationship. While δ is independent of the thermodynamic response, it may exhibit statistical correlations with individual observables like Δ_N or Δ_p , arising from shared quantum or initial-state fluctuations. In a thermalized system, where δ varies randomly and independently across events, its distribution approaches Gaussianity by the Central Limit Theorem (CLT) [\[30,](#page-4-25) [31\]](#page-4-28). On the other hand, in a system without ther-

FIG. 1. The normalized two-dimensional joint probability distribution of $\mathcal{P}(\Delta_N, \Delta_p)$, for the central Pb-Pb (0-5%) and p-Pb (0-2%) collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, from simulations using hydrodynamics, HIJING and PYTHIA. The growth of width of the distributions, from (a) to (d), at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, from simulations using hydrodynamics, HIJING and PYTHIA. Th indicating the increasing of fluctuation strength. The tilted tip of the distributions (except PYTHIA) implies a positive correlations between Δ_p and Δ_N .

FIG. 2. The probability distribution $\rho(\delta|c_s^2)$ with c_s^2 determined by the zero skewness condition $\{\delta^3\}_c = 0$ (red histogram) and $\{\delta^5\}_c = 0$ (blue histogram). For comparison, the Gaussian distributions with zero mean and the variance $\{\delta^2\}^{1/2}$ determined with respect to the extracted c_s^2 values are shown by lines of the same colors.

malization, the response relation itself (where the response coefficient is no longer the speed of sound) is quantum, hence δ is not independent from event to event and the δ -distribution is generically non-Gaussian.

Irrespective of the condition of local thermalization, finitesize effects, proportional to $1/N_0$, introduce residual non-Gaussianity to the δ -distribution. Specifically, corrections to higher-order moments of the δ -distribution scale as powers of $1/N_0$, with the skewness scaling as $1/N_0^{3/2}$, and kurtosis scaling as $1/N_0^2$, etc. Thus, the previous discussions of Gaussianity in thermlized QGP and non-Gaussianity in non-thermal systems apply up to corrections of order $1/N_0^a$, where a depends on the specific observable or moment. These finite-size effects are particularly relevant when N_0 is relatively small.

To verify the analysis, we need to solve the distribution of δ with respect to the physical value of the speed of sound. Regarding Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-0), it is a mathematical problem: How to solve the constant parameter c_s^2 , given two known distributions of Δ_p and Δ_N , and one unknown distribution of δ ? In fact, Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-0) implicitly defines the conditional distribution, $\rho(\delta|c_s^2)$. In the small c_s^2 limit, $\rho(\delta | c_s^2)$ approaches the distribution of $\Delta_p / \langle p_T \rangle_0$, while for $c_s^2 \to \infty$, the distribution of δ is equivalent to that of $-\Delta_N / N_0$.

One first notices a trivial relation, by definition, in the ultracentral collisions, $\{\delta\} = \frac{1}{N_{\text{events}}} \sum_{\text{events}} \delta = 0$, where the brackets {. . .} denote event average. For a thermalized QGP, given the joint probability distribution $\mathcal{P}(\Delta_p, \Delta_N)$ with the physical value of the speed of sound, the quantum fluctuation δ is Gaussian. Consequently, the physical value of the speed of sound implies vanishing cumulants of the δ -distribution of arbitrary orders, up to corrections of $1/N_0^a$. To proceed, the simplest calculation is to solve the zero skewness condition, $\{\delta^3\}_c = \{\delta^3\} = 0$, and then we examine the Gaussianity of the δ -distribution accordingly in other cumulants. The zero skewness condition gives an equation of c_s^2 , namely,

$$
(c_s^2)^3 \frac{\{\Delta_N^3\}}{N_0^3} - 3(c_s^2)^2 \frac{\{\Delta_N^2 \Delta_p\}}{N_0^2 \langle p_T \rangle_0} + 3c_s^2 \frac{\{\Delta_N \Delta_p^2\}}{N_0 \langle p_T \rangle_0^2} - \frac{\{\Delta_p^3\}}{\langle p_T \rangle_0^3} = 0,
$$
\n(4)

with the physical value of the speed of sound its real root. The coefficients of the equation correspond respectively, to the standardized skewness or mixed skewness of the joint probability distribution $\mathcal{P}(\Delta_p, \Delta_N)$, which are all measurable in realistic experiments. With the speed of sound extracted from the zero skewness condition, one is allowed to solve the exact probability distribution $\rho(\delta|c_s^2)$. As shown in Fig. [2,](#page-2-1) to a good approximation within corrections of $1/N_0^a$, we find that δ from hydrodynamic simulations follow the Gaussian distribution, while from non-thermal simulations, fluctuations of δ exhibit apparently non-Gaussianity with heavy tails. We have

FIG. 3. (a) Linear correlation between $\{\langle p_T \rangle_I\}$ and $\{N_c\}_I$ from sub-bins of the central events from hydrodynamic and HIJING models. (b) Negative linear correlation between $\{\delta\}$ and Δ_N shown in the sub-bin averages of $\{\delta\}_I$.

also solved similarly, with respect to the condition that the fifth order cumulant $\{\delta^5\}_c = \{\bar{\delta}^5\} - 10\{\delta^2\}\{\delta^3\} = 0$, which gives consistent results. Besides, for the values of c_s^2 other than the desired ones, we notice that even from hydrodynamic models $\rho(\delta|c_s^2)$ becomes non-Gaussian.

Extracting speed of sound in the presence of fluctuations. – Without any concern of the effect of fluctuations, Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-0) can be solved via a sub-bin selection of the ultracentral events, as has been carried out so far in experiments [\[24,](#page-4-18) [25\]](#page-4-19) and theoretical model studies [\[26,](#page-4-20) [27\]](#page-4-21). After event average in the sub-bins, the variation of the mean transverse momentum and multiplicity can be quantified between sub-bins, and one has

$$
\frac{\{\Delta_p\}_I}{\langle p_T \rangle_0} = c_s^2 \frac{\{\Delta_N\}_I + \{\delta\}_I}{N_0}, \quad I = 1, 2, \dots
$$
 (5)

where $\{\ldots\}_I$ denotes event average in the sub-bin I. If δ and Δ_N are independent in the sub-bins, the speed of sound can be simply read off as the slope from the resulted line between ${\{\Delta_p\}_I}/{\langle p_T \rangle_0}$ and ${\{\Delta_N\}_I}/{N_0}$, otherwise, the slope gets correction from $\{\delta\}_I \neq 0$. In fact, the condition $\{\delta\} = 0$ implies already in the sub-bins, $\{\delta\}_I = \alpha \{\Delta_N\}_I / N_0$, with α a constant. One may understand this linear relation as the leading order expansion of $\{\delta\}_I$ in terms of $\{\Delta_N\}_I/N_0$.

In Fig. [3](#page-3-0) (a), using the sub-bin method, the linear correlations between $\{\langle p_T \rangle\}_I / \langle p_T \rangle_0$ and $\{N_c\}_I / N_0$ from the different models and systems are shown. Except the results from PYTHIA, where the linear relation has a negative slope, all the model simulations results indicate a positive linear correlation between Δ_p and Δ_N . Notably, from the HIJING model, although there is no local thermalization, one still finds a slope that is sizable in magnitude. However, even from hydrodynamic simulations, we find that the values of the slope are substantially small comparing to the physical values of c_s^2 from the LEOS expectations, suggesting a finite and negative contribution from $\{\delta\}_I$, which is shown in Fig. [3](#page-3-0) (b).

Corresponding to the slope of the sub-bin linearity, and the Gaussianity condition through zero skewness and $\{\delta^5\}_c = 0$, we solve the physical values of the speed of sound . A summary of the obtained results is given in Table. [I.](#page-4-29) We notice that the extracted values of the speed of sound for all the hydrodynamic model simulations from the Gaussian distribution condition are compatible to the LEOS expectations, within uncertainties. For the non-thermal model simulations, however, the same procedure gives rise to non-physical values of the speed of sound, as they are greater than unity (speed of light $c = 1$ as we take the natural units), which violates the causality condition [\[49,](#page-5-12) [50\]](#page-5-13).

Probe of thermalization.– While the exact value of the speed of sound is of great significance to QCD dynamics, we emphasize that the process of extracting the speed of sound also serves as a direct probe of the thermalization of the quarkgluon plasma (QGP), which is also important. The response between mean transverse momentum and particle yield fundamentally differs in a locally thermalized system compared to a non-thermal system. These differences can be effectively distinguished by analyzing the quantum fluctuations of δ on an event-by-event basis.

Based on our findings, we propose a combined measurement of the speed of sound and the Gaussianity of δ to characterize local thermalization. In a thermalized system, one expects the extracted speed of sound to have a physical value that falls within a reasonable range [\[49,](#page-5-12) [50\]](#page-5-13), despite uncertainties such as those arising from the determination of the effective temperature, T_{eff} , which is partially model-dependent. Simultaneously, the probability distribution $\rho(\delta|c_s^2)$ should exhibit Gaussian behavior, up to corrections of order $1/N_0^a$. In contrast, if thermalization is not achieved, neither a physical value of the speed of sound nor Gaussianity in δ should be observed.

Moreover, deviations from thermalization can be quantified using higher-order cumulants of the $\rho(\delta|c_s^2)$ distribution. For example, the standardized kurtosis, defined as κ_4 = ${\delta^4}/({\delta^2})^2 - 3$, should vanish in a system with complete local thermalization but remain finite in the presence of nonthermal contributions. From our numerical simulations, we find $\kappa_4 \geq 2.0$ in both HIJING and PYTHIA models. In contrast, hybrid hydrodynamic modeling yields $\kappa_4 \approx 0.16$ for p-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV and 8.16 TeV, and $\kappa_4 \approx -0.06$ for Pb-Pb collisions, indicating small but finite non-thermal contributions.

Summary and discussion. – For a QGP system created in ultracentral nucleus-nucleus collisions, if it is locally thermalized, the response relation between Δ_p and Δ_N is thermodynamic. Accordingly, event-by-event fluctuations, which are primarily quantum in origin, should be independent of the thermodynamic response and follow a Gaussian distribution. Although these fluctuations are correlated with Δ_N and induce corrections to averages, the Gaussianity condition of δ allows for the statistical extraction of the physical value of the speed of sound, for example, by solving the equation derived from the condition $\{\delta^3\}_c = 0$. We expect the coefficients in this equation to be experimentally measurable, as they correspond to the standardized skewness or mixed skewness of the joint probability distribution $\mathcal{P}(\Delta_N, \Delta_p)$. Therefore, the physical value of the speed of sound can be precisely determined in experiments, even in systems where fluctuations are substantial, such as in small colliding systems like p-Pb.

The non-Gaussianity of δ can serve as a robust diagnostic tool for thermalization in QGP systems. In realistic heavy-ion collisions, especially in smaller systems, local thermalization

	sub-bin slope	$\{\delta^3\}_c=0$	$\{\delta^5\}_c=0$	LEOS
PbPb (Hydro, 5.02TeV)	0.123 ± 0.035	$ 0.217 \pm 0.032 0.216 \pm 0.041 0.222 - 0.242 $		
pPb (Hydro, 8.16 TeV)	0.176 ± 0.004	$ 0.292 \pm 0.013 0.287 \pm 0.012 0.282 - 0.309$		
pPb (Hydro, 5.02 TeV) $\vert 0.197 \pm 0.004 \vert$		$ 0.318 \pm 0.011 0.313 \pm 0.008 0.269 - 0.304$		
pPb (PYTHIA, 5.02 TeV) $\vert -0.032 \pm 0.002 \vert 1.178 \pm 0.006 \vert 1.352 \pm 0.019 \vert 0.227 - 0.278$				
pPb (HIJING, 5.02 TeV) (0.079 ± 0.003)		$1.104 \pm 0.019 \mid 1.171 \pm 0.053 \mid 0.206 - 0.271$		

TABLE I. A summary of the extracted speed of sound from different methods for various model simulations.

is expected to be partial, with non-thermal contributions becoming more significant in non-central collisions. As a result, we predict a monotonic increase in κ_4 of δ as a function of centrality. When κ_4 of δ exceeds unity, the dominant contribution to the response between Δ_p and Δ_N is no longer thermodynamic, indicating that the system should not be considered thermalized. The same argument extends to systems with finite baryon density, where the characterization of thermalization becomes even more crucial for the search for the QCD critical point.

Acknowledgements. – We are grateful to Jean-Yves Ollitrault, Wei Li, and Jiangyong Jia for very helpful discussions.

- [1] E. Shuryak, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.89.035001) 89, 035001 (2017), [arXiv:1412.8393 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.8393)
- [2] C. Gale, S. Jeon, and B. Schenke, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X13400113) 28, [1340011 \(2013\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X13400113) [arXiv:1301.5893 \[nucl-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1301.5893)
- [3] P. Romatschke and U. Romatschke, [Relativistic Fluid Dynamics In and Out of Equilibrium,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/9781108651998) Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics (Cambridge University Press, 2019) [arXiv:1712.05815 \[nucl-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1712.05815)
- [4] W. Florkowski, M. P. Heller, and M. Spalinski, [Rept. Prog.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1361-6633/aaa091) Phys. 81[, 046001 \(2018\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1361-6633/aaa091) [arXiv:1707.02282 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1707.02282)
- [5] X. Luo and N. Xu, [Nucl. Sci. Tech.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s41365-017-0257-0) 28, 112 (2017), [arXiv:1701.02105 \[nucl-ex\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1701.02105)
- [6] S. Schlichting and D. Teaney, [Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-nucl-101918-023825) 69, 447 [\(2019\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-nucl-101918-023825) [arXiv:1908.02113 \[nucl-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1908.02113)
- [7] C. Shen and L. Yan, [Nucl. Sci. Tech.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s41365-020-00829-z) 31, 122 (2020), [arXiv:2010.12377 \[nucl-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2010.12377)
- [8] J. Berges, M. P. Heller, A. Mazeliauskas, and R. Venugopalan, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.93.035003) 93, 035003 (2021), [arXiv:2005.12299 \[hep](http://arxiv.org/abs/2005.12299)[th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2005.12299)
- [9] T. Brauner, S. A. Hartnoll, P. Kovtun, H. Liu, M. Mezei, A. Nicolis, R. Penco, S.-H. Shao, and D. T. Son, in Snowmass 2021 (2022) [arXiv:2203.10110 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2203.10110)
- [10] U. Heinz and R. Snellings, [Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-nucl-102212-170540) 63, 123 [\(2013\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-nucl-102212-170540) [arXiv:1301.2826 \[nucl-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1301.2826)
- [11] L. Yan, Chin. Phys. C 42[, 042001 \(2018\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/42/4/042001) [arXiv:1712.04580](http://arxiv.org/abs/1712.04580) [\[nucl-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1712.04580)
- [12] J.-Y. Ollitrault, *[Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.46.229)* 46, 229 (1992).
- [13] B. Abelev et al. (ALICE), *[Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.01.012)* **719**, 29 (2013), [arXiv:1212.2001 \[nucl-ex\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1212.2001)
- [14] G. Aad et al. (ATLAS), [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.physletb.2013.06.057) 725, 60 (2013), [arXiv:1303.2084 \[hep-ex\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.2084)
- [15] V. Khachatryan et al. (CMS), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.012301) 115, 012301 [\(2015\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.012301) [arXiv:1502.05382 \[nucl-ex\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1502.05382)
- [16] V. Khachatryan et al. (CMS), JHEP 09[, 091 \(2010\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2010)091) [arXiv:1009.4122 \[hep-ex\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1009.4122)

We also thank Xin-Nian Wang for suggesting the HIJING model and Xiangyu Wu for the HIJING code support. Y.- S. M. would like to thank Chen Zhong and Wen-Hao Zhou for their assistance with the computation server. This work is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China through Grants No. 12375133 and No. 12147101 (L.Y.), No. 12147101, No. 12225502, and No. 12075061 (X.-G. H.), by the Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai through Grant No. 23JC1400200, and by the National Key Research and Development Program of China through Grant No. 2022YFA1604900. The computations are performed at the CFFF platform of Fudan University.

- [17] G. Aad et al. (ATLAS), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**[, 172301 \(2016\),](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.172301) [arXiv:1509.04776 \[hep-ex\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1509.04776)
- [18] S. Acharya et al. (ALICE), Phys. Rev. Lett. 132[, 172302 \(2024\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.132.172302) [arXiv:2311.14357 \[nucl-ex\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2311.14357)
- [19] Y.-C. Chen et al., Phys. Lett. B 856[, 138957 \(2024\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2024.138957) [arXiv:2312.05084 \[hep-ex\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2312.05084)
- [20] L. Van Hove, [Physics Letters B](http://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(82)90617-7) 118, 138 (1982).
- [21] F. G. Gardim, G. Giacalone, M. Luzum, and J.-Y. Ollitrault, [Nature Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41567-020-0846-4) 16, 615 (2020), [arXiv:1908.09728 \[nucl-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1908.09728)
- [22] F. G. Gardim, G. Giacalone, and J.-Y. Ollitrault, *[Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135749)* 809[, 135749 \(2020\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135749) [arXiv:1909.11609 \[nucl-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1909.11609)
- [23] A. Bazavov et al. (HotQCD), Phys. Rev. D 90[, 094503 \(2014\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.094503) [arXiv:1407.6387 \[hep-lat\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1407.6387)
- [24] A. Hayrapetyan et al. (CMS), (2024), [arXiv:2401.06896 \[nucl](http://arxiv.org/abs/2401.06896)[ex\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2401.06896)
- [25] S. Acharya et al. (ALICE Collaboration), [\(2024\),](http://cds.cern.ch/record/2904102) [CERN Doc](http://arxiv.org/abs/http://cds.cern.ch/record/2904102)[ument:http://cds.cern.ch/record/2904102.](http://arxiv.org/abs/http://cds.cern.ch/record/2904102)
- [26] G. Soares Rocha, L. Gavassino, M. Singh, and J.-F. Paquet, Phys. Rev. C 110[, 034913 \(2024\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.110.034913) [arXiv:2405.10401 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2405.10401)
- [27] G. Nijs and W. van der Schee, Phys. Lett. B 853[, 138636 \(2024\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2024.138636) [arXiv:2312.04623 \[nucl-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2312.04623)
- [28] There are thermal fluctuations while the QGP stays close to local thermal equilibrium, whose effect is essentially suppressed as it is proportional to the inverse of the specific heat, c_v^{-1} = c_s^2/S .
- [29] J.-A. Sun and L. Yan, (2024), [arXiv:2407.05570 \[nucl-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2407.05570)
- [30] R. Samanta, S. Bhatta, J. Jia, M. Luzum, and J.-Y. Ollitrault, Phys. Rev. C 109[, L051902 \(2024\),](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevC.109.L051902) [arXiv:2303.15323 \[nucl](http://arxiv.org/abs/2303.15323)[th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2303.15323)
- [31] R. Samanta, J. a. P. Picchetti, M. Luzum, and J.-Y. Ollitrault, Phys. Rev. C 108[, 024908 \(2023\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.108.024908) [arXiv:2306.09294 \[nucl-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2306.09294)
- [32] G. Aad et al. (ATLAS), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 133[, 252301 \(2024\),](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.133.252301) [arXiv:2407.06413 \[nucl-ex\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2407.06413)
- [33] We accumulate approximately 5,000 central events for Pb-Pb collisions and 20,000 central events for p-Pb collisions from

hydrodynamic simulations. In addition, we obtain around one million central collision events from simulations using HIJING and PYTHIA.

- [34] J. S. Moreland, J. E. Bernhard, and S. A. Bass, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.92.011901) 92[, 011901 \(2015\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.92.011901) [arXiv:1412.4708 \[nucl-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.4708)
- [35] B. Schenke, S. Jeon, and C. Gale, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.042301)* **106**, 042301 [\(2011\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.042301) [arXiv:1009.3244 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1009.3244)
- [36] B. Schenke, S. Jeon, and C. Gale, *[Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.82.014903)* 82, 014903 [\(2010\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.82.014903) [arXiv:1004.1408 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1004.1408)
- [37] J.-F. Paquet, C. Shen, G. S. Denicol, M. Luzum, B. Schenke, S. Jeon, and C. Gale, Phys. Rev. C 93[, 044906 \(2016\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.93.044906) [arXiv:1509.06738 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1509.06738)
- [38] S. A. Bass et al., [Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0146-6410(98)00058-1) 41, 255 (1998), [arXiv:nucl-th/9803035.](http://arxiv.org/abs/nucl-th/9803035)
- [39] D. Soeder, W. Ke, J. F. Paquet, and S. A. Bass, (2023), [arXiv:2306.08665 \[nucl-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2306.08665)
- [40] X.-N. Wang and M. Gyulassy, Phys. Rev. D 44[, 3501 \(1991\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.44.3501)
- [41] W.-T. Deng, X.-N. Wang, and R. Xu, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.83.014915) 83, 014915 [\(2011\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.83.014915) [arXiv:1008.1841 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1008.1841)
- [42] X.-N. Wang and M. Gyulassy, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.45.844) 45, 844 (1992).
- [43] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Z. Skands, [Comput. Phys. Com](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2008.01.036)mun. 178[, 852 \(2008\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2008.01.036) [arXiv:0710.3820 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/0710.3820)
- [44] T. Sjöstrand, S. Ask, J. R. Christiansen, R. Corke, N. Desai, P. Ilten, S. Mrenna, S. Prestel, C. O. Rasmussen, and P. Z. Skands, [Comput. Phys. Commun.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024) 191, 159 (2015), [arXiv:1410.3012 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1410.3012)
- [45] C. Bierlich et al., [SciPost Phys. Codeb.](http://dx.doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhysCodeb.8) 2022, 8 (2022), [arXiv:2203.11601 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2203.11601)
- [46] G. Aad et al. (ATLAS), [Eur. Phys. J. C](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4002-3) 76, 199 (2016), [arXiv:1508.00848 \[hep-ex\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1508.00848)
- [47] S. Acharya et al. (ALICE), *Phys. Lett. B* **845**[, 138110 \(2023\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2023.138110) [arXiv:2211.15326 \[nucl-ex\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2211.15326)
- [48] F. G. Gardim, R. Krupczak, and T. N. da Silva, *[Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.109.014904)* 109[, 014904 \(2024\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.109.014904) [arXiv:2212.11710 \[nucl-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2212.11710)
- [49] A. Cherman, T. D. Cohen, and A. Nellore, *[Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.066003)* 80, [066003 \(2009\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.066003) [arXiv:0905.0903 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/0905.0903)
- [50] M. Hippert, J. Noronha, and P. Romatschke, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2024.139184) 860, [139184 \(2025\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2024.139184) [arXiv:2402.14085 \[nucl-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2402.14085)