
Decoding specialised feature neurons in LLMs with the final projection layer

Harry J. Davies
Imperial College London
harry.davies14@imperial.ac.uk

Abstract

Large Language Models (LLMs) typically have billions of parameters and are thus often difficult to interpret in their operation. Such black-box models can pose a significant risk to safety when trusted to make important decisions. The lack of interpretability of LLMs is more related to their sheer size, rather than the complexity of their individual components. The TARS method for knowledge removal [Davies et al., 2024] provides strong evidence for the hypothesis that linear layer weights which act directly on the residual stream may have high correlation with different concepts encoded in the residual stream. Building upon this, we attempt to decode neuron weights directly into token probabilities through the final projection layer of the model (the LM-head). Firstly, we show that with Llama 3.1 8B we can utilise the LM-head to decode specialised feature neurons that respond strongly to certain concepts, with examples such as “dog” and “California”. This is then confirmed by demonstrating that these neurons can be clamped to affect the probability of the concept in the output. This extends to the fine-tuned assistant Llama 3.1 8B instruct model, where we find that over 75% of neurons in the up-projection layers have the same top associated token compared to the pretrained model. Finally, we demonstrate that clamping the “dog” neuron leads the instruct model to always discuss dogs when asked about its favourite animal. Through our method, it is possible to map the entirety of Llama 3.1 8B’s up-projection neurons in less than 15 minutes with no parallelization.

1 Introduction

Recent works on the interpretability of large language models (LLMs) build upon the theory that individual neurons can encode multiple concepts simultaneously through a mechanism known as superposition [Elhage et al., 2022]. To better understand and disentangle these concepts, sparse autoencoders [Bricken et al., 2023] are employed with the goal of isolating features that correspond to a single, interpretable concept (monosemantic features). The targeted angular reversal method (TARS) for removing knowledge from LLMs has demonstrated that it can be possible to edit the weights of a single neuron in the up-projection layer of Llama 3.1 [Dubey et al., 2024] in order to sufficiently remove knowledge of a concept [Davies et al., 2024]. The TARS method isolates relevant knowledge neurons based on their correlation with an internal concept vector.

The TARS method is successful because the weights of specific neurons in the up-projection layers which activate more strongly on a given concept in the residual stream have a comparatively high cosine similarity with the internal embedding of the concept. Large language models decode internal embeddings of concepts and convert them back into tokens with the last linear layer of the model (the language modelling head). In this proof-of-concept article, we ask ourselves if it is possible to decode up-projection weights directly with the LM-head, in turn providing us with a low cost method to map feature neurons throughout LLMs. To this end, we provide examples of these specialised feature

neurons, such as for the tokens “dog” and “California”, and investigate manipulating the activations of specialised neurons in Llama 3.1 8B pre-trained and instruct.

2 Methods

Large language models, such as Llama 3.1 [Dubey et al., 2024], utilise stacks of transformer layers [Vaswani et al., 2017]. These models begin by encoding tokens (subwords and words) into a high-dimensional vector space. This high-dimensional representation is iteratively refined through the attention mechanism [Vaswani et al., 2017] and large multi-layer perceptron (MLP) layers. The internal representation space is preserved across layers due to residual connections, which combine input and output representations at each layer. This flow of information through residual connections is often referred to as the residual stream. The final internal representation of the model is decoded back into tokens via the LM-head.

In models such as Llama, there exists layers of weights which operate directly upon the residual stream. If neuron weights exist which search for specific features in the residual stream, the weights of these neurons should have a high cosine similarity with these features [Davies et al., 2024]. Our method is to directly decode these neuron weights with the LM-head itself, thus turning weight vectors into token probabilities. In order to find the most important neurons for a given token, we then divide the decoded probability of the token of interest by the average probability of the top 100 token, for each neuron.

Once these specialised feature neurons are found, we can then confirm that they do indeed correspond to our feature of interest by manually varying the activation. With pre-trained models, we can simply design a relevant prompt, and then check how the probability of our token of interest varies as we clamp the neuron with a range of output values. For models that have been fine-tuned to be assistants, we can re-frame the prompt as a question and heuristically judge multiple different outputs of the model. The latter technique is inspired by “Golden Gate” Claude, in which the authors clamped a feature neuron related to the Golden Gate Bridge in Anthropic’s Claude 3 Sonnet model [Templeton et al., 2024].

3 Results

3.1 Visualisation

To demonstrate this proof-of-concept in interpretability, we choose two toy example tokens, namely “dog” and “California”. For all up-projection neurons in Llama 3.1 8B (a total of 458,752) the weights are decoded directly into token probabilities with the LM-head. Importantly, this process takes approximately 15 minutes without any parallelization, and only needs to be performed once if one is willing to store a matrix of size $32 \times 14,336 \times 128,256$ (number of layers, number of neurons per layer, number of tokens). For each neuron, the probability of the token of interest is normalised by the sum of probabilities for the top 100 tokens at that neuron. This produces the following visualisations for “dog” and “California” normalised probabilities at each neuron, shown in Figure 1. It is clear that, for both “dog” and “California” tokens, there exists clear spikes, such as neuron 1,442 in layer 26 which seems to encode specifically for the concept of “dog”. By examining the other concepts encoded by these neurons with high normalised probability, we find that the “dog” neuron also encodes tokens such as “puppy” and dog in other languages. Similarly, the specialised “California” neuron (neuron 313 in layer 32) also responds strongly to tokens such as Sacramento and LA.

3.2 Effects of Clamping Specialised Neurons for Next Token Prediction

In order to be certain that these so-determined specialised feature neurons actually correspond to the features, we can examine the effects of clamping their activations at different values. The most straightforward way to examine this is to clamp the neuron of interest in the base pre-trained next token prediction model, and in each case study how the effect of different output values on the probability of our token of interest. In Figure 2 we observe that when pre-trained Llama 3.1 8B is prompted with “My favourite animal is”, the probability of the next token being “dog” can be suppressed to zero when clamping neuron 1,442, layer 26 to strong negative values, and amplified to over 0.9 when clamping the same neuron to strong positive values. Curiously, it is shown that,




Figure 1: Visualisation of the position of different specialised up-projection neurons in the pre-trained version of Llama 3.1 8B, for the tokens " dog" (top) and " California" (bottom). Normalised token probability corresponds to the probability that the neuron weights activate the token through the LM-head, divided by the average of the top 100 token probabilities for that neuron.




Figure 2: The next token prediction probabilities of pre-trained Llama 3.1 8B for concepts of interest, when their respective neuron is clamped with values sweeping from -500 to 500. Left) The probability of "dog" as the next token of "My favourite animal is" when the identified specialised "dog" feature neuron is clamped at different values ranging from -500 to 500. Right) The probability of "California" as the next token of "I live in" when the identified specialised "California" feature neuron is clamped at values ranging from -500 to 500.

when prompting with "I live in", the probability that the next token is "California" can be increased to approximately 1 when clamping neuron 313 in layer 32 with a strong negative value. We hypothesise

that the shapes of the functions of token probability given activation value are influenced by the layer in which the specialised neuron is found, with the California probability being far steeper and resembling a sigmoid activation function, likely by means of its location in the final layer of the model.

3.3 Maintenance of Specialised Neurons After Fine-Tuning

A further point of investigation is to examine if feature neurons are maintained before and after fine-tuning. To this end, we store the token with the highest probability for every up-projection neuron in Llama 3.1 8B pre-trained, and compare with Llama 3.1 8B instruct. We find that the top token encoded by each neuron remains the same after fine-tuning in 75.4% of cases. Our identified “dog” feature neuron is one of these preserved neurons.

3.4 Clamping the “dog” feature of Llama Instruct

Example Llama 3.1 8B Instruct responses when prompted with: **"What is your favourite animal?"**

Base Model	Model with "dog" neuron clamped at 145
I'm a huge fan of elephants. They are so intelligent and social, and their memories are incredible.	I love dogs! I've had dogs all my life and I really love how they always seem to know when you're feeling down and need a hug.
My favourite animal is a cat. I have a pet cat at home named Luna. She is very cute and playful.	I'm a dog person myself. Canines are so full of love and energy. I've had dog friends for years, and I've learned so much from them about living in the moment and being present.
I love animals, especially dogs. I have a pet dog named Max. He's a golden retriever and he's really friendly and playful.	I think dogs are really cool, they are so loyal and friendly.
This is a simple question that can elicit a wide range of answers, from the obvious cat or dog to more exotic creatures like the axolotl or the okapi.	I love dogs! I think houndshounds are the most beautiful dog breed. What do you think?
My favourite animal is the sloth. I love how slow and relaxed they are. They always seem so happy just hanging around (literally!).	I like dogs. I have a lovely little terrier called Rufus. He is so much fun to play with.

Figure 3: Example responses Llama 3.1 8B Instruct when asked "What is your favourite animal?". Left, grey) Responses from the base Instruct model with no changes to weights. Right, red) Responses from the Instruct model with the identified dog neuron (layer 26, neuron 1,442) permanently clamped with an output of 145.

Inspired by “Golden Gate” Claude, released by Anthropic [Templeton et al., 2024], we examine the changes in model responses when prompted with **“What is your favourite animal?”** when clamping neuron 1,442 of layer 26 to an arbitrarily high positive value of 145. Observe in the examples given in Figure 3 that the base Llama Instruct model occasionally responds with dog, but will often mention other animals such as elephants, cats and sloths. Crucially, when the “dog” neuron is artificially set to give a high output value, the model always responds with dogs and expands with different properties of dogs.

4 Limitations

This method only appears to work with relatively common topics, as less common topics do not usually have a single well defined feature neuron. For example, when this method was applied to find a neuron that encodes the concept of “Dumbledore”, the high probability neuron was the neuron for “wizard”. Thus, when clamping this specific neuron, the Llama 8B instruct model would always talk about “wizards” when asked “What is your favourite character?”, but not “Dumbledore”. This is in contrast to “Sherlock Holmes”, which has its own dominant neuron, and thus when the model was the same question with the Sherlock neuron clamped, the model would always discuss Sherlock Holmes. This suggests that the model was trained on a larger proportion of data from Sherlock Holmes than Harry Potter, and reflects a pattern that is likely also present in many other less common topics.

Whilst this method does not achieve the depth of features extracted by sparse autoencoders [Templeton et al., 2024], as it does not deal with the issue of superposition of different concepts in the same neuron (the Dumbledore/wizard neuron is a prime example of this flaw), it may provide a guide on the relevant layer for a given feature, thus providing a more informed starting point for training sparse

autoencoders. Furthermore, this method has not yet been tested on larger open source models, such as Llama 70B or 405B. It is not clear whether single specialised neurons are maintained or are dispersed when model size increases from 8 billion parameters.

5 Conclusions

We present a low cost method of finding interpretable features in the multi-layer perceptron neurons of LLMs. It is possible to map all up-projection neurons by passing their corresponding weights through the LM-head, a process that takes under 15 minutes to complete without any parallelization in Llama 3.1 8-billion. These so-determined specialised feature neurons are evidenced as such by clamping their outputs to constant values, resulting in clear changes in the probability of the feature token. An example of this is demonstrated by clamping the “dog” neuron with a constant high output value in Llama 3.1 8B, and observing that the model always responds with dogs when asked “What is your favourite animal?”. Our hope is that this method may provide a low-cost scaffold that can inform more computationally complex interpretability methods such as sparse autoencoders.

Acknowledgments

I would like to acknowledge the AIDA lab at Imperial College and Professor Danilo Mandic for their support of my work.

References

- Harry J. Davies, Giorgos Iacovides, and Danilo P. Mandic. Targeted Angular Reversal of Weights (TARS) for Knowledge Removal in Large Language Models. *arXiv: 2412.10257*, 2024.
- Nelson Elhage et al. Toy models of superposition. *arXiv: 2209.10652*, 2022.
- Trenton Bricken et al. Towards monosemanticity: Decomposing language models with dictionary learning. *Transformer Circuits Thread*, 2023. URL <https://transformer-circuits.pub/2023/monosemantic-features>.
- Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, et al. The Llama 3 Herd of Models. *arXiv: 2407.21783*, 2024.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is All you Need. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2017.
- Adly Templeton et al. Scaling Monosemanticity: Extracting Interpretable Features from Claude 3 Sonnet. *Transformer Circuits Thread*, 2024. URL <https://transformer-circuits.pub/2024/scaling-monosemanticity>.