

Coloring of $(P_2 + P_4, K_4 - e)$ -free graphs

C. U. Angeliya* T. Karthick† Shenwei Huang‡

January 7, 2025

Abstract

For a graph G , $\chi(G)$ and $\omega(G)$ respectively denote the chromatic number and clique number of G . In this paper, we show that if G is a $(P_2 + P_4, K_4 - e)$ -free graph with $\omega(G) \geq 3$, then $\chi(G) \leq \max\{6, \omega(G)\}$, and that the bound is tight for $\omega(G) \notin \{4, 5\}$. These extend the results known for the class of $(P_2 + P_3, K_4 - e)$ -free graphs, improves the bound of Chen and Zhang [arXiv:2412.14524[math.CO], 2024] given for the class of $(P_2 + P_4, K_4 - e)$ -free graphs, partially answers a question of Ju and Huang [Theor. Comp. Sci. 993 (2024) Article No.: 114465] on ‘near optimal colorable graphs’, and partially answers a question of Schiermeyer (unpublished) on the chromatic bound for $(P_7, K_4 - e)$ -free graphs.

Keywords: Graph classes; Chromatic number; Clique number; Near optimal colorable graphs.

1 Introduction

All our graphs are finite, non-null, simple and undirected, and we refer to West [13] for undefined notation and terminology. For an integer $t \geq 1$, let P_t and K_t respectively denote the chordless path and the complete graph on t vertices. A $K_t - e$ is the graph obtained from K_t , $t \geq 2$ by removing an edge. For an integer $t \geq 3$, let C_t denote the chordless cycle on t vertices. An *odd-hole* is the graph C_{2t+1} where $t \geq 2$, and an *odd-antihole* is the complement graph of an odd-hole. We say that a graph G *contains* a graph H if H is an induced subgraph of G . Given a class of graphs \mathcal{F} , a graph G is \mathcal{F} -*free* if G does not contain a graph of \mathcal{F} . The *union* of two vertex-disjoint graphs G_1 and G_2 , denoted by $G_1 + G_2$, is the graph with vertex-set $V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and edge-set $E(G_1) \cup E(G_2)$.

As usual, for a graph G , $\chi(G)$ and $\omega(G)$ respectively stand for the chromatic number and the clique number of G . Clearly, every graph G satisfies $\chi(G) \geq \omega(G)$. A graph G is *perfect* if each of its induced subgraph H satisfies $\chi(H) = \omega(H)$. It is well-known that the class of perfect graphs include the class of bipartite graphs, the class of complement graphs of bipartite graphs and the class of P_4 -free graphs, etc. A celebrated result of Chudnovsky et al. [7] gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the class of perfect graphs via forbidden induced subgraphs, and is now known as the ‘Strong perfect graph theorem (SPGT)’. It states that *a graph is perfect if and only if it does not contain an odd-hole or an odd-antihole*.

A class of graphs \mathcal{C} is said to be *near optimal colorable* [9] if there exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every graph $G \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfies $\chi(G) \leq \max\{c, \omega(G)\}$. Obviously the class of near optimal colorable graphs includes the class of perfect graphs. Among other intriguing and interesting questions, Ju and Huang [9] posed the following.

Problem 1 ([9]) *Let \mathcal{G} be the class of $(F, K_\ell - e)$ -free graphs, where F is a forest which is not an induced subgraph of a P_4 and $\ell \geq 4$. Does there exist a constant $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every $G \in \mathcal{G}$ satisfies $\chi(G) \leq \max\{c, \omega(G)\}$? If so, what is the smallest possible constant c ?*

*Computer Science Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, Chennai Centre, Chennai 600029, India. This research is supported by National Board of Higher Mathematics (NBHM), DAE, Government of India (No. 02011/55/2023/R&D-II/3736).

†Corresponding author, Computer Science Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, Chennai Centre, Chennai 600029, India. Email: karthick@isichennai.res.in. ORCID: 0000-0002-5950-9093. This research is partially supported by National Board of Higher Mathematics (NBHM), DAE, Government of India (No. 02011/55/2023 NBHM (R. P)/R&D II/16733).

‡College of Computer Science, Nankai University, Tianjin, China.

It is known that the class of $(P_5, K_5 - e)$ -free graphs [3], and the class of $(P_6, K_4 - e)$ -free graphs are near optimal colorable [8]. Many classes of $(F, K_\ell - e)$ -free graphs are now known to be near optimal colorable; see [2, 6, 11] and the references therein. Here we focus on Problem 1 when $F = P_2 + P_t$ where $t \geq 3$. Surprisingly, its status is unknown even when $F = P_2 + P_4$ and $\ell = 4$.

Let G be a $(P_2 + P_3, K_4 - e)$ -free graph with $\omega(G) \geq 2$. Bharathi and Choudum [1] showed that if $\omega(G) = 2$, then $\chi(G) \leq 4$ and that the bound is tight, and if $\omega(G) \geq 5$, then G is perfect. The second author with Mishra [10] showed that if $\omega(G) = 3$, then $\chi(G) \leq 6$, and that the bound is tight. Prashant et al. [12] showed that if $\omega(G) = 4$, then $\chi(G) = 4$. In this paper, we are interested in extending these results for the class of $(P_2 + P_4, K_4 - e)$ -free graphs, and in answering Problem 1 when $F = P_2 + P_4$ and $\ell = 4$. First we note that if G is a $(P_2 + P_4, K_4 - e)$ -free graph with $\omega(G) = 2$, then $\chi(G) \leq 4$ and that the bound is tight [1]. Very recently, Chen and Zhang [5] showed that if G is a $(P_2 + P_4, K_4 - e)$ -free graph with $\omega(G) = 3$, then $\chi(G) \leq 7$ and if $\omega(G) = 4$, then $\chi(G) \leq 9$, and that $\chi(G) \leq 2\omega(G) - 1$ when $\omega(G) \geq 5$. Here we improve the results of Chen and Zhang [5] and prove the following.

Theorem 1 *If G is a $(P_2 + P_4, K_4 - e)$ -free graph with $\omega(G) \geq 3$, then $\chi(G) \leq \max\{6, \omega(G)\}$. Moreover the bound is tight for $\omega(G) \notin \{4, 5\}$.*

The proof of the second assertion of Theorem 1 is immediate since the complement graph of the Schläfli graph on 27 vertices G' is a $(P_2 + P_4, K_4 - e)$ -free graph with $\omega(G') = 3$ and $\chi(G') = 6$, and since the complete graph on at least 6 vertices G'' is a $(P_2 + P_4, K_4 - e)$ -free graph with $\chi(G'') = \omega(G'') \geq 6$. Since an odd-hole C_{2t+1} , where $t \geq 4$, contains a $P_2 + P_4$, and since an odd-antihole $\overline{C_{2p+1}}$, where $p \geq 3$, contains a $K_4 - e$, the proof of the first assertion of Theorem 1 follows as a direct consequence of SPGT and from Theorem 2 given below.

Theorem 2 *Let G be a $(P_2 + P_4, K_4 - e)$ -free graph with $\omega(G) \geq 3$. Then one of the following holds.*

- (i) G is perfect.
- (ii) If G contains a C_5 , then either $\chi(G) \leq 6$ or $\chi(G) = \omega(G)$.
- (iii) If G is C_5 -free, and contains a C_7 , then $\chi(G) \leq 3$.

Furthermore, since the class of $(P_7, K_4 - e)$ -free graphs includes the class of $(P_2 + P_4, K_4 - e)$ -free graphs, we observe that Theorem 1 partially answering a following question of Ingo Schiermeyer (unpublished).

Problem 2 *Is it true that, every $(P_7, K_4 - e)$ -free graph G satisfies $\chi(G) \leq \omega(G) + \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon \geq 3$ is a positive integer?*

We finish this section with some more notation and terminology which are used in this paper. In a graph G , the *neighborhood* of a vertex v is the set $N(v) := \{u \in V(G) \setminus \{v\} \mid uv \in E(G)\}$. For a graph G and a vertex-subset S of G , $G[S]$ denotes the subgraph induced by S in G , and $G - S$ denotes the subgraph $G[V(G) \setminus S]$. For any two vertex-subsets S and T of G , we denote by $[S, T]$, the set of edges which has one end in S and the other in T . An edge-set $[S, T]$ is *complete* if each vertex in S is adjacent to every vertex in T . For a positive integer k , we write $\langle k \rangle$ to denote the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, and we write $i \in \langle k \rangle$ if $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and $i \bmod k$.

In Section 2, we give some general properties of $(P_2 + P_4, K_4 - e)$ -free graphs that contain a C_5 , and the rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2 and is given at the end of Section 3.

2 Structural properties of $(P_2 + P_4, K_4 - e)$ -free graphs

In this section, we prove some important and useful structural properties of a $(P_2 + P_4, K_4 - e)$ -free graph that contains a C_5 , and use them in the latter sections.

Let G be a $(P_2 + P_4, K_4 - e)$ -free graph. Suppose that G contains a C_5 , say with vertex-set $C := \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$ and edge-set $\{v_1v_2, v_2v_3, v_3v_4, v_4v_5, v_5v_1\}$. Then since G is $(K_4 - e)$ -free, we immediately see that for any $v \in V(G) \setminus C$, there does not exist an index $i \in \langle 5 \rangle$ such that $\{v_i, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}\} \subseteq N(v) \cap C$. Using this fact, we define the following sets: For $i \in \langle 5 \rangle$, we let:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_i &:= \{v \in V(G) \setminus C \mid N(v) \cap C = \{v_i\}\}, \\
B_i &:= \{v \in V(G) \setminus C \mid N(v) \cap C = \{v_i, v_{i+1}\}\}, \\
D_i &:= \{v \in V(G) \setminus C \mid N(v) \cap C = \{v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}\}\}, \\
Z_i &:= \{v \in V(G) \setminus C \mid N(v) \cap C = \{v_{i-2}, v_i, v_{i+2}\}\}, \\
X_i &:= B_i \cup Z_{i-2}, \text{ and} \\
T &:= \{v \in V(G) \setminus C \mid N(v) \cap C = \emptyset\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Further we let $A := \cup_{i=1}^5 A_i$, $B := \cup_{i=1}^5 B_i$, $D := \cup_{i=1}^5 D_i$ and $Z := \cup_{i=1}^5 Z_i$ so that $V(G) = C \cup A \cup B \cup D \cup Z \cup T$. Moreover for each $i \in \langle 5 \rangle$, the following hold: (We note that the properties (O1), (O2) and (O3) and their proofs are explicitly available in [2] and implicitly in several other papers, we give them here for completeness.)

- (O1) D_i is a stable set, and $|Z_i| \leq 1$.
- (O2) X_i is a clique. Moreover, each vertex in X_i has at most one neighbor in X_{i+2} , and at most one neighbor in X_{i-2} .
- (O3) $[X_i, A_i \cup A_{i+1} \cup B_{i-1} \cup B_{i+1} \cup (D \setminus D_{i-2}) \cup (Z \setminus Z_{i-2})]$ is an empty set.
- (O4) $A_i \cup T$ is a stable set.
- (O5) If $A_i \neq \emptyset$, then $|B_{i+1}| \leq 2$ and $|B_{i-2}| \leq 2$.
- (O6) $[A_i, A_{i+1} \cup A_{i-1}]$ is either complete or an empty set.
- (O7) If $B_i \neq \emptyset$, then the following hold:
 - (i) $|B_{i-1}| \leq 1$ and $|B_{i+1}| \leq 1$.
 - (ii) Either B_{i-1} is an empty set or $D_{i-1} \cup D_{i+1} \cup Z_i$ is an empty set.
 - (iii) Either $|B_i| \leq 1$ or $D_i \cup D_{i+1}$ is an empty set.
 - (iv) $[A_i \cup D_{i+1}, D_{i-1}]$ and $[A_i, D_i \cup A_{i+1}]$ are empty sets. Likewise, $[A_{i+1} \cup D_i, D_{i+2}]$ and $[A_{i+1}, D_{i+1}]$ are empty sets.
 - (v) Either $|B_i| \leq 2$ or $[T, Z \setminus Z_{i-2}]$ is an empty set.

Proof. (O1): If there are adjacent vertices in D_i , say d and d' , then $\{v_{i-1}, d, d', v_{i+1}\}$ induces a $K_4 - e$; so D_i is a stable set. Next suppose to the contrary that there are vertices, say z and z' in Z_i . Now if z and z' are adjacent, then $\{v_i, z, z', v_{i+2}\}$ induces a $K_4 - e$, and if z and z' are nonadjacent, then $\{z, v_{i-2}, v_{i+2}, z'\}$ induces a $K_4 - e$. These contradictions show that $|Z_i| \leq 1$. So (O1) holds. ■

(O2): If there are nonadjacent vertices in X_i , say u and v , then $\{u, v_i, v_{i+1}, v\}$ induces a $K_4 - e$; so X_i is a clique. Now if there is a vertex in X_i , say x , which has two neighbors in X_{i+2} , say u and v , then $\{x, u, v, v_{i+2}\}$ induces a $K_4 - e$; so each vertex in X_i has at most one neighbor in X_{i+2} . Likewise, each vertex in X_i has at most one neighbor in X_{i-2} . This proves (O2). ■

(O3): If there are vertices, say $u \in X_i$ and $v \in A_i \cup A_{i+1} \cup B_{i-1} \cup B_{i+1} \cup (D \setminus D_{i-2}) \cup (Z \setminus Z_{i-2})$ such that $uv \in E(G)$, then $\{v_i, v_{i+1}, u, v\}$ induces a $K_4 - e$. So (O3) holds. ■

(O4): If there are adjacent vertices in $A_i \cup T$, say u and v , then $\{u, v, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}, v_{i+4}\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_4$. So (O4) holds. ■

(O5): Let $a \in A_i$. Suppose to the contrary that there are three vertices, say b, b' and b'' , in B_{i+1} . Recall that from (O2), we see that $\{b, b', b''\}$ is a clique. Then since $\{a, b, b', b'', v_{i+2}\}$ does not induce a $K_4 - e$, clearly a is nonadjacent to at least two vertices in $\{b, b', b''\}$, say b and b' . But then $\{b, b', a, v_i, v_{i-1}, v_{i-2}\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_4$ which is a contradiction. So $|B_{i+1}| \leq 2$. Likewise, $|B_{i-2}| \leq 2$. This proves (O5). ■

(O6): If there are vertices, say $u, u' \in A_i$ and $v \in A_{i+1}$ such that $uv \in E(G)$ and $u'v \notin E(G)$, then $\{v_{i+2}, v_{i-2}, u', v_i, u, v\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_4$, by (O4). So (O6) holds. ■

(O7): (i): If there are vertices, say $u, v \in B_{i-1}$, then for any $b \in B_i$, from (O2) and (O3), we have $uv \in E(G)$ and $bu, bv \notin E(G)$, and then $\{u, v, b, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, v_{i-2}\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_4$. Hence $|B_{i-1}| \leq 1$. Likewise, $|B_{i+1}| \leq 1$. So (i) holds.




Figure 1: Some specific graphs. Here a dotted line between two vertices indicates that those two vertices may or may not be adjacent.

(ii): If there are vertices, say $u \in B_{i-1}$ and $v \in Z_i \cup D_{i-1} \cup D_{i+1}$, then for any $b \in B_i$, from $(\textcircled{3})$, we have $uv, bu, bv \notin E(G)$, and then $\{b, v_{i+1}, u, v_{i-1}, v_{i-2}, v\}$ or $\{u, v_{i-1}, b, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, v\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_4$. So (ii) holds.

(iii): If there are vertices, say $b, b' \in B_i$ and $d \in D_i \cup D_{i+1}$, then $\{b, b', v_3, v_4, v_5, d\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_4$, by $(\textcircled{2})$ and $(\textcircled{3})$. So (iii) holds.

(iv): Let $b \in B_i$. If there are adjacent vertices, say $u \in D_{i-1}$ and $v \in A_i \cup D_{i+1}$, then $\{b, v_{i+1}, v, u, v_{i-2}, v_{i-1}\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_4$, by $(\textcircled{3})$. Also, if there are adjacent vertices, say $u \in D_i \cup A_{i+1}$ and $v \in A_i$, then $\{v_{i+2}, v_{i-2}, u, v, v_i, b\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_4$, by $(\textcircled{3})$. So (iv) holds.

(v): Suppose to the contrary that there are three vertices in B_i , say u, v and w , and that there are adjacent vertices, say $t \in T$ and $z \in Z \setminus Z_{i-2}$. Then from $(\textcircled{2})$, since $\{v_i, u, v, w, t\}$ does not induce a $K_4 - e$, we may assume that $tu, tv \notin E(G)$, and then from $(\textcircled{3})$, we see that $\{u, v, t, z, v_{i-1}, v_{i-2}\}$ or $\{u, v, t, z, v_{i-2}, v_{i+2}\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_4$ which is a contradiction. So (v) holds. \square

3 Proof of Theorem 2

In this section, we give a proof of Theorem 2, and the proof indeed follows from a sequence of lemmas which are based on some special graphs F_1, F_2, F_3 and F_4 (see Figure 1), and it is given at the end of this section.

Lemma 1 *Let G be a $(P_2 + P_4, K_4 - e)$ -free graph. If G contains an F_1 , then $\chi(G) \leq 6$.*

Proof. Let G be a $(P_2 + P_4, K_4 - e)$ -free graph. Suppose that G contains an F_1 . We label such an F_1 as shown in Figure 1, and we let $C := \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$. Then with respect to C , we define the sets A, B, D, Z and T as in Section 2, and we use the properties in Section 2. Clearly $b_1 \in B_1$ and $b_5 \in B_5$. By $(\textcircled{3})$, we have $b_1 b_5 \notin E(G)$. Then from $(\textcircled{7})$, we have $|B_i| \leq 1$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, 4, 5\}$, $D_2 \cup D_5 \cup Z_1 = \emptyset$, $[A_1, D_1] = \emptyset$, $[A_2, D_3] = \emptyset$ and $[A_5, D_4] = \emptyset$. Also if there are at least three vertices in B_3 , then from $(\textcircled{2})$, it follows that there exists a vertex, say $b_3 \in B_3$ such that $b_3 b_1, b_3 b_5 \notin E(G)$, and then $\{b_1, v_2, b_5, v_5, v_4, b_3\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_4$ which is a contradiction; thus $|B_3| \leq 2$. Now if $B_3 \neq \emptyset$, then we let B'_3 consists of one vertex of B_3 , otherwise we let $B'_3 = \emptyset$. Then we define the sets $S_1 := \{v_3\} \cup A_1 \cup B_1 \cup B_5 \cup D_1$, $S_2 := A_2 \cup B_2 \cup D_3$, $S_3 := \{v_4\} \cup A_3 \cup T$, $S_4 := \{v_2, v_5\} \cup A_4 \cup B'_3$, $S_5 := \{v_1\} \cup A_5 \cup B_4 \cup D_4$ and $S_6 := (Z \setminus Z_1) \cup (B_3 \setminus B'_3)$ so that $V(G) = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \dots \cup S_6$. Then from $(\textcircled{1})$, $(\textcircled{3})$ and $(\textcircled{4})$, and from above arguments, clearly S_j is a stable set, for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$, and hence $\chi(G) \leq 6$. This proves Lemma 1. \square

Lemma 2 *Let G be a $(P_2 + P_4, K_4 - e)$ -free graph. If G contains an F_2 , then either $\chi(G) \leq 6$ or $\chi(G) = \omega(G)$.*

Proof. Let G be a $(P_2 + P_4, K_4 - e)$ -free graph. Suppose that G contains an F_2 . We label such an F_2 as shown in Figure 1, and we let $C := \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$. Then with respect to C , we define the sets A, B, D, Z and T as in Section 2, and we use the properties in Section 2. Clearly $b_1 \in B_1$ and $a_3 \in A_3$. From Lemma 1, we may assume that G is F_1 -free; and so $B_2 \cup B_5 = \emptyset$. From $(\textcircled{7})(iv)$,

we have $[A_1, D_1] = \emptyset = [A_1, D_5] = [A_2, D_2]$. Also since $A_3 \neq \emptyset$, from (O5), we have $|B_1| \leq 2$; hence $|B_1 \setminus \{b_1\}| \leq 1$. Moreover we claim the following.

2.1 *We may assume that B_4 is an empty set.*

Proof of 2.1. Suppose that $B_4 \neq \emptyset$, and let $b_4 \in B_4$. Then since G is F_1 -free, it follows that $B_3 = \emptyset$. Also from (O7):(iv), it follows that $[A_j, D_j] = \emptyset$, for $j \in \{4, 5\}$, and since $A_3 \neq \emptyset$, from (O5), we have $|B_4| \leq 2$; hence $|B_4 \setminus \{b_4\}| \leq 1$. Now we define the sets $S_1 := \{v_4, b_1\} \cup A_1 \cup D_1 \cup Z_3$, $S_2 := \{v_5\} \cup A_2 \cup (B_1 \setminus \{b_1\}) \cup D_2$, $S_3 := \{v_2\} \cup A_3 \cup T$, $S_4 := \{v_1, b_4\} \cup A_4 \cup D_4$, $S_5 := \{v_3\} \cup (B_4 \setminus \{b_4\}) \cup A_5 \cup D_5$ and $S_6 := D_3 \cup Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_4 \cup Z_5$ so that $V(G) = S_1 \cup S_2 \cdots \cup S_6$. Then from (O1), (O3) and (O4), and from above arguments, clearly S_j is a stable set, for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$, and hence $\chi(G) \leq 6$. So we may assume that $B_4 = \emptyset$. ■

2.2 *We may assume that $B_1 = \{b_1\}$.*

Proof of 2.2. Suppose that $B_1 \setminus \{b_1\} \neq \emptyset$, and let $b'_1 \in B_1 \setminus \{b_1\}$. Then from (O5), we have $B_1 = \{b_1, b'_1\}$, and from (O7):(iii), we have $D_1 \cup D_2 = \emptyset$. Further since $\{a_3, b_1, b'_1, v_1\}$ does not induce a $K_4 - e$ or $\{b_1, b'_1, a_3, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$ does not induce a $P_2 + P_4$, we may assume that $a_3 b_1 \in E(G)$ and $a_3 b'_1 \notin E(G)$. Moreover, we have the following.

- (i) If there is a vertex, say $a_2 \in A_2$, then by using (O3), we see that $\{v_4, v_5, a_3, b_1, v_2, a_2\}$ or $\{v_4, v_5, a_2, a_3, b_1, b'_1\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_4$; so $A_2 = \emptyset$.
- (ii) If there are three vertices, say $u, v, w \in B_3$, then by using (O2), we may assume that $ub_1, vb_1 \notin E(G)$, and then from (O3), we see that $\{u, v, a_3, b_1, v_1, v_5\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_4$; so $|B_3| \leq 2$.
- (iii) For any $a' (\neq a_3) \in A_3$, if $a' b'_1 \in E(G)$, then since $\{a', b_1, b'_1, v_1\}$ does not induce a $K_4 - e$, we have $a' b_1 \notin E(G)$, and then $\{v_4, v_5, a_3, b_1, b'_1, a'\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_4$ (by (O4)); so $[A_3, \{b'_1\}] = \emptyset$, since a' is arbitrary.
- (iv) For any $a \in A_1$ and $d \in D_3$, since $\{b_1, b'_1, a, d, v_4, v_5\}$ does not induce a $P_2 + P_4$ (by (O3)), we have $[A_1, D_3] = \emptyset$.

Now from 2.1, we have $V(G) = C \cup (A \setminus A_2) \cup B_1 \cup B_3 \cup D_3 \cup D_4 \cup D_5 \cup Z \cup T$. If $B_3 \neq \emptyset$, then we let B'_3 consists of one vertex of B_3 , otherwise we let $B'_3 = \emptyset$. Then we define the sets $S_1 := \{v_4, b'_1\} \cup A_3$, $S_2 := \{v_3, v_5, b_1\} \cup A_1 \cup D_3$, $S_3 := A_4 \cup B'_3 \cup Z_2$, $S_4 := \{v_2\} \cup A_5 \cup T$, $S_5 := \{v_1\} \cup (B_3 \setminus B'_3) \cup D_4 \cup Z_5$ and $S_6 := D_5 \cup Z_1 \cup Z_3 \cup Z_4$ so that $V(G) = S_1 \cup S_2 \cdots \cup S_6$. Then from (O1), (O3) and (O4), and from above arguments, we conclude that S_j is a stable set, for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$, and hence $\chi(G) \leq 6$, and we are done. So we may assume that $B_1 = \{b_1\}$. ■

2.3 *We may assume that B_3 is an empty set.*

Proof of 2.3. Suppose that $B_3 \neq \emptyset$, and let $b_3 \in B_3$. Then from (O7):(iv), we have $[A_3, D_3] = \emptyset = [A_4, D_4]$. Now if $|B_3| \leq 2$, then we define the sets $S_1 := \{v_3, b_1\} \cup A_1 \cup D_1$, $S_2 := \{v_4\} \cup A_2 \cup D_2 \cup Z_5$, $S_3 := \{v_5, b_3\} \cup A_3 \cup D_3$, $S_4 := \{v_1\} \cup A_4 \cup (B_3 \setminus \{b_3\}) \cup D_4$, $S_5 := \{v_2\} \cup A_5 \cup T$ and $S_6 := D_5 \cup Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_3 \cup Z_4$ so that $V(G) = S_1 \cup S_2 \cdots \cup S_6$, and then from (O1), (O3) and (O4), and from above arguments, we conclude that S_j is a stable set, for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$, and hence $\chi(G) \leq 6$ and we are done. So we may assume that $|B_3| \geq 3$, and say $u, v, b_3 \in B_3$; thus $\omega(G) \geq 5$, by (O2). Then from (O5) and (O7):(iii), we have $A_2 \cup A_5 \cup D_3 \cup D_4 = \emptyset$ and from (O7):(v), we have $[T, Z \setminus Z_1] = \emptyset$. Now $V(G) = C \cup A_1 \cup A_3 \cup A_4 \cup \{b_1\} \cup B_3 \cup D_1 \cup D_2 \cup D_5 \cup Z \cup T$, and we let $W_1 := X_1 \cup X_3$ and $W_2 := \{v_5\} \cup A_3 \cup D_2 \cup D_5 \cup Z_5$. Then the following hold.

- (i) From (O2) and since $\omega(G) \geq 5$, clearly $G[W_1]$ is perfect with $\omega(G[W_1]) \leq \omega(G) - 2$ (since $\{v_i, v_{i+1}\}$ is complete to X_i for any i); so $\chi(G[W_1]) \leq \omega(G) - 2$.
- (ii) From (O1), (O3), (O4) and (O7):(iv), since $A_3 \cup D_2 \cup Z_5$ and $\{v_5\} \cup D_5$ are stable sets, we see that $G[W_2]$ is a bipartite graph, and so $\chi(G[W_2]) \leq 2$.
- (iii) If there are vertices, say $a \in A_3$ and $x \in X_1$ such that $ax \in E(G)$, then from (O2), we may assume that $xu, xv \notin E(G)$, and then from (O2) and (O3), we see that $\{u, v, a, x, v_1, v_5\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_4$; so $[A_3, X_1] = \emptyset$. This together with (O3) imply that $[W_1, W_2] = \emptyset$.

(iv) If there are vertices, say $a \in A_4$ and $d \in D_1$ such that $ad \in E(G)$, then since $\{v_4, u, v, b_3, d\}$ does not induce a $K_4 - e$, we may assume that $du, dv \notin E(G)$, and then from (O2) and (O3), we see that $\{u, v, a, d, v_5, v_1\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_4$; so $[A_4, D_1] = \emptyset$. So from (O1), (O3), (O4) and (O7):(v), we see that $\{v_1, v_3\} \cup A_4 \cup D_1 \cup Z_2$ and $\{v_2, v_4\} \cup A_1 \cup Z_3 \cup T$ are stable sets.

From (i), (ii) and (iii), since $\omega(G) \geq 5$, we conclude that $\chi(G[W_1 \cup W_2]) = \max\{\chi(G[W_1]), \chi(G[W_2])\} \leq \max\{\omega(G) - 2, 2\} \leq \omega(G) - 2$. Also from (iv), we see that $\chi(G[V(G) \setminus (W_1 \cup W_2)]) \leq 2$. Hence $\chi(G) = \omega(G)$, and we are done. So we may assume that $B_3 = \emptyset$. ■

2.4 We may assume that D_1 is an empty set.

Proof of 2.4. Suppose that $D_1 \neq \emptyset$, and let $d_1 \in D_1$. Then from 2.3, we have $V(G) = C \cup A \cup \{b_1\} \cup D \cup Z \cup T$. Moreover, the following hold.

- (i) For $a' \in A_3$, since $\{a', v_3, d_1, v_5, v_1, b_1\}$ or $\{b_1, v_1, d_1, a', v_3, v_4\}$ does not induce a $P_2 + P_4$ (by (O3)), we see that $[A_3, \{b_1\}]$ is complete. In particular, $a_3 b_1 \in E(G)$.
- (ii) For any $a \in A_1$ and $a' \in A_3$, since $\{v_4, v_5, a, a', b_1, v_2\}$ does not induce a $P_2 + P_4$ (by (O3) and (i)), we have $[A_1, A_3] = \emptyset$.
- (iii) For any $a_1 \in A_1$ and $z_1 \in Z_1$, since $\{b_1, v_2, a_1, z_1, v_4, v_5\}$ does not induce a $P_2 + P_4$ (by (O3)), we have $[A_1, Z_1] = \emptyset$.
- (iv) If there are vertices, say $a_1 \in A_1$ and $a_2 \in A_2$, then from (O7):(iv), we have $a_1 a_2 \notin E(G)$ and $a_1 a_3 \notin E(G)$ (by (ii)), and then from (O3), one of $\{v_4, v_5, a_2, v_2, b_1, a_3\}$ or $\{a_1, v_1, a_2, a_3, v_3, v_4\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_4$; so one of A_1 or A_2 is an empty set.

Now if $A_2 = \emptyset$ or $A_4 = \emptyset$, then we define the sets $S_1 := \{b_1\} \cup D_2 \cup D_5 \cup Z_3$, $S_2 := \{v_2, v_5\} \cup A_1 \cup A_3 \cup Z_1$, $S_3 := \{v_1, v_3\} \cup A_5 \cup T$, $S_4 := D_1 \cup D_3 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_4 \cup Z_5$, $S_5 := \{v_4\} \cup D_4$ and $S_6 := A_2 \cup A_4$ so that $V(G) = S_1 \cup S_2 \cdots \cup S_6$, and then from (O1), (O3), (O4), (O7):(iv) and from above properties, we conclude that S_j is a stable set, for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$, and hence $\chi(G) \leq 6$. So we may assume that $A_2 \neq \emptyset$ and $A_4 \neq \emptyset$, and let $a_2 \in A_2$ and $a_4 \in A_4$. From (iv), we have $A_1 = \emptyset$. Then since $\{v_4, v_5, a_2, v_2, b_1, a_3\}$ does not induce a $P_2 + P_4$ (by (i)), we have $a_2 a_3 \in E(G)$, and then since $\{v_1, v_5, v_3, a_3, a_2, a_4\}$ or $\{v_4, a_4, v_1, v_2, a_2, a_3\}$ does not induce a $P_2 + P_4$, we have $a_3 a_4 \in E(G)$. So from (O6), $[A_2, A_3]$ and $[A_3, A_4]$ are complete. Now if there are adjacent vertices, say $a \in A_3$ and $d \in D_4$, then $\{a, d, a_4, v_3\}$ induces a $K_4 - e$ or $\{v_1, v_2, v_4, a_4, a, d\}$ induces $P_2 + P_4$ which is a contradiction; so $[A_3, D_4] = \emptyset$. Now we define the sets $S_1 := \{b_1\} \cup D_2 \cup D_5 \cup Z_3$, $S_2 := \{v_2, v_5\} \cup A_4 \cup Z_1$, $S_3 := \{v_1, v_3\} \cup A_5 \cup T$, $S_4 := D_1 \cup D_3 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_4 \cup Z_5$, $S_5 := \{v_4\} \cup A_3 \cup D_4$ and $S_6 := A_2$ so that $V(G) = S_1 \cup S_2 \cdots \cup S_6$. Then from (O1), (O3) and (O4), and from above properties, we conclude that S_j is a stable set, for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$, and hence $\chi(G) \leq 6$. So we may assume that $D_1 = \emptyset$. ■

From 2.3 and 2.4, we see that $V(G) = C \cup A \cup \{b_1\} \cup (D \setminus D_1) \cup Z \cup T$. Now if $[A_3, A_4] = \emptyset$, then we define the sets $S_1 := \{v_3, v_5, b_1\} \cup A_1 \cup D_5$, $S_2 := A_2 \cup D_2 \cup Z_4$, $S_3 := \{v_2, v_4\} \cup A_5 \cup T$, $S_4 := \{v_1\} \cup A_3 \cup A_4$, $S_5 := D_3$ and $S_6 := D_4 \cup Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_3 \cup Z_5$ so that $V(G) = S_1 \cup S_2 \cdots \cup S_6$, and then from (O1), (O3) and (O4), we conclude that S_j is a stable set, for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$, and hence $\chi(G) \leq 6$; so $[A_3, A_4] \neq \emptyset$. Then from (O6), we see that $[A_3, A_4]$ is complete. Now if there are vertices, say $a_4 \in A_4$ and $d_3 \in D_3$ such that $a_4 d_3 \in E(G)$, then $\{v_1, v_5, v_3, a_3, a_4, d_3\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_4$ or $\{a_3, d_3, a_4, v_4\}$ induces $K_4 - e$; so $[A_4, D_3] = \emptyset$. Then we define the sets $S_1 := \{v_3, v_5, b_1\} \cup A_1 \cup D_5$, $S_2 := A_2 \cup D_2 \cup Z_4$, $S_3 := \{v_2, v_4\} \cup A_5 \cup T$, $S_4 := \{v_1\} \cup A_3$, $S_5 := A_4 \cup D_3$ and $S_6 := D_4 \cup Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_3 \cup Z_5$ so that $V(G) = S_1 \cup S_2 \cdots \cup S_6$. Then from (O1), (O3) and (O4), and from above properties, we conclude that S_j is a stable set, for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$, and hence $\chi(G) \leq 6$, and we are done. This proves Lemma 2. □

Lemma 3 Let G be a $(P_2 + P_4, K_4 - e)$ -free graph. If G contains an F_3 , then either $\chi(G) \leq 6$ or $\chi(G) = \omega(G)$.

Proof. Let G be a $(P_2 + P_4, K_4 - e)$ -free graph. Suppose that G contains an F_3 . We label such an F_3 as shown in Figure 1, and we let $C := \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$. Then with respect to C , we define the sets A, B, D, Z and T as in Section 2, and we use the properties in Section 2. Clearly $b_1 \in B_1$ and $b_4 \in B_4$. From Lemmas 1 and 2, we may assume that G is (F_1, F_2) -free, and hence it follows that

$B_2 \cup B_3 \cup B_5 \cup A_1 \cup A_3 \cup A_5 = \emptyset$. Now if $|B_1| \leq 2$ and $|B_4| \leq 2$, then we define the sets $S_1 := \{v_1, v_4\} \cup A_2 \cup T$, $S_2 := \{v_2, b_4\} \cup A_4 \cup D_4$, $S_3 := \{v_3, v_5, b_1\} \cup D_5$, $S_4 := (B_1 \setminus \{b_1\}) \cup Z_2$, $S_5 := (B_4 \setminus \{b_4\}) \cup D_1 \cup D_3$ and $S_6 := D_2 \cup Z_1 \cup Z_3 \cup Z_4 \cup Z_5$ so that $V(G) = S_1 \cup S_2 \cdots \cup S_6$. Then from (O1), (O3), (O4), (O7):(iv), and from above properties, we conclude that S_j is a stable set, for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$, and hence $\chi(G) \leq 6$, and we are done. So we may assume that $|B_1| \geq 3$, and hence $\omega(G) \geq 5$, by (O2). Then from (O7):(iii), we have $D_1 \cup D_2 = \emptyset$, and so $V(G) = C \cup A_2 \cup A_4 \cup B_1 \cup B_4 \cup D_3 \cup D_4 \cup D_5 \cup Z \cup T$. Now we let $W_1 := X_1 \cup X_4$ and $W_2 := \{v_3\} \cup D_3 \cup D_5 \cup Z_3$. Then the following hold.

- (i) From (O2) and since $\omega(G) \geq 5$, clearly $G[W_1]$ is perfect with $\omega(G[W_1]) \leq \omega(G) - 2$ (since $\{v_i, v_{i+1}\}$ is complete to X_i for any i); so $\chi(G[W_1]) \leq \omega(G) - 2$.
- (ii) From (O1) and (O3), since $D_5 \cup Z_3$ and $\{v_3\} \cup D_3$ are stable sets, we see that $G[W_2]$ is a bipartite graph, and so $\chi(G[W_2]) \leq 2$.
- (iii) From (O3), we have $[W_1, W_2] = \emptyset$.
- (iv) If there are adjacent vertices, say $a \in A_2$ and $d \in D_4$, then since $G[\{d\} \cup B_1]$ does not induce a $K_4 - e$, there are vertices, say u and v , in B_1 , such that $du, dv \notin E(G)$, and then from (O3), $\{u, v, a, d, v_3, v_4\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_4$; so $[A_2, D_4] = \emptyset$.
- (v) From (O1), (O3), (O4), (O7):(v) and from (iv), we see that $\{v_1, v_4\} \cup A_2 \cup D_4 \cup Z_5$ and $\{v_2, v_5\} \cup A_4 \cup Z_1 \cup T$ are stable sets.

Now from (i), (ii) and (iii), since $\omega(G) \geq 5$, we conclude that $\chi(G[W_1 \cup W_2]) = \max\{\chi(G[W_1]), \chi(G[W_2])\} \leq \max\{\omega(G) - 2, 2\} \leq \omega(G) - 2$. Also from (v), we see that $\chi(G[V(G) \setminus (W_1 \cup W_2)]) \leq 2$. Hence $\chi(G) = \omega(G)$, and we are done. This proves Lemma 3. \square

Lemma 4 *Let G be a $(P_2 + P_4, K_4 - e)$ -free graph. If G contains an F_4 , then either $\chi(G) \leq 6$ or $\chi(G) = \omega(G)$.*

Proof. Let G be a $(P_2 + P_4, K_4 - e)$ -free graph. Suppose that G contains an F_5 . We label such an F_5 as shown in Figure 1, and we let $C := \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$. Then with respect to C , we define the sets A, B, D, Z and T as in Section 2, and we use the properties in Section 2. Clearly $b_1 \in B_1$. From Lemmas 1 to 3, we may assume that G is (F_1, F_2, F_3) -free, and hence it follows that $(B \setminus B_1) \cup A_3 \cup A_5 = \emptyset$. By (O2), $B_1 \cup Z_4$ is a clique of size at most $\omega(G) - 2$. Moreover, we claim the following.

4.1 *We may assume that $D_1 \cup D_2$ is an empty set.*

Proof of 4.1. Suppose, up to symmetry, that $D_1 \neq \emptyset$. Then we have $B_1 = \{b_1\}$, by (O7):(iii). Now we define the sets $S_1 := \{b_1, v_3, v_5\} \cup A_1 \cup D_5$, $S_2 := A_2 \cup D_3 \cup Z_4$, $S_3 := \{v_2\} \cup A_4 \cup T$, $S_4 := \{v_1, v_4\} \cup D_1$, $S_5 := D_2$ and $S_6 := D_4 \cup Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_3 \cup Z_5$ so that $V(G) = S_1 \cup S_2 \cdots \cup S_6$. Then from (O1), (O3), (O4) and (O7):(iv), and from above arguments, we conclude that S_j is a stable set, for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$, and hence $\chi(G) \leq 6$. So we may assume that $D_1 \cup D_2 = \emptyset$. \blacksquare

4.2 *We may assume that $|B_1| \geq 4$.*

Proof of 4.2. Suppose that $|B_1| \leq 3$. If $B_1 \setminus \{b_1\} \neq \emptyset$, then we let B'_1 consists of one vertex of $B_1 \setminus \{b_1\}$, otherwise we let $B'_1 = \emptyset$, and if $B'_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $B_1 \setminus (B'_1 \cup \{b_1\}) \neq \emptyset$, then we let B''_1 consists of one vertex of $B_1 \setminus (B'_1 \cup \{b_1\})$, otherwise we let $B''_1 = \emptyset$. Then we define the sets $S_1 := \{v_1\} \cup A_4 \cup T$, $S_2 := \{v_4, b_1\} \cup A_1 \cup A_2$, $S_3 := \{v_3, v_5\} \cup B'_1 \cup D_3$, $S_4 := B''_1 \cup D_5$, $S_4 := \{v_2\} \cup D_4 \cup Z_1 \cup Z_3$ and $S_6 := Z_2 \cup Z_4 \cup Z_5$ so that $V(G) = S_1 \cup S_2 \cdots \cup S_6$, by 4.1. Then from (O1), (O3), (O4), (O7):(iv) and from above properties, we conclude that S_j is a stable set, for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$, and hence $\chi(G) \leq 6$, and we are done. So we may assume that $|B_1| \geq 4$. \blacksquare

By using 4.2, we let $b_1^1, b_1^2, b_1^3, b_1^4 \in B_1$. Then for any $a \in A_4$, since $\{a, b_1^3, b_1^4, v_1\}$ does not induce a $K_4 - e$, we have either $ab_1^3 \notin E(G)$ or $ab_1^4 \notin E(G)$; so we define $A'_4 := \{a \in A_4 \mid ab_1^3 \notin E(G)\}$ and $A''_4 := \{a \in A_4 \mid ab_1^4 \notin E(G)\}$ so that $A_4 := A'_4 \cup A''_4$. Now we define the sets $S_1 := \{b_1^1\} \cup A_2 \cup D_3 \cup \{v_3, v_5\}$, $S_2 := \{b_1^2\} \cup A_1 \cup D_5$, $S_3 := \{b_1^3\} \cup A'_4 \cup Z_1$, $S_4 := \{b_1^4\} \cup A''_4$, $S_5 := \{v_1\} \cup D_4 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_5$, $S_6 := \{v_2, v_4\} \cup Z_3 \cup T$ and $S_7 := Z_4 \cup (B \setminus \{b_1^1, b_1^2, b_1^3, b_1^4\})$ so that $V(G) = S_1 \cup S_2 \cdots \cup S_6 \cup S_7$. Then from (O1), (O3), (O4), (O7):(iv), (O7):(v) and from above arguments, we conclude that S_j is a stable set, for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$, and hence $\chi(G - S_7) \leq 6$. Clearly S_7 is a clique of size at most $\omega(G) - 6$ (by (O2)), and hence $\chi(G) \leq \chi(G[S_7]) + \chi(G - S_7) \leq (\omega(G) - 6) + 6 = \omega(G)$. This proves Lemma 4. \square

Lemma 5 *Let G be a $(P_2 + P_4, K_4 - e)$ -free graph. If G contains a C_5 , then either $\chi(G) \leq 6$ or $\chi(G) = \omega(G)$.*

Proof. Let G be a $(P_2 + P_4, K_4 - e)$ -free graph. Suppose that G contains a C_5 . We may assume such a C_5 with the vertex-set, say $C := \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$ and with the edge-set $\{v_1v_2, v_2v_3, v_3v_4, v_4v_5, v_5v_1\}$. Then with respect to C , we define the sets A, B, D, Z and T as in Section 2, and we use the properties in Section 2. From Lemma 4, we may assume that G is F_4 -free, and hence it follows that $B = \emptyset$. Moreover, for any $a \in A_{i-1} \cup A_{i+1}$ and $d \in D_i$, since $\{d, a\} \cup (C \setminus \{v_i\})$ does not induce an F_4 , we see that $da \notin E(G)$; so $[A_{i-1} \cup A_{i+1}, D_i] = \emptyset$ for each $i \in \langle 5 \rangle$. Then we define the sets $S_1 := \{v_2, v_4\} \cup A_1 \cup D_2 \cup Z_3$, $S_2 := A_2 \cup D_3$, $S_3 := A_3 \cup D_4 \cup Z_1$, $S_4 := \{v_3, v_5\} \cup A_4 \cup D_5$, $S_5 := \{v_1\} \cup A_5 \cup T$ and $S_6 := D_1 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_4 \cup Z_5$ so that $V(G) = S_1 \cup S_2 \cdots \cup S_6$. Then from $(\text{O}1)$, $(\text{O}3)$ and $(\text{O}4)$, and from above arguments, we conclude that S_j is a stable set, for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$, and hence $\chi(G) \leq 6$. This proves Lemma 5. \square

Lemma 6 *Let G be a $(P_2 + P_4, K_4 - e, C_5)$ -free graph. If G contains a C_7 , then $\chi(G) \leq 3$.*

Proof. Let G be a $(P_2 + P_4, K_4 - e, C_5)$ -free graph. Suppose that G contains a C_7 , say with vertex-set $C := \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7\}$ and edge-set $\{v_1v_2, v_2v_3, v_3v_4, v_4v_5, v_5v_6, v_6v_7, v_7v_1\}$. Then we define the following sets for $i \in \langle 7 \rangle$.

$$\begin{aligned} D_i &:= \{v \in V(G) \setminus C \mid N(v) \cap C = \{v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}\}\}, \\ X_i &:= \{v \in V(G) \setminus C \mid N(v) \cap C = \{v_i, v_{i+1}, v_{i+3}, v_{i-2}\}\}, \\ Y_i &:= \{v \in V(G) \setminus C \mid N(v) \cap C = \{v_i, v_{i+1}, v_{i-2}\}\}, \\ Z_i &:= \{v \in V(G) \setminus C \mid N(v) \cap C = \{v_i, v_{i+1}, v_{i+3}\}, \text{ and} \\ T &:= \{v \in V(G) \setminus C \mid N(v) \cap C = \emptyset\}. \end{aligned}$$

Further we let $D := \cup_{i=1}^7 D_i$, $X := \cup_{i=1}^7 X_i$, $Y := \cup_{i=1}^7 Y_i$ and $Z := \cup_{i=1}^7 Z_i$. Then we claim the following.

6.1 $V(G) = C \cup D \cup X \cup Y \cup Z \cup T$.

Proof of 6.1. It is enough to show that any $v \in V(G) \setminus (C \cup T)$ is in $D \cup X \cup Y \cup Z$. Let $u \in V(G) \setminus (C \cup T)$. Then $N(u) \cap C \neq \emptyset$, and say $v_i \in N(u)$ for some $i \in \langle 7 \rangle$. Then since $\{u, v_i, v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}, v_{i-3}, v_{i-2}\}$ does not induce a $P_2 + P_4$, we have u has a neighbor in $\{v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}, v_{i-3}, v_{i-2}\}$. Now if $v_{i+1} \in N(u)$, then since $\{v_{i-1}, v_i, v_{i+1}, u\}$ or $\{v_i, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, u\}$ does not induce a $K_4 - e$, we have $v_{i-1}, v_{i+2} \notin N(u)$, and then since $\{v_{i-2}, v_{i-3}, v_{i+3}, u\}$ does not induce a $K_4 - e$ or since one of $\{v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}, v_{i-3}, u\}$ or $\{v_i, v_{i-1}, v_{i-2}, v_{i-3}, u\}$ does not induce a C_5 , we may assume that $v_{i-3} \notin E(G)$, and hence $u \in X \cup Y \cup Z$. So for any $i \in \langle 7 \rangle$, we may assume that if $v_i \in N(u)$, then $v_{i+1}, v_{i-1} \notin N(u)$, and then since one of $\{u, v_i, v_{i-1}, v_{i-2}, v_{i-3}\}$, $\{u, v_i, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}\}$ or $\{u, v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}, v_{i-3}, v_{i-2}\}$ does not induce a C_5 and from the earlier argument, we see that $u \in D$. This proves 6.1. \blacksquare

6.2 *For each $i \in \langle 7 \rangle$, we have $D_i \cup X_i \cup Y_i \cup Z_i$ and T are stable sets, $[D_i, D_{i+3} \cup D_{i-3}] = \emptyset$, and $[T, D \cup Y \cup Z] = \emptyset$.*

Proof of 6.2. Suppose there are adjacent vertices, say u and v , in one of the mentioned sets. If $u, v \in D_i \cup X_i \cup Y_i \cup Z_i$, then one of $\{u, v, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}\}$, $\{u, v, v_i, v_{i+3}\}$, $\{u, v, v_i, v_{i-2}\}$ or $\{u, v, v_i, v_{i+1}\}$ induces a $K_4 - e$ or $\{u, v, v_{i+3}, v_{i-3}, v_{i-2}\}$ induces a C_5 , and if $u, v \in T$, then $\{u, v, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_4$. If $u \in D_i$ and $v \in D_{i+3} \cup D_{i-3}$, then one of $\{u, v_{i-1}, v_{i-2}, v_{i-3}, v\}$ or $\{u, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}, v\}$ induces a C_5 . If $u \in T$ and $v \in D_i$ (or $v \in Y_i$ or $v \in Z_i$) for some i , then one of $\{u, v, v_i, v_{i-1}, v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}\}$ or $\{v_{i-1}, v_{i-2}, u, v, v_{i+3}, v_{i+2}\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_4$. So 6.2 holds. \blacksquare

6.3 *For each $i \in \langle 7 \rangle$, we have the following: (a) If $X_i \neq \emptyset$, then $X \setminus X_i = \emptyset$. (b) If $Y_i \neq \emptyset$, then $Y \setminus Y_i = \emptyset$. Likewise, if $Z_i \neq \emptyset$, then $Z \setminus Z_i = \emptyset$.*

Proof of 6.3. We will prove for $i = 1$.

(a): Let $x \in X_1$. If there is a vertex, say $x' \in X \setminus X_1$, then we may assume, up to symmetry, that $x' \in X_2 \cup X_3 \cup X_4$, and then $\{v_1, v_2, x, x'\}$, induces a $K_4 - e$ or one of $\{x, v_2, x', v_5, v_6\}$ or $\{x, v_2, v_3, x', v_6\}$ induces a C_5 ; so $X \setminus X_1 = \emptyset$. This proves (a).

(b): Let $y \in Y_1$. Suppose there is a vertex, say $y' \in Y \setminus Y_1$. Now if $yy' \in E(G)$, then one of $\{v_1, v_2, y, y'\}$, $\{v_5, v_6, y, y'\}$ or $\{v_6, v_7, y, y'\}$ induces a $K_4 - e$, and if $yy' \notin E(G)$, then one of $\{v_4, v_5, y, v_2, y', v_7\}$, $\{v_3, v_4, y, v_6, v_7, y'\}$, $\{v_4, y', v_2, y, v_6, v_7\}$ or $\{y, v_2, v_7, y', v_4, v_5\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_4$ or one of $\{v_2, y', v_5, v_6, y\}$ or $\{v_2, v_3, y', v_6, y\}$ induces a C_5 . This shows that $Y \setminus Y_1 = \emptyset$. Likewise, if $Z_1 \neq \emptyset$, then $Z \setminus Z_1 = \emptyset$. This proves (b). ■

6.4 We may assume that X is an empty set.

Proof of 6.4. Suppose that $X \neq \emptyset$. Then there is an index $i \in \langle 7 \rangle$ such that $X_i \neq \emptyset$, say $i = 1$, and let $x \in X_1$. So from 6.3, we have $X \setminus X_1 = \emptyset$. Also the following hold.

- (i) If there is a vertex, say $z \in Z \setminus Z_6$, then one of $\{v_1, v_2, x, z\}$, $\{v_1, v_4, x, z\}$, $\{v_3, v_4, x, z\}$ or $\{v_4, v_5, x, z\}$ induces a $K_4 - e$ or one of $\{x, v_2, z, v_5, v_6\}$, $\{x, v_6, z, v_3, v_2\}$, $\{x, v_4, z, v_7, v_1\}$, $\{x, v_4, v_5, z, v_1\}$ or $\{x, v_1, z, v_3, v_4\}$ induces a C_5 ; so $Z \setminus Z_6 = \emptyset$. Likewise, $Y \setminus Y_3 = \emptyset$.
- (ii) If there is a vertex, say $d \in D_1 \cup D_2$, then $\{v_1, v_2, d, x\}$ induces $K_4 - e$ or one of $\{d, v_2, x, v_6, v_7\}$ or $\{d, v_1, x, v_4, v_3\}$ induces a C_5 ; so $D_1 \cup D_2 = \emptyset$.
- (iii) If there are adjacent vertices, say $u, v \in D_5 \cup X_1 \cup Y_3 \cup Z_6$, then using 6.2, we see that one of $\{u, v, v_4, v_6\}$, $\{u, v, v_3, v_4\}$ or $\{u, v, v_6, v_7\}$ induces a $K_4 - e$ or $\{u, v, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$ induces a C_5 ; so $D_5 \cup X_1 \cup Y_3 \cup Z_6$ is a stable set.

From above arguments, we conclude that $V(G) = C \cup D_3 \cup D_4 \cup D_5 \cup D_6 \cup D_7 \cup X_1 \cup Y_3 \cup Z_6 \cup T$. Now we define the sets $S_1 := \{v_5\} \cup D_5 \cup X_1 \cup Y_3 \cup Z_6$, $S_2 := \{v_2, v_4, v_7\} \cup D_4 \cup D_7 \cup T$ and $S_3 := \{v_1, v_3, v_6\} \cup D_3 \cup D_6$ so that $V(G) = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3$. Then from 6.2 and (iii), S_j is a stable set for each $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and so $\chi(G) \leq 3$. Hence we may assume that $X = \emptyset$. ■

6.5 We may assume that Y is an empty set. Likewise, we may assume that Z is an empty set.

Proof of 6.5. Suppose that $Y \neq \emptyset$. Then there is an index $i \in \langle 7 \rangle$ such that $Y_i \neq \emptyset$, say $i = 1$, and let $y \in Y_1$. So from 6.3, we have $Y \setminus Y_1 = \emptyset$. Also the following hold.

- (i) If there is a vertex, say $d \in D_1$, then $\{v_1, v_2, d, y\}$ induces a $K_4 - e$ or $\{d, v_2, y, v_6, v_7\}$ induces a C_5 ; so $D_1 = \emptyset$. Likewise, if $Z_3 \neq \emptyset$, then $D_4 = \emptyset$.
- (ii) If there is a vertex, say $z \in Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_6$, then one of $\{y, z, v_1, v_2\}$ or $\{y, z, v_6, v_7\}$ induces a $K_4 - e$ or one of $\{y, z, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$ or $\{y, v_2, z, v_5, v_6\}$ induces a C_5 or $\{v_4, v_5, y, v_1, v_7, z\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_4$; so $Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_6 = \emptyset$.
- (iii) If there are adjacent vertices, say $u \in Y_1$ and $v \in D_2 \cup D_3 \cup D_5 \cup D_7$, then $\{v_1, v_2, u, v\}$ induces a $K_4 - e$ or $\{u, v_2, v_3, v_4, v\}$ induces a C_5 ; so $[Y_1, D_2 \cup D_3 \cup D_5 \cup D_7] = \emptyset$.
- (iv) For any $i \in \langle 7 \rangle$ and for any $z \in Z_{i+1}$ and $d \in D_i \cup D_{i+3}$, since $\{v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, z, d\}$ does not induce a $K_4 - e$, we have $[Z_{i+1}, D_i \cup D_{i+3}] = \emptyset$. Also, for any $z' \in Z_7$ and $y' \in Y_1$, since $\{v_1, v_2, y', z'\}$ does not induce a $K_4 - e$, we have $[Z_7, Y_1] = \emptyset$, and hence for any $z'' \in Z_7$ and $d' \in D_5$, since $\{v_1, y', v_6, d', z''\}$ does not induce a C_5 (by using (iii)), we see that $[D_5, Z_7] = \emptyset$.

Now if $Z_3 = \emptyset$, then we define the sets $S_1 := \{v_5\} \cup D_2 \cup D_5 \cup Y_1 \cup Z_7$, $S_2 := \{v_1, v_3, v_6\} \cup D_3 \cup D_6 \cup Z_4$ and $S_3 := \{v_2, v_4, v_7\} \cup D_4 \cup D_7 \cup Z_5 \cup T$, and if $Z_3 \neq \emptyset$ (so $Z \setminus Z_3 = \emptyset$ (by 6.3), and $D_1 \cup D_4 = \emptyset$ (by (i))), then we define the sets $S_1 := \{v_3, v_5, v_7\} \cup D_3 \cup D_7 \cup Y_1$, $S_2 := \{v_2\} \cup D_2 \cup D_5 \cup Z_3$, and $S_3 := \{v_1, v_4, v_6\} \cup D_6 \cup T$ so that $V(G) = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3$. Then from 6.2, (iii) and (iv), we conclude that in both cases, S_j is a stable set for each $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and so $\chi(G) \leq 3$. Hence we may assume that $Y = \emptyset$. Likewise, we may assume that $Z = \emptyset$. ■

From 6.4 and 6.5, we see that $V(G) = C \cup D \cup T$. If $D_i = \emptyset$ for some $i \in \langle 7 \rangle$, say $i = 1$, then we define the sets $S_1 := \{v_1, v_3, v_6\} \cup D_3 \cup D_6$, $S_2 := \{v_2, v_5\} \cup D_2 \cup D_5$ and $S_3 := \{v_4, v_7\} \cup D_4 \cup D_7 \cup T$, and then from 6.2, each S_j is a stable set for each $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and so $\chi(G) \leq 3$. So we may assume that $D_i \neq \emptyset$, for each $i \in \langle 7 \rangle$. Then for any $d \in D_i$, $d' \in D_{i+1}$ and $d'' \in D_{i+2}$, since $\{v_{i-2}, v_{i-3}, v_{i+1}, v_i, d, d', d''\}$ does not induce a $P_2 + P_4$, we have $dd', d'd'' \in E(G)$, and then since $\{v_{i+1}, d, d', d''\}$ does not induce a $K_4 - e$, we have $dd'' \notin E(G)$; so $[D_i, D_{i+2}] = \emptyset$ for each $i \in \langle 7 \rangle$. Now we define the sets $S_1 := \{v_1, v_3, v_5\} \cup D_1 \cup D_3 \cup D_5$, $S_2 := \{v_2, v_4, v_6\} \cup D_2 \cup D_4 \cup D_6$, and $S_3 := \{v_7\} \cup D_7 \cup T$. Then from 6.2

and from above arguments, we see that S_j is a stable set for each $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and so $\chi(G) \leq 3$. This proves Lemma 6. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Let G be a $(P_2 + P_4, K_4 - e)$ -free graph which is not a perfect graph. Since an odd-hole of length at least 9 contains a $P_2 + P_4$ and since an odd-antihole of length at least 7 contains a $K_4 - e$, by the ‘Strong perfect graph theorem’ [7], we may assume that G contains a C_5 or a C_7 . Now the proof follows from Lemma 5 and Lemma 6. \square

Acknowledgement. The first author acknowledges the National Board of Higher Mathematics (NBHM), Department of Atomic Energy, India for the financial support (No. 02011/55/2023/R&D-II/3736) to carry out this research work, and CHRIST (Deemed to be University), Bengaluru for permitting to pursue the NBHM project.

References

- [1] A. P. Bharathi and S. A. Choudum, Colouring of $(P_3 \cup P_2)$ -free graphs. *Graphs and Combinatorics* 34 (2018) 97–107.
- [2] C. U. Angeliya, A. Char and T. Karthick, On near optimal colorable graphs. Submitted for publication (2024).
- [3] A. Char and T. Karthick, On graphs with no induced P_5 or $K_5 - e$. Submitted for publication. Available on: arXiv:2308.08166[math.CO] (2023).
- [4] A. Char and T. Karthick, χ -boundedness and related problems on graphs without long induced paths: A survey. *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 364 (2025) 99–119.
- [5] R. Chen and X. Zhang, Coloring of some $(P_2 \cup P_4)$ -free graphs. Available on: arXiv:2412.14524v1[math.CO], 2024.
- [6] M. Chudnovsky, A. Kabela, B. Li and P. Vrána, Forbidden induced pairs for perfectness and ω -colourability of graphs. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* 29(2) (2022) #P2.21.
- [7] M. Chudnovsky, N. Robertson, P. Seymour and R. Thomas, The strong perfect graph theorem. *Annals of Mathematics* 164 (2006) 51–229.
- [8] J. Goedgebeur, S. Huang, Y. Ju and O. Merkel, Colouring graphs with no induced six-vertex path or diamond. *Theoretical Computer Science* 941 (2023) 278–299.
- [9] Y. Ju and S. Huang, Near optimal colourability on hereditary graph families. *Theoretical Computer Science* 993 (2024) Article No.: 114465.
- [10] T. Karthick and S. Mishra, On the chromatic number of $(P_6, \text{diamond})$ -free graphs. *Graphs and Combinatorics* 34 (2018) 677–692.
- [11] I. Schiermeyer and B. Randerath, Polynomial χ -binding functions and forbidden induced subgraphs: A survey. *Graphs and Combinatorics* 35 (2019) 1–31.
- [12] A. Prashant, P. Francis and S. Francis Raj, Chromatic bounds for some subclasses of $(P_3 \cup P_2)$ -free graphs. In: CALDAM 2022, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer 13179 (2022) 15–21.
- [13] D. B. West. *Introduction to Graph Theory* (2nd Edition). Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 2000.