

LLMPC: Large Language Model Predictive Control

Gabriel Maher *

January 7, 2025

Abstract

Recent advancements in prompting techniques for Large Language Models (LLMs) have improved their reasoning, planning, and action abilities. This paper examines these prompting techniques through the lens of model predictive control (MPC). We show that LLMs act as implicit planning cost function minimizers when planning prompts are used. Under our framework we demonstrate that LLM planning performance can be improved further by incorporating real planning cost functions and evaluators.

1 Introduction

A growing body of literature has shown that the performance of Large Language Models (LLMs) can be improved by guiding their output with structured prompts. Asking LLMs to reason about their actions and to plan is particularly effective as shown by chain-of-thought prompting [Wei et al., 2022], self-consistency [Wang et al., 2022], the ReAct framework [Yao et al., 2022] and agentic applications of LLMs Hong et al. [2023], Qin et al. [2023].

The structured prompting trend raises several questions however. Why does structured prompting improve the performance of LLMs? What are the limits of enhancement with structured prompting? How can the methods be extended to further improve performance? Answering these questions requires a framework to understand the consequences of different prompting methods.

The viewpoint of LLMs as planning and acting naturally suggests a control theoretic approach to modeling this problem. In particular model predictive control (MPC) is a framework in which action plans are generated and then executed by a controller. For instance, the Tree-of-Thoughts method [Yao et al., 2023] explores branching reasoning paths and then selects the most promising trajectory, closely mirroring the search and pruning steps in model-based planning. Similarly, the Reflexion technique [Shinn et al., 2023] and iterative prompting methods can be viewed as repeatedly updating model states and predictions over a receding horizon. Finally Monte Carlo Tree Search (MCTS) style algorithms have been used together with trained value and reward functions to improve the planning and reasoning abilities of LLMs Wang et al. [2024], Jiang et al. [2024].

*gabriel.d.maher@gmail.com

In this paper we show that structured prompting can be viewed as a form of MPC where the LLM acts as an approximate objective function minimizer. We then show that making explicit use of an objective function can enhance the performance of LLM planners. Finally we demonstrate various aspects of our approach on the problems of dynamical system control and code generation.

The code for our experiments is available at <https://github.com/gmaher/llmpc>.

2 Model Predictive Control

In the model predictive control setting an agent must navigate a state space $s_t \in S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. The agent decides on an action $a_t \in A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$. The state is then updated according to a state transition model $s_{t+1} = f(s_t, a_t, \varepsilon_t)$, where ε_t is a noise or disturbance term.

The goal is to find a sequence of actions $a_t, a_{t+1}, \dots, a_{t+H}$ over a planning horizon of H steps that minimizes an objective function $C(s_t, a_t, a_{t+1}, \dots, a_{t+H})$. That is

$$\hat{a}_t \dots \hat{a}_{t+H} = g(s_t) := \underset{a_t, a_{t+1}, \dots, a_{t+H}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} C(s_t, \dots, s_{t+H}, a_t, \dots, a_{t+H}) \quad (1)$$

The objective function typically involves a task-specific cost and a regularization cost. The task-specific cost is often a measure of the distance between the current state and a desired state. The regularization cost is often a measure of the complexity of the sequence of actions.

3 LLM as Planner

An LLM is a neural network function that operates on a length L sequence of input tokens $q_t \in Q^L := \{1, 2, \dots, M\}^L$ to produce a probability vector over the possible M tokens for the next token in the sequence. The LLM input is typically referred to as a ‘prompt’ and ‘prompting’ is the process through which relevant state information from s_t is embedded into the prompt. In the control scenario the previous prompt q_{t-1} and the current state s_t create the current prompt q_t , thus prompting can be represented as a function $P : S \times Q^L \rightarrow Q^L$.

Calling the LLM produces a probability distribution for the next token. Various methods are used for sampling the actual next token value. For example, Beam Search is a common approach where several likely token sequences are sampled by selecting the top most likely tokens at each step and using these to sample subsequent tokens with repeated pruning to remove unlikely sequences. Repeatedly evaluating the LLM with the new output tokens produces a sequence of output tokens $\hat{Y} \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}^T$. We can represent the process of output token sequence generation with LLMs as a function $F : \{1, 2, \dots, M\}^L \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, M\}^T$.

In the LLM-as-planner scenario, the token sequence is mapped to the sequence of actions a_t, \dots, a_{t+H} for the controller. That is there is a mapping function $\phi : \{1, 2, \dots, M\}^T \rightarrow A^H$. We now see that the LLM-as-planner approximately minimizes the cost function through

$$\hat{a}_t \dots \hat{a}_{t+H} = \phi(\hat{Y}) := \phi(F(q_t)) := \phi(F(P(s_t, q_{t-1}))) \approx g(s_t) \quad (2)$$

4 Analysis of LLM Planning Methods

The different approaches to LLM planners such as ReAct or ToT, thus differ mostly in their modeling of the prompting and action mapping functions P and ϕ .

With the ReAct framework a prompting function is used where the LLM is given the current state and then may perform a number of reasoning and action steps before proposing a final action. The action mapping function then maps the final action the LLM proposed to the action space. The reasoning and acting steps other than the final proposal are ‘hidden’ in the sense they do not update the state and can thus be considered part of the prompting function.

In ToT the LLM is instructed to sample multiple control trajectories and select the best trajectory. In the trajectory sampling there is no actual evaluation of the state update function, the LLM outputs the state updates as well as the actions. Finally the LLM also approximates the cost function in that it selects the best trajectory. Thus ToT is a particular form of a prompting function P coupled with an action mapping function ϕ that selects the trajectory the LLM proposed as optimal.

Agentic applications of LLMs apply a form of prompting whereby the LLM is instructed to play different roles [Hong et al., 2023, Qin et al., 2023]. While agents may have the appearance of using multiple models or controllers, in reality a single LLM is used and it is the input prompt that changes. In Agents the prompting function can be seen as making use of an LLM to sample the agent prompts. The action mapping function selects the action proposed by the agent that is responsible for control of the state.

5 Improving LLM Control Performance with LLMP

We see that most LLM planners differ primarily in their choice of prompting function. The connection we have made between LLM planning and MPC provides inspiration for other ways to improve LLM planners. In the MPC framework, the main limitation of LLM planning performance is due to the limits of LLM sampling as approximately minimizing the MPC objective. Thus by making better use of the objective function we can improve the performance of the LLM planners.

We propose to incorporate the cost function by using the LLM to sample a number of control sequences. The cost function and state update function are then used to evaluate the samples and the best sample is selected as the control sequence to apply.

That is we sample a number of plans $A_t^i := \{a_t^i, \dots, a_{t+H}^i\}$ from the LLM. For each plan we simulate the system to obtain the states $S_t^i := \{s_t^i, \dots, s_{t+H}^i\}$. We then pick the plan that minimizes the objective function among the sampled plans

$$\hat{A}_t := \arg \min_{i=1, \dots, K} C(S_t^i, A_t^i) \tag{3}$$

We then execute up to H steps from the plan \hat{A}_t and then replan with the new state information. We note that while we use the LLM to sample plans directly, they could also be sampled one action at a time while using beam-search or other algorithms to construct the final plan samples.

A key observation is that for our method we need access to the objective function C and state update function f . In many applications these are readily available or approximate models can be substituted.

6 Experiments

6.1 Control of Spring and Mass System

Here we compare LLMPC against MPC on the problem of applying force to a spring and mass system to arrive at a particular goal state. The equations of motion for the system are

$$\begin{aligned} a_t &= \frac{1}{m} (u - k(x - x_0 - l)), \\ v_{t+1} &= v_t + dt a_t, \\ x_{t+1} &= x_t + dt v_t. \end{aligned}$$

Here u is a force applied by the controller to control the spring. The objective is to bring the spring to the goal state x^* with zero velocity. The objective function is

$$c_t = Q_x (x_{t+H} - x^*)^2 + Q_v (v_{t+H} - v^*) + \sum_{k=t}^{t+H} Q_u u_k^2 \quad (4)$$

For both MPC and LLMPC we set $H = 3$ and execute 2 of every 3 steps from the returned action sequence. For MPC we use CVXPY to solve the planning problem. For LLMPC we used GPT-4o-mini and asked the LLM to suggest 5 plans at every step using a templated prompt (listing 1). Each of the suggested action sequences is evaluated using (4), and the plan with the lowest objective value is selected.

We solved the control problem with both MPC and LLMPC with $x_0 = 1$, $x^* = 2$, $m = 1$, and $k = 5$ (Fig. 1). Both MPC and LLMPC produce control sequences that control the spring to the goal state. As expected, the objective values from the plans produced by LLMPC are higher than when solving the problem exactly with MPC, highlighting that LLMs are approximate planners.

Listing 1: LLMPC prompt template for mass-spring problem

```
prompt = f"""
Given:
- A mass-spring system with position x and velocity v.
- Dynamics:
  x_(k+1) = x_k + dt * v_k
  v_(k+1) = v_k + (dt/m)*(u_k - k_spring*x_k)
- Parameters: m={m}, k_spring={k_spring}, dt={dt}
- Current state: x={x_init}, v={v_init}
- Goal position: x_goal={x_goal}
- Horizon: H={H}
- The current spring force is {-k_spring*x_init}
```

You control the force on the spring via the control sequence $u = [u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{H-1}]$.

You must apply forces to get the spring to the goal position.

Please propose $\{K\}$ candidate control sequences, each being a list of length H .


- Controls should be between 0 and 20

- Return them as a Python dictionary with keys "sequence_1", "sequence_2", ..., "sequence_{K}",


where each value is a list of length H . Example:

```
{
  "sequence_1": [u_0, u_1, ..., u_{H-1}],
  "sequence_2": [u_0, u_1, ..., u_{H-1}],
  ...
}
```


Do not use “python tags, no extra commentary, just return the dictionary.”



(a) Position and velocity trajectory



(b) Control trajectory



(c) Objective trajectory

Figure 1: State, control and cost trajectories for MPC and LLMPC algorithm on spring-mass problem.

6.2 Code Generation

Here we compare LLMPC to a one-step code generation model. Both models use GPT-4o as the LLM. We ask the models to generate code for the popular game ‘Flappy Bird’ using Javascript, HTML and CSS. For the one-step model, simply asking the LLM to produce the code without any other instructions results in incomplete code. Therefore we use a ReAct style prompt (Listing 2) that allows the LLM to perform multiple thinking, designing and prototyping steps before producing the final output.

For the LLMPC prompts we use a shared system prompt, with separate instructions for the planner and for the action mapper (Listing 3). We use tools to set up the action mapper prompt, the prompt includes instructions on creating files, and appending to and modifying files. Our code then interprets the tool calls to perform file system operations. We use $H = 3$ and ask the planner to plan the next 3 steps at every iteration.

Both models produce complete and functioning code. However we see that the LLMPC code contains more details such as including sprites, a game over screen and buttons to restart the game. Some flaws remain such as the orientation of the pipes on the top part of the screen and missing a background sprite, but overall the game is complete. This highlights how asking the LLM to plan over longer horizons can allow it to tackle more complex tasks.




Figure 2: Screenshots of Flappy Bird game from code generated by ReAct and LLMPC prompting strategies

7 Related Works

In the context of robotics and embodied agents, approaches such as SayCan [Ahn et al., 2022] and Voyager [Wang et al., 2023] use LLMs to generate action plans in complex environments. This line of work demonstrates that LLMs can serve as zero-shot planners, guiding agents

through sequences of actions while continuously updating action strategies based on new information. Using a cost function to evaluate plans proposed by the LLM is similar to the MTCS style approach Wang et al. [2024], Jiang et al. [2024]. The MPC framework we proposed thus also explains why MTCS with external value or reward functions improves LLM performance.

References

- Michael Ahn, Anthony Brohan, Noah Brown, Yevgen Chebotar, Omar Cortes, Byron David, Chelsea Finn, Chuyuan Fu, Keerthana Gopalakrishnan, Karol Hausman, Alex Herzog, Daniel Ho, Jasmine Hsu, Julian Ibarz, Brian Ichter, Alex Irpan, Eric Jang, Rosario Jau-regui Ruano, Kyle Jeffrey, Sally Jesmonth, Nikhil J Joshi, Ryan Julian, Dmitry Kalashnikov, Yuheng Kuang, Kuang-Huei Lee, Sergey Levine, Yao Lu, Linda Luu, Carolina Parada, Peter Pastor, Jornell Quiambao, Kanishka Rao, Jarek Rettinghouse, Diego Reyes, Pierre Sermanet, Nicolas Sievers, Clayton Tan, Alexander Toshev, Vincent Vanhoucke, Fei Xia, Ted Xiao, Peng Xu, Sichun Xu, Mengyuan Yan, and Andy Zeng. Do As I Can, Not As I Say: Grounding Language in Robotic Affordances. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.01691*, 2022.
- Sirui Hong, Mingchen Zhuge, Jiaqi Chen, Xiawu Zheng, Yuheng Cheng, Ceyao Zhang, Jinlin Wang, Zili Wang, Steven Ka Shing Yau, Zijuan Lin, Liyang Zhou, Chenyu Ran, Lingfeng Xiao, Chenglin Wu, and Jürgen Schmidhuber. MetaGPT: Meta Programming for A Multi-Agent Collaborative Framework. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.00352*, 2023.
- Jinhao Jiang, Zhipeng Chen, Yingqian Min, Jie Chen, Xiaoxue Cheng, Jiapeng Wang, Yiru Tang, Haoxiang Sun, Jia Deng, Wayne Xin Zhao, Zheng Liu, Dong Yan, Jian Xie, Zhongyuan Wang, and Ji-Rong Wen. Enhancing LLM Reasoning with Reward-guided Tree Search. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.11694*, 2024.
- Yujia Qin, Shihao Liang, Yining Ye, Kunlun Zhu, Lan Yan, Yaxi Lu, Yankai Lin, Xin Cong, Xiangru Tang, Bill Qian, Sihan Zhao, Lauren Hong, Runchu Tian, Ruobing Xie, Jie Zhou, Mark Gerstein, Dahai Li, Zhiyuan Liu, and Maosong Sun. ToolLLM: Facilitating Large Language Models to Master 16000+ Real-world APIs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.16789*, 2023.
- Noah Shinn, Federico Cassano, Edward Berman, Ashwin Gopinath, Karthik Narasimhan, and Shunyu Yao. Reflexion: Language Agents with Verbal Reinforcement Learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.11366*, 2023.
- Ante Wang, Linfeng Song, Ye Tian, Baolin Peng, Dian Yu, Haitao Mi, Jingsong Su, and Dong Yu. LiteSearch: Efficacious Tree Search for LLM. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.00320*, 2024.
- Guanzhi Wang, Yuqi Xie, Yunfan Jiang, Ajay Mandlekar, Chaowei Xiao, Yuke Zhu, Linxi Fan, and Anima Anandkumar. Voyager: An Open-Ended Embodied Agent with Large Language Models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.16291*, 2023.

Xuezhi Wang, Jason Wei, Dale Schuurmans, Quoc Le, Ed Chi, Sharan Narang, Aakanksha Chowdhery, and Denny Zhou. Self-Consistency Improves Chain of Thought Reasoning in Language Models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.11171*, 2022.

Jason Wei, Xuezhi Wang, Dale Schuurmans, Maarten Bosma, Brian Ichter, Fei Xia, Ed Chi, Quoc Le, and Denny Zhou. Chain-of-Thought Prompting Elicits Reasoning in Large Language Models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.11903*, 2022.

Shunyu Yao, Jeffrey Zhao, Dian Yu, Nan Du, Izhak Shafran, Karthik Narasimhan, and Yuan Cao. ReAct: Synergizing Reasoning and Acting in Language Models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.03629*, 2022.

Shunyu Yao, Dian Yu, Jeffrey Zhao, Izhak Shafran, Thomas L. Griffiths, Yuan Cao, and Karthik Narasimhan. Tree of Thoughts: Deliberate Problem Solving with Large Language Models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.10601*, 2023.

A Code Generation

Listing 2: ReAct code generation prompt

```
system_prompt = """"You are an expert code generation AI that creates high quality
, clean, modular maintainable code.
```

```
You will be given a software project from a client, and you should produce the
complete finished code in its entirety.
```

```
When you are done the code should be in a state where it is presentable to the
client and the project would be considered complete.
```

```
Fill out all details, do not leave unfinished or placeholder code in your final
output.
```

```
You are allowed to produce multiple files and organize the code however you see
fit.
```

```
When completing the code you are allowed to perform a number of thinking,
designing and prototyping steps before producing the final output.
```

```
At each step first output a section header, e.g. # Thinking, then produce the
output for that section, finally decide on what the next step will be, e.g. "I
need to do some prototyping now" or "I am now ready to produce the final
output".
```

```
You can go back and forth between thinking, designing and prototyping, use as
many steps as you need.
```

```
The final output section should have a header # Final Output, it should be the
last step and should contain the finished code.
```

```
The first section of your output should always be a # Thinking step.
```

```
Here is an example template of what your output could look like:
```



```

# Thinking
<insert reasoning and thinking about the client project>

Now I will do some designing

# Designing
<Insert design for the project based on previous thoughts>

Since I have a design I will now do some prototyping

# Prototyping
<Insert generated code prototype based on design>

Now let me analyze the prototype and see if it meets the requirements

# Analysis
<Analyze prototype, did it satisfy the client request?>

The prototype is almost there but missing a few requirements namely ..., let me
do some more prototyping

# Prototyping
<Create v2 prototype with missing requirements>

The prototype looks good, let me now produce the final output

# Final Output
<Produce final output files>

"""

instruction = """The client has asked you to complete the following project:

Create Flappy Bird in javascript, html and css

Now complete the project while following the instructions in the system prompt
. """

```

Listing 3: LLMPG code generation prompt

```

system_prompt = """
You are an intelligent software engineering AI assistant.
You write clear, concise and modular maintainable code.

You have been asked to complete the following project:
{goal}

The previous actions you took are:

```

```

{actions}

The relevant project state context is:
{context}

"""

prompt_planner = """
Now plan the next {k} steps to achieve the goal.

Format your output as:

PLAN:
1. <first step>
2. <second step>
...
"""

prompt_executor = """
The next steps for you to execute are:
{plan}

You have access to the following tools:
- CREATE_FILE(filename): Creates a new empty file
- APPEND_TO_FILE(filename, content): Adds content to the end of a file
- MODIFY_FILE(filename, start_line, end_line, content): Replaces file content
  from start_line (inclusive) to end_line (inclusive) with supplied content
- REMOVE_FILE(filename): Moves a file to the removed folder

When using tools, wrap the tool call in <tool></tool> tags and format as JSON:
<tool>
{{
  "name": "CREATE_FILE",
  "arguments": {{
    "filename": "example.txt"
  }}
}}
</tool>

Only ever submit one MODIFY_FILE call per file. Submitting multiple MODIFY_FILE
calls for the same file does not work.

Now please execute the plan.
"""

```

Listing 4: Code generation output for ReAct style prompt

Thinking

The project involves creating a simple version of the popular game Flappy Bird using HTML, CSS, and JavaScript. The game typically consists of a bird that the player controls by clicking or tapping to make it "flap" upwards, while gravity pulls it downwards. The goal is to navigate the bird through a series of vertically spaced pipes without colliding with them. The game ends if the bird hits a pipe or the ground.

Key components of the game:

1. **Game Area**: A rectangular area where the game takes place.
2. **Bird**: The player-controlled character that can move up and down.
3. **Pipes**: Obstacles that the bird must avoid. They come in pairs with a gap in between.
4. **Score**: A counter that increases as the bird successfully passes through pipe pairs.
5. **Game Over**: A mechanism to detect collisions and end the game.

Now I will do some designing.

Designing

1. **HTML Structure**:

- A container for the game.
- A canvas element where the game will be rendered.
- A score display.

2. **CSS Styling**:

- Basic styling for the game container and canvas.
- Styling for the score display.

3. **JavaScript Logic**:

- Initialize the game and set up the main game loop.
- Implement gravity and flap mechanics for the bird.
- Generate pipes at regular intervals and move them across the screen.
- Detect collisions between the bird and pipes or ground.
- Update the score as the bird passes through pipes.

4. **Game Flow**:

- Start the game on user interaction.
- Continuously update the game state and render the frame.
- End the game on collision and display the final score.

Since I have a design, I will now do some prototyping.

Prototyping

Let's start by setting up the basic HTML structure and styles, and then create a simple JavaScript setup to draw the bird and pipes.

HTML:

```

'''html
<!DOCTYPE html>
<html lang="en">
<head>
  <meta charset="UTF-8">
  <meta name="viewport" content="width=device-width, initial-scale=1.0">
  <title>Flappy Bird</title>
  <link rel="stylesheet" href="styles.css">
</head>
<body>
  <div id="gameContainer">
    <canvas id="gameCanvas"></canvas>
    <div id="score">Score: 0</div>
  </div>
  <script src="game.js"></script>
</body>
</html>
'''

```

****CSS:****

```

'''css
body {
  display: flex;
  justify-content: center;
  align-items: center;
  height: 100vh;
  margin: 0;
  background-color: #70c5ce;
}

#gameContainer {
  position: relative;
}

#gameCanvas {
  background-color: #fff;
  border: 2px solid #000;
}

#score {
  position: absolute;
  top: 10px;
  left: 10px;
  font-size: 24px;
  color: #fff;
}
'''

```

```

**JavaScript:**
```javascript
const canvas = document.getElementById('gameCanvas');
const context = canvas.getContext('2d');
canvas.width = 320;
canvas.height = 480;

let bird = {
 x: 50,
 y: 150,
 width: 20,
 height: 20,
 gravity: 0.6,
 lift: -10,
 velocity: 0
};

function drawBird() {
 context.fillStyle = '#ff0';
 context.fillRect(bird.x, bird.y, bird.width, bird.height);
}

function update() {
 bird.velocity += bird.gravity;
 bird.y += bird.velocity;
 if (bird.y + bird.height > canvas.height) {
 bird.y = canvas.height - bird.height;
 bird.velocity = 0;
 }
}

function gameLoop() {
 context.clearRect(0, 0, canvas.width, canvas.height);
 update();
 drawBird();
 requestAnimationFrame(gameLoop);
}

gameLoop();
```

```

Now let me analyze the prototype and see if it meets the requirements.

Analysis

The prototype sets up the basic HTML structure and styles the game area. It initializes a simple JavaScript game loop that draws a yellow square

representing the bird and applies basic gravity physics. The game loop runs continuously, updating the bird's position.

Missing components:

- User interaction to make the bird flap.
- Pipes generation and movement.
- Collision detection.
- Scorekeeping.

I need to add these components, so let me do some more prototyping.

Prototyping

Let's add user interaction, pipes, collision detection, and scoring.

```
**JavaScript (Continued):**
```

```
``javascript
let pipes = [];
let pipeWidth = 40;
let pipeGap = 100;
let frame = 0;
let score = 0;

function drawPipes() {
  context.fillStyle = '#0f0';
  pipes.forEach(pipe => {
    context.fillRect(pipe.x, 0, pipeWidth, pipe.top);
    context.fillRect(pipe.x, canvas.height - pipe.bottom, pipeWidth, pipe.
      bottom);
  });
}

function updatePipes() {
  if (frame % 90 === 0) {
    let top = Math.random() * (canvas.height / 2);
    let bottom = canvas.height - top - pipeGap;
    pipes.push({ x: canvas.width, top: top, bottom: bottom });
  }
  pipes.forEach(pipe => {
    pipe.x -= 2;
  });
  pipes = pipes.filter(pipe => pipe.x + pipeWidth > 0);
}

function checkCollisions() {
  pipes.forEach(pipe => {
    if (
      bird.x < pipe.x + pipeWidth &&
```

```

        bird.x + bird.width > pipe.x &&
        (bird.y < pipe.top || bird.y + bird.height > canvas.height - pipe.
            bottom)
    ) {
        resetGame();
    }
});
}

function resetGame() {
    bird.y = 150;
    bird.velocity = 0;
    pipes = [];
    score = 0;
}

function updateScore() {
    pipes.forEach(pipe => {
        if (pipe.x + pipeWidth === bird.x) {
            score++;
        }
    });
    document.getElementById('score').innerText = 'Score: ${score}';
}

function flap() {
    bird.velocity = bird.lift;
}

document.addEventListener('keydown', flap);
document.addEventListener('click', flap);

function gameLoop() {
    context.clearRect(0, 0, canvas.width, canvas.height);
    update();
    updatePipes();
    drawBird();
    drawPipes();
    checkCollisions();
    updateScore();
    frame++;
    requestAnimationFrame(gameLoop);
}

gameLoop();
'''

```

Now let's analyze this updated prototype.

Analysis

The updated prototype includes:

- User interaction via key press or click to make the bird flap.
- Pipes are generated at regular intervals and move left across the screen.
- Collision detection between the bird and pipes.
- Score updates as the bird successfully passes through pipe pairs.

The prototype now meets the core requirements of the Flappy Bird game. I will now produce the final output.

Final Output

Here is the complete code for the Flappy Bird game in HTML, CSS, and JavaScript.

****HTML:****

```
'''html
<!DOCTYPE html>
<html lang="en">
<head>
  <meta charset="UTF-8">
  <meta name="viewport" content="width=device-width, initial-scale=1.0">
  <title>Flappy Bird</title>
  <link rel="stylesheet" href="styles.css">
</head>
<body>
  <div id="gameContainer">
    <canvas id="gameCanvas"></canvas>
    <div id="score">Score: 0</div>
  </div>
  <script src="game.js"></script>
</body>
</html>
'''
```

****CSS:****

```
'''css
body {
  display: flex;
  justify-content: center;
  align-items: center;
  height: 100vh;
  margin: 0;
  background-color: #70c5ce;
}

#gameContainer {
```



```

    position: relative;
}

#gameCanvas {
    background-color: #fff;
    border: 2px solid #000;
}

#score {
    position: absolute;
    top: 10px;
    left: 10px;
    font-size: 24px;
    color: #fff;
}
'''

**JavaScript:**
```javascript
const canvas = document.getElementById('gameCanvas');
const context = canvas.getContext('2d');
canvas.width = 320;
canvas.height = 480;

let bird = {
 x: 50,
 y: 150,
 width: 20,
 height: 20,
 gravity: 0.6,
 lift: -10,
 velocity: 0
};

let pipes = [];
let pipeWidth = 40;
let pipeGap = 100;
let frame = 0;
let score = 0;

function drawBird() {
 context.fillStyle = '#ff0';
 context.fillRect(bird.x, bird.y, bird.width, bird.height);
}

function drawPipes() {
 context.fillStyle = '#0f0';

```

```

 pipes.forEach(pipe => {
 context.fillRect(pipe.x, 0, pipeWidth, pipe.top);
 context.fillRect(pipe.x, canvas.height - pipe.bottom, pipeWidth, pipe.
 bottom);
 });
 }

function update() {
 bird.velocity += bird.gravity;
 bird.y += bird.velocity;
 if (bird.y + bird.height > canvas.height) {
 bird.y = canvas.height - bird.height;
 bird.velocity = 0;
 }
}

function updatePipes() {
 if (frame % 90 === 0) {
 let top = Math.random() * (canvas.height / 2);
 let bottom = canvas.height - top - pipeGap;
 pipes.push({ x: canvas.width, top: top, bottom: bottom });
 }
 pipes.forEach(pipe => {
 pipe.x -= 2;
 });
 pipes = pipes.filter(pipe => pipe.x + pipeWidth > 0);
}

function checkCollisions() {
 pipes.forEach(pipe => {
 if (
 bird.x < pipe.x + pipeWidth &&
 bird.x + bird.width > pipe.x &&
 (bird.y < pipe.top || bird.y + bird.height > canvas.height - pipe.
 bottom)
) {
 resetGame();
 }
 });
}

function resetGame() {
 bird.y = 150;
 bird.velocity = 0;
 pipes = [];
 score = 0;
}

```

```

function updateScore() {
 pipes.forEach(pipe => {
 if (pipe.x + pipeWidth === bird.x) {
 score++;
 }
 });
 document.getElementById('score').innerText = 'Score: ${score}';
}

function flap() {
 bird.velocity = bird.lift;
}

document.addEventListener('keydown', flap);
document.addEventListener('click', flap);

function gameLoop() {
 context.clearRect(0, 0, canvas.width, canvas.height);
 update();
 updatePipes();
 drawBird();
 drawPipes();
 checkCollisions();
 updateScore();
 frame++;
 requestAnimationFrame(gameLoop);
}

gameLoop();
'''

```

The final output includes a complete Flappy Bird game with a functioning game loop, bird physics, pipe generation, collision detection, and scoring. The game resets upon collision, allowing for replayability.

#### Listing 5: Code generation output for LLMPG style prompt

# Plan

PLAN:

1. Set up the initial HTML structure to support the Flappy Bird game, including a canvas element where the game will be rendered.
2. Implement the CSS to style the game canvas and any other necessary elements, ensuring the game is visually appealing and the layout is appropriate for gameplay.
3. Develop the basic JavaScript framework to initialize the game, handle

animations, and set up the game loop, including functions to render the bird, pipes, and background.

# Execute

Let's execute the steps to set up the Flappy Bird game.

### Step 1: Set up the initial HTML structure

Create an HTML file with a canvas element that will be used to render the game.

```
<tool>
{
 "name": "CREATE_FILE",
 "arguments": {
 "filename": "index.html"
 }
}
</tool>
```

```
<tool>
{
 "name": "APPEND_TO_FILE",
 "arguments": {
 "filename": "index.html",
 "content": "<!DOCTYPE html>\n<html lang=\"en\">\n<head>\n <meta charset=\"
UTF-8\">\n <meta name=\"viewport\" content=\"width=device-width,
initial-scale=1.0\">\n <title>Flappy Bird</title>\n <link rel=\"
stylesheet\" href=\"styles.css\">\n</head>\n<body>\n <canvas id=\"
gameCanvas\" width=\"480\" height=\"640\"></canvas>\n <script src=\"
game.js\"></script>\n</body>\n</html>"
 }
}
</tool>
```

### Step 2: Implement the CSS

Create a CSS file to style the game canvas.

```
<tool>
{
 "name": "CREATE_FILE",
 "arguments": {
 "filename": "styles.css"
 }
}
</tool>
```

```

<tool>
{
 "name": "APPEND_TO_FILE",
 "arguments": {
 "filename": "styles.css",
 "content": "body {\n display: flex;\n justify-content: center;\n align-
 items: center;\n height: 100vh;\n margin: 0;\n background-color: #70
 c5ce;\n}\n\ncanvas {\n border: 2px solid #000;\n}"
 }
}
</tool>

```

### ### Step 3: Develop the basic JavaScript framework

Create a JavaScript file to initialize the game and set up the main game loop.

```

<tool>
{
 "name": "CREATE_FILE",
 "arguments": {
 "filename": "game.js"
 }
}
</tool>

```

```

<tool>
{
 "name": "APPEND_TO_FILE",
 "arguments": {
 "filename": "game.js",
 "content": "const canvas = document.getElementById('gameCanvas');\nconst
 context = canvas.getContext('2d');\nlet bird = {\n x: 50,\n y: 150,\n
 width: 20,\n height: 20,\n gravity: 0.6,\n lift: -15,\n velocity: 0\n
 };\n\nfunction update() {\n bird.velocity += bird.gravity;\n bird.y +=
 bird.velocity;\n \n if (bird.y + bird.height > canvas.height) {\n bird
 .y = canvas.height - bird.height;\n bird.velocity = 0;\n }\n\n\
 nfunction draw() {\n context.clearRect(0, 0, canvas.width, canvas.
 height);\n context.fillStyle = 'yellow';\n context.fillRect(bird.x,
 bird.y, bird.width, bird.height);\n}\n\nfunction gameLoop() {\n update
 ();\n draw();\n requestAnimationFrame(gameLoop);\n}\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n
 gameLoop();"
 }
}
</tool>

```

This setup provides a basic structure for the Flappy Bird game with a canvas, simple CSS, and a JavaScript game loop that renders a basic bird character.

# Plan

PLAN:

1. Implement bird controls: Add an event listener for user input (e.g., space bar or mouse click) to make the bird flap, which will modify its velocity with the lift value.
2. Develop pipe generation: Create functions to generate and render pipes at intervals, and update their positions to simulate movement. Ensure pipes spawn at random heights and move leftward across the screen.
3. Implement collision detection: Develop logic to check for collisions between the bird and pipes or the ground, which will end the game. This will involve checking the bird's position against the positions of the pipes and the edges of the canvas.

# Execute

To implement the next steps, I will modify the 'game.js' file to add bird controls, pipe generation, and collision detection logic.

1. Implement bird controls by adding an event listener for the space bar to make the bird flap.
2. Develop functions to generate and render pipes, and update their positions.
3. Implement collision detection between the bird and pipes or the ground.

Let's proceed with these changes:

```
``javascript
const canvas = document.getElementById("gameCanvas");
const context = canvas.getContext("2d");

let bird = {
 x: 50,
 y: 150,
 width: 20,
 height: 20,
 gravity: 0.6,
 lift: -15,
 velocity: 0,
};

let pipes = [];
let pipeWidth = 40;
let pipeGap = 150;
let pipeInterval = 90; // Frames between pipe generations
let frames = 0;
```

```

let gameOver = false;

document.addEventListener("keydown", function (event) {
 if (event.code === "Space") {
 bird.velocity += bird.lift;
 }
});

function generatePipe() {
 let pipeHeight =
 Math.floor(Math.random() * (canvas.height - pipeGap - 20)) + 10;
 pipes.push({
 x: canvas.width,
 topHeight: pipeHeight,
 bottomY: pipeHeight + pipeGap,
 width: pipeWidth,
 });
}

function update() {
 bird.velocity += bird.gravity;
 bird.y += bird.velocity;

 if (bird.y + bird.height > canvas.height || bird.y < 0) {
 gameOver = true;
 }

 pipes.forEach((pipe) => {
 pipe.x -= 2;
 if (pipe.x + pipe.width < 0) {
 pipes.shift();
 }

 if (
 bird.x < pipe.x + pipe.width &&
 bird.x + bird.width > pipe.x &&
 (bird.y < pipe.topHeight || bird.y + bird.height > pipe.bottomY)
) {
 gameOver = true;
 }
 });
}

if (frames % pipeInterval === 0) {
 generatePipe();
}

frames++;

```

```

}

function draw() {
 context.clearRect(0, 0, canvas.width, canvas.height);

 context.fillStyle = "yellow";
 context.fillRect(bird.x, bird.y, bird.width, bird.height);

 context.fillStyle = "green";
 pipes.forEach((pipe) => {
 context.fillRect(pipe.x, 0, pipe.width, pipe.topHeight);
 context.fillRect(
 pipe.x,
 pipe.bottomY,
 pipe.width,
 canvas.height - pipe.bottomY
);
 });
}

function gameLoop() {
 if (!gameOver) {
 update();
 draw();
 requestAnimationFrame(gameLoop);
 } else {
 context.font = "48px serif";
 context.fillStyle = "black";
 context.fillText("Game Over", canvas.width / 4, canvas.height / 2);
 }
}

gameLoop();
'''

<tool>
{
 "name": "MODIFY_FILE",
 "arguments": {
 "filename": "game.js",
 "start_line": 0,
 "end_line": 35,
 "content": "const canvas = document.getElementById('gameCanvas');\nconst
 context = canvas.getContext('2d');\n\nlet bird = {\n x: 50,\n y: 150,\n
 width: 20,\n height: 20,\n gravity: 0.6,\n lift: -15,\n velocity: 0\n
 };\n\nlet pipes = [];\nlet pipeWidth = 40;\nlet pipeGap = 150;\nlet
 pipeInterval = 90; // Frames between pipe generations\nlet frames = 0;\n

```





<tool>

{

"name": "MODIFY\_FILE",

"arguments": {

"filename": "game.js",

"start\_line": 0,

"end\_line": 91,

```
"content": "const canvas = document.getElementById('gameCanvas');\nconst\n context = canvas.getContext('2d');\n let birdImage = new Image();\n let birdImage.src = 'bird.png';\n let pipeImage = new Image();\n let pipeImage\n .src = 'pipe.png';\n let bird = {\n x: 50,\n y: 150,\n width: 20,\n height: 20,\n gravity: 0.6,\n lift: -15,\n velocity: 0\n };\n let pipes\n = [];\n let pipeWidth = 40;\n let pipeGap = 150;\n let pipeInterval = 90;\n // Frames between pipe generations\n let frames = 0;\n let gameOver =\n false;\n let score = 0;\n function resetGame() {\n bird.y = 150;\n bird\n .velocity = 0;\n pipes = [];\n frames = 0;\n score = 0;\n gameOver =\n false;\n }\n document.addEventListener('keydown', function(event) {\n if (event.code === 'Space') {\n bird.velocity += bird.lift;\n } else if\n (gameOver && event.code === 'Enter') {\n resetGame();\n }\n });\n canvas.addEventListener('click', function() {\n if (gameOver) {\n resetGame();\n }\n });\n function generatePipe() {\n let pipeHeight =\n Math.floor(Math.random() * (canvas.height - pipeGap - 20)) + 10;\n pipes.push({\n x: canvas.width,\n topHeight: pipeHeight,\n bottomY:\n pipeHeight + pipeGap,\n width: pipeWidth,\n passed: false\n });\n }\n function update() {\n bird.velocity += bird.gravity;\n bird.y += bird.\n velocity;\n if (bird.y + bird.height > canvas.height || bird.y < 0)\n {\n gameOver = true;\n }\n pipes.forEach(pipe => {\n pipe.x -= 2;\n if (pipe.x + pipe.width < 0) {\n pipes.shift();\n }\n if (\n bird.x < pipe.x + pipe.width &&\n bird.x +\n bird.width > pipe.x &&\n (bird.y < pipe.topHeight || bird.y +\n bird.height > pipe.bottomY)\n) {\n gameOver = true;\n }\n if (!pipe.passed && pipe.x + pipe.width < bird.x) {\n score++;\n pipe.passed = true;\n }\n });\n if (\n frames % pipeInterval === 0\n) {\n generatePipe();\n }\n frames\n ++;\n }\n function draw() {\n context.clearRect(0, 0, canvas.width,\n canvas.height);\n context.drawImage(birdImage, bird.x, bird.y, bird.\n width, bird.height);\n pipes.forEach(pipe => {\n context.drawImage(\n pipeImage, pipe.x, 0, pipe.width, pipe.topHeight);\n context.drawImage(\n pipeImage, pipe.x, pipe.bottomY, pipe.width, canvas.height - pipe.\n bottomY);\n });\n context.font = '24px serif';\n context.fillStyle =\n 'black';\n context.fillText('Score: ' + score, 10, 30);\n }\n function\n gameLoop() {\n if (!gameOver) {\n update();\n draw();\n requestAnimationFrame(gameLoop);\n } else {\n context.font = '48px\n serif';\n context.fillStyle = 'black';\n context.fillText('Game\n Over', canvas.width / 4, canvas.height / 2);\n context.font = '24px\n serif';\n context.fillText('Press Enter or Click to Restart', canvas
```

```
 .width / 6, canvas.height / 2 + 40);\n }\n}\n\nngameLoop();\n"}\n}\n</tool>
```

Now, we need to update the visuals by adding images for the bird and pipes. Let's create these image files. Since I cannot directly create image files, please ensure you have two images named 'bird.png' and 'pipe.png' in the same directory as your HTML, CSS, and JavaScript files. These images will be used for the bird and pipes, respectively.

Additionally, you might want to adjust the image sizes or styles in the CSS or JavaScript to fit the game's design better. Let me know if you need further assistance!