

Non-linear corrections to the derivative of nuclear reduced cross-section at small x at a future electron-ion collider

G.R.Boroun*

Department of Physics, Razi University, Kermanshah 67149, Iran
(Dated: January 7, 2025)

The determination of non-linear corrections to the nuclear distribution functions due to the HIJING parametrization within the framework of perturbative QCD, specifically the GLR-MQ equations, is discussed. We analyze the possibility of constraining the non-linear corrections present in distribution functions using the inclusive observables that will be measured in future electron-ion colliders (EIC and EICc). The results show that non-linear corrections play an important role in heavy nuclear reduced cross sections at low x and low Q^2 values. We find that the non-linear corrections provide the correct behavior of the extracted nuclear cross sections and that our results align with data from the nCETQ15 parametrization group. We are currently discussing a satisfactory description of the non-linear corrections to the shadowing effect at small x .

I. Introduction

The nuclear structure can be determined from Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS) of leptons off nuclei across a wide range of (x, Q^2) . Nuclear structure functions differ from proton structure functions due to the shadowing effect at $x \lesssim 0.1$, anti-shadowing at $0.1 \lesssim x \lesssim 0.3$, the EMC effect at $0.3 \lesssim x \lesssim 0.7$ and Fermi motion as $x \rightarrow 1$. The proton structure function of the nucleus in the leading order in the QCD-improved parton model is defined by its parton distributions as [1]

$$F_2^{p/A}(x, Q^2) = \sum_{q=u,d,s,\dots} e_q^2 \left[x f_q^{p/A}(x, Q^2) + x f_{\bar{q}}^{p/A}(x, Q^2) \right]. \quad (1)$$

The difference between the nuclear parton distribution functions (nPDFs) and the parton distribution in the free proton is determined by the ratio

$$R_i^A(x, Q^2) \equiv \frac{f_i^{p/A}(x, Q^2)}{f_i^p(x, Q^2)}. \quad (2)$$

The nuclear shadowing effect demonstrates that at small values of x , the gluon distribution in a nucleus is less than the gluon distribution in a nucleon. It is essential to determine the gluon distribution of nucleons within a nucleus, especially at small x values. Nuclear effects play a significant role in $xg^A(x, Q^2)$, and utilizing inclusive observables can help to constrain future electron-nucleus colliders at Brookhaven National Laboratory (eRHIC) [2] and the Electron Ion Collider (EIC) [3]. The behavior of the nuclear gluon distribution can be determined using the momentum sum rule. Nuclear physics with electron-nucleus (eA) collisions can be explored at the Large Hadron electron Collider (LHeC) [4] and the Future Circular electron-hadron Collider (FCC-eh) [5] as proposed in Ref.[6]. The maximum energy envisioned for electron-heavy ion runs would be achieved by colliding 18 GeV electrons with 110 GeV ions for a $\sqrt{s} = 89$ GeV in the EIC Conceptual Design Report [7].

The standard evolution based on the Dokshitzer-Gribov-Lipatov-Altarelli-Parisi (DGLAP) linear equations provides an accurate description of QCD dynamics at moderate to large values of the momentum fractions x of the probed parton and virtualities $Q^2 \gg \Lambda_{QCD}^2$ but needs modification to include the effects of the resummation of large $\ln(1/x)$. Gluon recombination processes, tame the growth of parton densities towards small x and lead to gluon saturation. Non-linear evolution becomes important when the mass number A is increased or by either decreasing x or some combination of the two [6,8]. Non-linear modifications to DGLAP evolution equations were first proposed in Refs.[9-12] where two gluon ladders merge into a gluon or a quark-antiquark pair. The study of non-linear corrections is indeed

*Electronic address: boroun@razi.ac.ir

seful for a comprehensive understanding of gluon recombination and saturation [13]. The correlative interactions between gluons become important at extremely small x at fixed Q^2 , where the probability of recombining two gluons into one, in the leading twist approximation, is taken to be the product of two conventional one-gluon distributions by the following form

$$G^{(2)}(x, Q^2) = \frac{9}{8\pi\mathcal{R}_N^2} [G(x, Q^2)]^2. \quad (3)$$

The area of a nucleon in which gluons are populated is characterizes by \mathcal{R}_N . This saturation tamed the increase of gluons by relying on a Froissart-Martin bound [14]. The evolution equations of the correction terms (without the Higher Twist (HT) terms) are given by [9-11]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln Q^2} xq_i^A(x, Q^2) &= \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} \left[\frac{x}{y} P_{qq} \left(\frac{x}{y} \right) yq_i^A(y, Q^2) + \frac{x}{y} P_{qg} \left(\frac{x}{y} \right) yg^A(y, Q^2) \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{K}{\pi\mathcal{R}_A^2 Q^2} \frac{2\pi\alpha_s^2}{N(N^2-1)} \left[\frac{4}{15} N^2 - \frac{3}{5} \right] [xg^A(x, Q^2)]^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln Q^2} xg^A(x, Q^2) &= \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} \left[\frac{x}{y} P_{gq} \left(\frac{x}{y} \right) \sum_i^{2n_f} yq_i^A(y, Q^2) + \frac{x}{y} P_{gg} \left(\frac{x}{y} \right) yg^A(y, Q^2) \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{K}{\pi\mathcal{R}_A^2 Q^2} \frac{4\pi^3}{(N^2-1)} \left(\frac{\alpha_s C_A}{\pi} \right)^2 \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} [yg^A(y, Q^2)]^2, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $C_A = N = 3$, $K = \frac{9}{8}$ and $\mathcal{R}_A = 1.25A^{1/3}$ fm represents the nuclear size for a nuclear target with the mass number A where represents the gluonic hot spots inside a nucleus. The importance of the non-linear corrections for a nuclear target¹ (especially heavy nuclei) is visible, as the non-linear terms in Eqs.(4) and (5) scale as $A^{4/3}$ [15]. Adding these contributions to the DGLAP equations yields the non-linear Gribov-Levin-Ryskin-Mueller-Qiu (GLR-MQ) [9-10] evolution equations for nuclei in the following forms

$$\frac{\partial F_2^A(x, Q^2)}{\partial \ln Q^2} \Big|_{\text{Non-Linear}} = \frac{\partial F_2^A(x, Q^2)}{\partial \ln Q^2} \Big|_{\text{DGLAP}} - 2n_f \frac{5}{18} \frac{27\alpha_s^2}{160\mathcal{R}_A^2 Q^2} [xg^A(x, Q^2)]^2 \quad (6)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial xg^A(x, Q^2)}{\partial \ln Q^2} \Big|_{\text{Non-Linear}} = \frac{\partial xg^A(x, Q^2)}{\partial \ln Q^2} \Big|_{\text{DGLAP}} - \frac{81\alpha_s^2}{16\mathcal{R}_A^2 Q^2} \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} [yg^A(y, Q^2)]^2, \quad (7)$$

where the non-linear term tames the growth of the distribution functions at small x and leads to their suppression [15-17]. Here $xg^A(x, Q^2)$ is the gluon distribution function of nuclei and $F_2^A(x, Q^2) = \sum e_i^2 [xq_i^A(x, Q^2) + x\bar{q}_i^A(x, Q^2)]$ where e_i is the electric charge of the i -quark or antiquark and $q_i^A(x, Q^2)$ is the number density of the i -quarks in the nucleus.

In this paper, we examine the reduced cross sections for light and heavy nuclei at the EIC center-of-mass (COM) energy. We then explore the recombination of the derivative of the reduced cross section into $\ln Q^2$ across a wide range of light and heavy nuclei.

II. Deep inelastic lepton-nucleus scattering

The double differential cross section for deep inelastic scattering (DIS) of an electron-nucleus from an unpolarized nucleus in the one photon exchange approximation has the following form

$$\frac{d^2\sigma^A}{dx dQ^2} = \frac{2\pi\alpha^2}{xQ^2} Y_+ \sigma_r^A(x, Q^2). \quad (8)$$

¹ The nuclear parton distribution functions (PDFs) scale approximately as A .

Here, $Y_+ = 1 + (1 - y)^2$ and y represents the inelasticity. The nuclear reduced cross section σ_r^A can be standardly defined using the structure functions F_2^A and F_L^A as follows [6]

$$\sigma_r^A(x, Q^2) = F_2^A(x, Q^2) - \frac{y^2}{Y_+} F_L^A(x, Q^2). \quad (9)$$

The longitudinal structure function in nuclear deep inelastic scattering (nDIS) is an observable that can be used to unfold the gluon distribution [18]. nQCD provides the Altarelli-Martinelli equation [19] in the following form

$$F_L^A(x, Q^2) = \frac{\alpha_s(Q^2)}{2\pi} x^2 \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z^3} \left[\frac{8}{3} F_2^A(z, Q^2) + 4 \sum e_q^2 \left(1 - \frac{x}{z}\right) z g^A(z, Q^2) \right]. \quad (10)$$

The scheme-independent coefficient functions for the longitudinal structure function can be found in Ref.[20]. The nuclear effects for the eA scattering can be defined by the ratio of distribution functions as

$$R_{F_2}^A(x) = \frac{F_2^A(x, Q^2)}{A F_2(x, Q^2)}, \quad (11)$$

and

$$R_g^A(x) = \frac{x g^A(x, Q^2)}{A x g(x, Q^2)}, \quad (12)$$

where $xg(x, Q^2)$ and $F_2(x, Q^2)$ are respectively the gluon distribution and the structure function of a free nucleon. The expression for σ_r^A can be rewritten as a function of the structure function $F_2^A(x, Q^2)$ and the gluon distribution $xg^A(x, Q^2)$ of nuclei in the following form

$$\sigma_r^A(x, Q^2) = F_2^A(x, Q^2) - \frac{y^2 \alpha_s(Q^2)}{2\pi Y_+} x^2 \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z^3} \left[\frac{8}{3} F_2^A(z, Q^2) + 4 \sum e_q^2 \left(1 - \frac{x}{z}\right) z g^A(z, Q^2) \right]. \quad (13)$$

Nuclear effects are shown in the ratio of distribution functions. Parameterizations of the nuclear parton distribution functions have been proposed by some groups in Refs.[21-25] and extended in recent years in Refs.[26-28, 8]. The HIJING2.0 [26] parametrization which is in good agreement with the ALICE experiment at LHC energies, provides a more stringent constraint on gluon shadowing due to the impact parameter dependence of the shadowing as reported in Refs.[27-28] for light and heavy nuclei

$$R_{F_2}^A(x) = 1 + 1.19(\ln A)^{1/6}(x^3 - 1.2x^2 + 0.21x) - s_q \frac{5}{3}(1 - b^2/\mathcal{R}_A^2)(A^{1/3} - 1)^{0.6}(1 - 3.5\sqrt{x}) \exp(-x^2/0.01), \quad (14)$$

and

$$R_g^A(x) = 1 + 1.19(\ln A)^{1/6}(x^3 - 1.2x^2 + 0.21x) - s_g \frac{5}{3}(1 - b^2/\mathcal{R}_A^2)(A^{1/3} - 1)^{0.6}(1 - 1.5x^{0.35}) \exp(-x^2/0.004) \quad (15)$$

where $s_q = 0.1$, $s_g = 0.22 - 0.23$. In this case, the impact parameter b is chosen as central with $b = 0$ for light nuclei and peripheral with $b = 5$ fm for heavy nuclei [27-28].

The non-linear correction to the derivative of the nuclear structure function divided by A (according to Eq.(6)) is defined as follows

$$\frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial \Delta F_2^A(x, Q^2)}{\partial \ln Q^2} = 2n_f \frac{5}{18} \frac{27\alpha_s^2(Q^2)}{160\mathcal{R}_A^2 Q^2} A [R_g^A(x) x g(x, Q^2)]^2. \quad (16)$$

This equation defines the magnitude of the non-linear corrections as

$$\Delta F_2^A(x, Q^2) = F_2^A(x, Q^2)|_{\text{DGLAP}} - F_2^A(x, Q^2)|_{\text{Non-Linear}}.$$

Non-linear corrections can be determined from the inclusive nuclear cross section in the low x and Q^2 region. This behavior can be utilized in a derivative method in an EIC based on the cross section derivative. The derivative of the reduced cross section for nuclei is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \sigma_r^A(x, Q^2)}{\partial \ln Q^2} \Big|_{y=cte} &= \frac{\partial F_2^A(x, Q^2)}{\partial \ln Q^2} - \frac{y^2 \alpha_s(Q^2)}{2\pi Y_+} x^2 \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z^3} \left[\frac{8}{3} \left\{ \frac{\partial F_2^A(z, Q^2)}{\partial \ln Q^2} + \frac{\partial \ln \alpha_s(Q^2)}{\partial \ln Q^2} F_2^A(z, Q^2) \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 4 \sum e_q^2 \left(1 - \frac{x}{z}\right) \left\{ \frac{\partial z g^A(z, Q^2)}{\partial \ln Q^2} + \frac{\partial \ln \alpha_s(Q^2)}{\partial \ln Q^2} z g^A(z, Q^2) \right\} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Gluon recombination alters the behavior of the parton densities and introduces nonlinear effects. Consequently, the derivative of the nuclear reduced cross section is adjusted due to these nonlinear effects:

$$\frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial \sigma_r^A(x, Q^2)}{\partial \ln Q^2} \Big|_{\text{Non-Linear}} = \frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial \sigma_r^A(x, Q^2)}{\partial \ln Q^2} \Big|_{\text{Eq.17}} - 2n_f \frac{5}{18} \frac{27\alpha_s^2(Q^2)}{160\mathcal{R}_A^2 Q^2} A [R_g^A(x) x g(x, Q^2)]^2 - \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3), \quad (18)$$

where $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$ represents the non-linear effects to the derivative of the longitudinal structure function of nuclei as

$$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3) = A \frac{y^2 \alpha_s^3(Q^2)}{2\pi Y_+} \frac{x^2}{\mathcal{R}_A^2 Q^2} \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z^3} \left[\frac{2n_f}{8} [R_g^A(z) z g(z, Q^2)]^2 + \frac{81}{4} \sum e_q^2 \left(1 - \frac{x}{z}\right) \int_z^1 \frac{d\xi}{\xi} [R_g^A(\xi) \xi g(\xi, Q^2)]^2 \right], \quad (19)$$

where at moderate inelasticity we observe that the term $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$ is very small across a wide range of x , therefore

$$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3) \sim 0. \quad (20)$$

In conclusion, we can safely ignore this term and simplify Eq.(18) for the derivative of the reduced cross section of nuclei to the following form

$$\frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial \sigma_r^A(x, Q^2)}{\partial \ln Q^2} \Big|_{\text{Non-Linear}} \simeq \frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial \sigma_r^A(x, Q^2)}{\partial \ln Q^2} \Big|_{\text{Eq.17}} - 2n_f \frac{5}{18} \frac{27\alpha_s^2(Q^2)}{160\mathcal{R}_A^2 Q^2} A [R_g^A(x) x g(x, Q^2)]^2, \quad (21)$$

which is similar to the GLR-MQ evolution equations. Indeed, the effect of the non-linear corrections to the derivative of the reduced cross section of nuclei divided by A is defined as

$$\frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial \Delta \sigma_r^A(x, Q^2)}{\partial \ln Q^2} = 2n_f \frac{5}{18} \frac{27\alpha_s^2(Q^2)}{160\mathcal{R}_A^2 Q^2} A [R_g^A(x) x g(x, Q^2)]^2. \quad (22)$$

This is similar to the derivative of the structure functions of nuclei divided by A as

$$\frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial \Delta \sigma_r^A(x, Q^2)}{\partial \ln Q^2} \Big|_{y=cte} \simeq \frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial \Delta F_2^A(x, Q^2)}{\partial \ln Q^2}. \quad (23)$$

In the following, we consider the non-linear effects on the reduced cross section of nuclei divided by A, based on shadowing effects. The non-linear corrections at the initial scale Q_0^2 are adjusted by applying shadowing corrections [29] for $x < x_0 \equiv 10^{-2}$ through the nuclear parton distribution functions as

$$\begin{aligned} xg^A(x, Q_0^2) &\rightarrow xg^A(x, Q_0^2) \zeta^A(x, x_0, Q_0^2) \\ &\text{and} \\ xq_s^A(x, Q_0^2) &\rightarrow xq_s^A(x, Q_0^2) \zeta^A(x, x_0, Q_0^2), \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where

$$\zeta^A(x, x_0, Q_0^2) = \left\{ 1 + \theta(x_0 - x) \left[xg^A(x, Q_0^2) - xg^A(x_0, Q_0^2) \right] / xg_{\text{sat}}^A(x, Q_0^2) \right\}^{-1}, \quad (25)$$

with

$$xg_{\text{sat}}^A(x, Q^2) = \frac{16\mathcal{R}_A^2 Q^2}{27\pi\alpha_s(Q^2)}, \quad (26)$$

where g_{sat}^A is the value of the gluon that would saturate the unitarity limit in the leading shadowing approximation in nuclei. The non-linear corrections to the reduced cross sections of nuclei are defined by the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_r^A(x, Q^2) \Big|_{\text{Non-Linear}} &= F_2^A(x, Q^2) \Big|_{\text{Non-Linear}} - \frac{y^2 \alpha_s(Q^2)}{2\pi Y_+} x^2 \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z^3} \left[\frac{8}{3} F_2^A(z, Q^2) \Big|_{\text{Non-Linear}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 4 \sum e_q^2 \left(1 - \frac{x}{z}\right) z g^A(z, Q^2) \Big|_{\text{Non-Linear}} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where

$$F_2^A(x, Q^2) \Big|_{\text{Non-Linear}} = F_2^A(x, Q^2) + F_2^A(x, Q_0^2) (\zeta^A(x, x_0, Q_0^2) - 1) - 2n_f \frac{5}{18} \frac{27}{160\mathcal{R}_A^2} \int_{Q_0^2}^{Q^2} \frac{\alpha_s^2(q^2)}{q^2} [xg^A(x, q^2)]^2 d \ln q^2, \quad (28)$$

and

$$xg^A(x, Q^2)|_{\text{Non-Linear}} = xg^A(x, Q^2) + xg^A(x, Q_0^2)(\zeta^A(x, x_0, Q_0^2) - 1) - \frac{81}{16\mathcal{R}_A^2} \int_{Q_0^2}^{Q^2} \frac{\alpha_s^2(q^2)}{q^2} \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} [yg^A(y, Q^2)]^2 d\ln q^2. \quad (29)$$

Therefore, we find that the derivative of the reduced cross section divided by A, due to the non-linear corrections, is defined by the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{A} \Delta\sigma_r^A &= 2n_f \frac{5}{18} \frac{27}{160\mathcal{R}_A^2} A \int_{Q_0^2}^{Q^2} \frac{\alpha_s^2(q^2)}{q^2} [R_g^A(x) xg(x, q^2)]^2 d\ln q^2 - R_{F_2}^A(x) F_2(x, Q_0^2) (\zeta^A(x, x_0, Q_0^2) - 1) \\ &+ \frac{y^2 \alpha_s(Q^2)}{2\pi Y_+} x^2 \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z^3} (\zeta^A(z, x_0, Q_0^2) - 1) \left[\frac{8}{3} R_{F_2}^A(z) F_2(z, Q_0^2) + 4 \sum e_q^2 \left(1 - \frac{x}{z}\right) R_g^A(z) zg(z, Q_0^2) \right] \\ &- \frac{A}{8\mathcal{R}_A^2} \frac{y^2 \alpha_s(Q^2)}{\pi Y_+} x^2 \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z^3} \left\{ n_f \int_{Q_0^2}^{Q^2} \frac{\alpha_s^2(q^2)}{q^2} [R_g^A(z) zg(z, q^2)]^2 d\ln q^2 \right. \\ &\left. + 81 \sum e_q^2 \left(1 - \frac{x}{z}\right) \int_{Q_0^2}^{Q^2} \frac{\alpha_s^2(q^2)}{q^2} \int_z^1 \frac{d\xi}{\xi} [R_g^A(\xi) \xi g(\xi, q^2)]^2 d\ln q^2 \right\}. \quad (30) \end{aligned}$$

In the following analysis, the gluon distribution and the proton structure functions are defined using the Donnachie-Landshoff (DL) [30] and Block et al. [31-32] methods (please see the Appendix).

Therefore, the non-linear correction to the nuclear shadowing effect, which is associated with the modification of the target gluon recombination, is defined as

$$\frac{\partial \Delta\sigma_r^A(x, Q^2)}{A \partial \Delta\sigma_r(x, Q^2)} \Big|_{y=cte} \simeq \frac{\partial \Delta F_2^A(x, Q^2)}{A \partial \Delta F_2(x, Q^2)} = A (R_g^A)^2 \frac{\mathcal{R}^2}{\mathcal{R}_A^2}. \quad (31)$$

This equation (i.e., Eq.(31)) predicts the modification of the magnetite due to non-linear corrections from gluon recombination in nucleons and nuclei. This new phenomenon could be a key factor in the Color Glass Condensate (CGC) [34] theory. The non-linear correction to shadowing in nuclei (i.e., Eq.(31)) is examined by comparing the non-linear corrections to the structure functions per nucleon for various nuclei².

III. Results and Conclusions

The QCD parameter Λ is extracted from the running coupling $\alpha_s(Q^2)$, where $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} = 0.12$ GeV yields $\alpha_s(M_z^2) = 0.118$ for the one-loop coupling, with the number of active flavors being $n_f = 4$. The behavior of the reduced cross section and the non-linear corrections to the derivative of the nuclear reduced cross section are determined for the light nucleus of C-12 and the heavy nucleus of Pb-208 at the hot-spot point $\mathcal{R}_A = 1.25A^{1/3}$ fm in Figs.1-6. The results are reported for the kinematic range relevant for the EIC ($\sqrt{s} = 89$ GeV and y less than roughly 1), which is evident in the fact that x values are plotted down to 0.65×10^{-3} (or $y \simeq 0.97$) for $Q^2 = 5$ GeV² ($x = Q^2/ys$) and 0.13×10^{-2} for $Q^2 = 10$ GeV².

For comparison with the nCTEQ15 nPDFs [33] results, we calculate the expression $\frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln Q^2} \Delta F_2^A(x, Q^2)$, which quantifies the effect of non-linear corrections. In Fig.1, the non-linear corrections to $\frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln Q^2} \Delta F_2^A(x, Q^2)$ for the heavy nucleus of Pb-208 are plotted as a function of the momentum fraction x at $Q^2 = 5$ GeV². The results are determined with respect to the DL (square-purple) [30] and Block et al (circle-brown) [31-32] methods. They are also compared to the nCTEQ15 parametrization with uncertainties at corresponding values of Q^2 represented by the solid curve (red, $\mathcal{R} = 2$ GeV⁻¹), the dashed curve (blue, $\mathcal{R} = 5$ GeV⁻¹) and the dashed-dot curve (black, $\mathcal{R} = 1.25A^{1/3}$ fm). These results are comparable to the nCTEQ15 parametrization at $\mathcal{R} = 1.25A^{1/3}$ fm. The nCTEQ15 parametrization results in a wide range of x are flat, while our results increase as x decreases. This difference is due to the behavior of the DL and Block et al gluon distribution functions. With an increase in Q^2 values, the nCTEQ15 parametrization results increase as x decreases. In Fig.2, we show this behavior for the heavy nucleus of Pb-208 at $Q^2 = 10$ GeV².

² The nuclear ratio in the presence of saturation, considering geometric scaling, is discussed in Ref.[35] with a simple parameterization for the unintegrated gluon distribution based on the asymptotic solutions of the Balitsky-Kovchegov (BK) equation [36].




FIG. 1: The non-linear corrections to $\frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln Q^2} \Delta F_2^A(x, Q^2)$ for the heavy nucleus of Pb-208 are shown as a function of the momentum fraction x at $Q^2 = 5 \text{ GeV}^2$ at $\mathcal{R}_A = 1.25A^{1/3} \text{ fm}$. These results are determined by the DL (square-purple) [30] and Block et al (circle-brown) [31-32] gluon distributions and compared with the nCETQ15 parametrization [33] results at $\mathcal{R} = 2 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ (solid curve-red), $\mathcal{R} = 5 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ (dashed curve- blue) and $\mathcal{R} = 1.25A^{1/3} \text{ fm}$ (dashed- dot curve- black) with uncertainties.




FIG. 2: The same as Fig.1 for Pb-208 at $Q^2 = 10 \text{ GeV}^2$.

Our results are comparable to the nCTEQ15 parametrization results accompanied by uncertainties at small x values. The difference between the results with the nCTEQ15 parametrization results at $\mathcal{R} = 1.25A^{1/3} \text{ fm}$ at moderate x values is due to the gluon dominance solely in our results.

In the following, we present the non-linear corrections to the derivative of the nuclear reduced cross section into $\ln Q^2$ divided by A , $\frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln Q^2} \Delta \sigma_r^A(x, Q^2)$, for the light nucleus of C-12 and the heavy nucleus of Pb-208 according to the EIC COM energy at the fixed value of the inelasticity y (for $y = 0.2$ and $y = 0.6$). In Figs.3 and 4, these results are obtained with respect to the DL [30] and the Block et al., methods [31-32] are presented respectively. We observe that these non-linear corrections are visible at high inelasticity and small Q^2 values for the light nucleus of C-12 and the heavy nucleus of Pb-208.

In Fig.5, we present results of our numerical studies to the non-linear corrections of the nuclear reduced cross section divided by A , $\frac{1}{A} \Delta \sigma_r^A(x, Q^2)$, for the light nucleus of C-12 and the heavy nucleus of Pb-208 according to the EIC COM




FIG. 3: Results of $\frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln Q^2} \Delta \sigma_r^A(x, Q^2)$ are shown as a function of Q^2 at $y = 0.2$ (left) and $y = 0.6$ (right) for the light nucleus of C-12 (black-solid curve) and the heavy nucleus of Pb-208 (red-dashed curve) due to the DL method [30].




FIG. 4: The same as Fig.3 due to the Block et al., method [31-32].

energy at the fixed value of Q^2 (for $Q^2 = 5$ and 10 GeV^2). In Fig.5, these results are presented with respect to the gluon distribution of the DL [30] method. We observe that these non-linear corrections are visible at low x values for the light nucleus of C-12 and the heavy nucleus of Pb-208.

In Fig.6, the ratio $\frac{\partial \Delta \sigma_r^A(x, Q^2)}{A \partial \Delta \sigma_r(x, Q^2)}|_{y=cte}$ is shown, which is approximately equal to $\frac{\partial \Delta F_2^A(x, Q^2)}{A \partial \Delta F_2(x, Q^2)} = A(R_g^A)^2 \frac{\mathcal{R}^2}{\mathcal{R}_A^2}$. This comparison is made between for the light nucleus of C-12 and the heavy nucleus Pb-208 as a function of Bjorken- x to determine the non-linear correction to the saturation effect in nuclei. It is evident that the magnitude of shadowing due to the non-linear corrections is well-defined. This indicates that shadowing effects resulting from the non-linear corrections can be readily constrained at the EIC for $x < 10^{-2}$, which strongly depends on the proton hot-spot point and the mass number A . Therefore, by measuring $A(R_g^A)^2 \frac{\mathcal{R}^2}{\mathcal{R}_A^2}$, it is possible to determine the existence and magnitude of the non-linear correction to the shadowing effect in the GLR-MQ evolution equation, which is a crucial quantity for probing nuclear effects and QCD dynamics at small- x .

In conclusion, we have examined the non-linear corrections for various values of x and found that the shadowing effect in the GLR-MQ equations increases for heavy nuclei. We have analyzed the behavior of the logarithmic slopes




FIG. 5: Results of $\frac{1}{A}\Delta\sigma_r^A(x, Q^2)$ are shown as a function of Q^2 at $Q^2 = 5 \text{ GeV}^2$ (left) and $Q^2 = 10 \text{ GeV}^2$ (right) for the light nucleus of C-12 (black-square points) and the heavy nucleus of Pb-208 (red-circle points) due to the DL method [30].




FIG. 6: Ratio $\frac{\partial\Delta\sigma_r^A(x, Q^2)}{A\partial\Delta\sigma_r(x, Q^2)}|_{y=cte} \simeq \frac{\partial\Delta F_2^A(x, Q^2)}{A\partial\Delta F_2(x, Q^2)} = A(R_g^A)^2 \frac{\mathcal{R}_A^2}{\mathcal{R}_A^2}$ as a function of Bjorken- x for the light nucleus of C-12 and the heavy nucleus Pb-208 with $\mathcal{R}_A = 1.25A^{1/3} \text{ fm}$. R_g^A is predicted by the HIJING parametrization. Solid curves represent the ratio for the light nucleus of C-12 (Black) and heavy nucleus Pb-208 (Red) with $\mathcal{R} = 2 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ and dashed curves represent the ratio for the light nucleus of C-12 (Green) and heavy nucleus Pb-208 (Blue) with $\mathcal{R} = 5 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$.

of the nuclear structure function and the nuclear reduced cross section in the kinematic region of future electron-ion colliders (LHeC, FCC-eh and EIC). These results, using the HIJING parametrization, suggest a decrease in the nuclear cross section in future electron-ion colliders. The growth of the reduced cross section divided by A for the heavy nucleus Pb-208 and the light nucleus C-12 at small x is controlled at low values of Q^2 at the hot spot point $\mathcal{R}_A = 1.25A^{1/3} \text{ fm}$. This gluonic hot spot structure in the nucleus is significant for EIC collisions. The magnitude of $\frac{1}{A}\frac{\partial}{\partial\ln Q^2}\Delta\sigma_r^A(x, Q^2)$ and $\frac{1}{A}\Delta\sigma_r^A(x, Q^2)$ increases as x decreases and the atomic number A increases. The behavior of $\frac{1}{A}\frac{\partial}{\partial\ln Q^2}\Delta F_2^A(x, Q^2)$ for the heavy nucleus Pb-208 is compared to the results of the nCTEQ15 parametrization at $Q^2 = 5$ and 10 GeV^2 . Our analysis indicates that the non-linear corrections are quite significant at $x \sim 10^{-3}$ and high inelasticity according to the EIC COM. These results demonstrate that the inclusive observables are sensitive to the non-linear corrections. Drawing firm conclusions about the QCD dynamics from the nuclear reduced cross sections in

the kinematic range of future electron-ion experiments is possible.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is grateful to Razi University for the financial support provided for this project. Additionally, the author would like to express thanks to Professor Vadim Guzey for his helpful comments and invaluable support.

APPENDIX

For the reduced cross-section of nuclei, as previously mentioned, we require the nucleon distribution functions to be in terms of the variables x and Q^2 . The gluon distribution function and the proton structure function are initially parametrized by Donnachie-Landshoff [30] for the deep inelastic structure function in electromagnetic scattering with protons. The structure function $F_2(x, Q^2)$ parametrized by Donnachie-Landshoff, at large $W = \sqrt{s}$, is expressed as

$$F_2(x, Q^2) \sim f_0(Q^2)x^{-\epsilon_0}, \quad (32)$$

where

$$f_0(Q^2) = X_0(Q^2)^{1+\epsilon_0} (1 + Q^2/Q_0^2)^{-1-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0}. \quad (33)$$

The proton structure function data indicates the presence of a hard pomeron, with an intercept of $1 + \epsilon_0$ at small x . The fitted results to the ZEUS and H1 data in the range $x < 0.001$ and $0.045 \leq Q^2 \leq 35 \text{ GeV}^2$ are provided as follows[30]:

$$X_0 = 0.00146, \quad Q_0^2 = 9.11 \text{ GeV}^2, \quad \epsilon_0 = 0.437$$

The charmed quark component F_2^c of F_2 is predominantly influenced by hard pomeron exchange at small x . Therefore, a numerical fit to the solution of the DGLAP evolution for the gluon distribution at small x is defined as [30]:

$$xg(x, Q^2) \sim 0.95(Q^2)^{1+\epsilon_0} (1 + Q^2/0.5)^{-1-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0} x^{-\epsilon_0}. \quad (34)$$

The Donnachie-Landshoff parametrization of the distribution functions has a limited range of applicability. In Ref.[31], the authors have presented a parametrization of F_2 that applies to large and small Q^2 using the proposed Froissart-bound. This parameterization provides an excellent fit to all available ZEUS and H1 data across a wide range of x and Q^2 . The explicit expression for the BBT parametrization [32] is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(x, Q^2) = & (1-x) \left[\frac{F_P}{1-x_P} + (a_0 + \sum_{m=1}^2 a_m \right. \\ & \times \ln^m(Q^2)) \ln \left[\frac{x_P(1-x)}{x(1-x_P)} \right] \\ & \left. + (b_0 + \sum_{m=1}^2 b_m \ln^m(Q^2)) \ln^2 \left[\frac{x_P(1-x)}{x(1-x_P)} \right] \right], \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

with $F_P = 0.41$ and $x_P = 0.09$ (The other coefficients are shown in Table I). In Ref.[31], the authors have derived a second-order linear differential equation for the leading-order gluon distribution function directly from the proton structure function parametrization. The analytical solution of the gluon distribution for $0 < x \lesssim x_P$ is defined

$$xg(x, Q^2) = -\frac{1}{\omega} \int^x \frac{dz}{z} \left(\frac{z}{x}\right)^k \sin \left(\omega \ln \left(\frac{z}{x}\right) \right) \mathcal{G}(z, Q^2), \quad (36)$$

where $k = -3/2$ and $\omega = \sqrt{7}/2$, the function $\mathcal{G}(v, Q^2)$ parametrized in $v = \ln(1/x)$ reads

$$\mathcal{G}(v, Q^2) = \alpha(Q^2) + \beta(Q^2)v + \gamma(Q^2)v^2. \quad (37)$$

The coefficients of the function are quadratic polynomials in $\ln Q^2$ [31].

TABLE I: The effective parameters [31] in the domain $0.11 \text{ GeV}^2 \leq Q^2 \leq 1200 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $10^{-4} \lesssim x \lesssim 0.09$.

parameters	value
a_0	$-5.381 \times 10^{-2} \pm 2.17 \times 10^{-3}$
a_1	$2.034 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.19 \times 10^{-3}$
a_2	$4.999 \times 10^{-4} \pm 2.23 \times 10^{-4}$
b_0	$9.955 \times 10^{-3} \pm 3.09 \times 10^{-4}$
b_1	$3.810 \times 10^{-3} \pm 1.73 \times 10^{-4}$
b_2	$9.923 \times 10^{-4} \pm 2.85 \times 10^{-5}$

REFERENCES

1. K.J.Eskola et al, arXiv[hep-ph]:0110348.
2. A. Deshpande, R. Milner, R. Venugopalan and W. Vogelsang, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **55**, 165 (2005).
3. A. Accardi et al., Eur. Phys. J. A **52**, 268 (2016); R. Abdul Khalek et al., Nucl. Phys. A **1026**, 122447 (2022).
4. J.L. Abelleira Fernandez et al., J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **39**, 075001 (2012); P. Agostini et al., J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **48**, 110501 (2021).
5. FCC Collaboration (A. Abada et al.), Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, 474 (2019); FCC Collaboration (A. Abada et al.), Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top. **228**, 755 (2019).
6. Anna M.Stasto, Acta Physica Polonica B **16**, 7-A23 (2023).
7. F.Willeke, Report Number:BNL-221006-2021- FORE, DOI:10.2172/1765663.
8. N.Armesto et al., Phys. Rev. D **105**, 114017 (2023); N.Armesto, Eur.Phys.J.C **26**, 35 (2002).
9. L. V. Gribov, E. M. Levin and M. G. Ryskin, Phys. Rept. **100**, 1 (1983).
10. A. H. Mueller and J.-w. Qiu, Nucl. Phys. B **268**, 427 (1986).
11. J.-w. Qiu, Nucl. Phys. B **291**, 746 (1987).
12. W. Zhu, Phys.Lett.B **389**, 374 (1996).
13. Y. Cai, X.Wang and X.Chen, arXiv [hep-ph]:2401.15651.
14. M. Froissart, Phys. Rev. **123**, 1053 (1961); A. Martin, Phys. Rev. **129**, 1432 (1963).
15. J.Rausch, V.Guzey and M.Klasen, Phys. Rev. D **107**, 054003 (2023).
16. P.Duwentaster, V.Guzey, L.Helenius and H.Paukkunen, arXiv: 2312.12993.
17. S.Demirci, T.Lappi and S.Schlichting, arXiv[hep-ph]:2312.14585.
18. E.R. Cazaroto, F. Carvalho, V.P. Goncalves and F.S. Navarra, Phys.Lett.B **669**, 331 (2008).
19. G. Altarelli and G. Martinelli, Phys. Lett. B **76**, 89 (1978).
20. S.Moch,J.A.M.Vermaseren and A.Vogt, Phys.Lett.B **606**, 123 (2005).
21. K. J. Eskola, V. J. Kolhinen and C. A. Salgado, Eur. Phys. J. C **9**, 61 (1999).
22. D. de Florian and R. Sassot, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 074028 (2004).
23. M. Hirai, S. Kumano and T. H. Nagai, Phys. Rev. C **76**, 065207 (2007).
24. K. J. Eskola, H. Paukkunen and C. A. Salgado, arXiv[hep-ph]:0802.0139.
25. Wei-tian Deng, Xin-Nian Wang and R. Xu, Phys.Lett.B **701**, 133 (2011).
- 26 X.-N. Wang and M. Gyulassy, Phys. Rev. D **44**, 3501 (1991); Comput. Phys. Commun. **83**, 307 (1994) ; X.-N. Wang, Phys. Rept. **280**, 287 (1997).
27. S. -Y. Li and X. -N. Wang, Phys. Lett. B **527**, 85 (2002).
28. W. -T. Deng, X. -N.Wang and R. Xu, Phys.Rev.C **83**, 014915 (2011).
29. J. Kwiecinski et al., Phys. Rev. D **42**, 3645 (1990).
30. A. Donnachie and P. V. Landshoff Z. Phys. C **61**, 139 (1994); Phys.Lett.B **533**, 277 (2002); Phys.Lett.B **550**, 160 (2002); Phys.Lett.B **595**, 393 (2004).
31. Martin M. Block, Loyal Durand and Douglas W. McKay, Phys.Rev.D **77**, 094003 (2008).
32. E. L. Berger, M. M. Block and C-I Tan, Phys.Rev.Lett. **98**, 242001, (2007).
33. K. Kovarik, A. Kusina, T. Jezo,et al. Phys. Rev. D **93**, 085037 (2016).
34. E. Iancu and R. Venugopalan, arXiv:hep-ph/0303204; A. M. Stasto, Acta Phys. Polon. B **35**, 3069 (2004); H.

- Weigert, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **55**, 461 (2005); J. Jalilian-Marian and Y. V. Kovchegov, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **56**, 104 (2006).
35. M.A.Betemps and M.V.T.Machado, Eur.Phys.J.C **65**, 427 (2010).
36. I. I. Balitsky, Nucl. Phys. B **463**, 99 (1996); Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 2024 (1998); Phys. Lett. B **518**, 235 (2001); Y. V. Kovchegov, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 034008 (1999); Phys. Rev. D **61**, 074018 (2000).