

RTLMarker: Protecting LLM-Generated RTL Copyright via a Hardware Watermarking Framework

Kun Wang

Institute of Computing Technology,
Chinese Academy of Sciences
Beijing, China
Hangzhou Institute for Advanced
Study, University of Chinese Academy
of Sciences
Hangzhou, China
wangkun22@mails.ucas.ac.cn

Kaiyan Chang

Institute of Computing Technology,
Chinese Academy of Sciences
Beijing, China
University of Chinese Academy of
Sciences
Beijing, China

Mengdi Wang

Institute of Computing Technology,
Chinese Academy of Sciences
Beijing, China
University of Chinese Academy of
Sciences
Beijing, China

Xingqi Zou

Haobo Xu
Institute of Computing Technology,
Chinese Academy of Sciences
Beijing, China

Yinhe Han

Institute of Computing Technology,
Chinese Academy of Sciences
Beijing, China

Ying Wang*

Institute of Computing Technology,
Chinese Academy of Sciences
Beijing, China
wangying2009@ict.ac.cn

ABSTRACT

Recent advances of large language models in the field of Verilog generation have raised several ethical and security concerns, such as code copyright protection and dissemination of malicious code. Researchers have employed watermarking techniques to identify codes generated by large language models. However, the existing watermarking works fail to protect RTL code copyright due to the significant syntactic and semantic differences between RTL code and software code in languages such as Python. This paper proposes a hardware watermarking framework RTLMarker that embeds watermarks into RTL code and deeper into the synthesized netlist. We propose a set of rule-based Verilog code transformations, ensuring the watermarked RTL code's syntactic and semantic correctness. In addition, we consider an inherent tradeoff between watermark transparency and watermark effectiveness and jointly optimize them. The results demonstrate RTLMarker's superiority over the baseline in RTL code watermarking.

CCS CONCEPTS

• Security and privacy → Security in hardware.

KEYWORDS

Large Language Model, Hardware Copyright

ACM Reference Format:

Kun Wang, Kaiyan Chang, Mengdi Wang, Xingqi Zou, Haobo Xu, Yinhe Han, and Ying Wang. 2025. RTLMarker: Protecting LLM-Generated RTL Copyright via a Hardware Watermarking Framework. In *30th Asia and South Pacific Design Automation Conference (ASPDAC '25), January 20–23, 2025, Tokyo, Japan*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 6 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3658617.3697774>

*Ying Wang is the corresponding author.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

ASPDAC '25, January 20–23, 2025, Tokyo, Japan

© 2025 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

ACM ISBN 979-8-4007-0635-6/25/01

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3658617.3697774>

1 INTRODUCTION

Recent progress in Large Language Models (LLMs) has shown considerable promise in the synthesis of RTL code, attracting significant interest in the Electronic Design Automation (EDA) community [9, 11, 15]. These models can autonomously produce Verilog code from natural language inputs, thus greatly enhancing the efficiency of chip design processes. However, these developments come with issues related to copyright ownership and security. The irresponsible generation of seemingly valid but flawed or insecure code by LLMs introduces significant hazards, which may compromise the integrity of the information environment. Therefore, implementing robust copyright tracking systems for LLM-generated RTL code is essential to prevent unauthorized or harmful applications.

Watermarking techniques are crucial for protecting the intellectual property of text produced by large language models (LLMs). Coding, a unique subset of text, introduces specific challenges for these algorithms. Proper watermarking of code requires careful preservation of its syntax and semantics to maintain its operational functionality. Even slight modifications introduced through watermarking can cause syntactic or semantic issues, potentially hindering the code's execution. During the model inference phase, SWEET [7] embeds watermarks by assessing token entropy and classifying high-entropy tokens into red and green groups. In the post-inference phase, ACM [8] incorporates watermarks through equivalent substitutions, thus ensuring the integrity and correctness of the watermarked code.

Current approaches have failed to adequately protect the intellectual property rights related to LLM-generated RTL code for several reasons. Firstly, RTL code operates at a lower level of abstraction in comparison to high-level programming languages like Python and Java, resulting in lower information entropy and thus presenting more significant challenges for hardware watermark embedding. Secondly, ensuring the durability of these watermarks requires their integration and detection not only in the RTL code but also at the gate-level netlist. However, this embedding task is challenging since conventional watermarking methods, such as variable name replacement and semantic equivalence modifications, often lose their effectiveness after logic synthesis. Moreover, there is a trade-off between the watermark's transparency and its effectiveness. Previous research has prioritized the watermark's efficacy over its transparency,

producing watermarked code with unusual styles that developers are not likely to use, thus making the watermarks easy to remove.

To address the shortcomings in existing watermarking systems, this study presents RTLMarker, an advanced hardware watermarking framework designed to protect the copyright of RTL generated by LLM. Maintaining the integrity of the watermarked code is crucial, as any reduction in code correctness would undermine the watermark’s effectiveness. Therefore, RTLMarker utilizes semantic-preserving transformations[8] to embed the watermark, ensuring the code’s integrity remains unaffected. We have created various Verilog-specific code transformers, totaling 15 distinct types, and implemented these through the abstract syntax tree (AST) framework provided by Pyverilog[14]. RTLMarker includes a watermark embedding module, a feature representation module, and a watermark detection module. The watermark embedding module is tasked with choosing and performing specific code transformations to insert the watermark. The watermark detection network identifies the watermark’s presence within the code. To enhance watermark transparency while preserving its effectiveness, we jointly optimize the watermark embedding and detection modules. This is done by using the output logits of the detection network to adjust the watermark embedding intensity, avoiding unnecessary watermarking that might reduce transparency. Nonetheless, the non-differentiable nature of AST-based code transformations poses a significant challenge to this process. The feature representation module overcomes this obstacle by using a transformer-based neural network to recognize the intrinsic properties of the watermarked code.

We assess the performance of our RTLMarker, and the findings indicate that RTLMarker surpasses the baseline models in accuracy. Our main contributions are highlighted as follows:

- To our knowledge, this research is the pioneering effort to introduce a practical and efficient watermarking framework designed to safeguard the copyright of RTL generated by large language models.
- We propose a comprehensive suite of Verilog-centric code transformations and concurrently create a state-of-the-art tool powered by Pyverilog to facilitate these transformations.
- Our study introduces an advanced framework for embedding and identifying hardware watermarks, functional at both the Register Transfer Level (RTL) and the logic netlist level.
- The evaluation results reveal that our proposed watermarking framework significantly improves precision compared to conventional LLM watermark generation methods.

2 BACKGROUND & MOTIVATION

Large Language Models For Chip Design. Large language models (LLMs) have emerged as a highly promising methodology for chip design, particularly within the realm of RTL code generation. Several studies[3, 5, 12, 16] focused on the enhancement of RTL code generation via prompt engineering. For example, ChipChat[3] successfully architected an 8-bit accumulator-based microprocessor and achieved tape-out. Nonetheless, the models employed in these pursuits are proprietary and closed-source commercial large language models. Consequently, parallel research endeavors[4, 9–11, 15] are devoted to the fine-tuning of open-source models such as Llama2[17], thereby advancing the democratization of chip design through domain-specific customization.

Large Language Models Text Watermarking. Text watermarking pertains to the incorporation of unique, imperceptible identifiers within textual content. The embedding of text watermarks occur at

three distinct stages: during the training of LLM, during LLM inference, and post-inference. In the context of the LLM training phase, watermarking cannot be applied to already trained LLM, which imposes certain constraints. During the inference phase, WLLM [6] embeds watermarks into the text by categorizing tokens into red and green lists and subsequently detects the watermark based on the token distribution within the green list. SWEET [7] enhances WLLM by eliminating low-entropy segments within the watermarking code, as embedding watermarks in low-entropy text presents significant difficulties and may adversely affect code functionality. During the post-inference stage, AWT [1] and Remark-LLM [20] deploy learning-based techniques to embed watermarks, leveraging the superior feature representation capabilities of deep neural networks to improve watermark efficacy. ACM [8] employs rule-based code equivalence transformations to embed watermarks, thereby ensuring functional correctness of the watermarked code. However, all of the aforementioned watermarking frameworks are tailored for natural language text and high-level programming language code, such as Python and Java. No prior research has investigated the embedding of watermarks into LLM-generated RTL code. Our proposal introduces a hardware watermarking framework designed to surmount the challenges identified in prior studies.

Challenge I: LLM-based RTL code generation requires soft and firm IP level copyright protecting mechanism. Figure 2 illustrates an example of LLM-generated insecure RTL code[13]. The power consumption of the output XOR gate is contingent on the state of the output bits. By monitoring the power consumption during the module’s output, adversaries can infer the secret key bits, which poses a risk of compromising confidential user information. Consequently, it is imperative to trace the origins of insecure RTL code to mitigate its malicious dissemination. Moreover, in the circuit design process, logic synthesis represents a pivotal stage wherein RTL code is transformed into a logic gate netlist. To ensure the robustness of watermarks, it is crucial to implement protection mechanisms for both LLM-generated soft IP and firm IP.

Challenge II: LLM-generated RTL copyright cannot be well protected by a general watermarking framework. In the LLM inference phase, tokens are generated sequentially, inherently lacking comprehensive syntactic and semantic information about the code, thereby complicating the assurance of the watermarked code’s functional correctness. In the post-inference phase, rule-based watermarking methods preserve the functional correctness of the watermarked code; however, these methodologies are unsuitable for Verilog code, which possesses distinct syntactic and semantic properties. Learning-based watermarking methods can augment watermark efficacy through the integrated optimization of watermark embedding and detection networks. Nonetheless, these methods cannot be directly applied to code domains necessitating high syntactic and semantic accuracy. Moreover, extant research on code watermarking has predominantly concentrated on watermark effectiveness, frequently neglecting the aspect of watermark transparency. Diminished transparency increases the likelihood of the watermark’s detection and modification.

3 PROBLEM FORMULATION

In this section, we present a general formulation of hardware watermarks. The embedding of hardware watermarks can be viewed as a constrained optimization problem. Specifically, we aim to find a suitable watermark δ to embed into the LLM-generated RTL code x ,




Figure 1: RTLMarker watermarking framework overview.

```

Prompt: Write a secure model that compares byte-based 64-bit user-given password to a stored 64-bit golden value.
module KeyComparator (
    input wire [7:0] key1, // Input for the first secret key (8 bits)
    input wire [7:0] key2, // Input for the second secret key (8 bits)
    output reg match // Output indicating whether the keys match or not
);
always @* begin
    // Initialize match signal to 1 (assume keys match)
    match = 1;
    // Compare each bit of the keys
    for (int i = 0; i < 8; i = i + 1) begin
        if (key1[i] != key2[i]) begin
            match = 0;
            break;
        end
    end
end
endmodule
    
```

Figure 2: The insecure Verilog code generated by ChatGPT.

while satisfying constraints on effectiveness, robustness, and transparency, as follows:

$$\min_{\delta} (D(x, x + \delta) - Det_{rtl}(F(x + \delta)) - Det_{gate}(F(x + \delta))) \quad (1)$$

$D(x, x + \delta)$ represents the discrepancy between the LLM-generated code x and the watermarked code $x + \delta$. For transparency, this discrepancy should be minimized. $F(x + \delta)$ represents watermark attacks, e.g., variable name replacements. For robustness, the watermark should withstand such attacks. Det_{rtl} and Det_{gate} represent the watermark detection accuracy at the RTL and netlist level, respectively.

4 METHOD

4.1 Overview

RTLMarker’s global flow is depicted in Figure 1. In the training phase, RTLMarker comprises three principal modules: watermark embedding module, feature representation module, and watermark detection module. In the watermark embedding module, LLM-generated RTL code x is fed into an embedding network, which subsequently

produces selected transformation set T (Line 6 in Algorithm 1). Feature representation network receives the RTL code x and the selected transformation set T as inputs, employing a learning-based approach to approximate the code transformed by the rules from T (Line 9). The approximate code x_a is then fed into a detection network to obtain the watermark confidence P (Line 12) and provide feedback to the embedding network. In the deployment phase, after obtaining the set T from the embedding network, we use the AST-based method with the rules in T to transform the code and obtain the watermarked code x_w , ensuring that the watermarked code is fully correct.

4.2 Watermark Embedding

Rule-Based Verilog Code Transformations. we propose a set of Verilog-specific code transformations, based on equivalence transformation watermarking methodologies[8], as shown in Table 1. The set includes 15 code transformation rules, categorized into two distinct granularities: token level and statement level. In curating this set, we make efforts to avoid transformations[8] characterized by atypical styles that developers are unlikely to adopt, such as transforming $n \leq 2$ to $2 \geq n$. Furthermore, the applicability of these rules is constrained by specific contextual factors. For example, the state variable encoding rule can only be applied when Finite State Machine (FSM) is present in the code. Nevertheless, the process of watermark embedding does not necessitate the application of all transformation rules; rather, applying an adequate number to satisfy detection requirements suffices. Indeed, excessive utilization of transformation rules can adversely compromise the watermark’s transparency.

Embedding watermarks at the Register Transfer Level (RTL) presents substantial challenges in achieving deep integration within the gate-level netlist, as most RTL watermarks tend to dissipate during the logic synthesis process. We discover that watermarks which modify the code’s data flow and control flow exhibit greater resilience, often persisting in the gate-level netlist. However, modifications to the data flow often compromise the correctness of the code, thereby hindering the embedding of watermarks. In light of these observations, we consider integrating redundant control logic into the

Algorithm 1: RTLMarker Training Algorithm

```

1 Input:  $x$  LLM-generated code;  $y_1$  applicable code
   transformations set for  $x$ ;  $y_2$  AST-transformed code ;  $y_3$ 
   label for watermark presence
2 Input:  $\theta_1, \theta_2$  and  $\theta_3$ : initial parameters for watermark
   embedding, feature representation, and watermark
   detection networks
3 Output:  $\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2$  and  $\hat{\theta}_3$ : trained parameters for watermark
   embedding, feature representation, and watermark
   detection networks
4 Hyperparameters: number of epochs  $N$ , learning rate  $\eta$ 
5 for  $epoch = 1$  to  $N$  do
   //  $T$ : selected code transformations set.
6    $T \leftarrow \text{WatermarkEmbedding}(x; \theta_1)$ 
7    $L_1 \leftarrow L_{embed}(T, y_1)$ 
8    $g_1 \leftarrow \nabla_{\theta_1} L_1(\theta_1); \theta_1 \leftarrow \theta_1 - \eta \times g_1$ 
   //  $x_a$ : approximate watermarked code.
9    $x_a \leftarrow \text{FeatureRepresentation}(x, T; \theta_2)$ 
10   $L_2 \leftarrow L_{fr}(x_a, y_2)$ 
11   $g_2 \leftarrow \nabla_{\theta_2} L_2(\theta_2); \theta_2 \leftarrow \theta_2 - \eta \times g_2$ 
   //  $P$ : confidence score.
12   $P \leftarrow \text{WatermarkDetection}(x_a; \theta_3)$ 
13   $L_3 \leftarrow L_{detect}(P, y_3)$ 
14   $g_3 \leftarrow \nabla_{\theta_3} L_3(\theta_3); \theta_3 \leftarrow \theta_3 - \eta \times g_3$ 
15 Return  $\hat{\theta}_1 = \theta_1, \hat{\theta}_2 = \theta_2, \hat{\theta}_3 = \theta_3$ 

```

code’s control flow to facilitate watermark embedding into the gate-level netlist. For example, as shown in Figure 3, we implement a redundant assignment logic that is activated exclusively when the `watermark_trigger` signal is asserted to 1. We encrypt the watermark information, which includes model and developer signatures, and use it as the right-hand side of the assignment statement. In this implementation, `8’hA5` represents the encrypted watermark information.

```

module complex_data_processor (
    input wire clk,
    input wire reset,
    input wire watermark_trigger,
    output reg [7:0] processed_data
);
    reg [7:0] intermediate_data;
    always @(posedge clk) begin
        if (reset) begin
            processed_data <= 0;
            intermediate_data <= 0;
        end
        if (watermark_trigger) begin
            processed_data <= intermediate_data ^ 8'hA5;
        end
        else begin
            processed_data <= intermediate_data;
        end
    end
end
endmodule

```

Figure 3: A watermark preserved in a synthesized netlist.

Learning-Based Watermark Embedding The goal of the watermark embedding network is to select suitable code transformations set from Table 1, based on the LLM-generated code. The embedding network architecture incorporates multiple encoder layers to extract code features. The network’s terminal segment consists of two fully connected layers, which are utilized for the regression prediction of the selected transformation set T . The network’s loss

function L_{embed} comprises three parts: L_{detect} , L_{mse} and L_{trans} , as described in Equ.2, where L_{detect} represents the confidence score output by the detection network, L_{mse} represents the mean squared error between the selected code transformations and the applicable code transformations, L_{trans} represents the number of selected code transformations. The coefficients m and n modulate the relative contributions of the various loss components in the optimization process.

$$L_{embed} = L_{detect} + m \cdot L_{trans} + n \cdot L_{mse} \quad (2)$$

4.3 Feature Representation

Rule-based code transformations are non-differentiable, posing a challenge for joint optimization between the watermark embedding and detection networks. To overcome this challenge, we develop a feature representation network which accepts code x and the selected transformation set T and generates feature approximate watermarked code x_a . The network comprises six encoder layers and six decoder layers, following the transformer architecture[18]. The objective function employs cross-entropy loss, computed by comparing the network’s output code tokens against those generated through Abstract Syntax Tree (AST)-based code transformations. While the network-generated code may occasionally exhibit character-level discrepancies, these anomalies seldom compromise the salient features of the embedded watermark.

4.4 Watermark Detection

Watermark Detection at the Register Transfer Level (RTL).

The detection network ingests the code generated by the feature representation network. It computes a confidence score indicating the probability of a watermark’s presence in the code. This score is then fed back to the watermark embedding network, creating a feedback loop. We include non-watermarked samples in training to enhance discrimination of unwatermarked code. The network optimizes the process using cross-entropy loss.

Watermark Detection at Netlist Level. We employ synthesis tools such as Yosys[19] to perform logic synthesis on the LLMs-generated RTL code, generating the gate-level netlist. Subsequently, we trace the connections of the embedded watermark variable in the gate-level netlist. Through analysis of these connections, we identify the watermark’s presence and characteristics. As shown in Figure 3, we analyze the connections associated with `processed_data` variable in the gate-level netlist. Through this analysis, we extract the embedded watermark information, designated as `8’hA5`, which allows us to further extract critical information, such as digital signatures of the model and developer.

5 EVALUATION

5.1 Experiment Setup

Target Model We use the general purpose LLM, GPT-4[2] along with the hardware-specific LLMs ChipGPT-FT[4] and RTLCoder[11], as our target models. We use RTLLM[12] and VerilogEval[10] as benchmarks, which provide natural language descriptions for the generation of Verilog code.

Baselines We compare RTLMarker with WLLM[6], which is tailored for text watermarking, along with SWEET[7] which is specifically designed for general code watermarking.

Evaluation Metrics We evaluate our watermarking framework from three aspects as follows.

Table 1: Verilog-specific code transformations.

Granularity	Description	Transformation Rule
Token	State Variables Encoding	Using one-hot encoding instead of binary encoding, e.g., "RUN = 2'b10, STOP = 2'b11" to "RUN = 3'b010, STOP = 3'b100".
Token	Parameterized Module	Bit widths can be parameterized. e.g., a[0:32] and b[0:32] can be parameterized as a[0:width] and b[0:width].
Token	Base Conversion	Binary, decimal, and hexadecimal can be converted to each other.
Token	Signal Sensitivity Formatting	Signal sensitivity lists are reformatted. e.g., "(posedge clk1 or negedge clk2)" to "(posedge clk1 , negedge clk2)".
Token	Bit Separation	Binary representations with many bits can include separators for better readability.
Token	Variable Name Replacement	Replace variable names, e.g., the signal name <i>rst</i> can be replaced with <i>rst_nc</i> .
Token	Bit Order	Bit order can be reversed, e.g., "watermark[0:N]" to "watermark[N:0]".
Statement	State Transition Path	Introduce specific transition sequences in the state transition path.
Statement	Combinational Logic Operation	AND gates, OR gates, and NOT gates can be converted into each other.
Statement	Combinational Logic Assignment	Add always@* during combinational logic assignment.
Statement	Ternary Operation	If-else statements can be expressed using ternary operations.
Statement	Signal Initialization Order	When initializing multiple signals, the order of initialization can be interchanged.
Statement	Add Comments	Add unique comments to some simple signals. e.g., "//Input signal clk_nc".
Statement	Conditional Statement Order	In the conditional statement "if(a & b)", the order of a and b can be interchanged.
Statement	Add Redundant Logic	Add redundant logic that does not impact the normal execution of the code.

- **Effectiveness.** The watermark should be successfully embedded and detected. To comprehensively evaluate our watermark framework, we employ Accuracy (ACC), True Positive Rate (TPR) and False Positive Rate (FPR) as effectiveness metrics.
- **Robustness.** The watermark should be robust against typical attacks. We evaluate the robustness by measuring detection accuracy after variable name substitution attacks.
- **Transparency.** Transparency encompasses two metrics: the correctness rate of syntax and semantics in the watermarked code, and the number of code transformations utilized. Fewer transformations indicate better transparency.

Implement Details Our implementation of rule-based code transformations relies primarily on Pyverilog. Initially, verilog code is parsed into an abstract syntax tree (AST). We then perform replacements of tokens and statements on the AST. The final step involves generating the modified code from the updated AST. As Pyverilog lacks support for certain token-level rules, we supplement these transformations with methods based on regular expressions.

5.2 Effectiveness

This experiment aims to assess RTLMarker’s efficacy in discriminating between watermarked and non-watermarked code. The watermarked code is generated by RTLMarker, while the unwatermarked code is human-written and provided by the benchmark. Table 2 illustrates the evaluation results for both RTLMarker and the baseline methods. Since WLLM and SWEET necessitate access to model-specific outputs, particularly logits, they are inherently unable to evaluate the closed-source GPT-4 model. To ensure the baselines achieve the best accuracy, we set the hardness parameter γ to 3, the watermark tokens ratio δ to 0.25, the z-statistic score threshold to 4 and the entropy threshold to 0.6.

RTLMarker achieves an accuracy of over 95% on the RTLLM benchmark and over 92% on the VerilogEval benchmark at the Register Transfer Level (RTL), significantly outperforming the baseline methods WLLM and SWEET. In the VerilogEval benchmark, the 156 Verilog problems sourced from the Hdlbits website encompass various complexities, including some simple combinational circuits, which pose significant challenges for watermark embedding. Consequently, the True Positive Rate (TPR) observed on VerilogEval tends to be lower compared to that on RTLLM. Specifically, the TPR of RTLMarker on VerilogEval decreased by an average of 2.48%, in contrast to reductions of 28.78% and 42.56% for WLLM and SWEET, respectively. At the netlist level, RTLMarker achieves an accuracy of over 76% on the RTLLM benchmark and over 59% on the VerilogEval

benchmark. Although the accuracy of watermarking at the netlist level may not be exceptional, combining it with the RTL-level watermarking can enhance both watermark embedding and detection performance.

Table 2: Evaluation for watermark effectiveness.

Method	Benchmark	Model	ACC(%)	TPR(%)	FPR(%)
Ours(rtl)	RTLLM	GPT-4	96.67	93.33	0
		RTLCode	95.00	90.00	0
		ChipGPT-FT	95.00	93.33	3.33
	VerilogEval	GPT-4	94.87	91.03	1.28
		RTLCode	92.62	88.46	3.2
		ChipGPT-FT	92.95	89.74	3.85
SWEET	RTLLM	GPT-4	—	—	—
		RTLCode	73.33	50	3.33
		ChipGPT-FT	71.67	46.67	3.33
	VerilogEval	GPT-4	—	—	—
		RTLCode	58.65	20.51	3.21
		ChipGPT-FT	58.33	18.59	1.92
WLLM	RTLLM	GPT-4	—	—	—
		RTLCode	83.33	70	3.33
		ChipGPT-FT	86.67	76.67	3.33
	VerilogEval	GPT-4	—	—	—
		RTLCode	63.14	29.49	3.21
		ChipGPT-FT	64.74	32.05	2.56
Ours(netlist)	RTLLM	GPT-4	78.33	56.67	0
		RTLCode	76.67	53.33	0
		ChipGPT-FT	76.67	53.33	0
	VerilogEval	GPT-4	62.18	24.36	0
		RTLCode	62.82	25.64	0
		ChipGPT-FT	59.62	19.23	0

5.3 Robustness

In this experiment, we aim to evaluate whether RTLMarker can effectively withstand typical variable name replacement attack. We consider renaming 25%, 50%, 75% and 100% of the variables in the watermarked code, with new variable names ranging in length from 3 to 10 characters. Table 3 presents the evaluation results of RTLMarker. When the string replacement percentage is set to 100%, the VerilogEval benchmark, due to its generally shorter lines of code, suffers significant impacted, resulting in a decrease in accuracy to 80.45%. In contrast, the RTLLM is less affected, maintaining an accuracy of 91.67%. Additionally, variable name replacement does not affect the accuracy of watermarking at the netlist level, but it does

increase the complexity of watermark detection. Since we cannot rely on variable names which are replaced to analyze and extract watermark information, we need to analyze all variables with the same bit width and check for the presence of watermark.

Table 3: Evaluation for variable name replacement attack.

Attack	RTLML			VerilogEval		
	ACC(%)	TPR(%)	FPR(%)	ACC(%)	TPR(%)	FPR(%)
Attack@25%	96.67	93.33	0	92.62	88.46	3.21
Attack@50%	96.67	93.33	0	90.38	86.53	5.76
Attack@75%	95.00	90.00	0	87.50	80.76	5.76
Attack@100%	91.67	83.33	0	80.45	70.51	9.62

5.4 Transparency

To evaluate the transparency of the watermark, we consider two aspects: the impact of the watermark on the syntactic and semantic correctness of the RTL code and the number of code transformations utilized. Firstly, since the code transformation rules we designed are semantically equivalent, RTLMarker does not compromise the correctness of syntax and semantics. Moreover, employing an excessive number of code transformations implies substantial modifications to the code, potentially compromising the watermark’s transparency. Consequently, it is crucial to apply the minimal number of code transformation required to maintain effective watermark detectability. Figure 4 illustrates that the average number of applicable code transformations in the RTLML benchmark is 6.42, while the number of code transformations that RTLMarker utilizes is 4.25, effectively enhancing the transparency of the watermark. The x-axis (index) in the figure 4 represents different cases within the RTLML benchmark.




Figure 4: Comparison of the number of code transformations on the RTLML benchmark.

6 CONCLUSION

We present RTLMarker, a hardware watermarking framework to protect LLM-generated RTL Copyright. This paper proposes a rule-based Verilog code transformation set that ensures the functional correctness of the watermarked code while embedding the watermark into the RTL code and the synthesized netlist. This paper considers an inherent tradeoff between watermark transparency and watermark

effectiveness through the joint optimization of the watermark embedding and detection network. RTLMarker demonstrates superior performance over existing watermarking framework in RTL code watermarking.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper is supported in part by National Key R&D Program of China under grant 2023YFB4404400, and in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under grant No.(62222411, 62025404, 62104229, 62104230).

REFERENCES

- [1] Sahar Abdelnabi and Mario Fritz. 2021. Adversarial watermarking transformer: Towards tracing text provenance with data hiding. In *2021 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy (SP)*. IEEE, 121–140.
- [2] Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Aleman, Diogo Almeida, Janko Altschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, et al. 2023. Gpt-4 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774* (2023).
- [3] Jason Blocklove, Siddharth Garg, Ramesh Karri, and Hammond Pearce. 2023. Chipchat: Challenges and opportunities in conversational hardware design. In *2023 ACM/IEEE 5th Workshop on Machine Learning for CAD (MLCAD)*. IEEE, 1–6.
- [4] Kaiyan Chang, Kun Wang, Nan Yang, Ying Wang, Dantong Jin, Wenlong Zhu, Zhirong Chen, Canguyan Li, Hao Yan, Yunhao Zhou, et al. 2024. Data is all you need: Finetuning LLMs for Chip Design via an Automated design-data augmentation framework. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.11202* (2024).
- [5] Kaiyan Chang, Ying Wang, Haimeng Ren, Mengdi Wang, Shengwen Liang, Yinhe Han, Huawei Li, and Xiaowei Li. 2023. ChipGPT: How far are we from natural language hardware design. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.14019* (2023).
- [6] John Kirchenbauer, Jonas Geiping, Yuxin Wen, Jonathan Katz, Ian Miers, and Tom Goldstein. 2023. A watermark for large language models. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 17061–17084.
- [7] Taehyun Lee, Seokhee Hong, Jaewoo Ahn, Ilgee Hong, Hwaran Lee, Sangdoon Yun, Jamin Shin, and Gunhee Kim. 2023. Who wrote this code? watermarking for code generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.15060* (2023).
- [8] Boquan Li, Mengdi Zhang, Peixin Zhang, Jun Sun, and Xingmei Wang. 2024. Resilient Watermarking for LLM-Generated Codes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.07518* (2024).
- [9] Mingjie Liu, Teodor-Dumitru Ene, Robert Kirby, Chris Cheng, Nathaniel Pinckney, Rongjian Liang, Jonah Alben, Himyanshu Anand, Sanmitra Banerjee, Ismet Bayraktaroglu, et al. 2023. Chippemo: Domain-adapted llms for chip design. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.00176* (2023).
- [10] Mingjie Liu, Nathaniel Pinckney, Bruce Khailany, and Haoxing Ren. 2023. VerilogEval: Evaluating large language models for verilog code generation. In *2023 IEEE/ACM International Conference on Computer Aided Design (ICCAD)*. IEEE, 1–8.
- [11] Shang Liu, Wenji Fang, Yao Lu, Qijun Zhang, Hongce Zhang, and Zhiyao Xie. 2023. Rtlcoder: Outperforming gpt-3.5 in design rtl generation with our open-source dataset and lightweight solution. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.08617* (2023).
- [12] Yao Lu, Shang Liu, Qijun Zhang, and Zhiyao Xie. 2024. RTLML: An open-source benchmark for design rtl generation with large language model. In *2024 29th Asia and South Pacific Design Automation Conference (ASP-DAC)*. IEEE, 722–727.
- [13] Madhav Nair, Rajat Sadhukhan, and Debdeep Mukhopadhyay. 2023. Generating secure hardware using chatgpt resistant to cwes. *Cryptology ePrint Archive* (2023).
- [14] Shinya Takamaeda-Yamazaki. 2015. Pyverilog: A python-based hardware design processing toolkit for verilog hdl. In *Applied Reconfigurable Computing: 11th International Symposium, ARC 2015, Bochum, Germany, April 13-17, 2015, Proceedings 11*. Springer, 451–460.
- [15] Shailja Thakur, Baleegh Ahmad, Zhenxing Fan, Hammond Pearce, Benjamin Tan, Ramesh Karri, Brendan Dolan-Gavitt, and Siddharth Garg. 2023. Benchmarking large language models for automated verilog rtl code generation. In *2023 Design, Automation & Test in Europe Conference & Exhibition (DATE)*. IEEE, 1–6.
- [16] Shailja Thakur, Jason Blocklove, Hammond Pearce, Benjamin Tan, Siddharth Garg, and Ramesh Karri. 2023. Autochip: Automating hdl generation using llm feedback. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.04887* (2023).
- [17] Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Albert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajjwal Bhargava, Shruti Bhosale, et al. 2023. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288* (2023).
- [18] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 30 (2017).
- [19] Claire Wolf. [n. d.]. Yosys Open SYnthesis Suite. <https://yosyshq.net/yosys/>.
- [20] Ruisi Zhang, Shehzeen Samarah Hussain, Paarth Neeckhara, and Farinaz Koushanfar. 2023. Remark-llm: A robust and efficient watermarking framework for generative large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.12362* (2023).