arXiv:2501.02422v1 [nucl-th] 5 Jan 2025

Atmospheric Antideuteron Flux Within a Dynamical Coalescence Approach

Jie Pu,^{1,2,3,*} Xin Li,^{1,4} Kai-Jia Sun,^{5,3,†} Chun-Wang Ma,^{1,2,3,‡} and Lie-Wen Chen^{4,§}

¹College of Physics, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453007, China

²Institute of Nuclear Science and Technology, Henan Academy of Science, Zhengzhou 450046, China

³Shanghai Research Center for Theoretical Nuclear Physics,

NSFC and Fudan University, Shanghai 200438, China

⁴School of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Key Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology,

and Key Laboratory for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology (MOE),

Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

⁵Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE),

Institute of Modern Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

(Dated: January 7, 2025)

Cosmic antideuterons are considered as one of the most promising tools for the indirect detection of dark matter due to their ultra-low astrophysical backgrounds. Currently only upper limits on the antideuteron flux exist, but advancements in experimental detection technology may soon lead to positive signals. A major source of background is the production of secondary antideuterons through collisions of cosmic rays with the surrounding medium. In this study, antideuteron production is modeled using a multiphase transport model (AMPT) coupled with a dynamical coalescence model. By applying a widely used leaky box model and incorporating specific processes, we present a new theoretical baseline for atmospheric secondary antideuteron flux, including a tertiary contribution, from primary cosmic rays interacting with Earth's atmosphere. Our results indicate that the atmospheric antideuteron flux are within the range of various existing calculations and remain well below the upper limits set by the Balloon-borne Experiment with a Superconducting Spectrometer (BESS). The atomospheric antideuteron is found to dominate the antideuteron background at kinetic energies below 0.26 GeV/n.

I. INTRODUCTION

Searching for cosmic antideuterons has been proposed as a promising method to indirectly detect dark matter (DM) annihilations and decays [1, 2], due to their ultra-low astrophysical backgrounds. The high threshold energy for the production of secondary antideuteron makes them harder to produce compared to other particles. The small binding energy of antideuteron (about 2.2 MeV) results in higher kinetic energies for the produced secondary antideutrons, making low-energy antideuterons be excellent probes for indirect detection of dark matter. Experiments like the Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer (AMS-02) [3], the General Antiparticle Spectrometer (GAPS) [4], the Dark Matter Particle Explorer (DAMPE) [5, 6], and the Balloonborne Experiment with a Superconducting Spectrometer (BESS) [7, 8] have been hunting for their signals for many years. Although the BESS program found no candidates, it has reported an upper limit for the differential flux of cosmic-ray antideuterons of $1.9 \times 10^{-4} (\text{m}^2 \,\text{s sr GeV/n})^{-1}$, at the 95% confidence level, in the range of 0.17-1.15 GeV/n [7] at the upper atmosphere (about 38 km altitude in this work). The BESS-Polar II program reported a new upper limit on

the antideuteron flux of $6.7 \times 10^{-5} (\text{m}^2 \text{ s sr GeV/n})^{-1}$ [8] at 95% confidence level in an energy range from 0.163 to 1.100 GeV/n. The AMS-02 program has measured the antiproton flux [9, 10], and detected 7 candidate events of antideuterons [11]. Some new calculations, such as Ref. [12], indicate that the AMS-02 and GAPS are capable to observe antideuteron. The GAPS experiment optimized specifically for low-energy cosmic-ray antinuclei, will provide a sensitivity to antideuterons that was estimated to be $2.0 \times 10^{-6} (m^2 \, s \, sr \, GeV/n)^{-1}$ [4, 13]. To date, although certain experimental analyses have documented exceedingly rare occurrences of antinuclei [14–19] among billions of samples, the origin of these particles remains highly uncertain [20]. Theoretical researches on antideuterons, especially the background calculation of cosmic-ray antideuterons, are thus of great importance for experimental exploration.

The dominant background of light antideuterons mainly comes from secondary particles when primary cosmic rays (CRs) collide with the interstellar medium (ISM) or Earth's upper atmosphere. Additionally, lowenergy antideuterons can be enhanced through the antideuterons' non-annihilating inelastic interactions with the medium, which called as the tertiary production and lead to a migration of antideuterons from the high-energy part to the low-energy part of the spectrum [21, 22]. For the detection of antideuterons from dark matter annihilation near Earth, it is crucial to accurately assess their background contributions from astrophysical and hadronic processes [21, 23]. In particular, both secondary galactic and atmospheric antideuteron can be produced

^{*} pujie@htu.edu.cn

[†] Corresponding author: kjsun@fudan.edu.cn

[‡] Corresponding author: machunwang@126.com

[§] Corresponding author: lwchen@sjtu.edu.cn

through the same nuclear reaction mechanism in high energy nuclear collisions involving incident cosmic rays (mainly protons) colliding with either ISM nuclei (mainly Hydrogen) in the galaxy or atmospheric nuclei (mainly Nitrogen-N, Oxygen-O, Carbon-C) in the Earth's atmosphere [24]. In the atmosphere medium, the secondary antideuteron flux mainly originates from the p + N, p +O and p + C collisions. Typically, the production of secondary antideuteron is described by the coalescence model, which relies on a coalescence factor that is taken from experimental measurements [21, 22, 25–28]. This can be improved by Monte Carlo event generators which take into account two-particle momentum correlations and the coalescence process is imposed on an event-byevent basis [29]. Moreover, atmospheric antideuterons are capable of scattering upwards into space which play a vital role in the distribution of low-energy antideuterons observed in space, that may make the space-based experiments hard to identify the antideutrons originating from the Earth's atmosphere rather than from primary astrophysical sources. Consequently, it is crucial to take into account the tertiary antideuteron background when analyzing data from these space-based and balloon-borne experiments [30].

In the study, we calculated the production of antideuteron near the Earth's upper atmosphere with the full phase-space distribution of antiproton and antineutron in p + A collisions generated by a multiphase transport (AMPT) model [31]. The fluxes of these antideuterons [24, 32–37] in atmospheric propagation are determined using the leaky box model [36] with the inclusion of tertiary component to describe the evolution process of the atmospheric antideuteron.

II. ANTIDEUTERON PRODUCTION AND PROPAGATION

A. Antideuteron production from collisions of cosmic rays and the atmosphere medium

Antideuteron production in upper atmosphere can be calculated by the AMPT model [31, 38] coupled with a dynamical coalescence [39–42] model. The AMPT model, which has two versions (Default and String melting) and consists of four main components (initial conditions, partonic interactions, hadronization of partonic matter, and hadronic interactions), was employed to obtain the full phase-space information of antinucleons. In the AMPT model, the initial conditions are obtained from the HIJING model [43, 44], which provides spatial and momentum distributions of minijet partons and soft strings. In the string melting mechanism, both excited strings and minijet partons are transformed into partons. Zhang's parton cascade model [45] was used to simulate the strong interactions among partons. A simple quark coalescence model is employed to describe the conversion of these partons to hadrons. A relativistic

transport (ART) model [46] was used to simulate interactions among the hadrons and corresponding inverse reactions, as well as resonance decays. The AMPT model, which consists of these four parts, has been widely used to simulate the evolution of dense matter produced in high energy nuclear collisions [31, 38]. Specifically, the string melting version of the AMPT model effectively describes anisotropic flows and particle correlations in collisions of pp, pA or AA systems at RHIC and LHC energies. In this study, the string melting version was employed to simulate the collision mechanism between the CRs and the main component of Earth's atmosphere to provide pahase-space information of antinucleons.

To describe the antideuteron production, we employ a coalescence model [47–57] which has been successfully in describing the light nuclei [39, 40, 58, 59] production in relativistic heavy-ion collisions. In this model, the formation probability of an antideuteron from an antiproton and antineutron pair is given by the Wigner function of the deuteron internal wave function,

$$\rho_{\bar{d}}^{W}(r,k) = 8 \exp(-\frac{x^2}{\sigma_{\bar{d}}^2} - \sigma_{\bar{d}}^2 k^2), \qquad (1)$$

where $k = (k_1 - k_2)/\sqrt{2}$, $x = (x_1 - x_2)/\sqrt{2}$, and $\sigma_{\bar{d}} = 2/\sqrt{3} r_d$ with $r_d = 1.96$ fm being its root-means-quare radius. Here, \mathbf{x}_1 and \mathbf{x}_2 are the spatial coordinates and \mathbf{k}_1 and \mathbf{k}_2 are the spatial momentums of the two nucleons in their rest frame at equal time, and they are obtained from propagating the nucleon with an earlier freeze-out time to the time of the later freeze-out nucleon.

B. Antideuteron propagation in the atmosphere

The propagation of the produced antideuterons can be described by a leaky box model (LBM) [36, 37] which has been successfully employed in previous studies [21, 35]. The flux $\Phi_{\bar{d}}$ of the antideuteron at kinetic energy $T_{\bar{d}}$ is given by[21]:

$$\Phi(T_{\bar{d}}) = \frac{\lambda_{esc}(T_{\bar{d}})\lambda_{int}(T_{\bar{d}})}{\varrho[\lambda_{esc}(T_{\bar{d}}) + \lambda_{int}(T_{\bar{d}})]} \times \frac{1}{4\pi} [Q_{\bar{d}}^{sec}(T_{\bar{d}}) + Q_{\bar{d}}^{ter}(T_{\bar{d}})], \qquad (2)$$

where the mean free path against inelastic interactions $\lambda_{int}(T_{\bar{d}}) = \langle m_{air} \rangle / \langle \sigma_R^{\bar{d}+atm}(T_{\bar{d}}) \rangle$ and the $Q_{\bar{d}}^{sec}(T_{\bar{d}})$ and $Q_{\bar{d}}^{ter}(T_{\bar{d}})$ are the secondary and tertiary source terms, respectively. The average cross section on atmospheric gas is denoted by $\sigma_R^{\bar{d}+atm} = 105A^{2/3}$ mb obtained from a parameterized formula in Refs. [21, 60]. We used the LBM escape length $\lambda_{esc}(T_{\bar{d}})=11.8 \text{ g/cm}^2$, which is taken from Refs. [24, 32, 35], and the average density $\varrho = 2.28 \times 10^{-24} \text{ g/cm}^3$, as suggested in Refs. [21, 34, 35]. The quantity $\langle m_{air} \rangle$ stands for the mean mass of the target air which has a value of $\langle m_{air} \rangle =14.58 \text{ amu (Nitrogen, Oxygen, Carbon)}$. The

atmosphere composition number density is simply set as N : O : C = 1 : 0.27 : 5.1×10^{-4} cm⁻³ for their different proportions in the atmosphere. Actually, these numbers as well as the average density are not perfectly known, which results in a peculiar parametrization for $\lambda_{esc}(T_{\bar{d}})$ [21, 35]. However, an alternative choice for these quantities would not significantly affect the shape of the antideuteron flux distribution [21].

The antideuteron flux in the galactic ISM are calculated by many researchers [21, 26, 61, 62]. Here, the antideuterons produced from ISM are not included in our calculations. As described in Ref. [21], the secondary and tertiary atmospheric antideuteron flux was calculated using the same method as the calculation of antideuteron flux in the galactic ISM with taking into account some specific processes and mechanism. In the LBM model, the secondary source term $Q_{\bar{d}}^{sec}$ and tertiary source term $Q_{\bar{d}}^{ter}$ in Eq. (2) play curcial roles in the overall production of the antideuteron from CRs interacting with the atmosphere. For the \bar{d} flux, the secondary source term is given as [21, 35]:

$$Q^{sec}(T_{\bar{d}}) = \sum_{i=\text{CRs}}^{p} \sum_{j=\text{atm}}^{\text{N,O,C}} 4\pi n_j \int_{T_{min}}^{\infty} \frac{d\sigma^{i+j}(T_{\bar{d}}, T_i)}{dT_{\bar{d}}}$$
(3)
 $\times \Phi_i(T_i) dT_i,$

where n_j represents the number density of the atmospheric gas in cm⁻³, such as: $n_{\rm N} = 1$ cm⁻³, $n_{\rm O} = 0.27$ cm⁻³ and $n_{\rm C} = 5.1 \times 10^{-4}$ cm⁻³ as mentioned earlier, while $T_{\bar{d}} = (E_{\bar{d}} - m_{\bar{d}})/n$ denotes the antideuteron kinetic energy per nucleon. The differential cross section σ^{i+j} for the production of antideuterons at different energies T_i follows [28]:

$$\frac{d\sigma^{i+j}(T_{\bar{d}},T_i)}{dT_{\bar{d}}} = \sigma_{ij}\frac{dN_{\bar{d}}(T_{\bar{d}},T_i)}{dT_{\bar{d}}},\tag{4}$$

where σ_{ij} is the total inelastic cross section of antideuteron for the proton with kinetic energy T_i reacting with a "fixed-target" j in the atmosphere. The σ_{ij} can be calculated with antideuteron yields, which were obtained from p + N/O/C collisions by using the AMPT model coupled with a dynamical coalescence mode. The $dN_{\bar{d}}(T_{\bar{d}}, T_i)/dT_{\bar{d}}$ represents the kinetic energy distribution of antideuteron yields. The $\Phi_i(T_i)$ is the flux of cosmic ray protons, which can be obtained by parameterizing the primary cosmic ray flux based on several space-based experimental measurements [3, 5, 63, 64]. Following Ref. [28], a well-fitting parameterized formulae for the primary proton cosmic ray flux is given as:

$$\Phi(T) = aT^{-\gamma} \left(\frac{T}{T+b}\right)^c \prod_{i=1}^N f(T_{bi}, \Delta \gamma_i, s), \tag{5}$$

where

$$f(T_b, \Delta\gamma, s) = [1 + (\frac{T}{T_b})^s]^{\Delta\gamma/s}.$$
 (6)

The parameters are taken for fits of the proton flux following Ref. [28] with $N = 2, a = 26714 \text{ m}^2 \text{s sr}/(\text{GeV/n}),$ $b = 0.49 \text{ GeV}/n, c = 6.81, \gamma = 2.88, T_{b1} = 343 \text{ GeV}/n,$ $T_{b2} = 19503 \text{ GeV}/n, \Delta \gamma_1 = 0.265, \Delta \gamma_2 = -0.264, \text{ and}$ s = 5.

Once antideuterons are formed, their inelastic interactions could result in a reduction of their flux, which can be described as the tertiary contribution. The tertiary source term Q^{ter} was emphasized to describe the process involving the non-annihilating interaction of antideuterons with the main components of the upper atmosphere [22, 26]. The tertiary contribution does not mean generating new antideuterons. It simply indicates that the flux of antideuteron at energy T must account for the added redistribution of those at energies T' > T, subtracting the flux of antideuterons redistributed to lower energies. This contribution can arise in various ways. Such as, antideuterons may undergo elastic scatterings process, but the cross section for these interactions is so minimal that the resulting energy loss of antideuterons is usually negligible. During elastic scatterings, antideuterons can exist without any change in energy. Additionally, antideuterons may undergo annihilate during their propagation, especially at low energies. However, no empirical approach was used to calculate the annihilate cross section [21, 26]. In this work, the tertiary source term is expressed as [35]:

$$Q^{ter}(T_{\bar{d}}) = 4\pi n_{j} \int_{T_{\bar{d}}}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\sigma_{\text{inel}}^{\bar{d}+atm \to \bar{d}X}(T_{\bar{d}}')}{\sigma_{\text{inel}}^{\bar{d}+p \to \bar{d}X}(T_{\bar{d}}')} \right] \times \frac{d\sigma^{\bar{d}+p \to \bar{d}X}}{dT_{\bar{d}}} (7)$$
$$(T_{\bar{d}}', T_{\bar{d}}) \Phi_{\bar{d}}(T_{\bar{d}}') dT_{\bar{d}}',$$

where $\sigma_{\text{inel}}^{\bar{d}+atm\to\bar{d}X}(T_{\bar{d}}')$ represents the non-annihilating inelastic rescattering cross section between the produced antideuteron and the atmospheric medium, which can be derived from a parametric form based on Glauber calculations [62, 65, 66]. It can be parametrized as a function of atomic mass number of the target nucleus as [65]:

$$\sigma_{\rm inel}^{hA} = \pi R_A^2 \ln \left[1 + \frac{A \sigma_{tot}^{hA}}{\pi R_A^2} \right],\tag{8}$$

where the total cross section σ_{tot}^{hA} of a hadron h (h= $\bar{p}, \bar{d}, {}^{3}\overline{He}, {}^{4}\overline{He}$) interacting with a nucleon N is estimated with Glauber calculations [65]. A is the atomic number of the target nucleus with radius R_A . The $\sigma_{\text{inel}}^{\bar{d}+p\to\bar{d}X}(T'_{\bar{d}})$ is the inelastic rescattering cross section for the antideuteron reacting with proton. Since no specific experiment was undertaken for this process, one can assume the antideuteron inelastic cross section as simply twice the size of the corresponding antiproton inelastic cross section [21, 26],

$$\sigma_{\rm inel}^{\bar{d}+p\to\bar{d}X}(T_{\bar{d}}) = 2\sigma_{\rm inel}^{\bar{p}+p\to\bar{p}X}(T_{\bar{p}}),\tag{9}$$

where $\sigma_{\text{inel}}^{\bar{p}+p\to\bar{p}X}(T_{\bar{p}})$ was derived as [62, 67]:

The differential cross section in Eq. (7) indicates inelastic non-annihilating (NAR) process with incident energy $T_{\bar{d}}^{'}$ which in low energy can be given by [22]:

$$\frac{d\sigma^{\bar{d}+p\to\bar{d}X}}{dT_{\bar{d}}}(T_{\bar{d}}^{'}\to T_{\bar{d}}) = \frac{\sigma^{\bar{d}+p\to\bar{d}X}_{\rm NAR}}{T_{\bar{d}}^{'}},\tag{11}$$

where $\sigma_{\text{NAR}}^{\bar{d}+p\to\bar{d}X}$ denotes the total inelastic scattering cross section for $\bar{d}+p\to\bar{d}+X$, as detailed in Refs. [21, 26]. The tertiary contribution was treated as a corrective term and handled iterative. The $\Phi_{\bar{d}}(T_{\bar{d}})$ in the integral was calculated by using Eq. (2) without Q^{ter} contribution, while $\Phi_{\bar{d}}(T_{\bar{d}})$ necessitated an iterative approach for determination [26].

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Model validation for antideuteron production in $p + A \rightarrow \overline{d} + X$ collisions

To validate our model and account for significant nuclear reactions between cosmic rays and atmospheric constituents, based on existing experimental measurements, the antideuteron production in p + A collisions were calculated using the AMPT model coupled with a dynamical coalescence model. In this work, the ratios of antideuteron to pion yields $N_{\bar{d}}/N_{\pi^-}$ [68–72] that produced from model calculation with 0.1 million simulations of p + Al and p + Be collisions at 200 GeV/c and 70 GeV/c respectively, are compared with that in experiments. Figure 1 displays the comparison of $N_{\bar{d}}/N_{\pi^-}$ in p + Al and p + Be collisions between model calculation and experimental data for various collision energies. It is seen that our model calculation reproduces well the data from $p_{\rm lab} = 10 \ {\rm GeV}/c$ to 40 ${\rm GeV}/c$, suggesting the approach is suitable for describing antideuteron production in high-energy pA collisions.

B. Atmospheric antideuteron production

Antideuterons can be formed by cosmic ray interactions with the atmosphere medium when the threshold energy is exceeded. Since the abundance of cosmic ray helium is smaller compared to proton, we neglected the antideuteron contributions produced by helium reacting with atmosphere. In the current work, to account for both the continuous of the cosmic ray proton spectrum and the essential threshold required for antideuteron formation, we parameterize the continuous cosmic ray protons spectrum within the energy range from 20 GeV/n



FIG. 1. (Color online) Results of antideuteron to pion yield ratios for this work (lines) comparing with the experimental data (stars) from p + A collisions at 70 GeV/c or 200 GeV/c as reported in Refs. [68–72]. The top panel displays data measured at a range of angles: 0, 12, 27, 47 mrad for p+Al collision, while the bottom panel exhibits data measured in 27, 47 mrad at 70 GeV/c for p+Be collisions.

to 5×10^4 GeV/n [28]. Upon determining the total integral of the spectrum, the incident energy candidates are defined by binning the spectrum based on the fraction of the total integral for T_i . And the proton energy spectra are split into 9 bins with various incident energies [25] considering the weighting of different incident proton energy bins in the parameterized primary proton cosmic ray flux spectra [28] which are shown in TABLE I. Within each energy bin, we selected the median value T_p as an input energy to simulate the production of antideuteron by the AMPT model coupled with the dynamical coalescence model, subsequently multiplying the differential production distribution by its weight. We have simulated 0.1 million events for each channel of i + j collisions $(i \in \{p\} \text{ and } j \text{ for } j \in \{N, O, C\})$ in every incident energy. These results of kinetic energy distribution of antideuteron yields $dN_{\bar{d}}/dT_{\bar{d}}$ from model calculations serve as inputs for the flux calculation according to Eqs. (2)and (3). The cross sections of secondary antideuteron $\sigma_{\bar{d}}^{p+N/O/C}$ from model calculations for p + N/O/C in different energies are summarized in TABLE I.

Figure 2 illustrates the antideuteron production calculated using the AMPT model coupled with the coalescence model to simulate CRs (p) interacting with Earth's atmospheric constituents (N/O/C). The differential yield distribution with antideuteron momentum varies with reaction types and shows a trend of first increasing and then decreasing. Notably, the p + N reaction significantly dominates the production, followed by the p +O reaction which contributes slightly less, and the p +C reaction with minimal contribution. This trend may be attributed to the selection of n_i as discussed in sec-

1.12

0.22

0.017

0.0056

0.00118

0.00022

TABLE I. Incident proton energy, maximum and minimum values of the energy bins, converted collision energies in the center-

tion II B. Because in the atmosphere of Earth, nitrogen comprises the majority of atmospheric gas, while oxygen constitutes approximately 25% of the total, and carbon only accounts for 0.03%.

290

1210

2590

6810

18190

50000

19.3

37.3

59.4

93.5

152.6

252

6.220E-3

1.718E-4

1.514E-5

1.419E-6

1.093E-7

6.862E-9

200

750

1900

4700

12500

34095

110

290

1210

2590

6810

18190

Figure 3 shows the diverse contributions of the aforementioned processes to the source term Q_{sec} for different reaction types, as defined in Eq. (3). Regarding the secondary source Q_{sec} for antideuterons, the contributions from p + N, p + O and p + C collisions exhibit a similar distribution, peaking at around 2-3 GeV/n. This feature can be explained by the threshold effect [73] that the d flux distribution in the low energy region is primarily influenced by the rapidly increasing d production cross section when the energy surpasses its production threshold [21]. The high energy region decay of the distribution is determined by the rapidly declining flux of incident cosmic ray protons with increasing energy, combined with the natural decrease of the high energy production cross section. And at every incoming energy level, the p + N reaction prominently contributes to the production of Q_{sec} , with the p + O reaction providing a slightly less contribution to the p + N reaction.

C. Atmospheric antideuteron flux calculation

The propagation of antideuteron in the atmosphere can be predicted by a widely used LBM model including a tertiary contribution [21, 26, 35]. As discussed in the section II B, a numerical method was employed to solve Eq. (2) [21, 26, 35] for forecasting the flux of atmospheric antideuterons resulting from interactions between cosmic rays (predominantly protons) and the atmosphere. In reality, CRs particles traverse a considerable portion of the Earth's atmosphere before being detected by experiments [3–5, 7, 74]. Following Refs. [21, 24], the amount of material encountered by CRs during this journey can be comparable to that encountered during their traversal through the Galaxy. The same mechanism of produce the antideuteron flux was used through interactions of CRs



3.380E-2

1.127E-1

1.661E-1

2.447E-1

3.391E-1

4.896E-1

3.734E-2

1.219E-1

1.760E-1

2.490E-1

3.595E-1

5.297E-1

3.430E-2

1.167E-1

1.618E-1

2.197E-1

3.304E-1

4.486E-1

FIG. 2. (Color online) The kinetic energy distributions of secondary antideuterons for the p + N, p + O and p + C reactions are calculated using the AMPT model coupled with a dynamical coalescence model.

particles with the atmosphere.

According to Eqs. (2) to (7), antideuterons are generated from collisions between the atmosphere and cosmic ray protons with energy ranging from 20 GeV/nto 5×10^4 GeV/n. Figure 4 presents the calculated atmospheric antideuteron flux derived from the LBM model framework and simulations conducted using the AMPT model coupled with the dynamical coalescence model, as well as some experimental limitation and theory estimates. The total antideuteron flux comprising secondary and tertiary components, is depicted in red solid line. The blue dash line represents the secondary flux excluding contributions from the tertiary source term. The secondary antideuteron flux can reach approximately $10^{-9} \sim 10^{-8} \,\mathrm{m}^{-2} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1} \,\mathrm{sr}^{-1} \,(\mathrm{GeV/n})^{-1}$ from 0.5 GeV/n to 1 GeV/n. However, the flux diminishes notably when the kinetic energies of antideuteron are



FIG. 3. (Color online) The distribution of antideuteron kinetic energy per nucleon as a function of Q_{sec} , defined in relation (3), encompasses contributions from various reaction types to the total secondary source term arsing from cosmic ray protons interacting with target particles in the Earth's atmosphere.

bigger than 10 GeV/n, potentially due to the dynamics of secondary particles during the atmospheric propagation. The black solid line and shaded area denote the contribution of the tertiary flux. Tertiary production arises from non-annihilating inelastic interactions of cosmic-ray antideuterons, resulting in a redistribution of antideuterons at low energy. This tertiary mechanism also determines the non-annihilating inelastic processes, previously disregarded due to the small binding energy of CRs antideuterons with nucleons or nuclear targets [1]. The role of the tertiary component primarily mainfests in the low-energy range, altering the energy distribution and enhancing the secondary component. The solid red line represents the total antideuteron flux encompassing contributions from Q_{sec} and Q_{ter} . Upon considering all contributions, the total antideuteron flux exhibits an enhancement in the low-energy region, up to a kinetic energy of approximately 8 GeV/n, compared to the scenario without the Q_{ter} contributions. However, at higher kinetic energies, a reduction of the total flux is observed. This tendency can be traced to the generation and underlying mechanisms of the Q_{ter} contributions as previously described. The antideuteron flux reaches a maximum value of about $5.24 \times 10^{-8} \,\mathrm{m^{-2} \, s^{-1} \, sr^{-1}} \,(\mathrm{GeV/n})^{-1}$ at a kinetic energy of about 2.5 GeV/n, which is comparable with results in Ref. [21]. For comparison, the estimated ISM secondary \bar{d} flux [75] is also depicted in Fig. 4. It is found that The atomospheric antideuteron dominates the antideuteron background at kinetic energies below 0.26 GeV/n, whereas the ISM antideuteron flux becomes the primary background at kinetic energies above 0.26 GeV/n.

The total antideuteron flux calculated in this study is



FIG. 4. (Color online) Calculated atmospheric \bar{d} flux produced in the upper atmosphere (at an altitude of $38 \ km$) is compared with the upper limits observed by BESS 97-00 and BESS-Polar II experiments. The red solid line represents the total \bar{d} flux including Q_{ter} contributions, while the blue dash line indicates the \bar{d} flux excluding Q_{ter} contribution. The black line and shaded areas represent the \bar{d} flux contributed solely by Q_{ter} . The violet short dash line represent the estimated ISM secondary \bar{d} flux with GALPROP v.57 from Ref. [75]. The horizontal line labeled \bar{d} BESS(97-00) corresponds to the upper limit on \overline{d} flux observed by BESS experiments from 1997 to 2000 [7]. The horizontal line labeled d BESS-Polar II corresponds to the updated upper limit on d flux observed by BESS experiments [8]. Additionally, the horizontal line labeled \bar{d}_{SUSY} represents the upper limit on \bar{d} flux from super-symmetric dark matter annihilation [1]. The horizontal line labeled \bar{d}_{PBH} illustrates the upper limit on evaporative products of primordial black holes [21, 76].

approximately two orders of magnitude lower than the upper limit for antideuteron flux from supersymmetric dark matter annihilation (indicated by the horizontal line labeled d_{SUSY}) provided in Ref. [1]. This discrepancy can be attributed to the rapid drop in the kinetic energy distribution of predicted dark matter signals. The horizontal line labeled d_{PBH} represents the upper limit on evaporative products of primordial black holes (PBH) [21]. It is evident that the antideuteron flux we have calculated remains approximately an order of magnitude lower than the result predicted from PBH [21]. Regarding the experimental detection of cosmic-ray antideuteron background, the BESS experiment has provided an upper limit on antideuterons at approximately 38 km above sea level, although no comic-ray antideuterons were observed. The horizontal line labeled \bar{d} BESS (97-00) experiment represents the upper limit for the d flux. It is evident that the total antideuteron flux we calculated differs significantly from the BESS(97-00) limit^[7], with a discrepancy of approximately three orders of magnitude. Despite BESS-Polar II analyzing more than ten times the experimental data compared to previous BESS

efforts, no candidate antideuterons were detected, resulting in a new upper limit (indicated by the horizontal line labeled \bar{d} BESS-Polar II) [8, 77]. Notably, our calculations span several orders of magnitude in value and remain significantly below the upper limits of the BESS experiments [7, 8, 77], suggesting that the optimal strategy for antideuteron detection may lie in the lower kinetic energy range.

IV. SUMMARY

In the present study, we study the antideuteron background induced by cosmic rays near Earth's atmosphere. With the primary proton flux obtained from experimental measurements, the interaction of primary cosmic rays with Earth's atmosphere medium is modeled by the AMPT model, which is then coupled with a dynamical coalescence model to calculate antideuteron production. Subsequently, a leaky box model framework with the inclusion of tertiary component (inelastic non-annihilating process) has been employed to describe the interaction and propagation of the produced antideuterons in the atmosphere medium. Within this hybrid approach, we calculate the flux of atmospheric antideuterons near Earth. The results show that the antideuteron flux increases by approximately five orders of magnitude as the kinetic energy per nucleon decreases from T = 100 GeV/n to T = 2 GeV/n. This suggests that the optimal energy range for balloon-borne antideuteron detection experiments lies at low kinetic energies, specifically T < 10GeV/n. Additionally, the calculated flux is significantly below the upper limit observed by BESS experiments, providing a valuable reference for balloon-borne exper-

- [1] F. Donato *et al.* Phys. Rev. D **62**, 043003 (2000).
- [2] H. Baer *et al.* JCAP **12**, 008 (2005).
- [3] M. Aguilar *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 171103 (2015).
- [4] T. Aramaki et al. Astropart. Phys. 74, 6 (2016).
- [5] Q. An et al. Sci. Adv. 5, 9, eaax3793 (2019).
- [6] F. Alemanno *et al.* Phys. Rev. D 109, 12, L121101 (2024).
- [7] H. Fuke et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 081101 (2005).
- [8] K. Sakai et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 132, 13, 131001 (2024).
- [9] M. Aguilar *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 9, 091103 (2016).
- [10] M. Aguilar et al. Phys. Rept. 894, 1 (2021).
- [11] S. Ting. Latest Results from AMS on the International Space Station. https://indico.cern.ch/event/1275785/ (2023).
- [12] M. Korwar *et al.* arXiv, **2403.18656** (2024).
- [13] F. Rogers et al. Astropart. Phys. 145, 102791 (2023).
- [14] S. Acharya et al. Nature Phys. 19, 1, 61 (2023).
- [15] M. Rasà. Nuovo Cim. C 47, 4, 222 (2024).
- [16] F. D'Angelo et al. Nuovo Cim. C 47, 5, 302 (2024).
- [17] S. Acharya *et al.* Phys. Lett. B **846**, 137795 (2023).
- [18] A. Shukla et al. Phys. Rev. D 102, 6, 063004 (2020).
- [19] J. Chen et al. Phys. Rept. 760, 1 (2018).

iments like BESS-PolarII and GAPS, as well as spacebased experiments like AMS-02. Furthermore, our findings indicate that atmospheric antideuterons dominate the background flux at kinetic energies below T = 0.26 GeV/n, while the interstellar medium antideuteron flux becomes the primary contributor at higher energies.

The methodology developed in the present study can be extended to calculate antideuteron production in the interstellar medium. In this analysis of cosmic ray propagation, we have predominantly considered the cosmic ray proton component due to its prevalence. However, other components, such as helium, have not been included in the present calculations due to their relatively lower abundance. Future investigations could optimize these models by incorporating these additional cosmic ray species and more detailed description of the propagation process, thereby achieving a more comprehensive and accurate understanding of antideuteron fluxes in various astrophysical environments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Gang Guo, Jin-Hui Chen, Jiang-Lai Liu Meng-Jiao Xiao, Yue-Lin Sming Tsai and Xiao Wang for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Project of China under Grant No. 2024YFA1612500, the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 12105079, 12422509, No. 12375121, No. 12235010, the National SKA Program of China No. 2020SKA0120300, the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality under Grant No. 23JC1402700, and the Natural Science Foundation of Henan province No. 242300422048.

- [20] S. Ting. Latest Results from the AMS Experiment on the International Space Station. https://cds.cern.ch/record/2320166 (2018).
- [21] R. Duperray et al. Phys. Rev. D 71, 083013 (2005).
- [22] F. Donato *et al.* Astrophys. J. **563**, 1, 172 (2001).
- [23] P. von Doetinchem *et al.* Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics **2020**, 08, 035 (2020).
- [24] C. Y. Huang et al. Phys. Rev. D 68, 053008 (2003).
- [25] A. Shukla et al. Phys. Rev. D 102, 6, 063004 (2020).
- [26] F. Donato *et al.* Phys. Rev. D **78**, 043506 (2008).
- [27] A. Ibarra *et al.* Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics **2013**, 02, 021 (2013).
- [28] M. Kachelrieß et al. JCAP 08, 048 (2020).
- [29] T. Shao *et al.* Phys. Rev. C **105**, 6, 065801 (2022).
- [30] T. Aramaki *et al.* Phys. Rept. **618**, 1 (2016).
- [31] Z. W. Lin et al. Phys. Rev. C 72, 064901 (2005).
- [32] C. Y. Huang. Astropart. Phys. 27, 440 (2007).
- [33] K. Yamato et al. Phys. Lett. B 632, 475 (2006).
- [34] C. Pfeifer et al. Phys. Rev. C 54, 882 (1996).
- [35] M. Simon et al. Astrophys. J. 499, 1, 250 (1998).
- [36] T. K. Gaisser et al. Astrophys. J. 394, 174 (1992).
- [37] A. Putze et al. Astron. Astrophys. 497, 991 (2009).

- [38] Z. W. Lin et al. Nucl. Sci. Tech. 32, 10, 113 (2021).
- [39] K. J. Sun et al. Phys. Rev. C 94, 6, 064908 (2016).
- [40] K. J. Sun *et al.* Phys. Lett. B **751**, 272 (2015).
- [41] J. Pu et al. Phys. Rev. C 110, 2, 024908 (2024).
- [42] J. Pu et al. Phys. Rev. C 98, 6, 064905 (2018).
- [43] X. N. Wang et al. Phys. Rev. D 44, 3501 (1991).
- [44] M. Gyulassy et al. Comput. Phys. Commun. 83, 307 (1994).
- [45] B. Zhang. Comput. Phys. Commun. 109, 193 (1998).
- [46] B. A. Li et al. Phys. Rev. C 52, 2037 (1995).
- [47] R. Scheibl et al. Phys. Rev. C 59, 1585 (1999)
- [48] L. W. Chen et al. Phys. Rev. C 73, 044903 (2006).
- [49] K. J. Sun *et al.* Phys. Lett. B **792**, 132 (2019).
- [50] K. J. Sun et al. Phys. Lett. B 840, 137864 (2023).
- [51] K. J. Sun et al. Nature Commun. 15, 1, 1074 (2024).
- [52] M. Gyulassy *et al.* Nucl. Phys. A **402**, 596 (1983).
- [53] S. Mrowczynski. Phys. Lett. B **277**, 43 (1992).
- [54] R. Mattiello et al. Phys. Rev. C 55, 1443 (1997).
- [55] L. W. Chen *et al.* Nucl. Phys. A **729**, 809 (2003).
- [56] L. W. Chen *et al.* Phys. Rev. C 68, 017601 (2003).
- [57] J. Steinheimer et al. Phys. Lett. B 714, 85 (2012).
- [58] K. J. Sun et al. Phys. Rev. C 93, 6, 064909 (2016).

- [59] D. M. Gomez Coral *et al.* Phys. Rev. D 98, 2, 023012 (2018).
- [60] F. G. Binon *et al.* Phys. Lett. B **31**, 230 (1970).
- [61] M. M. Kachelrie *et al.* Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics **2020**, 08, 048 (2020).
- [62] L. Šerkšnytė *et al.* Phys. Rev. D **105**, 8, 083021 (2022).
- [63] Y. S. Yoon et al. Astrophys. J. 839, 1, 5 (2017).
- [64] N. Gorbunov et al. Adv. Space Res. 64, 12, 2546 (2019).
- [65] V. Uzhinsky *et al.* Phys. Lett. B **705**, 235 (2011).
- [66] S. Acharya et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 16, 162001 (2020).
- [67] L. C. Tan et al. J. Phys. G 9, 227 (1983).
- [68] W. Bozzoli *et al.* Nucl. Phys. B **144**, 317 (1978).
- [69] Y. M. Antipov et al. Phys. Lett. B 34, 164 (1971).
- [70] F. G. Binon *et al.* Phys. Lett. B **30**, 510 (1969).
- [71] V. V. Abramov et al. Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 45, 845 (1987).
- [72] V. V. Abramov *et al.* Z. Phys. C **24**, 205 (1984).
- [73] M. Antinucci *et al.* Lett. Nuovo Cim. **6**, 121 (1973).
- [74] K. Sakai *et al.* PoS **ICRC2019**, 134 (2021).
- [75] L. F. G. Cruztitla et al. (2024).
- [76] A. Barrau et al. Astron. Astrophys. 398, 403 (2003).
- [77] K. Sakai *et al.* PoS **ICRC2021**, 123 (2021).