

Mixed state topological order: operator algebraic approach

Yoshiko Ogata

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences
Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502 JAPAN

January 7, 2025

Abstract

We study the classification problem of mixed states in two-dimensional quantum spin systems in the operator algebraic framework of quantum statistical mechanics. We associate a braided C^* -tensor category to each state satisfying a mixed-state version of the approximate Haag duality. We study how this category behaves under decoherence: suppose the state is acted by a finite depth quantum channel. We prove that the braided C^* -tensor category of the final state is a braided C^* -tensor subcategory of the initial state.

1 Introduction

The classification of topological phases of matter has attracted a lot of attention in the last two decades. As a result, many things are now known about 2-dimensional gapped ground states, i.e., on isolated zero-temperature pure states [Wen04][Kit06][Wen16][Wen17]. Some of them are even proven mathematically rigorously. In contrast, although there is good progress [DKLP02][KP14][CPG19][LHG20][SP24][EC24], our knowledge of the topological phases of open systems is still quite limited, compared to that of isolated systems. Decoherence due

to interactions with the environment is inevitable, and it is important to study the classification problem of mixed states.

In this paper, we consider this problem from the operator algebraic point of view. The operator algebraic approach to topological order using algebraic quantum field theory machinery was initiated by Naaijken in his seminal study of Toric code and abelian quantum double models [Naa11][Naa12][Naa13][FN15]. The advantage of this natural approach is that in this framework, we can show that anyons are invariant of the classification problem of 2-d gapped ground state phases under the assumption called approximate Haag duality [Oga22].

We introduce a mixed-state version of [Oga22] and derive a braided C^* -tensor category $C_{\omega \otimes \psi, \Lambda_0}$ out of each state ω satisfying a mixed-state version of the approximate Haag duality. We study how this category behaves under decoherence: suppose that a state ω_1 interacts with its environment (which is set to be a trivial state at time zero) for a finite time or acted by a finite depth quantum channel. Suppose that the initial state ω_1 and the final state ω_2 both satisfy the mixed version approximate Haag duality. We prove that the category of the final state $C_{\omega_2 \otimes \psi, \Lambda_0}$ is a subcategory of the category of the initial state $C_{\omega_1 \otimes \psi, \Lambda_0}$. Note that $C_{\omega_2 \otimes \psi, \Lambda_0}$ may not be a *full* subcategory of $C_{\omega_1 \otimes \psi, \Lambda_0}$. It means there can be two quasi-particles that are isomorphic to each other in $C_{\omega_1 \otimes \psi, \Lambda_0}$ but look distinct in $C_{\omega_2 \otimes \psi, \Lambda_0}$.

1.1 2-dimensional quantum spin systems

Now, we introduce our concrete setting. For basic notation, see Appendix A. By a 2-dimensional quantum spin system, we mean a C^* -algebra constructed as follows. We denote the algebra of $d \times d$ matrices by M_d . For each $z \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, let $\mathcal{A}_{\{z\}}$ be an isomorphic copy of M_d , and for any finite subset $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$, we set $\mathcal{A}_\Lambda = \bigotimes_{z \in \Lambda} \mathcal{A}_{\{z\}}$. For finite Λ , the algebra \mathcal{A}_Λ can be regarded as the set of all bounded operators acting on the Hilbert space $\bigotimes_{z \in \Lambda} \mathbb{C}^d$. We use this identification freely. If $\Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda_2$, the algebra \mathcal{A}_{Λ_1} is naturally embedded in \mathcal{A}_{Λ_2} by tensoring its elements with the identity. For an infinite subset $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$, \mathcal{A}_Γ is given as the inductive limit of the algebras \mathcal{A}_Λ with Λ , finite subsets of Γ . We call \mathcal{A}_Γ the quantum spin system on Γ . The two-dimensional quantum spin system is the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}^2}$, which is denoted by \mathcal{A} as well.

For a subset Γ_1 of $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$, the algebra \mathcal{A}_{Γ_1} can be regarded as a subalgebra of \mathcal{A}_{Γ} . For $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, with a bit of abuse of notation, we write \mathcal{A}_{Γ} to denote $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma \cap \mathbb{Z}^2}$. Also, Γ^c denotes the complement of Γ in \mathbb{R}^2 . A representation ρ of \mathcal{A} on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a nonzero $*$ -homomorphism from \mathcal{A} to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, which is not necessarily unital, in this paper.

In our framework, regions called cones play an important role. For each $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi \in (0, \pi)$, we set

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{a}, \theta, \varphi} := (\mathbf{a} + \{t\mathbf{e}_{\beta} \mid t > 0, \beta \in (\theta - \varphi, \theta + \varphi)\})$$

where $\mathbf{e}_{\beta} = (\cos \beta, \sin \beta)$. We call a set of this form a cone. We set $\arg \Lambda_{\mathbf{a}, \theta, \varphi} := [\theta - \varphi, \theta + \varphi]$, where the right hand side should be understood $\pmod{2\pi}$. We also set $|\arg \Lambda| = 2\varphi$. and $\mathbf{e}_{\Lambda} := \mathbf{e}_{\theta}$ for $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\mathbf{a}, \theta, \varphi}$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\mathbf{a}, \theta, \varphi}$, Λ_{ε} denotes $\Lambda_{\varepsilon} = \Lambda_{\mathbf{a}, \theta, \varphi + \varepsilon}$, $\Lambda(t) := \Lambda + t\mathbf{e}_{\Lambda}$. For $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi_0 \in (0, \pi)$ we consider the following set of cones:

$$\mathcal{C}_{(\theta_0, \varphi_0)} := \{\Lambda : \text{cone} \mid \arg \Lambda \cap [\theta_0 - \varphi_0, \theta_0 + \varphi_0] = \emptyset\}. \quad (1.1)$$

Again, the equality in the parentheses should be understood $\pmod{2\pi}$. Note that $\mathcal{C}_{(\theta_0, \varphi_0)}$ is an upward-filtering set with respect to the inclusion relation. For each $\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{C}_{(\theta_0, \varphi_0)}$ $i = 1, 2$, we write $\Lambda_2 \leftarrow_{(\theta_0, \varphi_0)} \Lambda_1$ if $\Lambda_i = \Lambda_{\mathbf{a}_i, \theta_i, \varphi_i}$ with

$$\theta_0 + \varphi_0 < \theta_1 - \varphi_1 < \theta_1 + \varphi_1 < \theta_2 - \varphi_2 < \theta_2 + \varphi_2 < \theta_0 - \varphi_0 + 2\pi.$$

1.2 Mixed state Approximate Haag duality

We introduce an approximate version of the relative Haag duality introduced in [Cam07]. This corresponds to a mixed state state version of the approximate Haag duality in [Oga22].

Definition 1.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a 2-dimensional quantum spin system. Let ω be a state on \mathcal{A} with a GNS representation (\mathcal{H}, π) . We say ω satisfies the approximate Haag duality if, for any $\zeta \in (0, \pi)$, $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{4}(\pi - \zeta)$, there exists an $R_{\zeta, \varepsilon} \geq 0$ and a decreasing function $f_{\zeta, \varepsilon} : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f_{\zeta, \varepsilon}(t) = 0$ satisfying the following : for any cone Λ with $|\arg \Lambda| = 2\zeta$, there exists a unitary $U_{\Lambda, \varepsilon} \in \mathcal{U}(\pi(\mathcal{A})''')$ such that

(i)

$$\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}^2})'' \subset \text{Ad}(U_{\Lambda,\varepsilon}) \left(\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_\varepsilon(-R_{\zeta,\varepsilon})})'' \right),$$

and

(ii) for any $t \geq 0$, there exists a unitary $U_{\Lambda,\varepsilon,t} \in \mathcal{U} \left(\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_{2\varepsilon}(-t)})'' \right)$ such that

$$\|U_{\Lambda,\varepsilon,t} - U_{\Lambda,\varepsilon}\| \leq f_{\zeta,\varepsilon}(t). \quad (1.2)$$

1.3 Cone von Neumann algebras

Let ω be a state on a 2-dimensional quantum spin system \mathcal{A} with a GNS representation (\mathcal{H}, π) . For each cone Λ in \mathbb{Z}^2 , we consider the local von Neumann algebra $\pi(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda)''$. With a bit of abuse of notation, we say that ω has properly infinite cone algebras if $\pi(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda)''$ is properly infinite for any cone Λ . By the stabilization procedure, namely, by tensoring a pure infinite tensor product state, we can always move to a state with properly infinite cone algebras:

Lemma 1.2. *Let ω be a state on a 2-dimensional quantum spin system \mathcal{A} . Let \mathcal{B} be another two-dimensional quantum spin system and ψ a pure infinite tensor product state on \mathcal{B} . Then the state $\omega \otimes \psi$ on $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ has properly infinite cone algebras.*

Proof. If $(\mathcal{H}, \pi), (\mathcal{H}_\psi, \pi_\psi)$ are GNS representations of ω, ψ respectively, then $(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\psi, \pi \otimes \pi_\psi)$ is a GNS representation of $\omega \otimes \psi$. Because ψ is a pure infinite tensor product state, the cone von Neumann algebra associated to a cone Λ is of the form $\pi(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda)'' \bar{\otimes} \pi_\psi(\mathcal{B}_\Lambda)''$ with $\pi_\psi(\mathcal{B}_\Lambda)''$ a type I_∞ -von Neumann algebra. The center of this algebra is $\mathcal{Z}(\pi(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda)'' \bar{\otimes} \text{CI})$ (see IV Corollary 5.11 [T⁺02]). Because $\pi_\psi(\mathcal{B}_\Lambda)''$ is an infinite factor, there exists an isometry $v \in \pi_\psi(\mathcal{B}_\Lambda)''$ with $vv^* \prec \mathbb{I}$. Therefore, for any nonzero central projection $z \otimes \mathbb{I} \in \mathcal{Z}(\pi(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda)'' \bar{\otimes} \text{CI})$, we have

$$(z \otimes v)^*(z \otimes v) = z \otimes \mathbb{I}, \quad (z \otimes v)(z \otimes v)^* = z \otimes vv^* \prec z \otimes \mathbb{I}. \quad (1.3)$$

Because $z \otimes v \in \pi(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda)'' \bar{\otimes} \pi_\psi(\mathcal{B}_\Lambda)''$, this means $z \otimes \mathbb{I}$ is infinite in $\pi(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda)'' \bar{\otimes} \pi_\psi(\mathcal{B}_\Lambda)''$. Hence $\pi(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda)'' \bar{\otimes} \pi_\psi(\mathcal{B}_\Lambda)''$ is properly infinite. \square

Therefore, by tensoring a pure infinite tensor product state, we can always make a state with properly infinite cone algebras.

1.4 Mixed state super selection sector

We consider the following notion of superselection criterion.

Definition 1.3. Let \mathcal{A} be a 2-dimensional quantum spin system. Let ω be a state on \mathcal{A} with properly infinite cone algebras. Let (\mathcal{H}, π) be a GNS representation. For each representation ρ of \mathcal{A} on \mathcal{H} and a cone Λ , we denote by $\mathcal{V}_{\rho\Lambda}$ the set of all $V_{\rho\Lambda} \in \pi(\mathcal{A})''$ satisfying $V_{\rho\Lambda}^* V_{\rho\Lambda} \in \pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})'$ and

$$\text{Ad } V_{\rho\Lambda} \circ \pi|_{\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c}} = \rho|_{\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c}}. \quad (1.4)$$

We denote by \mathcal{O}_ω the set of all representations ρ of \mathcal{A} on \mathcal{H} , which have a nonempty $\mathcal{V}_{\rho\Lambda}$ for all cones Λ . For a cone Λ_0 , the set of all $\rho \in \mathcal{O}_\omega$ satisfying

$$\rho(A) = \pi(A)\rho(\mathbb{I}), \quad A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_0^c} \quad (1.5)$$

is denoted by $\mathcal{O}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$

Remark 1.4. By the definition, we have $\rho(\mathcal{A}) \subset \pi(\mathcal{A})''$ for any $\rho \in \mathcal{O}_\omega$. If $\rho \in \mathcal{O}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$, we have

$$\mathcal{V}_{\rho\Lambda} \subset \pi(\mathcal{A}_{(\Lambda \cup \Lambda_0)^c})' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A})'', \quad (1.6)$$

for any cone Λ . In particular, we have $\rho(\mathbb{I}) \in \pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_0^c})'$.

Remark 1.5. The superselection criterion (1.4) is not suitable when cone algebras are not properly infinite (see subsection 1.3). In this paper, it is not a problem because we will always consider states with properly infinite cone algebras. One important observation is that any state can be stabilized into a state with properly infinite cone algebras (Lemma 1.2) and the category $C_{\omega \otimes \psi, \Lambda_0}$ that we consider is defined for states after stabilization.

For the latter use, we introduce a variation of Definition 1.3:

Definition 1.6. Let \mathcal{A} be a two-dimensional quantum spin system. Let ω be a state on \mathcal{A} with a GNS representation (\mathcal{H}, π) . For each representation ρ of \mathcal{A} on \mathcal{H} and a cone Λ , we denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\rho\Lambda}$ the set of all $V_{\rho\Lambda} \in \mathcal{V}_{\rho\Lambda}$ satisfying $V_{\rho\Lambda}^* V_{\rho\Lambda} = V_{\rho\Lambda} V_{\rho\Lambda}^* = \rho(\mathbb{I})$. We denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_\omega$ the set of all representations ρ of \mathcal{A} on \mathcal{H} with a nonempty $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\rho\Lambda}$ for any cone Λ , satisfying $\rho(\mathbb{I}) \in Z(\pi(\mathcal{A})'')$. We also set $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\omega, \Lambda_0} := \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_\omega \cap \mathcal{O}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$ for a cone Λ_0 .

Remark 1.7. Note by definition we have $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\omega, \Lambda_0} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\rho\Lambda} \subset \mathcal{V}_{\rho\Lambda}$.

1.5 Main Result

By the analogous argument as in [Oga22] which follows the AQFT recipe [DHR71], [FG90],[FRS89], [Lon90],[BF82][BDM⁺07],[Lon90] we obtain a braided C^* -tensor category.

Theorem 1.8. *Let \mathcal{A} be a 2-dimensional quantum spin system. Let ω be a state on \mathcal{A} with properly infinite cone algebras, satisfying the approximate Haag duality. Let Λ_0 be a cone. Then there exists a braided C^* -tensor category C_{ω,Λ_0} with objects $\mathcal{O}_{\omega,\Lambda_0}$. If Λ'_0 is another cone, then C_{ω,Λ_0} and C_{ω,Λ'_0} are equivalent as braided C^* -tensor categories.*

A more detailed statement will be given in section 2 Theorem 2.1. Under the stabilization procedure, the categories get saturated:

Theorem 1.9. *Let \mathcal{A} be a 2-dimensional quantum spin system. Let ω be a state on \mathcal{A} with properly infinite cone algebras satisfying the approximate Haag duality. Let Λ_0 be a cone. Let $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$ be two-dimensional quantum spin systems and ψ_1, ψ_2 pure infinite tensor product states on $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$, respectively. Then $C_{\omega \otimes \psi_1, \Lambda_0}$ and $C_{\omega \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2, \Lambda_0}$ are equivalent as braided C^* -tensor categories.*

This Theorem also tells us that the choice of ψ doesn't matter for $C_{\omega \otimes \psi, \Lambda_0}$:

Corollary 1.10. *Let \mathcal{A} be a 2-dimensional quantum spin system. Let ω be a state on \mathcal{A} with properly infinite cone algebras satisfying the approximate Haag duality. Let Λ_0 be a cone. Let \mathcal{B} be a two-dimensional quantum spin system and ψ_1, ψ_2 pure infinite tensor product states on \mathcal{B} . Then $C_{\omega \otimes \psi_1, \Lambda_0}$ and $C_{\omega \otimes \psi_2, \Lambda_0}$ are equivalent as braided C^* -tensor categories.*

Corollary 1.10 says that we can associate a braided C^* -tensor category $C_{\omega \otimes \psi, \Lambda_0}$ to each ω uniquely, modulo equivalence of the braided C^* -tensor categories.

When ω is pure, the stabilization doesn't change the category:

Proposition 1.11. *Let \mathcal{A} be a 2-dimensional quantum spin system. Let ω be a pure state on \mathcal{A} with properly infinite cone algebras satisfying the approximate Haag duality. Let Λ_0 be a cone. Let \mathcal{B} be a two-dimensional quantum spin system and ψ a pure infinite tensor product states on \mathcal{B} . Then C_{ω, Λ_0} and $C_{\omega \otimes \psi, \Lambda_0}$ are equivalent as braided C^* -tensor categories.*

The main result of this paper is that when the system interacts with environments (which is set to be a trivial state at time zero) for a finite time interval, or acted by finite depth quantum channels, the category $C_{\omega_2 \otimes \psi, \Lambda_0}$ of the final state ω_2 becomes a subcategory of the category $C_{\omega_1 \otimes \psi, \Lambda_0}$ of the initial state.

Theorem 1.12. *Let \mathcal{A} be a 2-dimensional quantum spin system. Let ω_1, ω_2 be states on \mathcal{A} with properly infinite cone algebras, satisfying the approximate Haag duality. Let Λ_0 be a cone. Let $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$ be two-dimensional quantum spin systems and ψ_1, ψ_2 be pure infinite tensor product states on $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$ respectively. Let α be an approximately-factorizable automorphism on $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ in the sense of Definition 1.2 of [Oga22] (See Definition 5.1 for the precise definition). Suppose that $\omega_2 = (\omega_1 \otimes \psi_1) \circ \alpha|_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then there is a faithful braided tensor functor from $C_{\omega_2 \otimes \psi_2, \Lambda_0}$ to $C_{\omega_1 \otimes \psi_2, \Lambda_0}$.*

Note that the functor is faithful but not necessarily fully faithful. In particular, it might be possible that two objects which are distinct in $C_{\omega_2 \otimes \psi_2, \Lambda_0}$ get isomorphic in $C_{\omega_1 \otimes \psi_2, \Lambda_0}$. Physically, it means the following for two anyons ρ, σ : If we are allowed to use the total system $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$, ρ and σ can be mapped to each other (or identified as the same species). However, if we are allowed to use only the sub-system \mathcal{A} , then it may be impossible to do so.

Recall that automorphisms given by (possibly time-dependent) local interactions are approximately-factorizable [Oga21]. In particular, finite depth quantum circuits satisfy this property. Therefore, by the Stinespring dilation theorem, the above theorem covers the case that $\omega_2 = \omega_1 \Phi$, with Φ a finite-depth quantum channel.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we derive braided C*-tensor categories out of states with properly infinite cone algebras, satisfying the approximate Haag duality. For pure states, the category is equivalent to that obtained in [Oga22]. In section 3, we analyze the relation between the braided C*-tensor categories when we consider subsystems. In section 4, we show Theorem 1.9, the stabilization result. In section 5, we give the proof of our main theorem Theorem 1.12.

2 Derivation of braided C^* -tensor category

In this section, we give the detailed version of Theorem 1.8. Recall the definition of braided C^* -tensor categories in [NT13]. By the analogous argument as in [Oga22], we obtain the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a 2-dimensional quantum spin system. Let ω be a state on \mathcal{A} with properly infinite cone algebras satisfying the approximate Haag duality. Let (\mathcal{H}, π) be a GNS representation of ω . Let $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < \varphi_0 < \pi$ and $\Lambda_0 \in \mathcal{C}_{(\theta_0, \varphi_0)}$. Then the following hold.*

(i) *By setting objects*

$$\text{Obj}C_{\omega, \Lambda_0} := \mathcal{O}_{\omega, \Lambda_0} \quad (2.1)$$

and morphisms between objects $\rho, \sigma \in \text{Obj}C_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$

$$\text{Mor}_{C_{\omega, \Lambda_0}}(\rho, \sigma) := \left\{ R \in \pi(\mathcal{A})'' \left| \begin{array}{l} R\rho(A) = \sigma(A)R, \quad A \in \mathcal{A}, \\ \sigma(\mathbb{I})R\rho(\mathbb{I}) = R \end{array} \right. \right\}. \quad (2.2)$$

with identity morphisms $\text{id}_\rho := \rho(\mathbb{I})$, we obtain a C^ -category C_{ω, Λ_0} .*

(ii) *For each $\rho \in \mathcal{O}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$, there is a unique endomorphism T_ρ on*

$$\mathcal{F} := \overline{\bigcup_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}_{(\theta_0, \varphi_0)}} \pi(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda)''}^n \quad (2.3)$$

such that $T_\rho \pi = \rho$ and σ -weak continuous on $\pi(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda)''$ for any $\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}_{(\theta_0, \varphi_0)}$. Here $\bar{\quad}^n$ means the norm-closure.

(iii) *The C^* -category C_{ω, Λ_0} becomes a strict C^* -tensor category with tensor product*

$$\rho \otimes \sigma := T_\rho T_\sigma \pi, \quad R \otimes S := RT_\rho(S) \quad (2.4)$$

for any $\rho, \sigma, \rho', \sigma' \in \mathcal{O}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$ and $R \in \text{Mor}_{C_{\omega, \Lambda_0}}(\rho, \rho')$ and $S \in \text{Mor}_{C_{\omega, \Lambda_0}}(\sigma, \sigma')$. The tensor unit is π .

(iv) For any $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{O}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$, the norm limit

$$\epsilon(\rho, \sigma) := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} V_{\sigma \Lambda_2(t)} T_\rho (V_{\sigma \Lambda_2(t)}^*) \quad (2.5)$$

exists and is independent of the choice of $\Lambda_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{(\theta_0, \varphi_0)}$ with $\Lambda_0 \leftarrow_{(\theta_0, \varphi_0)} \Lambda_2$ and $V_{\sigma \Lambda_2(t)} \in \mathcal{V}_{\sigma \Lambda_2(t)}$. This $\epsilon(\rho, \sigma)$ gives a braiding of C_{ω, Λ_0} .

Note that

$$Z(\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A})'') = Z(\pi(\mathcal{A})'') \quad (2.6)$$

for any cone Λ . This is because $\pi(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda)'' \subset \pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A})''$ implies

$$Z(\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A})'') \subset \pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A})'' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda)' = Z(\pi(\mathcal{A})'') \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\pi(\mathcal{A})'') &= \pi(\mathcal{A})'' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda)' = (\pi(\mathcal{A})'' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})') \cap (\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda)') \\ &= (\pi(\mathcal{A})'' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})') \cap \pi(\mathcal{A})' \subset (\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A})'') \cap (\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A})')' \\ &= Z(\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A})''). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Therefore, by the approximate Haag duality, we have $Z(\pi(\mathcal{A})'') \subset \mathcal{F}$. In fact, for any $z \in Z(\pi(\mathcal{A})'')$ and any cone $\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}_{(\theta_0, \varphi_0)}$, with sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ (so that $\Lambda_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_{(\theta_0, \varphi_0)}$), we have

$$z = \text{Ad } U_{\Lambda_\varepsilon}^*(z) \in \pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_\varepsilon(-R_{\zeta, \varepsilon})})'' \subset \mathcal{F}, \quad (2.9)$$

where $\zeta := \frac{1}{2} |\arg \Lambda|$ (with the notation in Definition 1.1). Furthermore, from (1.4), the action of T_ρ on $\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_\varepsilon(-R_{\zeta, \varepsilon})})''$ is equal to $\text{Ad}(V_{\rho, K})$ with any cone K such that $K \cap \Lambda_\varepsilon(-R_{\zeta, \varepsilon}) = \emptyset$. Therefore, we obtain $T_\rho(z) = z \cdot \rho(\mathbb{I})$ for any $z \in Z(\pi(\mathcal{A})'')$.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is the same as that in [Oga22] and [Oga24], except for the slight difference in the existence of subobjects. We give a proof only for this point:

Lemma 2.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be a 2-dimensional quantum spin system. Let ω be a state on \mathcal{A} with properly infinite cone algebras satisfying the approximate Haag duality. Let (\mathcal{H}, π) be a GNS representation of ω . Let $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < \varphi_0 < \pi$ and $\Lambda_0 \in \mathcal{C}_{(\theta_0, \varphi_0)}$. Let $\rho \in \mathcal{O}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$ and $p \in \text{Mor}_{C_{\omega, \Lambda_0}}(\rho, \rho)$ be a non-zero projection. Then there exists an object $\gamma \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$ with $\gamma(\mathbb{I}) = Z_{\pi(\mathcal{A})''}(p)$ and $v \in \text{Mor}_{C_{\omega, \Lambda_0}}(\gamma, \rho)$ an isometry such that $vv^* = p$.*

Proof. For each cone Λ , fix cones D_Λ and Γ_Λ so that $D_\Lambda \cap \Gamma_\Lambda = \emptyset$ and $D_\Lambda, \Gamma_\Lambda \subset \Lambda$. We also fix $V_{\rho, \Gamma_\Lambda} \in \mathcal{V}_{\rho, \Gamma_\Lambda}$.

Note that

$$p_{\Gamma_\Lambda} := \text{Ad } V_{\rho, \Gamma_\Lambda}^*(p) \in \pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma_\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A})'' \subset \pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A})'' \quad (2.10)$$

and p_{Γ_Λ} is a projection. We now show that p_{Γ_Λ} is properly infinite in $\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A})''$. Let $z \in Z(\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A})'')$ be a projection and assume that zp_{Γ_Λ} is non-zero. We have to show that zp_{Γ_Λ} is infinite in $\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A})''$. Because $\pi(D_\Lambda)''$ is properly infinite, there exists a projection $E_\Lambda \in \pi(D_\Lambda)''$ and isometries $v_\Lambda, w_\Lambda \in \pi(D_\Lambda)''$ such that $v_\Lambda v_\Lambda^* = E_\Lambda$, $w_\Lambda w_\Lambda^* = \mathbb{I} - E_\Lambda$. Then $zp_{\Gamma_\Lambda} v_\Lambda \in \pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A})''$ and

$$(zp_{\Gamma_\Lambda} v_\Lambda)(zp_{\Gamma_\Lambda} v_\Lambda)^* = zp_{\Gamma_\Lambda} E_\Lambda, \quad (zp_{\Gamma_\Lambda} v_\Lambda)^*(zp_{\Gamma_\Lambda} v_\Lambda) = zp_{\Gamma_\Lambda}. \quad (2.11)$$

Because $(zp_{\Gamma_\Lambda} w_\Lambda)^*(zp_{\Gamma_\Lambda} w_\Lambda) = zp_{\Gamma_\Lambda} \neq 0$, we have

$$0 \neq (zp_{\Gamma_\Lambda} w_\Lambda)(zp_{\Gamma_\Lambda} w_\Lambda)^* = zp_{\Gamma_\Lambda}(\mathbb{I} - E_\Lambda). \quad (2.12)$$

This proves that zp_{Γ_Λ} is infinite in $\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A})''$. Hence p_{Γ_Λ} is properly infinite in $\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A})''$. Similarly, the central carrier

$$z_\Lambda := Z_{\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A})''}(p_{\Gamma_\Lambda}) \quad (2.13)$$

is also properly infinite in $\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A})''$.

Hence, for each cone Λ , both p_{Γ_Λ} and z_Λ are properly infinite in $\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A})''$ with central carrier z_Λ . Therefore, by Corollary 6.3.5 of [KR86], they are equivalent in $\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A})''$. Namely, there exists $u_\Lambda \in \pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A})''$ such that

$$u_\Lambda u_\Lambda^* = p_{\Gamma_\Lambda}, \quad u_\Lambda^* u_\Lambda = z_\Lambda. \quad (2.14)$$

We claim z_Λ is equal to the central carrier $Z_{\pi(\mathcal{A})''}(p)$ of p in $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$, for any cone Λ . In fact, because of (2.6), z_Λ is the intersections of projections z in $Z(\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A})'') = Z(\pi(\mathcal{A})'')$ satisfying $zp_{\Gamma_\Lambda} = p_{\Gamma_\Lambda}$. Note that for any projection $z \in Z(\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A})'') = Z(\pi(\mathcal{A})'')$, $zp_{\Gamma_\Lambda} = p_{\Gamma_\Lambda}$ if and only if $zp = p$ because

$$V_{\rho, \Gamma_\Lambda} zp_{\Gamma_\Lambda} V_{\rho, \Gamma_\Lambda}^* = z\rho(\mathbb{I})p\rho(\mathbb{I}) = zp, \quad V_{\rho, \Gamma_\Lambda} p_{\Gamma_\Lambda} V_{\rho, \Gamma_\Lambda}^* = p. \quad (2.15)$$

Hence, we have $z_\Lambda = Z_{\pi(\mathcal{A})''}(p)$, proving the claim.

Now, we define the object γ by

$$\gamma := \text{Ad} \left(u_{\Lambda_0}^* V_{\rho\Gamma_{\Lambda_0}}^* \right) \circ \rho \quad (2.16)$$

It is straightforward to show that this gives a $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} . We can also check

$$V_{\gamma\Lambda} := u_{\Lambda_0}^* V_{\rho\Gamma_{\Lambda_0}}^* V_{\rho\Gamma_\Lambda} u_\Lambda \in \mathcal{V}_{\gamma\Lambda} \quad (2.17)$$

for each Λ and obtain $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$. For

$$v := V_{\rho\Gamma_{\Lambda_0}} u_{\Lambda_0}, \quad (2.18)$$

we have

$$v^* v = u_{\Lambda_0}^* u_{\Lambda_0} = z_{\Lambda_0} = Z_{\pi(\mathcal{A})''}(p) = \gamma(\mathbb{I}) = \text{id}_\gamma, \quad v v^* = \rho(\mathbb{I}) p \rho(\mathbb{I}) = p \quad (2.19)$$

and $v \in \text{Mor}_{C_{\omega, \Lambda_0}}(\gamma, \rho)$.

Note that

$$\gamma(\mathbb{I}) = V_{\gamma\Lambda} V_{\gamma\Lambda}^* = V_{\gamma\Lambda}^* V_{\gamma\Lambda} = Z_{\pi(\mathcal{A})''}(p). \quad (2.20)$$

Hence we have $V_{\gamma\Lambda} \in \tilde{V}_{\gamma\Lambda}$ for any cone Λ and $\gamma \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$. \square

In particular, setting $p = \rho(\mathbb{I})$ in Lemma 2.2, any $\rho \in \text{Obj} C_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$ is isomorphic to some $\gamma \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let \mathcal{A} be a two-dimensional quantum spin system. Let ω be a state on \mathcal{A} with properly infinite cone algebras, satisfying the approximate Haag duality. Let $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < \varphi_0 < \pi$ and $\Lambda_0 \in \mathcal{C}_{(\theta_0, \varphi_0)}$. By setting objects $\text{Obj} \tilde{C}_{\omega, \Lambda_0} := \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$ and morphisms between objects $\rho, \sigma \in \text{Obj} \tilde{C}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$ $\text{Mor}_{\tilde{C}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}}(\rho, \sigma) := \text{Mor}_{C_{\omega, \Lambda_0}}(\rho, \sigma)$, $\tilde{C}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$ becomes a braided C^* -tensor category with respect to the tensor of C_{ω, Λ_0} . Furthermore, $I_{\omega, \Lambda_0} : \tilde{C}_{\omega, \Lambda_0} \rightarrow C_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$ given by*

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\omega, \Lambda_0}(\rho) &:= \rho, \quad \rho \in \text{Obj} \tilde{C}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}, \\ I_{\omega, \Lambda_0}(R) &:= R, \quad \rho, \sigma \in \text{Obj} \tilde{C}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}, \quad R \in \text{Mor}_{\tilde{C}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}}(\rho, \sigma) \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

is an equivalence of braided C^ -tensor categories.*

Proof. That $\tilde{C}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$ is closed under tensor product, direct sum, subobjects can be checked by using $V_{\rho\Lambda_0} \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\rho\Lambda_0}$ (instead of general $V_{\rho\Lambda_0} \in \mathcal{V}_{\rho\Lambda_0}$) in the constructions. That I_{ω, Λ_0} is a fully faithful functor is trivial by the definition. Lemma 2.2 with $p = \rho(\mathbb{I})$ proves that I_{ω, Λ_0} is essentially surjective. \square

The following Corollary says that the choice of the cone Λ_0 in Theorem 2.1 does not matter.

Corollary 2.4. *Consider the setting in Theorem 2.1. If $\theta'_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varphi'_0 \in (0, \pi)$ $\Lambda'_0 \in \mathcal{C}_{\theta'_0, \varphi'_0}$ is another choice of the cone, then C_{ω, Λ_0} and C_{ω, Λ'_0} are equivalent as braided C^* -tensor categories.*

Proof. By the same argument as [Oga22], $\tilde{C}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$ and $\tilde{C}_{\omega, \Lambda'_0}$ are equivalent as braided C^* -tensor categories. From Proposition 2.3, this means C_{ω, Λ_0} and C_{ω, Λ'_0} are equivalent as braided C^* -tensor categories. \square

Corollary 2.5. *Consider the setting in Proposition 2.3. If, in addition, ω is a pure state, $\tilde{C}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$ is the same as the braided C^* -tensor category $C_{\omega, \Lambda_0}^{\text{pure}}$ given in [Oga22]. In particular, C_{ω, Λ_0} is equivalent to $C_{\omega, \Lambda_0}^{\text{pure}}$ as braided C^* -tensor categories.*

Proof. Recall objects in $C_{\omega, \Lambda_0}^{\text{pure}}$ are representations ρ of \mathcal{A} on \mathcal{H}_ω that have nonempty

$$\mathcal{V}_{\rho\Lambda}^{\text{pure}} := \left\{ V_{\rho\Lambda} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_\omega) \mid \text{Ad } V_{\rho\Lambda} \circ \pi_\omega|_{\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c}} = \rho|_{\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c}} \right\} \neq \emptyset, \quad (2.22)$$

for any cone Λ , with $\mathbb{I} \in \mathcal{V}_{\rho\Lambda}^{\text{pure}}$.

When ω is pure, $\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})'' = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega)$, and it is a factor. In this situation, we claim $\text{Obj}\tilde{C}_{\omega, \Lambda_0} = \text{Obj}C_{\omega, \Lambda_0}^{\text{pure}}$, and $\mathcal{V}_{\rho\Lambda}^{\text{pure}} = \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\rho\Lambda}$ for any cone Λ . In fact for $\rho \in \text{Obj}\tilde{C}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$, we have $\rho(\mathbb{I}) \in Z(\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})'') = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}$, hence $\rho(\mathbb{I}) = \mathbb{I}$. As a result, any element in $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\rho\Lambda}$ has to be a unitary. This means $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\rho\Lambda} \subset \mathcal{V}_{\rho\Lambda}^{\text{pure}}$ and $\rho \in \text{Obj}C_{\omega, \Lambda_0}^{\text{pure}}$. Hence $\text{Obj}\tilde{C}_{\omega, \Lambda_0} \subset \text{Obj}C_{\omega, \Lambda_0}^{\text{pure}}$. Conversely, if $\rho \in \text{Obj}C_{\omega, \Lambda_0}^{\text{pure}}$, then $\rho(\mathbb{I}) = \mathbb{I} \in Z(\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})'')$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\rho\Lambda}^{\text{pure}} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\rho\Lambda}$, hence we have $\text{Obj}C_{\omega, \Lambda_0}^{\text{pure}} \subset \text{Obj}\tilde{C}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$. This proves the claim.

Because $\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})'' = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega)$,

$$\text{Mor}_{C_{\omega, \Lambda_0}^{\text{pure}}}(\rho, \sigma) = \{R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega) \mid R\rho(A) = \sigma(A)R \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{A}\} = \text{Mor}_{\tilde{C}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}}(\rho, \sigma) \quad (2.23)$$

holds for any $\rho, \sigma \in \text{Obj}\tilde{C}_{\omega, \Lambda_0} = \text{Obj}C_{\omega, \Lambda_0}^{\text{pure}}$. As the result of $\mathcal{V}_{\rho\Lambda}^{\text{pure}} = \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\rho\Lambda}$, tensor products, direct sums, subobjects and braidings given in terms of them are the same in $\tilde{C}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$ and $C_{\omega, \Lambda_0}^{\text{pure}}$. \square

3 Braided C^* -tensor categories of subsystems

Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} be two-dimensional quantum spin systems. In this section, we consider the relation between the category of the system $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ and the category of the subsystem \mathcal{A} . First, we relate the GNS representations of a state ω on $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ and its restriction to the subsystem $\omega|_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} be two-dimensional quantum spin systems. Let ω be a state on $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ with a GNS triple $(\mathcal{H}, \pi, \Omega)$. Let $\varphi := \omega|_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the restriction of ω onto \mathcal{A} . Let p be the orthogonal projection onto the closed subspace $\overline{\pi(\mathcal{A})\Omega}$ in \mathcal{H} . Then the following hold.*

(i) *The triple $(p\mathcal{H}, \pi_\varphi, \Omega)$ with $\pi_\varphi(A) := \pi(A)p$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is a GNS triple of φ ,*

(ii) *The map*

$$\Theta : \pi(\mathcal{A})'' \ni x \mapsto xp \in \pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A})'' \quad (3.1)$$

is a $$ -isomorphism satisfying*

$$\Theta(\pi(A)) = \pi_\varphi(A), \quad A \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. (i) is trivial from the setting. The map Θ in (ii) is clearly a surjective $*$ -homomorphism because $p \in \pi(\mathcal{A})'$. If $\Theta(x) = 0$ for some $x \in \pi(\mathcal{A})''$, then

$$x\pi(B)\pi(A)\Omega = \pi(B)x\pi(A)\Omega = \pi(B)xp\pi(A)\Omega = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Because Ω is cyclic for $\pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})$, we have $x = 0$. Hence Θ is injective hence an $*$ -isomorphism. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} be two-dimensional quantum spin systems. Let ω be a state on $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ and $\varphi := \omega|_{\mathcal{A}}$ the restriction of ω onto \mathcal{A} . Suppose that both ω and φ have properly infinite cone algebras and satisfy the approximate Haag duality. Let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi), (\mathcal{H}_\varphi, \pi_\varphi)$ be GNS representations of ω and φ and let $\Theta : \pi(\mathcal{A})'' \rightarrow \pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A})''$ be the $*$ -isomorphism satisfying (3.2) (see Lemma 3.1). Let $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < \varphi_0 < \pi$ and $\Lambda_0 \in \mathcal{C}_{(\theta_0, \varphi_0)}$. Then*

$$F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0}(\rho) := \hat{\rho}, \quad \rho \in \text{Obj}C_{\varphi, \Lambda_0} \quad (3.4)$$

with

$$\hat{\rho}(A \otimes B) = \Theta^{-1}(\rho(A)) \pi(B), \quad A \in \mathcal{A}, \quad B \in \mathcal{B} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0}(R) := \Theta^{-1}(R), \quad \rho, \sigma \in \text{Obj}C_{\varphi, \Lambda_0}, \quad R \in \text{Mor}_{C_{\varphi, \Lambda_0}}(\rho, \sigma) \quad (3.6)$$

defines a strict faithful braided tensor functor $F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0} : C_{\varphi, \Lambda_0} \rightarrow C_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$.

Proof. Note from Remark 1.4 that for each $\rho \in \text{Obj}C_{\varphi, \Lambda_0}$, $\Theta^{-1}\rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a well-defined representation of \mathcal{A} on \mathcal{H} , whose range commutes with that of $\pi|_{\mathcal{B}}$. Therefore, there exists a unique representation $\hat{\rho}$ of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ satisfying (3.5). (See Proposition 3.3.7 of [BO08].)

We claim $\Theta^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_{\rho\Lambda}) \subset \mathcal{V}_{\hat{\rho}\Lambda}$ for any $\rho \in \text{Obj}C_{\varphi, \Lambda_0}$ and a cone Λ . In fact, for any $V_{\rho\Lambda} \in \mathcal{V}_{\rho\Lambda}$, because Θ is a map from $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$ to $\pi_{\varphi}(\mathcal{A})''$, we have $\Theta^{-1}(V_{\rho\Lambda}) \in \pi(\mathcal{A})''$. Using this fact and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Ad}(\Theta^{-1}(V_{\rho\Lambda}))(\pi(A \otimes B)) \\ &= (\text{Ad}(\Theta^{-1}(V_{\rho\Lambda}))(\pi(A))) \cdot \pi(B) \\ &= (\text{Ad}(\Theta^{-1}(V_{\rho\Lambda}))(\Theta^{-1}\pi_{\varphi}(A))) \cdot \pi(B) \\ &= (\Theta^{-1}\text{Ad}(V_{\rho\Lambda})\pi_{\varphi}(A)) \cdot \pi(B) \\ &= (\Theta^{-1}\rho(A)) \cdot \pi(B) \\ &= \hat{\rho}(A \otimes B) \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}_{\Lambda^c}$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta^{-1}(V_{\rho\Lambda})^* \Theta^{-1}(V_{\rho\Lambda}) = \Theta^{-1}(V_{\rho\Lambda}^* V_{\rho\Lambda}) \\ & \in \pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi(\mathcal{B})' \subset \pi((\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})_{\Lambda^c})'. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Hence we have $\Theta^{-1}(V_{\rho\Lambda}) \in \mathcal{V}_{\hat{\rho}\Lambda}$ and $\hat{\rho} \in \mathcal{O}_{\omega}$. Furthermore, for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_0^c}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0^c}$, we have

$$\hat{\rho}(A \otimes B) = \Theta^{-1}(\rho(A)) \pi(B) = \Theta^{-1}(\pi_{\varphi}(A)\rho(\mathbb{I})) \pi(B) = \pi(A \otimes B) \Theta^{-1}(\rho(\mathbb{I})) = \pi(A \otimes B) \hat{\rho}(\mathbb{I}). \quad (3.9)$$

Hence we conclude $\hat{\rho} \in \mathcal{O}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$.

Similarly, it is straightforward to check that (3.6) defines a morphism in C_{ω, Λ_0} from $\hat{\rho}$ to $\hat{\sigma}$ for each $\rho, \sigma \in \text{Obj}C_{\varphi, \Lambda_0}$, $R \in \text{Mor}_{C_{\varphi, \Lambda_0}}(\rho, \sigma)$. In fact, $\Theta^{-1}(R) \in \pi(\mathcal{A})'' \subset \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})''$ is well defined by the definition of Θ . For $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta^{-1}(R)\hat{\rho}(A \otimes B) &= \Theta^{-1}(R)\Theta^{-1}(\rho(A))\pi(B) = \Theta^{-1}(R\rho(A))\pi(B) = \Theta^{-1}(\sigma(A)R)\pi(B) \\ &= \Theta^{-1}(\sigma(A))\Theta^{-1}(R)\pi(B) = \Theta^{-1}(\sigma(A))\pi(B)\Theta^{-1}(R) = \hat{\sigma}(A \otimes B)\Theta^{-1}(R) \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

because $\Theta^{-1}(R) \in \pi(\mathcal{A})''$ commutes with $\pi(\mathcal{B})$. Furthermore,

$$\Theta^{-1}(\sigma(\mathbb{I}))\Theta^{-1}(R)\Theta^{-1}(\rho(\mathbb{I})) = \Theta^{-1}(\sigma(\mathbb{I}) \cdot R \cdot \rho(\mathbb{I})) = \Theta^{-1}(R). \quad (3.11)$$

Hence we have

$$F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0}(R) = \Theta^{-1}(R) \in \text{Mor}_{C_{\omega, \Lambda_0}}(\hat{\rho}, \hat{\sigma}). \quad (3.12)$$

Obviously we have $F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0}(SR) = F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0}(S)F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0}(R)$ when S and R are composable, and

$$F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0}(\text{id}_\rho) = \Theta^{-1}(\rho(\mathbb{I})) = \hat{\rho}(\mathbb{I}) = \text{id}_{F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0}(\rho)}. \quad (3.13)$$

Hence $F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0}$ is a functor. Clearly it is faithful.

Next we show that $F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0}$ is a strict tensor functor. In fact, $F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0}(\pi_\varphi) = \pi$ because

$$F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0}(\pi_\varphi)(A \otimes B) = \Theta^{-1}(\pi_\varphi(A)) \cdot \pi(B) = \pi(A \otimes B), \quad (3.14)$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$.

To see that $\hat{\rho} \otimes \hat{\sigma} = F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0}(\rho) \otimes F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0}(\sigma)$ is equal to $\widehat{\rho \otimes \sigma} = F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0}(\rho \otimes \sigma)$, first we claim that

$$T_{\hat{\rho}}(x) = \Theta^{-1}T_\rho\Theta(x), \quad \text{for all } x \in \overline{\cup_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}(\theta_0, \varphi_0)} \pi(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda)''}^n \quad (3.15)$$

for $\rho \in \mathcal{O}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$. (Recall (ii) if Theorem 2.1.) In fact we have

$$\Theta^{-1}T_\rho\Theta\pi(A) = \Theta^{-1}T_\rho(\pi_\varphi(A)) = \Theta^{-1}\rho(A) = \hat{\rho}(A) = T_{\hat{\rho}}\pi(A), \quad (3.16)$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Because $\Theta(\pi(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda)''') = \pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda)''$ and T_ρ is σ -weak continuous on $\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda)''$ for any $\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}_{(\theta_0, \varphi_0)}$, $\Theta^{-1}T_\rho\Theta$ is σ -weak continuous on $\pi(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda)''$. As $T_{\hat{\rho}}$ is also σ -weak continuous on $\pi(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda)''$, combining with (3.16), we conclude that $\Theta^{-1}T_\rho\Theta(x) = T_{\hat{\rho}}(x)$ for any $x \in \overline{\cup_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}_{(\theta_0, \varphi_0)}} \pi(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda)''}^n$. On the other hand, $T_{\hat{\rho}}(y) = y\hat{\rho}(\mathbb{I})$ for any $y \in \overline{\cup_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}_{(\theta_0, \varphi_0)}} \pi(\mathcal{B}_\Lambda)''}^n$, because $\hat{\rho}(B) = \pi(B)\hat{\rho}(\mathbb{I})$ for any $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Using these facts, for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{\rho} \otimes \hat{\sigma})(A \otimes B) &= T_{\hat{\rho}}T_{\hat{\sigma}}\pi(A \otimes B) = T_{\hat{\rho}}T_{\hat{\sigma}}\pi(A) \cdot T_{\hat{\rho}}T_{\hat{\sigma}}\pi(B) \\ &= \Theta^{-1}T_\rho\Theta\Theta^{-1}T_\sigma\Theta\pi(A) \cdot \pi(B) = \Theta^{-1}T_\rhoT_\sigma\pi_\varphi(A) \cdot \pi(B) \\ &= \Theta^{-1}\rho \otimes \sigma(A) \cdot \pi(B) = \widehat{\rho \otimes \sigma}(A \otimes B). \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Hence we have $\hat{\rho} \otimes \hat{\sigma} = \widehat{\rho \otimes \sigma}$.

To see the identity morphisms give natural isomorphisms, let $\rho, \rho', \sigma, \sigma' \in \text{Obj}C_{\varphi, \Lambda_0}$ and $R \in \text{Mor}_{C_{\varphi, \Lambda_0}}(\rho, \rho')$, $S \in \text{Mor}_{C_{\varphi, \Lambda_0}}(\sigma, \sigma')$. Recalling (3.15) and $\Theta^{-1}(S) \in \overline{\cup_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}_{(\theta_0, \varphi_0)}} \pi(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda)''}^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0}(R) \otimes F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0}(S) &= \Theta^{-1}(R)T_{\hat{\rho}}(\Theta^{-1}(S)) = \Theta^{-1}(R)\Theta^{-1}T_\rho\Theta\Theta^{-1}(S) \\ &= \Theta^{-1}(RT_\rho(S)) = \Theta^{-1}(R \otimes S) = F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0}(R \otimes S). \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Hence identity morphisms give natural isomorphisms $F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0}(\rho) \otimes F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0}(\sigma) \rightarrow F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0}(\rho \otimes \sigma)$.

Hence $F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0} : C_{\varphi, \Lambda_0} \rightarrow C_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$ is a strict tensor functor.

To see that $F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0}$ is braided, let $\rho, \sigma \in \text{Obj}C_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$, $\Lambda_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{(\theta_0, \varphi_0)}$ with $\Lambda_0 \leftarrow_{(\theta_0, \varphi_0)} \Lambda_2$ and $V_{\sigma\Lambda_2(t)} \in \mathcal{V}_{\sigma\Lambda_2(t)}$. From the above observation, we know that $\Theta^{-1}(V_{\sigma\Lambda_2(t)}) \in \mathcal{V}_{\hat{\sigma}\Lambda_2(t)}$. From this and (3.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(\hat{\rho}, \hat{\sigma}) &= \lim_t \Theta^{-1}(V_{\sigma\Lambda_2(t)})T_{\hat{\rho}}(\Theta^{-1}(V_{\sigma\Lambda_2(t)}^*)) = \lim_t \Theta^{-1}(V_{\sigma\Lambda_2(t)})\Theta^{-1}T_\rho\Theta(\Theta^{-1}(V_{\sigma\Lambda_2(t)}^*)) \\ &= \lim_t \Theta^{-1}(V_{\sigma\Lambda_2(t)}T_\rho(V_{\sigma\Lambda_2(t)}^*)) = \Theta^{-1}(\epsilon(\rho, \sigma)) = F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0}(\epsilon(\rho, \sigma)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

This proves that $F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0}$ is braided. \square

In some situations that we will consider, this functor is fully faithful.

Lemma 3.3. *In the setting of Theorem 3.2, suppose that $\psi := \omega|_{\mathcal{B}}$ is pure. Then, the tensor functor $F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0}$ of Theorem 3.2 is fully faithful.*

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{H}_\psi, \pi_\psi, \Omega_\psi)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_\varphi, \pi_\varphi, \Omega_\varphi)$ be GNS triples of ψ and $\varphi := \omega|_{\mathcal{A}}$ respectively. By the assumption, ω is of the tensor product form (IV Lemma 4.11[T⁺02]), and we can take a GNS representation $(\mathcal{H}, \pi, \Omega)$ of ω of the form $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_\varphi \otimes \mathcal{H}_\psi$, $\pi := \pi_\varphi \otimes \pi_\psi$, $\Omega := \Omega_\varphi \otimes \Omega_\psi$. In this representation, the isomorphism of Lemma 3.1 is $\Theta^{-1}(x) = x \otimes \mathbb{I}$, $x \in \pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A})''$. Because ψ is pure, we have $\pi_\psi(\mathcal{B})'' = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\psi)$. Using this fact, for any $\rho, \sigma \in \text{Obj}C_{\varphi, \Lambda_0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Mor}_{C_{\omega, \Lambda_0}}(F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0}(\rho), F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0}(\sigma)) \\
&= \left\{ S \in (\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A}))'' \bar{\otimes} (\pi_\psi(\mathcal{B}))'' \left| \begin{array}{l} S\Theta^{-1}(\rho(A))\pi(B) = \Theta^{-1}(\sigma(A))\pi(B)S, \quad A \in \mathcal{A}, \quad B \in \mathcal{B} \\ \Theta^{-1}(\sigma(\mathbb{I}))S\Theta^{-1}(\rho(\mathbb{I})) = S \end{array} \right. \right\} \\
&= \left\{ S \otimes \mathbb{I}_\psi \left| \begin{array}{l} S \in (\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A}))'', S\rho(A) = \sigma(A)S, \quad A \in \mathcal{A} \\ \sigma(\mathbb{I})S\rho(\mathbb{I}) = S \end{array} \right. \right\} \\
&= \text{Mor}_{C_{\varphi, \Lambda_0}}(\rho, \sigma) \otimes \mathbb{I}_\psi.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

This means $F_{\omega, \varphi, \Lambda_0}$ is fully faithful. □

4 Stabilization

In this section, we show Theorem 1.9.

4.1 Preparation

In this subsection, we provide Lemmas, which will be needed for the proof of Theorem 1.9.

Lemma 4.1. *Let \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B}_1 , \mathcal{B}_2 be infinite-dimensional UHF algebras and set $\mathfrak{A} := \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2$. Let \mathcal{A}_1 be a unital C^* -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} . Let $\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2$ be separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces. Let π_0 a representation of \mathcal{A} on \mathcal{H}_0 , and π_1, π_2 irreducible representations of $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$ on $\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2$ respectively. Set $\pi := \pi_0 \otimes \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$, the representation of \mathfrak{A} on $\mathcal{H}_0 \otimes \mathcal{K}_1 \otimes \mathcal{K}_2$. Let U be an element in $\pi(\mathfrak{A})''$ and ρ a representation of \mathfrak{A} on $\mathcal{H}_0 \otimes \mathcal{K}_1 \otimes \mathcal{K}_2$, and $z_\rho \in Z(\pi_0(\mathcal{A})'') \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$*

such that

$$\text{Ad } U \circ \pi(A_1 \otimes B_1) = \rho(A_1 \otimes B_1), \quad A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1, \quad B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1, \quad (4.1)$$

$$U^*U \in \pi(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)', \quad (4.2)$$

$$\rho(B_2) = z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \pi_2(B_2), \quad B_2 \in \mathcal{B}_2. \quad (4.3)$$

Then for any projection $p \in \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)'' \cap \rho(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)'$, there exists a partial isometry $V \in \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)''$ such that

$$VV^* \leq p, \quad q_0 \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2} := V^*V \in \pi(\mathcal{A})'' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A}_1)', \quad (4.4)$$

$$V\pi(A_1 \otimes B_1) = \rho(A_1 \otimes B_1)V, \quad \text{for all } A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1, \quad B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1, \quad (4.5)$$

$$(p - VV^*)U(\mathbb{I} - V^*V) = 0, \quad (4.6)$$

$$p \cdot ((\mathbb{I} - Z_{\pi_0(\mathcal{A})''}(q_0)) z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}) = 0. \quad (4.7)$$

Proof. The argument is standard (see [DL83]). For the reader's convenience, we provide proof here. Let us consider the system \mathcal{S} of sets of nonzero partial isometries $\{v_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in $\pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)''$ satisfying the following conditions:

(1)

$$v_\lambda \pi(A_1 \otimes B_1) = \rho(A_1 \otimes B_1) v_\lambda, \quad \text{for all } A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1, \quad B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda. \quad (4.8)$$

(2) projections $\{v_\lambda^* v_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ are mutually orthogonal,

(3) projections $\{v_\lambda v_\lambda^*\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ are mutually orthogonal,

(4) $v_\lambda v_\lambda^* \leq p$ for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Note that \mathcal{S} is inductively ordered with respect to the inclusion order. By Zorn's Lemma, there exists a maximal element of \mathcal{S} . We fix a maximal $S = \{v_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \in \mathcal{S}$, and set

$$V := \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} v_\lambda \in \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)'' \quad (4.9)$$

which converges in the strong*-topology because of the condition (2), (3) above. This V satisfies the required properties. We now check these one by one.

By definition, V is a partial isometry in $\pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)''$ satisfying $VV^* \leq p$ and

$$V\pi(A_1 \otimes B_1) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} v_\lambda \pi(A_1 \otimes B_1) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \rho(A_1 \otimes B_1) v_\lambda = \rho(A_1 \otimes B_1) V, \quad A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1, \quad B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1. \quad (4.10)$$

Because of this property, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V^*V &\in \pi(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)'' = (\pi_0(\mathcal{A}_1)'' \bar{\otimes} \pi_1(\mathcal{B}_1)'' \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2})' \cap (\pi_0(\mathcal{A})'' \bar{\otimes} \pi_1(\mathcal{B}_1)'' \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}) \\ &= (\pi_0(\mathcal{A})'' \cap \pi_0(\mathcal{A}_1)') \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2} = \pi(\mathcal{A})'' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A}_1)'. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Here, we used the irreducibility of π_1 . Hence we have proven (4.4) and (4.5).

Next we show $p_1 U q = 0$, corresponding to (4.6), where

$$p_1 := p - VV^* = p - \sum_{\lambda} v_\lambda v_\lambda^*, \quad (4.12)$$

$$q := \mathbb{I} - V^*V = \mathbb{I} - \sum_{\lambda} v_\lambda^* v_\lambda, \quad (4.13)$$

are projections. Because of (4.10) and $p \in \rho(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)''$, we have $p_1 \in \rho(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)''$ and $q \in \pi(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)''$.

We claim $\rho(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_1) \subset \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)''$. In fact, because of $U \in \pi(\mathfrak{A})''$ and (4.1), (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_1) &\subset \rho(\mathcal{B}_2)' \cap (\pi(\mathfrak{A})'' (z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2})) \\ &= (z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \pi_2(\mathcal{B}_2))' \cap (\pi(\mathfrak{A})'' (z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2})) \\ &= (\pi_0(\mathcal{A})'' z_\rho \bar{\otimes} \pi_1(\mathcal{B}_1)'' \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}) \subset \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)''. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Here we used the irreducibility of π_2 .

Note that for any nonzero $x \in \pi(\mathfrak{A})''$, there exists a σ -weak continuous projection of norm one $\mathbb{E}^{(x)}$ from $\pi(\mathfrak{A})''$ onto $\pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)''$ such that $\mathbb{E}^{(x)}(x) \neq 0$. This is true because

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\mathfrak{A})'' &= \pi_0(\mathcal{A})'' \bar{\otimes} \pi_1(\mathcal{B}_1)'' \bar{\otimes} \pi_2(\mathcal{B}_2)'' = \pi_0(\mathcal{A})'' \bar{\otimes} \pi_1(\mathcal{B}_1)'' \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}_2), \\ \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)'' &= \pi_0(\mathcal{A})'' \bar{\otimes} \pi_1(\mathcal{B}_1)'' \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Now assume that $x := p_1 U q \neq 0$. We derive a contradiction out of this, proving (4.6).

Because of $q \in \pi(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)'$, $p_1 \in \rho(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)'$, and (4.1) for any $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x\pi(A_1 \otimes B_1) &= p_1 U q \pi(A_1 \otimes B_1) = p_1 U \pi(A_1 \otimes B_1) q = p_1 \rho(A_1 \otimes B_1) U q \\ &= \rho(A_1 \otimes B_1) p_1 U q = \rho(A_1 \otimes B_1) x. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Note that $x \in \pi(\mathfrak{A})''$. Because we assumed $x \neq 0$, there exists a σ -weak continuous projection of norm one $\mathbb{E}^{(x)}$ from $\pi(\mathfrak{A})''$ onto $\pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)''$ such that $\pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)'' \ni t := \mathbb{E}^{(x)}(x) \neq 0$. Using the property of projection of norm one [T⁺02], (4.14), and (4.16), for any $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} t\pi(A_1 \otimes B_1) &= \mathbb{E}^{(x)}(x)\pi(A_1 \otimes B_1) = \mathbb{E}^{(x)}(x\pi(A_1 \otimes B_1)) = \mathbb{E}^{(x)}(\rho(A_1 \otimes B_1)x) \\ &= \rho(A_1 \otimes B_1)\mathbb{E}^{(x)}(x) = \rho(A_1 \otimes B_1)t. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

Taking the polar decomposition $t = v|t|$, we obtain a nonzero partial isometry $v \in \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)''$ satisfying

$$v\pi(A_1 \otimes B_1) = \rho(A_1 \otimes B_1)v, \quad \text{for all } A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1, \quad B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1. \quad (4.18)$$

By the definition of t , we have

$$t = v|t| = \mathbb{E}^{(x)}(x) = \mathbb{E}^{(x)}(p_1 U q) = p_1 \mathbb{E}^{(x)}(x) q. \quad (4.19)$$

Here, we used $p, q \in \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)''$. Therefore, we have

$$vv^* \leq p_1 = p - \sum_{\lambda} v_{\lambda} v_{\lambda}^* \leq p, \quad v^* v \leq q = \mathbb{I} - \sum_{\lambda} v_{\lambda}^* v_{\lambda}. \quad (4.20)$$

From this, we see that $\{v_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda} \cup \{v\} \in \mathcal{S}$ and it is strictly larger than $S = \{v_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda}$. This contradicts the maximality of S . Hence, we conclude $p_1 U q = 0$, proving (4.6).

The last property (4.7) follows from (4.6). To see this, first note that

$$Z_{\pi_0(\mathcal{A})''}(q_0) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2} \geq q_0 \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2} = V^* V \quad (4.21)$$

for the projection q_0 given in (4.4). From this and (4.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (p - VV^*) U (\mathbb{I} - Z_{\pi_0(\mathcal{A})''}(q_0) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}) \\ = (p - VV^*) U (\mathbb{I} - V^* V) (\mathbb{I} - Z_{\pi_0(\mathcal{A})''}(q_0) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

We also have

$$VV^* U (\mathbb{I} - Z_{\pi_0(\mathcal{A})''}(q_0) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}) = V (\mathbb{I} - Z_{\pi_0(\mathcal{A})''}(q_0) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}) V^* U = 0 \quad (4.23)$$

because V^*U belongs to $\pi(\mathfrak{A})''$, and by (4.21). From these equations, we obtain

$$p \left((\mathbb{I} - Z_{\pi_0(\mathcal{A})''}(q_0) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}) U \right) = 0. \quad (4.24)$$

Multiplying by U^* from the right of this, we obtain

$$p \left((\mathbb{I} - Z_{\pi_0(\mathcal{A})''}(q_0)) z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2} \right) = 0, \quad (4.25)$$

because $UU^* = \rho(\mathbb{I}) = z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}$. □

We use this Lemma to prove the following.

Lemma 4.2. *Consider the setting of Lemma 4.1. Then there exists a sequence of partial isometries $\{V_n\}_{n=0}^N$ (with N finite or infinite) in $\pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)''$ such that*

$$V_n \pi(A_1 \otimes B_1) = \rho(A_1 \otimes B_1) V_n, \quad \text{for all } A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1 \quad B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1, \quad \text{and } n = 0, \dots, N \quad (4.26)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^N V_n V_n^* = z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}, \quad (4.27)$$

$$Z_{\pi(\mathcal{A})''}(V_0^* V_0) = z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}. \quad (4.28)$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 4.1 to $p = z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2} \in \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)'' \cap \rho(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)'$, we obtain a partial isometry $V_0 \in \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)''$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 V_0^* &\leq z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}, \quad V_0^* V_0 \in (\pi_0(\mathcal{A})'' \cap \pi_0(\mathcal{A}_1)') \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C} \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C} \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2} \\ V_0 \pi(A_1 \otimes B_1) &= \rho(A_1 \otimes B_1) V_0, \quad \text{for all } A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1, \quad B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1, \\ (z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}) \cdot (\mathbb{I} - Z_{\pi(\mathcal{A})''}(V_0^* V_0)) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

We fix such V_0 . The last equality in (4.29) implies

$$z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2} \leq Z_{\pi(\mathcal{A})''}(V_0^* V_0), \quad (4.30)$$

while the first inequality in (4.29) and the fact that V_0 and $z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}$ commute imply the opposite inequality. Hence we obtain

$$z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2} = Z_{\pi(\mathcal{A})''}(V_0^* V_0), \quad (4.31)$$

Now let us consider the system \mathcal{S} of sets of nonzero partial isometries $\{V_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in $\pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)''$ satisfying the following conditions:

(1)

$$V_\lambda \pi(A_1 \otimes B_1) = \rho(A_1 \otimes B_1) V_\lambda, \quad \text{for all } A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1, \quad B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1, \quad (4.32)$$

(2) projections $\{V_\lambda V_\lambda^*\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ are mutually orthogonal,

(3) $V_\lambda V_\lambda^* \leq z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}$ for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$,

(4) for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $V_\lambda V_\lambda^*$ and $V_0 V_0^*$ are orthogonal.

Note that \mathcal{S} is inductively ordered with respect to the inclusion order. By Zorn's Lemma, there exists a maximal element $S := \{V_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of \mathcal{S} . We fix such S . Because $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}_1 \otimes \mathcal{K}_2$ is separable, from (2), S is at most countable, and we may write it as $S := \{V_n\}_{n=1}^N$ with $N \in \mathbb{N}$ of infinite.

We claim $\{V_n\}_{n=0}^N$ satisfies the required condition. Note that $\{V_n\}_{n=0}^N$ satisfies conditions (4.26) and (4.28). It remains to show that it also satisfies (4.27).

By the property (1) to (4), and (4.29)

$$\bar{P} := V_0 V_0^* + \sum_{n=1}^N V_n V_n^* \quad (4.33)$$

converges strongly and defines a projection in $\pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)'' \cap \rho(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)'$, satisfying $\bar{P} \leq z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}$. We would like to show that $P := z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2} - \bar{P} = 0$ by contradiction. Suppose that $P \neq 0$. Note from the definition that P belongs to $\pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)'' \cap \rho(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)'$. Applying Lemma 4.1 with p replaced by P , we obtain a partial isometry $V \in \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)''$ such that

$$VV^* \leq P, \quad (4.34)$$

$$V\pi(A_1 \otimes B_1) = \rho(A_1 \otimes B_1)V, \quad A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1, \quad B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1, \quad (4.35)$$

$$P(\mathbb{I} - Z_{\pi(\mathcal{A})''}(V^*V)) = P(\mathbb{I} - Z_{\pi(\mathcal{A})''}(V^*V))(z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}) = 0. \quad (4.36)$$

This V is non-zero because if $V = 0$, then the last equation implies $P = 0$, which contradicts our assumption. Because of the first and second properties above, we see that $\{V\} \cup \{V_n\}_{n=1}^N$ is an element of \mathcal{S} which strictly majorizes $S = \{V_n\}_{n=1}^N$. This contradicts the maximality of S . Hence we conclude that $P = 0$. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Consider the setting of Lemma 4.1 and assume that \mathcal{A}_1 satisfies*

$$Z(\pi_0(\mathcal{A})'') = Z(\pi_0(\mathcal{A}_1)' \cap \pi_0(\mathcal{A})''). \quad (4.37)$$

Assume further that \mathcal{B}_1 is of the form

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B}_1^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1^{(2)} \quad (4.38)$$

with $\mathcal{B}_1^{(1)}, \mathcal{B}_1^{(2)}$ infinite-dimensional UHF algebras, \mathcal{K}_1, π_1 of the form

$$\mathcal{K}_1 = \mathcal{K}_1^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{K}_1^{(2)}, \quad \pi_1 = \pi_1^{(1)} \otimes \pi_1^{(2)}, \quad (4.39)$$

with $\pi_1^{(1)}, \pi_1^{(2)}$ irreducible representations of $\mathcal{B}_1^{(1)}, \mathcal{B}_1^{(2)}$ on $\mathcal{K}_1^{(1)}, \mathcal{K}_1^{(2)}$ respectively. Let $\{V_n\}_{n=0}^N$ (with $N \in \mathbb{N}$ or infinite) as in Lemma 4.2 and set $p_n := V_n V_n^$, $q_n := V_n^* V_n$. Then, the following hold.*

(i) *There exists a sequence of mutually orthogonal projections $\{r_n\}_{n=0}^N$ in $\pi(\mathcal{B}_1^{(1)})''$ such that $\sum_{n=0}^N r_n = \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}_1 \otimes \mathcal{K}_2}$ and each r_n equivalent to \mathbb{I} in $\pi(\mathcal{B}_1^{(1)})''$.*

(ii) *Operators $q_0 r_n$, $n = 0, \dots, N$ are mutually orthogonal projections in $\pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1^{(1)})'' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A}_1)'$.*

(iii) *There exists a sequence $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^N$ of partial isometries in $\pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1^{(1)})'' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A}_1)'$ such that*

$$w_n w_n^* = q_n, \quad \text{and} \quad w_n^* w_n \leq q_0 r_0. \quad (4.40)$$

(iv) *There exists a sequence $\{W_n\}_{n=0}^N$ of partial isometries in $\pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1^{(1)})'' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A}_1)'$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} W_n^* W_n &= r_n (z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}), \\ W_n W_n^* &= \begin{cases} w_n^* w_n + q_0 r_n, & n \geq 1, \\ q_0 r_0, & n = 0 \end{cases}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.41)$$

(v) *The sum*

$$W := \sum_{n=0}^N V_0 q_0 r_n W_n + \sum_{n=1}^N V_n w_n W_n \in \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)'' \quad (4.42)$$

converges in the strong*-topology and satisfies

$$W^*W = WW^* = z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}, \quad (4.43)$$

$$W\pi(A_1 \otimes B) = \rho(A_1 \otimes B)W, \quad \text{for all } A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}_1^{(2)} \otimes \mathcal{B}_2 \quad (4.44)$$

Proof. Set

$$\mathcal{M} := (\pi_0(\mathcal{A})'' \cap \pi_0(\mathcal{A}_1)') \bar{\otimes} \pi_1^{(1)}(\mathcal{B}_1^{(1)})'' \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1^{(2)}} \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2} = \pi \left(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1^{(1)} \right)'' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A}_1)'. \quad (4.45)$$

Note that

$$Z(\mathcal{M}) = Z(\pi_0(\mathcal{A})'' \cap \pi_0(\mathcal{A}_1)') \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1^{(1)}} \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1^{(2)} \otimes \mathcal{K}_2} = Z(\pi_0(\mathcal{A})'') \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2} = Z(\pi(\mathcal{A})''), \quad (4.46)$$

by the assumption (4.37) and the irreducibility of $\pi_1^{(1)}$. Note also

$$\pi(\mathcal{B}_1^{(1)})'' = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_0} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}_1^{(1)}) \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1^{(2)}} \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2} \subset \mathcal{M}. \quad (4.47)$$

From this, for any pair of projections $r, \tilde{r} \in \pi(\mathcal{B}_1^{(1)})''$ that are equivalent in $\pi(\mathcal{B}_1^{(1)})''$ and any projection

$$q \in (\pi_0(\mathcal{A})'' \cap \pi_0(\mathcal{A}_1)') \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2},$$

qr and $q\tilde{r}$ are projections in \mathcal{M} that are equivalent in \mathcal{M} . In particular, from (4.46), if $r \in \pi(\mathcal{B}_1^{(1)})''$ is an infinite projection in $\pi(\mathcal{B}_1^{(1)})''$ and $q \in (\pi_0(\mathcal{A})'' \cap \pi_0(\mathcal{A}_1)') \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}$ is a nonzero projection, then qr is properly infinite in \mathcal{M} .

We have $p_n \in \rho(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)''$ and

$$q_n \in \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)'' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)' = (\pi_0(\mathcal{A})'' \cap \pi_0(\mathcal{A}_1)') \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2} \subset \mathcal{M}$$

by (4.26) and the irreducibility of π_1 .

(i) is trivial because $\pi(\mathcal{B}_1^{(1)})''$ is a type I_∞ factor due to the irreducibility of $\pi_1^{(1)}$. Note that r_n is infinite in $\pi(\mathcal{B}_1^{(1)})''$. (ii) is trivial based on the above observation.

(iii) Because r_n is infinite in $\pi(\mathcal{B}_1^{(1)})''$ and $q_0 \in (\pi_0(\mathcal{A})'' \cap \pi_0(\mathcal{A}_1)') \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}$ is a nonzero projection, from the above observation, $q_0 r_n$ is properly infinite in \mathcal{M} , $n = 0, \dots, N$. Because

r_n and \mathbb{I} are equivalent in $\pi(\mathcal{B}_1^{(1)})''$, $q_0 r_n$ and q_0 are equivalent in \mathcal{M} . Therefore, by Proposition 6.2.8 of [KR86], we get

$$Z_{\mathcal{M}}(q_0 r_n) = Z_{\mathcal{M}}(q_0) = Z_{\pi(\mathcal{A})''}(q_0) = z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}, \quad (4.48)$$

for any $n = 0, \dots, N$ by (4.46) and (4.28). Because of this and $q_n = V_n^* V_n$ and $p_n = V_n V_n^* \leq z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}$, we have $q_n \leq z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}$ and

$$Z_{\mathcal{M}}(q_n) \leq z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2} = Z_{\mathcal{M}}(q_0 r_0). \quad (4.49)$$

Hence, $q_0 r_0$ is a properly infinite projection in \mathcal{M} and q_n is a projection in \mathcal{M} with the central carriers satisfying (4.49). Therefore, by Theorem 6.3.4 of [KR86], there exists $w_n \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying (4.40).

(iv) Because r_n is infinite in $\pi(\mathcal{B}_1^{(1)})''$, $(z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}) r_n$ is properly infinite in \mathcal{M} . We also have $Z_{\mathcal{M}}((z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}) r_n) = z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}$ because of the equivalence of r_n and \mathbb{I} in $\pi(\mathcal{B}_1^{(1)})''$.

Set

$$\hat{q}_n := \begin{cases} w_n^* w_n + q_0 r_n, & n \geq 1, \\ q_0 r_0, & n = 0 \end{cases}. \quad (4.50)$$

They are projections in \mathcal{M} because $w_n^* w_n \leq q_0 r_0$ and $q_0 r_0$ and $q_0 r_n$ are mutually orthogonal for $n \geq 1$. The central carriers of \hat{q}_n in \mathcal{M} are $z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}$ for all n because

$$z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2} = Z_{\mathcal{M}}(q_0 r_n) \leq Z_{\mathcal{M}}(\hat{q}_n) \leq Z_{\mathcal{M}}(q_0) = z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}. \quad (4.51)$$

We know that $\hat{q}_0 = q_0 r_0$ is properly infinite in \mathcal{M} from above. Now we show that \hat{q}_n is properly infinite in \mathcal{M} for $n \geq 1$. For any projection $z \in Z(\mathcal{M})$, we have $z q_0 r_n \leq z \hat{q}_n$. If $z \hat{q}_n$ is finite in \mathcal{M} , $z q_0 r_n$ has to be finite in \mathcal{M} by Proposition 6.3.2 of [KR86]. Because $q_0 r_n$ is properly infinite in \mathcal{M} , this means $z q_0 r_n = 0$, and we obtain

$$z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2} = Z_{\mathcal{M}}(q_0 r_n) \leq \mathbb{I} - z. \quad (4.52)$$

This implies

$$\hat{q}_n \leq q_0 \leq Z_{\mathcal{M}}(q_0) = z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2} \leq \mathbb{I} - z, \quad (4.53)$$

which implies $\hat{q}_n z = 0$. Hence \hat{q}_n is properly infinite in \mathcal{M} .

As a result, both \hat{q}_n and $(z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}) r_n$ are properly infinite projections in \mathcal{M} and with the same central carrier $z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}$ in \mathcal{M} . Therefore, by Corollary 6.3.5 of [KR86], they are equivalent in \mathcal{M} hence there exists $W_n \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying (4.41).

(v) Note that

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 q_0 r_n W_n (V_0 q_0 r_n W_n)^* &= V_0 q_0 r_n V_0^*, \quad n \geq 0, \\ V_n w_n W_n (V_n w_n W_n)^* &= V_n q_n V_n^*, \quad n \geq 1, \end{aligned} \tag{4.54}$$

are mutually orthogonal projections in $\pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)''$. They further sum up to

$$\sum_{n=0}^N V_0 q_0 r_n V_0^* + \sum_{n=1}^N V_n q_n V_n^* = V_0 q_0 V_0^* + \sum_{n=1}^N V_n q_n V_n^* = \sum_{n=0}^N V_n V_n^* = z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2} \tag{4.55}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (V_0 q_0 r_n W_n)^* V_0 q_0 r_n W_n &= W_n^* r_n q_0 r_n W_n, \quad n \geq 0 \\ (V_n w_n W_n)^* V_n w_n W_n &= W_n^* w_n^* w_n W_n, \quad n \geq 1 \end{aligned} \tag{4.56}$$

are mutually orthogonal projections in $\pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)''$, which sum up to

$$\sum_{n=0}^N W_n^* r_n q_0 r_n W_n + \sum_{n=1}^N W_n^* w_n^* w_n W_n = \sum_{n=0}^N W_n^* W_n = \sum_{n=0}^N r_n (z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}) = z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}. \tag{4.57}$$

Hence (4.42) converges in the strong $*$ -topology, and we obtain a partial isometry $W \in \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)''$ such that $W^* W = W W^* = z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{K}_2}$. Because all of q_0, r_n, W_n, w_n belong to $\mathcal{M} = \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1^{(1)})'' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A}_1)'$, they commute with $\pi(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_1^{(2)} \otimes \mathcal{B}_2)$. The partial isometries $V_n \in \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)''$ satisfy (4.26) and commute with $\pi(\mathcal{B}_2)''$. This proves (4.44). Hence we have completed the proof of (v). \square

4.2 Stabilization

Now, we come back to our setting and prove Theorem 1.9, using the Lemmas in the previous subsection. First, we note the following basic fact.

Lemma 4.4. *Let φ be a state on a 2-dimensional quantum spin system \mathcal{A} satisfying the approximate Haag duality. Let \mathcal{B} be a two-dimensional quantum spin system and ψ a pure infinite tensor product state on \mathcal{B} . Then the state $\varphi \otimes \psi$ on $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ has properly infinite cone algebras and satisfies the approximate Haag duality.*

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{H}_\varphi, \pi_\varphi)$, $(\mathcal{H}_\psi, \pi_\psi)$ be GNS representations of φ and ψ . Their tensor product $(\mathcal{H}, \pi) := (\mathcal{H}_\varphi \otimes \mathcal{H}_\psi, \pi_\varphi \otimes \pi_\psi)$ is a GNS representation of $\varphi \otimes \psi$.

For any cone Λ in \mathbb{Z}^2 , we have

$$\mathbb{I}_\varphi \bar{\otimes} \pi_\psi(\mathcal{B}_\Lambda)'' \subset \pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda) \bar{\otimes} \pi_\psi(\mathcal{B}_\Lambda)'' . \quad (4.58)$$

Because $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_\varphi} \otimes \pi_\psi(\mathcal{B}_\Lambda)''$ is properly infinite, $\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda) \bar{\otimes} \pi_\psi(\mathcal{B}_\Lambda)''$ is also properly infinite.

Next we show that $\varphi \otimes \psi$ satisfies the approximate Haag duality. For any $\zeta \in (0, \pi)$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{4}(\pi - \zeta)$, let $R_{\zeta, \varepsilon}$, $f_{\zeta, \varepsilon}$ be as in Definition 1.1 for the state φ . For any cone Λ with $|\Lambda| = 2\zeta$, there exists a unitary $U_{\Lambda, \varepsilon} \in \mathcal{U}(\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A})'')$ such that

$$\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}^2})'' \subset \text{Ad}(U_{\Lambda, \varepsilon}) \left(\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_\varepsilon(-R_{\zeta, \varepsilon})})'' \right),$$

and for any $t \geq 0$, there exists a unitary $U_{\Lambda, \varepsilon, t} \in \mathcal{U}(\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_{2\varepsilon(-t)}})')$ such that

$$\|U_{\Lambda, \varepsilon, t} - U_{\Lambda, \varepsilon}\| \leq f_{\zeta, \varepsilon}(t). \quad (4.59)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\Lambda, \varepsilon} \otimes \mathbb{I} &\in \mathcal{U}(\pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})''), \\ U_{\Lambda, \varepsilon, t} \otimes \mathbb{I} &\in \mathcal{U} \left(\pi \left((\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})_{\Lambda_{2\varepsilon(-t)}} \right)'' \right), \\ \|U_{\Lambda, \varepsilon} \otimes \mathbb{I} - U_{\Lambda, \varepsilon, t} \otimes \mathbb{I}\| &\leq f_{\zeta, \varepsilon}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (4.60)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \pi((\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})'' &= (\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A})'') \bar{\otimes} (\pi_\psi(\mathcal{B}_{\Lambda^c})' \cap \pi_\psi(\mathcal{B})'') \\ &\subset \text{Ad}(U_{\Lambda, \varepsilon} \otimes \mathbb{I}) \left(\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_\varepsilon(-R_{\zeta, \varepsilon})})'' \bar{\otimes} \pi_\psi(\mathcal{B}_\Lambda)'' \right) \\ &\subset \text{Ad}(U_{\Lambda, \varepsilon} \otimes \mathbb{I}) \left(\pi \left((\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})_{\Lambda_\varepsilon(-R_{\zeta, \varepsilon})} \right)'' \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.61)$$

Hence ω satisfies the approximate Haag duality. \square

Now, we would like to show that $F_{\omega \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2, \omega \otimes \psi_1, \Lambda_0}$ is an equivalence if ψ_1, ψ_2 are pure infinite tensor product states on two-dimensional quantum spin systems. In order to do that we prepare the following.

Lemma 4.5. *Let Λ_0 be a cone. Let $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$ be two-dimensional quantum spin systems and ψ_1, ψ_2 pure infinite tensor product states on $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$, respectively. Let ω be a state on a two-dimensional quantum spin system \mathcal{A} . If $\rho \in \mathcal{O}_{\omega \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2, \Lambda_0}$ with $\rho(\mathbb{I}) \in Z((\pi_\omega \otimes \pi_{\psi_1} \otimes \pi_{\psi_2})(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2))''$, the following hold.*

(i) *There exists a partial isometry V in $\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})'' \bar{\otimes} \pi_{\psi_1}(\mathcal{B}_1)'' \bar{\otimes} \pi_{\psi_2}(\mathcal{B}_2)''$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} V^*V &= VV^* = \rho(\mathbb{I}), \\ V\pi(B_2) &= \rho(B_2)V, \quad B_2 \in \mathcal{B}_2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.62}$$

and a representation ρ_1 of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1$ on $\mathcal{H}_\omega \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\psi_1}$ such that

$$\rho_1(A \otimes B_1) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi_2}} = \text{Ad } V^* \rho(A \otimes B_1), \quad A \in \mathcal{A}, \quad B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1. \tag{4.63}$$

(ii) *For any cone Λ , there exists a partial isometry $V_\Lambda \in \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})'' \bar{\otimes} \pi_{\psi_1}(\mathcal{B}_1)''$ such that*

$$V_\Lambda^* V_\Lambda = V_\Lambda V_\Lambda^* = \rho_1(\mathbb{I}), \tag{4.64}$$

$$V_\Lambda(\pi_\omega \otimes \pi_{\psi_1})(A \otimes B_1) = \rho_1(A \otimes B_1) V_\Lambda, \quad A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c}, \quad B_1 \in (\mathcal{B}_1)_{\Lambda^c}. \tag{4.65}$$

(iii) *The formula $\gamma := \text{Ad } V_{\Lambda_0}^* \circ \rho_1$ (with V_{Λ_0} given in (ii)) defines an element γ of $\mathcal{O}_{\omega \otimes \psi_1, \Lambda_0}$.*

Proof. We set $\pi := \pi_\omega \otimes \pi_{\psi_1} \otimes \pi_{\psi_2}$. Let $z_\rho \in Z(\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})'')$ be a projection given by

$$z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi_1}} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi_2}} = \rho(\mathbb{I}) \in Z(\pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2)'') = Z(\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})'') \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi_1}} \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi_2}}.$$

(i) We apply Lemma 4.3 with $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{B}_1^{(1)}, \mathcal{B}_1^{(2)}, \mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{K}_1^{(1)}, \mathcal{K}_1^{(2)}, \mathcal{K}_2, \pi_0, \pi_1^{(1)}, \pi_1^{(2)}, \pi_2, U, \rho, z_\rho$, replaced by $\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{A}}, (\mathcal{B}_1)_{\Lambda_0}, (\mathcal{B}_2)_{\Lambda_0}, (\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2)_{\Lambda_0^c}, \mathcal{H}_\omega, \mathcal{H}_{\psi_1|(\mathcal{B}_1)_{\Lambda_0}}, \mathcal{H}_{\psi_2|(\mathcal{B}_2)_{\Lambda_0}}, \mathcal{H}_{(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)|(\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2)_{\Lambda_0^c}}, \pi_\omega, \pi_{\psi_1|(\mathcal{B}_1)_{\Lambda_0}}, \pi_{\psi_2|(\mathcal{B}_2)_{\Lambda_0}}, \pi_{(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)|(\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2)_{\Lambda_0^c}}, V_{\rho \Lambda_0^c} \in \mathcal{V}_{\rho \Lambda_0^c}, \rho, z_\rho$. By the definition of $\mathcal{V}_{\rho \Lambda_0^c}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\omega \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2, \Lambda_0}$, the conditions of Lemma 4.3 are satisfied.

Applying Lemma 4.3, we obtain $V \in \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes (\mathcal{B}_1)_{\Lambda_0} \otimes (\mathcal{B}_2)_{\Lambda_0})''$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} V^*V &= VV^* = \rho(\mathbb{I}), \\ V\pi(B) &= \rho(B)V, \quad B \in (\mathcal{B}_2)_{\Lambda_0} \otimes (\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2)_{\Lambda_0^c} = (\mathcal{B}_1)_{\Lambda_0^c} \otimes \mathcal{B}_2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.66}$$

From the second equality, for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1$ and $B_2 \in \mathcal{B}_2$, we see

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{Ad } V^*\rho(A \otimes B_1), \pi(B_2)] &= V^*\rho(A \otimes B_1)V\pi(B_2) - \pi(B_2)V^*\rho(A \otimes B_1)V \\ &= V^*\rho(A \otimes B_1)\rho(B_2)V - V^*\rho(B_2)\rho(A \otimes B_1)V = V^*[\rho(A \otimes B_1), \rho(B_2)]V = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.67}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\text{Ad } V^*\rho(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1) \subset \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2)'' \cap \pi(\mathcal{B}_2)' = \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})'' \bar{\otimes} \pi_{\psi_1}(\mathcal{B}_1)'' \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi_2}} \tag{4.68}$$

and we obtain a linear operator $\rho_1 : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\psi_1})$ satisfying

$$\rho_1(A \otimes B_1) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi_2}} = \text{Ad } V^*\rho(A \otimes B_1), \quad A \in \mathcal{A}, \quad B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1. \tag{4.69}$$

Because $VV^* = \rho(\mathbb{I})$, this ρ_1 is a representation of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1$ on $\mathcal{H}_\omega \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\psi_1}$.

(ii) For any cone Λ , we fix cones Γ, D satisfying $\Gamma \cap D = \emptyset$, $\Gamma, D \subset \Lambda$ and an operator $V_{\rho\Gamma} \in \mathcal{V}_{\rho\Gamma} \subset \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2)''$. We set $U := V^*V_{\rho\Gamma} \in \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2)''$, with V in (i). Then we have

$$\text{Ad } U\pi(A \otimes B_1) = \text{Ad } V^*V_{\rho\Gamma}\pi(A \otimes B_1) = \text{Ad } V^*\rho(A \otimes B_1) = \rho_1(A \otimes B_1) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi_2}}, \tag{4.70}$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma^c}$ and $B_1 \in (\mathcal{B}_1)_{\Gamma^c}$.

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} U^*U &= V_{\rho\Gamma}^*VV^*V_{\rho\Gamma} = V_{\rho\Gamma}^*\rho(\mathbb{I})V_{\rho\Gamma} = V_{\rho\Gamma}^*V_{\rho\Gamma} \in \pi((\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2)_{\Gamma^c})' \subset \pi((\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)_{\Gamma^c})', \\ \rho_1(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1}) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi_2}} &= UU^* = V^*V_{\rho\Gamma}V_{\rho\Gamma}^*V = V^*V = \rho(\mathbb{I}) = z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi_1}} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi_2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.71}$$

Now define a representation $\tilde{\rho}$ of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2$ on $\mathcal{H}_\omega \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\psi_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\psi_2}$ by

$$\tilde{\rho} := \rho_1 \otimes \pi_{\psi_2}. \tag{4.72}$$

Now we apply Lemma 4.3 with $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{B}_1^{(1)}, \mathcal{B}_1^{(2)}, \mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \mathcal{K}_1^{(1)}, \pi_1^{(1)}, \mathcal{K}_1^{(2)}, \pi_1^{(2)}, \mathcal{K}_2, \pi_2, U, \rho, z_\rho$ replaced by $\mathcal{A} \otimes (\mathcal{B}_1)_\Gamma, \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma^c}, (\mathcal{B}_1)_{\Gamma^c \cap \Lambda}, (\mathcal{B}_1)_{\Lambda^c}, \mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{H}_\omega \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\psi_1|(\mathcal{B}_1)_\Gamma}, \pi_\omega \otimes \pi_{\psi_1|(\mathcal{B}_1)_\Gamma}, \mathcal{H}_{\psi_1|(\mathcal{B}_1)_{\Lambda \cap \Gamma^c}},$

$\pi_{\psi_1|_{(\mathcal{B}_1)_{\Lambda \cap \Gamma^c}}}, \mathcal{H}_{\psi_1|_{(\mathcal{B}_1)_{\Lambda^c}}}, \pi_{\psi_1|_{(\mathcal{B}_1)_{\Lambda^c}}}, \mathcal{H}_{\psi_2}, \pi_{\psi_2}, U, \tilde{\rho}, z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi_1|_{(\mathcal{B}_1)_{\Gamma}}}}$. Note from (4.70) (4.71) and the definition of $\tilde{\rho}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} Z \left(\left(\pi_\omega \otimes \pi_{\psi_1|_{(\mathcal{B}_1)_{\Gamma}}} \right) (\mathcal{A} \otimes (\mathcal{B}_1)_{\Gamma})'' \right) &= Z \left(\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})'' \right) \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\psi_1|_{(\mathcal{B}_1)_{\Gamma}}} = Z \left(\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma^c})' \cap \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})'' \right) \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\psi_1|_{(\mathcal{B}_1)_{\Gamma}}} \\ &= Z \left(\left(\pi_\omega \otimes \pi_{\psi_1|_{(\mathcal{B}_1)_{\Gamma}}} (\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma^c})' \right) \cap \left(\pi_\omega \otimes \pi_{\psi_1|_{(\mathcal{B}_1)_{\Gamma}}} (\mathcal{A} \otimes (\mathcal{B}_1)_{\Gamma})'' \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.73)$$

by (2.6), the conditions required in Lemma 4.3 hold. Hence we may apply Lemma 4.3.

Applying Lemma 4.3, we obtain

$$W \in \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes (\mathcal{B}_1)_{\Gamma} \otimes (\mathcal{B}_1)_{\Gamma^c})'' = \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})'' \bar{\otimes} \pi_{\psi_1}(\mathcal{B}_1)'' \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi_2}} \quad (4.74)$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} W^*W &= WW^* = \tilde{\rho}(\mathbb{I}) = z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\psi_1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\psi_2}, \\ W\pi(A \otimes B_1) &= \tilde{\rho}(A \otimes B_1)W = \left(\rho_1(A \otimes B_1) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi_2}} \right) W, \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma^c}, B_1 \in (\mathcal{B}_1)_{\Lambda^c}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.75)$$

From (4.74), W is of the form $W = V_\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi_2}}$ with $V_\Lambda \in \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})'' \bar{\otimes} \pi_{\psi_1}(\mathcal{B}_1)''$. From above, this V_Λ satisfies

$$V_\Lambda^* V_\Lambda = V_\Lambda V_\Lambda^* = z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi_1}} = \rho_1(\mathbb{I}), \quad (4.76)$$

$$V_\Lambda (\pi_\omega(A) \otimes \pi_{\psi_1}(B_1)) = \rho_1(A \otimes B_1) V_\Lambda, \quad A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma^c}, B_1 \in (\mathcal{B}_1)_{\Lambda^c}. \quad (4.77)$$

(iii) The formula $\gamma := \text{Ad } V_{\Lambda_0}^* \circ \rho_1$ defines a representation of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1$ on $\mathcal{H}_\omega \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\psi_1}$ because $V_{\Lambda_0} V_{\Lambda_0}^* = \rho_1(\mathbb{I})$. For any cone Λ , we claim that $V_{\Lambda_0}^* V_\Lambda \in \mathcal{V}_{\gamma\Lambda}$. In fact, $V_{\Lambda_0}^* V_\Lambda \in \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})'' \bar{\otimes} \pi_{\psi_1}(\mathcal{B}_1)''$ from above and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad} (V_{\Lambda_0}^* V_\Lambda) \circ (\pi_\omega \otimes \pi_{\psi_1})(X) &= V_{\Lambda_0}^* V_\Lambda (\pi_\omega \otimes \pi_{\psi_1})(X) V_{\Lambda_0}^* V_\Lambda \\ &= V_{\Lambda_0}^* \rho_1(X) V_\Lambda V_\Lambda^* V_{\Lambda_0} = V_{\Lambda_0}^* \rho_1(X) \rho_1(\mathbb{I}) V_{\Lambda_0} = \gamma(X), \\ X &\in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)_{\Lambda^c} \end{aligned} \quad (4.78)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (V_{\Lambda_0}^* V_\Lambda)^* V_{\Lambda_0}^* V_\Lambda &= V_\Lambda^* V_{\Lambda_0} V_{\Lambda_0}^* V_\Lambda = V_\Lambda^* \rho_1(\mathbb{I}) V_\Lambda = V_\Lambda^* V_\Lambda = \rho_1(\mathbb{I}) = z_\rho \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi_1}} \\ &\in Z \left(\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})'' \right) \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi_1}} \subset \left(\pi_\omega \otimes \pi_{\psi_1} \left((\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)_{\Lambda^c} \right)' \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.79)$$

Furthermore, γ satisfies

$$\gamma(X) = V_{\Lambda_0}^* \rho_1(X) V_{\Lambda_0} = V_{\Lambda_0}^* V_{\Lambda_0} \pi_\omega \otimes \pi_{\psi_1}(X) = (\pi_\omega \otimes \pi_{\psi_1}(X)) \rho_1(\mathbb{I}) = (\pi_\omega \otimes \pi_{\psi_1}(X)) \gamma(\mathbb{I}), \quad (4.80)$$

for any $X \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)_{\Lambda_0^\zeta}$, because of (4.77). Hence we obtain $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_{\omega \otimes \psi_1, \Lambda_0}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.9. Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.9. First of all, from Lemma 4.4, both $\omega \otimes \psi_1$ and $\omega \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2$ have properly infinite cone algebras and satisfy the approximate Haag duality. Hence we obtain a strict braided tensor functor $F_{\omega \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2, \omega \otimes \psi_1, \Lambda_0} : C_{\omega \otimes \psi_1, \Lambda_0} \rightarrow C_{\omega \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2, \Lambda_0}$ by Theorem 3.2. Because $(\omega \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)|_{\mathcal{B}_2} = \psi_2$ is pure, from Lemma 3.3, $F_{\omega \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2, \omega \otimes \psi_1, \Lambda_0}$ is fully faithful. What remains to be shown is that $F_{\omega \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2, \omega \otimes \psi_1, \Lambda_0}$ is essentially surjective.

Set $\pi_{\omega \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2} := \pi_\omega \otimes \pi_{\psi_1} \otimes \pi_{\psi_2}$. To show the essential surjectivity, let $\rho \in \text{Obj} C_{\omega \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2, \Lambda_0}$. Because of Lemma 2.2, it suffices to consider the case that $\rho(\mathbb{I})$ belongs to the center

$$Z(\pi_{\omega \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2)'').$$

By Lemma 4.5, there exist a representation ρ_1 of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1$ on $\mathcal{H}_\omega \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\psi_1}$, partial isometries $V \in \pi_{\omega \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2)''$ and $V_{\Lambda_0} \in (\pi_\omega \otimes \pi_{\psi_1})(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1)''$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &:= \text{Ad } V_{\Lambda_0}^* \rho_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{\omega \otimes \psi_1, \Lambda_0}, \\ V \pi_{\omega \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2}(B_2) &= \rho(B_2) V, \quad \text{for all } B_2 \in \mathcal{B}_2, \\ \rho_1(X) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi_2}} &= \text{Ad } V^* \rho(X), \quad X \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1, \\ V^* V &= V V^* = \rho(\mathbb{I}), \\ V_{\Lambda_0}^* V_{\Lambda_0} &= V_{\Lambda_0} V_{\Lambda_0}^* = \rho_1(\mathbb{I}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.81)$$

For this γ , $F_{\omega \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2, \omega \otimes \psi_1, \Lambda_0}(\gamma) = \hat{\gamma}$, with

$$\hat{\gamma}(X \otimes B_2) = \gamma(X) \otimes \pi_{\psi_2}(B_2), \quad X \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}_2. \quad (4.82)$$

(Recall the proof of Lemma 3.3).

Set $W := V \left(V_{\Lambda_0} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi_2}} \right) \in \pi_{\omega \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2} (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2)''$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
W\hat{\gamma}(X \otimes B_2) &= V \left(V_{\Lambda_0} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi_2}} \right) (\gamma(X) \otimes \pi_{\psi_2}(B_2)) \\
&= V (V_{\Lambda_0} V_{\Lambda_0}^* \rho_1(X) V_{\Lambda_0} \otimes \pi_{\psi_2}(B_2)) = V (\rho_1(X) \otimes \pi_{\psi_2}(B_2)) (V_{\Lambda_0} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\psi_2}) \\
&= VV^* \rho(X) V (\mathbb{I}_{\omega \otimes \psi_1} \otimes \pi_{\psi_2}(B_2)) (V_{\Lambda_0} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\psi_2}) \\
&= VV^* \rho(X \otimes B_2) V (V_{\Lambda_0} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\psi_2}) = \rho(X \otimes B_2) W,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.83}$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1$, $B_2 \in \mathcal{B}_2$. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
W^*W &= \left(V \left(V_{\Lambda_0} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi_2}} \right) \right)^* V \left(V_{\Lambda_0} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi_2}} \right) = \gamma(\mathbb{I}) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi_2}} = \hat{\gamma}(\mathbb{I}) = \text{id}_{\hat{\gamma}}, \\
WW^* &= V(V_{\Lambda_0} V_{\Lambda_0}^* \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi_2}}) V^* = VV^* = \rho(\mathbb{I}) = \text{id}_{\rho}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.84}$$

Therefore, W is an isomorphism in $C_{\omega \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2, \Lambda_0}$ from $\hat{\gamma}$ to ρ . This completes the proof of the essential surjectivity. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.10. Let $\tau : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_2 \otimes \mathcal{B}_1$ be the flip $*$ -isomorphism

$$\tau(A \otimes B_1 \otimes B_2) = A \otimes B_2 \otimes B_1, \quad A \in \mathcal{A}, \quad B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1, \quad B_2 \in \mathcal{B}_2. \tag{4.85}$$

By the uniqueness of GNS representations, there exists a unitary $U : \mathcal{H}_{\omega} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\psi_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\psi_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\omega} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\psi_2} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\psi_1}$ such that

$$\text{Ad } U (\pi_{\omega} \otimes \pi_{\psi_1} \otimes \pi_{\psi_2}) = (\pi_{\omega} \otimes \pi_{\psi_2} \otimes \pi_{\psi_1}) \tau \tag{4.86}$$

It is then easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
H(\rho) &:= \text{Ad } U \rho \tau^{-1}, \quad H(R) := URU^*, \\
\rho, \sigma &\in \text{Obj } \tilde{C}_{\omega \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2, \Lambda_0}, \\
R &\in \text{Mor}_{\tilde{C}_{\omega \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2, \Lambda_0}}(\rho, \sigma)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.87}$$

defines a equivalence H between the braided C^* -tensor functors $\tilde{C}_{\omega \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2, \Lambda_0}$ and $\tilde{C}_{\omega \otimes \psi_2 \otimes \psi_1, \Lambda_0}$. Hence, by Proposition 2.3, $C_{\omega \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2, \Lambda_0}$ and $C_{\omega \otimes \psi_2 \otimes \psi_1, \Lambda_0}$ are equivalent as braided C^* -tensor categories. By Theorem 1.9, $C_{\omega \otimes \psi_1, \Lambda_0}$ and $C_{\omega \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2, \Lambda_0}$ are equivalent. Similarly, $C_{\omega \otimes \psi_2, \Lambda_0}$ and $C_{\omega \otimes \psi_2 \otimes \psi_1, \Lambda_0}$ are equivalent. Hence $C_{\omega \otimes \psi_1, \Lambda_0}$ and $C_{\omega \otimes \psi_2, \Lambda_0}$ are equivalent.

This completes the proof. \square

The pure state case, Proposition 1.11, also follows from Lemma 4.3.

Proof of Proposition 1.11. By Lemma 3.3, $F_{\omega \otimes \psi, \omega, \Lambda_0} : C_{\omega, \Lambda_0} \rightarrow C_{\omega \otimes \psi, \Lambda_0}$ is fully faithful. It remains to show that it is essentially surjective.

Set $\pi := \pi_\omega \otimes \pi_\psi$. To show the essential surjectivity, let $\rho \in \text{Obj}C_{\omega \otimes \psi, \Lambda_0}$. We would like to find a $\rho_\omega \in \text{Obj}C_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$ such that $F_{\omega \otimes \psi, \omega, \Lambda_0}(\rho_\omega)$ and ρ are isomorphic in $C_{\omega \otimes \psi, \Lambda_0}$. Because of Lemma 2.2 with $p = \rho(\mathbb{I})$, it suffices to consider the case that $\rho(\mathbb{I})$ belongs to the center $Z(\pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})'') = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}$, i.e., $\rho(\mathbb{I}) = \mathbb{I}$.

Now we apply Lemma 4.1 with $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{H}_0, \pi_0, \mathcal{K}_1, \pi_1, \mathcal{K}_2, \pi_2, U, \rho, z_\rho$ replaced by $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_0}, \mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0}, \mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0^c}, \mathcal{H}_\omega, \pi_\omega, \mathcal{H}_{\psi|_{\mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0}}}, \pi_{\psi|_{\mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0}}}, \mathcal{H}_{\psi|_{\mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0^c}}}, \pi_{\psi|_{\mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0^c}}}, V_{\rho_{\Lambda_0^c}}, \rho, \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_\omega}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}(V_{\rho_{\Lambda_0^c}}) \pi(A_1 \otimes B_1) &= \rho(A_1 \otimes B_1), \quad A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_0}, \quad B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0}, \\ V_{\rho_{\Lambda_0^c}}^* V_{\rho_{\Lambda_0^c}} &\in \pi((\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})_{\Lambda_0})', \\ \rho(B_2) &= \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_\omega} \otimes \pi_\psi(B_2), \quad B_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0^c} \end{aligned} \tag{4.88}$$

and the conditions of Lemma 4.1 are satisfied. Applying Lemma 4.1 with $p = \mathbb{I}$, we obtain a partial isometry

$$V \in \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0})'' = \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})'' \bar{\otimes} \pi_{\psi|_{\mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0}}}(\mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0})'' \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi|_{\mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0^c}}}} \tag{4.89}$$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} V \pi(A_1 \otimes B_1) &= \rho(A_1 \otimes B_1) V, \quad A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_0}, \quad B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0}, \\ F \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_\psi} &:= V^* V \in (\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_0})' \cap \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})'') \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_\psi} = \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_0})' \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_\psi}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.90}$$

In the last equality, we used that ω is pure. We would like to modify V to a unitary.

In order to relate V^*V with \mathbb{I} , note that the projection $F \in \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})'' \cap \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_0})' \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega)$ defined in (4.90) is infinite in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega)$, because $\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_0})''$ is an infinite factor. Therefore, by Corollary 6.3.5 of [KR86], F is equivalent to $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_\omega}$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega)$, i.e., there exists an isometry $v \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega)$ with $vv^* = F$.

Next we relate VV^* and \mathbb{I} . Because ω, ψ are pure, $\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_0})'' = \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_0})'' \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_\psi$, $\pi(\mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0})'' = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_\omega \bar{\otimes} \pi_\psi(\mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0})''$, and $\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_0} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0})'' = \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_0})'' \bar{\otimes} \pi_\psi(\mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0})''$ are factors. Note that by $\text{Ad} V_{\rho_{\Lambda_0^c}}$, $\rho(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_0})''$ (resp. $\rho(\mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0})''$, $\rho(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_0} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0})''$) and the factor $\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_0})''$ (resp. $\pi(\mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0})''$, $\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_0} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0})''$)

are isomorphic. By the assumption, they are all infinite factors. Note that $\rho(\mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0})'' \subset \rho(\mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0}') = \pi(\mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0}')'$ is of the form $\mathcal{M}_1 \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi|\mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0}'}}$ $:= \rho(\mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0})'' \subset \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0})''$ with $\mathcal{M}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\psi|\mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0}'})$. Because $\rho(\mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0})''$ is a factor, \mathcal{M}_1 is also a factor. Therefore, $\mathcal{N}_1 := \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0})'' \cap \rho(\mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0}') = \mathcal{M}_1 \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi|\mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0}'}}$ is a factor. Note that

$$G := VV^* \in \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0})'' \cap \rho((\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})_{\Lambda_0}') \subset \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0})'' \cap \rho(\mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0}') = \mathcal{N}_1. \quad (4.91)$$

We claim that G is infinite in \mathcal{N}_1 . In fact, as we noted above, $\rho(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_0})'' (\simeq \pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_0})'')$ is an infinite factor. Because of

$$\rho(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_0})'' \subset \rho(\mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0}') = \pi(\mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0}') = \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0})'',$$

$\rho(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_0})''$ is a subalgebra of \mathcal{N}_1 . Since $\rho(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_0})''$ is an infinite von Neumann algebra, there exists an isometry $v' \in \rho(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_0})'' \subset \mathcal{N}_1$ satisfying $v'v'^* \neq \mathbb{I}$. Note that $G \in \rho(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_0})'$ gives a σ -weak continuous homomorphism $\rho(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_0})'' \ni x \mapsto xG$. Because $\rho(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_0})''$ is a factor, this is faithful. Therefore, $G = (Gv')^*(Gv')$ and $(Gv')(Gv')^*$ are different, and because $Gv' \in \mathcal{N}_1$, it means that G is infinite in \mathcal{N}_1 . The same v' shows that \mathbb{I} is infinite in \mathcal{N}_1 . Therefore, by Corollary 6.3.5 of [KR86], G is equivalent to \mathbb{I} in \mathcal{N}_1 , i.e., there is an isometry w in \mathcal{N}_1 such that $ww^* = G$.

Now using $v \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega)$, $w \in \mathcal{N}_1$ as above, set

$$W := w^*V(v \otimes \mathbb{I}_\psi) \in \pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0})''. \quad (4.92)$$

Then for any $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W\pi(B_1) &= w^*V(v \otimes \mathbb{I})(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_\omega} \otimes \pi_\psi(B_1)) = w^*V(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_\omega} \otimes \pi_\psi(B_1))(v \otimes \mathbb{I}) \\ &= w^*V\pi(B_1)(v \otimes \mathbb{I}) = w^*\rho(B_1)V(v \otimes \mathbb{I}) = \rho(B_1)w^*V(v \otimes \mathbb{I}) = \rho(B_1)W, \end{aligned} \quad (4.93)$$

using $w \in \mathcal{N}_1 \subset \rho(\mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0}')$. Because W belongs to $\pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0})''$, it commutes with $\rho(B_2) = \pi(B_2)$ for any $B_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0}'$, hence we obtain

$$W\pi(B) = \rho(B)W, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}. \quad (4.94)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W^*W &= (v^* \otimes \mathbb{I})V^*ww^*V(v \otimes \mathbb{I}) = (v^* \otimes \mathbb{I})V^*GV(v \otimes \mathbb{I}) = (v^* \otimes \mathbb{I})V^*V(v \otimes \mathbb{I}) = v^*Fv \otimes \mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}, \\ WW^* &= w^*V(v \otimes \mathbb{I})(v^* \otimes \mathbb{I})V^*w = w^*V(F \otimes \mathbb{I})V^*w = w^*VV^*w = w^*Gw = \mathbb{I}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.95)$$

hence W is a unitary in $\pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\Lambda_0})''$.

Now, because of the unitarity of W and (4.94) we have

$$\text{Ad } W^*(\rho(\mathcal{A})) \subset \text{Ad } W^*(\rho(\mathcal{B})') = \pi(\mathcal{B})' = \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})'' \otimes \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_\psi}. \quad (4.96)$$

Therefore, there exists a linear map $\rho_1 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega)$ such that

$$\text{Ad } W^*\rho(A) = \rho_1(A) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_\psi}, \quad A \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (4.97)$$

Because W is a unitary, this ρ_1 is a representation with $\rho_1(\mathbb{I}) = \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_\omega}$. Using this ρ_1 and (4.94), we obtain

$$\rho_1 \otimes \pi_\psi = \text{Ad } W^* \circ \rho. \quad (4.98)$$

Next, we claim for any cone Λ , there exists a unitary U_Λ on \mathcal{H}_ω such that

$$\text{Ad } U_\Lambda \pi_\omega(A) = \rho_1(A), \quad A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c}. \quad (4.99)$$

In fact, for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c}$, we have

$$\rho_1(A) \otimes \mathbb{I} = \text{Ad } W^*\rho(A \otimes \mathbb{I}) = \text{Ad } W^*V_{\rho\Lambda}\pi(A \otimes \mathbb{I}) = \text{Ad } W^*V_{\rho\Lambda}(\pi_\omega(A) \otimes \mathbb{I}). \quad (4.100)$$

From this, there is a $*$ -isomorphism $\tau : \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})'' \rightarrow \rho_1(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})''$ such that $\tau(\pi_\omega(A)) = \rho_1(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c}$. In particular, $\rho_1(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})''$ is an infinite factor. Similarly, $\rho_1(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda)''$ is an infinite factor. Note that the commutant $\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})'$ of $\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})''$ is a factor including an infinite factor $\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda)''$. Therefore, $\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})'$ is an infinite factor. Similarly, the commutant $\rho_1(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})'$ of $\rho_1(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})''$ is also a factor including an infinite factor $\rho_1(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda)''$. Therefore, $\rho_1(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})'$ is an infinite factor. From these, using Corollary 8.12 of [SZ19], the $*$ -isomorphism $\tau : \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})'' \rightarrow \rho_1(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})''$ is spatial. Namely, there exists a unitary U_Λ on \mathcal{H}_ω such that

$$\text{Ad } U_\Lambda(x) = \tau(x), \quad x \in \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})''. \quad (4.101)$$

In particular (4.99) holds.

Now we claim

$$\rho_\omega := \text{Ad } U_{\Lambda_0}^* \rho_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}. \quad (4.102)$$

Because U_{Λ_0} is a unitary, this gives a representation of \mathcal{A} on \mathcal{H}_ω . For any cone Λ , we have

$$\rho_\omega(A) = \text{Ad } U_{\Lambda_0}^* \rho_1(A) = \text{Ad } U_{\Lambda_0}^* U_\Lambda \pi_\omega(A), \quad A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c}, \quad (4.103)$$

with $U_{\Lambda_0}^* U_\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega) = \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})''$ a unitary. Hence, $U_{\Lambda_0}^* U_\Lambda$ belongs to $\mathcal{V}_{\rho_\omega, \Lambda}$, and ρ_ω belongs to $\mathcal{O}_{\omega, \Lambda_0}$.

Finally, we claim that $\hat{\rho}_\omega := F_{\omega \otimes \psi, \Lambda_0}(\rho_\omega)$ is isomorphic to ρ in $C_{\omega \otimes \psi, \Lambda_0}$. In fact, recalling that the isomorphism of Lemma 3.1 is $\Theta^{-1}(x) = x \otimes \mathbb{I}$, $x \in \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})''$ in this setting, we have

$$\hat{\rho}_\omega(A \otimes B) = \Theta^{-1}(\rho_\omega(A)) \pi(B) = \rho_\omega(A) \otimes \pi_\psi(B), \quad (4.104)$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Set

$$U := W(U_{\Lambda_0} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_\psi}) \in \mathcal{U}(\pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})'') = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega \otimes \mathcal{H}_\psi)). \quad (4.105)$$

We have $\rho(\mathbb{I})U\hat{\rho}_\omega(\mathbb{I}) = U$ and

$$\begin{aligned} U\hat{\rho}_\omega(A \otimes B) &= U(\rho_\omega(A) \otimes \pi_\psi(B)) = W(U_{\Lambda_0} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_\psi}) (U_{\Lambda_0}^* \rho_1(A) U_{\Lambda_0} \otimes \pi_\psi(B)) \\ &= W(\rho_1(A) \otimes \pi_\psi(B)) (U_{\Lambda_0} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_\psi}) = \rho(A \otimes B) W(U_{\Lambda_0} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_\psi}) = \rho(A \otimes B)U, \end{aligned} \quad (4.106)$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$. This proves that the unitary U belongs to $\text{Mor}_{C_{\omega \otimes \psi, \Lambda_0}}(\hat{\rho}_\omega, \rho)$. This completes the proof of the essential surjectivity. \square

5 Proof of Theorem 1.12

In this section we prove our main theorem, Theorem 1.12.

Let us first recall the definition of approximately-factorizable automorphisms.

Definition 5.1. Let α be an automorphism of a two-dimensional quantum spin system \mathcal{A} . We say that α is approximately-factorizable if the following condition holds.

- (i) For any cone Λ and $\delta > 0$, there are automorphisms $\alpha_\Lambda, \tilde{\alpha}_\Lambda \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda)$, $\alpha_{\Lambda^c}, \tilde{\alpha}_{\Lambda^c} \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda^c})$ and $\Xi_{\Lambda, \delta}, \tilde{\Xi}_{\Lambda, \delta} \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_\delta \cap (\Lambda^c)_\delta})$ and unitaries $v_{\Lambda\delta}, \tilde{v}_{\Lambda\delta} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \text{Ad}(v_{\Lambda\delta}) \circ \Xi_{\Lambda, \delta} \circ (\alpha_\Lambda \otimes \alpha_{\Lambda^c}), \\ \alpha^{-1} &= \text{Ad}(\tilde{v}_{\Lambda\delta}) \circ \tilde{\Xi}_{\Lambda, \delta} \circ (\tilde{\alpha}_\Lambda \otimes \tilde{\alpha}_{\Lambda^c}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

(ii) For each $\delta, \delta' > 0, \varphi \in (0, 2\pi)$, there exists a decreasing function $g_{\varphi, \delta, \delta'}(t)$ on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g_{\varphi, \delta, \delta'}(t) = 0$. For any cone Λ with $\varphi = |\arg \Lambda|$, there are unitaries $v'_{\Lambda, \delta, \delta', t}, \tilde{v}'_{\Lambda, \delta, \delta', t} \in \mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_{\delta+\delta'} - t e_{\Lambda}}$ satisfying

$$\|v_{\Lambda, \delta} - v'_{\Lambda, \delta, \delta', t}\|, \|\tilde{v}_{\Lambda, \delta} - \tilde{v}'_{\Lambda, \delta, \delta', t}\| \leq g_{\varphi, \delta, \delta'}(t), \quad (5.2)$$

for unitaries $v_{\Lambda, \delta}, \tilde{v}_{\Lambda, \delta}$ in (i).

We note that approximately factorizable automorphisms preserve the approximate Haag duality and the properly infiniteness of cone algebras.

Lemma 5.2. *Let ω be a state on a two-dimensional quantum spin system \mathcal{A} with properly infinite cone algebras, satisfying the approximate Haag duality. Let α be an approximately factorizable automorphism on \mathcal{A} . Then $\omega\alpha$ has properly infinite cone algebras and satisfies the approximate Haag duality.*

Proof. By the same proof as [Oga22], we can show that $\omega\alpha$ satisfies the approximate Haag duality.

Let (\mathcal{H}, π) be a GNS representation of ω . For any cone Λ , with $\delta > 0$ small enough, we have

$$\pi\alpha(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda}) = \text{Ad}(\pi(v_{\Lambda\delta}))(\pi\Xi_{\Lambda\delta}(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda})) \supset \text{Ad}(\pi(v_{\Lambda\delta}))(\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda-\delta})), \quad (5.3)$$

and the von Neumann algebra $\pi\alpha(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda})''$ includes a properly infinite von Neumann algebra $\text{Ad}(\pi(v_{\Lambda\delta}))(\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda-\delta})'')$. Hence $\pi\alpha(\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda})''$ is properly infinite, and $\omega\alpha$ has properly infinite cone algebras. \square

Now we prove Theorem 1.12.

Proof of Theorem 1.12. We denote by α_{13} the automorphism α acting on the first and third components of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_2 \otimes \mathcal{B}_1$. By the same proof as [Oga22], the braided C^* -tensor categories $\tilde{C}_{\omega_1 \otimes \psi_2 \otimes \psi_1, \Lambda_0}$ and $\tilde{C}_{(\omega_1 \otimes \psi_2 \otimes \psi_1)\alpha_{13}, \Lambda_0}$ are equivalent. By Proposition 2.3, this means $C_{\omega_1 \otimes \psi_2 \otimes \psi_1, \Lambda_0}$ and $C_{(\omega_1 \otimes \psi_2 \otimes \psi_1)\alpha_{13}, \Lambda_0}$ are equivalent. By Theorem 1.9, $C_{\omega_1 \otimes \psi_2, \Lambda_0}$ and $C_{\omega_1 \otimes \psi_2 \otimes \psi_1, \Lambda_0}$

are equivalent. By Theorem 3.2, there is a faithful braided tensor functor from $C_{\omega_2 \otimes \psi_2, \Lambda_0} = C_{(\omega_1 \otimes \psi_2 \otimes \psi_1) \alpha_{13} |_{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_2}, \Lambda_0}$ to $C_{(\omega_1 \otimes \psi_2 \otimes \psi_1) \alpha_{13}, \Lambda_0}$, hence to $C_{\omega_1 \otimes \psi_2, \Lambda_0}$. This proves the Theorem.

□

Acknowledgment.

The author is grateful to David Pérez-García and Angelo Lucia for the fruitful discussion.

A Notation

The unit of a C^* -algebra \mathfrak{A} will be denoted by $\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{A}}$, although we will frequently omit the subscript and write \mathbb{I} . The set of all unitaries in \mathfrak{A} is denoted by $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{A})$. For a state ω on a C^* -algebra \mathfrak{A} , when we write $(\mathcal{H}_\omega, \pi_\omega, \Omega_\omega)$, it means a GNS triple of ω . For two UHF algebras $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}$, we occasionally denote the sub-algebra $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{B}}$ (resp. $\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{A}} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$) of $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$ by \mathfrak{A} (resp. \mathfrak{B}). With the same spirit, we frequently denote $A \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{B}}, \mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{A}} \otimes B \in \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$ by A, B respectively. We denote by $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{A})$ the automorphism group of \mathfrak{A} .

For a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} , we denote its center by $Z(\mathcal{M})$. We denote by $Z_{\mathcal{M}}(x)$ the central carrier of $x \in \mathcal{M}$ in \mathcal{M} . For von Neumann algebras \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} , $\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$ is the von Neumann algebra tensor product of them.

For a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the algebra of all bounded operators on \mathcal{H} . The identity map on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is denoted by $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}}$, but the subscript is occasionally omitted. For a state ω , $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_\omega}$ is also denoted by \mathbb{I}_ω for simplicity. For operators V, x on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , we set $\text{Ad } V(x) := VxV^*$.

Funding and/or Conflicts of interests/Competing interests This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 19K03534 and 22H01127. It was also supported by JST CREST Grant Number JPMJCR19T2. The author has no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

DATA AVAILABILITY The data that support the findings of this study are available within the article.

References

- [BDM⁺07] Detlev Buchholz, Sergio Doplicher, Giovanni Morchio, John E Roberts, and Franco Strocchi. Asymptotic abelianness and braided tensor C^* -categories. In *Rigorous quantum field theory*, pages 49–64. Springer, 2007.
- [BF82] Detlev Buchholz and Klaus Fredenhagen. Locality and the structure of particle states. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 84(1):1–54, 1982.
- [BO08] Nathaniel Patrick Brown and Narutaka Ozawa. *C^* -Algebras and Finite-Dimensional Approximations*, volume 88. American Mathematical Soc., 2008.
- [Cam07] Paolo Camassa. Relative Haag duality for the free field in fock representation. *Annales Henri Poincaré*, 8:1433–1459, 2007.
- [CPG19] Andrea Coser and David Pérez-García. Classification of phases for mixed states via fast dissipative evolution. *Quantum*, 3:174, 2019.
- [DHR71] Sergio Doplicher, Rudolf Haag, and John E Roberts. Local observables and particle statistics I. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 23(3):199–230, 1971.
- [DKLP02] Eric Dennis, Alexei Kitaev, Andrew Landahl, and John Preskill. Topological quantum memory. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 43(9):4452–4505, 2002.
- [DL83] Claudio D’Antoni and Roberto Longo. Interpolation by type I factors and the flip automorphism. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 51(3):361–371, 1983.
- [EC24] Tyler Ellison and Meng Cheng. Towards a classification of mixed-state topological orders in two dimensions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.02390*, 2024.
- [FG90] Jürg Fröhlich and Fabrizio Gabbiani. Braid statistics in local quantum theory. *Reviews in Mathematical Physics*, 2(03):251–353, 1990.
- [FN15] Leander Fiedler and Pieter Naaijken. Haag duality for Kitaev’s quantum double model for abelian groups. *Reviews in Mathematical Physics*, 27(09):1550021, 2015.

- [FRS89] Klaus Fredenhagen, Karl-Henning Rehren, and Bert Schroer. Superselection sectors with braid group statistics and exchange algebras. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 125(2):201–226, 1989.
- [Kit06] Alexei Kitaev. Anyons in an exactly solved model and beyond. *Annals of Physics*, 321(1):2–111, 2006.
- [KP14] Robert König and Fernando Pastawski. Generating topological order: no speedup by dissipation. *Physical Review B*, 90(4):045101, 2014.
- [KR86] Richard V Kadison and John R Ringrose. *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras. II: Advanced theory*. Academic press New York, 1986.
- [LHG20] Tsung-Cheng Lu, Timothy H Hsieh, and Tarun Grover. Detecting topological order at finite temperature using entanglement negativity. *Physical Review Letters*, 125(11):116801, 2020.
- [Lon90] Roberto Longo. Index of subfactors and statistics of quantum fields: II. correspondences, braid group statistics and jones polynomial. *Communications in mathematical physics*, 130(2):285–309, 1990.
- [Naa11] Pieter Naaijken. Localized endomorphisms in Kitaev’s toric code on the plane. *Reviews in Mathematical Physics*, 23(04):347–373, 2011.
- [Naa12] Pieter Naaijken. Haag duality and the distal split property for cones in the toric code. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, 101(3):341–354, 2012.
- [Naa13] Pieter Naaijken. Kosaki-Longo index and classification of charges in 2d quantum spin models. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 54(8), 2013.
- [NT13] Sergey Neshveyev and Lars Tuset. *Compact quantum groups and their representation categories*, volume 20. Société mathématique de France Paris, 2013.

- [Oga21] Yoshiko Ogata. An $H^3(G, \mathbb{T})$ -valued index of symmetry-protected topological phases with on-site finite group symmetry for two-dimensional quantum spin systems. *Forum of Mathematics, Pi*, 9, 2021.
- [Oga22] Yoshiko Ogata. A derivation of braided C^* -tensor categories from gapped ground states satisfying the approximate Haag duality. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 63(1):011902, 2022.
- [Oga24] Yoshiko Ogata. Boundary states of a bulk gapped ground state in 2-d quantum spin systems. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 405(9):213, 2024.
- [SP24] Ramanjit Sohal and Abhinav Prem. A noisy approach to intrinsically mixed-state topological order. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.13879*, 2024.
- [SZ19] Șerban Strătilă and László Zsidó. *Lectures on von Neumann algebras*. Cambridge University Press, 2019.
- [T⁺02] Masamichi Takesaki et al. *Theory of operator algebras I*, volume 124. Springer, 2002.
- [Wen04] Xiao-Gang Wen. Quantum field theory of many-body systems: From the origin of sound to an origin of light and electrons. 2004.
- [Wen16] Xiao-Gang Wen. A theory of 2+ 1d bosonic topological orders. *National Science Review*, 3(1):68–106, 2016.
- [Wen17] Xiao-Gang Wen. Colloquium: Zoo of quantum-topological phases of matter. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 89(4):041004, 2017.