

CYCLIC QUANTUM TEICHMÜLLER THEORY

TSUKASA ISHIBASHI

ABSTRACT. Based on the pioneering ideas of Kashaev [Kas98, Kas00], we present a fully explicit construction of a finite-dimensional projective representation of the dotted Ptolemy groupoid when the quantum parameter q is a root of unity, which reproduces the central charge of the $SU(2)$ Wess–Zumino–Witten model. A basic ingredient is the cyclic quantum dilogarithm [FK94].

A notable contribution of this work is a reinterpretation of the relations among the parameters in the cyclic quantum dilogarithm to ensure its pentagon identity in terms of the *mutations of coefficients*. In particular, we elucidate the dual roles of these parameters: as coefficients in quantum cluster algebras and as the central characters of quantum cluster variables.

We introduce the quantum intertwiner associated with a mapping class as a composite of cyclic quantum dilogarithm operators, whose trace defines a quantum invariant. We provide a canonical method to reduce the entire theory to an irreducible representation of the Chekhov–Fock algebra. The reduced intertwiner corresponds to the one studied by Bonahon–Liu [BL07] and Bonahon–Wong–Yang [BWY21, BWY22], which is now expressed using the cyclic quantum dilogarithm operators.

Finally, we describe the connection to the Kashaev’s $6j$ -symbol [Kas94, Kas95] within our framework, which elucidates the fundamental relationship between our quantum invariant and the Kashaev’s link invariant.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Cyclic quantum dilogarithm	8
3. Cyclic representations of the Weyl algebra and the pentagon relation	13
4. Cyclic quantum Teichmüller theory	19
5. Reduction to irreducible representations of Chekhov–Fock algebras	28
6. Relation to the Kashaev’s $6j$ -symbol	41
7. Proofs	46
Appendix A. The case of $q^N = -1$	59
References	61

1. INTRODUCTION


Quantization of the Teichmüller theory is a program motivated by physical observations originally presented in the Verlinde’s seminal paper [Ver89], which has since drawn

significant interest from the mathematical community. In a modern mathematical formulation, a key output of a quantum Teichmüller theory associated with a (marked) surface Σ is a (projective) representation of (certain variants of) the *Ptolemy groupoid*, whose objects are ideal triangulations of Σ and morphisms are flips among them. Specifically, the program seeks to associate a vector space V_Δ (‘space of quantum states’) to each triangulation Δ , and a linear operator $f_\omega : V_{\Delta'} \rightarrow V_\Delta$ (‘quantum coordinate change’) for a morphism $\omega : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta'$. A fundamental consistency condition for these linear operators is the *pentagon relation*, which involves five flips within the pentagon.

The representation can be finite-dimensional or infinite-dimensional, depending on the properties of the quantum parameter \hbar . Infinite-dimensional representations have been extensively studied when \hbar is generic (say $\hbar > 0$), with two notable constructions provided by Kashaev [Kas98, Kas99, Kas00, Kas01] and Chekhov–Fock [CF99]. In both constructions, the representation spaces are L^2 -spaces on certain coordinate spaces, and the flip operators are constructed using the *Faddeev’s (non-compact) quantum dilogarithm* [FK94]. The relation between these two constructions is elucidated by Teschner [Tes07], who demonstrated that these theories yield an infinite-dimensional modular functor corresponding to the Liouville CFT. The Chekhov–Fock construction is significantly generalized into a representation theory of *quantum cluster varieties* by Fock–Goncharov [FG08] and Goncharov–Shen [GS19], including the higher Teichmüller spaces. The higher-rank analogs of these constructions are anticipated to produce the Toda CFTs, as discussed in [SS17, GS19]. On the other hand, Kashaev’s construction has been extended to a $(2+1)$ -dimensional TQFT known as the *Andersen–Kashaev TQFT* (or the *Teichmüller TQFT*) [AK14a], although its higher-rank generalizations remain undeveloped (see [Kim16] for preliminary attempts). Notably, a key distinction between the two constructions lies in their underlying groupoid settings: the Chekhov–Fock construction provides a representation of the Ptolemy groupoid, whereas Kashaev’s construction yields a projective representation of the *dotted Ptolemy groupoid*, which parametrizes triangulations with ‘dots’ and offers a refined control over the polarizations.

On the other hand, finite-dimensional representations are comparatively less studied. The primary goal of this paper is to present a fully explicit construction of the finite-dimensional version of the Kashaev representation when \hbar is rational (or equivalently $q := e^{\pi i \hbar}$ is a root of unity), building upon the ideas outlined in [Kas98, Kas00]. The core ingredient is the *cyclic quantum dilogarithm* [FK94]. It is worth noting that Bonahon–Liu [BL07] initiated the study of certain finite-dimensional representations of the coordinate quantum tori within the framework of Chekhov–Fock. This line of research has since been enriched by fruitful connections to skein theory (see, e.g. [Bai07, BBL07, BW15, BWY21, BWY22]). However, their approach does not provide an explicit treatment of quantum coordinate changes between spaces of quantum states. In this paper, we will establish connections with these works as well.

The following diagram provides a conceptual overview of our study, including the future directions (WZZ=Wess–Zumino–Witten, CQTT=Cyclic Quantum Teichmüller Theory, QCV=Quantum Cluster Variety):



1.1. Cyclic quantum dilogarithm and its pentagon relation. Let N be a positive odd integer. Let q^2 be a primitive N -th root of unity, and choose the square-root q so that $q^N = 1$ (see Section 2). The cyclic quantum dilogarithm we use in this paper is given by the following expression:

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(X) := \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} (p^- + q^{2j+1} p^+ X)^{j/N}, \quad (1.1)$$

where the parameter $\mathbf{p} := (p^+, p^-)$ is taken from the Fermat curve

$$\mathcal{F}_N := \{\mathbf{p} = (p^+, p^-) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^2 \mid (p^+)^N + (p^-)^N = 1\},$$

and X is a variable such that $X^N = 1$. It is a reparametrization of the version introduced by Kashaev [Kas00]. See Section 2.1 for the relationship with the versions of cyclic quantum dilogarithms used in the literature, including Bazhanov–Reshetikhin [BR95] and Ip–Yamazaki [IY16]. Our key observation is that the tuple $\mathbf{p} = (p^+, p^-)$ plays the role of *coefficient tuples* in the cluster algebra, while the ratio $y := p^+/p^-$ is the *exchange ratio* (also known as y -variables).

It is well-known that the cyclic quantum dilogarithm (1.1) satisfies a pentagon relation [FK94], where the parameters \mathbf{p} must satisfy several monomial relations. See Theorem 3.2 in our notation. We show that these seemingly mysterious relations are, in fact, equivalent to the mutation relations of coefficients [FZ03, (1.5)]:

Theorem 1 (Reformulation of pentagon relation: Theorem 3.3). *Let $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r} \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_N$, and \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{P} be operators satisfying $\mathbf{U}^N = \mathbf{P}^N = 1$, $\mathbf{UP} = q^2 \mathbf{PU}$, acting on the standard representation V_N (3.1). Then the pentagon relation*

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{U})\Psi_{\mathbf{r}'}(\mathbf{P}) = \Psi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{P})\Psi_{\mathbf{p}'}(q^{-1}\mathbf{UP})\Psi_{\mathbf{p}''}(\mathbf{U})$$

holds on V_N if and only if $\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}'' \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_N$ satisfy the mutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r'^+}{r'^-} &= \frac{r^+}{r^-} (p^-)^{-1}, & \frac{p''^-}{p''^+} &= \frac{p^-}{p^+} (r'^-)^{-1}, & \frac{p'^-}{p'^+} &= \frac{r'^-}{r'^+} (p''^+)^{-1}, \\ \frac{p''^+}{p''^-} &= \frac{r^-}{r^+} p'^+, & \frac{p'^+}{p'^-} &= \frac{p^+}{p^-} r^+. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

The five relations in (1.2) is exactly the mutation relations among the coefficient variables along the pentagon cycle (also known as the A_2 -cycle): see Lemma 4.5. Therefore, the pentagon relation of the cyclic quantum dilogarithm fits in with the spirit of [KN14].

1.2. Finite-dimensional projective representation of the dotted Ptolemy groupoid.

Using the cyclic quantum dilogarithm, we construct a finite-dimensional projective representation of the dotted Ptolemy groupoid Pt_Σ^\bullet (Definition 4.1). To each dotted triangulation $\dot{\Delta}$ of Σ , we assign a finite-dimensional vector space $V_{\dot{\Delta}}$, and to each dot rotation (resp. flip), we assign a linear operator A_v (resp. T_{vw}) between these spaces. See Section 4.4 for details. The space $V_{\dot{\Delta}}$ quantizes the Kashaev coordinates on an extension of the Teichmüller space [Kas98]. The operator A_v acts as a kind of ‘Fourier transformation’ of order 3 changing the polarizations, and T_{vw} is constructed using the cyclic quantum dilogarithm.

The following result was already stated by Kashaev [Kas00, Example 3], although our global treatment of the parameters (coefficients) appears to be novel:

Theorem 2 (Theorem 4.9). *For any point $\mathbf{p}_\Sigma = \{\mathbf{p}_\Delta\}_{\Delta \in \text{Pt}_\Sigma} \in \mathcal{F}_\Sigma$ in the space of coefficients (Definition 4.4), we have a projective representation $V_*(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma) : \text{Pt}_\Sigma^\bullet \rightarrow \text{Vect}_\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}$:*

- (1) $A_v^3 = 1$.
- (2) $T_{uv}T_{uw}T_{vw} = T_{vw}T_{uv}$.
- (3) $A_vT_{vw}A_w = A_wT_{vw}A_v$.
- (4) $T_{vw}A_vT_{vw} = \zeta A_vA_wP_{(12)}$, where $\zeta = e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}(1-\frac{1}{N})}$.

As already noted by Kashaev [Kas00] (see also [Kas99]), the phase factor ζ agrees with the central charge of the $SU(2)$ Wess–Zumino–Witten model of level $k = N - 2$. Each $V_{\dot{\Delta}}$ admits an action of a Weyl algebra $\mathcal{W}_{\dot{\Delta}}$, which is intertwined by each morphism. The projective functor $V_*(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma)$ is referred to as a *cyclic representation* of Pt_Σ^\bullet or a *cyclic quantum Teichmüller theory*.

1.3. Quantum invariant of mapping classes. The mapping class group $MC(\Sigma)$ naturally acts on the dotted Ptolemy groupoid. A mapping class $\phi \in MC(\Sigma)$ induces a canonical intertwiner $V_\phi^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma) : V_{\dot{\Delta}} \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{\dot{\Delta}}$, which we refer to as the *quantum intertwiner* of ϕ , provided that \mathbf{p}_Σ satisfies the ϕ -invariance condition (4.5). This condition in particular implies that the image of \mathbf{p}_Σ in the cluster Poisson variety, which is birationally isomorphic to a framed version of the $PGL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -character variety, is fixed under the natural action of ϕ . The absolute value of its trace

$$|\text{Tr}_N(\phi; \mathbf{p}_\Sigma)| := |\text{Tr}(V_\phi^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma))| \quad (1.3)$$

defines an invariant of the mapping class ϕ that is independent of $\dot{\Delta}$. We call this invariant the *quantum trace* of ϕ . The intertwiner $V_\phi^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma)$ is automatically normalized so that $|\det V_{\phi, \dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma)| = 1$, aligning with the normalization condition of the Bonahon–Liu’s invariant [BL07]. Explicit computations of the quantum intertwiners for Dehn twists are provided in Section 4.6.

1.4. Chekhov–Fock algebras and quantum cluster Poisson transformations. Fix a coefficient tuple $\mathbf{p}_\Sigma \in \mathcal{F}_\Sigma$. To each ideal triangulation Δ of Σ , we associate a quantum torus $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}^\Delta}^\Delta$, referred to as the *Chekhov–Fock algebra* (or the *quantum cluster Poisson torus*). See Definition 5.1. For the root of unity case, we impose the condition $X_\alpha^N = y_\alpha^N$ for each generator X_α , where $y_\alpha = p_\alpha^+/p_\alpha^-$ is the exchange ratio defined from \mathbf{p}_Σ .

In this setting, the quantum cluster Poisson transformations among these quantum tori can be expressed using the cyclic quantum dilogarithm:

$$\mu_\kappa^* = \text{Ad}_{\Psi_{\mathbf{p}_\Sigma}(\overline{X}_\kappa)} \circ \mu'_{\kappa,+},$$

providing a root of unity analogue of the Fock–Goncharov decomposition [FG09, KN14]. Here $\overline{X}_\kappa := y_\kappa^{-1} X_\kappa$, which satisfies $\overline{X}_\kappa^N = 1$. See Proposition 5.6 for a signed version. Notably, the \overline{X} -variables are related by *quantum cluster Poisson transformations with coefficients* [CFMM24] (Lemma 5.4), demonstrating that the parameter \mathbf{p}_Σ serves dual roles as both coefficients and central characters.

This algebraic structure naturally generalizes to any quantum cluster variety [FG09], including the quantum higher Teichmüller theory [FG06, GS19]. Furthermore, one can develop the root of unity version of the Fock–Goncharov representation described in [FG08], where the intertwiners are also constructed using the cyclic quantum dilogarithm. In this context, a quantum invariant for mutation loops is produced, generalizing (1.3). This direction will be explored in future work. Another representation is derived by the reduction of $V_*(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma)$, which is discussed in the next subsection.

1.5. Reduction of $V_*(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma)$. Given a dotted triangulation $\dot{\Delta}$ over Δ , we construct a canonical embedding $\iota_{\dot{\Delta}} : \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}^\Delta}^\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\dot{\Delta}}$ (Lemma 5.9). The projective functor $V_*(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma)$ is compatible with the quantum cluster Poisson transformations in the following way:

Theorem 3 (Compatibility of transformations). *In the decorated Ptolemy groupoid of any marked surface Σ , we have the following commutative diagrams:*

- (1) For any dot rotation $A_v = [\Delta_1, \Delta_2]$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}^\Delta}^\Delta & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\dot{\Delta}_2}} & \mathcal{W}_{\dot{\Delta}_2} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \text{Ad}(A_v) \\ \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}^\Delta}^\Delta & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\dot{\Delta}_1}} & \mathcal{W}_{\dot{\Delta}_1}, \end{array}$$

where $\Delta := \Delta_1 = \Delta_2$ is the common underlying triangulation.

- (2) For any flip $T_{vw} = [\Delta_1, \Delta_2]$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}^{\Delta_2}}^{\Delta_2} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\dot{\Delta}_2}} & \mathcal{W}_{\dot{\Delta}_2} \\ \mu_\kappa^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Ad}(T_{vw}) \\ \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}^{\Delta_2}}^{\Delta_1} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\dot{\Delta}_1}} & \mathcal{W}_{\dot{\Delta}_1}. \end{array}$$

Here $f_\kappa : \Delta_1 \rightarrow \Delta_2$ denotes the flip of the underlying triangulation.

See [GL09] for a related statement. Notably, the Chekhov–Fock algebras also act on the representation spaces V_{Δ}^{\bullet} in a compatible way. However, V_{Δ}^{\bullet} is not irreducible as an $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}_{\Delta}}^{\Delta}$ -module.

Following the ideas of [Kas98] and [Tes07, Section 10.3], we achieve an irreducible decomposition by fixing a Lagrangian subspace $L \subset H_1(\Sigma^{\text{cl}}; \mathbb{Z})$. Each irreducible component, denoted by $V_{\Delta}^{\bullet}(L, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$, is a simultaneous eigenspace for specific *homology operators* associated with \widehat{L} (see Theorem 5.18). These operators commute with all the generators of the Chekhov–Fock algebra. The quantum intertwiner of a mapping class undergoes the following reduction:

Theorem 4 (Theorem 5.20). *Let $\phi \in MC(\Sigma)$ be a mapping class, and $\mathbf{p}_{\Sigma} \in \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}$ a ϕ -invariant coefficient tuple. Given a Lagrangian sub-lattice $L \subset H_1(\Sigma^{\text{cl}}; \mathbb{Z})$ and an additive character $\boldsymbol{\lambda} : \widehat{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N$, the intertwiner $V_{\phi}^{\Delta}(\mathbf{p}_{\Sigma})$ induces an intertwiner of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}_{\Delta}}^{\Delta}$ -modules*

$$V_{\phi}^{\Delta}(\mathbf{p}_{\Sigma}) : V_{\Delta}^{\bullet}(L, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{\Delta}^{\bullet}(\phi(L), \phi_*\boldsymbol{\lambda}),$$

where $\phi_*\boldsymbol{\lambda} : \phi(\widehat{L}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N$ is given by $\phi_*\boldsymbol{\lambda}(\phi([c])) = \boldsymbol{\lambda}([c])$ for $[c] \in \widehat{L}$.

In order to obtain an automorphism on $V_{\Delta}^{\bullet}(L, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$, we need to adjust the polarization from $\phi(L)$ to L . For this purpose, we introduce the *transvection operators* (5.5) that implement the transvection on the homology. Using an appropriate composite F_{ϕ}^{Δ} of transvection operators, we define the *reduced intertwiner* (Definition 5.22) of ϕ :

$$V_{\phi}^{\Delta}(\mathbf{p}_{\Sigma}; L, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) := F_{\phi}^{\Delta} \circ V_{\phi}^{\Delta}(\mathbf{p}_{\Sigma}) : V_{\Delta}^{\bullet}(L, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{\Delta}^{\bullet}(L, \boldsymbol{\lambda}).$$

The absolute value of its trace

$$|\overline{\text{Tr}}_N(\phi; \mathbf{p}_{\Sigma}; L, \boldsymbol{\lambda})| := |\text{Tr}(V_{\phi}^{\Delta}(\mathbf{p}_{\Sigma}; L, \boldsymbol{\lambda}))|$$

is called the *reduced quantum trace* of ϕ . Since $V_{\phi}^{\Delta}(\mathbf{p}_{\Sigma}; L, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ still intertwines the action of the Chekhov–Fock algebra, it provides (up to a constant factor) the Bonahon–Liu’s quantum intertwiner [BL07]. We conjecture that the determinant normalization still holds on the irreducible components $V_{\Delta}^{\bullet}(L, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$, ensuring that our reduced quantum trace coincides with the Bonahon–Liu’s invariant. This conjecture is verified for Dehn twists (Section 5.4.2). Unlike the Bonahon–Liu approach, which abstractly selects an intertwiner using determinant normalization via the Unicity Theorem [FKBL] and the Schur’s lemma, our formulation constructs it explicitly through the cyclic quantum dilogarithm. For the volume conjecture regarding the Bonahon–Liu’s invariant, see [BWY21, BWY22].

Examples. In Section 5.4, we explore the following cases:

- Thrice-punctured sphere: Assign a triple (λ, μ, ν) of \mathbb{Z}_N -weights to the punctures. The irreducible component $V_{\Delta}^{\bullet}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ is always 1-dimensional (Proposition 5.24). Its generator resembles the ‘conformal block’, though the Clebsch–Gordan rule is absent.

- Once-punctured torus: The Lagrangian subspace L corresponds to a chosen homology cycle. We analyze the change of polarizations and compute the quantum intertwiners for Dehn twists in two directions.
- Once-punctured disk: A canonical embedding of the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ into the Chekhov–Fock algebra is constructed by Ip [Ip18] and Schrader–Shapiro [SS19]. We show that our irreducible theory recovers the cyclic representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ [DJMM91] through this embedding.

1.6. Relation to the Kashaev’s $6j$ -symbol. Kashaev [Kas95] introduced a state-sum invariant of links, now known as the *Kashaev invariant*, by assembling the tetrahedron operator associated with each tetrahedron in a triangulated link exterior. This tetrahedron operator is derived from the $6j$ -symbol $R(\mu, \nu, \sigma)$ of cyclic representations of the Weyl algebra \mathcal{W} [Kas94]. The fundamental connection between the Kashaev’s $6j$ -symbol and the quantum Teichmüller theory was explored by Bai [Bai07].

In the final section, we express the Kashaev’s $6j$ -symbol by using our cyclic quantum dilogarithm within our formulation. The relationship established by Bai can be summarized as follows:

Theorem 5. *The Kashaev’s $6j$ -symbol $R(\mu, \nu, \sigma) : V_{(\mu, \nu)} \otimes V_{(\mu\nu, \sigma)} \rightarrow V_{(\mu, \nu\sigma)} \otimes V_{(\nu, \sigma)}$ induces the quantum cluster Poisson transformation:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}_{y(\mu, \nu, \sigma)^{-1}}^{\Delta_2} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{(\mu, \nu), (\mu\nu, \sigma)}} & \mathcal{W}_{(\mu, \nu)} \otimes \mathcal{W}_{(\mu\nu, \sigma)} \\ \mu_\kappa^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Ad}(R(\mu, \nu, \sigma)) \\ \mathcal{X}_{y(\mu, \nu, \sigma)}^{\Delta_1} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{(\mu, \nu\sigma), (\nu, \sigma)}} & \mathcal{W}_{(\mu, \nu\sigma)} \otimes \mathcal{W}_{(\nu, \sigma)}, \end{array}$$

where $y(\mu, \nu, \sigma) = \pi(\mathbf{p}(\mu, \nu, \sigma))$ is determined from the irreducible cyclic representations μ, ν, σ of the Weyl algebra \mathcal{W} and the horizontal maps are certain embeddings of Chekhov–Fock algebras.

This relationship provides insight to the Hikami–Inoue’s result [HI14], which connects the Kashaev’s R -matrix to a ‘root-of-unity limit’ of the Fock–Goncharov representation in [FG08], without taking an actual limit.

We can construct an alternative projective representation $V'_*(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma) : \text{Pt}_\Sigma \rightarrow \text{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{op}}$ by assigning the $6j$ -symbols to the flips and employing an appropriate rotation operator (Section 6.4). It turns out that the central charge ζ coincides with that of $V_*(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma)$. We conjecture that these two representations are equivalent through a suitable automorphism on \mathcal{W}_Δ that relates the two embeddings of the Chekhov–Fock algebra. It is worth noting that Kim [Kim19] constructed a finite-dimensional representation of the dotted Ptolemy groupoid based on a similar idea. Kim’s representation has a trivial central charge $\zeta = 1$ but with another phase factor in the $\text{ATA} = \text{ATA}$ relation [Kim19, Propositions 5.4 and 5.8].

Acknowledgements. The author expresses his gratitude to Yuji Terashima for inspiring him to study the root-of-unity quantum cluster transformations and for providing

insightful comments. He also thanks Yoshiyuki Kimura for his valuable comments on the quantum Frobenius map and the cyclic representations of quantum groups. His thanks also go to Yuma Mizuno for his crucial comment regarding the choice of q . The author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP20K22304 and JP24K16914.

2. CYCLIC QUANTUM DILOGARITHM

Let N be a positive odd integer. Let q^2 be a primitive N -th root of unity, and choose its square-root to be $q := (q^2)^{(N+1)/2}$ so that $q^N = 1$. Our standard choice is $q^2 = e^{2\pi i/N}$ and $q = -e^{\pi i/N}$.

Lemma 2.1. *We have*

$$\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} (y - q^{2k}x) = y^N - x^N, \quad \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} (y \pm q^{2k+1}x) = y^N \pm x^N.$$

Proof. The first equality follows from $1 - z^N = \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} (1 - q^{2k}z)$ by setting $z = x/y$. Then the second one is obtained by replacing $x \mapsto \pm qx$, with a notice that $q^N = 1$ and $(-1)^N = 1$. \blacksquare

Remark 2.2. Our choice of q follows that of e.g. [Kas94]. When N is even, we have no choice satisfying $q^N = 1$. Another natural option is to take $\zeta := e^{\pi i/N}$ so that $\zeta^N = -1$, which is adopted e.g. in [BR95, IY16] and works for any positive integer N . Most of the theory in this paper also works for this choice, together with extra signs in formulas. See Appendix A.

2.1. Definition. Let

$$\mathcal{F}_N := \{\mathbf{p} = (p^+, p^-) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^2 \mid (p^+)^N + (p^-)^N = 1\}$$

denote the (punctured) Fermat curve, and consider the projection

$$\pi : \mathcal{F}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*, \quad (p^+, p^-) \mapsto y := p^+ / p^-,$$

which is a principal \mathbb{Z}_N -bundle with the action given by $k.(p^+, p^-) := (q^{2k}p^+, q^{2k}p^-)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$. In Section 5, the pair (p^+, p^-) is interpreted as the coefficient tuple, while y is the exchange ratio. We also consider the partial compactification $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_N := \mathcal{F}_N \cup \{(0, 1)\}$.

We use the following version of the cyclic quantum dilogarithm:

Definition 2.3. Given a point $\mathbf{p} = (p^+, p^-) \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_N$, we define the *cyclic quantum dilogarithm* by the expression

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(X) := \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} (p^- + q^{2j+1}p^+X)^{j/N}. \quad (2.1)$$

Here, we need a care about the choice of a branch of the N -th root and the domain of the function $\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}$. Fixing $\mathbf{p} \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_N$, the function (2.1) is firstly defined as a convergent

power series on the domain $|yX| < 1$ with $y = \pi(\mathbf{p}) \in \mathbb{C}$, and then analytically continued to the complex domain

$$\mathcal{D}_N(\mathbf{p}) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{N-1} \left\{ |yX| > 1, \arg(yX) = \frac{2\pi k}{N} \right\}.$$

See Figure 1. Then the cyclic quantum dilogarithm is regarded as a holomorphic function $\Psi_{\mathbf{p}} : \mathcal{D}_N(\mathbf{p}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.




FIGURE 1. The domain $\mathcal{D}_5(\mathbf{p})$ with $\arg(y) = 0$.

Remark 2.4. In practice, we will use $\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(X)$ for some cyclic operator X via ‘functional analysis’ (Definition 3.1). Since the only eigenvalues of such an operator are q^{2k} with $k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, we only need the values $\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(q^{2k})$. The parameter \mathbf{p} is generically chosen so that $q^{2k} \in \mathcal{D}_N(\mathbf{p})$.

Let us mention the relations to several versions of cyclic quantum dilogarithm used in the literature. Take a generic section

$$s : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_N, \quad y \mapsto (p^+, p^-) = \left(\left(\frac{y^N}{1+y^N} \right)^{1/N}, \left(\frac{1}{1+y^N} \right)^{1/N} \right) \quad (2.2)$$

by choosing a branch of the N -th root. Then we may also write

$$\Psi_y(X) := \Psi_{s(y)}(X) = (1+y^N)^{\frac{1-N}{2N}} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} (1+q^{2j+1}yX)^{j/N}.$$

- The specialization

$$\Psi_{-y}(1)^{-1} = (1+(-y)^N)^{\frac{N-1}{2N}} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} (1+\zeta^{2j+1}y)^{-j/N}$$

coincides with the cyclic quantum dilogarithm $d_N(y)$ used by Ip–Yamazaki [IY16] with $\zeta = -q$. They have obtained the quantum dilogarithm identities for $d_N(y)$, where the parameters y are upgraded into quantum variables.

- The specialization

$$\Psi_{-q^{-1}y}(1)^{-1} = (1 - y^N)^{\frac{N-1}{2N}} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} (1 - \omega^{-j}y)^{-j/N}$$

coincides with $d(y) = w(y, 0)^{-1}$ used by Bazhanov–Reshetikhin [BR95] with $\omega = q^{-2}$ and $\omega^{1/2} = -q^{-1}$.

- Our cyclic quantum dilogarithm is most closely related to the one used in [Kas00]

$$\Psi_x^K(X) := \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} ((1-x)^{1/N} - x^{1/N}\theta^{-2j}X)^{j/N}. \quad (2.3)$$

Here the relations between the parameter is $(p^+, p^-) = (x^{1/N}, (1-x)^{1/N})$, and we have

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(X) = \Psi_{(p^+)^N}^K(\theta^{-1}X)$$

with $\theta = -q^{-1}$.

2.2. q -difference relation.

Lemma 2.5. *If X is a variable such that $X^N = 1$, then $\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}$ satisfies the difference equations*

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(q^2X) &= (p^- + qp^+X)\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(X), \\ \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(q^{-2}X) &= (p^- + q^{-1}p^+X)^{-1}\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(X). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For the first equation, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(q^2X) &= \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} (p^- + q^{2j+3}p^+X)^{j/N} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^N (p^- + q^{2j+1}p^+X)^{(j-1)/N} \\ &= \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(X) \prod_{j=1}^N (p^- + q^{2j+1}p^+X)^{-1/N} \cdot (p^- + q^{2N+1}p^+X) \\ &= \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(X)(p^- + qp^+X). \end{aligned}$$

Here in the last line, we used Lemma 2.1 to compute the cyclic product as $\prod_{j=1}^N (p^- + q^{2j+1}p^+X) = (p^-)^N + (p^+X)^N = (p^-)^N + (p^+)^N = 1$.

The second equation is obtained from the first one by substituting $X \mapsto q^{-2}X$, where the condition $X^N = 1$ is preserved. ■

2.3. Values on q -powers. Let us use the notation

$$w(\mathbf{p}|k) := \prod_{j=1}^k (p^- + q^{2j-1}p^+),$$

following [FK94, BR95].

Lemma 2.6. *We have $w(\mathbf{p}|k + N) = w(\mathbf{p}|k)$.*

Therefore we regard $w(\mathbf{p}| -)$ as a function on $k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$.

Proof.

$$w(\mathbf{p}|k + N) = \prod_{j=1}^{k+N} (p^- + q^{2j-1}p^+) = \prod_{j=k+1}^{k+N} (p^- + q^{2j-1}p^+) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^k (p^- + q^{2j-1}p^+) = w(\mathbf{p}|k).$$

Here we have used $\prod_{j=k+1}^{k+N} (p^- + q^{2j-1}p^+) = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} (p^- + q^{2j-1}p^+) = 1$ by Lemma 2.1. \blacksquare

Lemma 2.7. *For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, we have $\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(q^{2k}) = \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(1)w(\mathbf{p}|k)$.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(q^{2k}) &= \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} (p^- + q^{2(j+k)+1}p^+)^{j/N} \\ &= \prod_{j=k}^{N+k-1} (p^- + q^{2j+1}p^+)^{(j-k)/N} \\ &= \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(1) \cdot \frac{\prod_{j=N}^{N+k-1} (p^- + q^{2j+1}p^+)^{j/N}}{\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (p^- + q^{2j+1}p^+)^{j/N}} \cdot \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} (p^- + q^{2j+1}p^+)^{-k/N}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the cyclic product $\prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ vanishes, thanks to Lemma 2.1. Moreover,

$$\frac{\prod_{j=N}^{N+k-1} (p^- + q^{2j+1}p^+)^{j/N}}{\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (p^- + q^{2j+1}p^+)^{j/N}} = \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (p^- + q^{2j+1}p^+)^{(j+N)/N}}{\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (p^- + q^{2j+1}p^+)^{j/N}} = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (p^- + q^{2j+1}p^+).$$

Therefore we get

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(q^{2k}) = \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(1) \prod_{j=1}^k (p^- + q^{2j-1}p^+) = \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(1)w(\mathbf{p}|k)$$

as desired. \blacksquare

Lemma 2.8. $\prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(q^{2k}) = 1$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(q^{2k}) &= \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(1)^N \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} w(\mathbf{p}|k) \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} (p^- + q^{2j+1}p^+)^j \cdot \prod_{k=1}^N \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (p^- + q^{2j+1}p^+) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} (p^- + q^{2j+1}p^+)^j \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} (p^- + q^{2j+1}p^+)^{N-j} \\
&= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

■

2.4. **Inversion relation.** Consider the involution on the Fermat curve

$$\mathcal{F}_N \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_N, \quad \mathbf{p} = (p^+, p^-) \mapsto \mathbf{p}^{-1} := (p^-, p^+),$$

which results in $y \mapsto y^{-1}$ on the ratio.

Theorem 2.9 (Inversion relations). *For any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{F}_N$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, we have the following:*

- (1) $w(\mathbf{p}|k) \cdot w(\mathbf{p}^{-1}| -k) = \gamma(k)$.
- (2) $\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(1) \cdot \Psi_{\mathbf{p}^{-1}}(1) = \zeta_{\text{inv}}$.
- (3) $\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(q^{2k}) \cdot \Psi_{\mathbf{p}^{-1}}(q^{-2k}) = \gamma(k)\zeta_{\text{inv}}$.

Here $\gamma(k) := q^{k^2}$ and $\zeta_{\text{inv}} := e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}(N-\frac{1}{N})}$.

Proof. (1):

$$\begin{aligned}
w(\mathbf{p}|k) \cdot w(\mathbf{p}^{-1}| -k) &= \prod_{j=1}^k (p^- + q^{2j-1}p^+) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{N-k} (p^+ + q^{2j-1}p^-) \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^k (p^- + q^{2j-1}p^+) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{N-k} (p^- + q^{-2j+1}p^+) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{N-k} q^{2j-1}
\end{aligned}$$

The last scalar term is computed as

$$\prod_{j=1}^{N-k} q^{2j-1} = q^{(N-k)(N-k+1)-(N-k)} = q^{(N-k)^2} = q^{k^2}$$

by using $q^N = 1$. The other two products cancel with each other as

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{j=1}^k (p^- + q^{2j-1}p^+) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{N-k} (p^- + q^{-2j+1}p^+) &= \prod_{j=1}^k (p^- + q^{2j-1}p^+) \cdot \frac{\prod_{j=1}^N (p^- + q^{-2j+1}p^+)}{\prod_{j=N-k+1}^N (p^- + q^{-2j+1}p^+)} \\
&= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^k (p^- + q^{2j-1}p^+)}{\prod_{j=1}^k (p^- + q^{-2(N+1-j)+1}p^+)} = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used $\prod_{j=1}^N (p^- + q^{-2j+1}p^+) = (p^-)^N + (p^+)^N = 1$.

(2):

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(1) \cdot \Psi_{\mathbf{p}^{-1}}(1) &= \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} (p^- + q^{2j+1}p^+)^{j/N} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} (p^+ + q^{2j+1}p^-)^{j/N} \\
&= \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} (p^- + q^{2j+1}p^+)^{j/N} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} (p^- + q^{-2j-1}p^+)^{j/N} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} (q^{2j+1})^{j/N}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using $\zeta := -q = e^{\pi i/N}$, the last scalar term is computed as¹

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} (q^{2j+1})^{j/N} &= \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} (-\zeta^{2j+1})^{j/N} = \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} (\zeta^{2j+1-N})^{j/N} = \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \zeta^{\frac{2j^2-(N-1)j}{N}} \\ &= \zeta^{\frac{1}{3}(N-1)(2N-1) - \frac{1}{2}(N-1)^2} = \zeta^{\frac{1}{6}(N-1)(N+1)} = e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}(N-\frac{1}{N})}. \end{aligned}$$

The other two products cancel with each other as

$$\begin{aligned} &\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} (p^- + q^{2j+1}p^+)^{j/N} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} (p^- + q^{-2j-1}p^+)^{j/N} \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} (p^- + q^{2j+1}p^+)^{j/N} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} (p^- + q^{-2(N-1-j)-1}p^+)^{(N-1-j)/N} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Here we again used $\prod_{j=1}^N (p^- - q^{-2j+1}p^+) = (p^-)^N + (p^+)^N = 1$.

(3): Follows from (1), (2) and Lemma 2.7. ■

3. CYCLIC REPRESENTATIONS OF THE WEYL ALGEBRA AND THE PENTAGON RELATION

Let V_N denote the N -dimensional vector space with a basis $|k\rangle$ parameterized by $k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$. The q -Weyl algebra $\mathcal{W} = \langle U, P \mid UP = q^2PU \rangle$ acts on V_N by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{U}|k\rangle &:= q^{2k}|k\rangle, \\ \mathbf{P}|k\rangle &:= |k+1\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

We call (3.1) the *standard representation* of \mathcal{W} . In general, an operator $\mathbf{X} \in \text{End}(V_N)$ satisfying $\mathbf{X}^N = 1$ is called a *cyclic operator*. Then the eigenvalues of \mathbf{X}^N are q^{2k} , $k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$. Any cyclic operator can be conjugated into \mathbf{U} .

Definition 3.1. For any cyclic operator \mathbf{X} on V_N , choose a basis $|\underline{k}_\mathbf{X}\rangle$ such that $\mathbf{X}|\underline{k}_\mathbf{X}\rangle = q^{2k}|\underline{k}_\mathbf{X}\rangle$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$. Then we define the linear operator $\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{X}) \in \text{End}(V_N)$ by

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{X})|\underline{k}_\mathbf{X}\rangle := \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(q^{2k})|\underline{k}_\mathbf{X}\rangle \tag{3.2}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$.

Note that the definition (3.2) does not depend on the choice of eigenvectors of \mathbf{X} . The parameter $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{F}_N$ must be chosen so that the values $\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(q^{2k})$ are well-defined (Remark 2.4).

¹Here, the sign shown in red needs a care. We are taking the standard branch cut $\mathbb{R}_{<0}$ for the function \log . Therefore we need to take $\log(q^{2j+1}) = \log(-\zeta^{2j+1}) = \log(\exp(\frac{\pi i}{N}(2j+1) - \pi i))$ so that $\frac{\pi}{N}(2j+1) - \pi \in (-\pi, \pi)$ for $j = 0, \dots, N-1$.

3.1. Pentagon relation. Proofs of the following statements are given in Section 7.1.

Theorem 3.2 (Faddeev–Kashaev). *For any $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r} \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_N$, the pentagon relation*

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{U})\Psi_{\mathbf{r}'}(\mathbf{P}) = \Psi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{P})\Psi_{\mathbf{p}'}(q^{-1}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{P})\Psi_{\mathbf{p}''}(\mathbf{U})$$

holds on the standard representation V_N . Here $\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}'' \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_N$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} p^- &= p'^- p''^-, & p^+ r'^- &= p'^- p''^+, & p^+ r'^+ &= p'^+, \\ r'^- &= r^- p'^-, & r'^+ p''^- &= r^+, & r'^+ p''^+ &= r^- p'^+. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

The following gives a new interpretation of these parameter relations:

Theorem 3.3. *The relations (3.3) are equivalent to the relations*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r'^+}{r'^-} &= \frac{r^+}{r^-} (p^-)^{-1}, & \frac{p''^-}{p''^+} &= \frac{p^-}{p^+} (r'^-)^{-1}, & \frac{p'^-}{p'^+} &= \frac{r'^-}{r'^+} (p''^+)^{-1}, \\ \frac{p''^+}{p''^-} &= \frac{r^-}{r^+} p'^+, & \frac{p'^+}{p'^-} &= \frac{p^+}{p^-} r^+. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

The five relations in (3.4) is exactly the mutation relations among the coefficient variables along the pentagon cycle: see Lemma 4.5.

Remark 3.4. Given initial parameters $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{F}_N$, the remaining parameters $\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}''$ are uniquely determined up to one parameter by the relation (3.4), as follows.

From the first and the last relations, we obtain $\pi(\mathbf{r}')$ and $\pi(\mathbf{p}')$, respectively. Then the third relation gives p''^+ . Using this, the second and the fourth relations determine the products $p''^- r'^-$ and $p'^+ p''^-$, respectively. If we fix one of $\{p'^+, r'^-, p''^-\}$, it determines the remaining two. Then we obtain $\pi(\mathbf{p}'')$ from the second relation, so all the parameters are determined. Recall that the ratio $\pi(\mathbf{p}')$ being determined, the only freedom for the pair (p'^+, p'^-) is the \mathbb{Z}_N -action on the fiber.

3.2. Fourier analysis over \mathbb{Z}_N . Note that V_N can be viewed as $L^2(\mathbb{Z}_N)$. The following table summarizes the dictionary with the Fourier analysis on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

State space	Standard operators	Measure	Fourier kernel	Gaussian kernel
$L^2(\mathbb{R})$	$e^x, e^{(2\pi i)^{-1}\partial/\partial x}$	$\int_{\mathbb{R}} dx$	$e^{2\pi i xy}$	$e^{\pi i x^2}$
V_N	\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{P}	$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$	$q^{2k\ell}$	$\gamma(k)$

TABLE 1. Dictionary between the Fourier analysis over \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{Z}_N .

Let $\langle k| \in V_N^*$ denote the dual basis of $|k\rangle$. As is usual in the quantum physics, we denote the dual pairing $V_N^* \times V_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by the Dirac’s “bra-ket” notation so that $\langle k|\ell\rangle = \delta_{k,\ell}$.

3.2.1. Diagonalization of the operator \mathbf{P} . In order to diagonalize the operator \mathbf{P} , we introduce the “momentum basis”

$$|\ell\rangle := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{-2k\ell} |k\rangle \in V_N$$

and the (rescaled) dual basis $\langle \underline{\ell} | := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{2k\ell} \langle k | \in V^*$. Then we have

$$\mathbf{P}|\underline{\ell}\rangle = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{-2k\ell} |k+1\rangle = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{-2(k-1)\ell} |k\rangle = q^{2\ell} |\underline{\ell}\rangle$$

as desired. We call the coefficient $\langle \underline{\ell} | k \rangle = q^{2k\ell} = \overline{\langle k | \underline{\ell} \rangle}$ the *Fourier kernel*. They are normalized so that

$$\frac{1}{N} \langle \underline{m} | \underline{\ell} \rangle = \delta_{m\ell}, \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_N} |\underline{\ell}\rangle \langle \underline{\ell}| = \mathbf{1}, \quad (3.5)$$

where the latter is an equality in $V_N^* \otimes V_N = \text{End}(V_N)$.

The base-change matrix is the Vandermonde matrix $V(q) := (q^{2ij})_{i,j=0}^{N-1}$. Its inverse matrix is $\frac{1}{N}V(q^{-1})$. The following is well-known:

Lemma 3.5. $\det V(q^{\pm 1}) = N^{N/2} e^{\pm \pi i \frac{(3N-2)(N-1)}{4}} = N^{N/2} i^{\pm \frac{(3N-2)(N-1)}{2}}$.

Here note that $(3N-2)(N-1)/2 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

3.2.2. *The operator $[\mathbf{PU}^{-1}]$ and its diagonalization.* The following notation will be useful.

Definition 3.6 (Weyl ordering). For q -commuting variables/operators X_1, \dots, X_n such that $X_i X_j = q^{2\epsilon_{ij}} X_j X_i$, we define

$$[X_1 \dots X_n] := q^{-\sum_{i < j} \epsilon_{ij}} X_1 \dots X_n.$$

It is designed so that $[X_{\sigma(1)} \dots X_{\sigma(n)}] = [X_1 \dots X_n]$ for any permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$.

Lemma 3.7. *If $X_i^N = c_i$ for some $c_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, then $[X_1 \dots X_n]^N = c_1 \dots c_n$.*

Proof. Observe the associativity $[X[YZ]] = [XYZ]$. Therefore it suffices to prove the case $n = 2$. If $X_1 X_2 = q^{2a} X_2 X_1$, we have

$$[X_1 X_2]^N = q^{-aN} (X_1 X_2)(X_1 X_2) \dots (X_1 X_2).$$

Move all the X_1 to the left, getting the q -factor $\prod_{j=1}^{N-1} q^{2aj} = q^{a(N-1)N} = 1$ by $q^N = 1$. Therefore $[X_1 X_2]^N = X_1^N X_2^N = c_1 c_2$. \blacksquare

Recall $\gamma(k) := q^{k^2}$ (cf. Theorem 2.9).

Lemma 3.8. *Let $|\underline{k}\rangle := \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_m} \gamma(m)^{-1} q^{-2km} |m\rangle$. Then $[\mathbf{PU}^{-1}]|\underline{k}\rangle = q^{2k} |\underline{k}\rangle$.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} q^{-1} \mathbf{PU}^{-1} |\underline{k}\rangle &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_m} q^{-m(m+2k)} q^{-1-2m} |m+1\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_m} q^{-(m-1)(m+2k-1)-2m+1} |m\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_m} q^{-m^2-2km+2k} |m\rangle = q^{2k} |\underline{k}\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

\blacksquare

We also use the covector $\langle \underline{\ell} | := \sum_{m \in \mathbf{r}_m} \gamma(m) q^{2m\ell} \langle m |$, which is normalized so that

$$\frac{1}{N} \langle \underline{\ell} | \underline{k} \rangle = \delta_{\ell k}, \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_N} |\underline{\ell} \rangle \langle \underline{\ell} | = 1. \quad (3.6)$$

3.3. Gaussian kernel over \mathbb{Z}_N . The factor $\gamma(k) = q^{k^2}$ has appeared in the inversion relations (Theorem 2.9) and in the eigenvectors of the operator $[\mathbf{PU}^{-1}]$. It is the cyclic analogue of the Gaussian kernel $e^{\pi i x^2}$. In terms of Andersen–Kashaev (e.g. [AK14b]), the abelian group \mathbb{Z}_N equipped with the function $\gamma : \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow U(1)$ is an example of *Gaussian group*. We collect here its basic properties.

Remark 3.9. In [AK14b], the notion of a *quantum dilogarithm* over any Gaussian group is defined by the inversion relation and the pentagon relation. While our inversion relation (Theorem 2.9) fits into their paradigm, the pentagon relation (Theorem 3.2) deviates as it involves extra parameters. See [AM17] for a quantum dilogarithm over the Gaussian group $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}_N$.

Lemma 3.10. $\gamma(k + N) = \gamma(k)$, $\gamma(-k) = \gamma(k)$, $\gamma(k + \ell) = \gamma(k)\gamma(\ell)q^{2k\ell}$.

The following reciprocity formula is useful to calculate the Fourier transforms of $\gamma(k)^m$:

Theorem 3.11 ([BEW, Theorem 1.2.2]). *For three integers $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ac \neq 0$ and $ac + b$ is even, the generalized Gauss sum*

$$S(a, b, c) := \sum_{k=0}^{|c|-1} e^{\pi i (ak^2 + bk)/c}.$$

satisfies the reciprocity

$$S(a, b, c) = \left| \frac{c}{a} \right|^{1/2} e^{\frac{\pi i}{4} (\operatorname{sgn}(ac) - \frac{b^2}{ac})} S(-c, -b, a). \quad (3.7)$$

Lemma 3.12. *We have the Fourier transformation formulae*

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(\ell) q^{2k\ell} = \zeta_+ \cdot \gamma(k)^{-1}, \quad \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(\ell)^{-1} q^{2k\ell} = \zeta_- \cdot \gamma(k),$$

where $\zeta_{\pm} = N^{1/2} i^{\mp \frac{N-1}{2}}$.

Proof. Let $\zeta_{\pm} := \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(m)^{\pm 1}$. Then we get

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(\ell) q^{2k\ell} = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{\ell^2 + 2k\ell} = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{(\ell+k)^2 - k^2} = q^{-k^2} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{\ell^2} = \gamma(k)^{-1} \zeta_+,$$

and similarly the second equation. It remains to determine the value

$$\zeta_{\pm} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} (-e^{\pi i/N})^{\pm k^2} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} (-1)^k e^{\pm \pi i k^2/N} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} e^{\pm \pi i (k^2 + Nk)/N}. \quad (3.8)$$

By setting $a = 1, b = N, c = \pm N$ in Theorem 3.11, it is calculated as

$$\zeta_{\pm} = S(1, N, \pm N) = N^{1/2} e^{\mp \frac{\pi i}{4} (1-N)} = N^{1/2} i^{\mp \frac{N-1}{2}}.$$

■

Lemma 3.13. *We have*

$$\prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(k)^{-1} = e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}(N^2-1)} = \zeta_{\text{inv}}^N.$$

Proof.

$$\prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(k)^{-1} = e^{-\frac{\pi i}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (k^2 - Nk)} = e^{\frac{\pi i}{N} \left(-\frac{(N-1)N(2N-1)}{6} + \frac{(N-1)N^2}{2} \right)} = e^{\frac{\pi i}{N} \frac{(N-1)N}{6} (3N - (2N-1))} = e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}(N-1)(N+1)}.$$

■

Lemma 3.14. $\langle \underline{k} | \underline{\ell} \rangle = \zeta_+ \gamma(k - \ell)^{-1}$, $\langle \underline{k} | \underline{\ell} \rangle = \zeta_- \gamma(k - \ell)$.

Proof. By using the Fourier transformation formula (Lemma 3.12), we obtain

$$\langle \underline{k} | \underline{\ell} \rangle = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(m) q^{2km} q^{-2m\ell} = \zeta_+ \gamma(k - \ell)^{-1}.$$

■

3.4. Square-root and γ -operators. Recall our choice $q := (q^2)^M$, where $M := (N + 1)/2$. Note that M is again an integer when N is odd.

Definition 3.15 (square-root operator). For a cyclic operator X with eigenvalues $\{q^{2k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$, we define $\mathsf{X}^{1/2} := \mathsf{X}^M$.

If $|\underline{k}_\mathsf{X}\rangle$ is a q^{2k} -eigenvector of X , then we have $\mathsf{X}^{1/2} |\underline{k}_\mathsf{X}\rangle = q^k |\underline{k}_\mathsf{X}\rangle$. The square-roots of the standard operators U, P are explicitly written on V_N as

$$\mathsf{U}^{1/2} |k\rangle = q^k |k\rangle, \quad \mathsf{P}^{1/2} |k\rangle = |k + M\rangle.$$

Observe that $q^{2M} = q$, and hence M plays the role of $1/2$ in the exponent of q . More generally, we can define $\mathsf{X}^{1/2^n} := \mathsf{X}^{2M^n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Definition 3.16 (γ -operator). For any cyclic operator X , we define

$$\gamma(\mathsf{X}) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{-ij} \mathsf{X}^{\frac{i+j}{2}}.$$

Lemma 3.17. (1) If $|\underline{k}_\mathsf{X}\rangle$ is a q^{2k} -eigenvector of X , then $\gamma(\mathsf{X}) |\underline{k}_\mathsf{X}\rangle = \gamma(k) |\underline{k}_\mathsf{X}\rangle$. In particular, $\gamma(\mathsf{X})$ is again a cyclic operator.

(2) The inverse operator is given by $\gamma(\mathsf{X})^{-1} = N^{-1} \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{ij} \mathsf{X}^{\frac{i+j}{2}}$.

(3) If Y is another operator such that $\mathsf{X}\mathsf{Y} = q^{2a}\mathsf{Y}\mathsf{X}$, then $\gamma(\mathsf{X})\mathsf{Y} = [\mathsf{X}^a\mathsf{Y}]\gamma(\mathsf{X})$.

Proof. (1) and (2): Follow from the computation

$$\gamma(\mathsf{X})^{\pm 1} |\underline{k}_\mathsf{X}\rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{\mp ij} q^{(i+j)k} |\underline{k}_\mathsf{X}\rangle = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \delta_{j, \pm k} q^{jk} |\underline{k}_\mathsf{X}\rangle = q^{\pm k^2} |\underline{k}_\mathsf{X}\rangle.$$

(3): For another operator Y , we get

$$\gamma(\mathsf{X})\mathsf{Y} = \mathsf{Y} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{-ij} q^{(i+j)a} \mathsf{X}^{\frac{i+j}{2}} = \mathsf{Y} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{-(i-a)(j-a)} q^{a^2} \mathsf{X}^{\frac{i+j}{2}} = q^{a^2} \mathsf{Y} \mathsf{X}^a \cdot \gamma(\mathsf{X}).$$

Observe that $q^{a^2} \mathsf{Y} \mathsf{X}^a = [\mathsf{X}^a \mathsf{Y}]$.

■

Lemma 3.18. *We have the Fourier transformation formulae*

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(k)^2 q^{2k\ell} = \zeta_+^{(2)} \gamma(\ell)^{-M}, \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(k)^{-2} q^{2k\ell} = \zeta_-^{(2)} \gamma(\ell)^M.$$

Here $M = (N + 1)/2$,

$$\zeta_+^{(2)} := \begin{cases} N^{1/2}i & \text{if } M \text{ is even,} \\ N^{1/2} & \text{if } M \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

and $\zeta_-^{(2)} := (\zeta_+^{(2)})^*$.

Observe that $\gamma(\ell)^{\pm M}$ plays the role of $\gamma(\ell)^{\pm 1/2}$.

Proof. Note that $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(k)^2 q^{2k\ell} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{2k(k+\ell)} = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{\frac{\pi i}{N}(2k^2+2k\ell)} = S(2, 2\ell, N)$. Then by (3.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(k)^2 q^{2k\ell} &= \left(\frac{N}{2}\right)^{1/2} e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}(1-\frac{2\ell^2}{N})} S(-N, -2\ell, 2) \\ &= N^{1/2} \frac{1+i}{2} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{2N}\ell^2} \sum_{k=0}^1 e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(-Nk^2-2k\ell)} \\ &= N^{1/2} \frac{1+i}{2} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{2N}\ell^2} (1 + e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(-N-2\ell)}) \\ &= N^{1/2} \frac{1+i}{2} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{2N}\ell^2} (1 + (-1)^{M+\ell}i) \\ &= N^{1/2} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{2N}\ell^2} \sigma(N; \ell). \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\sigma(N; \ell) := \frac{(1+i)(1+(-1)^{M+\ell}i)}{2} = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } M + \ell \text{ is even,} \\ 1 & \text{if } M + \ell \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, $\gamma(\ell)^M = (-1)^{\ell M} e^{\frac{\pi i}{N}\frac{N+1}{2}\ell^2} = (-1)^{\ell M} i^{\ell^2} e^{\frac{\pi i}{2N}\ell^2}$. The case-by-case consideration on the parities of ℓ and M shows that $(-1)^{\ell M} i^{\ell^2} \sigma(N; \ell) = \sigma(N; 0)$. See Tables 2–4. Therefore

$$\gamma(\ell)^M \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(k)^2 q^{2k\ell} = N^{1/2} \sigma(N; 0) = \zeta_+^{(2)},$$

proving the first equation. Then the second equation follows by taking the complex conjugate. \blacksquare

TABLE 2. $\sigma(N; \ell)$

$\ell \backslash M$	even	odd
even	i	1
odd	1	i

TABLE 3. $(-1)^{\ell M} i^{\ell^2}$

$\ell \backslash M$	even	odd
even	1	1
odd	i	$-i$

TABLE 4. Product

$\ell \backslash M$	even	odd
even	i	1
odd	i	1

4. CYCLIC QUANTUM TEICHMÜLLER THEORY

Now we are going to present the construction of a finite dimensional projective representation of the dotted Ptolemy groupoid Pt_Σ^\bullet associated with any marked surface Σ .

4.1. Marked surfaces and their triangulations. A marked surface Σ is a compact oriented surface equipped with a fixed non-empty finite set $\mathbb{M} \subset \Sigma$ of *marked points*. Let $\Sigma^* := \Sigma \setminus \mathbb{M}$, and $\mathbb{M}_\partial := \mathbb{M} \cap \partial\Sigma$. We always assume the following conditions:

(S1) Each boundary component (if exists) has at least one marked point.

(S2) $-2\chi(\Sigma^*) + |\mathbb{M}_\partial| > 0$.

An *ideal triangulation* is an isotopy class of a triangulation Δ of Σ whose set of 0-cells (vertices) coincides with \mathbb{M} . The conditions above ensure the existence of such an ideal triangulation. For an ideal triangulation Δ , denote the set of edges (resp. interior edges, triangles) of Δ by $e(\Delta)$ (resp. $e_{\text{int}}(\Delta)$, $t(\Delta)$). By a computation of Euler characteristics, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |e(\Delta)| &= -3\chi(\Sigma^*) + 2|\mathbb{M}_\partial|, & |e_{\text{int}}(\Delta)| &= -3\chi(\Sigma^*) + |\mathbb{M}_\partial|, \\ |t(\Delta)| &= -2\chi(\Sigma^*) + |\mathbb{M}_\partial|. \end{aligned}$$

Given an ideal triangulation $\Delta \in \text{Pt}_\Sigma$ (without self-folded triangles, for simplicity), define $\varepsilon^\Delta = (\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^\Delta)_{\alpha, \beta \in e(\Delta)}$ by the following rule. For a triangle $T \in t(\Delta)$ and two edges $\alpha, \beta \in e(\Delta)$, let

$$\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}(T) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } T \text{ has } \alpha \text{ and } \beta \text{ as its consecutive edges in the clockwise order,} \\ -1 & \text{if the same holds with the counter-clockwise order,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we set $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^\Delta := \sum_T \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}(T)$, where T runs over all triangles of Δ . We need a modification if Δ has self-folded triangles: see [FST08, Definition 4.1].

A *dotted triangulation* $\dot{\Delta} = (\Delta, m_\Delta)$ of Σ is an ideal triangulation Δ together with a function $m_\Delta : t(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ choosing a vertex of each triangle. In figures, the chosen vertex is shown by the symbol \bullet in its nearest corner, and called the *dot*. We call Δ the *underlying triangulation* of $\dot{\Delta}$.

4.2. Dotted Ptolemy groupoid. Recall that a *full groupoid* over a set S is the groupoid \mathcal{G}_S where the set of objects is S , and there is exactly one morphism $[s, s'] \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}_S}(s, s')$ for any objects $s, s' \in \mathcal{G}_S$. In particular, $[s, s] = \text{id}_s$ and $[s, s'] \circ [s', s''] = [s, s'']$ for any $s, s', s'' \in \mathcal{G}_S$. Note that the composition of morphisms is read from the left to the right.

Definition 4.1 (Ptolemy groupoids [Kas98, Pen]). The *Ptolemy groupoid* Pt_Σ of Σ is the full groupoid over the set of ideal triangulations of Σ .

The *dotted Ptolemy groupoid* (also known as the *Kashaev groupoid*) Pt_Σ^\bullet is the full groupoid over the set of dotted triangulations of Σ . There is a functor $\text{Pt}_\Sigma^\bullet \rightarrow \text{Pt}_\Sigma$, $\dot{\Delta} \mapsto \Delta$ forgetting the dots.

We are going to mainly deal with the dotted Ptolemy groupoid Pt_Σ^\bullet .

Definition 4.2 (elementary morphisms). We call the following morphisms in Pt_Σ^\bullet the *elementary morphisms*.

- (1) We call a morphism $[\dot{\Delta}_1, \dot{\Delta}_2]$ the *dot rotation* at $v \in t(\Delta)$ if $\dot{\Delta}_1, \dot{\Delta}_2$ have a common ideal triangulation and their dot only differs on the triangle v as shown in the left of Figure 2. We write $A_v = [\dot{\Delta}_1, \dot{\Delta}_2]$ in this case.
- (2) We call a morphism $[\dot{\Delta}_1, \dot{\Delta}_2]$ the *flip* at a square (v, w) if $\dot{\Delta}_1, \dot{\Delta}_2$ have a common ideal triangulation except for the square formed by v, w , where they are related as shown in the right of Figure 2. We write $T_{vw} = [\dot{\Delta}_1, \dot{\Delta}_2]$ in this case.




FIGURE 2. Elementary morphisms.

Theorem 4.3 ([Kas01, Tes07, Kim16]). *The dotted Ptolemy groupoid Pt_Σ^\bullet is generated by elementary moves. Namely, any morphism can be written as a finite composition of elementary morphisms. Moreover, any relations among them is generated by*

$$A_v^3 = \text{id}, \quad T_{uv}T_{uw}T_{vw} = T_{vw}T_{uv}, \quad A_vT_{vw}A_w = A_wT_{vw}A_v, \quad T_{vw}A_vT_{vw} = A_vA_wP_{(vw)}.$$

Here, $P_{(vw)}$ denotes the permutation of labels v and w .

See Figure 3 for the illustration of the pentagon relation $T_{uv}T_{uw}T_{vw} = T_{vw}T_{uv}$. We refer the reader to [Kas01] for illustrations of the other relations. Strictly speaking, we should consider dotted triangulations with labelings on the triangles. See [Kim16] for a detailed treatment.

Note that under the projection $\text{Pt}_\Sigma^\bullet \rightarrow \text{Pt}_\Sigma$, the morphism T_{vw} (resp. A_v) descends to the ordinary flip of the underlying triangulations (resp. the identity morphism). The latter flip is denoted by $\mu_\kappa : \Delta_1 \rightarrow \Delta_2$, where $\alpha \in e_{\text{int}}(\Delta)$ denotes the unique edge shared by the triangles v and w .

4.3. Space of coefficients. For each ideal triangulation Δ , consider the space

$$\mathcal{F}_\Delta := \prod_{\alpha \in e_{\text{int}}(\Delta)} \mathcal{F}_N \times \prod_{\alpha \in e(\Delta) \setminus e_{\text{int}}(\Delta)} \overline{\mathcal{F}}_N.$$

We call a point $\mathbf{p}^\Delta = (\mathbf{p}_\alpha^\Delta) \in \mathcal{F}_\Delta$ a *coefficient tuple*. For a flip $\mu_\kappa : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta'$, two coefficient tuples $\mathbf{p}^\Delta = (\mathbf{p}_\alpha) \in \mathcal{F}_\Delta$, $\mathbf{p}^{\Delta'} = (\mathbf{p}'_\alpha) \in \mathcal{F}_{\Delta'}$ are said to be *mutation-equivalent* if they satisfy the relation

$$p'_\kappa{}^\pm = p_\kappa^\mp, \quad \frac{p'_\alpha{}^+}{p'_\alpha{}^-} = \begin{cases} \frac{p_\alpha^+}{p_\alpha^-} (p_\kappa^+)^\varepsilon & \text{if } \alpha \neq \kappa \text{ and } \varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa} \geq 0, \\ \frac{p_\alpha^+}{p_\alpha^-} (p_\kappa^-)^\varepsilon & \text{if } \alpha \neq \kappa \text{ and } \varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa} < 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Definition 4.4 (Space of coefficients). The set \mathcal{F}_Σ of pairwise equivalent collection $\mathbf{p}_\Sigma = \{\mathbf{p}^\Delta\}_{\Delta \in \text{Pt}_\Sigma}$ of coefficient tuples is called the *space of coefficients*.

Observe that the fiber action $(p_\alpha^+, p_\alpha^-) \mapsto (q^{2k}p_\alpha^+, q^{2k}p_\alpha^-)$, $\alpha \neq \kappa$ does not change the relation (4.1). In particular, this relation does not uniquely determine $\mathbf{p}^{\Delta'}$ from \mathbf{p}^Δ .




FIGURE 3. Pentagon relation

The following is easily verified by inspection:

Lemma 4.5. *Under the assignment of coefficient tuples $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}'' \in \mathcal{F}_N$ as in Figure 3, the relations (3.4) coincides with the mutation rule (4.1).*

Remark 4.6 (coefficient semifield). Consider the semifield $\mathbb{P}_N := \mathbb{C}^*/\mathbb{Z}_N$, where \mathbb{Z}_N acts on \mathbb{C}^* by $k.z := q^{2k}z$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, equipped with the operations

$$z \oplus w := (z^N + w^N)^{1/N}, \quad z \cdot w := zw.$$

These operations are well-defined on \mathbb{P}_N , regardless of the choice of N -th roots. Then the Fermat condition $(p^+)^N + (p^-)^N = 1$ can be written as $p^+ \oplus p^- = 1$. The transformation rule (4.1) is exactly the mutation formula [FZ03, (1.5)] of coefficients.

In our theory, however, it is crucial to regard the coefficients p^\pm as points of \mathbb{C}^* rather than their orbits in \mathbb{P}_N , since the multiplication by q^{2k} affects the value of $\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(X)$.

Projection to the classical cluster Poisson variety.

Lemma 4.7 (cf. [FZ07, Remark 2.7]). *Take a generic section (2.2) by choosing a branch of the N -th root. Then the relation (4.1) implies the relation among the exchange ratios:*

$$y'_\alpha = \begin{cases} y_\kappa^{-1} & \text{if } \alpha = \kappa, \\ y_\alpha (1 + y_\kappa^{-N \operatorname{sgn}(\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa})})^{-\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa}/N} & \text{if } \alpha \neq \kappa. \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. We have $p_\kappa^\pm = s((1 + y_\kappa^{\mp N})^{-1/N})$. Then from (4.1), we get

$$y'_\alpha = \begin{cases} y_\alpha (p_\kappa^+)^{\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa}} = y_\alpha (1 + y_\kappa^{-N})^{-\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa}/N} & \text{if } \varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa} \geq 0, \\ y_\alpha (p_\kappa^-)^{\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa}} = y_\alpha (1 + y_\kappa^N)^{-\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa}/N} & \text{if } \varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa} < 0. \end{cases}$$

■

Let $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_\Sigma = \bigcup_{\Delta \in \operatorname{Pt}_\Sigma} \overline{\mathcal{X}}_\Delta$ denote the (partially compactified) cluster \mathcal{X} -variety (also known as the cluster Poisson variety) of Fock–Goncharov [FG09], where $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_\Delta \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\varepsilon_{\operatorname{int}(\Delta)}} \times \mathbb{C}^{\varepsilon(\Delta) \setminus \varepsilon_{\operatorname{int}(\Delta)}}$ are algebraic tori parameterized by $\Delta \in \operatorname{Pt}_\Sigma$,² which are partially compactified in the frozen direction.

Corollary 4.8. *The projections $\pi_N^\Delta : \mathcal{F}_\Delta \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{X}}_\Delta$ given by $(\mathbf{p}_\alpha^\Delta)_\alpha \mapsto (Y_\alpha^\Delta)_\alpha$ with $Y_\alpha^\Delta := (y_\alpha^\Delta)^N$ combine to give a well-defined map*

$$\pi_N : \mathcal{F}_\Sigma \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{X}}_\Sigma.$$

Proof. From (4.2), we get

$$Y'_\alpha = \begin{cases} Y_\kappa^{-1} & \text{if } \alpha = \kappa, \\ Y_\alpha (1 + Y_\kappa^{-\operatorname{sgn}(\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa})})^{-\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa}} & \text{if } \alpha \neq \kappa, \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

which is exactly the cluster Poisson transformation. ■

4.4. Representation functor. For each dotted triangulations $\dot{\Delta}$ of Σ , we associate the q -Weyl algebra $\mathcal{W}_{\dot{\Delta}}$ generated by U_v, P_v for $v \in t(\Delta)$. To simplify the notation, let us label the triangles by $\{1, \dots, T\}$ with $T := |t(\Delta)|$.

We construct a projective representation of the dotted Ptolemy groupoid $\operatorname{Pt}_\Sigma^\bullet$, as follows.

State space: For a dotted triangulations $\dot{\Delta}$ of Σ , we associate the vector space

$$V_{\dot{\Delta}} := \bigotimes_{v \in t(\Delta)} V_N = \bigoplus_{k_1, \dots, k_T \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \mathbb{C} |k_1, \dots, k_T\rangle,$$

where $|k_1, \dots, k_T\rangle := |k_1\rangle \otimes \dots \otimes |k_T\rangle$.³ The Weyl algebra $\mathcal{W}_{\dot{\Delta}}$ acts on $V_{\dot{\Delta}}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} U_v |k_1, \dots, k_T\rangle &:= q^{2k_v} |k_1, \dots, k_T\rangle, \\ P_v |k_1, \dots, k_T\rangle &:= |k_1, \dots, k_v + 1, \dots, k_T\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $U_v^N = P_v^N = 1$ holds.

Quantum coordinate transformations: For any morphism $\omega = [\dot{\Delta}_1, \dot{\Delta}_2]$ in $\operatorname{Pt}_\Sigma^\bullet$, we contravariantly associate a linear isomorphism $V_\omega : V_{\dot{\Delta}_2} \rightarrow V_{\dot{\Delta}_1}$ as follows.

²More precisely, we need to include the tori associated with tagged triangulations [FST08] to get a genuine cluster variety.

³We will also use a ‘mixed’ basis like $|k_1, \underline{k}_2\rangle = |k_1\rangle \otimes |\underline{k}_2\rangle = \sum_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{-2k_2 m_2} |k_1, m_2\rangle$.

- (1) For a dot rotation $A_v = [\dot{\Delta}_1, \dot{\Delta}_2]$, associate the linear map $A_v : V_{\dot{\Delta}_2} \rightarrow V_{\dot{\Delta}_1}$ given by

$$A_v |k_1, \dots, k_T\rangle := \zeta_A |k_1, \dots, \underline{k}_v, \dots, k_T\rangle$$

for $k_1, \dots, k_T \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, where $\zeta_A := (N\zeta_-)^{-1/3} = N^{-1/2}i^{(1-N)/6}$.

- (2) For a flip $t_{vw} = [\dot{\Delta}_1, \dot{\Delta}_2]$, associate the linear map $T_{vw} : V_{\dot{\Delta}_2} \rightarrow V_{\dot{\Delta}_1}$ given by

$$T_{vw} := \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_\alpha^\Delta}([\mathbf{P}_v^{-1}\mathbf{U}_v\mathbf{P}_w])S_{vw}, \quad S_{vw} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j=0}^{N-1} q^{2ij} \mathbf{U}_w^i \mathbf{P}_v^j.$$

For a general morphism $\omega = [\dot{\Delta}_1, \dot{\Delta}_2]$, we define $V_\omega : V_{\dot{\Delta}_2} \rightarrow V_{\dot{\Delta}_1}$ to be the composite of these elementary maps.

The operator A_v is designed so that it satisfies

$$A_v \mathbf{U}_v A_v^{-1} = [\mathbf{P}_v \mathbf{U}_v^{-1}], \quad A_v \mathbf{P}_v A_v^{-1} = \mathbf{U}_v^{-1}$$

and $A_v^3 = 1$. See Lemma 7.1. In this sense, A_v is a ‘slant Fourier transform’ of order 3.

Theorem 4.9. *The following relations hold.*

- (1) $A_v^3 = 1$.
- (2) $T_{uv} T_{uw} T_{vw} = T_{vw} T_{uv}$.
- (3) $A_v T_{vw} A_w = A_w T_{vw} A_v$.
- (4) $T_{vw} A_v T_{vw} = \zeta A_v A_w \mathbf{P}_{(12)}$, where $\zeta = \zeta_{\text{inv}}(\zeta_A \zeta_-)^{-1} = e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}(1-\frac{1}{N})}$.

In particular, for any coefficient tuple $\mathbf{p}_\Sigma = \{\mathbf{p}^\Delta\}_{\Delta \in \text{Pt}_\Sigma} \in \mathcal{F}_\Sigma$, we get a projective representation $V_*(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma) : \text{Pt}_\Sigma \rightarrow \text{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{op}}$.

The proof is given in Section 7.2. In short, these relations essentially come from (2) the pentagon relation (Theorem 3.2), (3) a symmetry of S_{vw} (Lemma 7.5), and (4) the inversion relation (Theorem 2.9), respectively.

We call the projective functor $V_*(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma)$ a *cyclic representation* of Pt_Σ or a *cyclic quantum Teichmüller theory*.

Remark 4.10. Let Tor_q denote the category where the objects are quantum tori and morphisms are quantum ‘rational maps’, namely algebra homomorphisms between the skew-fields of fractions. The assignment $\dot{\Delta} \mapsto \mathcal{W}_{\dot{\Delta}}$ gives a functor

$$\mathcal{W}_*(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma) : \text{Pt}_\Sigma \rightarrow \text{Tor}_q^{\text{op}}.$$

See Lemma 7.1 and Proposition 7.3 for explicit morphisms induced by the adjoint actions of A_v, T_{vw} , respectively. The projective representation $V_*(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma)$ is a $\mathcal{W}_*(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma)$ -module.

4.5. Quantum trace of mapping classes. Consider the mapping class group $MC(\Sigma) := \pi_0(\text{Homeo}^+(\Sigma, \mathbb{M}))$, where $\text{Homeo}^+(\Sigma, \mathbb{M})$ denotes the group of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of Σ that preserves the subsets \mathbb{M} and $\partial\Sigma$. It naturally acts on the dotted Ptolemy groupoid Pt_Σ .

Let $V_*(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma) : \text{Pt}_\Sigma^\bullet \rightarrow \text{Vect}_\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}$ be the projective representation associated with a coefficient tuple $\mathbf{p}_\Sigma \in \mathcal{F}_\Sigma$. Fix an initial triangulation $\dot{\Delta} \in \text{Pt}_\Sigma^\bullet$. Given a mapping class $\phi \in MC(\Sigma)$, there exists a finite sequence

$$\omega : \dot{\Delta} = \dot{\Delta}_0 \xrightarrow{E_1} \dot{\Delta}_1 \xrightarrow{E_2} \dots \xrightarrow{E_m} \dot{\Delta}_m = \phi^{-1}(\dot{\Delta}) \quad (4.4)$$

of elementary morphisms and permutations of labels. Let

$$V_\omega : V_{\phi^{-1}(\dot{\Delta})} = V_{\dot{\Delta}_m} \xrightarrow{E_m} \dots \xrightarrow{E_2} V_{\dot{\Delta}_1} \xrightarrow{E_1} V_{\dot{\Delta}} = V_{\dot{\Delta}}$$

be the associated sequence of linear maps.

Definition 4.11 (ϕ -invariance). We say that $\mathbf{p}_\Sigma \in \mathcal{F}_\Sigma$ is ϕ -invariant if it satisfies

$$\mathbf{p}_{\phi(\alpha)}^{\phi(\Delta)} = \mathbf{p}_\alpha^\Delta \quad (4.5)$$

for all $\Delta \in \text{Pt}_\Sigma$ and $\alpha \in e(\Delta)$.

Note that the ϕ -invariance implies the fixed point condition $\phi(\pi_\Sigma^N(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma)) = \pi_\Sigma^N(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma)$ in $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_\Sigma$, where we recall Corollary 4.8.⁴ Then we consider the linear isomorphism $\iota_\phi : V_{\dot{\Delta}} \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{\phi^{-1}(\dot{\Delta})}$ identifying the basis vectors, according to the correspondence between the triangles under ϕ . When \mathbf{p}_Σ is ϕ -invariant, this matches the coefficient tuples.

Definition 4.12. Under the condition (4.5), we get a $\mathcal{W}_{\dot{\Delta}}$ -intertwiner

$$\mathbf{V}_\phi^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma) := V_\omega \circ \iota_\phi : V_{\dot{\Delta}} \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{\dot{\Delta}},$$

which we call the *quantum intertwiner* of the mapping class $\phi \in MC(\Sigma)$. We call

$$|\text{Tr}_N(\phi; \mathbf{p}_\Sigma)| := |\text{Tr}(\mathbf{V}_\phi^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma))|$$

the *quantum trace* of ϕ .

Remark 4.13. Given a mapping class ϕ and its representation sequence (4.4), one may further compactify \mathcal{F}_Σ and $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_\Sigma$ in the directions corresponding to the edges not being flipped along ω . We may find a solution of (4.5) in this enlarged space. See Section 4.6 for example.

Proposition 4.14. *The quantum intertwiner $\mathbf{V}_\phi^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma)$ is independent of the choice of ω up to a multiplication by ζ in Theorem 4.9. Moreover, the quantum trace $|\text{Tr}_N(\phi; \mathbf{p}_\Sigma)|$ is independent of the choice of $\dot{\Delta}$.*

Proof. If we choose another path ω' from $\dot{\Delta}$ to $\phi^{-1}(\dot{\Delta})$, then $\omega \circ (\omega')^{-1}$ is a closed path in Pt_Σ^\bullet . By the presentation in Theorem 4.3 and by Theorem 4.9, such a closed path gives rise to an identity operator up to multiplication by ζ .

⁴This corresponds to a choice of the ϕ -invariant PGL_2 -character of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ together with an invariant *puncture weights* in [BWY21].

For two labeled triangulation $\dot{\Delta}_1, \dot{\Delta}_2$, take an arbitrary paths $\omega_j : \dot{\Delta}_j \rightarrow \phi^{-1}(\omega_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$, and $\rho : \dot{\Delta}_1 \rightarrow \dot{\Delta}_2$ in $\text{Pt}_{\Sigma}^{\bullet}$. By translating by ϕ^{-1} , we get a path $\phi^{-1}(\rho) : \phi^{-1}(\dot{\Delta}_1) \rightarrow \phi^{-1}(\dot{\Delta}_2)$. We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \dot{\Delta}_1 & \xrightarrow{\omega_1} & \phi^{-1}(\dot{\Delta}_1) \\ \rho \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi^{-1}(\rho) \\ \dot{\Delta}_2 & \xrightarrow{\omega_2} & \phi^{-1}(\dot{\Delta}_2). \end{array}$$

Via the identifications of labels between $\dot{\Delta}_j$ and $\phi^{-1}(\dot{\Delta}_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$, we see that ρ and $\phi^{-1}(\rho)$ are the same composite V_{ρ} of elementary morphisms and permutations. By the first statement, we have

$$\mathbf{V}_{\phi}^{\dot{\Delta}_1}(\mathbf{p}_{\Sigma}) = \zeta^{\bullet} \cdot V_{\rho} \circ \mathbf{V}_{\phi}^{\dot{\Delta}_2}(\mathbf{p}_{\Sigma}) \circ V_{\rho}^{-1}$$

up to a power of ζ . Taking the absolute value of the trace of both sides, we get the desired statement. \blacksquare

Remark 4.15. For two mapping classes $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in MC(\Sigma)$, take a sequence $\omega_i : \dot{\Delta} \rightarrow \phi_i^{-1}(\dot{\Delta})$ of elementary moves for $i = 1, 2$. Let $\phi_1^{-1}(\omega_2) : \phi_1^{-1}(\dot{\Delta}) \rightarrow \phi_1^{-1}(\phi_2^{-1}(\dot{\Delta}))$ be the translate of ω_2 by the action of ϕ_1^{-1} on $\text{Pt}_{\Sigma}^{\bullet}$. Then the concatenation

$$\omega_1 * \phi_1^{-1}(\omega_2) : \dot{\Delta} \rightarrow \phi_1^{-1}(\dot{\Delta}) \rightarrow \phi_1^{-1}(\phi_2^{-1}(\dot{\Delta})) = (\phi_2\phi_1)^{-1}(\dot{\Delta})$$

gives a sequence for the product $\phi_2\phi_1$.

Proposition 4.16 (Normalization). *The quantum intertwiner satisfies $|\det \mathbf{V}_{\phi}^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_{\Sigma})| = 1$.*

This corresponds to the normalization condition of the Bonahon–Liu’s invariant [BL07, BWY21, BWY22].

Proof. The quantum intertwiner $\mathbf{V}_{\phi}^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_{\Sigma})$ is a composite of the operators \mathbf{A} , \mathbf{T} and permutations. Each of these operators has unital determinant by the lemma below. \blacksquare

Lemma 4.17. *We have*

$$\det \mathbf{A}_v = \zeta_A^N \zeta_{\text{inv}}^N \det V(q^{-1}), \quad \det \mathbf{T}_{vw} = 1.$$

In particular, $|\det \mathbf{A}_v| = |\det \mathbf{T}_{vw}| = 1$.

Proof. Observe that $\langle n | \mathbf{A}_v | m \rangle = \zeta_A \gamma(n)^{-1} q^{-2mn}$, and its n -th row has the common factor $\gamma(n)^{-1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_N$. Hence

$$\det \mathbf{A}_v = \zeta_A^N \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(n)^{-1} \cdot \det V(q^{-1}) = \zeta_A^N \zeta_{\text{inv}}^N \det V(q^{-1}).$$

Here we have used Lemma 3.13. In particular, $|\det \mathbf{A}_v| = N^{-N/2} \cdot 1 \cdot N^{N/2} = 1$.

By Lemma 2.8, we get

$$\det \mathbf{T}_{vw} = \det \Psi_{\mathbf{P}_{vw}}([P_v^{-1} \mathbf{U}_v P_w]) \cdot \det \mathbf{S}_{vw} = \det \mathbf{S}_{vw}.$$

By taking the basis $|\underline{k}, \ell\rangle \in V_N \otimes V_N$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} S_{vw}|\underline{k}, \ell\rangle &= \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{2ij} q^{2j\ell} q^{2ik} |\underline{k}, \ell\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{2j\ell} \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{2i(j+k)} \right) |\underline{k}, \ell\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{j\ell} N \delta_{j,-k} |\underline{k}, \ell\rangle = q^{-k\ell} |\underline{k}, \ell\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\det S_{vw} = \prod_{k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{-k\ell} = q^{-2 \sum_{k, \ell} k\ell} = q^{-(N-1)N \sum_k k} = (-1)^{\frac{(N-1)^2 N}{2}} = 1.$$

Thus we get $\det T_{vw} = 1$ as desired. \blacksquare

Remark 4.18. The projective functor $V_*(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma)$ only gives a projective representation of the stabilizer subgroup of \mathbf{p}_Σ in $MC(\Sigma)$, since we need the ϕ -invariance condition for the correct identification of vector spaces.

4.6. Examples. Let $T_c \in MC(\Sigma)$ denote the left-hand Dehn twist along an essential simple closed curve $c \subset \Sigma$. Let us consider a once-punctured torus $\Sigma = \Sigma_1^1$, together with the dotted triangulation $\dot{\Delta}$ shown in the top-left of Figure 4. Consider the Dehn twists T_a, T_b^{-1} . We can take the sequences of elementary moves in Pt_Σ as shown in Figure 4 from $\dot{\Delta}$ to $T_a^{-1}(\dot{\Delta})$ and $T_b(\dot{\Delta})$, respectively. Therefore the quantum intertwiners of T_a and T_b^{-1} are given by

$$V_{T_a}^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_a) = T_{vw} A_w^{-1} P_{(vw)}, \quad V_{T_b^{-1}}^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_b) = T_{vw} \quad : V_{\dot{\Delta}} \rightarrow V_{\dot{\Delta}} \quad (4.6)$$

for some coefficient tuples $\mathbf{p}_a, \mathbf{p}_b \in \mathcal{F}_\Sigma$, where the identifications $\iota_{T_a}, \iota_{T_b^{-1}}$ are omitted.

The underlying mutation sequence for T_a is $\mu_1(1 \ 2)$, which imposes the following mutation-equivalence and invariance conditions on the coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} p_1^\pm &= p_1^\mp, & \frac{p_2^+}{p_2^-} &= \frac{p_2^+}{p_2^-} (p_1^-)^{-2}, & \frac{p_3^+}{p_3^-} &= \frac{p_3^+}{p_3^-} (p_1^+)^2 \\ \mathbf{p}'_1 &= \mathbf{p}_2, & \mathbf{p}'_2 &= \mathbf{p}_1, & \mathbf{p}'_3 &= \mathbf{p}_3. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathbf{p}^\Delta = (\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3)$ and $\mathbf{p}^{\mu_1(\Delta)} = (\mathbf{p}'_1, \mathbf{p}'_2, \mathbf{p}'_3)$. The unique solution in this case is

$$\mathbf{p}_1 = (-1, \sqrt[N]{2}) = \mathbf{p}'_2, \quad \mathbf{p}_2 = (\sqrt[N]{2}, -1) = \mathbf{p}'_1, \quad \mathbf{p}_3 = (0, 1) = \mathbf{p}'_3.$$

Let $\mathbf{p}_a \in \mathcal{F}_\Sigma$ denote the coefficient tuple extending this solution. An invariant solution $\mathbf{p}_b \in \mathcal{F}_\Sigma$ for T_b^{-1} can be similarly found.

Proposition 4.19 (Proof in Section 7.3). (1) *For the Dehn twist T_a , we have*

$$\langle \underline{m}, \underline{n} | V_{T_a}^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_a) | \underline{k}, \ell \rangle = N i^{(1-N)/3} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2(n-m)}) \gamma(m-\ell)^{-1} q^{2(n-\ell)k}$$




FIGURE 4. The sequences of elementary moves representing the Dehn twists T_a and T_b^{-1} . Here the pairs of opposite sides are identified.

and

$$|\mathrm{Tr}_N(T_a; \mathbf{p}_a)| = \left| \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2u}) \gamma(u)^{-1} \right|.$$

(2) For the Dehn twist T_b^{-1} , we have

$$\langle \underline{m}, \underline{n} | \mathbb{V}_{T_b^{-1}}^{\hat{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_b) | \underline{k}, \underline{\ell} \rangle = N \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2(n-m)}) \gamma(k-m) q^{2(n-m-\ell)(k-m)}$$

and

$$|\mathrm{Tr}_N(T_b^{-1}; \mathbf{p}_b)| = \left| \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2u}) \right|.$$

General examples. The modular group $MC(\Sigma_1^1) \cong SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the two elements

$$L := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The actions of T_a and T_b on the homology group $H_1(\Sigma_1^1) = \langle a, b \rangle$ are presented by L^{-1} and R , respectively. Any mapping class $\phi \in MC(\Sigma_1^1)$ can be written as a word in $\{L^{\pm 1}, R^{\pm 1}\}$, and Remark 4.15 tells us a way to obtain a sequence of elementary moves for ϕ .

For example, consider the pseudo-Anosov mapping class $\phi := T_b^{-1}T_a$. Its inverse corresponds to the matrix $LR = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, whose mapping torus is the figure-eight knot complement. We get

$$\mathbb{V}_{\phi}^{\hat{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_{\phi}) = \mathbb{T}_{vw} \mathbb{A}_w^{-1} \mathbb{P}_{(vw)} \mathbb{T}_{vw}$$

by Remark 4.15, where we take a ϕ -invariant coefficient tuple $\mathbf{p}_\phi \in \mathcal{F}_\Sigma$. Its matrix coefficients can be directly computed from Proposition 4.19.

5. REDUCTION TO IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OF CHEKHOV–FOCK ALGEBRAS

5.1. Chekhov–Fock algebras and quantum cluster Poisson transformations. To each ideal triangulation of a marked surface Σ , we associate a quantum torus as follows. Fix a coefficient tuple $\mathbf{p}_\Sigma = (\mathbf{p}^\Delta)_{\Delta \in \text{Pt}_\Sigma} \in \mathcal{F}_N$, and let $\mathbf{y}^\Delta = (y_\alpha^\Delta)$ denote the exchange ratio derived from \mathbf{p}^Δ .

Definition 5.1. The *Chekhov–Fock algebra* (also known as the *quantum cluster Poisson torus*) with coefficients $\mathbf{p}^\Delta = (\mathbf{p}_\alpha^\Delta)_\alpha$ is defined to be the quantum torus algebra $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}^\Delta}^\Delta$ over \mathbb{C} with generators $(X_\alpha^\Delta)^{\pm 1}$ for $\alpha \in e(\Delta)$ and the relations

$$X_\alpha^\Delta X_\beta^\Delta = q^{2\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^\Delta} X_\beta^\Delta X_\alpha^\Delta, \quad (X_\alpha^\Delta)^N = (y_\alpha^\Delta)^N.$$

Each generator X_α^Δ is called a *cluster Poisson variable*.

For a flip $\mu_\kappa : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta'$ along $\kappa \in e_{\text{int}}(\Delta)$, the exchange matrices $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} = \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^\Delta$ and $\varepsilon'_{\alpha\beta} = \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{\Delta'}$ are related by the *matrix mutation rule*

$$\varepsilon'_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{cases} -\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} & \text{if } \alpha = \kappa' \text{ or } \beta = \kappa, \\ \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} + [\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa}]_+ + [\varepsilon_{\kappa\beta}]_+ - [-\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa}]_+ - [-\varepsilon_{\kappa\beta}]_+ & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

where $[a]_+ := \max(a, 0)$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$. The Chekhov–Fock algebras are connected by ‘quantum rational maps’ as follows.

Definition 5.2 (quantum cluster Poisson transformation). We define a \mathbb{C} -algebra isomorphism $\mu_\kappa^* : \text{Frac } \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}'}^{\Delta'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Frac } \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}}^\Delta$ between the skew-fields of fractions by

$$\mu_\kappa^*(X'_\alpha) := \begin{cases} X_\kappa^{-1} & \text{if } \alpha = \kappa', \\ X_\alpha \prod_{j=1}^{|\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa}|} (1 + q^{2j-1} X_\kappa^{-\text{sgn}(\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa})})^{-\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa}} & \text{if } \alpha \neq \kappa'. \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

Here $X'_\alpha = X_\alpha^{\Delta'}$ and $X_\alpha = X_\alpha^\Delta$, and $\text{sgn}(a) \in \{0, \pm\}$ denotes the sign of $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

These constructions give rise to a functor $\mathcal{X}^* : \text{Pt}_\Sigma \rightarrow \text{Tor}_q^{\text{op}}$.

Notation 5.3. As long as a single triangulation Δ or a single flip $\mu_\kappa : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta'$ matter in the consideration, we drop the superscripts Δ and Δ' and abbreviate as $Z'_\alpha := Z_\alpha^{\Delta'}$, $Z_\alpha := Z_\alpha^\Delta$ for any variables/matrices (as we already did).

For $\alpha \in e(\Delta)$, we introduce the *rescaled cluster Poisson variables*

$$\overline{X}_\alpha := y_\alpha^{-1} X_\alpha$$

so that $\overline{X}_\alpha^N = 1$.

Lemma 5.4. *In terms of the rescaled cluster Poisson variables, the map (5.2) is rewritten as*

$$\mu_{\kappa}^*(\overline{X}'_{\alpha}) = \begin{cases} \overline{X}_{\kappa}^{-1} & \text{if } \alpha = \kappa', \\ \overline{X}_{\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^{|\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa}|} (p_{\kappa}^{-} + q^{2j-1} p_{\kappa}^{+} \overline{X}_{\kappa})^{-\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa}} & \text{if } \alpha \neq \kappa' \text{ and } \varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa} < 0, \\ \overline{X}_{\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^{|\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa}|} (p_{\kappa}^{+} + q^{2j-1} p_{\kappa}^{-} \overline{X}_{\kappa}^{-1})^{-\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa}} & \text{if } \alpha \neq \kappa' \text{ and } \varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa} \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

Proof. The case $\alpha = \kappa'$ is obtained from (5.2) by dividing the both sides by $y'_{\kappa} = y_{\kappa}^{-1}$. The other cases are similarly obtained by the division by $y'_{\alpha} = y_{\alpha} (p_{\kappa}^{\text{sgn}(\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa})})^{\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa}}$. For example if $\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa} < 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\kappa}^*(\overline{X}'_{\alpha}) &= y'_{\alpha}^{-1} X_{\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^{|\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa}|} (1 + q^{2j-1} X_{\kappa})^{-\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa}} \\ &= \overline{X}_{\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^{|\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa}|} (p_{\kappa}^{-} + q^{2j-1} p_{\kappa}^{-} y_{\kappa} \overline{X}_{\kappa})^{-\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa}} = \overline{X}_{\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^{|\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa}|} (p_{\kappa}^{-} + q^{2j-1} p_{\kappa}^{+} \overline{X}_{\kappa})^{-\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa}}. \end{aligned}$$

■

Remark 5.5. The transformation rule (5.3) coincides with the quantum cluster Poisson transformation with coefficients [CFMM24, Proposition 1.1]. The parameter \mathbf{p}_{α} (or the ratio y_{α}) plays the dual roles as ‘coefficients’ in the quantum cluster algebra (when we use \overline{X}_{α}) and as the central characters for the cluster Poisson variables (when we use X_{α}). Both of these perspectives will be useful.

Fock–Goncharov decomposition of μ_{κ}^ .* For a flip $\mu_{\kappa} : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta'$ and a sign $\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$, define an monomial isomorphism $\mu'_{\kappa, \epsilon} : \mathcal{X}_{y'}^{\Delta'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X}_y^{\Delta}$ by

$$\mu'_{\kappa, \epsilon}(\overline{X}'_{\alpha}) := \begin{cases} \overline{X}_{\kappa}^{-1} & \text{if } \alpha = \kappa', \\ [\overline{X}_{\alpha} \overline{X}_{\kappa}^{[\epsilon \varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa}]_{+}}] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The following connects the cluster Poisson transformation with the cyclic quantum dilogarithm.

Proposition 5.6. *The quantum cluster Poisson transformation $\mu_{\kappa}^* : \text{Frac } \mathcal{X}_{y'}^{\Delta'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Frac } \mathcal{X}_y^{\Delta}$ is decomposed as $\mu_{\kappa}^* = \mu_{\kappa, \epsilon}^{\#} \circ \mu'_{\kappa, \epsilon}$ for any sign $\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$, where*

$$\mu_{\kappa, \epsilon}^{\#} := \text{Ad}_{\Psi_{\mathbf{p}_{\kappa}^{\epsilon}}(\overline{X}_{\kappa}^{\epsilon})}^{\epsilon}$$

is an automorphism on $\text{Frac } \mathcal{X}_y^{\Delta}$.

Proof. The case $\alpha = \kappa'$ is clear. Consider the case $\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa} < 0$ and $\epsilon = +$. Then $\mu_{\kappa, +}^*(e_{\alpha}) = e_{\alpha}$, and the right-hand side is computed as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}_{\Psi_{\mathbf{p}_{\kappa}}(\overline{X}_{\kappa})}(\overline{X}_{\alpha}) &= \overline{X}_{\alpha} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_{\kappa}}(q^{2\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa}} \overline{X}_{\kappa}) \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_{\kappa}}(\overline{X}_{\kappa})^{-1} \\ &= \overline{X}_{\alpha} (p_{\kappa}^{-} + qp_{\kappa}^{+} q^{2(\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa}-1)} \overline{X}_{\kappa}) \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_{\kappa}}(q^{2(\varepsilon_{\alpha\kappa}-1)} \overline{X}_{\kappa}) \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_{\kappa}}(\overline{X}_{\kappa})^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \overline{X}_\alpha(p_\kappa^- + q^{2\varepsilon_{\kappa\alpha}-1}p_\kappa^+\overline{X}_\kappa)(p_\kappa^- + q^{2\varepsilon_{\kappa\alpha}-3}p_\kappa^+\overline{X}_\kappa)\dots(p_\kappa^- + qp_\kappa^+\overline{X}_\kappa),$$

which coincides with the second equation in (5.3). The other cases are proved similarly. \blacksquare

Identify all the skew-fields of fractions via the quantum cluster Poisson transformations and denote it by \mathcal{F} . Then each Chekhov–Fock algebra is a subalgebra of \mathcal{F} . Define the *quantum cluster Poisson algebra* to be the intersection of them:

$$\mathcal{O}_q(\mathcal{X}_\Sigma) := \bigcap_{\Delta \in \text{Pt}_\Sigma} \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}^\Delta}^\Delta \subset \mathcal{F}.$$

Proposition 5.7 (Quantum Frobenius map). *There exists an algebra embedding*

$$\mathbb{F}_N : \mathcal{O}_1(\mathcal{X}_\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(\mathcal{X}_\Sigma)$$

such that $X_\alpha^\Delta \mapsto (X_\alpha^\Delta)^N$, $\alpha \in e(\Delta)$ for each $\Delta \in \text{Pt}_\Sigma$.

Proof. We have $(X_\alpha^\Delta)^N = (y_\alpha^\Delta)^N$ from the definition, and the parameters $Y_\alpha^\Delta = (y_\alpha^\Delta)^N$ transform as classical cluster Poisson variables by Corollary 4.8. Therefore \mathbb{F}_N respects the transformations on the both sides. \blacksquare

Remark 5.8. The algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathcal{X}_\Sigma)$ is the same as the specialization $q = -e^{i\pi/N}$ of that introduced in [FG09, Section 3.3]. However, it is necessary to use the cyclic quantum dilogarithm (rather than the compact quantum dilogarithm [FG09, (51)]) to realize the quantum cluster Poisson transformations by the adjoint action when q is a root of unity.

5.2. Embedding into the Weyl algebra. We relate the algebra structures in the previous subsection to the one developed in Section 4. Given a decorated triangulation $\dot{\Delta} \in \text{Pt}_\Sigma^\bullet$ with the underlying triangulation Δ , we construct an algebra embedding

$$\iota_{\dot{\Delta}} : \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}^{\dot{\Delta}}}^{\dot{\Delta}} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\dot{\Delta}}$$

as follows (cf. [Tes07, Section 7.2]). Let τ be the dual trivalent graph of Δ . Since each vertex v of τ corresponds to a triangle of Δ , one of the corners around v inherits the decoration from $\dot{\Delta}$. We assign the *Weyl weights* $W_{v;\alpha}^{\dot{\Delta}}$ to a half-edge α incident to a vertex v as shown in Figure 5. In particular, $W_{v;\alpha}^{\dot{\Delta}}$ acts on the v -th component of $V_{\dot{\Delta}}$ as a non-commutative Laurent monomial of U_v and P_v .




FIGURE 5. Weyl weights around a vertex v of the dual graph τ .

Lemma 5.9. *There is a unique algebra embedding $\iota_{\dot{\Delta}} : \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}^{\dot{\Delta}}}^{\dot{\Delta}} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\dot{\Delta}}$ such that*

$$\overline{X}_{\alpha}^{\dot{\Delta}} \mapsto W_{v;\alpha}^{\dot{\Delta}} W_{w;\alpha}^{\dot{\Delta}}.$$

Here v, w denotes the endpoints of the edge in τ dual to $\alpha \in e(\Delta)$.

Proof. We drop the notations Δ and $\dot{\Delta}$. The condition $\iota(\overline{X}_{\alpha})^N = 1$ holds by Lemma 3.7. In order to check the q -commutation relation, fix v and let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ be the three half-edges incident to v in this counter-clockwise order so that $W_{v;\alpha_1} = P_v$, $W_{v;\alpha_2} = U_v^{-1}$, $W_{v;\alpha_3} = [U_v P_v^{-1}]$. Then it is easy to see that

$$W_{v;\alpha_i} W_{v;\alpha_{i+1}} = q^2 W_{v;\alpha_{i+1}} W_{v;\alpha_i}$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$, considered modulo 3. With a notice that the Weyl weights assigned to distinct vertices commute with each other, it follows that

$$\iota(\overline{X}_{\alpha}) \iota(\overline{X}_{\beta}) = q^{2\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}} \iota(\overline{X}_{\beta}) \iota(\overline{X}_{\alpha})$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in e(\Delta)$. Thus the assertion is proved. \blacksquare

Theorem 5.10 (Compatibility of transformations, cf. [GL09]). *In the decorated Ptolemy groupoid of any marked surface Σ , we have the following commutative diagrams:*

(1) *For any dot rotation $A_v = [\dot{\Delta}_1, \dot{\Delta}_2]$,*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}^{\dot{\Delta}}}^{\dot{\Delta}} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\dot{\Delta}_2}} & \mathcal{W}_{\dot{\Delta}_2} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \text{Ad}(A_v) \\ \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}^{\dot{\Delta}}}^{\dot{\Delta}} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\dot{\Delta}_1}} & \mathcal{W}_{\dot{\Delta}_1}, \end{array}$$

where Δ is the common underlying triangulation.

(2) *For any flip $T_{vw} = [\dot{\Delta}_1, \dot{\Delta}_2]$,*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}^{\dot{\Delta}_2}}^{\dot{\Delta}_2} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\dot{\Delta}_2}} & \mathcal{W}_{\dot{\Delta}_2} \\ \mu_{\kappa}^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Ad}(T_{vw}) \\ \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}^{\dot{\Delta}_1}}^{\dot{\Delta}_1} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\dot{\Delta}_1}} & \mathcal{W}_{\dot{\Delta}_1}. \end{array}$$

Here $f_{\kappa} : \Delta_1 \rightarrow \Delta_2$ denotes the flip of the underlying triangulation.

Proof. (1): From Lemma 7.1, we get $P_v \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}_{A_v}} U_v^{-1} \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}_{A_v}} [U_v P_v^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}_{A_v}} P_v$. Then the assertion immediately follows.

(2): In order to simplify the notation, let us omit the symbol $\iota_{\dot{\Delta}_k}$ and regard $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}^{\dot{\Delta}_k}}^{\dot{\Delta}_k} \subset \mathcal{W}_{\dot{\Delta}_k}$ as a subalgebra for $k = 1, 2$. We also employ the abbreviation in Notation 5.3.

Recall that $T_{vw} = \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_{\kappa}}([P_v^{-1} U_v P_w]) S_{vw}$. Since $[P_v^{-1} U_v P_w] = \overline{X}_{\kappa}$, the adjoint action $\text{Ad}(\Psi_{\mathbf{p}_{\kappa}}([P_v^{-1} U_v P_w]))$ restricts to the automorphism part $\mu_{\kappa, +}^{\#}$ in Proposition 5.6. Therefore it suffices to show that $\text{Ad}(S_{vw})$ restricts to the monomial part $\mu'_{\kappa, +}$. Under the




FIGURE 6. A flip and the associated Weyl weights.

labeling of Figure 6, using (7.8), the adjoint action of S_{vw} is computed as

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{X}'_{\kappa} &= U_v^{-1}[U_w P_w^{-1}] &\mapsto (U_v U_w)^{-1}[U_w (P_w P_v^{-1})^{-1}] &= [U_v^{-1} P_v] P_w^{-1} = \overline{X}_{\kappa}^{-1}, \\
\overline{X}'_{\alpha} &= P_v &\mapsto P_v &= \overline{X}_{\alpha}, \\
\overline{X}'_{\beta} &= P_w &\mapsto P_w P_v^{-1} = q[U_v P_v^{-1}] P_w \cdot U_v^{-1} &= q \overline{X}_{\kappa} \overline{X}_{\beta}, \\
\overline{X}'_{\gamma} &= U_w^{-1} &\mapsto U_w^{-1} &= \overline{X}_{\gamma}, \\
\overline{X}'_{\delta} &= [U_v P_v^{-1}] &\mapsto [(U_v U_w) P_v^{-1}] = q[U_v P_v^{-1}] P_w \cdot [U_w P_w^{-1}] &= q \overline{X}_{\kappa} \overline{X}_{\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, note that the Weyl weights coming from outside the square are omitted, which are obviously invariant under S_{vw} . Thus we get the desired assertion. \blacksquare

In particular, the Chekhov–Fock algebra $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}\Delta}^{\Delta}$ acts on V_{Δ} through ι_{Δ} . Thus we have:

Corollary 5.11. *The projective representation V_* has a structure of $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}^*$ -module, where $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}^* : \text{Pt}_{\Sigma} \rightarrow \text{Tor}_q^{\text{op}}$ is the composite functor*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{X}}^* : \text{Pt}_{\Sigma} \rightarrow \text{Pt}_{\Sigma} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{X}^*} \text{Tor}_q^{\text{op}}.$$

5.3. Reduction of the representation $V_*(\mathbf{p}_{\Sigma})$. The representation space V_{Δ} is typically reducible as an $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}\Delta}^{\Delta}$ -module. In order to obtain an irreducible decomposition, we introduce specific operators which commute with the Chekhov–Fock algebra, following (cf. [Kas98, (12)], [Tes07, (7.3)]).

Homology operators. Let us consider the relative homology group

$$H_{\Sigma} := H_1(\Sigma^*, \partial\Sigma^*; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Definition 5.12 (Homology operator). Define a monomial map $h^{\Delta} : H_{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\Delta}$ as follows. For a curve c representing a homology class $[c] \in H_{\Sigma}$, deform it to an efficient edge path $(\alpha_1, v_1, \alpha_2, \dots, v_{m-1}, \alpha_m, v_m)$ on the dual graph τ , where α_i are edges and v_i are vertices traversed in this order. Then we define

$$h_{[c]}^{\Delta} := \left[\prod_{i=1}^m (W_{v_i; \alpha_i}^{\Delta} W_{v_i; \alpha_{i+1}}^{\Delta})^{\sigma_i} \right],$$

where $\alpha_{m+1} := \alpha_1$ and $\sigma_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ is $+1$ (resp. -1) if $\alpha_i \rightarrow \alpha_{i+1}$ forms a clockwise (resp. counter-clockwise) turn at v_i . Extend this assignment multiplicatively for any homology classes.

We have the following properties of the homology operators. The proofs are just a matter of linear algebra, and identical to the corresponding statements in the infinite-dimensional setting (with a straightforward generalization to the marked surfaces with boundary).

Lemma 5.13 (intersection number). *The map h^Δ is well-defined, and satisfies*

$$h_{[c_1]}^\Delta h_{[c_2]}^\Delta = q^{4i([c_1],[c_2])} h_{[c_2]}^\Delta h_{[c_1]}^\Delta$$

for $[c_1], [c_2] \in H_\Sigma$, where $i : H_\Sigma \times H_\Sigma \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the intersection pairing [KQ22, (2.1)].

Lemma 5.14 (naturality). *For any morphism $\omega : \dot{\Delta}_1 \rightarrow \dot{\Delta}_2$ in Pt_Σ^\bullet , we have the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{W}_{\dot{\Delta}_2} & \xrightarrow{\text{Adv}_\omega} & \mathcal{W}_{\dot{\Delta}_1} \\ \uparrow h_{\dot{\Delta}_2} & \nearrow & \uparrow h_{\dot{\Delta}_1} \\ H_1(\Sigma^*, \mathbb{Z}) & & \end{array}$$

Lemma 5.15 (mapping class group equivariance). *For any mapping class $\phi \in MC(\Sigma)$, we have the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{W}_{\phi^{-1}(\dot{\Delta})} & \xrightarrow{\phi_*} & \mathcal{W}_{\dot{\Delta}} \\ \uparrow h^{\phi^{-1}(\dot{\Delta})} & & \uparrow h^{\dot{\Delta}} \\ H_\Sigma & \xrightarrow{\phi} & H_\Sigma. \end{array}$$

Here $\phi_*(U_{\phi^{-1}(v)}) = U_v$, $\phi_*(P_{\phi^{-1}(v)}) = P_v$ for $v \in t(\Delta)$.

For a puncture $p \in \mathbb{M}_\circ$, let $[c_p] \in H_\Sigma$ denote the class represented by a small loop around p in the counter-clockwise direction. For a boundary component b with marked points m_1, \dots, m_n , let $[c_{m_i}] \in H_\Sigma$ denote the class represented by a small corner arc at m_i turning clockwise around m_i , and define $[c_b] := \sum_{i=1}^n [c_{m_i}]$.

Lemma 5.16 (homology operators commute with cluster \mathcal{X} -variables). *We have*

$$\iota_{\dot{\Delta}}(X_\alpha^\Delta) \cdot h_{[c]}^\Delta = h_{[c]}^\Delta \cdot \iota_{\dot{\Delta}}(X_\alpha^\Delta)$$

for any $\alpha \in e(\Delta)$ and $[c] \in H_\Sigma$. Moreover,

$$\iota_{\dot{\Delta}}(\bar{\theta}_p^\Delta) = h_{[c_p]}^\Delta, \quad \iota_{\dot{\Delta}}(\bar{\theta}_b^\Delta) = h_{[c_b]}^\Delta$$

for any puncture p and boundary component b . Here $\theta_p, \theta_b \in Z(\mathcal{X}_y^\Delta)$ are defined by

$$\bar{\theta}_p := \left[\prod_{\alpha \in e(\Delta)} (\bar{X}_\alpha^\Delta)^{i_p(\alpha)} \right], \quad \bar{\theta}_b := \left[\prod_{\alpha \in e(\Delta)} (\bar{X}_\alpha^\Delta)^{i_b(\alpha)} \right],$$

where $i_p(\alpha)$ (resp. $i_b(\alpha)$) counts the number of ends of α incident to the puncture p (resp. incident to a marked point on b).

Let

$$\overline{H} := \left[\prod_{\alpha \in e(\Delta)} \overline{X}_\alpha^\Delta \right] \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}}^\Delta$$

denote the total product of cluster X -variables, which also lies in the center of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}}^\Delta$.

Lemma 5.17 (special central element). *We have*

$$\overline{H}^2 = \prod_p \overline{\theta}_p \cdot \prod_b \overline{\theta}_b,$$

where in the right-hand side, p (resp. b) runs over all punctures (resp. boundary components). Moreover, $\iota_{\hat{\Delta}}(\overline{H}) = 1$.

Choice of Lagrangian. Now we choose a ‘polarization’ as follows. Let Σ^{cl} denote the closed surface of genus $g \geq 0$ obtained from Σ by capping a disk to each boundary component. Choose a Lagrangian sub-lattice $L = \langle a_1, \dots, a_g \rangle \subset H_1(\Sigma^{\text{cl}}; \mathbb{Z})$ with respect to the intersection pairing. Then the elements of L , the classes $[c_p]$ for the punctures p and the classes $[c_b]$ for the boundary components b generate a maximal isotropic sub-lattice $\widehat{L} \subset H_\Sigma$, where we have a relation $\sum_p [c_p] + \sum_b [c_b] = 0$. Here we naturally regard $H_1(\Sigma^{\text{cl}}; \mathbb{Z}) \subset H_\Sigma$. Consider the simultaneous eigenspace decomposition for $\mathfrak{h}^{\hat{\Delta}}(\widehat{L})$:

$$V_{\hat{\Delta}} = \bigoplus_{\lambda} V_{\hat{\Delta}}(L, \lambda),$$

where $\lambda : \widehat{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N$ is an additive character. For any $[c] \in \widehat{L}$, the operator $\mathfrak{h}_{[c]}^{\hat{\Delta}}$ acts on $V_{\hat{\Delta}}(L, \lambda)$ by $\mathfrak{h}_{[c]}^{\hat{\Delta}} = q^{2\lambda([c])} 1$. The dimension is

$$\dim V_{\hat{\Delta}}(L, \lambda) = N^{|\iota(\Delta)| - g - (p+b-1)} = N^{3g-3+b+|\mathbb{M}|}. \quad (5.4)$$

Theorem 5.18. *Each $V_{\hat{\Delta}}(L, \lambda)$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}^\Delta}^\Delta$ acted through $\iota_{\hat{\Delta}}$.*

Proof. The action of each cluster variable X_α^Δ preserves the subspace $V_{\hat{\Delta}}(L, \lambda)$, since it commutes with the operators $\mathfrak{h}_{[c]}^{\hat{\Delta}}$ for $[c] \in \widehat{L}$ by Lemma 5.16. Thus the quantum torus $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}^\Delta}^\Delta$ acts preserving $V_{\hat{\Delta}}(L, \lambda)$.

By [KQ22, Theorem 1.2], any irreducible representation of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}^\Delta}^\Delta$ must have the same dimension as (5.4). Therefore $V_{\hat{\Delta}}(L, \lambda)$ is an irreducible representation. \blacksquare

Remark 5.19. By Lemma 5.17, we have

$$\left[\prod_{\alpha \in e(\Delta)} X_\alpha^\Delta \right] = \prod_{\alpha \in e(\Delta)} y_\alpha^\Delta 1$$

on $V_{\hat{\Delta}}(\lambda)$. In the theory of local representations [BL07], the complex number $\prod_{\alpha \in e(\Delta)} y_\alpha^\Delta$ is called the *central charge*.

The mapping class group $MC(\Sigma)$ naturally acts on H_Σ . Recall that for a mapping class $\phi \in MC(\Sigma)$, associated is an intertwiner $V_\phi^\Delta(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma) : V_\Delta \rightarrow V_\Delta$, where $\mathbf{p}_\Sigma \in \mathcal{F}_\Sigma$ is a ϕ -invariant coefficient tuple.

Theorem 5.20. *Let $\phi \in MC(\Sigma)$ be a mapping class, and $\mathbf{p}_\Sigma \in \mathcal{F}_\Sigma$ a ϕ -invariant coefficient tuple. Given a Lagrangian sub-lattice $L \subset H_1(\Sigma^{\text{cl}}; \mathbb{Z})$ and an additive character $\lambda : \widehat{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N$, the intertwiner $V_\phi^\Delta(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma)$ induces an intertwiner of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}_\Delta}^\Delta$ -modules*

$$V_\phi^\Delta(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma) : V_\Delta(L, \lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} V_\Delta(\phi(L), \phi_*\lambda),$$

where $\phi_*\lambda : \phi(\widehat{L}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N$ is given by $\phi_*\lambda(\phi([c])) = \lambda([c])$ for $[c] \in \widehat{L}$.

Proof. By combining Lemmas 5.14 and 5.15, we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{W}_\Delta^{\text{Ad}(V_\phi^\Delta(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma))} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{W}_\Delta \\ \mathfrak{h}_\Delta^\Delta \uparrow & & \uparrow \mathfrak{h}_\Delta^\Delta \\ H_\Sigma & \xrightarrow{\quad \phi \quad} & H_\Sigma. \end{array}$$

In particular, we have $V_\phi^\Delta(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma) \mathfrak{h}_{[c]}^\Delta = \mathfrak{h}_{\phi([c])}^\Delta V_\phi^\Delta(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma)$ for any $[c] \in \widehat{L}$. Therefore, the intertwiner $V_\phi^\Delta(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma)$ maps each simultaneous eigenspace for the operators in $\mathfrak{h}^\Delta(\widehat{L})$ to that for the operators in $\mathfrak{h}^\Delta(\phi(\widehat{L}))$, preserving the weights. The assertion is proved. \blacksquare

Change of polarization. In view of Theorem 5.20, we need an operator that adjusts the polarization given by $\phi(L)$ to the original one. As a slight variant of γ -operators (Definition 3.16), we introduce the *transvection operators*

$$\gamma(\mathfrak{h}_a^\Delta)^{\pm 1/2} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{\mp 2ij} (\mathfrak{h}_a^\Delta)^{\frac{i+j}{2}} \quad (5.5)$$

for $a \in H_\Sigma$. Here $(\mathfrak{h}_a^\Delta)^{1/2}$ is the square-root operator in the sense of Definition 3.15. In the same way as Lemma 3.17, one can verify the following:

- If $|\lambda\rangle_a$ is a $q^{2\lambda}$ -eigenvalue of \mathfrak{h}_a^Δ , then $\gamma(\mathfrak{h}_a^\Delta)^{\pm 1/2} |\lambda\rangle_a = \gamma(\lambda)^{\pm M} |\lambda\rangle_a$.
- $\gamma(\mathfrak{h}_a^\Delta)^{\pm 1/2} \mathfrak{h}_b^\Delta = \mathfrak{h}_{b \pm \mathbf{i}(a,b)a}^\Delta \gamma(\mathfrak{h}_a^\Delta)^{\pm 1/2}$ for any $b \in H_\Sigma$

The image of the homology action $MC(\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H_1(\Sigma^{\text{cl}}; \mathbb{Z}))$ is isomorphic to the symplectic group $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$. It is well-known that $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by transvections $b \mapsto b + \mathbf{i}(a,b)a$ for $a \in H_1(\Sigma^{\text{cl}}; \mathbb{Z})$. The equation above tells us that the conjugation by $\gamma(\mathfrak{h}_a^\Delta)^{1/2}$ implements the transvection. Hence, for any Lagrangian L and a mapping class $\phi \in MC(\Sigma)$, we take an operator $F_\phi^\Delta \in \mathcal{W}_\Delta$ satisfying

$$F_\phi^\Delta : V_\Delta(\phi(L), \phi_*\lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} V_\Delta(L, \lambda)$$

as a composite of the transvection operators $\gamma(\mathfrak{h}_a^\Delta)^{1/2}$.

Lemma 5.21. $|\det F_\phi^\Delta| = 1$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that $|\det \gamma(\mathbf{h}_a)| = 1$ for any $a \in H_\Sigma$. This follows from

$$\det \gamma(\mathbf{h}_a) = \prod_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(\lambda)^M = \zeta_{\text{inv}}^{-NM}$$

and the fact that $|\zeta_{\text{inv}}| = 1$, where we used Lemma 3.13. ■

Definition 5.22. The composite operator

$$\mathbf{V}_\phi^\Delta(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma; L, \lambda) := \mathbf{F}_\phi^\Delta \circ \mathbf{V}_\phi^\Delta(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma) : V_\Delta(L, \lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} V_\Delta(L, \lambda)$$

is referred to as the *reduced intertwiner* of the mapping class ϕ . The absolute value of the trace $|\overline{\text{Tr}}_N(\phi; \mathbf{p}_\Sigma; L, \lambda)| := |\text{Tr}(\mathbf{V}_\phi^\Delta(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma; L, \lambda))|$ is called the *reduced quantum trace*.

Observe that $\mathbf{V}_\phi^\Delta(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma; L, \lambda)$ is still an intertwiner for $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}^\Delta}^\Delta$, since the homology operators (and hence \mathbf{F}_ϕ^Δ) commute with the cluster Poisson variables.

Remark 5.23. Since the stabilizer subgroup of a Lagrangian L is a non-trivial parabolic subgroup, the choice of \mathbf{F}_ϕ^Δ is not unique. To be more precise, we need to fix a path in the *symplectic Ptolemy groupoid* as in [Kim24]. In practice, we can find a simplest one. We postpone the discussion of the dependence of the reduced intertwiner on this choice for a future work.

5.4. Examples.

5.4.1. *Thrice-punctured sphere.* Let $\Sigma = \Sigma_0^3$ be a thrice-punctured sphere, and take the dotted triangulation $\hat{\Delta}$ as shown in Figure 7. In this case, the unique choice is $L = 0$, and the relative homology $\hat{L} := H_1(\Sigma^*; \mathbb{Z})$ itself is maximally isotropic. Let us assign the weights $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ such that $\lambda + \mu + \nu = 0$ to the three punctures.




FIGURE 7. A dotted triangulation of Σ_0^3 . Here the edges of the same label are identified.

The homology operators $\mathbf{h}_\lambda, \mathbf{h}_\mu, \mathbf{h}_\nu$ associated with these punctures are computed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}_\lambda &= [\mathbf{U}_v^{-1} \mathbf{P}_v \mathbf{U}_w], \\ \mathbf{h}_\mu &= \mathbf{P}_v^{-1} \mathbf{P}_w^{-1}, \\ \mathbf{h}_\nu &= [\mathbf{U}_v \mathbf{U}_w^{-1} \mathbf{P}_w]. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.24 (‘Conformal block’). *The space $V_{\hat{\Delta}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ is 1-dimensional, and spanned by the vector*

$$|\Psi_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}\rangle := \sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(m - n)^{-1} q^{-2m\lambda - 2n\nu} |m, n\rangle,$$

where the first (resp. second) component corresponds to v (resp. w).

Proof. It is straightforward to verify that $\mathbf{h}_\lambda |\Psi_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}\rangle = q^{2\lambda} |\Psi_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}\rangle$, $\mathbf{h}_\mu |\Psi_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}\rangle = q^{2\mu} |\Psi_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}\rangle$, $\mathbf{h}_\nu |\Psi_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}\rangle = q^{2\nu} |\Psi_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}\rangle$ holds. Since $\mathbf{h}_\lambda \mathbf{h}_\mu \mathbf{h}_\nu = 1$, one of them follows from the other two. Observe that these relations impose two independent recurrence relations for the coefficients ψ_{mn} of $|\Psi_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}\rangle = \sum_{m, n} \psi_{mn} |m, n\rangle$, whose solution space is 1-dimensional. ■

The cluster \mathcal{X} -variables acts on $|\Psi_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}\rangle$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}_1^\Delta |\Psi_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}\rangle &= y_1 q^{-2\lambda} |\Psi_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}\rangle, \\ \mathbf{X}_2^\Delta |\Psi_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}\rangle &= y_2 q^{-2\mu} |\Psi_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}\rangle, \\ \mathbf{X}_3^\Delta |\Psi_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}\rangle &= y_3 q^{-2\nu} |\Psi_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 5.25. The level $k = N + 2$ Clebsch–Gordan rule is missing in this setting.

5.4.2. *Once-punctured torus.* Let $\Sigma = \Sigma_1^1$ be a once-punctured torus, and take the dotted triangulation $\hat{\Delta}$ as shown in Figure 8. In this case, $\mathbf{h}_{[c_p]} = 1$ on $V_{\hat{\Delta}}$ for the unique puncture. Let $a, b \in H_1(\Sigma^{\text{cl}}; \mathbb{Z})$ be the homology classes represented by the horizontal and vertical curves, respectively.




FIGURE 8. A dotted triangulation of Σ_1^1 . Here the edges of the same label are identified.

The homology operators associated with these classes are computed as

$$\mathbf{h}_a = [U_v U_w P_w^{-1}], \quad \mathbf{h}_b = U_w P_v.$$

Proposition 5.26. *Given $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, the solution spaces of the equations $\mathbf{h}_a |\psi\rangle = q^{2\lambda} |\psi\rangle$, $\mathbf{h}_b |\psi\rangle = q^{2\mu} |\psi\rangle$ are N -dimensional, and spanned by*

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{\lambda, m}\rangle &:= |m, \underline{m - \lambda}\rangle, & m \in \mathbb{Z}_N \\ |b_{\mu, n}\rangle &:= |\underline{\mu - n}, n\rangle, & n \in \mathbb{Z}_N, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Here the first (resp. second) component corresponds to v (resp. w). These solutions are normalized so that

$$\langle a_{\lambda,m} | a_{\lambda,m'} \rangle = N \delta_{mm'} \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}, \quad \langle b_{\mu,n} | b_{\mu,n'} \rangle = N \delta_{nn'} \delta_{\mu\mu'},$$

and the base-change among them is given by

$$\langle b_{\mu,n} | a_{\lambda,m} \rangle = \gamma(n)^{-1} q^{-4nm+2\lambda n+2\mu m}. \quad (5.6)$$

The proof is straightforward. In particular, if we choose the Lagrangian to be $L_a := \langle a \rangle \subset H_1(\Sigma^{\text{cl}}; \mathbb{Z})$, we get the polarized space

$$V_{\dot{\Delta}}(L_a, \lambda) = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \mathbb{C} |a_{\lambda,m}\rangle \subset V_{\dot{\Delta}}.$$

The cluster \mathcal{X} -variables act on $V_{\dot{\Delta}}(L_a, \lambda)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} X_1^{\Delta} |a_{\lambda,m}\rangle &= y_1 q^{4m-2\lambda-2} |a_{\lambda,m-1}\rangle, \\ X_2^{\Delta} |a_{\lambda,m}\rangle &= y_2 |a_{\lambda,m+1}\rangle, \\ X_3^{\Delta} |a_{\lambda,m}\rangle &= y_3 q^{2\lambda-4m} |a_{\lambda,m}\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Reduced intertwiner for T_a . Recall the Dehn twist operator $V_{T_a}^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_a) = \mathsf{T}_{vw} \mathsf{A}_w^{-1} \mathsf{P}_{(vw)}$ from (4.6). First note that it commutes with $\mathsf{h}_a^{\dot{\Delta}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{T_a}^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_a) \mathsf{h}_a^{\dot{\Delta}} &= \mathsf{T}_{vw} \mathsf{A}_w^{-1} [\mathsf{U}_w \mathsf{U}_v \mathsf{P}_v^{-1}] \mathsf{P}_{(vw)} \\ &= \mathsf{T}_{vw} [\mathsf{P}_w^{-1} \mathsf{U}_v \mathsf{P}_v^{-1}] \mathsf{A}_w^{-1} \mathsf{P}_{(vw)} \\ &= \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}([\mathsf{P}_v^{-1} \mathsf{U}_v \mathsf{P}_w]) [\mathsf{P}_w^{-1} \mathsf{U}_v \mathsf{U}_w] \mathsf{S}_{vw} \mathsf{A}_w^{-1} \mathsf{P}_{(vw)} = \mathsf{h}_a^{\dot{\Delta}} V_{T_a}^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_a), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 7.1 and (7.8), and the fact that $[\mathsf{P}_v^{-1} \mathsf{U}_v \mathsf{P}_w]$ commutes with $[\mathsf{P}_w^{-1} \mathsf{U}_v \mathsf{U}_w]$. Hence $V_{T_a}^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_a)$ induces a linear map $V_{T_a}^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_a; L_a, \lambda)$ on the eigenspace $V_{\dot{\Delta}}(L_a, \lambda)$.

Proposition 5.27. *The matrix coefficients of the reduced intertwiner $V_{T_a}^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_a; L_a, \lambda)$ are given by*

$$\langle a_{\lambda,k} | V_{T_a}^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_a; L_a, \lambda) | a_{\lambda,\ell} \rangle = i^{(1-N)/3} \frac{\gamma(\ell)}{\gamma(k)} \frac{\gamma(\ell-\lambda)}{\gamma(k-\lambda)} q^{2\ell(-\ell+\lambda)} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2u}) \gamma(u)^{-1} q^{2u(k-\ell)},$$

and the reduced quantum trace is

$$|\overline{\text{Tr}}_N(T_a; \mathbf{p}_a; L_a, \lambda)| = \frac{1}{N^{1/2}} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2k}) \gamma(k)^{-1} \right|. \quad (5.7)$$

Reduced intertwiner for T_b^{-1} . Recall $V_{T_b^{-1}}^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_b) = \mathsf{T}_{vw}$ from (4.6). It is easy to verify the relation

$$V_{T_b^{-1}}^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_b) \mathsf{h}_a^{\dot{\Delta}} = \mathsf{h}_{a+b}^{\dot{\Delta}} V_{T_b^{-1}}^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_b).$$

Then we take $F_{T_b^{-1}}^{\dot{\Delta}} := \gamma(\mathbf{h}_b)^{1/2}$, and reduced intertwiner $V_{T_b^{-1}}^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_b; L_a, \lambda) = \gamma(\mathbf{h}_b)^{1/2} \mathbb{T}_{vv}$ satisfies the relation

$$V_{T_b^{-1}}^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_b; L_a, \lambda) \mathbf{h}_a^{\dot{\Delta}} = \mathbf{h}_a^{\dot{\Delta}} V_{T_b^{-1}}^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_b; L_a, \lambda).$$

Proposition 5.28. *The matrix coefficients of the reduced intertwiner $V_{T_b^{-1}}^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_b; L_a, \lambda)$ are given by*

$$\langle a_{\lambda, k} | V_{T_b^{-1}}^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_b; L_a, \lambda) | a_{\lambda, \ell} \rangle = \zeta_+^{(2)} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_b}(q^{2(2\ell-\lambda)}) \gamma(\ell - k)^{-2},$$

and the reduced quantum trace is

$$\left| \overline{\text{Tr}}_N(T_b^{-1}; \mathbf{p}_b; L_a, \lambda) \right| = \frac{1}{N^{1/2}} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2(2k-\lambda)}) \right|. \quad (5.8)$$

Remark 5.29. For any function $f(n)$ on \mathbb{Z}_N with odd N , we have

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_N} f(2n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_N} f(n)$$

since $2n$ runs over \mathbb{Z}_N exactly once as n varies over \mathbb{Z}_N . Therefore (5.7) and (5.8) (with $\lambda = 0$) coincide with the traces of the operators $(L_{uv})^{-1}$ and (R_{uv}) in [BWY21, Section 4.4], respectively.

5.4.3. *Annulus with (1 + 1) marked points and the Dehn twist.* As a slight variant of the previous example, we can consider an annulus Σ with one marked point on each boundary component, where we do not identify the top and bottom edges in Figure 8. Let b_+, b_- denote the top and bottom boundary components, respectively. The homology operators $\mathbf{h}_{b_+}, \mathbf{h}_{b_-}$ associated with the boundary components are computed as

$$\mathbf{h}_{b_{\pm}} = [U_v U_w P_w^{-1}]^{\pm 1},$$

which is the same as $\mathbf{h}_a^{\pm 1}$ in the previous example. Hence the computations on $V_{\dot{\Delta}}(L_a; \lambda)$ in the previous example are also valid in this case. Note that for a general marked surface Σ and a loop C having at least one marked point on the both sides, we can find an annular neighborhood of C with (1 + 1) marked points on the boundary, for which we can apply the results on $V_{\dot{\Delta}}(L_a; \lambda)$.

5.4.4. *Once-punctured disk and the quantum group.* Let Σ be a once-punctured disk with two marked points on the boundary, and take the dotted triangulation $\dot{\Delta}$ as shown in Figure 9. In this case, the unique choice is $L = 0$, and $\widehat{L} = H_{\Sigma}$ is generated by $[c_p]$. Assign the weight $p \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ to the unique puncture. The corresponding homology operators are

$$\mathbf{h}_{[c_p]} = U_v P_w^{-1}, \quad \mathbf{h}_{[c_b]} = U_v^{-1} P_w.$$

Following [Ip18, SS19, GS19], we introduce the elements

$$\begin{aligned} E &:= X_1 + [X_1 X_2], \\ F &:= X_3 + [X_3 X_4], \\ K &:= [X_1 X_2 X_3], \quad K' := [X_1 X_4 X_3], \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 9. A dotted triangulation of a once-punctured disk with two marked points on the boundary.

which generates the Drinfeld double of the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ inside \mathcal{X}^Δ [Ip18, Theorem 4.14], [SS19, Theorem 1]. In particular, they satisfy the relations

$$KE = q^2EK, \quad KF = q^{-2}FK, \quad [E, F] = (q - q^{-1})(K' - K).$$

If we rescale the generators by $E = q^{-1/2}(q - q^{-1})\tilde{E}$, $F = -q^{1/2}(q - q^{-1})\tilde{F}$, then we get (cf. [GS19, (505)])

$$[\tilde{E}, \tilde{F}] = \frac{K - K'}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

The quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is obtained by imposing the condition $KK' = 1$. Via the embedding $\iota_{\hat{\Delta}}$, these elements give rise to the operators

$$\begin{aligned} E &= y_1 U_v^{-1} + y_1 y_2 [U_v^{-1} P_v U_w^{-1}], \\ F &= y_3 P_w + y_3 y_4 [U_v P_v^{-1} U_w], \\ K &= y_1 y_2 y_3 [U_v^{-1} P_w P_v U_w^{-1}], \quad K' = y_1 y_4 y_3 [P_v^{-1} U_w]. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$KK' = (y_1^2 y_2 y_4 y_3^2) U_v^{-1} P_w = (y_1^2 y_2 y_4 y_3^2) \mathfrak{h}_{[c_p]}^{-1}.$$

Therefore by imposing the condition $y_1^2 y_2 y_4 y_3^2 q^{-2p} = 1$, we obtain the relation $KK' = 1$ on $V_{\hat{\Delta}}(L; p)$.

Lemma 5.30. *The subspace $V_{\hat{\Delta}}(L; p) \subset V_{\hat{\Delta}}$ is spanned by the vectors*

$$|\psi_m\rangle := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{2(p-m)n} |m, n\rangle,$$

where the first (resp. second) component corresponds to v (resp. w).

Proof. A direct calculation shows $\mathfrak{h}_{[c_p]} |\psi_m\rangle = q^{2p} |\psi_m\rangle$. ■

It is known that the (unrestricted) quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ has irreducible cyclic representations with three complex parameters [DCK89, DJMM91]. See also [Jan, 2.13, Case 3]. Our representation $V_{\hat{\Delta}}(L; p)$ is identified with one of them by passing to another basis $|\phi_\ell\rangle := \gamma(\ell)^M \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{-2\ell m} |\psi_m\rangle$:

Theorem 5.31. *Given complex parameters $r, s, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$, we set*

$$y_1 := -q^{-1}r^{-1}\lambda, \quad y_2 := -qr^2, \quad y_3 := s^{-1}\lambda^{-1}q^{2p}, \quad y_4 := -s^2q^{-2p+1}.$$

Then the actions of $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}, \tilde{\mathbf{F}}, \mathbf{K}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{E}}|\phi_\ell\rangle &= \frac{rq^{\ell+1} - r^{-1}q^{-\ell-1}}{q - q^{-1}}\lambda|\phi_{\ell+1}\rangle, \\ \tilde{\mathbf{F}}|\phi_\ell\rangle &= \frac{sq^{-\ell+1} - s^{-1}q^{\ell-1}}{q - q^{-1}}\lambda^{-1}|\phi_{\ell-1}\rangle, \\ \mathbf{K}|\phi_\ell\rangle &= \frac{r}{s}q^{2\ell}|\phi_\ell\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This representation is exactly the one constructed in [DJMM91], where $\lambda = g_{11}$ in their notation.

Proof. The actions on the basis $|\psi_m\rangle$ are computed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}|\psi_m\rangle &= y_1q^{-2m}|\psi_m\rangle + y_1y_2q^{-2m-1}|\psi_{m+1}\rangle, \\ \mathbf{F}|\psi_m\rangle &= y_3q^{2(m-p)}|\psi_m\rangle + y_3y_4q^{2m-1}|\psi_{m-1}\rangle, \\ \mathbf{K}|\psi_m\rangle &= y_1y_2y_3q^{-2p}|\psi_{m+1}\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then by the base-change, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}|\phi_\ell\rangle &= y_1(q^{-\ell-1/2} + q^{\ell+1/2}y_2)|\phi_{\ell+1}\rangle = q^{-1/2}(rq^{\ell+1} - r^{-1}q^{-\ell-1})\lambda|\phi_{\ell+1}\rangle, \\ \mathbf{F}|\phi_\ell\rangle &= y_3(q^{\ell-2p-1/2} + q^{-\ell+1/2}y_4)|\phi_{\ell-1}\rangle = -q^{1/2}(sq^{-\ell+1} - s^{-1}q^{\ell-1})\lambda^{-1}|\phi_{\ell-1}\rangle, \\ \mathbf{K}|\phi_\ell\rangle &= y_1y_2y_3q^{-2p}q^{2\ell}|\phi_\ell\rangle = \frac{r}{s}q^{2\ell}|\phi_\ell\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the condition $y_1^2y_2y_4y_3^2q^{-2p} = 1$ is satisfied, so that $\mathbf{K}' = \mathbf{K}^{-1}$. ■

6. RELATION TO THE KASHAEV'S $6j$ -SYMBOL

6.1. Cyclic representations of the q -Weyl algebra \mathcal{W} . The q -Weyl algebra $\mathcal{W} = \langle X, Y \mid XY = q^2YX \rangle$ has a structure of Hopf algebra given by the comultiplication $\Delta(X) := X \otimes X$, $\Delta(Y) := X^{-1} \otimes Y + Y \otimes 1$, the counit $\varepsilon(X) := 1$, $\varepsilon(Y) := 0$, the antipode $S(X) := X^{-1}$, $S(Y) := -XY$.

Notation 6.1. Given a representation $\mu : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$, we will denote the representation space by $V_\mu := V$, and the corresponding operators by $\mathbf{X}_\mu := \mu(X)$, $\mathbf{Y}_\mu := \mu(Y) \in \text{End}(V_\mu)$.

A representation μ is said to be *cyclic* if both $\mathbf{X}_\mu, \mathbf{Y}_\mu$ are invertible.

Lemma 6.2 (cf. [Bai07, Proposition 2]). (1) *Any cyclic representation of \mathcal{W} is completely reducible, and the irreducible factors are cyclic.*
 (2) *An irreducible cyclic representation $\mu : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \text{End}(V_\mu)$ is classified up to isomorphism by the central characters $(x_\mu, y_\mu) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ such that $\mathbf{X}_\mu^N = x_\mu \mathbf{1}$, $\mathbf{Y}_\mu^N = y_\mu \mathbf{1}$.*

(3) More precisely, such μ is isomorphic to the representation $\mu' : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \text{End}(V_N)$ given by

$$X_{\mu'} = \xi_\mu \mathbf{U}, \quad Y_{\mu'} = \eta_\mu \mathbf{P},$$

where $\xi_\mu = x_\mu^{1/N}$ and $\eta_\mu = y_\mu^{1/N}$ are chosen N -th roots, and \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{P} denote the standard representation (3.1) on V_N .

Moreover, given two irreducible cyclic representations μ, ν , any irreducible component of $\mu \otimes \nu$ is isomorphic to an irreducible cyclic representation denoted by $\mu\nu$ having the central characters

$$x_{\mu\nu} := x_\mu x_\nu, \quad y_{\mu\nu} := x_\mu^{-1} y_\nu + y_\mu. \quad (6.1)$$

Namely, we have the irreducible decomposition $\mu \otimes \nu \cong (\mu\nu)^{\otimes N}$ [Bai07, Lemma 3]. Therefore, the vector space of \mathcal{W} -intertwiners (also known as the *Clebsch–Gordan operators*)

$$V_{(\mu,\nu)} := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{W}}(V_{\mu\nu}, V_\mu \otimes V_\nu)$$

is also N -dimensional. We have the canonical map

$$\Omega = \Omega_{(\mu,\nu)} : V_{(\mu,\nu)} \otimes V_{\mu\nu} \xrightarrow{\sim} V_\mu \otimes V_\nu, \quad f \otimes v \mapsto f(v). \quad (6.2)$$

Bai constructed a canonical irreducible cyclic representation denoted by $(\mu, \nu) : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \text{End}(V_{(\mu,\nu)})$ with central characters

$$x_{(\mu,\nu)} := (x_\mu^{-1} + y_\mu y_\nu^{-1})^{-1} = y_\nu y_{\mu\nu}^{-1}, \quad y_{(\mu,\nu)} := y_\mu x_\nu^{-1} y_\nu^{-1} \quad (6.3)$$

such that (6.2) is an intertwiner in a certain sense [Bai07, Proposition 8]. Their relation is characterized by the operator identities:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega \circ X_{\mu\nu} &= X_\mu \otimes X_\nu \circ \Omega, \\ \Omega \circ Y_{\mu\nu} &= (X_\mu^{-1} \otimes Y_\nu + Y_\mu \otimes \mathbf{1}) \circ \Omega, \\ \Omega \circ X_{(\mu,\nu)} &= (X_\mu^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + Y_\mu \otimes Y_\nu^{-1})^{-1} \circ \Omega, \\ \Omega \circ Y_{(\mu,\nu)} &= (Y_\mu \otimes [X_\nu^{-1} Y_\nu^{-1}]) \circ \Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

6.2. The canonical map $\Omega_{(\mu,\nu)}$ as a flip operator. In [Bai07, Proposition 8, (1) \Leftrightarrow (2)], Bai characterized the canonical map (6.2) as an intertwiner of *local representations* of the quantum Teichmüller space, corresponding to a flip. We are going to express $\Omega_{(\mu,\nu)}$ within our formulation using the cyclic quantum dilogarithm, and reformulate this result.

Let us choose the bases of V_λ diagonalizing the \mathbf{X} -operators for $\lambda \in \{\mu, \nu, \mu\nu, (\mu, \nu)\}$. Namely, choose an isomorphism $V_\mu \otimes V_\nu \cong V_N \otimes V_N$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} X_\mu &= \xi_\mu \mathbf{U}_1, & Y_\mu &= \eta_\mu \mathbf{P}_1, \\ X_\nu &= \xi_\nu \mathbf{U}_2, & Y_\nu &= \eta_\nu \mathbf{P}_2, \end{aligned}$$

and an isomorphism $V_{(\mu,\nu)} \otimes V_{\mu\nu} \cong V_N \otimes V_N$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} X_{(\mu,\nu)} &= \xi_{(\mu,\nu)} \mathbf{U}_1, & Y_{(\mu,\nu)} &= \eta_{(\mu,\nu)} \mathbf{P}_1, \\ X_{\mu\nu} &= \xi_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{U}_2, & Y_{\mu\nu} &= \eta_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{P}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have chosen the N -th roots $\xi_\lambda = x_\lambda^{1/N}$, $\eta_\lambda = y_\lambda^{1/N}$ for $\lambda \in \{\mu, \nu\}$ and $\eta_{\mu\nu} = y_{\mu\nu}^{1/N}$ for the five parameters, and set $\xi_{\mu\nu} := \xi_\mu \xi_\nu$, $\xi_{(\mu,\nu)} := \eta_\nu \eta_{\mu\nu}^{-1}$, $\eta_{(\mu,\nu)} := \eta_\mu \xi_\nu^{-1} \eta_\nu^{-1}$ for the remaining three parameters. Let

$$\mathbf{p}_{(\mu,\nu)} = (p_{(\mu,\nu)}^+, p_{(\mu,\nu)}^-) := \left(\frac{\eta_\mu}{\eta_{\mu\nu}}, \frac{\xi_\mu^{-1} \eta_\nu}{\eta_{\mu\nu}} \right).$$

It belongs to \mathcal{F}_N , since $(p_{(\mu,\nu)}^+)^N + (p_{(\mu,\nu)}^-)^N = (y_\mu + x_\mu^{-1} y_\nu) / y_{\mu\nu} = 1$ by (6.1).

Proposition 6.3 (Proof in Section 7.4). *The operator*

$$\Omega = \Omega(\mu, \nu) := \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_{(\mu,\nu)}}([P_1 P_2^{-1} U_1]) \circ S_{(\mu,\nu)}$$

satisfies the operator identities (6.4). Here $S_{(\mu,\nu)} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j=0}^{N-1} q^{2ij} U_1^i [U_2 P_2]^j$.

Following [Bai07], we consider the following flip in the dotted Ptolemy groupoid Pt_Σ^* :

where the representations V_λ for $\lambda \in \{\mu, \nu, \mu\nu, (\mu, \nu)\}$ are assigned to the triangles.

Similarly to the constructions in Section 5.1, consider a variant of the Weyl weights $\widetilde{W}_{v;\alpha}^{\dot{\Delta}}$ given by the rule⁵

$$\begin{array}{c} X_v^{-1} \quad [X_v Y_v] \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ * \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ Y_v^{-1} \end{array} \quad (6.6)$$

Then we consider the map $X_\alpha^\Delta \mapsto \widetilde{W}_{v;\alpha}^{\dot{\Delta}} \widetilde{W}_{w;\alpha}^{\dot{\Delta}}$, where v, w denotes the endpoints of the edge dual to $\alpha \in e(\Delta)$.

In the local case (6.5), the quantum cluster variables associated with $\dot{\Delta}_1$ and $\dot{\Delta}_2$ are mapped to the Weyl algebras $\mathcal{W}_{\dot{\Delta}_1} = \mathcal{W}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{W}_\nu$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\dot{\Delta}_2} = \mathcal{W}_{(\mu,\nu)} \otimes \mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}$, where \mathcal{W}_λ is generated by the operators X_λ, Y_λ for $\lambda \in \{\mu, \nu, \mu\nu, (\mu, \nu)\}$. Their central characters are read off from the correspondence. For example,

$$X_{\kappa}^{\dot{\Delta}_1} \mapsto [X_\mu Y_\mu] Y_\nu^{-1} = \xi_\mu \eta_\mu \eta_\nu^{-1} [P_1 P_2^{-1} U_1], \quad (6.7)$$

⁵Here, the correspondence takes the inverse of that in [Bai07], because of the difference in conventions for the quantum cluster transformation. Compare (5.2) with [Liu09, Proposition 5], which [Bai07] follows.

and hence $y_\kappa^{\Delta_1} = \xi_\mu \eta_\mu \eta_\nu^{-1} = \pi(\mathbf{p}_{(\mu,\nu)})$. Then we get embeddings

$$\iota_{\mu,\nu} : \mathcal{X}_{y_{(\mu,\nu)}}^{\Delta_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{W}_\nu, \quad \iota_{(\mu,\nu),\mu\nu} : \mathcal{X}_{y_{(\mu,\nu)}}^{\Delta_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{(\mu,\nu)} \otimes \mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu},$$

where $y_{(\mu,\nu)} := \pi(\mathbf{p}_{(\mu,\nu)})$.

Theorem 6.4. *In the situation above, the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}_{y_{(\mu,\nu)}}^{\Delta_2} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{(\mu,\nu),\mu\nu}} & \mathcal{W}_{(\mu,\nu)} \otimes \mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu} \\ \mu_\kappa^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Ad}(\Omega(\mu,\nu)) \\ \mathcal{X}_{y_{(\mu,\nu)}}^{\Delta_1} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mu,\nu}} & \mathcal{W}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{W}_\nu. \end{array}$$

Proof. By the computation (6.7), $\text{Ad}(\Psi_{\mathbf{p}_{(\mu,\nu)}}([P_1 P_2^{-1} U_1]))$ coincides with the automorphism part $\mu_{\kappa',+}^\#$. Therefore it suffices to verify that $\text{Ad}(\mathbf{S}_{(\mu,\nu)})$ restricts to the monomial part $\mu_{\kappa',+}'$. Write $\overline{X}_\bullet := \overline{X}_\bullet^{\Delta_1}$ and $\overline{X}'_\bullet := \overline{X}_\bullet^{\Delta_2}$. By using (7.16), the adjoint action of $\mathbf{S}_{(\mu,\nu)}$ is computed as

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{X}'_{\kappa'} &= P_1^{-1} U_2^{-1} & \mapsto & [P_1 U_2^{-1} P_2^{-1}]^{-1} U_2^{-1} U_1^{-1} = [P_1^{-1} P_2 U_1^{-1}] = \overline{X}_\kappa^{-1}, \\ \overline{X}'_\alpha &= U_1^{-1} & \mapsto & U_1^{-1} = \overline{X}_\alpha, \\ \overline{X}'_\beta &= P_2^{-1} & \mapsto & U_1 P_2^{-1} = [(P_1 P_2^{-1} U_1) \cdot P_1^{-1}] = [\overline{X}_\beta \overline{X}_\kappa], \\ \overline{X}'_\gamma &= [U_2 P_2] & \mapsto & [(U_1 U_2)(U_1^{-1} P_2)] = [U_2 P_2] = \overline{X}_\gamma, \\ \overline{X}'_\delta &= [U_1 P_1] & \mapsto & [U_1 [P_1 U_2^{-1} P_2^{-1}]] = [(P_1 P_2^{-1} U_1) \cdot P_1^{-1}] = [\overline{X}_\beta \overline{X}_\kappa]. \end{aligned}$$

■

6.3. Kashaev's 6j-symbol. [Bai07, Proposition 8] tells us that the Kashaev's 6j-symbol $R(\mu, \nu, \sigma) : V_{(\mu,\nu)} \otimes V_{(\mu\nu,\sigma)} \rightarrow V_{(\mu,\nu\sigma)} \otimes V_{(\nu,\sigma)}$ is given by the 'same' operator as $\Omega_{(\mu,\nu)}$ if we reinterpret the representation spaces. The defining equation

$$\Omega_{23}(\nu, \sigma) \Omega_{13}(\mu, \nu\sigma) R_{12}(\mu, \nu, \sigma) = \Omega_{12}(\mu, \nu) \Omega_{23}(\mu\nu, \sigma) \quad (6.8)$$


is regarded as the pentagon relation in the dotted Ptolemy groupoid, where the Ω -operators and the R -operator correspond to the five flips. See Figure 10. Within our formulation, it is restated as follows:

Theorem 6.5. *The Kashaev's 6j-symbol is given by the operator*

$$R_{12}(\mu, \nu, \sigma) := \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_{(\mu,\nu,\sigma)}}([P_1 P_2^{-1} U_1]) \circ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j=0}^{N-1} q^{2ij} U_1^i [U_2 P_2]^j, \quad (6.9)$$

where each Ω is given as in Proposition 6.3 and

$$\mathbf{p}(\mu, \nu, \sigma) := \left(\frac{\eta_{(\mu,\nu\sigma)}}{\eta_{(\mu\nu,\sigma)}}, \frac{\xi_{(\mu,\nu\sigma)}^{-1} \eta_{(\nu,\sigma)}}{\eta_{(\mu\nu,\sigma)}} \right).$$

FIGURE 10. Pentagon relation and the $6j$ -symbol.

Proof. Let us write $V_k := [U_k P_k]$ and $S_{k\ell} := N^{-1} \sum_{i,j} q^{2ij} U_k^i V_\ell^j$ for $k, \ell = 1, 2, 3$. We claim that the operators satisfy the defining relation (6.8), which is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi_{\mathbf{p}(\nu, \sigma)}(V_2 P_3^{-1}) S_{23} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}(\mu, \nu \sigma)}(V_1 P_3^{-1}) S_{13} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}(\mu, \nu, \sigma)}(V_1 P_2^{-1}) S_{12} \\ &= \Psi_{\mathbf{p}(\mu, \nu)}(V_1 P_2^{-1}) S_{12} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}(\mu \nu, \sigma)}(V_2 P_3^{-1}) S_{23}. \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to verify $S_{23} S_{13} S_{12} = S_{12} S_{23}$. By moving all the S -operators to the right, we obtain the equivalent relation

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{p}(\nu, \sigma)}(V_2 P_3^{-1}) \Psi_{\mathbf{p}(\mu, \nu \sigma)}(V_1 U_2 P_3^{-1}) \Psi_{\mathbf{p}(\mu, \nu, \sigma)}(V_1 P_2^{-1}) = \Psi_{\mathbf{p}(\mu, \nu)}(V_1 P_2^{-1}) \Psi_{\mathbf{p}(\mu \nu, \sigma)}(V_2 P_3^{-1}). \quad (6.10)$$

Letting $U := V_1 P_2^{-1}$ and $P := V_2 P_3^{-1}$, we have $V_1 U_2 P_3^{-1} = q^{-1} U P$. Then (6.10) becomes

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{p}(\nu, \sigma)}(P) \Psi_{\mathbf{p}(\mu, \nu \sigma)}(q^{-1} U P) \Psi_{\mathbf{p}(\mu, \nu, \sigma)}(U) = \Psi_{\mathbf{p}(\mu, \nu)}(U) \Psi_{\mathbf{p}(\mu \nu, \sigma)}(P). \quad (6.11)$$

It is straightforward to verify that the parameters

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}(\mu, \nu), \quad \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{p}(\nu, \sigma), \quad \mathbf{p}' = \mathbf{p}(\mu, \nu \sigma), \quad \mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{p}(\mu \nu, \sigma), \quad \mathbf{p}'' = \mathbf{p}(\mu, \nu, \sigma)$$

satisfy the relations (3.4). Therefore (6.11) is exactly the pentagon relation satisfied by the cyclic quantum dilogarithm. \blacksquare

Corollary 6.6. *The following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}_{y(\mu,\nu,\sigma)^{-1}}^{\Delta_2} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{(\mu,\nu),(\mu\nu,\sigma)}} & \mathcal{W}_{(\mu,\nu)} \otimes \mathcal{W}_{(\mu\nu,\sigma)} \\ \mu_\kappa^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Ad}(R(\mu,\nu,\sigma)) \\ \mathcal{X}_{y(\mu,\nu,\sigma)}^{\Delta_1} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{(\mu,\nu\sigma),(\nu,\sigma)}} & \mathcal{W}_{(\mu,\nu\sigma)} \otimes \mathcal{W}_{(\nu,\sigma)}, \end{array}$$

where $y(\mu, \nu, \sigma) := \pi(\mathbf{p}(\mu, \nu, \sigma))$.

Proof. Proved in the same way as Theorem 6.4, except for the difference of coefficients. \blacksquare

Remark 6.7. Our standard Weyl variables U_i, P_i are related to those Y_i, Z_i in [Kas94] by

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1 &= [P_1^{-1}U_2P_2], & Z_1 &= U_1, \\ Y_2 &= [U_2P_2], & Z_2 &= U_1^{-1}P_2. \end{aligned}$$

We have $[Y_1^{-1}Z_2^{-1}Y_2] = [P_1P_2^{-1}U_1]$ in the entry of the cyclic quantum dilogarithm.

6.4. An alternative projective representation. Fix $\mathbf{p}_\Sigma \in \mathcal{F}_\Sigma$. We define a projective functor $V'_*(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma) : \text{Pt}_\Sigma^\bullet \rightarrow \text{Vect}_\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned} A'_v |k_v\rangle &:= \zeta_A \gamma(k)^{-1} |k\rangle, \\ \Gamma'_{vw} &:= \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_\alpha^\Delta}([P_w P_v^{-1} U_w]) \circ S'_{vw} \end{aligned}$$

with $S'_{vw} := N^{-1} \sum_{i,j=0}^{N-1} q^{2ij} U_w^i [U_v P_v]^j$.

It can be verified that this assignment indeed yields a projective functor $V'_*(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma) : \text{Pt}_\Sigma^\bullet \rightarrow \text{Vect}_\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}$, with the same central charge $\zeta = e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}(1-\frac{1}{N})}$. Compare with the R -operator (6.9). We have an embedding $\iota'_\Delta : \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}^\Delta}^\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\Delta$ based on the rule (6.6), and the flip operator Γ'_{vw} restricts to the quantum cluster transformation by Corollary 6.6.

We conjecture that the representation $V'_*(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma)$ is essentially the same as the one $V_*(\mathbf{p}_\Sigma)$ constructed in Section 4, namely there exists an algebra automorphism $G_\Delta : \mathcal{W}_\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\Delta$ (“change of coordinates”) satisfying

$$A'_v = G_{\dot{\Delta}_1} A_v G_{\dot{\Delta}_2}^{-1}, \quad \Gamma'_{vw} = G_{\dot{\Delta}_1} \Gamma_{vw} G_{\dot{\Delta}_2}^{-1}$$

for each elementary move $\dot{\Delta}_1 \rightarrow \dot{\Delta}_2$, and $\iota'_\Delta = G_\Delta \circ \iota_\Delta$ for each $\dot{\Delta} \in \text{Pt}_\Sigma^\bullet$.

We remark that yet another finite-dimensional representation of Pt_Σ^\bullet has been constructed by Kim [Kim19] based on a similar idea, which has the trivial central charge $\zeta = 1$, and hence is a genuine representation.

7. PROOFS

7.1. Proof of the pentagon relation.

7.1.1. *Proof of Theorem 3.2.* We are going to prove the pentagon relation

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{U})\Psi_{\mathbf{r}'}(\mathbf{P}) = \Psi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{P})\Psi_{\mathbf{p}'}(q^{-1}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{P})\Psi_{\mathbf{p}''}(\mathbf{U}),$$

where the parameters satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} p^- &= p'^- p''^-, & p^+ r'^- &= p'^- p''^+, & p^+ r'^+ &= p'^+, \\ r'^- &= r^- p'^-, & r'^+ p''^- &= r^+, & r'^+ p''^+ &= r^- p'^+. \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

Let $F := (\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{U})\Psi_{\mathbf{r}'}(\mathbf{P}))^{-1}\Psi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{P})\Psi_{\mathbf{p}'}(q^{-1}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{P})\Psi_{\mathbf{p}''}(\mathbf{U})$. We are going to prove $F\mathbf{P}F^{-1} = \mathbf{P}$ and $F\mathbf{U}F^{-1} = \mathbf{U}$, which implies that F is a scalar. We refer the reader to the proof of [Kim19, Proposition 4.1] for a similar computation.⁶

By Lemma 2.5, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} &\Psi_{\mathbf{r}'}(\mathbf{P})^{-1}\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{U})^{-1}\mathbf{P}^{-1} \\ &= \Psi_{\mathbf{r}'}(\mathbf{P})^{-1}\mathbf{P}^{-1}(p^- + q^{-1}p^+\mathbf{U})\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{U})^{-1} \\ &= \mathbf{P}^{-1}(p^- + q^{-1}p^+\mathbf{U}(r'^- + q^{-1}r'^+\mathbf{P}))\Psi_{\mathbf{r}'}(\mathbf{P})^{-1}\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{U})^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\Psi_{\mathbf{p}''}(\mathbf{U})^{-1}\Psi_{\mathbf{p}'}(q^{-1}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{P})^{-1}\Psi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{P})^{-1}\mathbf{P}^{-1} \\ &= \Psi_{\mathbf{p}''}(\mathbf{U})^{-1}\Psi_{\mathbf{p}'}(q^{-1}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{P})^{-1}\mathbf{P}^{-1}\Psi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{P})^{-1} \\ &= \Psi_{\mathbf{p}''}(\mathbf{U})^{-1}\mathbf{P}^{-1}(p'^- + q^{-1}p'^+(q^{-1}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{P}))\Psi_{\mathbf{p}'}(q^{-1}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{P})^{-1}\Psi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{P})^{-1} \\ &= \Psi_{\mathbf{p}''}(\mathbf{U})^{-1}(p'^-\mathbf{P}^{-1} + p'^+\mathbf{U})\Psi_{\mathbf{p}'}(q^{-1}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{P})^{-1}\Psi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{P})^{-1} \\ &= (p'^-\mathbf{P}^{-1}(p''^- + q^{-1}p''^+\mathbf{U}) + p'^+\mathbf{U})\Psi_{\mathbf{p}''}(\mathbf{U})^{-1}\Psi_{\mathbf{p}'}(q^{-1}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{P})^{-1}\Psi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{P})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we claim

$$\mathbf{P}^{-1}(p^- + q^{-1}p^+\mathbf{U}(r'^- + q^{-1}r'^+\mathbf{P})) = p'^-\mathbf{P}^{-1}(p''^- + q^{-1}p''^+\mathbf{U}) + p'^+\mathbf{U}.$$

By the term-wise comparison, it is equivalent to

$$p^- = p'^- p''^-, \quad p^+ r'^- = p'^- p''^+, \quad p^+ r'^+ = p'^+.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\text{Ad}_{\Psi_{\mathbf{r}'}(\mathbf{P})^{-1}\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{U})^{-1}}(\mathbf{P}^{-1}) = \text{Ad}_{\Psi_{\mathbf{p}''}(\mathbf{U})^{-1}\Psi_{\mathbf{p}'}(q^{-1}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{P})^{-1}\Psi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{P})^{-1}}(\mathbf{P}^{-1}),$$

which implies $\text{Ad}_F(\mathbf{P}) = \mathbf{P}$.

Similarly, from the computations

$$\begin{aligned} &\Psi_{\mathbf{p}''}(\mathbf{U})\Psi_{\mathbf{r}'}(\mathbf{P})^{-1}\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{U})^{-1}\mathbf{U} \\ &= \Psi_{\mathbf{p}''}(\mathbf{U})\mathbf{U}(r'^- + q^{-1}r'^+\mathbf{P})\Psi_{\mathbf{r}'}(\mathbf{P})^{-1}\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{U})^{-1} \\ &= \mathbf{U}(r'^- + q^{-1}r'^+\mathbf{P}(p''^- + qp''^+\mathbf{U}))\Psi_{\mathbf{p}''}(\mathbf{U})\Psi_{\mathbf{r}'}(\mathbf{P})^{-1}\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{U})^{-1} \\ &= (r'^-\mathbf{U} + q^{-1}r'^+p''^-\mathbf{U}\mathbf{P} + q^{-2}r'^+p''^+\mathbf{U}^2\mathbf{P})\Psi_{\mathbf{p}''}(\mathbf{U})\Psi_{\mathbf{r}'}(\mathbf{P})^{-1}\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{U})^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

⁶Here, the cyclic quantum dilogarithm in [Kim19] corresponds to the inverse of ours. See [Kim19, Lemma 2.19] for the q -difference relation.

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{\mathbf{p}'}(q^{-1}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{P})^{-1}\Psi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{P})^{-1}\mathbf{U} \\
&= \Psi_{\mathbf{p}'}(q^{-1}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{P})^{-1}\mathbf{U}(r^- + qr^+\mathbf{P})\Psi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{P})^{-1} \\
&= \Psi_{\mathbf{p}'}(q^{-1}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{P})^{-1}(r^-\mathbf{U} + qr^+\mathbf{U}\mathbf{P})\Psi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{P})^{-1} \\
&= (r^-\mathbf{U}(p'^- + q^{-1}p'^+(q^{-1}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{P})) + qr^+\mathbf{U}\mathbf{P})\Psi_{\mathbf{p}'}(q^{-1}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{P})^{-1}\Psi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{P})^{-1} \\
&= (r^-p'^-\mathbf{U} + q^{-2}r^-p'^+\mathbf{U}^2\mathbf{P} + qr^+\mathbf{U}\mathbf{P})\Psi_{\mathbf{p}'}(q^{-1}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{P})^{-1}\Psi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{P})^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

we claim

$$r'^- = r^-p'^-, \quad r'^+p''- = r^+, \quad r'^+p''+ = r^-p'^+.$$

Thus we obtain $\text{Ad}_F(\mathbf{U}) = \mathbf{U}$. Therefore $F = C_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r}} \in \mathbb{C}$ must be a scalar. Thus we get

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{U})\Psi_{\mathbf{r}'}(\mathbf{P}) = C_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r}}\Psi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{P})\Psi_{\mathbf{p}'}(q^{-1}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{P})\Psi_{\mathbf{p}''}(\mathbf{U}). \quad (7.2)$$

Let us show $C_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r}} = 1$. By Lemma 2.8, we have $\det \Psi = 1$ for each term. Then by taking the determinant of both sides of (7.2), we get $C_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r}}^N = 1$. Namely, $C_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r}} = q^{2m}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_N$. By continuity on \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r} , we see that $C = C_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r}}$ is independent of \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{r} . Observe that the parameters $(r^+, r^-) = (0, 1)$, $(r'^+, r'^-) = (0, 1)$, $(p'^+, p'^-) = (0, 1)$, $(p''+, p''-) = (p^+, p^-)$ satisfies the relation (7.1). Then (7.2) becomes

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{U}) = C\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{U}),$$

which shows $C = 1$. Thus Theorem 3.2 is proved.

7.1.2. *Proof of Theorem 3.3.* Let us prove that the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad p^- &= p'^-p''-, & (2) \quad p^+r'^- &= p'^-p''+, & (3) \quad p^+r'^+ &= p'^+, \\
(4) \quad r'^- &= r^-p'^-, & (5) \quad r'^+p''- &= r^+, & (6) \quad r'^+p''+ &= r^-p'^+
\end{aligned}$$

are equivalent to the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle 1 \rangle \quad \frac{r'^+}{r'^-} &= \frac{r^+}{r^-}(p^-)^{-1}, & \langle 2 \rangle \quad \frac{p''-}{p''+} &= \frac{p^-}{p^+}(r'^-)^{-1}, & \langle 3 \rangle \quad \frac{p'^-}{p'^+} &= \frac{r'^-}{r'^+}(p''+)^{-1}, \\
\langle 4 \rangle \quad \frac{p''+}{p''-} &= \frac{r^-}{r^+}p'^+, & \langle 5 \rangle \quad \frac{p'^+}{p'^-} &= \frac{p^+}{p^-}r^+.
\end{aligned}$$

Assume first the relations (1)–(6).

(1): From (4) and (5), we get

$$\frac{r'^+}{r'^-} = \frac{r^+/p'^-}{r^-p'^-} = \frac{r^+}{r^-}(p^-)^{-1}. \quad (7.3)$$

Here we have also used (1).

(2): From (1) and (2), we get

$$\frac{p''-}{p''+} = \frac{p^-/p'^-}{p^+r'^-/p'^-} = \frac{p^-}{p^+}(r'^-)^{-1}.$$

⟨3⟩: From (2) and (3), we get

$$\frac{p'^-}{p'^+} = \frac{p^+ r'^- / p''^+}{p^+ r'^+} = \frac{r'^-}{r'^+} (p''^+)^{-1}.$$

⟨4⟩: From (5) and (6), we get

$$\frac{p''^+}{p''^-} = \frac{r^- p'^+ / r'^+}{r^+ / r'^+} = \frac{r^-}{r^+} p'^+.$$

⟨5⟩: From (3) and (4), we get

$$\frac{p'^+}{p'^-} = \frac{p^+ r'^+}{r'^- / r^-} = \frac{p^+}{p^-} r^+.$$

Here we have used (7.3) in the second equality.

Let us prove the converse direction. Assume the relations ⟨1⟩–⟨5⟩. Using ⟨3⟩ and then ⟨1⟩ and ⟨5⟩, we obtain

$$p''^+ = \frac{p'^+ r'^-}{p'^- r'^+} = \frac{p^+ r^+ r^- p^-}{p^- r^+} = p^+ r^-. \quad (7.4)$$

Substituting this into ⟨2⟩ and ⟨4⟩, respectively, we get

$$p''^- = \frac{p^- p''^+}{p^+ r'^-} = \frac{p^- r^-}{r'^-} \quad (7.5)$$

and

$$p''^- = \frac{p''^+ r^+}{r^- p'^+} = \frac{p^+ r^+}{p'^+}. \quad (7.6)$$

Then (7.5) and (7.6) together imply

$$\frac{p'^+}{r'^-} = \frac{p^+ r^+}{p^- r^-}. \quad (7.7)$$

(1): From (7.6) and ⟨5⟩, we get

$$p'^- p''^- = p^+ r^+ \frac{p'^-}{p'^+} = p^-.$$

(2): From ⟨5⟩, (7.4) and then (7.7), we get

$$r'^+ p''^+ = \frac{p^-}{p^+ r^+} p'^+ \cdot p^+ r^- = p^+ r'^-.$$

(3): From ⟨1⟩ and (7.7), we get

$$p^+ r'^+ = p^+ \cdot \frac{r^+}{r^- p^-} r'^- = p'^+.$$

(4): From ⟨5⟩ and (7.7), we get

$$r^- p'^- = r^- \cdot \frac{p^-}{p^+ r^+} p'^+ = r'^-.$$

(5): From (7.5) and ⟨1⟩, we get

$$r'^+ p''^- = p^- r^- \frac{r'^+}{r'^-} = r^+.$$

(6): From ⟨1⟩, (7.4) and then (7.7), we get

$$r'^+ p''^+ = r'^- \frac{r^+}{r^- p^-} \cdot p^+ r^- = r^- p'^+.$$

Thus Theorem 3.3 is proved.

7.2. Proof of the relations among \mathbf{A}_v and \mathbf{T}_{vw} .

7.2.1. Proof of $\mathbf{A}_v^3 = 1$ and the conjugation actions of \mathbf{A}_v and \mathbf{T}_{vw} .

Lemma 7.1. *The rotation operator \mathbf{A}_v has order 3, and acts on the v -th component of $V_{\Delta'_*}$ as*

$$\begin{aligned} |k\rangle &\mapsto \zeta_A |\underline{k}\rangle, \\ |\underline{k}\rangle &\mapsto \zeta_- \zeta_A \gamma(k) |-\underline{k}\rangle, \\ |\underline{k}\rangle &\mapsto N \zeta_A \gamma(k)^{-1} | -k \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The conjugation action of \mathbf{A}_v is given by

$$\mathbf{A}_v \mathbf{U}_v \mathbf{A}_v^{-1} = [\mathbf{P}_v \mathbf{U}_v^{-1}], \quad \mathbf{A}_v \mathbf{P}_v \mathbf{A}_v^{-1} = \mathbf{U}_v^{-1}.$$

Proof. The first equation is nothing but the definition. For the second one,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_v |\underline{k}\rangle &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(j)^{-1} q^{-2kj} \mathbf{A}_v |j\rangle = \zeta_A \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(j)^{-1} q^{-2kj} |\underline{j}\rangle \\ &= \zeta_A \sum_{j, m \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(j)^{-1} q^{-2kj} \gamma(m)^{-1} q^{-2jm} |m\rangle \\ &= \zeta_A \sum_{j, m \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(j+m)^{-1} q^{-2kj} |m\rangle \\ &= \zeta_A \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(j)^{-1} q^{-2k(j-m)} \right) |m\rangle \\ &= \zeta_A \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \zeta_- \gamma(k) q^{2km} |m\rangle \\ &= \zeta_- \zeta_A \gamma(k) |-\underline{k}\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used $\gamma(j+m) = \gamma(j)\gamma(m)q^{2jm}$ and the Fourier transformation formula of $\gamma(j)^{-1}$. For the third one,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_v |k\rangle &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{-2jk} \mathbf{A}_v |k\rangle = \zeta_A \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{-2jk} |\underline{k}\rangle \\ &= \zeta_A \sum_{j, m \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{-2jk} \gamma(m)^{-1} q^{-2jm} |m\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \zeta_A \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(m)^{-1} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{-2j(k+m)} \right) |m\rangle \\
&= \zeta_A \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(m)^{-1} N \delta_{k+m,0} |m\rangle \\
&= N \zeta_A \gamma(k)^{-1} | -k \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

We get $\mathbf{A}_v^3 = 1$ by these three equations and $\zeta_A^3 = (N\zeta_-)^{-1}$.

From the action on the vectors, we get $\mathbf{A}_v \mathbf{U}_v \mathbf{A}_v^{-1} |\underline{k}\rangle = q^{2k} |\underline{k}\rangle$, which shows the first conjugation equation by Lemma 3.8. Similarly, $\mathbf{A}_v \mathbf{P}_v \mathbf{A}_v^{-1} |k\rangle = q^{-2k} |k\rangle$ shows the second one. ■

Remark 7.2. In terms of the matrix coefficients $A_{nm} := \langle n | \mathbf{A}_v | m \rangle$, \mathbf{A}_v is characterized by the difference equation $A_{nm} = q^{-2n} A_{n,m-1}$ and the normalization $\mathbf{A}_v^3 = 1$.

Proposition 7.3. *The conjugation action of \mathbf{T}_{vw} is given by*

- (1) $\mathbf{T}_{vw} \mathbf{U}_v = \mathbf{U}_v \mathbf{U}_w \mathbf{T}_{vw}$.
- (2) $\mathbf{T}_{vw} \mathbf{P}_v \mathbf{U}_w = \mathbf{P}_v \mathbf{U}_w \mathbf{T}_{vw}$.
- (3) $\mathbf{T}_{vw} \mathbf{P}_v \mathbf{P}_w = \mathbf{P}_w \mathbf{T}_{vw}$.
- (4) $\mathbf{T}_{vw} \mathbf{P}_v = ((p_\alpha^\Delta)^- \mathbf{P}_v + (p_\alpha^\Delta)^+ \mathbf{U}_v \mathbf{P}_w) \mathbf{T}_{vw}$.

Proof. Let us write $\mathbf{p} := \mathbf{p}_\alpha^\Delta$ for short. We first note that the conjugation action of \mathbf{S}_{vw} is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{S}_{vw} \mathbf{U}_v &= \mathbf{U}_v \mathbf{U}_w \mathbf{S}_{vw}, & \mathbf{S}_{vw} \mathbf{P}_v &= \mathbf{P}_v \mathbf{S}_{vw}, \\
\mathbf{S}_{vw} \mathbf{U}_w &= \mathbf{U}_w \mathbf{S}_{vw}, & \mathbf{S}_{vw} \mathbf{P}_w &= \mathbf{P}_w \mathbf{P}_v^{-1} \mathbf{S}_{vw}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.8}$$

For instance, the first one is verified as

$$\mathbf{S}_{vw} \mathbf{U}_v = \mathbf{U}_v \sum_{i,j} q^{2(i-1)j} \mathbf{U}_w^i \mathbf{P}_v^j = \mathbf{U}_v \mathbf{U}_w \mathbf{S}_{vw}.$$

Since $\mathbf{U}_v \mathbf{U}_w$, $\mathbf{P}_v \mathbf{U}_w$ and \mathbf{P}_w commutes with $[\mathbf{P}_v^{-1} \mathbf{U}_v \mathbf{P}_w]$, the first three statements are verified as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{T}_{vw} \mathbf{U}_v &= \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}([\mathbf{P}_v^{-1} \mathbf{U}_v \mathbf{P}_w]) \mathbf{U}_v \mathbf{U}_w \mathbf{S}_{vw} = \mathbf{U}_v \mathbf{U}_w \mathbf{T}_{vw} \\
\mathbf{T}_{vw} \mathbf{P}_v \mathbf{U}_w &= \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}([\mathbf{P}_v^{-1} \mathbf{U}_v \mathbf{P}_w]) \mathbf{P}_v \mathbf{U}_w \mathbf{S}_{vw} = \mathbf{P}_v \mathbf{U}_w \mathbf{T}_{vw} \\
\mathbf{T}_{vw} \mathbf{P}_v \mathbf{P}_w &= \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}([\mathbf{P}_v^{-1} \mathbf{U}_v \mathbf{P}_w]) \mathbf{P}_w \mathbf{S}_{vw} = \mathbf{P}_w \mathbf{T}_{vw}.
\end{aligned}$$

The last one is computed as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{T}_{vw} \mathbf{P}_v &= \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}([\mathbf{P}_v^{-1} \mathbf{U}_v \mathbf{P}_w]) \mathbf{P}_v \mathbf{S}_{vw} = \mathbf{P}_v \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(q^2 [\mathbf{P}_v^{-1} \mathbf{U}_v \mathbf{P}_w]) \mathbf{S}_{vw} \\
&= \mathbf{P}_v (p^- + p^+ \mathbf{P}_v^{-1} \mathbf{U}_v \mathbf{P}_w) \mathbf{T}_{vw}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we note $[\mathbf{P}_v^{-1} \mathbf{U}_v \mathbf{P}_w] = q^{-1} \mathbf{P}_v^{-1} \mathbf{U}_v \mathbf{P}_w$ and use the q -difference relation (Lemma 2.5). ■

7.2.2. *Proof of $\mathsf{T}_{uv}\mathsf{T}_{uw}\mathsf{T}_{vw} = \mathsf{T}_{vw}\mathsf{T}_{uv}$.*

Lemma 7.4. *The operator S_{vw} acts on the (v, w) -th components of V_{Δ} as $|k_v, k_w\rangle \mapsto |k_v - k_w, k_w\rangle$.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{S}_{vw}|k_v, k_w\rangle &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{2ij} \mathsf{U}_w^i \mathsf{P}_v^j |k_v, k_w\rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{2ij} q^{2k_w i} |k_v + 2j, k_w\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} N \delta_{j+k_w, 0} |k_v + 2j, k_w\rangle = |k_v - k_w, k_w\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

■

In order for the proof of the pentagon relation, let us label the coefficient tuples associated to the edges as in Figure 3. The five parameters $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}'', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'$ are related to each other by (4.1).

It is easy to see

$$\mathsf{S}_{uv}\mathsf{S}_{uw}\mathsf{S}_{vw} = \mathsf{S}_{vw}\mathsf{S}_{uv}. \quad (7.9)$$

Indeed, by Lemma 7.4, both sides send $|k_u, k_v, k_w\rangle$ to $|k_u - k_v, k_v - k_w, k_w\rangle$ for any $k_u, k_v, k_w \in \mathbb{Z}_N$.

Then by using the commutation relations (7.8) to move all the S -operator to the right and by applying (7.9), the statement can be rewritten as

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{r}}([\mathsf{P}_u^{-1}\mathsf{U}_u\mathsf{P}_v])\Psi_{\mathbf{p}'}([\mathsf{P}_u^{-1}\mathsf{U}_u\mathsf{U}_v\mathsf{P}_w])\Psi_{\mathbf{p}''}([\mathsf{P}_v^{-1}\mathsf{U}_v\mathsf{P}_w]) = \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}([\mathsf{P}_v^{-1}\mathsf{U}_v\mathsf{P}_w])\Psi_{\mathbf{r}'}([\mathsf{P}_u^{-1}\mathsf{U}_u\mathsf{P}_v]).$$

Note that the operators $\mathsf{U} := [\mathsf{P}_v^{-1}\mathsf{U}_v\mathsf{P}_w]$, $\mathsf{P} := [\mathsf{P}_u^{-1}\mathsf{U}_u\mathsf{P}_v]$ satisfy $\mathsf{U}\mathsf{P} = q^2\mathsf{P}\mathsf{U}$. Then the asserted equation above can be further rewritten as

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathsf{P})\Psi_{\mathbf{p}'}([\mathsf{U}\mathsf{P}])\Psi_{\mathbf{p}''}(\mathsf{U}) = \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathsf{U})\Psi_{\mathbf{r}'}(\mathsf{P}). \quad (7.10)$$

Now observe that the mutation relation (4.1) gives exactly the parameter relation (3.4). Therefore Theorem 3.3 tells us that the relation (7.10) is exactly the pentagon relation in Theorem 3.2. Thus $\mathsf{T}_{uv}\mathsf{T}_{uw}\mathsf{T}_{vw} = \mathsf{T}_{vw}\mathsf{T}_{uv}$ holds.

7.2.3. *Proof of $\mathsf{A}_v\mathsf{T}_{vw}\mathsf{A}_w = \mathsf{A}_w\mathsf{T}_{vw}\mathsf{A}_v$.*

Lemma 7.5. *Let $\tilde{\mathsf{S}}_{vw} := \mathsf{A}_v\mathsf{S}_{vw}\mathsf{A}_v^{-1}$. Then it acts as $\tilde{\mathsf{S}}_{vw}|k_v, k_w\rangle = q^{2k_v k_w}|k_v, k_w\rangle$. In particular, it has the symmetry $\tilde{\mathsf{S}}_{vw} = \tilde{\mathsf{S}}_{vw}$.*

Proof. From Lemma 7.1, we get $\tilde{\mathsf{S}}_{vw} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{2ij} \mathsf{U}_w^i \mathsf{U}_v^{-i}$. The remaining statements are easy to verified. ■

Lemma 7.6. $\mathsf{T}_{vw} = \mathsf{S}_{vw}\Psi_{\mathbf{p}_{\Delta}}([\mathsf{U}_v\mathsf{U}_w^{-1}\mathsf{P}_w]).$

Proof. Follows from (7.8). ■

Observe that T_{vw} and T_{wv} in the statement involves the same coefficient $\mathbf{p} := \mathbf{p}_\alpha^\Delta$, where $\alpha \in e_{\text{int}}(\Delta)$ is the edge shared by v, w in the initial triangulation. By using the previous lemma, the asserted relation is equivalent to

$$\tilde{S}_{vw} \mathbf{A}_v \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}([U_v U_w^{-1} P_w]) \mathbf{A}_w = \tilde{S}_{wv} \mathbf{A}_w \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}([U_w U_v^{-1} P_v]) \mathbf{A}_v.$$

Then we compute the action of the left-hand side as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_{vw} \mathbf{A}_v \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}([U_v U_w^{-1} P_w]) \mathbf{A}_w |k_v, k_w\rangle &= \zeta_A \tilde{S}_{vw} \mathbf{A}_v \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}([U_v U_w^{-1} P_w]) |k_v, \underline{k_w}\rangle \\ &= \zeta_A \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(q^{2(k_v+k_w)}) \tilde{S}_{vw} \mathbf{A}_v |k_v, \underline{k_w}\rangle \\ &= \zeta_A \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(q^{2(k_v+k_w)}) \tilde{S}_{vw} |k_v, \underline{k_w}\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used computed the dilogarithm term by Definition 3.1 and Lemma 3.8. Similarly, the right-hand side is computed as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_{wv} \mathbf{A}_w \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}([U_w U_v^{-1} P_v]) \mathbf{A}_v |k_v, k_w\rangle &= \tilde{S}_{wv} \mathbf{A}_w \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}([U_w U_v^{-1} P_v]) |k_v, k_w\rangle \\ &= \zeta_A \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(q^{2(k_v+k_w)}) \tilde{S}_{wv} \mathbf{A}_v |k_v, k_w\rangle \\ &= \zeta_A \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(q^{2(k_v+k_w)}) \tilde{S}_{wv} |k_v, k_w\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then by the symmetry $\tilde{S}_{vw} = \tilde{S}_{wv}$, we get the desired relation.

7.2.4. *Proof of $T_{vw} \mathbf{A}_v T_{wv} = \zeta \mathbf{A}_v \mathbf{A}_w P_{(12)}$.* Let $\mathbf{p} := \mathbf{p}_\alpha^\Delta$, where $\alpha \in e_{\text{int}}(\Delta)$ is the edge shared by v, w in the initial triangulation. We can rewrite the asserted relation as

$$S_{vw} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}([U_v U_w^{-1} P_w]) \mathbf{A}_v \Psi_{\mathbf{p}^{-1}}([P_w^{-1} U_w P_v]) S_{wv} = \zeta \mathbf{A}_v \mathbf{A}_w P_{(vw)},$$

or equivalently

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}([U_v U_w^{-1} P_w]) \mathbf{A}_v \Psi_{\mathbf{p}^{-1}}([P_w^{-1} U_w P_v]) = \zeta S_{vw}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_v \mathbf{A}_w P_{(vw)} S_{wv}^{-1}. \quad (7.11)$$

We compute the matrix coefficients $\langle m_v, \underline{m_w} | \cdots | n_v, \underline{n_w} \rangle$ of both sides of (7.11). For the left-hand side,

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle m_v, \underline{m_w} | \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}([U_v U_w^{-1} P_w]) \mathbf{A}_v \Psi_{\mathbf{p}^{-1}}([P_w^{-1} U_w P_v]) | n_v, \underline{n_w} \rangle \\ &= \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(q^{2(m_v+m_w)}) \langle m_v, \underline{m_w} | \mathbf{A}_v | n_v, \underline{n_w} \rangle \Psi_{\mathbf{p}^{-1}}(q^{2(n_v-n_w)}) \\ &= N \zeta_A \gamma(n_v)^{-1} \langle m_v, \underline{m_w} | -n_v, \underline{n_w} \rangle \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(q^{2(m_v+m_w)}) \Psi_{\mathbf{p}^{-1}}(q^{2(n_v-n_w)}) \\ &= \delta_{m_v+n_v, 0} \delta_{m_w, n_w} N^2 \zeta_A \gamma(n_v)^{-1} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(q^{2(m_v+m_w)}) \Psi_{\mathbf{p}^{-1}}(q^{-2(m_v+m_w)}) \\ &= \delta_{m_v+n_v, 0} \delta_{m_w, n_w} N^2 \zeta_A \gamma(n_v)^{-1} \zeta_{\text{inv}} \gamma(m_v + m_w) \\ &= \delta_{m_v+n_v, 0} \delta_{m_w, n_w} N^2 \zeta_A \zeta_{\text{inv}} \gamma(m_w) q^{2m_v m_w}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.12)$$

Here we have used the inversion relation (Theorem 2.9(3)) in the fourth equality.

For the right-hand side, we first compute

$$S_{wv}^{-1} |n_v, \underline{n_w}\rangle = \sum_{j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{-2jn_v} q^{-2kn_w} \gamma(k)^{-1} S_{wv}^{-1} |j, k\rangle = \sum_{j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{-2jn_v} q^{-2kn_w} \gamma(k)^{-1} |j, j+k\rangle,$$

$$\langle m_v, \underline{m}_w | S_{vw}^{-1} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(i) q^{2im_w} \langle m_v, i | S_{vw}^{-1} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(i) q^{2im_w} \langle m_v - i, i |.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta \langle m_v, \underline{m}_w | S_{vw}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_v \mathbf{A}_w \mathbf{P}_{(vw)} S_{vw}^{-1} | \underline{n}_v, \underline{n}_w \rangle \\ &= \zeta \sum_{i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(i) q^{2im_w} q^{-2jn_v} q^{-2kn_w} \gamma(k)^{-1} \langle m_v - i, i | \mathbf{A}_v \mathbf{A}_w \mathbf{P}_{(vw)} | j, j + k \rangle \\ &= \zeta \sum_{i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(i) q^{2im_w} q^{-2jn_v} q^{-2kn_w} \gamma(k)^{-1} \zeta_A^2 \langle m_v - i, i | \underline{j + k}, \underline{j} \rangle \\ &= \zeta \zeta_A^2 \sum_{i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(i) q^{2im_w} q^{-2jn_v} q^{-2kn_w} \gamma(k)^{-1} \gamma(m_v - i)^{-1} q^{-2(m_v - i)(j + k)} \gamma(i)^{-1} q^{-2ij} \\ &= \zeta \zeta_A^2 N \delta_{m_v + n_v, 0} \sum_{i, k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{2im_w} q^{-2kn_w} \gamma(k)^{-1} \gamma(m_v - i)^{-1} q^{-2(m_v - i)k} \\ &= \zeta \zeta_A^2 \zeta_- N \delta_{m_v + n_v, 0} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{2im_w} \gamma(m_v + n_w - i) \gamma(m_v - i)^{-1} \\ &= \zeta \zeta_A^2 \zeta_- N \delta_{m_v + n_v, 0} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{2im_w} \gamma(n_w) q^{2(m_v - i)n_w} \\ &= \zeta \zeta_A^2 \zeta_- N^2 \delta_{m_v + n_v, 0} \gamma(n_w) \delta_{m_w, n_w} q^{2m_v n_w}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.13}$$

By comparing (7.13) with (7.12), we see that $\mathbf{T}_{vw} \mathbf{A}_v \mathbf{T}_{vw} = \zeta \mathbf{A}_v \mathbf{A}_w \mathbf{P}_{(12)}$ holds if and only if $\zeta_A \zeta_{\text{inv}} = \zeta \zeta_A^2 \zeta_-$, namely $\zeta = \zeta_{\text{inv}} (\zeta_A \zeta_-)^{-1}$.

7.3. Matrix coefficients of the Dehn twist operators.

7.3.1. *Proof of Proposition 4.19.* (1): Since $\langle \underline{m}, \underline{n} |$ is a $q^{2(n-m)}$ -eigenvector for $\Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}([\mathbf{P}_v^{-1} \mathbf{U}_v \mathbf{P}_w])$ and $\mathbf{P}_{(vw)}$ permutes the components, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \underline{m}, \underline{n} | \mathbf{V}_{T_a}^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_a) | \underline{k}, \underline{\ell} \rangle &= \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2(n-m)}) \langle \underline{m}, \underline{n} | S_{vw} \mathbf{A}_w^{-1} | \underline{\ell}, \underline{k} \rangle \\ &= \zeta_A^{-1} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2(n-m)}) \langle \underline{m}, \underline{n} | S_{vw} | \underline{\ell}, k \rangle \\ &= \zeta_A^{-1} \zeta_+ \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2(n-m)}) \gamma(m - \ell)^{-1} q^{2(n-\ell)k}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have computed the matrix coefficient of S_{vw} as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \underline{m}, \underline{n} | S_{vw} | \underline{\ell}, k \rangle &= \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{-2\ell p} \langle \underline{m}, \underline{n} | S_{vw} | p, k \rangle \\ &= \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{-2\ell p} \langle \underline{m}, \underline{n} | p - k, k \rangle \\ &= \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{-2\ell p} \gamma(p - k) q^{2m(p-k)} q^{2nk} \\ &= \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{-2\ell(p+k)} \gamma(p) q^{2mp} q^{2nk} \\ &= \zeta_+ \gamma(m - \ell)^{-1} q^{2(n-\ell)k} \end{aligned}$$

by using Lemma 3.12. With a notice that $\zeta_A^{-1}\zeta_+ = N^{1/2}i^{(N-1)/6} \cdot N^{1/2}i^{(1-N)/2} = Ni^{(1-N)/3}$, we obtain the asserted formula.

The trace is computed as⁷

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathrm{Tr}_N(T_a; \mathbf{p}_a)| &= \frac{1}{N^2} \left| \sum_{k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \langle \underline{k}, \underline{\ell} | V_{T_a}^\Delta(\mathbf{p}_a) | \underline{k}, \underline{\ell} \rangle \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2(\ell-k)}) \gamma(k-\ell)^{-1} \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2u}) \gamma(u)^{-1} \right| \end{aligned}$$

by changing the variable $\ell \mapsto u := \ell - k$ and summing k .

(2): Similarly,

$$\langle \underline{m}, \underline{n} | V_{T_b}^\Delta(\mathbf{p}_b) | \underline{k}, \underline{\ell} \rangle = \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2(n-m)}) \langle \underline{m}, \underline{n} | \mathbf{S}_{vw} | \underline{k}, \underline{\ell} \rangle = N \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2(n-m)}) \gamma(k-m) q^{2(n-m-\ell)(k-m)}.$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \underline{m}, \underline{n} | \mathbf{S}_{vw} | \underline{k}, \underline{\ell} \rangle &= \sum_{p, r \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(p)^{-1} q^{-2kp} q^{-2\ell r} \langle \underline{m}, \underline{n} | \mathbf{S}_{vw} | p, r \rangle \\ &= \sum_{p, r \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(p)^{-1} q^{-2kp} q^{-2\ell r} \langle \underline{m}, \underline{n} | p - r, r \rangle \\ &= \sum_{p, r \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(p)^{-1} q^{-2kp} q^{-2\ell r} \gamma(p-r) q^{2m(p-r)} q^{2nr} \\ &= \sum_{p, r \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{-2kp} q^{-2\ell r} \gamma(r) q^{2pr} q^{2m(p-r)} q^{2nr} \\ &= \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_N} N \delta_{-k+r+m, 0} q^{-2\ell r} \gamma(r) q^{-2mr} q^{2nr} \\ &= N \gamma(k-m) q^{2(n-m-\ell)(k-m)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$|\mathrm{Tr}_N(T_b^{-1}; \mathbf{p}_b)| = \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2(\ell-k)}) \right| = \left| \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2u}) \right|.$$

7.3.2. *The reduced intertwiner for T_a .* Recall that $|a_{\lambda, m}\rangle = |m, \underline{m} - \lambda\rangle$ and $V_{T_a}^\Delta(\mathbf{p}_a) = \mathbf{T}_{vw} \mathbf{A}_w^{-1} \mathbf{P}_{(vw)}$. We compute

$$\langle a_{\lambda, k} | V_{T_a}^\Delta(\mathbf{p}_a; L_a, \lambda) | a_{\lambda, \ell} \rangle = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \langle k, \underline{k} - \lambda | \underline{m}, \underline{n} \rangle \langle \underline{m}, \underline{n} | \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}([\mathbf{P}_v^{-1} \mathbf{U}_v \mathbf{P}_w]) \mathbf{S}_{vw} \mathbf{A}_w^{-1} \mathbf{P}_{(vw)} | \ell, \underline{\ell} - \lambda \rangle$$

⁷Here one should notice that when we represent a linear map $f : V_\Delta \rightarrow V_\Delta$ in the momentum basis as $f|\underline{\ell}\rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_N} f_{n\ell} |n\rangle$, we get $\langle \underline{n} | f | \underline{\ell} \rangle = N f_{n\ell}$ by our normalization (3.5). We similarly gets another N by (3.6).

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2(n-m)}) \langle k, \underline{k-\lambda} | \underline{m}, \underline{n} \rangle \langle \underline{m}, \underline{n} | \mathbf{S}_{vw} \mathbf{A}_w^{-1} | \underline{\ell-\lambda}, \ell \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{N^3} \zeta_A^{-1} \gamma(\ell) \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2(n-m)}) \langle k, \underline{k-\lambda} | \underline{m}, \underline{n} \rangle \langle \underline{m}, \underline{n} | \mathbf{S}_{vw} | \underline{\ell-\lambda}, -\ell \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

We have $\langle k, \underline{k-\lambda} | \underline{m}, \underline{n} \rangle = \gamma(k)^{-1} q^{-2km} \zeta_+ \gamma(k-\lambda-n)^{-1}$ by using Lemma 3.14, and the matrix coefficients of \mathbf{S}_{vw} are computed as

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \underline{m}, \underline{n} | \mathbf{S}_{vw} | \underline{\ell-\lambda}, -\ell \rangle &= \sum_{r,p \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(r)^{-1} q^{-2r(\ell-\lambda)} q^{2p\ell} \langle \underline{m}, \underline{n} | \mathbf{S}_{vw} | r, p \rangle \\
&= \sum_{r,p \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(r)^{-1} q^{-2r(\ell-\lambda)} q^{2p\ell} \langle \underline{m}, \underline{n} | r-p, p \rangle \\
&= \sum_{r,p \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(r)^{-1} q^{-2r(\ell-\lambda)} q^{2p\ell} \gamma(r-p) q^{2m(r-p)} q^{2np} \\
&= \sum_{r,p \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{-2r(\ell-\lambda)} q^{2p\ell} \gamma(p) q^{-2rp} q^{2m(r-p)} q^{2np} \\
&= \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_N} N \delta_{-\ell+\lambda-p+m,0} q^{2p\ell} \gamma(p) q^{-2mp} q^{2np} \\
&= N \gamma(m-\ell+\lambda) q^{2(\ell-m+n)(m-\ell+\lambda)}
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 7.4. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle a_{\lambda,k} | \mathbf{V}_{T_a}^{\dot{\Delta}} | a_{\lambda,\ell} \rangle &= \frac{1}{N^2} \zeta_A^{-1} \zeta_+ \frac{\gamma(\ell)}{\gamma(k)} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2(n-m)}) q^{-2km} \frac{\gamma(\ell-\lambda-m)}{\gamma(k-\lambda-n)} q^{2(\ell-m+n)(m-\ell+\lambda)} \\
&= \frac{1}{N} i^{(1-N)/3} \frac{\gamma(\ell)}{\gamma(k)} \sum_{m,u \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2u}) q^{-2km} \frac{\gamma(\ell-\lambda-m)}{\gamma(k-\lambda-m-u)} q^{2(\ell+u)(m-\ell+\lambda)} \\
&= \frac{1}{N} i^{(1-N)/3} \frac{\gamma(\ell)}{\gamma(k)} \sum_{m,u \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2u}) q^{-2km} \frac{\gamma(\ell-\lambda)}{\gamma(k-\lambda-u)} q^{-2m(\ell-k+u)} q^{2(\ell+u)(m-\ell+\lambda)} \\
&= i^{(1-N)/3} \frac{\gamma(\ell)}{\gamma(k)} \frac{\gamma(\ell-\lambda)}{\gamma(k-\lambda)} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2u}) \gamma(u)^{-1} q^{2u(k-\lambda)} q^{2(\ell+u)(-\ell+\lambda)} \\
&= i^{(1-N)/3} \frac{\gamma(\ell)}{\gamma(k)} \frac{\gamma(\ell-\lambda)}{\gamma(k-\lambda)} q^{2\ell(-\ell+\lambda)} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2u}) \gamma(u)^{-1} q^{2u(k-\lambda)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, its trace is

$$\left| \overline{\text{Tr}}_N(T_a; \mathbf{p}_a; L_a, \lambda) \right| = \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{2k(-k+\lambda)} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2u}) \gamma(u)^{-1} \right| = \frac{1}{N^{1/2}} \left| \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2u}) \gamma(u)^{-1} \right|.$$

Here we used Lemma 3.18 for the summation on k .

7.3.3. *The reduced intertwiner for T_b^{-1} .* We use the following, which is proved easily:

Lemma 7.7. *Given $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, the solution space of the equation $\mathbf{h}_{a+b}|\psi\rangle = q^{2\nu}|\psi\rangle$ is N -dimensional, and spanned by*

$$|(a+b)_{\nu,r}\rangle := \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(m)^{-1} q^{2(2r-\lambda)m} |m, r-m\rangle.$$

They are normalized so that $\langle (a+b)_{\nu,r} | (a+b)_{\nu',r'} \rangle = N \delta_{rr'} \delta_{\nu\nu'}$. We have the base-change matrix

$$\langle b_{\mu,p} | (a+b)_{\nu,r} \rangle = \gamma(p) q^{2(-2r+\nu-\mu)p} \gamma(r)^3 q^{2(\mu-\nu)r}.$$

Now we are going to compute the matrix coefficients of $\mathbf{V}_{T_b^{-1}}^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_b; L_a, \lambda) = \gamma(\mathbf{h}_b)^{1/2} \mathbf{T}_{vw}$.

(1) Matrix coefficients of \mathbf{T}_{vw} . We first prove

$$\langle (a+b)_{\nu,r} | \mathbf{T}_{vw} | a_{\lambda,\ell} \rangle = N \delta_{r,\ell} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2(2\ell-\lambda)}) \gamma(\ell)^{-3} q^{2\lambda\ell}. \quad (7.14)$$

We factorize the left-hand side as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (a+b)_{\nu,r} | \mathbf{T}_{vw} | a_{\lambda,\ell} \rangle &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{m,n} \langle (a+b)_{\nu,r} | \underline{m}, \underline{n} \rangle \langle \underline{m}, \underline{n} | \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}([P_v^{-1} U_v P_w]) S_{vw} | a_{\lambda,\ell} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{m,n} \langle (a+b)_{\nu,r} | \underline{m}, \underline{n} \rangle \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2(n-m)}) \langle \underline{m}, \underline{n} | S_{vw} | a_{\lambda,\ell} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Each of the matrix coefficients are computed as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (a+b)_{\nu,r} | \underline{m}, \underline{n} \rangle &= \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(s) q^{-2(2r-\lambda)s} \langle s, r-s | \underline{m}, \underline{n} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{-2(2r-\lambda)s} q^{-2sm} q^{-2(r-s)n} \\ &= N \delta_{\lambda-2r-m+n,0} q^{-2rn} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 7.7, and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \underline{m}, \underline{n} | S_{vw} | a_{\lambda,\ell} \rangle &= \langle \underline{m}, \underline{n} | S_{vw} | \ell, \underline{\ell-\lambda} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \langle \underline{m}, \underline{n} | S_{vw} | \ell, r \rangle \gamma(r)^{-1} q^{-2r(\ell-\lambda)} \\ &= \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \langle \underline{m}, \underline{n} | \ell-r, r \rangle \gamma(r)^{-1} q^{-2r(\ell-\lambda)} \\ &= \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(\ell-r) q^{2m(\ell-r)} q^{2nr} \gamma(r)^{-1} q^{-2r(\ell-\lambda)} \\ &= \gamma(\ell) \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{-2\ell r} q^{2m(\ell-r)} q^{2nr} q^{-2r(\ell-\lambda)} \\ &= N \delta_{-m+n-2\ell+\lambda,0} \gamma(\ell) q^{2m\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

The two δ -functions are compatible only when $r = \ell$, and impose the same condition in this case. Then

$$\langle (a+b)_{\nu,r} | \mathbf{T}_{vw} | a_{\lambda,\ell} \rangle = \delta_{r,\ell} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \delta_{\lambda-2r-m+n,0} q^{-2rn} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2(n-m)}) \gamma(\ell) q^{2m\ell}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \delta_{r,\ell} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{-2\ell n} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2(2\ell-\lambda)}) \gamma(\ell) q^{2(n-2\ell+\lambda)\ell} \\
&= \delta_{r,\ell} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2(2\ell-\lambda)}) q^{-3\ell^2+2\lambda\ell} \\
&= N \delta_{r,\ell} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2(2\ell-\lambda)}) \gamma(\ell)^{-3} q^{2\lambda\ell},
\end{aligned}$$

as is claimed.

(2) Matrix coefficients of $\gamma(\mathbf{h}_b)^{1/2}$. We prove

$$\langle a_{\lambda,k} | \gamma(\mathbf{h}_b)^{1/2} | (a+b)_{\nu,r} \rangle = \zeta_+^{(2)} \delta_{\lambda,\nu} \gamma(r)^3 \gamma(2r-2k+\lambda-\nu)^{-M} q^{-2\nu r}. \quad (7.15)$$

Indeed, by inserting the diagonal basis for \mathbf{h}_b , we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle a_{\lambda,k} | \gamma(\mathbf{h}_b)^{1/2} | (a+b)_{\nu,r} \rangle &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mu, p \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \langle a_{\lambda,k} | b_{\mu,p} \rangle \langle b_{\mu,p} | \gamma(\mathbf{h}_b)^{1/2} | (a+b)_{\nu,r} \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mu, p \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \langle a_{\lambda,k} | b_{\mu,p} \rangle \gamma(\mu)^M \langle b_{\mu,p} | (a+b)_{\nu,r} \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mu, p \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(p) q^{4kp-2\lambda p-2\mu k} \cdot \gamma(\mu)^M \cdot \gamma(p) q^{2(-2r+\nu-\mu)p} \gamma(r)^3 q^{2(\mu-\nu)r} \\
&= \frac{1}{N} \gamma(r)^3 \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(\mu)^M q^{2(\mu-\nu)r-2\mu k} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(p)^2 q^{2(2k-2r+\nu-\mu-\lambda)p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used Proposition 5.26 and Lemma 7.7. Then by using Lemma 3.18 for the summation on p , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle a_{\lambda,k} | \gamma(\mathbf{h}_b)^{1/2} | (a+b)_{\nu,r} \rangle &= \zeta_+^{(2)} \frac{1}{N} \gamma(r)^3 \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \gamma(\mu)^M q^{2(\mu-\nu)r-2\mu k} \gamma(2k-2r+\nu-\mu-\lambda)^{-M} \\
&= \zeta_+^{(2)} \frac{1}{N} \gamma(r)^3 \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{2(\mu-\nu)r-2\mu k} \gamma(2r-2k+\lambda-\nu)^{-M} q^{-(2r-2k+\lambda-\nu)\mu} \\
&= \zeta_+^{(2)} \frac{1}{N} \gamma(r)^3 \gamma(2r-2k+\lambda-\nu)^{-M} q^{-2\nu r} \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_N} q^{-(\lambda-\nu)\mu} \\
&= \zeta_+^{(2)} \delta_{\lambda,\nu} \gamma(r)^3 \gamma(2r-2k+\lambda-\nu)^{-M} q^{-2\nu r},
\end{aligned}$$

as is claimed.

Finally, by combining (7.14) and (7.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle a_{\lambda,k} | V_{T_b}^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_b; L_a, \lambda) | a_{\lambda,\ell} \rangle &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\nu, r \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \langle a_{\lambda,k} | \gamma(\mathbf{h}_b)^{1/2} | (a+b)_{\nu,r} \rangle \langle (a+b)_{\nu,r} | \mathbf{T}_{\nu\nu} | a_{\lambda,\ell} \rangle \\
&= \zeta_+^{(2)} \sum_{\nu, r \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \delta_{\lambda,\nu} \gamma(r)^3 \gamma(2r-2k+\lambda-\nu)^{-M} q^{-2\nu r} \cdot \delta_{r,\ell} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2(2\ell-\lambda)}) \gamma(\ell)^{-3} q^{2\lambda\ell} \\
&= \zeta_+^{(2)} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2(2\ell-\lambda)}) \gamma(2\ell-2k)^{-M} \\
&= \zeta_+^{(2)} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2(2\ell-\lambda)}) \gamma(\ell-k)^{-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking its trace, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\overline{\text{Tr}}_N(T_b^{-1}; \mathbf{p}_b; L_a, \lambda)| &= \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \langle a_{\lambda, k} | V_{T_b^{-1}}^{\dot{\Delta}}(\mathbf{p}_b; L_a, \lambda) | a_{\lambda, k} \rangle \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{N^{1/2}} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(q^{2(2k-\lambda)}) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

7.4. Operator identities for Ω . We prove Proposition 6.3. The conjugation action of $S_{(\mu, \nu)}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{(\mu, \nu)} U_1 &= U_1 S_{(\mu, \nu)}, & S_{(\mu, \nu)} P_1 &= [P_1 U_2^{-1} P_2^{-1}] S_{(\mu, \nu)}, \\ S_{(\mu, \nu)} U_2 &= U_1 U_2 S_{(\mu, \nu)}, & S_{(\mu, \nu)} P_2 &= U_1^{-1} P_2 S_{(\mu, \nu)}, \end{aligned} \tag{7.16}$$

where we omitted the symbol \otimes as before. Then we check the operator identities, as follows:

$$\Omega X_{\mu\nu} = \xi_{\mu\nu} \Omega U_2 = \xi_{\mu\nu} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_{(\mu, \nu)}}([P_1 P_2^{-1} U_1]) U_1 U_2 S_{(\mu, \nu)} = \xi_{\mu\nu} U_1 U_2 \Omega = X_\mu X_\nu \Omega,$$

where we have used $\xi_{\mu\nu} = \xi_\mu \xi_\nu$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega Y_{\mu\nu} &= \eta_{\mu\nu} \Omega P_2 = \eta_{\mu\nu} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_{(\mu, \nu)}}([P_1 P_2^{-1} U_1]) U_1^{-1} P_2 S_{(\mu, \nu)} \\ &= \eta_{\mu\nu} U_1^{-1} P_2 (p_{(\mu, \nu)}^- + q p_{(\mu, \nu)}^+ [P_1 P_2^{-1} U_1]) \Omega = \eta_{\mu\nu} (p_{(\mu, \nu)}^- U_1^{-1} P_2 + p_{(\mu, \nu)}^+ P_1) \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\eta_{\mu\nu} (p_{(\mu, \nu)}^- U_1^{-1} P_2 + p_{(\mu, \nu)}^+ P_1) = \xi_\mu^{-1} \eta_\nu U_1^{-1} P_2 + \eta_\mu P_1 = X_\mu^{-1} Y_\nu + Y_\mu$, as desired.

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega X_{(\mu, \nu)} &= \xi_{(\mu, \nu)} \Omega U_1 = \xi_{(\mu, \nu)} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_{(\mu, \nu)}}([P_1 P_2^{-1} U_1]) U_1 S_{(\mu, \nu)} \\ &= \xi_{(\mu, \nu)} U_1 (p_{(\mu, \nu)}^- + q^{-1} p_{(\mu, \nu)}^+ [P_1 P_2^{-1} U_1])^{-1} \Omega = \xi_{(\mu, \nu)} (p_{(\mu, \nu)}^- U_1^{-1} + p_{(\mu, \nu)}^+ P_1 P_2^{-1})^{-1} \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Here $p_{(\mu, \nu)}^- \xi_{(\mu, \nu)}^{-1} = \xi_\mu^{-1}$, $p_{(\mu, \nu)}^+ \xi_{(\mu, \nu)}^{-1} = \eta_\mu \eta_\nu^{-1}$ and hence $\Omega X_{(\mu, \nu)} = (X_\mu^{-1} + Y_\mu Y_\nu^{-1})^{-1} \Omega$.

$$\Omega Y_{(\mu, \nu)} = \eta_{(\mu, \nu)} \Omega P_1 = \eta_{(\mu, \nu)} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_{(\mu, \nu)}}([P_1 P_2^{-1} U_1]) [P_1 U_2^{-1} P_2^{-1}] S_{(\mu, \nu)} = \eta_{(\mu, \nu)} [P_1 U_2^{-1} P_2^{-1}] \Omega = [Y_\mu X_\nu^{-1} Y_\nu^{-1}] \Omega.$$

APPENDIX A. THE CASE OF $q^N = -1$

In this section, we briefly discuss how the theory changes if we employ the choice $q = e^{\pi i/N}$ for an arbitrary positive integer N , so that $q^N = -1$, $q^{2N} = 1$. Most part of the theory does not change drastically, but gets extra signs in some formulas. As pointed out by Bonahon–Liu [BL07], the representation theory of quantum tori best works for the cases $q^N = (-1)^{N+1}$ (see also [Bai07, BWY21, BWY22]).

For distinction, let us write $\zeta := e^{\pi i/N}$. When N is odd, it is related to the previous choice by $\zeta = -q$. Basically, the modification goes with this substitution.

Cyclic quantum dilogarithm. The expression of cyclic quantum dilogarithm is changed into

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(X) := \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} (p^- - \zeta^{2j+1} p^+ X)^{j/N},$$

where $\mathbf{p} = (p^+, p^-) \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_N$. The basic formula in Lemma 2.1 changes as follows:

Lemma A.1. *For $\zeta = e^{\pi i/N}$, we have*

$$\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} (y - q^{2k+1}x) = y^N + x^N, \quad \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} (y - q^{2k}x) = y^N - x^N.$$

Accordingly, the q -difference relation (Lemma 2.5) is modified as follows:

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\zeta^2 X) = (p^- - \zeta p^+ X) \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(X), \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\zeta^{-2} X) = (p^- - \zeta^{-1} p^+ X)^{-1} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(X) \quad (\text{A.2})$$

for $X^N = 1$. The inversion relation (Theorem 2.9) becomes

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\zeta^{2k}) \cdot \Psi_{\mathbf{p}^{\text{op}}}(\zeta^{-2k}) = \gamma(k) \zeta_{\text{inv}},$$

where ζ_{inv} does not change but

$$\gamma(k) := (-1)^k \zeta^{k^2} = \zeta^{k(k-N)}$$

The γ -operator (Definition 3.16) is still defined, which has the spectrum $\{\gamma(k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ with this modification.

Pentagon relation and the modified Weyl ordering. The pentagon relation (Theorem 3.2) still holds with the simple replacement $q = -\zeta$. In particular, $-\zeta^{-1} \text{UP}$ appears in the right-hand side, which satisfies $(-\zeta^{-1} \text{UP})^N = 1$. In general, the following modification of the Weyl ordering is useful:

Definition A.2 (Modified Weyl ordering, cf. [AK14b, Section 8.2]). For two operators X, Y acting on V_N such that $XY = \zeta^{2a} YX$, we define

$$\llbracket XY \rrbracket := (-\zeta)^{-a} XY = (-\zeta)^a YX.$$

It differs from the usual Weyl ordering $[XY] = \zeta^{-a} XY$ by a sign. It is designed so that the following holds:

Lemma A.3. *If $X^N = c_1$ and $Y^N = c_2$ for some $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}^*$, then $\llbracket XY \rrbracket^N = c_1 c_2$.*

The diagonalization of $\llbracket \text{PU}^{-1} \rrbracket$ (Lemma 3.8) goes with the same formula with the redefined $\gamma(m)$ above. The formulae in Section 3.3 remain unchanged.

The constructions in Section 4 are mostly unchanged under the redefinitions above: with the replacements $q = -\zeta$ and $[\dots] \mapsto \llbracket \dots \rrbracket$. The formulae in Theorem 4.9 remain unchanged.

Determinant of the flip operator. The trace of the flip operator is changed into $\det \mathbb{T}_{vw} = (-1)^{\frac{(N-1)^2 N}{2}}$, while the important conclusion $|\det \mathbb{T}_{vw}| = 1$ is the same.

Chekhov–Fock algebras and the quantum Frobenius map. According to the sign corrections above, the setting for the Chekhov–Fock theory slightly changes. The basic idea is as follows. In view of (A.1), we should consider $\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(-\overline{X}_k)$ as the automorphism part in order to obtain the correct mutation formula. Also observe that this formula is valid only when $(-\overline{X}_k)^N = 1$.

Based on these facts, we consider

$$\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{y}} := \mathcal{X} / \langle X_i^N - (-y_i)^N \mid i \in I \rangle$$

for a given $\mathbf{y} = (y_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{C}^I$. By using $\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(-y_k^{-1}X_k) = \Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(-\overline{X}_k)$ as the automorphism part, we again obtain the same mutation formula. The quantum Frobenius map (Proposition 5.7) gets a correction:

Proposition A.4. *There exists an algebra embedding*

$$\mathbf{F}_N : \mathcal{O}_1(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{s}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\zeta}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{s}})$$

such that $X_i \mapsto (-X_i)^N$, $i \in I$ for each seed in \mathbf{s} .

Square-root operators. It seems that we have no analog of the square-root operators when N is even. The square-root operators are used in the transvection operator (5.5) (and hence to introduce the reduced intertwiner). We also need to take a square-root of $\gamma(\ell)$ in defining the basis $|\phi_{\ell}\rangle$ in Theorem 5.31.

REFERENCES

- [AK14a] J. E. Andersen and R. M. Kashaev, *A TQFT from quantum Teichmüller theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. **330** (2014), no.3, 887–934. [2](#)
- [AK14b] J. E. Andersen and R. M. Kashaev, *Complex quantum Chern–Simons*, arxiv:1409.1208. [16](#), [60](#)
- [AM17] J. E. Andersen and S. Marziori, *Level N Teichmüller TQFT and complex Chern–Simons theory*, in Quantum geometry of moduli spaces with applications to TQFT and RNA folding, Trav. Math. **25**, 97–146. [16](#)
- [Bai07] H. Bai, *Quantum Teichmüller spaces and Kashaev’s $6j$ -symbols*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **7** (2007), 1541–1560. [2](#), [7](#), [41](#), [42](#), [43](#), [44](#), [59](#)
- [BBL07] H. Bai, F. Bonahon and X. Liu, *Local representations of the quantum Teichmüller space*, arXiv:0707.2151. [2](#)
- [BR95] V. V. Bazhanov and N. Y. Reshetikhin, *Remarks on the quantum dilogarithm*, J. Phys. **A28** (1995), no.8, 2217–2226. [3](#), [8](#), [10](#), [11](#)
- [BEW] B.C. Berndt, R.J. Evans and K.S. Williams, *Gauss and Jacobi sums*, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1998. xii+583 pp. [16](#)
- [BL07] F. Bonahon and X. Liu, *Representations of the quantum Teichmüller space and invariants of surface diffeomorphisms*, Geom. Topol. **11** (2007), 889–937. [1](#), [2](#), [4](#), [6](#), [25](#), [34](#), [59](#)
- [BW15] F. Bonahon and H. Wong, *Representations of the Kauffman bracket skein algebra I: invariants and miraculous cancellations*, Invent. Math. **204** (2016), no. 1, 195–243. [2](#)
- [BWY21] F. Bonahon, H. Wong and T. Yang, *Asymptotics of quantum invariants of surface diffeomorphisms I: conjecture and algebraic computations*, arXiv:2112.12852. [1](#), [2](#), [6](#), [24](#), [25](#), [39](#), [59](#)
- [BWY22] F. Bonahon, H. Wong and T. Yang, *Asymptotics of quantum invariants of surface diffeomorphisms II: The figure-eight knot complement*, arXiv:2203.05730. [1](#), [2](#), [6](#), [25](#), [59](#)

- [CF99] L. O. Chekhov and V. V. Fock, *Quantum Teichmüller spaces*, Teoret. Mat. Fiz. **120** (1999), no. 3, 511–528; translation in Theoret. and Math. Phys. **120** (1999), no. 3, 1245–1259. [2](#)
- [CFMM24] M.M. Cheung, J.B. Frias-Medina, T. Magee, *Quantization of Deformed Cluster Poisson Varieties*, Algebr Represent Theor **27**, 381–427 (2024). [5](#), [29](#)
- [DJMM91] E. Date, M. Jimbo, K. Miki and T. Miwa, *Cyclic Representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, C))$ at $q^N = 1$* , Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **27** (1991), no. 2, 347–366. [7](#), [40](#), [41](#)
- [DCK89] C. De Concini and V. G. Kac, *Representations of quantum groups at roots of 1*, Operator algebras, unitary representations, enveloping algebras, and invariant theory (Paris, 1989), 471–506. Progr. Math., 92 Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1990. [40](#)
- [FG06] V. V. Fock and A. B. Goncharov, *Moduli spaces of local systems and higher Teichmüller theory*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci., **103** (2006), 1–211. [5](#)
- [FG08] V. V. Fock and A. B. Goncharov, *The quantum dilogarithm and representations of quantum cluster varieties*, Invent. Math. **175** (2009), no. 2, 223–286. [2](#), [5](#), [7](#)
- [FG09] V. V. Fock and A. B. Goncharov, *Cluster ensembles, quantization and the dilogarithm*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér., **42** (2009), 865–930. [5](#), [22](#), [30](#)
- [FK94] L. D. Faddeev and R. M. Kashaev, *Quantum dilogarithm*, Modern Phys. Lett. **A9** (1994), no.5, 427–434. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [11](#)
- [FKBL] C. Frohman, J. Kania-Bartoszyńska and T. Lê, *Unicity for representations of the Kauffman bracket skein algebra*, Invent. Math. **215** (2019), no.2, 609–650. [6](#)
- [FST08] S. Fomin, M. Shapiro and D. Thurston, *Cluster algebras and triangulated surfaces. I. Cluster complexes*, Acta Math. **201** (2008), 83–146. [19](#), [22](#)
- [FZ03] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras. II. Finite type classification*, Invent. Math. **154** (2003), no.1, 63–121. [3](#), [21](#)
- [FZ07] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras. IV. Coefficients*, Compos. Math. **143** (2007), 112–164. [22](#)
- [GS19] A. B. Goncharov and L. Shen, *Quantum geometry of moduli spaces of local systems and representation theory*, arXiv:1904.10491v3. [2](#), [5](#), [39](#), [40](#)
- [GL09] R. Guo and X. Liu, *Quantum Teichmüller space and Kashaev algebra*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **9** (2009), no.3, 1791–1824. [6](#), [31](#)
- [HI14] K. Hikami and R. Inoue, *Braiding operator via quantum cluster algebra*, J. Phys. **A47** (2014), no.47, 474006, 21 pp. [7](#)
- [Ip18] I.C.H.Ip, *Cluster realization of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and factorizations of the universal R -matrix*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **24** (2018), no. 5, 4461–4553. [7](#), [39](#), [40](#)
- [IY16] I. C. H. Ip and M. Yamazaki, *Quantum dilogarithm identities at root of unity*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2016), no.3, 669–695. [3](#), [8](#), [9](#)
- [Jan] J.C. Jantzen, *Lectures on quantum groups*, Grad. Stud. Math., 6 American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996. viii+266 pp. [40](#)
- [Kas94] R. M. Kashaev, *Quantum dilogarithm as a $6j$ -symbol*, Modern Phys. Lett. **A9** (1994), no.40, 3757–3768. [1](#), [7](#), [8](#), [46](#)
- [Kas95] R. M. Kashaev, *A link invariant from quantum dilogarithm*, Modern Phys. Lett. **A10** (1995), no.19, 1409–1418. [1](#), [7](#)
- [Kas98] R. M. Kashaev, *Quantization of Teichmüller spaces and the quantum dilogarithm*, Lett. Math. Phys. **43** (1998), no.2, 105–115. [1](#), [2](#), [4](#), [6](#), [19](#), [32](#)
- [Kas99] R. M. Kashaev, *The Liouville central charge in quantum Teichmüller theory*, On the occasion of the 65-th birthday of Academician Lyudvig Dmitrievich Faddeev (Russian) Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova 226, Mat. Fiz. Probl. Kvantovo ĭ Teor. Polya(1999), 72–81; translation in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.(1999), no.3, 63–71. [2](#), [4](#)
- [Kas00] R. M. Kashaev, *The pentagon equation and mapping class groups of surfaces with marked points*, In memory of Mikhail Vladimirovich Savel'ev (Russian) Teoret. Mat. Fiz. **123** (2000),

- no.2, 198–204; translation in *Theoret. and Math. Phys.* **123** (2000), no.2, 576–581. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [10](#)
- [Kas01] R. M. Kashaev, *The quantum dilogarithm and Dehn twists in quantum Teichmüller theory*, Integrable structures of exactly solvable two-dimensional models of quantum field theory (Kiev, 2000), 211–221, NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., **35**. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2001. [2](#), [20](#)
- [KN14] R. M. Kashaev and T. Nakanishi, *Classical and quantum dilogarithm identities*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **7** (2011), Paper 102, 29 pp. [4](#), [5](#)
- [Kim16] H. K. Kim, *Ratio coordinates for higher Teichmüller spaces*, *Math. Z.* **283** (2016), no.1-2, 469–513. [2](#), [20](#)
- [Kim19] H. K. Kim, *Finite dimensional quantum Teichmüller space from the quantum torus at root of unity* *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **223** (2019), no.3, 1337–1381. [7](#), [46](#), [47](#)
- [Kim24] H.K.Kim, *Irreducible self-adjoint representations of quantum Teichmüller space and the phase constants*, arXiv:2001.06802. [36](#)
- [KQ22] J. Korinman and A. Quesney, *The quantum trace as a quantum non-abelianization map*, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **31** (2022), no. 6, Paper No. 2250032, 49 pp. [33](#), [34](#)
- [Liu09] X. Liu, *The quantum Teichmüller space as a noncommutative algebraic object*, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **18** (2009), no.5, 705–726. [43](#)
- [Pen] R. C. Penner, *Decorated Teichmüller theory*, QGM Master Class Series, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2012. [19](#)
- [SS17] G. Schrader and A. Shapiro, *Continuous tensor categories from quantum groups I: algebraic aspects*, arXiv:1708.08107. [2](#)
- [SS19] G. Schrader and A. Shapiro, *A cluster realization of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ from quantum character varieties*, *Invent. Math.* **216** (2019), no. 3, 799–846. [7](#), [39](#), [40](#)
- [Tes07] J. Teschner, *An analog of a modular functor from quantized Teichmüller theory*, *Handbook of Teichmüller theory. Vol. I*, 685–760; IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys., **11** European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2007. [2](#), [6](#), [20](#), [30](#), [32](#)
- [Ver89] H. Verlinde, *Conformal field theory, two-dimensional quantum gravity and quantization of Teichmüller space*, *Nuclear Phys. B* **337** (1990), no. 3, 652–680. [1](#)

TSUKASA ISHIBASHI, MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY, 6-3 AOBA, ARAMAKI, AOBA-KU, SENDAI, MIYAGI 980-8578, JAPAN.

Email address: tsukasa.ishibashi.a6@tohoku.ac.jp