On a class of critical Markov branching processes with non-homogeneous Poisson immigration

Kosto V. Mitov,

Faculty of Pharmacy, Medical University, Pleven, Bulgaria email: kmitov@yahoo.com Nikolay M. Yanev, Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, BAS, Sofia, Bulgaria, email: yanev@math.bas.bg

January 7, 2025

Abstract

The paper studies a class of critical Markov branching processes with infinite variance of the offspring distribution. The processes admit also an immigration component at the jump-points of a nonhomogeneous Poisson process, assuming that the mean number of immigrants is infinite and the intensity of the Poisson process converges to a constant. The asymptotic behavior of the probability for nonvisiting zero is obtained. Proper limit distributions are proved, under suitable normalization of the sample paths, depending on the offspring distribution and the distribution of the immigrants.

Key words: Infinite variance; Limit theorems; Markov branching process; Non-homogeneous immigration

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 60J80; Secondary 60F05, 60J85, 62P10

1 Introduction

The paper deals with Markov branching processes with immigration in the time-moments generated by Poisson measure with a local intensity $r(t)$. We consider the critical case when the offspring mean is equal to one, but the offspring variance is infinite. The distribution of immigrants belongs to the class of stable laws with infinite mean and $r(t)$ converges to some positive constant.

Recall that the terminology branching process was proposed by Kolmogorov and appeared officially in [\[13\]](#page-15-0) where the multitype Markov branching processes were introduced. Further developments are presented in [\[9\]](#page-15-1), [\[23\]](#page-16-0) and [\[1\]](#page-14-0). The first branching process with immigration was formulated by Sevastyanov [\[22\]](#page-16-1). He investigated a single-type Markov process in which immigration occurs according to a time-homogeneous Poisson process, and proved limiting distributions. Branching processes with timenonhomogeneous immigration were first proposed by Durham [\[5\]](#page-15-2) and Foster and Williamson [\[7\]](#page-15-3). Further results can be found in Badalbaev and Rahimov [\[2\]](#page-14-1) and Rahimov [\[19\]](#page-16-2). See also a review paper of Rahimov [\[20\]](#page-16-3). A model with nonhomogeneous migration was investigated by Yanev and Mitov [\[27\]](#page-17-0). Multitype Markov branching processes with nonhomogeneous Poisson immigration were considered by Mitov et al. [\[14\]](#page-16-4) and Slavtchova-Bojkova et al. [\[24\]](#page-16-5), [\[25\]](#page-16-6). Notice that the limiting distributions in these models were obtained in the case of finite first and second offspring characteristics as well as those of the immigration components.

Pakes [\[15\]](#page-16-7), [\[16\]](#page-16-8) investigated respectively Bienaymé-Galton-Watson process and Markov branching process with infinite offspring variance and finite mean of the immigrants. Imomov and Tukhtaev [\[12\]](#page-15-4) considered critical Bienaym´e-Galton-Watson process with infinite offspring variance and infinite mean of immigrants and extended also some of the results of Pakes [\[15\]](#page-16-7). Sagitov [\[21\]](#page-16-9) studied multi-type Markov branching processes in the case of homogeneous Poisson immigration with infinite second moments of the offspring distributions and infinite first moment of the number of immigrants.

Branching processes with time-nonhomogeneous immigration find applications for investigating the dynamics of biological systems, particularly cellular populations (see, for example, [\[26,](#page-16-10) [10,](#page-15-5) [11\]](#page-15-6)). In these applications, the stem cells often are considered as an immigration component.

Another interesting approach to branching processes where the immigration component is generation-dependent is given in Gonzalez et al. [\[8\]](#page-15-7). Barczy et al. [\[4\]](#page-15-8) investigated critical two-type decomposable Bienaymé-Galton-Watson process with immigration.

We have to mention that some of the results obtained here are similar to some of the results obtained in the discrete time case by Rahimov [\[17,](#page-16-11) [18\]](#page-16-12) for Bienaymé-Galton-Watson branching processes and this is not surprising. Let us note also that the methods of studying in the present work are based on the functional equations for the probability generating functions, stationary measures and some other methods which essentially differ from the methods used in [\[17,](#page-16-11) [18\]](#page-16-12).

A detailed description of the considered models is presented in Section

2. Some preliminary results and basic assumptions are given in Section 3. The asymptotic behavior of the probabilities of non-visiting zero is investigated in Section 4. Under the same basic conditions four types of limiting distributions are obtained in Section 5. Surprisingly, the first one (after a suitable normalization) is just a stable distribution with parameter α from the distribution of immigrants. The second limiting distribution belongs to a normal domain of attraction of a stable law with parameter $\alpha = \gamma$, where γ is an offspring parameter. In the third case a discrete conditional limiting distribution with infinite mean is obtained. Under the suitable normalization (with a slowly varying function) it is shown that the fourth limiting distribution is just uniform in the unit interval..

2 Description of the models

A single type Markov branching process can be described as follows. The particles of a given type evolve independently of each-other, lives random time τ with exponential distribution function $G(t) = \mathbf{P} \{ \tau \le t \} = 1 - e^{-\mu t},$ $t \geq 0, \mu > 0$, and at the end of its life the particle produces random number $\xi \geq 0$ of new particles of the same type. The number of particles $Z(t)$, $t \geq 0$, form the stochastic process, known as Markov branching process (see [\[1\]](#page-14-0), [\[9\]](#page-15-1), and [\[23\]](#page-16-0)). We assume as usually that this evolution started at time $t = 0$ with one new particle. Denote by $h(s) = \mathbf{E} \left[s^{\xi} \right]$ the offspring probability generating function (p.g.f.) and

$$
F(t; s) = \mathbf{E}\left[s^{Z(t)} | Z(0) = 1\right], \quad t \ge 0, \quad s \in [0, 1],
$$

the p.g.f. of the process $Z(t)$, $t \geq 0$.

It is well known that (see e.g. [\[1\]](#page-14-0), [\[9\]](#page-15-1))

$$
\frac{\partial F(t;s)}{\partial t} = \mu[h(F(t;s)) - F(t;s)],\tag{1}
$$

with initial condition $F(0; s) = s$. Under mild regularity conditions, it is the only solution of this equation in the class of p.g.f.

Let us now suppose that along the Markov branching process $Z(t)$ there is a sequence of random vectors (S_k, I_k) , $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, independent of $Z(t)$, where

$$
0 = S_0 < S_1 < S_2 < S_3 < \cdots
$$

are the jump points of an non-homogeneous Poisson process $\nu(t)$ independent of $Z(t)$ and the random variables $\{I_k\}$ are i.i.d. with non-negative integer values. Denote by $r(t)$ the intensity of $\nu(t)$ with a mean measure $R(t) = \int_0^t$ 0 $r(u)du$. Let $g(s) = \mathbf{E} \left[s^{I_k} \right]$ be the p.g.f. of the immigrants.

Assume that at every jump-point S_k , a random number I_k of new particles immigrate into the process $Z(t)$ and they participate in the evolution as the other particles. Let us denote the new process by $Y(t)$. It can be strictly defined as follows

$$
Y(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\nu(t)} \sum_{j=1}^{I_k} Z^{(k,j)}(t - S_k), \ t \ge 0,
$$

where $\{Z^{(k,j)}(t)\}\)$ are independent and identical copies of $Z(t)$.

Definition 2.1. The process $Y(t)$, $t \geq 0$, is called Markov branching process with non-homogeneous Poisson immigration (MBPNPI).

The p.g.f. $\Phi(t; s) := \mathbf{E}\left[s^{Y(t)}\right]$ of the process $Y(t)$ has the following form

$$
\Phi(t;s) = \exp\left\{-\int_0^t r(t-u)(1-g(F(u;s)))du\right\}, \ \Phi(t;0) = 1. \tag{2}
$$

The proof is given in [\[26\]](#page-16-10) and in the more general multitype case in [\[14\]](#page-16-4).

For the intensity of the Poisson process, we assume additionally the following condition

$$
r(t) \to \rho > 0, \quad t \to \infty. \tag{3}
$$

3 Basic assumptions and preliminary results

For the branching mechanism we assume that the offspring p.g.f. $f(s)$ has the following representation

$$
f(s) = s + (1 - s)^{\gamma + 1} L\left(\frac{1}{1 - s}\right), \quad s \in [0, 1), \tag{4}
$$

where $\gamma \in (0,1]$ and $L(.)$ is a function slowly varying at infinity (s.v.f.). Thus, the process $Z(t)$, $t \geq 0$, is critical. If $\gamma < 1$ the the offspring variance in infinite.

Comment 3.1. Let us note that if $\gamma = 1$ and $L(t) \rightarrow b$ then the offspring variance is finite. The results for this case follows directly from the corresponding results for the multitype Markov processes with non-homogeneous Poisson immigration studied in [\[14\]](#page-16-4). If $\gamma = 1$ the offspring variance can also be infinite, depending on the properties of the slowly varying function $L(.)$.

The process has an invariant measure whose p.g.f. $U(s)$ is given by

$$
U(s) = \int_0^s \frac{du}{f(u) - u}, \quad 0 \le s \le 1.
$$

The Kolmogorov backward equation [\(1\)](#page-2-0) can be written as follows

$$
\int_{s}^{F(t;s)} \frac{du}{f(u)-u} = \mu t.
$$

This leads to $U(F(t; s)) = U(s) + \mu t$. Denote by

$$
V(x) = U\left(1 - \frac{1}{x}\right) = \int_0^{1 - 1/x} \frac{du}{f(u) - u}, \quad x \ge 1,
$$

and let $W(y)$ be the inverse function of $V(x)$. Using the above relations we get

$$
\frac{1}{1 - F(t; s)} = W\left(\mu t + V\left(\frac{1}{1 - s}\right)\right), \quad s \in [0, 1),\tag{5}
$$

Substituting $s = 0$ in the above equation we get

$$
\frac{1}{1 - F(t; 0)} = W(\mu t). \tag{6}
$$

For $V(x)$ one has

$$
V(x) = \int_1^x \frac{u^{\gamma - 1}}{L(u)} du, x \ge 1.
$$

So $V(x)$ is regularly varying with exponent γ . Then its inverse $W(y)$ is regularly varying with exponent $1/\gamma$, and from [\(6\)](#page-4-0) we obtain that

$$
1 - F(t;0) \sim t^{-1/\gamma} L_1(t), \quad t \to \infty,
$$
\n⁽⁷⁾

where $L_1(t)$ is a slowly varying at infinity function. Let us note that $V(x)$ is increasing and $W(y)$ is also increasing (see e.g. [\[15\]](#page-16-7), [\[16\]](#page-16-8)).

For the p.g.f. of the immigrants we will assume that

$$
g(s) = 1 - (1 - s)^{\alpha} l\left(\frac{1}{1 - s}\right), \quad s \in (0, 1)
$$
 (8)

where $\alpha \in (0,1]$ and $l(x)$ is a function slowly varying at infinity.

Comment 3.2. If $\alpha \in (0,1)$ the mean number of immigrants is infinite. In the case when $\alpha = 1$ the mean number of immigrants can be infinite or finite depending on the s.v.f. $l(.)$. If $\alpha = 1$, and $l(x) \to m \in (0, \infty)$, then $E[I_k] = m$ is finite.

Let us denote

$$
\Psi(x) = \frac{1}{1 - g(1 - \frac{1}{x})} = \frac{x^{\alpha}}{l(x)}, \ x \ge 1.
$$

The function $\Psi(.)$ is non decreasing in $[1,\infty)$. Let us denote by $\overleftarrow{\Psi}(x), x \geq 1$, its inverse function. It is also non-decreasing in $[1,\infty)$.

Then $g(s)$ can be written in the following form

$$
g(s) = 1 - \frac{1}{\Psi\left(\frac{1}{1-s}\right)}, \quad s \in [0, 1].
$$

Further for convenience we will denote (see also [\(5\)](#page-4-1))

$$
q(t;s) := 1 - g(F(t;s)) = (1 - F(t;s))^{\alpha} l\left(\frac{1}{1 - F(t;s)}\right)
$$

$$
= \left[W\left(\mu t + V\left(\frac{1}{1 - s}\right)\right)\right]^{-\alpha} l\left(W\left(\mu t + V\left(\frac{1}{1 - s}\right)\right)\right)
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{\Psi\left(W\left(\mu t + V\left(\frac{1}{1 - s}\right)\right)\right)}.
$$
(9)

4 Probability for non-visiting the state zero

In this section we derive asymptotic formulas for the probability for nonvisiting zero. Let us denote

$$
\mathbf{P}\{Y(t) > 0\} = 1 - \Phi(t; 0) = 1 - \exp(-I(t)),\tag{10}
$$

where

$$
I(t) = \int_0^t r(t - u)(1 - g(F(u; 0)))du.
$$

From [\(9\)](#page-5-0) with $s = 0$ and [\(7\)](#page-4-2) it follows that

$$
q(t) = q(t; 0) = 1 - g(F(t; 0)) = \frac{1}{\Psi(W(\mu t))}
$$

=
$$
(1 - F(t; 0))^{\alpha} l\left(\frac{1}{1 - F(t; 0)}\right) \sim t^{-\alpha/\gamma} L_Q(t),
$$

where $L_Q(t)$ is a s.v.f. at infinity. Let $Q(t) = \int_0^t$ $\boldsymbol{0}$ $q(u)du$.

Theorem 4.1. Assume the conditions[\(3\)](#page-3-0), [\(4\)](#page-3-1), and [\(8\)](#page-4-3) hold. (i) If $Q(t) \to \infty$, $t \to \infty$, then

$$
\mathbf{P}\{Y(t) > 0\} \to 1, \ t \to \infty. \tag{11}
$$
\n(ii) If $Q = \int_0^\infty q(u) du < \infty$ then

\n
$$
\mathbf{P}\{Y(t) > 0\} \to 1 - e^{-\rho Q}, \ t \to \infty.
$$

Proof. (i) Let $\delta > 0$. There exists $T = T(\delta) > 0$ such that for every $t \geq T$, $\rho(1-\delta) \leq r(t) \leq \rho(1+\delta)$. Then

$$
I(t) = \int_0^t r(u)q(t-u)du = \int_0^T + \int_T^t I_1(t) + I_2(t).
$$

For $I_2(t)$ we have for $t > T$

$$
I_2(t) = \int_T^t r(u)q(t-u)du \leq \rho(1 \pm \delta) \int_0^{t-T} q(u)du \sim \rho(1 \pm \delta)Q(t), t \to \infty.
$$

On the other hand

$$
0 \le I_1(t) = \int_0^T r(u)q(t-u)du \le q(t-T). \int_0^T r(u)du = o(Q(t)), t \to \infty.
$$

Using the fact that $\delta > 0$ was arbitrary we obtain that

$$
I(t) = I_1(t) + I_2(t) \sim \rho. Q(t) \to \infty, t \to \infty.
$$

Now from [\(10\)](#page-5-1) we obtain [\(11\)](#page-6-0).

(ii) The proof of this case is similar to the proof of case (i), we only have to note that in this case $I(t) \to \rho.Q$, $t \to \infty$. \Box

5 Limit distributions

We will use the following representation (see (2)),

$$
\Phi(t; s) = \exp(-I(t; s)), \text{ where } I(t; s) = \int_0^t r(t - u)q(u; s)du. \tag{12}
$$

Note that we will apply some well-known properties of the regularly varying and slowly varying functions which can be found in [\[3,](#page-15-9) [6\]](#page-15-10).

Theorem 5.1. Assume the conditions (3) , (4) , and (8) hold.

(i) If $tq(t) \rightarrow \infty, t \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbf{E} \left[e^{-\frac{\lambda Y(t)}{\overline{\Psi}(\rho t)}} \right] = e^{-\lambda^{\alpha}}
$$

,

which is the Laplace transform of a one sided stable distribution $D_{\alpha}(x)$ and

 $1 - D_{\alpha}(x) \sim x^{-\alpha}/\Gamma(1-\alpha), \quad x \to \infty.$

(ii) If $tq(t) \to C \in (0,\infty), t \to \infty$, then

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbf{E} \left[e^{-\frac{\lambda Y(t)}{W(t)}} \right] = (1 + \lambda^{\gamma})^{-C\rho},
$$

which is the Laplace transform of a distribution function $G_{\gamma}(x)$ belonging to a normal domain of attraction of a stable law with parameter γ

$$
1 - G_{\gamma}(x) \sim x^{-\gamma} C p/\Gamma(1 - \gamma), \quad x \to \infty.
$$

(iii) If $Q = \int_0^{\infty} q(t)dt < \infty$ then

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbf{E} \left[s^{Y(t)} | Y(t) > 0 \right] = H(s) = 1 - \frac{1 - \exp[-\rho \Delta(s)]}{1 - \exp[-\rho Q]},
$$

where

$$
\Delta(s) = \int_0^\infty q(t; s)dt, s \in [0, 1].
$$

(iv) If $tq(t) \to 0$ but $\int_0^\infty q(t)dt = \infty$ then

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(\frac{A(Y(t))}{A(W(t))} \le x\right) = x, \text{ for } x \in (0, 1),
$$

where $A(x) = \exp\left(\int_0^{V(x)} \frac{du}{\Psi(W(u))}\right)$.

Proof. (i) Note that the condition $tq(t) = t^{1-\alpha/\gamma} L_Q(t) \to \infty$ is equivalent to the condition $\{(\alpha < \gamma) \vee (\alpha = \gamma, L_Q(t) \to \infty)\}\.$ Since in this case $\alpha \leq \gamma$ then by Theorem 3.1 $P(Y(t) > 0) \rightarrow 1, t \rightarrow \infty$. Denote $s(t) = e^{-\lambda/\overline{\Psi}(\rho t)}$. For $\delta \in (0,1)$ we consider

$$
I(t; s(t)) = \int_0^t r(t - u)q(u; s(t))du = \int_0^{t\delta} + \int_{t\delta}^t I_1(t; s(t)) + I_2(t; s(t)).
$$

Since $q(t; s)$ is non increasing in $t \geq 0$ we have

 $q(t; s(t))R(t(1 - \delta)) \leq I_2(t; s(t)) \leq q(t\delta; s(t))R(t(1 - \delta)),$

where
$$
R(t) = \int_0^t r(u)du \sim \rho t, t \to \infty
$$
. Further we have that $1 - s(t) \sim \frac{\lambda}{\frac{\lambda}{\Psi(\rho t)}}, t \to \infty$ and from $q(t) \sim \frac{1}{\Psi(W(\mu t))}$ one has $\mu t \sim V\left(\frac{\lambda}{\Psi}\left(\frac{1}{q(t)}\right)\right)$.
Then as $t \to \infty$,

$$
\frac{\mu t}{V\left(\frac{1}{1-s(t)}\right)} \sim \frac{V\left(\overleftarrow{\Psi}\left(\frac{1}{q(t)}\right)\right)}{V\left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\Psi}\left(\rho t\right)}{\lambda}\right)} \sim \lambda^{-\gamma} \frac{V\left(\overleftarrow{\Psi}\left(\frac{1}{q(t)}\right)\right)}{V\left(\overleftarrow{\Psi}\left(\rho t\right)\right)} \sim \lambda^{-\gamma} \frac{1}{[q(t)\rho t]^{\gamma/\alpha}} \to 0.
$$

From this relation, using the uniform convergence of regularly varying functions we get that as $t \to \infty$,

$$
q(t; s(t))R(t(1 - \delta)) = \frac{R(t(1 - \delta))}{\Psi\left(W\left(V\left(\frac{1}{1 - s(t)}\right)\left(\frac{\mu t}{V\left(\frac{1}{1 - s(t)}\right)} + 1\right)\right)\right)}
$$

\$\sim \frac{\rho t(1 - \delta)}{\Psi\left(W\left(V\left(\frac{1}{1 - s(t)}\right)\right)\right)} \sim \frac{\rho t(1 - \delta)}{\Psi\left(\frac{1}{1 - s(t)}\right)} \sim \frac{\rho t(1 - \delta)}{\Psi\left(\frac{\Psi(\rho t)}{\lambda}\right)}

In the same way one has that

$$
q(t\delta; s(t))\rho t(1-\delta) \to \lambda^{\alpha}(1-\delta), \ t \to \infty.
$$

Notice that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and large enough t

$$
(\rho - \varepsilon) \int_0^{t\delta} q(u; s(t)) du \le I_1(t; s(t)) \le (\rho + \varepsilon) \int_0^{t\delta} q(u; s(t)) du
$$

Having in mind that $W(.)$ is increasing and $V(x) > 0$ for any $x \ge 1$, we get

$$
\int_0^{t\delta} q(u; s(t)) du = \int_0^{t\delta} \frac{du}{\Psi\left(W\left(\mu u + V\left(\frac{1}{1-s(t)}\right)\right)\right)} \leq \int_0^{t\delta} \frac{du}{\Psi\left(W\left(V\left(\frac{1}{1-s(t)}\right)\right)\right)} \sim \frac{t\delta\lambda^\alpha}{\Psi\left(\Psi(\rho t)\right)} \sim \delta\lambda^\alpha t \frac{1}{\rho t} = \delta\lambda^\alpha/\rho.
$$

Therefore

$$
(1 - \delta)\lambda^{\alpha} \leq \liminf_{t \to \infty} I(t; s(t)) \leq \limsup_{t \to \infty} I(t; s(t)) \leq (1 - \delta)\lambda^{\alpha} + \delta\lambda^{\alpha}(\rho + \varepsilon)/\rho.
$$

Since δ was arbitrary then as $\delta \to 0$, $\lim_{t\to\infty} I(t; s(t)) = \lambda^{\alpha}$, which together with [\(12\)](#page-6-1) completes the proof of this case.

(ii) Note that in this case $\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} = 1$. Denote by $s(t) = e^{-\lambda/W(\mu t)}$ and choose $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ fixed. Then

$$
1 - s(t) \in \left((1 - \varepsilon) \frac{\lambda}{W(\mu t)}; (1 + \varepsilon) \frac{\lambda}{W(\mu t)} \right),
$$

for every t large enough and there exists $T > 0$ such that

$$
\rho(1-\varepsilon) \le r(t) \le \rho(1+\varepsilon), \quad \text{ for every } t \ge T.
$$

Since $q(t; s)$ is non increasing for $s \in [0, 1]$ we have for every t large enough and $u \in [0, t]$ that

$$
q\left(u; 1 - \frac{\lambda(1+\varepsilon)}{W(\mu t)}\right) \le q\left(u; s(t)\right) \le q\left(u; 1 - \frac{\lambda(1-\varepsilon)}{W(\mu t)}\right).
$$

Let

$$
I(t; s(t)) = \int_0^t r(t - u)q(u; s(t))du = \int_0^{t-T} + \int_{t-T}^t = I_1(t; s(t)) + I_2(t; s(t)).
$$

Then for $I_1(t; s(t))$ we get

$$
\int_0^{t-T} r(t-u)q\left(u; 1 - \frac{\lambda(1+\varepsilon)}{W(\mu t)}\right) du \le I_1(t; s(t))
$$

$$
\le \int_0^{t-T} r(t-u)q\left(u; 1 - \frac{\lambda(1-\varepsilon)}{W(\mu t)}\right) du.
$$

Using [\(9\)](#page-5-0) and that $V(.)$ and $W(.)$ are inverse to each other, $V(.)$ varies regularly with exponent γ , $\Psi(.)$ varies regularly with exponent α , and $\alpha = \gamma$, one gets

$$
q\left(u; 1 - \frac{\lambda(1 \pm \varepsilon)}{W(\mu t)}\right) = \frac{1}{\Psi\left(W\left(\mu u + V\left(\frac{W(\mu t)}{\lambda(1 \pm \varepsilon)}\right)\right)\right)}
$$

\$\sim\$
$$
\frac{1}{\Psi\left(W\left(\mu u + \frac{\mu t}{(\lambda(1 \pm \varepsilon))^{\gamma}}\right)\right)} \sim \frac{1}{\Psi\left(W(\mu t)\left(\frac{u}{t} + \frac{1}{(\lambda(1 \pm \varepsilon))^{\gamma}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}\right)}
$$

\$\sim\$
$$
\frac{1}{\Psi\left(W(\mu t)\right)\left(\frac{u}{t} + \frac{1}{(\lambda(1 \pm \varepsilon))^{\gamma}}\right)} = q(t)\left(\frac{u}{t} + \frac{1}{(\lambda(1 \pm \varepsilon))^{\gamma}}\right)^{-1}.
$$

Here we use the uniform convergence of slowly varying functions. Now for every t large enough it follows that

$$
\rho(1-\varepsilon)tq(t)\frac{1}{t}\int_0^{t-T}\left(\frac{u}{t}+\frac{1}{(\lambda(1-\varepsilon))^{\gamma}}\right)^{-1}du
$$

$$
\leq I_1(t;s(t))\leq \rho(1+\varepsilon)tq(t)\frac{1}{t}\int_0^{t-T}\left(\frac{u}{t}+\frac{1}{(\lambda(1+\varepsilon))^{\gamma}}\right)^{-1}du.
$$
 (13)

Substituting $v = \frac{u}{t}$ $\frac{u}{t}$ and letting $t \to \infty$ one gets

$$
\frac{1}{t} \int_0^{t-T} \left(\frac{u}{t} + \frac{1}{(\lambda(1 \pm \varepsilon))^{\gamma}} \right)^{-1} du
$$
\n
$$
= \int_0^{1-T/t} \left(v + \frac{1}{(\lambda(1 \pm \varepsilon))^{\gamma}} \right)^{-1} dv \to \int_0^1 \left(v + \frac{1}{(\lambda(1 \pm \varepsilon))^{\gamma}} \right)^{-1} dv.
$$

Having in mind that $tq(t) \to C \in (0, \infty)$ it follows that

$$
\rho(1-\varepsilon)C\int_0^1 \left(\frac{u}{t} + \frac{1}{(\lambda(1-\varepsilon))^{\gamma}}\right)^{-1}
$$

\n
$$
\leq \liminf_{t \to \infty} I_1(t; s(t)) \leq \limsup_{t \to \infty} I_1(t; s(t))
$$

\n
$$
\leq \rho(1+\varepsilon)C\int_0^1 \left(\frac{u}{t} + \frac{1}{(\lambda(1+\varepsilon))^{\gamma}}\right)^{-1}.
$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary then we get

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} I_1(t; s(t)) = \rho C \int_0^1 \left(v + \frac{1}{\lambda^{\gamma}} \right)^{-1} dv = \log(1 + \lambda^{\gamma})^{\rho C}.
$$

For $I_2(t; s(t))$ one gets

$$
I_2(t; s(t)) = \int_{t-T}^t r(t-u)q(u; s(t))du \le q(t) \int_0^T r(u)du \to 0, t \to \infty.
$$

Therefore

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} I(t; s(t)) = \log(1 + \lambda^{\gamma})^{\rho C}
$$

which together with [\(12\)](#page-6-1) completes the convergence to the Laplace transform $\varphi(\lambda) = (1 + \lambda^{\gamma})^{-C\rho}$. Since

$$
\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda x} (1 - G_\gamma(x)) dx = \lambda^{-1} (1 - \varphi(\lambda)) \sim \rho C \lambda^{\gamma - 1}, \lambda \to 0,
$$

then by the Tauberian theorem (see [\[6\]](#page-15-10), Ch. XIII, Theorem 5.4) one obtains the statement of this case.

(iii) Since $q(t; s) \leq q(t)$ then $\Delta(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ $\boldsymbol{0}$ $q(u; s)du < \infty$ for $s \in [0, 1].$ Let $\delta \in (0,1)$ be fixed and

$$
I(t; s) = \int_0^t r(t - u)q(u; s) du = \int_0^{t\delta} + \int_{t\delta}^t = I_1(t; s) + I_2(t; s).
$$

Let $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ be fixed. Then for t large enough one has

$$
\rho(1-\varepsilon)\int_0^{t\delta}q(u;s)du\leq I_1(t;s)\leq\rho(1+\varepsilon)\int_0^{t\delta}q(u;s)du.
$$

Therefore

$$
\rho(1-\varepsilon)\Delta(s) \le \liminf_{t \to \infty} I_1(t;s) \le \limsup_{t \to \infty} I_1(t;s) \le \rho(1+\varepsilon)\Delta(s).
$$

On the other hand for $I_2(t; s)$ we obtain

$$
0 \le I_2(t; s) = \int_{t\delta}^t r(t - u)q(u; s) du
$$

\n
$$
\le q(t) \int_0^{t(1-\delta)} r(u) du \sim q(t)t(1-\delta) \sup_{0 \le x \le t(1-\delta)} r(x) \to 0, t \to \infty.
$$

Since ε was arbitrary then we conclude that $\lim_{t\to\infty} I(t; s) = \rho \Delta(s)$, which is equivalent to $\lim_{t\to\infty} \Phi(t,s) = \exp(-r\Delta(s))$ (see [\(12\)](#page-6-1)). Then from

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[s^{Y(t)}|Y(t) > 0\right] = 1 - \frac{1 - \Phi(t, s)}{1 - \Phi(t, 0)}
$$

with Theorem [4.1](#page-6-2) (ii) one obtains the result.

(iv) Introduce

$$
B(x) = \exp\left(\int_0^x \frac{du}{\Psi(W(u))}\right) = \exp\left(\int_0^x \frac{uq(u/\mu)}{u} du\right).
$$

Since $tq(t) \rightarrow 0, t \rightarrow \infty$, then $B(x)$ is slowly varying at infinity and $B(x)$ is nondecreasing. Denote by $\overleftarrow{B}(x)$ the inverse function of $B(x)$. Clearly $A(x) = B(V(x))$. Let us consider the integral (see [\(2\)](#page-3-2) and [\(9\)](#page-5-0))

$$
I(t; s(t)) = \int_0^t r(t-u)q(u; s(t))du,
$$

where $s(t) = \exp(-\lambda/W(\overleftarrow{B}(\sigma B(t))))$ for $0 < \sigma < 1, \lambda > 0, t \ge 0$. Let $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ be fixed. There exists $T > 0$ such that for every $t \geq T$, one has $\rho(1-\varepsilon) \leq r(t) \leq \rho(1+\varepsilon)$. Then

$$
I(t; s(t)) = \int_0^{t-T} + \int_{t-T}^t = I_1(t; s(t)) + I_2(t; s(t)).
$$

For every $t\geq T$ one has

$$
\rho(1-\varepsilon)J(t;s(t)) \leq I_1(t;s(t)) \leq \rho(1+\varepsilon)J(t;s(t)),
$$

where (see also (9))

$$
J(t; s(t)) := \int_0^{t-T} q(u; s(t)) du = \int_0^{t-T} \frac{du}{\Psi \left[W \left(\mu u + V \left(\frac{1}{1-s(t)}\right)\right)\right]}
$$

= $\log B \left(\mu u + V \left(\frac{1}{1-s(t)}\right)\right)\Big|_0^{t-T} = \log \frac{B \left(\mu(t-T) + V \left(\frac{1}{1-s(t)}\right)\right)}{B \left(V \left(\frac{1}{1-s(t)}\right)\right)}.$

For $1 - s(t)$ one has that

$$
1 - s(t) = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{W(\overleftarrow{B}(\sigma B(t)))}\right) \sim \frac{\lambda}{W(\overleftarrow{B}(\sigma B(t)))}, t \to \infty,
$$

because $W(\overleftarrow{B}(\sigma B(t))) \to \infty$ for every fixed $\sigma \in (0,1)$. Recall that $V(.)$ and $W(.)$ are inverse to each other and $V(.)$ varies regularly with exponent $\gamma \in (0,1]$, we get

$$
V\left(\frac{1}{1-s(t)}\right) \sim V\left(\frac{W(\overleftarrow{B}(\sigma B(t)))}{\lambda}\right) \sim \frac{\overleftarrow{B}(\sigma B(t))}{\lambda^{\gamma}}, t \to \infty.
$$

Therefore

$$
B\left(V\left(\frac{1}{1-s(t)}\right)\right) \sim B\left(\frac{\overleftarrow{B}(\sigma B(t))}{\lambda^{\gamma}}\right) \sim \sigma B(t), t \to \infty,
$$

because $B(x)$ is a slowly varying function at infinity. Further we have

$$
B\left(\mu(t-T)+V\left(\frac{1}{1-s(t)}\right)\right)\sim B\left(t-T+\frac{\overleftarrow{B}(\sigma B(t))}{\mu\lambda^{\gamma}}\right).
$$

By the mean value theorem one has

$$
B\left(t - T + \frac{\overleftarrow{B}(\sigma B(t))}{\mu \lambda^{\gamma}}\right) - B(t) = B'(\xi_t) \left[\frac{\overleftarrow{B}(\sigma B(t))}{\mu \lambda^{\gamma}} - T\right],
$$

where $\xi_t \in \left(t, t + \frac{\overleftarrow{B}(\sigma B(t))}{\mu \lambda^{\gamma}} - T\right)$. Having in mind that $B'(x) = \frac{B(x)}{\Psi(W(x))}$
we get

$$
B\left(t - T + \frac{\overleftarrow{B}(\sigma B(t))}{\mu \lambda^{\gamma}}\right) - B(t) = \frac{B(\xi_t)}{\Psi(W(\xi_t))} \left[\frac{\overleftarrow{B}(\sigma B(t))}{\mu \lambda^{\gamma}} - T\right]\right]
$$

$$
\leq \frac{B(t + \frac{\overleftarrow{B}(B(t))}{\mu \lambda^{\gamma}})}{\Psi(W(t))} \frac{\overleftarrow{B}(B(t))}{\mu \lambda^{\gamma}} = \frac{B(t + \frac{t}{\mu \lambda^{\gamma}})}{\Psi(W(t))} \frac{\overleftarrow{B}(B(t))}{\mu \lambda^{\gamma}}
$$

$$
= B(t(1 + \frac{1}{\mu \lambda^{\gamma}})) \frac{tq(t/\mu)}{\mu \lambda^{\gamma}},
$$

because $\Psi(W(x)) = \frac{1}{q(x/\mu)}, B(.)$, and resp. $\overleftarrow{B}(.)$ are nondecreasing. Therefore,

$$
B(t) \le B\left(t - T + \frac{\overleftarrow{B}(\sigma B(t))}{\mu \lambda^{\gamma}}\right) \le B(t(1 + \frac{1}{\mu \lambda^{\gamma}}))\left(1 + \frac{tq(t/\mu)}{\mu \lambda^{\gamma}}\right),
$$

and for large enough t we have

$$
\log \frac{B(t)}{\sigma B(t)} \le J(t; s(t)) \le \log \frac{B(t(1 + \frac{1}{\mu \lambda^{\gamma}}))(1 + \frac{tq(t/\mu)}{\mu \lambda^{\gamma}})}{\sigma B(t)}
$$

or

$$
\log \frac{1}{\sigma} \le J(t; s(t)) \le \log \frac{1}{\sigma} + \log \frac{B(t(1 + \frac{1}{\mu \lambda^{\gamma}}))(1 + \frac{tq(t/\mu)}{\mu \lambda^{\gamma}})}{B(t)}.
$$

Having in mind that $B(t)$ is a s.v.f. and $tq(t) \rightarrow 0, t \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} J(t; s(t)) = -\log \sigma,
$$

and then

$$
\rho(1-\varepsilon)(-\log \sigma) \leq \liminf_{t \to \infty} I_1(t; s(t)) \leq \limsup_{t \to \infty} I_1(t; s(t)) \leq \rho(1+\varepsilon)(-\log \sigma).
$$

For $I_2(t; s(t))$ we get

$$
I_2(t; s(t)) = \int_{t-T}^t r(t-u)q(u; s(t))du \le q(t-T) \int_0^T r(u)du \to 0, t \to \infty.
$$

Since ε was arbitrary it follows that

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} I(t; s(t)) = -\log \sigma, \quad \sigma \in (0, 1).
$$

Therefore (see [\(12\)](#page-6-1))

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \Phi(t; s(t)) = \sigma, \quad \sigma \in (0, 1),
$$

which implies that for every $x > 0$

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{Y(t)}{W(\overleftarrow{B}(\sigma B(t)))} \leq x\right) = \sigma,
$$

that is

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{Y(t)}{W(\overleftarrow{B}(\sigma B(t)))} \le 1\right) = \sigma.
$$

By the following chain of equalities

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(\frac{Y(t)}{W(\overleftarrow{B}(\sigma B(t)))} \le 1\right) = \mathbf{P}\left(Y(t) \le W(\overleftarrow{B}(\sigma B(t)))\right)
$$
\n
$$
= \mathbf{P}\left(B(V(Y(t))) \le B(V(W(\overleftarrow{B}(\sigma B(t)))))\right)
$$
\n
$$
= \mathbf{P}\left(B(V(Y(t))) \le \sigma B(t)\right) = \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{B(V(Y(t)))}{B(t)} \le \sigma\right)
$$
\n
$$
= \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{B(V(Y(t)))}{B(V(W(t)))} \le \sigma\right) = \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{A(Y(t))}{A(W(t))} \le \sigma\right),
$$

we complete the proof.

References

- [1] Athreya, K.; Ney, P. Branching Processes, Springer:Berlin, 1972.
- [2] Badalbaev, I.S.; Rahimov, I. Non-homogeneous Flows of Branching Processes, FAN:Tashkent, 1993 (In Russian).
- [3] Bingham, C.; Goldie, C.; Teugels, J. Regular variation, Cambridge university press: Cambridge, 1987.
- [4] Barczy, M.; Bezdany, D.; Pap, G. Asymptotic behaviour of critical decomposable 2-type Galton-Watson processes with immigration. Stochastic Processes Appl. 2023, 160, 318-350.
- [5] Durham, S. A problem concerning generalized age-dependent branching processes with immigration, Annals of Mathematical Statistics. 1971, 42, 1121-1123.
- [6] Feller, W. An introduction to probability theory and its applications, vol.2, 2nd ed., Wiley: New York, 1971.
- [7] Foster, J.; Williamson, J. Limit theorems for the Galton-Watson process with time-dependent immigration. Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Geb. 1976, 20, 227-235.
- [8] Gonzalez,M.; Kersting, G.; Minuesa, C.; del Puerto, I. Branching processes in varying environment with generation-dependent immigration. Stochastic Models. 2019, 35, 148-166.
- [9] Harris, T. The Theory of Branching Processes, Dover Publications: New York, 1989.
- [10] Hyrien, O.; Peslak, S.; Yanev, N.M.; Palis, J. Stochastic modeling of stress erythropoiesis using a two-type age-dependent branching process with immigration. Journal of Mathematical Biology. 2015, 70, 1485- 1521.
- [11] Hyrien, O.; Yanev, N. M. Age-dependent branching processes with non-homogeneous Poisson immigration as models of cell kinetics, In Modeling and Inference in Biomedical Sciences: In Memory of Andrei Yakovlev, eds. D. Oakes, W. J. Hall, A. Almudevar, Springer Nature, 21-46, (2020)
- [12] Imomov, A.A.; Tukhtaev, E.E. On asymptotic structure of critical Galton-Watson branching processes allowing immigration with infinite variance. Stochastic Models, 2023, 39, 118-140.
- [13] Kolmogorov, A.N.; Dmitriev, N. Branching stochastic processes. Proceedings of AS-SSSR. 1947, 56, 7-10.
- [14] Mitov, K.V.; Yanev, N.M.; Hyrien, O. Multitype branching processes with non-homogeneous Poisson immigration. J. Appl. Prob. 2019, 51A, 211-228.
- [15] Pakes, A.G. Some new limit theorems for critical branching processes allowing immigration. Stochastic Processes and their Applications. 1975, 3, 175-185.
- [16] Pakes, A.G. Critical Markov branching processes limit theorems allowing infinite variance. Adv. Appl. Prob. 2010, 42, 460–488.
- [17] Rahimov, I. Critical branching processes with infinite variance and decreasing immigration. Theory Probab. Appl. 1986, 31(1), 98-110.
- [18] Rahimov, I. Critical branching processes with infinite variance and increasing immigration. Math. Notes. 1993, 53(6), 628-634.
- [19] Rahimov, I. Random Sums and Branching Stochastic Processes; Springer: New York, 1995.
- [20] Rahimov, I. Homogeneous Branching processes with non-homogeneous immigration. Stochastics and Quality Control 2021, 36, 165-183.
- [21] Sagitov, S. Critical branching processes with several particle types and immigration. Theory Probab. Appl. 1982, 27(2), 369-374.
- [22] Sevastyanov, B.A. Limit theorems for branching random processes of special type. Theory Probab. Appl. 1957, 2(3), 321-331.
- [23] Sevastyanov, B.A. Branching Processes, Nauka, Moscow, 1971 (in Russian).
- [24] Slavtchova-Bojkova, M.; Hyrien, O.; Yanev, N.M. Poisson random measures and supercritical multitype Markov branching processes. Stochastic Models. 2023, 39, 141-160.
- [25] Slavtchova-Bojkova, M.; Hyrien, O.; Yanev, N.M. Subcritical multitype Markov branching processes with immigration generated by Poisson random measures. Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods. 2023, https://doi.org/10.1080/15326349.2021.2016446.
- [26] Yakovlev, A.Y.; Yanev, N.M. Branching stochastic processes with immigration in analysis of renewing cell populations. Mathematical Biosciences. 2006, 203, 37-63.

[27] Yanev, N.M.; Mitov, K.V. Critical branching processes with nonhomogeneous migration. Annals of Probability 1985, 13. 923-933.