

# Extremal chemical graphs of maximum degree at most 3 for 33 degree-based topological indices

Sébastien Bonte<sup>1</sup>, Gauvain Devillez<sup>1</sup>, Valentin Dusollier<sup>1</sup>,  
Alain Hertz<sup>2</sup>, Hadrien Mélot<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Computer Science Department - Algorithms Lab  
University of Mons, Mons, Belgium

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics and Industrial Engineering  
Polytechnique Montréal - Gerad, Montréal, Canada  
Corresponding author. Email: alain.hertz@gerad.ca

---

**Abstract.** *We consider chemical graphs that are defined as connected graphs of maximum degree at most 3. We characterize the extremal graphs, meaning those that maximize or minimize 33 degree-based topological indices. This study shows that five graph families are sufficient to characterize the extremal graphs of 29 of these 33 indices. In other words, the extremal properties of this set of degree-based topological indices vary very little.*

*Keywords:* chemical graphs, Randić index, degree-based topological index, extremal graphs.

---

## 1 Introduction

Chemical graphs provide a powerful tool for modeling molecular structures. These graphs, where vertices represent atoms and edges represent bonds, allow researchers to investigate various chemical and physical properties of molecules through graph-theoretical concepts.

According to Patrick Fowler [21]: “The definition of chemical graphs that is useful depends on context. Two definitions appropriate to different kinds of carbon framework can be found in the literature. The graphs that can be regarded as skeletons of saturated hydrocarbons (such as alkanes), are connected and have maximum degree  $\Delta$  at most 4. If instead the interest is in (unsaturated) conjugated  $\pi$  systems, such as alkenes, polyenes, benzenoids, and fullerenes, the maximum degree should be at most 3, since a conjugated carbon atom participates in at most three single bonds.”

In this paper, we focus on the second definition of chemical graphs (where the maximum degree is at most 3) and explore the bounds on topological indices of such graphs. A topological index, or molecular descriptor, is a graph invariant used to study specific physicochemical properties of molecules. Among the most well-known indices is the Randić index, introduced by Milan Randić [38] in 1975, which has been widely used in quantitative structure-activity

relationship (QSAR) and quantitative structure-property relationship (QSPR) studies. Its value  $Ra(G)$  for a chemical graph  $G$  is defined as

$$Ra(G) = \sum_{vw \in E} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d(v)d(w)}},$$

where  $d(v)$  is the degree of vertex  $v$ . This is an example of so-called *degree-based* topological index, that is an index computed from the sum of the weights of the edges, each edge  $vw$  having a weight defined by a formula using the degrees of  $v$  and  $w$ .

As stated by Ivan Gutman [24], “Countless topological indices have been and are being proposed so far, in many cases without any examination if these correlate with any of the various physical properties, chemical reactivity or biological activity. To use a mild expression, today we have far too many such descriptors, and there seems to lack a firm criterion to stop or slow down their proliferation.”

In this paper, we consider 33 degree-based topological indices that we found in the literature (see Section 2) and whose extremal properties have given rise to scientific publications [1–5, 7–17, 19, 20, 22, 23, 26, 27, 29–35, 37, 39–45]. In the same spirit as Gutman’s words, we can wonder whether these indices are very different from each other. We provide a partial answer by analyzing the extremal properties of these indices. We use the word “partial” for several reasons. First, we are only interested in the *extremal* properties of topological indices and it could therefore be that various indices are distinguished by other properties of interest to chemists. Second, we only deal with chemical graphs of maximum degree at most 3. Finally, the list of topological indices studied in this article is not exhaustive, although we have tried to consider the most cited and studied in the scientific literature. Our conclusions will be clear: five families of chemical graphs are sufficient to characterize the vast majority of extremal chemical graphs of degree-based topological indices.

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph of *order*  $n = |V|$  and *size*  $m = |E|$ . The maximum degree of a graph  $G$  is denoted  $\Delta(G)$ . An edge with endpoints  $u$  and  $v$  of degree  $d(u) = i$  and  $d(v) = j$  is called an  $(i, j)$ -edge and is denoted  $uv$ . We denote  $x_{ij}$  the number of  $(i, j)$ -edges in  $G$  while  $n_i$  is the number of vertices in  $G$  of degree  $i$ . In what follows,  $K_n$ ,  $P_n$  and  $C_n$  denote the complete graph of order  $n$ , the path of order  $n$  and the cycle of order  $n$ , respectively.

In the next section, we give a precise definition of the chemical graphs considered in this paper and we give the list of 33 topological indices whose extremal properties are analyzed. Section 3 is dedicated to defining five families of chemical graphs which are sufficient to characterize the extremal graphs for a large majority of degree-based topological indices. Tools used in our proofs are given in Section 4, and a characterization of extremal chemical graphs for the 33 topological indices is given in Section 5.

## 2 Preliminaries

As mentioned in the previous section, we are interested in connected graphs of maximum degree at most 3. To avoid border effects, we will not consider small or dense graphs which have only few possible  $x_{ij}$  values. This is now explained in detail.

There are only 10 connected graphs of order  $n$  with  $1 \leq n \leq 4$ , Six of them, namely  $K_1, K_2, K_3, K_4, P_3$  and the diamond ( $K_4$  minus an edge), are the only ones having their order

and size. They therefore maximize and minimize any topological index of their order and size. The two pairs  $(n, m)$  with  $n \leq 4$  that have different chemical graphs of order  $n$  and size  $m$  are  $P_4$  and the star with 3 branches for  $(n, m) = (4, 3)$  and  $C_4$  and a triangle plus a pending vertex for  $(n, m) = (4, 4)$ . By restricting ourselves to connected graphs of maximum degree at most 3, it is not difficult to show that there are 10 such graphs of order  $n = 5$  and 29 ones of order  $n = 6$ . These can be obtained using *PHOEG* [18], *House of Graphs* [6] or *Nauty's geng* [36]. Hence, given any topological index, it is easy to determine which chemical graph of order  $n \leq 6$  has maximum or minimum value. From now on, we will therefore only consider connected graphs  $G$  of order at least 7, which implies  $x_{11} = 0$  and  $2 \leq \Delta(G) \leq 3$ .

**Definition 1.** A *degree-based topological index* is any function  $f$  of the form

$$f(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33}) = c_{12}x_{12} + c_{13}x_{13} + c_{22}x_{22} + c_{23}x_{23} + c_{33}x_{33},$$

where every  $c_{ij}$  is a real number.

By abuse of notation, for a graph  $G$ , we will write  $f(G)$  instead of  $f(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33})$ , where  $x_{ij}$  is the number of  $(i, j)$ -edges in  $G$ . For example, the Randić index (see Section 1) is the degree-based topological index with  $c_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{i_j}}$ .

Let's focus now on dense graphs. Since we restrict ourselves to graphs  $G$  of maximum degree at most 3, the size  $m$  of such graphs is at most  $\frac{3n}{2}$ : if  $m = \frac{3n}{2}$ , then  $x_{33} = m$  ( $G$  is 3-regular); if  $m = \frac{3n-1}{2}$ , then  $x_{23} = 2$  and  $x_{33} = m - 2$ ; if  $m = \frac{3n-2}{2}$ , then there are three possible cases:

- $x_{13} = 1$  and  $x_{33} = m - 1$ ;
- $x_{23} = 4$  and  $x_{33} = m - 4$ ;
- $x_{22} = 1$ ,  $x_{23} = 2$  and  $x_{33} = m - 3$ .

Hence, given a pair  $(n, m)$  with  $m \geq \frac{3n-2}{2}$ , and given any degree-based topological index  $f$ , it is not difficult to determine the  $x_{ij}$  values of the connected graphs of order  $n$ , size  $m$  and maximum degree at most 3 which maximize or minimize  $f$ .

From now on, when we talk about chemical graphs, we assume that we are not in the above extreme cases (i.e., very small or very dense graphs). More precisely, here is the definition of the chemical graphs studied in this paper.

**Definition 2.** A *chemical graph* is a connected graph of order  $n \geq 7$ , size  $m \leq \frac{3n-3}{2}$  and maximum degree at most 3.

It is important to specify here that although the results that we demonstrate are valid for chemical graphs as defined above, it is possible that these results are also valid for some connected graphs of maximum degree at most 3 and of order  $n < 7$  or size  $m > \frac{3n-3}{2}$ .

We found in the literature 33 degree-based topological indices. They are described in Table 1. Most of them, namely 28, appear in [25], the exceptions being ABSC which appears in [5], AG-GA, which appears in [42] and lnZagreb1, lnZagreb2 and lnZagreb3 which can be found in [39]. We are interested in the extremal properties of these indices. More precisely, given a topological index  $f$ , we aim to characterize the chemical graphs that maximize  $f$  and those that minimize  $f$ . For the 33 indices of Table 1, this gives potentially 66 families of chemical graphs. As will be shown, 5 families (instead of 58) are sufficient to characterize the extremal chemical graphs of 29 of the 33 topological indices.

**Definition 3.** Given a degree-based topological index  $f$  defined by  $c_{ij}$  values, its complement denoted  $\bar{f}$  is the degree-based topological index defined by  $-c_{ij}$  values.

Table 1: 33 Degree-based topological indices

Name	Short name	$c_{ij}$
Atom-bond connectivity index	ABC	$\sqrt{\frac{i+j-2}{ij}}$
Atom-bond sum-connectivity index	ABSC	$\sqrt{\frac{i+j-2}{i+j}}$
Albertson index	Albertson	$ i - j $
Arithmetic-geometric index	AG	$\frac{i+j}{2\sqrt{ij}}$
Difference between AG and GA	AG-GA	$\frac{i+j}{2\sqrt{ij}} - \frac{2\sqrt{ij}}{i+j}$
Extended index	Extended	$\frac{1}{2}(\frac{i}{j} + \frac{j}{i})$
Forgotten index	Forgotten	$i^2 + j^2$
Geometric-arithmetic index	GA	$\frac{2\sqrt{ij}}{i+j}$
First Gourava index	Gourava1	$i + j + ij$
Second Gourava index	Gourava2	$(i + j)ij$
First hyper-Gourava index	hGourava1	$(i + j + ij)^2$
Second hyper-Gourava index	hGourava2	$((i + j)ij)^2$
Gourava sum-connectivity index	GouravaSC	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{i+j+ij}}$
Gourava product-connectivity index	GouravaPC	$\sqrt{ij(i + j)}$
Harmonic index	Harmonic	$\frac{2}{i+j}$
Inverse degree index	InvDeg	$i^{-2} + j^{-2}$
Inverse sum of degree index	InvSumDeg	$\frac{ij}{i+j}$
Randić index	Randić	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{ij}}$
Reciprocal Randić index	rRandić	$\sqrt{ij}$
Sigma index	Sigma	$(i - j)^2$
Sombor index	Sombor	$\sqrt{i^2 + j^2}$
Reduced Sombor index	rSombor	$\sqrt{(i - 1)^2 + (j - 1)^2}$
Sum connectivity index	SumConn	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{i+j}}$
Reciprocal sum connectivity index	rSumConn	$\sqrt{i + j}$
First Zagreb index	Zagreb1	$i + j$
Second Zagreb index	Zagreb2	$ij$
Augmented Zagreb index	aZagreb	$(\frac{ij}{i+j-2})^3$
First hyper-Zagreb index	hZagreb1	$(i + j)^2$
Second hyper-Zagreb index	hZagreb2	$(ij)^2$
Nat. log. of the mult. sum Zagreb index	lnZagreb1	$\ln(i + j)$
Nat. log. of the first mult. Zagreb index	lnZagreb2	$2(\frac{\ln(i)}{i} + \frac{\ln(j)}{j})$
Nat. log. of the second mult. Zagreb index	lnZagreb3	$\ln(i) + \ln(j)$
Modified first Zagreb index	mZagreb	$i^{-3} + j^{-3}$

Determining chemical graphs with the *minimum* value for  $f$  is thus equivalent to determining chemical graphs with the *maximum* value for  $\bar{f}$ . In the subsequent proofs, we always aim to maximize the value of a topological index in Table 1 or its complement.

**Definition 4.** A chemical graph  $G$  is *extremal* for a degree-based topological index  $f$  if it maximizes  $f$  or  $\bar{f}$  over all chemical graphs of the same order and size as  $G$ .

### 3 Five families of chemical graphs

A chemical graph is characterized by five  $x_{ij}$  values, namely,  $x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}$  and  $x_{33}$ . We therefore have:

$$n_1 = x_{12} + x_{13} \tag{1}$$

$$n_2 = \frac{x_{12} + 2x_{22} + x_{23}}{2} \tag{2}$$

$$n_3 = \frac{x_{13} + x_{23} + 2x_{33}}{3} \tag{3}$$

$$n = n_1 + n_2 + n_3 = \frac{3}{2}x_{12} + \frac{4}{3}x_{13} + x_{22} + \frac{5}{6}x_{23} + \frac{2}{3}x_{33} \tag{4}$$

$$m = x_{12} + x_{13} + x_{22} + x_{23} + x_{33}. \tag{5}$$

We now define five families of chemical graphs. As will be shown, these are sufficient to characterize the extremal chemical graphs of 29 topological indices.

**Definition 5.**  $F_1$  is the set of chemical graphs with the following numbers  $x_{ij}$  of  $(i, j)$ -edges:

$x_{12}$	$x_{13}$	$x_{22}$	$x_{23}$	$x_{33}$	
0	$\frac{3n-2m}{2}$	0	0	$\frac{4m-3n}{2}$	if $n$ if even
0	$\frac{3n-2m-1}{2}$	0	2	$\frac{4m-3n-3}{2}$	if $n$ if odd

**Definition 6.**  $F_2$  is the set of chemical graphs with the following numbers  $x_{ij}$  of  $(i, j)$ -edges:

$x_{12}$	$x_{13}$	$x_{22}$	$x_{23}$	$x_{33}$	
2	0	$m - 2$	0	0	if $m = n - 1$
0	0	$m$	0	0	if $m = n$
0	0	$m - 5$	4	1	if $m = n + 1$
0	0	$3n - 2m - 1$	2	$3m - 3n - 1$	if $n + 1 < m \leq \frac{3n-3}{2}$

**Definition 7.**  $F_3$  is the set of chemical graphs with the following numbers  $x_{ij}$  of  $(i, j)$ -edges:

$x_{12}$	$x_{13}$	$x_{22}$	$x_{23}$	$x_{33}$	
0	$\frac{3n-2m}{2}$	0	0	$\frac{4m-3n}{2}$	if $n$ if even
1	$\frac{3n-2m-3}{2}$	0	1	$\frac{4m-3n-1}{2}$	if $n$ if odd

**Definition 8.**  $F_4$  is the set of chemical graphs with the following numbers  $x_{ij}$  of  $(i, j)$ -edges:

$x_{12}$	$x_{13}$	$x_{22}$	$x_{23}$	$x_{33}$	
2	0	$m - 2$	0	0	if $m = n - 1$
0	0	$6n - 5m$	$6m - 6n$	0	if $n \leq m < \frac{6n}{5}$
0	0	0	$6n - 4m$	$5m - 6n$	if $\frac{6n}{5} \leq m \leq \frac{3n-3}{2}$

**Definition 9.**  $F_5$  is the set of chemical graphs with the following numbers  $x_{ij}$  of  $(i, j)$ -edges:

$x_{12}$	$x_{13}$	$x_{22}$	$x_{23}$	$x_{33}$	
2	0	$m - 2$	0	0	if $m = n - 1$
0	0	$m$	0	0	if $m = n$
0	0	$m - 6$	6	0	if $m = n + 1$
0	0	$m - 5$	4	1	
0	0	$a$	$6n - 4m - 2a$	$5m - 6n + a$	if $n + 1 < m \leq \frac{3n-3}{2}$

where  $a$  is any integer such that  $\max\{0, 6n-5m\} \leq a \leq 3n-2m-1$  when  $n+1 < m \leq \frac{3n-3}{2}$ .

It is not difficult to show that for every  $x_{ij}$  values of the five families defined above, there is at least one chemical graph having  $x_{ij}$   $(i, j)$ -edges. This can be proved in several ways. The first approach is to use the necessary and sufficient conditions provided in Hansen *et al.* [28] for the existence of a simple connected graph with given  $x_{ij}$  values. These conditions for chemical graphs can be written as follows:

$$x_{33} \leq \frac{n_3(n_3-1)}{2} \quad \text{if } n_3 = 1, 2 \text{ or } 3, \quad (6)$$

$$x_{22} \leq \frac{n_2(n_2-1)}{2} \quad \text{if } n_2 = 1 \text{ or } 2, \quad (7)$$

$$x_{23} \leq n_2 n_3 \quad \text{if } n_2 = 1 \text{ or } 2 \text{ and } n_3 = 1, \quad (8)$$

$$x_{23} \geq \delta(n_2) + \delta(n_3) - 1, \quad (9)$$

$$x_{23} + x_{33} \geq n_3 + \delta(n_2) - 1, \quad (10)$$

$$x_{22} + x_{23} \geq n_2 + \delta(n_3) - 1, \quad (11)$$

$$x_{22} + x_{23} + x_{33} \geq n_2 + n_3 - 1. \quad (12)$$

where

$$\delta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \geq 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Condition (12) is equivalent to  $m - x_{12} - x_{13} \geq n - x_{12} - x_{13} - 1$ , which is equivalent to  $m \geq n - 1$ . In summary, given a pair  $(n, m)$  of integers such that  $n \geq 7$  and  $n - 1 \leq m \leq \frac{3n-3}{2}$ , and given  $x_{ij}$  values that satisfy conditions (1)-(5), we can state that there is a chemical graph of order  $n$  and size  $m$  with  $x_{ij}$   $(i, j)$ -edges if and only if conditions (6)-(11) are satisfied. This is now illustrated with family  $F_1$ .

Given  $x_{ij}$  values as in Definition 5, Equations (1), (2) and (3) give

$$\begin{cases} n_1 = \frac{3n-2m-(n \bmod 2)}{2}, \\ n_2 = n \bmod 2, \\ n_3 = \frac{2m-n-(n \bmod 2)}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Clearly,  $n = n_1 + n_2 + n_3$  and  $m = x_{12} + x_{13} + x_{22} + x_{23} + x_{33}$ , which means that conditions (1)-(5) are satisfied. Let's now prove that Constraints (6)-(11) are also satisfied. Note first that  $n \geq 7$  implies  $m \geq n - 1 \geq 6$ . Since  $3n_3 = m + x_{33}$ , we have  $n_3 \geq 2$ .

- If  $n_3 = 2$  then  $6 = m + x_{33} \geq 6 + x_{33}$  implies  $x_{33} < 1 = \frac{n_3(n_3-1)}{2}$ ; if  $n_3 = 3$ , then  $9 = m + x_{33} \geq 6 + x_{33}$  implies  $x_{33} \leq 3 = \frac{n_3(n_3-1)}{2}$ . Hence, Constraint (6) is satisfied.
- Since  $x_{22} = 0 \leq \frac{n_2(n_2-1)}{2}$  for  $n_2 = 1$  and  $2$ , Constraint (7) is satisfied.
- As mentioned above,  $n_3 \geq 2$  which implies that there is no Constraint (8).

- If  $n$  is even, then  $x_{23} = n_2 = \delta(n_2) = 0$ . Therefore,
  - $x_{23} = 0 \geq \delta(n_2) + \delta(n_3) - 1$ ;
  - Since  $m \geq n - 1$ , we have  $2x_{33} = 4m - 3n \geq m - 3$ . Hence,  $m - 3 + x_{33} \leq 3x_{33}$  which implies  $x_{23} + x_{33} = x_{33} \geq \frac{m+x_{33}-3}{3} = n_3 - 1 = n_3 + \delta(n_2) - 1$ ;
  - $x_{22} + x_{23} \geq n_2 + \delta(n_3) - 1$ .
 Hence, Constraints (9)-(11) are satisfied.
- If  $n$  is odd, then,  $x_{23} = 2$  and  $n_2 = \delta(n_2) = 1$ . Therefore,
  - $x_{23} = 2 > \delta(n_2) + \delta(n_3) - 1$ .
  - Since  $m \geq n - 1$ , we have  $2x_{33} = 4m - 3n - 3 \geq m - 6$ . Hence,  $m - 6 + x_{33} \leq 3x_{33}$  which implies  $x_{23} + x_{33} = 2 + x_{33} \geq \frac{m+x_{33}}{3} = n_3 = n_3 + \delta(n_2) - 1$ .
  - $x_{22} + x_{23} = 2 > n_2 + \delta(n_3) - 1$ .
 Hence, Constraints (9)-(11) are satisfied.

Another way of proving that a chemical graph exists for given  $x_{ij}$  values is to give an explicit construction for such a graph. For family  $F_1$ , for an even order  $n \geq 7$  and for  $m \geq n$ , one can for example consider the following construction (a similar one can be given for odd values of  $n$  and for  $m = n - 1$ ):

1. Construct a cycle on vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{m-\frac{n}{2}}$ , with edges  $v_i v_{i+1}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m - \frac{n}{2} - 1$ ) and  $v_1 v_{m-\frac{n}{2}}$ .
2. Add a matching with the  $m - n$  edges  $v_i v_{\lceil \frac{2m-n}{4} \rceil + i}$  ( $1 < i \leq m - n$ ). Let  $W$  be the set of endpoints of these edges.
3. For each  $v_i \notin W$ , add a pending vertex  $w_i$  adjacent to  $v_i$ .

The resulting graph belongs to  $F_1$ . Indeed, every  $v_i$  has degree 3 and every  $w_i$  has degree 1. We thus have  $x_{12} = x_{22} = x_{23} = 0$ . Moreover,  $x_{13} = m - \frac{n}{2} - |W| = \frac{3n-2m}{2}$  and  $x_{33} = m - \frac{n}{2} + |W| = \frac{4m-3n}{2}$ .

In summary, it is tedious but easy to check that given  $x_{ij}$  values of one of the five graph families defined above, there is at least one chemical graph with  $x_{ij}$  ( $i, j$ )-edges. Therefore, from now on, we assume that this is true for the five families  $F_1, \dots, F_5$ .

## 4 Tools used to characterize extremal chemical graphs

Given a set of  $x_{ij}$  values, we consider transformations which generate  $x'_{ij}$  values having specific properties.

**Definition 10.** Let  $A = (a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{22}, a_{23}, a_{33})$  be a vector with integer coefficients.

- Given any integer  $k$ , the  $(A, k)$ -transform of a vector  $(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33})$  is the vector  $(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33})$  such that  $x'_{ij} = x_{ij} + k a_{ij}$ .
- We say that  $A$  is  $(n, m)$ -preserving if it satisfies the two following equations:

$$\frac{3}{2}a_{12} + \frac{4}{3}a_{13} + a_{22} + \frac{5}{6}a_{23} + \frac{2}{3}a_{33} = 0; \quad (13)$$

$$a_{12} + a_{13} + a_{22} + a_{23} + a_{33} = 0. \quad (14)$$

The idea behind these definitions is that if  $(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33})$  is the  $(A, k)$ -transform of  $(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33})$  and if  $A$  is  $(n, m)$ -preserving, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3}{2}x_{12} + \frac{4}{3}x_{13} + x_{22} + \frac{5}{6}x_{23} + \frac{2}{3}x_{33} &= \frac{3}{2}x'_{12} + \frac{4}{3}x'_{13} + x'_{22} + \frac{5}{6}x'_{23} + \frac{2}{3}x'_{33} && \text{and} \\ x_{12} + x_{13} + x_{22} + x_{23} + x_{33} &= x'_{12} + x'_{13} + x'_{22} + x'_{23} + x'_{33}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the values of  $n$  and  $m$  derived from Equations (4) and (5) are the same, whether calculated using  $x_{ij}$  or  $x'_{ij}$  values.

Let  $G$  be a chemical graph of order  $n$  and size  $m$  that maximizes the value of a topological index  $f$  over all chemical graphs of same order and same size as  $G$ . We now study the impact on the  $x_{ij}$  values of  $G$  if some of the four following values are strictly positive:

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= c_{13} - c_{22} + \min_{i=1,2,3} (c_{3i} - c_{2i}) + \min_{j=2,3} (c_{3j} - c_{2j}), \\ V_2 &= c_{13} - c_{12} + \min_{i=2,3} (c_{2i} - c_{3i}), \\ V_3 &= c_{22} - c_{13} + \min_{i=1,2,3} (c_{2i} - c_{3i}) + \min_{j=2,3} (c_{2j} - c_{3j}), \\ V_4 &= 2c_{22} - c_{12} - c_{23} + 2 \min_{i=1,2,3} (c_{2i} - c_{3i}). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $f$  be a degree-based topological index such that  $V_1 > 0$ . A chemical graph  $G$  that maximizes  $f$  over all chemical graphs of the same order and size as  $G$  has no  $(2,2)$ -edge.*

*Proof.* Assume  $G$  contains an edge  $uv$  with both endpoints of degree 2.

- If  $u$  and  $v$  have no common neighbor, then let  $x$  be the second neighbor of  $u$  and let  $y$  be the second neighbor of  $v$ . At least one of  $x, y$ , say  $y$ , has degree at least two, else  $G$  has order 4. We can then obtain a chemical graph  $G'$  by replacing  $ux$  by  $vx$ . Let  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  be the degree of  $x$  and  $j \in \{2, 3\}$  the degree of  $y$ . The graph  $G'$  contradicts the maximality of  $G$  since

$$f(G') = f(G) + c_{13} - c_{22} + (c_{3i} - c_{2i}) + (c_{3j} - c_{2j}) \geq f(G) + V_1 > f(G).$$

- If  $u$  and  $v$  have a common neighbor  $w$ , then  $w$  has degree 3, else  $G$  has order  $n = 3$ . Also, the third neighbor  $x$  of  $w$  has degree at least two, else  $G$  has order  $n = 4$ .
  - If  $x$  has degree 2, then let  $y$  be its second neighbor and let  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  be the degree of  $y$ . We can obtain a chemical graph  $G'$  by replacing  $uv$  by  $vx$ . Then  $G'$  contradicts the maximality of  $G$  since

$$f(G') = f(G) + c_{13} - c_{22} + (c_{3i} - c_{2i}) + (c_{33} - c_{23}) \geq f(G) + V_1 > f(G).$$

- If  $x$  has degree 3, then let  $y$  and  $z$  be the two other neighbors of  $x$ . We can obtain a chemical graph  $G'$  by replacing  $xy$  and  $xz$  by  $uy$  and  $vz$ . Then  $G'$  contradicts the maximality of  $G$  since

$$f(G') = f(G) + c_{13} - c_{22} + 2(c_{33} - c_{23}) \geq f(G) + V_1 > f(G). \quad \square$$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $f$  be a degree-based topological index such that  $V_1 > 0$  and  $V_2 > 0$ . A chemical graph  $G$  that maximizes  $f$  over all chemical graphs of the same order and size as  $G$  has no  $(1,2)$ -edge and no  $(2,2)$ -edge.*

*Proof.* We already know from Lemma 1 that  $G$  has no  $(2,2)$ -edge. Let  $uv$  be an edge in  $G$  with  $u$  of degree 1 and  $v$  of degree 2. Let  $w$  be the second neighbor of  $v$ . Note that  $w$  has degree 3, else  $G$  has order  $n = 3$ . Let  $x$  and  $y$  be the two other neighbors of  $w$ . At least one of them, say  $x$  has degree  $i \geq 2$ , else  $G$  has order  $n = 5$ . We can obtain a chemical graph  $G'$  by replacing  $uv$  and  $wx$  by  $uw$  and  $vx$ . Then  $G'$  contradicts the maximality of  $G$  since

$$f(G') = f(G) + c_{13} - c_{12} + (c_{2i} - c_{3i}) \geq f(G) + V_2 > f(G). \quad \square$$



**Lemma 3.** *Let  $f$  be a degree-based topological index such that  $V_3 > 0$ . A chemical graph  $G$  that maximizes  $f$  over all chemical graphs of the same order and size as  $G$  has no  $(1,3)$ -edge.*

*Proof.* Let  $uv$  be an edge with  $u$  of degree 1 and  $v$  of degree 3, and let  $x$  and  $y$  be the two other neighbors of  $v$ . At least one of  $x$  and  $y$ , say  $y$  has degree at least two, else  $G$  has order  $n = 4$ . We can then obtain a chemical graph  $G'$  by replacing  $vy$  by  $uy$ . Let  $i$  be the degree of  $x$  and  $j$  the degree of  $y$ . Then  $G'$  contradicts the maximality of  $G$  since

$$f(G') = f(G) + c_{22} - c_{13} + (c_{2i} - c_{3i}) + (c_{2j} - c_{3j}) \geq f(G) + V_3 > f(G). \quad \square$$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $f$  be a degree-based topological index such that  $V_4 > 0$ . If a chemical graph  $G$  maximizes  $f$  over all chemical graphs of the same order and size as  $G$  then either  $m = n - 1$  and  $G$  is  $P_n$  or  $m \geq n$  and  $G$  has no  $(1,2)$ -edge.*

*Proof.* Let  $uv$  be an edge with  $u$  of degree 1 and  $v$  of degree 2. If  $G$  is not  $P_n$ , then there is a vertex  $w$  of degree 3 in  $G$  such that  $v$  and  $w$  are linked by a chain in which all internal vertices have degree 2 in  $G$ . Let  $x$  and  $y$  be the two neighbors of  $w$  that are not on the chain. We can obtain a chemical graph  $G'$  by replacing  $wx$  by  $ux$ . Let  $i$  be the degree of  $x$  and  $j$  the degree of  $y$ . Then  $G'$  contradicts the maximality of  $G$  since

$$f(G') = f(G) + 2c_{22} - c_{12} - c_{23} + (c_{2i} - c_{3i}) + (c_{2j} - c_{3j}) \geq f(G) + V_4 > f(G). \quad \square$$

## 5 Characterization of extremal graphs

We first characterize the extremal graphs of 29 of the 33 degree-based topological indices of Table 1. The proofs involve the following values:

$$\begin{aligned} V_5 &= c_{13} - 4c_{23} + 3c_{33}, \\ V_6 &= c_{22} - 2c_{23} + c_{33}, \\ V_7 &= c_{12} - c_{13} - c_{23} + c_{33}, \\ V_8 &= -2c_{12} + 3c_{13} - 2c_{23} + c_{33}. \end{aligned}$$

### 5.1 Five graph families for 29 topological indices

We first show that the chemical graphs in  $F_1$  maximize all degree-based topological indices such that  $V_1, V_2$  and  $V_5$  are strictly positive.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $f$  be a degree-based topological index such that  $V_1 > 0$ ,  $V_2 > 0$  and  $V_5 > 0$ . A chemical graph  $G$  maximizes  $f$  over all chemical graphs of the same order and size as  $G$  if and only if  $G \in F_1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a chemical graph of order  $n$ , size  $m$  and with  $x_{ij}$   $(i, j)$ -edges. Assume that it maximizes  $f$  over all chemical graphs of order  $n$  and size  $m$ . As shown in Lemma 2,  $V_1 > 0$  and  $V_2 > 0$  imply  $x_{12} = x_{22} = 0$ . Hence,  $2n_2 = x_{23}$ , which means that  $x_{23}$  is even.

If  $x_{23} \leq 2$  then  $n_2 = n \bmod 2$  (since the number  $n_1 + n_3$  of odd degree vertices is even), which implies  $x_{23} = 2(n \bmod 2)$ . Equations (4) and (5) then give  $x_{13} = \frac{3n-2m-(n \bmod 2)}{2}$  and  $x_{33} = \frac{4m-3n-3(n \bmod 2)}{2}$ , which means that  $G$  belongs to  $F_1$ .

If  $x_{23} \geq 4$ , then consider the vector  $A = (0, 1, 0, -4, 3)$  associated with  $V_5$  and let  $(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33})$  be the  $(A, \lfloor \frac{x_{23}}{4} \rfloor)$ -transform of  $(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33})$ . We then have  $x'_{12} = x'_{22} = 0$  and  $x'_{23} \leq 2$ . Since  $A$  is  $(n, m)$ -preserving, we conclude as above that  $x'_{13} = \frac{3n-2m-(n \bmod 2)}{2}$  and  $x'_{33} = \frac{4m-3n-3(n \bmod 2)}{2}$ . Let  $G'$  be a graph in  $F_1$  having exactly  $x'_{ij}$   $(i, j)$ -edges. The maximality of  $G$  is contradicted by  $G'$  since

$$f(G') = f(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33}) = f(G) + V_5 \lfloor \frac{x_{23}}{4} \rfloor > f(G). \quad \square$$

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $f$  be a degree-based topological index such that  $V_3 > 0$ ,  $V_4 > 0$  and  $V_6 > 0$ . A chemical graph  $G$  maximizes  $f$  over all chemical graphs of the same order and size as  $G$  if and only if  $G \in F_2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a chemical graph of order  $n$ , size  $m$  and with  $x_{ij}$   $(i, j)$ -edges. Assume that it maximizes  $f$  over all chemical graphs of order  $n$  and size  $m$ . As shown by Lemmas 3 and 4,  $V_3 > 0$  and  $V_4 > 0$  imply  $G = P_n$  or  $n_1 = 0$ . Hence, if  $m = n - 1$ , then  $G = P_n$  (since trees have vertices of degree 1), which means that  $x_{12} = 2$ ,  $x_{22} = m - 2$  and  $G \in F_2$ . So assume  $n \leq m < \frac{3n-3}{2}$ , which implies that  $n_1 = 0$  and  $x_{23}$  is even.

- If  $m = n$ , Equations (4) and (5) give  $x_{23} = x_{33} = 0$ , which implies  $x_{22} = m$  and  $G \in F_2$ .
- If  $m = n + 1$ , Equations (4) and (5) give  $x_{23} + 2x_{33} = 6$ , which implies  $n_3 = 2$  and  $x_{33} \leq 1$ . Hence, there are only two possibilities:
  - $x_{22} = m - 6$ ,  $x_{23} = 6, x_{33} = 0$ , or
  - $x_{22} = m - 5$ ,  $x_{23} = 4, x_{33} = 1$ .

Since  $c_{22} - 2c_{23} + c_{33} = V_6 > 0$ , the second solution has a larger value, which implies  $G \in F_2$ .

- If  $n + 1 < m < \frac{3n-3}{2}$  and  $x_{23} = 2$ , then Equations (4) and (5) give  $x_{22} = 3n - 2m - 1$  and  $x_{33} = 3m - 3n - 1$ , which implies  $G \in F_2$ . So assume  $x_{23} \geq 4$ , consider the vector  $A = (0, 0, 1, -2, 1)$  associated with  $V_6$  and let  $(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33})$  be the  $(A, \frac{x_{23}-2}{2})$ -transform of  $(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33})$ . Hence,  $x'_{12} = x'_{13} = 0$  and  $x'_{23} = 2$ . Since  $A$  is  $(n, m)$ -preserving, we conclude as above that  $x'_{22} = 3n - 2m - 1$  and  $x'_{33} = 3m - 3n - 1$ . Consider any chemical graph  $G'$  in  $F_2$  having exactly  $x'_{ij}$   $(i, j)$ -edges. The maximality of  $G$  is contradicted by  $G'$  since

$$f(G') = f(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33}) = f(G) + V_6 \left( \frac{x_{23}-2}{2} \right) > f(G). \quad \square$$

**Corollary 7.**  *$F_1 \cup F_2$  is the set of extremal chemical graphs for the 13 degree-based topological indices ABSC, AG, AG-GA, Extended, GA, GouravaSC, Harmonic, Randić, Sombor, rSombor, SumConn, rSumConn and lnZagreb1.*

*Proof.* It is easy to check that

- $V_1, V_2$  and  $V_5$  are strictly positive for ABSC, AG, AG-GA, Extended, rSumConn, Sombor, rSombor, lnZagreb1, GA, GouravaSC, Harmonic, Randić and SumConn, which implies that  $F_1$  is the set of chemical graphs which maximize ABSC, AG, AG-GA, Extended, rSumConn, Sombor, rSombor, lnZagreb1 and minimize GA, GouravaSC, Harmonic, Randić and SumConn.
- $V_3, V_4$  and  $V_6$  are strictly positive for ABSC, AG, AG-GA, Extended, Sombor, rSombor, rSumConn, lnZagreb1, GA, GouravaSC, Harmonic, Randić and SumConn, which implies that  $F_2$  is the set of chemical graphs which minimize ABSC, AG, AG-GA, Extended, Sombor, rSombor, rSumConn, lnZagreb1 and maximize GA, GouravaSC, Harmonic, Randić and SumConn. □

We now characterize the degree-based topological indices  $f$  for which the chemical graphs in  $F_3$  and  $F_4$  maximize  $f$ .

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $f$  be a degree-based topological index such that  $V_1 > 0, V_6 > 0, V_7 > 0$  and  $V_8 > 0$ . A chemical graph  $G$  maximizes  $f$  over all graphs of the same order and size as  $G$  if and only if  $G \in F_3$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a chemical graph of order  $n$ , size  $m$  and with  $x_{ij}$  ( $i, j$ )-edges. Assume that it maximizes  $f$  over all chemical graphs of order  $n$  and size  $m$ . As shown by Lemma 1,  $V_1 > 0$  implies  $x_{22} = 0$ . Let  $W_{33}$  be the set of vertices of degree 2 in  $G$  with two neighbors of degree 3, and let  $W_{13}$  be the set of vertices of degree 2 in  $G$  with one neighbor of degree 1 and the other of degree 3. Since  $n > 3$ , we have  $n_2 = |W_{33}| + |W_{13}|$ .

- If  $n_2 = 0$ , then  $x_{12} = x_{22} = x_{23} = 0$  and Equations (4) and (5) give  $x_{13} = \frac{3n-2m}{2}$  and  $x_{33} = \frac{4m-3n}{2}$ , which implies that  $G$  belongs to  $F_3$ .
- If  $n_2 = 1$ , then let  $v$  be the vertex of degree 2 in  $G$ .
  - if  $v \in W_{13}$  then  $x_{12} = x_{23} = 1, x_{22} = 0$  and Equations (4) and (5) give  $x_{13} = \frac{3n-2m-3}{2}$  and  $x_{33} = \frac{4m-3n-1}{2}$ ;
  - if  $v \in W_{33}$  then  $x_{12} = x_{22} = 0, x_{23} = 2$  and Equations (4) and (5) give  $x_{13} = \frac{3n-2m-1}{2}$  and  $x_{33} = \frac{4m-3n-3}{2}$ .

Since  $c_{12} - c_{13} - c_{23} + c_{33} = V_7 > 0$ , the first case has a larger value  $f(G)$ , which implies that  $G$  belongs to  $F_3$ .

- If  $n_2 > 1$ , then the two neighbors of each vertex in  $W_{33}$  are adjacent. Indeed, if a vertex  $v \in W_{33}$  has two non-adjacent neighbors  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , then consider any other vertex  $w$  of degree 2 and let  $u_3$  be one of its neighbors: by replacing  $vu_1, vu_2, wu_3$  by  $vw, vu_3$  and  $u_1u_2$ , we get a chemical graph  $G'$  which contradicts the maximality of  $G$  since

$$f(G') = f(G) + c_{22} - 2c_{23} + c_{33} = f(G) + V_6 > f(G).$$

Let us now show that  $|W_{33}| \leq 1$ . Assume by contradiction that  $W_{33}$  contains at least two vertices  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . Since  $n > 4$ , there are two non-adjacent vertices  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  such that  $u_1$  is adjacent to  $v_1$  but not to  $v_2$ , while  $u_2$  is adjacent to  $v_2$  but not to  $v_1$ . Let  $w_1$  be the second neighbor of  $v_1$ , and let  $G'$  be the chemical graph obtained from  $G$  by replacing  $v_1w_1$  and  $v_2u_2$  by  $v_1u_2$  and  $v_2w_1$ . Then  $G'$  has  $n_2 > 1$  vertices of degree 2 and one of them, namely  $v_1$ , has two non-adjacent neighbors  $u_1, u_2$  of degree 3. We have shown above that this implies that  $G'$  does not maximize  $f$  while  $f(G') = f(G)$ , a contradiction.

Hence,  $|W_{33}| \leq 1$ , which implies  $|W_{13}| \geq 1$ . So let  $v$  be a vertex in  $W_{13}$ , let  $u$  be another vertex of degree 2, let  $w$  be the neighbor of  $v$  of degree 1, and let  $G'$  be the chemical graph obtained from  $G$  by replacing  $vw$  by  $uw$ :

- if  $u \in W_{13}$  then  $f(G') = f(G) - 2c_{12} + 3c_{13} - 2c_{23} + c_{33} = f(G) + V_8 > f(G)$ ;
- if  $u \in W_{33}$  then  $f(G') = f(G) - c_{12} + 2c_{13} - 3c_{23} + 2c_{33} = f(G) + V_7 + V_8 > f(G)$ .

In both cases,  $G'$  contradicts the maximality of  $G$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $f$  be a degree-based topological index such that  $V_3 > 0, V_4 > 0$  and  $V_6 < 0$ . A chemical graph  $G$  maximizes  $f$  over all graphs of the same order and size as  $G$  if and only if  $G \in F_4$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a chemical graph of order  $n$ , size  $m$  and with  $x_{ij}$  ( $i, j$ )-edges. Assume that it maximizes  $f$  over all chemical graphs of order  $n$  and size  $m$ . As shown by Lemmas 3 and 4,

$V_3 > 0$  and  $V_4 > 0$  imply  $G = P_n$  or  $n_1 = 0$ . Hence, if  $m = n - 1$ , then  $G = P_n$ , which means that  $x_{12} = 2$ ,  $x_{22} = m - 2$  and  $G \in F_4$ . So assume  $m > n - 1$ , which implies  $n_1 = 0$ . Equations (4) and (5) give  $x_{23} = 6n - 4m - 2x_{22}$  and  $x_{33} = 5m - 6n + x_{22}$ . Hence,  $x_{22} \geq \max\{0, 6n - 5m\}$ .

- If  $x_{22} = 0$ , then  $x_{23} = 6n - 4m$ ,  $x_{33} = 5m - 6n$  and  $G \in F_4$ .
- If  $x_{22} = 6n - 5m > 0$ , then  $x_{23} = 6m - 6n$ ,  $x_{33} = 0$  and  $G \in F_4$ .
- If  $x_{22} > 0$  and  $x_{22} \neq 6n - 5m$ , then  $x_{33} > 0$ . Consider the vector  $A = (0, 0, -1, 2, -1)$  associated with  $-V_6$  and let  $(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33})$  be the  $(A, \min\{x_{22}, x_{22} - 6n + 5m\})$ -transform of  $(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33})$ . Hence,  $x'_{22} = \max\{0, 6n - 5m\}$  and  $x'_{12} = x'_{13} = 0$ . Since  $A$  is  $(n, m)$ -preserving we conclude as above that there is a chemical graph  $G'$  in  $F_4$  having exactly  $x'_{ij}$   $(i, j)$ -edges. Then  $G'$  contradicts the maximality of  $G$  since

$$f(G') = f(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33}) = f(G) - V_6 \min\{x_{22}, x_{22} - 6n + 5m\} > f(G). \quad \square$$

**Corollary 10.**  $F_3 \cup F_4$  is the set of extremal chemical graphs for the 10 degree-based topological indices *Gourava1*, *Gourava2*, *hGourava1*, *hGourava2*, *GouravaPC*, *InvSumDeg*, *rRandić*, *Zagreb2*, *hZagreb1*, *hZagreb2*.

*Proof.* It is easy to check that

- $V_1 > 0, V_6 > 0, V_7 > 0$  and  $V_8 > 0$  for *Gourava1*, *Gourava2*, *hGourava1*, *hGourava2*, *GouravaPC*, *InvSumDeg*, *rRandić*, *Zagreb2*, *hZagreb1*, *hZagreb2*, which implies that  $F_3$  is the set of chemical graphs which maximize these topological indices.
- $V_3 > 0, V_4 > 0$  and  $V_6 < 0$  for  $\overline{\text{Gourava1}}$ ,  $\overline{\text{Gourava2}}$ ,  $\overline{\text{hGourava1}}$ ,  $\overline{\text{hGourava2}}$ ,  $\overline{\text{GouravaPC}}$ ,  $\overline{\text{InvSumDeg}}$ ,  $\overline{\text{rRandić}}$ ,  $\overline{\text{Zagreb2}}$ ,  $\overline{\text{hZagreb1}}$ ,  $\overline{\text{hZagreb2}}$ , which implies that  $F_4$  is the set of chemical graphs which minimize the 10 topological indices.  $\square$

Note that  $F_1 \cup F_3$  is the set of chemical graphs with  $x_{ij}$   $(i, j)$ -edges such that

$x_{12}$	$x_{13}$	$x_{22}$	$x_{23}$	$x_{33}$	
0	$\frac{3n-2m}{2}$	0	0	$\frac{4m-3n}{2}$	if $n$ is even
1	$\frac{3n-2m-3}{2}$	0	1	$\frac{4m-3n-1}{2}$	if $n$ is odd
0	$\frac{3n-2m-1}{2}$	0	2	$\frac{4m-3n-3}{2}$	

**Theorem 11.** Let  $f$  be a degree-based topological index such that  $V_1 > 0, V_5 > 0$  and  $V_7 = 0$ . A chemical graph  $G$  maximizes  $f$  over all graphs of the same order and size as  $G$  if and only if  $G \in F_1 \cup F_3$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a chemical graph of order  $n$ , size  $m$  and with  $x_{ij}$   $(i, j)$ -edges. Assume that it maximizes  $f$  over all chemical graphs of order  $n$  and size  $m$ . Note that the two possibilities for the  $x_{ij}$  values when  $n$  is odd give the same value  $f(G)$  since  $c_{12} - c_{13} - c_{23} + c_{33} = V_7 = 0$ . As shown by Lemma 1,  $V_1 > 0$  implies  $x_{22} = 0$ . Moreover,  $n > 3$  implies  $x_{12} \leq x_{23}$ , and  $x_{12}$  and  $x_{23}$  have the same parity.

1. If  $x_{12} = 0$  then, as shown in Theorem 5,  $G \in F_1$ , else there is a graph  $G' \in F_1$  so that  $f(G') > f(G)$ .
2. If  $x_{12} = 1$  then
  - if  $x_{23} = 1$  then Equations (4) and (5) give  $x_{13} = \frac{3n-2m-3}{2}$  and  $x_{33} = \frac{4m-3n-1}{2}$ , which implies that  $G$  belongs to  $F_3$ .

- if  $x_{23} \geq 3$ , then consider the vector  $A = (-1, 2, 0, -3, 2)$  associated with  $V_5 - V_7$  and let  $(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33})$  be the  $(A, 1)$ -transform of  $(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33})$ . Note that  $x'_{12} = x'_{22} = 0$  and  $A$  is  $(n, m)$ -preserving. Hence, we have shown in case 1. that there is a graph  $G' \in F_1$  which contradicts the maximality of  $G$  since

$$f(G') \geq f(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33}) = f(G) + V_5 - V_7 = f(G) + V_5 > f(G).$$

3. If  $x_{12} \geq 2$  then  $x_{23} \geq x_{12} \geq 2$ . Consider the vector  $A = (-2, 3, 0, -2, 1)$  associated with  $V_5 - 2V_7$  and let  $(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33})$  be the  $(A, \lfloor \frac{x_{12}}{2} \rfloor)$ -transform of  $(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33})$ . Since  $x'_{12} = x'_{22} = 0$  and  $A$  is  $(n, m)$ -preserving, we have shown in case 1. that there is a graph  $G' \in F_1$  which contradicts the maximality of  $G$  since

$$f(G') \geq f(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33}) = f(G) + \lfloor \frac{x_{12}}{2} \rfloor (V_5 - 2V_7) = f(G) + \lfloor \frac{x_{12}}{2} \rfloor V_5 > f(G). \quad \square$$

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $f$  be a degree-based topological index such that  $V_3 > 0$ ,  $V_4 > 0$  and  $V_6 = 0$ . A chemical graph  $G$  maximizes  $f$  over all graphs of the same order and size as  $G$  if and only if  $G \in F_5$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a chemical graph of order  $n$ , size  $m$  and with  $x_{ij}$   $(i, j)$ -edges. Assume that it maximizes  $f$  over all chemical graphs of order  $n$  and size  $m$ . Note first that the two possibilities for the  $x_{ij}$  values when  $m = n + 1$  have the same value  $f(G)$  since  $c_{22} - 2c_{23} + c_{33} = V_6 = 0$ . For the same reason, all solutions for  $n + 1 < m \leq \frac{3n-3}{2}$  have the same value.

As shown by Lemmas 3 and 4,  $V_3 > 0$  and  $V_4 > 0$  imply  $G = P_n$  or  $n_1 = 0$ . Hence, if  $m = n - 1$ , then  $G = P_n$ , which means that  $x_{12} = 2$ ,  $x_{22} = m - 2$  and  $G \in F_5$ . So assume  $n \leq m < \frac{3n-3}{2}$ , which implies that  $n_1 = 0$  and  $x_{23}$  is even.

- If  $m = n$ , Equations (4) and (5) give  $x_{23} = x_{33} = 0$  and  $x_{22} = m$ , which implies  $G \in F_5$ .
- If  $m = n + 1$ , Equations (4) and (5) give  $x_{23} + 2x_{33} = 6$ , which implies  $n_3 = 2$  and  $x_{33} \leq 1$ . Hence, there are only two possibilities:
  - $x_{22} = m - 6$ ,  $x_{23} = 6, x_{33} = 0$ , or
  - $x_{22} = m - 5$ ,  $x_{23} = 4, x_{33} = 1$ ,
and both imply  $G \in F_5$ .
- If  $n + 1 < m \leq \frac{3n-3}{2}$ , then  $x_{23} \geq 2$  and Equations (4) and (5) give  $x_{22} = a$ ,  $x_{23} = 6n - 4m - 2a$  and  $x_{33} = 5m - 6n + a$ . Since  $x_{33} \geq 0$  and  $x_{23} \geq 2$ , we have  $\max\{0, 6n - 5m\} \leq a \leq 3n - 2m - 1$ , which implies  $G \in F_5$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 13.**  *$F_1 \cup F_3 \cup F_5$  is the set of extremal chemical graphs for the topological indices Forgotten, InvDeg, Zagreb1, lnZagreb2, lnZagreb3 and mZagreb.*

*Proof.* It is easy to check that

- $V_1 > 0$ ,  $V_5 > 0$  and  $V_7 = 0$  for Forgotten, InvDeg, Zagreb1,  $\overline{\text{lnZagreb2}}$ , lnZagreb3 and mZagreb which implies that  $F_1 \cup F_3$  is the set of chemical graphs which maximize Forgotten, InvDeg, Zagreb1 and mZagreb and minimize lnZagreb2.
- $V_3 > 0$ ,  $V_4 > 0$  and  $V_6 = 0$  for  $\overline{\text{Forgotten}}$ ,  $\overline{\text{InvDeg}}$ ,  $\overline{\text{Zagreb1}}$ , lnZagreb2,  $\overline{\text{lnZagreb3}}$  and  $\overline{\text{mZagreb}}$ , which implies that  $F_5$  is the set of chemical graphs which minimize Forgotten, InvDeg, Zagreb1, mZagreb and maximize lnZagreb2.  $\square$

## 5.2 Additional families of extremal chemical graphs

As proved in the previous section, the five families  $F_1, \dots, F_5$  are sufficient to characterize all extremal graphs of 29 topological indices. However, some degree-based topological indices have

extremal chemical graphs that do not belong to any of the five families. We give here four examples. More precisely, we characterize the extremal chemical graphs of the topological indices ABC, Albertson, Sigma, and aZagreb. For this purpose, we define new families of chemical graphs characterized by  $x_{ij}$  values. Here again, as explained in Section 3, it is easy to check that given  $x_{ij}$  values of one of the graph families, there is at least one chemical graph with  $x_{ij}$  ( $i, j$ )-edges.

**Definition 11.**  $F_6$  is the set of chemical graphs with the following numbers  $x_{ij}$  of ( $i, j$ )-edges:

$x_{12}$	$x_{13}$	$x_{22}$	$x_{23}$	$x_{33}$
0	$a$	0	$6n - 4m - 4a$	$5m - 6n + 3a$

where  $a$  is any integer such that  $\max\{0, \lceil \frac{6n-5m}{3} \rceil\} \leq a \leq \lfloor \frac{3n-2m}{2} \rfloor$ .

**Theorem 14.** Let  $f$  be a degree-based topological index such that  $V_1 > 0$ ,  $V_2 > 0$  and  $V_5 = 0$ . A chemical graph  $G$  maximizes  $f$  over all graphs of the same order and size as  $G$  if and only if  $G \in F_6$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a chemical graph of order  $n$ , size  $m$  and with  $x_{ij}$  ( $i, j$ )-edges. Assume that it maximizes  $f$  over all chemical graphs of order  $n$  and size  $m$ . As shown in Lemma 2,  $V_1 > 0$  and  $V_2 > 0$  imply  $x_{12} = x_{22} = 0$ . Hence, it follows from Equations (4) and (5) that  $x_{13} = a$ ,  $x_{23} = 6n - 4m - 4a$  and  $x_{33} = 5m - 6n + 3a$ . Since  $x_{23} \geq 0$  and  $x_{33} \geq 0$ , we have  $\max\{0, \lceil \frac{6n-5m}{3} \rceil\} \leq a \leq \lfloor \frac{3n-2m}{2} \rfloor$ . All possible solutions with the various values of  $a$  have the same value since  $c_{13} - 4c_{23} + 3c_{33} = V_5 = 0$ .  $\square$

**Definition 12.**  $F_7$  is the set of chemical graphs with the following numbers  $x_{ij}$  of ( $i, j$ )-edges:

$x_{12}$	$x_{13}$	$x_{22}$	$x_{23}$	$x_{33}$	
2	0	$m - 2$	0	0	if $m = n - 1$
0	0	$m$	0	0	if $m = n$
0	0	$m - 5$	4	1	if $m = n + 1$
1	0	$m - 7$	3	3	
2	0	$m - 9$	2	5	
$(n \geq 8)$					
0	0	$3n - 2m - 1$	2	$3m - 3n - 1$	if $n + 1 < m \leq \frac{3n-3}{2}$
1	0	$3n - 2m - 3$	1	$3m - 3n + 1$	

**Theorem 15.** Let  $f$  be a degree-based topological index such that  $V_3 > 0$ ,  $V_6 > 0$  and  $V_5 + V_7 = 2V_6$ . A chemical graph  $G$  maximizes  $f$  over all graphs of the same order and size as  $G$  if and only if  $G \in F_7$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a chemical graph of order  $n$ , size  $m$  and with  $x_{ij}$  ( $i, j$ )-edges. Assume that it maximizes  $f$  over all chemical graphs of order  $n$  and size  $m$ . Note that the three possible cases for  $m = n + 1$  have the same value since  $c_{12} - 2c_{22} - c_{23} + 2c_{33} = V_5 + V_7 - 2V_6 = 0$ . For the same reason, the two possibilities for  $n + 1 < m < \frac{3n-3}{2}$  have the same value.

As shown by Lemma 3,  $V_3 > 0$  implies  $x_{13} = 0$ . In what follows, for two integer  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $(a, b) \neq (x_{12}, x_{23})$ , we say that  $G$  is  $(a, b)$ -dominated if  $a \leq x_{12}$ ,  $b - a \leq x_{23} - x_{12}$ , and there is a chemical graph of order  $n$  and size  $m$  which has  $a$  (1, 2)-edges,  $b$  (2, 3)-edges, and no (1, 3)-edge. In such a case consider the  $(n, m)$ -preserving vector  $A = (0, 0, 1, -2, 1)$  associated with  $V_6$  and let  $(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33})$  be the  $(A, \frac{x_{23}-x_{12}+a-b}{2})$ -transform of  $(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33})$ . We

thus have  $x_{12} = x'_{12}$  and  $x'_{23} = x_{12} + b - a$ . Let  $A' = (-1, 0, 3, -1, -1)$  be the  $(n, m)$ -preserving vector associated with  $3V_6 - V_5 - V_7$  and let  $(x''_{12}, x''_{13}, x''_{22}, x''_{23}, x''_{33})$  be the  $(A', x_{12} - a)$ -transform of  $(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33})$ . We now have  $x''_{12} = a$  and  $x''_{23} = b$ . Let  $G'$  be a graph with  $x''_{ij}$   $(i, j)$ -edges. Note that if  $x_{12} = a$ , then  $x_{23} - x_{12} + a - b > 0$ . Hence,  $G'$  contradicts the maximality of  $G$  since  $3V_6 - V_5 - V_7 = V_6 > 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} f(G') &= f(x''_{12}, x''_{13}, x''_{22}, x''_{23}, x''_{33}) = f(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33}) + (x_{12} - a)V_6 \\ &= f(G) + \left(\frac{x_{23} - x_{12} + a - b}{2}\right)V_6 + (x_{12} - a)V_6 > f(G), \end{aligned}$$

Let us now analyze the possible values for  $m$  and use Equations (4) and (5) to derive  $x_{ij}$  values.

- If  $m = n - 1$ , then assume  $x_{23} > 0$ . It follows that  $3 \leq x_{12} \leq x_{23}$ . Hence,  $x_{12} = x_{23} = 3$ , else  $G$  is  $(3, 3)$ -dominated. We therefore have  $x_{22} = m - 6$  and  $x_{33} = 0$  and  $f(P_n) - f(G) = -c_{12} + 4c_{22} - 3c_{23} = 4V_6 - V_5 - V_7 = 2V_6 > 0$ , which contradicts the maximality of  $G$ . Hence  $x_{23} = 0$  which implies  $G = P_n \in F_7$ .
- if  $m = n$ , then  $x_{12} = x_{23} = 0$ , else  $G$  is  $(0, 0)$ -dominated. We therefore have  $x_{22} = m$  and  $x_{33} = 0$  which implies  $G = C_n \in F_7$ .
- If  $m = n + 1$ , then
  - if  $x_{12} = 0$ , then  $x_{23} \geq 4$ . Hence,  $x_{23} = 4$  else  $G$  is  $(0, 4)$ -dominated. We therefore have  $x_{22} = m - 5$  and  $x_{33} = 1$ , meaning that  $G \in F_7$ .
  - if  $x_{12} = 1$ , then  $x_{23} \geq 3$ . Hence,  $x_{23} = 3$  else  $G$  is  $(1, 3)$ -dominated. We therefore have  $x_{22} = m - 7$  and  $x_{33} = 3$ , meaning that  $G \in F_7$ .
  - if  $x_{12} = 2$ , then  $x_{23} \geq 2$ . Hence,  $x_{23} = 2$  else  $G$  is  $(2, 2)$ -dominated. We therefore have  $x_{22} = m - 9$  and  $x_{33} = 5$ , meaning that  $G \in F_7$ .
  - if  $x_{12} > 2$ , then  $x_{23} > 2$ , which means that  $G$  is  $(2, 2)$ -dominated, which contradicts the maximality of  $G$ .
- If  $n + 1 < m \leq \frac{3n-3}{2}$  then
  - if  $x_{12} = 0$ , then  $x_{23} \geq 2$ . Hence,  $x_{23} = 2$ , else  $G$  is  $(0, 2)$ -dominated. We therefore have  $x_{22} = 3n - 2m - 1$  and  $x_{33} = 3m - 3n - 1$ , meaning that  $G \in F_7$ .
  - if  $x_{12} = 1$ , then  $x_{23} \geq 1$ . Hence,  $x_{23} = 1$ , else  $G$  is  $(1, 1)$ -dominated. We therefore have  $x_{22} = 3n - 2m - 3$  and  $x_{33} = 3m - 3n + 1$ , meaning that  $G \in F_7$ .
  - if  $x_{12} \geq 2$ , then  $x_{23} \geq 2$ . Hence,  $G$  is  $(1, 1)$ -dominated, which contradicts the maximality of  $G$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 16.**  $F_6 \cup F_7$  is the set of extremal chemical graphs for the topological index  $\text{Sigma}$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to check that  $V_1 > 0$ ,  $V_2 > 0$  and  $V_5 = 0$  for  $\text{Sigma}$ , while  $V_3 > 0$ ,  $V_6 > 0$  and  $V_5 + V_7 = 2V_6$  for  $\overline{\text{Sigma}}$ , which means that  $F_6$  is the set of chemical graphs which maximize  $\text{Sigma}$ , while  $F_7$  is the set of chemical graphs which minimize  $\text{Sigma}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 13.**  $F_8$  is the set of chemical graphs with the following numbers  $x_{ij}$  of  $(i, j)$ -edges:

$x_{12}$	$x_{13}$	$x_{22}$	$x_{23}$	$x_{33}$	
2	0	$m - 2$	0	0	if $m + 1 = n \in \{7, 8, 9\}$
3	0	$m - 6$	3	0	
2	0	$m - 7$	4	1	if $m = n \in \{7, 8\}$
1	0	1	3	3	if $n = 7$ and $m = 8$
$\lfloor \frac{3n-2m}{3} \rfloor$	0	$m \bmod 3$	$\lfloor \frac{3n-2m}{3} \rfloor$	$\lfloor \frac{7m-6n}{3} \rfloor$	otherwise

**Theorem 17.** *Let  $f$  be a degree-based topological index such that  $V_3 > 0$ ,  $V_6 > 0$  and  $V_5 + V_7 = 4V_6$ . A chemical graph  $G$  maximizes  $f$  over all graphs of the same order and size as  $G$  if and only if  $G \in F_8$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a chemical graph of order  $n$ , size  $m$  and with  $x_{ij}$  ( $i, j$ )-edges. Assume that it maximizes  $f$  over all chemical graphs of order  $n$  and size  $m$ . As shown by Lemma 3,  $V_3 > 0$  implies  $x_{13} = 0$ .

If  $m+1 = n \in \{7, 8, 9\}$ , then there are only two possibilities: either  $x_{12} = 2$  and  $x_{22} = m-2$ , or  $x_{12} = 3$ ,  $x_{23} = 3$  and  $x_{22} = m-6$ . Since  $c_{12} - 4c_{22} + 3c_{23} = V_5 + V_7 - 4V_6 = 0$ , we deduce that both cases correspond to an optimal graph  $G$  that belongs to  $F_8$ . We now assume  $m \geq n$  or  $m+1 = n \geq 10$ .

If  $n_3 = 0$ , then  $G = P_n$  and  $m+1 = n \geq 10$  or  $G = C_n$  and  $n = m$ .

- If  $m+1 = n \geq 10$ , then the graph  $G'$  with  $x_{12} = x_{23} = 4$ ,  $x_{33} = 1$  and  $x_{22} = m-9$  has value  $f(G') = f(G) + 2c_{12} - 7c_{22} + 4c_{23} + c_{33} = f(G) + 2V_5 + 2V_7 - 7V_6 = f(G) + V_6 > 0$ , which contradicts the maximality of  $G$ .
- If  $n = m$ , then the graph  $G'$  with  $x_{12} = 2$ ,  $x_{23} = 4$ ,  $x_{33} = 1$  and  $x_{22} = m-7$  has value  $f(G') = f(G) + 2c_{12} - 7c_{22} + 4c_{23} + c_{33} = f(G) + 2V_5 + 2V_7 - 7V_6 = f(G) + V_6 > 0$ , which contradicts the maximality of  $G$ .

If  $n_3 > 0$ , then consider the following 4 cases:

- If  $m = n = 7$ , then there are only two possibilities: either  $x_{12} = 2$ ,  $x_{23} = 4$  and  $x_{33} = 1$ , or  $x_{12} = 1$ ,  $x_{23} = 3$  and  $x_{22} = 3$ . Since  $c_{12} + c_{23} + c_{33} - 3c_{22} = V_5 + V_7 - 3V_6 = V_6 > 0$ , we deduce that the first solution is the best, which means that  $G \in F_8$ .
- If  $m = n = 8$ , then there are only three possibilities: either  $x_{12} = 2$ ,  $x_{22} = 1$ ,  $x_{23} = 4$  and  $x_{33} = 1$ , or  $x_{12} = 1$ ,  $x_{23} = 3$  and  $x_{22} = 4$ , or  $x_{12} = 2$  and  $x_{23} = 6$ . As in the previous case, the first solution is better than the second. Also, since  $c_{22} - 2c_{23} + c_{33} = V_6 > 0$ , we deduce that the first solution is better than the third one, which implies  $G \in F_8$ .
- If  $n = 7$  and  $m = 8$ , there are four possibilities:
  - $x_{22} = 2$  and  $x_{23} = 6$ ;
  - $x_{22} = 3$ ,  $x_{23} = 4$  and  $x_{33} = 1$ ;
  - $x_{12} = 1$ ,  $x_{23} = 5$  and  $x_{33} = 2$ ;
  - $x_{12} = x_{22} = 1$ ,  $x_{23} = x_{33} = 3$ .

The fourth is better than the first since  $c_{12} - c_{22} - 3c_{23} + 3c_{33} = V_5 + V_7 - V_6 = 3V_6 > 0$ . It is better than the second since  $c_{12} - 2c_{22} - c_{23} + 2c_{33} = V_5 + V_7 - 2V_6 = 2V_6 > 0$ . It is better than the third since  $c_{22} - 2c_{23} + c_{33} = V_6 > 0$ . Hence,  $G \in F_8$ .

- For the remaining case where  $n \in \{7, 8, 9\}$  and  $m \geq 9$ , or  $n \geq 10$ , consider the two  $(n, m)$ -preserving vectors  $A = (0, 0, 1, -2, 1)$  and  $A' = (1, 0, -3, 1, 1)$  associated with  $V_6$  and  $V_5 + V_7 - 3V_6$ , respectively. Let  $(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33})$  be the  $(A, \frac{x_{23}-x_{12}}{2})$ -transform of  $(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33})$ . Note that  $x'_{12} = x'_{23}$  and  $x'_{13} = 0$ . Let  $(x''_{12}, x''_{13}, x''_{22}, x''_{23}, x''_{33})$  be the  $(A', \lfloor \frac{x_{22}}{3} \rfloor)$ -transform of  $(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33})$ . Note that  $x''_{13} = 0$ ,  $x''_{12} = x''_{23}$  and  $x''_{22} \leq 2$ . Equations (4) and (5) then give  $x''_{22} = m \bmod 3$ ,  $x''_{12} = x''_{23} = \lfloor \frac{3n-2m}{3} \rfloor$ , and  $x''_{33} = \lfloor \frac{7m-6n}{3} \rfloor$ . Consider any graph  $G'$  in  $F_8$  with  $x''_{ij}$  ( $i, j$ )-edges. We then have

$$f(G') = f(G) + \frac{x_{23}-x_{12}}{2}V_6 + \lfloor \frac{x_{22}}{3} \rfloor (V_5 + V_7 - 3V_6) = f(G) + (\frac{x_{23}-x_{12}}{2} + \lfloor \frac{x_{22}}{3} \rfloor)V_6.$$

If  $x_{23} - x_{12} > 0$ , or  $x_{23} - x_{12} = 0$  and  $x_{22} = x'_{22} > 2$ , then  $f(G') > f(G)$ , which contradicts the maximality of  $G$ . Hence, we can choose  $G'$  equal to  $G$ , which implies  $G \in F_8$ .  $\square$



**Definition 14.**  $F_9$  is the set of chemical graphs with the following numbers  $x_{ij}$  of  $(i, j)$ -edges:

$x_{12}$	$x_{13}$	$x_{22}$	$x_{23}$	$x_{33}$	
0	$\frac{6n-5m+(2m \bmod 3)}{3}$	0	$\frac{8m-6n-4(2m \bmod 3)}{3}$	$2m \bmod 3$	if $n-1 \leq m \leq \frac{6n+2}{5}$
0	0	0	$6n-4m$	$5m-6n$	if $\frac{6n+3}{5} \leq m \leq \frac{3n-3}{2}$

**Theorem 18.** Let  $f$  be a degree-based topological index such that  $V_1 > 0$ ,  $V_2 > 0$  and  $V_5 < 0$ . A chemical graph  $G$  maximizes  $f$  over all graphs of the same order and size as  $G$  if and only if  $G \in F_9$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a chemical graph of order  $n$ , size  $m$  and with  $x_{ij}$   $(i, j)$ -edges. Assume that it maximizes  $f$  over all chemical graphs of order  $n$  and size  $m$ . As shown by Lemma 2,  $V_1 > 0$  and  $V_2 > 0$  imply  $x_{12} = x_{22} = 0$ .

- If  $x_{33} \leq 2$ , Equations (4) and (5) give  $x_{33} = (2m \bmod 3)$ ,  $x_{13} = \frac{6n-5m+(2m \bmod 3)}{3}$  and  $x_{23} = \frac{8m-6n-4(2m \bmod 3)}{3}$ . Since  $x_{13} \geq 0$ , we have  $6n-5m \geq -2$ , which implies  $G \in F_9$ .
- If  $x_{33} \geq 3$  then
  - if  $x_{13} = 0$ , Equations (4) and (5) give  $x_{23} = 6n-4m$  and  $x_{33} = 5m-6n$ . Since  $x_{33} \geq 3$ , we have  $m \geq \frac{6n+3}{5}$ , which implies  $G \in F_9$ .
  - if  $x_{13} > 0$ , consider the  $(n, m)$ -preserving vector  $A = (0, 1, 0, -4, 3)$  associated with  $V_5$ , let  $a = \min\{x_{13}, \lfloor \frac{x_{33}}{3} \rfloor\}$ , and let  $(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33})$  be the  $(A, -a)$ -transform of  $(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33})$ . Then either  $x'_{33} \leq 2$ , or  $x'_{33} \geq 3$  and  $x_{13} = 0$ . In both cases, we have seen that there is a graph  $G' \in F_9$  with  $x'_{ij}$   $(i, j)$ -edges. We therefore have  $f(G') = f(G) - aV_5 > f(G)$ , which contradicts the maximality of  $G$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 19.**  $F_8 \cup F_9$  is the set of extremal chemical graphs for the topological index  $ABC$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to check that  $V_3 > 0$ ,  $V_6 > 0$  and  $V_5 + V_7 = 4V_6$  for  $\overline{ABC}$ , while  $V_1 > 0$ ,  $V_2 > 0$  and  $V_5 < 0$  for  $ABC$ , which means that  $F_8$  is the set of chemical graphs which minimize  $ABC$ , while  $F_9$  is the set of chemical graphs which maximize  $ABC$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 20.** A chemical graph  $G$  maximizes the  $f = aZagreb$  topological index over all graphs of the same order and size as  $G$  if and only if  $G \in F_8$ , except in two cases where the  $x_{ij}$  values of  $G$  are as follows: if  $n=7$  and  $m=8$  then  $x_{12}=x_{13}=x_{23}=1$ ,  $x_{22} = 0$  and  $x_{33}=5$ ; if  $n=8$  and  $m=8$  then  $x_{12}=x_{23}=2$ ,  $x_{13}=1$ ,  $x_{22} = 0$  and  $x_{33}=3$ .

*Proof.* The  $aZagreb$  topological index is defined by  $c_{ij} = (\frac{ij}{i+j-2})^3$  (see Table 1). Let  $G$  be a chemical graph of order  $n$ , size  $m$  and with  $x_{ij}$   $(i, j)$ -edges. Assume that it maximizes  $f$  over all chemical graphs of order  $n$  and size  $m$ .

Consider the three  $(n, m)$ -preserving vectors  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  associated with the three following strictly positive values  $W_1, W_2, W_3$ :

- $A_1 = (1, -1, 0, -1, 1)$  is associated with  $W_1 = c_{12} - c_{13} - c_{23} + c_{33} \approx 8.01$ ;
- $A_2 = (1, -1, -1, 1, 0)$  is associated with  $W_2 = c_{12} - c_{13} - c_{22} + c_{23} \approx 4.62$ ;
- $A_3 = (2, -3, 0, 2, -1)$  is associated with  $W_3 = 2c_{12} - 3c_{13} + 2c_{23} - c_{33} \approx 10.48$ .

Let

- $(x_{12}^1, x_{13}^1, x_{22}^1, x_{23}^1, x_{33}^1)$  be the  $(A_1, \max\{0, \min\{x_{13}, \frac{x_{23}-x_{12}}{2}\}\})$ -transform of  $(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33})$ ;
- $(x_{12}^2, x_{13}^2, x_{22}^2, x_{23}^2, x_{33}^2)$  be the  $(A_2, \min\{x_{13}^1, x_{22}^1\})$ -transform of  $(x_{12}^1, x_{13}^1, x_{22}^1, x_{23}^1, x_{33}^1)$ ;
- $(x_{12}^3, x_{13}^3, x_{22}^3, x_{23}^3, x_{33}^3)$  be the  $(A_3, \lfloor \frac{x_{13}^2}{3} \rfloor)$ -transform of  $(x_{12}^2, x_{13}^2, x_{22}^2, x_{23}^2, x_{33}^2)$ .

Note that if  $x_{13}^2 > 0$ , then  $x_{22}^2 = 0$  and  $x_{12}^2 = x_{23}^2$ , which implies  $x_{33}^2 > 0$ , else  $G$  has order  $n \leq 6$ . We then have  $x_{13}^3 \leq 2$  and if  $x_{13}^3 = 0$ , then  $x_{12}^3 = x_{23}^3$  and  $x_{22}^3 = 0$ . There are therefore only 3 possible cases for which we can derive the  $x_{ij}^3$  values using Equations (4) and (5):

(1) if  $x_{13}^3 = 0$ , then  $G \in F_8$ . Indeed the proof of Theorem 17 uses the fact that  $V_3 > 0$  only to show that  $x_{13} = 0$ , and it is easy to check that  $V_6 > 0$  and  $V_5 + V_7 = 4V_6$  for the aZagreb topological index. Therefore,

- if  $x_{13} = 0$ , then all  $x_{ij}$  values are equal to the  $x_{ij}^3$  values and as in Theorem 17, we conclude that  $G \in F_8$ ;
- if  $x_{13} > 0$ , then as in Theorem 17, we know that there exists a graph  $G' \in F_8$  of order  $n$  and size  $m$  which contradicts the maximality of  $G$  since

$$\begin{aligned} f(G') &\geq f(x_{12}^3, x_{13}^3, x_{22}^3, x_{23}^3, x_{33}^3) \\ &= f(G) + W_1(\max\left\{0, \min\left\{x_{13}, \frac{x_{23} - x_{12}}{2}\right\}\right\}) + W_2(\min\{x_{13}, x_{22}\}) + W_3(\lfloor \frac{x_{13}^2}{3} \rfloor) \\ &> f(G). \end{aligned}$$

(2) if  $x_{13}^3 = 1$ ,  $x_{12}^3 = x_{23}^3$  and  $x_{22}^3 = 0$ , then Equations (4) and (5) give  $x_{12}^3 = x_{23}^3 = \frac{3n-2m-2}{3}$  and  $x_{33}^3 = \frac{7m-6n+1}{3}$ , which implies  $m \bmod 3 = 2$ .

(3) if  $x_{13}^3 = 2$ ,  $x_{12}^3 = x_{23}^3$  and  $x_{22}^3 = 0$ , then Equations (4) and (5) give  $x_{12}^3 = x_{23}^3 = \frac{3n-2m-4}{3}$  and  $x_{33}^3 = \frac{7m-6n+2}{3}$ , which implies  $m \bmod 3 = 1$ .

Let us analyze the situation according to the value of  $m \bmod 3$ :

- if  $m \bmod 3 = 0$  then  $G \in F_8$  (since we are in Case (1));
- if  $m \bmod 3 = 1$ , then
  - if  $m \geq 10$  or  $m + 1 = n = 8$ , then Case (1) is better than Case (3) since  $c_{12} - 2c_{13} + c_{22} + c_{23} - c_{33} \approx 5.85 > 0$ . Hence,  $G \in F_8$ .
  - if  $m = n = 7$ , then Case (1) is better than Case (3) since  $c_{12} - 2c_{13} + 3c_{23} - 2c_{33} \approx 2.46 > 0$ . Hence  $G \in F_8$ .
- if  $m \bmod 3 = 2$ ,
  - if  $m \geq 11$  or  $m + 1 = n = 9$  then Case (1) is better than Case (2) since  $-c_{13} + 2c_{22} - c_{33} \approx 1.23 > 0$ . Hence  $G \in F_8$ .
  - if  $m = 8$  and  $n \in \{7, 8\}$  then Case (2) is better than Case (1) since  $c_{13} - c_{22} - 2c_{23} + 2c_{33} \approx 2.15 > 0$ . Moreover, the  $x_{ij}$  values of  $G$  are equal to the  $x_{ij}^3$  values else the graph  $G'$  with  $x_{ij}^3$  ( $i, j$ )-edges is such that  $f(G') > f(G)$ . Hence,  $x_{12} = x_{13} = x_{23} = 1$  and  $x_{33} = 5$  if  $n = 7$  and  $x_{12} = x_{23} = 2$ ,  $x_{13} = 1$  and  $x_{33} = 3$  if  $n = 8$ .  $\square$

**Definition 15.**  $F_{10}$  is the set of chemical graphs with the following numbers  $x_{ij}$  of ( $i, j$ )-edges:

$x_{12}$	$x_{13}$	$x_{22}$	$x_{23}$	$x_{33}$	
0	$\frac{6n-5m}{3}$	0	$\frac{8m-6n}{3}$	0	if $n - 1 \leq m \leq \frac{6n-2}{5}$ and $m \bmod 3 = 0$
0	$\frac{6n-5m-1}{3}$	1	$\frac{8m-6n-2}{3}$	0	if $n - 1 \leq m \leq \frac{6n-2}{5}$ and $m \bmod 3 = 1$
0	$\frac{6n-5m+1}{3}$	0	$\frac{8m-6n-4}{3}$	1	if $n - 1 \leq m \leq \frac{6n-2}{5}$ and $m \bmod 3 = 2$
0	0	1	$m - 1$	0	if $m = \frac{6n-1}{5}$
0	0	0	$6n - 4m$	$5m - 6n$	if $\frac{6n}{5} \leq m \leq \frac{3n-3}{2}$

**Theorem 21.** *A chemical graph  $G$  minimizes the  $f=a$ Zagreb topological index over all graphs of the same order and size as  $G$  if and only if  $G \in F_{10}$ .*

*Proof.* As in the previous theorem, we have  $c_{ij}=(\frac{ij}{i+j-2})^3$ . Let  $G$  be a chemical graph of order  $n$ , size  $m$  and with  $x_{ij}$  ( $i, j$ )-edges. Assume that it minimizes  $f$  over all chemical graphs of order  $n$  and size  $m$ . Note that  $G \neq P_n$  since for  $n = m - 1$ , the chemical graph  $G'$  with  $x'_{12} = 1$ ,  $x'_{13} = 2$ ,  $x'_{23} = 1$  and  $x'_{22} = m - 4$  would have value  $f(G') = f(G) - c_{12} + 2c_{13} - 2c_{22} + c_{23} \approx f(G) - 9.25$ . Hence,  $x_{12} \leq x_{23}$ .

Consider the five  $(n, m)$ -preserving vectors  $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5$  associated with the five following strictly negative values  $W_1, W_2, W_3, W_4, W_5$ :

- $A_1 = (-1, 1, 1, -1, 0)$  is associated with  $W_1 = -c_{12} + c_{13} + c_{22} - c_{23} \approx -4.62$ ;
- $A_2 = (0, 1, -2, 0, 1)$  is associated with  $W_2 = c_{13} - 2c_{22} + c_{33} \approx -1.23$ ;
- $A_3 = (0, -1, 0, 4, -3)$  is associated with  $W_3 = -c_{13} + 4c_{23} - 3c_{33} \approx -5.54$ ;
- $A_4 = (0, 0, -1, 2, -1)$  is associated with  $W_4 = -c_{22} + 2c_{23} - c_{33} \approx -3.39$ ;
- $A_5 = (0, -1, 1, 2, -2)$  is associated with  $W_5 = -c_{13} + c_{22} + 2c_{23} - 2c_{33} \approx -2.15$ .

Let

- $(x_{12}^1, x_{13}^1, x_{22}^1, x_{23}^1, x_{33}^1)$  be the  $(A_1, x_{12})$ -transform of  $(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33})$ ;
- $(x_{12}^2, x_{13}^2, x_{22}^2, x_{23}^2, x_{33}^2)$  be the  $(A_2, \lfloor \frac{x_{22}^1}{2} \rfloor)$ -transform of  $(x_{12}^1, x_{13}^1, x_{22}^1, x_{23}^1, x_{33}^1)$ ;
- $(x_{12}^3, x_{13}^3, x_{22}^3, x_{23}^3, x_{33}^3)$  be the  $(A_3, \min\{\lfloor \frac{x_{33}^2}{3} \rfloor, x_{13}^2\})$ -transform of  $(x_{12}^2, x_{13}^2, x_{22}^2, x_{23}^2, x_{33}^2)$ ;
- $(x_{12}^4, x_{13}^4, x_{22}^4, x_{23}^4, x_{33}^4)$  be the  $(A_4, \min\{x_{22}^3, x_{33}^3\})$ -transform of  $(x_{12}^3, x_{13}^3, x_{22}^3, x_{23}^3, x_{33}^3)$ ;
- $(x_{12}^5, x_{13}^5, x_{22}^5, x_{23}^5, x_{33}^5)$  be the  $(A_5, \min\{x_{13}^4, \lfloor \frac{x_{33}^4}{2} \rfloor\})$ -transform of  $(x_{12}^4, x_{13}^4, x_{22}^4, x_{23}^4, x_{33}^4)$ .

We then have  $x_{12}^5 = 0, x_{22}^5 \leq 1, x_{13}^5 = 0$  or  $x_{33}^5 \leq 1$ , and  $x_{22}^4 + x_{33}^4 \leq 1$ . There are therefore only 5 possible cases for which we can derive the  $x_{ij}^5$  values using Equations (4) and (5):

- if  $x_{22}^5 = x_{33}^5 = 0$  and  $x_{13}^5 \geq 1$ , then  $x_{13}^5 = \frac{6n-5m}{3}$  and  $x_{23}^5 = \frac{8m-6n}{3}$ , which implies  $6n - 5m \geq 3$  and  $m \bmod 3 = 0$ ;
- if  $x_{22}^5 = 1, x_{33}^5 = 0$  and  $x_{13}^5 \geq 1$ , then  $x_{13}^5 = \frac{6n-5m-1}{3}$  and  $x_{23}^5 = \frac{8m-6n-2}{3}$ , which implies  $6n - 5m \geq 4$  and  $m \bmod 3 = 1$ ;
- if  $x_{22}^5 = 0, x_{33}^5 = 1$  and  $x_{13}^5 \geq 1$ , then  $x_{13}^5 = \frac{6n-5m+1}{3}$  and  $x_{23}^5 = \frac{8m-6n-4}{3}$ , which implies  $6n - 5m \geq 2$  and  $m \bmod 3 = 2$ ;
- if  $x_{22}^5 = x_{13}^5 = 0$  and  $x_{33}^5 \geq 0$ , then  $x_{33}^5 = 5m - 6n$  and  $x_{23}^5 = 6n - 4m$ , which implies  $6n - 5m \leq 0$ ;
- if  $x_{22}^5 = 1$  and  $x_{13}^5 = x_{33}^5 = 0$ , then  $x_{33}^5 = 5m - 6n + 1$  and  $x_{23}^5 = 6n - 4m - 2$ , which implies  $6n - 5m = 1$ .

Hence all possible  $x_{ij}^5$  values correspond to those in  $F_{10}$ . So, let  $G'$  be a graph with  $x_{ij}^5$  ( $i, j$ )-edges. We have

$$f(G') = f(G) + x_{12}W_1 + \lfloor \frac{x_{22}^1}{2} \rfloor W_2 + \min\{\lfloor \frac{x_{33}^2}{3} \rfloor, x_{13}^2\}W_3 + \min\{x_{22}^3, x_{33}^3\}W_4 + \min\{x_{13}^4, \lfloor \frac{x_{33}^4}{2} \rfloor\}W_5.$$

If  $G$  does not belong to  $F_{10}$  then at least one of the five values  $x_{12}, \lfloor \frac{x_{22}^1}{2} \rfloor, \min\{\lfloor \frac{x_{33}^2}{3} \rfloor, x_{13}^2\}, \min\{x_{22}^3, x_{33}^3\}$  and  $\min\{x_{13}^4, \lfloor \frac{x_{33}^4}{2} \rfloor\}$  is strictly positive, which implies  $f(G') < f(G)$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Definition 16.** Family  $F_{11}$  is obtained from  $F_{10}$  by adding the following possible values:

$x_{12}$	$x_{13}$	$x_{22}$	$x_{23}$	$x_{33}$	
1	$\frac{6n-5m-4}{3}$	0	$\frac{8m-6n+1}{3}$	0	if $n-1 \leq m \leq \frac{6n-2}{5}$ and $m \bmod 3 = 1$
0	$\frac{6n-5m+2}{3}$	0	$\frac{8m-6n-8}{3}$	2	
0	1	0	$m-3$	2	if $m = \frac{6n-1}{5}$

**Theorem 22.** A chemical graph  $G$  maximizes the  $f$ -Albertson topological index over all graphs of the same order and size as  $G$  if and only if  $G \in F_{11}$ .

*Proof.* The Albertson topological index is defined by  $c_{ij} = |i - j|$  (see Table 1). Let  $G$  be a chemical graph of order  $n$ , size  $m$  and with  $x_{ij}$  ( $i, j$ )-edges. Assume that it maximizes  $f$  over all chemical graphs of order  $n$  and size  $m$ . Note that the two possible cases for  $m = \frac{6n-1}{5}$  have the same value since  $c_{13} - c_{22} - 2c_{23} + 2c_{33} = 0$ . Also, the case in  $F_{10}$  for  $m \leq \frac{6n-2}{5}$  and  $m \bmod 3 = 1$  has the same value as the two new possibilities in  $F_{11}$  since  $c_{12} - c_{13} - c_{22} + c_{23} = c_{13} - c_{22} - 2c_{23} + 2c_{33} = 0$ . Moreover,  $G \neq P_n$  since for  $n = m - 1$ , the chemical graph  $G'$  with  $x'_{12} = 1$ ,  $x'_{13} = 2$ ,  $x'_{23} = 1$  and  $x'_{22} = m - 4$  would have value  $f(G') = f(G) - c_{12} + 2c_{13} - 2c_{22} + c_{23} = f(G) + 4$ . Hence,  $x_{12} \leq x_{23}$ .

Consider the five following  $(n, m)$ -preserving vectors  $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5, A_6$ :

- $A_1 = (-2, 3, 0, -2, 1)$  is associated with  $-2c_{12} + 3c_{13} - 2c_{23} + c_{33} = 2$ ;
- $A_2 = (-1, 2, -1, -1, 1)$  is associated with  $-c_{12} + 2c_{13} - c_{22} - c_{23} + c_{33} = 2$ ;
- $A_3 = (0, 1, -2, 0, 1)$  is associated with  $c_{13} - 2c_{22} + c_{33} = 2$ ;
- $A_4 = (0, 0, -1, 2, -1)$  is associated with  $-c_{22} + 2c_{23} - c_{33} = 2$ ;
- $A_5 = (-1, 1, 0, 1, -1)$  is associated with  $-c_{12} + c_{13} + c_{23} - c_{33} = 2$ ;
- $A_6 = (0, -1, 0, 4, -3)$  is associated with  $-c_{13} + 4c_{23} - 3c_{33} = 2$ .

Let

- $(x_{12}^1, x_{13}^1, x_{22}^1, x_{23}^1, x_{33}^1)$  be the  $(A_1, \lfloor \frac{x_{12}}{2} \rfloor)$ -transform of  $(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33})$ ;
- $(x_{12}^2, x_{13}^2, x_{22}^2, x_{23}^2, x_{33}^2)$  be the  $(A_2, \min\{x_{12}^1, x_{22}^1\})$ -transform of  $(x_{12}^1, x_{13}^1, x_{22}^1, x_{23}^1, x_{33}^1)$ ;
- $(x_{12}^3, x_{13}^3, x_{22}^3, x_{23}^3, x_{33}^3)$  be the  $(A_3, \lfloor \frac{x_{22}^2}{2} \rfloor)$ -transform of  $(x_{12}^2, x_{13}^2, x_{22}^2, x_{23}^2, x_{33}^2)$ ;
- $(x_{12}^4, x_{13}^4, x_{22}^4, x_{23}^4, x_{33}^4)$  be the  $(A_4, \min\{x_{22}^3, x_{33}^3\})$ -transform of  $(x_{12}^3, x_{13}^3, x_{22}^3, x_{23}^3, x_{33}^3)$ ;
- $(x_{12}^5, x_{13}^5, x_{22}^5, x_{23}^5, x_{33}^5)$  be the  $(A_5, \min\{x_{12}^4, x_{33}^4\})$ -transform of  $(x_{12}^4, x_{13}^4, x_{22}^4, x_{23}^4, x_{33}^4)$ ;
- $(x_{12}^6, x_{13}^6, x_{22}^6, x_{23}^6, x_{33}^6)$  be the  $(A_6, \min\{x_{13}^5, \lfloor \frac{x_{33}^5}{3} \rfloor\})$ -transform of  $(x_{12}^5, x_{13}^5, x_{22}^5, x_{23}^5, x_{33}^5)$ .

We then have  $x_{12}^6 + x_{22}^6 \leq 1$ ,  $\min\{x_{12}^6, x_{33}^6\} = 0$ ,  $\min\{x_{22}^6, x_{33}^6\} = 0$ , and  $x_{13}^6 = 0$  or  $x_{33}^6 \leq 2$ . Hence, there are 6 possible cases for which we can derive the  $x_{ij}^6$  values using Equations (4) and (5):

- if  $x_{12}^6 = 0$ ,  $x_{22}^6 = 1$  and  $x_{33}^6 = 0$ , then  $x_{13}^6 = \frac{6n-5m-1}{3}$  and  $x_{23}^6 = \frac{8m-6n-2}{3}$ , which implies  $6n - 5m \geq 1$  and  $m \bmod 3 = 1$ ;
- if  $x_{12}^6 = 1$ ,  $x_{22}^6 = 0$  and  $x_{33}^6 = 0$ , then  $x_{13}^6 = \frac{6n-5m-4}{3}$  and  $x_{23}^6 = \frac{8m-6n+1}{3}$ , which implies  $6n - 5m \geq 4$  and  $m \bmod 3 = 1$ ;
- if  $x_{12}^6 = x_{22}^6 = x_{13}^6 = 0$  then  $x_{23}^6 = 6n - 4m$  and  $x_{33}^6 = 5m - 6n$ , which implies  $6n - 5m \leq 0$ ;
- if  $x_{12}^6 = x_{22}^6 = x_{33}^6 = 0$  and  $x_{13}^6 \geq 1$ , then  $x_{13}^6 = \frac{6n-5m}{3}$  and  $x_{23}^6 = \frac{8m-6n}{3}$ , which implies  $6n - 5m \geq 3$  and  $m \bmod 3 = 0$ ;
- if  $x_{12}^6 = x_{22}^6 = 0$ ,  $x_{33}^6 = 1$  and  $x_{13}^6 \geq 1$ , then  $x_{13}^6 = \frac{6n-5m+1}{3}$  and  $x_{23}^6 = \frac{8m-6n-4}{3}$ , which implies  $6n - 5m \geq 2$  and  $m \bmod 3 = 2$ ;
- if  $x_{12}^6 = x_{22}^6 = 0$ ,  $x_{33}^6 = 2$  and  $x_{13}^6 \geq 1$ , then  $x_{13}^6 = \frac{6n-5m+2}{3}$  and  $x_{23}^6 = \frac{8m-6n-8}{3}$ , which implies  $6n - 5m \geq 1$  and  $m \bmod 3 = 1$ .

Hence all possible  $x_{ij}^6$  values correspond to those in  $F_{11}$ . So, let  $G'$  be a graph with  $x_{ij}^6$   $(i, j)$ -edges. We have

$$f(G') = f(G) + 2 \left( \lfloor \frac{x_{12}}{2} \rfloor + \min\{x_{12}^1, x_{22}^1\} + \lfloor \frac{x_{22}^2}{2} \rfloor + \min\{x_{22}^3, x_{33}^3\} + \min\{x_{12}^4, x_{33}^4\} + \min\{x_{13}^5, \lfloor \frac{x_{33}^5}{3} \rfloor\} \right)$$

If  $G$  does not belong to  $F_{11}$  then at least one of the six values  $\lfloor \frac{x_{12}}{2} \rfloor$ ,  $\min\{x_{12}^1, x_{22}^1\}$ ,  $\lfloor \frac{x_{22}^2}{2} \rfloor$ ,  $\min\{x_{22}^3, x_{33}^3\}$ ,  $\min\{x_{12}^4, x_{33}^4\}$ ,  $\min\{x_{13}^5, \lfloor \frac{x_{33}^5}{3} \rfloor\}$  is strictly positive, which implies  $f(G') > f(G)$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Definition 17.**  $F_{12}$  is the set of chemical graphs with the following numbers  $x_{ij}$  of  $(i, j)$ -edges:

$x_{12}$	$x_{13}$	$x_{22}$	$x_{23}$	$x_{33}$	
2	0	$m - 2$	0	0	if $m = n - 1$
0	0	$m$	0	0	if $m = n$
2	0	$m - 9$	2	5	if $m = n + 1$
1	1	$m - 8$	1	5	
1	0	$m - 7$	3	3	
0	1	$m - 6$	2	3	
0	0	$m - 5$	4	1	
0	0	$3n - 2m - 1$	2	$3m - 3n - 1$	if $n + 1 < m \leq \frac{3n-3}{2}$
1	0	$3n - 2m - 3$	1	$3m - 3n + 1$	

**Theorem 23.** A chemical graph  $G$  minimizes the  $f$ -Albertson topological index over all graphs of the same order and size as  $G$  if and only if  $G \in F_{12}$ .

*Proof.* As in the previous theorem, we have  $c_{ij} = |i - j|$ . Let  $G$  be a chemical graph of order  $n$ , size  $m$  and with  $x_{ij}$   $(i, j)$ -edges. Assume that it minimizes  $f$  over all chemical graphs of order  $n$  and size  $m$ . If  $m = n - 1$ , then  $G = P_n$  since  $f(P_n) = 2$  while  $f(G) \geq 6$  if  $n_3 > 0$ . Also, if  $m = n$ , then  $G = C_n$  since  $f(C_n) = 0$  while  $f(G) > 0$  if  $n_1 + n_3 > 0$  and  $m < \frac{3n}{2}$ . Hence, in these cases, we have  $G \in F_{12}$ .

Assume now  $m \geq n + 1$ . We thus have  $x_{12} \leq x_{23}$  and  $f(G)$  is an even number at least equal to 2 (since  $m < \frac{3n}{2}$ ). To reach value 2, there are only three possibilities:

- if  $x_{13} = 1$  and  $x_{12} = x_{23} = 0$ , then  $x_{22} = 0$  and Equations (4) and (5) give  $x_{22} = 3n - 2m - 2$  and  $x_{33} = 3m - 3n + 1$  which implies  $m = \frac{3n-2}{2}$ , a contradiction.
- if  $x_{12} = x_{23} = 1$  and  $x_{13} = 0$ , then Equations (4) and (5) give  $x_{22} = 3n - 2m - 3$  and  $x_{33} = 3m - 3n + 1$ , which implies  $m > n + 1$  (else  $x_{33} = 4$  and  $n_3 = 3$  imply  $x_{33} = 4 > 3 = \frac{n_3(n_3-1)}{2}$ ) and  $G \in F_{12}$ .
- if  $x_{12} = 0$ ,  $x_{23} = 2$  and  $x_{13} = 0$ , then Equations (4) and (5) give  $x_{22} = 3n - 2m - 1$  and  $x_{33} = 3m - 3n - 1$ , which implies  $m > n + 1$  (else  $x_{33} = 1$  and  $n_3 = 1$  imply  $x_{33} = 1 > 0 = \frac{n_3(n_3-1)}{2}$ ) and  $G \in F_{12}$ .

Hence, if  $m > n + 1$  then  $G \in F_{12}$ . The remaining case is  $m = n + 1$  for which  $f(G) \geq 4$ .

There are only six possibilities to reach the minimum value 4:

- if  $x_{12} = x_{23} = 2$  and  $x_{13} = 0$ , then  $x_{22} = m - 9$  and  $x_{33} = 5$ .
- if  $x_{12} = x_{23} = 1$  and  $x_{13} = 1$ , then  $x_{22} = m - 8$  and  $x_{33} = 5$ .
- if  $x_{12} = 1$ ,  $x_{23} = 3$  and  $x_{13} = 0$ , then  $x_{22} = m - 7$  and  $x_{33} = 3$ .
- if  $x_{12} = 0$ ,  $x_{23} = 2$  and  $x_{13} = 1$ , then  $x_{22} = m - 6$  and  $x_{33} = 3$ .
- if  $x_{12} = 0$ ,  $x_{23} = 4$  and  $x_{13} = 0$ , then  $x_{22} = m - 5$  and  $x_{33} = 1$ .
- if  $x_{12} = x_{23} = 0$  and  $x_{13} = 2$ , then  $x_{22} = 0$  and  $x_{33} = m - 2$ , which implies  $n = 6$ .

Since  $G$  is of order  $n \geq 7$ , we have  $G \in F_{12}$ .  $\square$

## 6 Conclusion

Many topological indices have been proposed to study the chemical properties of molecules, and many papers focus on extremal graphs for these indices, each paper dealing with a particular index. We have shown that many of these topological indices have the same extremal properties in the sense that the chemical graphs that maximize or minimize the values of these indices are often the same. Thus, for example, for 29 of these indices, one might expect 58 classes of extremal chemical graphs, while 5 families are sufficient to describe them all. Also, for another example, chemical graphs of even order  $n$  for which  $x_{13} = \frac{3n-2m}{2}$ ,  $x_{33} = \frac{4m-3n}{2}$  and  $x_{12} = x_{22} = x_{23} = 0$  are extremal for 29 topological indices (since these graphs belong to  $F_1 \cap F_3$ ).

Most of the characterizations we have given for extremal graphs are based on a set of 8 values  $V_1, \dots, V_8$ . If new topological indices are proposed, it is therefore easy to check whether they have the same extremal properties of the indices studied in this paper. Note that some degree-based topological indices that we have not analyzed in this paper do not have any of the stated properties that allow us to characterize their extremal chemical graphs. For example, the reduced reciprocal Randić index (rrRandić) mentioned in [27] and defined by  $c_{ij} = \sqrt{(i-1)(j-1)}$  is such that  $V_1, V_2, V_3$  and  $V_4$  are strictly negative. An analysis similar to those performed in Section 5 easily shows that the set of extremal chemical graphs of order  $n \geq 10$  for the aZagreb index is strictly contained in that for rrRandić.

As Ivan Gutman pointed out [24], “today we have far too many topological indices, and there seems to lack a firm criterion to stop or slow down their proliferation”. We believe we have provided a tool to quickly test whether a new topological index has the same extremal properties as many existing indices.

## References

- [1] ALI, A., ALBALAHI, A. M., ALANAZI, A. M., BHATTI, A. A., AND HAMZA, A. E. On the maximum sigma index of  $k$ -cyclic graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 325 (2023), 58–62.
- [2] ALI, A., DIMITROV, D., DU, Z., AND ISHFAQ, F. On the extremal graphs for general sum-connectivity index ( $\chi\alpha$ ) with given cyclomatic number when  $\alpha_i = 1$ . *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 257 (2019), 19–30.
- [3] ALI, A., ELUMALAI, S., AND MANSOUR, T. On the symmetric division deg index of molecular graphs. *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 83, 1 (2020), 205–220.
- [4] ALI, A., FURTULA, B., GUTMAN, I., AND VUKIČEVIĆ, D. Augmented zagreb index: extremal results and bounds. *MATCH Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry* (2021).
- [5] ALI, A., FURTULA, B., REDŽEPOVIĆ, I., AND GUTMAN, I. Atom-bond sum-connectivity index. *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry* 60, 10 (2022), 2081–2093.
- [6] BRINKMANN, G., COOLSAET, K., GOEDGEBEUR, J., AND MÉLOT, H. House of graphs: a database of interesting graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 161, 1-2 (2013), 311–314.

- [7] CARBALLOSA, W., GRANADOS, A., MÉNDEZ BERMÚDEZ, J. A., PESTANA, D., AND PORTILLA, A. Computational properties of the arithmetic-geometric index. *J. Math. Chem.* 60, 9 (2022), 1854–1871.
- [8] CHE, Z., AND CHEN, Z. Lower and upper bounds of the forgotten topological index. *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 76, 3 (2016), 635–648.
- [9] CHU, Z.-Q., JAMIL, M. K., AND JAVED, A. Extremal hyper zagreb index for tricyclic graphs. *International Journal of Applied Mathematics* 32, 6 (2020).
- [10] CRUZ, R., GUTMAN, I., AND RADA, J. Sombor index of chemical graphs. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 399 (2021), 126018.
- [11] CRUZ, R., AND RADA, J. Extremal values of the sombor index in unicyclic and bicyclic graphs. *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry* 59 (2021), 1098–1116.
- [12] CUI, S.-Y., WANG, W., TIAN, G.-X., AND WU, B. On the arithmetic-geometric index of graphs. *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 85, 1 (2021), 87–107.
- [13] DAS, K. C., GUTMAN, I., AND FURTULA, B. On atom-bond connectivity index. *Chemical Physics Letters* 511, 4 (2011), 452–454.
- [14] DAS, K. C., XU, K., AND WANG, J. On inverse degree and topological indices of graphs. *Filomat* 30, 8 (2016), 2111–2120.
- [15] DENG, H., BALACHANDRAN, S., AYYASWAMY, S., AND VENKATAKRISHNAN, Y. On the harmonic index and the chromatic number of a graph. *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 161, 16 (2013), 2740–2744.
- [16] DENG, H., ELUMALAI, S., AND BALACHANDRAN, S. Maximum and second maximum of geometric-arithmetic index of tricyclic graphs. *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 79, 2 (2018), 467–475.
- [17] DENG, H., TANG, Z., AND WU, R. Molecular trees with extremal values of sombor indices. *International Journal of Quantum Chemistry* 121, 11 (2021), e26622.
- [18] DEVILLEZ, G., HAUWEELE, P., AND MÉLOT, H. PHOEG Helps to Obtain Extremal Graphs. In *Operations Research Proceedings 2018 (GOR (Gesellschaft fuer Operations Research e.V.))* (sept. 12-14 2019), B. Fortz and M. Labbé, Eds., Springer, Cham, p. 251 (Paper 32).
- [19] ELUMALAI, S., HOSAMANI, S. M., MANSOUR, T., AND ROSTAMI, M. A. More on inverse degree and topological indices of graphs. *Filomat* 32, 1 (2018), 165–178.
- [20] FALAHATI-NEZHAD, F., AZARI, M., AND DOŠLIĆ, T. Sharp bounds on the inverse sum indeg index. *Discrete applied mathematics* 217 (2017), 185–195.
- [21] FOWLER, P. Private communication, 2024.
- [22] FURTULA, B., GRAOVAC, A., AND VUKIČEVIĆ, D. Augmented zagreb index. *Journal of mathematical chemistry* 48 (2010), 370–380.
- [23] GHORBANI, M., ZANGI, S., AND AMRAEI, N. New results on symmetric division deg index. *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* 65, 1-2 (2021), 161–176.

- [24] GUTMAN, I. Degree-based topological indices. *Croatica Chemica Acta* 86 (2013), 351–361.
- [25] GUTMAN, I. Geometric approach to degree-based topological indices: Sombor indices. *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 86 (2021), 11–16.
- [26] GUTMAN, I., AND DAS, K. C. The first zagreb index 30 years after. *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem* 50, 1 (2004), 83–92.
- [27] GUTMAN, I., FURTULA, B., AND ELPHICK, C. Three new/old vertex-degree-based topological indices. *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 72 (2014), 617–632.
- [28] HANSEN, P., CAPOROSI, G., HERTZ, A., AOUCHICHE, M., VUKICEVIĆ, D., AND SELLAL, C. Edge realizability of connected simple graphs. *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 78 (2017), 689–712.
- [29] HANSEN, P., AND MÉLOT, H. Variable neighborhood search for extremal graphs. IX. Bounding the irregularity of a graph. In *Graphs and discovery*, vol. 69 of *DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci.* Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005, pp. 253–264.
- [30] HANSEN, P., AND VUKICEVIĆ, D. Variable neighborhood search for extremal graphs. 23. on the randić index and the chromatic number. *Discrete Mathematics* 309, 13 (2009), 4228–4234.
- [31] HENNING, M. A., AND RAUTENBACH, D. On the irregularity of bipartite graphs. *Discrete Math.* 307, 11-12 (2007), 1467–1472.
- [32] LI, S., WANG, Z., AND ZHANG, M. On the extremal sombor index of trees with a given diameter. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 416 (2022), 126731.
- [33] LI, X., AND SHI, Y. A survey on the randic index. *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem* 59, 1 (2008), 127–156.
- [34] LIU, H., YOU, L., TANG, Z., AND LIU, J.-B. On the reduced sombor index and its applications. *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem* 86 (2021), 729–753.
- [35] LIU, J., MATEJIC, M., MILOVANOVIC, E., AND MILOVANOVIC, I. Some new inequalities for the forgotten topological index and coindex of graphs. *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem* 84, 3 (2020), 719–738.
- [36] MCKAY, B. D. Nauty user’s guide (version 2.4). *Computer Science Dept., Australian National University* (2007), 225–239.
- [37] NIKOLIĆ, S., KOVAČEVIĆ, G., MILIČEVIĆ, A., AND TRINAJSTIĆ, N. The zagreb indices 30 years after. *Croatica chemica acta* 76, 2 (2003), 113–124.
- [38] RANDIĆ, M. Characterization of molecular branching. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 97, 23 (1975), 6609–6615.
- [39] RAZA, Z., AND ALI, A. Bounds on the zagreb indices for molecular (n, m)-graphs. *International Journal of Quantum Chemistry* 120, 18 (2020), e26333.
- [40] SEDLAR, J., STEVANOVIĆ, D., AND VASILYEV, A. On the inverse sum indeg index. *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 184 (2015), 202–212.



- [41] SWARTZ, E., AND VETRÍK, T. Survey on the general randić index: extremal results and bounds. *Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics* 52, 4 (2022), 1177–1203.
- [42] VUJOŠEVIĆ, S., POPIVODA, G., VUKIĆEVIĆ, Ž. K., FURTULA, B., AND ŠKREKOVSKI, R. Arithmetic–geometric index and its relations with geometric–arithmetic index. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 391 (2021), 125706.
- [43] YUAN, Y., ZHOU, B., AND TRINAJSTIĆ, N. On geometric-arithmetic index. *J. Math. Chem.* 47, 2 (2010), 833–841.
- [44] ZHANG, X.-M., YANG, Y., WANG, H., AND ZHANG, X.-D. Maximum atom-bond connectivity index with given graph parameters. *Discrete Appl. Math.* 215 (2016), 208–217.
- [45] ZHONG, L. The harmonic index for graphs. *Applied mathematics letters* 25, 3 (2012), 561–566.