
Benchmark Evaluations, Applications, and Challenges of Large Vision Language Models: A Survey

Zongxia Li*
zli12321@umd.edu

Xiyang Wu*
wuxiyang@umd.edu

Hongyang Du
hdu1@umd.edu

Huy Nghiem
nghiemh@umd.edu

Guangyao Shi
shig@usc.edu

***Equal contribution.**

Abstract

Multimodal Vision Language Models (VLMs) have emerged as a transformative technology at the intersection of computer vision and natural language processing, enabling machines to perceive and reason about the world through both visual and textual modalities. For example, models such as CLIP [212], Claude [10], and GPT-4V [275] demonstrate strong reasoning and understanding abilities on visual and textual data and beat classical single modality vision models on zero-shot classification [107]. Despite their rapid advancements in research and growing popularity in applications, a comprehensive survey of existing studies on VLMs is notably lacking, particularly for researchers aiming to leverage VLMs in their specific domains. To this end, we provide a systematic overview of VLMs in the following aspects: [1] model information of the major VLMs developed over the past five years (2019-2024); [2] the main architectures and training methods of these VLMs; [3] summary and categorization of the popular benchmarks and evaluation metrics of VLMs; [4] the applications of VLMs including embodied agents, robotics, and video generation; [5] the challenges and issues faced by current VLMs such as hallucination, fairness, and safety. Detailed collections including papers and model repository links are listed in <https://github.com/zli12321/Awesome-VLM-Papers-And-Models.git>.

1 Introduction

Pretrained large language models (LLMs), such as LLaMA [236], GPT-4 [198] have achieved remarkable success across a wide range of NLP tasks [172, 183]. However, as these models continue to scale [190], they face two challenges: (1) The finite supply of high-quality text data [240, 141]; (2) The inherent limitations of single-modality architectures in capturing and processing real-world information that requires understanding the complex relationships between different modalities [72, 94]. These limitations motivate the efforts to explore and develop VLMs, which combine both visual (e.g., images, videos) and textual inputs, providing a more comprehensive understanding of visual spatial relationships, objects, scenes, and abstract concepts [22, 84]. VLMs expand the representational boundaries that have previously confined single-modality approaches, supporting a richer and more contextually informed view of the world [58, 243, 167], such as visual question answering (VQA) [4], autonomous driving [234]. Meanwhile, VLMs encounter new challenges distinct from single-modality models, such as visual hallucination, which occurs when VLMs generate responses without meaningful visual comprehension, instead relying primarily on parametric knowledge stored in the LLM component [75, 151]. There are already several reviews on single-modality models [189, 30] while the multi-modality one is still missing. In this paper, we provide a critical examination of research

results on VLMs, offering a systematic review of current major architectures of VLMs, evaluation and benchmarks, applications, and challenges faced by VLMs.

2 State-of-the-Art VLMs

In recent years, leading Artificial Intelligence (AI) organizations are consistently releasing new VLMs [152]. From OpenAI’s CLIP [211], Salesforce’s BLIP [132], DeepMind’s Flamingo [7] to GPT-4V [275] and Gemini [9], these models are becoming larger and more interactive and illustrate the integration of chatbot functionality within VLM frameworks to support multimodal user interaction to improve user experience. The SoTA VLMs from 2019 to the end of 2024 are listed in Table 1 according to the following three principal research directions.

Vision-Language correlation considers how training objectives or architectural design facilitate multimodal integration [297]. Training objectives such as contrastive learning are exemplified by approaches like SimCLR [37], which is originally developed for self-supervised vision tasks, adapts neatly to multimodal settings by bringing paired images and text closer together in the embedding space while pushing apart unpaired examples. Vision-language architecture considers how structural choices in model design facilitate or constrain multimodal integration [297]. Older architectural approaches primarily train models from scratch (CLIP [277]), whereas more recent methods (LLaMA 3.2-vision [61]) leverage the power of pre-trained LLMs as a backbone to improve the ability to correlate vision and language to better understand visual content (Section 3).

Benchmarks and evaluation focuses on designing, collecting, and generating multimodal data, primarily in the format of question-answering (QA), to test VLMs on a variety of tasks such as visual text understanding, chart understanding, video understanding (Section 4).

Applications of VLMs focuses on deploying VLM models in real-world scenarios. Virtual applications typically involve controlling personal device screens or simulated agent game playing (Section 5.1). Meanwhile, physical applications of VLMs primarily pertain to interactions with real-world physical objects, such as robotic human interaction or autonomous driving (Section 5.3).

These three directions provide a structured framework for analyzing, comparing, and guiding future progress in the rapidly evolving domain of vision-language modeling. [152, 167]

3 Building Blocks and Training Methods

The architectures of VLMs are changing from pre-training from scratch to using pre-trained LLMs as a backbone to align the vision and textual information (Table 1). However, the fundamental components remain largely unchanged. We summarize the most foundational and widely adopted architectural components of VLMs, followed by an explanation of the popular pre-training and alignment methods. Details of SoTA VLM are given in Table 1 to show the shift in basic VLM architectures and newer architecture innovations that fuse visual features with textual features by treating visual features as tokens (Section 3.4).

3.1 Common Architecture Components

Vision Encoder plays a crucial role in projecting visual components into embedding features that align with embeddings from large language models (LLMs) for tasks such as text or image generation [62]. It is trained to extract rich visual features from image or video data, enabling integration with language representations [170, 301].

Specifically, vision encoders used in many VLMs [155, 248, 48, 41], are pretrained on large-scale multimodal or image data: These encoders are jointly trained on image-text pairs, allowing them to capture visual and language relationships effectively. Notable examples include CLIP [212], which aligns images and text embeddings via contrastive learning, and BLIP [133], which leverages bootstrapped pretraining for robust language-image alignment. Pretrained on large scale ImageNet [51] or Similar Datasets: These encoders are trained on vast amounts of labeled visual data or through self-supervised training [203], enabling them to capture domain-specific visual features. While




Figure 1: The basic components of common SoTA VLMs.

initially unimodal, these encoders, such as ResNet [88] or Vision Transformers (ViTs) [57], can be adapted for multimodal tasks. They excel at extracting meaningful object-level features and serve as a solid foundation for vision-language models. Many SoTA VLMs, such as Qwen2-VL [248] and LLaVA [156], commonly incorporate pretrained vision encoders. These encoders not only provide robust and meaningful visual representations but are also highly effective for transfer learning [288]. They outperform randomly initialized encoders [93] by leveraging learned vision knowledge from their training domains.

Text Encoder projects tokenized text sequences into an embedding space, similar to how vision encoders process images. Models such as CLIP [212], BLIP [133], and ALIGN [110] use both an image encoder and a text encoder. These models use contrastive learning to align image and text embeddings in a shared latent space, effectively capturing cross-modal relationships. However, newer models, such as LLaVA [156], often do not include a dedicated text encoder. Instead, they rely on large language models (LLMs) (e.g., LLaMA [236], Vicuna [204]) for text understanding, integrating visual inputs through projection layers or cross-attention mechanisms [145]. This shift shows a growing trend of using the capabilities of LLMs over vision components for more versatile and advanced multimodal reasoning and generation tasks.

Text Decoder leverages LLMs as the primary text generator, using visual encoders to project image features [118]. GPT-4V [198], Flamingo [8], and Kosmos-2 [206] use this approach. These models typically use a minimal visual projection mechanism, allowing the powerful language decoder to generate contextually rich outputs. VisualBERT and ViBERT [163, 136] provide the foundation to decoder architectures for multimodal pretraining. Training VLMs from scratch typically requires a separate text decoder, whereas using LLMs as the backbone often uses the original decoders from the LLM. (Figure 1).

Cross-Attention Mechanisms enable dynamic interactions between visual and textual features by allowing tokens from one modality (vision) to influence tokens from the other modality (text) [145]. Cross-attention layers are commonly used to integrate information across modalities by computing attention scores between every pair of visual and textual tokens. Not all models use the cross-attention mechanism. For example, VisualBERT [163] and Flamingo [7] both have cross-attention mechanisms while CLIP [212] has no cross-attention..

3.2 Building Blocks of Training From Scratch

Training a VLM from scratch typically uses distinct training objectives and methodologies compared to using an LLM as the backbone. Self-Supervised Learning (SSL) pre-trains without needing human labeled data to scale up pretraining [87]. Variants of SSL techniques include masked image modeling [86], contrastive learning [239], and image transformation prediction [180]. In this section,

| Model | Year | Architecture | Training Data | Parameters | Vision Encoder / Tokenizer | Pretrained Backbone Model |
|---------------------------|------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|---|
| VisualBERT [136] | 2019 | Encoder-only | COCO [147] | 110M | Faster R-CNN[213] | Pretrained from scratch |
| CLIP [212] | 2021 | Encoder-decoder | 400M image-text pairs | 63M-355M | ViT[57] / ResNet[88] | Pretrained from scratch |
| BLIP [132] | 2022 | Encoder-decoder | COCO [147], Visual Genome [122] | 223M-400M | ViT-B/L/g [57] | Pretrained from scratch |
| Flamingo [7] | 2022 | Decoder-only | M3W [7], ALIGN [110] | 80B | Custom | Chinchilla [92] |
| BLIP-2 [131] | 2023 | Encoder-decoder | COCO [147], Visual Genome [122] | 7B-13B | ViT-g[57] | Open Pretrained Transformer (OPT) [300] |
| GPT-4V [275] | 2023 | Decoder-only | Undisclosed | Undisclosed | Undisclosed | Undisclosed |
| Gemini [9] | 2023 | Decoder-only | Undisclosed | Undisclosed | Undisclosed | Undisclosed |
| LLaVA-1.5 [154] | 2023 | Decoder-only | COCO [147] | 13B | CLIP ViT-L/14 [57] | Vicuna [1] |
| PaLM-E [59] | 2023 | Decoder-only | All robots, WebLI [38] | 562B | ViT [57] | PaLM [44] |
| CogVLM [249] | 2023 | Encoder-decoder | LAION-2B [257], COYO-700M [27] | 18B | CLIP ViT-L/14 [57] | Vicuna [1] |
| InstructBLIP [49] | 2023 | Encoder-decoder | CoCo [147], VQAv2 [73] | 13B | ViT [57] | Flan-T5 [45], Vicuna [1] |
| InternVL [41] | 2023 | Encoder-decoder | LAION-en [217], LAION-multi [217] | 7B/20B | Eva CLIP ViT-g [57] | QLLaMA [46] |
| Claude 3 [10] | 2024 | Decoder-only | Undisclosed | Undisclosed | Undisclosed | Undisclosed |
| Emu3 [251] | 2024 | Decoder-only | Aquila [294] | 7B | MoVQGAN[303] | LLaMA-2 [236] |
| NVLM [48] | 2024 | Encoder-decoder | LAION-115M [133] | 8B-24B | Custom ViT | Qwen2-Instruct [272] |
| Qwen2-VL [248] | 2024 | Decoder-only | Undisclosed | 7B-14B | EVA-CLIP ViT-L [57] | Qwen-2 [272] |
| Pixtral [5] | 2024 | Decoder-only | Undisclosed | 12B | CLIP ViT-L/14 [57] | Mistral Large 2 [181] |
| LLaMA 3.2-vision [61] | 2024 | Decoder-only | Undisclosed | 11B-90B | CLIP[212] | LLaMA-3.1 [61] |
| Baichuan Ocean Mini [137] | 2024 | Decoder-only | Image / Video / Audio / Text | 7B | CLIP ViT-L/14 [57] | Baichuan [271] |
| TransFusion [307] | 2024 | Encoder-decoder | Undisclosed | 7B | VAE Encoder [119] | Pretrained from scratch on transformer architecture |
| DeepSeek-VL2 [264] | 2024 | Decoder-only | WiT [230], Wiki-How [121] | 4.5B x 74 | SigLIP [120] / SAMB [292] | DeepSeekMoE [246, 47] |
| Molmo [50] | 2024 | Decoder-only | PixMo [50] | 1B-72B | CLIP ViT-L/14 [57] | OLMoE [187] / OLMo [74] / Qwen-2 [272] |
| OLMo-2 [196] | 2024 | Decoder-only | OLMo-mix-1124 [196] | 7B-13B | GPT-NeoX-20B [21] | Pretrained from scratch |

Table 1: There is a growing number of VLMs released in recent years, has expanded rapidly in recent years, with architectural variations enabling better and deeper integration between visual and textual representations. However, most current SoTA models use pretrained language models as the backbone model recently. DeepSeek-VL2 has a mixture of experts (MoE) architecture. The table only shows the primary sources/composition of the training data.

we delve into contrastive learning, a common pre-training process to scale up VLM training from scratch.

Contrastive Learning involves using separate encoders for visual and textual inputs, which are trained to map their respective modalities into a shared embedding space. The visual encoder

processes images, generating feature embeddings from models like convolutional neural networks (CNN) [199] or vision transformers (ViTs) [56]. The text encoder processes textual inputs into embeddings. Contrastive learning aligns related image-text pairs by minimizing the distance between their visual and text embeddings in the shared space. At the same time, it maximizes the distance between embeddings of unrelated pairs. Pioneering models like CLIP [212], BLIP [134], and ALIGN [110] leverage this approach, pre-training on large-scale image-text datasets to develop robust, transferable representations for downstream tasks.

3.3 Building Blocks of Using LLMs as Backbone

Large Language Models serve as the text generation component that processes encoded visual and textual inputs to produce text outputs autoregressively [25, 236, 198] for VLMs. In the context of VLMs, LLMs include their original text decoders. In this section, we list two common ways to align visual and pre-trained LLM text features.

Projector maps visual features extracted by the vision encoder into a shared embedding space aligned with the text embeddings from the LLM. It typically consists of multi-layer perceptron (MLP) layers [188], which transform high-dimensional visual representations into compact embedding tokens compatible with the textual modality. The projector can be trained jointly with the rest of the model to optimize cross-modal objectives or freezing certain parts of the model, such as the LLM, to preserve pre-trained knowledge. Most cotemporary examples include LLaVA [155], QWen-2-VL [248], Nvidia VLM [48], Baichuan Ocean-mini [137], Emu3 [251], and Pixtral (multimodal decoder) [5].

Joint Training is an end-to-end approach that updates weights of all components of the model in parallel without freezing any weights, including the LLM and projector layers. This approach has been used in models such as Flamingo [7].

Freeze Training Stages involves selectively freezing model components during training, preserving pre-trained knowledge while adapting to new tasks [96]. Common strategies include freezing pre-trained vision encoders while fine-tuning projector layers, and implementing gradual unfreezing of components [207] or freezing LLM layers while only updating vision encoder weights [237].

3.4 Newer Architectures

Recent works have focused on enhancing the fusion of visual and textual features which we discuss in this section.

Treating all modalities as tokens is a more recent approach that reads and encodes visual inputs (images and videos) as tokens similar to text tokens. Emu3 [250] uses SBER-MoVQGAN to encode visual inputs into tokens and employs special separators, such as *[SOT]* and *[EOV]*, to mark the start and end of visual tokens.¹ It still retains the LLMs architectures such as Llama [236], but comes with an expansion of the embedding layer to accommodate discrete vision tokens (Root Mean Square Layer Normalization layer [293] and Multi-query attention [6]). Additionally, it treats the generation of both visual and textual outputs as a token prediction task for a unified multimodal representation.

Transfusion processes different modalities simultaneously within a single transformer architecture [307]. This method treats discrete text tokens and continuous image vectors in parallel by introducing strategic break points. While not yet perfected, this approach shows promising potential for developing more unified multimodal models that can handle diverse input types.


4 Benchmarks and Evaluation

The number of VLM benchmarks has grown rapidly with the quick development of new VLMs since 2022 [43, 298]. Comprehensive benchmarking is important for evaluating model performance and ensuring robust training across different capabilities various aspects such as math reasoning, scene

¹<https://github.com/ai-forever/MoVQGAN>

recognition, etc [164, 73]. Modern VLM benchmarks have moved beyond simple tasks like basic visual question answering to include a wider range of tests that better evaluate the models’ multimodal abilities from more aspects [65]. In this section, we summarize and categorize existing 38 vision-language benchmarks for evaluating VLMs, including image-text and video-text benchmarks. We then summarize the commonly used evaluation metrics for these benchmarks, the typical methods for creating benchmark datasets, and the strengths and weaknesses of current benchmarks and evaluation practices. We highlight how most benchmarks prioritize data diversity and quantity while often overlooking improvements in evaluation quality, which hinders the effective assessment of VLMs.

Benchmark Categorization. Benchmarks are designed with specific testing objectives, and we classify to ten primary categories (Table 2).



(a) Most of our surveyed data tests VLMs’ visual reasoning abilities.

(b) Majority of the benchmarks are designed in multiple choice format for ease of evaluations.

Figure 2: Our surveyed benchmark dataset categories and common evaluation practices.

4.1 How Are Benchmark Data Collected

Benchmark datasets are typically created using one of three common data collection pipelines: fully human-annotated datasets; partially human-annotated datasets scaled up with synthetic data generation and partially validated by humans; and partially human-annotated datasets scaled up with synthetic data and fully validated by humans.

Fully human-annotated datasets are created by having humans collect or generate adversarial or challenging test questions from diverse subjects and fields. For example, MMMU[286] has 50 college students from various disciplines to collect existing test questions from textbooks and lecture materials, often in multiple choice format. Another approach involves humans creating questions and having annotators provide answers to these questions. In VCR[289], Mechanical Turks are tasked with using contexts, detected objects, and images to write one to three questions about each image, along with reasonable answers and explanations. Fully human annotated datasets are time-consuming and hard to scale up, which brings inspiration to automatic question generation with human validation.

Synthetic question generation has become a more popular part of benchmark generation pipeline on various disciplines such as chart understanding [176], video understanding [174] to quickly scale up dataset sizes. Common practices include using human written examples as seed examples, giving a powerful LLM to generate more adversarial example questions and answers [128]. Often, the generation process is only involved with texts. Chart and video data are often paired with visual content and captions, which are often used by authors as context to prompt LLMs to extract answers and generate questions [174, 135]. However, LLMs are not always accurate and may produce unfaithful content or hallucinations [270]. To address this, pipelines typically include automatic filters to remove low-quality outputs, followed by crowdworker validation of either randomly sampled or all generated examples [176, 128, 174]. Automatic benchmark generation helps scale dataset size with reduced human effort. However, current automatic question-generation methods primarily rely on captions and textual contexts, which can lead to the creation of questions that are easy to answer without requiring significant visual reasoning [75], which undermines the benchmark’s primary goal—evaluating a VLM’s ability to comprehend and reason about visual content.

| Category | Description | Datasets |
|--|---|--|
| Visual text understanding | Evaluates models’ ability to extract and understand texts within visual components | TextVQA [227], DocVQA [178] |
| Multilingual multi-modal understanding | Evaluates VLMs on different languages on different tasks such as question answering and reasoning | MM-En/CN [161], CMMLU [130], C-Eval [103], MTVQA [232] |
| Visual math reasoning | Tests models’ ability to solve math problems in image forms | MathVista [164], MathVision [245], MM-Vet [283] |
| Optical Character Recognition (OCR) | Test models’ ability to extract objects from visual inputs | MM-Vet [283], OCRBench [162], MME [63], MMTBench [280] |
| Chart graphic understanding | Evaluates models’ ability to interpret graphic-related data | infographic VQA [177], AI2D [117], ChartQA [176], MMMU [286] |
| Text-to-Image generation | Evaluates models’ ability to generate images | MSCOCO [147], GenEval [70], T2I-CompBench [101], DPG-Bench [99], VQAScore [148], GenAI-Bench [126] |
| Hallucination | Evaluates whether models are likely to hallucinate on certain visual and textual inputs | HallusionBench [75], POPE [138] |
| Multimodal general intelligence | Evaluates models’ ability on diverse domains of tasks | MMLU [89], MMMU [286], MMStar [35], M3GIA [229], AGIEval [306] |
| Video understanding | Evaluates models’ ability to understand videos (sequences of images) | EgoSchema [174], MLVU [308], MVBench [135], VideoMME [64], Perception-Test [209] |
| Visual reasoning, understanding, recognition, and question answering | Evaluate VLMs’ ability to recognize objects, answer questions, and reason through both visual and textual information | MMTBench [280], GQA [105], MM-En/CN [161], VCR [289], VQAv2 [73], MM-Vet [283], MMU [161], SEEDBench [128], Real World QA [265], MMMU-Pro [287], DPG [99], MSCOCO-30K [147], MM-Vet [283], ST-VQA [20], NaturalBench [127] |
| Robot simulator, web agent simulator | Evaluate the embodied VLMs’ abilities online in rule-based simulators | Habitat [216], Gibson [266], iGibson [129], Isaac Lab [182], WebArena [309], CALVIN [179], VLMBench [304], GemBench [67], VIMA-Bench [113] |
| Robotic benchmarks | Evaluate the embodied VLMs’ abilities using offline datasets recording collected interactions | Habitat [216], Gibson [266], iGibson [129] |
| Generative model, world model | Evaluate the embodied AI models’ abilities with interactive models representing the environments | GAIA-1 [97], UniSim [274], LWM [153], Genesis [11] |

Table 2: The categories are surveyed from 15 SoTA VLM papers and collect the popular evaluation benchmarks used, categorized to 10 categories.

Interaction in the Simulator is mainly targeted at VLM benchmarks in robotics. It gathers data for training and evaluation by assessing the VLM-powered agents online. As a data generation method stemming from reinforcement learning, such a data generation method is applicable for those scenarios that human-labeled datasets or synthetic datasets are hard and expensive to acquire, while the data construction follows some common rules like the physical law or some other common senses. With this rule-based data acquisition method, the outcome VLMs are more robust to the deviation

within the multimodal inputs. During recent years, many works focus on realistic simulators for either robotics [216, 266, 129, 182, 179, 304, 67] and web agents [309] to simulator human agents or robots’ interactions with the physical world. Nonetheless, benchmarks [216, 266, 129] based on the interaction data records from the simulator are also widely used for VLM agents training and evaluation. Notably, more efforts have been used for generative model [274] or even world model [153, 97, 11] to replace the previous simulators or datasets in generating more practical and better-quality datasets for VLMs. Though simulators are widely used in training and evaluating the VLM-power agents, the potential sim2real gap might exist when transplanting the terminal VLM into real-world applications, *i.e.* the VLM-powered agents might not be able to handle some real-world situations. More efforts towards the mitigation of these issues are still expected in this direction.

4.2 Evaluation Metrics

Benchmarks are designed for evaluation, with metrics established during their creation. VLM evaluation metrics are automatic to support repeated use at scale, and they often influence the question formats used in the benchmarks. We show the common evaluation metrics used in our surveyed benchmarks (Figure 2b, 3).

1) Answer Matching



Q: What is the price of the bananas per kg?
A: \$11.98

Q: What does the red sign say?
A: Stop

ST-VQA [17]

Evaluation: Accuracy
Metric: Exact Match
Format: Specific short-form answers, such as objects...



Me: It's so it is
You: It is
Me: Unlike the 1 panda will it it now

Q: Can you explain this meme?
GT: This meme is a humorous take on procrastination and the tendency to delay tasks until a specific time...

MM-Vet [245]

Evaluation: Average Score
Metric: ROUGE, LLM Eval
Format: Long-form open-ended answers



Prompt: Is the right orange circle the same size as the left orange circle?


Original
Answer: Yes, the orange balls have the same size.
GPT-4V: Yes, the right orange circle appear to be the same size as the left orange circle.
LLaVA-1.5: No, the right orange circle is **smaller** than the left orange circle.

Edited: The orange ball on the right is enlarged.
Answer: No, the orange balls have different size.
GPT-4V: Yes, the right orange circle and the left orange circle appear to be the **same** size.
LLaVA-1.5: Yes, the right orange circle is **the same** as the left orange circle.

HallusionBench [61]

Evaluation: Accuracy / Precision / Recall
Metric: Exact Match
Format: Yes/No question

2) Multiple Choice



MMMU-Pro [249]

Evaluation: Accuracy
Metric: Exact Choice Match
Format: Multiple choice questions

3) Image-Caption Similarity



a brown bear? → 0.9925
a blue boat? → 0.9876
Score: 0.9904

T2I-CompBench [83]

Evaluation: Average Similarity
Metric: CLIPScore, GenEval
Format: text to image generation

Figure 3: Common benchmark evaluation metrics restrict the formats of most benchmarks, which mostly evaluates whether a VLM can generate a short-form answer that matches the correct answers.

Answer matching is widely used for open-ended and closed-ended question types, which are the answers are *short-form entities, long-form answers, numbers, or yes/no*. Generative VLMs are more verbose than extractive LLMs and VLMS, where they often generate verbose but correct answers [143], containment exact match [108] is a more practical version used more often in the evaluation, which includes removing articles and space of predicted answers and check whether the normalized predicted answer is contained in the normalized gold answer [125, 33]. However, exact match tends to have high recall, which often fails to account for semantic equivalence between the gold and predicted answers, frequently misjudging human-acceptable correct answers as incorrect [26, 31, 143] and becomes impossible for benchmarks that seek long-form answers [267]. Prior to the instruction following success of LLM period, standard token overlapping scores such as F_1 , ROUGE [144], BLEU [201] to measure the similarity score between the gold and predicted answers, but start failing when generative models are generating more complex and diverse but correct answers [267, 31, 143, 26].

Thus, some of the benchmarks like MM-Vet [283] adopts LLMs to evaluate generated responses when the responses are long-form answers that requires semantic understanding to judge correctness. LLM evaluations are shown to have the highest correlations to human evaluation, but they also face the struggles of producing consistent outputs with internal model updates or changing prompt instructions [173, 302, 116]. While no current answer-matching evaluation method is perfect, *yes/no* questions are the easiest to evaluate compared to open-ended ones. As a result, most benchmarks rely on a multiple-choice format to assess VLMs (Figure 2b).

| Benchmark | Evaluation | Category | Annotation | Size (K) |
|------------------|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------|
| MMTBench | Multiple Choice | Visual reasoning | AI Experts | 30.1 |
| MM-Vet | LLM Eval | Visual reasoning | Human | 0.2 |
| MM-En/CN | Multiple Choice | Visual reasoning / Multilingual understanding | Human | 3.2 |
| GQA | Answer Matching | Visual reasoning | Seed with Synthetic | 22,000 |
| VCR | Multiple Choice | Visual reasoning, | MTurks | 290 |
| VQAv2 | Answer Matching Yes/No | Chart graphic understanding | MTurks | 1,100 |
| MMMU | Answer Matching Multiple Choice | Chart graphic understanding | College Students | 11.5 |
| SEEDBench | Multiple Choice | Visual reasoning | Synthetic | 19 |
| RealWorld QA | Multiple Choice | Visual reasoning, understanding, recognition, and question answering | Human | 0.765 |
| MMMU-Pro | Multiple Choice | Visual reasoning | Human | 3.64 |
| DPG-Bench | Semantic Alignment | Visual reasoning / Text-to-Image generation | Synthetic | 1.06 |
| MSCOCO-30K | BLEU Rouge Similarity | Visual reasoning / Text-to-Image generation | MTurks | 30 |
| TextVQA | Answer Matching | Visual text understanding | CrowdSource | 45 |
| DocVQA | Answer matching | Visual text understanding | CrowdSource | 50 |
| CMMLU | Multiple Choice | Multilingual multi-modal understanding | College Students | 11.5 |
| C-Eval | Multiple Choice | Multilingual multi-modal understanding | Human | 13.9 |
| TextVQA | Answer Matching | Visual text understanding | Expert Human | 28.6 |
| MathVista | Answer Matching Multiple Choice | Visual math reasoning | Human | 6.15 |
| MathVision | Answer Matching Multiple Choice | Visual math reasoning | College Students | 3.04 |
| OCRBench | Answer Matching | OCR | Human | 1 |
| MME | Yes/No | OCR | Human | 2.8 |
| InfographicVQA | Answer Matching | Chart graphic understanding | CrowdSource | 30 |
| AI2D | Answer Matching | Chart graphic understanding | CrowdSource | 1 |
| ChartQA | Answer Matching | Chart graphic understanding | CrowdSource and synthetic | 32.7 |
| GenEval | CLIPScore GenEval | Text-to-Image generation | MTurks | 1.2 |
| T2I-CompBench | Multiple Metrics | Text-to-Image generation | Synthetic | 6 |
| HallusionBench | Yes/No | Hallucination | Human | 1.13 |
| POPE | Yes/No | Hallucination | Human | 9 |
| MMLU | Multiple Choice | Multimodal general intelligence | Human | 15.9 |
| MMStar | Multiple Choice | Multimodal general intelligence | Human | 1.5 |
| M3GIA | Multiple Choice | Multimodal general intelligence | Human | 1.8 |
| InternetAGIEval | Multiple Choice | Multimodal general intelligence | Human | 8.06 |
| EgoSchem | Multiple Choice | Video understanding | Synthetic/Human | 5 |
| MVBench | Multiple Choice | Video understanding | Synthetic/Human | 4 |
| MLVU | Multiple Choice | Video understanding | Synthetic/Human | 2.6 |
| VideoMME | Multiple Choice | Video understanding | Experts | 2.7 |
| Perception-Test | Multiple Choice | Video understanding | CrowdSource | 11.6 |
| VQAScore | Yes/No | Vision-Language Alignment | AI | 665 |
| GenAI-Bench | Human Ratings | Generative AI Evaluation | Human | 80.0 |
| NaturalBench | Yes/No Multiple Choice | Vision-Language Adversarial Testing | Human | 10.0 |

Table 3: Benchmarks and evaluations, along with their annotation and data source.

Multiple Choice format involves selecting an answer from a set of options, including distractors, for a given visual question [280, 289, 128, 265]. This format provides definitive answers and is among the easiest to evaluate, as it measures the percentage of questions a VLM answers correctly. However, LLMs have demonstrated an unusual ability to select correct answers even without access to the actual questions [17]. Since VLMs incorporate an LLM component for generating responses (Section 3), further research is required to assess the robustness and reliability of current VLM benchmarks.

Image/text similarity scores are commonly used in image generation benchmarks like T2I-CompBench, GenEval [101, 70] to evaluate the alignment between generated images and their corresponding textual descriptions. They often rely on measures such as CLIPScore [90] for image-text alignment or ROUGE for caption matching to assess the semantic and lexical similarity between the outputs and the references.

In summary, VLM benchmarks encompass a wide range of question types, fields of expertise, and tasks, with MMLU [89] alone covering 57 distinct tasks. However, popular evaluations remain largely confined to simple answer matching or multiple choice formats, far from the broader definition of general intelligence of the Turing test [238].

5 Applications

VLMs are adopted to a wide variety of tasks, from virtual world applications such as virtual embodied agents to real world applications such as robotics and autonomous driving.

5.1 Embodied VLM agents

Visual question answering (VQA) is a foundational task that involves answering questions based on visual and textual content [4]. It requires extracting meaningful information from images or video sequences, such as identifying objects, scenes, and activities. In practice, embodied VLM agents [159] is a popular application of VQA, ranging from embodied personal device chatbot assistance to visual chart interpretation and diagram generation for low-vision users [82, 184].

Embodied agents are AI models with virtual or physical bodies that can interact with their environment [233]. Pure textual agents such as Apple Intelligence [79] can process, reason, and execute user requests by converting them to executable code to control phone applications, but lacks visual reasoning abilities. In this context, we focus specifically on embodied agents with virtual bodies, particularly in relation to the application of VQA models for personal assistance and accessibility.

Embodied VLM agents as assistive applications and accessibility aims at helping users perform actions on devices or providing on-screen answers to assist individuals with low vision. Recent developments include: ScreenAI [13] specializes in understanding user interface (UI) components and answering questions about screen elements. Smartphone assistant [55] extends this capability by using an end-to-end VLM that directly reads visual screen inputs and user requests and converts into executable code sequences to fulfill user request actions. Similar to Smartphone assistant, ScreenAgent [192] uses a three-step approach (planning, acting, reflecting) to process user requests. It first understands UI components through natural language descriptions, then decomposes user requests into subtasks, and finally generates mouse and keyboard operations in a function-call format to execute actions on user screens. In addition, some of these VLM agents might also require chart understanding or generation capabilities to tell a user what the graphics, diagrams or charts are about. VLMs are often prone to hallucination, especially for chart understanding that often extracts wrong numbers. ChartLLaMA [82] is finetuned specifically for understanding various chart or plot visual inputs with more accuracy number extraction and interpretation. Nonetheless, these VLM applications serve as an assistant to help users automatically execute actions without user involving and help disabled people access and understand UI pages better to improve accessibility [273].

Despite the advancements of embodied virtual VLM agents, there is a limitation of their reliance on language models, often using vision as a supplementary role rather than fully integrating the two modalities [75]. These models often use language reasoning as the primary driver, with visual input playing a secondary role, leading to insufficient visual understanding to inform decision-making effectively. [295, 100]. Besides virtual applications, embodied VLM agents are also used to perform

real physical world applications such as surgical planning and simulation to reduce risks [53], with more physical details in Section 5.3.

5.2 Generative Visual Media Applications

Generative VLM models, including generative adversarial networks (GAN)[71], diffusion models[91], and newer frameworks like Transfusion are widely used in media applications to aid art and content creations. One notable application of generative VLM models is in the creation of memes, a universal language of the Internet. Platforms like Supermeme.ai [2] uses VLM models to generate customized memes in over 110 languages, enabling users to express emotions or ideas effectively through humorous or relatable visual content. In addition, generative VLM models are used in cinematic and visual effects. For instance, MovieGen[208] allows users to create dynamic movie scenes by transforming static images into visually stunning video effects based on user input.

5.3 Robotics and Embodied AI

The integration of vision-language models with robotics is a very heated topic that bridges the foundation models residing in cyberspace and the physical world [160]. An enormous amount of research work has emerged in the last few years, focusing on using VLMs’ abilities on visual reasoning [60, 32], complicated scene understanding [225, 158], planning [276, 39] over various tasks across manipulation [113, 102], navigation [171, 76, 282], human-robot interaction [228, 241], multi-robot coordination [40, 254], motion planning [218, 111], reward function design [290, 284, 168], etc. The revolutionary development in this area triggers many unexplored research problems that gather much attention from the robotics community, while also revealing many hidden limitations during implementation (Section 5.3.5).

5.3.1 Manipulation

The application of VLM in robot manipulation tasks focuses on improving robots’ abilities to manipulate out-of-domain objects or perform more demanding, expensive action planning using their language priors. VIMA [113] designs a transformer-based robot agent that processes these prompts and outputs motor actions autoregressive. Instruct2Act [102] uses an LLM model to generate Python programs that constitute a comprehensive perception, planning, and action loop for robotic tasks. RoboVQA [219] proposes an approach for the efficient collection of robotics data, with a large and diverse dataset for robotics visual question answering and a single model with embodied reasoning. Robotool [268] proposes a system developed to enable robots to employ creative tools use through the integration of foundation models. The RT series [197, 24, 23] propose a vision-language action model that encodes visual observations and text prompts and computes the target positions and orientation for robot manipulation tasks. Though the current VLM applications in robotics show impressive abilities in visual reasoning and scene understanding in manipulation tasks, their abilities are still constrained by their generalization levels, given the diversity of the robot manipulators.

5.3.2 Navigation

The incorporation of VLMs in robot navigation tasks focuses on open-world zero-shot or few-shot object-goal navigation or semantic cue-driven navigation. ZSON [171] trains agents on image-goal navigation using a multimodal semantic embedding space, enabling zero-shot ObjectNav from natural language instructions and robust generalization to complex, inferred instructions. LOC-ZSON [76] introduces a Language-driven Object-Centric image representation and LLM-based augmentation techniques for zero-shot object navigation. LM-Nav [222] is a system for robotic navigation that combines pre-trained models to enable natural language-based long-horizon navigation in real-world outdoor environments without requiring fine-tuning or language-annotated data. NaVILA [42] proposes a vision-language-action (VLA) model for legged robot navigation under challenging and cluttered scenes. VLFM [282] builds occupancy maps from depth observations to identify frontiers, and leverages RGB observations and a pre-trained vision-language model to generate a language-grounded value map to identify the most promising frontier and explore for finding the given target object. LFG-Nav [221] uses the language model to bias exploration of novel real-world environments by incorporating the semantic knowledge stored in language models as a search heuristic for planning. Many existing works follow the Task and Motion Planning (TAMP) [69] pipeline, a framework

convenient in segmenting the entire task into feasible subgoals that are executable by low-level planners, though its adaptability is constrained by planners and in lack of flexibility in handling unexpected situations.

5.3.3 Human-robot Interaction

Human-robot interaction (HRI) is a sub-field demanding cognition and adaptation, as well as the ability to interpret human intentions in reality and take actions accordingly. VLM-powered HRI has shown much better ability in understanding human intentions and adaptability during interaction. MUTEX [223] is a transformer-based approach for policy learning and human-robot collaboration from multimodal task specifications, enabling robots to interpret and follow tasks across six modalities (video, images, text, and speech). LaMI [242] revolutionizes multi-modal human-robot interaction by enabling intuitive, guidance-driven regulation of robot behavior, dynamically coordinating actions and expressions to assist humans while simplifying traditional state-and-flow design processes. Wang *et al.* [241] designs a pipeline that uses VLMs to interpret human demonstration videos and generate robot task plans by integrating keyframe selection, visual perception, and VLM reasoning, demonstrating superior performance on long-horizon pick-and-place tasks across diverse categories. VLM-Social-Nav [228] leverages Vision-Language Models to enable socially compliant navigation by detecting social entities and guiding robot actions in human-centered environments.

5.3.4 Autonomous Driving

Autonomous driving is a very intensive research area in robotics, while the long-tail corner cases covering out-of-domain objects and traffic events have been a long-lasting problem in this field. The on-board VLM agents for autonomous driving have revealed abilities to overcome both problems with the better abilities in object recognition [157, 260], navigation and planning [235, 175], and decision-making [220, 36]. VLPD [157] leverages self-supervised segmentation and contrastive learning to model explicit semantic contexts like small or occluded pedestrians without additional annotations. MotionLM [218] reframes multi-agent motion prediction as a language modeling task by using discrete motion tokens and autoregressive decoding, enabling efficient and temporally causal joint trajectory forecasting. DiLU [258] combines reasoning and reflection modules to enable the system to perform decision-making based on common-sense knowledge and evolve continuously in traffic. Recently, more efforts have been made towards end-to-end autonomous driving models that produce actions from VLMs without generating intermediate tasks. VLP [200] introduces a Vision-Language-Planning framework that integrates language models to enhance reasoning, contextual understanding, and generalization in autonomous driving. DriveGPT4 [269] proposes the first interpretable end-to-end autonomous driving system leveraging multimodal large language models, capable of processing video inputs, textual queries, and predicting vehicle control signals.

5.3.5 Limitations

Despite the success of VLMs' applications in virtual agents, robotics, and autonomous driving, they still face several limitations.

1. Generalization vs. Flexibility. Many existing works depend on the TAMP [69] pipeline that uses the vision-language methods to procedure programming code-like workflows [102, 284] constructed by pre-defined executable modules, or produce waypoints for external low-level planners to execute actions. Such a pipeline allows efficient modularized robot action planning, but its upper-bound is constrained by the scope of available executable modules or low-level planners that are vulnerable to out-of-domain (OOD) scenarios. On the other hand, many efforts [218, 111] have been made to tokenize the robot's motions as language-like tokens, and outputs the low-level actionable trajectories directly. Such methods, though reconciling with the nature of robot planning, their abilities are highly constrained by the robots models or datasets encountered in their training procedure, which could be highly diverse in the real world.

2. Intelligence vs. Safety. Though the applications of VLMs improves the abilities of robots, but they also introduce potential risks that may not be encountered before in robotics research. Risks may be inherited from the jail-breaking [214] and biases of VLMs [106, 12], and robot malfunctioning when executing VLM-determined actions [262] when applying robot-specific attacks or performing reward-hacking. These risks must gather more attention in revealing and resolving as robots have the

access to the physical worlds that could perceive uncensored information in their routine, incorporate it into their internet-level databases, and execute those hazardous actions.

3. Embodiment vs. Effectiveness. The current difference in the developing trends of general-purpose VLMs and micro-electronics enlarges the gap between the two, which introduces the trade-off issues in embodying the state-of-the-art models onboard and the computational constraints for robots. Many prior works use relatively old models like CLIP, BLIP, ViT or other small VLMs for fine-tuning, or merely use the inference functions of close-source VLM like GPT-4v and Gemini [225, 102, 39], without adaptation to domain-specific data. More discussions are expected in applying large-scale VLMs in robotics to show their abilities to enhance the robots' performance with language priors of Large VLMs.

5.4 Human-Centered AI

One important and promising application of VLMs is to use their understanding and reasoning abilities for human intentions and behaviors during human interaction with AI agents. LVLMs help to perform sentiment analysis [247], predict human intentions [104], and assist human interaction with the real world [202] across many applications for social goodness like AI4Science [28, 305], agriculture [310], education [169, 259], accessibility [195, 296], healthcare [279, 142], climate change [34], etc. VLMs show impressive potential in all these fields and help the widespread AI revolutions have a broad impact on every corner of society.

5.4.1 Web Agents

Web agent [80] is designed to assist human's daily interaction and activities on the webpages. Empowered by VLMs, web agents show enhanced abilities in understanding human behaviors and better adaptation and generalization abilities for human assistance. CogAgent [95] excels in GUI understanding and navigation by utilizing high-resolution image encoding. WebVoyager [85] demonstrates complete user instructions end-to-end by interacting with real-world websites by leveraging multimodal understanding abilities. ShowUI [210] introduces UI-Guided visual token selection to reduce computational costs, interleaved Vision-Language-Action streaming for flexible task handling, and curated GUI instruction-following datasets. ScreenAgent [193] is a VLM that utilizes a planning-acting-reflecting control pipeline. This VLM agent is trained to interact with real computer screens by observing screenshots and executing GUI actions.

5.4.2 Accessibility

Accessibility intends to help those disabilities living more conveniently, while VLMs help to interpret the visual contexts to those with vision impairment during their interaction with the webpages and the physical world. X-World [296] is an accessibility-focused environment generating annotated simulation data with dynamic agents using mobility aids, enabling analysis of challenges like occlusion and interaction. Oliveira *et al.* [195] explores using Multimodal Large Language Models (MLLMs) to generate high-quality text descriptions for 360 VR scenes based on Speech-to-Text prompts, enhancing accessibility and dynamic experiences, as demonstrated in educational VR museum settings. Mohanbabu *et al.* [77] introduces a Chrome Extension that incorporates webpage context into GPT-4V-generated image descriptions, showing that context-aware descriptions significantly enhance quality, imaginability, relevance, and plausibility.

5.4.3 Healthcare

AI for Healthcare is a sub-field that requires much expertise knowledge in information interpretation and very demanding in the accuracy level, due to the severe outcomes. During the recent few years, given the rapid development of LVLMs, AI for healthcare has been increasingly investigated with many exciting breakthroughs, helping it become much more practical in the real-world applications. VisionUnite [142] introduces a vision-language foundation model pretrained on extensive ophthalmology datasets, excelling in multi-disease diagnosis, clinical explanations, and patient interactions. Yildirim *et al.* [279] explores the clinical utility of VLMs in radiology through various clinical applications, revealing high potential from assessment from multiple radiologists and clinicians. M-FLAG [149] presents a novel method for pre-training medical vision-language models, utilizing a frozen language model for efficiency and an orthogonality loss to optimize latent space

geometry with significantly fewer parameters and exceptional performance even on limited data. Medclip [256] introduces a decoupled approach to multimodal contrastive learning, scaling training data combinatorially and addressing false negatives with a semantic matching loss based on medical knowledge. Med-Flamingo [185] introduces a multimodal few-shot learner adapted to the medical domain enabling few-shot adaptations like rationale generation and excelling in clinician-reviewed evaluations on challenging datasets.

5.4.4 Social Goodness

The strong abilities of VLMs help a wide range of applications for social goodness. In K-12 education, the recent works help to reason mathematically over educational content using VLMs [259], or simulate students with various personalities to improve teachers' teaching skills [169]. VLMs help to diagnosis disease for plants [310] and optimize the utilization of farmlands [19] in agriculture applications. VLMs are also used for promoting fundamental science research like chemistry [124], mathematics [18, 205], etc., and for other impactful field like climate change [34], mitigating social biases [68], and urban planning [83].

6 Challenges

This section focuses on efforts on 3 challenging areas in VLM evaluation: hallucination, safety, and fairness. While recent improvements have enabled VLMs to continuously attain SOTA performance (subsubsection 5.4.4), understanding the risks from their misapplication is paramount to assess and prevent harms to end users, especially those who belong in marginalized groups. The following discussion serves to highlight current limitations and ongoing research to ensure the reliable and ethical use of VLMs.

6.1 Hallucination

Hallucination refers to the VLM's tendency to refer to objects and/or artifacts that do not appear in the relevant image [215]. Despite benchmark-setting performance, hallucination is still a pervasive issue especially in visual-text application tasks. Researchers have proposed datasets and metrics to quantify hallucination, with early efforts tend to require human annotation. For image-captioning, Rorhrbach et al. [215] proposed CHAIR, a metric that calculated the proportion of words generated that appeared in the image based on ground-truth captions. CHAIR consists of 2 variants: per-instance, which measures the fraction of hallucinated instances, and per-sentence, which measures the fraction of sentences that include a hallucinated object. Li et al. [139] developed POPE, which assessed the amount of hallucination via a series of Yes-No questions about existent and non-existent objects given an image. Gunjal et al. [78] released M-HalDetect, a fine-grained annotated dataset of 16,000 samples on visual QA that can be used to train VLMs to detect and prevent hallucination.

Subsequent research investigated hallucination in finer details. Halle-Switch evaluates hallucination from the perspective of data amount, quality and granularity; which incorporates both contextual and parametric knowledge to control hallucination rather than outright removal [291]. Hallu-Pi [54] contains 1260 images of 11 object types with detailed annotation to detect various hallucination types that occur in perturbed input. [278] focuses on before-and-after changes to image while proposing new metrics to analyze hallucination: true understanding, ignorance, stubbornness, indecision. Guan et al. [75] proposed HallusionBench to investigate VLM's visual reasoning via dependent questions that have no affirmative answers without visual content to on diverse topics (e.g.: food, math, meme) and image formats (e.g.: logo, poster, chart) to detect hallucination. [263] develops an automatic benchmark generation approach that harnesses a few principal strategies to create diverse hallucination examples by probing the language modules in VLMs for context cues.

The advent of more sophisticated LLMs has also assisted the development of larger benchmark datasets in this area. GAIVE [150] uses GPT-4 to generate 400,000 samples in the form of open-ended instruction that covers 16 vision-and-language tasks. They account for various semantic levels of hallucination, such as nonexistent object manipulation and knowledge manipulation [150]. Jiang et al. [112] constructed Hal-Eval using GPT-4 to induce fine-grained hallucination and tailored prompts for 2 million image-caption sample pairs. On the other hand, AMBER [244] is an LLM-free multi-dimensional benchmark designed for both generative and discriminative tasks with annotation for 4 types of hallucination.

6.2 Safety

Due to VLMS' tremendous versatility, it becomes even more important to safeguard them against unethical and harmful usage. Malicious actors may utilize VLMS to deleterious effects by jailbreaking, defined as "deliberately circumventing the ethical and operational boundaries" of the models [114], which might be harmful for both VLMS and their applications in downstream tasks like robotics [285, 60, 262]. Yinbg et al. [281] SafeBench, a dataset of harmful queries on 23 risks scenarios generated by LLMs, along with a jury deliberation protocol using multiple LLM collaborative framework. Similarly, MM-Safetybench is another benchmark dataset that uses queries of images paired with malicious texts to assess VLMS' behaviors in unsafe scenarios.

Luo et al. [165] released JailbreakV with 28,000 malicious queries as image-based attack that VLMS should not respond. This dataset also enables the verification of transferability between models of jailbreak attacks. Shi et al. [226] developed SHIELD, which uses True-False queries to evaluate VLMS' performance on face spoofing and forgery detection in zero- and few-shot settings. Other research investigate attacks that can reverse prior efforts to align models towards ethical use. For instance, HADES by Li et al. [140] exploits gradient updates and adversarial methods to hide and amplify image-based harmfulness and destroy multimodal alignment. Niu et al. [194] proposed imgJP, which uses specific image instead of prompts to bypass refusal guardrails. imgJP has been shown to be highly transferrable across a wide range of VLMS [194].

6.3 Fairness

Extensive literature has discussed the inequity propagated by LLMs and VLMS [15, 66]. Similar to their unimodal LLM counterpart, VLMS have exhibited disparate performance in downstream applications particularly towards certain marginalized groups [3, 106, 12]. Janghorbani and Gerard [109] introduced MMBias, an human-annotated datasets of images based on target concepts (religion, nationality, disability, sexual orientation) with a dichotomous grouping on pleasantness. Wu et al. [261] proposed FMBench, a framework that uses annotated medical images for both direct and single-choice visual QA to measure bias with respect to gender, skin tone and age. Also in the medical domain, Luo et al. [166] released Harvard-FairVL, a dataset of SLO fundus images paired with clinical notes with demographic attributes. Empirical results on CLIP and BLIP2 show preference Asian, Male, Non-Hispanic groups compared to other attributes [166]. Jin et al.'s FairmedFM integrates 17 medical image datasets to evaluate fairness on classification and segmentation on downstream tasks [115]. In other veins, CulturalVQA by Nayak et al. [191] was constructed with 2,378 image-question pairs with multiple human-annotated answers per question drawn from different cultures, with results showing better performance for North American cultures and worse on African and Islamic ones.

6.4 Multi-modality Alignment

The alignment issue within the multi-modality models refers to the contextual deviation between the different modalities. The mis-alignment issue of VLMS may cause hallucinations [252]. Many efforts have been made to mitigate this issue by either utilizing the reasoning abilities of VLMS to perform self-reflection [253] or designing projectors to bridge over different modalities. SIMA [253] enhances alignment between visual and language modalities in large vision-language models (LVLMs) through self-improvement, using self-generated responses and an in-context self-critic mechanism with vision metrics. SAIL [299] introduces an efficient transfer learning framework that aligns pretrained unimodal vision and language models for vision-language tasks, enhancing the language-compatibility of vision encoders to improve multimodal large language models. Ex-MCR [255] introduces a training-efficient, paired-data-free approach to multi-modal contrastive representation (MCR) by extending one modality's space into another, enabling emergent semantic alignment between extended modalities. OneLLM [81] is a unified Multimodal Large Language Model (MLLM) that aligns eight modalities to language through a unified encoder and progressive multimodal alignment.

6.5 Efficient Training and Fine-Tuning

The efficient training and fine-tuning for vision language models has been a very heated research topic, as the current large-scale VLMS are hard and expensive to train. An increasing number of recent works draw their attentions onto the pre-training procedure of vision language model that tries

to understand the effect of different settings over modules [146] or supervision [] on the ultimate performance of VLMS.

Meanwhile, specific purposes that require the application of VLMS do not necessarily require the versatile multi-task performance of VLMS, but outstanding one or two expertise of tasks. Typically, the Low-Rank Adaptation (LoRa) methods [98, 52] helps to manipulate the LVLMS by changing fewer parameters and lowering the computational resources. Methods like reinforcement learning with human or AI feedback (RLHF) [14, 123] are also widely used in fine-tuning VLMS by integrating human or other LVLMS’ knowledge into the fine-tuning procedure.

6.6 Scarce of High-quality Dataset

The abilities and reliabilities of VLMS are highly depending on the availability and diversity of the training datasets. However, the massive scale of current advanced VLMS and the scarce of high-quality training datasets add up to the difficulty in continuously improving the performance of the future VLMS. One potential method to mitigate this issue is to use self-supervised learning (SSL) [186] that learns the representation automatically from the unlabelled dataset. Another major direction is to use the synthetic data generated by following some rules [16] or utilizing some third-party tools [224]. In VLM specifically designed for physical world-related purposes, like robotics [231] or web agents [29], another option is to gather datasets from the interactions with the physical simulators or world model. Though a lot of efforts have been made in all three directions, more insights are still expected into the breakthrough of the mass-scale training for LVLMS and the alternatives to the internet-scale data, given Ilya Sutskever’s quote that “Pre-training as we know it will unquestionably end.”

7 Conclusion

Developments of VLMS and LLMs are happening at a breakneck pace with more sophisticated applications and use cases being introduced in quick succession. This paper aims to capture the most notable architectures, trends, applications along with prominent challenges in this area. We hope that our survey provides a solid general overview for practitioners as a road map for future works.

References

- [1] Vicuna: An open-source chatbot impressing gpt-4 with 90%* chatgpt quality, 2023. Accessed: 2024-12-23.
- [2] Supermeme.ai: Turn text into memes using ai. <https://supermeme.ai/>, 2024. Accessed: 2024-12-24.
- [3] Tosin Adewumi, Lama Alkhaled, Namrata Gurung, Goya van Boven, and Irene Pagliai. Fairness and bias in multimodal ai: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.19097*, 2024.
- [4] Aishwarya Agrawal, Jiasen Lu, Stanislaw Antol, Margaret Mitchell, C. Lawrence Zitnick, Dhruv Batra, and Devi Parikh. Vqa: Visual question answering, 2016.
- [5] Pravesh Agrawal, Szymon Antoniak, Emma Bou Hanna, Baptiste Bout, Devendra Chaplot, Jessica Chudnovsky, Diogo Costa, Baudouin De Monicault, Saurabh Garg, Theophile Gervet, Soham Ghosh, Amélie Héliou, Paul Jacob, Albert Q. Jiang, Kartik Khandelwal, Timothée Lacroix, Guillaume Lample, Diego Las Casas, Thibaut Lavril, Teven Le Scao, Andy Lo, William Marshall, Louis Martin, Arthur Mensch, Pavankumar Muddireddy, Valera Nemychnikova, Marie Pellat, Patrick Von Platen, Nikhil Raghuraman, Baptiste Rozière, Alexandre Sablayrolles, Lucile Saulnier, Romain Sauvestre, Wendy Shang, Roman Soletskyi, Lawrence Stewart, Pierre Stock, Joachim Studnia, Sandeep Subramanian, Sagar Vaze, Thomas Wang, and Sophia Yang. Pixtral 12b, 2024.
- [6] Joshua Ainslie, James Lee-Thorp, Michiel de Jong, Yury Zemlyanskiy, Federico Lebrón, and Sumit Sanghai. Gqa: Training generalized multi-query transformer models from multi-head checkpoints, 2023.
- [7] Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Jeff Donahue, Pauline Luc, Antoine Miech, Iain Barr, Yana Hasson, Karel Lenc, Arthur Mensch, Katherine Millican, Malcolm Reynolds, et al. Flamingo: a visual language model for few-shot learning. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:23716–23736, 2022.

- [8] Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Jeff Donahue, Pauline Luc, Antoine Miech, Iain Barr, Yana Hasson, Karel Lenc, Arthur Mensch, Katie Millican, Malcolm Reynolds, Roman Ring, Eliza Rutherford, Serkan Cabi, Tengda Han, Zhitao Gong, Sina Samangooei, Marianne Monteiro, Jacob Menick, Sebastian Borgeaud, Andrew Brock, Aida Nematzadeh, Sahand Sharifzadeh, Mikolaj Binkowski, Ricardo Barreira, Oriol Vinyals, Andrew Zisserman, and Karen Simonyan. Flamingo: a visual language model for few-shot learning, 2022.
- [9] Rohan Anil, Sebastian Borgeaud, Yonghui Wu, Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Jiahui Yu, Radu Soricut, Johan Schalkwyk, Andrew M Dai, Anja Hauth, Katie Millican, et al. Gemini: A family of highly capable multimodal models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.11805*, 1, 2023.
- [10] Anthropic. Claude: An ai assistant by anthropic, 2024. Accessed: 2024-12-23.
- [11] Genesis Authors. Genesis: A universal and generative physics engine for robotics and beyond, December 2024.
- [12] Rumaisa Azeem, Andrew Hundt, Masoumeh Mansouri, and Martim Brandão. Llm-driven robots risk enacting discrimination, violence, and unlawful actions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.08824*, 2024.
- [13] Gilles Baechler, Srinivas Sunkara, Maria Wang, Fedir Zubach, Hassan Mansoor, Vincent Etter, Victor Cărbune, Jason Lin, Jindong Chen, and Abhanshu Sharma. Screenai: A vision-language model for ui and infographics understanding, 2024.
- [14] Yuntao Bai, Andy Jones, Kamal Ndousse, Amanda Askell, Anna Chen, Nova DasSarma, Dawn Drain, Stanislav Fort, Deep Ganguli, Tom Henighan, et al. Training a helpful and harmless assistant with reinforcement learning from human feedback. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.05862*, 2022.
- [15] Zechen Bai, Pichao Wang, Tianjun Xiao, Tong He, Zongbo Han, Zheng Zhang, and Mike Zheng Shou. Hallucination of multimodal large language models: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.18930*, 2024.
- [16] Vahid Balazadeh, Mohammadmehdi Ataei, Hyunmin Cheong, Amir Hosein Khasahmadi, and Rahul G. Krishnan. Synthetic vision: Training vision-language models to understand physics, 2024.
- [17] Nishant Balepur, Abhilasha Ravichander, and Rachel Rudinger. Artifacts or abduction: How do LLMs answer multiple-choice questions without the question? In Lun-Wei Ku, Andre Martins, and Vivek Srikumar, editors, *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 10308–10330, Bangkok, Thailand, August 2024. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [18] Sami Baral, Li Lucy, Ryan Knight, Alice Ng, Luca Soldaini, Neil Heffernan, and Kyle Lo. Drawedumath: Evaluating vision language models with expert-annotated students’ hand-drawn math images. In *The 4th Workshop on Mathematical Reasoning and AI at NeurIPS’24*, 2024.
- [19] Gao Bei. A vision-language model for predicting potential distribution land of soybean double cropping. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 12:1515752.
- [20] Ali Furkan Biten, Ruben Tito, Andres Mafla, Lluís Gomez, Marçal Rusiñol, Ernest Valveny, C. V. Jawahar, and Dimosthenis Karatzas. Scene text visual question answering, 2019.
- [21] Sid Black, Stella Biderman, Eric Hallahan, Quentin Anthony, Leo Gao, Laurence Golding, Horace He, Connor Leahy, Kyle McDonell, Jason Phang, Michael Pieler, USVSN Sai Prashanth, Shivanshu Purohit, Laria Reynolds, Jonathan Tow, Ben Wang, and Samuel Weinbach. Gpt-neox-20b: An open-source autoregressive language model, 2022.
- [22] Florian Bordes, Richard Yuanzhe Pang, Anurag Ajay, Alexander C Li, Adrien Bardes, Suzanne Petryk, Oscar Mañas, Zhiqiu Lin, Anas Mahmoud, Bargav Jayaraman, et al. An introduction to vision-language modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.17247*, 2024.
- [23] Anthony Brohan, Noah Brown, Justice Carbajal, Yevgen Chebotar, Xi Chen, Krzysztof Choromanski, Tianli Ding, Danny Driess, Avinava Dubey, Chelsea Finn, et al. Rt-2: Vision-language-action models transfer web knowledge to robotic control. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.15818*, 2023.
- [24] Anthony Brohan, Noah Brown, Justice Carbajal, Yevgen Chebotar, Joseph Dabis, Chelsea Finn, Keerthana Gopalakrishnan, Karol Hausman, Alex Herzog, Jasmine Hsu, et al. Rt-1: Robotics transformer for real-world control at scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.06817*, 2022.

- [25] Tom B. Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Sandhini Agarwal, Ariel Herbert-Voss, Gretchen Krueger, Tom Henighan, Rewon Child, Aditya Ramesh, Daniel M. Ziegler, Jeffrey Wu, Clemens Winter, Christopher Hesse, Mark Chen, Eric Sigler, Mateusz Litwin, Scott Gray, Benjamin Chess, Jack Clark, Christopher Berner, Sam McCandlish, Alec Radford, Ilya Sutskever, and Dario Amodei. Language models are few-shot learners, 2020.
- [26] Jannis Bulian, Christian Buck, Wojciech Gajewski, Benjamin Boerschinger, and Tal Schuster. Tomayto, tomahto. beyond token-level answer equivalence for question answering evaluation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.07654*, 2022.
- [27] Minwoo Byeon, Beomhee Park, Haecheon Kim, Sungjun Lee, Woonhyuk Baek, and Sae-hoon Kim. Coyo-700m: Image-text pair dataset. <https://github.com/kakaobrain/coyo-dataset>, 2022.
- [28] Qinglong Cao, Yuntian Chen, Lu Lu, Hao Sun, Zhenzhong Zeng, Xiaokang Yang, and Dongxiao Zhang. Promoting ai equity in science: Generalized domain prompt learning for accessible vlm research. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.08668*, 2024.
- [29] Hyungjoo Chae, Namyoung Kim, Kai Tzu iunn Ong, Minju Gwak, Gwanwoo Song, Jihoon Kim, Sunghwan Kim, Dongha Lee, and Jinyoung Yeo. Web agents with world models: Learning and leveraging environment dynamics in web navigation, 2024.
- [30] Yupeng Chang, Xu Wang, Jindong Wang, Yuan Wu, Linyi Yang, Kaijie Zhu, Hao Chen, Xiaoyuan Yi, Cunxiang Wang, Yidong Wang, et al. A survey on evaluation of large language models. *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology*, 15(3):1–45, 2024.
- [31] Anthony Chen, Gabriel Stanovsky, Sameer Singh, and Matt Gardner. Evaluating question answering evaluation. In Adam Fisch, Alon Talmor, Robin Jia, Minjoon Seo, Eunsol Choi, and Danqi Chen, editors, *Proceedings of the 2nd Workshop on Machine Reading for Question Answering*, pages 119–124, Hong Kong, China, November 2019. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [32] Boyuan Chen, Zhuo Xu, Sean Kirmani, Brain Ichter, Dorsa Sadigh, Leonidas Guibas, and Fei Xia. Spatialvlm: Endowing vision-language models with spatial reasoning capabilities. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 14455–14465, 2024.
- [33] Danqi Chen, Adam Fisch, Jason Weston, and Antoine Bordes. Reading wikipedia to answer open-domain questions, 2017.
- [34] Jian Chen, Peilin Zhou, Yining Hua, Dading Chong, Meng Cao, Yaowei Li, Zixuan Yuan, Bing Zhu, and Junwei Liang. Vision-language models meet meteorology: Developing models for extreme weather events detection with heatmaps. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.09838*, 2024.
- [35] Lin Chen, Jinsong Li, Xiaoyi Dong, Pan Zhang, Yuhang Zang, Zehui Chen, Haodong Duan, Jiaqi Wang, Yu Qiao, Dahua Lin, and Feng Zhao. Are we on the right way for evaluating large vision-language models?, 2024.
- [36] Long Chen, Oleg Sinavski, Jan Hünermann, Alice Karnsund, Andrew James Willmott, Danny Birch, Daniel Maund, and Jamie Shotton. Driving with llms: Fusing object-level vector modality for explainable autonomous driving. In *2024 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 14093–14100. IEEE, 2024.
- [37] Ting Chen, Simon Kornblith, Mohammad Norouzi, and Geoffrey Hinton. A simple framework for contrastive learning of visual representations. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 1597–1607. PMLR, 2020.
- [38] Xi Chen, Xiao Wang, Soravit Changpinyo, AJ Piergiovanni, Piotr Padlewski, Daniel Salz, Sebastian Goodman, Adam Grycner, Basil Mustafa, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Joan Puigcerver, Nan Ding, Keran Rong, Hassan Akbari, Gaurav Mishra, Linting Xue, Ashish Thapliyal, James Bradbury, Weicheng Kuo, Mojtaba Seyedhosseini, Chao Jia, Burcu Karagol Ayan, Carlos Riquelme, Andreas Steiner, Anelia Angelova, Xiaohua Zhai, Neil Houlsby, and Radu Soricut. Pali: A jointly-scaled multilingual language-image model, 2023.
- [39] Yongchao Chen, Jacob Arkin, Charles Dawson, Yang Zhang, Nicholas Roy, and Chuchu Fan. Autotamp: Autoregressive task and motion planning with llms as translators and checkers. In

- 2024 *IEEE International conference on robotics and automation (ICRA)*, pages 6695–6702. IEEE, 2024.
- [40] Yongchao Chen, Jacob Arkin, Yang Zhang, Nicholas Roy, and Chuchu Fan. Scalable multi-robot collaboration with large language models: Centralized or decentralized systems? In *2024 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 4311–4317. IEEE, 2024.
- [41] Zhe Chen, Jiannan Wu, Wenhai Wang, Weijie Su, Guo Chen, Sen Xing, Muyan Zhong, Qinglong Zhang, Xizhou Zhu, Lewei Lu, Bin Li, Ping Luo, Tong Lu, Yu Qiao, and Jifeng Dai. Internvl: Scaling up vision foundation models and aligning for generic visual-linguistic tasks, 2024.
- [42] An-Chieh Cheng, Yandong Ji, Zhaojing Yang, Xueyan Zou, Jan Kautz, Erdem Bıyık, Hongxu Yin, Sifei Liu, and Xiaolong Wang. Navila: Legged robot vision-language-action model for navigation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.04453*, 2024.
- [43] Yew Ken Chia, Pengfei Hong, Lidong Bing, and Soujanya Poria. Instructeval: Towards holistic evaluation of instruction-tuned large language models, 2023.
- [44] Aakanksha Chowdhery, Sharan Narang, Jacob Devlin, Maarten Bosma, Gaurav Mishra, Adam Roberts, Paul Barham, Hyung Won Chung, Charles Sutton, Sebastian Gehrmann, Parker Schuh, Kensen Shi, Sasha Tsvyashchenko, Joshua Maynez, Abhishek Rao, Parker Barnes, Yi Tay, Noam Shazeer, Vinodkumar Prabhakaran, Emily Reif, Nan Du, Ben Hutchinson, Reiner Pope, James Bradbury, Jacob Austin, Michael Isard, Guy Gur-Ari, Pengcheng Yin, Toju Duke, Anselm Levskaya, Sanjay Ghemawat, Sunipa Dev, Henryk Michalewski, Xavier Garcia, Vedant Misra, Kevin Robinson, Liam Fedus, Denny Zhou, Daphne Ippolito, David Luan, Hyeontaek Lim, Barret Zoph, Alexander Spiridonov, Ryan Sepassi, David Dohan, Shivani Agrawal, Mark Omernick, Andrew M. Dai, Thanumalayan Sankaranarayanan Pillai, Marie Pellat, Aitor Lewkowycz, Erica Moreira, Rewon Child, Oleksandr Polozov, Katherine Lee, Zongwei Zhou, Xuezhi Wang, Brennan Saeta, Mark Diaz, Orhan Firat, Michele Catasta, Jason Wei, Kathy Meier-Hellstern, Douglas Eck, Jeff Dean, Slav Petrov, and Noah Fiedel. Palm: Scaling language modeling with pathways, 2022.
- [45] Hyung Won Chung, Le Hou, Shayne Longpre, Barret Zoph, Yi Tay, William Fedus, Yunxuan Li, Xuezhi Wang, Mostafa Dehghani, Siddhartha Brahma, Albert Webson, Shixiang Shane Gu, Zhuyun Dai, Mirac Suzgun, Xinyun Chen, Aakanksha Chowdhery, Alex Castro-Ros, Marie Pellat, Kevin Robinson, Dasha Valter, Sharan Narang, Gaurav Mishra, Adams Yu, Vincent Zhao, Yanping Huang, Andrew Dai, Hongkun Yu, Slav Petrov, Ed H. Chi, Jeff Dean, Jacob Devlin, Adam Roberts, Denny Zhou, Quoc V. Le, and Jason Wei. Scaling instruction-finetuned language models, 2022.
- [46] Yiming Cui, Ziqing Yang, and Xin Yao. Efficient and effective text encoding for chinese llama and alpaca, 2024.
- [47] Damai Dai, Chengqi Deng, Chenggang Zhao, R. X. Xu, Huazuo Gao, Deli Chen, Jiashi Li, Wangding Zeng, Xingkai Yu, Y. Wu, Zhenda Xie, Y. K. Li, Panpan Huang, Fuli Luo, Chong Ruan, Zhifang Sui, and Wenfeng Liang. Deepseekmoe: Towards ultimate expert specialization in mixture-of-experts language models, 2024.
- [48] Wenliang Dai, Nayeon Lee, Boxin Wang, Zhuolin Yang, Zihan Liu, Jon Barker, Tuomas Rintamaki, Mohammad Shoeybi, Bryan Catanzaro, and Wei Ping. Nvllm: Open frontier-class multimodal llms, 2024.
- [49] Wenliang Dai, Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Anthony Meng Huat Tiong, Junqi Zhao, Weisheng Wang, Boyang Li, Pascale Fung, and Steven Hoi. Instructblip: Towards general-purpose vision-language models with instruction tuning, 2023.
- [50] Matt Deitke, Christopher Clark, Sangho Lee, Rohun Tripathi, Yue Yang, Jae Sung Park, Mohammadreza Salehi, Niklas Muennighoff, Kyle Lo, Luca Soldaini, Jiasen Lu, Taira Anderson, Erin Bransom, Kiana Ehsani, Huong Ngo, YenSung Chen, Ajay Patel, Mark Yatskar, Chris Callison-Burch, Andrew Head, Rose Hendrix, Favyen Bastani, Eli VanderBilt, Nathan Lambert, Yvonne Chou, Arnavi Chheda, Jenna Sparks, Sam Skjonsberg, Michael Schmitz, Aaron Sarnat, Byron Bischoff, Pete Walsh, Chris Newell, Piper Wolters, Tanmay Gupta, Kuo-Hao Zeng, Jon Borchardt, Dirk Groeneveld, Crystal Nam, Sophie Lebrecht, Caitlin Wittlif, Carissa Schoenick, Oscar Michel, Ranjay Krishna, Luca Weihs, Noah A. Smith, Hannaneh Hajishirzi,

- Ross Girshick, Ali Farhadi, and Aniruddha Kembhavi. Molmo and pixmo: Open weights and open data for state-of-the-art vision-language models, 2024.
- [51] Jia Deng, Wei Dong, Richard Socher, Li-Jia Li, Kai Li, and Li Fei-Fei. Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database. In *2009 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 248–255, 2009.
- [52] Tim Dettmers, Artidoro Pagnoni, Ari Holtzman, and Luke Zettlemoyer. Qlora: Efficient finetuning of quantized llms, 2023.
- [53] Hao Ding, Lalithkumar Seenivasan, Hongchao Shu, Grayson Byrd, Han Zhang, Pu Xiao, Juan Antonio Barragan, Russell H. Taylor, Peter Kazanzides, and Mathias Unberath. Towards robust automation of surgical systems via digital twin-based scene representations from foundation models, 2024.
- [54] Peng Ding, Jingyu Wu, Jun Kuang, Dan Ma, Xuezhi Cao, Xunliang Cai, Shi Chen, Jiajun Chen, and Shujian Huang. Hallu-pi: Evaluating hallucination in multi-modal large language models within perturbed inputs. In *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pages 10707–10715, 2024.
- [55] Nicolai Dorka, Janusz Marecki, and Ammar Anwar. Training a vision language model as smartphone assistant, 2024.
- [56] Alexey Dosovitskiy. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11929*, 2020.
- [57] Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, Jakob Uszkoreit, and Neil Houlsby. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale, 2021.
- [58] Sivan Doveh, Shaked Perek, M Jehanzeb Mirza, Wei Lin, Amit Alfassy, Assaf Arbelle, Shimon Ullman, and Leonid Karlinsky. Towards multimodal in-context learning for vision & language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.12736*, 2024.
- [59] Danny Driess, Fei Xia, Mehdi S. M. Sajjadi, Corey Lynch, Aakanksha Chowdhery, Brian Ichter, Ayzan Wahid, Jonathan Tompson, Quan Vuong, Tianhe Yu, Wenlong Huang, Yevgen Chebotar, Pierre Sermanet, Daniel Duckworth, Sergey Levine, Vincent Vanhoucke, Karol Hausman, Marc Toussaint, Klaus Greff, Andy Zeng, Igor Mordatch, and Pete Florence. Palm-e: An embodied multimodal language model, 2023.
- [60] Jiafei Duan, Wilbert Pumacay, Nishanth Kumar, Yi Ru Wang, Shulin Tian, Wentao Yuan, Ranjay Krishna, Dieter Fox, Ajay Mandlekar, and Yijie Guo. Aha: A vision-language-model for detecting and reasoning over failures in robotic manipulation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.00371*, 2024.
- [61] Aaron Grattafiori et al. The llama 3 herd of models, 2024.
- [62] Enrico Fini*, Mustafa Shukor*, Xiujun Li, Philipp Dufter, Michal Klein, David Haldimann, Sai Aitharaju, Louis Béthune, Zhe Gan, Victor Turrisi, Alexander Toshev, Marcin Eichner, Yinfei Yang, Moin Nabi, Josh Susskind, and Alaaeldin El-Nouby*. Multimodal autoregressive pre-training of large vision encoders, 2024.
- [63] Chaoyou Fu, Peixian Chen, Yunhang Shen, Yulei Qin, Mengdan Zhang, Xu Lin, Jinrui Yang, Xiawu Zheng, Ke Li, Xing Sun, Yunsheng Wu, and Rongrong Ji. Mme: A comprehensive evaluation benchmark for multimodal large language models, 2024.
- [64] Chaoyou Fu, Yuhan Dai, Yongdong Luo, Lei Li, Shuhuai Ren, Renrui Zhang, Zihan Wang, Chenyu Zhou, Yunhang Shen, Mengdan Zhang, Peixian Chen, Yanwei Li, Shaohui Lin, Sirui Zhao, Ke Li, Tong Xu, Xiawu Zheng, Enhong Chen, Rongrong Ji, and Xing Sun. Video-mme: The first-ever comprehensive evaluation benchmark of multi-modal llms in video analysis, 2024.
- [65] Chaoyou Fu, Yi-Fan Zhang, Shukang Yin, Bo Li, Xinyu Fang, Sirui Zhao, Haodong Duan, Xing Sun, Ziwei Liu, Liang Wang, Caifeng Shan, and Ran He. Mme-survey: A comprehensive survey on evaluation of multimodal llms, 2024.
- [66] Isabel O Gallegos, Ryan A Rossi, Joe Barrow, Md Mehrab Tanjim, Sungchul Kim, Franck Dernoncourt, Tong Yu, Ruiyi Zhang, and Nesreen K Ahmed. Bias and fairness in large language models: A survey. *Computational Linguistics*, pages 1–79, 2024.

- [67] Ricardo Garcia, Shizhe Chen, and Cordelia Schmid. Towards generalizable vision-language robotic manipulation: A benchmark and llm-guided 3d policy, 2024.
- [68] Aparna Garimella, Akhash Amarnath, Kiran Kumar, Akash Pramod Yalla, N Anandhavelu, Niyati Chhaya, and Balaji Vasan Srinivasan. He is very intelligent, she is very beautiful? on mitigating social biases in language modelling and generation. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL-IJCNLP 2021*, pages 4534–4545, 2021.
- [69] Caelan Reed Garrett, Rohan Chitnis, Rachel Holladay, Beomjoon Kim, Tom Silver, Leslie Pack Kaelbling, and Tomás Lozano-Pérez. Integrated task and motion planning. *Annual review of control, robotics, and autonomous systems*, 4(1):265–293, 2021.
- [70] Dhruva Ghosh, Hanna Hajishirzi, and Ludwig Schmidt. Geneval: An object-focused framework for evaluating text-to-image alignment, 2023.
- [71] Ian J. Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. Generative adversarial networks, 2014.
- [72] Charles Goodwin and Johanne Stege Bjørndahl. Why multimodality? why co-operative action?(transcribed by j. philipsen). *Social Interaction. Video-Based Studies of Human Sociality*, 1(2), 2018.
- [73] Yash Goyal, Tejas Khot, Douglas Summers-Stay, Dhruv Batra, and Devi Parikh. Making the v in vqa matter: Elevating the role of image understanding in visual question answering, 2017.
- [74] Dirk Groeneveld, Iz Beltagy, Pete Walsh, Akshita Bhagia, Rodney Kinney, Oyvind Tafjord, A. Jha, Hamish Ivison, Ian Magnusson, Yizhong Wang, Shane Arora, David Atkinson, Russell Authur, Khyathi Raghavi Chandu, Arman Cohan, Jennifer Dumas, Yanai Elazar, Yuling Gu, Jack Hessel, Tushar Khot, William Merrill, Jacob Daniel Morrison, Niklas Muennighoff, Aakanksha Naik, Crystal Nam, Matthew E. Peters, Valentina Pyatkin, Abhilasha Ravichander, Dustin Schwenk, Saurabh Shah, Will Smith, Emma Strubell, Nishant Subramani, Mitchell Wortsman, Pradeep Dasigi, Nathan Lambert, Kyle Richardson, Luke Zettlemoyer, Jesse Dodge, Kyle Lo, Luca Soldaini, Noah A. Smith, and Hanna Hajishirzi. Olmo: Accelerating the science of language models. *arXiv preprint*, 2024.
- [75] Tianrui Guan, Fuxiao Liu, Xiyang Wu, Ruiqi Xian, Zongxia Li, Xiaoyu Liu, Xijun Wang, Lichang Chen, Furong Huang, Yaser Yacoob, et al. Hallusionbench: an advanced diagnostic suite for entangled language hallucination and visual illusion in large vision-language models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 14375–14385, 2024.
- [76] Tianrui Guan, Yurou Yang, Harry Cheng, Muyuan Lin, Richard Kim, Rajasimman Madhivanan, Arnie Sen, and Dinesh Manocha. Loc-zson: Language-driven object-centric zero-shot object retrieval and navigation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.05363*, 2024.
- [77] Ananya Gubbi Mohanbabu and Amy Pavel. Context-aware image descriptions for web accessibility. In *The 26th International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility*, ASSETS '24, page 1–17. ACM, October 2024.
- [78] Anisha Gunjal, Jihan Yin, and Erhan Bas. Detecting and preventing hallucinations in large vision language models. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 38, pages 18135–18143, 2024.
- [79] Tom Gunter, Zirui Wang, Chong Wang, Ruoming Pang, Andy Narayanan, Aonan Zhang, Bowen Zhang, Chen Chen, Chung-Cheng Chiu, David Qiu, Deepak Gopinath, Dian Ang Yap, Dong Yin, Feng Nan, Floris Weers, Guoli Yin, Haoshuo Huang, Jianyu Wang, Jiarui Lu, John Peebles, Ke Ye, Mark Lee, Nan Du, Qibin Chen, Quentin Keunebroek, Sam Wiseman, Syd Evans, Tao Lei, Vivek Rathod, Xiang Kong, Xianzhi Du, Yanghao Li, Yongqiang Wang, Yuan Gao, Zaid Ahmed, Zhaoyang Xu, Zhiyun Lu, Al Rashid, Albin Madappally Jose, Alec Doane, Alfredo Bencomo, Allison Vanderby, Andrew Hansen, Ankur Jain, Anupama Mann Anupama, Areeba Kamal, Bugu Wu, Carolina Brum, Charlie Maalouf, Chinguun Erdenebileg, Chris Dulhanty, Dominik Moritz, Doug Kang, Eduardo Jimenez, Evan Ladd, Fangping Shi, Felix Bai, Frank Chu, Fred Hohman, Hadas Kotek, Hannah Gillis Coleman, Jane Li, Jeffrey Bigham, Jeffery Cao, Jeff Lai, Jessica Cheung, Jiulong Shan, Joe Zhou, John Li, Jun Qin, Karanjeet Singh, Karla Vega, Kelvin Zou, Laura Heckman, Lauren Gardiner, Margit Bowler, Maria Cordell, Meng Cao, Nicole Hay, Nilesh Shahdarpuri, Otto Godwin, Pranay Dighe, Pushyami Rachapudi, Ramsey Tantawi, Roman Frigg, Sam Davarnia, Sanskruti Shah, Saptarshi Guha,

- Sasha Sirovica, Shen Ma, Shuang Ma, Simon Wang, Sulgi Kim, Suma Jayaram, Vaishaal Shankar, Varsha Paidi, Vivek Kumar, Xin Wang, Xin Zheng, Walker Cheng, Yael Shrager, Yang Ye, Yasu Tanaka, Yihao Guo, Yunsong Meng, Zhao Tang Luo, Zhi Ouyang, Alp Aygar, Alvin Wan, Andrew Walkingshaw, Andy Narayanan, Antonie Lin, Arsalan Farooq, Brent Ramerth, Colorado Reed, Chris Bartels, Chris Chaney, David Riazati, Eric Liang Yang, Erin Feldman, Gabriel Hochstrasser, Guillaume Seguin, Irina Belousova, Joris Pelemans, Karen Yang, Keivan Alizadeh Vahid, Liangliang Cao, Mahyar Najibi, Marco Zuliani, Max Horton, Minsik Cho, Nikhil Bhendawade, Patrick Dong, Piotr Maj, Pulkit Agrawal, Qi Shan, Qichen Fu, Regan Poston, Sam Xu, Shuangning Liu, Sushma Rao, Tashweena Heeramun, Thomas Merth, Uday Rayala, Victor Cui, Vivek Rangarajan Sridhar, Wencong Zhang, Wenqi Zhang, Wentao Wu, Xingyu Zhou, Xinwen Liu, Yang Zhao, Yin Xia, Zhile Ren, and Zhongzheng Ren. Apple intelligence foundation language models, 2024.
- [80] Izzeddin Gur, Hiroki Furuta, Austin Huang, Mustafa Safdari, Yutaka Matsuo, Douglas Eck, and Aleksandra Faust. A real-world webagent with planning, long context understanding, and program synthesis, 2024.
- [81] Jiaming Han, Kaixiong Gong, Yiyuan Zhang, Jiaqi Wang, Kaipeng Zhang, Dahua Lin, Yu Qiao, Peng Gao, and Xiangyu Yue. Onellm: One framework to align all modalities with language. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 26584–26595, 2024.
- [82] Yucheng Han, Chi Zhang, Xin Chen, Xu Yang, Zhibin Wang, Gang Yu, Bin Fu, and Hanwang Zhang. Chartllama: A multimodal llm for chart understanding and generation, 2023.
- [83] Xixuan Hao, Wei Chen, Yibo Yan, Siru Zhong, Kun Wang, Qingsong Wen, and Yuxuan Liang. Urbanvlp: A multi-granularity vision-language pre-trained foundation model for urban indicator prediction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.16831*, 2024.
- [84] Iryna Hartsock and Ghulam Rasool. Vision-language models for medical report generation and visual question answering: A review. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, 7:1430984, 2024.
- [85] Hongliang He, Wenlin Yao, Kaixin Ma, Wenhao Yu, Yong Dai, Hongming Zhang, Zhenzhong Lan, and Dong Yu. Webvoyager: Building an end-to-end web agent with large multimodal models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.13919*, 2024.
- [86] Kaiming He, Xinlei Chen, Saining Xie, Yanghao Li, Piotr Dollár, and Ross Girshick. Masked autoencoders are scalable vision learners, 2021.
- [87] Kaiming He, Haoqi Fan, Yuxin Wu, Saining Xie, and Ross Girshick. Momentum contrast for unsupervised visual representation learning, 2020.
- [88] Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition, 2015.
- [89] Dan Hendrycks, Collin Burns, Steven Basart, Andy Zou, Mantas Mazeika, Dawn Song, and Jacob Steinhardt. Measuring massive multitask language understanding, 2021.
- [90] Jack Hessel, Ari Holtzman, Maxwell Forbes, Ronan Le Bras, and Yejin Choi. Clipscore: A reference-free evaluation metric for image captioning, 2022.
- [91] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models, 2020.
- [92] Jordan Hoffmann, Sebastian Borgeaud, Arthur Mensch, Elena Buchatskaya, Trevor Cai, Eliza Rutherford, Diego de Las Casas, Lisa Anne Hendricks, Johannes Welbl, Aidan Clark, Tom Hennigan, Eric Noland, Katie Millican, George van den Driessche, Bogdan Damoc, Aurelia Guy, Simon Osindero, Karen Simonyan, Erich Elsen, Jack W. Rae, Oriol Vinyals, and Laurent Sifre. Training compute-optimal large language models, 2022.
- [93] O.G. Holmberg, N.D. Köhler, T. Martins, et al. Self-supervised retinal thickness prediction enables deep learning from unlabelled data to boost classification of diabetic retinopathy. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 2:719–726, 2020.
- [94] Haodong Hong, Sen Wang, Zi Huang, Qi Wu, and Jiajun Liu. Why only text: Empowering vision-and-language navigation with multi-modal prompts. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.02208*, 2024.
- [95] Wenyi Hong, Weihang Wang, Qingsong Lv, Jiazheng Xu, Wenmeng Yu, Junhui Ji, Yan Wang, Zihan Wang, Yuxiao Dong, Ming Ding, et al. Cogagent: A visual language model for

- gui agents. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 14281–14290, 2024.
- [96] Jeremy Howard and Sebastian Ruder. Universal language model fine-tuning for text classification, 2018.
- [97] Anthony Hu, Lloyd Russell, Hudson Yeo, Zak Murez, George Fedoseev, Alex Kendall, Jamie Shotton, and Gianluca Corrado. Gaia-1: A generative world model for autonomous driving. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.17080*, 2023.
- [98] Edward J Hu, Yelong Shen, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, and Weizhu Chen. Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.09685*, 2021.
- [99] Xiwei Hu, Rui Wang, Yixiao Fang, Bin Fu, Pei Cheng, and Gang Yu. Ella: Equip diffusion models with llm for enhanced semantic alignment, 2024.
- [100] Jiaying Huang and Jingyi Zhang. A survey on evaluation of multimodal large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.15769*, 2024.
- [101] Kaiyi Huang, Kaiyue Sun, Enze Xie, Zhenguo Li, and Xihui Liu. T2i-compbench: A comprehensive benchmark for open-world compositional text-to-image generation, 2023.
- [102] Siyuan Huang, Zhengkai Jiang, Hao Dong, Yu Qiao, Peng Gao, and Hongsheng Li. Instruct2act: Mapping multi-modality instructions to robotic actions with large language model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.11176*, 2023.
- [103] Yuzhen Huang, Yuzhuo Bai, Zhihao Zhu, Junlei Zhang, Jinghan Zhang, Tangjun Su, Junteng Liu, Chuancheng Lv, Yikai Zhang, Jiayi Lei, Yao Fu, Maosong Sun, and Junxian He. C-eval: A multi-level multi-discipline chinese evaluation suite for foundation models, 2023.
- [104] Zhe Huang, John Pohovey, Ananya Yammanuru, and Katherine Driggs-Campbell. Lit: Large language model driven intention tracking for proactive human-robot collaboration—a robot sous-chef application. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.13787*, 2024.
- [105] Drew A. Hudson and Christopher D. Manning. Gqa: A new dataset for real-world visual reasoning and compositional question answering, 2019.
- [106] Andrew Hundt, William Agnew, Vicky Zeng, Severin Kacianka, and Matthew Gombolay. Robots enact malignant stereotypes. In *Proceedings of the 2022 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency*, pages 743–756, 2022.
- [107] Ashhadul Islam, Md. Rafiul Biswas, Wajdi Zaghouni, Samir Brahim Belhaouari, and Zubair Shah. Pushing boundaries: Exploring zero shot object classification with large multimodal models, 2023.
- [108] Gautier Izacard and Edouard Grave. Leveraging passage retrieval with generative models for open domain question answering, 2021.
- [109] Sepehr Janghorbani and Gerard De Melo. Multi-modal bias: Introducing a framework for stereotypical bias assessment beyond gender and race in vision–language models. In *Proceedings of the 17th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 1725–1735, 2023.
- [110] Chao Jia, Yinfei Yang, Ye Xia, Yi-Ting Chen, Zarana Parekh, Hieu Pham, Quoc V. Le, Yunhsuan Sung, Zhen Li, and Tom Duerig. Scaling up visual and vision-language representation learning with noisy text supervision, 2021.
- [111] Biao Jiang, Xin Chen, Wen Liu, Jingyi Yu, Gang Yu, and Tao Chen. Motiongpt: Human motion as a foreign language. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36:20067–20079, 2023.
- [112] Chaoya Jiang, Hongrui Jia, Mengfan Dong, Wei Ye, Haiyang Xu, Ming Yan, Ji Zhang, and Shikun Zhang. Hal-eval: A universal and fine-grained hallucination evaluation framework for large vision language models. In *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pages 525–534, 2024.
- [113] Yunfan Jiang, Agrim Gupta, Zichen Zhang, Guanzhi Wang, Yongqiang Dou, Yanjun Chen, Li Fei-Fei, Anima Anandkumar, Yuke Zhu, and Linxi Fan. Vima: General robot manipulation with multimodal prompts. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.03094*, 2(3):6, 2022.

- [114] Haibo Jin, Leyang Hu, Xinuo Li, Peiyan Zhang, Chonghan Chen, Jun Zhuang, and Haohan Wang. Jailbreakzoo: Survey, landscapes, and horizons in jailbreaking large language and vision-language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.01599*, 2024.
- [115] Ruinan Jin, Zikang Xu, Yuan Zhong, Qingsong Yao, Qi Dou, S Kevin Zhou, and Xiaoxiao Li. Fairmedfm: Fairness benchmarking for medical imaging foundation models. In *The Thirty-eight Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems Datasets and Benchmarks Track*.
- [116] Ehsan Kamaloo, Nouha Dziri, Charles Clarke, and Davood Rafiei. Evaluating open-domain question answering in the era of large language models. In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 5591–5606, Toronto, Canada, July 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [117] Aniruddha Kembhavi, Mike Salvato, Eric Kolve, Minjoon Seo, Hannaneh Hajishirzi, and Ali Farhadi. A diagram is worth a dozen images, 2016.
- [118] Wonjae Kim, Bokyung Son, and Ildoo Kim. Vilt: Vision-and-language transformer without convolution or region supervision, 2021.
- [119] Diederik P Kingma and Max Welling. Auto-encoding variational bayes, 2022.
- [120] Alexander Kirillov, Eric Mintun, Nikhila Ravi, Hanzi Mao, Chloe Rolland, Laura Gustafson, Tete Xiao, Spencer Whitehead, Alexander C. Berg, Wan-Yen Lo, Piotr Dollár, and Ross Girshick. Segment anything, 2023.
- [121] Mahnaz Koupaee and William Yang Wang. Wikihow: A large scale text summarization dataset, 2018.
- [122] Ranjay Krishna, Yuke Zhu, Oliver Groth, Justin Johnson, Kenji Hata, Joshua Kravitz, Stephanie Chen, Yannis Kalantidis, Li-Jia Li, David A. Shamma, Michael S. Bernstein, and Fei-Fei Li. Visual genome: Connecting language and vision using crowdsourced dense image annotations, 2016.
- [123] Harrison Lee, Samrat Phatale, Hassan Mansoor, Kellie Ren Lu, Thomas Mesnard, Johan Ferret, Colton Bishop, Ethan Hall, Victor Carbune, and Abhinav Rastogi. Rlaif: Scaling reinforcement learning from human feedback with ai feedback. 2023.
- [124] Namkyeong Lee, Siddhartha Laghuvarapu, Chanyoung Park, and Jimeng Sun. Vision language model is not all you need: Augmentation strategies for molecule language models. In *Proceedings of the 33rd ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*, pages 1153–1162, 2024.
- [125] Patrick Lewis, Ethan Perez, Aleksandra Piktus, Fabio Petroni, Vladimir Karpukhin, Naman Goyal, Heinrich Küttler, Mike Lewis, Wen tau Yih, Tim Rocktäschel, Sebastian Riedel, and Douwe Kiela. Retrieval-augmented generation for knowledge-intensive nlp tasks, 2021.
- [126] Baiqi Li, Zhiqiu Lin, Deepak Pathak, Jiayao Li, Yixin Fei, Kewen Wu, Tiffany Ling, Xide Xia, Pengchuan Zhang, Graham Neubig, and Deva Ramanan. Genai-bench: Evaluating and improving compositional text-to-visual generation, 2024.
- [127] Baiqi Li, Zhiqiu Lin, Wenxuan Peng, Jean de Dieu Nyandwi, Daniel Jiang, Zixian Ma, Simran Khanuja, Ranjay Krishna, Graham Neubig, and Deva Ramanan. Naturalbench: Evaluating vision-language models on natural adversarial samples, 2024.
- [128] Bohao Li, Rui Wang, Guangzhi Wang, Yuying Ge, Yixiao Ge, and Ying Shan. Seed-bench: Benchmarking multimodal llms with generative comprehension, 2023.
- [129] Chengshu Li, Fei Xia, Roberto Martín-Martín, Michael Lingelbach, Sanjana Srivastava, Bokui Shen, Kent Vainio, Cem Gokmen, Gokul Dharan, Tanish Jain, et al. igibson 2.0: Object-centric simulation for robot learning of everyday household tasks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.03272*, 2021.
- [130] Haonan Li, Yixuan Zhang, Fajri Koto, Yifei Yang, Hai Zhao, Yeyun Gong, Nan Duan, and Timothy Baldwin. Cmmlu: Measuring massive multitask language understanding in chinese, 2024.
- [131] Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Silvio Savarese, and Steven Hoi. Blip-2: Bootstrapping language-image pre-training with frozen image encoders and large language models, 2023.

- [132] Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Caiming Xiong, and Steven Hoi. Blip: Bootstrapping language-image pre-training for unified vision-language understanding and generation. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 12888–12900. PMLR, 2022.
- [133] Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Caiming Xiong, and Steven Hoi. Blip: Bootstrapping language-image pre-training for unified vision-language understanding and generation, 2022.
- [134] Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Caiming Xiong, and Steven Hoi. Blip: Bootstrapping language-image pre-training for unified vision-language understanding and generation. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 12888–12900. PMLR, 2022.
- [135] Kunchang Li, Yali Wang, Yinan He, Yizhuo Li, Yi Wang, Yi Liu, Zun Wang, Jilan Xu, Guo Chen, Ping Luo, Limin Wang, and Yu Qiao. Mvbench: A comprehensive multi-modal video understanding benchmark, 2024.
- [136] Liunian Harold Li, Mark Yatskar, Da Yin, Cho-Jui Hsieh, and Kai-Wei Chang. Visualbert: A simple and performant baseline for vision and language, 2019.
- [137] Yadong Li, Haoze Sun, Mingan Lin, Tianpeng Li, Guosheng Dong, Tao Zhang, Bowen Ding, Wei Song, Zhenglin Cheng, Yuqi Huo, Song Chen, Xu Li, Da Pan, Shusen Zhang, Xin Wu, Zheng Liang, Jun Liu, Tao Zhang, Keer Lu, Yaqi Zhao, Yanjun Shen, Fan Yang, Kaicheng Yu, Tao Lin, Jianhua Xu, Zenan Zhou, and Weipeng Chen. Ocean-omni: To understand the world with omni-modality, 2024.
- [138] Yifan Li, Yifan Du, Kun Zhou, Jinpeng Wang, Wayne Xin Zhao, and Ji-Rong Wen. Evaluating object hallucination in large vision-language models, 2023.
- [139] Yifan Li, Yifan Du, Kun Zhou, Jinpeng Wang, Xin Zhao, and Ji-Rong Wen. Evaluating object hallucination in large vision-language models. In *The 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*.
- [140] Yifan Li, Hangyu Guo, Kun Zhou, Wayne Xin Zhao, and Ji-Rong Wen. Images are achilles’ heel of alignment: Exploiting visual vulnerabilities for jailbreaking multimodal large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.09792*, 2024.
- [141] Yijiang Li, Sucheng Ren, Weipeng Deng, Yuzhi Xu, Ying Gao, Edith Ngai, and Haohan Wang. Beyond finite data: Towards data-free out-of-distribution generalization via extrapolation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.05523*, 2024.
- [142] Zihan Li, Diping Song, Zefeng Yang, Deming Wang, Fei Li, Xiulan Zhang, Paul E Kinahan, and Yu Qiao. Visionunite: A vision-language foundation model for ophthalmology enhanced with clinical knowledge. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.02865*, 2024.
- [143] Zongxia Li, Ishani Mondal, Huy Nghiem, Yijun Liang, and Jordan Lee Boyd-Graber. PEDANTS: Cheap but effective and interpretable answer equivalence. In Yaser Al-Onaizan, Mohit Bansal, and Yun-Nung Chen, editors, *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2024*, pages 9373–9398, Miami, Florida, USA, November 2024. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [144] Chin-Yew Lin. ROUGE: A package for automatic evaluation of summaries. In *Text Summarization Branches Out*, pages 74–81, Barcelona, Spain, July 2004. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [145] Hezheng Lin, Xing Cheng, Xiangyu Wu, Fan Yang, Dong Shen, Zhongyuan Wang, Qing Song, and Wei Yuan. Cat: Cross attention in vision transformer, 2021.
- [146] Ji Lin, Hongxu Yin, Wei Ping, Pavlo Molchanov, Mohammad Shoeybi, and Song Han. Vila: On pre-training for visual language models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 26689–26699, 2024.
- [147] Tsung-Yi Lin, Michael Maire, Serge Belongie, Lubomir Bourdev, Ross Girshick, James Hays, Pietro Perona, Deva Ramanan, C. Lawrence Zitnick, and Piotr Dollár. Microsoft coco: Common objects in context, 2015.
- [148] Zhiqiu Lin, Deepak Pathak, Baiqi Li, Jiayao Li, Xide Xia, Graham Neubig, Pengchuan Zhang, and Deva Ramanan. Evaluating text-to-visual generation with image-to-text generation, 2024.
- [149] Che Liu, Sibao Cheng, Chen Chen, Mengyun Qiao, Weitong Zhang, Anand Shah, Wenjia Bai, and Rossella Arcucci. M-flag: Medical vision-language pre-training with frozen language models and latent space geometry optimization, 2023.

- [150] Fuxiao Liu, Kevin Lin, Linjie Li, Jianfeng Wang, Yaser Yacoob, and Lijuan Wang. Mitigating hallucination in large multi-modal models via robust instruction tuning. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023.
- [151] Hanchao Liu, Wenyuan Xue, Yifei Chen, Dapeng Chen, Xiutian Zhao, Ke Wang, Liping Hou, Rongjun Li, and Wei Peng. A survey on hallucination in large vision-language models, 2024.
- [152] Hanchao Liu, Wenyuan Xue, Yifei Chen, Dapeng Chen, Xiutian Zhao, Ke Wang, Liping Hou, Rongjun Li, and Wei Peng. A survey on hallucination in large vision-language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.00253*, 2024.
- [153] Hao Liu, Wilson Yan, Matei Zaharia, and Pieter Abbeel. World model on million-length video and language with blockwise ringattention, 2024.
- [154] Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Yuheng Li, and Yong Jae Lee. Improved baselines with visual instruction tuning, 2024.
- [155] Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Qingyang Wu, and Yong Jae Lee. Visual instruction tuning. In *NeurIPS*, 2023.
- [156] Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Qingyang Wu, and Yong Jae Lee. Visual instruction tuning, 2023.
- [157] Mengyin Liu, Jie Jiang, Chao Zhu, and Xu-Cheng Yin. Vlpd: Context-aware pedestrian detection via vision-language semantic self-supervision. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 6662–6671, 2023.
- [158] Sichao Liu, Jianjing Zhang, Robert X Gao, Xi Vincent Wang, and Lihui Wang. Vision-language model-driven scene understanding and robotic object manipulation. In *2024 IEEE 20th International Conference on Automation Science and Engineering (CASE)*, pages 21–26. IEEE, 2024.
- [159] Yang Liu, Weixing Chen, Yongjie Bai, Xiaodan Liang, Guanbin Li, Wen Gao, and Liang Lin. Aligning cyber space with physical world: A comprehensive survey on embodied ai, 2024.
- [160] Yang Liu, Weixing Chen, Yongjie Bai, Xiaodan Liang, Guanbin Li, Wen Gao, and Liang Lin. Aligning cyber space with physical world: A comprehensive survey on embodied ai. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.06886*, 2024.
- [161] Yuan Liu, Haodong Duan, Yuanhan Zhang, Bo Li, Songyang Zhang, Wangbo Zhao, Yike Yuan, Jiaqi Wang, Conghui He, Ziwei Liu, Kai Chen, and Dahua Lin. Mmbench: Is your multi-modal model an all-around player?, 2024.
- [162] Yuliang Liu, Zhang Li, Mingxin Huang, Biao Yang, Wenwen Yu, Chunyuan Li, Xu-Cheng Yin, Cheng-Lin Liu, Lianwen Jin, and Xiang Bai. Ocrbench: on the hidden mystery of ocr in large multimodal models. *Science China Information Sciences*, 67(12), December 2024.
- [163] Jiasen Lu, Dhruv Batra, Devi Parikh, and Stefan Lee. Vilbert: Pretraining task-agnostic visiolinguistic representations for vision-and-language tasks, 2019.
- [164] Pan Lu, Hritik Bansal, Tony Xia, Jiacheng Liu, Chunyuan Li, Hannaneh Hajishirzi, Hao Cheng, Kai-Wei Chang, Michel Galley, and Jianfeng Gao. Mathvista: Evaluating mathematical reasoning of foundation models in visual contexts, 2024.
- [165] Weidi Luo, Siyuan Ma, Xiaogeng Liu, Xiaoyu Guo, and Chaowei Xiao. Jailbreakv-28k: A benchmark for assessing the robustness of multimodal large language models against jailbreak attacks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.03027*, 2024.
- [166] Yan Luo, Min Shi, Muhammad Osama Khan, Muhammad Muneeb Afzal, Hao Huang, Shuaihang Yuan, Yu Tian, Luo Song, Ava Kouhana, Tobias Elze, et al. Fairclip: Harnessing fairness in vision-language learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 12289–12301, 2024.
- [167] Maria Lymperaio and Giorgos Stamou. A survey on knowledge-enhanced multimodal learning. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 57(10):284, 2024.
- [168] Yecheng Jason Ma, William Liang, Guanzhi Wang, De-An Huang, Osbert Bastani, Dinesh Jayaraman, Yuke Zhu, Linxi Fan, and Anima Anandkumar. Eureka: Human-level reward design via coding large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.12931*, 2023.
- [169] Yiping Ma, Shiyu Hu, Xuchen Li, Yipei Wang, Shiqing Liu, and Kang Hao Cheong. Students rather than experts: A new ai for education pipeline to model more human-like and personalised early adolescences. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.15701*, 2024.

- [170] Muhammad Maaz, Hanoona Rasheed, Salman Khan, and Fahad Khan. Videogpt+: Integrating image and video encoders for enhanced video understanding, 2024.
- [171] Arjun Majumdar, Gunjan Aggarwal, Bhavika Devnani, Judy Hoffman, and Dhruv Batra. Zson: Zero-shot object-goal navigation using multimodal goal embeddings. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:32340–32352, 2022.
- [172] Spyros Makridakis, Fotios Petropoulos, and Yanfei Kang. Large language models: Their success and impact. *Forecasting*, 5(3):536–549, 2023.
- [173] Oscar Mañas, Benno Krojer, and Aishwarya Agrawal. Improving automatic vqa evaluation using large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.02567*, 2023.
- [174] Karttikeya Mangalam, Raiymbek Akshulakov, and Jitendra Malik. Egoschema: A diagnostic benchmark for very long-form video language understanding, 2023.
- [175] Jiageng Mao, Yuxi Qian, Junjie Ye, Hang Zhao, and Yue Wang. Gpt-driver: Learning to drive with gpt. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.01415*, 2023.
- [176] Ahmed Masry, Do Xuan Long, Jia Qing Tan, Shafiq Joty, and Enamul Hoque. Chartqa: A benchmark for question answering about charts with visual and logical reasoning, 2022.
- [177] Minesh Mathew, Viraj Bagal, Rubèn Pérez Tito, Dimosthenis Karatzas, Ernest Valveny, and C. V Jawahar. Infographicvqa, 2021.
- [178] Minesh Mathew, Dimosthenis Karatzas, and C. V. Jawahar. Docvqa: A dataset for vqa on document images, 2021.
- [179] Oier Mees, Lukas Hermann, Erick Rosete-Beas, and Wolfram Burgard. Calvin: A benchmark for language-conditioned policy learning for long-horizon robot manipulation tasks, 2022.
- [180] Ishan Misra and Laurens van der Maaten. Self-supervised learning of pretext-invariant representations, 2019.
- [181] Mistral AI Team. Mistral large 2: A new generation of advanced language models. <https://www.mistral.ai/>, July 2024. Accessed: 2024-12-23.
- [182] Mayank Mittal, Calvin Yu, Qinxu Yu, Jingzhou Liu, Nikita Rudin, David Hoeller, Jia Lin Yuan, Ritvik Singh, Yunrong Guo, Hammad Mazhar, Ajay Mandlekar, Buck Babich, Gavriel State, Marco Hutter, and Animesh Garg. Orbit: A unified simulation framework for interactive robot learning environments. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 8(6):3740–3747, 2023.
- [183] Atif Farid Mohammad, Bryan Clark, and Ramya Hegde. Large language model (llm) & gpt, a monolithic study in generative ai. In *2023 Congress in Computer Science, Computer Engineering, & Applied Computing (CSCE)*, pages 383–388. IEEE, 2023.
- [184] Ishani Mondal, Zongxia Li, Yufang Hou, Anandhavelu Natarajan, Aparna Garimella, and Jordan Lee Boyd-Graber. SciDoc2Diagrammer-MAF: Towards generation of scientific diagrams from documents guided by multi-aspect feedback refinement. In Yaser Al-Onaizan, Mohit Bansal, and Yun-Nung Chen, editors, *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2024*, pages 13342–13375, Miami, Florida, USA, November 2024. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [185] Michael Moor, Qian Huang, Shirley Wu, Michihiro Yasunaga, Yash Dalmia, Jure Leskovec, Cyril Zakka, Eduardo Pontes Reis, and Pranav Rajpurkar. Med-flamingo: a multimodal medical few-shot learner. In *Machine Learning for Health (ML4H)*, pages 353–367. PMLR, 2023.
- [186] Norman Mu, Alexander Kirillov, David Wagner, and Saining Xie. Slip: Self-supervision meets language-image pre-training. In *European conference on computer vision*, pages 529–544. Springer, 2022.
- [187] Niklas Muennighoff, Luca Soldaini, Dirk Groeneveld, Kyle Lo, Jacob Morrison, Sewon Min, Weijia Shi, Pete Walsh, Oyvind Tafjord, Nathan Lambert, Yuling Gu, Shane Arora, Akshita Bhagia, Dustin Schwenk, David Wadden, Alexander Wettig, Binyuan Hui, Tim Dettmers, Douwe Kiela, Ali Farhadi, Noah A. Smith, Pang Wei Koh, Amanpreet Singh, and Hannaneh Hajishirzi. Olmoe: Open mixture-of-experts language models, 2024.
- [188] Fionn Murtagh. Multilayer perceptrons for classification and regression. *Neurocomputing*, 2(5):183–197, 1991.

- [189] Humza Naveed, Asad Ullah Khan, Shi Qiu, Muhammad Saqib, Saeed Anwar, Muhammad Usman, Naveed Akhtar, Nick Barnes, and Ajmal Mian. A comprehensive overview of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.06435*, 2023.
- [190] Sania Nayab, Giulio Rossolini, Giorgio Buttazzo, Nicolamaria Manes, and Fabrizio Giacomelli. Concise thoughts: Impact of output length on llm reasoning and cost. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.19825*, 2024.
- [191] Shравan Nayak, Kanishk Jain, Rabiul Awal, Siva Reddy, Sjoerd Steenkiste, Lisa Hendricks, Karolina Stanczak, and Aishwarya Agrawal. Benchmarking vision language models for cultural understanding. In *Proceedings of the 2024 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 5769–5790, 2024.
- [192] Runliang Niu, Jindong Li, Shiqi Wang, Yali Fu, Xiyu Hu, Xueyuan Leng, He Kong, Yi Chang, and Qi Wang. Screenagent: A vision language model-driven computer control agent, 2024.
- [193] Runliang Niu, Jindong Li, Shiqi Wang, Yali Fu, Xiyu Hu, Xueyuan Leng, He Kong, Yi Chang, and Qi Wang. Screenagent: A vision language model-driven computer control agent. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.07945*, 2024.
- [194] Zhenxing Niu, Haodong Ren, Xinbo Gao, Gang Hua, and Rong Jin. Jailbreaking attack against multimodal large language model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.02309*, 2024.
- [195] Elisa Ayumi Masasi de Oliveira, Diogo Fernandes Costa Silva, and Arlindo Rodrigues Galvão Filho. Improving vr accessibility through automatic 360 scene description using multimodal large language models. In *Proceedings of the 26th Symposium on Virtual and Augmented Reality*, pages 289–293, 2024.
- [196] Team OLMo, Pete Walsh, Luca Soldaini, Dirk Groeneveld, Kyle Lo, Shane Arora, Akshita Bhagia, Yuling Gu, Shengyi Huang, Matt Jordan, Nathan Lambert, Dustin Schwenk, Oyvind Tafjord, Taira Anderson, David Atkinson, Faeze Brahman, Christopher Clark, Pradeep Dasigi, Nouha Dziri, Michal Guerquin, Hamish Ivison, Pang Wei Koh, Jiacheng Liu, Saumya Malik, William Merrill, Lester James V. Miranda, Jacob Morrison, Tyler Murray, Crystal Nam, Valentina Pyatkin, Aman Rangapur, Michael Schmitz, Sam Skjonsberg, David Wadden, Christopher Wilhelm, Michael Wilson, Luke Zettlemoyer, Ali Farhadi, Noah A. Smith, and Hannaneh Hajishirzi. 2 olmo 2 furious, 2024.
- [197] Abby O’Neill, Abdul Rehman, Abhinav Gupta, Abhiram Maddukuri, Abhishek Gupta, Abhishek Padalkar, Abraham Lee, Acorn Pooley, Agrim Gupta, Ajay Mandlekar, et al. Open x-embodiment: Robotic learning datasets and rt-x models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.08864*, 2023.
- [198] OpenAI. Gpt-4 technical report, 2024.
- [199] Keiron O’Shea and Ryan Nash. An introduction to convolutional neural networks, 2015.
- [200] Chenbin Pan, Burhaneddin Yaman, Tommaso Nesti, Abhirup Mallik, Alessandro G Allievi, Senem Velipasalar, and Liu Ren. Vlp: Vision language planning for autonomous driving. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 14760–14769, 2024.
- [201] Kishore Papineni, Salim Roukos, Todd Ward, and Wei-Jing Zhu. Bleu: a method for automatic evaluation of machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 40th Annual Meeting on Association for Computational Linguistics*, ACL ’02, page 311–318, USA, 2002. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [202] Dhruvesh Patel, Hamid Eghbalzadeh, Nitin Kamra, Michael Louis Iuzzolino, Unnat Jain, and Ruta Desai. Pretrained language models as visual planners for human assistance. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 15302–15314, 2023.
- [203] Deepak Pathak, Philipp Krahenbuhl, Jeff Donahue, Trevor Darrell, and Alexei A. Efros. Context encoders: Feature learning by inpainting, 2016.
- [204] Baolin Peng, Chunyuan Li, Pengcheng He, Michel Galley, and Jianfeng Gao. Instruction tuning with gpt-4, 2023.
- [205] Shuai Peng, Di Fu, Liangcai Gao, Xiuqin Zhong, Hongguang Fu, and Zhi Tang. Multimath: Bridging visual and mathematical reasoning for large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.00147*, 2024.

- [206] Zhiliang Peng, Wenhui Wang, Li Dong, Yaru Hao, Shaohan Huang, Shuming Ma, and Furu Wei. Kosmos-2: Grounding multimodal large language models to the world, 2023.
- [207] Matthew E. Peters, Sebastian Ruder, and Noah A. Smith. To tune or not to tune? adapting pretrained representations to diverse tasks, 2019.
- [208] Adam Polyak, Amit Zohar, Andrew Brown, Andros Tjandra, Animesh Sinha, Ann Lee, Apoorv Vyas, Bowen Shi, Chih-Yao Ma, Ching-Yao Chuang, et al. Movie gen: A cast of media foundation models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.13720*, 2024.
- [209] Viorica Pătrăucean, Lucas Smaira, Ankush Gupta, Adrià Recasens Contente, Larisa Markeeva, Dylan Banarse, Skanda Koppula, Joseph Heyward, Mateusz Malinowski, Yi Yang, Carl Doersch, Tatiana Matejovicova, Yury Sulsky, Antoine Miech, Alex Frechette, Hanna Klimczak, Raphael Koster, Junlin Zhang, Stephanie Winkler, Yusuf Aytar, Simon Osindero, Dima Damen, Andrew Zisserman, and João Carreira. Perception test: A diagnostic benchmark for multimodal video models, 2023.
- [210] Kevin Qinghong Lin, Linjie Li, Difei Gao, Zhengyuan Yang, Shiwei Wu, Zechen Bai, Weixian Lei, Lijuan Wang, and Mike Zheng Shou. Showui: One vision-language-action model for gui visual agent. *arXiv e-prints*, pages arXiv–2411, 2024.
- [211] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021.
- [212] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, Gretchen Krueger, and Ilya Sutskever. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision, 2021.
- [213] Shaoqing Ren, Kaiming He, Ross Girshick, and Jian Sun. Faster r-cnn: Towards real-time object detection with region proposal networks, 2016.
- [214] Alexander Robey, Zachary Ravichandran, Vijay Kumar, Hamed Hassani, and George J Pappas. Jailbreaking llm-controlled robots. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.13691*, 2024.
- [215] Anna Rohrbach, Lisa Anne Hendricks, Kaylee Burns, Trevor Darrell, and Kate Saenko. Object hallucination in image captioning. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 4035–4045, 2018.
- [216] Manolis Savva, Abhishek Kadian, Oleksandr Maksymets, Yili Zhao, Erik Wijmans, Bhavana Jain, Julian Straub, Jia Liu, Vladlen Koltun, Jitendra Malik, Devi Parikh, and Dhruv Batra. Habitat: A Platform for Embodied AI Research. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, 2019.
- [217] Christoph Schuhmann, Romain Beaumont, Richard Vencu, Cade Gordon, Ross Wightman, Mehdi Cherti, Theo Coombes, Aarush Katta, Clayton Mullis, Mitchell Wortsman, Patrick Schramowski, Srivatsa Kundurthy, Katherine Crowson, Ludwig Schmidt, Robert Kaczmarczyk, and Jenia Jitsev. Laion-5b: An open large-scale dataset for training next generation image-text models, 2022.
- [218] Ari Seff, Brian Cera, Dian Chen, Mason Ng, Aurick Zhou, Nigamaa Nayakanti, Khaled S Refaat, Rami Al-Rfou, and Benjamin Sapp. Motionlm: Multi-agent motion forecasting as language modeling. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 8579–8590, 2023.
- [219] Pierre Sermanet, Tianli Ding, Jeffrey Zhao, Fei Xia, Debidatta Dwibedi, Keerthana Gopalakrishnan, Christine Chan, Gabriel Dulac-Arnold, Sharath Maddineni, Nikhil J Joshi, et al. Robovqa: Multimodal long-horizon reasoning for robotics. In *2024 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 645–652. IEEE, 2024.
- [220] Hao Sha, Yao Mu, Yuxuan Jiang, Li Chen, Chenfeng Xu, Ping Luo, Shengbo Eben Li, Masayoshi Tomizuka, Wei Zhan, and Mingyu Ding. Languagempc: Large language models as decision makers for autonomous driving. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.03026*, 2023.
- [221] Dhruv Shah, Michael Robert Equi, Błażej Osiński, Fei Xia, Brian Ichter, and Sergey Levine. Navigation with large language models: Semantic guesswork as a heuristic for planning. In *Conference on Robot Learning*, pages 2683–2699. PMLR, 2023.

- [222] Dhruv Shah, Błażej Osiniński, Sergey Levine, et al. Lm-nav: Robotic navigation with large pre-trained models of language, vision, and action. In *Conference on robot learning*, pages 492–504. PMLR, 2023.
- [223] Rutav Shah, Roberto Martín-Martín, and Yuke Zhu. Mutex: Learning unified policies from multimodal task specifications. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.14320*, 2023.
- [224] Sahand Sharifzadeh, Christos Kaplanis, Shreya Pathak, Dharshan Kumaran, Anastasija Ilic, Jovana Mitrovic, Charles Blundell, and Andrea Banino. Synth²: Boosting visual-language models with synthetic captions and image embeddings, 2024.
- [225] Chak Lam Shek, Xiyang Wu, Wesley A Suttle, Carl Busart, Erin Zaroukian, Dinesh Manocha, Pratap Tokekar, and Amrit Singh Bedi. Lancar: Leveraging language for context-aware robot locomotion in unstructured environments. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.00481*, 2023.
- [226] Yichen Shi, Yuhao Gao, Yingxin Lai, Hongyang Wang, Jun Feng, Lei He, Jun Wan, Changsheng Chen, Zitong Yu, and Xiaochun Cao. Shield: An evaluation benchmark for face spoofing and forgery detection with multimodal large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.04178*, 2024.
- [227] Amanpreet Singh, Vivek Natarajan, Meet Shah, Yu Jiang, Xinlei Chen, Dhruv Batra, Devi Parikh, and Marcus Rohrbach. Towards vqa models that can read, 2019.
- [228] Daeun Song, Jing Liang, Amirreza Payandeh, Xuesu Xiao, and Dinesh Manocha. Socially aware robot navigation through scoring using vision-language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.00210*, 2024.
- [229] Wei Song, Yadong Li, Jianhua Xu, Guowei Wu, Lingfeng Ming, Kexin Yi, Weihua Luo, Houyi Li, Yi Du, Fangda Guo, and Kaicheng Yu. M3gia: A cognition inspired multilingual and multimodal general intelligence ability benchmark, 2024.
- [230] Krishna Srinivasan, Karthik Raman, Jiecao Chen, Michael Bendersky, and Marc Najork. Wit: Wikipedia-based image text dataset for multimodal multilingual machine learning. In *Proceedings of the 44th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, SIGIR '21, page 2443–2449. ACM, July 2021.
- [231] Grace Tang, Swetha Rajkumar, Yifei Zhou, Homer Rich Walke, Sergey Levine, and Kuan Fang. Kalie: Fine-tuning vision-language models for open-world manipulation without robot data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.14066*, 2024.
- [232] Jingqun Tang, Qi Liu, Yongjie Ye, Jinghui Lu, Shu Wei, Chunhui Lin, Wanqing Li, Mohamad Fitri Faiz Bin Mahmood, Hao Feng, Zhen Zhao, Yanjie Wang, Yuliang Liu, Hao Liu, Xiang Bai, and Can Huang. Mtvqa: Benchmarking multilingual text-centric visual question answering, 2024.
- [233] Theodouli Terzidou and Thrasyvoulos Tsiatsos. Embodied agent. In Mehdi Khosrow-Pour, editor, *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Third Edition*, chapter 250, page 10. IGI Global, 2015. Pedagogical Agents in 3D Learning Environments.
- [234] Xiaoyu Tian, Junru Gu, Bailin Li, Yicheng Liu, Yang Wang, Zhiyong Zhao, Kun Zhan, Peng Jia, Xianpeng Lang, and Hang Zhao. Drivevlm: The convergence of autonomous driving and large vision-language models, 2024.
- [235] Xiaoyu Tian, Junru Gu, Bailin Li, Yicheng Liu, Yang Wang, Zhiyong Zhao, Kun Zhan, Peng Jia, Xianpeng Lang, and Hang Zhao. Drivevlm: The convergence of autonomous driving and large vision-language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.12289*, 2024.
- [236] Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, and et al. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models, 2023.
- [237] Maria Tsimpoukelli, Jacob Menick, Serkan Cabi, S. M. Ali Eslami, Oriol Vinyals, and Felix Hill. Multimodal few-shot learning with frozen language models, 2021.
- [238] A. M. Turing. Computing machinery and intelligence. *Mind*, 59(236):433–460, 1950.
- [239] Aaron van den Oord, Yazhe Li, and Oriol Vinyals. Representation learning with contrastive predictive coding, 2019.
- [240] Pablo Villalobos, Anson Ho, Jaime Sevilla, Tamay Besiroglu, Lennart Heim, and Marius Hobbhahn. Position: Will we run out of data? limits of llm scaling based on human-generated data. In *Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning*.

- [241] Beichen Wang, Juexiao Zhang, Shuwen Dong, Irving Fang, and Chen Feng. Vlm see, robot do: Human demo video to robot action plan via vision language model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.08792*, 2024.
- [242] Chao Wang, Stephan Hasler, Daniel Tanneberg, Felix Ocker, Frank Joublin, Antonello Ceravola, Joerg Deigmoeller, and Michael Gienger. Large language models for multi-modal human-robot interaction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.15174*, 2024.
- [243] Fei Wang, Liang Ding, Jun Rao, Ye Liu, Li Shen, and Changxing Ding. Can linguistic knowledge improve multimodal alignment in vision-language pretraining? *ACM Transactions on Multimedia Computing, Communications and Applications*, 20(12):1–22, 2024.
- [244] Junyang Wang, Yuhang Wang, Guohai Xu, Jing Zhang, Yukai Gu, Haitao Jia, Ming Yan, Ji Zhang, and Jitao Sang. An llm-free multi-dimensional benchmark for mllms hallucination evaluation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.07397*, 2023.
- [245] Ke Wang, Junting Pan, Weikang Shi, Zimu Lu, Mingjie Zhan, and Hongsheng Li. Measuring multimodal mathematical reasoning with math-vision dataset, 2024.
- [246] Lean Wang, Huazuo Gao, Chenggang Zhao, Xu Sun, and Damai Dai. Auxiliary-loss-free load balancing strategy for mixture-of-experts, 2024.
- [247] Pan Wang, Qiang Zhou, Yawen Wu, Tianlong Chen, and Jingtong Hu. Dif: Disentangled-language-focused multimodal sentiment analysis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.12225*, 2024.
- [248] Peng Wang, Shuai Bai, Sinan Tan, Shijie Wang, Zhihao Fan, Jinze Bai, Keqin Chen, Xuejing Liu, Jialin Wang, Wenbin Ge, Yang Fan, Kai Dang, Mengfei Du, Xuancheng Ren, Rui Men, Dayiheng Liu, Chang Zhou, Jingren Zhou, and Junyang Lin. Qwen2-vl: Enhancing vision-language model’s perception of the world at any resolution, 2024.
- [249] Weihang Wang, Qingsong Lv, Wenmeng Yu, Wenyi Hong, Ji Qi, Yan Wang, Junhui Ji, Zhuoyi Yang, Lei Zhao, Xixuan Song, Jiazheng Xu, Bin Xu, Juanzi Li, Yuxiao Dong, Ming Ding, and Jie Tang. Cogvlm: Visual expert for pretrained language models, 2024.
- [250] Xinlong Wang, Xiaosong Zhang, Zhengxiong Luo, Quan Sun, Yufeng Cui, Jinsheng Wang, Fan Zhang, Yueze Wang, Zhen Li, Qiyong Yu, et al. Emu3: Next-token prediction is all you need. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.18869*, 2024.
- [251] Xinlong Wang, Xiaosong Zhang, Zhengxiong Luo, Quan Sun, Yufeng Cui, Jinsheng Wang, Fan Zhang, Yueze Wang, Zhen Li, Qiyong Yu, Yingli Zhao, Yulong Ao, Xuebin Min, Tao Li, Boya Wu, Bo Zhao, Bowen Zhang, Liangdong Wang, Guang Liu, Zheqi He, Xi Yang, Jingjing Liu, Yonghua Lin, Tiejun Huang, and Zhongyuan Wang. Emu3: Next-token prediction is all you need, 2024.
- [252] Xintong Wang, Jingheng Pan, Liang Ding, and Chris Biemann. Mitigating hallucinations in large vision-language models with instruction contrastive decoding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.18715*, 2024.
- [253] Xiyao Wang, Jiuhai Chen, Zhaoyang Wang, Yuhang Zhou, Yiyang Zhou, Huaxiu Yao, Tianyi Zhou, Tom Goldstein, Parminder Bhatia, Furong Huang, et al. Enhancing visual-language modality alignment in large vision language models via self-improvement. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.15973*, 2024.
- [254] Yongdong Wang, Runze Xiao, Jun Younes Louhi Kasahara, Ryosuke Yajima, Keiji Nagatani, Atsushi Yamashita, and Hajime Asama. Dart-llm: Dependency-aware multi-robot task decomposition and execution using large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.09022*, 2024.
- [255] Zehan Wang, Ziang Zhang, Luping Liu, Yang Zhao, Haifeng Huang, Tao Jin, and Zhou Zhao. Extending multi-modal contrastive representations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.08884*, 2023.
- [256] Zifeng Wang, Zhenbang Wu, Dinesh Agarwal, and Jimeng Sun. Medclip: Contrastive learning from unpaired medical images and text. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.10163*, 2022.
- [257] Ryan Webster, Julien Rabin, Loic Simon, and Frederic Jurie. On the de-duplication of laion-2b, 2023.
- [258] Licheng Wen, Daocheng Fu, Xin Li, Xinyu Cai, Tao Ma, Pinlong Cai, Min Dou, Botian Shi, Liang He, and Yu Qiao. Dilu: A knowledge-driven approach to autonomous driving with large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.16292*, 2023.

- [259] Di Wu, Meng Chen, Xu Chen, and Xing Liu. Analyzing k-12 ai education: A large language model study of classroom instruction on learning theories, pedagogy, tools, and ai literacy. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 7:100295, 2024.
- [260] Dongming Wu, Wencheng Han, Tiancai Wang, Xingping Dong, Xiangyu Zhang, and Jianbing Shen. Referring multi-object tracking. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 14633–14642, 2023.
- [261] Peiran Wu, Che Liu, Canyu Chen, Jun Li, Cosmin I Bercea, and Rossella Arcucci. Fmbench: Benchmarking fairness in multimodal large language models on medical tasks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.01089*, 2024.
- [262] Xiyang Wu, Souradip Chakraborty, Ruiqi Xian, Jing Liang, Tianrui Guan, Fuxiao Liu, Brian M. Sadler, Dinesh Manocha, and Amrit Singh Bedi. Highlighting the safety concerns of deploying llms/vlms in robotics, 2024.
- [263] Xiyang Wu, Tianrui Guan, Dianqi Li, Shuaiyi Huang, Xiaoyu Liu, Xijun Wang, Ruiqi Xian, Abhinav Shrivastava, Furong Huang, Jordan Lee Boyd-Graber, Tianyi Zhou, and Dinesh Manocha. Autohallusion: Automatic generation of hallucination benchmarks for vision-language models, 2024.
- [264] Zhiyu Wu, Xiaokang Chen, Zizheng Pan, Xingchao Liu, Wen Liu, Damai Dai, Huazuo Gao, Yiyang Ma, Chengyue Wu, Bingxuan Wang, Zhenda Xie, Yu Wu, Kai Hu, Jiawei Wang, Yaofeng Sun, Yukun Li, Yishi Piao, Kang Guan, Aixin Liu, Xin Xie, Yuxiang You, Kai Dong, Xingkai Yu, Haowei Zhang, Liang Zhao, Yisong Wang, and Chong Ruan. Deepseek-vl2: Mixture-of-experts vision-language models for advanced multimodal understanding, 2024.
- [265] X.AI. Grok-1.5v: The multimodal version of our ai. Blog post, may 2024. Accessed on [Insert Date of Access].
- [266] Fei Xia, Amir R Zamir, Zhiyang He, Alexander Sax, Jitendra Malik, and Silvio Savarese. Gibson env: Real-world perception for embodied agents. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 9068–9079, 2018.
- [267] Fangyuan Xu, Yixiao Song, Mohit Iyyer, and Eunsol Choi. A critical evaluation of evaluations for long-form question answering. *ArXiv*, abs/2305.18201, 2023.
- [268] Mengdi Xu, Peide Huang, Wenhao Yu, Shiqi Liu, Xilun Zhang, Yaru Niu, Tingnan Zhang, Fei Xia, Jie Tan, and Ding Zhao. Creative robot tool use with large language models, 2023.
- [269] Zhenhua Xu, Yujia Zhang, Enze Xie, Zhen Zhao, Yong Guo, Kwan-Yee K Wong, Zhenguo Li, and Hengshuang Zhao. Drivegpt4: Interpretable end-to-end autonomous driving via large language model. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 2024.
- [270] Ziwei Xu, Sanjay Jain, and Mohan Kankanhalli. Hallucination is inevitable: An innate limitation of large language models, 2024.
- [271] Aiyuan Yang, Bin Xiao, Bingning Wang, Borong Zhang, Ce Bian, Chao Yin, Chenxu Lv, Da Pan, Dian Wang, Dong Yan, Fan Yang, Fei Deng, Feng Wang, Feng Liu, Guangwei Ai, Guosheng Dong, Haizhou Zhao, Hang Xu, Haoze Sun, Hongda Zhang, Hui Liu, Jiaming Ji, Jian Xie, JunTao Dai, Kun Fang, Lei Su, Liang Song, Lifeng Liu, Liyun Ru, Luyao Ma, Mang Wang, Mickel Liu, MingAn Lin, Nuolan Nie, Peidong Guo, Ruiyang Sun, Tao Zhang, Tianpeng Li, Tianyu Li, Wei Cheng, Weipeng Chen, Xiangrong Zeng, Xiaochuan Wang, Xiaoxi Chen, Xin Men, Xin Yu, Xuehai Pan, Yanjun Shen, Yiding Wang, Yiyu Li, Youxin Jiang, Yuchen Gao, Yupeng Zhang, Zenan Zhou, and Zhiying Wu. Baichuan 2: Open large-scale language models, 2023.
- [272] An Yang, Baosong Yang, Binyuan Hui, Bo Zheng, Bowen Yu, Chang Zhou, Chengpeng Li, Chengyuan Li, Dayiheng Liu, Fei Huang, Guanting Dong, Haoran Wei, Huan Lin, Jialong Tang, Jialin Wang, Jian Yang, Jianhong Tu, Jianwei Zhang, Jianxin Ma, Jianxin Yang, Jin Xu, Jingren Zhou, Jinze Bai, Jinzheng He, Junyang Lin, Kai Dang, Keming Lu, Keqin Chen, Kexin Yang, Mei Li, Mingfeng Xue, Na Ni, Pei Zhang, Peng Wang, Ru Peng, Rui Men, Ruize Gao, Runji Lin, Shijie Wang, Shuai Bai, Sinan Tan, Tianhang Zhu, Tianhao Li, Tianyu Liu, Wenbin Ge, Xiaodong Deng, Xiaohuan Zhou, Xingzhang Ren, Xinyu Zhang, Xipin Wei, Xuancheng Ren, Xuejing Liu, Yang Fan, Yang Yao, Yichang Zhang, Yu Wan, Yunfei Chu, Yuqiong Liu, Zeyu Cui, Zhenru Zhang, Zhifang Guo, and Zhihao Fan. Qwen2 technical report, 2024.

- [273] Jingkang Yang, Yuhao Dong, Shuai Liu, Bo Li, Ziyue Wang, Chencheng Jiang, Haoran Tan, Jiamu Kang, Yuanhan Zhang, Kaiyang Zhou, and Ziwei Liu. Octopus: Embodied vision-language programmer from environmental feedback, 2024.
- [274] Mengjiao Yang, Yilun Du, Kamyar Ghasemipour, Jonathan Tompson, Dale Schuurmans, and Pieter Abbeel. Learning interactive real-world simulators. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.06114*, 2023.
- [275] Zhengyuan Yang, Linjie Li, Kevin Lin, Jianfeng Wang, Chung-Ching Lin, Zicheng Liu, and Lijuan Wang. The dawn of lmms: Preliminary explorations with gpt-4v (ision). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.17421*, 9(1):1, 2023.
- [276] Zhutian Yang, Caelan Garrett, Dieter Fox, Tomás Lozano-Pérez, and Leslie Pack Kaelbling. Guiding long-horizon task and motion planning with vision language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.02193*, 2024.
- [277] Lewei Yao, Jianhua Han, Youpeng Wen, Xiaodan Liang, Dan Xu, Wei Zhang, Zhenguo Li, Chunjing Xu, and Hang Xu. Detclip: Dictionary-enriched visual-concept paralleled pre-training for open-world detection. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:9125–9138, 2022.
- [278] Moon Ye-Bin, Nam Hyeon-Woo, Wonseok Choi, and Tae-Hyun Oh. Beef: Observing before-after changes to evaluate hallucination in vision-language models. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 232–248. Springer, 2025.
- [279] Nur Yildirim, Hannah Richardson, Maria Teodora Wetscherek, Junaid Bajwa, Joseph Jacob, Mark Ames Pinnock, Stephen Harris, Daniel Coelho De Castro, Shruthi Bannur, Stephanie Hyland, et al. Multimodal healthcare ai: identifying and designing clinically relevant vision-language applications for radiology. In *Proceedings of the CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 1–22, 2024.
- [280] Kaining Ying, Fanqing Meng, Jin Wang, Zhiqian Li, Han Lin, Yue Yang, Hao Zhang, Wenbo Zhang, Yuqi Lin, Shuo Liu, Jiayi Lei, Quanfeng Lu, Runjian Chen, Peng Xu, Renrui Zhang, Haozhe Zhang, Peng Gao, Yali Wang, Yu Qiao, Ping Luo, Kaipeng Zhang, and Wenqi Shao. Mmt-bench: A comprehensive multimodal benchmark for evaluating large vision-language models towards multitask agi, 2024.
- [281] Zonghao Ying, Aishan Liu, Siyuan Liang, Lei Huang, Jinyang Guo, Wenbo Zhou, Xianglong Liu, and Dacheng Tao. Safebench: A safety evaluation framework for multimodal large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.18927*, 2024.
- [282] Naoki Yokoyama, Sehoon Ha, Dhruv Batra, Jiuguang Wang, and Bernadette Bucher. Vlfm: Vision-language frontier maps for zero-shot semantic navigation. In *2024 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 42–48. IEEE, 2024.
- [283] Weihao Yu, Zhengyuan Yang, Linjie Li, Jianfeng Wang, Kevin Lin, Zicheng Liu, Xinchao Wang, and Lijuan Wang. Mm-vet: Evaluating large multimodal models for integrated capabilities, 2024.
- [284] Wenhao Yu, Nimrod Gileadi, Chuyuan Fu, Sean Kirmani, Kuang-Huei Lee, Montse Gonzalez Arenas, Hao-Tien Lewis Chiang, Tom Erez, Leonard Hasenclever, Jan Humplik, et al. Language to rewards for robotic skill synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.08647*, 2023.
- [285] Lu Yue, Dongliang Zhou, Liang Xie, Feitian Zhang, Ye Yan, and Erwei Yin. Safe-vln: Collision avoidance for vision-and-language navigation of autonomous robots operating in continuous environments. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 2024.
- [286] Xiang Yue, Yuansheng Ni, Kai Zhang, Tianyu Zheng, Ruoqi Liu, Ge Zhang, Samuel Stevens, Dongfu Jiang, Weiming Ren, Yuxuan Sun, Cong Wei, Botao Yu, Ruibin Yuan, Renliang Sun, Ming Yin, Boyuan Zheng, Zhenzhu Yang, Yibo Liu, Wenhao Huang, Huan Sun, Yu Su, and Wenhui Chen. Mmmu: A massive multi-discipline multimodal understanding and reasoning benchmark for expert agi, 2024.
- [287] Xiang Yue, Tianyu Zheng, Yuansheng Ni, Yubo Wang, Kai Zhang, Shengbang Tong, Yuxuan Sun, Botao Yu, Ge Zhang, Huan Sun, Yu Su, Wenhui Chen, and Graham Neubig. Mmmu-pro: A more robust multi-discipline multimodal understanding benchmark, 2024.
- [288] Amir Zamir, Alexander Sax, William Shen, Leonidas Guibas, Jitendra Malik, and Silvio Savarese. Taskonomy: Disentangling task transfer learning, 2018.

- [289] Rowan Zellers, Yonatan Bisk, Ali Farhadi, and Yejin Choi. From recognition to cognition: Visual commonsense reasoning, 2019.
- [290] Yuwei Zeng, Yao Mu, and Lin Shao. Learning reward for robot skills using large language models via self-alignment. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.07162*, 2024.
- [291] Bohan Zhai, Shijia Yang, Xiangchen Zhao, Chenfeng Xu, Sheng Shen, Dongdi Zhao, Kurt Keutzer, Manling Li, Tan Yan, and Xiangjun Fan. Halle-switch: Rethinking and controlling object existence hallucinations in large vision language models for detailed caption. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.01779*, 2023.
- [292] Xiaohua Zhai, Basil Mustafa, Alexander Kolesnikov, and Lucas Beyer. Sigmoid loss for language image pre-training, 2023.
- [293] Biao Zhang and Rico Sennrich. Root mean square layer normalization, 2019.
- [294] Bo-Wen Zhang, Liangdong Wang, Jijie Li, Shuhao Gu, Xinya Wu, Zhengduo Zhang, Boyan Gao, Yulong Ao, and Guang Liu. Aquila2 technical report, 2024.
- [295] Gengyuan Zhang, Yurui Zhang, Kerui Zhang, and Volker Tresp. Can vision-language models be a good guesser? exploring vlms for times and location reasoning, 2023.
- [296] Jimuyang Zhang, Minglan Zheng, Matthew Boyd, and Eshed Ohn-Bar. X-world: Accessibility, vision, and autonomy meet. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 9762–9771, 2021.
- [297] Jingyi Zhang, Jiaying Huang, Sheng Jin, and Shijian Lu. Vision-language models for vision tasks: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 2024.
- [298] Jingyi Zhang, Jiaying Huang, Sheng Jin, and Shijian Lu. Vision-language models for vision tasks: A survey, 2024.
- [299] Le Zhang, Qian Yang, and Aishwarya Agrawal. Assessing and learning alignment of unimodal vision and language models, 2024.
- [300] Susan Zhang, Stephen Roller, Naman Goyal, Mikel Artetxe, Moya Chen, Shuohui Chen, Christopher Dewan, Mona Diab, Xian Li, Xi Victoria Lin, Todor Mihaylov, Myle Ott, Sam Shleifer, Kurt Shuster, Daniel Simig, Punit Singh Koura, Anjali Sridhar, Tianlu Wang, and Luke Zettlemoyer. Opt: Open pre-trained transformer language models, 2022.
- [301] Long Zhao, Nitesh B. Gundavarapu, Liangzhe Yuan, Hao Zhou, Shen Yan, Jennifer J. Sun, Luke Friedman, Rui Qian, Tobias Weyand, Yue Zhao, Rachel Hornung, Florian Schroff, Ming-Hsuan Yang, David A. Ross, Huisheng Wang, Hartwig Adam, Mikhail Sirotenko, Ting Liu, and Boqing Gong. Videoprism: A foundational visual encoder for video understanding, 2024.
- [302] Yilun Zhao, Haowei Zhang, Shengyun Si, Linyong Nan, Xiangru Tang, and Arman Cohen. Large language models are effective table-to-text generators, evaluators, and feedback providers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.14987*, 2023.
- [303] Chuanxia Zheng, Long Tung Vuong, Jianfei Cai, and Dinh Phung. Movq: Modulating quantized vectors for high-fidelity image generation, 2022.
- [304] Kaizhi Zheng, Xiaotong Chen, Odest Jenkins, and Xin Eric Wang. VLMbench: A compositional benchmark for vision-and-language manipulation. In *Thirty-sixth Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems Datasets and Benchmarks Track*, 2022.
- [305] Zhiling Zheng, Zhiguo He, Omar Khattab, Nakul Rampal, Matei A Zaharia, Christian Borgs, Jennifer T Chayes, and Omar M Yaghi. Image and data mining in reticular chemistry using gpt-4v. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.05468*, 2023.
- [306] Wanjun Zhong, Ruixiang Cui, Yiduo Guo, Yaobo Liang, Shuai Lu, Yanlin Wang, Amin Saied, Weizhu Chen, and Nan Duan. Agieval: A human-centric benchmark for evaluating foundation models, 2023.
- [307] Chunting Zhou, Lili Yu, Arun Babu, Kushal Tirumala, Michihiro Yasunaga, Leonid Shamis, Jacob Kahn, Xuezhe Ma, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Omer Levy. Transfusion: Predict the next token and diffuse images with one multi-modal model, 2024.
- [308] Junjie Zhou, Yan Shu, Bo Zhao, Boya Wu, Shitao Xiao, Xi Yang, Yongping Xiong, Bo Zhang, Tiejun Huang, and Zheng Liu. Mlvu: A comprehensive benchmark for multi-task long video understanding, 2024.

- [309] Shuyan Zhou, Frank F Xu, Hao Zhu, Xuhui Zhou, Robert Lo, Abishek Sridhar, Xianyi Cheng, Yonatan Bisk, Daniel Fried, Uri Alon, et al. Webarena: A realistic web environment for building autonomous agents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.13854*, 2023.
- [310] Hongyan Zhu, Shuai Qin, Min Su, Chengzhi Lin, Anjie Li, and Junfeng Gao. Harnessing large vision and language models in agriculture: A review. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.19679*, 2024.