Kisnney Almeida

Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana, Departamento de Ciências Exatas, Av. Transnordestina S/N, CEP 44036-900 - Feira de Santana - BA - Brazil. kisnney@gmail.com

Jacqueline Costa Cintra Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana, Departamento de Ciências Exatas, Av. Transnordestina S/N, CEP 44036-900 - Feira de Santana - BA - Brazil. jccintra@uefs.br

Mauricio Araujo Ferreira

Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana, Departamento de Ciências Exatas, Av. Transnordestina S/N, CEP 44036-900 - Feira de Santana - BA - Brazil. maferreira@uefs.br

Edward Landi Tonucci Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana, Departamento de Ciências Exatas, Av. Transnordestina S/N, CEP 44036-900 - Feira de Santana - BA - Brazil. eltonucci@uefs.br

January 7, 2025

Abstract

The property of ∗-cleanness in group rings has been studied for some groups considering the classical involution, given by $g^* = g^{-1}$. A group is called an SLC-group if its quotient by its center is isomorphic to the Klein group; these groups are equipped with its own canonical involution, which usually does not coincide with the classical one. In this paper we study the ∗-cleanness of *RG* when *G* is an SLC-group, considering ∗ as its canonical involution. In that context, we prove that if RG is \ast -clean then *G* is the direct product of Q_8 and an abelian group with some extra properties and we find a converse for some specific cases, generalizing a result by Gao, Chen and Li for *Q*8.

1 Introduction

Clean rings were introduced by Nicholson in 1977 [\[N77\]](#page-15-0), in the context of exchange rings, and have since attracted a lot of attention. A ring is said to be **clean** if each of its elements can be written as the sum of a unit and an idempotent.

We define a **ring involution** ∗ in a ring *R* as an antiautomorphism of order 2, *i.e.*, an application $* : R \to R$ such that

$$
(r+s)^* = r^* + s^*
$$
, $(rs)^* = s^*r^*$ and $(r^*)^* = r$,

for all $r, s \in R$. In this case, we call R a \ast -ring.

Vaš [\[V10\]](#page-15-1) defined a ∗**-clean ring** as a ∗-ring for which all their elements can be written as the sum of a unit and a projection - an idempotent ∗-invariant element. Naturally, since every ∗-clean ring is clean, the investigation on ∗-cleanness may be seen as determining conditions for a clean ring to be ∗-clean.

Similarly to the ring case, a **group involution** in a group G is a group antiautomorphism of order 2. Given a group involution ∗ in a group *G*, it may be linearly extended to a ring involution for the group ring *RG*, which we also denote by ∗. An important special case is given by the classical involution $*$ defined by the inversion $g^* = g^{-1}$ in any group *G*, for which the ∗-cleanness has been widely studied [\[GCL15,](#page-14-0) [HLT15,](#page-15-2) [HLY15,](#page-15-3) [LPY15\]](#page-15-4).

Assuming ∗ as the linear extension of any involution on *G*, in [\[JM06\]](#page-15-5) the authors asked when the set $(RG)^{+} = \{\alpha \in RG : \alpha^* = \alpha\}$ is commutative. In that paper, it was proved that if *G* is non-abelian and $char(R) \neq 2$, then $(RG)^+$ is commutative if and only if $G' = \{1, s\}$ and the involution ∗ on *G* is given by

$$
x^* = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{Z}(G) \\ sx & \text{if } x \notin \mathcal{Z}(G). \end{cases}
$$

The above application generally does not define an involution on *G* and if *G* is non-abelian this map is an involution if and only if $G' = \{1, s\}$ and *G* has the **lack of commutativity** property (LC for short), i.e., if $xy = yx$, then x, y or xy belongs to $\mathcal{Z}(G)$. LC-groups such that $G' = \{1, s\}$ are called **SLC-groups** and the involution defined above is referred to be the **canonical involution** (of SLC-groups). That definition is equivalent to $G/Z(G) \simeq C_2 \times C_2$. For more details, *cf.* [\[GJP96,](#page-14-1) [PM22\]](#page-15-6).

SLC-groups equipped with this involution appear as solutions to a series of other problems concerning group rings with involution, such as normality $(\alpha^* \alpha = \alpha \alpha^*)$ [\[CV20\]](#page-14-2), Lie identities in $(KG)^+$ [\[LSS09\]](#page-15-7) and commutativity and anticommutativity in $(RG)^+$ and $(RG)^ \{\alpha \in RG : \alpha^* = -\alpha\}$ for linear and some non-linear extension of the involution $*$ in *G* [\[BP06,](#page-14-3) [GP13a,](#page-14-4) [GP13b,](#page-14-5) [GP14,](#page-15-8) [PT20\]](#page-15-9).

Due to the quantity and variety of problems in which SLC-groups with canonical involution appear as solutions in the context of group rings with involution, it is quite natural to ask when RG is \ast -clean for G in this class of groups. As far as we know, there are no currently published results on $*$ -cleanness of those group rings, except for $G = Q_8$ [\[GCL15\]](#page-14-0), for which the canonical involution coincides with the classical one. We will try to fill that gap in this paper.

Our main result is the following:

Theorem A. Let *G* be an SLC-group with canonical involution ∗ and *R* be a unital commutative ring such that $2 \in U(R)$. If *RG* is *-clean then $G = Q_8 \times A$, with *A* abelian, such that

1. The group *A* has no elements of order 4;

2. If *p* is a prime and there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that *p* divides $2^n + 1$ then *A* has no elements of order *p*.

Besides, the equation $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + 1 = 0$ has no solutions in *R*.

From the definitions, it is clear that ∗-cleanness implies cleanness, so it is a reasonable task to look for conditions that are sufficient for clean rings to be ∗-clean. With that in mind, we have generalized a result for *Q*⁸ by Chen, Gao and Li [\[GCL15\]](#page-14-0), to any direct product with finite elementary abelian 2-groups.

Theorem B. Let $G = Q_8 \times P_2$, with P_2 being is a finite elementary abelian 2-group, $*$ being its standard SLC-group involution and let *R* be a unital commutative ring such that $2 \in U(R)$. Then *RG* is $*$ -clean if and only if *RG* is clean and the equation $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + 1 = 0$ has no solutions in *R*.

We may also restrict the ring instead of restricting the group to obtain the following.

Theorem C. Let $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{F}_i$ be a semisimple ring and let $G = Q_8 \times A$ with *A* finite abelian and ∗ being its canonical SLC-group involution such that char(*R*) does not divide |*G*|. Then *RG* is \ast -clean if and only if the equation $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + 1 = 0$ has no solution in $\mathbb{F}_i(\zeta_d)$, where *ζ^d* is a *d*-th primitive root of 1, for each *d* ∈ N such that *A* has an element of order *d*.

The following corollary shows some explicit examples of ∗-clean group rings over SLCgroups.

Corollary A. Let $G = Q_8 \times A$, where A is a finite abelian group and $*$ is its canonical involution as an SLC-group.

- 1. If *G* contains an element of prime order *p* such that $p \equiv 3,5 \mod 8$ then $\mathbb{Q}G$ is not ∗-clean;
- 2. If $A \simeq C_p$, where *p* is a prime such that $p \equiv 7 \mod 8$ and C_p is the cyclic group of order *p*, then $\mathbb{Q}G$ is \ast -clean.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section [2](#page-2-0) we prove some general results on ∗-rings we are going to need; in Section [3](#page-5-0) we prove a necessary technical lemma on rings; in Section [4](#page-5-1) we establish some notations and a preliminary result; in Section [5](#page-8-0) we prove Theorem A and in Section [6](#page-12-0) we prove the other three results.

2 Some general results

We denote the set of units, idempotents and projections of a ring R by $U(R)$, Idemp (R) e Proj (R) , respectively. We will always assume $*$, \circ and $*$ are involutions in the corresponding rings.

We begin by presenting some results that are true for any rings with involution.

Lemma 2.1. *Let* R *be a unital* $*$ *-ring,* S *be a unital* \circ *-ring and* φ : $R \to S$ *be a homomorphism for which holds* $\varphi(a^*) = \varphi(a)^\circ$.

- *1.* If $r \in R$ is \ast -clean then $\varphi(r)$ is \circ -clean.
- 2. If φ *is an epimorphism and R is* \ast -*clean then S is* \circ -*clean.*
- *3.* If φ is an isomorphism then R is $*$ -clean if and only if S is \circ -clean.

Proof. For the proof of item 1, if $r = u + p$, where $u \in \mathcal{U}(R)$ and $p \in \text{Proj}(R)$, then $\varphi(r) =$ $\varphi(u) + \varphi(p)$, with $\varphi(u) \in U(S)$ e $\varphi(p) \in Proj(S)$. Item 2 follows easily from item 1 and item 3 follows from item 2.

 \Box

Cleanness interacts well with direct sum, as we can see in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. *[\[AC02,](#page-14-6) Proposition 2]* Let $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} R_i$ be a unital ring. Then R is a clean *ring if and only if each Rⁱ is clean.*

We obtain a similar result for ∗-cleanness by using the following.

Proposition 2.3. *[\[V10,](#page-15-1) Proposition 4] Let* R *be a* $*$ *-ring. If* p *is a projection of* R *such* pRp *and* $(1-p)R(1-p)$ *are both* $*$ -*clean, then R is* $*$ -*clean.*

Lemma 2.4. *Let* R_1 , R_2 *be rings such that* $R = R_1 \oplus R_2$ *is a unital ring. If* R *is a* **-ring such that* $\circ = \ast_{|R_1}$ and $\star = \ast_{|R_2}$ are involutions in R_1 *e* R_2 *respectively, then R* is \ast -*clean if and only if* R_1 *and* R_2 *are* \circ *-clean and* \star *-clean respectively.*

Proof. First note that since $R = R_1 \oplus R_2$ then $1_R = e_1 + e_2$, with e_i being the unity of R_i for $i = 1, 2.$

(\Rightarrow) It follows from Lemma [2.1](#page-2-1) by using the canonical projections as *ϕ*.

(←) Since $R_1 = e_1 R e_1$, $R_2 = (1 - e_1) R (1 - e_1)$ and $e_1 \in \text{Proj}(R)$ then the result follows from Proposition [2.3.](#page-3-0) □

Proposition 2.5. Let $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} R_i$ be a unital ring. If R has an involution $*$ such that $*_i = *_{|R_i|}$ *are involutions on Ri, respectively, then R is* ∗*-clean if and only if each Rⁱ is* ∗*i-clean.*

Proof. Induction and Lemma [2.4.](#page-3-1)

 \Box

Now we are going to approach how ∗-cleanness of group rings interacts with direct product with finite elementary abelian 2-groups on the basis group.

We recall the following well-known result.

Proposition 2.6. *[\[PS02,](#page-15-10) Proposition 3.6.7] Let R be a unital ring and let H be a normal subgroup of G. If* $|H| \in \mathcal{U}(R)$ *, then*

$$
RG \simeq R(G/H) \oplus \Delta(G,H).
$$

Theorem 2.7. Let R be a unital commutative ring such that $2 \in \mathcal{U}(R)$, let P_2 is a finite *elementary abelian* 2*-group and* $G = H \times P_2$ *be a group with an involution* $*$ *such that* $*_{|P_2} =$ Id*^P*² *. If RH is* ∗*-clean, then RG is* ∗*-clean.*

Proof. Note that $G = H \times C_2 \times \cdots \times C_2$, where C_2 is the cyclic group of order 2.

We will prove the result for $k = 1$ and the result easily follows by induction on k . So assume $k = 1$ hence $P_2 = C_2$.

Note that $C_2 \triangleleft G$ and $|C_2| = 2 \in \mathcal{U}(R)$, thus by Proposition [2.6](#page-3-2) we have

 $RG \simeq R(G/C_2) \oplus \Delta(G, C_2) \simeq RH \oplus \Delta(G, C_2).$

Let *a* be the generator of C_2 , and note that if $\alpha \in \Delta(G, C_2)$ then there exist $\alpha_{hi} \in R$, for $i = 0, 1$ and $h \in H$, such that

$$
\alpha = \sum_{h \in H, i=0,1} \alpha_{hi} h a^{i} (1 - a)
$$

=
$$
\sum_{h \in H} \alpha_{h0} h (1 - a) + \sum_{h \in H} \alpha_{h1} h a (1 - a)
$$

=
$$
\sum_{h \in H} \alpha_{h0} h (1 - a) + \sum_{h \in H} \alpha_{h1} h (a - 1)
$$

=
$$
\sum_{h \in H} \alpha_{h0} h (1 - a) - \sum_{h \in H} \alpha_{h1} h (1 - a)
$$

=
$$
\sum_{h \in H} (\alpha_{h0} - \alpha_{h1}) h (1 - a),
$$

from which we can assume, for simplicity, that $\alpha = \frac{r}{\alpha}$ $\frac{1}{2}(1 - a)$ with $r = 2\sum_{h \in H} (\alpha_{h0} - \alpha_{h1})h$ ∈ *RH*.

Note that $1_{\Delta(G,C_2)} = \frac{1-a}{2}$ $\frac{a-a}{2}$, since $(1-a)^2 = 2(1-a)$, and thus

$$
r(1-a) \cdot \frac{1-a}{2} = r \cdot \frac{2(1-a)}{2} = r(1-a).
$$

We will now show that $\Delta(G, C_2)$ is \ast -clean.

Let $\alpha = \frac{r}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}(1-a) \in \Delta(G, C_2)$, and we will show that α is a ∗-clean element of $\Delta(G, C_2)$. In fact, from the \ast -cleanness of *RH* we know that if $r \in RH$ then $r = u + p$, with $u \in \mathcal{U}(RH)$ and $p^2 = p = p^*$. Thus, $\alpha = \frac{r}{2}$ $\frac{r}{2}(1-a) = \left(\frac{u}{2}\right)$ $\frac{u}{2}+\frac{p}{2}$ 2 $(1 - a).$

We will show that $\frac{u}{2}(1-a) \in \mathcal{U}(\Delta(G, C_2))$ and that $\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)$ $\frac{p}{2}(1-a)^{2} = \frac{p}{2}$ $\frac{p}{2}(1-a) = \left(\frac{p}{2}\right)$ $\frac{p}{2}(1-a)\bigg)^{*}.$ To show that $\frac{u}{2}(1-a) \in \mathcal{U}(\Delta(G, C_2))$, it suffices to note that

$$
\frac{u}{2}(1-a)\cdot \frac{u^{-1}}{2}(1-a) = \frac{uu^{-1}}{4}(1-a)^2 = \frac{1-a}{2}.
$$

Finally, note that

$$
\left(\frac{p}{2}(1-a)\right)^2 = \frac{p^2}{4}(1-a)^2 = \frac{p}{4} \cdot 2(1-a) = \frac{p}{2}(1-a)
$$

and that $\frac{p}{2}(1-a) = \left(\frac{p}{2}\right)$ $\left(\frac{p}{2}(1-a)\right)^*$ follows from the fact that $p^* = p$ and $(1 - a)^* = (1 - a)$, since $a \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$.

Thus we have that $\Delta(G, C_2)$ is ∗-clean and by Lemma [2.4](#page-3-1) we conclude RG is ∗-clean. \square

Corollary 2.8. *Let R be a unital ring such that* $2 \in \mathcal{U}(R)$ *, let G be a group and let* $*$ *be the classical involution. If* RG *is* $*$ *-clean, then* $R(G \times P_2)$ *is* $*$ *-clean for any finite elementary abelian* 2*-group P*2*.*

Proof. It suffices to note that if * is the classical involution, then $*_{|P_2} = Id_{P_2}$, therefore the result follows from Theorem [2.7.](#page-3-3) \Box

3 A technical lemma on rings

To prove Theorem A, we will need the following technical lemma, inspired by [\[L05,](#page-15-11) p. 77, Exercise 21].

Lemma 3.1. *Let S be a unital ring and* $p \geq 3$ *be an odd natural number. If* $g \in S$ *is a central element of S such that* $g^p = 1$ *then*

1.

$$
\prod_{k=0}^{t} \left(1 + g^{2^k}\right)
$$

is a sum of two squares for each $t \geq 0$ *.*

2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that* p *divides* $2^n + 1$ *. Then there are* $\alpha, \beta \in S$ *such that*

$$
\left(\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + g^{2^n}\right)(g-1) = 0.
$$

Proof. We prove item 1 by induction on *t*. For $t = 0$, note that

$$
1 + g = 1^2 + \left(g^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \right)^2.
$$

If the result is true for $t \geq 0$, then there are $a, b \in S$ such that

$$
\prod_{k=0}^{t+1} \left(1+g^{2^k}\right) = \left(a^2+b^2\right) \left(1+g^{2^{t+1}}\right) = \left(ag^{2^t}+b\right)^2 + \left(bg^{2^t}-a\right)^2,
$$

which proves item 1.

For the proof of item 2, note that by hypothesis $2^n - 1 \equiv p - 2 \mod p$, so there is a natural *q* such that $2^{n} - 1 = pq + p - 2$ hence

$$
\Pi := \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(1 + g^{2^k} \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n - 1} g^i = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{q-1} g^{pj} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g^i \right) + g^{pq} \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} g^i = q \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g^i + \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} g^i = \left((q+1) \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g^i \right) - g^{p-1}.
$$

Since $2^n \equiv p - 1 \mod p$ then $g^{2^n} = g^{p-1}$ hence

$$
\left(\Pi + g^{2^n}\right)(g-1) = \left(\Pi + g^{p-1}\right)(g-1) = \left[(q+1) \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g^i \right](g-1) = (q+1)(g^p - 1) = 0
$$

 \Box

and the result follows from item 1.

4 SLC-groups and Group Rings

We recall a group *G* is an SLC-group if and only if $\frac{G}{\mathcal{Z}(G)}$ is isomorphic to the Klein group. It easily follows that the SLC property is closed for direct product with abelian groups. With that in mind, the following result gives a full description of SLC-groups in terms of presentations which we will freely use.

Theorem 4.1 ([\[JLPM95\]](#page-15-12)). *A group G is a SLC-group if and only if* $G = D \times A$ *, where A is abelian and D is an indecomposable* 2*-group such that* $D = \langle x, y, \mathcal{Z}(D) \rangle$ *, where D admits one of the following presentations:*

- *1.* $D_1 = \langle x, y, a : x^2 = y^2 = a^m = 1 \rangle;$
- 2. $D_2 = \langle x, y, a : x^2 = y^2 = a, a^m = 1 \rangle;$
- 3. $D_3 = \langle x, y, a, b : x^2 = a^m = b^{m_2} = 1, y^2 = b \rangle;$
- $4.$ $D_4 = \langle x, y, a, b : x^2 = a, a^m = b^{m_2} = 1, y^2 = b \rangle;$
- *5.* $D_5 = \langle x, y, a, b, c : x^2 = b, y^2 = c, a^m = b^{m_2} = c^{m_3} = 1 \rangle;$

such that $G' = \{1, s\}$ with $s = (x, y) = a^{m/2}$, $m = 2^k$ and $m_i = 2^{k_i}$, being $k, k_i > 0$ for all *i. For simplicity, we omit in the above presentations the relations* $[\{x, y, a, b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}] = 1$, *which obviously imply* $a, b, c \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$, whenever possible. More precisely, $\mathcal{Z}(G) = \langle a \rangle \times K$ for *some abelian group K, which is given below.*

- *1.* $K = A$ *if* $D = D_1, D_2$;
- 2. $K = \langle b \rangle \times A$ *if* $D = D_3, D_4$;
- *3.* $K = \langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle \times A$ *if* $D = D_5$ *.*

If an SLC-group *G* is isomorphic to $D_i \times A$, as in Theorem [4.1,](#page-6-0) we will say *G* is **of type i** and we are going to freely use the notations of Theorem [4.1](#page-6-0) for *x, y, a, b, c*.

In this section and the next, we will assume *G* is an SLC-group with $G' = \{1, s\}$, equipped with the canonical involution $*$, and $\tau = \{1 = t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}$ is a transversal of *G* over $\mathcal{Z}(G)$, typically $\{1, x, y, xy\}.$

We will also assume R is a commutative unital ring such that $2 \in \mathcal{U}(R)$. Hence RG is a group ring such that $e = \frac{1+s}{2}$ $\frac{+s}{2}, f = \frac{1-s}{2}$ $\frac{0}{2}$ are orthogonal idempotents of RG, which means $e^2 = e$, $f^2 = f$, $ef = fe = 0$. Hence $RG = (RG)e \oplus (RG)f$. We also remark *e* and *f* are central projections, *i.e.*, projections that belong to the center of *RG*.

We begin with a technical lemma which is the basis for most of our proofs.

Lemma 4.2. *Let R be a ring and G an SLC-group, with the notations and assumptions above. Then*

1. Every element $\alpha \in (RG)f$ *may be uniquely written as*

$$
\alpha = \left[\sum_{j=1}^{4} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij} a^i\right) t_j \right] (1-s), \quad \text{with } x_{ij} \in RK \text{ for all } i, j.
$$

2. Given α as above,

$$
\alpha^* = \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{i1} a^i - \sum_{j=2}^4 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij} a^i \right) t_j \right] (1-s);
$$

3. Given α as above,

$$
\alpha = \alpha^* \Leftrightarrow x_{ij} = 0 \text{ for all } j \ge 2;
$$

4.
$$
\text{Proj}((RG)f) = \left\{ d(1-s) | d = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{i1} a^i \text{ and } d = 2d^2 \right\}.
$$

Proof. 1. First we prove the existence. Since *K* is a transversal for $\langle a \rangle$ in $\mathcal{Z}(G)$, then

$$
K\tau = \{kt_j \mid k \in K, 1 \le j \le 4\} \text{ is a transversal for } \langle a \rangle \text{ in } G. \tag{4.1}
$$

Let $\alpha \in (RG)f$. Then there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n \in K$ such that for each $1 \leq j \leq 4$, $0 \leq i \leq \frac{m}{2} - 1$, $1 \leq \varepsilon \leq n$, $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ there is a $\gamma_{ij\in\delta} \in R$ such that

$$
\alpha = \left(\sum_{j,i,\varepsilon,\delta} \gamma_{ji\varepsilon\delta} k_{\varepsilon} t_j a^i s^{\delta}\right) (1-s)
$$

\n
$$
= \left[\left(\sum_{j,i,\varepsilon} \gamma_{ji\varepsilon 0} k_{\varepsilon} t_j a^i\right) + \left(\sum_{j,i,\varepsilon} \gamma_{ji\varepsilon 1} k_{\varepsilon} t_j a^i s\right)\right] (1-s)
$$

\n
$$
= \left[\left(\sum_{j,i,\varepsilon} \gamma_{ji\varepsilon 0} k_{\varepsilon} t_j a^i\right) - \left(\sum_{j,i,\varepsilon} \gamma_{ji\varepsilon 1} k_{\varepsilon} t_j a^i\right)\right] (1-s)
$$

\n
$$
= \left(\sum_{j,i,\varepsilon} (\gamma_{ji\varepsilon 0} - \gamma_{ji\varepsilon 1}) k_{\varepsilon} t_j a^i\right) (1-s)
$$

\n
$$
= \left[\sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\sum_{i,\varepsilon} (\gamma_{ji\varepsilon 0} - \gamma_{ji\varepsilon 1}) k_{\varepsilon} a^i\right) t_j\right] (1-s)
$$

\n
$$
= \left[\sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} \left(\sum_{\varepsilon=1}^n (\gamma_{ji\varepsilon 0} - \gamma_{ji\varepsilon 1}) k_{\varepsilon}\right) a^i\right) t_j\right] (1-s)
$$

\n
$$
= \left[\sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij} a^i\right) t_j\right] (1-s),
$$

which proves the existence. To prove uniqueness, note that

,

$$
\alpha = \left[\sum_{j=1}^{4} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij} a^i\right) t_j \right] (1-s) = \left[\sum_{j=1}^{4} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x'_{ij} a^i\right) t_j \right] (1-s)
$$

implies

$$
\left[\sum_{j=1}^{4} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij} a^i\right) t_j \right] - \left[\sum_{j=1}^{4} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij} a^i\right) t_j \right] s =
$$

$$
= \left[\sum_{j=1}^{4} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x'_{ij} a^i\right) t_j \right] - \left[\sum_{j=1}^{4} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x'_{ij} a^i\right) t_j \right] s.
$$

Since $\{t_j a^i s^{\varepsilon} : 1 \le j \le 4, 0 \le i \le \frac{m}{2} - 1, \varepsilon = 0, 1\}$ is a transversal for *K* in *G*, we obtain $x_{ij} = \hat{x}'_{ij}$ for all *i*, *j*.

2. Note that $\text{supp}(x_{ij}a^i(1-s))$ is central hence invariant by $*$ for all *i*, *j*. Then

$$
\alpha^* = \left(\sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij} a^i \right) t_j \right] (1-s) \right)^*
$$

\n
$$
= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{i1} a^i + \sum_{j=2}^4 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij} a^i \right) t_j \right] (1-s) \right)^*
$$

\n
$$
= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{i1} a^i \right] (1-s) \right)^* + \left(\sum_{j=2}^4 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij} a^i \right) t_j \right] (1-s) \right)^*
$$

\n
$$
= \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{i1} a^i \right] (1-s) + \sum_{j=2}^4 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij} a^i \right) t_j \right] (1-s)
$$

\n
$$
= \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{i1} a^i \right] (1-s) + \sum_{j=2}^4 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij} a^i \right) t_j s \right] (1-s)
$$

\n
$$
= \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{i1} a^i \right] (1-s) + \sum_{j=2}^4 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij} a^i \right) (-t_j) \right] (1-s)
$$

\n
$$
= \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{i1} a^i \right] (1-s) - \sum_{j=2}^4 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij} a^i \right) t_j \right] (1-s),
$$

\n
$$
= \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{i1} a^i - \sum_{j=2}^4 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij} a^i \right) t_j \right] (1-s).
$$

3. It follows from the two previous items.

4. Let
$$
\alpha \in \text{Proj}((RG)f)
$$
. Then $\alpha = \alpha^*$ implies $\alpha = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{i1} a^i (1-s) = d(1-s)$. Then

$$
d(1-s) = \alpha = \alpha^2 = d^2 (1-s)^2 = d^2 2(1-s).
$$

By the uniqueness of item 1, $d = 2d^2$. The converse follows from the centrality of $supp(d(1-s)).$ \Box

5 Necessary conditions

In this section we prove Theorem A. Our main tool will be the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Let R be a ring and G be an SLC-group, with the assumptions of Section [4.](#page-5-1) Suppose there are elements* $\gamma, \tau \in RG$ *such that:*

1.
$$
(1 - \gamma^2)\tau(1 - s) = 0;
$$

2. $(z - 4^{-1}\gamma)\tau(1 - s) \neq 0$ *for all* $z \in RZ(G)$ *.*

Then RG is not ∗*-clean.*

Proof. Suppose *RG* is ∗-clean. By Lemma [2.4,](#page-3-1) (*RG*)*f* is also ∗-clean.

Let $\gamma, \tau \in RG$ be as in the statement. Then $(1 - \gamma^2)\tau(1 - s) = 0$.

Consider $h = 4^{-1}(1 + \gamma)(1 - s) \in (RG)f$. By hypothesis, there is a unit $u \in (RG)f$ and a projection $p \in (RG)f$ such that $h = u + p$.

By Lemma [4.2,](#page-6-1) item 4, there is $d \in RZ(G)$ such that $p = d(1-s)$, with $2d^2(1-s) = d(1-s)$. Hence

$$
u = h - p = ((4^{-1} - d) + 4^{-1}\gamma) (1 - s).
$$

Let

$$
v:=\left(\left(4^{-1}-d\right)-4^{-1}\gamma\right)\tau(1-s).
$$

By hypothesis, $v \neq 0$ hence

$$
uv = 2((16^{-1} - 2^{-1}d + d^2) - 16^{-1}\gamma^2))\tau(1 - s)
$$

= 8⁻¹(1 - \gamma²)\tau(1 - s) = 0,

which is a contradiction, since *u* is a unit and $v \neq 0$.

It follows that (*RG*)*f* is not ∗-clean, which implies *RG* isn't either, by Lemma [2.4.](#page-3-1) \Box

Now we are ready to prove the first part of Theorem A.

Lemma 5.2. *Let G be an SLC-group and R a ring with the assumptions of Section [4.](#page-5-1) If RG* i *s* ∗*-clean then* $G = Q_8 \times A$ *, with A abelian, such that*

- *1. The group A has no elements of order 4.*
- 2. If *p* is a prime and there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that *p* divides $2^n + 1$ then *A* has no elements of *order p.*

Proof. We will use Lemma [5.1](#page-8-1) several times to eliminate possibilities, defining different $γ$ and *τ* for each case. In every case we use the transversal $\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}$ of *G* over $Z(G)$ as being ${1, x, y, xy} (cf. Theorem 4.1).$ ${1, x, y, xy} (cf. Theorem 4.1).$ ${1, x, y, xy} (cf. Theorem 4.1).$

By Theorem [4.1,](#page-6-0) *G* is isomorphic to $D_{i_0} \times A$, for some $i_0 \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}.$ Suppose $i_0 = 1$. Take $\gamma = y$ and $\tau = 1$. Then

$$
(1 - \gamma^2)\tau(1 - s) = (1 - y^2)(1 - s) = (1 - 1)(1 - s) = 0.
$$

By Lemma [5.1,](#page-8-1) there is $z \in RZ(G)$ such that

$$
0 = (z - 4^{-1}\gamma)\tau(1 - s) = (z - 4^{-1}y)(1 - s).
$$

It follows from the uniqueness part of Lemma [4.2](#page-6-1) that $-4^{-1} = 0$, a contradiction. Suppose now $i_0 \in \{3, 4, 5\}$. Take $\gamma = y$ and $\tau = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{T}{2}-1} y^{2i}$, where $r \ge 4$ is the order of *y*. Then

$$
(1 - \gamma^2)\tau(1 - s) = (1 - y^2) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{r}{2}-1} y^{2i}\right) (1 - s)
$$

$$
= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{r}{2}-1} y^{2i} - \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{r}{2}} y^{2i}\right) (1 - s)
$$

$$
= (1 - y^r)(1 - s) = (1 - 1)(1 - s) = 0.
$$

By Lemma [5.1](#page-8-1) there is $z \in RZ(G)$ such that

$$
0 = (z - 4^{-1}\gamma)\tau(1 - s)
$$

= $(z - 4^{-1}y)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{r}{2}-1} y^{2i}\right)(1 - s)$
= $\left[z\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{r}{2}-1} y^{2i}\right) + y\left(-4^{-1}\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{r}{2}-1} y^{2i}\right)\right](1 - s).$

Note that $z \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{r}{2}-1} y^{2i} \in RZ(G)$ and, by construction, the powers $y^{2i} \neq 1$, for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{r}{2}-1$, belong to $\langle b \rangle$ or $\langle c \rangle$, never to $\langle a \rangle$. Then $4^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{r}{2}-1} y^{2i}$ belongs to RK. It follows that, by the uniqueness part of Lemma [4.2,](#page-6-1)

$$
-4^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{r}{2}-1} y^{2i} = 0,
$$

which is a contradiction, since each power y^{2i} , for $0 \leq i \leq \frac{r}{2} - 1$, is a different element of the group *G*.

That means $i_0 = 2$. Suppose $m \geq 4$. Take $\gamma = xya^{\frac{m-4}{4}}$ e $\tau = 1$. We have

$$
(1 - \gamma^2)\tau(1 - s) = \left(1 - \left(xya^{\frac{m-4}{4}}\right)^2\right)(1 - s)
$$

= $\left(1 - x^2y^2sa^{\frac{m-4}{2}}\right)(1 - s)$
= $\left(1 - a^2sa^{\frac{m-4}{2}}\right)(1 - s)$
= $\left(1 - sa^{\frac{m}{2}}\right)(1 - s)$
= $\left(1 - s^2\right)(1 - s) = (1 - 1)(1 - s) = 0.$

By Lemma [5.1,](#page-8-1) there is $z \in RZ(G)$ such that

$$
0 = (z - 4^{-1}\gamma) \tau (1 - s) = \left(z + xy \left(-4^{-1} a^{\frac{m-4}{4}} \right) \right) (1 - s).
$$

By the uniqueness part of Lemma [4.2,](#page-6-1) we have $-4^{-1}a^{\frac{m-4}{4}} = 0$, a contradiction. Then $i_0 = 2$ and $m = 2$, which means $G \simeq Q_8 \times A$, for some abelian group *A*.

For the proof of item 1, suppose *A* has an element of order 4, which we denote by $g \in A$. Take $\gamma = xg$ and $\tau = 1 - g^2$. Then

$$
(1 - \gamma^2)\tau(1 - s) = (1 - (xg)^2)(1 - g^2)(1 - s)
$$

= $(1 - x^2g^2)(1 - g^2)(1 - s)$
= $(1 + g^2)(1 - g^2)(1 - s)$
= $(1 - g^4)(1 - s) = 0(1 - s) = 0.$

By Lemma [5.1,](#page-8-1) there is $z \in RZ(G)$ such that

$$
0 = (z - 4^{-1}\gamma)\tau(1 - s)
$$

= $(z - 4^{-1}xg)(1 - g^2)(1 - s)$
= $[z(1 - g^2) + x(-4^{-1}(1 - g^2))](1 - s).$

By the uniqueness part of Lemma [4.2,](#page-6-1) we have $-4^{-1}(1-q^2) = 0$, which is a contradiction, since *g* has order 4.

Finally, for the proof of item 2, suppose $g \in A$ has order p as in the statement. Since *S* = *RA, p, g* satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma [3.1,](#page-5-2) then there are $α, β ∈ RA ≤ Z(RG)$ such that

$$
\left(\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + g^{2^n}\right)(g-1) = 0.
$$
\n(5.1)

Take $\gamma = \alpha g^{2^{n-1}+1}x + \beta g^{2^{n-1}+1}y$ and $\tau = g - 1$. Then

$$
(1 - \gamma^2)\tau(1 - s) = \left[1 - \left(\alpha^2 g^{2^n + 2} x^2 + \alpha \beta g^{2^n + 2} xy + \alpha \beta g^{2^n + 2} yx + \beta^2 g^{2^n + 2} y^2\right)\right](g - 1)(1 - s)
$$

=
$$
\left[1 + g(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\right](g - 1)(1 - s)
$$

=
$$
\left[g^{2^n + 1} + g(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\right](g - 1)(1 - s)
$$

=
$$
g\left[g^{2^n} + \alpha^2 + \beta^2\right](g - 1)(1 - s) = 0.
$$

By Lemma [5.1,](#page-8-1) there is $z \in RZ(G)$ such that

$$
0 = (z - 4^{-1}\gamma)\tau(1 - s)
$$

= $\left[z - 4^{-1}\left(\alpha x g^{2^{n-1}+1} + \beta y g^{2^{n-1}+1}\right)\right](g - 1)(1 - s)$
= $\left[z(g - 1) - 4^{-1}\alpha g^{2^{n-1}+1}(g - 1)x - 4^{-1}\beta g^{2^{n-1}+1}(g - 1)y\right](1 - s).$

The uniqueness part of Lemma [4.2](#page-6-1) implies

$$
4^{-1} \alpha g^{2^{n-1}+1}(g-1) = 4^{-1} \beta g^{2^{n-1}+1}(g-1) = 0
$$

hence $\alpha(g-1) = \beta(g-1) = 0$.

Combining the above with [\(5.1\)](#page-11-0), we obtain $1-g^{2^n} = g^{2^n}(g-1) = 0$, which is a contradiction since $2^n \equiv -1 \mod p$. \Box

Then Theorem A follows from the next Lemma, inspired by [\[GCL15,](#page-14-0) Theorem 3.8].

Lemma 5.3. *Let* R *be a ring and* $G = Q_8 \times A$ *, with* A *being an abelian group, and with the* assumptions of Section [4.](#page-5-1) If the equation $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 = 1$ has a solution in R then RG is *not* ∗*-clean.*

Proof. Let $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in R$ be such that

$$
a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 + 1 = 0.
$$

Note that *aⁱ* may not be all equal to zero. Suppose *RG* is ∗-clean. We are going to use Lemma [5.1](#page-8-1) to find a contradiction. We recall *G* is of type 2 with $D_2 = Q_8$, hence $x^2 = y^2 = (xy)^2 = s$ and *xy* = *yxs*. Let

$$
\gamma := 2^{-1}(a_1x + a_2y + a_3xy)(1 - s) \in RG
$$

and $\tau = 1 \in RG$.

Then

$$
\gamma^2 = 4^{-1} \left((a_1 x + a_2 y + a_3 x y)^2 \right) (1 - s)^2
$$

= 4^{-1} \left[(a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2) s \right] 2 (1 - s)
= 4^{-1} \cdot 2[(-1)s](1 - s) = 2^{-1}(1 - s).

Hence

$$
(1 - \gamma^2) \tau (1 - s) = 2^{-1} (1 + s)(1 - s) = 0.
$$

By Lemma [5.1](#page-8-1) there is $z \in RZ(G)$ such that

$$
0 = (z - 4^{-1}\gamma)\tau(1 - s) = (z - 4^{-1}a_1x - 4^{-1}a_2y - 4^{-1}a_3xy)(1 - s).
$$

Now the uniqueness part of Lemma [4.2](#page-6-1) implies $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$, which is a contradiction.

П

We finish this section with a simple corollary.

Corollary 5.4. *If G is an SLC-group with canonical involution* ∗*,* C*G is not* ∗*-clean.*

Proof. Since the equation $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + 1 = 0$ has a solution in C, namely $(X, Y, Z) = (i, 0, 0)$, then the result follows from Theorem A. \Box

6 Sufficient conditions

In this section we investigate sufficient conditions for clean group rings in the pattern of Theorem A to be ∗-clean. Before our results, we present the theorem that inspired this work.

Theorem 6.1. *[\[GCL15,](#page-14-0) Theorem 3.8]* Let *R* be a unital commutative ring such that $2 \in \mathcal{U}(R)$ *and let* ∗ *be the canonical involution on the SLC-group Q*⁸ *(which coincides with the inversion). Then*

- *1.* If RQ_8 is *-clean then RQ_8 is clean and the equation $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + 1 = 0$ has no *solutions in R.*
- 2. If *R* is local, RQ_8 is clean and the equation $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + 1 = 0$ has no solutions in *R*, *then RQ*⁸ *is* ∗*-clean.*

We remark that in the original version of the above theorem *R* is local in both itens, but the proof of the first item does not use locality. Our goal in this section is to generalize Theorem [6.1](#page-12-1) as much as possible to other SLC-groups, possibly adding hypothesis on *R*.

If *A* is an elementary abelian 2-group, the result follows easily from Section [2,](#page-2-0) as we can see below. It is worth noting that in this case the canonical SLC involution coincides with the classical one.

*Proof of Theorem B. If RG is *-clean, it is obviously clean and the equation* $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + 1 = 0$ 0 has no solution in *R* by Theorem A.

Suppose *RG* is clean and the above equation has no solution in *R*. By definition we have $*_{P_2} = Id_{P_2}$. Hence the result follows from Theorems [6.1](#page-12-1) and [2.7](#page-3-3) for $H = Q_8$. \Box

The converse of Theorem [6.1](#page-12-1) may also be easily extended to applying direct sums on the coefficients.

Theorem 6.2. *Let R be a unital commutative ring such that* $2 \in \mathcal{U}(R)$ *and* $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} R_i$ *with* R_i *local for all i*. If RQ_8 *is clean and the equation* $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + 1 = 0$ *has no solution in any R^j then RQ*⁸ *is* ∗*-clean.*

Proof. Note that $RQ_8 = (\bigoplus_{i=1}^k R_i)Q_8 \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^k (R_iQ_8)$. Then by Proposition [2.2](#page-3-4) R_iQ_8 is clean hence ∗-clean for all *i* by Theorem [6.1.](#page-12-1) By applying Lemma [2.4,](#page-3-1) we obtain RQ_8 is ∗-clean. \Box

We may also easily obtain a first generalization for semisimple coefficients rings.

Theorem 6.3. Let *R* be a unital commutative ring such that $2 \in U(R)$ and $G = Q_8 \times A$, with *A being a finite abelian group. If R is semisimple*, $|G| \in \mathcal{U}(R)$ *, RG is clean and the equation* $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + 1 = 0$ *has no solution in any of the simple components of RA then RG is* ∗*-clean.*

Proof. First note that $|G| \in \mathcal{U}(R)$ implies $|A| \in \mathcal{U}(R)$ hence, since R is semisimple, by Maschke's Theorem we conclude RA is semisimple. That means $RA = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{F}_i$, with \mathbb{F}_i begin a field for all *i*. Since every field is local,

$$
RG \simeq (RA)Q_8 \simeq (\oplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{F}_i)Q_8
$$

and by hypothesis the equation $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + 1$ has no solution in any of the fields \mathbb{F}_i , Theorem [6.2](#page-13-0) implies *RG* is ∗-clean.

For a deeper investigation we need the following result.

Theorem 6.4. *[\[Z10,](#page-15-13) Corollary 9] If R is a commutative local ring such that J*(*R*) *is nil and G is a locally finite group, then RG is clean.*

Theorem 6.5. *Let* \mathbb{F} *be a field and Let* $G = Q_8 \times A$ *, where A is a finite abelian group and* $*$ *is its canonical involution as an SLC-group. Suppose also that* char(F) *does not divide* |*G*|*. Then* $\mathbb{F}G$ *is* *-clean if and only if the equation $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + 1 = 0$ has no solution in $\mathbb{F}(\zeta_d)$ *, where ζ^d is a d-th primitive root of 1, for each d* ∈ N *such that A has an element of order d.*

Proof. First note that by Perlis-Walker Theorem (*cf.* [\[PS02,](#page-15-10) Theorem 3.5.4]]) we have

$$
\mathbb{F} A \simeq \bigoplus_{d} a_d \mathbb{F}(\zeta_d),
$$

where ζ_d is a *d*-th primitive root of 1, with $n = |A|$ and $a_d \in \mathbb{N}$ for each $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that *A* has an element of order *d*.

 (\Rightarrow) Suppose **F***G* is ∗-clean. Since *G* is finite and *J*(**F**) = {0}, Theorem [6.4](#page-13-1) implies **F***G* is clean.

Since $\mathbb{F}G \simeq \mathbb{F}(Q_8 \times A) \simeq (\mathbb{F}A)Q_8$ and in Q_8 the classical involution coincide with the standard involution of Q_8 , by Lemma [2.1](#page-2-1) we have ($\mathbb{F}A)Q_8$ is ∘-clean, where ∘ is the classical involution of *Q*8.

So

$$
(\mathbb{F}A)Q_8 \simeq \bigoplus_{d|n} a_d(\mathbb{F}(\zeta_d)Q_8)
$$

and by Proposition [2.5,](#page-3-5) each $\mathbb{F}(\zeta_d)Q_8$ is \circ -clean.

Applying Theorem [6.1](#page-12-1) to each $\mathbb{F}(\zeta_d)Q_8$, we have that the equation $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + 1 = 0$ has no solution in $\mathbb{F}(\zeta_d)$.

(←) Since the simple components of $\mathbb{F} A$ are the fields $\mathbb{F}(\zeta_d)$, by applying Theorem [6.3](#page-13-2) we conclude F*G* is ∗-clean. \Box

Now we can prove Theorem C.

 \Box

Proof of Theorem C. First note that $RG \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbb{F}_{i}G)$ and the restriction $*_i$ of $*$ to $\mathbb{F}_{i}G$ is an involution of $\mathbb{F}_i G$. By Proposition [2.5](#page-3-5) *RG* is $*$ -clean if and only if each $\mathbb{F}_i G$ is $*_{i}$ -clean. The result follows from applying Theorem [6.5](#page-13-3) to each $\mathbb{F}_i G$. П

If we consider rational coefficients, we may use quadratic forms theory to achieve some extra conclusions. We recall that the *level* $s(\mathbb{F})$ of a field \mathbb{F} is the smallest natural number *n* such that -1 is a sum of *n* squares in *F*. If -1 is not a sum of squares, then $s(\mathbb{F}) = \infty$. By the Pfister Level Theorem, $s(\mathbb{F})$ is always ∞ or a power of 2 (*cf.* [\[L05,](#page-15-11) p. 379]). For the specific case of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$, where *p* is an odd prime and ζ_p is a *p*-th primitive root of 1, we have

$$
s(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } p \equiv 3, 5 \mod 8 \\ 4, & \text{if } p \equiv 7 \mod 8 \\ 2 \text{ or } 4, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \mod 8. \end{cases}
$$

- *Proof of Corollary A.* 1. Since $s(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)) = 2$ then the equation $X^2 + Y^2 = -1$ has a solution in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ hence, by making $Z=0$, $\mathbb{Q}G$ is not *-clean by Theorem A.
	- 2. Since $s(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)) = 4$, then the equation $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + 1 = 0$ has no solutions in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$. Since every element of C_p has order p , the result follows from Theorem [6.5.](#page-13-3)

 \Box

We finish with an interesting application of Corollary A. The following result may be proven by using the law of quadratic reciprocity, but we give a different proof.

Corollary 6.6. *Let p be a prime number. If* $p \equiv 7 \mod 8$ *then there is no* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that* p $divides 2ⁿ + 1.$

Proof. Suppose there is such *n* and let $G = A \times C_p$. By Corollary A, QG is $*$ -clean, but that contradicts Theorem A. 囗

Acknowledgments: This research was partially supported by FINAPESQ-UEFS, Brazil.

References

- [AC02] ANDERSON, D. D.; CAMILLO, V. P. *Commutative Rings Whose Elements are a Sum of a Unit and Idempotent*. Communications in Algebra, v. 30, n. 7, p.3327-3336, 2002.
- [BP06] BROCHE CRISTO, O.; POLCINO MILIES, C. Symmetric elements under orientated involutions in group rings, *Communications in Algebra*, v. 34, n. 9, p. 3347-3356, 2006.
- [GCL15] GAO, Y.; CHEN, J.; LI, Y. Some *-Clean Group Rings. Algebra Colloquium, [S.L.], v. 22, n. 01, p. 169-180, 7 jan. 2015.
- [CV20] CASTILLO, J. H.; HOLGUÍN-VILLA, A. *Normal group algebras*, Communications in Algebra, v. 48, n. 10, p. 4391–4402, 2020.
- [GJP96] GOODAIRE, E. G.; JESPERS, E.; POLCINO MILIES, C. *Alternative Loop Rings*, Elsevier, 1996.
- [GP13a] GOODAIRE, E. G.; POLCINO MILIES, C. *Involutions and Anticommutativity in Group Rings*. Canadian Mathematical Bulletin, v. 52, n. 2, p. 344-353, 2013.
- [GP13b] GOODAIRE, E. G.; POLCINO MILIES, C. *Oriented Involutions and Skewsymmetric Elements in Group Rings*. Journal of Algebra and Its Applications, v. 12, n. 1, 2013.
- [GP14] GOODAIRE, E. G.; POLCINO MILIES, C. Oriented Group Involutions and Anticommutativity in Group Rings. *Communications in Algebra*, v. 42, n. 4, p. 1657-1667, 2014.
- [HLT15] Huang, H.; LI, Y; Tang, G. *On* ∗*-Clean Non-Commutative Group Rings*, Journal of Algebra and its Application, 2015.
- [HLY15] HUANG, H.; LI, Y.; YUAN, P. *On* ∗*-Clean Group Rings II*, Communications in Algebra, 2015.
- [JLPM95] JESPERS, E.; LEAL, G.; POLCINO MILIES, C. *Classifying Indecomposable RA Loops*, Journal of Algebra, 1995.
- [JM06] Jespers, E.; Ruiz Marín, M. *On symmetric elements and symmetric units in group rings*, Communications in Algebra, v. 34, n. 2, p. 727-736, 2006.
- [L05] T. Y. Lam, *Introduction to Quadratic Forms over Fields*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2005.
- [LPY15] LI, Y; PARMENTER, M. M.; YUAN, P. *On* ∗*-Clean Group Rings*, Journal of Algebra and its Application, 2015.
- [LSS09] LEE, G. T.; SEHGAL, S. K.; SPINELLI, E. Lie properties of symmetric elements in group rings II, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, v. 213, n. 6, p. 1173-1178, 2009.
- [N77] NICHOLSON, W.K. *Lifting idempotents and exchange rings.*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society v. 229, p. 269-278, 1977.
- [PM22] POLCINO MILIES, C.*Units of group rings and a conjecture of H. J. Zassenhaus*, São Paulo J. Math. Sci. v.16, p.43–61, 2022
- [PS02] POLCINO MILIES, C.; SEHGAL, S. K. *An Introduction to Group Rings*, Dordrecht Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2002.
- [PT20] PETIT LOBÃO, T.C.; TONUCCI, E. L. *Anticommutativity of symetric elements under generalized oriented involutions*, Communications in Algebra, v.48, n.5, p. 1882-1893, 2020.
- [V10] L. Vaš, ∗*-Clean rings; some clean and almost clean Baer* ∗*-rings and von Neumann algebras* J. Algebra 324, 2010, 3388-3400.
- [Z10] Y.Q. Zhou, *On clean group rings*, Advances in Ring Theory, eds. D.V. Huynh and S.R. Lopez-Permouth, Trends in Mathematics, Birkh~auser, Basel, 2010, pp. 335-345.