Kisnney Almeida

Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana, Departamento de Ciências Exatas, Av. Transnordestina S/N, CEP 44036-900 - Feira de Santana - BA - Brazil. kisnney@gmail.com

Jacqueline Costa Cintra Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana, Departamento de Ciências Exatas, Av. Transnordestina S/N, CEP 44036-900 - Feira de Santana - BA - Brazil. jccintra@uefs.br

Mauricio Araujo Ferreira

Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana, Departamento de Ciências Exatas, Av. Transnordestina S/N, CEP 44036-900 - Feira de Santana - BA - Brazil. maferreira@uefs.br

Edward Landi Tonucci Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana, Departamento de Ciências Exatas, Av. Transnordestina S/N, CEP 44036-900 - Feira de Santana - BA - Brazil. eltonucci@uefs.br

January 7, 2025

Abstract

The property of *-cleanness in group rings has been studied for some groups considering the classical involution, given by $g^* = g^{-1}$. A group is called an SLC-group if its quotient by its center is isomorphic to the Klein group; these groups are equipped with its own canonical involution, which usually does not coincide with the classical one. In this paper we study the *-cleanness of RG when G is an SLC-group, considering * as its canonical involution. In that context, we prove that if RG is *-clean then G is the direct product of Q_8 and an abelian group with some extra properties and we find a converse for some specific cases, generalizing a result by Gao, Chen and Li for Q_8 .

1 Introduction

Clean rings were introduced by Nicholson in 1977 [N77], in the context of exchange rings, and have since attracted a lot of attention. A ring is said to be **clean** if each of its elements can be written as the sum of a unit and an idempotent.

We define a **ring involution** * in a ring R as an antiautomorphism of order 2, *i.e.*, an application $*: R \to R$ such that

$$(r+s)^* = r^* + s^*, \quad (rs)^* = s^*r^* \text{ and } (r^*)^* = r,$$

for all $r, s \in R$. In this case, we call R a *-ring.

Vaš [V10] defined a *-clean ring as a *-ring for which all their elements can be written as the sum of a unit and a projection - an idempotent *-invariant element. Naturally, since every *-clean ring is clean, the investigation on *-cleanness may be seen as determining conditions for a clean ring to be *-clean.

Similarly to the ring case, a **group involution** in a group G is a group antiautomorphism of order 2. Given a group involution * in a group G, it may be linearly extended to a ring involution for the group ring RG, which we also denote by *. An important special case is given by the classical involution * defined by the inversion $g^* = g^{-1}$ in any group G, for which the *-cleanness has been widely studied [GCL15, HLT15, HLY15, LPY15].

Assuming * as the linear extension of any involution on G, in [JM06] the authors asked when the set $(RG)^+ = \{\alpha \in RG : \alpha^* = \alpha\}$ is commutative. In that paper, it was proved that if G is non-abelian and $char(R) \neq 2$, then $(RG)^+$ is commutative if and only if $G' = \{1, s\}$ and the involution * on G is given by

$$x^* = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{Z}(G) \\ sx & \text{if } x \notin \mathcal{Z}(G). \end{cases}$$

The above application generally does not define an involution on G and if G is non-abelian this map is an involution if and only if $G' = \{1, s\}$ and G has the **lack of commutativity** property (LC for short), i.e., if xy = yx, then x, y or xy belongs to $\mathcal{Z}(G)$. LC-groups such that $G' = \{1, s\}$ are called **SLC-groups** and the involution defined above is referred to be the **canonical involution** (of SLC-groups). That definition is equivalent to $G/\mathcal{Z}(G) \simeq C_2 \times C_2$. For more details, *cf.* [GJP96, PM22].

SLC-groups equipped with this involution appear as solutions to a series of other problems concerning group rings with involution, such as normality ($\alpha^* \alpha = \alpha \alpha^*$) [CV20], Lie identities in ($\mathbb{K}G$)⁺ [LSS09] and commutativity and anticommutativity in (RG)⁺ and (RG)⁻ = { $\alpha \in RG : \alpha^* = -\alpha$ } for linear and some non-linear extension of the involution * in G [BP06, GP13a, GP13b, GP14, PT20].

Due to the quantity and variety of problems in which SLC-groups with canonical involution appear as solutions in the context of group rings with involution, it is quite natural to ask when RG is *-clean for G in this class of groups. As far as we know, there are no currently published results on *-cleanness of those group rings, except for $G = Q_8$ [GCL15], for which the canonical involution coincides with the classical one. We will try to fill that gap in this paper.

Our main result is the following:

Theorem A. Let G be an SLC-group with canonical involution * and R be a unital commutative ring such that $2 \in U(R)$. If RG is *-clean then $G = Q_8 \times A$, with A abelian, such that

1. The group A has no elements of order 4;

2. If p is a prime and there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that p divides $2^n + 1$ then A has no elements of order p.

Besides, the equation $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + 1 = 0$ has no solutions in R.

From the definitions, it is clear that *-cleanness implies cleanness, so it is a reasonable task to look for conditions that are sufficient for clean rings to be *-clean. With that in mind, we have generalized a result for Q_8 by Chen, Gao and Li [GCL15], to any direct product with finite elementary abelian 2-groups.

Theorem B. Let $G = Q_8 \times P_2$, with P_2 being is a finite elementary abelian 2-group, * being its standard SLC-group involution and let R be a unital commutative ring such that $2 \in U(R)$. Then RG is *-clean if and only if RG is clean and the equation $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + 1 = 0$ has no solutions in R.

We may also restrict the ring instead of restricting the group to obtain the following.

Theorem C. Let $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{F}_i$ be a semisimple ring and let $G = Q_8 \times A$ with A finite abelian and * being its canonical SLC-group involution such that $\operatorname{char}(R)$ does not divide |G|. Then RG is *-clean if and only if the equation $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + 1 = 0$ has no solution in $\mathbb{F}_i(\zeta_d)$, where ζ_d is a d-th primitive root of 1, for each $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that A has an element of order d.

The following corollary shows some explicit examples of *-clean group rings over SLCgroups.

Corollary A. Let $G = Q_8 \times A$, where A is a finite abelian group and * is its canonical involution as an SLC-group.

- 1. If G contains an element of prime order p such that $p \equiv 3, 5 \mod 8$ then $\mathbb{Q}G$ is not *-clean;
- 2. If $A \simeq C_p$, where p is a prime such that $p \equiv 7 \mod 8$ and C_p is the cyclic group of order p, then $\mathbb{Q}G$ is *-clean.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we prove some general results on *-rings we are going to need; in Section 3 we prove a necessary technical lemma on rings; in Section 4 we establish some notations and a preliminary result; in Section 5 we prove Theorem A and in Section 6 we prove the other three results.

2 Some general results

We denote the set of units, idempotents and projections of a ring R by $\mathcal{U}(R)$, Idemp(R) e Proj(R), respectively. We will always assume $*, \circ$ and * are involutions in the corresponding rings.

We begin by presenting some results that are true for any rings with involution.

Lemma 2.1. Let R be a unital *-ring, S be a unital \circ -ring and $\varphi : R \to S$ be a homomorphism for which holds $\varphi(a^*) = \varphi(a)^{\circ}$.

- 1. If $r \in R$ is *-clean then $\varphi(r)$ is o-clean.
- 2. If φ is an epimorphism and R is *-clean then S is \circ -clean.
- 3. If φ is an isomorphism then R is *-clean if and only if S is \circ -clean.

Proof. For the proof of item 1, if r = u + p, where $u \in \mathcal{U}(R)$ and $p \in \operatorname{Proj}(R)$, then $\varphi(r) = \varphi(u) + \varphi(p)$, with $\varphi(u) \in \mathcal{U}(S) \in \varphi(p) \in \operatorname{Proj}(S)$. Item 2 follows easily from item 1 and item 3 follows from item 2.

Cleanness interacts well with direct sum, as we can see in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. [AC02, Proposition 2] Let $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} R_i$ be a unital ring. Then R is a clean ring if and only if each R_i is clean.

We obtain a similar result for *-cleanness by using the following.

Proposition 2.3. [V10, Proposition 4] Let R be a *-ring. If p is a projection of R such pRp and (1-p)R(1-p) are both *-clean, then R is *-clean.

Lemma 2.4. Let R_1 , R_2 be rings such that $R = R_1 \oplus R_2$ is a unital ring. If R is a *-ring such that $\circ = *_{|R_1|}$ and $\star = *_{|R_2|}$ are involutions in $R_1 \in R_2$ respectively, then R is *-clean if and only if R_1 and R_2 are \circ -clean and \star -clean respectively.

Proof. First note that since $R = R_1 \oplus R_2$ then $1_R = e_1 + e_2$, with e_i being the unity of R_i for i = 1, 2.

 (\Rightarrow) It follows from Lemma 2.1 by using the canonical projections as φ .

(\Leftarrow) Since $R_1 = e_1 R e_1$, $R_2 = (1 - e_1) R (1 - e_1)$ and $e_1 \in \operatorname{Proj}(R)$ then the result follows from Proposition 2.3.

Proposition 2.5. Let $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} R_i$ be a unital ring. If R has an involution * such that $*_i = *_{|R_i|}$ are involutions on R_i , respectively, then R is *-clean if and only if each R_i is $*_i$ -clean.

Proof. Induction and Lemma 2.4.

Now we are going to approach how *-cleanness of group rings interacts with direct product with finite elementary abelian 2-groups on the basis group.

We recall the following well-known result.

Proposition 2.6. [PS02, Proposition 3.6.7] Let R be a unital ring and let H be a normal subgroup of G. If $|H| \in \mathcal{U}(R)$, then

$$RG \simeq R(G/H) \oplus \Delta(G, H).$$

Theorem 2.7. Let R be a unital commutative ring such that $2 \in U(R)$, let P_2 is a finite elementary abelian 2-group and $G = H \times P_2$ be a group with an involution * such that $*_{|P_2} = \operatorname{Id}_{P_2}$. If RH is *-clean, then RG is *-clean.

Proof. Note that $G = H \times \underbrace{C_2 \times \cdots \times C_2}_{2}$, where C_2 is the cyclic group of order 2.

We will prove the result for k = 1 and the result easily follows by induction on k. So assume k = 1 hence $P_2 = C_2$.

Note that $C_2 \triangleleft G$ and $|C_2| = 2 \in \mathcal{U}(R)$, thus by Proposition 2.6 we have

 $RG \simeq R(G/C_2) \oplus \Delta(G, C_2) \simeq RH \oplus \Delta(G, C_2).$

Let a be the generator of C_2 , and note that if $\alpha \in \Delta(G, C_2)$ then there exist $\alpha_{hi} \in R$, for i = 0, 1 and $h \in H$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \sum_{h \in H, i=0,1} \alpha_{hi} h a^{i} (1-a) \\ &= \sum_{h \in H} \alpha_{h0} h (1-a) + \sum_{h \in H} \alpha_{h1} h a (1-a) \\ &= \sum_{h \in H} \alpha_{h0} h (1-a) + \sum_{h \in H} \alpha_{h1} h (a-1) \\ &= \sum_{h \in H} \alpha_{h0} h (1-a) - \sum_{h \in H} \alpha_{h1} h (1-a) \\ &= \sum_{h \in H} (\alpha_{h0} - \alpha_{h1}) h (1-a), \end{aligned}$$

from which we can assume, for simplicity, that $\alpha = \frac{r}{2}(1-a)$ with $r = 2\sum_{h \in H} (\alpha_{h0} - \alpha_{h1})h \in$ RH.

Note that $1_{\Delta(G,C_2)} = \frac{1-a}{2}$, since $(1-a)^2 = 2(1-a)$, and thus

$$r(1-a) \cdot \frac{1-a}{2} = r \cdot \frac{2(1-a)}{2} = r(1-a).$$

We will now show that $\Delta(G, C_2)$ is *-clean. Let $\alpha = \frac{r}{2}(1-a) \in \Delta(G, C_2)$, and we will show that α is a *-clean element of $\Delta(G, C_2)$. In fact, from the *-cleanness of RH we know that if $r \in RH$ then r = u + p, with $u \in \mathcal{U}(RH)$ and $p^2 = p = p^*$. Thus, $\alpha = \frac{r}{2}(1-a) = \left(\frac{u}{2} + \frac{p}{2}\right)(1-a)$.

We will show that $\frac{u}{2}(1-a) \in \mathcal{U}(\Delta(G,C_2))$ and that $\left(\frac{p}{2}(1-a)\right)^2 = \frac{p}{2}(1-a) = \left(\frac{p}{2}(1-a)\right)^*$. To show that $\frac{u}{2}(1-a) \in \mathcal{U}(\Delta(G, C_2))$, it suffices to note that

$$\frac{u}{2}(1-a) \cdot \frac{u^{-1}}{2}(1-a) = \frac{uu^{-1}}{4}(1-a)^2 = \frac{1-a}{2}.$$

Finally, note that

$$\left(\frac{p}{2}(1-a)\right)^2 = \frac{p^2}{4}(1-a)^2 = \frac{p}{4} \cdot 2(1-a) = \frac{p}{2}(1-a)$$

and that $\frac{p}{2}(1-a) = \left(\frac{p}{2}(1-a)\right)^*$ follows from the fact that $p^* = p$ and $(1-a)^* = (1-a)$, since $a \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$.

Thus we have that $\Delta(G, C_2)$ is *-clean and by Lemma 2.4 we conclude RG is *-clean.

Corollary 2.8. Let R be a unital ring such that $2 \in \mathcal{U}(R)$, let G be a group and let * be the classical involution. If RG is *-clean, then $R(G \times P_2)$ is *-clean for any finite elementary abelian 2-group P_2 .

Proof. It suffices to note that if * is the classical involution, then $*_{|P_2} = Id_{P_2}$, therefore the result follows from Theorem 2.7.

3 A technical lemma on rings

To prove Theorem A, we will need the following technical lemma, inspired by [L05, p. 77, Exercise 21].

Lemma 3.1. Let S be a unital ring and $p \ge 3$ be an odd natural number. If $g \in S$ is a central element of S such that $g^p = 1$ then

1.

$$\prod_{k=0}^{t} \left(1 + g^{2^k} \right)$$

is a sum of two squares for each $t \ge 0$.

2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that p divides $2^n + 1$. Then there are $\alpha, \beta \in S$ such that

$$\left(\alpha^{2} + \beta^{2} + g^{2^{n}}\right)(g-1) = 0.$$

Proof. We prove item 1 by induction on t. For t = 0, note that

$$1 + g = 1^2 + \left(g^{\frac{p+1}{2}}\right)^2.$$

If the result is true for $t \ge 0$, then there are $a, b \in S$ such that

$$\prod_{k=0}^{t+1} \left(1+g^{2^k}\right) = \left(a^2+b^2\right) \left(1+g^{2^{t+1}}\right) = \left(ag^{2^t}+b\right)^2 + \left(bg^{2^t}-a\right)^2,$$

which proves item 1.

For the proof of item 2, note that by hypothesis $2^n - 1 \equiv p - 2 \mod p$, so there is a natural q such that $2^n - 1 = pq + p - 2$ hence

$$\Pi := \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(1 + g^{2^k} \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n - 1} g^i = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{q-1} g^{pj} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g^i \right) + g^{pq} \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} g^i = q \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g^i + \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} g^i = \left((q+1) \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g^i \right) - g^{p-1}.$$

Since $2^n \equiv p-1 \mod p$ then $g^{2^n} = g^{p-1}$ hence

$$\left(\Pi + g^{2^n}\right)(g-1) = \left(\Pi + g^{p-1}\right)(g-1) = \left[(q+1)\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g^i\right](g-1) = (q+1)(g^p-1) = 0$$

and the result follows from item 1.

4 SLC-groups and Group Rings

We recall a group G is an SLC-group if and only if $G_{\mathbb{Z}(G)}$ is isomorphic to the Klein group. It easily follows that the SLC property is closed for direct product with abelian groups. With that in mind, the following result gives a full description of SLC-groups in terms of presentations which we will freely use.

Theorem 4.1 ([JLPM95]). A group G is a SLC-group if and only if $G = D \times A$, where A is abelian and D is an indecomposable 2-group such that $D = \langle x, y, \mathcal{Z}(D) \rangle$, where D admits one of the following presentations:

1. $D_1 = \langle x, y, a : x^2 = y^2 = a^m = 1 \rangle;$ 2. $D_2 = \langle x, y, a : x^2 = y^2 = a, a^m = 1 \rangle;$ 3. $D_3 = \langle x, y, a, b : x^2 = a^m = b^{m_2} = 1, y^2 = b \rangle;$ 4. $D_4 = \langle x, y, a, b : x^2 = a, a^m = b^{m_2} = 1, y^2 = b \rangle;$ 5. $D_5 = \langle x, y, a, b, c : x^2 = b, y^2 = c, a^m = b^{m_2} = c^{m_3} = 1 \rangle;$

such that $G' = \{1, s\}$ with $s = (x, y) = a^{m/2}$, $m = 2^k$ and $m_i = 2^{k_i}$, being $k, k_i > 0$ for all *i*. For simplicity, we omit in the above presentations the relations $[\{x, y, a, b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}] = 1$, which obviously imply $a, b, c \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$, whenever possible. More precisely, $\mathcal{Z}(G) = \langle a \rangle \times K$ for some abelian group K, which is given below.

- 1. K = A if $D = D_1, D_2;$
- 2. $K = \langle b \rangle \times A$ if $D = D_3, D_4$;
- 3. $K = \langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle \times A$ if $D = D_5$.

If an SLC-group G is isomorphic to $D_i \times A$, as in Theorem 4.1, we will say G is of type i and we are going to freely use the notations of Theorem 4.1 for x, y, a, b, c.

In this section and the next, we will assume G is an SLC-group with $G' = \{1, s\}$, equipped with the canonical involution *, and $\tau = \{1 = t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}$ is a transversal of G over $\mathcal{Z}(G)$, typically $\{1, x, y, xy\}$.

We will also assume R is a commutative unital ring such that $2 \in \mathcal{U}(R)$. Hence RG is a group ring such that $e = \frac{1+s}{2}$, $f = \frac{1-s}{2}$ are orthogonal idempotents of RG, which means $e^2 = e$, $f^2 = f$, ef = fe = 0. Hence $RG = (RG)e \oplus (RG)f$. We also remark e and f are central projections, *i.e.*, projections that belong to the center of RG.

We begin with a technical lemma which is the basis for most of our proofs.

Lemma 4.2. Let R be a ring and G an SLC-group, with the notations and assumptions above. Then

1. Every element $\alpha \in (RG)f$ may be uniquely written as

$$\alpha = \left[\sum_{j=1}^{4} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij}a^{i}\right) t_{j}\right] (1-s), \quad \text{with } x_{ij} \in RK \text{ for all } i, j.$$

2. Given α as above,

$$\alpha^* = \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{i1}a^i - \sum_{j=2}^{4} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij}a^i\right)t_j\right](1-s);$$

3. Given α as above,

$$\alpha = \alpha^* \Leftrightarrow x_{ij} = 0 \quad for \ all \ j \ge 2;$$

4.
$$\operatorname{Proj}((RG)f) = \left\{ d(1-s) \, | \, d = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{i1}a^i \text{ and } d = 2d^2 \right\}.$$

Proof. 1. First we prove the existence. Since K is a transversal for $\langle a \rangle$ in $\mathcal{Z}(G)$, then

$$K\tau = \{kt_j \mid k \in K, 1 \le j \le 4\} \text{ is a transversal for } \langle a \rangle \text{ in } G.$$

$$(4.1)$$

Let $\alpha \in (RG)f$. Then there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n \in K$ such that for each $1 \leq j \leq 4$, $0 \leq i \leq \frac{m}{2} - 1$, $1 \leq \varepsilon \leq n$, $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ there is a $\gamma_{ij\varepsilon\delta} \in R$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \left(\sum_{j,i,\varepsilon,\delta} \gamma_{ji\varepsilon\delta} k_{\varepsilon} t_{j} a^{i} s^{\delta}\right) (1-s) \\ &= \left[\left(\sum_{j,i,\varepsilon} \gamma_{ji\varepsilon0} k_{\varepsilon} t_{j} a^{i}\right) + \left(\sum_{j,i,\varepsilon} \gamma_{ji\varepsilon1} k_{\varepsilon} t_{j} a^{i} s\right) \right] (1-s) \\ &= \left[\left(\sum_{j,i,\varepsilon} \gamma_{ji\varepsilon0} k_{\varepsilon} t_{j} a^{i}\right) - \left(\sum_{j,i,\varepsilon} \gamma_{ji\varepsilon1} k_{\varepsilon} t_{j} a^{i}\right) \right] (1-s) \\ &= \left(\sum_{j,i,\varepsilon} \left(\gamma_{ji\varepsilon0} - \gamma_{ji\varepsilon1}\right) k_{\varepsilon} t_{j} a^{i}\right) (1-s) \\ &= \left[\sum_{j=1}^{4} \left(\sum_{i,\varepsilon} \left(\gamma_{ji\varepsilon0} - \gamma_{ji\varepsilon1}\right) k_{\varepsilon} a^{i}\right) t_{j} \right] (1-s) \\ &= \left[\sum_{j=1}^{4} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} \left(\sum_{\varepsilon=1}^{n} \left(\gamma_{ji\varepsilon0} - \gamma_{ji\varepsilon1}\right) k_{\varepsilon} \right) a^{i} \right) t_{j} \right] (1-s) \\ &= \left[\sum_{j=1}^{4} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij} a^{i} \right) t_{j} \right] (1-s), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the existence.

To prove uniqueness, note that

,

$$\alpha = \left[\sum_{j=1}^{4} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij}a^{i}\right) t_{j}\right] (1-s) = \left[\sum_{j=1}^{4} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij}'a^{i}\right) t_{j}\right] (1-s)$$

implies

$$\left[\sum_{j=1}^{4} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij} a^{i}\right) t_{j}\right] - \left[\sum_{j=1}^{4} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij} a^{i}\right) t_{j}\right] s = \\ = \left[\sum_{j=1}^{4} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij}' a^{i}\right) t_{j}\right] - \left[\sum_{j=1}^{4} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij}' a^{i}\right) t_{j}\right] s.$$

Since $\{t_j a^i s^{\varepsilon} : 1 \le j \le 4, 0 \le i \le \frac{m}{2} - 1, \varepsilon = 0, 1\}$ is a transversal for K in G, we obtain $x_{ij} = x'_{ij}$ for all i, j.

2. Note that $supp(x_{ij}a^i(1-s))$ is central hence invariant by * for all i, j. Then

$$\begin{split} \alpha^{*} &= \left(\left[\sum_{j=1}^{4} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij} a^{i} \right) t_{j} \right] (1-s) \right)^{*} \\ &= \left(\left[\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{i1} a^{i} + \sum_{j=2}^{4} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij} a^{i} \right) t_{j} \right] (1-s) \right)^{*} \\ &= \left(\left[\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{i1} a^{i} \right] (1-s) \right)^{*} + \left(\left[\sum_{j=2}^{4} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij} a^{i} \right) t_{j} \right] (1-s) \right)^{*} \\ &= \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{i1} a^{i} \right] (1-s) + \left[\sum_{j=2}^{4} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij} a^{i} \right) t_{j}^{*} \right] (1-s) \right] \\ &= \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{i1} a^{i} \right] (1-s) + \left[\sum_{j=2}^{4} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij} a^{i} \right) t_{j} \right] (1-s) \right] \\ &= \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{i1} a^{i} \right] (1-s) + \left[\sum_{j=2}^{4} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij} a^{i} \right) (-t_{j}) \right] (1-s) \right] \\ &= \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{i1} a^{i} \right] (1-s) - \left[\sum_{j=2}^{4} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij} a^{i} \right) t_{j} \right] (1-s), \\ &= \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{i1} a^{i} - \sum_{j=2}^{4} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{ij} a^{i} \right) t_{j} \right] (1-s). \end{split}$$

3. It follows from the two previous items.

4. Let
$$\alpha \in \operatorname{Proj}((RG)f)$$
. Then $\alpha = \alpha^*$ implies $\alpha = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} x_{i1}a^i(1-s) = d(1-s)$. Then
 $d(1-s) = \alpha = \alpha^2 = d^2(1-s)^2 = d^22(1-s).$

By the uniqueness of item 1, $d = 2d^2$. The converse follows from the centrality of $\sup(d(1-s))$.

5 Necessary conditions

In this section we prove Theorem A. Our main tool will be the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. Let R be a ring and G be an SLC-group, with the assumptions of Section 4. Suppose there are elements $\gamma, \tau \in RG$ such that:

1.
$$(1 - \gamma^2)\tau(1 - s) = 0;$$

2. $(z - 4^{-1}\gamma)\tau(1 - s) \neq 0$ for all $z \in RZ(G)$.

Then RG is not *-clean.

Proof. Suppose RG is *-clean. By Lemma 2.4, (RG)f is also *-clean.

Let $\gamma, \tau \in RG$ be as in the statement. Then $(1 - \gamma^2)\tau(1 - s) = 0$.

Consider $h = 4^{-1}(1+\gamma)(1-s) \in (RG)f$. By hypothesis, there is a unit $u \in (RG)f$ and a projection $p \in (RG)f$ such that h = u + p.

By Lemma 4.2, item 4, there is $d \in RZ(G)$ such that p = d(1-s), with $2d^2(1-s) = d(1-s)$. Hence

$$u = h - p = ((4^{-1} - d) + 4^{-1}\gamma)(1 - s).$$

Let

$$v := \left(\left(4^{-1} - d \right) - 4^{-1} \gamma \right) \tau (1 - s).$$

By hypothesis, $v \neq 0$ hence

$$uv = 2\left(\left(16^{-1} - 2^{-1}d + d^2\right) - 16^{-1}\gamma^2\right)\right)\tau(1-s)$$

= 8⁻¹(1 - \gamma^2)\tau(1 - s) = 0,

which is a contradiction, since u is a unit and $v \neq 0$.

It follows that (RG)f is not *-clean, which implies RG isn't either, by Lemma 2.4.

Now we are ready to prove the first part of Theorem A.

Lemma 5.2. Let G be an SLC-group and R a ring with the assumptions of Section 4. If RG is *-clean then $G = Q_8 \times A$, with A abelian, such that

- 1. The group A has no elements of order 4.
- 2. If p is a prime and there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that p divides $2^n + 1$ then A has no elements of order p.

Proof. We will use Lemma 5.1 several times to eliminate possibilities, defining different γ and τ for each case. In every case we use the transversal $\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}$ of G over Z(G) as being $\{1, x, y, xy\}$ (cf. Theorem 4.1).

By Theorem 4.1, G is isomorphic to $D_{i_0} \times A$, for some $i_0 \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. Suppose $i_0 = 1$. Take $\gamma = y$ and $\tau = 1$. Then

$$(1 - \gamma^2)\tau(1 - s) = (1 - y^2)(1 - s) = (1 - 1)(1 - s) = 0.$$

By Lemma 5.1, there is $z \in RZ(G)$ such that

$$0 = (z - 4^{-1}\gamma)\tau(1 - s) = (z - 4^{-1}y)(1 - s).$$

It follows from the uniqueness part of Lemma 4.2 that $-4^{-1} = 0$, a contradiction. Suppose now $i_0 \in \{3, 4, 5\}$. Take $\gamma = y$ and $\tau = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{r}{2}-1} y^{2i}$, where $r \ge 4$ is the order of y. Then

$$(1 - \gamma^2)\tau(1 - s) = (1 - y^2) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{r}{2} - 1} y^{2i}\right) (1 - s)$$
$$= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{r}{2} - 1} y^{2i} - \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{r}{2}} y^{2i}\right) (1 - s)$$
$$= (1 - y^r)(1 - s) = (1 - 1)(1 - s) = 0.$$

By Lemma 5.1 there is $z \in RZ(G)$ such that

$$0 = (z - 4^{-1}\gamma)\tau(1 - s)$$

= $(z - 4^{-1}y)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{r}{2}-1}y^{2i}\right)(1 - s)$
= $\left[z\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{r}{2}-1}y^{2i}\right) + y\left(-4^{-1}\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{r}{2}-1}y^{2i}\right)\right](1 - s).$

Note that $z \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{r}{2}-1} y^{2i} \in RZ(G)$ and, by construction, the powers $y^{2i} \neq 1$, for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{r}{2}-1$, belong to $\langle b \rangle$ or $\langle c \rangle$, never to $\langle a \rangle$. Then $4^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{r}{2}-1} y^{2i}$ belongs to RK. It follows that, by the uniqueness part of Lemma 4.2,

$$-4^{-1}\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{i}{2}-1}y^{2i} = 0,$$

which is a contradiction, since each power y^{2i} , for $0 \le i \le \frac{r}{2} - 1$, is a different element of the group G.

That means $i_0 = 2$. Suppose $m \ge 4$. Take $\gamma = xya^{\frac{m-4}{4}}$ e $\tau = 1$. We have

$$(1 - \gamma^2)\tau(1 - s) = \left(1 - \left(xya^{\frac{m-4}{4}}\right)^2\right)(1 - s)$$

= $\left(1 - x^2y^2sa^{\frac{m-4}{2}}\right)(1 - s)$
= $\left(1 - a^2sa^{\frac{m-4}{2}}\right)(1 - s)$
= $\left(1 - sa^{\frac{m}{2}}\right)(1 - s)$
= $\left(1 - s^2\right)(1 - s) = (1 - 1)(1 - s) = 0.$

By Lemma 5.1, there is $z \in RZ(G)$ such that

$$0 = (z - 4^{-1}\gamma)\tau(1 - s) = (z + xy\left(-4^{-1}a^{\frac{m-4}{4}}\right))(1 - s).$$

By the uniqueness part of Lemma 4.2, we have $-4^{-1}a^{\frac{m-4}{4}} = 0$, a contradiction. Then $i_0 = 2$ and m = 2, which means $G \simeq Q_8 \times A$, for some abelian group A.

For the proof of item 1, suppose A has an element of order 4, which we denote by $g \in A$. Take $\gamma = xg$ and $\tau = 1 - g^2$. Then

$$(1 - \gamma^2)\tau(1 - s) = (1 - (xg)^2)(1 - g^2)(1 - s)$$

= $(1 - x^2g^2)(1 - g^2)(1 - s)$
= $(1 + g^2)(1 - g^2)(1 - s)$
= $(1 - g^4)(1 - s) = 0(1 - s) = 0.$

By Lemma 5.1, there is $z \in RZ(G)$ such that

$$0 = (z - 4^{-1}\gamma)\tau(1 - s)$$

= $(z - 4^{-1}xg)(1 - g^2)(1 - s)$
= $[z(1 - g^2) + x(-4^{-1}(1 - g^2))](1 - s)$

By the uniqueness part of Lemma 4.2, we have $-4^{-1}(1-g^2) = 0$, which is a contradiction, since g has order 4.

Finally, for the proof of item 2, suppose $g \in A$ has order p as in the statement. Since S = RA, p, g satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma 3.1, then there are $\alpha, \beta \in RA \leq Z(RG)$ such that

$$\left(\alpha^{2} + \beta^{2} + g^{2^{n}}\right)(g-1) = 0.$$
(5.1)

Take $\gamma = \alpha g^{2^{n-1}+1}x + \beta g^{2^{n-1}+1}y$ and $\tau = g - 1$. Then

$$(1 - \gamma^2)\tau(1 - s) = \left[1 - \left(\alpha^2 g^{2^n + 2} x^2 + \alpha\beta g^{2^n + 2} xy + \alpha\beta g^{2^n + 2} yx + \beta^2 g^{2^n + 2} y^2\right)\right](g - 1)(1 - s)$$

= $\left[1 + g(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\right](g - 1)(1 - s)$
= $\left[g^{2^n + 1} + g(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\right](g - 1)(1 - s)$
= $g\left[g^{2^n} + \alpha^2 + \beta^2\right](g - 1)(1 - s) = 0.$

By Lemma 5.1, there is $z \in RZ(G)$ such that

$$0 = (z - 4^{-1}\gamma)\tau(1 - s)$$

= $\left[z - 4^{-1}\left(\alpha x g^{2^{n-1}+1} + \beta y g^{2^{n-1}+1}\right)\right](g - 1)(1 - s)$
= $\left[z(g - 1) - 4^{-1}\alpha g^{2^{n-1}+1}(g - 1)x - 4^{-1}\beta g^{2^{n-1}+1}(g - 1)y\right](1 - s)$

The uniqueness part of Lemma 4.2 implies

$$4^{-1}\alpha g^{2^{n-1}+1}(g-1) = 4^{-1}\beta g^{2^{n-1}+1}(g-1) = 0$$

hence $\alpha(g - 1) = \beta(g - 1) = 0.$

Combining the above with (5.1), we obtain $1-g^{2^n} = g^{2^n}(g-1) = 0$, which is a contradiction since $2^n \equiv -1 \mod p$.

Then Theorem A follows from the next Lemma, inspired by [GCL15, Theorem 3.8].

Lemma 5.3. Let R be a ring and $G = Q_8 \times A$, with A being an abelian group, and with the assumptions of Section 4. If the equation $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 = 1$ has a solution in R then RG is not *-clean.

Proof. Let $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in R$ be such that

$$a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 + 1 = 0.$$

Note that a_i may not be all equal to zero. Suppose RG is *-clean. We are going to use Lemma 5.1 to find a contradiction. We recall G is of type 2 with $D_2 = Q_8$, hence $x^2 = y^2 = (xy)^2 = s$ and xy = yxs. Let

$$\gamma := 2^{-1}(a_1x + a_2y + a_3xy)(1-s) \in RG$$

and $\tau = 1 \in RG$.

Then

$$\gamma^{2} = 4^{-1} \left(\left(a_{1}x + a_{2}y + a_{3}xy \right)^{2} \right) \left(1 - s \right)^{2}$$

= 4⁻¹ $\left[\left(a_{1}^{2} + a_{2}^{2} + a_{3}^{2} \right) s \right] 2(1 - s)$
= 4⁻¹ $\cdot 2[(-1)s](1 - s) = 2^{-1}(1 - s).$

Hence

$$(1 - \gamma^2) \tau (1 - s) = 2^{-1} (1 + s)(1 - s) = 0.$$

By Lemma 5.1 there is $z \in RZ(G)$ such that

$$0 = (z - 4^{-1}\gamma)\tau(1 - s) = (z - 4^{-1}a_1x - 4^{-1}a_2y - 4^{-1}a_3xy)(1 - s).$$

Now the uniqueness part of Lemma 4.2 implies $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$, which is a contradiction.

We finish this section with a simple corollary.

Corollary 5.4. If G is an SLC-group with canonical involution *, $\mathbb{C}G$ is not *-clean.

Proof. Since the equation $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + 1 = 0$ has a solution in \mathbb{C} , namely (X, Y, Z) = (i, 0, 0), then the result follows from Theorem A.

6 Sufficient conditions

In this section we investigate sufficient conditions for clean group rings in the pattern of Theorem A to be *-clean. Before our results, we present the theorem that inspired this work.

Theorem 6.1. [GCL15, Theorem 3.8] Let R be a unital commutative ring such that $2 \in \mathcal{U}(R)$ and let * be the canonical involution on the SLC-group Q_8 (which coincides with the inversion). Then

- 1. If RQ_8 is *-clean then RQ_8 is clean and the equation $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + 1 = 0$ has no solutions in R.
- 2. If R is local, RQ_8 is clean and the equation $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + 1 = 0$ has no solutions in R, then RQ_8 is *-clean.

We remark that in the original version of the above theorem R is local in both itens, but the proof of the first item does not use locality. Our goal in this section is to generalize Theorem 6.1 as much as possible to other SLC-groups, possibly adding hypothesis on R.

If A is an elementary abelian 2-group, the result follows easily from Section 2, as we can see below. It is worth noting that in this case the canonical SLC involution coincides with the classical one.

Proof of Theorem B. If RG is *-clean, it is obviously clean and the equation $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + 1 = 0$ has no solution in R by Theorem A.

Suppose RG is clean and the above equation has no solution in R. By definition we have $*_{|P_2} = Id_{P_2}$. Hence the result follows from Theorems 6.1 and 2.7 for $H = Q_8$.

The converse of Theorem 6.1 may also be easily extended to applying direct sums on the coefficients.

Theorem 6.2. Let R be a unital commutative ring such that $2 \in \mathcal{U}(R)$ and $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} R_i$ with R_i local for all i. If RQ_8 is clean and the equation $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + 1 = 0$ has no solution in any R_j then RQ_8 is *-clean.

Proof. Note that $RQ_8 = (\bigoplus_{i=1}^k R_i)Q_8 \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^k (R_iQ_8)$. Then by Proposition 2.2 R_iQ_8 is clean hence *-clean for all *i* by Theorem 6.1. By applying Lemma 2.4, we obtain RQ_8 is *-clean.

We may also easily obtain a first generalization for semisimple coefficients rings.

Theorem 6.3. Let R be a unital commutative ring such that $2 \in \mathcal{U}(R)$ and $G = Q_8 \times A$, with A being a finite abelian group. If R is semisimple, $|G| \in \mathcal{U}(R)$, RG is clean and the equation $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + 1 = 0$ has no solution in any of the simple components of RA then RG is *-clean.

Proof. First note that $|G| \in \mathcal{U}(R)$ implies $|A| \in \mathcal{U}(R)$ hence, since R is semisimple, by Maschke's Theorem we conclude RA is semisimple. That means $RA = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{F}_{i}$, with \mathbb{F}_{i} begin a field for all *i*. Since every field is local,

$$RG \simeq (RA)Q_8 \simeq (\bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{F}_i)Q_8$$

and by hypothesis the equation $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + 1$ has no solution in any of the fields \mathbb{F}_i , Theorem 6.2 implies RG is *-clean.

For a deeper investigation we need the following result.

Theorem 6.4. [Z10, Corollary 9] If R is a commutative local ring such that J(R) is nil and G is a locally finite group, then RG is clean.

Theorem 6.5. Let \mathbb{F} be a field and Let $G = Q_8 \times A$, where A is a finite abelian group and * is its canonical involution as an SLC-group. Suppose also that $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F})$ does not divide |G|. Then $\mathbb{F}G$ is *-clean if and only if the equation $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + 1 = 0$ has no solution in $\mathbb{F}(\zeta_d)$, where ζ_d is a d-th primitive root of 1, for each $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that A has an element of order d.

Proof. First note that by Perlis-Walker Theorem (cf. [PS02, Theorem 3.5.4]]) we have

$$\mathbb{F}A \simeq \bigoplus_{d} a_d \mathbb{F}(\zeta_d),$$

where ζ_d is a *d*-th primitive root of 1, with n = |A| and $a_d \in \mathbb{N}$ for each $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that A has an element of order *d*.

 (\Rightarrow) Suppose $\mathbb{F}G$ is *-clean. Since G is finite and $J(\mathbb{F}) = \{0\}$, Theorem 6.4 implies $\mathbb{F}G$ is clean.

Since $\mathbb{F}G \simeq \mathbb{F}(Q_8 \times A) \simeq (\mathbb{F}A)Q_8$ and in Q_8 the classical involution coincide with the standard involution of Q_8 , by Lemma 2.1 we have $(\mathbb{F}A)Q_8$ is o-clean, where \circ is the classical involution of Q_8 .

 \mathbf{So}

$$(\mathbb{F}A)Q_8 \simeq \bigoplus_{d|n} a_d(\mathbb{F}(\zeta_d)Q_8)$$

and by Proposition 2.5, each $\mathbb{F}(\zeta_d)Q_8$ is o-clean.

Applying Theorem 6.1 to each $\mathbb{F}(\zeta_d)Q_8$, we have that the equation $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + 1 = 0$ has no solution in $\mathbb{F}(\zeta_d)$.

(\Leftarrow) Since the simple components of $\mathbb{F}A$ are the fields $\mathbb{F}(\zeta_d)$, by applying Theorem 6.3 we conclude $\mathbb{F}G$ is *-clean.

Now we can prove Theorem C.

Proof of Theorem C. First note that $RG \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbb{F}_{i}G)$ and the restriction $*_{i}$ of * to $\mathbb{F}_{i}G$ is an involution of $\mathbb{F}_{i}G$. By Proposition 2.5 RG is *-clean if and only if each $\mathbb{F}_{i}G$ is $*_{i}$ -clean. The result follows from applying Theorem 6.5 to each $\mathbb{F}_{i}G$.

If we consider rational coefficients, we may use quadratic forms theory to achieve some extra conclusions. We recall that the *level* $s(\mathbb{F})$ of a field \mathbb{F} is the smallest natural number n such that -1 is a sum of n squares in F. If -1 is not a sum of squares, then $s(\mathbb{F}) = \infty$. By the Pfister Level Theorem, $s(\mathbb{F})$ is always ∞ or a power of 2 (*cf.* [L05, p. 379]). For the specific case of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$, where p is an odd prime and ζ_p is a p-th primitive root of 1, we have

$$s(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } p \equiv 3, 5 \mod 8\\ 4, & \text{if } p \equiv 7 \mod 8\\ 2 \text{ or } 4, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \mod 8. \end{cases}$$

- Proof of Corollary A. 1. Since $s(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)) = 2$ then the equation $X^2 + Y^2 = -1$ has a solution in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ hence, by making Z = 0, $\mathbb{Q}G$ is not *-clean by Theorem A.
 - 2. Since $s(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)) = 4$, then the equation $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + 1 = 0$ has no solutions in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$. Since every element of C_p has order p, the result follows from Theorem 6.5.

We finish with an interesting application of Corollary A. The following result may be proven by using the law of quadratic reciprocity, but we give a different proof.

Corollary 6.6. Let p be a prime number. If $p \equiv 7 \mod 8$ then there is no $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that p divides $2^n + 1$.

Proof. Suppose there is such n and let $G = A \times C_p$. By Corollary A, $\mathbb{Q}G$ is *-clean, but that contradicts Theorem A.

Acknowledgments: This research was partially supported by FINAPESQ-UEFS, Brazil.

References

- [AC02] ANDERSON, D. D.; CAMILLO, V. P. Commutative Rings Whose Elements are a Sum of a Unit and Idempotent. Communications in Algebra, v. 30, n. 7, p.3327-3336, 2002.
- [BP06] BROCHE CRISTO, O.; POLCINO MILIES, C. Symmetric elements under orientated involutions in group rings, *Communications in Algebra*, v. 34, n. 9, p. 3347-3356, 2006.
- [GCL15] GAO, Y.; CHEN, J.; LI, Y. Some *-Clean Group Rings. Algebra Colloquium, [S.L.], v. 22, n. 01, p. 169-180, 7 jan. 2015.
- [CV20] CASTILLO, J. H.; HOLGUÍN-VILLA, A. Normal group algebras, Communications in Algebra, v. 48, n. 10, p. 4391–4402, 2020.
- [GJP96] GOODAIRE, E. G.; JESPERS, E.; POLCINO MILIES, C. Alternative Loop Rings, Elsevier, 1996.
- [GP13a] GOODAIRE, E. G.; POLCINO MILIES, C. Involutions and Anticommutativity in Group Rings. Canadian Mathematical Bulletin, v. 52, n. 2, p. 344-353, 2013.
- [GP13b] GOODAIRE, E. G.; POLCINO MILIES, C. Oriented Involutions and Skewsymmetric Elements in Group Rings. Journal of Algebra and Its Applications, v. 12, n. 1, 2013.

- [GP14] GOODAIRE, E. G.; POLCINO MILIES, C. Oriented Group Involutions and Anticommutativity in Group Rings. *Communications in Algebra*, v. 42, n. 4, p. 1657-1667, 2014.
- [HLT15] Huang, H.; LI, Y; Tang, G. On *-Clean Non-Commutative Group Rings, Journal of Algebra and its Application, 2015.
- [HLY15] HUANG, H.; LI, Y.; YUAN, P. On *-Clean Group Rings II, Communications in Algebra, 2015.
- [JLPM95] JESPERS, E.; LEAL, G.; POLCINO MILIES, C. Classifying Indecomposable RA Loops, Journal of Algebra, 1995.
- [JM06] Jespers, E.; Ruiz Marín, M. On symmetric elements and symmetric units in group rings, Communications in Algebra, v. 34, n. 2, p. 727-736, 2006.
- [L05] T. Y. Lam, Introduction to Quadratic Forms over Fields, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2005.
- [LPY15] LI, Y; PARMENTER, M. M.; YUAN, P. On *-Clean Group Rings, Journal of Algebra and its Application, 2015.
- [LSS09] LEE, G. T.; SEHGAL, S. K.; SPINELLI, E. Lie properties of symmetric elements in group rings II, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, v. 213, n. 6, p. 1173-1178, 2009.
- [N77] NICHOLSON, W.K. Lifting idempotents and exchange rings., Transactions of the American Mathematical Society v. 229, p. 269-278, 1977.
- [PM22] POLCINO MILIES, C. Units of group rings and a conjecture of H. J. Zassenhaus, São Paulo J. Math. Sci. v.16, p.43–61, 2022
- [PS02] POLCINO MILIES, C.; SEHGAL, S. K. An Introduction to Group Rings, Dordrecht Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2002.
- [PT20] PETIT LOBÃO, T.C.; TONUCCI, E. L. Anticommutativity of symetric elements under generalized oriented involutions, Communications in Algebra, v.48, n.5, p. 1882-1893, 2020.
- [V10] L. Vaš, *-Clean rings; some clean and almost clean Baer *-rings and von Neumann algebras J. Algebra 324, 2010, 3388-3400.
- [Z10] Y.Q. Zhou, On clean group rings, Advances in Ring Theory, eds. D.V. Huynh and S.R. Lopez-Permouth, Trends in Mathematics, Birkh~auser, Basel, 2010, pp. 335-345.