

Positive determinacy of h-Shuhan matrices with $h < 2$

Weicai Wu* and Mingxuan Yang

Abstract

In this paper, we define h-Shuhan matrix, which is the generalization of the generalized Cartan matrix, and find the h-Shuhan matrices for all positive semi-definite (or generalized positive semi-definite, virtual positive semi-definite) with $h < 2$. Furthermore, we know that the largest eigenvalue of the matrix \hat{B}_n^h increases with n , but it is always less than h plus a constant $\epsilon \approx 2.04998$.

Key words: positive semi-definite; Lie algebras; Cartan matrix.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A15; 65F40.

1 Introduction

Generalized cartan matrices play a central role in the classification of finite-dimensional complex semisimple Lie algebras and in the construction of the Kac-Moody algebra. The determinants of Cartan matrices are all greater than 0. If we replace the 2's on the main diagonal of a Cartan matrix with positive real numbers respectively, what should be the smallest of these numbers? We found all these smallest positive real numbers and expressed them explicitly.

Let $\mathbb{R} := \{x|x \text{ is a real number}\}$. $X_{\geq} := \{x|X \subset \mathbb{R}, x \in X, x \geq 0\}$. $X_{>} := X_{\geq} \setminus \{0\}$. $\mathbb{Z} := \{x|x \text{ is an integer}\}$. $\mathbb{N} =: Z_{>}$. $\mathbb{Q} := \{x|x \text{ is a rational number}\}$. \mathbb{S}_n denotes symmetric group, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Throughout this paper $H = (h_{ij})_{n \times n}$ and $h_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ without special announcement. For any matrix H , $|H|$ is the determinant of H . Let H_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m} denotes the algebraic cofactors after removing the i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m rows, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m columns of matrix H ; $\tilde{H}_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m}$ represents the submatrix at the intersection of rows i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m and columns i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m of matrix H , without changing their position order in H , where $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Generalized Dynkin diagrams, generalized Cartan matrix and the other notations are the same as in [Hu72],[Ka90]. Let $\mathbb{V}_n := \{x|x \text{ is a column vector in } \mathbb{R} \text{ of order } n\}, I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Definition 1.1. *The matrix H is called virtual positive semi-definite if $|\tilde{H}_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m}| \geq 0$, where $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. The matrix H is called generalized positive semi-definite if $x'Hx \geq 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{V}_n$.*

The symbol \geq in the above definition is replaced by $>$, and the corresponding virtual positive semi-definite and generalized positive semi-definite are called virtual positive-definite

*Weicai Wu was supported by the Project of Improving the Basic Scientific Research Ability of Young and Middle-aged College Teachers in Guangxi (Grant No: 2024KY0069).

and generalized positive-definite, respectively. If $H' = H$, then H is virtual positive semi-definite if and only if H is generalized positive semi-definite, moreover, H is positive semi-definite. Let $\hat{H} = \frac{H+H'}{2}$.

Lemma 1.2. *The matrix H is generalized positive semi-definite if and only if \hat{H} is positive semi-definite.*

Proof. The only if part is clear, since $\hat{H}' = \hat{H}$ and $x'\hat{H}x = x'\frac{H+H'}{2}x = \frac{1}{2}(x'Hx + x'H'x) = \frac{1}{2}(x'Hx + (x'Hx)') \geq 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{V}_n$. We know $\frac{H-H'}{2}$ is an anti-symmetric matrix, then $x'\frac{H-H'}{2}x = 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{V}_n$, so $x'Hx = x'\frac{H+H'}{2}x + x'\frac{H-H'}{2}x \geq 0$. \square

Lemma 1.3. *Assume that T is an anti-symmetric matrix of order n .*

(i) *If H is a positive-definite matrix, then $|H + T| \geq |H|$, and $|H + T| = |H|$ if and only if $T = 0$.*

(ii) *If H is a positive semi-definite matrix, then $|H + T| \geq |H|$.*

Proof. (i) Since H is positive-definite, there exists an invertible matrix P such that $P'HP = E_n$. Clearly $P'TP$ is also an anti-symmetric matrix, so there exists an orthogonal matrix Q such that $Q'P'TPQ = \text{diag}\left\{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_1 \\ -t_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_s \\ -t_s & 0 \end{pmatrix}, 0, \dots, 0\right\}$ and $Q'P'HPQ = E_n$. We obtain $|H + T| \geq |H|$ since $|Q'P'(H + T)PQ| = |\text{diag}\left\{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & t_1 \\ -t_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t_s \\ -t_s & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1, \dots, 1\right\}| = (1+t_1^2) \cdots (1+t_s^2) \geq 1 = |Q'P'HPQ|$, the equal sign holds if and only if $t_1, \dots, t_s = 0$, i.e. $T = 0$.

(ii) If H is positive semi-definite, then $H + tE_n$ is positive-definite for $t \in \mathbb{R}_>$ and $|H + tE_n + T| \geq |H + tE_n|$ by (i). Let $t \rightarrow 0^+$, we obtain $|H + T| \geq |H|$. \square

Proposition 1.4. *If H is generalized positive semi-definite, then*

(i) $\tilde{H}_{1,2,\dots,s}, s \in I$ *are generalized positive semi-definite.*

(ii) H *is virtual positive semi-definite. The reverse is not true.*

Proof. (i) Let $x = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $H = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{H}_{1,2,\dots,s} & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$, then $x'Hx = y'\tilde{H}_{1,2,\dots,s}y \geq 0$.

(ii) We have $\hat{H} = \frac{H+H'}{2}$ is positive semi-definite by Lemma 1.2, then $\tilde{\hat{H}}_{i_1,\dots,i_m}$ is positive semi-definite since $\tilde{\hat{H}}_{i_1,\dots,i_m} = \frac{\tilde{H}_{i_1,\dots,i_m} + \tilde{H}'_{i_1,\dots,i_m}}{2}$. Thus $|\tilde{\hat{H}}_{i_1,\dots,i_m}| = \left| \frac{\tilde{H}_{i_1,\dots,i_m} + \tilde{H}'_{i_1,\dots,i_m}}{2} + \frac{\tilde{H}_{i_1,\dots,i_m} - \tilde{H}'_{i_1,\dots,i_m}}{2} \right| \geq \left| \frac{\tilde{H}_{i_1,\dots,i_m} + \tilde{H}'_{i_1,\dots,i_m}}{2} \right| = |\tilde{T}_{i_1,\dots,i_m}| \geq 0$, where $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_m \leq n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $H = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -\frac{7}{2} \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, and there exists $x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{V}_2$ such that $x'Hx = -\frac{1}{2}$, but H is virtual positive-definite matrix. \square

Remark 1.5. (i) *Assume that H is generalized positive semi-definite. If T is generalized positive semi-definite, then $H + T$ is generalized positive semi-definite. Specifically, if $\lambda > 0$, then $H + \lambda E$ is generalized positive-definite.*

(ii) *Replacing generalized positive semi-definite with virtual positive semi-definite in (i), it is possible that the conclusion is not valid. For example, $H = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -\frac{7}{2} \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, T = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -\frac{7}{2} & 2 \end{pmatrix}$.*

Set $H_\sigma := (h_{\sigma(i),\sigma(j)})_{n \times n}$ and $x'_\sigma := (x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)})$ if $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$ and $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Lemma 1.6. *For any $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$, then*

(i) $|H| = |H_\sigma|$;

(ii) *the matrix H is virtual positive semi-definite if and only if H_σ is virtual positive semi-definite;*

(iii) *the matrix H is generalized positive semi-definite if and only if H_σ is generalized positive semi-definite.*

Proof. (i) All $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$ can be written as the product of transpositions $(i, j) \in \mathbb{S}_n$. $|H_{(i,j)}|$ is obtained by swapping the i -th and j -th rows of $|H|$, as well as swapping the i -th and j -th columns, then $|H_{(i,j)}| = |H|$.

(ii) If H_σ is virtual positive semi-definite, then $|(\tilde{H}_\sigma)_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m}| \geq 0$ for all j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m with $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_m \leq n, m \in I$. For $\forall i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k$ with $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n, k \in I$, there exists $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$ and j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k such that $|\tilde{H}_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}| = |(\tilde{H}_\sigma)_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k}|$ with $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k \leq n$. The only if part is similar.

(iii) If H is generalized positive semi-definite, then $x'Hx \geq 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{V}_n$. For any $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$, we have $H_\sigma = T'HT$, T is a permutation matrix, and $x'H_\sigma x = x'T'HTx = (Tx)'H(Tx) \geq 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{V}_n$. The if part is similar. \square

Proposition 1.7. *The matrix H is virtual positive semi-definite if and only if $\tilde{H}_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-1}}, 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{n-1} \leq n$ are virtual positive semi-definite and $|H| \geq 0$.*

Proof. Since any m order submatrix of H must be an m order submatrix of some $\tilde{H}_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-1}}$ for $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$, the conclusion holds. \square

Definition 1.8. *The matrix H is called h-Shuhan matrix if it satisfies the following conditions:*

(S1) $h_{ii} = h$ for $h \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq}, i = 1, \dots, n$;

(S2) $h_{ij} \leq 0$ for $h_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}, i \neq j$;

(S3) $h_{ij} = h_{ji}$ or $h_{ij} < h_{ji} = -1$ for $i \neq j$.

If H is a h-Shuhan matrix, then $\tilde{H}_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m}, H_\sigma$ are h-Shuhan matrices with $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq n, \sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$ and $H + (2 - h)E$ is a generalized Cartan matrix. [WLX22] and [WZ25] give the generalized Shuhan diagrams corresponding to all 2-Shuhan matrices that are not positive-definite, but positive semi-definite.

2 Positive-definite of h-Shuhan matrices

Lemma 2.1. (i) *Set $|H_{1,2,\dots,n}| = 1$, then $|H + \lambda \sum_{l=1}^k E_{ll}| = |H| + \sum_{l=1}^k \lambda^l \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l \leq k} |H_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l}|$ for any $k \in I$.*

(ii) $|H + \lambda E| = |H| + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \lambda^l \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l \leq n-1} |H_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l}| + \lambda^n$.

Proof. We show this by induction on k . If $k = 1$, $|H + \lambda E_{11}| = |H| + \lambda |H_{11}|$. Assume $k > 1$. See $|H + \lambda \sum_{l=1}^k E_{ll}| = |H + \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} E_{ll}| + \lambda |H_k| + \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} |E_{ll}|$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= |H| + \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \lambda^l \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l \leq k-1} |H_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l}| + \lambda \{ |H_k| + \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \lambda^l \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l \leq k-1} |H_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l, k}| \} \\
&\hspace{15em} \text{(by inductive hypothesis)} \\
&= |H| + \lambda \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq k-1} |H_{i_1}| + \lambda |A_k| + \lambda^2 \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq k-1} |H_{i_1, i_2}| + \lambda^2 \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq k-1} |H_{i_1, k}| \\
&\quad + \dots + \lambda^{k-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{k-1} \leq k-1} |H_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}}| + \lambda^{k-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{k-2} \leq k-1} |H_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-2}, k}| \\
&\hspace{15em} + \lambda^k \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{k-1} \leq k-1} |H_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}, k}| \\
&= |H| + \sum_{l=1}^k \lambda^l \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l \leq k} |H_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l}| \text{ since } 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-1} \leq k-1 \Leftrightarrow 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < \\
&i_k \leq k, \text{ where } i_k = k. \hspace{15em} \square
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.2. *If H is virtual positive semi-definite and λ is the eigenvalue of H , then $\lambda \geq 0$.*

Proof. Assuming λ is the eigenvalue of H and $\lambda < 0$, let $\lambda_1 = -\lambda > 0$. By definition of virtual positive semi-definite, we have $|H| \geq 0, |H_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l}| \geq 0$ for all i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l with $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l \leq n-1$, then $|H + \lambda_1 E| = |H| + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \lambda_1^l \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l \leq n-1} |H_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l}| + \lambda_1^n > 0$ since Lemma 2.1, which contradicts to that $|H - \lambda E| = |H + \lambda_1 E| = 0$. \square

Lemma 2.3. (See [Ka90, Chapter.4]) *If H is an indecomposable generalized Cartan matrix, then H is affine if and only if it is degenerate and all its principal minors are nonnegative.*

Proposition 2.4. (i) *Generalized Cartan matrix H is virtual positive semi-definite if and only if H is of finite and affine type.*

(ii) *If $\lambda > 0$ and H is virtual positive semi-definite, then $H + \lambda E$ is virtual positive-definite, furthermore, if $h < 2$ and H is virtual positive semi-definite, then $H + (2 - h)E$ is of finite type.*

(iii) *If $h > 2$ and $H + (2 - h)E$ is of finite or affine type, then H is virtual positive-definite.*

Proof. (i) It is clear by [Ka90, Prop.4.7(a)(b)] and Lemma 2.3.

(ii) By definition of virtual positive semi-definite, we have $|H| \geq 0$ and $|H_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l}| \geq 0$ for all i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l with $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l \leq n-1$, then $|H + \lambda E| = |H| + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \lambda^l \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l \leq n-1} |H_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l}| + \lambda^n > 0$ since Lemma 2.1.

(iii) It is clear by (ii). \square

3 Positive semi-definite of h-Shuhan matrices with $h < 2$

In the following content of this section $H = (h_{ij})_{n \times n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ is an indecomposable h-Shuhan matrix, $h_{ii} = h \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq}$ and $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$ without special announcement.

Denote the finite or affine generalized Cartan matrices by S , respectively, and write $S^h :=$

$S + (h - 2)E, s^h := |S^h|, \hat{s}^h := |\hat{S}^h|$. Let $a_0^h := 1$, we have

$$\begin{cases} a_1^h = h, a_2^h = h^2 - 1, b_2^h = h^2 - 2. \\ a_n^h = ha_{n-1}^h - a_{n-2}^h, n \geq 3. \\ b_n^h = ha_{n-1}^h - 2a_{n-2}^h = a_n^h - a_{n-2}^h, n \geq 3. \\ d_n^h = h(a_{n-1}^h - a_{n-3}^h) = hb_{n-1}^h, n \geq 4. \\ e_j^h = hd_{j-1}^h - a_{j-2}^h = (h^2 - 1)a_{j-2}^h - h^2a_{j-4}^h, j = 6, 7, 8. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.1. *If $h \neq 2$, then $a_n^h = \frac{1}{\sqrt{h^2-4}}[(\frac{h+\sqrt{h^2-4}}{2})^{n+1} - (\frac{h-\sqrt{h^2-4}}{2})^{n+1}]$.*

Proof. By $a_n^h = ha_{n-1}^h - a_{n-2}^h$, consider its characteristic equation $x^2 - hx + 1 = 0$, the solution is $x = \frac{h \pm \sqrt{h^2-4}}{2}$, then $a_n^h = k(\frac{h+\sqrt{h^2-4}}{2})^n + l(\frac{h-\sqrt{h^2-4}}{2})^n$. Substitute into $n = 1, 2$, then $k = \frac{\sqrt{h^2-4}+h}{2\sqrt{h^2-4}}, l = \frac{\sqrt{h^2-4}-h}{2\sqrt{h^2-4}}$, simplify and complete the proof. \square

Lemma 3.2. *If $0 \leq h < 2$, then (i) $a_n^h = \frac{\sin(n+1)\theta}{\sin\theta}, b_n^h = 2\cos n\theta, d_n^h = 4\cos\theta\cos(n-1)\theta, 0 < \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$.*

(ii) $a_n^h \geq 0$ if and only if $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{n+1}, n \geq 1, b_n^h \geq 0$ if and only if $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{2n}, n \geq 2, d_n^h \geq 0$ if and only if $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{2(n-1)}, n \geq 4$.

Proof. (i) Since $(\frac{h}{2})^2 + (\frac{\sqrt{4-h^2}}{2})^2 = 1$ and $0 \leq h < 2$, set $\cos\theta = \frac{h}{2}, \sin\theta = \frac{\sqrt{4-h^2}}{2}, 0 < \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$. Using the Euler formula, it is obtained that $a_n^h = \frac{1}{2i\sin\theta}[(\cos\theta + i\sin\theta)^{n+1} - (\cos\theta - i\sin\theta)^{n+1}] = \frac{1}{2i\sin\theta}[\cos(n+1)\theta + i\sin(n+1)\theta - (\cos(n+1)\theta - i\sin(n+1)\theta)] = \frac{\sin(n+1)\theta}{\sin\theta}$. $b_n^h = a_n^h - a_{n-2}^h = \frac{\sin(n+1)\theta}{\sin\theta} - \frac{\sin(n-1)\theta}{\sin\theta} = 2\cos n\theta$.

(ii) If $a_n^h \geq 0$, then $\cos\theta = \frac{h}{2} \geq \cos\frac{\pi}{n+1}$ since $0 \leq (n+1)\theta \leq \pi, 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{n+1}$. If $b_n^h \geq 0$, then $\cos\theta = \frac{h}{2} \geq \cos\frac{\pi}{2n}$ since $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq n\theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{2n} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2n}$. If $d_n^h \geq 0$, then $d_n^h \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow b_{n-1}^h \geq 0$ by $d_n^h = hb_{n-1}^h$, and it is proved. \square

Proposition 3.3. (i) A_n^h is positive semi-definite if and only if $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{n+1}, n \geq 1$.

(ii) B_n^h is virtual positive semi-definite if and only if $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{2n}, n \geq 2$.

(iii) D_n^h is positive semi-definite if and only if $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{2(n-1)}, n \geq 4$.

(iv) E_6^h is positive semi-definite if and only if $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{12}$.

(v) E_7^h is positive semi-definite if and only if $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{18}$.

(vi) E_8^h is positive semi-definite if and only if $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{30}$.

(vii) F_4^h is virtual positive semi-definite if and only if $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{12}$.

(viii) G_2^h is virtual positive semi-definite if and only if $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{6}$.

Proof. (i) A_n^h is positive semi-definite if and only if $a_k^h \geq 0, 1 \leq k \leq n$.

(ii) B_n^h is virtual positive semi-definite if and only if $a_{k-1}^h \geq 0, b_k^h \geq 0, 2 \leq k \leq n$, i.e. $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{k}$ and $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{2k}, k \geq 2$ simultaneously established. Then $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{2n}, n \geq 2$.

(iii) D_n^h is positive semi-definite if and only if $a_{k-1}^h \geq 0, d_k^h \geq 0, 4 \leq k \leq n$, i.e. $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{k}$ and $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{2(k-1)}, k \geq 4$ simultaneously established. Then $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{2(n-1)}, n \geq 4$.

(iv) Since $e_6^h = h^6 - 5h^4 + 5h^2 - 1 = (h^2 - 1)(h^4 - 4h^2 + 1) = (h^2 - 1)[h^2 - (2 + \sqrt{3})][h^2 - (2 - \sqrt{3})] \geq 0$, then $h^2 \geq 2 + \sqrt{3}, h \geq \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{3}} = 2\cos\frac{\pi}{12}$. We know E_6^h is positive semi-definite

if and only if $a_k^h \geq 0, 1 \leq k \leq 5, d_4^h \geq 0, d_5^h \geq 0, e_6^h \geq 0$, i.e. $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{k+1}, 1 \leq k \leq 5, h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{6}, h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{8}, h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{12}$ simultaneously established. Then $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{12}$.

(v) Since $e_7^h = h(h^6 - 6h^4 + 9h^2 - 3) = h(b_6^h - 1) = 2\cos\theta(2\cos 6\theta - 1) \geq 0$, then $0 \leq 6\theta \leq \frac{\pi}{3}$, $\cos\theta = \frac{h}{2} \geq \cos\frac{\pi}{18}, h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{18}$. We know E_7^h is positive semi-definite if and only if $a_k^h \geq 0, 1 \leq k \leq 6, d_4^h \geq 0, d_5^h \geq 0, d_6^h \geq 0, e_6^h \geq 0, e_7^h \geq 0$, i.e. $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{k+1}, 1 \leq k \leq 6, h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{6}, h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{8}, h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{10}, h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{12}, h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{18}$ simultaneously established. Then $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{18}$.

(vi) The prerequisite for E_8^h to be positive semi-definite is E_7^h is positive semi-definite, we only need to consider the situation where $0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{18}$ holds.

$$\begin{aligned} e_8^h &= h^8 - 7h^6 + 14h^4 - 8h^2 + 1 \\ &= (2 + 2\cos 2\theta)^4 - 7(2 + 2\cos 2\theta)^3 + 14(2 + 2\cos 2\theta)^2 - 8(2 + 2\cos 2\theta) + 1 \\ &= 2\{-2\sin(5\theta)\sin(3\theta) + \cos(6\theta) - \frac{1}{2}\}, \end{aligned}$$

then e_8^h is a decreasing function on the interval $0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{18}$, the proof has been completed due to $e_8^{2\cos\frac{\pi}{30}} = 0$.

(vii) Since $f_4^h = h^4 - 4h^2 + 1 = [h^2 - (2 + \sqrt{3})][h^2 - (2 - \sqrt{3})] \geq 0$, then $h^2 \geq 2 + \sqrt{3}, h \geq \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{3}} = 2\cos\frac{\pi}{12}$. We know F_4^h is virtual positive semi-definite if and only if $a_k^h \geq 0, 1 \leq k \leq 2, b_3^h \geq 0, f_4^h \geq 0$, i.e. $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{k+1}, 1 \leq k \leq 2, h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{6}, h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{12}$ simultaneously established. Then $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{12}$.

(viii) $g_2^h = h^2 - 3 \geq 0$, then $h \geq \sqrt{3} = 2\cos\frac{\pi}{6}$. □

Let $r_n := \{h | \hat{b}_n^h = 0\}$, denote by μ_n the largest real number in r_n .

Lemma 3.4. *For any $n \geq 3$, then $\mu_n > \mu_{n-1}$.*

Proof. The proof can be done by induction on n . When $n = 3$, $\mu_2 = \frac{3}{2} < \frac{\sqrt{13}}{2} = \mu_3$. Now assume $n > 3$ and $\mu_k < \mu_{n-1}, k < n - 1$. We show this by following two steps.

(1) $\mu_n > \mu_{n-2}$: if $\mu_n \leq \mu_{n-2}$, choose $\mu_{n-2} < h < \mu_{n-1}$ such that $\hat{b}_n^h > 0, \hat{b}_{n-1}^h < 0, \hat{b}_{n-2}^h > 0$ since the induction hypothesis imply that $\mu_{n-2} < \mu_{n-1}$, in this case go on to consider the equation $\hat{b}_n^h = h\hat{b}_{n-1}^h - \hat{b}_{n-2}^h$. The left side is strictly greater than 0 and the right side is strictly less than 0, which is a contradiction.

(2) $\mu_n > \mu_{n-1}$: if $\mu_n < \mu_{n-1}$, choose $\mu_n < h < \mu_{n-1}$ such that $\hat{b}_n^h > 0, \hat{b}_{n-1}^h < 0, \hat{b}_{n-2}^h > 0$ by (1), in this case go on to consider the equation $\hat{b}_n^h = h\hat{b}_{n-1}^h - \hat{b}_{n-2}^h$, we have $0 < \hat{b}_n^h, h\hat{b}_{n-1}^h - \hat{b}_{n-2}^h < 0$, which is a contradiction. if $\mu_n = \mu_{n-1}$, then $0 = \hat{b}_n^{\mu_n} = h\hat{b}_{n-1}^{\mu_n} - \hat{b}_{n-2}^{\mu_n} = 0 - \hat{b}_{n-2}^{\mu_n}$, this contradicts $\hat{b}_{n-2}^{\mu_n} > 0$ since $\mu_n > \mu_{n-2}$. □

Let $h = \epsilon$ is the largest real number such that $g(h) := \hat{b}_3^h - \hat{b}_2^h = h^3 - h^2 - \frac{13}{4}h + \frac{9}{4} = 0$, then $\epsilon = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{\sqrt{129}}{6}\sin\theta_4 + \frac{\sqrt{43}}{6}\cos\theta_4 \approx 2.04998$, where $\theta_4 = \frac{1}{3}\arctan\frac{3\sqrt{7287}}{118}$.

Lemma 3.5. *For any $n \geq 2$, then $\frac{3}{2} \leq \mu_n < \epsilon$.*

Proof. It is clear $\mu_n \geq \frac{3}{2}$ by $\mu_2 = \frac{3}{2}$ and Lemma 3.4. We prove $\mu_n < \epsilon$ by induction on n . If $n = 2$, $\mu_3 = \frac{3}{2} < \epsilon$. Now assume $n > 2$ and $\mu_k < \epsilon, k \leq n - 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{b}_n^\epsilon - \hat{b}_{n-1}^\epsilon &= (\epsilon - 1)\hat{b}_{n-1}^\epsilon - \hat{b}_{n-2}^\epsilon \\ &> \hat{b}_{n-1}^\epsilon - \hat{b}_{n-2}^\epsilon \quad (\text{by inductive assumption, where } \hat{b}_{n-1}^\epsilon > 0) \\ &> \dots\dots\dots > \\ &> \hat{b}_3^\epsilon - \hat{b}_2^\epsilon = 0, \end{aligned}$$

then $\hat{b}_n^\epsilon > \hat{b}_{n-1}^\epsilon > 0$. If $\mu_n = \epsilon$, then $0 > \hat{b}_{n-1}^\epsilon > 0$, it's a contradiction. If $\mu_n > \epsilon$, there exist $h_1 \in r_n - \{\mu_n\}$ and $h_2 > \epsilon$ such that $h_1 > \epsilon$ and $\hat{b}_n^{h_2} \leq 0$, respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{b}_n^{h_2} - \hat{b}_{n-1}^{h_2} &= (h_2 - 1)\hat{b}_{n-1}^{h_2} - \hat{b}_{n-2}^{h_2} \\ &> \hat{b}_{n-1}^{h_2} - \hat{b}_{n-2}^{h_2} \quad (\text{by inductive assumption, where } \hat{b}_{n-1}^{h_2} > 0) \\ &> \dots > \\ &> \hat{b}_3^{h_2} - \hat{b}_2^{h_2} = g(h_2). \end{aligned}$$

Continuous derivation of $g(h)$ yields $g'(h) = 3h^2 - 2h - \frac{13}{4}$, $g''(h) = 6h - 2$, then $g''(h) > 0$ for all $h \in [2, +\infty)$ and $g'(h)$ is monotonically increasing on the interval $[2, +\infty)$, again, since $g'(2) = \frac{19}{4} > 0$, we have that $g(h)$ is monotonically increasing on the interval $[2, +\infty)$. So $g(h_2) > g(\epsilon) = 0$ and $\hat{b}_n^{h_2} > \hat{b}_{n-1}^{h_2} > 0$, this contradicts $\hat{b}_n^{h_2} \leq 0$. \square

Combined with the fact that μ_n is the largest eigenvalue of \hat{B}_n^0 , we know that the largest eigenvalue of the matrix \hat{B}_n^h increases with n , but it is always less than h plus a constant $\epsilon \approx 2.04998$.

Corollary 3.6. *If $h \geq \epsilon$, then B_n^h is generalized positive-definite for any $n \geq 2$.*

We obtain $\frac{3}{2} = \mu_2 < \dots < \mu_8 < \mu_9 = 2 < \mu_{10} < \dots < \epsilon$ by Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5.

Proposition 3.7. (i) *If $0 \leq h < 2$, then B_n^h is generalized positive semi-definite if and only if*

$$h \geq \mu_n, 2 \leq n \leq 8, \text{ here } \mu_n = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{2}, & n = 2 \\ \frac{\sqrt{13}}{2}, & n = 3 \\ \sqrt{\frac{17}{8} + \frac{\sqrt{145}}{8}}, & n = 4 \\ \sqrt{\frac{21}{8} + \frac{\sqrt{89}}{8}}, & n = 5 \\ \sqrt{\frac{25}{12} + \frac{\sqrt{157}}{6}\cos\theta_1}, & n = 6 \\ \sqrt{\frac{29}{12} + \frac{11}{12}\cos\theta_2 + \frac{11\sqrt{3}}{12}\sin\theta_2}, & n = 7 \\ \sqrt{\frac{33}{16} + \frac{\beta}{16} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{547}{96} - \frac{\alpha}{6} + \frac{17}{32\beta}}}, & n = 8, \end{cases}$$

where $\theta_1 = \frac{1}{3}\arctan\frac{54\sqrt{1327}}{19}$, $\theta_2 = \frac{1}{3}\arctan\frac{12\sqrt{11919}}{235}$, $\alpha = \sqrt{727}\cos(\frac{1}{3}\arctan\frac{3\sqrt{3779987}}{34607})$, $\beta = \sqrt{\frac{547}{3} + \frac{32}{3}\alpha}$.

(ii) F_4^h is generalized positive semi-definite if and only if $h \geq 2$.

(iii) G_2^h is generalized positive semi-definite if and only if $h \geq 2$.

Proof. (i) This can be obtained directly by factorization.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{b}_2^h &= h^2 - \frac{9}{4}, \hat{b}_3^h = h(h^2 - \frac{13}{4}), \\ \hat{b}_4^h &= h^4 - \frac{17}{4}h^2 + \frac{9}{4} = (h^2 - \frac{17}{8} - \frac{\sqrt{145}}{8})(h^2 - \frac{17}{8} + \frac{\sqrt{145}}{8}), \\ \hat{b}_5^h &= h(h^4 - \frac{21}{4}h^2 + \frac{11}{2}) = h(h^2 - \frac{21}{8} - \frac{\sqrt{89}}{8})(h^2 - \frac{21}{8} + \frac{\sqrt{89}}{8}), \\ \hat{b}_6^h &= h^6 - \frac{25}{4}h^4 + \frac{39}{4}h^2 - \frac{9}{4} = [h^2 - \frac{25}{12} - \frac{\sqrt{157}}{6}\cos\theta_1][h^2 - \frac{25}{12} - \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{157}{3}}\sin\theta_1 + \frac{\sqrt{157}}{12}\cos\theta_1] \\ &\quad [h^2 - \frac{25}{12} + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{157}{3}}\sin\theta_1 + \frac{\sqrt{157}}{12}\cos\theta_1], \\ \hat{b}_7^h &= h(h^6 - \frac{29}{4}h^4 + 15h^2 - \frac{31}{4}) = h[h^2 - \frac{29}{12} - \frac{11}{12}\cos\theta_2 - \frac{11\sqrt{3}}{12}\sin\theta_2][h^2 - \frac{29}{12} - \frac{11}{12}\cos\theta_2 + \frac{11\sqrt{3}}{12}\sin\theta_2] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& [h^2 - \frac{29}{12} + \frac{11}{6}\cos\theta_2], \\
\hat{b}_8^h &= h^8 - \frac{33}{4}h^6 + \frac{85}{4}h^4 - \frac{35}{2}h^2 + \frac{9}{4} = [h^2 - \frac{33}{16} - \frac{\beta}{16} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{547}{96} - \frac{\alpha}{6} + \frac{17}{32\beta}}][h^2 - \frac{33}{16} - \frac{\beta}{16} \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{547}{96} - \frac{\alpha}{6} + \frac{17}{32\beta}}][h^2 - \frac{33}{16} + \frac{\beta}{16} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{547}{96} - \frac{\alpha}{6} - \frac{17}{32\beta}}][h^2 - \frac{33}{16} + \frac{\beta}{16} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{547}{96} - \frac{\alpha}{6} - \frac{17}{32\beta}}], \\
\hat{b}_9^h &= h(h^2 - 4)(h^6 - \frac{21}{4}h^4 + \frac{15}{2}h^2 - \frac{5}{2}) = h(h^2 - 4)[h^2 - \frac{7}{4} - \frac{3}{2}\cos\theta_3][h^2 - \frac{7}{4} + \frac{3}{4}\cos\theta_3 - \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4}\sin\theta_3] \\
& [h^2 - \frac{7}{4} + \frac{3}{4}\cos\theta_3 + \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4}\sin\theta_3]. \\
& \text{where } \theta_1 = \frac{1}{3}\arctan\frac{54\sqrt{1327}}{19}, \theta_2 = \frac{1}{3}\arctan\frac{12\sqrt{11919}}{235}, \alpha = \sqrt{727}\cos(\frac{1}{3}\arctan\frac{3\sqrt{3779987}}{34607}), \beta = \\
& \sqrt{\frac{547}{3} + \frac{32}{3}\alpha}, \theta_3 = \frac{1}{3}\arctan 4\sqrt{5}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$(ii) \text{ We have } \hat{f}_4^h = \begin{vmatrix} h & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & h & -\frac{3}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & h & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & h \end{vmatrix} = h^4 - \frac{17}{4}h^2 + 1 = (h^2 - 4)(h^2 - \frac{1}{4}) \text{ and } h^2 \geq \frac{9}{4}$$

since A_3^h is the submatrix of F_4^h . If F_4^h is generalized positive semi-definite, then $h^2 \geq 4$.

(iii) Similar to (i), $\hat{g}_2^h = h^2 - 4$, If G_2^h is generalized positive semi-definite, then $h^2 \geq 4$. \square

Remark 3.8. We use trigonometric and inverse trigonometric functions to represent μ_6, μ_7, μ_8 because they cannot be expressed using only real radical. The fact that μ_6, μ_7, μ_8 cannot be expressed using only real radical in [DF03, Chapter 14], we specifically give reasons why μ_8 cannot be expressed in this way: consider the Galois group of $f_x = x^4 - \frac{33}{4}x^3 + \frac{85}{4}x^2 - \frac{35}{2}x + \frac{9}{4}$, The discriminant $d_f = \frac{12567329}{4096} \in \mathbb{Q}^2$, the resolvent $r(x) = x^3 - \frac{85}{4}x^2 + \frac{1083}{8}x - \frac{31861}{64}$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} if and only if $64r(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Z} . Verify that any $x = \frac{u}{v}, v \in \{1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64\}, u \in \{1, 211, 151, 31861\}$ is not a solution of $r(x)$, then $r(x)$ is irreducible and the Galois group of f_x is $G_f = S_4$. Since $|G_f| = 24$ is not 2-group, we know that $f(x)$ has no real radicals by [Is85, Theorem].

Theorem 3.9. If $0 \leq h < 2$, then

(1) H is positive semi-definite if and only if H_σ is one of the following:

- (i) A_n^h and $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{n+1}, n > 0$;
- (ii) D_n^h and $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{2(n-1)}, n > 3$;
- (iii) E_6^h and $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{12}$;
- (iv) E_7^h and $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{18}$;
- (v) E_8^h and $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{30}$.

(2) H is virtual positive semi-definite if and only if H is positive semi-definite or H_σ is one of the following:

- (i) B_n^h and $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{2n}, n > 1$;
- (ii) F_4^h and $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{12}$;
- (iii) G_2^h and $h \geq 2\cos\frac{\pi}{6}$.

(3) H is generalized positive semi-definite if and only if H is positive semi-definite or $H_\sigma =$

$$B_n^h, h \geq \mu_n, 2 \leq n \leq 8, \text{ here } \mu_n = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{2}, & n = 2 \\ \frac{\sqrt{13}}{2}, & n = 3 \\ \sqrt{\frac{17}{8} + \frac{\sqrt{145}}{8}}, & n = 4 \\ \sqrt{\frac{21}{8} + \frac{\sqrt{89}}{8}}, & n = 5 \\ \sqrt{\frac{25}{12} + \frac{\sqrt{157}}{6} \cos \theta_1}, & n = 6 \\ \sqrt{\frac{29}{12} + \frac{11}{12} \cos \theta_2 + \frac{11\sqrt{3}}{12} \sin \theta_2}, & n = 7 \\ \sqrt{\frac{33}{16} + \frac{\beta}{16} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{547}{96} - \frac{\alpha}{6} + \frac{17}{32\beta}}}, & n = 8, \end{cases}$$

where $\theta_1 = \frac{1}{3} \arctan \frac{54\sqrt{1327}}{19}, \theta_2 = \frac{1}{3} \arctan \frac{12\sqrt{11919}}{235}, \alpha = \sqrt{727} \cos(\frac{1}{3} \arctan \frac{3\sqrt{3779987}}{34607}), \beta = \sqrt{\frac{547}{3} + \frac{32}{3}\alpha}.$

Proof. The if part of the assertions are obvious. It remains to prove the only if part. If H is positive semi-definite, then H is virtual positive semi-definite, we have $H + (2 - h)E$ is generalized Cartan matrix of finite type by Proposition 2.4(ii). \square

Proposition 3.10. *If S is an indecomposable affine generalized Cartan matrix, then S^h is virtual positive semi-definite if and only if $h \geq 2$.*

Proof. It is clear by Proposition 2.4 since $S^2 = S$ is virtual positive semi-definite. \square

Proposition 3.11. (i) $G_2^{(1)h}$ (and $D_4^{(3)h}$) is generalized positive semi-definite if and only if $h \geq \sqrt{5}$.

(ii) $F_4^{(1)h}$ (and $E_6^{(2)h}$) is generalized positive semi-definite if and only if $h \geq \frac{\sqrt{17}}{2}$.

(iii) $A_2^{(2)h}$ is generalized positive semi-definite if and only if $h \geq \frac{5}{2}$.

Proof. (i) $\hat{g}_2^{(1)h} = \hat{d}_4^{(3)h} = h(h^2 - 5) \geq 0 \implies h \geq \sqrt{5}$.

(ii) $\hat{f}_4^{(1)h} = \hat{e}_6^{(2)h} = h(h^2 - 1)(h^2 - \frac{17}{4}) \geq 0 \implies h \geq \frac{\sqrt{17}}{2}$.

(iii) $\hat{a}_2^{(2)h} = h^2 - \frac{25}{4} \geq 0 \implies h \geq \frac{5}{2}$. \square

Lemma 3.12. *Assume that $\hat{b}_k^h > 0$ for any $h > \epsilon, k < n$ with $n \geq 2$. Then $\hat{b}_n^h > \hat{b}_{n-1}^h > \dots > \hat{b}_2^h$.*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{b}_n^h - \hat{b}_{n-1}^h &= (h - 1)\hat{b}_{n-1}^h - \hat{b}_{n-2}^h > \hat{b}_{n-1}^h - \hat{b}_{n-2}^h \\ &> \dots > \\ &> \hat{b}_3^h - \hat{b}_2^h = g(h). \end{aligned}$$

From the proof of Lemma 3.5 it follows that $g(h) > 0$ for $\forall h > \epsilon$. \square

Let $\lambda_n := \max(x), x \in \{h|\hat{b}_n^{(1)h} = 0\}, \eta_n := \max(x), x \in \{h|\hat{c}_n^{(1)h} = 0\}$.

Proposition 3.13. (i) $B_n^{(1)h}$ (and $A_{2n-1}^{(2)h}$), $n > 2$ is generalized positive semi-definite if and only if $h \geq \frac{\sqrt{17}}{2}$.

(ii) $C_n^{(1)h}$ (and $A_{2n}^{(2)h}, D_{n+1}^{(2)h}$), $n > 1$ is generalized positive semi-definite if and only if $h \geq \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2}$.

Proof. (i) It is only necessary to prove by induction that $\lambda_n \leq \frac{\sqrt{17}}{2}, n > 2$. A simple calculation gives $\hat{b}_3^{(1)h} = h^2(h^2 - \frac{17}{4}), \hat{b}_4^{(1)h} = h(h^2 - \frac{21}{8} - \frac{3\sqrt{17}}{8})(h^2 - \frac{21}{8} + \frac{3\sqrt{17}}{8})$, then $\lambda_3 = \frac{\sqrt{17}}{2}, \lambda_4 = \sqrt{\frac{21}{8} + \frac{3\sqrt{17}}{8}} < \frac{\sqrt{17}}{2}$. Assume that $n > 4$ and $\lambda_k \leq \frac{\sqrt{17}}{2}, k \leq n-1$, it follows to show that $\lambda_n \leq \frac{\sqrt{17}}{2}$: if $\lambda_n > \frac{\sqrt{17}}{2}$, then $\hat{b}_{n-1}^{(1)\lambda_n} > 0$ since $\lambda_{n-1} \leq \frac{\sqrt{17}}{2}$ by inductive assumption. We have $\hat{b}_n^{\lambda_n} - \hat{b}_{n-1}^{\lambda_n} = (\lambda_n - 1)\hat{b}_{n-1}^{\lambda_n} - \hat{b}_{n-2}^{\lambda_n} > \hat{b}_{n-1}^{\lambda_n} - \hat{b}_{n-2}^{\lambda_n} = (\lambda_n - 1)\hat{b}_{n-2}^{\lambda_n} - \hat{b}_{n-3}^{\lambda_n} > \hat{b}_{n-2}^{\lambda_n} - \hat{b}_{n-3}^{\lambda_n}$ since $\lambda_n > \frac{\sqrt{17}}{2} > \epsilon$. Consider $0 = \hat{b}_n^{(1)\lambda_n} = \lambda_n \hat{b}_n^{\lambda_n} - \lambda_n \hat{b}_{n-2}^{\lambda_n}, \hat{b}_{n-1}^{(1)\lambda_n} = \lambda_n \hat{b}_{n-1}^{\lambda_n} - \lambda_n \hat{b}_{n-3}^{\lambda_n}, -\hat{b}_{n-1}^{(1)\lambda_n} = \lambda_n[(\hat{b}_n^{\lambda_n} - \hat{b}_{n-1}^{\lambda_n}) - (\hat{b}_{n-2}^{\lambda_n} - \hat{b}_{n-3}^{\lambda_n})]$, it is a contradiction that the left side is less than 0 and the right side is greater than 0.

(ii) The proof is divided into two steps.

Step 1. We prove by induction on n that $\eta_n \leq \frac{9}{4}$. It is clear for $n = 2$, assume that $\eta_k \leq \frac{9}{4}, k \leq n-1$. If $\eta_n > \frac{9}{4}$, then $\hat{c}_n^{(1)\frac{9}{4}} = \frac{9}{4}\hat{b}_n^{\frac{9}{4}} - \frac{9}{4}\hat{b}_{n-1}^{\frac{9}{4}} > 0$ by Lemma 3.12. There exist $h_1 \in \{h | \hat{c}_n^{(1)h} = 0\} - \{\eta_n\}$ and $h_2 > \frac{9}{4}$ such that $h_1 > \frac{9}{4}$ and $\hat{c}_n^{(1)h_2} \leq 0$, respectively. On the other hand, $\hat{c}_n^{(1)h_2} = h_2 \hat{b}_n^{h_2} - \frac{9}{4}\hat{b}_{n-1}^{h_2} > \frac{9}{4}\hat{b}_n^{h_2} - \frac{9}{4}\hat{b}_{n-1}^{h_2} > 0$ by Lemma 3.12, it's a contradiction.

Step 2. A simple calculation gives $\hat{c}_2^{(1)h} = h(h^2 - \frac{9}{2}), \hat{c}_3^{(1)h} = (h^2 - \frac{11}{4} - \frac{\sqrt{10}}{2})(h^2 - \frac{11}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{10}}{2})$, then $\eta_2 = \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2}, \eta_3 = \sqrt{\frac{11}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{10}}{2}} < \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2}$. Assume that $n > 3$ and $\eta_k \leq \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2}, k \leq n-1$, it follows to show that $\eta_n \leq \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2}$: if $\eta_n > \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2}$, then $\hat{c}_{n-1}^{(1)\eta_n} > 0$ since $\eta_{n-1} \leq \frac{\sqrt{17}}{2}$ by inductive assumption. We have $\hat{b}_n^{\lambda_n} - \hat{b}_{n-1}^{\lambda_n} = (\lambda_n - 1)\hat{b}_{n-1}^{\lambda_n} - \hat{b}_{n-2}^{\lambda_n} > \hat{b}_{n-1}^{\lambda_n} - \hat{b}_{n-2}^{\lambda_n} = (\lambda_n - 1)\hat{b}_{n-2}^{\lambda_n} - \hat{b}_{n-3}^{\lambda_n} > \hat{b}_{n-2}^{\lambda_n} - \hat{b}_{n-3}^{\lambda_n}$ since $\lambda_n > \frac{\sqrt{17}}{2} > \epsilon$. Consider $0 = \hat{c}_n^{(1)\eta_n} = \eta_n \hat{b}_n^{\eta_n} - \frac{9}{4}\hat{b}_{n-1}^{\eta_n} = \eta_n(\eta_n \hat{b}_{n-1}^{\eta_n} - \hat{b}_{n-2}^{\eta_n}) - \frac{9}{4}\hat{b}_{n-1}^{\eta_n} = (\eta_n^2 - \frac{9}{4})\hat{b}_{n-1}^{\eta_n} - \eta_n \hat{b}_{n-2}^{\eta_n}, \hat{c}_{n-1}^{(1)\eta_n} = \eta_n \hat{b}_{n-1}^{\eta_n} - \frac{9}{4}\hat{b}_{n-2}^{\eta_n}, -\hat{c}_{n-1}^{(1)\eta_n} = (\eta_n^2 - \eta_n - \frac{9}{4})\hat{b}_{n-1}^{\eta_n} - (\eta_n - \frac{9}{4})\hat{b}_{n-2}^{\eta_n} > 0$, then $\hat{c}_{n-1}^{(1)\eta_n} < 0$, it is a contradiction. \square

Remark 3.14. Replacing all positive semi-definite and \geq in Theorem 3.9, Proposition 3.10, Proposition 3.11 and Proposition 3.13 with positive-definite and $>$, the conclusion still stands.

Proposition 3.15. (1) Generalized Cartan matrix H is generalized positive semi-definite if and only if H_σ is one of the following: $A_n^2, n \geq 1; D_n^2, n \geq 4; E_6^2; E_7^2; E_8^2; B_n^2, 2 \leq n \leq 9; F_4^2; G_2^2; A_1^{(1)2}; A_n^{(1)2}, n \geq 2; D_n^{(1)2}, n \geq 4; E_6^{(1)2}; E_7^{(1)2}; E_8^{(1)2}$.

(2) Generalized Cartan matrix H is generalized positive-definite if and only if H_σ is one of the following: $A_n^2, n \geq 1; D_n^2, n \geq 4; E_6^2; E_7^2; E_8^2; B_n^2, 2 \leq n \leq 8$.

Proof. We have $\hat{b}_n^2 = 2 - \frac{1}{4}(n-1), n \geq 1$, if $n < 9$, then $\hat{b}_n^2 > 0$, if $n = 9$, then $\hat{b}_n^2 = 0$, if $n > 9$, then $\hat{b}_n^2 < 0, \hat{f}_4^2 = 0, \hat{g}_2^2 = 0$. If H is of indefinite type, then there exists $u > 0$ such that $Hu < 0$, thus $u'Hu < 0$ and H is not generalized positive semi-definite. If H is of finite (resp. affine type) with $H' = H$, then H is positive-definite (resp. positive semi-definite and not positive-definite), i.e. $A_n^2, n \geq 1; D_n^2, n \geq 4; E_6^2; E_7^2; E_8^2$ are positive-definite, $A_1^{(1)2}; A_n^{(1)2}, n \geq 2; D_n^{(1)2}, n \geq 4; E_6^{(1)2}; E_7^{(1)2}; E_8^{(1)2}$ are positive semi-definite and not positive-definite. If H is affine type with $H' \neq H$, then H is not generalized positive semi-definite by Proposition 3.11, Proposition 3.13 and [Ka90, Theorem 4.8]. If H is finite type with $H' \neq H$, then $B_n^2, 2 \leq n \leq 8$ are generalized positive-definite, B_9^2, F_4^2, G_2^2 are generalized positive semi-definite and not generalized positive-definite by Proposition 3.7. \square

References

- [Hu72] J. E. Humphreys. Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 9, Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- [Ka90] V. G. Kac. Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras. Cambridge university press, 1990.
- [DF03] D. S. Dummit, R. M. Foote. Abstract Algebra, 3rd Edition. Wiley, 2003.
- [Is85] I. M. Isaacs. Solution of Polynomials by Real Radicals. The American Mathematical Monthly 92(8): 571-575, 1985.
- [WLX22] W. Wu, J. Liu, W. Xie. Classification and its application of Shuhan diagram. J. Dalian Univ. Techno. 62: 650-654, 2022 (in Chinese).
- [WZ25] W. Wu, P. Zhou. Classification of Generalized Shuhan diagram. Adv. Math. 54(1): 85-98, 2025 (in Chinese).

Weicai Wu

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Guangxi Normal University, Guilin 541004, Guangxi, People's Republic of China.

E-mail: weicaiwu@hnu.edu.cn

Mingxuan Yang

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Guangxi Normal University, Guilin 541004, Guangxi, People's Republic of China.

E-mail: 3223237115@qq.com